

Characterizations of complex Finsler Metrics

Hongjun Li¹, Hongchuan Xia²

¹*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Henan University, Kaifeng 475004, China;*

²*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xinyang Normal University, Xinyang 464000, China.*

Email: lihj@vip.henu.edu.cn, xhc@xynu.edu.cn

Abstract Munteanu [14] defined the canonical connection associated to a strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler manifold (M, F) . We first prove that the holomorphic sectional curvature tensors of the canonical connection coincide with those of the Chern-Finsler connection associated to F if and only if F is a Kähler-Finsler metric. We also investigate the relationship of the Ricci curvatures (resp. scalar curvatures) of these two connections when M is compact. As an application, two characterizations of balanced complex Finsler metrics are given. Next, we obtain a sufficient and necessary condition for a balanced complex Finsler metric to be Kähler-Finsler. Finally, we investigate conformal transformations of a balanced complex Finsler metric.

Key words Chern-Finsler connection; canonical connection; holomorphic sectional curvature tensor; balanced complex Finsler metric; Rund Kähler-Finsler-like metric

Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C56, 53C60, 32Q99

1 Introduction

Complex Finsler manifolds are complex manifolds endowed with complex Finsler metrics, which are more general than Hermitian manifolds. Recently, complex Finsler geometry has attracted much interest because a number of complex Finsler metrics play an important role in geometric function theory of holomorphic mappings [1].

In complex Finsler geometry, the Chern-Finsler connection associated to a strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler metric enjoys some beautiful features as the Hermitian (aka Chern) connection associated to a Hermitian metric. According to the vanishing of some parts of the torsion of the Chern-Finsler connection, there are three kinds of metrics in complex Finsler geometry, which are the extension of Kähler metrics, and respectively, called the strongly Kähler-

Finsler, Kähler-Finsler and weakly Kähler-Finsler [1]. In fact, Kähler-Finsler metrics are actually strongly Kähler [6]. Hence, there are only two kinds of Kähler-Finsler metrics with respect to the Chern-Finsler connection in complex Finsler geometry.

Let F be a strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler metric on a complex manifold M , and D be the Chern-Finsler connection associated to F . The Chern-Finsler connection D can induce a canonical connection ∇ [14]. Let $R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}}$ and $\Omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta};\mu\bar{\nu}}$ denote the holomorphic sectional curvature tensors of the canonical connection ∇ and the Chern-Finsler connection D , respectively, where lowercase Greek indices run from 1 to $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$. When F is a Kähler-Finsler metric, one has $R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}} = \Omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta};\mu\bar{\nu}}$. A naive question is, when a strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler metric F satisfies $R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}} = \Omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta};\mu\bar{\nu}}$, whether it is Kähler-Finsler. In this paper, we give a positive answer to this question. Denote by $K_{\nabla}(H)$ and $K_D(H)$ (resp. $K_{\nabla}(v)$ and $K_D(v)$) the holomorphic flag curvatures (resp. the holomorphic sectional curvatures) of the canonical connection and the Chern-Finsler connection along a horizontal vector $H \in \mathcal{H}$ (resp. a holomorphic vector v), respectively. We have the following result:

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, F) be a strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler manifold. Then*

- (1) $R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}} = \Omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta};\mu\bar{\nu}}$, if and only if $K_{\nabla}(H) = K_D(H)$, if and only if F is a Kähler-Finsler metric;
- (2) $K_{\nabla}(v) = K_D(v)$, if and only if F is a weakly Kähler-Finsler metric.

Let $z = (z^1, \dots, z^n)$ be a local holomorphic coordinate system on M , and $G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ be the fundamental tensor of (M, F) . Set $(G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha}) = (G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})^{-1}$. We denote by

$$\mathbf{Ric}_{\nabla} = \sqrt{-1} R_{\mu\bar{\nu}} dz^{\mu} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\nu} \quad \text{with} \quad R_{\mu\bar{\nu}} = G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}},$$

and

$$\mathbf{Ric}_D = \sqrt{-1} \Omega_{\mu\bar{\nu}} dz^{\mu} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\nu} \quad \text{with} \quad \Omega_{\mu\bar{\nu}} = G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} \Omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta};\mu\bar{\nu}}$$

