

# An integrable semi-discretization of the modified Camassa-Holm equation with linear dispersion term

Han-Han Sheng<sup>†</sup>, Guo-Fu Yu<sup>†</sup> and Bao-Feng Feng<sup>‡</sup> \*

<sup>†</sup> School of Mathematical Sciences, Shanghai Jiao Tong University,  
Shanghai 200240, P.R. China

<sup>‡</sup> School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences,  
The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, Edinburg, Texas 78541, USA

## Abstract

In the present paper, we are concerned with integrable discretization of a modified Camassa-Holm equation with linear dispersion term. The key of the construction is the semi-discrete analogue for a set of bilinear equations of the modified Camassa-Holm equation. Firstly, we show that these bilinear equations and their determinant solutions either in Gram-type or Casorati-type can be reduced from the discrete KP equation through Miwa transformation. Then, by scrutinizing the reduction process, we obtain a set of semi-discrete bilinear equations and their general soliton solution in Gram-type or Casorati-type determinant form. Finally, by defining dependent variables and discrete hodograph transformations, we are able to derive an integrable semi-discrete analogue of the modified Camassa-Holm equation. It is also shown that the semi-discrete modified Camassa-Holm equation converges to the continuous one in the continuum limit.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider integrable semi-discretization of the modified Camassa-Holm (mCH) equation

$$m_t + 2\kappa^2 u_x + [m(u^2 - u_x^2)]_x = 0, \quad m = u - u_{xx}, \quad (1)$$

which firstly appeared in the papers of Fokas<sup>[1]</sup>, Fuchssteiner<sup>[2]</sup>, Olver and Rosenau<sup>[3]</sup> and later, was rediscovered by Qiao<sup>[4, 5]</sup>. Here  $u = u(x, t)$  is a real-valued function, and the subscripts  $x$  and  $t$  appended to  $m$  and  $u$  denote partial differentiation. The parameter  $\kappa$  characterizes the magnitude of the linear dispersion. The mCH equation (1) has attracted considerable attention in the past two decades due to its rich mathematical structure and solutions. Several groups have studied the mCH equation from various aspects such as the well-posedness, regularization, Cauchy problem, Riemann-Hilbert problem, long-time asymptotics and Liouville correspondence with the mKdV equation<sup>[6–14]</sup>. The smooth soliton solutions were constructed by different approaches such as the Hirota's bilinear method<sup>[15, 16]</sup>, Darboux transformation/Bäcklund transformation method<sup>[17–19]</sup>. Recently, Chang et al. considered the Lax integrability and the conservative peakon solutions in a series of work<sup>[20–22]</sup> while Gao et al. studied the patched peakon weak solution<sup>[23]</sup>. Similar to the Camassa-Holm equation<sup>[24]</sup>, the wave-breaking and blow-up phenomena are very intriguing problems which have been studied by several authors<sup>[25–27]</sup>. The stability including the orbital stability of the mCH equation was analyzed in Refs. <sup>[28, 29]</sup>.

Recently, much attention has been paid to the study of discrete integrable systems that are related to many other fields such as quantum field theory, numerical algorithms, random matrices, orthogonal and bi-orthogonal polynomials<sup>[30]</sup>. In compared with the continuous integrable systems, the examples of discrete integrable systems, as well as the tools of analyzing them are much less. On the other hand, it is believed that discrete integrable systems are more fundamental and universal than continuous ones. Starting from the discrete Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) equation, or the so-called Hirota–Miwa (HW) equation<sup>[31, 32]</sup>, Shi *et al.* have derived discrete KdV equation and discrete potential mKdV equation, as well as their

---

\*Corresponding author. Email address: baofeng.feng@utrgv.edu

Lax pairs and multi-soliton solutions<sup>[33, 34]</sup>. The authors have done a series of work in finding integrable discretizations of soliton equations such as the short pulse equation<sup>[35, 36]</sup>, (2+1)-dimensional Zakharov equation<sup>[37]</sup>, the Camassa-Holm equation<sup>[38, 39]</sup> and the Degasperis-Proceli equation<sup>[40]</sup>. Therefore, it is a natural but definitely not a trivial problem for us to construct an integrable discrete analogue of the mCH equation (1).

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, starting from the discrete KP equation and its tau function, we derive a set of bilinear equations that belong to the negative KdV hierarchy through a series of transformations including Miwa transformation. Moreover, by introducing a hodograph and dependent variable transformations, we show that the mCH equation (1) is deduced from a set of bilinear equations in question. As a by-product, we provide the multi-soliton solution to the mCH equation in both Gram-type and Casorati-type determinant. In section 3, by scrutinizing the process in deriving the mCH equation from the discrete KP equation, we propose semi-discrete analogues of a set of bilinear equations which derive the mCH equation. Based on these discrete bilinear equations, we construct an integrable semi-discrete mCH equation and present its  $N$ -soliton solutions. Section 4 is devoted to a brief summary and discussion. Some detailed proofs are given in Appendices.

## 2 From the discrete KP equation to the mCH equation

In this section, we will show that the bilinear equations and the multi-soliton solution to the mCH equation (1) given by Matsuno<sup>[15]</sup> can be generated from the discrete KP equation and its determinant solution through a series of transformations including the Miwa transformation, hodograph transformation and dependent variable transformation.

### 2.1 A brief review for the discrete KP equation

The discrete Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) equation, or the so-called Hirota-Miwa (HW) equation<sup>[31, 32]</sup>, is a three-dimensional discrete integrable system

$$(a_1 - a_2)\tau_{12}\tau_3 + (a_2 - a_3)\tau_{23}\tau_1 + (a_3 - a_1)\tau_{13}\tau_2 = 0, \quad (2)$$

where lattice parameters  $a_k$  are distinct constants,  $k = 1, 2, 3$ , and for  $\tau = \tau(k_1, k_2, k_3)$  each subscript  $i$  denotes a forward shift in the corresponding discrete variable  $k_i$ . It was discovered by Hirota<sup>[31]</sup> as a fully discrete analogue of the two-dimensional Toda equation and later Miwa<sup>[32]</sup> showed that it was intimately related to the KP hierarchy.

The discrete KP hierarchy, or the Hirota-Miwa system, can be expressed by an infinite number of bilinear equations with  $(k_i, k_j, k_m)$  which are taken from  $(k_1, k_2, k_3, \dots)$ .

$$(a_i - a_j)\tau_{ij}\tau_m + (a_j - a_m)\tau_{jm}\tau_i + (a_m - a_i)\tau_{mi}\tau_j = 0. \quad (3)$$

The Hirota-Miwa equation (2) arises as the compatibility condition of the linear system<sup>[43]</sup>

$$\phi_i - \phi_j = (a_i - a_j)u^{ij}\phi, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq 3, \quad (4)$$

where  $\phi = \phi(k_i, k_j, k_m)$ ,  $\tau = \tau(k_i, k_j, k_m)$ ,  $u^{ij} = \tau_{ij}\tau / (\tau_i\tau_j)$ . Each subscript  $i$  denotes a forward shift in the corresponding discrete variable  $n_i$ , for example,  $\phi_i = \phi(k_i + 1, k_j, k_m)$ .

It is known that the discrete KP equation admits a general solution in terms of the following Gram-type determinant<sup>[44]</sup>

$$\tau(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \left| m_{ij}(k_1, k_2, k_3) \right|_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}$$

where

$$m_{ij}(k_1, k_2, k_3) = c_{ij} + \frac{1}{p_i + q_j} \prod_{l=1}^3 \phi(a_l, k_l) \bar{\phi}(a_l, k_l)$$

with

$$\phi(a_l, k_l) = (a_l - p_i)^{-k_l}, \quad \bar{\phi}(a_l, k_l) = (a_l + q_j)^{k_l}$$

Therefore, the Gram-type solution can be specifically expressed as

$$\tau(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \left| c_{ij} + \frac{1}{p_i + q_j} \left( -\frac{p_i - a_1}{q_j + a_1} \right)^{-k_1} \left( -\frac{p_i - a_2}{q_j + a_2} \right)^{-k_2} \left( -\frac{p_i - a_3}{q_j + a_3} \right)^{-k_3} \right|. \quad (5)$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( -\frac{p_i - a_1}{q_j + a_1} \right)^{-k_1} &= \exp \left( -k_1 \ln \left( \frac{1 - a_1^{-1} p_i}{1 + a_1^{-1} q_j} \right) \right) \\ &= \exp \left( (p_i + q_j) a_1^{-1} k_1 + (p_i^2 - q_j^2) \frac{1}{2} a_1^{-2} k_1 + (p_i^3 + q_j^3) \frac{1}{3} a_1^{-3} k_1 + \dots \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus by defining the so-called Miwa transformation

$$x_1 = \sum_{j=1}^3 a_j^{-1} k_j, \quad x_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 a_j^{-2} k_j, \quad \dots \quad x_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^3 a_j^{-n} k_j,$$

and further by using elementary Schur polynomial

$$\exp \left( \sum t^n x_n \right) = \sum p_n(\vec{x}) t^n, \quad \vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n),$$

one can obtain the whole KP hierarchy<sup>[44]</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( (a_2 - a_3) \sum a_1^{-K} a_2^{-L} a_3^{-M} p_K \left( -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{D} \right) p_L \left( \frac{1}{2} \tilde{D} \right) p_M \left( \frac{1}{2} \tilde{D} \right) \right. \\ &+ (a_3 - a_1) \sum a_1^{-K} a_2^{-L} a_3^{-M} p_K \left( \frac{1}{2} \tilde{D} \right) p_L \left( -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{D} \right) p_M \left( \frac{1}{2} \tilde{D} \right) \\ &\left. + (a_1 - a_2) \sum a_1^{-K} a_2^{-L} a_3^{-M} p_K \left( \frac{1}{2} \tilde{D} \right) p_L \left( \frac{1}{2} \tilde{D} \right) p_M \left( -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{D} \right) \right) \tau \cdot \tau = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{D} = (D_{x_1}, \frac{1}{2} D_{x_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n} D_{x_n})$ . If we take  $(K, L, M) = (1, 2, 3)$ , then we obtain the bilinear equation for the KP equation

$$(D_{x_1}^4 - 4D_{x_1} D_{x_3} + 3D_{x_2}^2) \tau \cdot \tau = 0$$

Next, let us show how we can generate fully discrete 2-dimensional Toda lattice (2DTL) and the whole 2DTL hierarchy. To this end, we reparametrize  $p_i$  and  $q_j$  by

$$a_1 - p_i = -\tilde{p}_i, \quad a_1 + q_j = \tilde{q}_j,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} &c_{ij} + \frac{1}{p_i + q_j} \left( -\frac{p_i - a_1}{q_j + a_1} \right)^{-k_1} \left( -\frac{p_i - a_2}{q_j + a_2} \right)^{-k_2} \left( -\frac{p_i - a_3}{q_j + a_3} \right)^{-k_3} \\ &\rightarrow c_{ij} + \left( -\frac{\tilde{p}_i}{\tilde{q}_j} \right)^{-(k_1+k_3)} \left( \frac{1 - a\tilde{p}_i}{1 + a\tilde{q}_j} \right)^{-k_2} \left( \frac{1 - b\tilde{p}_i^{-1}}{1 + b\tilde{q}_j^{-1}} \right)^{-k_3}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a^{-1} = a_2 - a_1$ ,  $b = a_3 - a_1$ . Moreover, let  $k_1 + k_3 + 1 = n$ ,  $k_2 = k$ ,  $k_3 = l$ , then the HW equation (2) is converted into

$$(1 - ab) \tau_n(k, l) \tau_n(k+1, l+1) + ab \tau_{n-1}(k+1, l) \tau_{n+1}(k, l+1) - \tau_n(k, l+1) \tau_n(k+1, l) = 0$$

which is exactly the bilinear equation of the discrete two-dimensional Toda-lattice (2DTL) equation. By dropping the tilde, the discrete 2DTL equation admits the following general soliton

$$\tau_n(k, l) = \left| c_{ij} + \frac{1}{p_i + q_j} \left( -\frac{p_i}{q_j} \right)^{-n} \left( \frac{1 - ap_i}{1 + aq_j} \right)^{-k} \left( \frac{1 - bp_i^{-1}}{1 + bq_j^{-1}} \right)^{-l} \right|. \quad (6)$$

Upon applying the Miwa transformations in both positive and negative flows

$$x_1 = ka, \quad x_2 = \frac{1}{2}ka^2, \quad \dots, \quad x_n = \frac{1}{n}ka^n, \quad (7)$$

$$x_{-1} = lb, \quad x_{-2} = \frac{1}{2}lb^2, \quad \dots, \quad x_{-n} = \frac{1}{n}lb^n, \quad (8)$$

one obtains the whole 2DTL hierarchy, among which

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}D_{x_1}D_{x_{-1}} - 1\right)\tau_n \cdot \tau_n = -\tau_{n+1}\tau_{n-1},$$

is the celebrating bilinear equation of the 2DTL equation that admits the Gram-type determinant solution

$$\tau_n = \left| c_{ij} + \frac{1}{p_i + q_j} \left(-\frac{p_i}{q_j}\right)^{-n} e^{\xi_i + \eta_j} \right|, \quad (9)$$

with

$$\xi_i = \frac{1}{p_i}x_{-1} + p_i x_1 + \dots, \quad \eta_j = \frac{1}{q_j}x_{-1} + q_j x_1 + \dots.$$

## 2.2 From the discrete KP equation to the modified CH equation

First, we give a lemma regarding bilinear equations of the mCH equation (1).

