Observation of Chemical Reactions between a Trapped Ion and Ultracold Feshbach Dimers
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We measure chemical reactions between a single trapped $^{174}$Yb$^+$ ion immersed in an ultracold bath of $^6$Li atoms containing trace amounts of Li$_2$ dimers. This produces LiYb$^+$ molecular ions that we detect via mass spectrometry. We explain the reaction rates by modelling the dimer density as a function of the magnetic field and obtain excellent agreement when we assume the reaction to follow the Langevin rate. Our results present a novel approach towards the creation of cold molecular ions and point to the exploration of ultracold chemistry in ion molecule collisions. What is more, with a detection sensitivity below molecule densities of $10^{14}$ m$^{-3}$, we provide a new method to detect low-density molecular gases.

Introduction. To identify how quantum effects contribute to physical and chemical processes, it is essential to study chemical reactions at very low temperatures where only few partial waves play a role. Ion-molecule mixtures present a versatile platform to measure reaction channels with increased richness as compared to atomic mixtures. Interacting ions and molecules have been studied by letting ions collide with molecules from the vacuum background [11,13], from inlet room-temperature sources [4] and from molecular beams [5,7]. In these studies molecule temperatures were in the 1 K range, which is far above the ion-molecule s-wave collision energies. However, molecular samples of lower temperatures can be created from ultracold atoms using Feshbach resonances [8–10]. These weakly bound diatomic molecules are called Feshbach dimers. Merging the fields of trapped ions and ultra-cold quantum gases [11] paves the way for studying ion-molecule collisions in the ultracold regime.

An important premise to control ion-neutral interactions in the ultracold regime is the understanding of the relevant reaction channels. Examples are charge-transfer [12]–[15], spin exchange [16,18] and three-body recombination [19]–[22]. The exceptional control over the quantum states of trapped ions [23]–[24] makes it possible to study these chemical reactions at the single particle level and gives direct experimental access to the reaction products, their quantum states and energies, as well as their branching ratios. Single trapped ions can thus be used as probes to detect properties of the ultracold gases in which they are immersed [25]–[26] as well as for charged quantum impurity studies [11]–[20]–[28]. Moreover, ultracold molecule-ion mixtures can be used to form cold molecular ions with applications in quantum information and precision spectroscopy [29]–[34].

In this Letter, we report on the observation of cold collisions between single Yb$^+$ ions in a Paul trap and a mixture of ultracold Li atoms and Li$_2$ dimers. We study the occurrence of chemical reactions by observing the Yb$^+$ fluorescence after it has interacted with the cloud, counting the number of times the Yb$^+$ ion goes dark. We measure a negative correlation between the dark events probability and the atom density, indicating that atoms are not involved. Instead, we find that the reaction Li$_2$ + Yb$^+$ → LiYb$^+$ + Li leads to the dark events. We use mass-spectrometry to demonstrate the occurrence of LiYb$^+$ molecular ions. We show excellent agreement between the probability of dark events and the Li$_2$ density in our system, which we model with rate equations. Our cold chemistry approach provides a new tool for detecting molecules in sparse quantities as well as a pathway to creating cold molecular ions.

Experimental sequence. The Yb$^+$-Li mixture is prepared in a hybrid ion-neutral trap as depicted in Fig. 1 and more extensively described in Ref. [33]. We load a single $^{174}$Yb$^+$ ion by isotope-selective two-photon ionization, Doppler-cool it to about 0.5 mK and prepare it in the $^2S_{1/2}$ ground state. The ion trap operates at a driving frequency $\Omega = 2\pi \times 1.85$ MHz and trap frequencies ($\omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z$) ≈ $2\pi \times (191, 196, 112)$ kHz, where $z$ is the direction along the axis of the Paul trap. The ultracold fermionic Li atoms are prepared in a crossed 1070 nm, 40 μm waist, optical dipole trap (ODT) about 200 μm below the ion, using forced evaporative cooling at 663 G close to the 832 G Feshbach resonance [36]. We obtain about $2.2 \times 10^4$ $^6$Li atoms per spin state in the lowest two magnetic sublevels $|F = 1/2, m_F = \pm 1/2\rangle$ at a temperature $T = 1 - 10$ μK. Here $F$ is the total angular momentum quantum number and $m_F$ is its projection on the quantization axis.
We admix a small quantity of Li$_2$ dimers to the bath by setting the magnetic field to $B_{Li_2} = 693$ G and associating the dimers by three-body recombination through direct evaporation. We do this in the final stage of the evaporation of the lithium spin mixture. The number of resulting dimers depends primarily on the temperature, the magnetic field $B_{Li_2}$, and the atom density $n_\text{a}$, which influences the three-body recombination and dissociation rate of the Li+Li$'$ + Li $\rightleftharpoons$ Li$_2$ + Li reactions. Here, Li and Li$'$ indicate the two spin states. Next, we turn off the magnetic field, which increases the dimer binding energy to a fixed value of about $E_b/h = 1.38$ GHz [10, 39], with $h$ being Planck’s constant. By ramping to zero field we minimize variations in the molecular ion formation rate [40], as well as quantum effects [11, 11]. For all the reported experiments we obtain dimer densities that are less than 10% of the atomic density. We measure the atom observables by time-of-flight absorption imaging.

