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The cold atomic Fermi gas has become the essential paradigm for understanding the crossover between the weak coupling Bardeen-Schrieffer-Cooper (BCS) and strong coupling Bose-Einstein Condensation (BEC) regimes of paired fermion condensates. On tuning the pairing interactions through the crossover, the superfluid condensate becomes optimally robust between the BCS and BEC regimes at what is referred to as the unitary point, giving rise to a maximally peaked phase transition anomaly in the specific heat. We find here, by tracing the jump $\Delta \gamma$ in the electronic specific heat coefficient $\gamma$ at the transition temperature $T_c$ as a function of the gap ratio $2\Delta/k_B T_c$, that this same thermodynamic signature occurs in the high-$T_c$ superconducting cuprates. On taking $\Delta$ as the pairing gap in a cold atomic Fermi gas and as the antinodal pseudogap energy in the cuprates, we find $\Delta \gamma$ to be asymmetrically peaked at the same value of $2\Delta/k_B T_c \approx 6.5$. We show the observation of this peak in the cuprates and its coincidence with a normal state maximum in $\gamma$ to provide a previously overlooked thermodynamic signature of condensation at a unitary point.

As one of several theoretical explanations for high-$T_c$ superconductivity [1][3], a crossover in the pairing interactions between the weak coupling BCS [4] and the strong coupling BEC [5][6] limits was proposed in the layered cuprates [81–83]. The $\Delta$-gap as the pairing gap in a cold atomic Fermi gas and as the antinodal pseudogap energy in the cuprates [37–39] are expected to become especially strongly peaked at this point, as shown in Fig. 1b [34, 35] and in the critical velocity of the superfluid [36]. The change $\Delta S$ in entropy and the jump $\Delta \gamma$ in the fermionic specific heat coefficient accompanying the phase transition at $T_c$ [37][39] are expected to become especially strongly peaked at this point, as shown in Fig. 1b [67].

Turning to the cuprates, the equivalent $\Delta \gamma$ in Fig. 1b [68][75] exhibits marked departures from BCS theory [4]. The change in $\Delta \gamma$ with $p$ by a factor of $\sim 30$ in YBCO is far larger than the variations in $\Delta \gamma$ that are ordinarily explained by Eliashberg theory in regular BCS systems [39][79][80]. It also significantly exceeds the two to threefold change in $\Delta \gamma$ as a function of $p$ that has been predicted in various strongly coupled pairing models of the cuprates [81][83]. The $\Delta \gamma$ curves do, however, exhibit maxima as a function of $p$ resembling the behavior as a function of $1/k_F a$ in a unitary Fermi gas in Fig. 1b.

The similar behavior of $\Delta \gamma$ in the cuprates to that of a unitary Fermi gas becomes clear once $\Delta \gamma$ is expressed as a function of the gap ratio $2\Delta/k_B T_c$ [4][30] in Fig. 2a. There exists a direct correspondence between $1/k_F a$ and $2\Delta/k_B T_c$ in a cold atomic gas [67] (plotted in Fig. 2a), which therefore enables us to plot $\Delta \gamma$ in the cuprates and in a cold atomic gas on the same $2\Delta/k_B T_c$ axis in Fig. 2a. This proves to be especially useful in the cuprates owing to the limited availability of data pertaining to the Fermi energy scale $\varepsilon_F$ of the quasiparticles [39]. While studies of the unitary Fermi gas differ on the precise values of $\Delta$ and $T_c$ at the unitary point [7][10][11][22][24][30][31][32], they are found to be consistent with respect to the ratio $2\Delta/k_B T_c = 6.5 \pm 0.2$ [39] (see for example Fig. 9 of Ref. [34] and Table 1 of Ref. [23]), indicating that this specific value of the gap ratio is a robust property of such a point. Figure 2a shows that $\Delta \gamma$ [38][67] (center dot squares) and $\Delta S$ (solid grey line) are sharply
peaked close to $2\Delta/k_BT_c = 6.5$.

Various superconductors, including classic BCS and iron-based systems, while spanning comparatively limited ranges in $2\Delta/k_BT_c$, are found to exhibit trends in $\Delta\gamma/\gamma$ versus $2\Delta/k_BT_c$ consistent with Fig. 2a, b, and c [68–75] for the cuprates [68–75] and for a cold atomic gas (extracted from $S(T)$ in Fig. 5 of Ref. [39, 67]). $\Delta S$ (from Fig. 6 of Ref. [67]) closely follows $\Delta\gamma$, providing a guide to the eye. Identical cuprate symbol shapes are used in (c) and (d). $1/k_BT$ versus $2\Delta/k_BT_c$ [67]. Spectroscopic and thermal antinodal excitation gap measurements [91–93].