**Lemma 2.1.** The following bilinear equations

$$(D_{x_1} + a)\tau_{n,k+1} \cdot \tau_{n+1,k} = a\tau_{n+1,k+1}\tau_{n,k}, \quad (10)$$

$$(D_{x_{-1}}(D_{x_1} + a) - 2)\tau_{n,k+1} \cdot \tau_{n+1,k} = (aD_{x_{-1}} - 2)\tau_{n+1,k+1} \cdot \tau_{n,k}, \quad (11)$$

is generated from the discrete KP equation (2). Moreover, above two bilinear equations admit determinant solution of Gram-type

$$\tau_{n,k} = \left| m_{ij}^{n,k} \right| = \left| c_{ij} + \left(-\frac{p_i}{q_j}\right)^{-n} \left(-\frac{p_i + a}{q_j - a}\right)^{-k} e^{\xi_i + \eta_j} \right|, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\xi_i = p_i x_1 + \frac{1}{p_i + a}x_{-1} + \xi_{i0}, \quad \eta_i = q_i x_1 + \frac{1}{q_i - a}x_{-1} + \eta_{i0}.$$

Here  $c_{ij}, a, p_i, q_i, \xi_{i0}, \eta_{i0}$  are the arbitrary parameters.

*Proof.* Notice that the element in Gram-type solution of the discrete KP equation (5) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} m_{ij} &= c_{ij} + \left(\frac{a_1 - p_i}{a_1 + q_j}\right)^{-k_1} \left(\frac{a_2 - p_i}{a_2 + q_j}\right)^{-k_2} \left(\frac{a_3 - p_i}{a_3 + q_j}\right)^{-k_3} \\ &\rightarrow c_{ij} + \left(-\frac{p_i}{q_j}\right)^{-k_3} \left(\frac{a_1 - p_i}{a_1 + q_j}\right)^{-k_1} \left(\frac{a_2 - p_i}{a_2 + q_j}\right)^{-k_2} \left(\frac{1 - a_3 p_i^{-1}}{1 + a_3 q_j^{-1}}\right)^{-k_3} \\ &\rightarrow c_{ij} + \left(-\frac{\tilde{p}_i}{\tilde{q}_j}\right)^{-k_1} \left(-\frac{\tilde{p}_i + a}{\tilde{q}_j - a}\right)^{-k_3} \left(\frac{1 - b\tilde{p}_i}{1 + b\tilde{q}_j}\right)^{-k_2} \left(\frac{1 - a_3 p_i^{-1}}{1 + a_3 q_j^{-1}}\right)^{-k_3} \end{aligned}$$

by letting  $p_i - a_1 = \tilde{p}_i$ ,  $q_i + a_1 = \tilde{q}_i$  and  $a_2 - a_1 = b^{-1}$ ,  $a = a_1$ . Let  $k_3 = l$ ,  $k_1 = n$ ,  $k_2 = k$ , then the discrete KP equation (2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &(a_3 - b^{-1} - a)\tau_n(k+1, k_2+1, k_3+1)\tau_{n+1}(k+1, k_2, k_3) \\ &+ (a - a_3)\tau_n(k, k_2+1, k_3)\tau_{n+1}(k+1, k_2, k_3+1) \\ &+ b^{-1}\tau_n(k+1, k_2, k_3+1)\tau_{n+1}(k, k_2+1, k_3) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Applying Miwa transformation by taking  $a_3 \rightarrow 0$  and  $b \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$x_1 = kb, \quad x_2 = \frac{1}{2}kb^2, \quad \dots \quad x_n = \frac{1}{n}kb^n,$$

$$x_{-1} = la_3, \quad x_{-2} = \frac{1}{2}la_3^2, \quad \dots \quad x_{-n} = \frac{1}{n}la_3^n,$$

we obtain an infinite number of bilinear equations as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{L,M} (a_3 - b^{-1} - a)b^L a_3^M p_L \left( \frac{1}{2} \tilde{D}_+ \right) p_M \left( \frac{1}{2} \tilde{D}_- \right) \tau_n(k+1) \cdot \tau_{n+1}(k) \\ & + \sum_{L,M} (a - a_3)b^{-L} a_3^M p_L \left( \frac{1}{2} \tilde{D}_+ \right) p_M \left( -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{D}_- \right) \tau_n(k) \cdot \tau_{n+1}(k+1) \\ & + \sum_{L,M} b^{L-1} a_3^M p_L \left( -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{D}_+ \right) p_M \left( \frac{1}{2} \tilde{D}_- \right) \tau_n(k+1) \cdot \tau_{n+1}(k) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{D}_+ = \left( D_{x_1}, \frac{1}{2}D_{x_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}D_{x_n} \right), \quad \tilde{D}_- = \left( D_{x_{-1}}, \frac{1}{2}D_{x_{-2}}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}D_{x_{-n}} \right).$$

At the order of  $a_3^0 b^0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( -p_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} \tilde{D}_+ \right) - a \right) \tau_n(k+1) \cdot \tau_{n+1}(k) \\ & + a \tau_n(k) \cdot \tau_{n+1}(k+1) + p_1 \left( -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{D}_+ \right) \tau_n(k+1) \cdot \tau_{n+1}(k) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which gives Eq. (10).

At the order of  $a_3^1 b^0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( 1 - p_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} \tilde{D}_+ \right) \right) p_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} \tilde{D}_- \right) - a p_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} \tilde{D}_- \right) \tau_n(k+1) \cdot \tau_{n+1}(k) \\ & + \left( a p_1 \left( -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{D}_- \right) - 1 \right) \tau_n(k) \cdot \tau_{n+1}(k+1) + p_1 \left( -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{D}_+ \right) p_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} \tilde{D}_- \right) \tau_n(k+1) \cdot \tau_{n+1}(k) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to Eq. (11) □

In addition to the Gram-type determinant solution, the tau functions to above two bilinear equations (10)–(11) can also be expressed by the Casorati determinant, which is given by the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** The bilinear equations (10)–(11) have the following Casorati-type determinant solution

$$\tau_{n,k} = \begin{vmatrix} f_n^{(1)}(k) & f_{n+1}^{(1)}(k) & \dots & f_{n+N-1}^{(1)}(k) \\ f_n^{(2)}(k) & f_{n+1}^{(2)}(k) & \dots & f_{n+N-1}^{(2)}(k) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f_n^{(N)}(k) & f_{n+1}^{(N)}(k) & \dots & f_{n+N-1}^{(N)}(k) \end{vmatrix}, \quad (14)$$

where

$$f_n^{(i)}(k) = c_i p_i^n (p_i - a)^k e^{\xi_i} + d_i q_i^n (q_i - a)^k e^{\eta_i}, \quad (15)$$

$$\xi_i = p_i x_1 + \frac{1}{p_i - a} x_{-1} + \xi_{i0}, \quad \eta_i = q_i x_1 + \frac{1}{q_i - a} x_{-1} + \eta_{i0}. \quad (16)$$

Here  $c_i, d_i, p_i, q_i, \xi_{i0}, \eta_{i0}$  are arbitrary parameters.

The proof is given in Appendix A.

Now we proceed to an implementation of period-2 reduction which will result in a negative KdV hierarchy. To this end, we impose the constraints

$$q_i = -p_i \quad d_i = ic_i, \quad (17)$$

for Casorati-type solution (14) or

$$q_i = p_i \quad c_{ij} = i\delta_{ij}, \quad (18)$$

for Gram-type solution (6). As a result, we have

$$\tau_{n,k} \simeq \tau_{n+2,k}, \quad \overline{\tau_{n,k}} \simeq \bar{\tau}_{n+1,k}. \quad (19)$$

Here  $\simeq$  means two  $\tau$ -functions are equivalent up to a constant multiple and  $\bar{\tau}$  means the complex conjugate of  $\tau$ .

In addition, by taking variable transformations  $y = x_1, \tau = \frac{2}{\kappa}x_{-1}, a = \frac{1}{2\kappa}$  and defining

$$f = \tau_{00}, \quad \tilde{f} = \tau_{10}, \quad g = \tau_{01}, \quad \tilde{g} = \tau_{11}, \quad (20)$$

we can obtain the following four bilinear equations.

$$D_y f \cdot \tilde{g} - \frac{1}{2\kappa}(f\tilde{g} - \tilde{f}g) = 0, \quad (21)$$

$$D_y \tilde{f} \cdot g - \frac{1}{2\kappa}(\tilde{f}g - f\tilde{g}) = 0, \quad (22)$$

$$D_\tau D_y f \cdot \tilde{g} - \frac{1}{2\kappa}D_\tau f \cdot \tilde{g} + \frac{1}{2\kappa}D_\tau \tilde{f} \cdot g - \kappa(f\tilde{g} - \tilde{f}g) = 0, \quad (23)$$

$$D_\tau D_y \tilde{f} \cdot g - \frac{1}{2\kappa}D_\tau \tilde{f} \cdot g + \frac{1}{2\kappa}D_\tau f \cdot \tilde{g} - \kappa(\tilde{f}g - f\tilde{g}) = 0, \quad (24)$$

from Eqs. (10)–(11). It should be pointed out that above four bilinear equations belong to the negative KdV hierarchy, which derive the mCH equation as discovered in Ref. [15]. We summarize the result by the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.** The mCH equation (1) is derived from bilinear equations (21)–(24) through the hodograph transformation

$$x = \frac{y}{\kappa} + \ln \frac{g\tilde{g}}{f\tilde{f}} + d, \quad (25)$$

$$t = \tau, \quad (26)$$

and dependent variables transformation

$$u = \frac{1}{2i\kappa} \left( \ln \frac{\tilde{f}\tilde{g}}{f\tilde{g}} \right)_\tau. \quad (27)$$

Although the proof is given in Ref. [15], we outline the proof in Appendix B for the purpose to mimic the process in constructing the semi-discretization of the mCH equation.

