Open quantum simulator for $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry breaking localization transitions
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Phase transitions in non-Hermitian systems are at the focus of cutting edge theoretical and exper-
imental research. On the one hand, parity-time- ($\mathcal{PT}$-) and anti-$\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric physics have gained
ever-growing interest, due to the existence of non-Hermitian spectral singularities called exceptional
points (EPs). On the other, topological and localization transitions in non-Hermitian systems reveal
new phenomena, e.g., the non-Hermitian skin effect and the absence of conventional bulk-boundary
correspondence. The great majority of previous studies exclusively focus on non-Hermitian Hamilton-
ians, whose realization requires an a priori fine-tuned $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric extended lattices to ex-
hibit topological and localization transition phenomena. Since the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry can be broken
by perturbations in any of the lattice sites, these systems’ fragility poses serious challenges for the
experimental observation of non-Hermitian topological effects. In this work, we take an opposite
approach and propose an alternative and simple way of simulating lattice non-Hermitian spectral,
topological, and localization phenomena by introducing an open quantum simulator. Namely, we
start from a zero-dimensional physically realizable bosonic anti-$\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric dimer. By taking
into consideration the full quantum properties of the system (i.e., including quantum jumps in the
system description), we demonstrate the emergence of spectral, topological, and localization proper-
ties in the synthetic vector space, spanned by its field moments. Indeed, the field moment equations
of motion can describe an equivalent (quasi-)particle moving in a one-dimensional (1D) synthetic
lattice. This synthetic field moments space exhibits a nontrivial, even anomalous, localization tran-
sition induced by the presence of highly-degenerate EPs. We also reveal the intriguing interplay
between spectral, topological and localization transition, and the emergence of non-Hermitian skin
effect in such synthetic 1D space of high-order field moments for two-coupled bosonic modes. The
approach has a clear experimental advantage by requiring to tune only a few system parameters to
observe lattice effects. Our results can thus be directly verified in state-of-the-art optical setups,
such as superconducting circuits and toroidal resonators, by measuring photon correlation functions,
allowing the observation of rich spectral and localization non-Hermitian phenomena.

I. INTRODUCTION

Open quantum systems are ubiquitous in nature, due to the unavoidable interaction of any quantum systems with
its environment. When the environment is Markovian, i.e., memory-less, a weak system-environment interaction leads
to decoherence and dissipation, resulting in an irreversible dynamics where particles, energy, and information are ex-
changed incoherently. Within this quantum description, the concept of a real-valued energy loses its meaning because
the “energy" spectrum of a dissipative quantum system becomes complex. In a striking contrast with conventional
Hermitian quantum mechanics [1], the generator of the dynamics is not a Hermitian Hamiltonian operator, but rather
a non-Hermitian (super)operator. Non-Hermiticity can have a number of interesting and nontrivial features [2–4],
including the existence of spectral singularities called exceptional points (EPs). At an EP, both the eigenvalues
and eigenvectors of a non-Hermitian operator coalesce, a fact impossible for Hermitian operators. Even though this
kind of singularity was well-known among mathematicians [2], its rising popularity among physicists started mainly
from the discovery of the pure real spectrum of parity-time ($\mathcal{PT}$) symmetric operators, leading to the characteri-
zation of $\mathcal{PT}$ non-Hermitian Hamiltonians (NHHs) [5] and to the study of phase transitions in finite-dimensional
systems [5, 6]. Simultaneously, a number of experiments confirmed and demonstrated the unique properties of EPs
and their influence on system dynamics, e.g., unidirectional propagation [7–9] and extreme system sensitivity to
external perturbations [10–12] to name a few (for extensive reviews see, e.g., Refs. [3, 13, 14] and references therein).

A major obstacle to the observation of quantum phenomena related to non-Hermitian Hamiltonians and $\mathcal{PT}$ sym-
metry breaking, at the quantum level, resides in the commutation relations of quantum operators, making it necessary
to include dissipation (Langevin noise) in the dynamics of a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian and, de facto, breaking the
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PT symmetry [15–17]. To circumvent such a problem, two experimental strategies have been recently realized: post-selection [18] and dilation of a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian in a larger Hermitian space [19, 20]. Both experiments, however, considered a single qubit, and these strategies pose significant challenges to the method scalability. In this regard, we introduce a non-Hermitian quantum simulator, i.e., a Lindbladian system capable of reproducing the dynamics of non-Hermitian lattices and whose parameters can be easily tuned, allowing to investigate the physics of non-Hermitian high-dimensional systems through the prism of a (possibly) zero-dimensional one.

Non-Hermitian systems are known for exhibiting nontrivial topological and localization properties in condensed matter physics, particularly in 1D and higher-dimensional lattice architectures [3, 21–23]. For instance, open and periodic boundary conditions play a fundamental role in the determination of the eigenspectra of NHHs, invalidating the conventional bulk-boundary correspondence. As such, one of the main pillars of Hermitian topological physics fails to establish a quantitative correspondence between topological invariants of the bulk and the number of edge modes in a non-Hermitian system [24]. Moreover, bulk-boundary correspondence failure is intrinsically related to the so-called non-Hermitian skin effect where, in a system of size \( N \), \( O(N) \) edge modes, exponentially localized at the boundaries, emerge [25–27]. Nonetheless, attempts to generalize the bulk-boundary correspondence to the case of NHHs have also been undertaken [21, 25, 28, 29], provided that (possibly semi-infinite) periodic boundary conditions can be imposed. These theoretical findings have been experimentally validated in photonic platforms [30, 31] (see also Refs. [32, 33] for a review). Similarly, a generalized bulk-boundary correspondence to non-periodic non-Hermitian systems with open-boundary condition has been predicted [29, 34, 35].

Several theoretical findings point at the existence of localization transitions in non-Hermitian systems, e.g., Anderson’s localization in Hatano-Nelson model [36], and non-Hermitian generalizations of the Aubry-André model [37–40]. In these cases, localization is induced and controlled by uncorrelated [36] or correlated [38] disorder. Interestingly, the Anderson’s localization transition has also been predicted in PT-symmetric version of the Aubry-André model [41], revealing an interesting interplay between PT-symmetry breaking and localization. Moreover, some hints at the emergence of rich physical phenomena in non-Hermitian systems with high-order EPs has been pointed out in Ref. [26], although associated with a Bloch formalism. The role of highly degenerate EPs on topological properties of lattice systems has also been reviewed in Ref. [33].