the Ricci curvatures of the canonical connection ∇ and the Chern-Finsler connection D , respectively. The scalar curvatures of the canonical connection ∇ and the Chern-Finsler connection D are denoted by $s_{\nabla} = G^{\bar{\nu}\mu} R_{\mu\bar{\nu}}$ and $s_D = G^{\bar{\nu}\mu} \Omega_{\mu\bar{\nu}}$, respectively. When M is compact, we obtain explicit relations between these two kinds of Ricci curvatures by using the horizontal fundamental form $\omega_{\mathcal{H}}$ and furthermore give two characterizations of balanced complex Finsler metrics. Here we say that a compact strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler manifold (M, F) is balanced if the horizontal fundamental form $\omega_{\mathcal{H}} = \sqrt{-1} G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} dz^{\alpha} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\beta}$ is horizontal co-closed, i.e., $d_{\mathcal{H}}^* \omega_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M, F) be a compact strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler manifold. Then*

$$\mathbf{Ric}_D - \mathbf{Ric}_\nabla = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mathcal{H}} \partial_{\mathcal{H}}^* \omega_{\mathcal{H}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \omega_{\mathcal{H}}), \quad (1.1)$$

$$s_D - s_\nabla = \frac{1}{2} \langle \partial_{\mathcal{H}} \partial_{\mathcal{H}}^* \omega_{\mathcal{H}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \omega_{\mathcal{H}}, \omega_{\mathcal{H}} \rangle_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}}. \quad (1.2)$$

Hence, the following three statements are equivalent:

- (a) F is a balanced complex Finsler metric;
- (b) $\mathbf{Ric}_D = \mathbf{Ric}_\nabla$;
- (c) $\int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} s_D d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} = \int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} s_\nabla d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}}$.

We can extend the canonical connection ∇ to $T_{\mathbb{C}}(T^{1,0}M \setminus \{0\})$, denoted by ∇^c . The connection ∇^c is a natural generalization of the complexified Levi-Civita connection on the complexified tangent bundle of a Hermitian manifold. Denote by $\mathbf{Ric}_{\nabla^c} = \sqrt{-1} K_{\mu\bar{\nu}} dz^\mu \wedge d\bar{z}^\nu$ and $s_{\nabla^c} = G^{\bar{\nu}\mu} K_{\mu\bar{\nu}}$ the Ricci curvature and scalar curvature of the connection ∇^c , respectively. We have

Theorem 1.3. *Let (M, F) be a compact strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler manifold. Then*

$$\mathbf{Ric}_D - \mathbf{Ric}_{\nabla^c} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mathcal{H}} \partial_{\mathcal{H}}^* \omega_{\mathcal{H}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \omega_{\mathcal{H}}) + \sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{S} \circ \bar{\mathfrak{S}}, \quad (1.3)$$

$$s_D - s_{\nabla^c} = \frac{1}{2} \langle \partial_{\mathcal{H}} \partial_{\mathcal{H}}^* \omega_{\mathcal{H}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \omega_{\mathcal{H}}, \omega_{\mathcal{H}} \rangle_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} + \langle \mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S} \rangle_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}}, \quad (1.4)$$

where \mathfrak{S} is given by (4.11). Hence, the following four statements are equivalent:

- (a) F is a Kähler-Finsler metric;
- (b) $\mathbf{Ric}_D = \mathbf{Ric}_{\nabla^c}$;
- (c) $\int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} s_\nabla d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} = \int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} s_{\nabla^c} d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}}$;
- (d) $\int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} s_D d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} = \int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} s_{\nabla^c} d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}}$.

Denote by $\hat{\Omega}_{\beta;\mu\bar{\nu}}^\alpha dz^\mu \wedge d\bar{z}^\nu$ the horizontal part of the curvature form of the complex Rund connection \hat{D} . By analogy with the Kähler-like metric defined in [21], we say F is a Rund Kähler-Finsler-like metric if $\hat{\Omega}_{\beta;\mu\bar{\nu}}^\alpha = \hat{\Omega}_{\mu;\beta\bar{\nu}}^\alpha$. When the corresponding manifold is compact, these metrics are more special than balanced complex Finsler metrics.