Thus we are able to express the N-soliton solution of mCH equation (1) through the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** The modified Camassa-Holm equation (1) admits a solution

$$u = \frac{1}{2i\kappa} \left( \ln \frac{\tilde{f}\tilde{g}}{f\tilde{g}} \right)_\tau, \\ x = \frac{y}{\kappa} + \ln \frac{g\tilde{g}}{f\tilde{f}} + d, \quad t = \tau,$$

where  $f, \tilde{f}, g, \tilde{g}$  are the determinants given by (20) and  $\tau_{n,k}$  can be written either as a Gram-type determinant

$$\tau_{n,k} = \left| m_{ij}^{n,k} \right| = \left| i\delta_{ij} + \left( -\frac{p_i}{p_j} \right)^{-n} \left( -\frac{p_i + \frac{1}{2\kappa}}{p_j - \frac{1}{2\kappa}} \right)^{-k} e^{\xi_i + \bar{\xi}_j} \right|, \quad (28)$$

with

$$\xi_i = p_i y + \frac{\kappa^2}{2\kappa p_i - 1} \tau + \xi_{i0}, \quad \bar{\xi}_i = p_i y + \frac{\kappa^2}{2\kappa p_i + 1} \tau + \bar{\xi}_{i0},$$

or a Casorati-type determinant

$$\tau_{n,k} = \begin{vmatrix} f_n^{(1)}(k) & f_{n+1}^{(1)}(k) & \cdots & f_{n+N-1}^{(1)}(k) \\ f_n^{(2)}(k) & f_{n+1}^{(2)}(k) & \cdots & f_{n+N-1}^{(2)}(k) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ f_n^{(N)}(k) & f_{n+1}^{(N)}(k) & \cdots & f_{n+N-1}^{(N)}(k) \end{vmatrix}, \quad (29)$$

with

$$f_n^{(i)}(k) = p_i^n \left( p_i - \frac{1}{2\kappa} \right)^k e^{\xi_i} + i(-p_i)^n \left( -p_i - \frac{1}{2\kappa} \right)^k e^{\eta_i}, \quad (30)$$

$$\xi_i = p_i y + \frac{\kappa^2}{2\kappa p_i - 1} \tau + \xi_{i0}, \quad \eta_i = -p_i y - \frac{\kappa^2}{2\kappa p_i + 1} \tau + \eta_{i0}. \quad (31)$$

**Remark 2.1.** The Casorati determinant solution (29) is consistent with the solutions given in Ref. [15]. Same as many other soliton equations, the Gram-type determinant and the Casorati-type determinant solutions are basically equivalent to each other.

### 3 Integrable semi-discrete mCH equation

In this section, we intend to construct the integrable spatial-discretization of the mCH equation. To this end, we first derive semi-discrete analogues of a set of bilinear equations (21)–(24) in subsection 3.1. Then in subsection 3.2, we construct an integrable semi-discrete mCH equation.

#### 3.1 From discrete KP equation to the semi-discrete analogue of (10) and (11)

Firstly, we attempt to find semi-discrete analogues of (10) and (11). Amazingly, these semi-discrete equations can be obtained as an intermediate product in deriving bilinear equations of mCH equation (1). The result is outlined by the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** The discrete KP equation (2) generates the following bilinear equations

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{b} [\tau_{n,k+1}(l) \tau_{n+1,k}(l+1) - \tau_{n,k+1}(l+1) \tau_{n+1,k}(l)] \\ & - a [\tau_{n,k}(l+1) \tau_{n+1,k+1}(l) - \tau_{n+1,k}(l) \tau_{n,k+1}(l+1)] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{b} D_{x_{-1}} [\tau_{n,k+1}(l) \cdot \tau_{n+1,k}(l+1) - \tau_{n,k+1}(l+1) \cdot \tau_{n+1,k}(l)] \\ & = (a D_{x_{-1}} - 2) [\tau_{n+1,k+1}(l) \cdot \tau_{n,k}(l+1) - \tau_{n,k+1}(l+1) \cdot \tau_{n+1,k}(l)], \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

which admit the determinant solution of Gram-type

$$\tau_{n,k}(l) = \left| m_{ij}^{n,k} \right| = \left| c_{ij} + \left( -\frac{p_i}{q_j} \right)^{-n} \left( -\frac{p_i + a}{q_j - a} \right)^{-k} \left( \frac{1 - bp_i}{1 + bq_j} \right)^{-l} e^{\xi_i + \eta_j} \right|, \quad (34)$$

where

$$\xi_i = \frac{1}{p_i + a} x_{-1} + \xi_{i0}, \quad \eta_i = \frac{1}{q_i - a} x_{-1} + \eta_{i0}.$$

*Proof.* We apply the Miwa transformation to Eq. (13) by taking  $a_3 \rightarrow 0$  but leaving  $b$  finite, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum (a_3 - b^{-1} - a) a_3^M p_M \left( \frac{1}{2} \tilde{D}_- \right) \tau_n(k+1, l+1) \cdot \tau_{n+1}(k, l) \\ & + \sum (a - a_3) d^M p_M \left( -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{D}_- \right) \tau_n(k, l+1) \cdot \tau_{n+1}(k+1, l) \\ & + \sum b^{-1} a_3^M p_M \left( \frac{1}{2} \tilde{D}_- \right) \tau_n(k+1, l) \cdot \tau_{n+1}(k, l+1) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

by letting  $k_2 = l$ . At the order of  $b^0 a_3^0$ , we have

$$\left( -\frac{1}{b} - a \right) \tau_n(k+1, l+1) \tau_{n+1}(k, l) + a \tau_n(k, l+1) \tau_{n+1}(k+1, l) + \frac{1}{b} \tau_n(k+1, l) \tau_{n+1}(k, l+1) = 0,$$

which is actually Eq. (32). At the order of  $a_3^1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( 1 - (b^{-1} + a) p_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} \tilde{D}_- \right) \right) \tau_n(k+1, l+1) \cdot \tau_{n+1}(k, l) \\ & + \left( a p_1 \left( -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{D}_- \right) - 1 \right) \tau_n(k, l+1) \cdot \tau_{n+1}(k+1, l) + \frac{1}{b} p_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} \tilde{D}_- \right) \tau_n(k+1, l) \cdot \tau_{n+1}(k, l+1) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is nothing but Eq. (33).  $\square$

The following lemma gives the Casorati-type determinant solution of bilinear equations (32) and (33).

**Lemma 3.2.** Bilinear equations (32) and (33) admit the following determinant solutions

$$\tau_{n,k}(l) = \begin{vmatrix} f_{n,k}^{(1)}(l) & f_{n+1,k}^{(1)}(l) & \cdots & f_{n+N-1,k}^{(1)}(l) \\ f_{n,k}^{(2)}(l) & f_{n+1,k}^{(2)}(l) & \cdots & f_{n+N-1,k}^{(2)}(l) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ f_{n,k}^{(N)}(l) & f_{n+1,k}^{(N)}(l) & \cdots & f_{n+N-1,k}^{(N)}(l) \end{vmatrix}, \quad (35)$$

where

$$f_{n,k}^{(i)}(l) = c_i p_i^n (p_i - a)^k (1 - b p_i)^l e^{\xi_i} + d_i q_i^n (q_i - a)^k (1 - b q_i)^l e^{\eta_i}, \quad (36)$$

$$\xi_i = \frac{1}{p_i - a} x_{-1} + \xi_{i0}, \quad \eta_i = \frac{1}{q_i - a} x_{-1} + \eta_{i0}. \quad (37)$$

Here  $c_i, d_i, p_i, q_i, \xi_{i0}, \eta_{i0}$  are the arbitrary parameters.

The proof is presented in Appendix C. In order to realize the periodic-2 reduction in the discrete version, we introduce an auxiliary index  $m$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{b} [\tau_{n+1,k}(l, m) \tau_{n,k+1}(l+1, m) - \tau_{n,k+1}(l, m) \tau_{n+1,k}(l+1)] \\ & = a (\tau_{n+1,k}(l, m) \tau_{n,k+1}(l+1, m) - \tau_{n,k}(l+1, m) \tau_{n+1,k+1}(l, m)), \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{b} D_{x_{-1}} [\tau_{n+1,k}(l, m) \cdot \tau_{n,k+1}(l+1, m) - \tau_{n+1,k}(l+1, m) \cdot \tau_{n,k+1}(l, m)] \\ & = (a D_{x_{-1}} - 2) (\tau_{n+1,k+1}(l, m) \cdot \tau_{n,k}(l+1, m) - \tau_{n,k+1}(l+1, m) \cdot \tau_{n+1,k}(l, m)), \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

and a set of parallel bilinear equations with parameter constant  $c$  and a shift in  $m$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{c} [\tau_{n+1,k}(l, m) \tau_{n,k+1}(l, m+1) - \tau_{n,k+1}(l, m) \tau_{n+1,k}(l, m+1)] \\ & = a (\tau_{n+1,k}(l, m) \tau_{n,k+1}(l, m+1) - \tau_{n,k}(l, m+1) \tau_{n+1,k+1}(l, m)), \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{c} D_{x_{-1}} [\tau_{n+1,k}(l, m) \cdot \tau_{n,k+1}(l, m+1) - \tau_{n+1,k}(l, m+1) \cdot \tau_{n,k+1}(l, m)] \\ & = (a D_{x_{-1}} - 2) (\tau_{n+1,k+1}(l, m) \cdot \tau_{n,k}(l, m+1) - \tau_{n,k+1}(l, m+1) \cdot \tau_{n+1,k}(l, m)). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$



The solution to bilinear equations (38)–(41) can be expressed as the Casorati-type determinant

$$\tau_{n,k}(l, m) = \begin{vmatrix} f_{n,k}^{(1)}(l, m) & f_{n+1,k}^{(1)}(l, m) & \cdots & f_{n+N-1,k}^{(1)}(l, m) \\ f_{n,k}^{(2)}(l, m) & f_{n+1,k}^{(2)}(l, m) & \cdots & f_{n+N-1,k}^{(2)}(l, m) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ f_{n,k}^{(N)}(l, m) & f_{n+1,k}^{(N)}(l, m) & \cdots & f_{n+N-1,k}^{(N)}(l, m) \end{vmatrix}, \quad (42)$$

where

$$f_{n,k}^{(i)}(l, m) = c_i p_i^n (p_i - a)^k (1 - bp_i)^l (1 - cp_i)^m e^{\xi_i} + d_i q_i^n (q_i - a)^k (1 - bq_i)^l (1 - cq_i)^m e^{\eta_i}, \quad (43)$$

$$\xi_i = \frac{1}{p_i - a} x_{-1} + \xi_{i0}, \quad \eta_i = \frac{1}{q_i - a} x_{-1} + \eta_{i0}, \quad (44)$$

or Gram-type determinant

$$\tau_{n,k}(l) = \left| c_{ij} + \left( -\frac{p_i}{q_j} \right)^{-n} \left( -\frac{p_i + a}{q_j - a} \right)^{-k} \left( \frac{1 - bp_i}{1 + bq_j} \right)^{-l} \left( \frac{1 - cp_i}{1 + cq_j} \right)^{-m} e^{\xi_i + \eta_j} \right|, \quad (45)$$

where

$$\xi_i = \frac{1}{p_i - a} x_{-1} + \xi_{i0}, \quad \eta_i = \frac{1}{q_i + a} x_{-1} + \eta_{i0}. \quad (46)$$

To realize period-2 reduction in both the continuous and discrete cases simultaneously, we impose constraints

$$b = -c, \quad q_i = -p_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

for Casorati-type solution or

$$b = -c, \quad q_i = p_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

for Gram-type solution. Under these conditions, we have

$$\tau_{n,k}(l, m) \asymp \tau_{n+2,k}(l, m), \quad \tau_{n,k}(l, m) \asymp \bar{\tau}_{n+1,k}(l, m), \quad \tau_{n,k}(l+1, m+1) \asymp \tau_{n,k}(l, m). \quad (47)$$

Under this reduction, we can drop index  $m$  and define

$$f_l = \tau_{00l}, \quad \tilde{f}_l = \tau_{10l}, \quad g_l = \tau_{01l}, \quad \tilde{g}_l = \tau_{11l}. \quad (48)$$

Same as previous section,  $\tilde{f}_l$  and  $\tilde{g}_l$  can be set to be complex conjugate of  $f_l$  and  $g_l$ , respectively. Furthermore, let  $x_{-1} = \frac{\kappa}{2}\tau$ ,  $a = \frac{1}{2\kappa}$  and take  $n = 1, k = 0$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{b} (f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} - f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l) = \frac{1}{2\kappa} (f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} - g_l \tilde{f}_{l+1}), \quad (49)$$

$$-\frac{1}{b} (f_l \tilde{g}_{l-1} - f_{l-1} \tilde{g}_l) = \frac{1}{2\kappa} (f_l \tilde{g}_{l-1} - g_l \tilde{f}_{l-1}), \quad (50)$$

$$\frac{1}{b} D_\tau (f_l \cdot \tilde{g}_{l+1} - f_{l+1} \cdot \tilde{g}_l) = \left( \frac{1}{2\kappa} D_{x_{-1}} - \kappa \right) (g_l \cdot \tilde{f}_{l+1} - \tilde{g}_{l+1} \cdot f_l), \quad (51)$$

$$-\frac{1}{b} D_\tau (f_l \cdot \tilde{g}_{l-1} - f_{l-1} \cdot \tilde{g}_l) = \left( \frac{1}{2\kappa} D_\tau - \kappa \right) (g_l \cdot \tilde{f}_{l-1} - \tilde{g}_{l-1} \cdot f_l). \quad (52)$$

For  $n = 0, k = 0$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{b} (\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} - \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l) = \frac{1}{2\kappa} (\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} - \tilde{g}_l f_{l+1}), \quad (53)$$

$$-\frac{1}{b} (\tilde{f}_l g_{l-1} - \tilde{f}_{l-1} g_l) = \frac{1}{2\kappa} (\tilde{f}_l g_{l-1} - \tilde{g}_l f_{l-1}), \quad (54)$$

$$\frac{1}{b} D_\tau (\tilde{f}_l \cdot g_{l+1} - \tilde{f}_{l+1} \cdot g_l) = \left( \frac{1}{2\kappa} D_\tau - \kappa \right) (\tilde{g}_l \cdot f_{l+1} - g_{l+1} \cdot \tilde{f}_l), \quad (55)$$

$$-\frac{1}{b} D_\tau (\tilde{f}_l \cdot g_{l-1} - \tilde{f}_{l-1} \cdot g_l) = \left( \frac{1}{2\kappa} D_\tau - \kappa \right) (\tilde{g}_l \cdot f_{l-1} - g_{l-1} \cdot \tilde{f}_l). \quad (56)$$

**Remark 3.1.** The bilinear equations (49)–(56) are discrete analogues of the set of bilinear equations (21)–(24), which constitute a set of crucial bilinear equations for us to construct integrable semi-discretization of the mCH equation (1).