The asymmetric line shape of $\Delta\gamma$ is found to have gap ratios near to $2\Delta/k_BT_c = 6.5$, with iron selenide having also recently been reported to exhibit a BCS-BES crossover [80, 87] — albeit without accompanying measurements of $\Delta\gamma$. A similar gap ratio at unitarity has further been reported in gapped superconductors [88].

The asymmetric line shape of $\Delta\gamma$ in Fig. 2a can be understood to result from the fact the gap ration has a hard cutoff on the left-hand side of the phase diagram at a value similar to that $\approx 3.5$ of an ideal BCS superconductor [4], while there is no cutoff on the BEC side [7, 10, 11, 22–24, 30, 31]. On the BCS side, $\Delta\gamma$ increases with $2\Delta/k_BT_c$ similarly to that in Eliashberg theory [39, 79], while on the BEC side, $T_c$ and consequently $\Delta\gamma$ are limited by the phase stiffness of the condensate [13]. In the cuprates, one obtains precisely the same asymmetric line shape when $\Delta$ (purple line in Fig. 2a) [89, 90] corresponds in energy to the antinodal excitation gap dominating spectroscopic and thermodynamic measurements (symbols in Fig. 2b). [89, 90] energies correspond to the antinodal excitation gap dominating spectroscopic and thermodynamic measurements (symbols in Fig. 2b). [89, 90] On averaging the values of $2\Delta/k_BT_c$ in Fig. 2a for the higher $T_c$ cuprates (YBCO, Ca-YBCO, BSCCO and TBCO [75]) at which $\Delta\gamma$ is found to be peaked (near $p = 0.2$ in Fig. 1), we obtain a mean value: $2\Delta/k_BT_c = 6.4 \pm 0.3$, which is the same within experimental uncertainty as at the unitary point. The asymmetric behavior displayed for multiple cuprate families in Fig. 2a, b, c, d can therefore be regarded as a thermodynamic signature of an optimally robust superconducting state at a unitary point involving antinodal pairing.
understood to persist deep into the normal state above $T_c$ in the unitary regime of a cold atomic Fermi gas, where they may produce a depression, or a pseudogap, in the density of states at low energies [24, 25, 24, 04–06]. Various studies have shown that while the depth of the depression in the density of states is strongly dependent on temperature, there is a range of temperatures at $T > T_c$ over which the magnitude of the excitation gap $\Delta$ remains comparable to that of the $T = 0$ pairing gaps [21, 24, 05, 06]. In the BEC regime, excitations across this gap, or local pairing amplitude fluctuations, produce a normal state maximum in the fermionic specific heat coefficient $\gamma$ [22, 38, 07, 08] at a temperature $T_\gamma$. They also produce a corresponding broader maximum in the spin susceptibility [29, 102] $\chi$ at approximately twice the temperature, $T_\chi$, which occurs in the BEC regime and persists somewhat into the BCS regime [99, 102].

Maxima in $\gamma$ and $\chi$ characterizing the pseudogap have indeed previously been attributed to pairing amplitude fluctuations in the cuprates [31, 33, 103]. Figure 3 shows that on plotting $\gamma$ and $\chi$ for multiple cuprate families [39] and comparing these with those expected for the unitary Fermi gas (magenta curves), maxima in $\gamma$ and corresponding maxima in $\chi$ emerge as ubiquitous properties of the normal state [71, 76, 77, 104, 110] in both systems. In the cuprates, we use $\chi$ to collectively refer to the magnetic susceptibility $\chi_m$ and the nuclear magnetic resonance Knight shift $K$ of the normal state. [39]. The locations of maxima at $2\Delta/k_B T_\gamma \approx 6.5$ and $2\Delta/k_B T_\chi \approx 3$ are readily captured by simple models of a gapped narrow band [39] (black and grey curves in Fig. 3).

We proceed to construct a phase diagram for the locations of the maxima as a function of $p$ in Fig. 2, with which we are able to reconcile large bodies of thermodynamic and spectroscopic data. Included in Fig. 2 are the lines $T_c = 2\Delta/3k_B$ and $T_\gamma = 2\Delta/6.5k_B$ (where $\Delta$ again refers to the purple line in Fig. 2) and the locations of the maxima in $\chi$ (white symbols) and $\gamma$ (grey symbols) in the raw data [39]. We use the smaller scatter of the locations of the maxima in $\gamma$ also to tightly constrain the functional form of $\Delta$ versus $p$ in Fig. 2 by fitting [39] (see Fig. 2). The consistency of both the $T_\chi = 2\Delta/3k_B$ and $T_\gamma = 2\Delta/6.5k_B$ curves with the locations of the maxima in Fig. 2 and also of $\Delta$ with spectroscopic measurements of the gap in Fig. 2 means that thermodynamic and spectroscopic measurements can both be understood in terms of a single dominant $p$-dependent energy scale that is approximately the same across all cuprate families (regardless of their optimal $T_c$).

