

HIGHER DIFFERENTIABILITY FOR A CLASS OF PROBLEMS UNDER p, q SUBQUADRATIC GROWTH

E. MASCOLO – ANTONIA PASSARELLI DI NAPOLI

ABSTRACT. We study the higher differentiability for nonlinear elliptic equation in divergence form $\mathcal{A}(x, Du) = b(x)$. The result covers the cases in which $\mathcal{A}(x, \xi)$ satisfies p, q growth, with $1 < p < 2$ in ξ and a Sobolev dependence of with respect to x . By means of an a-priori estimate we ensure the $W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\Omega)$ -property for the solution of the boundary value problem.

February 12, 2022

1. INTRODUCTION

The paper deals with the following boundary value problem for elliptic equations

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{A}(x, Du)) = b(x) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = u_0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded open set and the operator $\mathcal{A} : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory map satisfying the following set of assumptions for a couple of exponents p, q such that $1 < p < q$ and $1 < p \leq 2$

$$\langle \mathcal{A}(x, \xi) - \mathcal{A}(x, \eta), \xi - \eta \rangle \geq \nu |\xi - \eta|^2 (\mu^2 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \quad (1.2)$$

$$|\mathcal{A}(x, \xi) - \mathcal{A}(x, \eta)| \leq L |\xi - \eta| \left[(1 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^{\frac{q-2}{2}} + (\mu^2 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \right] \quad (1.3)$$

$$|\mathcal{A}(x, \xi) - \mathcal{A}(y, \xi)| \leq |x - y| (k(x) + k(y)) (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \quad (1.4)$$

where L, ν are fixed constants, $\mu \in [0, 1]$ is a parameter and $k \in L_{\text{loc}}^r(\Omega)$ with $r > n$ is a non negative function.

Let us observe that if we assume that

$$\mathcal{A}(x, 0) = 0,$$

assumption (1.3) implies

$$|\mathcal{A}(x, \xi)| \leq L(1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \quad (1.5)$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J87, 49J40; 47J20.

Key words and phrases. Higher differentiability, subquadratic problems, non standard growth.

Acknowledgements. The work of the authors is supported by GNAMPA (Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni) of INdAM (Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica). A. Passarelli di Napoli has been supported by Università di Napoli "Federico II" through the project FRA-000022-ALTRI-CDA-752021-FRA-PASSARELLI..

Then, setting $f(x) = \mathcal{A}(x, 0) \in L^\infty$, we have

$$|\mathcal{A}(x, \xi)| \leq L(1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} + f(x)$$

To simplify the presentation, from now on, we shall assume that (1.5) is in force.

Assumption (1.4), by virtue of the characterization of the Sobolev function due to Hajlasz, [29], implies that the partial map $x \mapsto \mathcal{A}(x, \xi)$ belongs to the Sobolev space $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,r}(\Omega)$ and that there exists $\tilde{k} \in L_{\text{loc}}^r(\Omega)$ such that

$$|D_x \mathcal{A}(x, \xi)| \leq \tilde{k}(x)(1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}. \quad (1.6)$$

We prove the following existence and higher differentiability result.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $\mathcal{A}(x, \xi)$ satisfy (1.2)–(1.5) with $1 < p < q$ and $1 < p \leq 2$ such that*

$$\frac{q}{p} < 1 + \min \left\{ \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{r}, \frac{2(p-1)}{p(n-2)} \right\} \quad \text{if } n > 2 \quad (1.7)$$

or if $n = 2$

$$\frac{q}{p} < 1 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r} \quad (1.8)$$

If

$$u_0 \in W^{1, \frac{p(q-1)}{p-1}}(\Omega) \text{ and } b \in L_{\text{loc}}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega),$$

then problem (1.1) admits a solution $v \in (u_0 + W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)) \cap W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\Omega)$. Moreover setting $V_p(Du) = (\mu^2 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{4}} Du$, the following estimates

$$\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}} |D(V_p(Dv))|^2 dx \leq c(1 + \|k\|_{L^r(B_R)} + \|b\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)})^\gamma \left(1 + \int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|)^p dx \right)^\gamma \quad (1.9)$$

$$\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}} |D^2 v|^p dx \leq c(1 + \|k\|_{L^r(B_R)} + \|b\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)})^\gamma \left(1 + \int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|)^p dx \right)^\gamma \quad (1.10)$$

hold for every ball $B_R \Subset \Omega$, for an exponent $\gamma = \gamma(p, q, n)$ and $c = c(\nu, L, n, p, q, R)$.

The novelty of Theorem 1.1 is in two directions

First, we consider operators with p, q growth, which means that the ellipticity of the leading part of $\xi \mapsto \mathcal{A}(x, \xi)$ has p -growth (see (1.2)) while it satisfies a q -bound (see (1.3)), with $1 < p < q$ and $1 < p < 2$. Roughly speaking, we are dealing with the subquadratic non standard growth case which means that the problem under consideration can be singular and degenerate.

In the last few years, the study of the regularity properties of solution and minimizers of problems with non standard growth conditions has undergone remarkable developments, motivated in part by the applications. We would mention the first papers of Marcellini [35, 36, 37] and the recent [38, 39, 40], the result on higher integrability and differentiability in [22, 23, 33, 44] and more recently [5, 6, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19]. For complete details and references on problems with non standard growth we refer to the recent surveys [39, 41] It is well known that a restriction between p and q is necessary by virtue of the celebrated counterexample by Marcellini (see [36]).

The second principal feature is the Sobolev dependence of $\mathcal{A}(x, \xi)$ with respect to x (see (1.4)).

Recently, there has been an increasing interest in the study of the regularity under this assumptions on the function that measures the oscillation of the operator $\mathcal{A}(x, \xi)$ with respect to the x -variable. The degeneracy of $\mathcal{A}(x, \xi)$ has the same nature of

$$\operatorname{div}(|Du|^{p-2}Du + a(x)|Du|^{q-2}Du) = 0$$

related to the double phase functional

$$\mathcal{I}(u) = \int_{\Omega} |Du|^p + a(x)|Du|^q \quad (1.11)$$

which has been intensively studied starting from the papers [7, 8]. For references on the regularity properties of minimizers to (1.11), see also [4] and [14].

Actually, it is now completely clear that the weak differentiability of the partial map $\xi \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(x, \xi)$ leads to an higher differentiability of the gradient of the solutions (see for example [12, 24, 25, 26, 27, 42, 43]).

In [18, 19] for integral functionals under p, q growth with Sobolev coefficients in L^r , $r > n$, it has been shown that the bound $\frac{q}{p} < 1 + \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{r}$ permit to obtain the local Lipschitz continuity of the minimizers.

Moreover, it is worth mentioning that the bound at (1.7) has been already used in [14] (see also [4]) for the study of problems with subquadratic non standard growth conditions.

In the standard growth, i.e. $1 < p = q < 2$ in assumption (1.3)–(1.4), and Lipschitz continuous coefficients, i.e. $k \in L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in assumption (1.4), the regularity is due to Tolksdorf ([45]) (see also [28, Chapter 8]), for the case of vector-valued minimizers see [1].

In case of p, q growth with $2 \leq p < q$, in [36, 38, 39] Marcellini established the $W_{\text{loc}}^{2,2}$ regularity for local weak solution $u \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$.

More recently, in the p -growth case, $1 < p < 2$ and $k \in L_{\text{loc}}^r(\Omega)$ with $r \geq n$ in (1.4), an higher differentiability result for local minimizers of integral functionals has been established in [24, 25].

A first main step in the proof of our main result is an a-priori estimate for the $W^{2,p}$ -norm of the weak local solution u of the equation

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{A}(x, Du)) = b(x)$$

i.e. $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,q}$ such that

$$\int \mathcal{A}(x, Du) D\varphi(x) dx = \int b(x)\varphi(x) dx \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega).$$

We prove that if $Du \in L^{\frac{2^*}{2}p}$ then it locally belongs to $W^{2,p}$ and the norm of its second derivatives can be estimated by the L^p norm of its gradient.

Actually, as far as we know, our a-priori estimate is a first regularity result for weak local solutions of an equation under p, q -growth $1 < p < q$, $1 < p < 2$, and a Sobolev assumption on the partial map $x \mapsto \mathcal{A}(x, \xi)$ (see (1.4)).