### 3.2 Integrable semi-discrete mCH equation

We propose an integrable semi-discrete mCH equation, the main result of the present paper, by the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** An integrable semi-discrete analogue of the mCH equation (1) is derived as

$$\frac{d\delta_l}{dt} = 2m_l(\delta u_l), \quad (57)$$

$$m_l = \frac{1}{2}(u_l + u_{l+1}) - \Gamma_l r_l \tilde{r}_l (\delta^2 u_l) - \Gamma_l r_l (\delta \tilde{r}_l) (\delta \tilde{u}_l), \quad (58)$$

from Eqs. (49)–(56), through a dependent variable transformation

$$u_l = \frac{1}{2i\kappa} \left( \ln \frac{\tilde{f}_l \tilde{g}_l}{f_l g_l} \right)_\tau, \quad (59)$$

and a discrete hodograph transformation

$$\delta_l = \frac{x_{l+1} - x_l}{b} = \frac{1}{r_l} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \cos \phi_l, \quad t = \tau. \quad (60)$$

Here  $\cos \phi_l$  is defined from

$$\frac{g_l \tilde{f}_{l+1} + g_{l+1} \tilde{f}_l}{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l} = e^{i\phi_l}, \quad \frac{\tilde{g}_l f_{l+1} + \tilde{g}_{l+1} f_l}{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} + \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l} = e^{-i\phi_l}, \quad (61)$$

and other variables are defined by

$$m_l = \kappa \tan \phi_l, \quad \Delta \left( \ln \frac{f_l}{\tilde{g}_l} \right) = \frac{2}{b} \frac{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} - f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l}{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l}, \quad (62)$$

$$\delta u_l = \frac{1}{2i\kappa} \left( \Delta \left( \ln \frac{\tilde{f}_l \tilde{g}_l}{f_l g_l} \right) \right)_\tau, \quad \delta^2 u_l = \frac{\delta u_{l+1} - \delta u_l}{b}, \quad (63)$$

$$\tilde{r}_l = \frac{\kappa}{\cos \frac{\phi_{l+1} + \phi_l}{2}}, \quad \delta \tilde{r}_l = \frac{2\kappa \tan \frac{\phi_{l+1} + \phi_l}{2} \tan \frac{\phi_{l+1} - \phi_l}{2}}{\cos \frac{\phi_{l+1} + \phi_l}{2} b}, \quad (64)$$

$$\tilde{\phi}_l = i \ln \frac{f_l \tilde{g}_l}{\tilde{f}_l g_l}, \quad \delta \tilde{u}_l = -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \left( \sin \frac{\phi_{l+1} + \phi_l}{2} \right)_\tau, \quad (65)$$

and

$$\Gamma_l = \frac{\left( 1 - \frac{b^2}{8\kappa^2} (1 - \cos \phi_l) \right) (\tilde{\phi}_{l+1} - \tilde{\phi}_l)_\tau}{(\phi_{l+1} - \phi_l)_\tau}. \quad (66)$$

Prior to the proof of the theorem, let us show that the proposed semi-discrete mCH equation (57)–(58) converges to the mCH equation (1) in the continuum limit  $b \rightarrow 0$ .

Recall that

$$u = \frac{1}{2i\kappa} \left( \ln \frac{\tilde{f}\tilde{g}}{fg} \right)_\tau, \quad x = \frac{y}{\kappa} + \ln \frac{g\tilde{g}}{ff}, \quad \phi = i \ln \frac{f\tilde{g}}{fg}.$$

It is obvious that as  $b \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$m_l \rightarrow m = \kappa \tan \phi, \quad \phi_l, \tilde{\phi}_l \rightarrow \phi, \quad r_l, \tilde{r}_l \rightarrow r = \frac{\kappa}{\cos \phi} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial y}, \quad \delta \tilde{r}_l \rightarrow r_y.$$

It follows that, as  $b \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\Gamma_l \rightarrow 1$ ,  $\tilde{g}_{l+1} \rightarrow \tilde{g}_l - b\tilde{g}_{l,y}$ ,  $f_{l+1} \rightarrow f_l - bf_{l,y}$ , and thus we obtain

$$\frac{2}{b} \frac{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} - f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l}{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l} \rightarrow \frac{2(f_{l,y} \tilde{g}_l - f_l \tilde{g}_{l,y})}{2f_l \tilde{g}_l - bf_l \tilde{g}_{l+1,y} - bf_{l,y} \tilde{g}_l} \rightarrow (\ln f / \tilde{g})_y.$$

Therefore

$$\delta u_l \rightarrow \frac{1}{2i\kappa} \left( \ln \frac{\tilde{f}\tilde{g}}{fg} \right)_{y\tau} \rightarrow u_y, \quad \delta \tilde{u}_l \rightarrow u_y, \quad \delta^2 u_l \rightarrow u_{yy}.$$

Consequently, Eq. (58) converges to

$$m = u - r^2 u_{yy} - r r_y u_y = u - u_{xx}, \quad (67)$$

while Eq. (57) converges to

$$\left( \frac{1}{r} \right)_\tau - 2m u_y = 0,$$

or

$$r_\tau + 2mr^2 u_y = 0, \quad (68)$$

where  $r = \sqrt{m^2 + \kappa^2}$ . On the other hand, Eq. (57) is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial y \partial \tau} = 2m u_y = 2(u - r(r u_y)_y) u_y = (u^2 - (r^2 u_y^2))_y,$$

or

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial \tau} = u^2 - u_x^2,$$

which implies

$$\partial_\tau = \partial_t + (u^2 - u_x^2) \partial_x.$$

As a result, Eq. (68) leads to

$$(\partial_t + (u^2 - u_x^2) \partial_x) r + r(u^2 - u_x^2)_x = 0,$$

which is exactly the mCH equation (1). In what follows, we give the detailed proof of the theorem.

*Proof.* Shifting  $l$  to  $l+1$ , Eqs. (50) and (54) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{b} (f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} - f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l) = \frac{1}{2\kappa} (f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l - g_{l+1} \tilde{f}_l), \quad (69)$$

$$\frac{1}{b} (\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} - \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l) = \frac{1}{2\kappa} (\tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l - \tilde{g}_{l+1} f_l). \quad (70)$$

Notice that we obtain a relation

$$f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} - g_l \tilde{f}_{l+1} = f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l - g_{l+1} \tilde{f}_l, \quad (71)$$

from Eqs. (49) and (69). By adding (49) to (69), and (53) to (70), we have

$$\frac{2}{b} \frac{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} - f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l}{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \left( 1 - \frac{g_l \tilde{f}_{l+1} + g_{l+1} \tilde{f}_l}{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l} \right), \quad (72)$$

$$\frac{2}{b} \frac{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} - \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l}{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} + \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \left( 1 - \frac{\tilde{g}_l f_{l+1} + \tilde{g}_{l+1} f_l}{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} + \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l} \right). \quad (73)$$

These two are discrete analogues of Eqs. (105) and (106). Adding and subtracting Eqs. (72) and (73) give

$$\frac{2}{b} \left( \frac{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} - f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l}{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l} + \frac{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} - \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l}{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} + \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l} \right) = \frac{1}{\kappa} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{g_l \tilde{f}_{l+1} + g_{l+1} \tilde{f}_l}{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l} + \frac{\tilde{g}_l f_{l+1} + \tilde{g}_{l+1} f_l}{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} + \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l} \right] \right), \quad (74)$$

$$\frac{2}{b} \left( \frac{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} - f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l}{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l} - \frac{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} - \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l}{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} + \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l} \right) = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \left( \frac{\tilde{g}_l f_{l+1} + \tilde{g}_{l+1} f_l}{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} + \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l} - \frac{g_l \tilde{f}_{l+1} + g_{l+1} \tilde{f}_l}{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l} \right), \quad (75)$$

which are discrete analogues of Eqs. (107) and (108), respectively. They can be further abbreviated as

$$\Delta \left( \ln f_l \tilde{f}_l / g_l \tilde{g}_l \right) = \frac{1}{\kappa} (1 - \cos \phi_l), \quad (76)$$

$$\Delta \left( \ln \tilde{f}_l \tilde{g}_l / f_l g_l \right) = \frac{1}{i\kappa} \sin \phi_l. \quad (77)$$

Referring to the definition of  $\delta u_l$  and differentiating (77) with respect to  $\tau$ , we have

$$\delta u_l = -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} (\sin \phi_l)_\tau = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\cos \phi_l}{\kappa \sin \phi_l} \left( \frac{\cos \phi_l}{\kappa} \right)_\tau = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{m_l} \left( \frac{1}{r_l} \right)_\tau,$$

or equivalently,

$$(r_l)_\tau + 2r_l^2 m_l (\delta u_l) = 0. \quad (78)$$

This is a discrete analogue of Eq. (122). Since  $\delta_l = r_l^{-1}$ , Eq. (78) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{d\delta_l}{d\tau} = 2m_l (\delta u_l), \quad (79)$$

which constitutes the first equation of the semi-discrete mCH equation. Now let us proceed to deducing the second equation of the semi-discrete mCH equation corresponding to Eq. (129). Adding Eqs. (51) and (52) leads to

$$\frac{2}{b} D_\tau (f_l \cdot \tilde{g}_{l+1} - f_{l+1} \cdot \tilde{g}_l) = \left( \frac{1}{2\kappa} D_\tau + \kappa \right) (f_l \cdot \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \cdot \tilde{g}_l - \tilde{f}_{l+1} \cdot g_l - \tilde{f}_l \cdot g_{l+1}).$$

