

GLOBAL SOLUTION TO THE VACUUM FREE BOUNDARY PROBLEM WITH PHYSICAL SINGULARITY OF COMPRESSIBLE EULER EQUATIONS WITH DAMPING AND GRAVITY

Huihui Zeng

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, China

E-mail address: hhzeng@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

MSC: 35Q35, 76N10

Keywords: Compressible Euler equations, Damping and gravity, Vacuum free boundary with physical singularity, Global solutions

ABSTRACT. The global existence of smooth solutions to the vacuum free boundary problem with physical singularity of compressible Euler equations with damping and gravity is proved in space dimensions $n = 1, 2, 3$, for the initial data being small perturbations of the stationary solution. Moreover, the exponential decay of the velocity is obtained for $n = 1, 2, 3$. The exponentially fast convergence of the density and vacuum boundary to those of the stationary solution is shown for $n = 1$, and it is proved for $n = 2, 3$ that they stay close to those of the stationary solution if they do so initially. The proof is based on the weighted estimates of both hyperbolic and parabolic types with weights capturing the singular behavior of higher-order normal derivatives near vacuum states, exploring the balance between the physical singularity which pushes the vacuum boundary outwards and the effect of gravity which pulls it inwards, and the dissipation of the frictional damping. The results obtained in this paper are the first ones on the global existence of solutions to the vacuum free boundary problems of inviscid compressible fluids with the non-expanding background solutions. Exponentially fast convergence when the vacuum state is involved discovered in this paper is a new feature of the problem studied.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The one-dimensional motions	4
2.1. Main results	5
2.2. Proof of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2	6
2.3. A priori estimates	6
3. The two- and three-dimensional motions	13
3.1. Notation and main results	14
3.2. Proof of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2	15
3.3. A priori estimates for $\mathcal{E}^{m,j,i}$ with $m \geq 1$	15
3.4. A priori estimates for $\mathcal{E}^{0,j,i}$	31
Appendix	37
References	38

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the following vacuum free boundary problem for the compressible gravity-driven Euler equations with frictional damping:

$$\partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega(t) = \mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times (0, \Gamma(t, x_*)), \quad (1.1a)$$

$$\partial_t(\rho u) + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) + \nabla p = -\rho u - \rho g e_n \quad \text{in } \Omega(t), \quad (1.1b)$$

$$\partial_t \Gamma = u_n - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} u_i \partial_{x_i} \Gamma \quad \text{on } \{x_n = \Gamma(t, x_*)\}, \quad (1.1c)$$

$$\rho = 0 \quad \text{on } \{x_n = \Gamma(t, x_*)\}, \quad (1.1d)$$

$$u_n = 0 \quad \text{on } \{x_n = 0\}, \quad (1.1e)$$

$$(\rho, u) = (\rho_0, u_0) \quad \text{on } \Omega(0) = \mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times (0, \Gamma(0, x_*)). \quad (1.1f)$$

Here $t \geq 0$, $x = (x_*, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, ρ , u , and p denote, respectively, the time variable, space variable, density, velocity, and pressure; \mathbb{T}^{n-1} , g , and e_n represent, respectively, the torus which can be thought of as the unit volume with periodic boundary conditions, acceleration of gravity, and n -th unit vector; $\Omega(t) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\Gamma(t, x_*) : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{T}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ describe, respectively, the changing volume occupied by the fluid at time t , and moving vacuum boundary. We are concerned with the polytropic gas for which the equation of state is given by

$$p(\rho) = \rho^\gamma, \quad \text{where } \gamma > 1 \text{ is the adiabatic exponent.}$$

From the statistical consideration and simplification of the complicated microscopic flow picture, the system of compressible Euler equations with damping can be derived from the Navier-Stokes equations for compressible fluids (cf. [40]). The system of (1.1a) and (1.1b) is also related to the shallow water model for which $\gamma = 2$ (cf. [39, 40]).

Let $c(\rho) = \sqrt{p'(\rho)}$ be the sound speed, the condition

$$-\infty < \nabla_{\mathcal{N}}(c^2(\rho)) < 0 \quad \text{on } \{x_n = \Gamma(t, x_*)\} \quad (1.2)$$

defines a physical vacuum boundary (cf. [5, 7, 16, 20, 22, 23]), which is also called a vacuum boundary with physical singularity in contrast to the case that $\nabla_{\mathcal{N}}(c^2(\rho)) = 0$ on $\{x_n = \Gamma\}$, where \mathcal{N} is the exterior unit normal vector to $\{x_n = \Gamma\}$ defined by $\mathcal{N} = \frac{(-\partial_{x_1}\Gamma, \dots, -\partial_{x_{n-1}}\Gamma, 1)}{\sqrt{1 + |\partial_{x_1}\Gamma|^2 + \dots + |\partial_{x_{n-1}}\Gamma|^2}}$. To capture the physical singularity, we assume that the initial density satisfies that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_0 > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega(0), \quad \int_{\Omega(0)} \rho_0(x) dx = M, \\ \rho_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad -\infty < \nabla_{\mathcal{N}}(c^2(\rho_0)) < 0 \quad \text{on } \{x_n = \Gamma(0, x_*)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where $M \in (0, \infty)$ is the initial total mass. Restricting the momentum equation (1.1b) on $\{x_n = \Gamma\}$, we have that

$$D_t u \cdot \mathcal{N} = -(\gamma - 1)^{-1} \nabla_{\mathcal{N}}(c^2(\rho)) - u \cdot \mathcal{N} - g e_n \cdot \mathcal{N},$$

where $D_t u = (\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla)u$ is the acceleration of the moving vacuum boundary, which is due to three parts: the physical vacuum singularity, frictional damping and gravity. The balance of these three effects is crucial to the global-in-time existence of smooth solutions to the vacuum free boundary problem (1.1) and (1.3), which is the issue we will address in this paper.

The vacuum free boundary problem (1.1) admits a stationary solution with total mass M given by

$$\bar{\rho}(x) = (\nu(\hbar - x_n))^{1/(\gamma-1)}, \quad \bar{u} = 0, \quad \Omega = \mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times (0, \hbar), \quad (1.4)$$

where ν and \hbar are positive constants determined by

$$\nu = g\gamma^{-1}(\gamma - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \hbar = \gamma(\gamma - 1)^{-1}g^{-1}(Mg)^{(\gamma-1)/\gamma}. \quad (1.5)$$

It should be noted that $\nabla_{e_n} \bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1} = -\nu$ on $\{x_n = \hbar\}$, which means that the stationary solution satisfies the physical vacuum condition (1.2). This motivates the study of the vacuum free boundary problem with physical singularity in the present work.

The aim of this paper is to prove the global-in-time existence of smooth solutions to the physical vacuum free boundary problem (1.1) and (1.3) and the large time asymptotic behavior of solutions in space dimensions $n = 1, 2, 3$, which is challenging due to the strong degeneracy of the system near vacuum states. Indeed, the problem is a degenerate and characteristic hyperbolic system violating the uniform Kreiss-Lopatinskii condition (cf. [18]) due to resonant wave speeds at vacuum boundaries, so that the standard approach of symmetric hyperbolic systems (cf. [10, 17, 18]) do not apply. As realized in [7, 16], the appearance of density functions as coefficients in a nonlinear wave equation governing the propagation of acoustic waves shows that this wave equation loses derivatives with respect to the non-degenerate case of compressible fluids. In fact, a satisfactory theory of local-in-time well-posedness theory is quite recent [5–7, 15, 16]. (See also [11, 12, 24, 35])

for related works on the local theory.) It is of fundamental importance to extend the local-in-time existence theory to the long-time one for nonlinear problems. The current available results for the equations of inviscid compressible fluids with physical vacuum are mainly for the cases of expanding vacuum boundaries. For instance, the global-in-time existence of smooth solutions of which the expanding rate of vacuum boundaries is linear, $O(1+t)$, when the initial data are small perturbations of affine motions (cf. [37, 38]), is proved in [13, 36] for the n -dimensional ($n = 1, 2, 3$) compressible Euler equations. (See also [14, 32, 33] for related results of this type.) For the n -dimensional Euler equations with damping (but without gravity), the global- or almost global-in-time existence of smooth solutions with the sub-linear, $O(1+t)^{1/(n(\gamma-1)+2)}$, expanding rate of vacuum boundaries is shown in [27, 43, 44], when the initial data are small perturbations of Barenblatt self-similar solutions (cf. [1]) of the corresponding porous media equation simplified via Darcy's law. Indeed, a family of particular solutions capturing the physical vacuum singularity was constructed in [20], where the time-asymptotical equivalence of those solutions to Barenblatt solutions with the same total masses was shown. Due to the construction in [20], it became a natural question to investigate the long-time existence of smooth solutions and their time-asymptotic equivalence for the vacuum free boundary problem capturing (1.2) for the compressible Euler equations with damping. This question was answered in [27] for the one-dimensional case and in [43] for the three-dimensional case with spherical symmetry, and partially answered in [44] for the three-dimensional case without symmetry assumption. (See also [45] for the precise time asymptotics of vacuum boundaries.)

The problem of the global-in-time existence of solutions to (1.1) studied in the current work differs from those mentioned above for expanding vacuum boundaries (cf. [13, 27, 36, 43, 44]). Fluid expansions have stabilizing effects due to the dispersion which was used in the proof of the results just mentioned, and also plays the important role in the analysis in other context, for example, in general relativistic cosmological models (cf. [30, 34]). In the presence of gravity, problem (1.1) admits a stationary solution, and the global-in-time solutions to the problem (1.1) and (1.3) are perturbations of the stationary solution. The mechanism of the stability for this problem is the balance of the physical singularity to pushing the vacuum boundary, the gravity to deceleration the vacuum boundary, and the dissipation of the frictional damping.

The system of (1.1a) and (1.1b) can be observed from both the hyperbolic and parabolic points of view. When we treat the damping term $-\rho u$ as a lower order term, the system is of hyperbolic nature. However, when we view the acceleration term $(\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla)u$ as a fast decay perturbation in large time in the spirit of Darcy's law, ignoring this term, the system becomes

$$\rho u = -\nabla p(\rho) - \rho g e_n, \quad \rho_t = \Delta p(\rho) + g \partial_{x_n} \rho, \quad (1.6)$$

which is of degenerate parabolic nature. The approximation for large time can be seen formally by the following rescaling $x' = \epsilon x$, $t' = \epsilon^2 t$, and $u' = u/\epsilon$. It should be noted that system (1.6) has the same stationary solution as problem (1.1). This indicates that the long time dynamics of the original problem should be governed by that of (1.6). This point of view is adopted in the proof of main theorems in this paper.

We prove the global-in-time existence of smooth solutions to problem (1.1) and (1.3) in space dimensions $n = 1, 2, 3$ for initial data being small perturbations of the stationary solution (1.4), and investigate the large time asymptotic behavior towards the stationary solution. We start with the one-dimensional case of $n = 1$, where we obtain the exponentially fast convergence of the density, velocity, and vacuum boundary to those of the stationary solution. Then we investigate the multi-dimensional case of $n = 2$ and $n = 3$. The situation becomes much more involved due to the intricate time evolution of the vacuum boundary geometry and the complicated multi-dimensional motions in both tangential and normal directions. This is handled by the weighted energy estimates of both the hyperbolic and parabolic types, together with the elliptic estimates near the bottom and the curl estimates.

The analysis in this paper is different from that for the case when vacuum boundaries expand in time as studied in [13, 36, 44]. Let $\bar{x}(t, y)$ be the particle position at time t starting from the initial position y , the background flows discussed in [13, 36, 44] are typically of the form $\bar{x}(t, y) = \alpha(t)y$ with y in a ball, and with $\alpha(t) \sim 1+t$ for affine solutions in [13, 36] and $\alpha(t) \sim (1+t)^{1/(n(\gamma-1)+2)}$ for the Barenblatt solution in [44]. Let $x = x(t, y)$ be the flow of the velocity field u : $\partial_t x(t, y) = u(t, x(t, y))$ for $t > 0$ with $x(0, y) = x_0(y)$ for $y \in \Omega$, where Ω is the initial domain of the corresponding background solution. Due to the form of $\bar{x}(t, y) = \alpha(t)y$, it is natural to decompose

$$x(t, y) = \bar{x}(t, y) + \alpha(t)\omega(t, y) = \alpha(t)(y + \omega(t, y)),$$

and the problems are reduced to study the equations for the unknown $\omega(t, y)$. The higher-order norms defined in [13, 36, 44] are of the form

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \alpha(t)^\beta \mathcal{E}_I(t) + \mathcal{E}_{II}(t) \quad (1.7)$$

for some constant $\beta > 0$, where $\mathcal{E}_I(t)$ contains the weighted L^2 -norms of space-time mixed derivatives of $\partial_t \omega$, and $\mathcal{E}_{II}(t)$ contains the weighted L^2 -norms only involving the space derivatives of ω . The approaches adopted in [13, 36, 44] to obtain the bounds for $\mathcal{E}(t)$ are to derive the following inequalities:

$$\alpha(t)^\beta \mathcal{E}_I(t) \leq C_1 \mathcal{E}(0) + F_1(\mathcal{E}(t)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_{II}(t) \leq C_2 \mathcal{E}(0) + F_2(\mathcal{E}(t)),$$

for some positive constants C_1, C_2 and functions F_1, F_2 . It should be noted that the decay for $\partial_t \omega$ and its derivatives is naturally encoded in the definition of $\mathcal{E}(t)$ due to the growth of $\alpha(t)$ in time for solutions with expanding vacuum boundaries. However, for the problem studied in the present paper, the background solution is stationary, of the form of $\bar{x}(y, t) = y$, so we do not have a natural growing factor $\alpha(t)$. Instead, we will have to identify the decay of $\partial_t \omega$ and its derivatives first. By the same notations, we may decompose

$$x(t, y) = y + \omega(t, y)$$

and define the higher-order norm as

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \mathcal{E}_I(t) + \mathcal{E}_{II}(t). \quad (1.8)$$

Compared (1.8) with (1.7), we do not have a growing factor of $\alpha(t)$ in front of $\mathcal{E}_I(t)$. Therefore, we have to identify the decay property of $\mathcal{E}_I(t)$ first. Indeed, we identify a number $\delta > 0$ depending only on the adiabatic exponent γ and the total mass M such that

$$e^{\delta t} \mathcal{E}_I(t) \leq C \mathcal{E}_I(0)$$

for some positive constant C , based on which, we prove the bound of $\mathcal{E}_{II}(t)$. The estimates for $\mathcal{E}_I(t)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{II}(t)$ are in order, the estimate for $\mathcal{E}_{II}(t)$ is based on that for $\mathcal{E}_I(t)$, so we have to make the distinction between $\mathcal{E}_I(t)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{II}(t)$ in the estimates. This is different from those for expanding solutions in [13, 36, 44], where $\mathcal{E}_I(t)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{II}(t)$ can be estimated simultaneously.

It should be remarked that the exponential decay mentioned above is a new feature of the problem studied in this paper, by noting that the decay of the velocity field for the problems with expanding vacuum boundaries is algebraic. Indeed, for problems involving the vacuum state, even with the higher-order dissipation such as viscosity, the currently available results on the decay are of algebraic rates, see, for instance, [25, 26, 31] for the related results.

Besides the results mentioned above, we review some works related to the study of vacuum states. The theoretical study of vacuum states of gas dynamics dates back to 1980 when it was shown in [21] that shock waves vanish at the vacuum. The well-posedness of smooth solutions with sound speed $c(\rho)$ smoother than $C^{1/2}$ -Hölder continuous near vacuum states for compressible inviscid fluids can be found in [3, 4, 22, 23, 28, 29, 41, 42]. The phenomena of physical vacuum arise additionally in several important situations, for example, the equilibrium and dynamics of boundaries of gaseous stars (cf. [2, 8, 14, 24]). There have been extensive studies on viscous flows with vacuum. Since the ideas and techniques are quite different from the inviscid flow, so we omit the discussions on this topic here.

Notation Throughout the rest of this paper, C will denote a positive constant which only depends on the parameters of the problem, the adiabatic exponent γ and the initial total mass M , but does not depend on the data. They are referred as universal and can change from one inequality to another one. We will adopt the notation $a \lesssim b$ to denote $a \leq Cb$, and $a \sim b$ to denote $C^{-1}b \leq a \leq Cb$, where C is the universal constant as defined above. We will use $\int = \int_\Omega$, $\|\cdot\|_{W^{k,q}} = \|\cdot\|_{W^{k,q}(\Omega)}$ for any constants $k \geq 0$ and $q \geq 1$, and $\|\cdot\|_{H^s} = \|\cdot\|_{H^s(\Omega)}$ for any constant $s \geq 0$. In particular, $\Omega = I = (0, \hbar)$ in one space dimension ($n = 1$).

2. THE ONE-DIMENSIONAL MOTIONS

In one space dimension, the vacuum free boundary problem (1.1) reads

$$\partial_t \rho + \partial_x(\rho u) = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, \Gamma(t)), \quad (2.1a)$$

$$\rho(\partial_t u + u \partial_x u) + \partial_x \rho^\gamma = -\rho u - \rho g \quad \text{in } (0, \Gamma(t)), \quad (2.1b)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Gamma(t) = u(t, \Gamma(t)), \quad (2.1c)$$

$$\rho(t, \Gamma(t)) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u(t, 0) = 0, \quad (2.1d)$$

$$(\rho, u) = (\rho_0, u_0) \quad \text{on} \quad (0, \Gamma(0)), \quad (2.1e)$$

and the stationary solution (1.4) becomes

$$\bar{\rho}(x) = (\nu(\hbar - x))^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}}, \quad \bar{u} = 0, \quad \Omega = I = (0, \hbar), \quad (2.2)$$

where ν and \hbar are positive constants given by (1.5).

We transform the free boundary problem (2.1) into Lagrangian variables to fix the boundary, and choose the interval of the stationary solution, I , as the reference interval. For $y \in I$, we define x as the Lagrangian flow of the velocity u by

$$\partial_t x(t, y) = u(t, x(t, y)) \quad \text{for } t > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad x(0, y) = x_0(y), \quad (2.3)$$

where $x_0 : I \rightarrow (0, \Gamma(0))$ is a diffeomorphism defined by $\int_0^{x_0(y)} \rho_0(z) dz = \int_0^y \bar{\rho}(z) dz$. Clearly, $\rho_0(x_0(y))x'_0(y) = \bar{\rho}(y)$. Then, it follows from (2.1a) and (2.3) that

$$\rho(t, x(t, y)) = \frac{\rho_0(x_0(y))x'_0(y)}{\partial_y x(t, y)} = \frac{\bar{\rho}(y)}{\partial_y x(t, y)}. \quad (2.4)$$

Substituting (2.4) into (2.1b), and using (2.3), we rewrite problem (2.1) as

$$\bar{\rho}(\partial_t^2 x + \partial_t x) + \partial_y \left(\frac{\bar{\rho}}{\partial_y x} \right)^\gamma = -\bar{\rho}g \quad \text{in } (0, T] \times I, \quad (2.5a)$$

$$\partial_t x = 0 \quad \text{on } (0, T] \times \{y = 0\}, \quad (2.5b)$$

$$(x, \partial_t x) = (x_0, u_0(x_0)) \quad \text{on } \{t = 0\} \times I. \quad (2.5c)$$

In the setting, the moving vacuum boundary for problem (2.1) is given by $\Gamma(t) = x(t, \hbar)$ for $t > 0$.

2.1. Main results. We define the perturbation ω by $\omega(t, y) = x(t, y) - y$, and set $\sigma(y) = \bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1}(y)$ and $\iota = (\gamma - 1)^{-1}$. We introduce that for nonnegative integers m and i ,

$$\mathcal{E}^{m,i}(t) = \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i}{2}} \partial_t^{m+1} \partial_y^i \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i}{2}} \partial_t^m \partial_y^i \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \partial_t^m \partial_y^{i+1} \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2,$$

and define the higher-order weighted Sobolev norm $\mathcal{E}(t)$ by

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \sum_{0 \leq m+i \leq [\iota]+4} \mathcal{E}^{m,i}(t).$$

The main results in this section are stated as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *There exists a positive constant $\bar{\epsilon} > 0$ such that if $\mathcal{E}(0) \leq \bar{\epsilon}$, then problem (2.5) admits a global smooth solution in $[0, \infty) \times I$ satisfying*

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \leq C e^{-\delta t} \mathcal{E}(0) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, \quad (2.6)$$

where C and δ are positive constants which only depend on the adiabatic exponent γ and the initial total mass M , but do not depend on the time t .

As a corollary of Theorem 2.1, we have the following theorem for solutions to the original vacuum free boundary problem (2.1) concerning the convergence of the vacuum boundary Γ , density ρ and velocity u to those of the stationary solution.

Theorem 2.2. *There exists a positive constant $\bar{\epsilon} > 0$ such that if $\mathcal{E}(0) \leq \bar{\epsilon}$, then problem (2.1) admits a global smooth solution $(\rho, u, \Gamma(t))$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$ satisfying*

$$|\rho(t, x(t, y)) - \bar{\rho}(y)| \leq C(\hbar - y)^{1/(\gamma-1)} \sqrt{e^{-\delta t} \mathcal{E}(0)}, \quad (2.7a)$$

$$|u(t, x(t, y))| + |\Gamma(t) - \hbar| + \sum_{1 \leq m \leq 3} \left| \frac{d^m \Gamma(t)}{dt^m} \right| \leq C \sqrt{e^{-\delta t} \mathcal{E}(0)}, \quad (2.7b)$$

for all $y \in I$ and $t \geq 0$. Here C and δ are positive constants which only depend on the adiabatic exponent γ and the initial total mass M , but do not depend on the time t .