On including the $T_\chi = 2\Delta/3k_B$ and $T_\gamma = 2\Delta/6.5k_B$ curves in the phase diagrams of the high-$T_c$ cuprates and the unitary regime of a Fermi gas in Figs. 1b and c, overarching similarities in the behaviors of these two systems become apparent. These include the dependences of $T_\chi$ and $T_\gamma$ on $p$ and on $1/k_F a$, suggesting that $\Delta$ (including its persistence in the normal state) is similarly attributable to pairing in both systems and that $p$ plays the equivalent role to $1/k_F a$ as a tuning parameter for the strength of the pairing interactions. Significantly, since $2\Delta/k_B T_c$ has a value very similar to the magic gap ratio of $\approx 6.5$ at the maxima in $\gamma$, the peak in $\Delta_\gamma$ vs. $T_c$ is located close to the intersection of $T_c$ with $T_{\chi}$ rather than at the optimal $T_c$. We can thus identify the value of the hole doping $p^*$ at which the superconducting condensate becomes optimally robust and its similarity to the unitary point of a Fermi gas at $1/k_F a = 0$. The coincidence of the peak in $\Delta_\gamma$ with the maximum in $\gamma$ at $p^*$ can therefore be regarded as another thermodynamic signature of the unitary point. This becomes clear from their coalignments when they are plotted versus $2\Delta/k_B T_c$ and $2\Delta/k_B T_\gamma$ in Fig. 3, which again occurs for multiple cuprate families on using the same $\Delta$ versus $p$ curve (in Fig. 2).

The signatures of a optimally robust superconducting state are clearest in the cuprates with higher $T_c$'s. In the
lower $T_c$ cuprates, LSCO, BSLCO and Nd-LSCO \[73\], the absence of peaks in $\Delta \gamma$ at $p \approx 0.2$ in Fig. 1 can be understood to result from the lower optimal $T_c$'s of these families causing their intersections of $T_c$ with $T_B$ to occur at significantly higher $p$ than their optimal $T_c$'s. For this reason, they fail to reach as robust a superconducting state. In LSCO, an extrapolation of $T_c$ in Fig. 1 suggests that $p^* \approx 0.26$, although there is no measured value of $\Delta \gamma$ at exactly this composition \[39\]. Hence, it is unknown whether $\Delta \gamma$ rises to a peak at $2\Delta/k_BT_c \approx 6.5$ in Figs. 2a and 3. Its greater tendency to remain in the BEC regime to higher $p$ is, however, consistent with recent superfluid density measurements \[39\] \[111\].

Despite enormous differences in energy scale between the cuprates and cold atomic Fermi gases \[39\], and there being multiple possible contributions to the pseudogap in the cuprates \[11\] \[20\] \[26\] \[29\], especially at low hole dopings \[25\], the emerging picture is therefore one in which the cuprates exhibit a very similar thermodynamic behavior in the vicinity of $p^*$ as occurs in the unitary regime of a Fermi gas \[23\] \[33\]. Implicit to such a universal behavior is a Fermi energy $\varepsilon_F$ that must be strongly renormalized \[39\] and a pairing potential that must necessarily be of short range ($r_0 < 1/k_F$ in Fig. 1h) and dominate over all other interactions near $p^*$ owing to a divergence in the elastic pair scattering cross section \[33\] \[113\]. Various other details specific to the cuprates, such as the $d$-wave pairing symmetry \[39\] and the existence of various broken symmetry phases in certain cuprate families \[25\], appear to be of diminished thermodynamic importance near $p^*$.

Given the prior reports of quantum criticality in the cuprates at similar hole dopings to $p^*$ \[114\] \[116\], one intriguing possibility is that the BCS-BEC crossover and quantum criticality share a common origin. Indeed, the phenomenology of quantum criticality bears many similarities to that of the unitary regime of a Fermi gas \[117\], including Plankian dissipation and scale invariance \[114\] \[119\] and the minimum in the pair coherence length \[32\] \[120\] \[121\], as inferred in the cuprates from the maximum in the superconducting upper critical magnetic field \[30\] \[78\] \[122\] \[123\].

An alternative possibility therefore is that what has been reported as a quantum critical point is in fact a unitary point. It is instructive to consider the case of the peak in $\Delta \gamma$ as a function of $p$ for Ca-YBCO in Fig. 1, which was recently attributed to a $T = 0$ maximum in the normal state effective mass or $\gamma$ at $p^* \approx 0.24$. We do indeed find $\Delta \gamma$ to coincide with a maximum in $\gamma$ in Fig. 3a. However, we find this maximum to occur as a function of both $T$ (plotted as $2\Delta/k_BT$ in Fig. 3a) and $p$, meaning that it is the product of excitations across the $p$-dependent energy gap (i.e. $\Delta = 6.5k_BT\gamma/2$ in Figs. 2c and d) rather than a singularity at zero energy \[124\].