By using the relation (109), one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{b} \left( (f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l) \left( \ln \frac{f_l \tilde{g}_l}{f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_{l+1}} \right)_\tau + (f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} - f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l) \left( \ln \frac{f_l f_{l+1}}{\tilde{g}_l \tilde{g}_{l+1}} \right)_\tau \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\kappa} \left( (f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} - f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l) \left( \ln \frac{f_l \tilde{g}_l}{f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_{l+1}} \right)_\tau + (f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l) \left( \ln \frac{f_l f_{l+1}}{\tilde{g}_l \tilde{g}_{l+1}} \right)_\tau \right) \\ &+ \left( \tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} - \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l \right) \left( \ln \frac{\tilde{f}_l g_l}{\tilde{f}_{l+1} g_{l+1}} \right)_\tau - \left( \tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} + \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l \right) \left( \ln \frac{\tilde{f}_l \tilde{f}_{l+1}}{g_l g_{l+1}} \right)_\tau \\ &+ \kappa \left( f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l - \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l - \tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides by  $f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{b} \left( \ln \frac{f_l \tilde{g}_l}{f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_{l+1}} \right)_\tau + \frac{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} - f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l}{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l} \left( \ln \frac{f_l f_{l+1}}{\tilde{g}_l \tilde{g}_{l+1}} \right)_\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{4\kappa} \left( \frac{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} - f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l}{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l} \left( \ln \frac{f_l \tilde{g}_l}{f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_{l+1}} \right)_\tau + \left( \ln \frac{f_l f_{l+1}}{\tilde{g}_l \tilde{g}_{l+1}} \right)_\tau \right) \\ &+ \frac{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} - \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l}{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l} \left( \ln \frac{\tilde{f}_l g_l}{\tilde{f}_{l+1} g_{l+1}} \right)_\tau - \frac{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} + \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l}{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l} \left( \ln \frac{\tilde{f}_l \tilde{f}_{l+1}}{g_l g_{l+1}} \right)_\tau \\ &+ \kappa \left( 1 - \frac{\tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l + \tilde{f}_l g_{l+1}}{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l} \right). \quad (80) \end{aligned}$$

By a substitution of Eqs. (72) and (73) into Eq. (80), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{b} \left( \ln \frac{f_l \tilde{g}_l}{f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_{l+1}} \right)_\tau &= \frac{1}{4\kappa} \left( \frac{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} - \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l}{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l} \left( \ln \frac{\tilde{f}_l \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l \tilde{g}_{l+1}}{f_l \tilde{f}_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l g_{l+1}} \right)_\tau - \frac{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} + \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l}{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l} \left( \ln \frac{\tilde{f}_l \tilde{f}_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l \tilde{g}_{l+1}}{f_l \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l g_{l+1}} \right)_\tau \right) \\ &+ \kappa \left( 1 - \frac{\tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l + \tilde{f}_l g_{l+1}}{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l} \right). \quad (81) \end{aligned}$$

This is a discrete analogue of Eq. (111). Taking the complex conjugate of (81), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{b} \left( \ln \frac{\tilde{f}_l g_l}{\tilde{f}_{l+1} g_{l+1}} \right)_\tau &= \frac{1}{4\kappa} \left( \frac{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} - f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l}{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} + \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l} \left( \ln \frac{f_l \tilde{f}_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l g_{l+1}}{\tilde{f}_l f_{l+1} g_l \tilde{g}_{l+1}} \right)_\tau - \frac{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l}{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} + \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l} \left( \ln \frac{f_l f_{l+1} g_l g_{l+1}}{\tilde{f}_l \tilde{f}_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l \tilde{g}_{l+1}} \right)_\tau \right) \\ &+ \kappa \left( 1 - \frac{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l}{\tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l + \tilde{f}_l g_{l+1}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

which is a discrete analogue of Eq. (112). Subtracting above two equations (81) and (82), one yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{b} \left( \ln \frac{f_l \tilde{g}_l \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_{l+1}}{\tilde{f}_l g_l f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_{l+1}} \right)_\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{4\kappa} \left( \frac{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} - \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l}{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} + \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l} + \frac{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} - f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l}{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} + \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l} \right) \left( \ln \frac{\tilde{f}_l f_{l+1} g_l \tilde{g}_{l+1}}{f_l \tilde{f}_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l g_{l+1}} \right)_\tau \\ &- \frac{1}{4\kappa} \left( \frac{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} + \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l}{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} + \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l} + \frac{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l}{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} + \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l} \right) \left( \ln \frac{\tilde{f}_l \tilde{f}_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l \tilde{g}_{l+1}}{f_l f_{l+1} g_l g_{l+1}} \right)_\tau \\ &+ \kappa \left( \frac{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l}{\tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l + \tilde{f}_l g_{l+1}} - \frac{\tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l + \tilde{f}_l g_{l+1}}{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \frac{1}{b} + A \right) \left( \ln \frac{f_l \tilde{g}_l \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_{l+1}}{\tilde{f}_l g_l f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_{l+1}} \right)_\tau \\ &+ \frac{1}{4\kappa} \left( \frac{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} + \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l}{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} + \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l} + \frac{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l}{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} + \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l} \right) \left( \ln \frac{\tilde{f}_l \tilde{f}_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l \tilde{g}_{l+1}}{f_l f_{l+1} g_l g_{l+1}} \right)_\tau \\ &- \kappa \left( \frac{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l}{\tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l + \tilde{f}_l g_{l+1}} - \frac{\tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l + \tilde{f}_l g_{l+1}}{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} + f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l} \right) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

where

$$A = \frac{1}{4\kappa} \left( \frac{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} - \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l}{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} + \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l} + \frac{f_l \tilde{g}_{l+1} - f_{l+1} \tilde{g}_l}{\tilde{f}_l g_{l+1} + \tilde{f}_{l+1} g_l} \right) = \frac{b}{8\kappa^2} (-1 + \cos \phi_l).$$

which approaches zero as  $b \rightarrow 0$ . Eq. (83) is a discrete analogue of Eq. (123).

Using the definition of  $\tilde{\phi}_l$ , Eq. (83) can be rewritten as

$$\left( \frac{1}{b} - \frac{b}{8\kappa^2} (1 - \cos \phi_l) \right) (\tilde{\phi}_{l+1} - \tilde{\phi}_l)_\tau + \cos \phi_l (u_l + u_{l+1}) - 2\kappa \sin \phi_l = 0. \quad (84)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} b\delta^2 u_l &= \frac{1}{2i\kappa} \left( \Delta \left( \ln \frac{\tilde{f}_{l+1} \tilde{g}_{l+1}}{f_{l+1} g_{l+1}} \right) - \Delta \left( \ln \frac{\tilde{f}_l \tilde{g}_l}{f_l g_l} \right) \right)_\tau \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} (\sin \phi_{l+1} - \sin \phi_l)_\tau = -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \left( 2 \cos \frac{\phi_{l+1} + \phi_l}{2} \sin \frac{\phi_{l+1} - \phi_l}{2} \right)_\tau \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \left( -\sin \frac{\phi_{l+1} + \phi_l}{2} \sin \frac{\phi_{l+1} - \phi_l}{2} (\phi_{l+1} + \phi_l)_\tau + \cos \frac{\phi_{l+1} + \phi_l}{2} \cos \frac{\phi_{l+1} - \phi_l}{2} (\phi_{l+1} - \phi_l)_\tau \right). \end{aligned}$$

Referring to the definition of  $\Gamma_l$ , which converges to 1 as  $b \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &-2\kappa^2 \delta^2 u_l + \sin \frac{\phi_{l+1} + \phi_l}{2} \sin \frac{\phi_{l+1} - \phi_l}{2} (\phi_{l+1} + \phi_l)_\tau \\ &= -\Gamma_l^{-1} \cos \frac{\phi_{l+1} + \phi_l}{2} \cos \frac{\phi_{l+1} - \phi_l}{2} (\cos \phi_l (u_l + u_{l+1}) - 2\kappa \sin \phi_l). \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

As a result, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
m_l &= \frac{1}{2}(u_l + u_{l+1}) - \Gamma_l \frac{\kappa^2 \delta^2 u_l}{\cos \phi_l \cos \frac{\phi_{l+1} + \phi_l}{2}} + \Gamma_l \tan \frac{\phi_{l+1} + \phi_l}{2} \tan \frac{\phi_{l+1} - \phi_l}{2} \frac{(\phi_{l+1} + \phi_l)\tau}{2b \cos \phi_l} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(u_l + u_{l+1}) - \Gamma_l r_l \tilde{r}_l (\delta^2 u_l) - \Gamma_l r_l (\delta \tilde{r}_l) (\delta \tilde{u}_l)
\end{aligned} \tag{86}$$

by using the definitions of  $m_l$ ,  $\tilde{r}_l$ ,  $\delta \tilde{r}_l$  and  $\delta \tilde{u}_l$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

### 3.3 One- and two-soliton solutions

#### 3.3.1 One soliton solutions

The  $\tau$ -functions for the one-soliton solution of the mCH equation (1) are

$$f \propto 1 + i e^{-\zeta}, \quad g \propto 1 + i \frac{1 + 2\kappa p}{1 - 2\kappa p} e^{-\zeta},$$

with

$$\zeta = 2p(y - \frac{2\kappa^3}{1 - 4\kappa^2 p^2} t) + \zeta_0,$$

where we set  $p = p_1$  for simplicity. Thus we can obtain the one-soliton solution in a parametric form

$$\begin{aligned}
u &= \frac{-8\kappa^2 p}{(2\kappa p + 1)(2\kappa p - 1)} \frac{(2\kappa p - 1)e^{3\zeta} - (2\kappa p + 1)e^\zeta}{(2\kappa p - 1)^2 e^{4\zeta} + (4\kappa^2 p^2 + 1)e^{2\zeta} + (2\kappa p + 1)^2} \\
&= \frac{-8\kappa^2 p}{(1 - 4\kappa^2 p^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{\cosh(\tilde{\zeta})}{\cosh(2\tilde{\zeta}) + \frac{1 + 4\kappa^2 p^2}{1 - 4\kappa^2 p^2}},
\end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

$$X = x - ct - x_0 = \frac{\tilde{\zeta}}{2\kappa p} + \ln \frac{1 - 2\kappa p \tanh(\tilde{\zeta})}{1 + 2\kappa p \tanh(\tilde{\zeta})}, \tag{88}$$

with

$$\tilde{\zeta} = \zeta + \varphi, \quad e^\varphi = \sqrt{\frac{1 - 2\kappa p}{1 + 2\kappa p}}, \quad c = \frac{2\kappa^2}{1 - 4\kappa^2 p^2},$$

where  $c$  stands for the velocity of the soliton and  $x_0 = y_0/\kappa$  has been chosen such that  $X = 0$  when  $\tilde{\zeta} = 0$ . One can see that the one-soliton solution above is equivalent to the solution in Ref. [15] by setting  $k = 2p$ .

For the semi-discrete mCH equation, the  $\tau$ -functions are

$$f_l \propto 1 + i \left( \frac{1 + bp}{1 - bp} \right)^l e^{-\theta}, \quad g_l \propto 1 - i \left( \frac{1 + bp}{1 - bp} \right)^l \frac{2\kappa p + 1}{2\kappa p - 1} e^{-\theta},$$

with  $\theta = -\frac{4\kappa^3 p}{1 - 4\kappa^2 p^2} \tau + \theta_0$ . By taking  $b = -0.1$ , Figure 1 depicts a one-soliton solution to the semi-discrete mCH equation while comparing with the one to the mCH equation with different values of  $p$ . When  $p = 0.3$ ,  $u$  is single-valued and owns one peak since  $X_y > 0$  as shown in Figure 1(a). Figure 1(b) illustrates the symmetric singular soliton in Ref. [15] which is three-valued with two spikes for  $p = 0.45$ , while  $u$  becomes antisymmetric for  $p = 0.6$  (see Figure 1(c)).




Fig. 1: Comparison between the one-soliton solution of the mCH equation and the semi-discrete mCH equation with  $\kappa = 1$  at  $t = 0$ ; solid line: mCH equation, dot: semi-discrete mCH equation. (a)  $p = 0.3$ , (b)  $p = 0.45$ , (c)  $p = 0.6$ .