Theorem 1.4. *Let (M, F) be a Rund Kähler-Finsler-like manifold. If M is compact, then F is a balanced complex Finsler metric.*

We obtain a sufficient and necessary condition for a balanced complex Finsler metric to be Kähler-Finsler.

Theorem 1.5. *Let (M, F) be a balanced complex Finsler manifold. Then F is a Kähler-Finsler metric, if and only if*

$$\langle \partial_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}} \omega_{\mathcal{H}}, \omega_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \rangle_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} = 0.$$

In the end, we investigate conformal transformations of balanced complex Finsler metrics. We find that balanced complex Finsler metrics are clearly unique within each conformal class. In the non-compact case, we get a sufficient and necessary condition for two Rund Kähler-Finsler metrics to be conformal.

Theorem 1.6. *On a compact complex manifold M , there exists at most one balanced complex Finsler metric (up to constant multiples) in each conformal class of strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler metrics. For a non-compact strongly pseudoconvex Rund Kähler-Finsler-like manifold (M, F) , the conformal metric $\tilde{F}(z, v) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\rho(z)} F(z, v)$ is Rund Kähler-Finsler-like if and only if $\partial\bar{\partial}\rho = 0$.*

We investigate the characterization for a strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler metric to be conformal to a balanced complex Finsler metric.

Theorem 1.7. *On a compact strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler manifold (M, F) , the conformal metric $\tilde{F}(z, v) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\rho(z)} F(z, v)$ is balanced, if and only if*

$$\mathbf{Ric}_D - \mathbf{Ric}_{\nabla} = (n-1)\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\rho, \quad (1.5)$$

if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} (s_D - s_{\nabla}) d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} = (n-1) (\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\rho, \omega_{\mathcal{H}})_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}}. \quad (1.6)$$

We also study the Chern-Finsler total scalar curvature under conformal transformations of a balanced complex Finsler manifold.

Theorem 1.8. *Let (M, F) be a balanced complex Finsler manifold with positive Chern-Finsler scalar curvature. Then the conformal metric $\tilde{F}(z, v) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\rho(z)} F(z, v)$ owns positive Chern-Finsler total scalar curvature, that is*

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} \tilde{s}_{\tilde{D}} d\tilde{\mu}_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} > 0. \quad (1.7)$$

The rest of this paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we provide a brief overview of complex Finsler manifolds, and introduce balanced complex Finsler manifolds and Rund Kähler-Finsler-like manifolds. In Section 3, we recall detailedly the canonical connection defined by Munteanu. In Section 4, we examine the behavior of holomorphic sectional curvature tensors of the canonical connection and the Chern-Finsler connection, and also investigate the corresponding Ricci curvatures and scalar curvatures. In Section 5, we prove Theorems 1.4 and 1.5, and give two examples of balanced complex Finsler metrics (resp. Rund Kähler-Finsler-like metrics). In Section 6, we investigate conformal transformations of a balanced complex Finsler metric.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Complex Finsler manifolds

Let M be a complex manifold with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M) = n \geq 2$. We shall denote by $\pi : T^{1,0}M \rightarrow M$ the holomorphic tangent bundle of M . Suppose that $z = (z^1, \dots, z^n)$ is a local holomorphic coordinate system on M , then an arbitrary holomorphic vector $v \in T^{1,0}M$ can be written as

$$v = v^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha}.$$

So (z, v) is a local holomorphic coordinate system on $T^{1,0}M$. Let $\tilde{M} = T^{1,0}M \setminus \{0\}$ denote the slit holomorphic tangent bundle.

Definition 2.1. [1] *A complex Finsler metric on a complex manifold M is a continuous function $F : T^{1,0}M \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ satisfying:*

- (a) $G = F^2$ is smooth on \tilde{M} ;
- (b) $F(z, v) > 0$ for all $(z, v) \in \tilde{M}$;
- (c) $F(z, \zeta v) = |\zeta|F(z, v)$ for all $(z, v) \in T^{1,0}M$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$.