Other observations that strongly favor a unitary point in the cuprates include the close similarity of the drop in entropy in the normal state at $p < p^*$ \[125\] to that in a cold atomic gas at $1/k_BT > 6.5$ \[67\], which in both cases is not recovered at high temperatures, and, finally, the still missing evidence for a divergence in the correlation length of a broken symmetry phase at $p^* \approx 27$ \[28\].

Having identified an asymmetric peak in $\Delta \gamma$ at $2\Delta/k_BT_c \approx 6.5$ and its coincidence with a normal state maximum in $\gamma$ as a defining thermodynamic signature of condensation at the unitary point, we anticipate this same signature being found in various other superconductors that have been reported \[80\] to have gap ratios near $\delta_F \approx 6.5$ \[39\] \[80\] or are otherwise promising candidates for a BCS-BEC crossover based on their low $\varepsilon_F$ values. These include the recently suggested cases in iron selenide \[86\] \[87\], gated two-dimensional superconductors \[88\] and twisted multilayer graphene \[126\].
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APPENDIX
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$\Delta \gamma/\gamma$ for conventional BCS and Fe- and Ni-based superconductors.

Figure 4a show $\Delta/\gamma$ (where $\gamma$ is an assumed constant normal state Sommerfeld coefficient) of various conventional BCS superconductors as a function of $2\Delta/k_B T_c$ from Ref. [79]. The outliers with lower values of $\Delta \gamma/\gamma$ correspond to amorphous materials. Apart from the outliers, $\Delta \gamma/\gamma$ can be seen to steadily increase with $2\Delta/k_B T_c$, never reaching the value of $\approx 6.5$ which we find to correspond to the unitary point.

Figure 4b show $\Delta/\gamma$ versus $2\Delta/k_B T_c$ for various Fe- and Ni-based superconductors, using data from Ref. [80]. Here, the system with the largest $\Delta/\gamma$ value has a gap ratio $2\Delta/k_B T_c = 6.6$, which is therefore consistent with this system being close to unitary point.

In the cuprates, owing to a significant deviation of $\gamma$ from a constant value at $T > T_c$, due primarily to the pseudogap, we plot the absolute value of $\Delta \gamma$ rather than the ratio $\Delta \gamma/\gamma$. This avoids incurring errors associated with estimating a constant $\gamma$, as is typically done in BCS superconductors. We plot only the absolute value of $\Delta \gamma$ also for the case of a cold atomic gas, where this is also the case.

Cuprate hole dopings

For LSCO, the hole dopings $p$ are determined using $p = x[71]$. For YBCO, in the case of YBa$_2$Cu$_2$O$_{6+z}$ they are determined from the value of $T_c$ using Refs. [42], in the case of Ca-YBCO (YBCO in which 20% of the Y is substituted with Ca), we have used $p$ values from Ref. [72], while in the case of YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_8$ (data for $p = 0.125$) we have used $p$ from Ref. [43]. For BSCCO, $p$ values are provided in Ref. [71], for BSLCO $p$ is provided in Ref. [74], while for TBCO $p$ is obtained by applying the Tallon formula [44] to the data in Ref. [69], while it is assumed that $p = 0.16$ in Ref. [73]. For YBCO in Figs. 2 and 3 of the main paper, the highest two compositions ($p = 0.152$ and 0.158) are for samples in which 2% of the planar Cu has been substituted with Zn.

For LSCO and Nd-LSCO, additional $T_c$ values in Fig. 1c of the main paper are obtained from Refs. [11] [12].

For TBCO, $\gamma$ data pertains to a sample in which $T_c$ is suppressed by a magnetic field [10]. Although it remains unclear to what extent the field suppresses the phase stiffness of the superconducting state relative to the pairing gap, the maximum in $\gamma$ presented at $B = 13$ T pertains to the resistive regime [69] (the zero resistance state being almost completely suppressed) and there is relatively little change in the maximum between 10 and 13 T. Measurements on a nearby in doping non superconducting composition have enabled the phonon contribution to the heat capacity to be subtracted with reasonable accuracy [10].

In the case of $\chi$, measurements of $K$ are made in the presence of a uniform magnetic field for which the effect of incipient diamagnetism above $T_c$ is greatly reduced relative to measurements of the uniform susceptibility. The temperature dependence of $K$ is therefore primarily attributed to changes in the spin susceptibility. Incipient diamagnetism, mostly in the overdoped cuprates, can in some cases produce a maximum in $\chi$ that is unrelated to pair amplitude fluctuations or the pseudogap. Owing to its lower $T_c$ values, measurements of the uniform susceptibility are less prone to the effects of incipient diamagnetism in LSCO.
Determination of $T_\gamma$ and $T_\chi$ from the original cuprate data.

