

# GEOMETRY OF COMPLETE MINIMAL SURFACES AT INFINITY AND THE WILLMORE INDEX OF THEIR INVERSIONS

JONAS HIRSCH, ROB KUSNER, AND ELENA MÄDER-BAUMDICKER

**ABSTRACT.** We study complete minimal surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with finite total curvature and embedded planar ends. After conformal compactification via inversion, these yield examples of surfaces stationary for the Willmore bending energy  $\mathcal{W} := \frac{1}{4} \int |\vec{H}|^2$ . In codimension one, we prove that the  $\mathcal{W}$ -Morse index for any inverted minimal sphere or real projective plane with  $m$  such ends is exactly  $m - 3 = \frac{\mathcal{W}}{4\pi} - 3$ . We also consider several geometric properties — for example, the property that all  $m$  asymptotic planes meet at a single point — of these minimal surfaces and explore their relation to the  $\mathcal{W}$ -Morse index of their inverted surfaces.

**MSC classification.** 53C42, 53A10, 35J35, 35R01

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We explore the connection between geometric properties of complete minimal surfaces with embedded planar ends in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and the Willmore Morse index of their inverted surfaces. The Morse index is the dimension of the maximal subspace of variations that locally decrease an energy to second order: in our case, the Willmore bending energy. Crucial to this connection is the Möbius invariance of the Willmore energy.

We begin with a short review of Willmore surfaces — that is, surfaces stationary for the Willmore energy — and their relation to complete minimal surfaces with finite total curvature. Given an immersed, closed surface  $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , we define the Willmore bending energy as

$$\mathcal{W}(f) := \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Sigma} |\vec{H}|^2 d\mu,$$

---

JONAS HIRSCH: UNIVERSITÄT LEIPZIG, FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, AUGUSTUSPLATZ 10, 04109 LEIPZIG

ROB KUSNER DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS, AMHERST, MA 01003

ELENA MÄDER-BAUMDICKER (CORRESPONDING AUTHOR): TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT, FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, SCHLOSSGARTENSTR. 7, 64289 DARMSTADT, GERMANY

*E-mail addresses:* hirsch@math.uni-leipzig.de, kusner@umass.edu, profkusner@gmail.com, maeder-baumdicker@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de.

where the mean curvature vector  $\vec{H}$  is the trace of the second fundamental form of the immersion  $f$ . The symmetry group preserving  $\mathcal{W}$  consists of the Möbius group of all conformal diffeomorphisms of the ambient space  $\mathbb{R}^n \cup \infty = \mathcal{S}^n$ , the group generated by inversions in spheres (see, for example [5, 48]). A quantitative version of this invariance was pointed out by the second author [20]: composing  $f$  with the inversion  $\varphi_x(y) = x + R^2 \frac{y-x}{|y-x|^2}$  yields

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{W}(\varphi_x \circ f) = \mathcal{W}(f) - 4\pi\theta(x),$$

where  $\theta(x) = \sharp\{f^{-1}(x)\}$  is the number of preimages of  $x$ . Equality (1) has several consequences. The most important one for us is the following: consider a complete minimal surface with finite total curvature in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; then inversion about a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  off the surface yields a Willmore surface with a possible singularity at  $x$ . In fact, as proven by Bryant [6] in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , the resulting Willmore surface can be extended to a smooth immersion across the singularity if and only if all ends of the minimal surface are embedded and planar – meaning each end is asymptotic to a multiplicity-1 plane at infinity. The other possibility for an embedded end is that it has logarithmic growth at infinity [46]. In this case, the corresponding inverted surface is only of regularity  $C^{1,\alpha}$  for every  $\alpha < 1$ , but it is not  $C^{1,1}$  (see [24, 25]). More literature about the smoothness and extendability of the Willmore equation can be found in [26, 43, 4].

The topology of  $\Sigma$  is important for the problem of classifying Willmore surfaces. Bryant proved [6] the remarkable fact that any compact genus zero Willmore surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  arises by compactifying an inversion of a complete minimal surface with embedded planar ends. This is of course not true for higher genus surfaces: a counterexample is the Clifford torus, a minimal surface in  $\mathcal{S}^3$  whose stereographic projection to  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is a Willmore surface. It is in fact the minimizer of the  $\mathcal{W}$  functional among tori in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  – subject of the long-standing *Willmore conjecture* – proven only recently by Marques and Neves [31, 32]. Since the Clifford torus is embedded, an inverted Clifford torus can have at most one end. It cannot be an inversion of a complete minimal torus with embedded planar ends in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . (In fact, up to homothety, the end of any embedded surface after inversion at a non-umbilic point is asymptotic to the graph of the biharmonic function  $\cos 2\theta$  over the punctured plane in polar coordinates; this was the key observation in the first proof of existence for  $\mathcal{W}$ -minimizers with higher genus [21, 3].)

The result of Bryant [6] implies that the bending energies of Willmore spheres in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  are quantized: each such surface has  $\mathcal{W}(f) = 4\pi m$ , where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  is the number of embedded planar ends of the corresponding minimal surface. Not all numbers are allowed: there are no Willmore spheres for  $m = 2, 3, 5, 7$  [7], but there are Willmore spheres with  $\mathcal{W}(f) = 4\pi m$  with  $m = 2k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ ; there are also examples with  $m = 2k + 1$  for  $k \geq 4$  (see the recent work of Heller [15] based on earlier work of Peng-Xiao [41]). The number  $m = 1$  corresponds to a plane which is the inversion of the round sphere, and

the next possible number is  $m = 4$ .

In [7, Section 5], Bryant analyzes the family of Willmore spheres (up to Möbius transformation of the ambient space) with  $\mathcal{W} = 16\pi$ : it turns out that there is a four-parameter family of these, whose moduli space is studied in [23] via the spinor representation. Two examples among them were already known: the Rosenberg-Toubiana surface [45], and the second author's explicit parametrization [19] of the minimal surface which gives rise after inversion to a *Morin surface* [39]. A Morin surface has a 4-fold orientation-reversing symmetry, meaning that (after an appropriate rotation in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ) it can be rotated by  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  around the  $x_3$ -axis obtaining the same surface with the opposite orientation, making it a good *half-way model* for a *sphere eversion*: the remarkable fact that a round sphere can be turned inside out without creasing or tearing.

We give a short introduction to this phenomenon and explain why a good half-way model is important for sphere eversions. The existence of a sphere eversion was proven by Smale [47]: the round sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with a given orientation is regularly homotopic to the round sphere with the opposite orientation, where two immersions  $f_0, f_1 : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  are regularly homotopic if there is a  $C^1$ -path of immersions  $F : \Sigma \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $F(\cdot, 0) = f_0, F(\cdot, 1) = f_1$  which induces a homotopy of the tangent bundles. Following Smale's discovery, many mathematicians contributed to this field [14, 1, 40, 38]. In particular, it was shown by Banchoff and Max that along any eversion the path of immersed spheres must develop a *quadruple point* [33]: there is an immersion where a point in the image has four preimages. It follows from an inequality of Li and Yau [30] that a closed surface with a quadruple point has  $\mathcal{W} \geq 16\pi$ . Morin (based on suggestions of Froissart, [39]) found a surface with one quadruple point and the orientation-reversing four-fold symmetry. This symmetry is very important for constructing a sphere eversion, since a deformation from that surface to a round sphere starting in the direction  $\nu\nu$  ( $\nu$  is a unit normal along the closed surface) joined with the opposite deformation along  $-\nu\nu$  will automatically give a sphere eversion: a surface which does this job is the sought-for half-way model!

In the early 1980s the second author had the idea (see [22]) of a sphere eversion such that at each step of the deformation the immersions have the least possible Willmore energy: an *optimal sphere eversion*. Such an eversion needs a suitable half-way model with  $\mathcal{W} = 16\pi$  energy, perturbing that surface so that the Willmore energy decreases and then starts the Willmore flow, that is, the gradient flow of  $\mathcal{W}$ . So with a discretization of the second author's  $\mathcal{W}$ -stationary Morin surface half-way model as the starting place, using the Brakke *Evolver* the authors of [13] were able to compute an optimal (discretized) animation of a sphere eversion similar to one Morin and Petit had described [39]. To start (half of) the optimal sphere eversion from the Morin surface half-way model, one first needs to perturb the Morin surface

such that the Willmore energy decreases. The authors of [13, 12] computed numerically that there is a one-dimensional space of variations of the Morin surface decreasing the Willmore energy  $\mathcal{W}$  to second order [13, Section 3]: that is, the Morin surface has *Morse index* one.

It remains an open problem whether, starting from the (suitably perturbed) Morin surface with  $\mathcal{W} = 16\pi$ , the Willmore flow will lead to the round sphere, and thus produce a *smooth* optimal sphere eversion: this is sometimes called *the  $16\pi$  conjecture*. In 2015, Rivière [44] developed an alternative approach to tackle the  $16\pi$  conjecture. He proved, among other things, that a positive min-max width for the spherical topology is realized by a bubble-tree of (possibly branched) Willmore spheres. In the following year, Michelat [34] computed the formula for the second variation of the Willmore functional for inverted minimal surfaces with  $m$  embedded planar ends which he used to show the upper bound

$$\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{W}}(\psi) \leq m.$$

In 2019, an improved upper bound and a lower bound was proven [16] by the first and third author, who established the following formula for the  $\mathcal{W}$ -index of a Willmore sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :

$$(2) \quad \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{W}}(\psi) = m - d,$$

where  $m$  is the number of planar ends of the corresponding minimal surface  $X : \mathcal{S}^2 \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ , and  $d := \dim \text{span}\{\nu(p_1), \dots, \nu(p_m)\}$  is the dimension of the span of the Gauß map of  $X$  at the ends; they also show  $d = 3$  for  $m = 4$ , and  $2 \leq m \leq 3$  for general  $m$ . At the same time and independently, Michelat [35] was able to generalize an upper bound to branched Willmore spheres appearing as inverted minimal surfaces. For example, he showed  $\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{W}}(\psi) \leq m - 1$  for a branched Willmore sphere arising as compactified complete minimal sphere with finite total curvature and zero flux. This follows from an approach identifying the  $\mathcal{W}$ -Morse index as an index of an  $m \times m$  matrix related to certain bi-Jacobi fields. In both [16] and [35] it is crucial to allow for variations on the complete minimal surface containing a term with logarithmic growth.

One of the main results of this article is to prove  $d = 3$  in general for complete minimal spheres (and real projective planes). Our first result is the following, see Section 2:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X : \Sigma \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a complete conformal minimal immersion with embedded planar ends at  $\{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \subset \Sigma$  with  $m > 1$ . If  $\Sigma$  is a sphere or a real projective plane, then we denote by  $\nu : \mathcal{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  the holomorphic extension of the Gauß map of  $X$  or its orientable double cover. Then the asymptotic normals satisfy*

$$(3) \quad d := \dim \text{span}\{\nu(p_1), \dots, \nu(p_m)\} = 3.$$

As a consequence, the Morse index of the inverted surface  $\psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  is

$$(4) \quad \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{W}}(\psi) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathcal{W}(\psi) - 3.$$

In [16], the authors were able to draw conclusions for the Morse index of a Willmore sphere by studying area-Jacobi fields – functions in the kernel of the second order elliptic operator corresponding to the second variation of the area functional. Certain questions related to the geometry of complete minimal surfaces with embedded planar ends arose that are of independent interest. One such question is whether the asymptotic planes of the complete minimal surface always meet at a single point in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . We answer this question partly in Section 3. In [19], the second author constructs a family of symmetric minimal surfaces with  $m = 2p$  embedded planar ends. We call these surfaces *minimal flowers*. Using the spinor representation of minimal surfaces [23] we prove the following:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $X : \mathcal{S}^2 \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a complete minimal sphere with embedded planar ends that lies in the  $\mathcal{S}^1 \times SO(3, \mathbb{C})$ -orbit (see Section 3) of a minimal flower. Then all the asymptotic planes of the ends meet at one point.*

Note that, in the case of four-ended surfaces, the whole moduli space of complete minimal spheres with embedded planar ends consists of the  $\mathcal{S}^1 \times SO(3, \mathbb{C})$ -orbit of the inverted Morin surface [23]. With this property in hand, we can simplify the proof [16] that each Willmore sphere with  $\mathcal{W} = 16\pi$  has  $\mathcal{W}$ -Morse index one. In Section 3, we also give a weaker condition than in [16] for a complete minimal surface with embedded planar ends of arbitrary genus to have  $\mathcal{W}$ -Morse index at least 1 after inversion.

Another consequence of the computation for the second variation of  $\mathcal{W}$  is a statement about what we call the *conformal density at infinity*. The classical density at infinity of a complete minimal surface is

$$(5) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_r \cap X(\Sigma)|}{\pi r^2},$$

which is  $m$  for a minimal surface with  $m$  embedded planar ends due to the monotonicity formula, see for example [17]. We suggest considering a different, more intrinsic *conformal density at infinity*. We use conformal coordinates with  $z(p_i) = 0$  and  $X_z dz = \left(-\frac{a}{z^2} + Y(z)\right) dz$  with  $a \in \mathbb{C}^3, a \cdot a = 0, |a|^2 = 2$  and  $Y$  is holomorphic at each end  $p_i$  and define  $D_\epsilon(p_i) := z^{-1}(B_\epsilon^\mathbb{C})$ . Then our computations in Section 4 show that the convergence of the related density quantity is stronger.

