Deformation of localized states and state transitions in interacting random-hopping fermions
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We numerically study the random-hopping fermions (the Creutz ladder) with repulsion and investigate how the interactions deform localized eigenstates by means of the one-particle-density matrix (OPDM). The ground state exhibits resurgence of localization from the compact localized state to strong-repulsion-induced localization. On the other hand, excited states in the middle of the spectrum tend to extend by the repulsion. The transition property obtained by numerical calculations of OPDM is deeply understood by studying a soluble model in which local integrals of motion (LIOMs) are obtained explicitly. The present work clarifies the utility of OPDM and also how compact-support LIOMs in non-interacting limit are deformed by the repulsion.

Understanding universal mechanism of localization phenomena\textsuperscript{3,4} is an important topic in condensed matter physics\textsuperscript{3,4}. Localization breaks the eigenstate-thermalization-hypothesis (ETH)\textsuperscript{5,6}, that is, the prescriptions of conventional statistical mechanics cannot be applied to the localized systems. Also certain interacting many-body systems exhibit exotic behaviors of localization and entanglement properties, which are called many-body localization (MBL)\textsuperscript{7–12}. Although the presence of localized phenomena have been reported in various interacting many-body-systems\textsuperscript{13–15}, universal understanding of the origin and detailed mechanism of MBL has not been obtained yet. Especially, how interactions affect localization phenomena in various many-body systems remains an open question.

In this work, we investigate effects of interactions in a localized model with disorders, namely, random-hopping Creutz ladder\textsuperscript{16–22}. This model has short-range entangled clusters, i.e., compact-localized states (CLS), which often appear in flat-band systems\textsuperscript{21}. We introduce interactions without destroying the CLS. However, when we increase the strength of the interactions, how the CLS-based localization deforms and what states appear as an alternative is an important and interesting problem. In this Letter, we focus on these issues.

In general, studying such a quantum many-body system is not an easy task. However, one-particle density matrix (OPDM)\textsuperscript{24–27} is a useful and promising tool; in particular, it reveals certain important aspects of localization emerging in disorder systems. In this Letter, we make use of the OPDM and analyze the following random-hopping Creutz ladder with the Hamiltonian, $H_T = H_{\text{rh}} + H_1$.

\begin{equation}
H_{\text{rh}} = -\sum_{j=1}^{L} t_j [ia_{j+1}^\dagger a_j - ib_{j+1}^\dagger b_j + a_{j+1}^\dagger b_j + b_{j+1}^\dagger a_j] + \text{h.c.},
\end{equation}

where $t_j = 1 + \delta_j$ is the amplitude of the random hopping and we set $\delta_j \in [-0.3, 0.3]$ (uniform distribution). The parameter $V$ is the strength of the repulsion and a varying parameter. In this work, we mostly study the ground state (GS) and states in the middle of the spectrum at the half-filling. The reason why we take some specific hoppings in $H_{\text{rh}}$ [Eq. (1)] gets clear by introducing two fermionic operators defined on each plaquette, $W_j^+ = \frac{1}{2}(-ia_{j+1} + b_{j+1} + a_j - ib_j)$ and $W_j^- = \frac{1}{2}(-ia_{j+1} + b_{j+1} - a_j + ib_j)$. Then the Hamiltonian in terms of \{W_j^+\} is $H_{\text{rh}} = -\sum_{j=1}^{L} 2t_j [W_j^{+\dagger}W_j^+ - W_j^{-\dagger}W_j^-]$. As \{W_j^+\}'s are fermion operators satisfying anti-commutators such as $[W_i^{+\dagger}, W_j^+] = \delta_{ij}$, etc, exact energy eigenstates of the non-interacting system, $H_{\text{rh}}$, are given by the Fock states of \{W_j^+\}, i.e., $\prod_{j=1}^{L} [W_j^{+\dagger}]^n_j |W_j^+\rangle |0\rangle$, where $n_j = 0, 1, \ell_j = 0, 1$, and $\sum_{j=1}^{L} (m_j + \ell_j) = N$ for $N$-particle states. In particular for the GS at half-filling, the many-body state is given by $|\text{GS}_0\rangle = \prod_{j=1}^{L} [W_j^{+\dagger}]^N |0\rangle$. On the other hand in the middle of the spectrum (zero energy), $|\psi\rangle \sim \prod_{j} [W_j^{+\dagger}W_j^-]|0\rangle$, where \{j\}'s are rather arbitrary sites.