Then we give an existence and regularity result for the Dirichlet boundary problem (1.1).

More precisely, the solution to problem (1.1) which belongs to $W^{2,p}$ locally is constructed as a $W^{1,q}$ -limit of a sequence of solution to regular equations with standard q -growth of the

form

$$\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(x, \xi) = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon(x, \xi) + \varepsilon(1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{q-2}{2}}\xi,$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon(x, \xi)$ is the regularized of $\mathcal{A}(x, \xi)$ with respect to the variable x , i.e.

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon(x, \xi) = \int_{B_1(0)} \phi(\omega) \mathcal{A}(x + \varepsilon\omega, \xi) d\omega,$$

with ϕ a smooth mollifier.

Each problem has a unique smooth solution $v_\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,q} + u_0$ in view of the available existence and regularity results.

Then, we apply to the sequence of approximating solutions the a-priori estimate which takes into account only the assumptions (1.3)–(1.4) and therefore is independent of ε . Therefore we obtain a uniform control for the norm of v_ε in $W^{2,p}$. By passing to the limit we obtain a solution v of (1.1) with higher differentiability properties.

Let us remark that in subquadratic p, q -growth case, in [32] a higher integrability result has been established when

$$\frac{2n}{n+2} \leq p \leq q \leq 2.$$

It is worth to observe that if $\frac{2n}{n+2} \leq p$, the restriction on the gap (1.7) reduces to

$$\frac{q}{p} < 1 + \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{r}$$

which is the sharp one found in [22] to establish the local Lipschitz continuity of minimizers of degenerate functionals with (p, q) growth conditions and Sobolev coefficients. Indeed

$$p \geq \frac{2n}{n+2} \implies \frac{p-1}{p} \geq \frac{n-2}{2n} \implies \frac{2(p-1)}{p(n-2)} \geq \frac{1}{n}$$

and so

$$\min \left\{ \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{r}, \frac{2(p-1)}{p(n-2)} \right\} = \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{r}.$$

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In this paper we shall denote by C or c a general positive constant that may vary on different occasions, even within the same line of estimates. Relevant dependencies will be suitably emphasized using parentheses or subscripts. In what follows, $B(x, r) = B_r(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y - x| < r\}$ will denote the ball centered at x of radius r . We shall omit the dependence on the center and on the radius when no confusion arises.

The following lemma has important applications in the so called hole-filling method. Its proof can be found for example in [28, Lemma 6.1].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $h : [r, R_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative bounded function and $0 < \vartheta < 1$, $A, B \geq 0$ and $\beta > 0$. Assume that*

$$h(s) \leq \vartheta h(t) + \frac{A}{(t-s)^\beta} + B,$$

for all $r \leq s < t \leq R_0$. Then

$$h(r) \leq \frac{cA}{(R_0 - r)^\beta} + cB,$$

where $c = c(\vartheta, \beta) > 0$.

We introduce the usual notation

$$2^* = \begin{cases} \frac{2n}{n-2} & \text{if } n > 2 \\ \text{any finite exponent } t > 2 & \text{if } n = 2 \end{cases}$$

For $p > 1$ and $\mu \in [0, 1]$, let us define

$$V_p(\xi) = (\mu^2 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{4}} \xi, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (2.1)$$

We shall use the following estimates, whose proof can be found in [1] (see also [34, Step 2]).

Lemma 2.2. *Let $1 < p < 2$. There exists a constant $c = c(n, p) > 0$ such that, for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\xi \neq \eta$, it holds*

$$c^{-1} \left(\mu^2 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \leq \frac{|V_p(\xi) - V_p(\eta)|^2}{|\xi - \eta|^2} \leq c \left(\mu^2 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \quad (2.2)$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $1 < p < 2$ and $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\Omega)$. Then*

$$V_p(Du) \in L_{\text{loc}}^{2^*}(\Omega) \implies Du \in L_{\text{loc}}^{\frac{2^*p}{2}}(\Omega).$$

Proof. Note that the thesis is obvious if $\mu = 0$. In case $\mu > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_R} |Du|^{\frac{2^*p}{2}} dx = \int_{B_R} \left| |Du|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} Du \right|^{2^*} dx \\ &= \int_{\{x \in B_R : |Du| \leq \mu\}} \left| |Du|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} Du \right|^{2^*} dx + \int_{\{x \in B_R : |Du| > \mu\}} \left| |Du|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} Du \right|^{2^*} dx \\ &= \int_{\{x \in B_R : |Du| \leq \mu\}} \left| |Du|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} Du \right|^{2^*} dx + \int_{\{x \in B_R : |Du| > \mu\}} \left| \left(\frac{|Du|^2}{2} + \frac{|Du|^2}{2} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{4}} |Du| \right|^{2^*} dx \\ &\leq \mu^{\frac{2^*p}{2}} |B_R| + \int_{\{x \in B_R : |Du| > \mu\}} \left| \left(\frac{\mu^2}{2} + \frac{|Du|^2}{2} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{4}} |Du| \right|^{2^*} dx, \end{aligned}$$

since $p - 2 < 0$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R} |Du|^{\frac{2^*p}{2}} dx &\leq \mu^{\frac{2^*p}{2}} |B_R| + \int_{\{x \in B_R : |Du| > \mu\}} |V_p(Du)|^{2^*} dx \\ &\leq \int_{B_R} \left(1 + |V_p(Du)|^{2^*} \right) dx < +\infty, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where we also used that $\mu \leq 1$. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let $1 < p < 2$ and $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\Omega)$. Then*

$$V_p(Du) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega) \implies u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\Omega)$$

Proof. By the use of Hölder's inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R} |D^2 u|^p &= \int_{B_R} |D^2 u|^p (\mu^2 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{p(p-2)}{4}} (\mu^2 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{p(2-p)}{4}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{B_R} |D^2 u|^2 (\mu^2 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\int_{B_R} (\mu^2 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \\ &\leq c \left(\int_{B_R} |D(V_p(Du))|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\int_{B_R} (\mu^2 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

□

2.1. Difference quotient. We recall some properties of the finite difference operator needed in the sequel. We start with the description of some elementary properties that can be found, for example, in [28].

Proposition 2.5. *Let f and g be two functions such that $f, g \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, with $p \geq 1$, and let us consider the set*

$$\Omega_{|h|} := \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > |h|\}.$$

Then

$$(d1) \quad \tau_h f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ and}$$

$$D_i(\tau_h f) = \tau_h(D_i f).$$

$$(d2) \quad \text{If at least one of the functions } F \text{ or } G \text{ has support contained in } \Omega_{|h|}, \text{ then}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} f \tau_h g \, dx = - \int_{\Omega} g \tau_{-h} f \, dx.$$

$$(d3) \quad \text{We have}$$

$$\tau_h(fg)(x) = f(x+h)\tau_h g(x) + g(x)\tau_h f(x).$$

The next result about finite difference operator is a kind of integral version of Lagrange Theorem.

Lemma 2.6. *If $0 < \rho < R$, $|h| < \frac{R-\rho}{2}$, $1 < p < +\infty$, and $f, Df \in L^p(B_R)$ then*

$$\int_{B_\rho} |\tau_h f(x)|^p \, dx \leq c(n, p) |h|^p \int_{B_R} |Df(x)|^p \, dx.$$

Moreover

$$\int_{B_\rho} |f(x+h)|^p \, dx \leq \int_{B_R} |f(x)|^p \, dx.$$

Now, we recall the fundamental Sobolev embedding property.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$, $f \in L^p(B_R)$ with $1 < p < n$. Suppose that there exist $\rho \in (0, R)$ and $M > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{s=1}^n \int_{B_\rho} |\tau_{s,h} f(x)|^p dx \leq M^p |h|^p,$$

for every h with $|h| < \frac{R-\rho}{2}$. Then

$$f \in W^{1,p}(B_\rho) \cap L^{\frac{np}{n-p}}(B_\rho).$$

Moreover

$$\|Df\|_{L^p(B_\rho)} \leq M \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\|f\|_{L^{\frac{np}{n-p}}(B_\rho)} \leq c \left(M + \|f\|_{L^p(B_R)} \right) \quad (2.5)$$

with $c = c(n, N, p)$.