We overlap the Yb$^+$ ion with the atom-dimer bath by transporting the ODT to the location of the Yb$^+$ ion by means of piezo-electric mirrors and let the systems interact for $\tau = 500$ ms. Subsequently, we Doppler cool the Yb$^+$ ion for 1500 ms and simultaneously use a photomultiplier tube (PMT) to detect its fluorescence in time bins of 50 ms.

From the PMT measurements after the interaction, we identify three possible outcomes for each experimental run, as is indicated in Fig. 1 (b-d). After the interaction the Yb$^+$ ion is either bright (panel b) or dark (panel c) indicating ion loss. The final scenario occurs when the ion is initially dark, but after some cooling time $t_c$, it turns bright (panel d). A hot ion ($T_{\text{ion}}/k_B \gtrsim 1$ K) appears dark, since it has a reduced scattering rate due to the Doppler effect, which shifts the cooling light out of resonance. After a typical $t_c$ on the order of hundreds of milliseconds the temperature and thus the Doppler shift is reduced and the ion appears bright again [39]. A possible explanation for a hot ion could be the photo-dissociation of a LiYb$^+$ molecular ion that is created when the ion interacts with the atom-dimer mixture. A similar effect was reported recently in the Ba$^+$-Rb system, in which hot Ba$^+$ ions were observed after photo-dissociation of BaRb$^+$ with light at 1064 nm [22]. We count events c) and d) together as the dark ion probability $P_{\text{dark}}$.

**Results.** We study the probability of dark ions as a function of the ODT power with Yb$^+$ initialized in $^2S_{1/2}$. Peak atom density $n_\text{a}$ b), obtained with time-of-flight measurements. Charge exchange probability c) for Yb$^+$ in its $^2P_{1/2}$ state. The dashed lines are linear fits to the data as a guide to the eye. The error bars reflect the total statistical uncertainties.

FIG. 2. Dark Yb$^+$ ion probability a) as a function of the ODT power with Yb$^+$ initialized in $^2S_{1/2}$. Peak atom density $n_\text{a}$ b), obtained with time-of-flight measurements. Charge exchange probability c) for Yb$^+$ in its $^2P_{1/2}$ state. The dashed lines are linear fits to the data as a guide to the eye. The error bars reflect the total statistical uncertainties.
Additional charge exchange measurements of the process \( \text{Yb}^+ (^2P_{1/2}) + \text{Li} \rightarrow \text{Li}^+ + \text{Yb} \) are taken as an independent means to probe the atomic density (see Fig. 2). Charge exchange occurs when we laser excite the ion to the \(^2P_{1/2}\) state during the interaction with the bath and it directly leads to ion loss \([13]\). To avoid signal saturation we use \( \tau = 50 \text{ ms} \). Since the charge exchange rate is independent of the collision energy, its rate is a direct probe of the local atom density around the ion. The measurements confirm the trend observed in Fig. 2 b.

Three-body recombination via \( \text{Li} + \text{Li} + \text{Yb} \rightarrow \text{LiYb}^+ + \text{Li} \) and other molecular ion formation mechanisms involving atoms, are excluded by the observed negative correlation between \( P_{\text{dark}} \) and \( n_a \). This is supported by theory since the three-body recombination rate is given by \( \Gamma_3 = k_3 n_a^2 \), with coefficient \( k_3 = 8 \pi^2 / 15 \sqrt{2} \alpha (2 \alpha)^{5/4} E_{\text{col}}^{3/4} \), where \( \alpha \) is the atomic polarizability, \( \mu \) is the atom-ion reduced mass and \( E_{\text{col}} \) is the collision energy \([6]\). For a Doppler cooled ion and our experimental parameters, \( P_{\text{ODT}} = 0.9 \text{ W} \), \( T = 5.6(0.2) \mu \text{K} \) and \( n_a = 2.1(3.3) \times 10^{16} \text{ m}^{-3} \), we find \( \Gamma_3 < 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1} \) which corresponds to the formation of one molecular ion in \(< 200 \) experimental runs. Therefore, three-body recombination of molecular ions does not play a significant role in the explored parameter space.