We redefine $(a_1, b_1, a_2, \ldots, b_L) = (c_1, c_2, c_3, \ldots, c_{2L})$, and then OPDM, $\hat{\rho} = \{\rho_{\ell m}\}$, is defined as follows for a many-body state $|\psi\rangle$ of \{c_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^{2L},

\begin{equation}
\rho_{\ell m} = \langle \psi | c_\ell^\dagger c_m | \psi \rangle, \quad \ell, m = 1, 2, \ldots, 2L.
\end{equation}

By diagonalizing $\hat{\rho}$, non-negative eigenvalues $\{n^\alpha\}$ ($\alpha = 1, 2L$) and eigenfunctions $\{\phi^\alpha\}$ of $\hat{\rho}$ are obtained. For the system of total particle number $N$, one can show $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2L} n^\alpha = N$. In MBL regimes, $n^\alpha \sim 1$ or 0, and the eigenfunctions corresponding to $n^\alpha \sim 1$ are regarded as occupied single-particle states and those for $n^\alpha \sim 0$...
empty states. In this case, the state, \(|\psi\rangle\), is well-described by a Slater determinant (SD) of \(\{\phi^n\}\) for \(n^a \sim 1\).

We shall numerically study how the states in \(H_{\text{th}}\) changes by introducing the interaction \(H_I\) by observing the OPDM. To this end, we define \(W\)-representation of OPDM such as \(\langle W_l^j|W_m^j\rangle\), explicit form of which is defined later on.

It is instructive to examine the above quantities from the view point of Fock-space localization.

The correlation function can quantify the localization tendency of the state. In a localized state \(|\psi\rangle\), there are many-body states with \(L\) sites, we introduce suitable orbitals \(\{d_j^\alpha\}\), where index \(j\) does not necessarily represent lattice site although we use the same notation. Then, the basis of \(m\) by a Slater determinant (SD) of empty states. In this case, the state, \(\text{OPDM such as } W\), the viewpoint of Fock-space localization is defined later on.

Eq. (4) shows that the distance between \(|M_0\rangle\) and \(|K\rangle\) is \(r_{M_0K} = 2\), and therefore \(|\rho_{ij}|^2 = |A_{ij}A_K|^2\) measures mixing amplitude of the leading state \(|M_0\rangle\) and the states with distance \(r_{M_0K} = 2\). One may expect that the off-diagonal elements of the OPDM are getting large at a phase transition of MBL as the localized state dominated by the SD, \(|M_0\rangle\), is destroyed by the growing subleading states such as \(|K\rangle\). Detailed discussion on this point will be given later on by studying the Creutz ladder.

We move to show the numerical study of the Creutz ladder. We mostly employ the system size \(L = 16\) and particle number \(N = 8^{31}\). In Fig. 1, we first show how the eigenvalues of the OPDM, \(\{n^a\}\), vary as the repulsion, \(V\), increases. In both the GS and band center, \(n^a \sim 1\) for \(1 \leq \alpha \leq N = L/2\), and \(n^a \sim 0\) for \(N < n^a\), indicating localization takes place for small \(V\) there. As \(V\) increases, the GS keeps this behavior of \(\{n^a\}\) until the step-wise shape gets weaker at intermediate \(V\)’s, and for further increase of \(V\), \(\{n^a\}\) resume the behavior for small \(V\). On the other hand in the band center, almost all eigenvalues \(\{n^a\} \sim 0.5\) for \(V \geq 0.50\), indicating breakdown of the states composed of \(|\psi\rangle \sim \prod_{j=1}^L [W^j_{\alpha}^j W^j_{\beta}^j]|0\rangle\).

In Figs. 2(a), 2(b) and 2(c), we show the real part of the OPDM of the GS in the real space of \((a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \cdots, a_L, b_L)\) \(\{\rho_{\alpha\beta}\}\) of Eq. (2). In these data, as \(V\) increases, it is obvious that the GS changes from the \(W^\pm\)-dominant state to the real space \(ab\)-dominant one. For large \(V\), the GS has a density-wave order as shown in Fig. 2(c). Additional data of the OPDM for in critical regime \((V \sim 10)\) and its density distribution is displayed in supplemental material (SM).