2.2. Proof of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. We first prove Theorem 2.1. The proof of the global existence of smooth solutions is based on the local existence of smooth solutions (cf. [6, 15]), and the following a priori estimates stated in Proposition 2.3 whose proof will be presented in the next subsection.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $x(t, y) = y + \omega(t, y)$ be a solution to problem (2.5) in the time interval $[0, T]$ satisfying the a priori assumption:*

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \leq \epsilon_0^2, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (2.8)$$

for some suitably small fixed positive number ϵ_0 independent of t . Then there exist positive constants C and δ , only depending on γ and M , but not on t , such that

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \leq C e^{-\delta t} \mathcal{E}(0), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

To prove Theorem 2.2, we recall the following estimate achieved in Lemma 3.7 of [27].

$$\sum_{0 \leq m+i \leq [\iota]+4} \left\| \sigma^{\max\{0, \frac{m+2i-3}{2}\}} \partial_t^m \partial_y^i \omega \right\|_{L^\infty}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}(t), \quad (2.9)$$

provided that $\mathcal{E}(t)$ is finite. Indeed, the proof of (2.9) is based on the weighted Sobolev embedding (A-1) and the Hardy inequality (A-3). Therefore, (2.7) follows from (2.6), (2.9), and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t, x(t, y)) - \bar{\rho}(y) &= -\bar{\rho}(y) \frac{\partial_y \omega(t, y)}{1 + \partial_y \omega(t, y)}, \\ u(t, x(t, y)) &= \partial_t \omega(t, y), \quad \Gamma(t) - \hbar = \omega(t, \hbar). \end{aligned}$$

2.3. A priori estimates. This subsection devotes to proving Proposition 2.3. To this end, we introduce the following Sobolev norms for the dissipation:

$$\mathcal{D}^{m,i}(t) = \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i}{2}} \partial_t^{m+1} \partial_y^i \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \partial_t^m \partial_y^{i+1} \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2,$$

where m and i are nonnegative integers. To specify the behavior of solutions near the bottom and the top, we divide $\mathcal{D}^{m,i}$ into two parts $\mathcal{D}_1^{m,i}$ and $\mathcal{D}_2^{m,i}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_1^{m,i}(t) &= \left\| \zeta_1 \partial_t^{m+1} \partial_y^i \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \zeta_1 \partial_t^m \partial_y^{i+1} \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2, \\ \mathcal{D}_2^{m,i}(t) &= \left\| \zeta_2 \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i}{2}} \partial_t^{m+1} \partial_y^i \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \zeta_2 \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \partial_t^m \partial_y^{i+1} \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta_1 = \zeta_1(y)$ and $\zeta_2 = \zeta_2(y)$ are smooth cut-off functions satisfying

$$\zeta_1 = 1 \text{ on } [0, \hbar/2], \quad \zeta_1 = 0 \text{ on } [3\hbar/4, \hbar], \quad \zeta_1' \leq 0 \text{ on } [0, \hbar], \quad (2.10a)$$

$$\zeta_2 = 0 \text{ on } [0, \hbar/4], \quad \zeta_2 = 1 \text{ on } [\hbar/2, \hbar], \quad \zeta_2' \geq 0 \text{ on } [0, \hbar]. \quad (2.10b)$$

We will use elliptic estimates to bound $\mathcal{D}_1^{m,i}$ with $i \geq 1$ in Section 2.3.2, and energy estimates to bound $\mathcal{D}^{m,0}$, and $\mathcal{D}_2^{m,i}$ with $i \geq 1$ in Section 2.3.3. For this purpose, we rewrite equation (2.5a) as

$$\sigma^\iota (\partial_t^2 \omega + \partial_t \omega) + \partial_y \left(\sigma^{\iota+1} \left((1 + \partial_y \omega)^{-\gamma} - 1 \right) \right) = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

2.3.1. Preliminaries. It follows from (2.8) and (2.9) that for $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\sum_{0 \leq m+i \leq [\iota]+4} \left\| \sigma^{\max\{0, \frac{m+2i-3}{2}\}} \partial_t^m \partial_y^i \omega \right\|_{L^\infty}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}(t) \leq \epsilon_0^2, \quad (2.12)$$

which implies, with the aid of the smallness of ϵ_0 , that

$$|\partial_y \omega(t, y)| \leq 1/2 \text{ for } (t, y) \in [0, T] \times I.$$

Based on this, it is easy to see that for integers $m \geq 0$, $i \geq 0$ and $l \geq -1$,

$$\left| \partial_t^m \partial_y^i (1 + \partial_y \omega)^{-\gamma-l} \right| \lesssim \mathcal{I}^{m,i}, \quad (2.13)$$

where $\mathcal{I}^{m,i}$ are defined inductively as follows:

$$\mathcal{I}^{0,0} = 1,$$

$$\mathcal{I}^{m,i} = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq r \leq m, \ 0 \leq k \leq i \\ 0 \leq r+k \leq m+i-1}} \mathcal{I}^{r,k} \left| \partial_t^{m-r} \partial_y^{i-k+1} \omega \right|.$$

Lemma 2.4. *It holds that for $t \in [0, T]$,*

$$\sum_{1 \leq m+i \leq [\iota]+3} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{m+2i-1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{m,i} \right\|_{L^\infty}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}(t) \leq \epsilon_0^2, \quad (2.14a)$$

$$\sum_{1 \leq m+i \leq [\iota]+3} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{m,i} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \sum_{1 \leq r+k \leq m+i+1} \mathcal{D}^{r,k}(t), \quad (2.14b)$$

$$\sum_{1 \leq m+i \leq [\iota]+4} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{m,i} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{D}^{m,i}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq r+k \leq m+i-1} \mathcal{D}^{r,k}(t). \quad (2.14c)$$

Proof. It follows from (2.12) that for $1 \leq m+i \leq [\iota]+3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{\frac{m+2i-1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{m,i} &= \left| \sigma^{\frac{m+2i-1}{2}} \partial_t^m \partial_y^{i+1} \omega \right| \\ &\quad + \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\substack{r \leq m, \ k \leq i \\ 1 \leq r+k \leq m+i-1}} \sigma^{\frac{r+2k-1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{r,k} \left| \sigma^{\frac{m-r+2(i-k)-1}{2}} \partial_t^{m-r} \partial_y^{i+1-k} \omega \right| \\ &\lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} + \epsilon_0 \sum_{\substack{r \leq m, \ k \leq i \\ 1 \leq r+k \leq m+i-1}} \sigma^{\frac{r+2k-1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{r,k}, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with the mathematical induction, proves (2.14a).

In view of (2.14a) and (2.12), we see that for $1 \leq m+i \leq [\iota]+3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{m,i} &\lesssim \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i}{2}} \left| \partial_t^m \partial_y^{i+1} \omega \right| \\ &\quad + \epsilon_0 \sum_{\substack{r \leq m, \ k \leq i \\ 1 \leq r+k \leq m+i-2}} \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1-r-2k}{2}} \left| \partial_t^{m-r} \partial_y^{i+1-k} \omega \right| \\ &\quad + \epsilon_0 \sum_{\substack{r \leq m, \ k \leq i \\ 1 \leq r+k = m+i-1}} \sigma^{\frac{\iota+k}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{r,k}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (A-3) that

$$\left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i}{2}} \partial_t^m \partial_y^{i+1} \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \sum_{l=0,1} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i}{2}+1} \partial_t^m \partial_y^{i+1+l} \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \sum_{l=0,1} \mathcal{D}^{m,i+l},$$

and that for $r \leq m, k \leq i, 1 \leq r+k \leq m+i-2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1-r-2k}{2}} \partial_t^{m-r} \partial_y^{i+1-k} \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{0 \leq l \leq r+k} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1-r-2k}{2}+r+k} \partial_t^{m-r} \partial_y^{i+1-k+l} \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{0 \leq l \leq r+k} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1-k+l}{2}} \partial_t^{m-r} \partial_y^{i+1-k+l} \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{0 \leq l \leq r+k} \mathcal{D}^{m-r, i-k+l}, \end{aligned}$$

because $\iota+i+1-r-2k \geq \iota+3-(m+i) \geq 0$. So, one has that

$$\left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{m,i} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \sum_{1 \leq r+k \leq m+i+1} \mathcal{D}^{r,k} + \epsilon_0^2 \sum_{\substack{r \leq m, \ k \leq i \\ 1 \leq r+k = m+i-1}} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+k}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{r,k} \right\|_{L^2}^2$$

for $1 \leq m+i \leq [\iota]+3$. This, together with the mathematical induction, proves (2.14b).

Similarly, we can prove (2.14c) by noticing that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{m,i} &\lesssim \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} |\partial_t^m \partial_y^{i+1} \omega| \\ &+ \epsilon_0 \sum_{\substack{r \leq m, k \leq i \\ 1 \leq r+k \leq m+i-2}} \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+2-r-2k}{2}} |\partial_t^{m-r} \partial_y^{i+1-k} \omega| \\ &+ \epsilon_0 \sum_{\substack{r \leq m, k \leq i \\ 1 \leq r+k \leq m+i-1}} \sigma^{\frac{\iota+k+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{r,k}, \end{aligned}$$

and that for $r \leq m, k \leq i, 1 \leq r+k \leq m+i-2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+2-r-2k}{2}} \partial_t^{m-r} \partial_y^{i+1-k} \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{0 \leq l \leq r+k-1} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+2-r-2k}{2} + r+k-1} \partial_t^{m-r} \partial_y^{i+1-k+l} \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{0 \leq l \leq r+k-1} \mathcal{D}^{m-r, i-k+l}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

This finishes the proof of Lemma 2.4. \square

2.3.2. Elliptic estimates near the bottom.

Lemma 2.5. *It holds that for $m+i \leq 4 + [\iota]$ and $i \geq 1$, and $t \in [0, T]$,*

$$\mathcal{D}_1^{m,i}(t) \lesssim \sum_{0 \leq r \leq m+i} \mathcal{D}_1^{r,0}(t) \lesssim \sum_{0 \leq r \leq m+i} \mathcal{D}^{r,0}(t). \tag{2.16}$$

Proof. It follows from the Sobolev embedding and the a priori assumption (2.8) that

$$\|\partial_t^m \omega\|_{W^{[\iota]+4-m, \infty}(I_1)}^2 \lesssim \|\partial_t^m \omega\|_{H^{[\iota]+5-m}(I_1)}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E} \leq \epsilon_0^2, \tag{2.17}$$

where $I_1 = (0, 3h/4)$. This implies that for $1 \leq m+i \leq [\iota] + 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{I}^{m,i}\|_{L^\infty(I_1)} &\leq \|\partial_t^m \partial_y^{i+1} \omega\|_{L^\infty(I_1)} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{r \leq m, k \leq i \\ 1 \leq r+k \leq m+i-1}} \|\mathcal{I}^{r,k}\|_{L^\infty(I_1)} \|\partial_t^{m-r} \partial_y^{i-k+1} \omega\|_{L^\infty(I_1)} \\ &\lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} + \epsilon_0 \sum_{\substack{r \leq m, k \leq i \\ 1 \leq r+k \leq m+i-1}} \|\mathcal{I}^{r,k}\|_{L^\infty(I_1)}, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with the mathematical induction, gives that

$$\sum_{1 \leq m+i \leq [\iota]+3} \|\mathcal{I}^{m,i}\|_{L^\infty(I_1)} \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} \lesssim \epsilon_0. \tag{2.18}$$

We divide (2.11) by σ^ι , and take $\partial_t^m \partial_y^{i-1}$ ($i \geq 1$) onto the resulting equation to obtain

$$\gamma \sigma \partial_t^m \partial_y^{i+1} \omega = \gamma \nu (i-1) \partial_t^m \partial_y^i \omega + \partial_t^{m+2} \partial_y^{i-1} \omega + \partial_t^{m+1} \partial_y^{i-1} \omega + \partial_t^m \partial_y^{i-1} W,$$

which means

$$\|\zeta_1 \partial_t^m \partial_y^{i+1} \omega\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{D}_1^{m,i-1} + \mathcal{D}_1^{m+1,i-1} + \|\zeta_1 \partial_t^m \partial_y^{i-1} W\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{2.19}$$

Here ζ_1 is a smooth cut-off function satisfying (2.10a), and

$$W = \gamma \sigma \left(1 - (1 + \partial_y \omega)^{-\gamma-1} \right) \partial_y^2 \omega - \nu (1 + \iota) \left((1 + \partial_y \omega)^{-\gamma} - 1 \right).$$

In view of (2.13), (2.17) and (2.18), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\zeta_1 \partial_t^m \partial_y^{i-1} W\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \|\zeta_1 |\partial_y \omega| \partial_t^m \partial_y^{i+1} \omega\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &+ \sum_{r \leq m, k \leq i-1, r+k \geq 1} \|\zeta_1 \mathcal{I}^{r,k} \partial_t^{m-r} \partial_y^{i+1-k} \omega\|_{L^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{r \leq m, k \leq i-1} \|\zeta_1 \mathcal{T}^{r,k} \partial_t^{m-r} \partial_y^{i-k} \omega\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \lesssim \epsilon_0^2 \|\zeta_1 \partial_t^m \partial_y^{i+1} \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon_0^2 \sum_{r \leq m, k \leq i-1, r+k \geq 1} \mathcal{D}_1^{m-r, i-k} \\
& + \sum_{r \leq m, k \leq i-1} \mathcal{D}_1^{m-r, i-1-k},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies, with the aid of (2.19) and the smallness of ϵ_0 , that

$$\|\zeta_1 \partial_t^m \partial_y^{i+1} \omega\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{D}_1^{m+1, i-1} + \sum_{r \leq m, k \leq i, r+k \leq m+i-1} \mathcal{D}_1^{r,k},$$

and then

$$\mathcal{D}_1^{m,i} \lesssim \mathcal{D}_1^{m+1, i-1} + \sum_{r \leq m, k \leq i, r+k \leq m+i-1} \mathcal{D}_1^{r,k}. \quad (2.20)$$

Based on (2.20), we can use the mathematical induction to prove (2.16). Clearly, (2.16) holds for $m+i=1$ and $i \geq 1$, due to $\mathcal{D}_1^{0,1} \lesssim \mathcal{D}_1^{1,0} + \mathcal{D}_1^{0,0}$. Suppose (2.16) holds for $m+i \leq l-1$ and $i \geq 1$, then one has for $m+i=l$ and $i \geq 1$,

$$\mathcal{D}_1^{m,i} \lesssim \mathcal{D}_1^{m+1, i-1} + \sum_{r+k \leq l-1} \mathcal{D}_1^{r,k} \lesssim \mathcal{D}_1^{m+1, i-1} + \sum_{r \leq l-1} \mathcal{D}_1^{r,0},$$

which proves (2.16) from $i=1$ to $i=l$ step by step. \square

2.3.3. Energy estimates.

Lemma 2.6. *There exists a small positive constant δ which only depends on γ and M such that*

$$e^{\delta t} \mathcal{E}(t) + \int_0^t e^{\delta s} \mathcal{E}(s) ds \lesssim \mathcal{E}(0), \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (2.21)$$

Proof. The proof consists of three steps, among which the first two steps devote to deriving energy estimates and the last one showing the decay rate.

Step 1. In this step, we give the basic energy estimates. Multiply (2.11) by $\partial_t \omega$ and integrate the resulting equation over I to get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \sigma^\iota |\partial_t \omega|^2 dy + \frac{d}{dt} E_1^{0,0} + \int \sigma^\iota |\partial_t \omega|^2 dy = 0, \quad (2.22)$$

where

$$E_1^{0,0} = \iota \int \sigma^{\iota+1} ((1 + \partial_y \omega)^{1-\gamma} - 1 - (1-\gamma) \partial_y \omega) dy.$$

Integrate the product of (2.11) and ω over I to obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \sigma^\iota (\omega^2 + 2\omega \partial_t \omega) dy + \tilde{E}_1^{0,0} = \int \sigma^\iota |\partial_t \omega|^2 dy, \quad (2.23)$$

where

$$\tilde{E}_1^{0,0} = \int \sigma^{\iota+1} (1 - (1 + \partial_y \omega)^{-\gamma}) \partial_y \omega dy.$$

It follows from $2 \times (2.22) + (2.23)$ that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathfrak{E}^{0,0}(t) + \mathfrak{D}^{0,0}(t) = 0, \quad (2.24)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{E}^{0,0} &= \frac{1}{2} \int \sigma^\iota (2|\partial_t \omega|^2 + \omega^2 + 2\omega \partial_t \omega) dy + 2E_1^{0,0}, \\
\mathfrak{D}^{0,0} &= \int \sigma^\iota |\partial_t \omega|^2 dy + \tilde{E}_1^{0,0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Due to the Taylor expansion and the Cauchy inequality, one has that

$$\mathfrak{E}^{0,0} \sim \mathcal{E}^{0,0} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{D}^{0,0} \sim \mathcal{D}^{0,0}. \quad (2.25)$$

Step 2. This step devotes to showing the higher-order energy estimates. Let m and i be nonnegative integers satisfying $m + i \geq 1$, then we divide (2.11) by σ^ι , and take $\partial_t^m \partial_y^i$ onto the resulting equation to obtain

$$\partial_t^{m+2} \partial_y^i \omega + \partial_t^{m+1} \partial_y^i \omega + \sigma^{-\iota-i} \partial_y \left(\sigma^{\iota+i+1} \partial_t^m \partial_y^i (1 + \partial_y \omega)^{-\gamma} \right) = 0. \quad (2.26)$$

Let ζ_2 be a smooth cut-off function satisfying (2.10b) and set

$$\chi = 1 \text{ when } i = 0, \text{ and } \chi = \zeta_2 \text{ when } i \geq 1.$$

We integrate the product of (2.26) and $\chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+i} \partial_t^{m+1} \partial_y^i \omega$ over I and use the boundary condition $\partial_t^{m+1} \omega(t, 0) = 0$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+i} |\partial_t^{m+1} \partial_y^i \omega|^2 dy + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (E_1^{m,i} + E_2^{m,i}) \\ & + \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+i} |\partial_t^{m+1} \partial_y^i \omega|^2 dy = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 3} L_k^{m,i}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R^{m,i} &= \partial_t^m \partial_y^i (1 + \partial_y \omega)^{-\gamma} + \gamma (1 + \partial_y \omega)^{-\gamma-1} \partial_t^m \partial_y^{i+1} \omega, \\ E_1^{m,i} &= \gamma \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+i+1} (1 + \partial_y \omega)^{-\gamma-1} |\partial_t^m \partial_y^{i+1} \omega|^2 dy, \\ E_2^{m,i} &= -2 \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+i+1} R^{m,i} \partial_t^m \partial_y^{i+1} \omega dy, \\ L_1^{m,i} &= \frac{\gamma}{2} \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+i+1} (\partial_t (1 + \partial_y \omega)^{-\gamma-1}) |\partial_t^m \partial_y^{i+1} \omega|^2 dy, \\ L_2^{m,i} &= - \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+i+1} (\partial_t R^{m,i}) \partial_t^m \partial_y^{i+1} \omega dy, \\ L_3^{m,i} &= 2 \int \chi \chi' (\partial_t^{m+1} \partial_y^i \omega) \sigma^{\iota+i+1} \partial_t^m \partial_y^i (1 + \partial_y \omega)^{-\gamma} dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

Similarly, we integrate the product of (2.26) and $\chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+i} \partial_t^m \partial_y^i \omega$ over I to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_3^{m,i} - \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+i} |\partial_t^{m+1} \partial_y^i \omega|^2 dy + E_1^{m,i} = 2 \sum_{k=4,5} L_k^{m,i}, \quad (2.29)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_3^{m,i} &= \frac{1}{2} \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+i} \left(|\partial_t^m \partial_y^i \omega|^2 + 2(\partial_t^{m+1} \partial_y^i \omega) \partial_t^m \partial_y^i \omega \right) dy, \\ L_4^{m,i} &= \frac{1}{2} \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+i+1} R^{m,i} \partial_t^m \partial_y^{i+1} \omega dy, \\ L_5^{m,i} &= \int \chi \chi' (\partial_t^m \partial_y^i \omega) \sigma^{\iota+i+1} \partial_t^m \partial_y^i (1 + \partial_y \omega)^{-\gamma} dy. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from $2 \times (2.27) + (2.29)$ that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathfrak{E}^{m,i}(t) + \mathfrak{D}^{m,i}(t) = 2 \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 5} L_k^{m,i}, \quad (2.30)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{E}^{m,i} &= \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+i} |\partial_t^{m+1} \partial_y^i \omega|^2 dy + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 3} E_k^{m,i}, \\ \mathfrak{D}^{m,i} &= \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+i} |\partial_t^{m+1} \partial_y^i \omega|^2 dy + E_1^{m,i}. \end{aligned}$$

We analyze the terms on the right-hand side of (2.30). When $m + i = 1$, it is easy to see that

$$0 = R^{m,i} = E_2^{m,i} = L_2^{m,i} = L_4^{m,i}, \quad (2.31a)$$

$$|L_1^{m,i}| \lesssim \epsilon_0 \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+i+1} |\partial_t^m \partial_y^{i+1} \omega|^2 dy, \quad (2.31b)$$

due to (2.12). When $m+i \geq 2$, note that

$$\begin{aligned} |R^{m,i}| &\lesssim \sum_{r \leq m, k \leq i, 1 \leq r+k \leq m+i-1} \mathcal{I}^{r,k} |\partial_t^{m-r} \partial_y^{i+1-k} \omega|, \\ |\partial_t R^{m,i}| &\lesssim \sum_{r \leq m, k \leq i, 1 \leq r+k} \mathcal{I}^{r,k} |\partial_t^{m+1-r} \partial_y^{i+1-k} \omega|, \end{aligned}$$

because of (2.13). Then, it yields from (2.12), (2.14) and (2.15) that for $2 \leq m+i \leq 4 + [\iota]$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \sigma^{\iota+i+1} |R^{m,i}|^2 dy \\ &\lesssim \epsilon_0^2 \sum_{\substack{r \leq m, k \leq i, \\ 1 \leq r+k \leq m+i-2}} \int \sigma^{\iota+i+2-r-2k} |\partial_t^{m-r} \partial_y^{i+1-k} \omega|^2 dy \\ &+ \epsilon_0^2 \sum_{\substack{r \leq m, k \leq i, \\ r+k=m+i-1}} \int \sigma^{\iota+k+1} |\mathcal{I}^{r,k}|^2 dy \lesssim \epsilon_0^2 \sum_{1 \leq r+k \leq m+i-1} \mathcal{D}^{r,k}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \sigma^{\iota+i+1} |\partial_t R^{m,i}|^2 dy \\ &\lesssim \epsilon_0^2 \sum_{\substack{r \leq m, k \leq i, \\ 1 \leq r+k \leq m+i-2}} \int \sigma^{\iota+i+2-r-2k} |\partial_t^{m+1-r} \partial_y^{i+1-k} \omega|^2 dy \\ &+ \epsilon_0^2 \sum_{r \leq m, k \leq i, r+k=m+i-1} \int \sigma^{\iota+k} |\mathcal{I}^{r,k}|^2 dy \\ &+ \epsilon_0^2 \int \sigma^{\iota+i+1} |\mathcal{I}^{m,i}|^2 dy \lesssim \epsilon_0^2 \sum_{1 \leq r+k \leq m+i} \mathcal{D}^{r,k}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

Indeed, the following estimate has been used to derive (2.33), which is due to (A-3).