### 3.3.2 Two soliton solutions

The  $\tau$ -functions for two soliton solutions of the mCH equation (1) are

$$f \propto 1 + i \frac{p_1 + p_2}{p_2 - p_1} e^{-\zeta_1} - i \frac{p_1 + p_2}{p_2 - p_1} e^{-\zeta_2} + e^{-\zeta_1 - \zeta_2}, \quad (89)$$

$$g \propto 1 + ia_1 \frac{p_1 + p_2}{p_2 - p_1} e^{-\zeta_1} - ia_2 \frac{p_1 + p_2}{p_2 - p_1} e^{-\zeta_2} + a_1 a_2 e^{-\zeta_1 - \zeta_2}, \quad (90)$$

with

$$\zeta_i = 2p_i \left( y - \frac{2\kappa^3}{1 - 4\kappa^2 p_i^2} t \right) + \zeta_{i0}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (91)$$

$$a_i = \frac{1 + 2\kappa p_i}{1 - 2\kappa p_i}, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (92)$$

For the semi-discrete mCH equation, the  $\tau$ -functions are

$$f_l \propto 1 + i \frac{p_1 + p_2}{p_2 - p_1} z_1^l e^{-\theta_1} - i \frac{p_1 + p_2}{p_2 - p_1} z_2^l e^{-\theta_2} + (z_1 z_2)^l e^{-\theta_1 - \theta_2}, \quad (93)$$

$$g_l \propto 1 + ia_1 \frac{p_1 + p_2}{p_2 - p_1} z_1^l e^{-\theta_1} - ia_2 \frac{p_1 + p_2}{p_2 - p_1} z_2^l e^{-\theta_2} + a_1 a_2 (z_1 z_2)^l e^{-\theta_1 - \theta_2}, \quad (94)$$

with  $z_i = \frac{1 + bp_i}{1 - bp_i}$ ,  $\theta_i = -\frac{4\kappa^3 p_i}{1 - 4\kappa^2 p_i^2} t + \theta_{i0}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . As pointed out in Ref. [15], if we set  $p_2 = p_1^*$ , two-soliton solution becomes a breather solution. Here \* means complex conjugate. Figure 2 displays such a breather solution with  $p_1 = 0.1 + 0.2i$  and  $b = -0.1$ . It can be found that the solution of the semi-discrete mCH equation agrees with that of the mCH equation very well.




Fig. 2: Comparison between the two-soliton solution of the mCH and the semi-discrete mCH equation for  $p_1 = 0.1 + 0.2i$ ,  $p_2 = 0.1 - 0.2i$  with  $\kappa = 1$ ; solid line: mCH equation, dot: semi-discrete mCH equation. (a)  $t = 0$ , (b)  $t = 10$ .

## 4 Conclusion

In this paper, starting from the discrete KP equation, we have constructed an integrable semi-discrete analogue of the modified Camassa-Holm equation with cubic nonlinearity and linear dispersion through Hirota's bilinear approach and a series of reductions. The  $N$ -soliton solution for the semi-discrete mCH equation is provided and approved in both the Gram-type and the Casorati-type determinant forms. It is confirmed again that the discrete KP equation is one of the fundamental equations for integrable systems following the work by Hirota, Ohta, Tsujimoto and Nimmo etc. However, several questions arise naturally. First, what is the Lax pair of this integrable semi-discrete mCH equation? It becomes possible for further investigation of this semi-discrete mCH equation such as the inverse scattering transform, the symmetry and the conservation law if the Lax pair is known. Actually, there is a general question related: how could we obtain the Lax pair for the reduced discrete integrable systems based on the Lax pair of discrete KP equation. Second, can we construct the connection between the discrete KP equation and some known integrable systems such as the two-component CH equation<sup>[45]</sup>, the complex short pulse equation<sup>[46]</sup>, the Fokas-Lennels equation<sup>[47, 48]</sup> and the massive Thirring model equation<sup>[49, 50]</sup>? Third:, once we know the these connections, can we construct the integrable discretizations of these soliton equations with import physical applications? The last question: can we construct other types of soliton solutions such as rogue wave solutions for both the discrete and continuous equations by using their connection to the discrete KP equation? We expect to have more subsequent papers in the near future by the authors and other researchers in answering above questions.

## Acknowledgement

GY's work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11871336). BF's work is partially supported by National Science Foundation (NSF) under Grant No. DMS-1715991 and U.S. Department of Defense (DoD), Air Force for Scientific Research (AFOSR) under grant No. W911NF2010276.

## References

- [1] Fokas AS. On a class of physically important integrable equations. *Physica D*. 1995;87:145-150.
- [2] Fuchssteiner B. Some tricks from the symmetry-toolbox for nonlinear equations: generalizations of the Camassa-Holm equation. *Physica D*. 1996;95:229-243.
- [3] Olver PJ, Rosenau P. Tri-Hamiltonian duality between solitons and solitary-wave solutions having compact support. *Phy Rev E*. 1996;53:1900-1906.



- [4] Qiao Z. A new integrable equation with cuspons and W/M-shape-peaks solitons. *J Math Phys.* 2006 ;47:112701.
- [5] Qiao Z, Li XQ. An integrable equation with nonsmooth solitons. *Theor Math Phys.* 2011;167:214-221.
- [6] Fu Y, Gui G, Liu Y, Qu C. On the Cauchy problem for the integrable modified Camassa-Holm equation with cubic nonlinearity. *J Differential Equations* 2013;255:1905-1938.
- [7] A. Alexandrou H, Mantzavinos D. The Cauchy problem for the Fokas-Olver-Rosenau-Qiao equation. *Nonlinear Anal.* 2014;95:499-529.
- [8] Luo Z, Qiao Z, Yin Z. On the Cauchy problem for a modified Camassa-Holm equation. *Monatsh Math.* 2020;193:857-877.
- [9] Boutet de Monvel A, Karpenko I, Shepelsky D. A Riemann-Hilbert approach to the modified Camassa-Holm equation with nonzero boundary conditions. *J Math Phys.* 2020;61:031504.
- [10] Xu J, Fan E. Long-time asymptotics behavior for the integrable modified Camassa-Holm equation with cubic nonlinearity. 2019;arXiv:1911.12554.
- [11] Kang, J, Liu, X, Olver, PJ, Qu, C. Liouville correspondence between the modified KdV hierarchy and its dual integrable hierarchy. *J Nonlinear Sci.* 2016;26:141-170.
- [12] Qu C, Fu Y, Liu Y. Well-posedness, wave breaking and peakons for a modified  $\mu$ -Camassa-Holm equation. *J Funct Anal.* 2014;266:433-477.
- [13] Tang H, Liu Z. Well-posedness of the modified Camassa-Holm equation in Besov spaces. *Z Angew Math Phys.* 2015;66:1559-1580.
- [14] Gao Y, Li L, Liu JG. A dispersive regularization for the modified Camassa-Holm equation. *SIAM J Math Anal.* 2018;50:2807-2838.
- [15] Matsuno Y. Smooth and singular multisoliton solutions of a modified Camassa-Holm equation with cubic nonlinearity and linear dispersion. *J Phys A.* 2014;47:125203.
- [16] Hu H, Yin W, Wu H. Bilinear equations and new multi-soliton solution for the modified Camassa-Holm equation. *Appl Math Lett.* 2016;59:18-23.
- [17] Xia B, Zhou R, Qiao Z. Darboux transformation and multi-soliton solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation and modified Camassa-Holm equation. *J Math Phys.* 2016;57:103502.
- [18] Hou Y, Fan E, Qiao Z. The algebro-geometric solutions for the Fokas-Olver-Rosenau-Qiao (FORQ) hierarchy. *J Geo Phys.* 2017;117:105-133.
- [19] Wang G, Liu QP, Mao H. Bäcklund transformation and nonlinear superposition formula. *J Phys A.* 2020;53:294003.
- [20] Chang XK, Szmigielski J. Lax integrability of the modified Camassa-Holm equation and the concept of peakons. *J Nonlinear Math Phys.* 2016;23:563-572.
- [21] Chang XK, Szmigielski J. Liouville integrability of conservative peakons for a modified CH equation. *J Nonlinear Math Phys.* 2017;24:584-595.
- [22] Chang XK, Szmigielski J. Lax integrability and the peakon problem for the modified Camassa-Holm equation. *Comm Math Phys.* 2018;358:295-341.
- [23] Gao Y, Li L, Liu, JG. Patched peakon weak solutions of the modified Camassa-Holm equation. *Physica D.* 2019;390:15-35.
- [24] Camassa R, Holm DD. An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons. *Phys Rev Lett.* 1993;71:1661-1664.

- [25] Gui G, Liu Y, Olver PJ, Qu C. Wave-breaking and peakons for a modified Camassa-Holm equation. *Commun Math Phys.* 2013;319:731-759.
- [26] Liu Y, Olver PJ, Qu C, Zhang S. On the blow-up of solutions to the integrable modified Camassa-Holm equation. *Anal Appl (Singap).* 2014;12:355-368.
- [27] Chen RM, Liu Y, Qu C, Zhang S. Oscillation-induced blow-up to the modified Camassa-Holm equation with linear dispersion. *Adv Math.* 2015;272:225-251.
- [28] Li J, Liu Y. Stability of Solitary Waves for the Modified Camassa-Holm Equation. *Ann PDE.* 2021;7:14.
- [29] Liu X, Liu Y, Qu C. Orbital stability of the train of peakons for an integrable modified Camassa-Holm equation. *Adv Math.* 2014;255:1-37.
- [30] Hietarinta J, Joshi N, Nihoff FW *Discrete Systems and Integrability*, (Cambridge University Press, 2016).
- [31] Hirota R. Discrete analogue of a generalized Toda equation *J Phys Soc Japan.* 1981;50:3785–3791.
- [32] Miwa T. On Hirota's difference equations. *Proc Japan Acad Ser A Math Sci.* 1982;58:9-12.
- [33] Shi Y, Nimmo J, Zhang DJ. Darboux and binary Darboux transformations for discrete integrable systems I. Discrete potential KdV equation. *J Phys A: Math Gen.* 2014;47:025205.
- [34] Shi Y, Nimmo J, Zhang JX. Darboux and binary Darboux transformations for discrete integrable systems I. Discrete potential mKdV equation. *SIGMA.* 2017;13:036.
- [35] Feng BF, Maruno K, Ohta Y. Integrable discretizations of the short pulse equation. *J Phys A.* 2010;43:085203.
- [36] Feng BF, Maruno K, Ohta Y. Integrable semi-discretization of a multi-component short pulse equation. *J Math Phys.* 2015;56:043502.
- [37] Yu GF, Xu ZW. Dynamics of a differential-difference integrable  $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional system. *Phys Rev E.* 2015;91:062902.
- [38] Ohta Y, Maruno K, Feng BF. An integrable semi-discretization of the Camassa-Holm equation and its determinant solution. *J Phys A: Math Theor.* 2008;41:355205.
- [39] Feng BF, Maruno K, Ohta Y. Integrable discretizations for the short-wave model of the Camassa-Holm equation. *J Phys A: Math Theor.* 2010;43:265202.
- [40] Feng BF, Maruno K, Ohta Y. Integrable semi-discrete Degasperis-Procesi equation. *Nonlinearity.* 2017;30:2246-2267.
- [41] Nijhoff FW, Capel HW, Wiersma GL, Quispel GRW. Bäcklund transformations and three-dimensional lattice equations. *Phys Lett A.* 1984;105:267-272.
- [42] Nijhoff FW, Quispel GRW, Capel HW. Direct linearization of nonlinear difference-difference equations. *Phys Lett A.* 1983;97:125-128.
- [43] Nimmo J. Darboux transformations and the discrete KP equation. *J Phys A: Math Gen.* 1997;30:8693-8704.
- [44] Ohta Y, Hirota R, Tsujimoto S, Imai T. Casorati and discrete Gram type determinant representations of solutions to the discrete KP hierarchy. *J Phys Soc Jpn.* 1993;62:1872-1886.
- [45] Chen M, Liu S, Zhang Y. A two-component generalization of the Camassa-Holm equation and its solutions. *Lett Math Phys.* 2006;75:1–15.
- [46] Feng BF. Complex short pulse and coupled complex short pulse equations. *Physica D.* 2015;297:62-75.

- [47] Lenells J, Fokas A. On a novel integrable generalization of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Nonlinearity*. 2009;22:11–27.
- [48] Lenells J. Exactly solvable model for nonlinear pulse propagation in optical fibers. *Stud Appl Math*. 2009;123:215–32.
- [49] Thirring WE. A Soluble Relativistic Field Theory. *Ann Phys*. 1958;3:91.
- [50] Mikhailov AV. Integrability of the two-dimensional Thirring model. *JETP Lett*. 1976;23:320–323.