We also calculate the OPDM of the single-particle basis (\(W\)-basis OPDM); \(|\psi_{\alpha\beta}^J\rangle = W^J_{\alpha\beta}|0\rangle\) \((j = 1, 2, \cdots, L)\), which is defined by \(|\psi_{\alpha\beta}^J\rangle = \langle \psi_{\alpha\beta}^J|\hat{\rho}|\psi_{\alpha\beta}^J\rangle\). Furthermore, we simplify the state notation of \(|\psi_{\alpha\beta}^J\rangle\) as

\[
F(r) = \sum_{I,K; r_{IK}=r} |A_I|^2 |A_K|^2.
\]

The correlation function can quantify the localization tendency of the state. In a localized state \(|E\rangle\), there are \(N\) orbitals (eigenvectors of the OPDM) with eigenvalue \(n^a = 1\) \((\alpha = 1, \cdots, N)\), and there is a dominant Fock state \(|M_0\rangle\), which is close to the SD of these \(\phi^a\) \((\alpha = 1, \cdots, N)\). Then, an eigenstate \(|E\rangle\) is given as

\[
|E\rangle = A_M|M_0\rangle + A_K|K\rangle + \cdots,
\]

Let us assume \(d_j^I|M_0\rangle = |K\rangle\) \((i \neq j)\), and then the off-diagonal \((i \neq j)\) element of OPDM, \(\rho_{ij} = \langle E|d_j^I d_j^I|E\rangle\) is given by

\[
\langle M_0^|A_M^* + \langle K^|A_K^* + \cdots|d_j^I|A_M^|M_0^ + \langle K^|A_K^* + \cdots\rangle \sim A_{K^}A_M^.
\]

FIG. 1. Eigenvalues of OPDM for the GS (a) and the band center (b). \(\alpha\) is the number of eigenstate of OPDM of Eq. (2) in ascending energy order. Insets display \(\Omega_{\psi}\) for the GS and state in the middle of the spectrum. Results are averages 5000 samples of the eigenstates.

FIG. 2. (a), (b) and (c): Real part of OPDM of the GS for \(V = 0.01, 5.00\) and 30.0. Figures represent OPDM of Eq. (2) in the real space such as \((c_1, c_2, c_3, \cdots, c_{2L})\). (d), (e) and (f): Real part of \(|\psi_{\alpha\beta}^J|\hat{\rho}_J|\psi_{\alpha\beta}^J\rangle\). The state labels \(s\) and \(t\) are in the ascending energy order. Each result is obtained from single shot for single disorder realization.
In Figs. 2(d), 2(e) and 2(f), the real part of each matrix element increases, this picture fades away and for large $V$, almost all states in the Fock-space take the real-space OPDM are almost vanishing, and from Figs. 3(c) and 3(d), the all off-diagonal elements of $\rho(|\psi_1^{SP}\rangle)$ is the W-basis OPDM is shown. In these data, while for small $V$, each orbital is identical to the state $W_j^\pm |0\rangle$, that is, the GS is a many-body state mainly described by a product of the W-basis, $W_j^\pm |0\rangle$. As $V$ increases, this picture fades away and for large $V$, a different type of orbitals emerge, which mixing state of $W_j^\pm |0\rangle$ and $W_f^- |0\rangle$ as we discuss later on. Thus, in the GS, the $W_j^\pm$ picture is significantly deformed by the interactions.

To further quantify the above change of the OPDM, we introduce $O_\Psi = \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}^2]$, which measures the magnitude of the diagonal elements. Numerical result of $O_\Psi$ is displayed in the inset of Fig. 1(a) indicating that a ‘phase transition’ takes place at $V_c \approx 8$, and a novel product state emerges for $V > V_c$. Vanishing of $O_\Psi$ indicates the existence of a gapless state. The system-size dependence is also displayed in SM.

Figure 3 (a) and 3 (b) show the real part of the OPDM of an eigenstate in the middle of the spectrum (nearly zero energy). For small $V$, the state is mainly described by a product of a pair of $W_j^\pm |0\rangle$ Fock state. As $V$ is getting large, almost all states in the Fock-space take part in many-body states.

Also, the real part of the OPDM for large $V$ are shown in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d). The all off-diagonal elements of the real-space OPDM are almost vanishing, and from perspective of the Fock-space, this means that the coefficients of the expansion such as $|E| = \sum_j A_j |I|$ take random variables and as a result, $\rho_{tm} \sim 0$. This explains the result that the real part of the off-diagonal elements of $\langle \psi_s^\dagger |\hat{\rho}|\psi_t^{SP}\rangle$ are also small. In addition, $O_\Psi$ for the middle of the spectrum is shown in the inset of Fig. 1(b), and it decreases rapidly as $V$ increases and keeps very small values for larger $V$ in contrast to the GS. The localized state is destroyed by the repulsion.