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+2-r-2k}{2}} \partial_t^{m+1-r} \partial_y^{i+1-k} \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{0 \leq l \leq r+k-1} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+2-r-2k}{2} + r+k-1} \partial_t^{m+1-r} \partial_y^{i+1-k+l} \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{0 \leq l \leq r+k-1} \mathcal{D}^{m+1-r, i-k+l} \end{aligned}$$

for $r \leq m, k \leq i, 1 \leq r+k \leq m+i-2$. So, we derive from the Cauchy inequality, and (2.31)-(2.33) that for $1 \leq m+i \leq 4 + [\iota]$,

$$\begin{aligned} |E_2^{m,i}| &\leq \epsilon_0 \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+i+1} |\partial_t^m \partial_y^{i+1} \omega|^2 dy \\ &+ \epsilon_0 \sum_{1 \leq r+k \leq m+i-1} \mathcal{D}^{r,k}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.34a)$$

$$\sum_{k=1,2,4} |L_k^{m,i}| \lesssim \epsilon_0 \sum_{1 \leq r+k \leq m+i} \mathcal{D}^{r,k}. \quad (2.34b)$$

In view of (2.18), we see that for $1 \leq m+i \leq [\iota] + 4$,

$$\int_{h/4}^{h/2} |\mathcal{I}^{m,i}|^2 dy \lesssim \sum_{\substack{r \leq m, k \leq i, \\ r+k \leq m+i-1}} \int_{h/4}^{h/2} |\partial_t^{m-r} \partial_y^{i-k+1} \omega|^2 dy$$

$$\leq \sum_{\substack{r \leq m, k \leq i \\ r+k \leq m+i-1}} \int |\zeta_1 \partial_t^{m-r} \partial_y^{i-k+1} \omega|^2 dy \leq \sum_{\substack{r \leq m, k \leq i \\ r+k \leq m+i-1}} \mathcal{D}_1^{m-r, i-k},$$

which, together with the Cauchy inequality, (2.13) and (2.16), implies that for $m+i \leq [\iota] + 4$ and $i \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=3,5} |L_k^{m,i}| &\lesssim \int_{\hbar/4}^{\hbar/2} (|\partial_t^{m+1} \partial_y^i \omega| + |\partial_t^m \partial_y^i \omega|) \mathcal{I}^{m,i} dy \\ &\lesssim \sum_{r \leq m, k \leq i} \mathcal{D}_1^{r,k} \lesssim \sum_{r \leq m+i} \mathcal{D}^{r,0}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

As a conclusion of (2.30), (2.34b), (2.35) and $L_3^{m,0} = L_5^{m,0} = 0$, we obtain that for $1 \leq m+i \leq [\iota] + 4$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathfrak{E}^{m,i}(t) + \mathfrak{D}^{m,i}(t) \lesssim \mathcal{M}^{m,i}, \quad (2.36)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^{m,0} &= \epsilon_0 \sum_{1 \leq r+k \leq m} \mathcal{D}^{r,k}, \\ \mathcal{M}^{m,i} &= \epsilon_0 \sum_{1 \leq r+k \leq m+i} \mathcal{D}^{r,k} + \sum_{r \leq m+i} \mathcal{D}^{r,0}, \quad i \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Step 3. In this step, we prove (2.21). Clearly, it holds that

$$\mathcal{D}^{m,0} \lesssim \mathfrak{D}^{m,0}, \quad \mathcal{D}_2^{m,i} \lesssim \mathfrak{D}^{m,i} \quad \text{for } i \geq 1, \quad (2.37a)$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{m,i} \lesssim \sum_{r \leq m+i} \mathfrak{D}^{r,0} + \mathfrak{D}^{m,i} \quad \text{for } i \geq 1, \quad (2.37b)$$

where (2.37b) follows from (2.16) and (2.37a). In view of (2.36) and (2.37a), we see that there exists a positive constant K only depending on γ and M such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{1 \leq i, m+i \leq [\iota]+4} \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} \mathfrak{E}^{m,i}(t) + \mathfrak{D}^{m,i}(t) \right\} \\ &\leq K \epsilon_0 \sum_{1 \leq r+k \leq [\iota]+4} \mathcal{D}^{r,k} + K \sum_{r \leq [\iota]+4} \mathfrak{D}^{r,0}, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (2.24), (2.36), (2.37) and the smallness of ϵ_0 , implies that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathfrak{E}(t) + \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{D}(t) \leq 0, \quad (2.38)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{E} &= \sum_{m \leq [\iota]+4} (K+1) \mathfrak{E}^{m,0} + \sum_{1 \leq i, m+i \leq [\iota]+4} \mathfrak{E}^{m,i}, \\ \mathfrak{D} &= \sum_{m+i \leq [\iota]+4} \mathfrak{D}^{m,i}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the Cauchy inequality, (2.34a) and the smallness of ϵ_0 that for $m+i \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{E}^{m,i} &\geq 6^{-1} \left(\mathfrak{D}^{m,i} + \left\| \chi \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i}{2}} \partial_t^m \partial_y^i \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right) - C \epsilon_0 \sum_{1 \leq r+k \leq m+i-1} \mathcal{D}^{r,k}, \\ \mathfrak{E}^{m,i} &\leq 2 \mathfrak{D}^{m,i} + \left\| \chi \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i}{2}} \partial_t^m \partial_y^i \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 + C \epsilon_0 \sum_{1 \leq r+k \leq m+i-1} \mathcal{D}^{r,k}, \end{aligned}$$

for a certain positive constant C only depending on γ and M . This, together with (2.25), (2.37) and the smallness of ϵ_0 , implies that

$$\mathfrak{E} \sim \mathfrak{D} + \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota}{2}} \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (2.39)$$

Due to the boundary condition $\omega(t, 0) = 0$, and the Sobolev embedding $H^{\iota+[\iota]+4, [\iota]+3}(I) \hookrightarrow H^{(2+[\iota]-\iota)/2}(I) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(I)$, we have

$$|\omega(t, y)| = \left| \int_0^y \partial_z \omega(t, z) dz \right| \lesssim \|\partial_y \omega(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \sum_{i \leq [\iota]+3} \mathcal{D}^{0,i} \lesssim \mathfrak{D},$$

where (2.25) and (2.37) have been used to derive the last inequality. Substitute this into (2.39) to obtain

$$\mathfrak{D} + \|\sigma^{\frac{\iota}{2}} \omega\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \mathfrak{E} \lesssim \mathfrak{D}. \quad (2.40)$$

Let $\delta \in (0, 1/4)$ be a small constant to be determined later, then we multiply (2.38) by $e^{\delta t}$, and use (2.40) to get

$$\frac{d}{dt} (e^{\delta t} \mathfrak{E}(t)) + \frac{1}{2} e^{\delta t} \mathfrak{D}(t) \leq \delta e^{\delta t} \mathfrak{E}(t) \lesssim \delta e^{\delta t} \mathfrak{D}(t).$$

Hence, there exists a constant $\delta \leq 1/4$ only depending on γ and M such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} (e^{\delta t} \mathfrak{E}(t)) + \frac{1}{4} e^{\delta t} \mathfrak{D}(t) \leq 0.$$

Integrate the above equation over $[0, t]$ to get

$$e^{\delta t} \mathfrak{E}(t) + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t e^{\delta s} \mathfrak{D}(s) ds \leq \mathfrak{E}(0). \quad (2.41)$$

It follows from (2.25), (2.37) and (2.40) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} &= \sum_{m+i \leq [\iota]+4} \left(\mathcal{D}^{m,i} + \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i}{2}} \partial_t^m \partial_y^i \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{m+i \leq [\iota]+4} \mathcal{D}^{m,i} + \|\sigma^{\frac{\iota}{2}} \omega\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \mathfrak{D} \lesssim \mathfrak{E}, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (2.41), proves (2.21). \square

3. THE TWO- AND THREE-DIMENSIONAL MOTIONS

We set Ω as the reference domain, and define x as the Lagrangian flow of the velocity u by

$$\partial_t x(t, y) = u(t, x(t, y)) \text{ for } t > 0, \text{ and } x(0, y) = x_0(y) \text{ for } y \in \Omega. \quad (3.1)$$

We define $\varrho(t, y)$ as the Lagrangian density, $v(t, y)$ the Lagrangian velocity, $A(t, y)$ the inverse of the Jacobian matrix, and $J(t, y)$ the Jacobian determinant by

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(t, y) &= \rho(t, x(t, y)), \quad v(t, y) = u(t, x(t, y)), \\ A(t, y) &= \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial y} \right)^{-1}, \quad J(t, y) = \det \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial y} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, system (1.1) can be written in Lagrangian coordinates as

$$\partial_t \varrho + \varrho A_i^k \partial_k v^i = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T] \times \Omega, \quad (3.2a)$$

$$\varrho \partial_t v_i + A_i^k \partial_k (\varrho^\gamma) = -\varrho v_i - \varrho g \delta_{in} \quad \text{in } (0, T] \times \Omega, \quad (3.2b)$$

$$\varrho = 0 \quad \text{on } (0, T] \times \{y_n = h\}, \quad (3.2c)$$

$$v_n = 0 \quad \text{on } (0, T] \times \{y_n = 0\}, \quad (3.2d)$$

$$(\varrho, x, v) = (\rho_0(x_0), x_0, u_0(x_0)) \quad \text{on } \{t = 0\} \times \Omega, \quad (3.2e)$$

where $v^i = v_i$, $\partial_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}$. It follows from (3.2a) and $\partial_t J = J A_i^k \partial_k v^i$ that

$$\varrho(t, y) J(t, y) = \varrho(0, y) J(0, y) = \rho_0(x_0(y)) \det \left(\frac{\partial x_0(y)}{\partial y} \right).$$

We choose $x_0(y)$ such that $\rho_0(x_0(y)) \det\left(\frac{\partial x_0(y)}{\partial y}\right) = \bar{\rho}(y)$. The existence of such an x_0 follows from the Dacorogna-Moser theorem (cf. [9]) and (1.3). So, the Lagrangian density can be expressed as

$$\varrho(t, y) = \bar{\rho}(y) J^{-1}(t, y), \quad \text{where } \bar{\rho}(y) = (\nu(\hbar - y_n))^{1/(\gamma-1)}, \quad (3.3)$$

and problem (3.2) reduces to

$$\bar{\rho} \partial_t v_i + J A_i^k \partial_k (\bar{\rho}^\gamma J^{-\gamma}) = -\bar{\rho} v_i - \bar{\rho} g \delta_{in} \quad \text{in } (0, T] \times \Omega, \quad (3.4a)$$

$$v_n = 0 \quad \text{on } (0, T] \times \{y_n = 0\}, \quad (3.4b)$$

$$(x, v) = (x_0, u_0(x_0)) \quad \text{on } \{t = 0\} \times \Omega. \quad (3.4c)$$

In the setting, the moving vacuum boundary for problem (1.1) is given by

$$\Gamma(t, x_*(t, y)) = x_n(t, y_*, \hbar). \quad (3.5)$$

3.1. Notation and main results. We let $\partial_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}$, $\bar{\partial}^{\alpha_*} = \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \partial_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots \partial_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}}$ for multi-index $\alpha_* = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$, $\partial^\alpha = \bar{\partial}^{\alpha_*} \partial_n^{\alpha_n}$ for multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_*, \alpha_n)$, $\bar{\partial}^j = \{\bar{\partial}^{\alpha_*} : |\alpha_*| = j\}$ and $\partial^j = \{\partial^\alpha : |\alpha| = j\}$ for nonnegative integer j . The divergence of a vector field F is $\text{div} F = \delta_i^k \partial_k F^i$, the curl of a vector field F for $n = 2$ is $\text{curl} F = \partial_1 F_2 - \partial_2 F_1$, and the i -th component of the curl of a vector field F for $n = 3$ is $[\text{curl} F]_i = \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_j F_k$, where ϵ^{ijk} is the standard permutation symbol given by

$$\epsilon^{ijk} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{even permutation of } \{1, 2, 3\}, \\ -1, & \text{odd permutation of } \{1, 2, 3\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Along the flow map x , the i -th component of the gradient of a function f is $[\nabla_x f]_i = A_i^k \partial_k f$, the divergence of a vector field F is $\text{div}_x F = A_i^k \partial_k F^i$, the curl of a vector field F for $n = 2$ is $\text{curl}_x F = [\nabla_x F_2]_1 - [\nabla_x F_1]_2 = A_1^r \partial_r F_2 - A_2^r \partial_r F_1$, and the i -th component of the curl of a vector field F for $n = 3$ is $[\text{curl}_x F]_i = \epsilon^{ijk} [\nabla_x F_k]_j = \epsilon^{ijk} A_j^r \partial_r F_k$.

We define the perturbation ω by $\omega(t, y) = x(t, y) - y$, and set $\sigma(y) = \bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1}(y) = \nu(\hbar - y_n)$ and $\iota = (\gamma - 1)^{-1}$. We introduce that for nonnegative integers m, j, i ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^{m,j,i}(t) &= \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i}{2}} \partial_t^{m+1} \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i}{2}} \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \partial_t^m \partial \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and define the higher-order weighted Sobolev norm $\mathcal{E}(t)$ by

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \sum_{0 \leq m+j+i \leq [\iota]+n+4} \mathcal{E}^{m,j,i}(t) = \mathcal{E}_I(t) + \mathcal{E}_{II}(t), \quad (3.6)$$

where $\mathcal{E}_I(t) = \sum_{m+j+i \leq [\iota]+n+3} \mathcal{E}^{m+1,j,i}(t)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{II}(t) = \sum_{j+i \leq [\iota]+n+4} \mathcal{E}^{0,j,i}(t)$. In addition to (3.6), we need the following Sobolev norm for the curl:

$$\mathcal{V}_a(t) = \sum_{j+i=[\iota]+n+4} \mathcal{V}^{0,j,i}(t), \quad \text{where } \mathcal{V}^{m,j,i} = \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \text{curl}_x v \right\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Now, it is ready to state the main result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $n = 2, 3$. There exists a positive constant $\bar{\epsilon} > 0$ such that if $\mathcal{E}(0) + \mathcal{V}_a(0) \leq \bar{\epsilon}$, then problem (3.4) admits a global smooth solution in $[0, \infty) \times \Omega$ satisfying*

$$e^{\delta t} \mathcal{E}_I(t) + \mathcal{E}_{II}(t) + e^{\delta t} \mathcal{V}_a(t) \leq C(\mathcal{E}(0) + \mathcal{V}_a(0)) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, \quad (3.7)$$

where C and δ are positive constants which only depend on the adiabatic exponent γ and the initial total mass M , but do not depend on the time t .

As a corollary of Theorem 3.1, we have the following theorem for solutions to the original vacuum free boundary problem (1.1) concerning the convergence of the velocity u to that of the stationary solution, and the deviation of the vacuum boundary Γ and density ρ from those of the stationary solution.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $n = 2, 3$. There exists a positive constant $\bar{\epsilon} > 0$ such that if $\mathcal{E}(0) + \mathcal{V}_a(0) \leq \bar{\epsilon}$, then problem (1.1) admits a global smooth solution $(\rho, u, \Omega(t))$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$ satisfying*

$$|u(t, x(t, y))| \leq C \sqrt{e^{-\delta t} (\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{V}_a)(0)}, \quad (3.8a)$$

$$|\rho(t, x(t, y)) - \bar{\rho}(y)| \leq C(\hbar - y_n)^{1/(\gamma-1)} \sqrt{(\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{V}_a)(0)}, \quad (3.8b)$$

$$|\Gamma(t, x_*(t, y)) - \hbar| \leq C \sqrt{(\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{V}_a)(0)}, \quad (3.8c)$$

for all $y \in \Omega$ and $t \geq 0$. Here C and δ are positive constants which only depend on the adiabatic exponent γ and the initial total mass M , but do not depend on the time t .

3.2. Proof of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. We first prove Theorem 3.1. The proof of the global existence of smooth solutions is based on the local existence theory (cf. [7, 16]), together with the following a priori estimates stated in Proposition 3.3 whose proof will be given in the next two subsections.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $x(t, y) = y + \omega(t, y)$ be a solution to problem (3.4) in the time interval $[0, T]$ satisfying the a priori assumption*

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \leq \epsilon_0^2, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (3.9)$$

for some suitably small fixed positive number ϵ_0 independent of t . Then there exist positive constants C and δ independent of t , which only depend on γ and M , such that for $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\mathcal{E}_I(t) \leq C e^{-\delta t} \mathcal{E}_I(0), \quad \mathcal{E}_{II}(t) + e^{\delta t} \mathcal{V}_a(t) \leq C(\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{V}_a)(0).$$

To show Theorem 3.2, we recall the following estimate obtained in Lemma 3.3 of [44].

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m+j+2i \leq 4} \|\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \sum_{m+j+2i=5} \|\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega\|_{H^{\frac{n+[\iota]-\iota}{2}}}^2 \\ & + \sum_{\substack{6 \leq m+j+2i \\ m+j+i \leq [\iota]+n+3}} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{m+j+2i-4}{2}} \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega \right\|_{L^\infty}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

provided that $\mathcal{E}(t)$ is finite. The proof of (3.10) for $n = 2$ is the same as that for $n = 3$ shown in [44], which is based on (A-1) and (A-3). Indeed, it holds that for $m + j + 2i = 5$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega\|_{H^{(n+[\iota]-\iota)/2}} \lesssim \|\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega\|_{H^{\iota+i+b,b}} \lesssim \mathcal{E}(t), \\ & \|\sigma \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega\|_{H^{(n+2+[\iota]-\iota)/2}} \lesssim \|\sigma \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega\|_{H^{\iota+i+b-2,b}} \lesssim \mathcal{E}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where $b = [\iota] + n + 5 - (m + j + i)$. It follows from (3.1), (3.3) and (3.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t, x(t, y)) - \bar{\rho}(y) &= \bar{\rho}(y) J^{-1}(t, y) (1 - J(t, y)), \\ u(t, x(t, y)) &= \partial_t \omega(t, y), \quad \Gamma(t, x_*(t, y)) - \hbar = \omega_n(t, y_*, \hbar). \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (3.7), (3.13), and (3.10) which implies $\|\omega\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial \omega\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{II}}$ and $\|\partial_t \omega\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_I}$, proves (3.8).

3.3. A priori estimates for $\mathcal{E}^{m,j,i}$ with $m \geq 1$. We introduce the following Sobolev norms for the dissipation:

$$\mathcal{D}^{m,j,i}(t) = \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i}{2}} \partial_t^{m+1} \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i v \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \partial_t^m \partial \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i v \right\|_{L^2}^2,$$

where m, j, i are nonnegative integers. To specify the behavior of solutions near the bottom and the top, we divide $\mathcal{D}^{m,j,i}$ into two parts $\mathcal{D}_1^{m,j,i}$ and $\mathcal{D}_2^{m,j,i}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_1^{m,j,i}(t) &= \left\| \zeta_1 \partial_t^{m+1} \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i v \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \zeta_1 \partial_t^m \partial \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i v \right\|_{L^2}^2, \\ \mathcal{D}_2^{m,j,i}(t) &= \left\| \zeta_2 \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i}{2}} \partial_t^{m+1} \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i v \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \zeta_2 \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \partial_t^m \partial \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i v \right\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta_1 = \zeta_1(y_n)$ and $\zeta_2 = \zeta_2(y_n)$ are smooth cut-off functions satisfying (2.10). We will use elliptic estimates to bound $\mathcal{D}_1^{m,j,i}$ for $i \geq 1$ in Section 3.3.3, and energy estimates to bound $\mathcal{D}_2^{m,j,0}$, and $\mathcal{D}_2^{m,j,i}$ for $i \geq 1$ in Section 3.3.4.