**Proposition 1.3.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a closed surface and  $X : \Sigma \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  a complete minimal immersion with  $m$  embedded planar ends. With the choice*

of coordinates made above, we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \int_{\Sigma \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m D_\epsilon(p_i)} d\mu_g - \frac{\pi m}{\epsilon^2} \right\} = 0.$$

Finally, in Section 5, we study the  $p$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{W}$ -Morse index — that is, the maximal dimension of the subspace of  $p$ -fold rotation-symmetric variations that decrease  $\mathcal{W}$  to second order — of the minimal flowers with  $2p$  ends described in [19]. In [16], we already showed that the Morin surface (which is, after inversion, a minimal flower) has  $\mathcal{W}$ -Morse index 1, and, in fact, the variational direction that decreases  $\mathcal{W}$  to second order most rapidly must be 2-fold rotation symmetric. Here, we show that the  $p$ -equivariant Willmore Morse index of an inverted minimal flower is always 1 as well:

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $\Psi : \mathcal{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a closed, immersed Willmore sphere such that  $X := \frac{\Psi}{|\Psi|^2} : \mathcal{S}^2 \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_{2p}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, p \in \mathbb{N}$ , is a complete, immersed minimal sphere with  $2p$  embedded planar ends. Assume further that  $\Psi$  has an orientation reversing  $2p$ -fold rotational symmetry around an axis of symmetry going through  $0 = \Psi(p_i) = \Psi(p_j)$  for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 2p\}$ .*

*Under these conditions, the subspace of  $p$ -fold rotation-symmetric variations of  $\Psi$  decreasing  $\mathcal{W}$  to second order is 1-dimensional. (If  $p$  is prime, all other variations corresponding to negative eigenvalues of the  $\mathcal{W}$ -Jacobi operator break the symmetry.)*

We also show in Section 5 the expected property that the eigenfunction of the lowest eigenvalue of the  $\mathcal{W}$ -Jacobi operator on the Morin surface must have a sign change.

**Acknowledgments.** We would like to thank Karsten Große-Brauckmann for several illuminating discussions throughout the project, and we are also grateful to Alexis Michelat for pointing us to several recent references. The first author was partially supported by the German Research Foundation in context of the Priority Program SPP 2026 “Geometry at Infinity”. The second author is grateful to CIRM in Luminy and FIM at ETH Zürich for hosting his visit to Europe in May and June 2019 when this collaboration commenced, and he also enjoyed the support of KIMS and Coronavirus University during the pandemic. The third author is financially supported by the German Research Foundation (MA 7559/1-1 and MA 7559/1-2) and she is grateful for the support.

## 2. DIMENSION OF THE SPAN OF THE NORMALS AT THE ENDS

The following observation may be known to experts, but we give a proof:

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $X : \Sigma \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a complete minimal surface with finite total curvature and embedded planar ends at  $p_i, i = 1, \dots, m$ . If  $m < \frac{n}{2}$ , then  $X$  is contained in some affine  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi_i$  be the orthogonal projection onto the tangent space  $T_{p_i}\Sigma$  of  $X(\Sigma)$  at the end  $p_i$ , regarded as a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Consider the linear map  $A := (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m)$  that maps  $\mathbb{R}^n$  onto  $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$ . If  $2m < n$ , we have  $\ker(A) \neq \{0\}$ . Let  $v \in \ker A$ . Consider the function  $u := v \cdot X$ . Since the coordinate functions are harmonic on  $X(\Sigma)$  and  $v \in \pi_i^\perp$  for all  $i$ , we conclude that  $u$  is a bounded harmonic function on  $\Sigma$  and therefore constant.  $\square$

With a similar argument as used in the proof of Lemma 2.1 it had been shown [16] that for any minimal surface with finite total curvature and embedded planar ends at  $\{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$  one has  $\bigcap_j T_{p_j}\Sigma = T_{p_1}\Sigma$  if and only if  $X$  is a (multiple cover of) a plane. The lemma below shows that in case of spheres with more than 2 embedded ends the intersection of the tangent spaces at the embedded ends is generically trivial even in the case of non-planar ends.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $X : \mathcal{S}^2 \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a complete minimal sphere with finite total curvature having at least 3 embedded ends at  $\{p_{j_1}, \dots, p_{j_M}\} \subset \{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$ ,  $M \geq 3$ . Then all embedded ends are generically planar. Furthermore, if  $T_{p_j}\Sigma$  denotes the tangent space at an embedded end, then one has*

$$(6) \quad \bigcap_j T_{p_j}\Sigma = \{0\}.$$

*Proof.* We will first show how to go from at least 2 different planes to generically 3 planar ones. Thereafter we briefly explain how to get generically to at least 2 and how to make them generically planar. Suppose that the intersection (6) contains a line, which after a rotation is  $\mathbb{R}e_n$ . Furthermore we may identify  $\mathcal{S}^2$  with the Riemann sphere  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  and assume that  $X$  is conformally parametrized, i.e.

$$\phi = \partial_z X : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$$

is a meromorphic function satisfying  $\phi^2 = 0$ . Let us write  $\phi = (i\varphi, 1)\phi_n$  with  $\varphi^2 = 1$  on  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$ . The assumption that  $e_n \in T_{p_j}\Sigma$  for all  $j$  translates to  $\Im(\varphi(p_j)) = 0$  for all  $j$ , where  $\Im$  denotes the imaginary part and where we have used that  $\varphi$  is bounded because  $X$  has embedded ends at  $p_j$ . Note that, in the case of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , we have that  $\varphi = (\frac{1}{2i}(g^{-1} - g), \frac{1}{2}(g^{-1} + g))$  with  $|g(p_j)| = 1$  for all  $j$ . In fact, if all the planes agreed, then  $\varphi(p_j) = iv$  for some real vector  $v$  with length 1.

Assuming that the intersection is 1- and not 2-dimensional, there must be at least  $p_{j_1}, p_{j_2}$  with  $\varphi(p_{j_1}) \neq \varphi(p_{j_2})$ . Using a Möbius transformation of  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  we may assume that that  $j_1 = 1, j_2 = 2$  and  $p_1 = 0, p_2 = \infty$ . Now we may find a sequence of  $\lambda_k \rightarrow 1$  such that  $\Im(\varphi(\lambda_k p_3)) \neq 0$  and no component of  $\varphi(\lambda_k p_3)$  is vanishing for all  $k$ . Hence  $\{(i\varphi(\lambda_k p_j), 1) : j = 1, 2, 3\}$  span a 3-dimensional complex subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . So each element

$$X_k := 2\Re \left( \int^z (i\varphi(\lambda_k \cdot), 1) \phi_n dz \right)$$

of the corresponding sequence of minimal surfaces converging to  $X$  has the property that the tangent planes at the ends intersect in only the trivial linear subspace  $\{0\}$ .

If the intersection in (6) contains a plane, we can argue more or less in the same way. We may assume that  $p_1 = 0$  and  $p_2, p_3 \neq \infty$ . As before we may find  $\lambda_k$  arbitrary close to 1 such that  $\Im\varphi(\lambda_k p_2) \neq 0$ . In the unlikely situation that all tangent spaces at the ends of the just obtained surface share a line one repeats the process described above and obtains generically at least three different planes.

To finally show that embedded ends are generically planar we can perform a Möbius transformation such that  $p_j \notin \{0, \infty\}$  for all  $j$  and we can assume after rotation that  $e_n \notin T_{p_j}^\perp \Sigma$  (the tangent space to  $X_k(\Sigma)$ ). This implies

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 0} |z^2 \phi_n(p_j + z)| \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } j.$$

Thus we may write as above  $\phi = (i\varphi, 1)\phi_n$ . Now we can take a second sequence  $\lambda_k \rightarrow 1$  such that no component of  $\varphi(\lambda_k p_j)$  is vanishing which ensures that all ends are planar and that the tangent spaces at the embedded ends still only intersect in the trivial subspace  $\{0\}$ .  $\square$

**Remark** Here, we use essentially that  $X$  has genus 0 so that we do not have to care about periods.

We remind the reader of the definition of the index form and the Jacobi operator of the Willmore functional that can be found in [16, Section 2].

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\Psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a smooth, closed Willmore immersion and  $g$  is the induced metric. Let  $\Gamma(N\Sigma)$  be the smooth sections of the normal bundle of  $\Psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then there is a strongly elliptic,  $L^2$ -self-adjoint operator

$$Z : \Gamma(N\Sigma) \rightarrow \Gamma(N\Sigma)$$

of fourth order, called the  $\mathcal{W}$ -Jacobi operator of  $\mathcal{W}$ , such that

$$\delta^2 \mathcal{W}(\Psi)(\vec{v}, \vec{v}) := \int_{\Sigma} \vec{v} \cdot Z \vec{v} d\mu_g,$$

where  $Z$  is characterized by the property

$$(7) \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathcal{W}(\Psi_t)|_{t=0} = \int_{\Sigma} \vec{v} \cdot Z \vec{v} d\mu_g,$$

for a **smooth** variation  $\Psi_t : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ , i.e.  $\Psi_t$  is a smooth immersion for  $t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  and  $\Psi_0 = \Psi$ . Here,  $\vec{v} := \frac{d}{dt} \Psi_t|_{t=0}$  is the variational vector field. The object  $\delta^2 \mathcal{W}(\Psi)(\vec{v}, \vec{v})$  is called index form and is well-defined for sections  $\vec{v}$  that have regularity  $W^{2,2}$  with respect to  $g$  (see the remark below).

If  $n = 3$  and  $\Sigma$  is oriented with global unit normal  $\nu$ , then we also use the symbol  $Z$  for the  $\mathcal{W}$ -Jacobi operator acting on functions  $v$  via  $\vec{v} = v\nu$ .

By the theory of strongly elliptic self-adjoint operators,  $Z$  can be diagonalized on  $\Gamma(N\Sigma)$  with eigenvalues

$$\lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k \leq \dots$$



whose eigenspaces  $Y_{\lambda_j}$  corresponding to  $\lambda_j$  are finite dimensional. The  $\mathcal{W}$ -Index and the  $\mathcal{W}$ -nullity of  $\Psi$  are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Psi) &:= \dim(\oplus_{\lambda < 0} Y_{\lambda}), \\ \text{nullity}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Psi) &:= \dim(Y_0).\end{aligned}$$

A Willmore surface  $\Psi$  is called  $\mathcal{W}$ -stable if  $\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Psi) = 0$ . This is equivalent to  $\delta^2 \mathcal{W}(\Psi)(\vec{v}, \vec{v}) \geq 0$  for all  $\vec{v} \in \Gamma(N\Sigma)$ .

**Remark** • For any smooth variation  $\Psi_t$ , we can always arrange that  $\vec{v} = \frac{d}{dt}\Psi_t|_{t=0}$  is normal by composing with a tangential diffeomorphism.

- That the operator  $Z$  is formally  $L^2$ -self-adjoint was explicitly checked in [28, p. 14] for codimension 1 and general ambient manifolds. We provide a general argument for self-adjointness in Appendix C.
- Let  $L : \Gamma(N\Sigma) \rightarrow \Gamma(N\Sigma)$  be the Jacobi operator of the area functional in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e.

$$L\vec{v} = \Delta^{\perp}\vec{v} + \tilde{A}(\vec{v}),$$

where  $\Delta^{\perp}$  is the Laplacian on the normal bundle and  $\tilde{A}$  is the Simons operator  $\tilde{A}(\vec{v}) = \sum_{i,j} g(A(e_i, e_j), \vec{v})A(e_i, e_j)$  for an orthonormal frame  $e_i$ , see for example [9, Section 8]. For  $n = 3$  and variations of the form  $\vec{v} = v\nu$ , the formula for  $L$  reduces to  $L(v) = \Delta_g v + |A|^2 v$ .

Then the  $\mathcal{W}$ -Jacobi operator  $Z$  can be written in the form  $Z\vec{v} = LL\vec{v} + \Omega\vec{v}$ , where  $\Omega : \Gamma(N\Sigma) \rightarrow \Gamma(N\Sigma)$  is a self-adjoint operator of order two, see for example [28, Section 3] for codimension 1. This form  $Z = L^2 + \Omega$  follows in general from the formula for  $\partial_t(|\vec{H}|^2 d\mu_g)$  which can be found, for example, in [27, Formula (1.3.5) on p. 10]:

$$\partial_t(|\vec{H}|^2 d\mu_g) = 2 \left( \langle \Delta^{\perp} V, \vec{H} \rangle + g^{ik} g^{jl} \langle A_{ij}^{\circ}, \vec{H} \rangle \langle A_{kl}^{\circ}, V \rangle \right) d\mu_g.$$

This formula implies, after integration by parts, that the second variation at a critical point involves  $(\Delta^{\perp})^2$  as a leading term plus second order terms which is equivalent to the claimed structure. Note that this does not imply that  $Z$  is free of third order terms: when expanding  $(\Delta^{\perp})^2$  third order terms may appear.

- Since  $(\Delta^{\perp})^2$  is the leading part of  $Z$  and  $\Sigma$  is compact, we see that  $Z$  is strongly elliptic.
- Since the index form  $\delta^2 \mathcal{W}$  is continuous with respect to  $W^{2,2}$ -convergence, for any  $\vec{v} \in W^{2,2}(\Sigma, N\Sigma)$  there is a sequence of smooth sections  $\vec{v}_k \in \Gamma(N\Sigma)$  with  $\vec{v}_k \rightarrow \vec{v} \in W^{2,2}$  and

$$\delta^2 \mathcal{W}(\Psi)(\vec{v}, \vec{v}) = \lim_k \delta^2 \mathcal{W}(\Psi)(\vec{v}_k, \vec{v}_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathcal{W}(\Psi + t\vec{v}_k)|_{t=0}.$$

By the above construction we extend the variations of  $\Psi$  to sections that are only in  $W^{2,2}$ .