The above observation of the OPDM indicates the importance of the magnitude of the off-diagonal elements to search phase transition induced by the interactions. To quantify the behavior of the GS as a function of $V$, we measure quantities such as $C \equiv \sqrt{\text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}_0\hat{\rho}[\hat{\rho},\hat{\rho}_0]]} = \sqrt{2\text{Tr}[\langle \hat{\rho}_0\rangle^2(\hat{\rho}^2 - \langle \hat{\rho}_0\rangle^2)]}$, where in this expression $\hat{\rho} = \{\psi_1^{SP}|\psi_1^{SP}\}^T$ is the W-basis OPDM for $V$, $\hat{\rho}_0$ is the W-basis OPDM for $V = 0$, and $Q \equiv \sum_{\ell} |\text{off-diagonal elements of } \hat{\rho}|^4$. The quantity $C$ is called Frobenius norm, and it quantifies the distance between $\hat{\rho}_0$ and $\hat{\rho}$. In Fig. 4, we display the numerical results of these quantities. Both $C$ and $Q$ exhibit a drastic change at $V \sim 8$ indicating the existence of a phase transition. In particular, the Frobenius norm can be used as an order parameter for observing that the GS enters into another localized state described by the Slater determinant composed of eigenstates of the OPDM in Fig. 2(e).

To investigate the physical picture of the newly emerged state, study on a solvable model is quite useful, as explained shortly.

In the above, we investigated the phase transition of $H_\tau$ at half-filling by using the numerical calculation. Here, we further study some related interacting model, which is exactly solvable due to the existence of local integrals of motion (LIOMs). Hamiltonian of the target model is given as follows: $H_P = H_{\text{H}} + H_N$,

$$H_N = g \sum_{j=1}^L N_{j-1}[W_{j+}^\dagger W_j^- + W_j^\dagger W_{j+}], \tag{5}$$

where $N_j \equiv N_{j+}^+ + N_{j-}^-$, $N_{j+}^\pm = W_j^\dagger W_j^\pm$, and $g$ is a coupling constant. $H_P$ is a projective Hamiltonian. To show this, we display the following LIOMs, $K_j^\pm (j = 1, \cdots, L)$,

$$K_j^+ \equiv N_j^+ - \frac{g}{4t_j} O_j, \quad K_j^- \equiv N_j^- + \frac{g}{4t_j} O_j,$$

where $O_j = N_{j-1}[W_{j+}^\dagger W_j^- + W_j^\dagger W_{j+}]$. It is verified that
$\{K_j^\pm\}$'s commute with each other, and $H_P$ is expressed as: $H_P = \sum_{j=1}^{L} 2t_j(-K_j^+ + K_j^-)$.

Therefore, $H_P$ is a projective Hamiltonian, and all eigenstates of $H_P$ are given by eigenstates of $\{K_j^\pm\}$'s. To show how eigenstates look like, let us focus on the plaquette state of $N_{j-1} = 1$. We put $\lambda_j = g(N_{j-1})/(4t_j)$, then after some manipulation, we obtain eigenstates, $\psi_j \propto (x_1 \lambda_j^{-1} W_j^+ + \lambda_j^{-1} W_j^-)\{0\}$ and $\psi_j \propto (\lambda_j W_j^+ + x_1 W_j^-)\{0\}$.

These eigenstates satisfy the followings: $K_j^+ \psi_j = x_{1j} \psi_j$, $K_j^- \psi_2 = x_{2j} \psi_2$, $K_j^- \psi_1 = x_{1j} \psi_1$ and $K_j^- \psi_2 = x_{1j} \psi_2$, where $x_{1j} = \lambda_j^{-1}(1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\lambda_j^2})$ and $x_{2j} = \lambda_j^{-1}(1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\lambda_j^2})$.