## A Proof of Lemma 2.2

*Proof.* From the expression of  $f_n^{(i)}(k)$ , we note that

$$f_{n+1,k}^{(i)} = f_{n,k+1}^{(i)} + a f_{n,k}^{(i)}, \quad \partial_{x_1} f_{n,k}^{(i)} = f_{n+1,k}^{(i)}, \quad \partial_{x_{-1}} f_{n,k}^{(i)} = f_{n,k-1}^{(i)}. \quad (95)$$

For convenience, we introduce a notation

$$|0_k, 1_k, \dots, N-1_k| = \begin{vmatrix} f_n^{(1)}(k) & f_{n+1}^{(1)}(k) & \cdots & f_{n+N-1}^{(1)}(k) \\ f_n^{(2)}(k) & f_{n+1}^{(2)}(k) & \cdots & f_{n+N-1}^{(2)}(k) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ f_n^{(N)}(k) & f_{n+1}^{(N)}(k) & \cdots & f_{n+N-1}^{(N)}(k) \end{vmatrix}. \quad (96)$$

We can verify the following relations

$$\tau_{n+1,k} = |1_k, 2_k, \dots, N_k| = |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-1_{k+1}|, \quad (97)$$

$$\tau_{n,k} = |0_k, 0_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}|, \quad (98)$$

$$\partial_{x_1} \tau_{n,k+1} = |0_{k+1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}, N_{k+1}| \quad (99)$$

$$\partial_{x_1} \tau_{n+1,k} = a |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-1_{k+1}| + |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}, N_{k+1}| \quad (100)$$

It is noticed that

$$-\tau_{n,k+1} \partial_{x_1} \tau_{n+1,k} + a \tau_{n,k+1} \tau_{n+1,k} = -|0_{k+1}, \dots, N-1_{k+1}| |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}, N_{k+1}| \quad (101)$$

$$a \tau_{n+1,k+1} \tau_{n,k} = |1_{k+1}, \dots, N_{k+1}| |1_k, 0_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}| \quad (102)$$

Therefore, the Plücker relation for determinant

$$\begin{aligned} & |0_{k+1}, \dots, N-1_{k+1}| |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}, N_{k+1}| \\ & - |0_{k+1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}, N_{k+1}| |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-1_{k+1}| \\ & + |1_{k+1}, \dots, N_{k+1}| |1_k, 0_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}| = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (103)$$

gives

$$\partial_{x_1} \tau_{n,k+1} \tau_{n+1,k} - \tau_{n,k+1} \partial_{x_1} \tau_{n+1,k} + a \tau_{n,k+1} \tau_{n+1,k} = a \tau_{n+1,k+1} \tau_{n,k} \quad (104)$$

which is the bilinear equation (10).

Next, we prove the bilinear equation (11) by rewriting the l.h.s. into two parts

$$\begin{aligned} D_{x_{-1}}(D_{x_1} + a) \tau_{n,k+1} \cdot \tau_{n+1,k} &= D_{x_{-1}}(\partial_{x_1} \tau_{n,k+1} \cdot \tau_{n+1,k} - \tau_{n,k+1} \cdot \partial_{x_1} \tau_{n+1,k} + a \tau_{n,k+1} \cdot \tau_{n+1,k}) \\ &= D_{x_{-1}}(\partial_{x_1} \tau_{n,k+1} \cdot \tau_{n+1,k}) + D_{x_{-1}}(-\tau_{n,k+1} \cdot \partial_{x_1} \tau_{n+1,k} + a \tau_{n,k+1} \cdot \tau_{n+1,k}) \\ &= I_1 + I_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= D_{x_{-1}}(\partial_{x_1} \tau_{n,k+1} \cdot \tau_{n+1,k}) \\ &= D_{x_{-1}}(|0_{k+1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}, N_{k+1}| \cdot |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-1_{k+1}|) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= D_{x_{-1}}(-\tau_{n,k+1} \cdot \partial_{x_1} \tau_{n+1,k} + a\tau_{n,k+1} \cdot \tau_{n+1,k}) \\
&= D_{x_{-1}}(-|0_{k+1}, \dots, N-1_{k+1}| \cdot |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}, N_{k+1}|).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{x_{-1}}|0_{k+1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}, N_{k+1}| &= \sum_{i=0, i \neq N-1}^N |0_{k+1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, i_k, \dots, N-2_{k+1}, N_{k+1}|, \\
\partial_{x_{-1}}|1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-1_{k+1}| &= |1_{k-1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-1_{k+1}|, \\
\partial_{x_{-1}}|0_{k+1}, \dots, N-1_{k+1}| &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} |0_{k+1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, i_k, \dots, N-1_{k+1}|, \\
\partial_{x_{-1}}|1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}, N_{k+1}| &= |1_{k-1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}, N_{k+1}| + |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}, N_k|, \\
\partial_{x_{-1}}|1_{k+1}, \dots, N_{k+1}| &= \sum_{i=1}^N |1_{k+1}, \dots, i_k, \dots, N_{k+1}|, \\
\partial_{x_{-1}}|0_k, 0_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}| &= |0_{k-1}, 0_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}|,
\end{aligned}$$

we can verify the following Plücker relations for determinants

$$\begin{aligned}
&|0_{k+1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, i_k, \dots, N-2_{k+1}, N_{k+1}| |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-1_{k+1}| \\
&- |0_{k+1}, \dots, i_k, \dots, N-1_{k+1}| |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}, N_{k+1}| \\
&= -a^{i-1} |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, 0_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}, N_{k+1}| |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, i_{k+1}, \dots, N-1_{k+1}| \\
&+ a^{i-1} |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, 0_{k+1}, \dots, N-1_{k+1}| |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, i_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}, N_{k+1}| \\
&= a |1_{k+1}, \dots, i_k, \dots, N_{k+1}| |0_k, 0_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}|, i = 1, \dots, N-2 \\
&- |0_{k+1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}, N_{k+1}| |1_{k-1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-1_{k+1}| \\
&+ |0_{k+1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}, N-1_{k+1}| |1_{k-1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}, N_{k+1}| \\
&= -|1_{k+1}, \dots, N_{k+1}| |1_{k-1}, 0_{k+1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}| \\
&= -a\tau_{n+1,k+1} \partial_{x_{-1}} \tau_{n,k} - \tau_{n+1,k+1} \tau_{n,k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we just need to verify

$$\begin{aligned}
&(|0_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}, N_{k+1}| + |0_{k+1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}, N_k|) |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-1_{k+1}| \\
&- (|0_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-1_{k+1}| + |0_{k+1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-1_k|) |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}, N_{k+1}| \\
&+ |0_{k+1}, \dots, N-1_{k+1}| |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}, N_k| \\
&- 2|1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-1_{k+1}| |0_{k+1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-1_{k+1}| \\
&= a(|1_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}, N-1_k, N_{k+1}| + |1_{k+1}, \dots, N-1_{k+1}, N_k|) |0_k, 0_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}| \\
&- |1_{k+1}, \dots, N_{k+1}| |0_k, 0_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}|.
\end{aligned}$$

which can be transformed into

$$\begin{aligned}
&a|1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-1_{k+1}| |0_{k+1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}, N-1_k| \\
&- |0_{k+1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-1_k| |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}, N_{k+1}| \\
&= a(|1_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}, N-1_k, N_{k+1}| + |1_{k+1}, \dots, N-1_{k+1}, N_k|) |0_k, 0_{k+1}, \dots, N-2_{k+1}|
\end{aligned}$$

by using

$$\begin{aligned}
& |0_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 2_{k+1}, N_{k+1}| |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 1_{k+1}| \\
& - |0_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 1_{k+1}| |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 2_{k+1}, N_{k+1}| \\
& = -|1_{k+1}, \dots, N_{k+1}| |0_k, 0_{k+1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 2_{k+1}|, \\
& |0_{k+1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 2_{k+1}, N_k| |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 1_{k+1}| \\
& - |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 1_{k+1}| |0_{k+1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 1_{k+1}| \\
& = a|1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 1_{k+1}| |0_{k+1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 2_{k+1}, N - 1_k| \\
& |0_{k+1}, \dots, N - 1_{k+1}| |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 2_{k+1}, N_k| \\
& - |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 1_{k+1}| |0_{k+1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 1_{k+1}| \\
& = a|1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 2_{k+1}, N - 1_k| |0_{k+1}, \dots, N - 1_{k+1}| = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 1_{k+1}| |0_{k+1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 2_{k+1}, N - 1_k| \\
& = |0_{k+1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 1_{k+1}| |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 2_{k+1}, N - 1_k| \\
& + |1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 1_{k+1}, N - 1_k| |1_k, 0_{k+1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 2_{k+1}| \\
& = |1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 1_{k+1}, N_k| |0_k, 0_{k+1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 2_{k+1}| \\
& - |0_{k+1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 1_k| |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 2_{k+1}, N_{k+1}| \\
& = -|0_{k+1}, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 2_{k+1}, N_{k+1}| |1_k, 1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 1_k| \\
& + |1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 1_k, N_{k+1}| |1_k, 0_{k+1}, \dots, N - 2_{k+1}| \\
& = a|1_{k+1}, \dots, N - 1_k, N_{k+1}| |0_k, 0_{k+1}, \dots, N - 2_{k+1}|,
\end{aligned}$$

Eq. (11) is verified.  $\square$

## B Proof of Lemma 2.3

*Proof.* Firstly, the bilinear equations (21)–(24) can be recast into

$$(\ln \frac{f}{\tilde{g}})_y = \frac{1}{2\kappa} (1 - \frac{\tilde{f}g}{f\tilde{g}}), \quad (105)$$

$$(\ln \frac{\tilde{f}}{g})_y = \frac{1}{2\kappa} (1 - \frac{f\tilde{g}}{f\tilde{g}}), \quad (106)$$

$$(\ln f\tilde{g})_{\tau y} + (\ln \frac{f}{\tilde{g}})_{\tau} (\ln \frac{f}{\tilde{g}})_y - \frac{1}{2\kappa} (\ln \frac{f}{\tilde{g}})_{\tau} + \frac{1}{2\kappa} \frac{\tilde{f}g}{f\tilde{g}} (\ln \frac{\tilde{f}}{g})_{\tau} - \kappa (1 - \frac{\tilde{f}g}{f\tilde{g}}) = 0, \quad (107)$$

$$(\ln \tilde{f}g)_{\tau y} + (\ln \frac{\tilde{f}}{g})_{\tau} (\ln \frac{\tilde{f}}{g})_y - \frac{1}{2\kappa} (\ln \frac{\tilde{f}}{g})_{\tau} + \frac{1}{2\kappa} \frac{f\tilde{g}}{f\tilde{g}} (\ln \frac{f}{\tilde{g}})_{\tau} - \kappa (1 - \frac{f\tilde{g}}{f\tilde{g}}) = 0, \quad (108)$$

by using

$$\frac{D_y f \cdot \tilde{g}}{f\tilde{g}} = (\ln \frac{f}{\tilde{g}})_y, \quad (109)$$

$$\frac{D_{\tau} D_y f \cdot \tilde{g}}{f\tilde{g}} = (\ln f\tilde{g})_{\tau y} + (\ln \frac{f}{\tilde{g}})_{\tau} (\ln \frac{f}{\tilde{g}})_y. \quad (110)$$

Substituting (105) and (106) into (107) and (108), one obtains

$$(\ln f\tilde{g})_{\tau y} + \frac{1}{2\kappa} \frac{\tilde{f}g}{f\tilde{g}} (\ln \frac{\tilde{f}g}{fg})_{\tau} - \kappa(1 - \frac{\tilde{f}g}{f\tilde{g}}) = 0, \quad (111)$$

$$(\ln \tilde{f}g)_{\tau y} + \frac{1}{2\kappa} \frac{f\tilde{g}}{\tilde{f}g} (\ln \frac{fg}{\tilde{f}\tilde{g}})_{\tau} - \kappa(1 - \frac{f\tilde{g}}{\tilde{f}g}) = 0. \quad (112)$$

From (105) and (106), we have

$$(\ln \frac{f\tilde{f}}{g\tilde{g}})_y = \frac{1}{2\kappa} (2 - \frac{\tilde{f}g}{f\tilde{g}} - \frac{f\tilde{g}}{\tilde{f}g}), \quad (113)$$

$$(\ln \frac{fg}{\tilde{f}\tilde{g}})_y = \frac{1}{2\kappa} (\frac{f\tilde{g}}{\tilde{f}g} - \frac{\tilde{f}g}{fg}). \quad (114)$$