3.3.1. *Preliminaries.* It follows from (3.9)-(3.11) and $H^{(n+1)/2} \hookrightarrow L^\infty$ that for $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m+j+2i \leq 4} \|\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \sum_{m+j+2i=5} \left(\|\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega\|_{H^{\frac{n+[\iota]-\iota}{2}}}^2 + \|\sigma \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega\|_{L^\infty}^2 \right) \\ & + \sum_{\substack{6 \leq m+j+2i \\ m+j+i \leq [\iota]+n+3}} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{m+j+2i-4}{2}} \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega \right\|_{L^\infty}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}(t) \leq \epsilon_0^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

which means that

$$|\partial \omega(t, y)| \lesssim \epsilon_0 \quad \text{for } (t, y) \in [0, T] \times \Omega.$$

Since JA is the adjugate matrix of $(\frac{\partial x}{\partial y})$ and $x(t, y) = y + \omega(t, y)$, then

$$JA = \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial y} \right)^* = (1 + \operatorname{div} \omega) \mathbb{I} - \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y} \right) + \delta_{n3} B,$$

where \mathbb{I} is the identity matrix, and B is the adjugate matrix of $(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y})$ given by

$$B = \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y} \right)^* = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_2 \omega \times \partial_3 \omega \\ \partial_3 \omega \times \partial_1 \omega \\ \partial_1 \omega \times \partial_2 \omega \end{bmatrix}.$$

This, together with the fact that $(\frac{\partial x}{\partial y})(\frac{\partial x}{\partial y})^* = J\mathbb{I}$, implies that

$$J = 1 + \operatorname{div} \omega + 2^{-1} (|\operatorname{div} \omega|^2 + |\operatorname{curl} \omega|^2 - |\partial \omega|^2) + 3^{-1} \delta_{n3} B_r^s \partial_s \omega^r. \quad (3.13)$$

So, we have that for $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\|J - 1\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\partial \omega\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \epsilon_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|A - \mathbb{I}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\partial \omega\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \epsilon_0, \quad (3.14)$$

which gives, with the aid of the smallness of ϵ_0 , that for $(t, y) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$,

$$2^{-1} \leq J \leq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n} |A_j^i| \leq 2. \quad (3.15)$$

Moreover, we have $|\nabla_x f|_i - \partial_i f| = |(A_i^r - \delta_i^r) \partial_r f| \lesssim \epsilon_0 |\partial f|$ for any function f , which means

$$2^{-1} |\partial f| \leq |\nabla_x f| \leq 2 |\partial f|. \quad (3.16)$$

The differentiation formulae for J and A are

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_j J &= JA_r^s \partial_j \partial_s \omega^r, & \partial_t J &= JA_r^s \partial_s v^r, \\ \partial_j A_i^k &= -A_r^k A_i^s \partial_j \partial_s \omega^r, & \partial_t A_i^k &= -A_r^k A_i^s \partial_s v^r, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with the mathematical induction and (3.15), implies that for any polynomial function \mathcal{P} and nonnegative integers m, j, i ,

$$|\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \mathcal{P}(A)| + |\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \mathcal{P}(J)| \lesssim \mathcal{I}^{m,j,i},$$

where $\mathcal{I}^{m,j,i}$ are defined inductively as follows:

$$\mathcal{I}^{0,0,0} = 1, \quad (3.17a)$$

$$\mathcal{I}^{m,j,i} = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq r \leq m, 0 \leq l \leq j, 0 \leq k \leq i \\ 0 \leq r+l+k \leq m+j+i-1}} \mathcal{I}^{r,l,k} |\partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial \omega|. \quad (3.17b)$$

Lemma 3.4. *It holds that for any nonnegative integers m, j, i , and $t \in [0, T]$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{r \leq m, l \leq j, k \leq i \\ 1 \leq r+l+k \leq m+j+i-1}} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{r,l,k} \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \lesssim \mathcal{E}(t) \sum_{r+l+k \leq m+j+i-1} \mathcal{E}^{r,l,k}(t), \quad 2 \leq m+j+i \leq [\iota] + n + 5, \\ & \sum_{\substack{r \leq m, l \leq j, k \leq i \\ 1 \leq r+l+k}} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{r,l,k} \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial v \right\|_{L^2}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.18a)$$

$$\lesssim \mathcal{E}(t) \sum_{r+l+k \leq m+j+i-1} \mathcal{D}^{r,l,k}(t), \quad 1 \leq m+j+i \leq [\iota] + n + 4, \quad (3.18b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(l,k) \in \mathfrak{S} \setminus \mathfrak{S}_1} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{1,l,k} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial v \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \lesssim \mathcal{E}(t) \sum_{l+k \leq j+i-1} \mathcal{D}^{0,l,k}(t), \quad 1 \leq j+i \leq [\iota] + n + 4, \end{aligned} \quad (3.18c)$$

where $\mathfrak{S} = \{(l, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid 0 \leq l \leq j, 0 \leq k \leq i\}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_1 = \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (j, i-1), (j, i)\}$.

Proof. The proof of (3.18a) for $n = 3$ can be found in Lemma 4.7 of [44], and that for $n = 2$ can be shown in a similar way, so we omit the details. In fact, the derivation of (3.18a) is the same as that of (3.18b) which will be given in what follows. To simplify the presentation, we set

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \{(r, l, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid 0 \leq r \leq m, 0 \leq l \leq j, 0 \leq k \leq i\}, \\ S_1 &= \{(r, l, k) \in S \mid 1 \leq r+l+k \leq m+j+i-1\}, \\ S_2 &= \{(r, l, k) \in S \mid 1 \leq r+l+k\}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 1. In this step, we prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq m+j+2i \leq 2} \|\mathcal{I}^{m,j,i}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \sum_{m+j+2i=3} \left(\|\mathcal{I}^{m,j,i}\|_{L^{q^*}}^2 + \|\sigma \mathcal{I}^{m,j,i}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \right) \\ & + \sum_{\substack{4 \leq m+j+2i \\ m+j+i \leq [\iota]+n+2}} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{m+j+2i-2}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{m,j,i} \right\|_{L^\infty}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

where $q^* = 2n/(\iota - [\iota])$ for $\iota \neq [\iota]$, and $q^* \in (2, \infty)$ for $\iota = [\iota]$.

The proof is based on (3.12), the Sobolev embedding and the mathematical induction. When $1 \leq m+j+2i \leq 2$, it follows from (3.17) and (3.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{I}^{m,j,i}\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \|\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \partial \omega\|_{L^\infty} + \sum_{(r,l,k) \in S_1} \|\mathcal{I}^{r,l,k}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\times \|\partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial \omega\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} + \epsilon_0 \sum_{(r,l,k) \in S_1} \|\mathcal{I}^{r,l,k}\|_{L^\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with the mathematical induction, implies that

$$\sum_{1 \leq m+j+2i \leq 2} \|\mathcal{I}^{m,j,i}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}. \quad (3.20)$$

When $m+j+2i = 3$, it yields from (3.17), (3.12), $H^{(n+[\iota]-\iota)/2} \hookrightarrow L^{q^*}$, and (3.20) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{I}^{m,j,i}\|_{L^{q^*}} &\leq \|\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \partial \omega\|_{L^{q^*}} + \sum_{(r,l,k) \in S_1} \|\mathcal{I}^{r,l,k}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\times \|\partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial \omega\|_{L^{q^*}} \lesssim \|\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \partial \omega\|_{H^{(n+[\iota]-\iota)/2}} \\ &+ \sum_{(r,l,k) \in S_1} \|\mathcal{I}^{r,l,k}\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial \omega\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}, \\ \|\sigma \mathcal{I}^{m,j,i}\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \|\sigma \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \partial \omega\|_{L^\infty} + \sum_{(r,l,k) \in S_1} \|\mathcal{I}^{r,l,k}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\times \|\partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial \omega\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

due to $r+l+2k \leq 2$ and $m-r+j-l+2(i-k) \leq 2$. When $m+j+2i \geq 4$ and $m+j+i \leq [\iota] + n + 2$, it produces from (3.17) and (3.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sigma^{\frac{m+j+2i-2}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{m,j,i} \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq \left\| \sigma^{\frac{m+j+2i-2}{2}} \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \partial \omega \right\|_{L^\infty} \\ & + \sum_{(r,l,k) \in S_1} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{r+l+2k-1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{r,l,k} \right\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{m-r+j-l+2(i-k)-1}{2}} \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial \omega \right\|_{L^\infty} \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} + \epsilon_0 \sum_{(r,l,k) \in S_1} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{r+l+2k-1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{r,l,k} \right\|_{L^\infty},$$

which, together with (3.20), (3.21) and the mathematical induction, gives the bound for the last term on the left-hand side of (3.19).

Step 2. In this step, we prove that

$$\sum_{\substack{5-n \leq z \leq 3, \ 3-z \leq h \leq \min\{2, \ 3-z/2\} \\ 1 \leq m+j+i \leq [\iota] + n+z+h-1}} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+h}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{m,j,i} \right\|_{L^{q_z}}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}, \text{ if } \iota \neq [\iota], \quad (3.22a)$$

$$\sum_{\substack{4-n \leq z \leq 3 \\ \max\{0, \ 2-z\} \leq h \leq \min\{2, \ (n+3-z)/2\} \\ 1 \leq m+j+i \leq [\iota] + 2+z+h}} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+h}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{m,j,i} \right\|_{L^{q_z}}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}, \text{ if } \iota = [\iota], \quad (3.22b)$$

$$\sum_{1 \leq m+j+i \leq [\iota] + n+3} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+2}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{m,j,i} \right\|_{L^{q_2}}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}, \text{ if } n = 2, \quad (3.22c)$$

$$\sum_{\substack{z=2,3, \ 3-z \leq h \leq 1 \\ 1 \leq m+j+i \leq [\iota] + n+z+h}} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+h}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{m,j,i} \right\|_{L^{q_z}}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}, \text{ if } \iota = [\iota], \ n = 3, \quad (3.22d)$$

$$\sum_{h=0,1, \ 1 \leq m+j+i \leq [\iota] + n+3+h} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+h}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{m,j,i} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}, \quad (3.22e)$$

where $q_z = 2n/(n+z-3+[\iota]-\iota)$. To prove (3.22a)-(3.22d), we set

$$\mathcal{Q}_{r,l,k}^{m,j,i} = \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+h}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{r,l,k} \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial \omega \right\|_{L^{q_z}}^2, \quad (r,l,k) \in S.$$

It follows from $\text{dist}(y, \partial \Omega) \lesssim \sigma(y)$, (A-3) and $a + \iota + i + h - 2b > -1$ that for $(r,l,k) \in S$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+h}{2}} \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial \omega \right\|_{H^{a,b}}^2 \\ & \lesssim \sum_{h_1 \leq b} \sum_{h_2 \leq h_1} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{a+\iota+i+h}{2}-h_2} \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial^{1+h_1-h_2} \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \lesssim \sum_{h_1 \leq b} \sum_{h_2 \leq h_1} \sum_{h_3 \leq h_2} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{a+\iota+i+h}{2}} \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k+h_3} \partial^{1+h_1-h_2} \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}, \end{aligned}$$

where $b = [\iota] + n + 4 - (m+j+i) + r + l + k$ and $a = \max\{b-k+1, \ 2b-1-\iota-i+\hat{\delta}\} - h$ for any $\hat{\delta} > 0$. This, together with (A-1), implies that for $(r,l,k) \in S$,

$$\left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+h}{2}} \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial \omega \right\|_{H^{s_1}} \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}, \quad (3.23)$$

where

$$s_1 = \min\{[\iota] + n + 3 + (r+l+2k) + h - (m+j+i), \ \iota + i + 1 + h - \hat{\delta}\}/2$$

for any $\hat{\delta} > 0$. Similarly, we have that for $(r,l,k) \in S$ and $r+l+2k \geq 4$,

$$\left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+2+h-(r+l+2k)}{2}} \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial \omega \right\|_{H^{s_2}} \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}, \quad (3.24)$$

where

$$s_2 = \min\{[\iota] + n + 5 + h - (m+j+i), \ \iota + 3 + i + h - (r+l+2k) - \hat{\delta}\}/2$$

for any $\hat{\delta} > 0$, because of $H^{a,b} \hookrightarrow H^{s_2}$ with $b = [\iota] + n + 4 - (m+j+i) + r + l + k$ and $a = \max\{b-1+r+l+k, \ 2b-3-\iota-i+(r+l+2k)+\hat{\delta}\} - h$ for any $\hat{\delta} > 0$.

We first prove (3.22a). In this case, (3.23) hold with $s_1 = \min\{4-z+r+l+2k, \ \iota+1+h-(1+[\iota]-\iota)/2\}/2$. When $r+l+2k \leq 2$, we use (3.19), (3.23), and $H^{s_1} \hookrightarrow H^{(3-z+\iota-[\iota])/2} \hookrightarrow L^{q_z}$ to get

$$\mathcal{Q}_{r,l,k}^{m,j,i} \leq \left\| \mathcal{I}^{r,l,k} \right\|_{L^\infty}^2 \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+h}{2}} \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial \omega \right\|_{L^{q_z}}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}.$$

When $r + l + 2k = 3$, it follows from (3.19), (3.23), and $H^{s_1} \hookrightarrow H^{(3-z+2\iota-2[\iota])/2} \hookrightarrow L^q$ that

$$\mathcal{Q}_{r,l,k}^{m,j,i} \leq \|\mathcal{I}^{r,l,k}\|_{L^{q^*}}^2 \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+h}{2}} \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial \omega \right\|_{L^q}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}^2,$$

where $q = 2n/(n+z-3+2[\iota]-2\iota)$. When $4 \leq r + l + 2k \leq m + j + 2i - 3$, we use (3.19), (3.24) with $s_2 = (6.5 - n - z + \iota - [\iota])/2$, and $H^{s_2} \hookrightarrow H^{(3-z+\iota-[\iota])/2} \hookrightarrow L^{q_z}$ to obtain

$$\mathcal{Q}_{r,l,k}^{m,j,i} \leq \left\| \sigma^{\frac{r+l+2k-2}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{r,l,k} \right\|_{L^\infty}^2 \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+2+h-(r+l+2k)}{2}} \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial \omega \right\|_{L^{q_z}}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}^2.$$

When $r + l + 2k \geq \max\{4, m + j + 2i - 2\}$, it follows from (3.12) that

$$\mathcal{Q}_{r,l,k}^{m,j,i} \leq \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+h}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{r,l,k} \right\|_{L^{q_z}}^2 \left\| \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial \omega \right\|_{L^\infty}^2 \lesssim \epsilon_0^2 \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+k+h}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{r,l,k} \right\|_{L^{q_z}}^2.$$

So, (3.22a) is a consequence of the mathematical induction and the estimates obtained above.

Similarly, we can prove (3.22b). Indeed, (3.23) holds with $s_1 = \min\{n+1-z+r+l+2k, 1+h+\iota/2\}/2$ satisfying that $s_1 \geq (3-z)/2$ for $r+l+2k \leq 2$, and $s_1 \geq (3-z)/2 + \iota/4$ for $r+l+2k = 3$; and (3.24) holds with $s_2 = (3.5-z)/2$ satisfying $s_2 \geq (3-z)/2$ for $4 \leq r+l+2k \leq m+j+2i-3$.

The proof of (3.22c) is the same as that of (3.22a) except for the case of $r+l+2k = 3$. In fact, (3.23) holds with $s_1 = 1$ for $r+l+2k \leq 2$, and (3.24) holds with $s_2 = 1$ for $4 \leq r+l+2k \leq m+j+2i-3$. When $r+l+2k = 3$, one has

$$\mathcal{Q}_{r,l,k}^{m,j,i} \leq \left\| \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{r,l,k} \right\|_{L^{q_2}}^2 \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial \omega \right\|_{L^\infty}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}^2,$$

since $\|\sigma^{1/2} \partial_t^r \bar{\partial}^l \partial_n^k \partial \omega\|_{H^{a,b}} \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}$ with $b = [\iota] + n + 4 - (r+l+k)$ and $a = \max\{\iota+k+b, 2b-1+[\iota]-\iota\}$ satisfying $b-a/2 \geq (1+\iota-[\iota])/2$, and $\|\sigma^{(\iota+i+1)/2} \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial \omega\|_{H^{s_1}} \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}$ with $s_1 \geq 1 + \min\{\iota/4, 1\}$ by use of (3.23).

Next, we prove (3.22d). Notice that (3.23) holds with $s_1 = \min\{3-z+r+l+2k, 1+h+\iota/2\}/2$ satisfying that $s_1 \geq (3-z)/2$ for $r+l+2k \leq 2$, and $s_1 \geq (3-z)/2 + \iota/4$ for $r+l+2k = 3$; and (3.24) holds with $s_2 = (3.5-z)/2$ satisfying $s_2 \geq (3-z)/2$ for $4 \leq r+l+2k \leq m+j+2i-4$. When $r+l+2k = m+j+2i-3$, it follows from (3.19), (3.22b), and $r+l+k = m+j+i-2$ or $m+j+i-3$ that

$$\mathcal{Q}_{r,l,k}^{m,j,i} \leq \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+k+h}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{r,l,k} \right\|_{L^{q_{z-1}}}^2 \left\| \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial \omega \right\|_{L^{q^*}}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}^2.$$

When $[\iota] = \iota$, (3.22e) follows from $q_3 = 2$, (3.22b) and (3.22d). When $[\iota] \neq \iota$, we can use a similar way to the derivation of (3.22a) to prove (3.22e). (3.23) holds with $s_1 = \min\{r+l+2k, \iota+1/2\}/2$ satisfying $s_1 \geq 0$ for $r+l+2k \leq 2$, and $s_1 \geq (\iota-[\iota])/2$ for $r+l+2k = 3$; and (3.24) holds with $s_2 \geq (\iota-[\iota])/4 > 0$ for $4 \leq r+l+2k \leq m+j+2i-3$. Indeed, when $r+l+2k = 3$, it holds that $H^{s_1} \hookrightarrow H^{(\iota-[\iota])/2} \hookrightarrow L^{q_3}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+h}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{r,l,k} \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial \omega \right\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq \|\mathcal{I}^{r,l,k}\|_{L^{q^*}} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+h}{2}} \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial \omega \right\|_{L^{q_3}} \lesssim \mathcal{E}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Step 3. Based on (3.19) and (3.22), we can prove (3.18b) in this step. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{r,l,k}^{m,j,i} &= \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{r,l,k} \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial v \right\|_{L^2}^2, \quad (r,l,k) \in S_2, \\ \mathfrak{T} &= \mathcal{E}(t) \sum_{r+l+k \leq m+j+i-1} \mathcal{D}^{r,l,k}(t). \end{aligned}$$

When $r+l+2k \leq 2$, it yields from (3.19) that

$$\mathcal{P}_{r,l,k}^{m,j,i} \leq \|\mathcal{I}^{r,l,k}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial v \right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \mathfrak{T}. \quad (3.25)$$

When $r+l+2k = 3$, it produces from (3.19), $H^{1,1} \hookrightarrow H^{1/2} \hookrightarrow L^{2n/(n-1)}$, (A-3) and $r+l+k \geq 2$ that

$$\mathcal{P}_{r,l,k}^{m,j,i} \leq \|\mathcal{I}^{r,l,k}\|_{L^{2n}}^2 \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial v \right\|_{L^{2n/(n-1)}}^2 \lesssim \mathfrak{T}. \quad (3.26)$$

To deal with the case of $r+l+2k \geq 4$, we set

$$S_3 = \{(r,l,k) \in S_2 \mid 4 \leq r+l+2k, \quad r+l+k \leq [\iota] + n + 2\},$$

$$S_{31} = \{(r, l, k) \in S_3 \mid r + l + 2k < \iota + i + 4\},$$

$$S_{32} = S_3 \setminus S_{31} = \{(r, l, k) \in S_3 \mid r + l + 2k \geq \iota + i + 4\}.$$

When $(r, l, k) \in S_{31}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{r,l,k}^{m,j,i} &\leq \left\| \sigma^{\frac{r+l+2k-2}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{r,l,k} \right\|_{L^\infty}^2 \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+3-r-l-2k}{2}} \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial v \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E} \sum_{h_1 \leq r+l+k-2} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+r+l-1}{2}} \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k+h_1} \partial v \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E} \sum_{a+b+c \leq m+j+i-2} \mathcal{D}^{a,b,c}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

where the second inequality follows from (3.19), (A-3) and $\iota + i + 3 - r - l - 2k > -1$. When $(r, l, k) \in S_{32}$, one gets

$$\left\| \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial v \right\|_{L^{2n}}^2 \lesssim \sum_{r+l+k \leq m+j+i-1} \mathcal{D}^{r,l,k}. \quad (3.28)$$

If $(r, l, k) \in S_{32}$, then $r+l+k = [\iota] + n + 1$ or $[\iota] + n + 2$ for $n = 3$, and $r+l+k = [\iota] + n + 2$ for $n = 2$. Consider the case of $n = 3$ and $r+l+k = [\iota] + n + 1$, in which $[\iota] = \iota$ and $k = i$, then $H^{\iota+[\iota]+n+1, [\iota]+n} \hookrightarrow H^{(n-1)/2} \hookrightarrow L^{2n}$. Consider the case of $n = 3$ and $r+l+k = [\iota] + n + 2$, in which $k = i$, or $[\iota] = \iota$ and $k = i - 1$, thus $H^{\iota+[\iota]+n+2, [\iota]+n+1} \hookrightarrow H^{(n+[\iota]-\iota)/2} \hookrightarrow L^{2n}$ or $H^{\iota+[\iota]+n+3, [\iota]+n+1} \hookrightarrow H^{(n-1)/2} \hookrightarrow L^{2n}$. Consider the case of $n = 2$ and $r+l+k = [\iota] + n + 2$, in which $[\iota] = \iota$ and $k = i$, then $H^{\iota+[\iota]+n+2, [\iota]+n+1} \hookrightarrow H^{n/2} \hookrightarrow L^{2n}$. So, it follows from (3.28) and (3.22) that for $(r, l, k) \in S_{32}$,

$$\mathcal{P}_{r,l,k}^{m,j,i} \leq \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{r,l,k} \right\|_{L^{2n/(n-1)}}^2 \left\| \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial v \right\|_{L^{2n}}^2 \lesssim \mathfrak{T}. \quad (3.29)$$

Note that

$$\|\partial v\|_{L^\infty}^2 \lesssim \sum_{l+k \leq [\iota]+n+2} \mathcal{D}^{0,l,k}, \quad (3.30a)$$

$$\|\partial_t \partial v\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\bar{\partial} \partial v\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\partial_n \partial v\|_{L^{q^*}}^2 \lesssim \sum_{l+k \leq [\iota]+n+3} \mathcal{D}^{0,l,k}, \quad (3.30b)$$

where the bounds for ∂v , $\partial_t \partial v$ and $\bar{\partial} \partial v$ follow from $H^{\iota+[\iota]+n+3, [\iota]+n+2} \hookrightarrow H^{(n+1+[\iota]-\iota)/2} \hookrightarrow L^\infty$, and that for $\partial_n \partial v$ from $H^{\iota+[\iota]+n+4, [\iota]+n+2} \hookrightarrow H^{(n+[\iota]-\iota)/2} \hookrightarrow L^{q^*}$. When $r+l+k = [\iota] + n + 3$, we get for $m+j+i = [\iota] + n + 3$,

$$\mathcal{P}_{r,l,k}^{m,j,i} \leq \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{m,j,i} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \|\partial v\|_{L^\infty}^2 \lesssim \mathfrak{T}, \quad (3.31)$$

and for $m+j+i = [\iota] + n + 4$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{r,l,k}^{m,j,i} &\lesssim \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{m-1,j,i} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \|\partial_t \partial v\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{m,j-1,i} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \|\bar{\partial} \partial v\|_{L^\infty}^2 \\ &\quad + \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{m,j,i-1} \right\|_{L^{2n/(n-1)}}^2 \|\partial_n \partial v\|_{L^{2n}}^2 \lesssim \mathfrak{T}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

where (3.22) and (3.30) have been used to derive the estimates above. When $r+l+k = [\iota] + n + 4$, it follows from $m+j+i = [\iota] + n + 4$, (3.22) and (3.30a) that

$$\mathcal{P}_{r,l,k}^{m,j,i} \leq \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{m,j,i} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \|\partial v\|_{L^\infty}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E} \sum_{a+b+c \leq m+j+i-2} \mathcal{D}^{a,b,c}. \quad (3.33)$$

Finally, (3.18b) is a conclusion of (3.25)-(3.27), (3.29) and (3.31)-(3.33).