Some of those pioneering theoretical predictions have been experimentally confirmed, e.g., by realizing Aubry-André model in synthetic dimensions of an optical quantum walker [42, 43]. The concept of synthetic dimensions in photonics has recently attracted much interest as it allows to experimentally explore the lattice physics defined in real dimensions in a more abstract, but at the same time more experimentally accessible, space [44–46]. In other words, the synthetic dimension allows exploring a variety of effects that are otherwise difficult to reach in spatial or temporal domains.

The previously cited works on non-Hermitian PT-symmetric 1D models exhibiting localization transition [26, 41] have been derived by theoretically engineering non-Hermitian Hamiltonians to show such topological properties, i.e., with an a priori approach. Although being motivated by their possible experimental implementations, observing these effects can be extremely challenging in practice, because they require the construction of finely-tuned PT-symmetric arrays of coupled cavities or waveguides. That is, the number of degrees of freedom to control inevitably increases with the lattice size. Moreover, an exclusive focus on effective NHHs does not allow to correctly include quantum effects arising from the interaction of open systems with environment, leading, e.g., to quantum jumps [47, 48]. The nontrivial effects arising from the latter have been pointed in recent studies considering open lattices [49–51]. For this reason, we propose a genuinely open, i.e., Lindbladian, quantum simulator [52, 53], capable of witnessing the previously described localization properties and which can be easily tuned. Indeed, in this work, we take a rather a posteriori approach, proposing a way to directly access the topological and localization properties of open quantum systems via experimentally viable techniques which do not require a fine-tuning of large lattice systems, and by incorporating quantum fluctuation effects.

Beyond the interest in simulating non-Hermitian Hamiltonians, we are motivated by the following set of fundamental questions: (i) A “quantum” treatment of the environment for finite-size systems requires including quantum jumps. Can we use the quantum properties of bosonic operators in conjunction with quantum jumps to describe open quantum systems beyond single-particle effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonians? (ii) Can we relate spectral (and topological) properties of (low-dimensional) open quantum systems to a more “standard” formulation of many-body physics? (iii) Can we thus show a connection between PT-symmetric, topological, and/or localization transitions in finite-dimensional open systems? (iv) In this quantum and dissipative framework, is it possible then to retrieve any novel and/or nontrivial effects?

In this article, we will detail how to produce Lindbladian quantum simulator to investigate PT- and anti-PT-symmetric bosonic systems, and answer these questions. We will do so by explicitly considering the minimal example of a dissipative anti-PT-symmetric bosonic dimer. A key point for this quantum simulation is the introduction of a high-dimensional synthetic functional or operator space (from now on, synthetic space for the sake of brevity). Within this synthetic space, the evolution matrix ruling the dynamics of higher-order field moments of the dimer mimics a NHH of a particle in a 1D lattice [54]. The higher the moments considered, the larger the corresponding
1D synthetic lattice, in analogy to operator spreading in standard condensed-matter physics [55, 56], thus allowing to (i) correctly describe the “localization” properties of dissipative open system using (ii) a standard formalism of one-particle Hamiltonians (although non-Hermitian) to describe the properties of the open quantum systems. Because of the infinite dimension of Hilbert space of bosonic fields, the lattice can be extended to any size \( N \). Due to an algebraic relation between each of these moment spaces, we can (iii) relate the presence of a \( PT \) spectral phase transition to a localization transition of a (quasi)particle moving in the 1D synthetic lattice. The delocalization-localization transition is solely induced by the high-degenerate EP of \( N \)th order, making (iv) such a transition anomalous.

II. THEORY

The evolution of a density matrix of an open quantum system is described by a completely positive and trace-preserving (CPTP) linear map. That map, in turn, allows to derive evolution matrices for moments of both system Hermitian (observables) and non-Hermitian operators. The dynamics, which governs those operator moments, can be mapped to a certain 1D non-Hermitian lattice, by quantizing the corresponding moments. In other words, the synthetic space of higher-order operator moments becomes equivalent to spatial space of a one-particle described by a 1D NHH. This is the main idea of our quantum simulator: we show that the dynamics of system operators of a zero-dimensional open quantum system corresponds to that of a particle moving in a spatial space under the action of a 1D non-Hermitian Hamiltonian [see also Fig. 1(c)]. This is true for any given quadratic Lindbladian [54].

As a minimal example of a Lindbladian quantum simulator, we consider an anti-\( PT \)-symmetric bosonic dimer coupled to a Markovian environment, consisting of two incoherently coupled quantum fields represented by boson annihilation operators \( \hat{a}_1 \) and \( \hat{a}_2 \) and with frequencies \( \omega - \Delta \) and \( \omega + \Delta \), respectively. By tracing out the degrees of freedom of the environment and passing in the frame rotating at the frequency \( \omega \), the equation of motion of the system’s reduced density matrix \( \hat{\rho} \), which contains all the statistical information of the dimer, has the following (Gorini-Kossakowski-Sudarshan-) Lindblad form [54]:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \hat{\rho}(t) = \frac{1}{\hbar} \left[ \hat{H}, \hat{\rho}(t) \right] + \sum_{j,k=1,2} \gamma_{jk} \left( 2\hat{a}_j \hat{\rho}(t)\hat{a}^\dagger_k - \hat{a}^\dagger_j \hat{a}_j \hat{\rho}(t) - \hat{\rho}(t) \hat{a}^\dagger_k \hat{a}_j \right),
\]

where \( \hat{H} = \Delta (\hat{a}^\dagger_1 \hat{a}_1 - \hat{a}^\dagger_2 \hat{a}_2) \), \( \gamma_{jk} \) are real and positive elements of a \( 2 \times 2 \) decoherence matrix \( \hat{\gamma} \), and \( \hbar \) is the reduced Planck’s constant. The diagonal elements of the decoherence matrix account for the inner mode dissipation, whereas off-diagonal terms are responsible for the incoherent mode couplings. Such a Lindblad master equation naturally emerges in several experimental platforms. For instance, the asymmetric intermode dissipation can be realized in cascaded optical systems [57], where asymmetric dissipation favors a unidirectional flow of particles [c.f. Fig. 1(a)] or in microtoroidal resonators [13], where modes incoherent scattering between clockwise and counter-clockwise modes can be engineered using nanotips [see Fig. 1(b)].