For the proof see, for example, [28, Lemma 8.2].

3. PROOF OF THE A-PRIORI ESTIMATE

This section is devoted to the proof of an a-priori estimate, which is at the same time a first regularity result, for local solution to the following equation

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{A}(x, Du)) = b(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (3.1)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded open set and the operator $\mathcal{A} : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{N \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory map satisfying the following set of assumptions for a couple of exponents $1 < p < q$ with $1 < p \leq 2$

$$\langle \mathcal{A}(x, \xi) - \mathcal{A}(x, \eta), \xi - \eta \rangle \geq \nu |\xi - \eta|^2 (\mu^2 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \quad (3.2)$$

$$|\mathcal{A}(x, \xi) - \mathcal{A}(x, \eta)| \leq L |\xi - \eta| \left[(1 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^{\frac{p+q-4}{4}} + (\mu^2 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \right] \quad (3.3)$$

$$|\mathcal{A}(x, \xi) - \mathcal{A}(y, \xi)| \leq |x - y| (k(x) + k(y)) (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{p+q-2}{4}} \quad (3.4)$$

where $c_1, c_2, L, \nu > 0$ are fixed constants, $\mu \in [0, 1]$ is a parameter, $k \in L^r$ with $r > n$ is a non negative function.

We define a local solution to (3.1) as a function $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,q}(\Omega)$ that satisfies the following integral identity

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, Du), D\varphi \rangle dx = \int_{\Omega} b(x) \varphi(x) dx \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega). \quad (3.5)$$

Our next aim is to prove the following

Theorem 3.1. *Let $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,q}(\Omega)$ be a local solution to (3.1) under the assumptions (3.2)–(3.4) such that*

$$Du \in L_{\text{loc}}^{\frac{2^*p}{2}}(\Omega). \quad (3.6)$$

Assume moreover that

$$\frac{q}{p} < 1 + \min \left\{ 2 \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{r} \right), \frac{4(p-1)}{p(n-2)} \right\} \quad \text{if } n > 2 \quad (3.7)$$

or

$$\frac{q}{p} < 1 + 2 \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{r} \right), \quad \text{if } n = 2. \quad (3.8)$$

Then

$$u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\Omega), \quad V_p(Du) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega)$$

and the following estimates

$$\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}} |D(V_p(Du))|^2 dx \leq c(1 + \|k\|_{L^r(B_R)} + \|b\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)})^\gamma \left(1 + \int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|)^p dx \right)^\gamma, \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}} |D^2 u|^p dx \leq c(1 + \|k\|_{L^r(B_R)} + \|b\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)})^\gamma \left(1 + \int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|)^p dx \right)^\gamma \quad (3.10)$$

hold for every ball $B_R \Subset \Omega$, for and exponent $\gamma = \gamma(p, q, n, r)$, and $c = c(\nu, L, n, p, q, r, R)$.

Proof. Let $B_R \Subset \Omega$ be a ball, fix radii $0 < \frac{R}{2} < s < t < R$ and a cut off function $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B_t)$ such that $\eta \equiv 1$ on B_s and $|D\eta| \leq \frac{C}{t-s}$. We choose $\varphi = \tau_{-h}(\eta^2 \tau_h u) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,q}(\Omega)$ as test function in (3.5) to deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, Du), \tau_{-h}(D(\eta^2 \tau_h u)) \rangle dx = \int_{\Omega} b \tau_{-h}(\eta^2 \tau_h u) dx$$

i.e.

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, Du), \tau_{-h}(\eta^2 \tau_h Du) + 2\tau_{-h}(\eta D\eta \tau_h u) \rangle dx = \int_{\Omega} b \tau_{-h}(\eta^2 \tau_h u) dx.$$

From previous equality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, Du), \tau_{-h}(\eta^2 \tau_h Du) \rangle dx &= -2 \int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, Du), \tau_{-h}(\eta D\eta \tau_h u) \rangle dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} b \tau_{-h}(\eta^2 \tau_h u) dx. \end{aligned}$$

which, by virtue of (d2) in Proposition 2.5, implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 \langle \tau_h(\mathcal{A}(x, Du)), \tau_h Du \rangle dx &= -2 \int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, Du), \tau_{-h}(\eta D\eta \tau_h u) \rangle dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} b \tau_{-h}(\eta^2 \tau_h u) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Exploiting the definition of $\tau_h(\mathcal{A}(x, Du))$ in previous equality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 \langle \mathcal{A}(x+h, Du(x+h)) - \mathcal{A}(x+h, Du(x)), \tau_h Du \rangle dx \\
&= - \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 \langle \mathcal{A}(x+h, Du(x)) - \mathcal{A}(x, Du(x)), \tau_h Du \rangle dx \\
&\quad - 2 \int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, Du), \tau_{-h}(\eta D\eta \tau_h u) \rangle dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} b \tau_{-h}(\eta^2 \tau_h u) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the ellipticity assumption (3.2) in the left hand side and assumptions (3.3) and (3.4) in the right hand side of previous estimate, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \nu \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (\mu^2 + |Du(x)|^2 + |Du(x+h)|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\tau_h Du|^2 dx \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 |\mathcal{A}(x+h, Du(x)) - \mathcal{A}(x, Du(x))| |\tau_h Du| dx \\
&\quad + 2 \int_{\Omega} \eta |\mathcal{A}(x, Du(x))| |\tau_{-h}(\eta D\eta \tau_h u)| dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} |b| |\tau_{-h}(\eta^2 \tau_h u)| dx \\
&\leq |h| \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (k(x+h) + k(x)) (1 + |Du(x)|^2)^{\frac{p+q-2}{4}} |\tau_h Du| dx \\
&\quad + c \int_{\Omega} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{p+q-2}{2}} |\tau_{-h}(\eta D\eta \tau_h u)| dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} |b| |\tau_{-h}(\eta^2 \tau_h u)| dx
\end{aligned}$$

By the use of Young's inequality in the first integral of the right hand side we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \nu \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (\mu^2 + |Du(x)|^2 + |Du(x+h)|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\tau_h Du|^2 dx \\
&\leq \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (\mu^2 + |Du(x)|^2 + |Du(x+h)|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\tau_h Du|^2 dx \\
&\quad + c(\nu) |h|^2 \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (k(x+h) + k(x))^2 (1 + |Du(x)|^2 + |Du(x+h)|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} dx \\
&\quad + c \int_{\Omega} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{p+q-2}{2}} |\tau_{-h}(\eta D\eta \tau_h u)| dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} |b| |\tau_{-h}(\eta^2 \tau_h u)| dx
\end{aligned}$$

Reabsorbing the first integral in the right hand side, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (\mu^2 + |Du(x)|^2 + |Du(x+h)|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\tau_h Du|^2 dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq c|h|^2 \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 (k(x+h) + k(x))^2 (1 + |Du(x)| + |Du(x+h)|)^q dx \\
&\quad + c \int_{\Omega} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{p+q-2}{2}} |\tau_{-h}(\eta D\eta \tau_h u)| dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} |b| |\tau_{-h}(\eta^2 \tau_h u)| dx =: I_1 + I_2 + I_3
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

The assumption $k \in L^r$ with $r > n$ and Hölder's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\leq c|h|^2 \left(\int_{B_R} k(x)^r dx \right)^{\frac{2}{r}} \left(\int_{B_t} \eta^2 (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{rq}{r-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{r-2}{r}} \\
&=: c|h|^2 \|k\|_{L^r(B_R)}^2 \left(\int_{B_t} \eta^2 (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{rq}{r-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{r-2}{r}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

The a-priori assumption $Du \in L^{\frac{np}{n-2}}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ and again Hölder's inequality imply