Step 4. In a similar way to deriving (3.18b), we prove (3.18c) in this step. In what follows, we assume $(l, k) \in \mathfrak{S} \setminus \mathfrak{S}_1$, and set

$$X_{l,k}^{j,i} = \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{1,l,k} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial v \right\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}(t) \sum_{l+k \leq j+i-1} \mathcal{D}^{0,l,k}(t).$$

When $l + 2k \leq 1$, then $(l, k) = (1, 0)$ and

$$X_{l,k}^{j,i} \leq \|\mathcal{I}^{1,1,0}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \bar{\partial}^{j-1} \partial_n^i \partial v \right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{T}, \quad (3.34)$$

due to (3.19). When $l + 2k = 2$, then $(l, k) = (2, 0)$ and

$$X_{l,k}^{j,i} \leq \|\mathcal{I}^{1,2,0}\|_{L^{2n}}^2 \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \bar{\partial}^{j-2} \partial_n^i \partial v \right\|_{L^{2n/(n-1)}}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{T}, \quad (3.35)$$

because of (3.19) and $H^{1,1} \hookrightarrow H^{1/2} \hookrightarrow L^{2n/(n-1)}$. To deal with the case of $l + 2k \geq 3$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_2 &= \{(l, k) \in \mathfrak{S} \setminus \mathfrak{S}_1 \mid 3 \leq l + 2k, l + k \leq [\iota] + n + 1\}, \\ \mathfrak{S}_{21} &= \{(l, k) \in \mathfrak{S}_2 \mid l + 2k < \iota + i + 3\}, \\ \mathfrak{S}_{22} &= \mathfrak{S}_2 \setminus \mathfrak{S}_{21} = \{(l, k) \in \mathfrak{S}_2 \mid l + 2k \geq \iota + i + 3\}. \end{aligned}$$

When $(l, k) \in \mathfrak{S}_{21}$, we use (3.19), and (A-3) $l + k - 1$ times to achieve

$$X_{l,k}^{j,i} \leq \left\| \sigma^{\frac{l+2k-1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{1,l,k} \right\|_{L^\infty}^2 \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+2-l-2k}{2}} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial v \right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{T}.$$

If $(l, k) \in \mathfrak{S}_{22}$, then $l + k = [\iota] + n$ or $[\iota] + n + 1$ for $n = 3$, and $l + k = [\iota] + n + 1$ for $n = 2$. Consider the case of $n = 3$ and $l + k = [\iota] + n$, in which $[\iota] = \iota$ and $k = i$, then one gets

$$X_{l,k}^{j,i} \lesssim \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{1,l,i} \right\|_{L^{q_1}}^2 \left\| \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial v \right\|_{L^n}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{T},$$

noticing (3.22) and $H^{\iota+[\iota]+n, [\iota]+n-1} \hookrightarrow H^{(n-2)/2} \hookrightarrow L^n$. Consider the case of $n = 3$ and $l + k = [\iota] + n + 1$, in which $k = i$, or $[\iota] = \iota$ and $k = i - 1$, then we obtain

$$X_{l,k}^{j,i} \lesssim \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{1,l,i} \right\|_{L^{q_2}}^2 \left\| \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial v \right\|_{L^{2n/(1+\iota-[\iota])}}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{T},$$

with the help of (3.22) and $H^{\iota+[\iota]+n+1, [\iota]+n} \hookrightarrow H^{(n-1+[\iota]-\iota)/2} \hookrightarrow L^{2n/(1+\iota-[\iota])}$; or

$$X_{l,k}^{j,i} \lesssim \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{1,l,i-1} \right\|_{L^{q_1}}^2 \left\| \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n \partial v \right\|_{L^n}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{T},$$

due to (3.22) and $H^{\iota+[\iota]+n+2, [\iota]+n} \hookrightarrow H^{(n-2)/2} \hookrightarrow L^n$. Consider the case of $n = 2$ and $l + k = [\iota] + n + 1$, in which $[\iota] = \iota$ and $k = i$, then one has

$$X_{l,k}^{j,i} \lesssim \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{1,l,i} \right\|_{L^{2n}}^2 \left\| \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial v \right\|_{L^{2n}}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{T},$$

noting (3.22) and $H^{\iota+[\iota]+n+1, [\iota]+n} \hookrightarrow H^{(n-1)/2} \hookrightarrow L^{2n}$. So,

$$X_{l,k}^{j,i} \lesssim \mathcal{T}, \quad (l, k) \in \mathfrak{S}_2. \quad (3.36)$$

When $l + k = [\iota] + n + 2$, it follows from (3.22), $H^{\iota+[\iota]+n+2, [\iota]+n+1} \hookrightarrow H^{(n+[\iota]-\iota)/2} \hookrightarrow L^{q^*}$ and $H^{\iota+[\iota]+n+3, [\iota]+n+1} \hookrightarrow H^{(n-1+[\iota]-\iota)/2} \hookrightarrow L^{2n/(1+\iota-[\iota])}$ that for $j + i = [\iota] + n + 3$,

$$X_{l,k}^{j,i} \lesssim \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{1,j-1,i} \right\|_{L^q}^2 \left\| \bar{\partial} \partial v \right\|_{L^{q^*}}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{T}, \quad (3.37)$$

and for $j + i = [\iota] + n + 4$,

$$\begin{aligned} X_{l,k}^{j,i} &\lesssim \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{1,j-2,i} \right\|_{L^q}^2 \left\| \bar{\partial}^2 \partial v \right\|_{L^{q^*}}^2 \\ &+ \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{1,j-1,i-1} \right\|_{L^{q_2}}^2 \left\| \bar{\partial} \partial_n \partial v \right\|_{L^{2n/(1+\iota-[\iota])}}^2 \\ &+ \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i-1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{1,j,i-2} \right\|_{L^q}^2 \left\| \sigma \partial_n^2 \partial v \right\|_{L^{q^*}}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{T}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

where $q = q_3$ for $[\iota] \neq \iota$, and $q = q_2$ for $[\iota] = \iota$. When $l + k = [\iota] + n + 3$, we get for $j + i = [\iota] + n + 4$,

$$X_{l,k}^{j,i} \leq \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{1,j-1,i} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \left\| \bar{\partial} \partial v \right\|_{L^\infty}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{T}, \quad (3.39)$$

due to (3.22) and (3.30b). So, (3.18c) is a conclusion of (3.34)-(3.39). \square

3.3.2. Curl estimates.

Lemma 3.5. *It holds that for $m + j + i \leq [\iota] + n + 3$, and $t \in [0, T]$,*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{V}^{m,j,i}(t) + \mathcal{V}^{m,j,i}(t) \lesssim \mathcal{E}(t) \sum_{r+l+k \leq m+j+i} \mathcal{D}^{r,l,k}(t). \quad (3.40)$$

Proof. It follows from (3.4a) that

$$\operatorname{curl}_x \partial_t v + \operatorname{curl}_x v = 0. \quad (3.41)$$

For any nonnegative integers m, α , and multi-index β , we take $\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha$ onto (3.41) to obtain

$$\partial_t^{m+1} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \operatorname{curl}_x v + \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \operatorname{curl}_x v = \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha [\partial_t, \operatorname{curl}_x] v. \quad (3.42)$$

Integrate the inner product of (3.42) and $\sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \operatorname{curl}_x v$ over Ω and use the Cauchy inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int \sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} |\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \operatorname{curl}_x v|^2 dy + \int \sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} |\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \operatorname{curl}_x v|^2 dy \\ & \leq \int \sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} |\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha [\partial_t, \operatorname{curl}_x] v|^2 dy. \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha [\partial_t, \operatorname{curl}_x] v| \\ & \lesssim \sum_{r \leq m, l \leq |\beta|, k \leq \alpha} \mathcal{I}^{r+1,l,k} \left| \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{|\beta|-l} \partial_n^{\alpha-k} \partial v \right| \\ & = \sum_{1 \leq r \leq m+1, l \leq |\beta|, k \leq \alpha} \mathcal{I}^{r,l,k} \left| \partial_t^{m+1-r} \bar{\partial}^{|\beta|-l} \partial_n^{\alpha-k} \partial v \right|, \end{aligned}$$

then (3.40) is a conclusion of (3.43) and (3.18b). \square

3.3.3. Elliptic estimates near the bottom.

Lemma 3.6. *It holds that for $m + j + i \leq [\iota] + n + 3$ and $i \geq 1$, and $t \in [0, T]$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_1^{m,j,i}(t) & \lesssim \sum_{r+l \leq m+j+i} \mathcal{D}^{r,l,0}(t) + \sum_{r+l+k \leq m+j+i-1} \mathcal{D}_2^{r,l,k}(t) \\ & + \sum_{r+l+k \leq m+j+i, k \geq 1} \left\| \zeta_1 \operatorname{curl} \partial_t^r \bar{\partial}^l \partial_n^k v \right\|_{L^2}^2(t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \zeta_1 \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i v \right\|_{L^2} \leq \left\| \partial(\zeta_1 \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i v) \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \zeta_1' \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i v \right\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \zeta_1 \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i v \right\|_{H^1} + \left\| \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i v \right\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega})}, \\ & \left\| \zeta_1 \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i v \right\|_{H^1} \lesssim \left\| \zeta_1 \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i v \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \operatorname{curl}(\zeta_1 \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i v) \right\|_{L^2} \\ & + \left\| \operatorname{div}(\zeta_1 \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i v) \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \bar{\partial}(\zeta_1 \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i v) \right\|_{L^2}, \\ & \left\| \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i v \right\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega})} \lesssim \left\| \zeta_2 \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i}{2}} \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} v \right\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega})} \leq \sqrt{\mathcal{D}_2^{m,j,i-1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

where $\tilde{\Omega} = \mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times (\hbar/2, 3\hbar/4)$, and (3.45) follows from the Hodge Decomposition (A-4). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_1^{m,j,i} & \lesssim \mathcal{D}_1^{m+1,j,i-1} + \mathcal{D}_1^{m,j+1,i-1} + \mathcal{D}_1^{m,j,i-1} + \mathcal{D}_2^{m,j,i-1} \\ & + \left\| \zeta_1 \operatorname{curl} \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i v \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \zeta_1 \operatorname{div} \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i v \right\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

To control the L^2 -norm of $\zeta_1 \operatorname{div} \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i v$, we divide (3.4a) by σ^ι , take ∂_t onto the resulting equation and use $\partial_k \sigma = -\nu \delta_{kn}$ to get

$$\gamma \sigma \partial_i \operatorname{div} v = \partial_t^2 v_i + \partial_t v_i + \sigma W_i - (\iota + 1) \nu \partial_t (A_i^n J^{1-\gamma}),$$

where

$$W_i = \partial_t (J A_i^k) \partial_k J^{-\gamma} - \gamma J A_i^k \partial_k (J^{-\gamma} (A_s^r - \delta_s^r) \partial_r v^s)$$

$$- \gamma J A_i^k (\partial_k J^{-\gamma}) \operatorname{div} v - \gamma (J^{1-\gamma} A_i^k - \delta_i^k) \partial_k \operatorname{div} v.$$

We take $\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1}$ ($i \geq 1$) onto the equation above to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \sigma \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \operatorname{div} v &= \gamma (i-1) \nu \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} \operatorname{div} v \\ &+ \partial_t^{m+2} \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} v_n + \partial_t^{m+1} \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} v_n \\ &+ \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} (\sigma W_n) - (\iota + 1) \nu \partial_t^{m+1} \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} (A_n^n J^{1-\gamma}), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\zeta_1 \operatorname{div} \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i v\|_{L^2}^2 &= \|\zeta_1 \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \operatorname{div} v\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{D}_1^{m,j,i-1} + \mathcal{D}_1^{m+1,j,i-1} + \|\zeta_1 \partial_t^{m+1} \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} (A_n^n J^{1-\gamma})\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &+ \|\zeta_1 \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} W_n\|_{L^2}^2 + (i-1)^2 \|\zeta_1 \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-2} W_n\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

It follows from the Sobolev embedding and the a priori assumption (3.9) that

$$\|\partial_t^m \omega\|_{W^{[\iota]+n+3-m,\infty}(\Omega_1)} \lesssim \|\partial_t^m \omega\|_{H^{[\iota]+n+5-m}(\Omega_1)} \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} \leq \epsilon_0, \quad (3.48)$$

where $\Omega_1 = \mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times (0, 3\hbar/4)$. This implies that for $1 \leq m+j+i \leq [\iota] + n + 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{I}^{m,j,i}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_1)} &\leq \|\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_1)} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{r \leq m, l \leq j, k \leq i \\ 1 \leq r+l+k \leq m+j+i-1}} \|\mathcal{I}^{r,l,k}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_1)} \|\partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \omega\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_1)} \\ &\lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} + \epsilon_0 \sum_{\substack{r \leq m, l \leq j, k \leq i \\ 1 \leq r+l+k \leq m+j+i-1}} \|\mathcal{I}^{r,l,k}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_1)}, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with the mathematical induction, gives that

$$\sum_{1 \leq m+j+i \leq [\iota] + n + 2} \|\mathcal{I}^{m,j,i}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_1)} \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} \lesssim \epsilon_0. \quad (3.49)$$

In view of (3.49), we see that for $m+j+i \leq [\iota] + n + 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\zeta_1 \partial_t^{m+1} \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} (A_n^n J^{1-\gamma})\|_{L^2}^2 &= \|\zeta_1 \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} (\partial_t (A_n^n J^{1-\gamma}))\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{r \leq m, l \leq j, k \leq i-1} \|\zeta_1 \mathcal{I}^{r,l,k} \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-1-k} \partial v\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \epsilon_0^2 \sum_{r \leq m, l \leq j, k \leq i-1} \|\zeta_1 \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-1-k} \partial v\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \epsilon_0^2 \sum_{r \leq m, l \leq j, k \leq i-1} \mathcal{D}_1^{m-r,j-l,i-1-k}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.50)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} W_n| &\lesssim |\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} \partial^2 \omega| |\partial v| \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{r_1+r_2 \leq m, l_1+l_2 \leq j, k_1+k_2 \leq i-1 \\ r_2+l_2+k_2 \leq m+j+i-2}} \mathcal{I}^{r_1,l_1,k_1} |\partial_t^{r_2} \bar{\partial}^{l_2} \partial_n^{k_2} \partial^2 \omega| \\ &\times |\partial_t^{m-r_1-r_2} \bar{\partial}^{j-l_1-l_2} \partial_n^{i-1-k_1-k_2} \partial v| + |\partial \omega| |\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} \partial^2 v| \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{r \leq m, l \leq j, k \leq i-1 \\ 1 \leq r+l+k}} \mathcal{I}^{r,l,k} |\partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-1-k} \partial^2 v|, \end{aligned}$$

then it follows from (3.48) and (3.49) that for $m+j+i \leq [\iota] + n + 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\zeta_1 \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} W_n\|_{L^2}^2 &\lesssim \|\zeta_1 \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} \partial^2 \omega |\partial v|\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &+ \epsilon_0^2 \sum_{r \leq m, l \leq j, k \leq i-1} \left(\mathcal{D}_1^{r,l,k} + \mathcal{D}_1^{r,l+1,k} + \mathcal{D}_1^{r,l,k+1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.51)$$

When $m + j + i \leq [\iota] + n + 2$, we have

$$\|\zeta_1 \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} \partial^2 \omega |\partial v|\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \epsilon_0^2 \|\zeta_1 \partial v\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \epsilon_0^2 \mathcal{D}_1^{0,0,0}, \quad (3.52)$$

due to (3.48). When $m + j + i = [\iota] + n + 3$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \|\zeta_1 \partial v\|_{L^\infty}^2 &\lesssim \|\zeta_1 \partial v\|_{H^2}^2 \lesssim \sum_{r=1,2,3} \|\zeta_1 \partial^r v\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{r=1,2} \|\partial^r v\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega})}^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{l+k \leq 2} \mathcal{D}_1^{0,l,k} + \sum_{r=1,2} \left\| \zeta_2 \sigma^{\frac{\iota+r}{2}} \partial^r v \right\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega})}^2 \lesssim L, \end{aligned} \quad (3.53)$$

where $\tilde{\Omega} = \mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times (\hbar/2, 3\hbar/4)$ and $L = \sum_{l+k \leq 2} \mathcal{D}_1^{0,l,k} + \sum_{l+k \leq 1} \mathcal{D}_2^{0,l,k}$; which, together with (3.9), implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\zeta_1 \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} \partial^2 \omega |\partial v|\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} \partial^2 \omega \right\|_{L^2(\Omega_1)}^2 \|\zeta_1 \partial v\|_{L^\infty}^2 \lesssim \epsilon_0^2 L, \end{aligned} \quad (3.54)$$

where $\Omega_1 = \mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times (0, 3\hbar/4)$. Now, it follows from (3.51)-(3.54) that for $m + j + i \leq [\iota] + n + 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\zeta_1 \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} W_n\|_{L^2}^2 &\lesssim \epsilon_0^2 \sum_{r \leq m, l \leq j, k \leq i-1} (\mathcal{D}_1^{r,l,k} \\ &\quad + \mathcal{D}_1^{r,l+1,k} + \mathcal{D}_1^{r,l,k+1}) + \epsilon_0^2 \varsigma L, \end{aligned} \quad (3.55)$$

where $\varsigma = 1$ when $m + j + i = [\iota] + n + 3$, and $\varsigma = 0$ otherwise. Similarly, we obtain for $m + j + i \leq [\iota] + n + 3$ and $i \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\zeta_1 \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-2} W_n\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \epsilon_0^2 \sum_{r \leq m, l \leq j, k \leq i-1} (\mathcal{D}_1^{r,l,k} + \mathcal{D}_1^{r,l+1,k} + \mathcal{D}_1^{r,l,k+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.56)$$

It yields from (3.46), (3.47), (3.50), (3.55) and (3.56) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_1^{m,j,i} &\lesssim \|\zeta_1 \text{curl} \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i v\|_{L^2}^2 + \mathcal{D}_1^{m+1,j,i-1} + \mathcal{D}_1^{m,j+1,i-1} + \mathcal{D}_1^{m,j,i-1} \\ &\quad + \mathcal{D}_2^{m,j,i-1} + \epsilon_0^2 \sum_{r \leq m, l \leq j, k \leq i-1} (\mathcal{D}_1^{r,l,k} + \mathcal{D}_1^{r,l+1,k} + \mathcal{D}_1^{r,l,k+1}) + \epsilon_0^2 \varsigma L, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with the smallness of ϵ_0 , implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_1^{m,j,i} &\lesssim \|\zeta_1 \text{curl} \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i v\|_{L^2}^2 + \mathcal{D}_1^{m+1,j,i-1} + \mathcal{D}_1^{m,j+1,i-1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{r+l+k \leq m+j+i-1} (\mathcal{D}_1^{r,l,k} + \mathcal{D}_2^{r,l,k}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.57)$$

Based on (3.57), it is easy to use the mathematical induction to prove (3.44). Clearly, (3.44) holds for $m + j + i = 1$ and $i \geq 1$, due to (3.57) which reads

$$\mathcal{D}_1^{0,0,1} \lesssim \|\zeta_1 \text{curl} \partial_n v\|_{L^2}^2 + \mathcal{D}_1^{1,0,0} + \mathcal{D}_1^{0,1,0} + \mathcal{D}_1^{0,0,0} + \mathcal{D}_2^{0,0,0}.$$

Suppose that (3.44) holds for $m + j + i \leq l - 1$ and $i \geq 1$, then one has for $m + j + i = l$ and $i \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_1^{m,j,i} &\lesssim \mathcal{D}_1^{m+1,j,i-1} + \mathcal{D}_1^{m,j+1,i-1} + \sum_{r+l \leq l-1} \mathcal{D}^{r,l,0} \\ &\quad + \sum_{r+l+k \leq l-1} \mathcal{D}_2^{r,l,k} + \sum_{r+l+k \leq l, k \geq 1} \|\zeta_1 \text{curl} \partial_t^r \bar{\partial}^l \partial_n^k v\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.44) from $i = 1$ to $i = l$ step by step. \square

3.3.4. Energy estimates.

Lemma 3.7. *There exists a positive constant $\delta \leq 1/4$ which only depends on γ and M such that*

$$e^{\delta t} \mathcal{E}_I(t) + \int_0^t e^{\delta s} \mathcal{E}_I(s) ds \lesssim \mathcal{E}_I(0), \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.58)$$

Proof. Take ∂_t onto (3.4a), divide the resulting equation by σ^ι and use the Piola identity $\partial_k(JA_i^k) = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) to get

$$\partial_t^2 v_i + \partial_t v_i - \sigma \partial_k H_i^k - (\iota + 1)(\partial_k \sigma) H_i^k = 0, \quad (3.59)$$

where

$$H_i^k = J^{1-\gamma} (A_r^k A_i^s \partial_s v^r + \iota^{-1} A_i^k \operatorname{div}_x v).$$

For any nonnegative integers m, α , and multi-index β , we take $\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha$ onto (3.59) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t^{m+2} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v_i + \partial_t^{m+1} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v_i - \sigma^{-\iota-\alpha} \partial_k (\sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha H_i^k) \\ &= \alpha ((\partial_n \sigma) \partial_k - (\partial_k \sigma) \partial_n) \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^{\alpha-1} H_i^k = R_{1;i}^{m,\beta,\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.60)$$