For the sake of simplicity, in what follows, we assume that the decoherence matrix \( \hat{\gamma} \), in Eq. (1), is symmetric, i.e., \( \gamma_{12} = \gamma_{21} = \gamma \), and \( \gamma_{11} = \gamma_{22} = \Gamma \). For Eq. (1) to be stable, i.e., whether the system has a well-defined steady state such that \( \partial_t \hat{\rho}_{ss} = 0 \), the decoherence matrix must be positive-definite and \( \det \hat{\gamma} > 0 \) (i.e., \( \Gamma > \gamma \)). Although physical systems must respect this condition, in the mathematical treatment the positive-definiteness property can be eased and we can safely set \( \Gamma = 0 \) in the following. Indeed, one can make \( \det \hat{\gamma} < 0 \) by properly gauging away the decoherence rate \( \Gamma [13] \), meaning that one starts working in the local frame which is globally decaying. Similarly, zero-net losses can be induced by balanced gain, whose quantum noise can be safely discarded when dealing with non-Hermitian forms of the dimer field moments as done in, e.g., Ref. [54] (although too large gain leads to instabilities, and the zero-net loss assumption is valid either for short times or for nonlinear systems [58]).

The anti-\( PT \)-symmetric nature of the system is reflected in the time dynamics of the \( N \)th order moments \( \hat{A} = (\langle \hat{a}^N \rangle, \langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}^{N-1}_2 \rangle, \ldots, \langle \hat{a}^0 \hat{a}^N \rangle)^T \), which is governed by the corresponding evolution matrix \( M \). One has \( i\partial_t \hat{A} = iM \hat{A} \) [the \( N \)th order field moments are a closed space under the action of Eq. (1)] and \( \{ iM, PT \} = 0 \), where curled brackets denote anticommutator (see Appendix A and Refs. [54, 58]). Note the latter condition implies that the matrix \( M \) commutes with \( PT \) operator, i.e., it is \( PT \) invariant.

Remarkably, the dynamics of \( \hat{A} \) can be “quantized”, and the evolution matrix \( M \) describes the equation of motion of one particle in a non-Hermitian Hubbard model of a 1D chain with \( (N+1) \) sites [59]. In other words, the synthetic space of the dimer higher-order field moments is equivalent to the NHH \( \hat{H}_{1D} \) of a 1D chain [c.f. Fig. 1(c)], and reads (see Appendix A):

\[
\hat{H}_{1D} = \sum_{j=0}^{N} \mu_j \hat{c}_j^\dagger \hat{c}_j + \sum_{j} \gamma_{j}^{R} \hat{c}_j^\dagger \hat{c}_{j+1} + \sum_{j} \gamma_{j}^{L} \hat{c}_{j+1}^\dagger \hat{c}_j,
\]
FIG. 1. Possible experimental setups which obey Eq. (1) and resulting dynamics. (a) In a microtoroidal resonator, by appropriately placing nanotips it is possible to introduce an asymmetric scattering between the clockwise and counter-clockwise propagation modes. (b) Similarly, in optical systems (such as cavity or circuit QED) cascaded systems can be engineered to have an asymmetric dissipation rate between two modes or two cavities (represented as the modes $\hat{a}_1$ and $\hat{a}_2$ in the panel). (c) A scheme of the proposed way to use the Lindbladian system as a quantum simulator, as described in the main text. (d) The dynamics of Eq. (1) corresponds to the NHH in Eq. (2), describing a particle moving in the 1D lattice. The onsite energy (presented by ellipsoids) changes in modulus (in size) and in sign [from positive (red color) to negative (blue)]. The intersite right (blue) and left (green) couplings are asymmetric and site-dependent, as signalled by the different width of the arrows. (e) Real (blue) and imaginary (red) parts of the energies $E_k$ of Eq. (2) versus $\Delta$, according to Eq. (3) for a chain with odd number of sites $n = 31$. At $\Delta = \Delta_{EP} = \gamma$, the system displays a nth-order EP for a $n = N + 1$ site lattice. At the EP, the energies coincide and corresponding eigenvectors coalesce (not shown here). For a lattice with odd number of sites, the spectrum has always a zero-energy mode in both real and imaginary parts (dashed red and blue line), while for an even number of sites the spectrum does not have a zero-energy eigenmode.

where $\hat{c}_j^\dagger(\hat{c}_j)$ is the creation (annihilation) operator at site $j$, the onsite energy $\mu_j = -i(N - 2j)\Delta$, and position-dependent right and left couplings are $\gamma_j^R = -(N - j)\gamma$ and $\gamma_j^L = -j\gamma$, $j = 0, \ldots, N$, respectively. This 1D NHH is invariant under parity-time transformations. In its matrix form, $\hat{H}_{1D}$ in Eq. (2) is a tridiagonal Sylvester matrix [60]. Moreover, $\hat{H}_{1D}$ is also characterized by chiral symmetry. Chirality is characterized by a unitary operator $\chi$ such that $\chi \hat{H}_{1D} \chi^\dagger = -\hat{H}_{1D}$, and $\chi \chi^\dagger = \chi^\dagger \chi = 1$ [22, 33, 61] (see Appendix A for the explicit form of $\chi$).

Note that, due to the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry and the absence of translational invariance of the matrix $M$, it is physically meaningless to impose periodic boundary condition in such synthetic 1D lattice. Of course, one can mathematically “glue” the two ends of the 1D chain in such a way that the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry is preserved, but this would amount to a complete change of the model in Eq. (1) by introducing ad hoc terms. Moreover, the addition of such terms has no physical basis, since the 1D chain is defined in the field moments space. As such, only open boundary conditions in Eq. (2) can be well-justified from a physical point of view.

Importantly, when dealing with any a priori NHH, the knowledge of both its left and right eigenvectors becomes necessary in order to assign a physical meaning to the inner product of the corresponding Hilbert space [62]. Nevertheless, in the case we are studying, the a posteriori NHH $\hat{H}_{1D}$ emerges only in the synthetic space of field moments, and the underlying physics is described by the well-defined Lindblad master equation, where such precautions are not needed. Indeed, the evolution matrix is defined for c-number vectors, not q-number valued eigenstates of a quantum Hamiltonian. As such, the right eigenvectors of the 1D NHH correspond to the well-defined right eigenvectors of the field moments evolution matrix. Consequently, one would need the left eigenvectors of $\hat{H}_{1D}$ only for mathematical manipulations [63, 64] not employed in this article.