Figure 5 shows the data from Fig. 1 of the main paper[40, 68, 71, 76, 77, 104–106, 110], here plotted as a function of the actual temperature $T$. $T_\gamma$ and $T_\chi$ are obtained from the location of the maxima in $T$. In the case of noisier datasets, or datasets with fewer points, the location of the maxima is assisted by the fitting of a third order polynomial in $\ln T$. We make an exception for the $p = 0.22$ composition of LSCO, where we read off the temperature at which $T_\gamma$ exhibits a shoulder feature. The temperatures ($T_\gamma$ and $T_\chi$) at which maxima are observed are plotted in Fig. 2c of the main text. Owing to the broad widths of the peak, the error bars are 10% and 20% for $T_\gamma$ and $T_\chi$, respectively.

By using published temperature-dependent $\gamma$ and $\chi$ data, we rely on background subtractions made by the authors of the respective works. For $\gamma$, systematic errors are likely to be introduced owing to the complicated phonon spectra and reliance on non-superconducting reference samples. Owing to assumptions that need to be made to account for changes in the concentration of O doped into the CuO chains that are necessary to produce changes in hole doping[68], these systematic errors become largest at higher dopings of YBCO. Meingast et al. have shown that it is challenging to accurately model the phonon modes in this system using $AB$-initio methods[45]. However, at the same O dopings where systematic errors in accounting for the phonon contribution are expected to become most significant, a peak in $\gamma$ is no longer visible owing to its concealment by superconductivity. The jump $\Delta \gamma$ in $\gamma$ at $T_c$, by contrast, is unaffected by the phonon subtraction.

For $\chi$, we have assumed that the largest temperature-dependent contributions to the uniform magnetic susceptibility $\chi_m$ or Knight shift $K$ in the neighborhood of $T_\chi$ is from the spin susceptibility. There is evidence for a diamagnetic contribution to $\chi_m$ of BSCCO and TBCO at the lowest temperatures[71, 77], which we have cut-off in cases where this contribution becomes obtrusively large.

BSLCO Knight shift data

Knight shift data also exists for BSLCO, which stands for Bi$_2$Sr$_{2-x}$La$_x$CuO$_{6+\delta}$, in which a downturn has previously been reported to occur at temperatures below $T^*$[10] (see Fig. 6). This data downturn is most clearly apparent on plotting the data versus $2\Delta/k_BT$. While the BSLCO data supports the existence of a peak at $2\Delta/k_BT \approx 3$, the Knight shift was not measured to sufficiently high temperature in this experiment to determine unambiguously whether or not $\chi$ falls once $2\Delta/k_BT < 3$. One possible exception is the sample with a hole doping of $p \approx 0.206$.

Prior modeling of $\Delta \gamma$ versus $p$

One strong coupling theory of superconductivity has been shown to qualitatively account for the strong variation of $\Delta \gamma$ with $p$[47, 48]. In this model, the total jump in $\Delta \gamma$ is the sum of a vertical jump resulting from the onset of a superconducting order parameter $\Delta_s$ and a

---

**FIG. 5. Raw data.** Data used in Fig. 3 of the main paper plotted as a function of the actual temperature $T$. The inferred maximum are indicated by inverted triangles.

**FIG. 6. Raw data in BSLCO.** a, Knight shift in BSLCO. b, Knight shift in BSLCO plotted versus $2\Delta/k_BT$, using doping values from Ref. [45].
lambda anomalous increase immediately above \( T_c \) resulting from perturbations in the pseudogap \( \Delta_{pg} \) on approaching \( T_c \). The two are assumed to add in quadrature: i.e. \( \Delta = \sqrt{\Delta_s^2 + \Delta_{pg}^2} \). In order to produce a variation in \( \Delta \gamma \) with \( p \) similar to that in experiments, it was necessary to assume an arbitrary functional form of the line broadening \( \gamma \) near \( T_c \) of the pseudogap on \( T \) and on the overall magnitude of \( \Delta_{pg} \) as a function of \( p \) in this theory. This is in contrast to the modeling of Haussmann et al.\[67\], which does not require any such assumptions.

**Cold atomic Fermi gas data**

In Fig. 1 of the main paper, \( T_c, \Delta \gamma, \Delta S \) and \( \Delta \) are extracted from Figs. 4, 5, 6 and 8 of Haussmann et al.\[67\]. For \( 1/k_F a < 0 \), \( \Delta \gamma \) is obtained from the difference in \( \partial S/\partial T \) between the fluid and normal phases at \( T_c \). For \( 1/k_F a \geq 0 \), however, \( S \) is multivalued at \( T_c \). Since this is understood to be an artifact of the numerical method, we use the approximation \( \Delta \gamma \approx \Delta S/\partial T \), where \( \Delta T \) is the interval in \( T \) over which \( S \) is multivalued, \( \Delta S \) is the full extent of the variation in \( S \) within the interval and \( \partial S/\partial T \) is the slope of \( S \) in the normal state.