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $X : \mathcal{S}^2 \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a complete genus 0 minimal surface with finite total curvature and embedded planar ends at  $\{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$ ,*

$m > 1$ . If  $\nu : \mathcal{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  denotes the holomorphically extended Gauß map of  $X$ , then

$$(8) \quad d := \dim \operatorname{span}\{\nu(p_1), \dots, \nu(p_m)\} = 3.$$

*Proof.* Note again that  $m > 1$  implies  $m \geq 4$  already. In the work [16] it was proven that the  $\mathcal{W}$ -index of an inverted complete minimal with  $m$  embedded planar end is  $m - d$  and that  $d \in \{2, 3\}$ . If there were a complete minimal sphere  $X$  with  $m$  embedded planar ends and  $d = 2$ , the  $\mathcal{W}$ -index of its inverted surface would be  $m - 2$ . By Lemma 2.2 we know that  $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{W}} = m - 3$  on an open and dense set of  $\mathcal{W}$ -critical spheres with  $\mathcal{W} = 4\pi m$  satisfying  $d = 3$ . Thus, the existence of such a  $\mathcal{W}$ -critical sphere  $X$  would contradict the lower semi-continuity of the  $\mathcal{W}$ -index.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $X : \mathcal{S}^2 \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2+k}$ ,  $2 + k \leq 2m$ , be a complete minimal sphere with finite total curvature and embedded planar ends at  $\{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$ ,  $m \geq 3$ . If  $\psi$  denotes the inversion of  $X$ , whose compactification is a Willmore sphere, we have*

$$(9) \quad \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{W}}(\psi) \leq km - (2 + k).$$

*Proof.* In [16] it was proven that  $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{W}}(\psi) \leq km - d$  where  $d = (2 + k) - l$  and  $l$  is the dimension of the intersection of the tangent planes at the end, (6). Since generically we have  $l = 0$  and the index is lower semi-continuous, the result follows.  $\square$

**Remark** Using the Weierstrass representation in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  it is an exercise [18] to check that every minimal sphere with two planar embedded ends is (up to congruence and  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear change of coordinates on  $\mathbb{C}^2 \cong \mathbb{R}^4$ ) the *Whitney sphere*

$$\psi_W : z \in \mathbb{C} \mapsto \left( z, \frac{1}{z} \right) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \cong \mathbb{R}^4.$$

Furthermore, the inverted Whitney sphere is the minimizer of the Willmore energy in its regular homotopy class. This follows from the fact that the two ends of the Whitney sphere invert to a unique transverse double point, and so its normal bundle has Euler characteristic 2 (see also [8, 36]). This minimizing property implies  $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{W}}(\psi_W) = 0$  as deformations preserve the regular homotopy class. Hence, Corollary 2.5 holds true without the restriction on the number of ends.

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $\psi : \mathcal{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be an unbranched Willmore sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that is not the round sphere. Then its Willmore Morse index is*

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{W}}(\psi) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathcal{W}(\psi) - 3.$$

*Proof.* By work of Bryant [6] we know that a Willmore sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is an inverted complete minimal surface with  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  embedded planar ends and  $\mathcal{W}(\psi) = 4\pi m$ ,  $m \geq 4$ . We use [16], where the Morse index of a  $\mathcal{W}$ -critical sphere  $\psi$  is computed to be  $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{W}}(\psi) = m - d$  for  $d = \dim \operatorname{span}\{\nu(p_1), \dots, \nu(p_m)\}$ . Use Corollary 2.4 to get the claimed formula.  $\square$

Since the orientation double cover of a real projective plane is a sphere, we can use the above results to compute the Willmore index of any Willmore  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Note that Bryant's result [6] implies that a Willmore  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  is an inverted minimal surface with  $m$  embedded planar ends. For  $n = 3$ , we have  $m \geq 3$  because an immersed  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  with codimension 1 must [2] have a point of multiplicity at least 3. In our case, there is a unique point of maximal multiplicity which equals the number of ends of the corresponding complete minimal surface, and there are examples for  $m = 2k + 1$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  [19], but the existence of a complete minimal  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with an even number of embedded, planar ends is unknown.

Our index formula for spheres also holds for real projective planes.

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $\psi : \mathbb{R}P^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be an unbranched Willmore real projective plane. Then its Willmore index is*

$$\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{W}}(\psi) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathcal{W}(\psi) - 3.$$

*Proof.* The orientation double cover of an unbranched Willmore real projective plane  $\psi : \mathbb{R}P^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  is an unbranched Willmore sphere  $\tilde{\psi} : \mathcal{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  that is invariant under the antipodal map. Using a complex coordinate  $z$  on  $\mathcal{S}^2$ , we can express this by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &: \mathcal{S}^2 / \langle I \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3, \\ I(z) &= -\frac{1}{\bar{z}} \quad \text{is the antipodal map,} \\ \tilde{\psi} \circ I &= \tilde{\psi} \end{aligned}$$

because the antipodal map is (up to Möbius transformation) the only fixed-point-free anti-holomorphic involution on  $\mathcal{S}^2$ . Bryant's result [6] tells us that the Willmore sphere  $\tilde{\psi} : \mathcal{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  is an inverted minimal surface that can be parametrized conformally as  $\tilde{X} : \mathcal{S}^2 \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  where the  $m$  embedded planar ends correspond to  $p_1, \dots, p_m$ . As  $\tilde{\psi}$  is a 2 : 1 covering map,  $m = 2k$  must be even and  $\mathcal{W}(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{W}(\tilde{\psi}) = 4\pi k$ . Corollary 2.6 shows that the dimension of the space of variations reducing the Willmore energy of  $\psi$  to second order equals  $2k - 3$ . The Willmore index of  $\psi$  is the dimension of the subspace of variations  $\tilde{u}\tilde{\nu}$  of  $\tilde{\psi}$  covering a variation of  $\psi$ . The orientation reversing property of  $I$  implies that the normal  $\tilde{\nu}$  of  $\tilde{\psi}$  satisfies  $\tilde{\nu}|_z = -\tilde{\nu}|_{I(z)}$ , so in order to cover  $\psi$  the function  $\tilde{u}$  must satisfy  $\tilde{u}(z) = -\tilde{u}(I(z))$ . We call these variations *odd*. The *even* variations of  $\tilde{\psi}$  satisfy  $\tilde{u}(z) = \tilde{u}(I(z))$ .

Proposition 4.4 from [16] provides a more detailed description of  $\lambda$ -eigenfunctions of  $Z$  on  $\tilde{\psi}$  for  $\lambda < 0$ : The space of eigenfunctions is in 1 : 1-correspondence to the nontrivial kernel of the linear map  $B : \mathbb{R}^{2k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $B(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{2k} v_i \tilde{\nu}(p_i)$ , where the  $v_i$  are used as values of the variations at the preimages of the point of maximal multiplicity,  $v_i = \tilde{u}(p_i)$ . The domain  $\mathbb{R}^{2k}$

splits as a direct sum into the subspaces of end-values of the even functions and of the odd functions. Each of these subspaces has dimension  $k$ . The first subspace is spanned by  $v_i = \tilde{u}(p_i) = \tilde{u}(I(p_i)) = \tilde{u}(p_{i+k}) = v_{i+k}$ , and  $B$  sends it to the zero subspace. The second subspace is spanned by  $v_i = -v_{i+k}$  and  $B$  maps it onto  $\mathbb{R}^3$  because  $\tilde{v}$  is odd.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.8.** *Let  $X : \mathbb{R}P^2 \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a complete minimal real projective plane with finite total curvature and embedded planar ends at  $\{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$ . If  $\nu(p_i)$  denotes one of the two possible normals of  $X$  at each end  $p_i$ , then*

$$(10) \quad d := \dim \text{span}\{\nu(p_1), \dots, \nu(p_m)\} = 3.$$

*Proof.* Note that [2] implies  $m \geq 3$ . The statement follows from Corollary 2.4 because the span of the normals of  $X$  and of its orientable double cover agree.  $\square$

So the Gauß map at the ends of a minimal real projective plane with codimension 1 spans  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . For the higher codimension case, we now prove that the intersection of the tangent planes at the ends is generically only a point by modifying Lemma 2.2.

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $X : \mathbb{R}P^2 \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a complete minimal real projective plane with finite total curvature having at least 3 planar ends. If  $T_{p_j}\Sigma$  denotes the tangent space of  $X(\mathbb{R}P^2)$  at the planar end  $p_j$  we have generically*

$$(11) \quad \bigcap_i T_{p_i}\Sigma = \{0\}.$$

*Proof.* First we denote by  $\tilde{X} : \mathcal{S}^2 \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m, I(p_1), \dots, I(p_m)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  an oriented double cover of  $X$ , where  $I$  is an antiholomorphic involution without fixed points as in the proof of Proposition 2.7. As in the proof of Lemma 2.2, suppose that the intersection of the tangent planes is  $\mathbb{R}e_n$ . We consider the meromorphic map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \partial_z \tilde{X} : \mathbb{C} \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m, I(p_1), \dots, I(p_m)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, \\ \phi &= (i\varphi, 1)\phi_n \end{aligned}$$

satisfying  $\phi^2 = 0$  and  $\Im(\varphi(p_j)) = 0$  for all  $j$ . Since the intersection is 1-dimensional, there must be  $p_{j_1}, p_{j_2}$  with  $\varphi(p_{j_1}) \neq \varphi(p_{j_2})$ . We can choose the indices such that  $\{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$  satisfies

$$I(p_i) \notin \{p_1, p_2, p_3, I(p_1), I(p_2), I(p_3)\} \setminus \{I(p_i)\}$$

and  $\varphi(p_1) \neq \varphi(p_2)$ . We choose a Möbius transformation  $m_0 : \mathcal{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2$  such that  $m_0(0) = p_1$ ,  $m_0(\infty) = p_2$ ,  $m_0(1) = p_3$  and define

$$\begin{aligned} Y &:= \tilde{X} \circ m_0, \\ \hat{p}_1 &:= 0, \hat{p}_2 := \infty, \hat{p}_3 := 1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\hat{I} := m_0^{-1} \circ I \circ m_0$  is also an antiholomorphic involution without fixed points on  $\mathcal{S}^2$  and  $Y \circ \hat{I} = Y$  which implies that  $Y$  covers  $X$  (after

reparametrization). We find a sequence  $\lambda_k \rightarrow 1$  such that  $\Im(\varphi(\lambda_k)) \neq 0$  for all  $k$ . As a consequence,  $\{(i\varphi(\lambda_k p_j), 1) : j = 1, 2, 3\}$  span a 3-dimensional complex subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and so each element

$$Y_k := 2\Re \left( \int^z (i\varphi(\lambda_k \cdot), 1) \phi_n dz \right)$$

of the corresponding sequence of minimal surfaces converging to  $X$  has the property that the tangent planes at the ends intersect in only the trivial linear subspace  $\{0\}$ . The modified involutions  $\hat{I}_k := \lambda_k^{-1} \circ \hat{I} \circ \lambda_k$  are antiholomorphic and without fixed points which implies that each  $Y_k$  covers a real projective plane. After forming the quotient, we find approximating minimal real projective planes with the desired properties.  $\square$

From this, we immediately get an improved upper bound of the index for Willmore real projective planes similar to Corollary 2.5:

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let  $X : \mathbb{R}P^2 \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2+k}$ ,  $2+k \leq 2m$ , be a complete minimal immersion of a real projective plane with finite total curvature and embedded planar ends at  $\{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$ ,  $m \geq 3$ . If  $\psi$  denotes inversion of  $X$ , whose compactification is a Willmore real projective plane, we have*

$$\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{W}}(\psi) \leq km - (2 + k).$$

Corollary 2.10 holds without the restriction  $m \geq 3$ , in light of:

**Proposition 2.11.** *There is no immersed minimal  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  with two planar ends.*

Our proof of this proposition is technical and given in Appendix A.

### 3. ASYMPTOTIC TANGENT PLANES

Let us call the symmetric complete minimal surfaces described in [19] the *minimal flowers*. They have  $m = 2p$  embedded planar ends and ambient dihedral symmetry generated by half-turns about a pair of lines at angle  $\frac{\pi}{p}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  when  $p$  is even, and  $\frac{2\pi}{p}$  when  $p$  is odd (in which case the surface is a 2-fold covering space of a punctured real projective plane). For  $p = 2$ , the inverted minimal flower is an example of a *Morin surface* [39].

**Definition 3.1.** *Let  $X : \Sigma \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a complete minimal surface with embedded planar ends. If the  $m$  asymptotic affine planes meet at a single point then we call the surface spiny.*

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $X : \mathcal{S}^2 \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a complete minimal sphere with embedded planar ends. If  $X$  lies in the  $\mathcal{S}^1 \times SO(3, \mathbb{C})$ -orbit of a minimal flower, then it is spiny.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $X$  and  $X^*$  denote the  $m$ -fold dihedrally symmetric minimal flower and its conjugate surface, that is, the real and imaginary parts of the holomorphic null curve  $\Phi$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  that can be found in [19]. We will repeatedly use the fact that the real and imaginary parts of the  $\mathbb{C}$ -tangent vector to any

complex null curve provide a basis for the tangent plane for the minimal surface (and for its conjugate minimal surface) with planar ends.

From the  $m$  half-turn symmetries of  $X$ , we know that all its asymptotic planes pass through the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , so  $X$  is spiny. Its conjugate  $X^*$  has the conjugate symmetries, that is, reflections in planes at angle  $\frac{\pi}{p}$ , each of which is perpendicular to corresponding half-turn axis, and so it too has the property that all its asymptotic planes pass through the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Thus,  $\Phi = X + iX^*$  has the property that all its asymptotic complex lines pass through the origin of  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . This implies that the entire  $\mathcal{S}^1$  associate family of surfaces  $X_t = \Re(e^{it}\Phi)$  has the property of being spiny.