We are now in position to investigate in detail the spectral properties of the NHH $\hat{H}_{1D}$ associated with EPs, $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry breaking, and other topological and localization phenomena.
III. RESULTS

A. $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry breaking and topological phase transition of $\hat{H}_{1D}$

The eigenvalues $E_k$ of $\hat{H}_{1D}$ in Eq. (2), for a chain with $n = N + 1$ sites, are [54]:

$$E_k = (N - 2k)\sqrt{\gamma^2 - \Delta^2}, \quad k = 0, \ldots, N. \quad (3)$$

The explicit expressions for the components of the corresponding right eigenvectors $|\psi_k^R\rangle = [\psi_k^R(0), \ldots, \psi_k^R(N)]^T$ are given in Eq. (B1) in Appendix B. The energy spectrum is symmetric with respect to the zero-energy $E = 0$, i.e., $E_k = -E_{N-k}$, a consequence of the chiral symmetry of the system. As it follows from the relation in Eq. (3), whenever the number of sites $n$ is odd, the system possesses a zero-energy eigenmode [see Fig. 1(d)], in a close analogy with the Hatano-Nelson model with open-boundary condition [36, 65]. Also, similar spectral behaviour of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric 1D lattices, but with particle-hole symmetry, has been observed in Refs. [66, 67]. However, those models are based on spatial 1D chains with sublattice structures, and deal with the multiband Bloch formalism.

According to Eq. (3), when $\Delta = \Delta_{EP} = \gamma$, the spectrum of $\hat{H}_{1D}$ becomes completely degenerate, i.e., $E_k = 0$ for all $k$. Furthermore, all the eigenvalues also coalesce [c.f. Eq. (B1)], meaning that the system has an EP of $n$-th order for a 1D lattice with $n$ sites. At the EP, the NHH $\hat{H}_{1D}$ undergoes a spectral phase transition, from an exact- ($\Delta < \Delta_{EP}$, the energies are real-valued) to a broken-$\mathcal{PT}$ phase ($\Delta > \Delta_{EP}$, the energies are purely imaginary).

The $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric spectral transition is accompanied by a topological transition, which can be described by a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ invariant [21]. The NHH $\hat{H}_{1D}$ does not possess spatial invariance, hence no periodic-boundary conditions can be imposed; thus, the Bloch-band or generalized Brillouin zone formalism cannot be used to calculate topological invariants such as winding numbers [21, 22, 25, 28]. Nor can we apply a real space topological invariant [34], as it does not capture the topological transition for a given zero-energy mode, which appears when $n$ is odd [65], and since we do not observe the formation of a line-gapless spectrum for the even-site chain [see Fig. 1(e)]. Nevertheless, when the number of sites is even, i.e., $n = 2k$ for $k$ odd, the sign of the determinant of the NHH $\nu$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ invariant [21], i.e., $\nu = \text{sgn}(\text{det}(\hat{H}_{1D})) = \pm 1$, as it directly follows from Eq. (3). The phases with $\nu = -1$ ($\nu = 1$) correspond then to the exact (broken) $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric phase. This topological transition occurs at the $n$-degenerate EP, where the system energy is $E = 0$ (both in imaginary and real part). The $\mathbb{Z}_2$ invariant of $\hat{H}_{1D}$ is inherited from an effective 0D NHH $\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}$, which can be derived from the Eq. (1) (see also Appendix C). A relation of this invariant to the formation of skin modes in the system is discussed below. We note that the spectral properties of the system do not qualitatively change between even and odd $n$, except the presence of this zero-energy eigenmode.

B. Exceptional-point-induced localization transition and non-Hermitian skin effect

We characterize now an EP-induced localization transition in terms of right eigenmodes of the NHH $\hat{H}_{1D}$. Since the entire physics is determined by the ratio $\Delta/\gamma$, without loss of generality in the following analysis we choose to vary $\Delta$, leaving $\gamma$ fixed. Given the chiral symmetry of the NHH, one has $|\psi_k^R(i)\rangle = |\psi_{N-k}^R(N-i)\rangle$, regardless whether the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry is broken or not [the chirality condition $E_k = -E_{N-k}$ holds true for both purely real and imaginary energies, according to Eq. (3)] (see also Appendix B for details).

In the exact $\mathcal{PT}$-phase, the chain eigenvectors can be either extended, or boundary-localized eigenmodes. In the $n \to \infty$ limit, the extended eigenmodes are characterized by a vanishingly small probability distribution over all sites of the chain, while the boundary eigenmodes tend to localize at both edges of the chain [68]. This simultaneous localization at both edges is because these eigenmodes are also eigenvectors of the $\mathcal{PT}$ operator in the exact $\mathcal{PT}$-phase, and the probability distribution of the eigenmodes is symmetric with respect to the center of the lattice, i.e., $|\psi_k^R(i)\rangle = |\psi_k^R(N-i)\rangle$ [see Fig. 2 and Eq. (B1)]. That is, each boundary eigenmode can also be treated as a superposition of two degenerate edge modes located at opposite sides of the lattice [69]. According to Eqs. (B1) and (B2), the most delocalized eigenmodes are $|\psi_0^R\rangle$ and $|\psi_N^R\rangle$, whose energy are $E_0$ and $E_N$, respectively. These states are uniformly distributed ($|\psi_k^R(i)\rangle =$const$)$ in the whole unbroken $\mathcal{PT}$ phase [see Fig. 2(a)].