To further investigate the observed probability of dark events, we perform mass spectrometry to detect the presence of \( \text{LiYb}^+ \), as shown in Fig. 3. An rf-electric field \( (f_{\text{drive}} = 180 - 200 \text{ kHz}) \) is applied to a cylindrical electrode (blue rod in Fig. 1) from which energy can be transferred to the ion motion, when \( f_{\text{drive}} \) is in resonance with the ion’s radial trap frequency. When enough energy is transferred to the ion, this process leads to ion loss as the particle is heated from the trap. Since the trap frequency of the ion depends on its mass, we can use this scheme for mass spectrometry. We calibrate our system and extract the expected trap frequency \( f_{\text{res}} \) for the molecular ion \( m = 180 \text{ u} \) by measuring the trap frequencies of various isotopes of \( \text{Yb}^+ \) \([39]\).

Around \( f_{\text{res}} \) we find an enhancement of \( \text{Yb}^+ \) ion loss (see Fig. 3 (upper panel)), confirming that \( \text{LiYb}^+ \) molecular ions are formed during the interaction of the \( \text{Yb}^+ \) with the atom-dimer bath. These measurements are done by applying a 2 Vpp driving during the entire sequence and measuring ion loss \( (t_c > 1500 \text{ ms}) \) as a function of \( f_{\text{drive}} \). For off-resonant frequencies, photo-dissociation of \( \text{LiYb}^+ \) in the ODT beams results in dark \( \text{Yb}^+ \) ions, that mainly return within 1.5 s of Doppler cooling and thus the background \( \text{Yb}^+ \) ion loss is low. Around \( f_{\text{res}} \) however, resonant heating moves \( \text{LiYb}^+ \) quickly out of the ODT beams and reduces the photo-dissociation probability. Thus, driving \( \text{LiYb}^+ \) out of the ion trap results in increased loss events. For these measurements, we prepare the atom-dimer bath at \( T \approx 2 \mu \text{K} \) and \( n_a \approx 1 \times 10^{16} \text{ m}^{-3} \).

Finally, we study dimer-ion collisions in more detail by tweaking the dimer density in our system. The atomic three-body recombination rate for the process \( \text{Li} + \text{Li}^+ + \text{Li} \rightarrow \text{Li}_2^+ + \text{Li} \) is a function of the atom scattering length, which can be tuned by the magnetic field \( B_{\text{Li}_2} \) in the vicinity of the 832 G Feshbach resonance. We use \( P_{\text{ODT}} = 1.5 \text{ W} \) which results in \( T = 5.6(2) \mu \text{K} \) and \( n_a = 2.13(3) \times 10^{16} \text{ m}^{-3} \) roughly constant over the explored magnetic field range. Note that the interactions with the ion always occur at \( B = 0 \) G to ensure the same binding energy during the collision with the ion.

We find significant dark ion probabilities for \( B_{\text{Li}_2} \) approaching the Feshbach resonance. The measured \( P_{\text{dark}} \) as a function of \( B_{\text{Li}_2} \) is shown as dark disks in Fig. 4 a), with each data point corresponding to 40 repetitions. Below 600 G, we find negligible dark ion probabilities, in agreement with Ref. 14, whereas, when tuning \( B_{\text{Li}_2} \) above 600 G, we observe a significant increase of \( P_{\text{dark}} \), peaking around 693 G. For comparison, we measure the charge-exchange probability to obtain a relative local atom density with the results shown in panel (b) of Fig. 4. \( P_{\text{cc}} \) is approximately constant over the explored \( B_{\text{Li}_2} \), indicating that varying \( B_{\text{Li}_2} \) has no significant effect on the atomic density \([43]\).