It remains to understand the asymptotic planes of the  $SO(3, \mathbb{C})$  orbit of  $X$ . Let  $L$  be an asymptotic complex line of  $\Phi$  and  $M \in SO(3, \mathbb{C})$ , then the corresponding asymptotic complex line on  $M\Phi$  is  $ML$ . This implies that the asymptotic complex lines of  $M\Phi$  pass through the origin of  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . Thus, for any  $M \in SO(3, \mathbb{C})$ , the minimal surface  $X_M = \Re(M\Phi)$  has all its asymptotic tangent planes passing through the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $\Psi : \mathcal{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a compact immersed Willmore sphere with  $\mathcal{W}(\Psi) = 16\pi$ . Then the inverted minimal surface  $X$  is spiny.*

*Proof.* The moduli space of complete minimal spheres with four embedded planar ends consists of the  $\mathcal{S}^1 \times SO(3, \mathbb{C})/SO(3, \mathbb{R})$  orbit of the inverted Morin surface [23]. By the previous theorem they are all spiny.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *For every spiny minimal sphere  $X$  the support function  $u(p) := X \cdot \nu$  is a bounded Jacobi field for the area functional with the additional property that  $u(p_i) = 0$  for all ends  $p_1, \dots, p_m$ .*

*Proof.* After translation, the asymptotic planes of  $X$  pass through the origin. We remind ourselves about the fact that the support function  $u$  arises by dilation of  $X$ , see also [42, Section 5]. The family  $X_t := (1 + t)X$ ,  $t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  is a smooth deformation of  $X$ . We note that  $0 = H(t)$  is the mean curvature of  $X_t$ . If we define

$$u := \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} X_t \cdot \nu,$$

then  $0 = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} H(t) = \frac{1}{2}Lu$ , where  $L$  is the Jacobi operator of  $X$ . Since  $X_t$  is a deformation that is tangential at the ends  $p_1, \dots, p_m$ , we get that  $u(p_i) = 0$ .  $\square$

With this geometric property of being spiny in hand, we provide a simpler proof of the fact that all Willmore spheres with  $16\pi$  energy have  $\mathcal{W}$ -Morse index 1 (see [16]).

For the following we need to fix some notation: Let  $X : \Sigma \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a complete minimal surface with  $m$  embedded planar ends,  $g = X^*\delta_{\mathbb{R}^3}$  the pullback metric on  $\Sigma$ . The 2-manifold  $\Sigma$  is compact and without boundary.

As in [16, Section 3] we fix local conformal coordinates  $z$  in a neighbourhood  $U$  around an each end  $p_i$  such that  $z(p_i) = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . We choose the coordinates in such a way that

$$(12) \quad X_z dz = \left( -\frac{a}{z^2} + Y(z) \right) dz,$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{C}^3$ ,  $a^2 = 0$ ,  $|a|^2 = 2$  and  $Y(z)$  is holomorphic and bounded. We denote by  $D_\epsilon(p_i) := z^{-1}(B_\epsilon)$  the preimage of the Euclidean ball  $B_\epsilon \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  under the coordinate  $z$ .

In the following, we use the notation and some results from Pérez and Ros [42, Section 5]. We denote the extended Gauß map of  $X$  by  $\nu : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2$ . The Jacobi operator of the area functional on  $X$  is  $Lu = \Delta u + |\nabla \nu|^2 u$ . We “compactify”  $L$  by multiplying  $g$  with a conformal factor  $\lambda \in C^\infty(\Sigma \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m\})$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , that decays like  $|z|^4$  around each end in the conformal charts:  $\hat{g} = \lambda g$ . We can, for example, take  $\lambda$  so that  $\hat{g}$  agrees with the pullback metric of the inverted surface  $\frac{X}{|X|^2}$  (assuming that  $0 \notin X(\Sigma)$ ). The decay of the conformal factor was chosen to extend  $\hat{g}$  onto  $\Sigma$ , see (5) in [42]. The Jacobi operator transforms like  $\hat{L} = \frac{1}{\lambda} L$  and it is now a Schrödinger operator on  $\Sigma$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B} \subset C^{2,\alpha}(\Sigma \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m\})$  be the space of functions  $u$  that have the form  $u(z) = \beta_i \ln |z| + \tilde{u}_i(z)$  in the local conformal charts around each end  $p_i$ , where  $\beta_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\tilde{u}_i \in C^{2,\alpha}(D_\epsilon(p_i))$ . There is a natural way to equip  $\mathcal{B}$  with a norm so that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Banach space, see [42, Section 5]. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} &:= \text{kernel } \hat{L} \\ \mathcal{K} &:= C^{2,\alpha}(\Sigma) \cap \mathcal{J} \\ \mathcal{K}_0 &:= \hat{L}(\mathcal{B})^\perp, \end{aligned}$$

where  $V^\perp$  denotes the  $L^2$ -orthogonal of  $V$  with respect to  $\hat{g}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{K}$  is the (classical) space of (bounded) Jacobi fields on  $X$ . Pérez and Ros proved the following statements about these spaces:

**Lemma 3.5** (Lemma 5.2 in [42]). *With the above notations,  $\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{K}_0$  satisfy the following properties:*

- i)  $\mathcal{K}_0 = \{u \in \mathcal{K} : u(p_i) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$
- ii)  $\dim \mathcal{J} = m + \dim \mathcal{K}_0$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $X : \Sigma \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a complete minimal surface with  $m$  embedded planar ends and  $0 \notin \text{image}(X)$ . If there exists a logarithmically growing Jacobi field  $u$  on  $X$ , i.e.  $\dim \mathcal{J} - \dim \mathcal{K} > 0$ , then the  $\mathcal{W}$ -index of the inverted and compactified Willmore surface  $\psi := \frac{X}{|X|^2}$  is at least one.*

*Proof.* The condition  $\dim \mathcal{J} - \dim \mathcal{K} > 0$  means that there exists a function  $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\Sigma \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m\})$  which satisfies the following properties:

- $Lu = 0$ ,
- $u(z) = \beta_i \ln |z| + \tilde{u}_i(z)$  locally around  $p_i$ , for smooth functions  $\tilde{u}_i \in C^{2,\alpha}(D_\epsilon(p_i))$  and  $\beta_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

- $\exists i : \beta_i \neq 0$ .

We use the notation  $\beta := (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$ .

If we knew that  $\sum_i \beta_i \neq 0$ , then a version of the formula for the second variation of the Willmore functional would give us at least one direction that decreases the Willmore energy of the inverted surface  $\frac{X}{|X|^2}$ , see [16, Theorem 3.4]. For that, we would define  $v := v_0 + \frac{u}{|X|^2}$  and compute  $\delta^2 \mathcal{W}(v, v)$ . But we cannot guarantee the condition  $\sum_i \beta_i \neq 0$  a priori. Therefore, we need to “replace”  $v$  by a suitable function constructed out of  $u$ , where we “switch the sign” at one end. This is done already in Lemma 4.5 and Corollary 4.6 in [16]. For the convenience of the reader we repeat the proof here.

For the logarithmically growing Jacobi field  $u$  we construct a function  $\chi$  with the following properties:  $\frac{X}{|X|^2} \in W^{2,2}(\Sigma, d\mu_{\hat{g}})$  and

- $|\Delta_g \chi|^2 = 16$  on  $\Sigma$ ;
- around each end  $p_i$  it has in local conformal coordinates an expansion of the form

$$\chi(z) = \sigma_i |X(z)|^2 + \tilde{\chi}_i(z)$$

for some  $\sigma_i \in \{-1, +1\}$  and some smooth  $\tilde{\chi}_i$ ;

- if  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)$  then  $\sigma \cdot \beta \neq 0$ .

This is proven in [16, Lemma 4.5]. We repeat the most important steps: If  $\sum_i \beta_i \neq 0$ , then we are done by defining  $\chi := |X|^2$ . If  $\sum_i \beta_i = 0$ , then we proceed as follows: We can assume  $\beta_1 \neq 0$ . Then we will construct a function  $\chi$  with the desired properties which has  $\sigma = (-1, 1, \dots, 1)$ . Then we have that  $\sigma \cdot \beta \neq 0$ . To construct such  $\chi$ , first find a function  $w$  that agrees with  $-|X|^2$  in  $D_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(p_1)$  and with  $|X|^2$  on  $\Sigma \setminus D_\epsilon(p_1)$  by multiplication with an appropriate cut-off function. Then we define  $\eta(x) := 4(1 - 2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{D_\epsilon(p_1)})(x)$  and  $f(x) := \Delta_g w(x) - \eta(x)$ . The function  $f$  was constructed in a way that it is supported in the annulus  $D_\epsilon(p_1) \setminus D_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(p_1)$  and it is bounded. The same holds for the function  $\frac{1}{\lambda} f$ , where  $\lambda$  is such that  $\hat{g} = \lambda g$ .

By Lax-Milgram on the compact surface  $\Sigma$ , the operator  $\Delta_{\hat{g}} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \Delta_g : W^{2,2}(\Sigma, d\mu_{\hat{g}}) \rightarrow L^2(\Sigma)$  is onto. Let  $h \in W^{2,2}(\Sigma, d\mu_{\hat{g}})$  be the solution of  $\Delta_{\hat{g}} h = \frac{1}{\lambda} f$ . Then  $\chi := w - h$  has all the desired properties.

The final step is to compute the second variation of  $\mathcal{W}$ . Define  $w_t := \chi + tu \in W^{2,2}(\Sigma, d\mu_{\hat{g}})$  and  $v_t := \frac{w_t}{|X|^2}$ . Note that  $\chi = \sigma_i |X|^2 + \tilde{\chi}_i$  and  $u = \beta_i \ln |z| + \tilde{u}_i$  for smooth  $\tilde{\chi}_i, \tilde{u}_i$  around each end  $p_i$ . Thus, we use the formula for the second variation of  $\mathcal{W}$  in the direction  $v_t$  of the inverted surface  $\psi := \frac{X}{|X|^2}$  from



Theorem 3.3 in [16]. For every small  $\epsilon > 0$  the formula reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta^2 \mathcal{W}(\Psi)(v_t, v_t) &= \int_{\Sigma \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m D_\epsilon(p_i)} \frac{1}{2} (Lw_t)^2 d\mu_g \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i^2 \int_{\partial D_\epsilon(p_i)} \frac{\partial |X|^2}{\partial \nu} + 8\pi t \sigma \cdot \beta + R_\epsilon \\
&= \int_{\Sigma \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m D_\epsilon(p_i)} \frac{1}{2} (L\chi)^2 - 2\Delta_g |X|^2 d\mu_g \\
&\quad + 8\pi t \sigma \cdot \beta + R_\epsilon \\
&= \int_{\Sigma \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m D_\epsilon(p_i)} \frac{1}{2} (\Delta_g \chi + |\nabla n|_g^2 \chi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\Delta_g \chi|^2 d\mu_g \\
&\quad + 8\pi t \sigma \cdot \beta + R_\epsilon \\
&= \int_{\Sigma \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m D_\epsilon(p_i)} (\Delta_g \chi + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla n|_g^2 \chi) |\nabla n|_g^2 \chi d\mu_g \\
&\quad + 8\pi t \sigma \cdot \beta + R_\epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

As  $|\nabla n|_g^2 = -2K_g$  is decaying very fast towards the ends (see (9) in [16, Section 3]) and as the error term  $R_\epsilon$  converges to zero, we can pass to the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . The result is

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta^2 \mathcal{W}(\Psi)(v_t, v_t) &= \int_{\Sigma} (\Delta_g \chi + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla n|_g^2 \chi) |\nabla n|_g^2 \chi d\mu_g + 8\pi t \sigma \cdot \beta \\
&= c_\chi + 8\pi t \sigma \cdot \beta.
\end{aligned}$$

We have constructed  $\chi$  so that  $\sigma \cdot \beta \neq 0$  which implies  $\delta^2 \mathcal{W}(\Psi)(v_t, v_t) < 0$  for a suitable  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $\psi : \mathcal{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a  $\mathcal{W}$ -critical sphere with  $\mathcal{W}(\psi) = 16\pi$ . Then the  $\mathcal{W}$ -index of  $\psi$  is 1.*

*Proof.* After inversion of  $\psi$  we get a complete minimal sphere  $X$  with 4 embedded planar ends. It was proven by Montiel and Ros [37, Theorem 25] that such a surface has area-index 4 and area-nullity 5, i.e.  $\dim \mathcal{K} = 5$ . Corollary 3.3 shows that  $X$  and its conjugated minimal surface  $X^*$  are spiny. We use Lemma 3.4 to see that the support function  $u = X \cdot \nu$  of  $X$  and the support function  $\bar{u} = X^* \cdot \nu$  of  $X^*$  are linearly independent bounded Jacobi fields on  $X$  such that  $u(p_i) = 0 = \bar{u}(p_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Note that we have used that  $X$  and  $X^*$  have the same Gauß map which implies that  $\bar{u}$  is a Jacobi field of  $X$ . A way of seeing this is that the family  $X(t) = \Re(\int e^{it} \Phi)$  for the null curve  $\Phi = X + iX^*$  is a deformation of  $X$  by complete minimal surfaces. As a consequence,  $\bar{u} = \frac{d}{dt} X(t) \Big|_{t=0} \cdot \nu = -X^* \cdot \nu$  is a Jacobi field of  $X$ , see [42]. The mentioned deformation  $X(t)$  was studied in [45]. Using Lemma 3.5 (by Pérez and Ros) we have that  $\dim \mathcal{K}_0 = 2$  and

$$\dim \mathcal{J} - \dim \mathcal{K} = 6 - 5 = 1.$$

The previous theorem shows that the  $\mathcal{W}$ -index of  $\psi$  is at least one. In [16, Proposition 1.7] we showed the upper bound  $\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{W}}(\psi) \leq m - d = 1$ .  $\square$

**Remark** The above approach uses the “spinyess” of the surface. Theorem 3.2 shows that the minimal flowers and certain deformations of them are spiny. To the best of our knowledge, it is unclear whether all minimal surfaces with embedded planar ends in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  are spiny. In the recent work [29] it is shown that there are minimal surfaces with embedded planar ends in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  that are not spiny. A minimal sphere with three embedded planar ends is constructed in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  where two of the three ends are parallel. This implies that the three asymptotic planes have an empty intersection. (The article [29] also contains several interesting existence and non-existence results about minimal surfaces with embedded planar ends.)