In the deep exact $\mathcal{PT}$-phase, i.e., when $\Delta < \Delta_{EP}$, there are $O(n)$ boundary eigenmodes whose energy is $E_N < E < E_0$ [see Figs. 2(b,c)]. Notably, the closer the energy to zero, the more localized the corresponding eigenmode at both edges. As such, the parameter $\Delta$ plays a role similar to that of correlated disorder [41]. By increasing $\Delta$, the “tails” of the boundary modes steadily penetrate into the bulk, eventually becoming completely delocalized right below the EP [$\Delta \lesssim \Delta_{EP}$, c.f. Figs. 2(b,c)]. Since the EP is of the $n$th order, this implies that all $n$ eigenmodes collapse to a single and completely delocalized eigenvector $|\psi_{\text{EP}}^R\rangle = |\psi_0^R\rangle = |\psi_N^R\rangle$. In other words, this is a localization crossover induced by the EP spectral singularity, inducing a complete eigenmode overlap right before the EP.
In the broken PT phase, right above the EP (Δ ≥ ΔEP), a number O(n) of eigenmodes experience a sudden localization transition due to the EP nonanalyticity (see Fig. 2). The modes whose Im (E_k) is negative (positive) localize at the right (left) edge of the chain. This is a manifestation of the non-Hermitian skin effect, where the system eigenmodes become exponentially localized at the edges [29, 33, 36, 65, 70]. Note that the skin modes appear at both edges, contrary to Hatano-Nelson and non-Hermitian Su-Schrieffer-Heeger models [36, 71]. Such usual and simultaneous accumulation of skin modes at the two edges has also been dubbed as Z_2 skin effect [29]. Since also above the EP the eigenmodes must exhibit large spatial overlap, such an overlay in the broken-PT phase is a spatial accumulation of all modes at either edge [33]. The fact that the eigenmodes pile up at both edges of the chain is dictated by chirality: |ψ^R_k(i)| = |ψ^R_{N-k}(N-i)|. However, in the broken-PT phase, these edge modes located at the opposite sides of the lattice are no more degenerate. While these O(n) eigenmodes localize, O(1) number of modes, whose eigenenergies lie closer to zero, is delocalized but with a high-occupation at the center of the chain [see Fig. 2(c)].

Finally, in the deep broken PT-phase (Δ ≫ ΔEP), when the intersite couplings become irrelevant, i.e., Δ ≫ γ, the number of skin modes, localized at the edges, steadily decreases. That is, the eigenmode, with E_k ≠ 0, detaches from right (left) edge, when k ≤ n/2 (k > n/2), and starts gradually ‘moving’ inside the chain, with increasing Δ, and then localizes at the corresponding site N − k (see Fig. 2). This Δ-induced localization is akin to other “disorder”-induced localization transitions [41]. In the light of the above-mentioned properties, one concludes that the two edge modes, with the largest absolute energies |E_{0,N}|, remain at the edges in the whole broken-PT-phase independently of Δ. Hence, the previous Z_2 topological invariant, defined by the determinant of the 1D NHH, can be associated with the existence of these two skin edge modes in the broken-PT regime. Indeed, the two eigenmodes |ψ^R_{0,N}| stem directly from the two modes of the original zero-dimensional PT-symmetric NHH, upon which the given 1D NHH ̃H^1D is built (see Appendix C). Since the original two modes are related to the Z_2 topological invariant [21], so will be the two modes |ψ^R_{0,N}| of the 1D NHH ̃H^1D. We conclude that the robustness of these two edge modes with respect to the Δ-correlated “disorder” could be an experimental demonstration of the topological nature of the PT symmetry breaking described here.

Notice the distinctive behaviour of the zero-energy eigenmode |ψ^R_{0,N/2}| with E_{N/2} = 0, for an odd-site chain, being the only one which does not lose its symmetry with respect to the lattice center [see Fig. 2(c)]. This behaviour of the zero-energy mode can be explained by the system chiral symmetry. That is, it is the only eigenmode which is also an
To corroborate quantitatively the observed localization transition induced by the EP, we calculate the inverse participation ratio (IPR) for the right eigenmodes \([64]\). The formula for the IPR simply reads as

\[
\text{IPR}(E_k) = \left( \frac{\sum \limits_{i=1}^{n} |\psi_{k,i}^R|^2}{\sum \limits_{i=1}^{n} |\psi_{k,i}^R|^4} \right)^{-1}. \tag{4}
\]

The values of the IPR can range from \(O(1/n)\), which designates the pure extended states, to \(O(1)\), which corresponds to the localized states. In other words, the IPR for extended states are characterized by the limit \(\lim \limits_{n \to \infty} \text{IPR}(E) = 0\). Whereas for localized states the IPR acquires finite nonzero values regardless of the lattice size \(n\).

We show the results of the calculation of the IPR as a function of \(\Delta/\gamma\) and the number of sites \(n\) for various right eigenmodes in Fig. 3(a) and Figs. 3(b)-(c), respectively. As one can see in Fig. 3(a), the IPR clearly exhibits a second-order discontinuity at the EP \(\Delta_{EP}\), and the further away the energies from \(E = 0\) the sharper the change in the IPR. This characteristic jump accounts for the localization transition, from extended states just below the EP (IPR is of order \(O(1/n)\)), to the localized skin modes right above the EP (IPR of order \(O(1)\)) [see also Figs. 3(b),(c)]. Such behavior of the IPR in the vicinity of the EP is most clearly observed for the eigenmodes \(k = 0\) and \(k = N\) (dark blue solid curve), whose energies lie at opposite sides of the energy spectrum, as confirmed in Fig. 2.

The zero-energy eigenmode \((k = 10)\) and modes with \(E \approx 0\) (e.g., \(k = 8\)), for which \(k \approx N/2\), do not demonstrate such a prominent change in the IPR [see Fig. 3(a)]. The IPR remains mostly unchanged right above the EP, indicating that these modes stay (mostly) delocalized even after crossing the EP. The fact that those states remain delocalized is also confirmed by Fig. 3(c), where \(\text{IPR}(E_k \approx 0) \to 0\) when \(n \to \infty\) [magenta curve in Fig. 3(c)]. All eigenmodes become localized in the deep broken \(\mathcal{PT}\)-phase when \(\Delta/\gamma \to \infty\) except for the topologically-protected edge modes discussed above.

In the broken-\(\mathcal{PT}\) phase, the IPR can quantitatively detect a “detachment” of a given skin mode from either edge and its subsequent localization inside the bulk, as was qualitatively described earlier. Indeed, the IPR displays a local maximum for edge-localized skin modes with \(0 < |E| < |E_0|\) right above the EP, in the broken-\(\mathcal{PT}\) phase [see
e.g., $k = 2$, $k = 4$, and $k = 6$ in Fig. 3(a)]. The larger the energy of a skin mode, the larger the value of $\Delta/\gamma$, at which a local maximum in the IPR appears [see Fig. 3(a)]. Note that the increasing (decreasing) values of IPR signal the tendency of a given mode to its localization (delocalization). As such, the local maxima in Fig. 3(a) indicates the point where a given skin mode detaches from an edge and start its “migration” inside the bulk. Increasing $\Delta$, the state gets more and more delocalized until the eigenmode with $E_{k}$ stops “moving” in the chain and settles down at the corresponding site with index $N - k$. At this point, further increasing $\Delta$ amounts again to the increasing strength of a mode localization in the system, i.e., its IPR values start growing. In other words, the edge-localization–detachment–migration—bulk-localization behavior can be understood as the competition between the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry breaking edge-localization effect and the $\Delta$-induced bulk localization, as also can be seen in Fig. 2(b).