The relationship between the probability of dark ions and the magnetic field can also be calculated via the dimer density \( n_d \). Two different approaches to obtain \( n_d \) result in the blue and the dashed black theory lines in Fig. 4 a). The dimer density is related to the probability by \( P_{\text{dark}}(n_d) = 1 - e^{-r \lambda_d n_d} \), where \( \tau = 500 \text{ ms} \) is the interaction time and \( \lambda_d = 4.9 \times 10^{-15} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ m}^3 \) is the
dimer-ion Langevin collision rate per unit density. Here, we rely on the fact that every dimer-ion collision results in a molecular ion when the collision energy is much smaller than the dimer binding energy. For the presented system, this was recently demonstrated with quasi-classical trajectory simulations [40]. Moreover, the density of molecular states is much larger in the long range molecular ion potential than in the short range van der Waals potential of the dimer, i.e. it is more likely that a molecular ion is created.

The dimer density \( n_d \) as a function of the magnetic field can be calculated by looking into the three-body recombination and dissociation process that determine the dimer formation [38, 39, 44]. Close to the Feshbach resonance, three-body recombination of \( \text{Li}_2 \) can be described with the rate coefficient \( \propto T E_{\text{b}}^{-3} \). This is in competition with three-body dissociation \( \text{Li}_2 + \text{Li} \rightarrow \text{Li} + \text{Li}^+ + \text{Li} \) which has the rate coefficient \( \propto T^{5/2} E_{\text{b}}^{-3} \exp(-E_{\text{b}}/k_B T) \), where \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant and \( E_{\text{b}} \) is the dimer binding energy [38]. We obtain the binding energy for different magnetic fields \( B_{\text{Li}_2} \) using precise measurements from Ref. [38].

We find reasonable agreement with our ion-data for magnetic fields \( \geq 700 \text{ G} \), when assuming an atom-dimer thermal equilibrium to obtain the dimer density. This is shown as the black dashed line in Fig. 4. Here, the dimer density follows an analytical expression as deduced in Refs. [38, 44], which we solve for the atom density and the atom temperatures of our system. However for fields below 700 G this simple model deviates from our measurements.

We find excellent agreement with the measured data over the entire magnetic field spectrum when numerically solving the rate equations as they evolve during the evaporation ramp [39]. This results in the blue solid lines in Fig. 4. It should be stressed that there are no fitted parameters in our model. The good agreement therefore indicates that every dimer-ion collision results in a molecular ion, which subsequently dissociates to a hot Yb\(^+\) ion, observable as a dark event in the experiment.

The results show that we can use the single ion as a sensor for \( \text{Li}_2 \) dimers in our system. In particular, we probe the local dimer density as \( n_d = -\ln(1 - P_{\text{dark}})/(\tau \lambda_\text{d}) \). As an example, we consider \( P_{\text{dark}} = 0.2 \), which can easily be distinguished from the background (see Fig. 4). We find \( n_d \approx 1 \times 10^{14} \text{ m}^{-3} \) corresponding to a relative density of \( n_d/n_a \approx 0.004 \). Remarkably, this amounts to only about 50 \( \text{Li}_2 \) dimers in our atomic cloud and shows the potential of using trapped ions to detect trace amounts of molecular gases.

**Conclusion & Outlook.** We identified \( \text{Li}_2^+ + \text{Yb}^+ \) collisions as the origin for the observed LiYb\(^+\) in our system. We found a strong correlation between molecular ion formation and the dimer density, and we observed molecular ions in our system via mass spectrometry. Merging trapped ions with Feshbach dimers presents a new approach for creating cold molecular ions. Our results suggest the applicability of a single ion as a probe for trace molecule gases, with densities as low as \( 10^{14} \text{ m}^{-3} \).

An important extension of the presented work will be the study of collisions in non-zero magnetic fields. Once the ion-dimer collision energy exceeds the \( \text{Li}_2 \) binding energy, dimer-dissociation should become prominent and reduce the molecular ion formation rate [40]. Feshbach dimers will allow studies of ultracold chemistry between ions and molecules, in which quantum effects such as ion-neutral Feshbach resonances [11, 41] will influence the reaction channels.
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SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

ION TRAPPING FREQUENCY CALIBRATION

We measure resonant heating of various Yb\textsuperscript{+} isotopes to calibrate the trapping frequency of our Paul trap. The ion’s radial trap frequency in the \( x \)-direction can be expressed by \([24]\)

\[
    f_m \equiv \frac{\omega_x}{2\pi} \approx \sqrt{\frac{-k_xQU_\text{dc}}{m y_0^2} + \frac{k_x^2 Q^2 U_{\text{rf}}^2}{2m^2 r_0^4 \Omega^2}}
\]