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 + I_3 &\leq c \left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{p}{p-1} \frac{p+q-2}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{B_t} |\tau_{-h}(\eta \nabla \eta \tau_h u)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\quad + c \left(\int_{B_t} |b(x)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{B_t} |\tau_{-h}(\eta^2 \tau_h u)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= c \left[\left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{p}{p-1} \frac{p+q-2}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + \left(\int_{B_t} |b(x)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \right] \\
&\quad \cdot \left[\left(\int_{B_t} |\tau_{-h}(\eta D\eta \tau_h u)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_{B_t} |\tau_{-h}(\eta^2 \tau_h u)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right] \\
&\leq c|h| \left[\left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{p}{p-1} \frac{p+q-2}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + \left(\int_{B_R} |b(x)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \right] \\
&\quad \cdot \left[\left(\int_{B_t} |D(\eta D\eta \tau_h u)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_{B_t} |D(\eta^2 \tau_h u)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where, in the last inequality, we used the first statement of Lemma 2.6. Performing the calculations in the last two integrals, using the properties of η and assuming without loss of generality that $0 < t - s < R < 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 + I_3 &\leq c|h| \left[\left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{p}{p-1} \frac{p+q-2}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + \|b\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(B_R)} \right] \\
&\quad \cdot \left[\frac{c}{(t-s)^2} \left(\int_{B_t} |\tau_h u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \frac{c}{(t-s)} \left(\int_{B_t} \eta^p |\tau_h Du|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right] \\
&= \frac{c|h|^2}{(t-s)^2} \left[\left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{p}{p-1} \frac{p+q-2}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + \|b\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(B_R)} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdot \left(\int_{B_t} |Du|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& + \frac{c|h|}{t-s} \left[\left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{p}{p-1} \frac{p+q-2}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + \|b\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(B_R)} \right] \\
& \cdot \left(\int_{B_t} \eta^p |\tau_h Du|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{3.13}
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting (3.12) and (3.13) in (3.11) and using the notation $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_t} \eta^2 (\mu^2 + |Du(x)|^2 + |Du(x+h)|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\tau_h Du|^2 dx \\
& \leq c|h|^2 \|k\|_{L^r(B_R)}^2 \left(\int_{B_t} \eta^2 (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{rq}{r-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{r-2}{r}} \\
& + \frac{c|h|^2}{(t-s)^2} \left[\left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{p'(p+q-2)}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} + \|b\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)} \right] \left(\int_{B_t} |Du|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& + \frac{c|h|}{t-s} \left[\left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{p'(p+q-2)}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} + \|b\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)} \right] \left(\int_{B_t} \eta^p |\tau_h Du|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{3.14}
\end{aligned}$$

By the assumption $1 < p < 2$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{B_t} \eta^p |\tau_h Du|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& = \left(\int_{B_t} \eta^p |\tau_h Du|^p (\mu^2 + |Du(x)|^2 + |Du(x+h)|^2)^{\frac{p(p-2)}{4}} (\mu^2 + |Du(x)|^2 + |Du(x+h)|^2)^{\frac{p(2-p)}{4}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq \left(\int_{B_t} \eta^2 |\tau_h Du|^2 (\mu^2 + |Du(x)|^2 + |Du(x+h)|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2p}}, \tag{3.15}
\end{aligned}$$

and, using (3.15) to estimate the last integral in the right hand side of (3.14), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
& \nu \int_{B_t} \eta^2 (\mu^2 + |Du(x)|^2 + |Du(x+h)|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\tau_h Du|^2 dx \\
& \leq c|h|^2 \|k\|_{L^r(B_R)}^2 \left(\int_{B_t} \eta^2 (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{qr}{r-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{r-2}{r}} \\
& + \frac{c|h|^2}{(t-s)^2} \left[\left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{p'(p+q-2)}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} + \|b\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)} \right] \\
& \cdot \left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& + \frac{c|h|}{(t-s)} \left[\left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{p'(p+q-2)}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + \|b\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{B_t} \eta^2 |\tau_h Du|^2 (\mu^2 + |Du(x)|^2 + |Du(x+h)|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \cdot \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2p}}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

By the use of Young's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \nu \int_{B_t} \eta^2 (\mu^2 + |Du(x)|^2 + |Du(x+h)|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\tau_h Du|^2 dx \\
& \leq c|h|^2 \|k\|_{L^r(B_R)}^2 \left(\int_{B_t} \eta^2 (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{rq}{r-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{r-2}{r}} \\
& \quad + \frac{c|h|^2}{(t-s)^2} \left[\left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{p'(p+q-2)}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} + \|b\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)} \right] \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \quad + \frac{c|h|^2}{(t-s)^2} \left[\left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{p'(p+q-2)}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} + \|b\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)} \right]^2 \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \\
& \quad + \frac{\nu}{2} \left(\int_{B_t} \eta^2 |\tau_h Du|^2 (\mu^2 + |Du(x)|^2 + |Du(x+h)|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} dx \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Reabsorbing the last integral in the right hand side, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{B_t} \eta^2 (\mu^2 + |Du(x)|^2 + |Du(x+h)|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\tau_h Du|^2 dx \\
& \leq c|h|^2 \|k\|_{L^r(B_R)}^2 \left(\int_{B_t} \eta^2 (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{rq}{r-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{r-2}{r}} \\
& \quad + \frac{c|h|^2}{(t-s)^2} \left[\left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{p'(p+q-2)}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} + \|b\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)} \right] \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \quad + \frac{c|h|^2}{(t-s)^2} \left[\left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{p'(p+q-2)}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} + \|b\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)} \right]^2 \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Young's inequality in the second term in the right hand side of previous estimate, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{B_t} \eta^2 (\mu^2 + |Du(x)|^2 + |Du(x+h)|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\tau_h Du|^2 dx \\
& \leq c|h|^2 \|k\|_{L^r(B_R)}^2 \left(\int_{B_t} \eta^2 (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{rq}{r-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{r-2}{r}} + \frac{c|h|^2}{(t-s)^2} \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\
& \quad + \frac{c|h|^2}{(t-s)^2} \left[\left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{p'(p+q-2)}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} + \|b\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)} \right]^2 \\
& \quad \cdot \left[1 + \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

So, by the elementary inequality $(a + b)^2 \leq c(a^2 + b^2)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{B_t} \eta^2 (\mu^2 + |Du(x)|^2 + |Du(x+h)|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\tau_h Du|^2 dx \\
& \leq c|h|^2 \|k\|_{L^r(B_R)}^2 \left(\int_{B_t} \eta^2 (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{rq}{r-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{r-2}{r}} \\
& \quad + \frac{c|h|^2}{(t-s)^2} \left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{p'(p+q-2)}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p'}} \\
& \quad \cdot \left[1 + \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \right] \\
& \quad + \frac{c|h|^2}{(t-s)^2} \|b\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)}^2 \left[1 + \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \right] \\
& \quad + \frac{c|h|^2}{(t-s)^2} \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}
\end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides of previous estimate by $|h|^2$ and using Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_t} \eta^2 \frac{|\tau_h V_p(Du)|^2}{|h|^2} dx \\
& \leq c \|k\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)}^2 \left(\int_{B_t} \eta^2 (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{rq}{r-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{r-2}{r}} \\
& \quad + \frac{c}{(t-s)^2} \left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{p'(p+q-2)}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p'}} \\
& \quad \cdot \left[1 + \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \right] \\
& \quad + \frac{c}{(t-s)^2} \|b\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)}^2 \left[1 + \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \right] \\
& \quad + \frac{c}{(t-s)^2} \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \tag{3.18}
\end{aligned}$$

Note the right hand side of (3.18) is finite by virtue of the a-priori assumption $Du \in L_{\text{loc}}^{\frac{2^*}{2}p}(\Omega)$ and the bound at (3.7). Indeed, the bound on the gap at (3.7), implies that

$$\begin{cases} p < \frac{qr}{r-2} < \frac{2^*}{2}p \\ \text{and} \\ p < \frac{p}{p-1} \frac{p+q-2}{2} < \frac{2^*}{2}p \end{cases} \tag{3.19}$$

Indeed for $n > 2$ we have $\frac{2^*}{2} = \frac{n}{n-2}$ and

$$\frac{qr}{r-2} < \frac{np}{n-2} \iff \frac{q}{p} < \frac{r-2}{r} \frac{n}{n-2} = 1 + \frac{2}{n-2} - \frac{2n}{r(n-2)}$$

and

$$\frac{2}{n-2} - \frac{2n}{r(n-2)} = \frac{2(r-n)}{r(n-2)} > \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{r} \iff \frac{2}{n-2} > \frac{1}{n}.$$

Moreover

$$\frac{p}{p-1} \frac{p+q-2}{2} < \frac{np}{n-2} \iff p+q-2 < \frac{2n(p-1)}{n-2}$$

i.e.

$$q < \frac{2n(p-1)}{n-2} - p + 2 = p + \frac{2n(p-1)}{n-2} - 2(p-1) = p + 2(p-1) \left[\frac{n}{n-2} - 1 \right]$$

and so

$$\frac{q}{p} < 1 + \frac{4n(p-1)}{p(n-2)}$$

On the other hand for $n = 2$, since $\frac{2^*}{2}$ is any exponent larger than 2 inequalities (3.19) are trivially satisfied choosing 2^* sufficiently large.