For simplicity, we denote $\overline{z^\beta}, \overline{v^\beta}$ by $\bar{z}^\beta, \bar{v}^\beta$, the derivatives of G with respect to v by

$$G_\alpha = \dot{\partial}_\alpha G = \frac{\partial G}{\partial v^\alpha}, \quad G_{\bar{\alpha}} = \dot{\partial}_{\bar{\alpha}} G = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \bar{v}^\alpha}, \quad G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \dot{\partial}_\alpha \dot{\partial}_{\bar{\beta}} G = \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial v^\alpha \partial \bar{v}^\beta},$$

and the derivatives of G with respect to z by indices after a semicolon, for instance

$$G_{;\alpha} = \partial_\alpha G = \frac{\partial G}{\partial z^\alpha}, \quad G_{;\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \partial_\alpha \partial_{\bar{\beta}} G = \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta}, \quad G_{\alpha;\bar{\beta}} = \dot{\partial}_\alpha \partial_{\bar{\beta}} G = \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \bar{z}^\beta \partial v^\alpha}.$$

Definition 2.2. [1] *A complex Finsler metric F will be said strongly pseudoconvex if the Levi matrix $(G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})$ is positive definite on \tilde{M} .*

Suppose (M, F) is a strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler manifold. Let us denote by

$$\Gamma_{;\mu}^{\alpha} = G^{\bar{\lambda}\alpha} G_{\bar{\lambda};\mu} \quad (2.1)$$

the Chern-Finsler nonlinear connection coefficients. Set

$$\delta_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - \Gamma_{;\mu}^{\alpha} \dot{\partial}_{\alpha}, \quad \delta v^{\alpha} = dv^{\alpha} + \Gamma_{;\beta}^{\alpha} dz^{\beta}, \quad (2.2)$$

then the holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{1,0}\tilde{M}$ of \tilde{M} can be decomposed into the direct sum of the horizontal bundle \mathcal{H} spanned by $\{\delta_{\mu}\}$ and the vertical bundle \mathcal{V} spanned by $\{\dot{\partial}_{\alpha}\}$. The dual frame of $\{\delta_{\mu}, \dot{\partial}_{\alpha}\}$ is $\{dz^{\mu}, \delta v^{\alpha}\}$. In other words, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T^{1,0}\tilde{M} &= \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{V} = \text{span}\{\delta_{\mu}\} \oplus \text{span}\{\dot{\partial}_{\alpha}\}, \\ T^{*1,0}\tilde{M} &= \mathcal{H}^* \oplus \mathcal{V}^* = \text{span}\{dz^{\mu}\} \oplus \text{span}\{\delta v^{\alpha}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We can define a Hermitian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on the vertical bundle \mathcal{V} by the strong pseudoconvexity of F . For any $v \in \tilde{M}_z$, we set

$$\langle Z, W \rangle_v = G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(z, v) Z^{\alpha} \bar{W}^{\beta}, \quad Z = Z^{\alpha} \dot{\partial}_{\alpha}, \quad W = W^{\alpha} \dot{\partial}_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{V}_v.$$

There exists a unique complex vertical connection $D : \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(T_{\mathbb{C}}^* \tilde{M} \otimes \mathcal{V})$ such that

$$X \langle Z, W \rangle = \langle \nabla_X Z, W \rangle + \langle Z, \nabla_{\bar{X}} W \rangle$$

for all $X \in T^{1,0}\tilde{M}$ and $Z, W \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{V})$. Kobayashi [13] first introduced this connection D , Abate and Patrizio [1] called it the Chern-Finsler connection. The connection 1-forms of D are given by

$$\theta_{\beta}^{\alpha} = G^{\bar{\lambda}\alpha} \partial G_{\beta\bar{\lambda}} = \Gamma_{\beta;\mu}^{\alpha} dz^{\mu} + \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} \delta v^{\gamma}, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\Gamma_{\beta;\mu}^{\alpha} = G^{\bar{\lambda}\alpha} \delta_{\mu} (G_{\beta\bar{\lambda}}), \quad \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} = G^{\bar{\lambda}\alpha} G_{\beta\bar{\lambda}\gamma}. \quad (2.4)$$