(1)

\[
    \equiv \frac{\kappa_x}{m} + \frac{k'_x}{m^2},
\]

(2)

with \( Q \) the electric charge of an ion with mass \( m \), trap parameters \( r_0 \) and \( y_0 \), \( U_{\text{rf}} \) and \( U_{\text{dc}} \) the radio-frequency (rf) and direct-current (dc) voltages respectively, and geometrical factors \( k_x \) and \( k'_x \). Here, the trap parameters are combined into new factors \( \kappa_x \) and \( k'_x \). Without atoms, we measure \( f_m \) for the isotopes \(^{168}\text{Yb}\text{+}, ^{172}\text{Yb}\text{+}, ^{174}\text{Yb}\text{+} \), and \(^{176}\text{Yb}\text{+} \), by resonantly driving the trap frequency with low driving voltage of 0.05 V pp. Due to the Doppler shift, successful heating is visible by reduced ion fluorescence. The results are presented in Fig. 3 (lower panel) of the main text. We fit Eq. 2 (orange line) to extract \( \kappa_x \) and \( k'_x \) and use these to calculate a theoretical trap frequency for the \(^6\text{Li}\text{+}{^{174}}\text{Yb}\text{+} \) molecular ion of \( f_{\text{res}} \approx 183.4 \text{kHz} \) (blue dash-dotted line). Note, that we observe day-to-day frequency drifts on the order of 0.5 kHz which we compensate by daily referencing to \( f_{174} \).

OBSERVATION OF DARK IONS

We observe dark ions after the interaction with the neutral cloud. We explain their origin in photodissociation of molecular ions with the 1064 nm ODT light, similar to observations with Ba\textsuperscript{2+} reported in Ref. \([22]\). Fast ion motion (\( \gg 1 \) mK) leads to a Doppler shift of the cooling light’s frequency and reduces the scattering rate and thus the fluorescence. After the interaction with the cloud, we record fluorescence with a photomultiplier tube (pmt) every 50 ms over 1500 ms, whereby the detection time for each data point is 2.5 ms. For each fluorescence measurement, we project the photon count to represent a bright (fluorescent) ion or a dark (non-fluorescent) ion. Then, we combine the photon counts from all measurements into a histogram and we define a threshold between the partially separated peaks for bright and dark ions. Varying the threshold by 20\%, we do not find a significant deviation on our results.

For the \( P_{\text{ODT}} \) scan data (Fig 2) of the main text, Fig 5(b) shows a typical single shot photon count for a dark ion turning bright again after about 500 ms of Doppler cooling, together with in b) the fluorescence averaged over the entire data set. The same data is shown as a histogram in c), where the contribution from lost ions (\( t_c > 1500 \text{ ms} \)) can be seen.

CREATION OF DIMERS AND DENSITY MODEL

The dimers are created by three-body recombination during evaporation close to the 832 G Feshbach resonance (FR). This particular resonance has been recently studied (e.g. \([45, 46]\)) and we can use the insights from \([38, 44]\) to calculate the dimer density in our system.

Rate Equations

For a positive scattering lengths (\( B < 832 \text{ G} \)) and a sample initially consisting of atoms, three-body recombination is the dominant process to form dimers,

\[
    \text{Li} + \text{Li}^' + \text{Li} \rightarrow \text{Li}_2 + \text{Li}.
\]

(3)

The dissociation process is the other way around,

\[
    \text{Li}^' + \text{Li} + \text{Li} \rightarrow \text{Li}_2 + \text{Li}^' \text{,}
\]

(4)

and the two spinstates of lithium are indicated by \( \text{Li} \) and \( \text{Li}^' \). The formation rate coefficient is given by \( R_{\text{fr}} = 16\alpha^6 k_B T / \hbar \) when \( E_b / k_B T \gg 1 \) \([37]\), with \( E_b \) the binding energy of the dimer. Here, \( \alpha \) is the atom scat-
tering length, $k_B$ the Boltzmann constant, $T$ the atom temperature and $h$ Planck’s constant $h$ divided by $2\pi$. In the halo-regime where $E_b/h < E_{vdW}/h = 614\, \text{MHz}$, this results in $R_{3\sigma} \approx 167\, \frac{\hbar^5}{m_a^2 E_b}$. And the dissociation rate
\[
C_d = 3.75\, \frac{\hbar^2}{m_a^2} \left(\frac{\langle k_B T \rangle}{E_b}\right)^{3/2} e^{-E_b/\langle k_B T \rangle} \text{ for the reverse process [38]. This leads to the following rate equations}
\]
\[
\frac{dn_a}{dt} = -4R_{3\sigma}n_a^3 + 4C_dn_an_d \tag{5}
\]
\[
\frac{dn_d}{dt} = 2R_{3\sigma}n_a^3 - 2C_dn_4n_a. \tag{6}
\]