#### 4. THE DENSITY AT INFINITY

In the following we explain how the formula for the second variation of  $\mathcal{W}$  on an inverted minimal surface with embedded planar ends can be used to refine the notion of *density at infinity* for that minimal surface.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $X : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a complete minimal surface,  $g = X^*\delta$  the pullback metric on  $\Sigma$ ,  $\nu_X$  the unit normal along  $X$  and  $\Psi = i \circ X = \frac{X}{|X|^2}$  with corresponding normal  $\nu_\Psi = \nu_X - 2(X \cdot \nu_X) \frac{X}{|X|^2}$  along  $\Psi$ . Let  $L_g\varphi = \Delta_g\varphi - 2K_g\varphi$  be the Jacobi operator (for the area functional) of  $X$ . Then we have that*

$$(13) \quad L_g(|X|^2\nu_\Psi^k) = 4\nu_X^k \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, 3,$$

where  $\nu_\Psi^k = \nu_\Psi \cdot e_k$  and  $\nu_X^k = \nu_X \cdot e_k$ .

*Proof.* For  $i(x) = \frac{x}{|x|^2}$  and  $\Psi = i \circ X$  the formula

$$(14) \quad \nu_\Psi = \nu_X - 2(X \cdot \nu_X) \frac{X}{|X|^2}$$

provides a unit normal along  $\Psi$ . The choice of the sign of  $\nu_\Psi$  is consistent with the sign choice in [34] and [16]. Using (14) we get that

$$L_g(|X|^2\nu_\Psi^k) = L_g(|X|^2\nu_X^k) - 2L_g((X \cdot \nu_X)X^k) =: I - 2II.$$

In the following, we compute everything in a conformal parametrization over  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ . We can choose for example the Weierstraß representation. We have that  $g = e^{2\lambda}\delta$  and  $L_g\varphi = e^{-2\lambda}\Delta\varphi - 2K_g\varphi$  for the Euclidean Laplacian  $\Delta$ . As  $X$  is minimal and conformal, we know that  $\Delta X^k = 0$ ,  $L_g\nu_X^k = 0$  and  $\Delta_g|X|^2 = 4$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned} I &= |X|^2\Delta_g\nu_X^k + 2e^{-2\lambda}\nabla\nu_X^k \cdot \nabla|X|^2 + 4\nu_X^k - 2K_g\nu_X^k|X|^2 \\ &= 4\nu_X^k + 4e^{-2\lambda}\partial_j\nu_X^k\partial_jX^lX^l, \end{aligned}$$

where we use summation convention for doubly-repeated indices. The second term gives

$$\begin{aligned}
II &= (\Delta_g(X \cdot \nu_X)) X^k + 2e^{-2\lambda} \nabla(X \cdot \nu_X) \cdot \nabla X^k - 2K_g(X \cdot \nu_X) X^k \\
&= X^k \{X^l \Delta_g \nu_X^l + 2e^{-2\lambda} \nabla X^l \cdot \nabla \nu_X^l\} \\
&\quad + 2e^{-2\lambda} \nabla(X \cdot \nu_X) \cdot \nabla X^k - 2K_g(X \cdot \nu_X) X^k \\
&= 2e^{-2\lambda} \nabla X^l \cdot \nabla \nu_X^l X^k + 2e^{-2\lambda} \nabla X^l \cdot \nabla X^k \nu_X^l + 2e^{-2\lambda} \nabla \nu_X^l \cdot \nabla X^k X^l \\
&= 2e^{-2\lambda} (0 + \partial_j X \cdot \nu_X \partial_j X^k + \nabla \nu_X^l \cdot \nabla X^k X^l) \\
&= 2e^{-2\lambda} \nabla \nu_X^l \cdot \nabla X^k X^l,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$e^{-2\lambda} \nabla X^l \cdot \nabla \nu_X^l = e^{-2\lambda} \partial_j (\partial_j X \cdot \nu_X) - \nu_X \cdot \Delta_g X = 0.$$

As  $\partial_j \nu_X = (\partial_j \nu_X)^T = e^{-2\lambda} \partial_j \nu_X \cdot \partial_m X \partial_m X$  we get that  $\partial_j \nu_X^s = -e^{-2\lambda} \nu_X \cdot \partial_m \partial_j X \partial_m X^s$ . We collect all terms and get

$$\begin{aligned}
L_g(|X|^2 \nu_\Psi^k) &= I - 2II \\
&= 4\nu_X^k + 4e^{-2\lambda} X^l \{ \partial_j \nu_X^k \partial_j X^l - \partial_j \nu_X^l \partial_j X^k \} \\
&= 4\nu_X^k - 4e^{-4\lambda} X^l \{ \nu_X \cdot \partial_m \partial_j X \partial_m X^k \partial_j X^l - \nu_X \cdot \partial_m \partial_j X \partial_m X^l \partial_j X^k \} \\
&= 4\nu_X^k.
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a closed surface and  $X : \Sigma \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  a complete minimal immersion with  $m$  embedded planar ends at  $p_1, \dots, p_m$ . With the choice of the coordinates described in (12) we have that*

$$(15) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \int_{\Sigma_\epsilon} d\mu_g - \frac{\pi m}{\epsilon^2} \right\} = 0,$$

where  $\Sigma_\epsilon := \Sigma \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m D_\epsilon(p_i)$ .

*Proof.* We translate the surface  $X$  such that  $0 \notin X(\Sigma)$ . Consider the smooth Willmore surface  $X := \frac{X}{|X|^2} = i \circ X$ . Any component of the normal  $\nu_\Psi^k$  is a smooth  $\mathcal{W}$ -Jacobi field because the Willmore functional is translation invariant. We use the formula for the second variation of  $\mathcal{W}$  for a smooth variation proven by Michelat [34, Theorem 4.7]. Note that a term with the notation  $\text{Res}_p(X, U)$  appears in his formula (see Definition-Proposition 4.6 in [34]). We have scaled our coordinates around an end in such a way that  $\text{Res}_p(X, U) = 2$  (see also Remark after Lemma 3.1 in [16]). We also use Lemma 4.1 to get that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \delta^2 W(\Psi)(\nu_\Psi^k, \nu_\Psi^k) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_\epsilon} (L(|X|^2 \nu_\Psi^k))^2 d\mu_g - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{8\pi}{\epsilon^2} (\nu_\Psi^k(p_i))^2 \right\} \\
&= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ 8 \int_{\Sigma_\epsilon} (\nu_X^k)^2 d\mu_g - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{8\pi}{\epsilon^2} (\nu_\Psi^k(p_i))^2 \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We sum this equation for  $k = 1, \dots, 3$  and get that

$$0 = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ 8 \int_{\Sigma_\epsilon} d\mu_g - \frac{m 8\pi}{\epsilon^2} \right\}.$$

□

**Remark** Corollary 4.2 shows that  $\epsilon^2 |X(\Sigma \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m D_\epsilon(p_i))| = m 8\pi + o(\epsilon^2)$ . Whereas the monotonicity formula for minimal surfaces implies that

$$\epsilon \mapsto \epsilon^2 |X(\Sigma) \cap B_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}|$$

is non-increasing and converges to  $m\pi$ . Recall that for sufficiently large  $R_0 > 0$ , due to our assumption that all  $m$  ends are planar and embedded, we have

$$X(\Sigma) \setminus B_{R_0} = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathbb{G}_{u_i} \cap B_{R_0}^c,$$

where  $\mathbb{G}_{u_i}$  denotes a minimal graph over the  $i$ th end  $p_i$  satisfying the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} u_i(x) &= b_i + \frac{c_i \cdot x}{|x|^2} + O(|x|^{-2}) \\ |\nabla u_i| &= O(|x|^{-2}) \end{aligned}$$

(compare [46, Chapter 2, Proposition 1]). Hence an easy calculation shows that for  $\epsilon \ll R_0^{-1}$

$$|X(\Sigma) \cap B_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}| = \frac{m\pi}{\epsilon^2} + O(R_0^{-2}) + |X(\Sigma) \cap B_{R_0}|.$$

Thus one can consider Corollary 4.2 as an “improved convergence” estimate, if one is allowed to deform the Euclidean ball  $B_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}$  appropriately.

## 5. THE EQUIVARIANT INDEX AND THE SIGN OF EIGENFUNCTIONS OF THE JACOBI OPERATOR

Here we consider the equivariant index of a Willmore immersion invariant under a cyclic group of isometries. Examples are the minimal flowers from Section 2. We use the notation and definitions of the index form  $\delta^2 \mathcal{W}$  and the Jacobi operator  $Z$  of the Willmore functional  $\mathcal{W}$  (see Definition 2.3).

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $S: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be an isometry and  $\Psi: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  a 2-sided Willmore immersion that is not a multiple cover. If  $\Psi$  is invariant under  $S$ , that is  $S(\Psi(\Sigma)) = \Psi(\Sigma)$ , then*

- i)  $S$  induces an isometry  $I: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$  and*
- ii) the index form  $\delta^2 \mathcal{W}$  is invariant under the action of  $I$ , in particular*

$$Z(u \circ I) = (Zu) \circ I.$$

*Proof.* i) We show that there is a uniquely defined map  $I: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$  such that

$$(16) \quad S \circ \Psi = \Psi \circ I \text{ and } S \circ \nu = \sigma \nu \circ I$$

where  $\sigma = 1$  if  $S$  is orientation preserving and  $\sigma = -1$  otherwise. It is enough to check it locally. Fix any  $z \in \Sigma$  and  $p = S \circ \Psi(z) \in \Psi(\Sigma)$ . Since  $\Psi$  is

an immersion of a compact surface, we have  $\Psi^{-1}(p) = \{w_0, \dots, w_m\}$  for some  $m < \infty$ . If  $m = 1$ , the map  $I = \Psi^{-1} \circ S \circ \Psi$  is well-defined. If  $m > 1$ , we may choose local neighborhoods  $z \in U$ ,  $w_i \in V_i$  in  $\Sigma$  together with a 2-dimensional plane  $\pi_0$  such that  $S \circ \Psi(U)$  and  $\Psi(V_i)$  are graphs of functions  $u: \pi_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $v_i: \pi_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\Psi$  is a Willmore immersion, the functions  $u, v_i$  are analytic. Hence  $u$  can only agree with a single  $v_j$  for some fixed  $j$ . Therefore  $I$  is well-defined for  $m > 1$  as well.

ii) Let  $w \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$  be arbitrary and note that for the variations  $\Psi_t = \Psi + t(w \circ I)\nu$  and  $\hat{\Psi}_t = \Psi + tw\nu$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_t(x) &= \Psi(x) + tw(I(x))\nu(x) \\ &= S^{-1}(\Psi(I(x))) + t\sigma w(I(x))S^{-1}(\nu(I(x))) \\ &= S^{-1}(\Psi + \sigma tw\nu)(I(x)) = S^{-1}(\hat{\Psi}_{\sigma t}(I(x))). \end{aligned}$$

Since the Willmore energy is invariant under isometries of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and does not depend the choosen parametrization, we deduce that

$$\mathcal{W}(\Psi_t) = \mathcal{W}(S^{-1} \circ \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma t} \circ I) = \mathcal{W}(\hat{\Psi}_{\sigma t}).$$

Differentiating twice in  $t$  give

$$\int_{\Sigma} Z(w \circ I) w \circ I d\mu_g = \sigma^2 \int_{\Sigma} Z(w) w d\mu_g = \int_{\Sigma} Z(w) w d\mu_g.$$

The polarization identity implies

$$\int_{\Sigma} Z(v \circ I) w \circ I d\mu_g = \int_{\Sigma} Z(v) w d\mu_g,$$

for all  $v, w \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$  which proves the claim.  $\square$

**Remark** The above result holds true as well in the non-orientable and higher codimension situation. The presented argument does neither rely on the orientability nor on the codimension. The argument in *i*) essentially carries over to the higher codimension case. In *ii*) one only needs to observe that for given any  $w \in C^\infty(\Sigma, N(\Sigma))$  the variation  $S^{-1}w \circ I$  is admissible as well. Hence, one considers  $\Psi_t = \Psi + tS^{-1}w \circ I$  and gets

$$\Psi_t = S^{-1}(\Psi + tw) \circ I.$$

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $\Psi: \mathcal{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a closed, immersed Willmore sphere such that  $X := \frac{\Psi}{|\Psi|^2}: \mathcal{S}^2 \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_{2p}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, p \in \mathbb{N}$ , is a complete, immersed minimal sphere with  $2p$  embedded planar ends. Assume further that  $\Psi$  has an orientation reversing  $2p$ -fold rotational symmetry around an axis of symmetry going through  $0 = \Psi(p_i) = \Psi(p_j)$  for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 2p\}$ .*

*Under these conditions, the subspace of  $p$ -fold rotation-symmetric variations of  $\Psi$  decreasing  $\mathcal{W}$  to second order is 1-dimensional. (If  $p$  is prime, all other variations corresponding to negative eigenvalues of  $Z$  break the symmetry.)*

*Proof.* After a rigid motion,  $S$  corresponds to the rotation about the  $x_3$ -axis by the angle  $\frac{\pi}{p}$ . We note that Corollary 2.4 together with [16, Proposition 2.9]

yields that if  $\Psi_t$  is a smooth family that preserves the  $2p$ -fold orientation reversing symmetry, we have that

$$(17) \quad 0 \leq \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathcal{W}(\Psi_t) = \int_{\Sigma} Z(v) v d\mu_g,$$

where  $v\nu = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \Psi_t$ .