Let $\zeta_2 = \zeta_2(y_n)$ be a smooth cut-off function satisfying (2.10b) and set

$$\chi = 1 \quad \text{when } \alpha = 0, \quad \text{and } \chi = \zeta_2 \quad \text{when } \alpha \geq 1. \quad (3.61)$$

We integrate the product of (3.60) and $\chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha} \partial_t^{m+1} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v^i$ over Ω and use the boundary condition $\sigma = 0$ on $\{y_n = \hbar\}$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha} |\partial_t^{m+1} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v|^2 dy + \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha} |\partial_t^{m+1} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v|^2 dy \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 3} L_j^{m,\beta,\alpha} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_1^{m,\beta,\alpha} &= \int_{\{y_n=0\}} \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} (\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha H_i^n) \partial_t^{m+1} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v^i dy_*, \\ L_2^{m,\beta,\alpha} &= \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} (\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha H_i^k) \partial_k \partial_t^{m+1} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v^i dy, \\ L_3^{m,\beta,\alpha} &= 2 \int \chi (\partial_k \chi) \sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} (\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha H_i^k) \partial_t^{m+1} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v^i dy \\ &\quad - \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha} R_{1;i}^{m,\beta,\alpha} \partial_t^{m+1} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v^i dy. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the boundary term $L_1^{m,\beta,\alpha} = 0$ for $\alpha \geq 1$. When $\alpha = 0$, it follows from the boundary condition (3.4b): $v_n|_{\{y_n=0\}} = 0$ that $x_n|_{\{y_n=0\}} = 0$, which implies $JA_i^n|_{\{y_n=0\}} = 0$ and hence $H_i^n|_{\{y_n=0\}} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, so that $L_1^{m,\beta,0} = 0$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} R_{2;i}^{m,\beta,\alpha;k} &= \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha (J^{1-\gamma} (A_r^k A_i^s \partial_s v^r + \iota^{-1} A_i^k \operatorname{div}_x v)) \\ &\quad - J^{1-\gamma} (A_r^k A_i^s \partial_s \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v^r + \iota^{-1} A_i^k \operatorname{div}_x \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v), \end{aligned}$$

and use (A-5) to obtain

$$L_2^{m,\beta,\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 3} E_j^{m,\beta,\alpha} + L_4^{m,\beta,\alpha},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{m,\beta,\alpha} &= \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} J^{1-\gamma} (|\nabla_x \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v|^2 + \iota^{-1} |\operatorname{div}_x \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v|^2) dy, \\ E_2^{m,\beta,\alpha} &= - \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} J^{1-\gamma} |\operatorname{curl}_x \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v|^2 dy, \\ E_3^{m,\beta,\alpha} &= 2 \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} R_{2;i}^{m,\beta,\alpha;k} \partial_k \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v^i dy, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
L_4^{m,\beta,\alpha} &= \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} J^{1-\gamma} [\nabla_x \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v^r]_i [\nabla_x v^s]_r [\nabla_x \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v^i]_s dy \\
&\quad - \iota^{-1} \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} J^{1-\gamma} (\partial_t A_i^k) (\operatorname{div}_x \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v) \partial_k \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v^i dy \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} (\partial_t J^{1-\gamma}) \left(|\nabla_x \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v|^2 + \iota^{-1} |\operatorname{div}_x \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v|^2 \right) dy \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} (\partial_t J^{1-\gamma}) |\operatorname{curl}_x \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v|^2 dy \\
&\quad - \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} (\partial_t R_{2;i}^{m,\beta,\alpha;k}) \partial_k \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v^i dy.
\end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha} |\partial_t^{m+1} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v|^2 dy + \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha} |\partial_t^{m+1} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v|^2 dy \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 3} E_j^{m,\beta,\alpha} + \sum_{j=3,4} L_j^{m,\beta,\alpha} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.62}$$

Similarly, we integrate the product of (3.60) and $\chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha} \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v^i$ over Ω , and use the boundary conditions and (A-6) to achieve

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha} \left(|\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v|^2 + 2 (\partial_t^{m+1} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v_i) \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v^i \right) dy \\
&\quad - \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha} |\partial_t^{m+1} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v|^2 dy + \sum_{j=1,2} E_j^{m,\beta,\alpha} = -2 \sum_{j=5,6} L_j^{m,\beta,\alpha},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.63}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
L_5^{m,\beta,\alpha} &= \frac{1}{2} \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} R_{2;i}^{m,\beta,\alpha;k} \partial_k \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v^i dy, \\
L_6^{m,\beta,\alpha} &= \int \chi (\partial_k \chi) \sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} (\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha H_i^k) \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v^i dy \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha} R_{1;i}^{m,\beta,\alpha} \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v^i dy.
\end{aligned}$$

It produces from $2 \times (3.62) + (3.63)$ that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathfrak{E}^{m,\beta,\alpha}(t) + \mathfrak{D}^{m,\beta,\alpha}(t) = -E_2^{m,\beta,\alpha} - 2 \sum_{3 \leq j \leq 6} L_j^{m,\beta,\alpha}, \tag{3.64}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{E}^{m,\beta,\alpha} &= \frac{1}{2} \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha} \left(2 |\partial_t^{m+1} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v|^2 + |\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v|^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2 (\partial_t^{m+1} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v_i) \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v^i \right) dy + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 3} E_j^{m,\beta,\alpha}, \\
\mathfrak{D}^{m,\beta,\alpha} &= \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha} |\partial_t^{m+1} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v|^2 dy + E_1^{m,\beta,\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 1. In this step, we prove that for $m + |\beta| + \alpha \leq [\iota] + n + 3$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathfrak{E}^{m,\beta,\alpha} + \mathfrak{D}^{m,\beta,\alpha} \lesssim \mathcal{M}^{m,|\beta|,\alpha}, \tag{3.65}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}^{m,|\beta|,0} &= \mathcal{V}^{m,|\beta|,0} + \epsilon_0 \sum_{r+l+k \leq m+|\beta|} \mathcal{D}^{r,l,k}, \\
\mathcal{M}^{m,|\beta|,\alpha} &= \mathcal{D}_2^{m,|\beta|+1,\alpha-1} + \mathcal{D}_2^{m+1,|\beta|,\alpha-1} + \sum_{r+l \leq m+|\beta|+\alpha} \mathcal{D}^{r,l,0}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{r+l+k \leq m+|\beta|+\alpha} (\mathcal{V}^{r,l,k} + \epsilon_0 \mathcal{D}^{r,l,k}) \\
& + \sum_{r+l+k \leq m+|\beta|+\alpha-1, k \geq 1} \mathcal{D}_2^{r,l,k}, \quad \alpha \geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

It needs to analyze the terms on the right-hand side of (3.64). Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
|R_{2;i}^{m,\beta,\alpha;k}| & \lesssim \sum_{\substack{r \leq m, l \leq |\beta|, b \leq \alpha \\ 1 \leq r+l+b}} \mathcal{I}^{r,l,b} \left| \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{|\beta|-l} \partial_n^{\alpha-b} \partial v \right|, \\
|\partial_t R_{2;i}^{m,\beta,\alpha;k}| & \lesssim \sum_{\substack{r \leq m+1, l \leq |\beta|, b \leq \alpha \\ 1 \leq r+l+b}} \mathcal{I}^{r,l,b} \left| \partial_t^{m+1-r} \bar{\partial}^{|\beta|-l} \partial_n^{\alpha-b} \partial v \right|,
\end{aligned}$$

then it follows from the Cauchy inequality, (3.12) and (3.18b) that

$$\begin{aligned}
|E_3^{m,\beta,\alpha}| & \lesssim \epsilon_0 \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} |\partial \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v|^2 \\
& + \epsilon_0 \sum_{r+l+k \leq m+|\beta|+\alpha-1} \mathcal{D}^{r,l,k},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.66}$$

$$\sum_{j=4,5} |L_j^{m,\beta,\alpha}| \lesssim \epsilon_0 \sum_{r+l+k \leq m+|\beta|+\alpha} \mathcal{D}^{r,l,k}. \tag{3.67}$$

When $\alpha \geq 1$, notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha H_i^k| & \lesssim \sum_{r \leq m, l \leq |\beta|, b \leq \alpha} \mathcal{I}^{r,l,b} \left| \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{|\beta|-l} \partial_n^{\alpha-b} \partial v \right|, \\
|R_{1;i}^{m,\beta,\alpha}| & \lesssim \sum_{r \leq m, l \leq |\beta|+1, b \leq \alpha-1} \mathcal{I}^{r,l,b} \left| \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{|\beta|+1-l} \partial_n^{\alpha-1-b} \partial v \right|,
\end{aligned}$$

then it yields from (3.49) and (3.18b) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_2} |\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha H_i^k|^2 dy & \leq \int \zeta_1^2 |\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha H_i^k|^2 dy \\
& \lesssim \mathcal{D}_1^{m,|\beta|,\alpha} + \epsilon_0^2 \sum_{r+l+b \leq m+|\beta|+\alpha-1} \mathcal{D}^{r,l,b},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.68}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha} |R_{1;i}^{m,\beta,\alpha}|^2 dy & \lesssim \mathcal{D}_2^{m,|\beta|+1,\alpha-1} \\
& + \epsilon_0^2 \sum_{r+l+k \leq m+|\beta|+\alpha-1} \mathcal{D}^{r,l,k},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.69}$$

where $\Omega_2 = \mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times (\hbar/4, \hbar/2)$. Indeed, the following estimate has been used to derive (3.68).

$$\begin{aligned}
\int |\zeta_1 \mathcal{I}^{m,|\beta|,\alpha} \partial v|^2 dy & \lesssim \|\zeta_1 \partial v\|_{L^\infty}^2 \int \sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} |\partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^{|\beta|} \partial_n^\alpha \partial \omega|^2 dy \\
& + \sum_{\substack{r \leq m, l \leq |\beta|, k \leq \alpha \\ 1 \leq r+l+k \leq m+j+i-1}} \int \zeta_1^2 |\mathcal{I}^{r,l,k} \partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{|\beta|-l} \partial_n^{\alpha-k} \partial \omega|^2 |\partial v|^2 dy \\
& \lesssim \epsilon_0^2 \|\zeta_1 \partial v\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \epsilon_0^4 \mathcal{D}_1^{0,0,0} \lesssim \epsilon_0^2 \sum_{r+l+k \leq m+|\beta|+\alpha-1} \mathcal{D}^{r,l,k}
\end{aligned}$$

for $m+|\beta|+\alpha = [\iota] + n + 3$, where the first inequality follows from (3.17), the second from (3.9), (3.48) and (3.49), and the last from (3.53). It produces from the Cauchy inequality, (3.68) and (3.69) that for $\alpha \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{j=3,6} |L_j^{m,\beta,\alpha}| \lesssim \mathcal{D}_1^{m,|\beta|,\alpha} + \mathcal{D}_2^{m,|\beta|+1,\alpha-1} + \mathcal{D}_2^{m+1,|\beta|,\alpha-1}$$

$$+ \mathcal{D}^{m,|\beta|,\alpha-1} + \epsilon_0^2 \sum_{r+l+k \leq m+|\beta|+\alpha-1} \mathcal{D}^{r,l,k}. \quad (3.70)$$

In view of (3.18b) and

$$\begin{aligned} & |\operatorname{curl}_x \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v - \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \operatorname{curl}_x v| \\ & \lesssim \sum_{r \leq m, l \leq |\beta|, k \leq \alpha, 1 \leq r+l+k} \mathcal{I}^{r,l,k} |\partial_t^{m-r} \bar{\partial}^{|\beta|-l} \partial_n^{\alpha-k} \partial v|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.71)$$

we see that

$$\left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+\alpha+1}{2}} \operatorname{curl}_x \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v \right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{V}^{m,|\beta|,\alpha} + \epsilon_0^2 \sum_{r+l+k \leq m+|\beta|+\alpha-1} \mathcal{D}^{r,l,k}, \quad (3.72)$$

Due to (3.14), we have

$$|\operatorname{curl}_x \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v - \operatorname{curl} \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v| \lesssim \epsilon_0 |\partial \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v|,$$

which, together with (3.72), implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+\alpha+1}{2}} \operatorname{curl} \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \lesssim \mathcal{V}^{m,|\beta|,\alpha} + \epsilon_0^2 \mathcal{D}^{m,|\beta|,\alpha} + \epsilon_0^2 \sum_{r+l+k \leq m+|\beta|+\alpha-1} \mathcal{D}^{r,l,k}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.73)$$

So, (3.65) is a conclusion of (3.64), (3.67), (3.70), (3.72), (3.73), (3.44) and $L_3^{m,\beta,0} = L_6^{m,\beta,0} = 0$.

Step 2. In this step, we prove that there exist positive constants $K, K_b, K_{b,\alpha}$ only depending on γ and M such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathfrak{E}(t) + \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{D}(t) + \mathcal{V}(t) \leq 0, \quad (3.74)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D} &= \sum_{m+|\beta|+\alpha \leq [\iota]+n+3} \mathfrak{D}^{m,\beta,\alpha}, \quad \mathcal{V} = \sum_{m+j+i \leq [\iota]+n+3} \mathcal{V}^{m,j,i}, \\ \mathfrak{E} &= \sum_{b \leq [\iota]+n+3} K_b \sum_{\alpha \leq b} K_{b,\alpha} \sum_{m+|\beta|=b-\alpha} \mathfrak{E}^{m,\beta,\alpha} + K \mathcal{V} \end{aligned}$$

satisfy the following estimates:

$$\mathfrak{E} \lesssim \mathfrak{D} + \tilde{\mathfrak{D}} + \mathcal{V} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{E} \geq 6^{-1} (2^{-1} \mathfrak{D} + \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}) + \mathcal{V} \quad (3.75)$$

$$\text{with } \tilde{\mathfrak{D}} = \sum_{m+|\beta|+\alpha \leq [\iota]+n+3} \left\| \chi \sigma^{\frac{\iota+\alpha}{2}} \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v \right\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Let $b \leq [\iota] + n + 3$ and $\alpha \leq b$ be non-negative integer, and $K_{b,\alpha} \geq 1$ be constants to be determined later. It follows from (3.65) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{\alpha \leq b} K_{b,\alpha} \sum_{m+|\beta|=b-\alpha} \mathfrak{E}^{m,\beta,\alpha} + D_b \lesssim N_b^I + N_b^{II}, \quad (3.76)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D_b &= \sum_{\alpha \leq b} K_{b,\alpha} \sum_{m+|\beta|=b-\alpha} \mathfrak{D}^{m,\beta,\alpha} - C \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq b} K_{b,\alpha} \sum_{r+l=b} \mathcal{D}^{r,l,0} \\ &\quad - C \sum_{2 \leq \alpha \leq b} K_{b,\alpha} \sum_{m+|\beta|=b+1-\alpha} \mathcal{D}_2^{m,|\beta|,\alpha-1}, \\ N_b^I &= \sum_{\alpha \leq b} K_{b,\alpha} \sum_{r+l+k \leq b} (\mathcal{V}^{r,l,k} + \epsilon_0 \mathcal{D}^{r,l,k}), \\ N_b^{II} &= \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq b} K_{b,\alpha} \left(\sum_{r+l \leq b-1} \mathcal{D}^{r,l,0} + \sum_{r+l+k \leq b-1, k \geq 1} \mathcal{D}_2^{r,l,k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Due to (3.16), we have

$$\mathcal{D}^{m,j,0} \lesssim \sum_{|\beta|=j} \mathfrak{D}^{m,\beta,0}, \quad \mathcal{D}_2^{m,j,i} \lesssim \sum_{|\beta|=j} \mathfrak{D}^{m,\beta,i} \quad \text{for } i \geq 1, \quad (3.77)$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} D_b &\geq \left(K_{b,0} - C \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq b} K_{b,\alpha} \right) \sum_{m+|\beta|=b} \mathfrak{D}^{m,\beta,0} \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq b} (K_{b,\alpha} - CK_{b,\alpha+1}) \sum_{m+|\beta|=b-\alpha} \mathfrak{D}^{m,\beta,\alpha}, \\ N_b^{II} &\lesssim \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq b} K_{b,\alpha} \sum_{m+|\beta|+\alpha \leq b-1} \mathfrak{D}^{m,\beta,\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

where $K_{b,b+1} = 0$. We may choose $K_{b,b} = 1$ and suitable large constants $K_{b,\alpha}$ ($\alpha \leq b-1$) only depending on γ and M such that

$$D_b \geq \sum_{m+|\beta|+\alpha=b} \mathfrak{D}^{m,\beta,\alpha}, \quad N_b^{II} \lesssim \sum_{m+|\beta|+\alpha \leq b-1} \mathfrak{D}^{m,\beta,\alpha},$$

which, together with (3.76), implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{\alpha \leq b} K_{b,\alpha} \sum_{m+|\beta|=b-\alpha} \mathfrak{E}^{m,\beta,\alpha} + \sum_{m+|\beta|+\alpha=b} \mathfrak{D}^{m,\beta,\alpha} \\ &\lesssim N_b^I + \sum_{m+|\beta|+\alpha \leq b-1} \mathfrak{D}^{m,\beta,\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can choose $K_{[\iota]+n+3} = 1$ and suitable large constants $K_b \geq 1$ ($b \leq [\iota] + n + 2$) only depending on γ and M such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{b \leq [\iota]+n+3} K_b \sum_{\alpha \leq b} K_{b,\alpha} \sum_{m+|\beta|=b-\alpha} \mathfrak{E}^{m,\beta,\alpha} \\ &+ \sum_{m+|\beta|+\alpha \leq [\iota]+n+3} \mathfrak{D}^{m,\beta,\alpha} \lesssim \sum_{b \leq [\iota]+n+3} N_b^I. \end{aligned} \quad (3.78)$$

It follows from the Cauchy inequality, (3.66), (3.72) and the smallness of ϵ_0 that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{E}^{m,\beta,\alpha} &\leq 2\mathfrak{D}^{m,\beta,\alpha} + \left\| \chi \sigma^{\frac{\iota+\alpha}{2}} \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + C\epsilon_0 \sum_{r+l+k \leq m+|\beta|+\alpha-1} \mathcal{D}^{r,l,k}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.79a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{E}^{m,\beta,\alpha} &\geq 6^{-1} \left(\mathfrak{D}^{m,\beta,\alpha} + \left\| \chi \sigma^{\frac{\iota+\alpha}{2}} \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \\ &\quad - C\mathcal{V}^{m,|\beta|,\alpha} - C\epsilon_0 \sum_{r+l+k \leq m+|\beta|+\alpha-1} \mathcal{D}^{r,l,k}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.79b)$$

In view of (3.44) and (3.73), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^{m,j,i} &\lesssim \sum_{r+l \leq m+j+i} \mathcal{D}^{r,l,0} + \sum_{r+l+k \leq m+j+i, k \geq 1} \mathcal{D}_2^{r,l,k} \\ &\quad + \sum_{r+l+k \leq m+j+i} \mathcal{V}^{r,l,k} + \epsilon_0^2 \sum_{r+l+k \leq m+j+i} \mathcal{D}^{r,l,k}, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with the smallness of ϵ_0 and (3.77), implies that

$$\mathcal{D}^{m,j,i} \lesssim \sum_{r+|\beta|+k \leq m+j+i} \mathfrak{D}^{r,\beta,k} + \sum_{r+l+k \leq m+j+i} \mathcal{V}^{r,l,k}. \quad (3.80)$$

So, it produces from (3.40), (3.78)-(3.80) and the smallness of ϵ_0 that there exists a suitable large constant $K \geq 1$ only depending on γ and M such that (3.75) and (3.74) hold.