Therefore, estimate (3.18), by the use of Lemma 2.7 with $p = 2$, yields

$$V_p(Du) \in W^{1,2}(B_s) \cap L^{\frac{2^*}{2}}(B_s).$$

Moreover by (2.3) in Lemma 2.3 and (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{B_s} |Du|^{\frac{2^*}{2}p} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} &\leq c(n) \left(\int_{B_s} (1 + |V_p(Du)|^{2^*}) dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \\ &\leq c \|k\|_{L^r(B_R)}^2 \left(\int_{B_t} \eta^2 (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{rq}{r-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{r-2}{r}} \\ &\quad + \frac{c}{(t-s)^2} \left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{p'(p+q-2)}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p'}} \\ &\quad \cdot \left[1 + \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{c}{(t-s)^2} \|b\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)}^2 \left[1 + \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{c}{(t-s)^2} \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Our next aim is to establish an estimate independent on the a-priori assumption $Du \in L_{\text{loc}}^{\frac{2^*}{2}p}(\Omega)$. Setting

$$\mathbb{J}_1 = \left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{qr}{r-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{r-2}{r}}$$

and

$$\mathbb{J}_2 = \left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{p'(p+q-2)}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p'}}$$

we can rewrite (3.20) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{B_s} |Du|^{\frac{2^*}{2}p} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq c \|k\|_{L^r(B_R)}^2 \mathbb{J}_1 \\
& + \frac{c \mathbb{J}_2}{(t-s)^2} \left[1 + \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \right] \\
& + \frac{c}{(t-s)^2} \|b\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)}^2 \left[1 + \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \right] \\
& + \frac{c}{(t-s)^2} \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}. \tag{3.21}
\end{aligned}$$

By the inequalities in (3.19), there exist $\vartheta_1 \in (0, 1)$ and $\vartheta_2 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$1 = \frac{\vartheta_1 \frac{qr}{r-2}}{\frac{2^*p}{2}} + \frac{(1-\vartheta_1) \frac{qr}{r-2}}{p}$$

and

$$1 = \frac{\vartheta_2 \frac{p}{p-1} \frac{p+q-2}{2}}{\frac{2^*p}{2}} + \frac{(1-\vartheta_2) \frac{p}{p-1} \frac{p+q-2}{2}}{p}$$

It is easy to check that

$$\vartheta_1 = \frac{2^* - 2}{2} \frac{(q-p)r + 2p}{qr}$$

and

$$\vartheta_2 = \frac{2^* - 2}{2} \frac{q-p}{p+q-2}$$

Therefore, the interpolation inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{J}_1 & \leq \left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{2^*}{2}p} dx \right)^{\frac{\vartheta_1 \frac{qr}{r-2}}{\frac{2^*p}{2}} \frac{r-2}{r}} \\
& \quad \cdot \left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{(1-\vartheta_1) \frac{qr}{r-2}}{p} \frac{r-2}{r}} \\
& = \left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{2^*}{2}p} dx \right)^{\frac{2^*-2}{2} \frac{(q-p)r+2p}{pr} \frac{2}{2^*}} \\
& \quad \cdot \left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^p dx \right)^{(1-\vartheta_1) \frac{q}{p}} \tag{3.22}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbb{J}_2 \leq \left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{2^*}{2}p} dx \right)^{\frac{\vartheta_2 \frac{p'(q+p-2)}{2}}{\frac{2^*p}{2}} \frac{2}{p'}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdot \left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{(1-\vartheta_2) \frac{p'(q+p-2)}{2}}{p} \frac{2}{p'}} \\
& = \left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{2^*p}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2^*-2}{2} \frac{q-p}{p} \frac{2}{2^*}} \\
& \cdot \left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{(1-\vartheta_2)(q+p-2)}{p}}, \tag{3.23}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the expressions of ϑ_1, ϑ_2 . Using estimates (3.22) and (3.23) in (3.21) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{B_s} |Du|^{\frac{2^*p}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \\
& \leq c \|k\|_{L^r(B_R)}^2 \left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{2^*p}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2^*-2}{2} \frac{(q-p)r+2p}{pr} \frac{2}{2^*}} \left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{(1-\vartheta_1)q}{p}} \\
& + \frac{c}{(t-s)^2} \left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{2^*p}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2^*-2}{2} \frac{q-p}{p} \frac{2}{2^*}} \left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{(1-\vartheta_2)(q-p+2)}{p}} \\
& \cdot \left[1 + \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \right] \\
& + \frac{c}{(t-s)^2} \|b\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)}^2 \left[1 + \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \right] \\
& + \frac{c}{(t-s)^2} \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}. \tag{3.24}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us note that

$$\frac{(2^*-2)(q-p)r+2p}{2rp} < 1 \iff \frac{q}{p} < 1 + 2 \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{r} \right)$$

which is precisely (3.7), and

$$\frac{(2^*-2) \frac{q-p}{p}}{2} < 1 \iff \frac{q}{p} < 1 + \frac{2}{n}$$

which is less restrictive than (3.7). Therefore by Young's inequality with exponents

$$\frac{2rp}{(2^*-2)[r(q-p)+2p]}, \quad \frac{2rp}{2rp - (2^*-2)[r(q-p)+2p]}$$

in the first term of the right hand side of (3.24) and with exponents

$$\frac{2p}{(2^*-2)(q-p)}, \quad \frac{2p}{2p - (2^*-2)(q-p)}$$

in the second term to get

$$\left(\int_{B_s} |Du|^{\frac{2^*p}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{B_t} (1 + |Du(x)|)^{\frac{2^*p}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + c \|k\|_{L^r(B_R)}^{\gamma_1} \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du(x)|)^p dx \right)^{\gamma_2} \\
& + \frac{c}{(t-s)^{2\gamma_0}} \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du(x)|)^p dx \right)^{\gamma_3} \\
& + \frac{c}{(t-s)^2} \|b\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)}^2 \left[1 + \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \right] \\
& + \frac{c}{(t-s)^2} \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}, \tag{3.25}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_i > 1$ only depend on p, q, r, n , for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$. The iteration Lemma 2.1 implies

$$\left(\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}} |Du|^{\frac{2^*p}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq \frac{c(1 + \|k\|_{L^r(B_R)} + \|b\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)})^\gamma}{R^{2\gamma}} \left(1 + \int_{B_R} (1 + |Du|)^p dx \right)^\gamma \tag{3.26}$$

for every ball $B_R \Subset \Omega$ for a constant $c = c(n, p, q, L, \nu)$ and with a positive exponent $\gamma = \gamma(n, p, q, r)$. Finally the integrals \mathbb{J}_1 and \mathbb{J}_2 can be estimated as follows

$$\mathbb{J}_1 \leq c \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du(x)|)^p dx \right)^{\gamma_4} \tag{3.27}$$

and

$$\mathbb{J}_2 \leq c \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du(x)|)^p dx \right)^{\gamma_5}, \tag{3.28}$$

with $c = c(n, R, p, q, L, \nu, \|k\|_{L^r(B_R)}, \|b\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)})$ and positive exponents $\gamma_4 = \gamma_4(n, p, q, r)$ and $\gamma_5 = \gamma_5(n, p, q, r)$. Therefore, inserting these estimates in (3.18), we obtain

$$\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}} \frac{|\tau_h V_p(Du)|^2}{|h|^2} dx \leq c(1 + \|k\|_{L^r(B_R)} + \|b\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)})^\vartheta \left(1 + \int_{B_R} (1 + |Du(x)|)^p dx \right)^\vartheta \tag{3.29}$$

where, again, $c = c(n, R, p, q, L, \nu)$ and $\vartheta = \vartheta(n, p, q, r) > 0$. Denoting by

$$M^2 = c(1 + \|k\|_{L^r(B_R)} + \|b\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)})^\vartheta \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |Du(x)|)^p dx \right)^\vartheta$$

we conclude, by the use of Lemma 2.7, that

$$V_p(Du) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega)$$

and therefore, by Lemma 2.4,

$$D^2u \in L_{\text{loc}}^p(\Omega).$$

Moreover estimates (3.9) and (3.10) hold true. \square

As a consequence of previous theorem, we deduce the following

Theorem 3.2. *Let $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1, \frac{2^*p}{2}}(\Omega)$ be a solution to (3.1) under the assumptions (1.2)–(1.4) with $1 < p < q$ such that*

$$\frac{q}{p} < 1 + \min \left\{ \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{r}, \frac{2(p-1)}{p(n-2)} \right\} \quad \text{if } n > 2 \quad (3.30)$$

or

$$\frac{q}{p} < 1 + \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{r}, \quad \text{if } n = 2. \quad (3.31)$$