If we define a new variable by

$$\phi = i \ln \frac{f\tilde{g}}{\tilde{f}g}, \quad (115)$$

and further define

$$m = \kappa \tan \phi, \quad r = \sqrt{m^2 + \kappa^2} = \frac{\kappa}{\cos \phi},$$

then Eqs. (113) and (114) become

$$(\ln \frac{f\tilde{f}}{g\tilde{g}})_y = \frac{1}{\kappa} (1 - \cos \phi), \quad (116)$$

$$(\ln \frac{\tilde{f}\tilde{g}}{f\tilde{g}})_y = \frac{1}{i\kappa} \sin \phi. \quad (117)$$

Meanwhile, we have

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{\kappa} + (\ln \frac{g\tilde{g}}{f\tilde{f}})_y = \frac{1}{\kappa} \cos \phi = \frac{1}{r}. \quad (118)$$

Differentiating Eq. (117) with respect to  $\tau$  leads to

$$u_y = \frac{1}{2i\kappa} (\ln \frac{\tilde{f}\tilde{g}}{f\tilde{g}})_{\tau y} = -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} (\sin \phi)_{\tau}, \quad (119)$$

which, in turn, to be

$$u_y = -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \phi_{\tau} \cos \phi. \quad (120)$$

By the definition of  $r$ , we know that

$$-\frac{r_{\tau}}{r^2} = -\frac{1}{\kappa} \phi_{\tau} \sin \phi.$$

In other words,

$$\phi_{\tau} = \frac{\kappa r_{\tau}}{r^2 \sin \phi}. \quad (121)$$

Substituting (121) into (120), one obtains

$$r_{\tau} + 2r^2 m u_y = 0 \quad (122)$$

by the definition of  $m$ . On the other hand, Using (107) and (108), we have

$$\left(\ln \frac{f\tilde{g}}{\tilde{f}g}\right)_{\tau y} + \frac{1}{2\kappa} \left(\frac{\tilde{f}g}{f\tilde{g}} + \frac{f\tilde{g}}{\tilde{f}g}\right) \left(\ln \frac{\tilde{f}\tilde{g}}{f\tilde{g}}\right)_{\tau} - \kappa \left(\frac{f\tilde{g}}{\tilde{f}g} - \frac{\tilde{f}g}{f\tilde{g}}\right) = 0. \quad (123)$$

From (27), (115) and (123), we obtain

$$\phi_{\tau y} + 2u \cos \phi - 2\kappa \sin \phi = 0. \quad (124)$$

Then by using (119) and (124), we obtain

$$-2u \cos^2 \phi + 2\kappa \sin \phi \cos \phi = -2\kappa^2 u_{yy} - 2\kappa^2 u_y \phi_y \tan \phi, \quad (125)$$

which is equivalent to

$$u = \kappa \tan \phi + \frac{\kappa^2}{\cos^2 \phi} u_{yy} + \frac{\kappa}{\cos \phi} \kappa \phi_y u_y \sec \phi \tan \phi \quad (126)$$

$$= m + r^2 u_{yy} + r r_y u_y. \quad (127)$$

Note that

$$u_{xx} = r(r u_y)_y = r^2 u_{yy} + r r_y u_y, \quad (128)$$

so the variable  $m$  is expressed as

$$m = u - u_{xx}. \quad (129)$$

Taking use of the expression (129), we show that

$$x_{\tau y} = \left(\frac{1}{r}\right)_{\tau} = -\frac{\sin \phi}{\kappa} \phi_{\tau} = 2\kappa u_y \tan \phi = 2m u_y = 2(u - u_{xx}) u_y = 2(u - (r u_y)_y) u_y = (u^2 - (r u_y)^2)_y,$$

which implies

$$x_{\tau} = u^2 - r^2 u_y^2 = u^2 - u_x^2. \quad (130)$$

It is consistent with the hodograph transformation (25). So the mCH equation (1) can be recast into Eqs. (122) and (129).  $\square$

## C Proof of Lemma 3.2

*Proof.* Firstly we note that

$$f_{n+1,k}^{(i)}(l) = f_{n,k+1}^{(i)}(l) + a f_{n,k}^{(i)}(l), \quad f_{n,k}^{(i)}(l+1) = f_{n,k}^{(i)}(l) - b f_{n+1,k}^{(i)}(l), \quad \partial_{x-1} f_{n,k}^{(i)}(l) = f_{n,k-1}^{(i)}(l) \quad (131)$$

from the expression of  $f_{n,k}^{(i)}(l)$ . We can obtain the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{n,k+1}(l) &= |0_{k+1}(l), \dots, N - 1_{k+1}(l)| = |0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N - 2_{k+1}(l+1), N - 1_{k+1}(l)| \\ \tau_{n+1,k}(l+1) &= |1_k(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N - 1_{k+1}(l+1)| \\ \tau_{n+1,k}(l) &= |1_k(l), 1_{k+1}(l), \dots, N - 1_{k+1}(l)| \\ &= |1_k(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N - 2_{k+1}(l+1), N - 1_{k+1}(l)| + ab \tau_{n+1,k}(l) \\ \tau_{n,k+1}(l+1) &= |0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N - 1_{k+1}(l+1)| \\ \tau_{n,k}(l+1) &= |0_k(l+1), 0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N - 2_{k+1}(l+1)| \\ \tau_{n+1,k+1}(l) &= |1_{k+1}(l), \dots, N_{k+1}(l)| = |1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N - 1_{k+1}(l+1), N_{k+1}(l)| \end{aligned}$$

By Plücker relation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{b}(\tau_{n,k+1}(l)\tau_{n+1,k}(l+1) - \tau_{n,k+1}(l+1)\tau_{n+1,k}(l)) \\
&= \frac{1}{b}|0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_{k+1}(l)| |1_k(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1)| \\
&- \frac{1}{b}|1_k(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_{k+1}(l)| |0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1)| - a\tau_{n+1,k}(l)\tau_{n,k+1}(l+1) \\
&= \frac{1}{b}|1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_{k+1}(l)| |1_k(l+1), 0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1)| - a\tau_{n+1,k}(l)\tau_{n,k+1}(l+1) \\
&= a[\tau_{n,k}(l+1)\tau_{n+1,k+1}(l) - \tau_{n+1,k}(l)\tau_{n,k+1}(l+1)],
\end{aligned}$$

which is the semi-discrete bilinear equation (32).

Now we proceed to the proof of equation (33). Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& D_{x_{-1}}\left(\frac{1}{b}(\tau_{n,k+1}(l) \cdot \tau_{n+1,k}(l+1) - \tau_{n,k+1}(l+1) \cdot \tau_{n+1,k}(l)) + a\tau_{n,k+1}(l+1) \cdot \tau_{n+1,k}(l)\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{b}D_{x_{-1}}\tau_{n,k+1}(l) \cdot \tau_{n+1,k}(l+1) - D_{x_{-1}}\left(-\frac{1}{b}\tau_{n,k+1}(l+1) \cdot \tau_{n+1,k}(l) + a\tau_{n,k+1}(l+1) \cdot \tau_{n+1,k}(l)\right) \\
&= I_3 - I_4,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &= \frac{1}{b}D_{x_{-1}}\tau_{n,k+1}(l) \cdot \tau_{n+1,k}(l+1) \\
&= \frac{1}{b}D_{x_{-1}}|0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_{k+1}(l)| \cdot |1_k(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1)| \\
I_4 &= D_{x_{-1}}\left(-\frac{1}{b}\tau_{n,k+1}(l+1) \cdot \tau_{n+1,k}(l) + a\tau_{n,k+1}(l+1) \cdot \tau_{n+1,k}(l)\right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{b}D_{x_{-1}}|0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1)| \cdot |1_k(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_{k+1}(l)|
\end{aligned}$$

One can verify that

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{x_{-1}}|0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_{k+1}(l)| &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-2} |0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, i_k(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_{k+1}(l)| \\
&\quad + |0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_k(l)| \\
\partial_{x_{-1}}|1_k(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1)| &= |1_{k-1}(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1)| \\
\partial_{x_{-1}}|0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1)| &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} |0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, i_k(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1)| \\
\partial_{x_{-1}}|1_k(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l)| &= |1_{k-1}(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_{k+1}(l)| \\
&\quad + |1_k(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_k(l)| \\
\partial_{x_{-1}}|1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1), N_{k+1}(l)| &= \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} |1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, i_k(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1), N_{k+1}(l)| \\
&\quad + |1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1), N_k(l)| \\
\partial_{x_{-1}}|0_k(l+1), 0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1)| &= |0_{k-1}(l+1), 0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1)|.
\end{aligned}$$



From the following Plücker relations for determinants

$$\begin{aligned}
& |0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, i_k(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_{k+1}(l)| |1_k(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1)| \\
& - |0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, i_k(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1)| |1_k(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_{k+1}(l)| \\
= & ab |1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, i_k(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1), N_{k+1}(l)| |0_k(l+1), 0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1)|, i = 1, \dots, N-2 \\
& - |0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_{k+1}(l)| |1_{k-1}(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1)| \\
& + |0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1)| |1_{k-1}(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_{k+1}(l)| \\
= & - |1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_{k+1}(l)| |1_{k-1}(l+1), 0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1)| \\
= & -ab |1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1), N_{k+1}(l)| |0_{k-1}(l+1), 0_{k+1}(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1)| \\
& - b |1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1), N_{k+1}(l)| |0_k(l+1), 0_{k+1}(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1)|, \\
& |0_k(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_{k+1}(l)| |1_k(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1)| \\
& - |0_k(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1)| |1_k(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_{k+1}(l)| \\
= & - |1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_{k+1}(l)| |0_k(l+1), 1_k(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1)| \\
= & -b |1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1), N_{k+1}(l)| |0_k(l+1), 0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1)|
\end{aligned}$$

we just need to verify

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{b} |0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_k(l)| |1_k(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1)| \\
& - \frac{1}{b} |0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_k(l+1)| |1_k(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_{k+1}(l)| \\
& + \frac{1}{b} |0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1)| |1_k(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_k(l)| \\
& - 2 |0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1)| |1_k(l), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_{k+1}(l)| \\
= & a |1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_k(l+1), N_{k+1}(l)| |0_k(l+1), 0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1)| \\
& + a |1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1), N_k(l)| |0_k(l+1), 0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{1}{b} |0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_k(l+1)| |1_k(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_{k+1}(l)| \\
= & - \frac{1}{b} |0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_{k+1}(l)| |1_k(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_k(l+1)| \\
& + \frac{1}{b} |1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_k(l+1), N-1_{k+1}(l)| |1_k(l+1), 0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1)| \\
= & a |1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_k(l+1), N_{k+1}(l)| |0_k(l+1), 0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1)| \\
& + \frac{a}{b} |1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_k(l+1), N-1_{k+1}(l+1)| |0_k(l+1), 0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1)| \\
& \frac{1}{b} |0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_k(l)| |1_k(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1)| \\
& - \frac{1}{b} |0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1)| |1_k(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_k(l)| \\
= & \frac{a}{b} |1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_k(l)| |0_k(l+1), 0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1)| \\
= & a |1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1), N_k(l)| |0_k(l+1), 0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1)| \\
& + \frac{a}{b} |1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_k(l+1)| |0_k(l+1), 0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1)|,
\end{aligned}$$

the equation to be verified can be transformed into

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{b} |0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1)| |1_k(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_k(l)| \\ & - 2 |0_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-1_{k+1}(l+1)| |1_k(l), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_{k+1}(l)| \\ & = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{b} |1_k(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_k(l)| - |1_k(l), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_{k+1}(l)| = 0.$$

On the other hand, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{b} |1_k(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_k(l)| \\ & = \frac{1}{b^2} |1_k(l+1), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-2_k(l)| \\ & = \dots \\ & = \left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^{N-2} |1_k(l), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), 2_k(l)|, \\ & \\ & |1_k(l), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-1_{k+1}(l)| \\ & = \frac{1}{b} |1_k(l), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), N-2_{k+1}(l)| \\ & = \dots \\ & = \left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^{N-2} |1_k(l), 1_{k+1}(l+1), \dots, N-2_{k+1}(l+1), 2_k(l)|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proven that the Casorati determinant solution satisfies the bilinear equations (32) and (33).  $\square$