Step 3. This step devotes to proving (3.58). Clearly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathfrak{D}} &\lesssim \sum_{m+|\beta|+\alpha \leq [\iota]+n+3, 1 \leq m} \mathfrak{D}^{m-1,\beta,\alpha} + \sum_{|\beta|+\alpha \leq [\iota]+n+3, 1 \leq \alpha} \mathfrak{D}^{0,\beta,\alpha-1} \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq |\beta| \leq [\iota]+n+3} \left\| \sigma^{\iota/2} \bar{\partial}^\beta v \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \sigma^{\iota/2} v \right\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that for $|\beta| \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sigma^{\iota/2} \bar{\partial}^\beta v \right\|_{L^2}^2 &\lesssim \left\| \sigma^{\iota/2+1} \bar{\partial}^\beta v \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \sigma^{\iota/2+1} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n v \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|\tilde{\beta}|=|\beta|-1} \mathfrak{D}^{0,\tilde{\beta},0} + \mathfrak{D}^{0,\beta,0}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows from (A-3), then

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}(t) \lesssim \mathfrak{D}(t) + \left\| \sigma^{\iota/2} v \right\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (3.81)$$

It follows from (3.4a) and the Piola identity $\partial_k(JA_i^k) = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) that

$$\bar{\rho} \partial_t v_i + \partial_k (A_i^k \bar{\rho}^\gamma J^{1-\gamma}) = -\bar{\rho} v_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

which means that for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega \bar{\rho} v_i dy + \int_\Omega \bar{\rho} v_i dy = - \int_{\partial\Omega} \bar{\rho}^\gamma A_i^k J^{1-\gamma} N_k dS = 0.$$

Because on the boundary $\{y_n = 0\}$, we have $N = (0, \dots, 0, -1)$, and the boundary condition $v_n|_{\{y_n=0\}} = 0$ which implies $x_n|_{\{y_n=0\}} = 0$ and $JA_i^n|_{\{y_n=0\}} = 0$. Thus,

$$\int_\Omega \bar{\rho}(y) v_i(t, y) dy = e^{-t} \int_\Omega \bar{\rho}(y) v_i(0, y) dy$$

and then

$$\int_\Omega \bar{\rho}(y) \left(v_i(t, y) - M^{-1} e^{-t} \int_\Omega \bar{\rho}(y) v_i(0, y) dy \right) dy = 0$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. So, there exists $b(t) \in \Omega$ such that for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} v_i(t, b(t)) &= M^{-1} e^{-t} \int_\Omega \bar{\rho}(y) v_i(0, y) dy, \\ |v_i(t, y)| &\leq |v_i(t, y) - v_i(t, b(t))| + |v_i(t, b(t))| \\ &\leq \|\partial v_i(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} |y - b(t)| + M^{-1} e^{-t} \int_\Omega |\bar{\rho}(y) v_i(0, y)| dy \\ &\lesssim \|\partial v_i(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} + e^{-t} \|v_i(0, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

which, with the aid of $H^{n+3+[\iota]+\iota, n+2+[\iota]} \hookrightarrow H^{(n+1+[\iota]-\iota)/2} \hookrightarrow L^\infty$ and (3.80), implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |v_i(t, y)|^2 &\lesssim \sum_{j+i \leq [\iota]+n+2} \mathcal{D}^{0,j,i}(t) + e^{-2t} \|v_i(0, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{m+|\beta|+\alpha \leq [\iota]+n+2} \left(\mathfrak{D}^{m,\beta,\alpha} + \mathcal{V}^{m,|\beta|,\alpha} \right)(t) + e^{-2t} \|v_i(0, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Due to the boundary condition $v_n|_{\{y_n=0\}} = 0$, we can bound $v_n(t, y)$ similarly and obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |v(t, y)|^2 &\lesssim e^{-2t} \|v(0, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \\ &+ \sum_{m+|\beta|+\alpha \leq [\iota]+n+2} \left(\mathfrak{D}^{m,\beta,\alpha} + \mathcal{V}^{m,|\beta|,\alpha} \right)(t). \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (3.81) and (3.75), gives

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}(t) \lesssim \mathfrak{E}(t) \lesssim \mathfrak{D}(t) + \mathcal{V}(t) + e^{-2t} \|v(0, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}^2. \quad (3.82)$$

Let $\delta \in (0, 1/4)$ be a small constant to be determined later, then we multiply (3.74) by $e^{\delta t}$, and use (3.82) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (e^{\delta t} \mathfrak{E}(t)) + \frac{1}{2} e^{\delta t} (\mathfrak{D} + 2\mathcal{V})(t) &\leq \delta e^{\delta t} \mathfrak{E}(t) \\ &\lesssim \delta e^{\delta t} (\mathfrak{D} + \mathcal{V})(t) + \delta e^{-(2-\delta)t} \|v(0, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which means that there exists a constant $\delta \leq 1/4$ only depending on γ and M such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} (e^{\delta t} \mathfrak{E}(t)) + \frac{1}{4} e^{\delta t} (\mathfrak{D} + 2\mathcal{V})(t) \lesssim \delta e^{-(2-\delta)t} \|v(0, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}^2.$$

Integrate the equation above over $[0, t]$ to obtain

$$e^{\delta t} \mathfrak{E}(t) + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t e^{\delta s} (\mathfrak{D} + \mathcal{V})(s) ds \lesssim \mathfrak{E}(0) + \delta \|v(0, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}^2. \quad (3.83)$$

Note that

$$\sum_{m+j+i \leq [\iota] + n + 3} \mathcal{D}^{m,j,i} \lesssim \mathfrak{D} + \mathcal{V}, \quad (3.84)$$

$$\sum_{m+j+i \leq [\iota] + n + 3} \mathcal{E}^{m+1,j,i} \lesssim \sum_{m+j+i \leq [\iota] + n + 3} \mathcal{D}^{m,j,i} + \left\| \sigma^{\iota/2} v \right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \mathfrak{E}, \quad (3.85)$$

where (3.84) follows from (3.80), and the first inequality of (3.85) from a similar way to deriving (3.81), and the second from (3.84) and (3.75). As a conclusion of (3.75), (3.85), (3.82) and (3.83), we have

$$e^{\delta t} \mathcal{E}_1(t) + \int_0^t e^{\delta s} \mathcal{E}_1(s) ds \lesssim \mathcal{E}_1(0), \quad (3.86)$$

where $\mathcal{E}_1(t) = \sum_{m+j+i \leq [\iota] + n + 3} (\mathcal{E}^{m+1,j,i} + \mathcal{V}^{m,j,i})(t)$. In view of (3.71) and (3.18b), we see

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}^{m,j,i} &\lesssim \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \operatorname{curl}_x \partial_t^m \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i v \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon_0^2 \sum_{r+l+k \leq m+j+i-1} \mathcal{D}^{r,l,k} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \partial_t^m \partial \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i v \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon_0^2 \mathcal{E}_I \lesssim \mathcal{E}_I \end{aligned}$$

for $m+j+i \leq [\iota] + n + 3$. This, together with (3.86), proves (3.58). \square

3.4. A priori estimates for $\mathcal{E}^{0,j,i}$. It follows from (3.58) that for $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\mathcal{E}_2(t) \lesssim \mathcal{E}_2(0) + \delta^{-1} \mathcal{E}_I(0), \text{ where } \mathcal{E}_2(t) = \sum_{j+i \leq [\iota] + n + 3} \mathcal{E}^{0,j,i}(t), \quad (3.87)$$

where $\delta \leq 1/4$ is a positive constant only depending on γ and M . Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial v\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_t \partial v\|_{L^\infty} + \|\sigma \partial_n \partial v\|_{L^\infty} + \|\sigma \partial_t \partial_n \partial v\|_{L^\infty} + \|\mathcal{I}^{1,0,0}\|_{L^\infty} \\ + \|\mathcal{I}^{2,0,0}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\sigma \mathcal{I}^{1,0,1}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\sigma \mathcal{I}^{2,0,1}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_I}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.88a)$$

$$\|\partial \omega\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_2}. \quad (3.88b)$$

In fact, (3.88) follows from (3.12) and (3.19).

3.4.1. Curl estimates.

Lemma 3.8. *It holds that for $j+i = [\iota] + n + 4$, and $t \in [0, T]$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \operatorname{curl}_x \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2(t) &\lesssim \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \operatorname{curl}_x \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2(0) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{V}^{0,j,i}(0) + \epsilon_0^2 \mathcal{E}_2(0) + \epsilon_0^2 \delta^{-2} \mathcal{E}_I(0), \end{aligned} \quad (3.89a)$$

$$\mathcal{V}^{0,j,i}(t) \lesssim e^{-2t} \mathcal{V}^{0,j,i}(0) + e^{-\delta t} \epsilon_0^2 \mathcal{E}_I(0), \quad (3.89b)$$

where $\delta \leq 1/4$ is a positive constant only depending on γ and M .

Proof. It follows from (3.41) that

$$\operatorname{curl}_x v = \left\{ \operatorname{curl}_x v|_{t=0} + \int_0^t e^\tau [\partial_\tau, \operatorname{curl}_x] v d\tau \right\} e^{-t}, \quad (3.90)$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{curl}_x \omega &= \operatorname{curl}_x \omega|_{t=0} + (1 - e^{-t}) \operatorname{curl}_x v|_{t=0} + \int_0^t [\partial_s, \operatorname{curl}_x] \omega ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t e^{-s} \int_0^s e^\tau [\partial_\tau, \operatorname{curl}_x] v d\tau ds. \end{aligned}$$

For any multi-index β and nonnegative integer α , we take $\bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha$ onto the equation above to get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \operatorname{curl}_x \omega &= \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \operatorname{curl}_x \omega|_{t=0} + (1 - e^{-t}) \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \operatorname{curl}_x v|_{t=0} \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha [\partial_s, \operatorname{curl}_x] \omega ds + \int_0^t e^{-s} \int_0^s e^\tau \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha [\partial_\tau, \operatorname{curl}_x] v d\tau ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.91)$$

Notice that for $|\beta| + \alpha = [\iota] + n + 4$,

$$\bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha (\partial_t [\operatorname{curl}_x v]_l - [\operatorname{curl}_x \partial_t v]_l) = \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha (\epsilon^{ljk} (\partial_t A_j^r) \partial_r v_k) = \sum_{h=1,2,3} Z_{h,l}^{\beta,\alpha}, \quad (3.92)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{1,l}^{\beta,\alpha} &= \epsilon^{ljk} \sum_{0 \leq h \leq \min\{1, \alpha\}} C(h) \{ \partial_t ((\partial_t \partial_n^h A_j^r) \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^{\alpha-h} \partial_r \omega_k) \\ &\quad - (\partial_t^2 \partial_n^h A_j^r) \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^{\alpha-h} \partial_r \omega_k \}, \\ Z_{2,l}^{\beta,\alpha} &= \epsilon^{ljk} \sum_{\max\{\alpha-1, 0\} \leq h \leq \alpha} C(h) \{ \partial_t ((\bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^h A_j^r) \partial_n^{\alpha-h} \partial_r v_k) \\ &\quad - (\bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^h A_j^r) \partial_t \partial_n^{\alpha-h} \partial_r v_k \}, \\ |Z_{3,l}^{\beta,\alpha}| &\lesssim \sum_{(r,h) \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}_1} \mathcal{I}^{1,r,h} |\bar{\partial}^{|\beta|-r} \partial_n^{\alpha-h} \partial v|. \end{aligned}$$

Here $C(h) = 1$ for $h = 0$ and α , $C(h) = \alpha$ for $h = 1$ and $\alpha - 1$, $\mathcal{S} = \{(r, h) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 | 0 \leq r \leq |\beta|, 0 \leq h \leq \alpha\}$, $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (|\beta|, \alpha - 1), (|\beta|, \alpha)\}$. It follows from (3.18c) and (3.58) that

$$\left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+|\alpha|+1}{2}} \int_0^s e^\tau Z_{3,l}^{\beta,\alpha} d\tau \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \epsilon_0 \int_0^s e^\tau \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_I(\tau)} d\tau \lesssim \epsilon_0 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_I(0)} e^{(1-\delta/2)s}. \quad (3.93)$$

We integrate by parts over time to achieve

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+|\alpha|+1}{2}} \int_0^s e^\tau Z_{2,l}^{\beta,\alpha} d\tau \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+|\alpha|+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{0,|\beta|,\alpha-1} \partial_n \partial v \right\|_{L^2} (0) + \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+|\alpha|+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{0,|\beta|,\alpha} \partial v \right\|_{L^2} (0) \\ &\quad + e^s \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+|\alpha|+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{0,|\beta|,\alpha-1} \partial_n \partial v \right\|_{L^2} (s) + e^s \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+|\alpha|+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{0,|\beta|,\alpha} \partial v \right\|_{L^2} (s) \\ &\quad + \int_0^s e^\tau \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+|\alpha|+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{0,|\beta|,\alpha-1} \partial_n \partial v \right\|_{L^2} d\tau + \int_0^s e^\tau \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+|\alpha|+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{0,|\beta|,\alpha} \partial v \right\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^s e^\tau \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+|\alpha|+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{0,|\beta|,\alpha-1} \partial_t \partial_n \partial v \right\|_{L^2} d\tau + \int_0^s e^\tau \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+|\alpha|+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{0,|\beta|,\alpha} \partial_t \partial v \right\|_{L^2} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.22e) and (3.88a), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+|\alpha|+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{0,|\beta|,\alpha-1} \partial_n \partial v \right\|_{L^2} &\leq \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+|\alpha|-1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{0,|\beta|,\alpha-1} \right\|_{L^2} \|\sigma \partial_n \partial v\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \epsilon_0 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_I}, \\ \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+|\alpha|+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{0,|\beta|,\alpha} \partial v \right\|_{L^2} &\leq \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+|\alpha|+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{0,|\beta|,\alpha} \right\|_{L^2} \|\partial v\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \epsilon_0 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_I}, \\ \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+|\alpha|+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{0,|\beta|,\alpha-1} \partial_t \partial_n \partial v \right\|_{L^2} &\leq \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+|\alpha|-1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{0,|\beta|,\alpha-1} \right\|_{L^2} \|\sigma \partial_t \partial_n \partial v\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \epsilon_0 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_I}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+|\alpha|+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{0,|\beta|,\alpha} \partial_t \partial v \right\|_{L^2} \leq \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+|\alpha|+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{0,|\beta|,\alpha} \right\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t \partial v\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \epsilon_0 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_I},$$

which, together with (3.58), mean

$$\left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+|\alpha|+1}{2}} \int_0^s e^\tau Z_{2,l}^{\beta,\alpha} d\tau \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \epsilon_0 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_I(0)} e^{(1-\delta/2)s}. \quad (3.94)$$

We can bound $Z_{1,l}^{\beta,\alpha}$ similarly, and then obtain that for $|\beta| + \alpha = [\iota] + n + 4$,

$$\left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+|\alpha|+1}{2}} \int_0^t e^{-s} \int_0^s e^\tau \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha [\partial_\tau, \text{curl}_x] v d\tau ds \right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \epsilon_0^2 \delta^{-2} \mathcal{E}_I(0). \quad (3.95)$$

Note that for $|\beta| + \alpha = [\iota] + n + 4$,

$$\bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha (\partial_t [\text{curl}_x \omega]_l - [\text{curl}_x \partial_t \omega]_l) = \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha (\epsilon^{ljk} (\partial_t A_j^r) \partial_r \omega_k) = Y_{1,l}^{\beta,\alpha} + Y_{2,l}^{\beta,\alpha},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{1,l}^{\beta,\alpha} &= \partial_t (\epsilon^{ljk} (\partial_r \omega_k) \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha A_j^r) - \epsilon^{ljk} (\partial_r v_k) \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha A_j^r, \\ |Y_{2,l}^{\beta,\alpha}| &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{r \leq |\beta|, k \leq \alpha \\ 1 \leq r+k}} |\bar{\partial}^r \partial_n^k \omega| \sum_{\substack{r_1 \leq |\beta| - r \\ k_1 \leq \alpha - k}} \mathcal{I}^{0,r_1,k_1} |\bar{\partial}^{|\beta| - r - r_1} \partial_n^{\alpha - k - k_1} \partial v| \\ &\leq \sum_{r \leq |\beta|, k \leq \alpha, 1 \leq r+k} \mathcal{I}^{0,r,k} |\bar{\partial}^{|\beta| - r} \partial_n^{\alpha - k} \partial v|. \end{aligned}$$

We integrate by parts over time, and use (3.22e) and (3.88) to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+|\alpha|+1}{2}} \int_0^t Y_{1,l}^{\beta,\alpha} ds \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+|\alpha|+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{0,|\beta|,\alpha} \partial \omega \right\|_{L^2} (0) + \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+|\alpha|+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{0,|\beta|,\alpha} \partial \omega \right\|_{L^2} (t) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+|\alpha|+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{0,|\beta|,\alpha} \partial v \right\|_{L^2} ds \\ &\lesssim \epsilon_0 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_2(0)} + \epsilon_0 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_2(t)} + \epsilon_0 \int_0^t \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_I(s)} ds, \end{aligned}$$

which, with the aid of (3.58) and (3.87), gives

$$\left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+|\alpha|+1}{2}} \int_0^t Y_{1,l}^{\beta,\alpha} ds \right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \epsilon_0^2 \mathcal{E}_2(0) + \epsilon_0^2 \delta^{-1} \mathcal{E}_I(0).$$

It yields from (3.18b) and (3.58) that

$$\left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+|\alpha|+1}{2}} \int_0^t Y_{2,l}^{\beta,\alpha} ds \right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \epsilon_0^2 \delta^{-1} \mathcal{E}_I(0).$$

So, we have that for $|\beta| + \alpha = [\iota] + n + 4$,

$$\left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+|\alpha|+1}{2}} \int_0^t \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha [\partial_s, \text{curl}_x] \omega ds \right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \epsilon_0^2 \mathcal{E}_2(0) + \epsilon_0^2 \delta^{-1} \mathcal{E}_I(0). \quad (3.96)$$

Now, (3.89a) is a consequence of (3.91), (3.95) and (3.96). (3.89b) follows from (3.90), and (3.92)-(3.94), since $Z_{1,l}^{\beta,\alpha}$ and $Z_{2,l}^{\beta,\alpha}$ can be bounded in the same way. \square

3.4.2. Elliptic estimates near the bottom.

Lemma 3.9. *It holds that for $j + i = [\iota] + n + 4$ and $i \geq 1$, and $t \in [0, T]$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\zeta_1 \partial \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega\|_{L^2}^2(t) \lesssim \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+1}{2}} \bar{\partial}^{[\iota]+n+4} \partial \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2(t) + (\mathcal{E}_2 + \delta^{-2} \mathcal{E}_I)(0) \\ &\quad + \sum_{l+k=[\iota]+n+4, 1 \leq k \leq i} \left(\left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+k+1}{2}} \bar{\partial}^l \partial_n^k \text{curl}_x \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \mathcal{V}^{0,l,k} \right)(0), \end{aligned} \quad (3.97)$$

where $\zeta_1 = \zeta_1(y_n)$ is a smooth cut-off function satisfying (2.10a), and $\delta \leq 1/4$ is a positive constant only depending on γ and M .

Proof. We use (1.4) and the Piola identity $\partial_k(JA_i^k) = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) to rewrite (3.4a) as

$$\partial_t v_i + v_i + \sigma^{-\iota} \partial_k (\sigma^{\iota+1} \mathcal{F}_i^k) = 0, \quad \text{where } \mathcal{F}_i^k = A_i^k J^{1-\gamma} - \delta_i^k. \quad (3.98)$$

Clearly, (3.98) is equivalent to

$$\gamma \sigma \partial_i \operatorname{div} \omega = \partial_t v_i + v_i - \sigma \mathcal{W}_i - \nu(\iota + 1) \mathcal{F}_i^n,$$

where

$$\mathcal{W}_i = \gamma A_i^k J^{1-\gamma} (A_r^s - \delta_r^s) \partial_k \partial_s \omega^r + \gamma (A_i^k J^{1-\gamma} - \delta_i^k) \partial_k \operatorname{div} \omega.$$

We take $\bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1}$ ($i \geq 1$) onto the equation above to get

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \sigma \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \operatorname{div} \omega &= \gamma(i-1) \nu \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} \operatorname{div} \omega + \partial_t \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} v_n \\ &\quad + \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} v_n - \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} (\sigma \mathcal{W}_n) - \nu(\iota + 1) \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} \mathcal{F}_n^n, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\zeta_1 \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \operatorname{div} \omega\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_I} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_2} + \|\zeta_1 \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} \mathcal{F}_n^n\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \|\zeta_1 \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} \mathcal{W}_n\|_{L^2} + (i-1) \|\zeta_1 \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-2} \mathcal{W}_n\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.99)$$

Here and thereafter $j+i = [\iota] + n + 4$ and $i \geq 1$.

It follows from (3.17), (3.49) and (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\zeta_1 \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} \mathcal{F}_n^n\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \|\zeta_1 \mathcal{I}^{0,j,i-1}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{l \leq j, \ k \leq i-1 \\ l+k \leq j+i-2}} \|\mathcal{I}^{0,l,k}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_1)} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i-k}{2}} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-1-k} \partial \omega \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.100)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\zeta_1 \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} \mathcal{W}_n\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \|\zeta_1 \mathcal{I}^{0,j,i-1}\|_{L^2} \|\partial^2 \omega\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_1)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{l \leq j, \ k \leq i-1 \\ 1 \leq l+k \leq j+i-2}} \|\mathcal{I}^{0,l,k}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_1)} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i-k+1}{2}} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-1-k} \partial^2 \omega \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \|\partial \omega\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_1)} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^{i-1} \partial^2 \omega \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \epsilon_0 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.101)$$

where $\Omega_1 = \mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times (0, 3\hbar/4)$. Indeed, the following estimates have been used to derive (3.101).

$$\|\partial^2 \omega\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_1)} \lesssim \|\partial^2 \omega\|_{H^2(\Omega_1)} \lesssim \sum_{r=2,3,4} \left\| \sigma^{(\iota+r)/2} \partial^r \omega \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_2} \leq \epsilon_0,$$

and $\|\partial \omega\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_1)} \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_2}$. In view of (3.99)-(3.101), we see that

$$\|\zeta_1 \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \operatorname{div} \omega\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}_I + \mathcal{E}_2,$$

which, together with (A-4), means

$$\begin{aligned} \|\zeta_1 \partial \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega\|_{L^2}^2 &\lesssim \|\zeta_1 \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega\|_{H^1}^2 + \mathcal{E}_2 \\ &\lesssim \|\zeta_1 \operatorname{curl} \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\zeta_1 \partial \bar{\partial}^{j+1} \partial_n^{i-1} \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \mathcal{E}_I + \mathcal{E}_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.102)$$

In a similar way to deriving (3.101), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\zeta_1 (\operatorname{curl} \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega - \operatorname{curl}_x \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\partial \omega\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_1)} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \partial \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \epsilon_0 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_2}, \\ &\|\zeta_1 (\operatorname{curl}_x \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega - \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \operatorname{curl}_x \omega)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{l \leq j, \ k \leq i \\ 1 \leq l+k \leq j+i-2}} \|\mathcal{I}^{0,l,k}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_1)} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i-k+1}{2}} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial \omega \right\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{l \leq j, k \leq i \\ j+i-1 \leq l+k}} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+k+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{0,l,k} \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial \omega \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_1)} \lesssim \epsilon_0 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_2},$$

where (3.22e) has been used. Thus,

$$\left\| \zeta_1 \operatorname{curl} \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \left\| \zeta_1 \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \operatorname{curl}_x \omega \right\|_{L^2} + \epsilon_0 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_2}. \quad (3.103)$$

Now, (3.97) follows from (3.102), (3.103), (3.89a), (3.87) and (3.58). \square

3.4.3. Energy estimates.

Lemma 3.10. *It holds that for $t \in [0, T]$,*

$$\mathcal{E}_{II}(t) \lesssim \mathcal{E}(0) + \mathcal{V}_a(0). \quad (3.104)$$