Then estimates (3.9) and (3.10) hold true.

Proof. The proof follows as that of Theorem 5.1 in [36]. Let $t = 2q - p$ then (1.2)–(1.4) are nothing else but (3.2)–(3.4) with t in place of q . Moreover

$$\frac{t}{p} = \frac{2q}{p} - 1$$

and so the validity of (3.30) and (3.31) implies the validity of (3.7) and (3.8), respectively. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(B_1(0))$, $\phi \geq 0$, be such that $\int_{B_1(0)} \phi dx = 1$ and let ϕ_ε be the corresponding family of mollifiers. Let us set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon(x, \xi) = \int_{B_1(0)} \phi(\omega) \mathcal{A}(x + \varepsilon\omega, \xi) d\omega,$$

$$\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(x, \xi) = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon(x, \xi) + \varepsilon(1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{q-2}{2}} \xi$$

and

$$b_\varepsilon = b \star \phi_\varepsilon.$$

Let $u_0 \in W^{1, \frac{p(q-1)}{p-1}}(\Omega)$, fix a ball $B_R \Subset \Omega$ and let $v_\varepsilon \in u_0 + W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ be the solution to the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(x, Dv_\varepsilon) = b_\varepsilon & \text{in } \Omega \\ v_\varepsilon = u_0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Note that

$$|\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(x, \xi) - \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(x, \eta)| \leq |\xi - \eta| \left[L(\mu^2 + 1 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} + c_q \varepsilon (1 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^{\frac{q-2}{2}} \right] \quad (4.2)$$

$$\langle \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(x, \xi) - \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(x, \eta), \xi - \eta \rangle \geq \nu |\xi - \eta|^2 (\mu^2 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} + \varepsilon c_q |\xi - \eta|^2 (\mu^2 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^{\frac{q-2}{2}} \quad (4.3)$$

$$|\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(x, \xi) - \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(y, \xi)| \leq |x - y| (k_\varepsilon(x) + k_\varepsilon(y)) (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$k_\varepsilon = k \star \phi_\varepsilon.$$

Note that assumption (1.5) implies that

$$|\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(x, \xi)| \leq (L + c_q \varepsilon) |\xi| (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \quad (4.5)$$

Since the operator \mathcal{A}_ε satisfies assumptions (4.2)–(4.4) and $\frac{p(q-1)}{p-1} \geq q$, there exists a unique solution $v_\varepsilon \in u_0 + W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ and by the regularity results in [45], we have that $v_\varepsilon \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,q}(\Omega)$ and $V_q(Dv_\varepsilon) = (\mu^2 + |Dv_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{q-2}{4}} Dv_\varepsilon \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega)$.

Therefore, by Lemma 2.3, $Dv_\varepsilon \in L_{\text{loc}}^{\frac{2^*q}{2}}(\Omega) \subset L_{\text{loc}}^{\frac{2^*p}{2}}(\Omega)$ and so we are legitimate to apply estimates (3.9) and (3.10) to each v_ε to obtain that

$$\int_{B_{\frac{r}{2}}} |D^2 v_\varepsilon|^p dx \leq c(1 + \|k_\varepsilon\|_{L^r(B_R)} + \|b_\varepsilon\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)})^\gamma \left(1 + \int_{B_r} (1 + |Dv_\varepsilon(x)|)^p dx\right)^\gamma \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$\int_{B_{\frac{r}{2}}} |D(V_p(Dv_\varepsilon))|^2 dx \leq c(1 + \|k_\varepsilon\|_{L^r(B_R)} + \|b_\varepsilon\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)})^\vartheta \left(1 + \int_{B_r} (1 + |Dv_\varepsilon(x)|)^p dx\right)^\vartheta \quad (4.7)$$

for all $B_r \Subset B_R$. Moreover by assumption (4.3) and since v_ε solves problem (4.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu \int_{\Omega} |Dv_\varepsilon - Du_0|^2 (\mu^2 + |Dv_\varepsilon|^2 + |Du_0|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(x, Dv_\varepsilon) - \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(x, Du_0), Dv_\varepsilon - Du_0 \rangle dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(x, Dv_\varepsilon), Dv_\varepsilon - Du_0 \rangle dx - \int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(x, Du_0), Dv_\varepsilon - Du_0 \rangle dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \langle b_\varepsilon, Dv_\varepsilon - Du_0 \rangle dx - \int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(x, Du_0), Dv_\varepsilon - Du_0 \rangle dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} |b_\varepsilon| |Dv_\varepsilon - Du_0| dx + \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(x, Du_0)| |Dv_\varepsilon - Du_0| dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

As long as $1 < p < 2$, we can use Young's inequality with exponents $\frac{2}{p}$ and $\frac{2}{2-p}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |Dv_\varepsilon - Du_0|^p dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |Dv_\varepsilon - Du_0|^p (\mu^2 + |Dv_\varepsilon|^2 + |Du_0|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2} \frac{p}{2}} (\mu^2 + |Dv_\varepsilon|^2 + |Du_0|^2)^{\frac{2-p}{2} \frac{p}{2}} dx \\ &\leq \nu \int_{\Omega} |Dv_\varepsilon - Du_0|^2 (\mu^2 + |Dv_\varepsilon|^2 + |Du_0|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} dx \\ &\quad + c(\nu) \int_{\Omega} (\mu^2 + |Dv_\varepsilon|^2 + |Du_0|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Using (4.8) to estimate the first term in the right hand side of (4.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |Dv_\varepsilon - Du_0|^p dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |Dv_\varepsilon - Du_0|^p dx + c \int_{\Omega} |b_\varepsilon|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + c \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(x, Du_0)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

where, in the last line, we used Young's inequality. Reabsorbing the first integral in the right hand side by the left hand side and using (4.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |Dv_{\varepsilon} - Du_0|^p dx &\leq c \int_{\Omega} |b_{\varepsilon}|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + c \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}(x, Du_0)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \\ &\leq c \int_{\Omega} |b|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + c \int_{\Omega} |Du_0|^{\frac{p(q-1)}{p-1}} \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\int_{\Omega} |Dv_{\varepsilon}|^p dx \leq c \int_{\Omega} |b|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + c \int_{B_R} |Du_0|^{\frac{p(q-1)}{p-1}} \quad (4.11)$$

where we used that b_{ε} strongly converges to b in $L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega)$. Estimate (4.11) implies that v_{ε} is a bounded sequence in $u_0 + W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and therefore there exists $v \in u_0 + W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$v_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup v \quad \text{weakly in } u_0 + W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