IV. SIMULATING NON-HERMITIAN MECHANICS WITH HIGHER-ORDER FIELD MOMENTS

Having shown the presence of a localization transition and the formation of skin eigenmodes in the synthetic space of the $\hat{H}_{1D}$, here we discuss how these critical phenomena reflect onto the original anti-$\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric two-mode dimer. That is, the localization of its field moments in time evolution. In particular, we highlight how the studied phenomena can be directly observed and probed in the state-of-art experimental setups by measuring either higher-order field moments or correlation functions of light generated in the two-mode system.

As it was stressed in Sec. II, the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric form of the moments matrix $M$, which governs the time dynamics of higher-order field moments, can emerge either in a local reference frame, which is globally decaying with a rate $\Gamma$, or in a dimer with balanced gain and loss in both modes, which results in the zero-net dissipation. Note that in the former case, when returning to a laboratory frame, the decaying rate of the $N$th-order field moments becomes proportional to $N\Gamma$, i.e., the higher the moment the higher the dissipation (see Appendix A). Also, in the deep broken-$\mathcal{PT}$ phase, it may be necessary to include nonlinear terms to avoid nonphysical divergences in the long time limit of the system evolution [58]. In the latter case, a linear system model is valid only within a short time interval.

We also recall that the time dynamics of the dimer in Eq. (1) is anti-$\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric, whereas the evolution matrix $\hat{H}_{1D}$ is $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric. That is, the exact anti-$\mathcal{PT}$ phase of the field moments corresponds to a broken $\mathcal{PT}$-phases of $\hat{H}_{1D}$, and vice versa. This interchange between phases is caused by the imaginary factor $i$, appearing in the equation of motion.

The procedure to pass from the physics NHH $\hat{H}_{1D}$ to that of the field moments is the following. The intensity of a wave function $|\Psi(t)\rangle$ at a site $j$ and evolving with $\hat{H}_{1D}$ corresponds to the moment $\langle \hat{a}_{1}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{2}^{\dagger -j} \rangle(t)$ and evolving with the Lindblad master equation. Therefore, by measuring a given $(n-1)$th-order field moment, we can simulate the physics of the corresponding $j$th site of a $n$ site NHH. To fix the initial condition, it is sufficient to initialize the system in the experimentally readily-accessible coherent states $|\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}\rangle$ (where, with this notation, we mean that the first cavity is in the coherent state $|\alpha_{1}\rangle$ and the second cavity is in $|\alpha_{2}\rangle$, where $\hat{a}_{1}|\alpha_{j}\rangle = \alpha_{j}|\alpha_{j}\rangle$). Indeed, by choosing $|\alpha_{1}| = |\alpha_{2}|$, $\langle \hat{a}_{1}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{2}^{\dagger -j} \rangle$ is constant, and therefore this is equivalent to an initial wave function that is extended. Instead, $|\alpha_{1}| \neq |\alpha_{2}|$ corresponds to a localized initial state.

In Fig. 4, we investigate the physics across the (anti-)$\mathcal{PT}$ transition using the dimer moments up to ninth order, thus simulating a lattice of size $n = 10$. We focus, in particular, on the quantum simulation of the eigenvectors $|\psi_{1}^{R}\rangle$ and $|\psi_{N}^{R}\rangle$, i.e., those showing topological properties.

At first, we can observe that the eigenmodes $|\Psi_{0,N}^{R}\rangle$ of $\hat{H}_{1D}$ are extended in the exact $\mathcal{PT}$ (broken anti-$\mathcal{PT}$) phase $\Delta < \gamma$. To do that, we initialize the system in the extended states $|\alpha_{1} = 1, \alpha_{2} = \pm 1\rangle$. All the moments exhibit the same amplification (decay) with the same rate $E_{0} (E_{N})$ [see Figs. 4(a),(b)]. We conclude that the initial state is an eigenstate of the system. One can, thus, verify that these modes are the slowest (largest) decaying modes (other intermediate decaying modes are not shown here). In Figs. 4(c), instead, we show that the moments of an initial state with $|\alpha_{1}| \neq |\alpha_{2}|$ evolves with different rates, meaning that a localized state it is not an eigenstate of $\hat{H}_{1D}$ in this phase. This confirms that: (i) That the eigenenergies of $\hat{H}_{1D}$ in this phase are purely real [c.f. Fig. 1(e)] and (ii) that $|\Psi_{0,N}^{R}\rangle$ are completely delocalized.

Let us now consider the exact anti-$\mathcal{PT}$ phase (broken-$\mathcal{PT}$ phase) for $\Delta > \gamma$. At first, let us confirm that the previous completely delocalized states are no more the system eigenstates in this phase. To do that, in Fig. 4(d,e) we consider again $|\alpha_{1} = 1, \alpha_{2} = \pm 1\rangle$ as initial state. Obviously, this time, each of the moments oscillate with a different period, showing that indeed those delocalized states are not the eigenstates of the system. However, if we initialize the system either in $|1, -0.268i\rangle$ or $|-0.268, i\rangle$, we can see that they produce regular oscillations where all the moments have the same oscillation frequency [see Fig. 4(f)], meaning that they are eigenstates of the evolution. That is, the formation of the two topological skin modes is manifested by the exponential difference in the “intensities” of the field moments. By evolving other states, one can show that $|1, -0.268i\rangle$ or $|-0.268, i\rangle$ correspond to the fastest- and
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FIG. 4. Time evolution of a real part of higher-order field moments $\langle \hat{a}_1^j \hat{a}_2^{9-j} \rangle$ (up to ninth order) of the anti-$\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric two-mode bosonic system governed by the evolution matrix $M$ for the eigenvectors $|\psi_0^b\rangle$ and $|\psi_N^b\rangle$ of the $M$, given in Eq. (B2) and Eq. (A1), respectively. (a)-(c): the field moments in the broken anti-$\mathcal{PT}$-phase with $\Delta = 0$; and (d)-(f): in the exact anti-$\mathcal{PT}$-phase with $\Delta/\gamma = 2$. (a),(b) the field moments forming the extended eigenstates in the broken anti-$\mathcal{PT}$-phase and initialized in coherent states $|\alpha = 1\rangle |\beta = -1\rangle$ and $|\alpha = 1\rangle |\beta = 1\rangle$, respectively. These eigenstates either exponentially grow with the rate $|E_0|$ in (a), or decay with the same rate $|E_N| = |E_0|$ in (b). (d)-(e): the same initialized coherent states but in the exact anti-$\mathcal{PT}$-phase, where they are not the system eigenstates anymore. (f) field moments forming the edge eigestate $|\psi_0^b\rangle$ in the exact anti-$\mathcal{PT}$-phase, initialized in the coherent state $|1\rangle = 0.268i\rangle$. The color legends in panels (d)-(f) are the same as in panel (c). For the sake of clarity, it is assumed that the dimmer evolves in a local frame where $\Gamma = 0$, but which can globally decay at some rate $\Gamma \neq 0$ (see the main text for details).