where $n_a$ is the atom density of a single spin state and $n_d$ the dimer density. Here, we assume that all atoms and dimers remain trapped even after colliding and thus that $E_b < U_{\text{trap}}$, the trap depth which is about 20(14) $\mu K$

**Thermal Equilibrium**

In thermal equilibrium, $\frac{dn_a}{dt} = \frac{dn_d}{dt} = 0$, and assuming the atoms and molecules thermalize ($T = T_a = T_d$), Eq.\[5\]
simplifies to an analytic expression
\[
n_d = \frac{R_{3\sigma}}{C_d} n_a^2 = \phi_d^2 \left(\frac{2\pi \hbar^2}{m_a k_B T_a}\right)^{3/2} e^{E_b/\langle k_B T \rangle}. \tag{7}
\]

In terms of phase space density, the equation can be rewritten as $\phi_d = \phi_d^2 e^{E_b/\langle k_B T \rangle}$, with $\phi_d$ the dimer(atomic) phase space density, respectively, as found in [35].

The results for this simple analytic model are shown in Fig. 4 of the main text as dashed black lines. Agreement is found for magnetic fields above 700 G. For lower magnetic fields the binding energy of the dimers is greater than 10 $\mu K$ and the assumptions that all particles during three-body recombination and dissociation remain trapped is no longer valid.

**Including Evaporation**

For an accurate picture of the dimer formation, the evaporation ramp needs to be taken into account as our dimers are created during the last stage of evaporation. The evaporation ramp changes the rate equations because the rate coefficients now become time-dependent, as the temperature of the atom cloud changes with time. Furthermore, there is an additional loss channel for the atoms as they evaporate out of the trap. As atoms evaporate and the temperature of the cloud changes, the rate coefficients change according to, i.e. $R_{3\sigma} \propto T_a(t)$ and $C_d \propto [T_a(t)]^{3/2} e^{-E_b/\langle k_B T_a(t) \rangle}$, respectively.

During the evaporation ramp, the change in trapdepth $U$ and atomnumber $N$, leads to a change in atom density which can be characterized by
\[
\gamma(t) = \left(1 - \frac{3}{2}\alpha \right) \frac{N}{N(t)} + 3 \frac{\dot{U}}{U(t)} \left[ n_a - n_a \right]. \tag{8}
\]

Here, $\alpha$ is the scaling parameter, whereby $T \propto N^a$, and represents the temperature decrease per lost particle [38]. We assume an exponential evaporation ramp of duration $\tau_e$. Thus $N(t) = N(t) e^{(-t/t_e)}$ and $U(t) = U(t) e^{(-t/t_e)}$, with $N(t)$ the initial (final) atom number and $U(t)$ the initial (final) trap depth at the beginning (end) of the evaporation ramp. The full rate equations now become
\[
\frac{dn_a}{dt} = -4R_{3\sigma}n_a^3 + 4C_dn_4n_a - \gamma(t) \tag{9}
\]
\[
\frac{dn_d}{dt} = 2R_{3\sigma}n_a^3 - 2C_d n_4n_a. \tag{10}
\]