On the other hand, since b_{ε} strongly converges to b in $L_{\text{loc}}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega)$ and k_{ε} strongly converges to k in $L_{\text{loc}}^r(\Omega)$, using (4.11) in (4.6) and in (4.7), we get

$$\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}} |D^2 v_{\varepsilon}|^p dx \leq c(1 + \|k\|_{L^r(B_R)} + \|b\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)})^{\gamma} \left(1 + \int_{B_R} |Du_0|^{\frac{p(q-1)}{p-1}}\right)^{\gamma} \quad (4.12)$$

and

$$\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}} |D(V_p(Dv_{\varepsilon}))|^2 dx \leq c(1 + \|k\|_{L^r(B_R)} + \|b\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)})^{\vartheta} \left(1 + \int_{B_R} |Du_0|^{\frac{p(q-1)}{p-1}}\right)^{\vartheta}, \quad (4.13)$$

for every balls $B_R \Subset \Omega$. Estimate (4.12) implies that v_{ε} is a bounded sequence in $W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\Omega)$ and therefore

$$v_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup v \quad \text{weakly in } W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\Omega)$$

and so

$$v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow v \quad \text{strongly in } W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Passing to the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (4.12), by the lower semicontinuity of the norm, we obtain

$$\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}} |D^2 v|^p dx \leq c(1 + \|k\|_{L^r(B_R)} + \|b\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)})^{\gamma} \left(1 + \int_{B_R} |Du_0|^{\frac{p(q-1)}{p-1}}\right)^{\gamma} \quad (4.14)$$

The continuity of the map $DV_p(\xi)$ implies that the sequence $DV_p(Dv_{\varepsilon})$ converges, up to a subsequence, a.e. to $DV_p(Dv)$. Therefore by Fatou's Lemma Passing to the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (4.13) we also have

$$\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}} |D(V_p(Dv))|^2 dx \leq c(1 + \|k\|_{L^r(B_R)} + \|b\|_{L^{p'}(B_R)})^{\vartheta} \left(1 + \int_{B_R} |Du_0|^{\frac{p(q-1)}{p-1}}\right)^{\vartheta}. \quad (4.15)$$

It remains to prove that v satisfies (4.1). To this aim, we observe that

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, Dv), D\varphi \rangle dx - \int_{\Omega} \langle b, D\varphi \rangle dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, Dv) - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\varepsilon}(x, Dv), D\varphi \rangle dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\varepsilon}(x, Dv) - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\varepsilon}(x, Dv_{\varepsilon}), D\varphi \rangle dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} \langle \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\varepsilon}(x, Dv_{\varepsilon}), D\varphi \rangle dx - \int_{B_R} \langle b, D\varphi \rangle dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, Dv) - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\varepsilon}(x, Dv), D\varphi \rangle dx + \int_{B_R} \langle \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\varepsilon}(x, Dv) - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\varepsilon}(x, Dv_{\varepsilon}), D\varphi \rangle dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} \langle b_{\varepsilon} - b, D\varphi \rangle dx - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \langle (1 + |Dv_{\varepsilon}|^2)^{\frac{q-2}{2}} Dv_{\varepsilon}, D\varphi \rangle dx,
\end{aligned}$$

for every $\varphi \in C_c^1(\Omega)$. Therefore, we are left to prove that the right hand side of previous equality vanishes as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. This will come if we show that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_1^{\varepsilon} &:= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left| \int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, Dv) - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\varepsilon}(x, Dv), D\varphi \rangle dx \right| = 0 \\
\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_2^{\varepsilon} &:= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left| \int_{\Omega} \langle \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\varepsilon}(x, Dv) - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\varepsilon}(x, Dv_{\varepsilon}), D\varphi \rangle dx \right| = 0 \\
\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_3^{\varepsilon} &:= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left| \int_{\Omega} \langle b_{\varepsilon} - b, D\varphi \rangle dx \right| = 0
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \left| \int_{\Omega} \langle (1 + |Dv_{\varepsilon}|^2)^{\frac{q-2}{2}} Dv_{\varepsilon}, D\varphi \rangle dx \right| = 0$$

Last two estimate are obvious since $b_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow b$ strongly in $L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega)$ and since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \left| \int_{\Omega} \langle (1 + |Dv_{\varepsilon}|^2)^{\frac{q-2}{2}} Dv_{\varepsilon}, D\varphi \rangle dx \right| \\
&\leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \|D\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega')} \int_{\text{supp}\varphi} (1 + |Dv_{\varepsilon}|^2)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} dx = 0
\end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the bound $q < \frac{np}{n-2}$ and of estimate (4.13) and where we denoted by Ω' a compact set that contains the support of the test function φ . For what concerns I_1 we have by the definition of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\varepsilon}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_1^{\varepsilon} &\leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|D\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega')} \int_{\Omega'} |\mathcal{A}(x, Dv) - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\varepsilon}(x, Dv)| dx \\
&\leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|D\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega')} \int_{\Omega'} \left| \mathcal{A}(x, Dv) - \int_{B_1(0)} \phi(\omega) \mathcal{A}(x + \varepsilon\omega, Dv) d\omega \right| dx \\
&\leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|D\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega')} \int_{\Omega'} \int_{B_1(0)} \phi(\omega) \left| \mathcal{A}(x, Dv) - \mathcal{A}(x + \varepsilon\omega, Dv) \right| d\omega dx \\
&\leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \|D\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega')} \int_{\Omega'} \int_{B_1(0)} \phi(\omega) k(x) + k(x + \varepsilon\omega) (1 + |Dv|^2)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \left| d\omega dx \right| \\
&\leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \|D\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega')} \int_{\Omega'} (k(x) + k_{\varepsilon}(x)) (1 + |Dv|^2)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} dx \\
&\leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \|D\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega')} \left(\int_{\Omega'} (k(x) + k_{\varepsilon}(x))^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \left(\int_{\Omega'} (1 + |Dv|^2)^{\frac{q-1}{2} \frac{r}{r-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{r-1}{r}} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

since $k_\varepsilon \rightarrow k$ strongly in $L^r(\Omega)$, by the bound

$$\frac{q}{2} \frac{r}{r-1} < \frac{qr}{r-2} < \frac{2^* p}{2}$$

and again by (4.7). For the estimate of I_2^ε , we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_2^\varepsilon &\leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|D\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega')} \int_{\Omega'} |\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(x, Dv) - \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(x, Dv_\varepsilon)| dx \\ &\leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|D\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega')} \int_{\Omega'} \int_{B_1(0)} \phi(\omega) \left| \mathcal{A}(x + \varepsilon\omega, Dv) - \mathcal{A}(x + \varepsilon\omega, Dv_\varepsilon) \right| d\omega dx \\ &\leq L \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|D\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega')} \int_{\Omega'} |Dv - Dv_\varepsilon| (\mu^2 + |Dv|^2 + |Dv_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} dx \\ &\quad + c\varepsilon \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|D\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega')} \int_{\Omega'} |Dv - Dv_\varepsilon| (1 + |Dv|^2 + |Dv_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{q-2}{2}} dx \\ &\leq L \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|D\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega')} \left(\int_{\Omega'} |Dv - Dv_\varepsilon|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\int_{\Omega'} (1 + |Dv|^2 + |Dv_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{q-2}{2} \frac{p}{p-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $Dv_\varepsilon \rightarrow Dv$ strongly in $L^p(\text{supp}\varphi)$ and by virtue of the bound

$$\frac{q-2}{2} \frac{p}{p-1} < \frac{q-1}{2} \frac{p}{p-1} < \frac{np}{n-2}$$

and again by (4.7). With these estimates at our disposal, we conclude that for every $\varphi \in C_c^1(\Omega)$

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, Dv), D\varphi \rangle dx = \int_{\Omega} \langle b, D\varphi \rangle dx,$$

then $v \in (u_0 + W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)) \cap W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\Omega)$ is a solution to (4.1).