slowest-oscillation timescales of the system. This demonstrates (i) that the eigenenergies of $\hat{H}_{1D}$ have become purely imaginary; (ii) the eigenstates are localized.

We remark that all these moments can be observed using standard experimental techniques in, e.g., the platforms in Figs. 1(a,b). Indeed, by checking the emitted field of each cavity in an optical implementation, or the clockwise/counter-clockwise light propagating in an optical fiber coupled to a microtoroidal resonator, it is possible to infer all the expectation values of the field inside the cavity. Furthermore, by means of recombining these emitted field and applying beam-splitter operations, one can ascertain the properties of the higher-order moments of light necessary to witness the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry breaking and its localization properties.

Furthermore, since the considered Lindblad master equation is quadratic in Eq. (1), this implies that the field moments dynamics also determines the time evolution of the corresponding time correlation functions of the fields, according to the quantum regression theorem [72, 73]. As such, instead of measuring the time evolution of higher-order field moments, one can measure the high-order photon two-time correlation functions.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have proposed an open quantum simulator of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric 1D lattices, realized in synthetic space of field moments of a bosonic dimmer. By starting from a zero-dimensional physically realizable bosonic anti-$\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric system, and by taking into consideration the full quantum properties of the system, we demonstrated the emergence of spectral, topological, and localization properties in the vector space spanned by its field moments, which can be mapped onto a spatial non-Hermitian 1D chain. This synthetic field moment space exhibits a nontrivial localization transition induced by the presence of highly-degenerate EP. We also revealed the emergence of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ non-Hermitian skin effect in such synthetic 1D space of high-order field moments of the dimmer, i.e., the formation of skin modes appearing at both edges of synthetic $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric 1D lattice.
models exhibiting localization transitions, which were proposed \textit{a priori} and require a construction of spatial arrays of finely tuned waveguides/cavities, our method bears an opposite \textit{a posteriori} approach.

Beyond the theoretical interest of this approach, it also bears a significant experimental advantage because local site perturbations can now be easily controlled. Indeed, this localization transition and $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry breaking occurs in a 1D $n$-site synthetic space, but the physical system displaying such a property remains, in our example, a zero-dimensional bosonic dimer. Thus, one just needs only to fine-tune the parameters of the dimer, instead of fine-tuning the $O(n)$ parameters of a 1D lattice consisting of $n$ coupled cavities \cite{33, 74, 75}. Furthermore, as discussed in the main text, measuring the dynamics in the synthetic space just requires standard beam-splitter operations.

Our findings, thus, open avenues for further theoretical and experimental exploration of operator moments space of other (zero- and/or higher-dimensional) open quantum systems which may reveal novel topological, spectral, and localization effects. As the next step, we plan to extend our study to open nonlinear quantum systems.
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Appendix A: Mapping the space of higher-order field moments of a quadratic dimer to the one-particle 1D chain

As was shown in Ref. \cite{54}, the evolution matrix $M$, arising from the master equation in Eq. (1), and which governs a $(N + 1)$-dimensional vector of the $N$th order moments $A = ((\hat{a}_{j1}^N \hat{a}_{j2}^N), (\hat{a}_{j1}^{N-1} \hat{a}_{j2}), \ldots , (\hat{a}_{j1}^0 \hat{a}_{j2}^N))^T$ via the equation of motion $i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A = i M A$, has a tridiagonal Sylvester matrix form, whose matrix elements read

$$M_{j,k} = -j \delta_{j,k-1} - [i(N-2j)] \Delta - NT] \delta_{j,k} - (N-j) \gamma \delta_{j,k+1},$$

(A1)

assuming that the elements of the decoherence matrix $\gamma$ in Eq. (1) are: $\gamma_{11} = \gamma_{22} = \Gamma$, and $\gamma_{12} = \gamma_{21} = \gamma$. The matrix $M$ is $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric. Indeed, by introducing the parity operator, whose matrix elements are $\mathcal{P}_{jk} = \delta_{j,N+1-k}$, and the action of the time operator $T$ is complex conjugation, then it is straightforward to check that $\mathcal{PT} M (\mathcal{PT})^{-1} = M$.

The $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry of the matrix $M$ then automatically implies the anti-$\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry of the corresponding equation of motion of the vector of moments $A$.

Apart from the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry, as has been highlighted in the main text, the matrix $M$ also has a chiral symmetry, since its eigenspectrum is symmetric, according to Eq. (3). When $M$ is a $2k \times 2k$ matrix, the chiral operator $\chi$ takes a simple matrix form with components satisfying

$$\chi_{pq} = (-1)^{p+1} i \delta_{p,2k-q-1}, \quad \text{where} \quad p, q = 0, \ldots , 2k - 1.$$

(A2)

The matrix $M$, in Eq. (A1) can be mapped onto a new 1D NHH, describing a tight-binding model of a quantum one-particle in a 1D chain with $(N + 1)$ sites and with imposed open boundary conditions. To do so, one introduces a $(N + 1)$-dimensional vector of annihilation operators $\hat{c} = [\hat{c}_0, \ldots , \hat{c}_N]^T$ where $\hat{c}_j = (\hat{c}_j^\dagger \hat{c}_j)$ is the creation (annihilation) operator at site $j$. This new 1D NHH can be expressed as $\hat{H}_{1D} = \hat{C}^\dagger M \hat{C}$, whose operator form coincides with that in Eq. (2), assuming that $\Gamma = 0$ (as was explained in the main text). In other words, by quantizing the c-number vector $A$, one arrives to the quantum model of a particle moving in the 1D lattice, described by the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric and chiral NHH $\hat{H}_{1D}$.