Let $\Theta : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a complex horizontal map locally defined by $\Theta(\dot{\partial}_{\alpha}) = \delta_{\alpha}$. We can transfer the Hermitian structure $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on \mathcal{H} just by setting

$$\langle H, K \rangle_v = \langle \Theta^{-1}(H), \Theta^{-1}(K) \rangle_v, \quad \forall H, K \in \mathcal{H}_v.$$

Furthermore, there is a Sasaki-type lift Hermitian structure [14]

$$\tilde{G} = G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} dz^\alpha \otimes d\bar{z}^\beta + G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \delta v^\alpha \otimes \delta \bar{v}^\beta \quad (2.5)$$

on \tilde{M} . We can also extend D to a complex linear connection on \mathcal{H} by setting

$$D_X H = \Theta(D_X(\Theta^{-1}H)), \quad H \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{H}), \quad X \in T_{\mathbb{C}}\tilde{M}. \quad (2.6)$$

The $(2,0)$ -torsion and $(1,1)$ -torsion of the Chern-Finsler connection are denoted by $\theta = \theta^\mu \otimes \delta_\mu$ and $\tau = \tau^\alpha \otimes \dot{\partial}_\alpha$ respectively, where

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^\mu &= \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma_{\nu;\sigma}^\mu - \Gamma_{\sigma;\nu}^\mu) dz^\sigma \wedge dz^\nu + \Gamma_{\nu\gamma}^\mu \delta v^\gamma \wedge dz^\nu, \\ \tau^\alpha &= -\delta_{\bar{\nu}} (\Gamma_{;\mu}^\alpha) dz^\mu \wedge d\bar{z}^\nu - \dot{\partial}_{\bar{\beta}} (\Gamma_{;\mu}^\alpha) dz^\mu \wedge \delta \bar{z}^\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Definition 2.3. [1] We call F strongly Kähler if $\theta(H, K) = 0, \forall H, K \in \mathcal{H}$; Kähler if $\theta(H, \chi) = 0, \forall H \in \mathcal{H}$; weakly Kähler if $\langle \theta(H, \chi), \chi \rangle = 0, \forall H \in \mathcal{H}$, where $\chi = v^\alpha \delta_\alpha$ is the horizontal radical vector field.

In local coordinates, F is strongly Kähler if and only if $\Gamma_{\beta;\mu}^\alpha = \Gamma_{\mu;\beta}^\alpha$; is Kähler if and only if $(\Gamma_{\beta;\mu}^\alpha - \Gamma_{\mu;\beta}^\alpha)v^\beta = 0$; is weakly Kähler if and only if $G_\alpha(\Gamma_{\beta;\mu}^\alpha - \Gamma_{\mu;\beta}^\alpha)v^\beta = 0$. Chen and Shen [6] proved Kähler-Finsler metrics are actually strongly Kähler.

The curvature operator of the Chern-Finsler connection D is given by

$$\Omega = \Omega_\beta^\alpha \otimes (dz^\beta \otimes \delta_\alpha + \delta v^\beta \otimes \dot{\partial}_\alpha), \quad (2.8)$$

where $\Omega_\beta^\alpha = \bar{\partial}\theta_\beta^\alpha$. In local coordinates, Ω_β^α can be decomposed as

$$\Omega_\beta^\alpha = \Omega_{\beta;\mu\bar{\nu}}^\alpha dz^\mu \wedge d\bar{z}^\nu + \Omega_{\beta\mu;\bar{\nu}}^\alpha \delta v^\mu \wedge d\bar{z}^\nu + \Omega_{\beta\bar{\nu};\mu}^\alpha dz^\mu \wedge \delta \bar{v}^\nu + \Omega_{\beta\mu\bar{\nu}}^\alpha \delta v^\mu \wedge \delta \bar{v}^\nu, \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\beta;\mu\bar{\nu}}^\alpha &= -\delta_{\bar{\nu}} (\Gamma_{\beta;\mu}^\alpha) - \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \delta_{\bar{\nu}} (\Gamma_{;\mu}^\gamma), \quad \Omega_{\beta\mu;\bar{\nu}}^\alpha = -\delta_{\bar{\nu}} (\Gamma_{\beta\mu}^\alpha), \\ \Omega_{\beta\bar{\nu};\mu}^\alpha &= -\dot{\partial}_{\bar{\nu}} (\Gamma_{\beta;\mu}^\alpha) - \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \dot{\partial}_{\bar{\nu}} (\Gamma_{;\mu}^\gamma), \quad \Omega_{\beta\mu\bar{\nu}}^\alpha = -\dot{\partial}_{\bar{\nu}} (\Gamma_{\beta\mu}^\alpha). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