The results of solving these rate equations for our experimental parameters are shown as the blue line in Fig. 4 of the main text. Note that no free parameters are used and the experimental input parameters are obtained from time-of-flight (tof) data. See table [7] for the simulation input values and corresponding statistical uncertainties, as obtained from tof absorption images. The values for $N_i, T_i, n_a(t = 0), U_i, U_f$ and $\alpha$ are based on our evaporation ramp data, taken separately and rescaled to match the tof data of the ion-measurement day. For calculating the trap depth from the tof data, we use $U = \frac{\alpha}{2}(\sigma_0^2 \sigma_0^2)^{-2/3} k_B T$, with average width $\bar{w}_0 = (w_x w_y w_z)^{1/3} \approx (40 \times 40 \times 4000) \mu m \approx 186 \mu m$ and $\sigma_z \approx 10 \sigma_z^0$. Here, $\sigma_0^0$ is the in-situ width of the cloud in the $i$-th direction with $i = (x, y, z)$. From the atom tof data we obtain both $\sigma_x^0$ and $\sigma_y^0$ and the trap ratio (1:10) gives the relation between $\sigma_x^0$ and $\sigma_y^0$. The final atomnumber $N_f$ comes from the tof taken in between the ion-measurements on the same day. We check that the numerical simulation of the evaporation results in the final density and temperature as measured in these time-of-flights. The mean values for these datasets are $n_a = 2.1(3) \times 10^{16} \text{m}^{-3}$ and $T = 5.6(2) \mu K$.

**Binding energy of the dimers**

In the universal regime of the Feshbach resonance ($|a| \gg R_{vdW}$), the binding energy is given by $E_b = \hbar^2/(ma^2)$, with $m$ the mass of the atom and $R_{vdW}$ the van der Waals range. The scattering length $a$ follows the general Feshbach relation [10-12] of $a = a_{0g} - \frac{n_{vdw}}{R_{vdW}}$. This shows that, by changing the magnetic field, we can
tune the scattering length and change the binding energy of the dimers. When calculating the binding energy for magnetic fields further away from the center, such that $a \gg R_{vdW}$ does not hold, an additional correction to the universal expression of $E_b$ needs to be taken into account, which comes from the non-zero range of the van der Waals potential. Then

$$E_b = \frac{\hbar^2}{m(a - \bar{a})^2}. \quad (11)$$

For the case of lithium $\bar{a} = 0.956R_{vdW} = 0.956 \times 31.26a_0$ according to [35]. The dimers are created in the $3\Sigma^+_u(\nu = -1)$ state, where $\nu$ is the vibrational quantum number counted down from the continuum [10]. This is the last molecular bound state of Li$_2$ which mixes with the entrance channel ($F = 1/2, m_F = \pm 1/2$) facilitating formation of Li$_2$ dimers.

Note that when we overlap the atoms with the ion, we ramp to zero-field to perform all experiments with the same dimer binding energy to avoid influences on the molecular ion formation rate [10] as well as quantum effects [11 41]. For magnetic fields below 550 G the dimer bound state switches from $3\Sigma^+_u(\nu = -1)$ to $1\Sigma^+_g(\nu = -1)$ and with $S = 0$ its magnetic moment vanishes. Consequently, the binding energy of the dimers becomes field independent and for zero-field they have a binding energy of about 1.38 GHz [10 51].

### Feshbach Resonance Parameters

The exact value of $E_b(B)$ or $a(B)$ matters when we want to calculate the number of dimers we create. This value is closely related to the determination of the FR parameters of the FR we use to create the dimers. The most accurate and recent determination of the FR parameters stems from [36], where they measured the binding energy as a function of magnetic field directly and provide $a(B)$ for 0-2000 G. When doing a local fit ($|B - B_0| \ll \Delta$) to $a(B)$ using $a = a_{bg} - \frac{a_{bg}\Delta}{B_0 - B}$, they found the fit parameters to be $a_{bg} = -1582(1) a_0$, $B_0 = 832.18(8) G$, $\Delta = -262.3(3) G$. However this only applies for a narrow range of magnetic fields close to $B_0$. Farther away, a leading order correction term needs to be added because of another close-by FR at 527 G, as was shown in [52].

For our theory lines we therefore choose to directly take $a(B)$ as given by [36] and use Eq. 11 to calculate the binding energy.

### Tuning the dimer density

To explore different Li$_2$ densities in our system, we either tune $P_{ODT}$ or $B_{Li_2}$ at the final stage of the evaporative cooling in the experimental sequence, as it is depicted in Fig. 6. We tune the atoms’ phase space density, by changing the ODT depth at the end of evaporation with the help of an acousto-optical modulator. This changes both the density of the atoms as well as their temperature. The $P_{ODT}$ is the power of the optical dipole beam when entering the setup. This beams creates the crossed dipole trap by entering through the endcaps of the ion trap at an angle of $5^\circ$ with respect to the Paul trap axis as depicted in Fig.1 of the main text. Using a lin \perp lin polarization configuration, we prevent the occurrence of an optical lattice potential.