The GS of $H_P$ at half-filling is given by $\{\psi_{1j}\}$ with $N_j = 1$ for all $j$, and energy, $E_{GS} = -2 \sum_j t_j(1 + 4\lambda_j^2)^{1/2}$. Deformation of the state by the interactions is easily seen as it changes $W_j^+|0\rangle \rightarrow \psi_{1j}$, i.e., uniformly distributed fermion density is deformed by the interactions, $H_N$. More precisely, the element at the $j$-rung, $(a_j - ib_j)$, gets larger amplitude than that at the $(j + 1)$ rung, $(a_{j+1} + ib_{j+1})^{55}$. The OPDM of the GS has diagonal elements $\hat{\rho}_{jj} = x_{1j}^2/(x_{1j}^2 + \lambda_j^2)$ for $i \leq N$ and $\hat{\rho}_{jj} = \lambda_j^2/(x_{1j}^2 + \lambda_j^2)$ for $i > N$. The anti-diagonal elements are also non-vanishing and $\langle W_j^+ W_j^- \rangle = -\lambda_j x_{1j}^2/(x_{1j}^2 + \lambda_j^2)$.

We recognize similar behavior to the above analytical results in the numerical calculations of the OPDM of $H_P$. [See please figs. 2(e) and 2(f), and recognize that the mixing amplitudes, $(W_j^+ W_j^-)$, take both positive and negative values.] In fact, this is not pure coincidence. The leading terms of $H_I$ in Eq. (1) are given by interactions described in terms of density operators $\{N_j^\pm\}$ in the MBL regime. The practical calculation shows that the next-leading terms of $H_I$, $H_N$, are given as,

$$H_N = \frac{V}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{L} \left[ N_{j+2} + N_{j+1} - N_{j-2} - N_{j-1} \right]$$

$$\times [W_j^+ W_j^- + W_j^- W_j^+]$$

which has similar structure to $H_N$ in Eq. (5) and gives a projective Hamiltonian. Therefore, we expect that the repulsion described by $\{N_j^\pm\}$ induces inter-plaquette density fluctuations and $H_N^2$ (Eq. (6)) induces the $(W^+ - W^-)$ mixing and as a result, intra-plaquette density fluctuations emerge. In order to verify this observation, we show eigenvectors of the real-space OPDM, $\rho$, for $V = 30$ [displayed in Fig. 2(e)] in Fig. 5. Each eigenvector resides on a rung, as predicted by the analytical study on the solvable model, $H_P$. By the above consideration, we can write down the (approximate) wavefunction of the GS of the Hamiltonian $H_T$ for the strong interactions: $|GS\rangle_\alpha = \prod_{j=0}^{\alpha-1} |\psi_{2j+1}\rangle |\psi_{2j+2}\rangle$, where $\psi_{j+\prime} = \psi_j |g\rightarrow \pm\infty|$. [Please note that the GS has the rung density-wave order $^{36}$.] One may wonder if binary LIOMs can be constructed from $\{K_j^\pm\}$, whose eigenvalues are 1 or 0. We finally comment on this point. In fact, it is feasible, and they are explicitly given by $n_{\gamma j} = \psi_j^\dagger \psi_j$ ($\gamma = 1, 2$) with quasi-particle operators, $\psi_j^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_j^\lambda + \lambda_j^2}} \left( \hat{x}_j W_j^\dagger - \hat{\lambda}_j W_j^- \right)$, and $\psi_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_j^\lambda + \lambda_j^2}} \left( \hat{\lambda}_j W_j^\dagger + \hat{x}_j W_j^- \right)$, where we have defined operators $\hat{\lambda}_j = g\hat{N}_{j-1}/(4t_j)$, etc. Details of these operators are scrutinized in SM$^{32}$. In the text, we shall explain essential aspects of these operators. We can prove $\{\psi_j\}$ changes the number of fermions residing in the plaquette $j$, they do not anti-commute with $\hat{\psi}_{j+\prime}$ ($\psi_{j+\prime}$), and therefore cautious manipulation with them is required. However, their number operators, $n_{\gamma j}$, commute with $N_j = N_j^+ + N_j^-$, and therefore, in the sector of the Hilbert space with fixed number of $N_j$, the operators $\hat{x}_j$ and $\hat{\lambda}_j$ can be treated as c-number. Hamiltonian, $H_P$, is expressed as $H_P = -\sum_j 2t_j(1 + 4\lambda_j^2) \left[ \psi_j^\dagger \psi_j - \psi_j \psi_j^\dagger \right]$, for each sector by substituting corresponding c-number for $\hat{x}_j$ and $\hat{\lambda}_j$. Energy eigenvalues and eigenfunctions are obtained by solving the system $H_P$.