Proof. For any multi-index β and nonnegative integer α with $|\beta| + \alpha \geq 1$, we take $\bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha$ onto (3.98) to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v_i + \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v_i + \sigma^{-\iota-\alpha} \partial_k (\sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \mathcal{F}_i^k) \\ &= \alpha ((\partial_k \sigma) \partial_n - (\partial_n \sigma) \partial_k) \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{F}_i^k = S_{1;i}^{\beta,\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_i^k = A_i^k J^{1-\gamma} - \delta_i^k$. Let χ be defined by (3.61) and set

$$S_{2;i}^{\beta,\alpha;k} = \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \mathcal{F}_i^k + J^{1-\gamma} (A_r^k A_i^s \partial_s \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \omega^r + \iota^{-1} A_i^k \operatorname{div}_x \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \omega),$$

then we obtain, using a similar way to deriving (3.62), that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha} |\bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v|^2 dy + \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha} |\bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v|^2 dy \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 3} E_j^{\beta,\alpha} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 3} L_j^{\beta,\alpha}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.105)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{\beta,\alpha} &= \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} J^{1-\gamma} \left(|\nabla_x \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \omega|^2 + \iota^{-1} |\operatorname{div}_x \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \omega|^2 \right) dy, \\ E_2^{\beta,\alpha} &= - \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} J^{1-\gamma} |\operatorname{curl}_x \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \omega|^2 dy, \\ E_3^{\beta,\alpha} &= -2 \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} S_{2;i}^{\beta,\alpha;k} \partial_k \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \omega^i dy, \\ L_1^{\beta,\alpha} &= - \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} \left(\partial_t S_{2;i}^{\beta,\alpha;k} \right) \partial_k \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \omega^i dy, \\ L_2^{\beta,\alpha} &= - \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} J^{1-\gamma} \left([\nabla_x \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \omega^r]_i [\nabla_x v^s]_r [\nabla_x \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \omega^i]_s \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \iota^{-1} (\partial_t A_i^k) (\operatorname{div}_x \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \omega) \partial_k \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \omega^i \right) dy + \frac{1}{2} \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} (\partial_t J^{1-\gamma}) \\ & \quad \times \left(|\nabla_x \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \omega|^2 + \iota^{-1} |\operatorname{div}_x \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \omega|^2 - |\operatorname{curl}_x \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \omega|^2 \right) dy, \\ L_3^{\beta,\alpha} &= \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota+\alpha} S_{1;i}^{\beta,\alpha} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v^i dy + 2 \int \chi (\partial_k \chi) \sigma^{\iota+\alpha+1} (\bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \mathcal{F}_i^k) \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v^i dy. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for $|\beta| + \alpha \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| S_{2;i}^{\beta,\alpha;k} \right| &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{l \leq |\beta|, r \leq \alpha \\ 1 \leq l+r \leq |\beta|+\alpha-1}} \mathcal{I}^{0,l,r} \left| \bar{\partial}^{|\beta|-l} \partial_n^{\alpha-r} \partial \omega \right|, \\ \left| \partial_t S_{2;i}^{\beta,\alpha;k} \right| &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{l \leq |\beta|, r \leq \alpha, 1 \leq l+r}} \mathcal{I}^{0,l,r} \left| \bar{\partial}^{|\beta|-l} \partial_n^{\alpha-r} \partial v \right|, \end{aligned}$$

then it follows from the Cauchy inequality, (3.18a) and (3.18b) that

$$\left| E_3^{\beta,\alpha} \right| \lesssim \epsilon_0 (\mathcal{E}_I + \mathcal{E}_2) + \epsilon_0 E_1^{\beta,\alpha}, \quad (3.106)$$

$$\left| L_1^{\beta, \alpha} \right| \lesssim \epsilon_0 e^{\delta t} \mathcal{E}_I + \epsilon_0 e^{-\delta t} E_1^{\beta, \alpha}. \quad (3.107)$$

In view of (3.88a), we see that

$$\left| L_2^{\beta, \alpha} \right| \lesssim \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota + \alpha + 1} |\partial v| |\partial \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \omega|^2 dy \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_I} E_1^{\beta, \alpha}. \quad (3.108)$$

Notice that for $\alpha \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| S_{1;i}^{\beta, \alpha} \right| &\lesssim \left| \bar{\partial}^{|\beta|+1} \partial_n^{\alpha-1} \partial \omega \right| + \sum_{\substack{l \leq |\beta|, \\ 1 \leq l+r}} \mathcal{I}^{0, l, r} \left| \bar{\partial}^{|\beta|+1-l} \partial_n^{\alpha-1-r} \partial \omega \right|, \\ \left| \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \mathcal{F}_i^k \right| &\lesssim \left| \bar{\partial}^{|\beta|} \partial_n^\alpha \partial \omega \right| + \sum_{\substack{l \leq |\beta|, \\ r \leq \alpha-1, \\ 1 \leq l+r}} \mathcal{I}^{0, l, r} \left| \bar{\partial}^{|\beta|-l} \partial_n^{\alpha-r} \partial \omega \right|, \end{aligned}$$

thus it yields from the Cauchy inequality and (3.18a) that

$$\left| L_3^{\beta, \alpha} \right| \lesssim e^{\delta t} \mathcal{E}_I + e^{-\delta t} \left(\sum_{|\tilde{\beta}|=|\beta|+1} E_1^{\tilde{\beta}, \alpha-1} + \left\| \zeta_1 \partial \bar{\partial}^{|\beta|} \partial_n^\alpha \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon_0^2 \mathcal{E}_2 \right). \quad (3.109)$$

Due to (3.18a), (3.22e) and (3.88b), one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} (\text{curl}_x \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega - \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \text{curl}_x \omega) \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{l \leq j, \\ k \leq i, \\ 1 \leq l+k \leq j+i-1}} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{0, l, k} \bar{\partial}^{j-l} \partial_n^{i-k} \partial \omega \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \mathcal{I}^{0, j, i} \right\|_{L^2} \|\partial \omega\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \epsilon_0 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_I + \mathcal{E}_2}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \text{curl}_x \omega \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \text{curl}_x \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega \right\|_{L^2} + \epsilon_0 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}, \quad (3.110a)$$

$$\left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \text{curl}_x \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \text{curl}_x \omega \right\|_{L^2} + \epsilon_0 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_I + \mathcal{E}_2}. \quad (3.110b)$$

As a conclusion of (3.107)-(3.110a), (3.97), (3.89a), (3.87), (3.58) and $L_3^{\beta, 0} = 0$, we arrive at

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq 3} \int_0^t \left| L_j^{\beta, 0} \right| ds \lesssim \epsilon_0 \mathcal{E}_I(0) + \delta^{-1} \left(\epsilon_0 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_I(0)} \right) \sup_{[0, t]} E_1^{\beta, 0}, \quad (3.111a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{1 \leq j \leq 3} \int_0^t \left| L_j^{\beta, \alpha} \right| ds \lesssim \delta^{-3} \mathcal{E}(0) + \delta^{-1} \mathcal{V}_a(0) + \delta^{-1} \left(\epsilon_0 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_I(0)} \right) \sup_{[0, t]} E_1^{\beta, \alpha} \\ &\quad + \delta^{-1} \sup_{[0, t]} \left(\sum_{|\tilde{\beta}|=|\beta|+1} E_1^{\tilde{\beta}, \alpha-1} + \sum_{|\tilde{\beta}|=[\iota]+n+4} E_1^{\tilde{\beta}, 0} \right), \quad \alpha \geq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.111b)$$

for $|\beta| + \alpha = [\iota] + n + 4$.

We integrate (3.105) over $[0, t]$ with $|\beta| + \alpha = [\iota] + n + 4$ from $\alpha = 0$ to $[\iota] + n + 4$ step by step, and use (3.89a), (3.110), (3.106), (3.111), (3.87), (3.58), and the smallness of ϵ_0 and $\mathcal{E}_I(0)$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota + \alpha} |\bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v|^2 dy + \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota + \alpha + 1} |\partial \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha \omega|^2 dy \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int \chi^2 \sigma^{\iota + \alpha} |\bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_n^\alpha v|^2 dy ds \lesssim \mathcal{E}(0) + \mathcal{V}_a(0), \end{aligned}$$

which, with the aid of (3.97), implies

$$\left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota}{2}} \bar{\partial}^{[\iota]+n+4} v \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{j+i=[\iota]+n+4} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{\iota+i+1}{2}} \partial \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}(0) + \mathcal{V}_a(0). \quad (3.112)$$

Clearly,

$$\sum_{j+i=[l]+n+4, i \geq 1} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{l+i}{2}} \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i v \right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \sum_{j+i=[l]+n+4, i \geq 1} \mathcal{E}^{1,j,i-1} \leq \mathcal{E}_I, \quad (3.113a)$$

$$\sum_{j+i=[l]+n+4} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{l+i}{2}} \bar{\partial}^j \partial_n^i \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \mathcal{E}_2 + \left\| \sigma^{\frac{l}{2}} \bar{\partial}^{[l]+n+4} \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (3.113b)$$

In view of (A-3), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sigma^{\frac{l}{2}} \bar{\partial}^{[l]+n+4} \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 &\lesssim \left\| \sigma^{\frac{l+2}{2}} \bar{\partial}^{[l]+n+4} \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \sigma^{\frac{l+2}{2}} \bar{\partial}^{[l]+n+4} \partial_n \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \left\| \sigma^{\frac{l+1}{2}} \partial \bar{\partial}^{[l]+n+3} \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \sigma^{\frac{l+1}{2}} \partial \bar{\partial}^{[l]+n+4} \omega \right\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (3.112), (3.113), (3.87) and (3.58), proves (3.104). \square

APPENDIX

The weighted Sobolev embedding. Let \mathfrak{U} be a bounded smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^n , and $d = d(y) = \text{dist}(y, \partial\mathfrak{U})$ be a distance function to the boundary. For any positive real number a and nonnegative integer b , we define the weighted Sobolev space $H^{a,b}(\mathfrak{U})$ by

$$H^{a,b}(\mathfrak{U}) = \left\{ d^{a/2} f \in L^2(\mathfrak{U}) : \int_{\mathfrak{U}} d^a |\partial^k f|^2 dy < \infty, \quad 0 \leq k \leq b \right\}$$

with the norm $\|f\|_{H^{a,b}(\mathfrak{U})}^2 = \sum_{k=0}^b \int_{\mathfrak{U}} d^a |\partial^k f|^2 dy$. Let $H^s(\mathfrak{U})$ ($s \geq 0$) be the standard Sobolev space, then for $b \geq a/2$, we have the following embedding of weighted Sobolev spaces (cf. [19]): $H^{a,b}(\mathfrak{U}) \hookrightarrow H^{b-a/2}(\mathfrak{U})$ with the estimate

$$\|f\|_{H^{b-a/2}(\mathfrak{U})} \leq \bar{C} \|f\|_{H^{a,b}(\mathfrak{U})} \quad (\text{A-1})$$

for some constant \bar{C} only depending on a , b and \mathfrak{U} .

The Hardy inequality. Let $k > -1$ be a given real number, $\bar{\delta}$ be a positive constant, and f be a function satisfying $\int_0^{\bar{\delta}} y^{k+2} (f^2 + |f'|^2) dy < \infty$, then it holds that

$$\int_0^{\bar{\delta}} y^k f^2 dy \leq \bar{C} \int_0^{\bar{\delta}} y^{k+2} (f^2 + |f'|^2) dy \quad (\text{A-2})$$

for a certain constant \bar{C} only depending on $\bar{\delta}$ and k , whose proof can be found in [19]. Indeed, (A-2) is a general version of the standard Hardy inequality: $\int_0^\infty |y^{-1} f|^2 dy \leq C \int_0^\infty |f'|^2 dy$. As a consequence of (A-2), we have the following estimates.

Lemma A-1. Let $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times (0, \hbar)$ and $\sigma(y) = \nu(\hbar - y_n)$ with ν and \hbar being positive constants. Let $k > -1$ be a given real number and f be a function satisfying $\int_\Omega \sigma^{k+2} (f^2 + |\partial_n f|^2) dy < \infty$, then

$$\int_\Omega \sigma^k f^2 dy \leq \bar{C} \int_\Omega \sigma^{k+2} (f^2 + |\partial_n f|^2) dy \quad (\text{A-3})$$

for some constant \bar{C} only depending on k , ν and \hbar .

Proof. When $n = 1$, (A-3) follows from (A-2) and $\sigma(y) = \nu(\hbar - y)$. When $n \geq 2$, it follows from $\sigma(y) = \sigma(y_n)$ that

$$\int_\Omega \sigma^k(y) f^2(y) dy = \int_0^\hbar \sigma^k(y_n) F^2(y_n) dy_n,$$

where

$$F^2(y_n) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}} f^2(y_*, y_n) dy_*, \quad y_* = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}).$$

This, together with (A-2), $\sigma(y) = \nu(\hbar - y_n)$ and the Hölder inequality, implies that

$$\int_\Omega \sigma^k f^2 dy \leq \bar{C} \int_0^\hbar \sigma^{k+2}(y_n) \left(F^2(y_n) + |F'(y_n)|^2 \right) dy_n$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \bar{C} \int_0^{\hbar} \sigma^{k+2}(y_n) \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}} (f^2 + |\partial_n f|^2) dy_* dy_n \\
&= \bar{C} \int_{\Omega} \sigma^{k+2} (f^2 + |\partial_n f|^2) dy
\end{aligned}$$

for some constants \bar{C} only depending on k , ν and \hbar . \square

The Hodge decomposition.

Lemma A-2. *Let F be a vector field defined on $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times (0, \hbar)$ such that $F \in L^2(\Omega)$, $\text{curl} F \in L^2(\Omega)$, $\text{div} F \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $\bar{\partial} F \in L^2(\Omega)$, then*

$$\|F\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq \bar{C} (\|F\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\text{curl} F\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\text{div} F\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\bar{\partial} F\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \quad (\text{A-4})$$

for some constant \bar{C} only depending on Ω .

Proof. The proof of (A-4) can be found in Section 6 of [7]. Indeed, (A-4) is a conclusion of the following Hodge-type elliptic estimate:

$$\|F\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq \bar{C} (\|F\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\text{curl} F\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\text{div} F\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\bar{\partial} F \cdot N\|_{H^{-0.5}(\bar{\Gamma})}),$$

and the following normal trace estimate:

$$\|\bar{\partial} F \cdot N\|_{H^{-0.5}(\bar{\Gamma})} \leq \bar{C} (\|\bar{\partial} F\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\text{div} F\|_{L^2(\Omega)}),$$

where $\bar{\Gamma} = \{y_n = 0\} \cup \{y_n = \hbar\}$, N denotes the outward unit-normal to $\bar{\Gamma}$, and \bar{C} are positive constants only depending on Ω . \square

The basic identity. Recall the following identity in Lemma 4.4 of [44].

Lemma A-3. *For any vector field F with $F^i = F_i$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
A_r^k A_i^s (\partial_s F^r) \partial_t \partial_k F^i &= 2^{-1} \partial_t (|\nabla_x F|^2 - |\text{curl}_x F|^2) \\
&\quad + [\nabla_x F^r]_i [\nabla_x v^s]_r [\nabla_x F^i]_s,
\end{aligned} \quad (\text{A-5})$$

$$A_r^k A_i^s (\partial_s F^r) \partial_k F^i = |\nabla_x F|^2 - |\text{curl}_x F|^2. \quad (\text{A-6})$$

Acknowledgements. This research was supported in part by NSFC Grants 12171267 and 11822107.

REFERENCES

- [1] Barenblatt, G.: On one class of solutions of the one-dimensional problem of non-stationary filtration of a gas in a porous medium, *Prikl. Mat. i. Mekh.* **17**, 739–742 (1953).
- [2] Chandrasekhar, S.: *Introduction to the Stellar Structure*, University of Chicago Press (1939).
- [3] Chemin, J.: Dynamique des gaz à masse totale finie, *Asymptotic Anal.* **3**, 215–220 (1990).
- [4] Chemin, J.: Remarques sur l'apparition de singularités dans les écoulements eulériens compressibles, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **133**, 323–329 (1990).
- [5] Coutand, D., Lindblad, H., Shkoller, S.: A priori estimates for the free-boundary 3-D compressible Euler equations in physical vacuum, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **296**, 559–587 (2010).
- [6] Coutand, D., Shkoller, S.: Well-posedness in smooth function spaces for the moving-boundary 1-D compressible Euler equations in physical vacuum, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **64**, 328–366 (2011).
- [7] Coutand, D., Shkoller, S.: Well-Posedness in Smooth Function Spaces for the Moving-Boundary Three-Dimensional Compressible Euler Equations in Physical Vacuum, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **206**, 515–616 (2012).
- [8] Cox, J., Giuli, R.: *Principles of stellar structure, I, II*, New York: Gordon and Breach, 1968.
- [9] Dacorogna, B., Moser, J.: On a partial differential equation involving the Jacobian determinant, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **7**, 1–26 (1990).
- [10] Friedrichs, K.: Symmetric hyperbolic linear differential equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **7** 345–392 (1954).
- [11] Gu, X., Lei, Z.: Well-posedness of 1-D compressible Euler-Poisson equations with physical vacuum, *J. Diff. Equ.* **252**, 2160–2188 (2012).
- [12] Gu, X., Lei, Z.: Local Well-posedness of the three dimensional compressible Euler-Poisson equations with physical vacuum, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **105**, 662–723 (2016).
- [13] Hadžić, M., Jang, J.: Expanding large global solutions of the equations of compressible fluid mechanics, *Invent. Math.* **214**, 1205–1266 (2018).

- [14] Hadžić, M., Jang, J.: A class of global solutions to the Euler-Poisson system, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **370**, 475–505 (2019).
- [15] Jang, J., Masmoudi, N.: Well-posedness for compressible Euler with physical vacuum singularity, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **62**, 1327–1385 (2009).
- [16] Jang, J., Masmoudi, N.: Well-posedness of compressible Euler equations in a physical vacuum, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **68**, 61–111 (2015).
- [17] Kato, T.: The Cauchy problem for quasi-linear symmetric hyperbolic systems, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **58**, 181–205 (1975).
- [18] Kreiss, H.: Initial boundary value problems for hyperbolic systems, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **23**, 277–296 (1970).
- [19] Kufner, A., Maligranda, L., Persson, L. E.: *The Hardy inequality*, Vydavatelský Servis, Plzen, 2007. About its history and some related results.
- [20] Liu, T.-P.: Compressible flow with damping and vacuum, *Jpn. J. Appl. Math.* **13**, 25–32 (1996).
- [21] Liu, T.-P., Smoller, J.: On the vacuum state for isentropic gas dynamics equations, *Adv. Math.* **1**, 345–359 (1980).
- [22] Liu, T.-P., Yang, T.: Compressible Euler equations with vacuum, *J. Differ. Equ.* **140**, 223–237 (1997).
- [23] Liu, T.-P., Yang, T.: Compressible flow with vacuum and physical singularity. *Methods Appl. Anal.* **7**, 495–310 (2000).
- [24] Luo, T., Xin, Z., Zeng, H.: Well-Posedness for the Motion of Physical Vacuum of the Three-dimensional Compressible Euler Equations with or without Self-Gravitation, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **213**, 763–831 (2014).
- [25] Luo, T.; Xin, Z.; Zeng, H.: Nonlinear asymptotic stability of the Lane-Emden solutions for the viscous gaseous star problem with degenerate density dependent viscosities. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **347**, no. 3, 657–702 (2016).
- [26] Luo, T.; Xin, Z.; Zeng, H.: On nonlinear asymptotic stability of the Lane-Emden solutions for the viscous gaseous star problem. *Adv. Math.* **291**, 90–182 (2016).
- [27] Luo, T., Zeng, H.: Global Existence of Smooth Solutions and Convergence to Barenblatt Solutions for the Physical Vacuum Free Boundary Problem of Compressible Euler Equations with Damping, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **69**, 1354–1396 (2016).
- [28] Makino, T., Ukai, S.: On the existence of local solutions of the Euler-Poisson equation for the evolution of gaseous stars, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **27**, 387–399 (1987).
- [29] Makino, T., Ukai, S., Kawashima, S.: On the compactly supported solution of the compressible Euler equation, *Japan J Appl Math* **3**, 249–257 (1986).
- [30] Oliynyk, T.: Future stability of the FLRW fluid solutions in the presence of a positive cosmological constant, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **346**, 293–312 (2016).
- [31] Ou, Y.; Zeng, H.: Global strong solutions to the vacuum free boundary problem for compressible Navier-Stokes equations with degenerate viscosity and gravity force. *J. Differential Equations* **259** no. 11, 6803–6829 (2015).
- [32] Parmeshwar, S., Hadžić, M., Jang, J.: Global expanding solutions of compressible Euler equations with small initial densities, *Quart. Appl. Math.* **79**, 273–334 (2021).
- [33] Rickard, C., Hadžić, M., Jang, J.: Global existence of the nonisentropic compressible Euler equations with vacuum boundary surrounding a variable entropy state, *Nonlinearity* **34**, 33–91 (2021).
- [34] Rodnianski, I., Speck, J.: The nonlinear future stability of the FLRW family of solutions to the irrotational Euler-Einstein system with a positive cosmological constant, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **15**, 2369–2462 (2013).
- [35] Serre, D.: Expansion of a compressible gas in vacuum, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sin. Taiwan* **10**, 695–716 (2015).
- [36] Shkoller, S., Sideris, T.: Global existence of near-affine solutions to the compressible Euler equations, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **234**, 115–180 (2019).
- [37] Sideris, T.: Spreading of the free boundary of an ideal fluid in a vacuum, *J. Differ. Equ.* **257**, 1–14 (2014).
- [38] Sideris, T.: Global existence and asymptotic behavior of affine motion of 3D ideal fluids surrounded by vacuum, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **225**, 141–176 (2017).
- [39] Stoker, J.: *Water Waves*, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, 1957.
- [40] Whitham, G.: *Linear and Nonlinear Waves*, John Wiley, New York, 1974.
- [41] Xu, C., Yang, T.: Local existence with physical vacuum boundary condition to Euler equations with damping, *J. Differ. Equ.* **210**, 217–231 (2005).
- [42] Yang, T.: Singular behavior of vacuum states for compressible fluids, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **190**, 211–231 (2006).
- [43] Zeng, H.: Global resolution of the physical vacuum singularity for three-dimensional isentropic inviscid flows with damping in spherically symmetric motions, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **226**, 33–82 (2017).
- [44] Zeng, H.: Almost global solutions to the three-dimensional isentropic inviscid flows with damping in physical vacuum around Barenblatt solutions, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **239**, 553–597 (2021).
- [45] Zeng, H.: Time-Asymptotics of Physical Vacuum Free Boundaries for Compressible Inviscid Flows with Damping, accepted for publication on *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, arXiv:2003.14072.