When changing the magnetic field to $B_{Li_2} = 600 - 760$ G, we found that the atom density stays constant as the amount of dimers created stays below the experimental error (about 20) for determining the atom density. This is further confirmed by the constant charge exchange rate measured by the ion and from independent time-of-flight measurements. When changing $B_{Li_2}$, the scattering length changes and thus the dimer binding energy. This leads to a variable dimer density as the recombination rate and dissociation rate are depending

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$N_1$</td>
<td>17(3) \times 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_f$</td>
<td>33(2) \times 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_i (\mu K)$</td>
<td>37(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>3(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_{a(=0)} (m^{-3})$</td>
<td>5(2) \times 10^{16}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U_1 (\mu K)$</td>
<td>73(54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U_1 (\mu K)$</td>
<td>20(14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE I.** Values of atom parameters used in the numerical solutions to the rate equations (solid lines, Fig. 4. main text) and based on time-of-flight (tof) absorption imaging. Errors come from the fit uncertainty of the parameters extracted from the tof fits.
on $E_b$.

### ATOM DATA FOR $P_{ODT}$ SCAN

We take regular time-of-flight measurements of the atomic cloud using absorption imaging after we transport the ODT to the center of the Paul trap. We typically average 4-5 images before fitting a Gaussian distribution to extract the atom parameters, i.e. atom number and width of the cloud. The coldest atom clouds have a temperature as low as 0.9(5) μK with about $5 \times 10^3$ atoms. However the presence of the ion trap limits the numerical aperture of our imaging system and the coldest clouds are only a few pixels wide and when expanding become quickly to dilute too detect.

From the time-of-flight curves, assuming free expansion, the atom number $N_a$, temperature $T_x$ and $T_y$ as well as the in-situ width of the cloud, $\sigma_{x0}^0$ and $\sigma_{y0}^0$, in both x- and y-direction can be obtained. This follows from fitting the expansion of the width of the cloud as a function of expansion time using

$$\sigma_i(t) = \left(\frac{\sigma_{i0}^0}{2} + \frac{k_B T_i}{m_a} t^2\right)^{1/2}$$

whereby the in-situ width of the cloud is related to the trap frequency by $\sigma_{i0}^0 = \left(\frac{k_B T_i}{m_a}\right)^{1/2}$, with trap frequencies $\omega_i$ and $i = (x,y,z)$.

The peak density $n_a$ for a thermal cloud in an harmonic trap is given by

$$n_a = \left(\frac{\bar{\omega}^2 m_a}{2\pi k_B T_a}\right)^{3/2} N = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{3/2} \sigma_{x0}^0 \sigma_{y0}^0 \sigma_{z0}^0$$

Here, $\bar{\omega}^2$ is the geometrical average of the trap frequency and it can be calculated as $\bar{\omega}_i = \left(\omega_x \omega_y \omega_z\right)^{1/3}$. Furthermore $T = (T_x + T_y)/2$ and $\sigma_{x0}^2 = 10 \sigma_{y0}^2$ for our trap. The phase space density is given by

$$\phi_a = n_\text{dB} \lambda_\text{dB}^3 = n \left(\frac{2\pi \hbar^2}{m_a k_B T_a}\right)^{3/2}.$$\

For the $P_{ODT}$ scan, the observed atom density $n_a$, temperature $T$ and phase space density $\phi_a$ are shown in Fig. 7. As follows from Eq. 7 and from [38], the atom-molecule conversion efficiency in a thermal gas, assuming thermal equilibrium, is given by $\phi_a = \phi_a \epsilon_{\text{odt}}/k_B T$, although this equation excludes the evaporation ramp itself, it does indicate that the expected dimer phase space density $\phi_{db}$ is related to $\phi_a$ and that this can thus explain the increase in the probability of molecular ion formation for lower $P_{ODT}$ as observed in the main text (Fig. 2). Further benchmarking the evaporation ramps for each $P_{ODT}$ may allow to perform the numerical simulation of the dimer density as was done for the magnetic field scan.

![FIG. 7. Atom parameters for optical dipole trap power scan. The atom density a), temperature b) and phase space density (PSD) c) are obtained from time-of-flight absorption imaging measurements. The dashed lines are linear fits and guides for the eye. The atom PSD in c) is related to the increase in dimer phase space density. Here, the error bars reflect the total statistical uncertainties. Note the high error bars for the lowest point ($P_{ODT} \approx 0.7$ W) in c), showing the limitations of our imaging method.](image-url)