In Fig. 3 of the main paper, \( \gamma \) is obtained by differentiating \( S \) in Fig. 19 of Chen and Wang\[23\]. Owing to the intersection of \( T_\gamma \) and \( T_c \) at \( 1/k_F a = 0 \), tuning of \( 1/k_F a \) into the BEC regime is required in order to observe the maxima in \( \gamma \)\[24\].

**Estimates of \( 2\Delta/k_B T_c \) at the unitary point of a cold atomic gas**

From the various theoretical methods tabulated in Table 1 of Ref. \[23\] and obtained from Ref. \[54\], we obtain \( 2\Delta/k_B T_c = 6.6 \pm 0.2 \). The experimental estimate of the gap ratio at the unitary point is \( 2\Delta/k_B T_c = 5.3 \pm 0.6 \)[23], on combining quoted experimental errors in \( \Delta \) and \( T_c \). Combining experimental and numerical estimates, we obtain \( 2\Delta/k_B T_c = 6.5 \pm 0.2 \).

**Thermodynamics of the maxima in \( \gamma \) and \( \chi \)**

**Gap in an electronic band.**

For a gap opening in an electronic band obeying Fermi-Dirac statistics, we consider the electronic contribution to the Helmholtz free energy

\[
F_{el} = -N_A \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k_B T D(\varepsilon + s g \mu_B B) \ln(1 + e^{-\frac{\varepsilon + \frac{s g \mu_B B}{k_B T \gamma}}{\theta_0}}) d\varepsilon + N_A N_B, 
\]

as an integral over states, where

\[
D(\varepsilon + s g \mu_B B)
\]
is the density of states subject to Zeeman splitting \( s g \mu_B B \) (where \( s \) is spin, \( g \) is the \( g \)-factor and \( \mu_B \) is the Bohr magneton). If we consider the energy of a single electronic band subject to Zeeman splitting, then spin singlet formation couples electron and hole states of like spin (or electrons or holes of opposite spin) whereas spin triplet formation couples electrons and holes of opposite spin.

Under the assumption of a symmetric gap centered about the chemical potential (defined as \( \mu = 0 \)) and a constant number of particles \( N \), the electronic coefficient of the heat capacity and the spin susceptibility are given by \[49, 50\]

\[
\gamma = \frac{C_{el}}{T} = \frac{\partial^2 F_{el}}{\partial T^2} \bigg|_{V,N} = N_A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D(\varepsilon) \frac{\varepsilon^2}{k_B T^3} (e^{\varepsilon/k_B T} + 1)^2 d\varepsilon, 
\]

and

\[
\chi = \frac{\mu_0}{V} \frac{\partial^2 F_{el}}{\partial B^2} \bigg|_{V,N} = \mu_0 (s g \mu_B)^2 N_A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D(\varepsilon) \frac{1}{k_B T} (e^{\varepsilon/k_B T} + 1)^2 d\varepsilon, 
\]

respectively, in the limit \( B \to 0 \) where \( s = \frac{1}{2} \).

**Trivial gap in a narrow electronic band \( (t \to 0) \)**

When a gap \( 2\Delta \) opens in a narrow electronic band, the resulting trivially gapped state consists of two narrow levels centered about \( \mu \) (for which \( \gamma \) and \( \chi \) are shown in Fig. 3 of the main paper). One can model the density of states resulting from spin singlet bound state formation as delta functions so that \( D(\varepsilon) = \delta(|\varepsilon| - s g \mu_B B) - \Delta) \), for which we obtain

\[
\gamma = 2N_A \frac{\Delta^2}{k_B T^3} \left( e^{\Delta/k_B T} + 1 \right)^2 
\]

and

\[
\chi = \mu_0 (s g \mu_B)^2 N_A \frac{1}{k_B T} \left( e^{\Delta/k_B T} + 1 \right)^2, 
\]

respectively. We locate the maxima in \( \gamma \) and \( \chi \) by setting \( \partial \gamma / \partial T = 0 \) and \( \partial \chi / \partial T = 0 \), yielding the transcendental equations \( \Delta = (1/2g_B \mu_B) \) and \( \Delta = (1/2g_B \mu_B) \), for which we obtain \( \theta_{\gamma} = k_B T / \Delta \approx 0.308296 \) and \( \theta_{\chi} = k_B T / \Delta \approx 0.647918 \); hence \( 2\Delta/k_B T_c \approx 6.4783 \) and \( 2\Delta/k_B T_c \approx 3.0868 \).

For spin triplet bound state formation, the density of states has the form \( D(\varepsilon) = \delta(|\varepsilon| - \sqrt{\Delta^2 + (s g \mu_B B)^2}) \), yielding the same \( \gamma \) as given by Equation 3. However, for these states, \( \chi = 0 \) in the limit \( B \to 0 \).