We denote by $\Omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta};\mu\bar{\nu}} = G_{\gamma\bar{\beta}} \Omega_{\alpha;\mu\bar{\nu}}^\gamma$ the holomorphic sectional curvature tensors of D . Locally,

$$\Omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta};\mu\bar{\nu}} = -\delta_{\bar{\nu}} \delta_\mu (G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}) + \delta_\mu (G_{\alpha\bar{\lambda}}) G^{\bar{\lambda}\kappa} \delta_{\bar{\nu}} (G_{\kappa\bar{\beta}}) - G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\sigma} \delta_{\bar{\nu}} (\Gamma_{;\mu}^\sigma). \quad (2.11)$$

The horizontal holomorphic flag curvature $K_D(H)$ of F along a horizontal vector $H = H^\alpha \delta_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_v$ is defined by

$$K_D(H) = \frac{\langle \Omega(H, \bar{H})H, H \rangle_v}{\langle H, H \rangle_v}. \quad (2.12)$$

The holomorphic sectional curvature $K_D(v)$ of F along $v \in \tilde{M}$ is defined by

$$K_D(v) = \frac{\langle \Omega(\chi, \bar{\chi})\chi, \chi \rangle_v}{G(v)^2}. \quad (2.13)$$

The Ricci curvatures and scalar curvatures of the Chern-Finsler connection D are, respectively, given by

$$\mathbf{Ric}_D = \sqrt{-1}\Omega_{\mu\bar{\nu}}dz^\mu \wedge d\bar{z}^\nu \quad \text{with} \quad \Omega_{\mu\bar{\nu}} = G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha}\Omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta};\mu\bar{\nu}}, \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$s_D = G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha}G^{\bar{\nu}\mu}\Omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta};\mu\bar{\nu}}. \quad (2.15)$$

2.2 Balanced complex Finsler metrics

A strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler metric F can induce a Hermitian metric

$$\tilde{G} = G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}dz^\alpha \otimes d\bar{z}^\beta + (\log G)_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\delta v^\alpha \otimes \delta \bar{v}^\beta.$$

on the projective tangent bundle $\mathbb{P}\tilde{M} = \tilde{M}/(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})$. If we set $\omega_{\mathcal{V}} = \sqrt{-1}(\log G)_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\delta v^\alpha \wedge \delta \bar{v}^\beta$ and $\omega_{\mathcal{H}} = \sqrt{-1}G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}dz^\alpha \wedge d\bar{z}^\beta$, then the invariant volume form of $\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}$ is [23]

$$d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} = \frac{\omega_{\mathcal{V}}^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \wedge \frac{\omega_{\mathcal{H}}^n}{n!}. \quad (2.16)$$

Let $\mathcal{A}^{p,q}$ be the set of smooth horizontal (p, q) -forms on $\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}$, that is, all coefficients of any $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^{p,q}$ are zero homogeneous with respect to fibre coordinates v . In local coordinates,

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{p!q!}\varphi_{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_p\bar{\beta}_1\cdots\bar{\beta}_q}dz^{\alpha_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz^{\alpha_p} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\beta_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{z}^{\beta_q}.$$

If M is compact, then for any $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{A}^{p,q}$, there is a natural Hermitian inner product on $\mathcal{A}^{p,q}$ given by

$$(\varphi, \psi)_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} = \int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}}, \quad (2.17)$$

where

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} = \frac{1}{p!q!}\varphi_{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_p\bar{\beta}_1\cdots\bar{\beta}_q}G^{\bar{\gamma}_1\alpha_1}\cdots G^{\bar{\gamma}_p\alpha_p}G^{\bar{\beta}_1\delta_1}\cdots G^{\bar{\beta}_q\delta_q}\overline{\psi_{\gamma_1\cdots\gamma_p\bar{\delta}_1\cdots\bar{\delta}_q}}. \quad (2.18)$$