Conclusion.— In this Letter, we have studied effects of repulsion to the random-hopping Creutz ladder. We mostly employed the OPDM for clarifying the phase diagram, localization transition, and structure of the GS. The OPDM elucidates how interactions deform many-body states composed of compact localized states. The OPDMs expressed by the real-space basis as well as the compact-localized state basis are complementary with each other and various quantities are extracted from them by using the numerical calculations. Study of a solvable model with the explicit LIOMs also gives useful insights to understand the detailed structure of numerically obtained many-body states in the random-hopping Creutz ladder. We obtained clear pictures of the phases concerning the GS and states in the middle of the spectrum. We showed that the OPDM contains rich information about localized states and localization transition. It is a future work to apply the obtained utilities to other models.
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31. We employed the Quspin solver for all numerical calculations: P. Weinberg and M. Bukov, SciPost Phys. 7, 20 (2019); 2, 003 (2017).
32. See Supplemental Material: (A) OPDM for ground state in critical regime. (B) Finite-size effects of $O_\Psi$. (C) Quasi-

particle operators.
35. The explicit form of $\Psi_1$ is $\Psi_1 = \frac{1}{2}\phi(x_{1j} - \lambda_j)(a_{j+1}^\dagger + b_{j+1}^\dagger)|0\rangle + \frac{1}{2}(x_{1j} + \lambda_j)(a_j^\dagger + i b_j^\dagger)|0\rangle$. Due to $\lambda_j > 0$, the amplitude of $(a_j^\dagger + ib_j^\dagger)$ is larger than that of $(a_{j+1}^\dagger + b_{j+1}^\dagger)$.
36. Data in Fig. 5 show small but finite deviations of particle density on rungs from the perfect rung density wave. We think that this comes from the mixing terms of $W_\pm$ located on the next-nearest-neighbor plaquettes such as $(N_j^+ - N_j^-)(W_j^+ - W_j^- - W_{j+1}^+ W_{j+1}^- + \text{h.c.})$, which emerge from $H_t$, besides those in Eq. (6).
SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

A. OPDM in critical regime

FIG. 6. (a) OPDM for ground state in the representation in the real space basis. Rung density wave with small $W^\pm$-type fluctuations is observed. (b) Density of eigenvectors of the real-space OPDM, $\rho$ [Eq. (2) in the main text] for $V = 10$. Each eigenvector resides on a rung, as expected by the analytical study on the solvable model, $H_P$.

In the main text, we showed the OPDM for small, intermediate and large values of $V$. In this SM, we display similar data for the ground state of the system just after the phase transition, i.e., $V = 10$. In the OPDM in the real space basis [Fig. 6(a)], rung density wave with small $W^\pm$-type fluctuations is observed. In Fig. 6(b), eigenvectors of the OPDM are displayed, and almost all of them seem to reside on a rung forming rung density wave, although there are fluctuations from the exact density wave. The above results for the $V = 10$ system are in good agreement with data of $O_\Psi$, $Q$ and $C$ indicating the phase-transition value $V_c \approx 8$.

B. Finite-size effects of $O_\Psi$

In Fig. 7, we display the system-size dependence of $O_\Psi$ calculated in the GS. The results for $L = 12$ and 16 exhibit a clear signal of a ‘phase transition’ (a transition of the GS). The rung density wave is commensurate with lattice sizes $L = 12$ and 16, whereas not with $L = 10$ and 14 under the periodic boundary condition. This is the reason why $O_\Psi$ exhibits expected behavior for $L = 12$ and 16 and not for $L = 10$ and 14.

For the commensurate case, the critical value of $V$ is estimated as $V_c \approx 8$ in accordance with the other quantities obtained in the text. A sharp decrease of $O_\Psi$ indicates an abrupt change of the GS at $V = V_c$ with closing the energy gap.