For the \( d \)-wave gap used to model the peaks in \( \gamma \) and \( \chi \) in Fig. 2 of the main paper, the electronic density of
states is given by \( D(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} \int_0^\infty k_y(\varepsilon) dk_x \), where we consider an conventional two-dimensional tight-binding dispersion

\[
\varepsilon = \sqrt{4t^2 \left( \cos k_x + \cos k_y \right)^2 + \Delta^2 / 4 \left( \cos k_x - \cos k_y \right)^2}
\]

with a conventional \( d \)-wave gap and an assumed in-plane hopping \( 5t = 3\Delta \). For simplicity we neglect higher order hopping terms and set \( p = 0 \) (i.e. half filling). In this case the integrals for \( \gamma \) and \( \chi \) are performed numerically, and we obtain \( \theta_\gamma = k_B T_\gamma / \Delta \approx 0.311 \) and \( \theta_\chi \approx k_B T_\chi / \Delta \approx 0.683 \); hence \( 2\Delta / k_B T_\gamma \approx 6.43 \) and \( 2\Delta / k_B T_\chi \approx 2.93 \). In the case of an \( s \)-wave gap, we simply use

\[
\varepsilon = \sqrt{4t^2 \left( \cos k_x + \cos k_y \right)^2 + \Delta^2 / 4 \left( \cos k_x - \cos k_y \right)^2}
\]

Coherence length

Figure 7 shows the coherence length \( \xi_0 \) estimated for a cold atomic Fermi gas [121] while Fig. 7d shows the in-plane coherence length estimated from \( H_{c2} \) using \( H_{c2} \approx \Phi_0 / 2\pi \xi_0^2 \), where \( H_{c2} \) is itself estimated by extrapolating Fits of the vortex melting line as a function of \( T \) [51, 78, 122].

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_0 &\approx \frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi \xi_0^2} \\
\Phi_0 &\approx k_B T_0 \\
T_0 &\approx \frac{1}{k_B} \int_0^{\xi_0} \varepsilon(k_x, k_y) dk_x dk_y 
\end{align*}
\]

FIG. 7. Coherence lengths. a. Coherence length \( \xi_0 \) versus \( 1/k_F a \) for a cold atomic Fermi gas [51, 78, 122]. b. Estimates of the in-plane coherence length as a function of \( p \) in various cuprates.

The Fermi energy in the cuprates

Another way to infer proximity to a BCS-BEC crossover is to determine the ratio \( k_B T_c / \varepsilon_F \), where \( \varepsilon_F \) is the Fermi temperature. At the unitary point, experimental and Quantum Monte Carlo studies have shown that in a three-dimensional system, this ratio reaches a maximum value of \( k_B T_c / \varepsilon_F \approx 0.17 \) whereas in an ideal two-dimensional system it reaches a maximum value of \( k_B T_c / \varepsilon_F \approx 0.125 \) [52]. Both of these estimates assume the parabolic band approximation. In the BCS and BEC regimes, \( k_B T_c / \varepsilon_F \) falls below this value, with the reduction being most severe in the BCS limit.

Assuming a parabolic band approximation, magnetic quantum oscillations measurements of the frequency \( F \) and effective mass \( m^* \) provide an estimate of the Fermi energy via the ratio \( \varepsilon_F = \hbar F / m^* \). In the overdoped regime, quantum oscillation measurements pertain to the entire Fermi surface. Using average values \( F = 17.8 \text{KT} \) and \( m^* \approx 5.2 \text{me} \) (where \( m_e \) is the free electron mass) in TBCO [53], we obtain \( \varepsilon_F \approx 400 \text{meV} \). From this we obtain \( k_B T_c / \varepsilon_F \approx 0.006 \) (using \( T_c = 26 \text{K} \) for the highest transition temperature of samples exhibiting quantum oscillations). This ratio is consistent with the very overdoped cuprate TBCO being deep in the BCS regime.

Recent studies of the low temperature Sommerfeld coefficient of Eu- and Nd-substituted LSCO [24] have suggested that the effective mass could increase by as much as a factor of 4 with decreasing hole doping, reaching a maximum value around \( p = 0.23 \) in that specific material. If a similar 4-fold increase in \( m^* \) occurs in TBCO, then this combined with its higher maximum \( T_c \approx 96 \text{K} \) would produce a somewhat larger estimate of the ratio \( k_B T_c / \varepsilon_F \approx 0.09 \) — a value much closer to that expected in the unitary regime. Realization of the unitary regime is therefore plausible from the perspective of quantum oscillation and heat capacity measurements.