REFERENCES

- [1] E. ACERBI, N. FUSCO *Regularity for minimizers of non-quadratic functionals. The case $1 < p < 2$* - J. of Math. Anal. and Appl. **140** (1989), 115-135.
- [2] L. BECK, G. MINGIONE *Lipschitz bounds and nonuniform ellipticity* Communications on pure and applied mathematics **73** (2020), 944-1034.
- [3] P. BELLA, M. SCHAFFNER *On the regularity of minimizers for scalar integral functionals with (p,q) -growth* Analysis and PDE's **13** (2020), No. 7, 2241-2257
- [4] M. BULICECK, G. CUPINI, B. STROFFOLINI, A. VERDE *Existence and regularity results for weak solutions to (p,q) -elliptic systems in divergence form* Adv. Calc. Var. **11** (2018) n.3, 273-288.
- [5] M. CAROZZA, J. KRISTENSEN, A. PASSARELLI DI NAPOLI, *Higher differentiability of minimizers of convex variational integrals*, Ann. I. H. Poincaré – AN **28** (2011), 395-411

- [6] M. CAROZZA, J. KRISTENSEN, A. PASSARELLI DI NAPOLI, *Regularity of minimizers of autonomous convex variational integrals*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **Vol. XIII** (2014), 1065–1089
- [7] M. COLOMBO, G. MINGIONE *Regularity for double phase variational problems* Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **215** (2015), 443–496.
- [8] M. COLOMBO, G. MINGIONE *Bounded Minimisers of Double Phase Variational Integrals* Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **218** (2015), 219–273 .
- [9] D. CRUZ-URIBE, K. MOEN AND S. RODNEY *Regularity results for weak solutions of elliptic PDEs below the natural exponent*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **195** (2016), no. 3, 725–740.
- [10] G. CUPINI, P. MARCELLINI, E. MASCOLO *Existence and regularity for elliptic equations under p, q growth* Advances in Differential Equations **19**, N. 7-8 (2014), 693–724
- [11] G. CUPINI, F. LEONETTI, E. MASCOLO. *Existence of weak solutions for elliptic systems with p, q -growth*. *Annales Ac. SC. Fennicae Math.* **40** (2015), 645–658.
- [12] G. CUPINI, F. GIANNETTI, R. GIOVA, A. PASSARELLI DI NAPOLI. *Higher integrability for minimizers of asymptotically convex integrals with discontinuous coefficients*, Nonlinear Anal., **54** (2017), 7–24.
- [13] G. CUPINI, P. MARCELLINI, E. MASCOLO, A. PASSARELLI DI NAPOLI. *Lipschitz regularity for degenerate elliptic integrals with p, q -growth* Adv. Calc. Var. (2021) doi.org/10.1515/acv-2020-0120.
- [14] C. DE FILIPPIS, G. MINGIONE *Lipschitz bounds and nonautonomous integrals* Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **242** (2021), 973–1057.
- [15] E. DIBENEDETTO. $C^{1+\alpha}$ local regularity of weak solutions of degenerate elliptic equations, Nonlinear Anal., TMA **7** (8) (1983), 827–850.
- [16] M. ELEUTERI, P. MARCELLINI, E. MASCOLO. *Lipschitz estimates for systems with ellipticity conditions at infinity*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., **195** (5) (2016), 1575–1603.
- [17] M. ELEUTERI, P. MARCELLINI, E. MASCOLO. *Lipschitz continuity for functionals with variable exponents*, Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. **27** (1) (2016), 61–87.
- [18] M. ELEUTERI, P. MARCELLINI, E. MASCOLO. *Regularity for scalar integrals without structure conditions*, Adv. Calc. Var. **13** (2020), 279–300.
- [19] M. ELEUTERI, P. MARCELLINI, E. MASCOLO. *Local Lipschitz continuity of minimizers with mild assumptions on x -dependence*, Discrete and continuous Dynamical systems **12** n. 2 (2019), 251–265.
- [20] M. ELEUTERI, P. MARCELLINI, E. MASCOLO, S. PERROTTA. *Local Lipschitz continuity for energy integrals with slow growth*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) (2021). DOI: 10.1007/s10231-021-01147-w.
- [21] M. ELEUTERI, A. PASSARELLI DI NAPOLI. *Higher differentiability for solutions to a class of obstacle problems* Calc. Var. (2018) 58:115.
- [22] L. ESPOSITO, F. LEONETTI, G. MINGIONE *Regularity for minimizers of functionals with $p - q$ growth conditions* - NoDEA Nonlin. Diff. Equat. and Appl. **6** (1999), 133–148.
- [23] L. ESPOSITO, F. LEONETTI, G. MINGIONE *Higher integrability for minimizers of integral functionals with (p, q) growth* - J. Diff. Equat. **157** (1999), 414–438.
- [24] A. GENTILE *Regularity for minimizers of non-autonomous non-quadratic functionals in the case $1 < p < 2$: an a priori estimate* Rend. Acc. Sc. fis. mat. Napoli Vol. LXXXV (2018), 185–200.
- [25] A. GENTILE *Regularity for minimizers of a class of non-autonomous functionals with sub-quadratic growth* Adv. Calc. Var (2020), p.1–15.
- [26] R. GIOVA *Higher differentiability for n -harmonic systems with Sobolev coefficients*, J. Differential Equations **259** (2015), no. 11, 5667–5687.
- [27] R. GIOVA *Regularity results for non-autonomous functionals with $L \log L$ -growth and Orlicz Sobolev coefficients*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **23** (2016), no. 6, Paper No. 64.
- [28] E. GIUSTI, *Direct methods in the calculus of Variations*, World Scientific Publishing Co. (2003).
- [29] P. HAJLASZ, *Sobolev spaces on an arbitrary metric space*, Pot. Anal. **5** (1996), 403–415.
- [30] T. IWANIEC, C. SBORDONE, *Riesz transform and elliptic PDE's with VMO coefficients* J. Anal. Mathématique **74** (1998), 183–212
- [31] O. LADYZHENSKAYA, N. URALTSEVA: *Linear and quasilinear elliptic equations*, Academic Press, New York and London (1968).
- [32] F. LEONETTI, E. MASCOLO, F. SIEPE *Gradient regularity for minimizers of functionals under p, q subquadratic growth*, BUMI, B, **8**, n. 4-B, (2001), 571–586.

- [33] F. LEONETTI, E. MASCOLO, F. SIEPE . *Everywhere regularity for a class of vectorial functionals under subquadratic general growth conditions*, J. Mathematical Analysis and Appl., **287** (2003), 593–608.
- [34] P. MARCELLINI. *Approximation of quasiconvex functions, and lower semicontinuity of multiple integrals*, Manuscripta Math. **51** (1985), no. 1-3, 1–28.
- [35] P. MARCELLINI. *Regularity of minimizers of integrals in the calculus of variations with non standard growth conditions*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **105** (1989) 267–284.
- [36] P. MARCELLINI. *Regularity and existence of solutions of elliptic equations with $p - q$ -growth conditions*, J. Differential Equations **90** (1991) 1–30.
- [37] P. MARCELLINI. *Regularity for elliptic equations with general growth conditions*, J. Differential Equations **105** (1993) 296–333.
- [38] P. MARCELLINI. *Regularity for nonlinear elliptic equations and systems* Atti della Accademia Peloritana dei Pericolanti. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali, 98 (2020).
- [39] P. MARCELLINI. *Growth conditions and regularity for weak solutions to nonlinear elliptic PDEs* J. Mathematical Analysis and Appl. 501 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2020.124408>
- [40] P. MARCELLINI. *Interior gradient bounds for nonlinear elliptic systems* Rend. Mat. Appl., 41 (2020), 301-323.
- [41] G. MINGIONE , V. RADULESCU. *Recent developments in problems with nonstandard growth and nonuniform ellipticity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 501 (2021) 125–197
- [42] A. PASSARELLI DI NAPOLI. *Higher Differentiability of Solutions of Elliptic Systems with Sobolev Coefficients: The Case $p = n = 2$* Potential Analysis 2014,
- [43] A. PASSARELLI DI NAPOLI. *Higher differentiability of minimizers of variational integrals with Sobolev coefficients*, Advances in Calculus of Variations **7**, no. **1** (2014) 59-89.
- [44] C. SBORDONE. *Quasiminima of degenerate functionals with non polynomial growth* Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano, 59, (1989) 173-184
- [45] P. TOLKSDORF. *Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations* Journal of Differential Equations, **51** (1984) 126-150
- [46] V. V. ZHIKOV *On some variational problems*. Russ. J. Math. Phys. **5** (1997) 105–116.

Email address: mascolo@unifi.it

Email address: antonia.passarellidinapoli@unina.it

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA "U. DINI", UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI FIRENZE, VIALE MORGAGNI , 41125 FIRENZE, ITALY

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI "R. CACCIOPOLI", UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI NAPOLI, "FEDERICO II" VIA CINTIA, 80126, NAPOLI, ITALY