C. Quasi-particle operators

In this subsection of SM, we shall discuss the solvable model, $H_P$, a little bit more, in particular, ‘quasi-particle’ operators. In the main text, we obtained eigenstates of the LIOMs, $K^\pm_j$, as follows:

$$
\psi_{1j} \propto (x_{1j} W_j^{+\dagger} - \lambda_j W_j^{-\dagger})|0\rangle,
\psi_{2j} \propto (\lambda_j W_j^{+\dagger} + x_{1j} W_j^{-\dagger})|0\rangle.
$$

Equation (7) indicates that one may obtain quasi-particle operators as,

$$\hat{\psi}_{1j}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_{1j}^2 + \lambda_j^2}} (\hat{x}_j W_j^{+\dagger} - \lambda_j W_j^{-\dagger}),$$
$$\hat{\psi}_{2j}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_{1j}^2 + \lambda_j^2}} (\lambda_j W_j^{+\dagger} + \hat{x}_j W_j^{-\dagger}).$$

In fact, the operators $\hat{\psi}_{1j}^\dagger$ and $\hat{\psi}_{2j}^\dagger$ produce the states in Eq. (7) correctly when they are applied to the empty state at plaquette $j$, i.e., $\hat{\psi}_{1j}^\dagger |0\rangle = \psi_{1j}^\dagger |\psi_{1j}\rangle$. However, $\hat{\psi}_{1j}^\dagger (\gamma = 1, 2)$ does not anti-commute with $\hat{\psi}_{1j'}^\dagger (j' \neq j)$ nor $\hat{\psi}_{j'-j}^\dagger$ as $\hat{x}_j$ and $\lambda_j$ contain the number operator at $(j-1), \hat{N}_{j-1}$. Therefore, $\hat{\psi}_{1j}^\dagger$ and $\hat{\psi}_{2j}^\dagger$ are not quasi-particle operators.

However, their number operators, $n_{\gamma j} = \hat{\psi}_{\gamma j}^\dagger \hat{\psi}_{\gamma j}$ commute with $\hat{N}_{j-1}$ and themselves. Practical calculation shows,

$$\psi_{1j}^\dagger \psi_{1j} = \frac{1}{x_{1j}^2 + \lambda_j^2} \left[ x_{1j}^2 W_j^{+\dagger} W_j^{+\dagger} + \lambda_j^2 W_0^{-\dagger} W_j^{-\dagger} - x_j \lambda_j (W_0^{+\dagger} W_j^{-\dagger} + W_0^{-\dagger} W_j^{+\dagger}) \right],$$
$$\psi_{2j}^\dagger \psi_{2j} = \frac{1}{x_{1j}^2 + \lambda_j^2} \left[ \lambda_j^2 W_j^{+\dagger} W_j^{+\dagger} + x_j^2 W_0^{-\dagger} W_j^{-\dagger} + x_j \lambda_j (W_0^{+\dagger} W_j^{-\dagger} + W_0^{-\dagger} W_j^{+\dagger}) \right].$$

where we have set $\hat{x}_j (\hat{\lambda}_j) \rightarrow x_j (\lambda_j)$ as they commute.
with $N_j'$. From Eq. (9), we have

$$
\psi_1^\dagger \psi_1 j - \psi_2^\dagger \psi_2 j = -\frac{x_j}{x_j^2 + \lambda_j^2} \left[ -W_j^+ W_j^+ + W_j^- W_j^- \right]
$$

$$
+ \frac{N_j - 1}{2 t_j} \left( W_j^+ W_j^- + W_j^- W_j^+ \right)
$$

$$
= -\frac{x_j}{x_j^2 + \lambda_j^2} [-K_j^+ + K_j^-].
$$

(10)

$$
\psi_1^\dagger \psi_1 j + \psi_2^\dagger \psi_2 j = W_j^+ W_j^+ + W_j^- W_j^-,
\psi_1^\dagger \psi_2 j = W_j^+ W_j^-
$$

Therefore, Hamiltonian $H_P$ is expressed as,

$$
\tilde{H}_P = -\sum_{j=1}^{L} 2 t_j \sqrt{1 + 4 \lambda_j^2} \left[ \psi_1^\dagger \psi_1 j - \psi_2^\dagger \psi_2 j \right].
$$

(11)

It should be emphasized that there exist interactions between the number operators, $n_j$, in $H_P$ [Eq. (11)] as \{\lambda_j\} contain \{n_{j-1}\}. One may expect that the usual logarithmic increase in entanglement entropy (EE) takes place in a global quench of this system. However, the system’s Hamiltonian is expressed as,

$$
H_P = \sum_{j=1}^{L} 2 t_j (-K_j^+ + K_j^-)
$$

by the LIOMs, $K_j^\pm$, and therefore EE may exhibit more stable behavior in a global quench. In fact, our recent work on some related spin model\textsuperscript{37} shows that it exhibits stable EE similar to that in Anderson localization.