In the underdoped regime of YBCO, quantum oscillation studies have found much smaller sections of Fermi surface with the effective mass exhibiting a non-monotonic behavior as a function of \( p \) [123]. Close to optimal \( T_c \) at \( p = 0.153 \) (where \( T_c \approx 92 \text{K} \) and \( F \approx 600 \text{~T} \), \( m^* \approx 3.6 \text{me} \). This then falls to \( m^* \approx 1.4 \text{me} \) at \( p = 0.116 \) (where \( T_c \approx 67 \text{~K} \) and \( F \approx 560 \text{~T} \)) before increasing again to \( m^* \approx 4.6 \text{me} \) at \( p = 0.09 \) (where \( T_c \approx 57 \text{K} \) and \( F \approx 510 \text{~T} \)). This yields Fermi energies in the range \( 13 \leq \varepsilon_F \leq 46 \text{meV} \) and \( 0.3 \leq k_B T_c / \varepsilon_F \leq 0.6 \). These values of \( k_B T_c / \varepsilon_F \) significantly exceed that expected at the unitary point. Based on Hall effect and other measurements [54], however, these small pockets are the product of a broken symmetry phase that exists only at temperatures \( T \lesssim 60 \text{K} \) and that reconstructs the Fermi surface.

In a BEC scenario, we would expect the pairing to onset at temperatures significantly higher than the onset of Fermi surface reconstruction. Provided the orbitally-averaged Fermi velocity \( v_F \) of the quasiparticles on the small pocket is similar to that of the quasiparticles on the unreconstructed Fermi surface (which is generally true for translational symmetry breaking) and the parabolic approximation remains valid, we should expect the unreconstructed Fermi energy to be given by \( \varepsilon_F = \hbar^2 k_F^2 / 2 = \left( \frac{\hbar}{m^*} \right) v_F k_F^2 \), where \( k_F \) is the orbitally-averaged Fermi radius. Therefore expect \( k_F \) to scale as \( \varepsilon_F \propto k_F \propto \sqrt{F} \), where \( F \propto (1 + p) \) for an unreconstructed Fermi surface consistent with Luttinger’s theorem. Assuming such scaling, we obtain unreconstructed Fermi energies in the range \( 80 \lesssim \varepsilon_F \lesssim 270 \text{meV} \),
yielding $0.02 \lesssim k_B T_c / \varepsilon_F \lesssim 0.07$. The upper limit of this $k_B T_c / \varepsilon_F$ estimate is a significant fraction of that $(k_B T_c / \varepsilon_F \approx 0.125)$ expected at the unitary point in a two-dimensional system, which is therefore consistent with the pairing having crossed over into the BEC regime in underdoped YBCO.

**d-wave pairing and ‘Fermi arcs’**

One departure of the cuprates from a cold atomic gas that appears to have little impact on the overarching similarities in Figs. 1 and 2a of the main paper is the existence of nodes due to $d$-wave pairing symmetry, which have been shown to give rise to gapless regions of the Fermi surface termed ‘Fermi arcs’ at $T > T_c$. It is still debated whether these arcs are intrinsic to the normal state $d$-wave pairing gap, the consequence of phase fluctuations primarily affecting the $d$-wave nodes, or the product of a coexisting charge-density wave or pair-density wave phase.

**Differences in $p^*$ between cuprates with high and low $T_c$’s**

Figure 1c of the main paper reveals what appear to be significant differences between cuprates that attain high values of $T_c \sim 100$ K and those that do not. From the requirement that $T_\gamma$ and $T_c$ intersect in order for superconductivity to become optimally robust, we can now understand why $\Delta \gamma$ is peaked at different values of $p^*$ in different cuprate families, and not at all in others (e.g. BSLCO). In the case of YBCO, Ca-YBCO, BSCCO, and TBCO, the observation of pronounced peaks in $\Delta \gamma$ at $p^*$ strongly suggests that these systems undergo a BEC to BCS crossover as a function of $p$. In the case of LSCO, by contrast, $T_c$ appears not to reach a sufficiently high value for it to intersect with $T_\gamma$ until significantly higher dopings, giving rise to a much broader peak in $\Delta \gamma$. By extrapolation, we estimate $p \approx 0.26$ for LSCO in Fig. 1c of the main paper, suggesting that it has a increased inclination to remain within the BEC regime over a wider range of $p$, as has also recently been concluded from measurements of the superfluid density as a function of $p$. BSLCO and Nd-LSCO appear to lie even deeper in the BEC regime (see Fig. 1a and b of the main paper), on account of their small $T_c$ and tiny $\Delta \gamma$ values. Factors that could contribute (by way of a reduced superfluid density) in LSCO, BSLCO and Nd-LSCO to an increased tendency for these systems to remain in the BEC limit include their closer proximities to a van Hove singularity in the electronic structure and increased levels of disorder. For example, systematic studies of disorder have shown it to suppress $T_c$ and the superfluid density in a proportionate manner at low $p$, giving rise to a superconductor-to-insulator transition.