Appendix B: Right eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{1D}$ in Eq. (2), and the matrix $M$ in Eq. (A1)

As it has been found in Ref. \cite{60}, the unnormalized components of the right eigenvectors $|\psi_k^R\rangle = [\psi_k^R(0), \ldots , \psi_k^R(N)]^T$ of the $(N + 1) \times (N + 1)$-dimensional tridiagonal Sylvester matrix $M$ in Eq. (A1) and, consequently, the 1D NHH in Eq. (2), have the following form:

$$\psi_k^R(i) \equiv m_{ki} \frac{1}{b_i} \prod_{r=m_{ki}+1}^{m_{ki}-j} \frac{n+1-r}{b_r} t,$$

(B1)
where \( s = \alpha - i\Delta, t = \alpha + i\Delta, \alpha = \sqrt{\gamma^2 - \Delta^2}, m_{ki} = \min\{k, i\}, M_{ki} = \max\{k, i\}, b_l = -l(N + 1 - l)\gamma, \) and \( b_0 = 1. \) The eigenvector \( |\psi^R_k\rangle \) with a quantum number \( k \) corresponds to the eigenvalue \( E_k \), given in Eq. (3).

The exact-\( \mathcal{PT} \)-symmetry of the eigenvectors \( |\psi^R_k\rangle \) implies \( |\psi^R_k(i)\rangle = |\psi^R(N - i)\rangle \). And chiral symmetry requires \( |\psi^R_k\rangle = |\psi^R_{N-k}(N-i)\rangle \). Note that the chiral symmetry is broken in the whole parameter space, i.e., in general \( \chi|\psi^R_k\rangle = |\psi^R_{N-k}\rangle \), except the zero-energy mode for which \( \chi|\psi^R_{N/2}\rangle = |\psi^R_{N/2}\rangle \), where the explicit form of the chiral operator is given in Eq. (A2).

From Eq. (B1), one finds that the eigenmodes \( |\psi_{0,N}^R\rangle \) read:

\[
|\psi_{0,N}^R\rangle = \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{j=0}^{N} u_j(0,N) a_j^\dagger |0\rangle, \quad u_j(0,N) = \left(i\Delta' \pm \sqrt{1 - \Delta'^2}\right)^j, \tag{B2}
\]

where \( \Delta' = \Delta/\gamma \). As it was stressed in the main text and Fig. 2(a), these eigenmodes capture the localization transition most vividly. Indeed, for \( \Delta' < 1 \) (unbroken \( \mathcal{PT} \)-phase), each site is equally occupied, because \( u_j(0,N) = \exp(\pm i\phi_j) \) lie on the complex unit circle, where \( \phi = \arctan(\Delta'/\sqrt{1 - \Delta'^2}) \), and therefore \( |u_j(0,N)|^2 = 1 \). At the EP, all the eigenmodes collapse to a singular extended vector \( |\psi^R_{EP}\rangle \), whose amplitude at site \( j \) is proportional to \( (i\Delta')^j \), according to Eq. (B2). However, in the broken \( \mathcal{PT} \)-phase \( u_j = i\beta_j, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \), where \( \beta > 1 (\beta < 1) \) for the state with energy \( E_0 (E_N) \), according to Eq. (B2). In other words, the skin eigenmode \( |\psi_{0}^R\rangle (|\psi^R_{N}\rangle) \) exponentially localizes at the right (left) boundary of the chain, due to the system chirality.

### Appendix C: Effective zero-dimensional non-Hermitian Hamiltonian \( \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} \), derived from Eq. (1), and its topological relation to 1D NHM \( \hat{H}_{1D} \) in Eq. (2)

From the master equation in Eq. (1), one can derive an effective two-mode NHH \( \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} \), which accounts for the continuous dissipative dynamics of the system, i.e., the dynamics between two quantum jumps [73]. Namely, given the decoherence matrix considered in Eq. (1), one has [73]: \( \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = \hat{H} - i\Gamma \left( \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2\right) - i\gamma \left( \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2 + \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1\right) \), where the Hermitian Hamiltonian \( \hat{H} \) is given in Eq. (1). This effective NHH determines the evolution matrix \( M = -i\hat{H}_{\text{eff}} \) for the first-order field moments \( \langle \hat{a}_j(t) \rangle, j = 1, 2 \), where \( \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} \) is a matrix form of the NHH in the mode representation \( \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = (\hat{a}_1^\dagger, \hat{a}_2^\dagger, \hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2)^T \). Since any evolution matrix \( M \), which governs the dynamics of higher-order field moments, is obtained from the evolution matrix for the first-order moments by simply applying Kronecker sum operation, that means that topological and spectral properties of \( \hat{H}_{1D} \), in Eq. (2), originate from the NHH \(-i\hat{H}_{\text{eff}} \) [54]. The topological \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) invariant \( \nu \) for the anti-\( \mathcal{PT} \) NHH \( \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} \) (i.e., when \( \Gamma \) is set to zero) is determined by the sign of its determinant [21], i.e., \( \nu = \text{sgn}[\text{det}(\hat{H}_{\text{eff}})] \). Indeed, its determinant equals \( \gamma^2 - \Delta^2 \), meaning that \( \nu = 1 (\nu = -1) \) when the \( \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} \) is exact (broken) anti-\( \mathcal{PT} \)-phase. This topological invariant is, thus, inherited by the synthetic \( \mathcal{PT} \)-symmetric NHH \( \hat{H}_{1D} \). Therefore, the value of \( \nu \) reflects the formation of two skin eigenmodes in the whole broken-\( \mathcal{PT} \)-phase of the 1D NHH \( \hat{H}_{1D} \), as it was stressed in the main text. That is, although in the broken phase there might exist \( O(n) \) skin eigenmodes, only two eigenvectors, namely, \( |\psi_{0,0}^R\rangle \), remain localized at the edges in the limit \( \Delta/\gamma \to \infty \), whereas the rest modes eventually migrate into the bulk. This topological resistance of the these two eigenvectors to \( \Delta \) can be traced back to the NHH \( \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} \), when setting \( N = 1 \), in Eq. (B2), and which, thus, manifest the nature of the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) invariant.
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