Furthermore, we are in the setting of the previous lemma. Hence  $S$  induces an orientation reversing isometry  $I: \mathcal{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2$  defined by

$$S \circ \Psi = \Psi \circ I \text{ and } S \circ \nu = -\nu \circ I,$$

and the operators  $Z$  and  $I$  commute. We conclude that  $I$  leaves the eigenspaces of  $Z$  invariant: for any  $Z(u) = \lambda u$ , we have

$$Z(u \circ I) = (Zu) \circ I = \lambda u \circ I.$$

Thus,  $I$  acts as an orthogonal transformation on the eigenspaces of  $Z$ . Over  $\mathbb{C}$ , we can diagonalize  $Z$  and  $I$  simultaneously. Since  $I$  is the generator of a cyclic group of order  $2p$ , its eigenvalues are elements of  $\{e^{i\frac{k\pi}{p}} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . We denote the  $\lambda$ -eigenspace of  $Z$  by  $E(\lambda) = \ker(Z - \lambda \text{Id})$ . Furthermore, let us order the planar ends  $p_1, \dots, p_{2p}$  such that  $I(p_i) = p_{i+1}$  with  $p_1 = p_{2p+1}$ .

*Claim 1:* Let  $\sigma$  be a real eigenvalue of  $I$  on  $E(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda < 0$ , then  $\sigma = +1$ .

We clearly must have  $\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}$ . Assume by contradiction that  $\sigma = -1$ , that is  $u(I(x)) = -u(x)$ . We claim that, under these assumptions, the variation  $\Psi_t = \Psi + tu\nu$  preserves the  $2p$ -fold, orientation-reversing symmetry which leads to a contradiction for  $\lambda < 0$  due to (17). We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} S(\Psi_t(x)) &= S(\Psi(x)) + tu(x)S(\nu(x)) = \Psi(I(x)) - tu(x)\nu(I(x)) \\ &= \Psi(I(x)) + tu(I(x))\nu(I(x)) = \Psi_t(I(x)) \end{aligned}$$

to see the preservation of the given symmetry for  $\sigma = -1$ .

*Claim 2:*  $\ker(I - (+1)\text{Id}) \cap \bigcup_{\lambda < 0} E(\lambda)$  is at most 1 dimensional.

Suppose this is not the case. Then we can find two orthogonal eigenvectors  $u_1, u_2$  of  $Z$  in  $\ker(I - (+1)\text{Id})$ . Since  $S$  generates the cyclic group, that is  $I(p_j) = p_{j+1}$  for all  $j$  and  $u_i \circ I = u_i$ , we have that  $u_i(p_j) = u_i(p_1)$  for all  $j$ . We must have  $u_i(p_1) \neq 0$  because otherwise the variation  $\Psi_t := \Psi + tu_i\nu$  would not reduce the multiplicity at  $p_1$ , which would then create the following contradiction:

$$0 \leq \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathcal{W}(\Psi_t) = \int_{\Sigma} Z(u_i) u_i d\mu_g < 0.$$

Let  $\alpha := \frac{u_1(p_1)}{u_2(p_1)}$  and  $v := u_1 - \alpha u_2$ . By our choice of  $\alpha$  we have  $v(p_j) = 0$  for all  $j$ . But now, the same argument as above yields a contradiction:

$$0 \leq \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathcal{W}(\Psi_t) = \int_{\Sigma} Z(u_1) u_1 d\mu_g + \alpha^2 \int_{\Sigma} Z(u_2) u_2 d\mu_g < 0,$$

where we used the variation  $\Psi_t := \Psi + tv\nu$ .

*Claim 3:* If  $\dim(E(\lambda))$ ,  $\lambda < 0$ , is odd, then  $\ker(I - (+1)\text{id}) \cap E(\lambda) \neq \{0\}$ . We can diagonalize  $I$  on  $E(\lambda)$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Since the complex eigenvalues of  $I$  come

in conjugate pairs, there must be at least one real eigenvalue  $\sigma$ . Now the claim follows by Claim 1.

The three claims together prove the statement because the index of  $\Psi$  is  $2p - 3$ , an odd integer. This follows from Corollary 2.6.  $\square$

- Remark**
- i)* It seems to be likely that the variation corresponding to the lowest eigenvalue of  $Z$  on  $\Psi$  is  $p$ -equivariant. At this point we cannot prove this statement. The above proof shows that finding a simple negative eigenvalue identifies the most symmetric one.
  - ii)* The existence of a  $p$ -equivariant negative variation can also be shown by using representation theory: A standard result from representation theory tells us that every irreducible real representation of a cyclic group is either one- or two-dimensional. If it is 1-dimensional, then it is either trivial (meaning  $gu = u$ ) or it is the “sign” representation (meaning  $gu = -u$ ). Since the  $\mathcal{W}$ -index of  $\Psi$  is odd, we get that there is at least one 1-dimensional irreducible, real representation corresponding to the isometry  $I$ . The “sign” representation (i.e. the case  $u \circ I = -u$ ) can be ruled out by the same argumentation as in Claim 1 in the proof above.
  - iii)* The minimal flowers (see Section 3) found in [19] satisfy the condition of the theorem.
  - iv)* The proof shows that also other topological types with the symmetries described in the theorem above have one  $p$ -symmetric variation if their  $\mathcal{W}$ -index is odd.
  - v)* The statement of the theorem above was conjectured by the second author [19, Remark 3 (ii)] and illustrated in [12], Section 1.

Another interesting question is whether the variations that produce negative variation – in particular the one(s) corresponding to the lowest eigenvalue – have a sign change. We can answer this question in the following case.

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let  $\Psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a Willmore surface that arises as a complete minimal surface with  $m$  embedded planar ends  $X : \Sigma \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ . Let  $v \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$  be an eigenfunction corresponding to an eigenvalue of the Jacobi operator  $Z$  for  $\mathcal{W}$  satisfying the condition  $v(p_i) = v_0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . If  $v$  is non-negative or non-positive, then  $\lambda \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since the eigenfunctions are smooth on  $\Sigma$ , we can use the formula of Michelat [34] for the second variation of  $\mathcal{W}$ . Using also  $v(p_i) = v_0$  this formula reads ([34, Theorem 4.5] and [16, Remark after Lemma 3.1])

$$\delta^2 \mathcal{W}(\Psi)(v, v) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_\epsilon} (\Delta_g w - 2K_g w)^2 d\mu_g - \frac{8\pi m}{\epsilon^2} \right\},$$

where  $w = |X|^2 v$ , and  $\Sigma_\epsilon := \Sigma \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m D_\epsilon(p_i)$  for our choice of conformal coordinates  $z$ ,  $D_\epsilon(p_i) := z^{-1}(B_\epsilon)$ , see Section 3. Using  $\Delta_g(|X|^2 v_0) = 4v_0$  and

(15) we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^2\mathcal{W}(\Psi)(v, v) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_\epsilon} (\Delta_g(|X|^2(v - v_0)) + 4v_0 - 2K_g w)^2 d\mu_g - \frac{8\pi m}{\epsilon^2} \right\} \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_\epsilon} (\Delta_g(|X|^2(v - v_0)) - 2K_g |X|^2 v)^2 d\mu_g \\ &\quad + 4v_0 \int_{\Sigma_\epsilon} (\Delta_g(|X|^2(v - v_0)) - 2K_g |X|^2 v) d\mu_g.\end{aligned}$$

The term  $\int_{\Sigma_\epsilon} \Delta_g(|X|^2(v - v_0)) d\mu_g$  is a boundary term. As we are in the smooth setting, we get that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Sigma_\epsilon} \Delta_g(|X|^2(v - v_0)) d\mu_g = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial\Sigma_\epsilon} \frac{\partial(|X|^2(v - v_0))}{\partial r} = 0.$$

This can for example be seen by doing a Taylor expansion of  $v$  at  $p_i$  and multiplying this expansion by  $|X|^2$ . By subtracting  $v_0$  from  $v$  we eliminated the leading term in  $|X|^2(v - v_0)$ , which then is only a linearly growing term towards the ends. It was for example shown in [34, Proof of Theorem 4.5] that only the quadratically growing term in the boundary term has a non-vanishing limit when  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  in the smooth setting.

Combining the above calculations we get that

$$\delta^2\mathcal{W}(\Psi)(v, v) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_\epsilon} (\Delta_g(|X|^2(v - v_0)) - 2K_g |X|^2 v)^2 - 16v_0 K_g |X|^2 v d\mu_g.$$

For a minimal surface we have  $K_g \leq 0$ , which implies that  $\delta^2\mathcal{W}(\Psi)(v, v) \geq 0$  if  $v$  has a sign. In other words, the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  cannot be negative.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.4.** *Let  $\Psi : \mathcal{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be the Morin surface. Then the eigenfunction  $v$  of the Jacobi operator  $Z$  of  $\mathcal{W}$  that corresponds to the negative eigenvalue of  $Z$  on  $\Psi$  can be chosen such that  $v(p_i) = v_0 > 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ . Then  $v$  must have a sign change.*

*Proof.* The  $\mathcal{W}$ -index of the Morin surface is one due to either Corollary 2.6 or [16, Theorem 3.5]. Let  $u \in C^\infty(\mathcal{S}^2)$  be the eigenfunction corresponding to the negative eigenvalue. Since  $Z$  is self-adjoint we can add elements of the kernel of  $Z$  (i.e.  $\mathcal{W}$ -Jacobi fields) to  $u$  without changing the second variation: for every  $v := u + j$ ,  $j \in \ker(Z)$ , we have that  $\delta^2\mathcal{W}(\Psi)(u, u) = \delta^2\mathcal{W}(\Psi)(v, v)$ . In [16, Theorem 2.3] we used known  $\mathcal{W}$ -Jacobi fields on a Willmore spheres – namely those coming from the translation invariance of  $\mathcal{W}$  – to show the upper bound  $\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Psi) \leq m - d$ . For the Morin surface, this approach implies that we subtract suitable linear combinations of  $j = \langle \nu_\Psi, \vec{a} \rangle$  for vectors  $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{R}^3$  in order to arrange for  $v := u - \sum_{l=1}^3 \langle \nu_\Psi, \vec{a}_l \rangle$  the property  $v(p_1) = v_0 > 0$  and  $v(p_2) = \dots = v(p_4) = 0$ .

We will now use the symmetry conditions of  $\Psi$ . It can be computed that the unit normals of  $\Psi$  span a regular tetrahedron. In fact, in the parametrization of the second author from [19], we get that  $\nu_\Psi(p_1) = (c, 0, d)$ ,  $\nu_\Psi(p_2) = (-c, 0, d)$ ,



$\nu_\Psi(p_3) = (0, c, -d)$ ,  $\nu_\Psi(p_4) = (0, -c, -d)$  for  $c = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$  and  $d = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}$ . In the following we use  $\nu_\Psi^k := \langle \nu_\Psi, e_k \rangle$  for the coordinate functions of  $\nu_\Psi$ . Defining  $\bar{v} := v - \nu_\Psi^1 \frac{v_0}{2c}$  we arranged  $\bar{v}(p_1) = \bar{v}(p_2) = \frac{v_0}{2}$  and  $\bar{v}(p_3) = 0 = \bar{v}(p_4)$ . Then we define  $\tilde{v} := \bar{v} - \nu_\Psi^3 \frac{v_0}{4d}$  which implies  $\tilde{v}(p_i) = \frac{v_0}{4} > 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ . We use the above Proposition 5  $\delta^2 \mathcal{W}(\tilde{v}, \tilde{v}) \geq 0$  to get a contradiction if  $\tilde{v}$  has a sign.  $\square$

#### APPENDIX A. REAL PROJECTIVE PLANES WITH EMBEDDED PLANAR ENDS

We show a minimally immersed real projective plane with embedded planar ends must have at least 3 such ends (compare Section 2).

**Proposition A.1.** *There is no minimal  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  minimally immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with two embedded planar ends.*

*Proof.* First observe [19] (or by the extended monotonicity formula [10]) that any (branched) immersed minimal surface in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with finite total curvature and two embedded ends must be embedded. Moreover, it lies in some  $\mathbb{R}^4$  due to Lemma 2.1. We will use the Weierstraß representation on the orientation double cover to rule out a minimal embedding  $X: \mathbb{R}P^2 \setminus \{q_1, q_2\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ .

*Step 1:* A “good” parametrization for  $X$ :

Because  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  has a unique conformal structure, we may assume the embedding  $X: \mathbb{R}P^2 \setminus \{q_1, q_2\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$  is conformal. Thus there is a conformal parametrization  $\tilde{X}: \mathcal{S}^2 \setminus \{p_1, p_2, I(p_1), I(p_2)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$  of the orientation double cover with  $\tilde{X} = \tilde{X} \circ I$ , where the antipodal map  $I: \mathcal{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2$  is the orientation reversing order-2 deck transformation. This follows from the fact that (up to Möbius transformation)  $I$  is the only antiholomorphic involution without fixed points on  $\mathcal{S}^2$ , which we identify with  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \infty$  via stereographic projection. After rotation we can assume that  $p_1 = \infty$  (the north pole). Hence we have a minimal immersion

$$(18) \quad \tilde{X}: \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, p, I(p)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ with } \tilde{X} = \tilde{X} \circ I \text{ where } I(z) = -\frac{1}{\bar{z}}.$$

After a further rotation we may assume that  $p \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

*Step 2:* The Weierstraß representation on the orientation double cover:

Recall that  $\phi = \partial_z \tilde{X}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^4$  is a meromorphic function satisfying the following properties:

- i)  $\phi^2 \equiv 0$ ;
- ii) around each finite pole  $p_i$  it has the expansion  $\phi(p_i + z) = \frac{a_i}{z^2} + h_i(z)$  and at  $\infty$  it satisfies  $\phi(z) = a_\infty + \frac{1}{z^2} h_\infty(\frac{1}{z})$  where  $h_i, h_\infty$  are holomorphic in a neighborhood of 0;
- iii)  $\phi(z) = \overline{\phi \circ I} \overline{\partial_z I}$ .

Property (i) encodes that  $\tilde{X}$  is conformally parametrized, (ii) encodes that each end is embedded and planar, (iii) comes from differentiating (18). We deduce immediately:

0) Since we have two pairs of poles as in (18) and  $p$  is real

$$\phi(z) = \frac{b_1}{z^2} + b_2 + \frac{c_1}{(z-p)^2} + \frac{c_2 p^2}{(1+pz)^2}.$$

1) Combining i) and ii) gives

$$a_i^2 = 0, \quad a_i \cdot h_i(0) = 0, \quad h_i(0)^2 = 0 \text{ for all } i \text{ and } i = \infty.$$

2) Combining 0) with iii) gives  $b_1 = \bar{b}_2$  and  $c_1 = \bar{c}_2 p^2$  hence

$$\phi(z) = \frac{b}{z^2} + \bar{b} + \frac{\bar{c} p^2}{(z-p)^2} + \frac{c p^2}{(1+pz)^2}.$$

In particular we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} h_0(0) &= \bar{b} + (\bar{c} + p^2 c) \\ h_p(0) &= \frac{c p^2}{(1+p^2)^2} + \left( \frac{b}{p^2} + \bar{b} \right) = \frac{1}{p^2} \left( \frac{c p^4}{(1+p^2)^2} + (b + \bar{b} p^2) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Due to iii) we have  $h_\infty(0) = \overline{h_0(0)}$  and  $\overline{h_{I(p)}(0)} = p^2 h_p(0)$ .

Property i) implies  $b^2 = 0, c^2 = 0$ . We use this together with

$$(\bar{c} + p^2 c)^2 = 2|c|^2 p^2 \text{ and } (b + \bar{b} p^2)^2 = 2|b|^2 p^2$$

to get these four equations

$$(19) \quad 0 = \frac{1}{2} (h_0(0))^2 = \bar{b} \cdot (\bar{c} + p^2 c) + |c|^2 p^2$$

$$(20) \quad 0 = \frac{p^4}{2} (h_p(0))^2 = \frac{p^4}{(1+p^2)^2} c \cdot (b + \bar{b} p^2) + |b|^2 p^2$$

$$(21) \quad 0 = b \cdot h_0(0) = |b|^2 + b \cdot (\bar{c} + p^2 c)$$

$$(22) \quad 0 = \bar{c} \cdot h_p(0) = \frac{1}{p^2} \left( \frac{|c|^2 p^4}{(1+p^2)^2} + \bar{c} \cdot (b + \bar{b} p^2) \right)$$

for the parameters. Note that if  $v, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$  satisfy the condition  $\text{Im}(v \cdot w) = 0 = \text{Im}(\bar{v} \cdot w)$ , then we must have

$$(23) \quad \text{Re}(v) \perp \text{Im}(w), \text{Im}(v) \perp \text{Re}(w).$$

Since we are still free to choose a coordinate system in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  we can assume without loss of generality that  $c = e_1 + i e_2$  and so  $|c|^2 = 2$ . Writing  $b = \beta + i \gamma$  for  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta')$ ,  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma') \in \mathbb{R}^4$ . Hence we have

$$(\bar{c} + p^2 c) = (1 + p^2) e_1 - i(1 - p^2) e_2 \text{ and } (b + \bar{b} p^2) = (1 + p^2) \beta + i(1 - p^2) \gamma$$

we deduce from (23) applied to the combination (19)&(21) and the combination (20)&(22) that

$$\gamma_1 = \gamma \cdot e_1 = 0 = \beta \cdot e_2 = \beta_2.$$

But this implies that  $b^2$  translates to

$$(24) \quad \beta' \cdot \gamma' = 0 \text{ and } |\beta'|^2 = \gamma_2^2, |\gamma'|^2 = \beta_1^2 \Rightarrow |b|^2 = 2(\beta_1^2 + \gamma_2^2)$$

Hence we can calculate (19)-(22) more explicitly to be

$$(25) \quad 0 = (1 + p^2)\beta_1 - (1 - p^2)\gamma_2 + 2p^2$$

$$(26) \quad 0 = \frac{p^2}{(1 + p^2)^2} ((1 + p^2)\beta_1 - (1 - p^2)\gamma_2) + |b|^2$$

$$(27) \quad 0 = (1 + p^2)\beta_1 + (1 - p^2)\gamma_2 + |b|^2$$

$$(28) \quad 0 = (1 + p^2)\beta_1 + (1 - p^2)\gamma_2 + \frac{2p^4}{(1 + p^2)^2}$$

Comparing the last two immediately gives

$$|b|^2 = \frac{2p^4}{(1 + p^2)^2}$$

(This is consistent with the comparison between (25)&(26).) Adding (25) to (27) and subtracting them gives

$$\begin{aligned} -2(1 + p^2)\beta_1 &= |b|^2 + 2p^2 = 2p^2 \frac{(1 + p^2)^2 + p^2}{(1 + p^2)^2} \\ 2(1 - p^2)\gamma_2 &= 2p^2 - |b|^2 = 2p^2 \frac{(1 + p^2)^2 - p^2}{(1 + p^2)^2} \end{aligned}$$

The second equality forces  $0 < p < 1$ , and we summarize

$$\beta_1 = -p^2 \frac{(1 + p^2)^2 + p^2}{(1 + p^2)^3} \text{ and } \gamma_2 = p^2 \frac{(1 + p^2)^2 - p^2}{(1 + p^2)^2(1 - p^2)}$$

Finally we may recall (24) hence  $0 < p < 1$  must be a zero of

$$2\beta_1(p)^2 + 2\gamma_2(p)^2 = \frac{2p^4}{(1 + p^2)^2}$$

or

$$\frac{((1 + p^2)^2 + p^2)^2}{(1 + p^2)^4} + \frac{((1 + p^2)^2 - p^2)^2}{(1 + p^2)^2(1 - p^2)^2} = 1.$$

But since the function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(1 + x)^2} \left( \frac{((1 + x)^2 + x)^2}{(1 + x)^2} + \frac{((1 + x)^2 - x)^2}{(1 - x)^2} \right)$$

is increasing on the interval  $(0, 1)$  and satisfies  $f(0) = 2$ , there is no solution  $x = p^2 \in (0, 1)$  for  $f(x) = 1$ . We conclude that there is no  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  with two ends.  $\square$

## APPENDIX B. LOWER SEMI-CONTINUITY OF THE INDEX

We split the version of the lower semi-continuity needed for our argument into two steps. The first (Proposition B.1) addresses lower semi-continuity of the Willmore Morse index for a sequence of closed, compact Willmore immersions that converge in  $C^3$ . The second (Proposition B.3) shows that the closed, compact Willmore immersions associated to the sequence of complete minimal surfaces constructed for example in Lemma 2.2 are actually converging in  $C^3$ .

**Proposition B.1.** *If  $\psi_k: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a sequence of closed Willmore immersions converging in  $C^3$  to a closed Willmore immersion  $\psi: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , then*

$$(29) \quad \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{W}}(\psi) \leq \lim_k \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{W}}(\psi_k).$$

*Proof.* Recall that the index of a bilinear operator such as  $\delta^2 \mathcal{W}(\psi)$  is characterized as

$$\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{W}}(\psi) = \max\{\dim(L): L \text{ linear subspace of } \Gamma(N_\psi \Sigma) \text{ s.t. } \delta^2 \mathcal{W}(\psi)|_L < 0\}.$$

Hence (29) follows by showing that for every  $\vec{v} \in \Gamma(N_\psi \Sigma)$  there exists a sequence  $\vec{v}_k \in \Gamma(N_{\psi_k} \Sigma)$  with

$$(30) \quad \lim_k \delta^2 \mathcal{W}(\psi_k)(\vec{v}_k, \vec{v}_k) \leq \delta^2 \mathcal{W}(\psi)(\vec{v}, \vec{v}).$$

Since  $\psi_k \rightarrow \psi$  in  $C^3$  we can define projection operators  $P_k(x)$  that are the orthogonal projections of  $N_{\psi_k(x)} \Sigma$  onto  $N_{\psi(x)} \Sigma$ . They converge under the above assumptions uniformly in  $C^2(\Sigma)$ . Given  $\vec{v} \in \Gamma(N_\psi \Sigma)$  we just choose  $\vec{v}_k(x) = P_k(x)\vec{v}$  and note that  $\vec{v}_k \rightarrow \vec{v}$  in  $C^2(\Sigma)$ . Because the  $\mathcal{W}$ -Jacobi operator  $Z_\psi$  is a fourth-order operator whose coefficients depend<sup>1</sup> only on third derivatives of  $\psi$ , we deduce (30).  $\square$

**Definition B.2.** *A sequence of meromorphic differentials  $f_k$  converges to  $f$  if for every complex chart  $z: U \rightarrow B_1 \subset \mathbb{C}$  and  $f_k(z) = m_k(z) dz$ ,  $f(z) = m(z) dz$  the meromorphic functions satisfy  $\lim_k m_k(z) = m(z)$  in the topology of the Riemann sphere  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ .*

**Proposition B.3.** *Let  $X_k: \Sigma \setminus \{p_1^k, \dots, p_m^k\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a sequence of complete minimal surfaces with planar embedded ends at  $\{p_1^k, \dots, p_m^k\} \subset \Sigma$  that induce the same conformal structure  $J: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ . If the meromorphic differentials  $\partial_z X_k dz$  converge to the meromorphic differential  $\partial_z X dz$  of a complete minimal surface  $X: \Sigma \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  with planar embedded ends at  $\{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$ , and  $0 \notin X(\Sigma)$ . If there is one point  $q \in \Sigma \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$  such that  $\lim_k X_k(q) = X(q)$  then the associated compactified Willmore surfaces converge in  $C^3$ , i.e.*

$$\psi_k = \frac{X_k}{|X_k|^2} \xrightarrow{C^3} \psi = \frac{X}{|X|^2}$$

*Proof.* To deduce the convergence of  $\psi_k$  one could use the regularity theory for Willmore surfaces. But since there is a direct proof using the Weierstrass representation, we present it here.

Let  $z: U \rightarrow B_1 \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a conformal chart with  $U \cap \{p_1, \dots, p_m\} = \emptyset$ . This implies that (in these coordinates)  $\partial_z X(z)$  is holomorphic. Thus, the convergence  $\partial_z X_k(z) dz \rightarrow \partial_z X(z) dz$  implies that  $\lim_k \partial_z X_k(z) = \partial_z X(z)$  pointwise in  $B_{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Since this is a sequence of holomorphic functions, we deduce that

---

<sup>1</sup>The first variation depends only on  $\vec{H}$  and second order derivatives of  $\vec{H}$ , hence the second variation depends on at most three derivatives of  $A$ . After integrating by parts this implies at most one derivative on  $A$  for the coefficients.

$\partial_z X_k \xrightarrow{C^\infty} \partial_z X$ . The fact that  $\Sigma \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_{\tilde{m}}\}$  is connected and that there is one point  $q$  in this set where  $\lim_k X_k(q) = X(q)$  the above consideration implies that  $\lim_k X_k = X$  locally uniformly in  $C^l(\Sigma \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_{\tilde{m}}\})$  for each  $l$ . Because  $0 \notin X(\Sigma)$ , there is a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $|X(p)| \geq 2c$  for all  $p \in \Sigma$ . Given any compact set  $K \Subset \Sigma \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_{\tilde{m}}\}$  we have  $|X_k(p)| > c$  for all  $p \in K$  and  $k$  sufficiently large due to the local strong convergence. Thus we conclude the local smooth convergence of  $\psi_k$  to  $\psi$  on  $\Sigma \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_{\tilde{m}}\}$ .

It remains to check the convergence in a neighborhood of each end  $p_j$ : We fix a complex coordinate  $z$  around  $p_j$  such that  $z(p_j) = 0$ . Hence without loss of generality we have in  $B_{2\epsilon}$  the expansion

$$X_z dz = \left( -\frac{a}{z^2} + Y(z) \right) dz$$

with  $|a|^2 = 2, a^2 = 0$  and  $Y$  being a holomorphic bounded function. Due to the convergence of meromorphic differentials, we deduce that  $X_k$  has a similar expansion:

$$(X_k)_z dz = \left( -\frac{a_k}{(z - z_k)^2} + Y_k(z) \right) dz$$

where  $a_k^2 = 0, |a_k|^2 \rightarrow 2$  as  $|z_k| \downarrow 0$ , and with  $Y_k$  holomorphic and bounded converging to  $Y$ . These expansions imply that

$$\begin{aligned} X(z) &= \Re \left( \frac{a}{z} + \int_0^z Y(w) dw + c \right) = \Re \left( \frac{a}{z} + \hat{Y}(z) \right) \\ X_k(z) &= \Re \left( \frac{a_k}{z - z_k} + \int_0^z Y_k(w) dw + c_k \right) = \Re \left( \frac{a_k}{z - z_k} + \hat{Y}_k(z) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Due to the above-established convergence outside the ends we have that the constants satisfy  $c_k \rightarrow c$ . With  $2|\Re(q)|^2 = |q|^2 + \Re(q^2)$  we compute

$$\begin{aligned} 2|z - z_k|^2 |X_k(z)|^2 &= |a_k + (z - z_k) \hat{Y}_k(z)|^2 \\ &\quad + \Re \left( 2\overline{(z - z_k)} a_k \cdot \hat{Y}_k(z) + |z - z_k|^2 \hat{Y}_k(z)^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have made essential use of  $a_k^2 = 0$ . The right hand side is clearly bounded and converges in  $C^\infty$  to

$$2|z|^2 |X(z)|^2 = |a + z \hat{Y}(z)|^2 + \Re \left( 2\bar{z} a \cdot \hat{Y}(z) + |z|^2 \hat{Y}(z)^2 \right).$$

Since the same holds true for

$$\begin{aligned} 2|z - z_k|^2 X_k(z) &= 2\Re \left( \overline{(z - z_k)} a_k + |z - z_k|^2 \hat{Y}_k(z) \right) \rightarrow \\ &= 2\Re \left( \bar{z} a + |z|^2 \hat{Y}(z) \right) = 2|z|^2 X(z). \end{aligned}$$

The smooth convergence of  $\psi_k(z)$  to  $\psi(z)$  follows now also in a neighborhood of each end.  $\square$

## APPENDIX C. SELF-ADJOINTNESS OF THE WILLMORE-JACOBI OPERATOR

In this section we argue why the index form associated to the Willmore energy on a compact surface is symmetric. We also give a short argument why the  $\mathcal{W}$ -Jacobi operator is  $L^2$ -self-adjoint.

*Step 1:* The index form corresponding to the second variation of  $\mathcal{W}$  is a symmetric bilinear form on the space of  $C^2$ -sections of the normal bundle on a compact surface.

*Proof of step 1:* First we recall that the Willmore energy is a second order geometric energy on the space of immersions that only depends on first and second derivatives of the immersion  $\psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e.

$$\mathcal{W}(\psi) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Sigma} H(D\psi, D^2\psi) d\mu$$

where  $H(P, M)$  is an analytic map. For any two  $C^2$ -regular vectorfields  $\vec{v}_i \in \Gamma(N_{\psi}\Sigma)$  we define the function

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \mathcal{W}(\psi + x^1 \vec{v}_1 + x^2 \vec{v}_2)$$

for  $(x_1, x_2) \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)^2$ . The analyticity of  $f$  in  $x_i$  implies that the Hessian is a symmetric linear map. Since  $\Sigma$  is compact we deduce that  $\delta^2 \mathcal{W}(\psi)$  is a bilinear symmetric form on  $C^2$ -regular sections  $\vec{v} \in \Gamma(N_{\psi}\Sigma)$ , i.e.

$$(31) \quad \delta^2 \mathcal{W}(\psi)(\vec{v}, \vec{w}) = \int_{\Sigma} \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq 2} A_{\alpha, \beta} \partial^{\alpha} \vec{v} \partial^{\beta} \vec{w} d\mu,$$

where the coefficient matrices are algebraic expressions in at most two derivatives of the immersion  $\psi$ . The symmetry assures that  $A_{\alpha, \beta} = A_{\beta, \alpha}$ . Hence we get that the associated  $\mathcal{W}$ -Jacobi operator  $Z$  is formally self-adjoint (also called *symmetric*) on  $C^2$ -regular sections.

*Step 2:* The closure of  $Z$  on  $L^2$  is self-adjoint, and the eigenvalues of  $Z$  consist of a (discrete) sequence of numbers (with finite multiplicity) which is bounded from below and with  $\infty$  as its only accumulation point.

*Proof of Step 2:* In order to study the eigenvalues of  $Z$  and to show that the closure of  $Z$  is  $L^2$ -self-adjoint, we are interested in the highest-order part of  $Z$ . We use the specific structure of the Willmore integrand  $H(D\psi, D^2\psi) = |\vec{H}|^2 d\mu$ . We only need to determine  $|\partial_t \vec{H}|^2$  for the family  $\psi_t = \psi + t\vec{v}$ . It is classical that  $\partial_t \vec{H} = \Delta^{\perp} \vec{v} - F(A^2)\vec{v}$ , where  $F(A^2)$  denotes a quadratic operator in the second fundamental form  $A$  [27, p. 10]. We deduce that the highest order part in (31) is  $|\Delta^{\perp} \vec{v}|^2$ . Thus, a coercivity estimate follows from (31): for all  $\vec{v} \in \Gamma(N_{\psi}\Sigma)$  one has

$$(32) \quad \delta^2 \mathcal{W}(\psi)(\vec{v}, \vec{v}) \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Sigma} |\Delta^{\perp} \vec{v}|^2 d\mu - C \int_{\Sigma} |D^3 \vec{v}|^2 + |D^2 \vec{v}|^2 + |D \vec{v}|^2 + |\vec{v}|^2 d\mu.$$

Combining (31) & (32), and using interpolation, we have for all  $\vec{v} \in \Gamma(N_{\psi}\Sigma)$

$$\frac{1}{8} \int_{\Sigma} |D^2 \vec{v}|^2 d\mu - C \int_{\Sigma} |\vec{v}|^2 d\mu \leq \delta^2 \mathcal{W}(\psi)(\vec{v}, \vec{v}) \leq C \int_{\Sigma} |D^2 \vec{v}|^2 + |\vec{v}|^2 d\mu.$$

Applying classical functional analysis to the operator  $Z : W^{2,2} \rightarrow L^2 \subset (W^{2,2})'$  we deduce self-adjointness [49, Satz VII.2.11 on p. 378] and the discreteness of the spectrum [11, Section 6.5.1].

## REFERENCES

- [1] François Apéry. An algebraic halfway model for the eversion of the sphere. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, 44(1):103–150, 1992. With an appendix by Bernard Morin.
- [2] Thomas F. Banchoff. Triple points and surgery of immersed surfaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 46:407–413, 1974.
- [3] Matthias Bauer and Ernst Kuwert. Existence of minimizing Willmore surfaces of prescribed genus. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2003(10):553–576, 2003.
- [4] Yann Bernard and Tristan Rivière. Singularity removability at branch points for Willmore surfaces. *Pacific J. Math.*, 265(2):257–311, 2013.
- [5] Wilhelm Blaschke. Vorlesungen über Differentialgeometrie und geometrische Grundlagen von Einsteins Relativitätstheorie. III: Differentialgeometrie der Kreise und Kugeln. Bearbeitet von G. Thomsen. X + 474 S. Berlin, J. Springer (Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen), 1929.
- [6] Robert L. Bryant. A duality theorem for Willmore surfaces. *J. Differential Geom.*, 20(1):23–53, 1984.
- [7] Robert L. Bryant. Surfaces in conformal geometry. In *The mathematical heritage of Hermann Weyl (Durham, NC, 1987)*, volume 48 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 227–240. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988.
- [8] Ildefonso Castro and Francisco Urbano. Lagrangian surfaces in the complex Euclidean plane with conformal Maslov form. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, 45(4):565–582, 1993.
- [9] Tobias Holck Colding and William P. Minicozzi, II. *A course in minimal surfaces*, volume 121 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.
- [10] Tobias Ekholm, Brian White, and Daniel Wienholtz. Embeddedness of minimal surfaces with total boundary curvature at most  $4\pi$ . *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 155(1):209–234, 2002.
- [11] Lawrence C. Evans. *Partial differential equations*, volume 19 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 2010.
- [12] George Francis, John M. Sullivan, and Chris Hartman. Computing sphere eversions. In *Mathematical visualization (Berlin, 1997)*, pages 237–255. Springer, Berlin, 1998.
- [13] George Francis, John M. Sullivan, Rob B. Kusner, Ken A. Brakke, Chris Hartman, and Glenn Chappell. The minimax sphere eversion. In *Visualization and mathematics (Berlin-Dahlem, 1995)*, pages 3–20. Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- [14] George K. Francis and Bernard Morin. Arnold Shapiro’s eversion of the sphere. *Math. Intelligencer*, 2(4):200–203, 1979/80.
- [15] Sebastian Heller. Willmore spheres in the 3-sphere revisited. arXiv:2003.06922, to appear in *Comm. Anal. Geo.*
- [16] Jonas Hirsch and Elena Mäder-Baumdicker. On the index of Willmore spheres. *J. Differential Geom.*, 124(1):37–79, 2023.
- [17] David Hoffman and William H. Meeks, III. Embedded minimal surfaces of finite topology. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 131(1):1–34, 1990.
- [18] David A. Hoffman and Robert Osserman. The geometry of the generalized Gauss map. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 28(236):iii+105, 1980.
- [19] Rob Kusner. Conformal geometry and complete minimal surfaces. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 17(2):291–295, 1987.
- [20] Rob Kusner. Comparison surfaces for the Willmore problem. *Pacific J. Math.*, 138(2):317–345, 1989.

- [21] Rob Kusner. Estimates for the biharmonic energy on unbounded planar domains, and the existence of surfaces of every genus that minimize the squared-mean-curvature integral. In *Elliptic and parabolic methods in geometry (Minneapolis, MN, 1994)*, pages 67–72. A K Peters, Wellesley, MA, 1996.
- [22] Rob Kusner, Andrea Mondino, and Felix Schulze. Willmore bending energy on the space of surfaces, MSRI *Emissary*, Spring 2016.
- [23] Rob Kusner and Nick Schmitt. The spinor representations of surfaces in space. arXiv:dg-ga/9610005v1, 1996.
- [24] Robert Barnard Kusner. *Global geometry of extremal surfaces in three space*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1988. Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of California, Berkeley.
- [25] Ernst Kuwert and Reiner Schätzle. Removability of point singularities of Willmore surfaces. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 160(1):315–357, 2004.
- [26] Ernst Kuwert and Reiner Schätzle. Branch points of Willmore surfaces. *Duke Math. J.*, 138(2):179–201, 2007.
- [27] Ernst Kuwert and Reiner Schätzle. The Willmore functional. In *Topics in modern regularity theory*, volume 13 of *CRM Series*, pages 1–115. Ed. Norm., Pisa, 2012.
- [28] Tobias Lamm, Jan Metzger, and Felix Schulze. Foliations of asymptotically flat manifolds by surfaces of Willmore type. *Math. Ann.*, 350(1):1–78, 2011.
- [29] Jaehoon Lee. Minimal surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  like the Lagrangian catenoid. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 32(3):Paper No. 98, 22, 2022.
- [30] Peter Li and Shing Tung Yau. A new conformal invariant and its applications to the Willmore conjecture and the first eigenvalue of compact surfaces. *Invent. Math.*, 69(2):269–291, 1982.
- [31] Fernando C. Marques and André Neves. Min-max theory and the Willmore conjecture. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 179(2):683–782, 2014.
- [32] Fernando C. Marques and André Neves. The Willmore conjecture. *Jahresber. Dtsch. Math.-Ver.*, 116(4):201–222, 2014.
- [33] Nelson Max and Tom Banchoff. Every sphere eversion has a quadruple point. In *Contributions to analysis and geometry (Baltimore, Md., 1980)*, pages 191–209. Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, Md., 1981.
- [34] Alexis Michelat. On the Morse index of Willmore spheres in  $S^3$ . *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 28(6):1337–1406, 2020.
- [35] Alexis Michelat. On the Morse index of branched Willmore spheres in 3-space. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 60(4):Paper No. 126, 97, 2021.
- [36] Sebastián Montiel. Willmore two-spheres in the four-sphere. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 352(10):4469–4486, 2000.
- [37] Sebastián Montiel and Antonio Ros. Schrödinger operators associated to a holomorphic map. In *Global differential geometry and global analysis (Berlin, 1990)*, volume 1481 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 147–174. Springer, Berlin, 1991.
- [38] Bernard Morin. Équations du retournement de la sphère. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 287(13):A879–A882, 1978.
- [39] Bernard Morin and Jean-Pierre Petit. Le retournement de la sphere. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 287(11):A791–A794, 1978.
- [40] Bernard Morin and Jean-Pierre Petit. Problématique du retournement de la sphère. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 287(10):A767–A770, 1978.
- [41] Chia-Kuei Peng and Liang Xiao. Willmore surfaces and minimal surfaces with flat ends. In *Geometry and topology of submanifolds, X (Beijing/Berlin, 1999)*, pages 259–265. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2000.
- [42] Joaquín Pérez and Antonio Ros. The space of properly embedded minimal surfaces with finite total curvature. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 45(1):177–204, 1996.
- [43] Tristan Rivière. Analysis aspects of Willmore surfaces. *Invent. Math.*, 174(1):1–45, 2008.



- [44] Tristan Rivière. Willmore minmax surfaces and the cost of the sphere eversion. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 23(2):349–423, 2021.
- [45] Harold Rosenberg and Éric Toubiana. Some remarks on deformations of minimal surfaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 295(2):491–499, 1986.
- [46] Richard Schoen. Uniqueness, symmetry, and embeddedness of minimal surfaces. *J. Differ. Geom.*, 18:791–809, 1983.
- [47] Stephen Smale. A classification of immersions of the two-sphere. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 90:281–290, 1958.
- [48] G. Thomsen. Grundlagen der konformen Flächentheorie. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, 3(1):31–56, 1924.
- [49] Dirk Werner. *Funktionalanalysis*. Springer-Lehrb. Berlin: Springer Spektrum, 8th revised edition edition, 2018.