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^{p,q}$, then two horizontal operator $\partial_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{A}^{p,q} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p+1,q}$ and $\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{A}^{p,q} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p,q+1}$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\mathcal{H}}\varphi &= \frac{1}{(p+1)!q!} \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{j-1} \delta_{\alpha_j} \left(\varphi_{\alpha_1\cdots\widehat{\alpha_j}\cdots\alpha_{p+1}\bar{\beta}_1\cdots\bar{\beta}_q} \right) dz^{\alpha_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz^{\alpha_{p+1}} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\beta_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{z}^{\beta_q}, \\ \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}\varphi &= \frac{1}{p!(q+1)!} \sum_{j=1}^{q+1} (-1)^{p+j-1} \delta_{\bar{\beta}_j} \left(\varphi_{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_p\bar{\beta}_1\cdots\widehat{\bar{\beta}_j}\cdots\bar{\beta}_{q+1}} \right) dz^{\alpha_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz^{\alpha_p} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\beta_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{z}^{\beta_{q+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_1 \cdots \widehat{\alpha_j} \cdots \alpha_{p+1}$ is the sequence which we get from $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{p+1}$ by suppressing α_j . Let us denote by $d_{\mathcal{H}} = \partial_{\mathcal{H}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}$. We can define the formal adjoint operator $\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^*$ (resp. $\partial_{\mathcal{H}}^*$) of $\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}$ (resp. $\partial_{\mathcal{H}}$) with respect to the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}}$. In [20], the authors defined the Hodge star operator $*$ to better represent adjoint operators $\partial_{\mathcal{H}}^*$ and $\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^*$. The operator $*$: $\mathcal{A}^{p,q} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{n-q,n-p}$ is defined by the relation

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} \varphi \wedge * \bar{\psi} \wedge \frac{\omega_{\mathcal{V}}^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = (\varphi, \psi)_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}}, \quad \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{A}^{p,q}. \quad (2.19)$$

Then [20]

- (1) $\overline{* \psi} = * \bar{\psi}$;
- (2) $* * \psi = (-1)^{p+q} \psi$;
- (3) $\partial_{\mathcal{H}}^* = - * \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}} *$, and $\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^* = - * \partial_{\mathcal{H}} *$.

Definition 2.4. A compact strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler manifold (M, F) is called balanced if the horizontal fundamental form $\omega_{\mathcal{H}}$ is horizontal co-closed, i.e., $d_{\mathcal{H}}^* \omega_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$, where $d_{\mathcal{H}}^* = \partial_{\mathcal{H}}^* + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^*$.

By setting the horizontal torsion tensor

$$S_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\Gamma_{\alpha;\beta}^{\gamma} - \Gamma_{\beta;\alpha}^{\gamma} \right), \quad (2.20)$$

we introduce the following tensor [10]

$$S_{\alpha} = \sum_{\gamma=1}^n S_{\alpha\gamma}^{\gamma}. \quad (2.21)$$

Put

$$L_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} G^{\bar{\lambda}\gamma} [\delta_{\bar{\beta}}(G_{\alpha\bar{\lambda}}) - \delta_{\bar{\lambda}}(G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})],$$

then

$$G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} L_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^{\gamma} G_{\gamma\bar{\lambda}} = S_{\bar{\lambda}} = - \sum_{\gamma=1}^n L_{\gamma\bar{\lambda}}^{\gamma}. \quad (2.22)$$

By Theorem 3.5 in [24], we have

$$\partial_{\mathcal{H}}^* \omega_{\mathcal{H}} = 2\sqrt{-1} S_{\bar{\alpha}} d\bar{z}^{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \omega_{\mathcal{H}} = -2\sqrt{-1} S_{\alpha} dz^{\alpha}. \quad (2.23)$$

We set a horizontal (1,0)-form $\mathcal{S} = S_{\alpha} dz^{\alpha}$. A direct computation shows

$$*\mathcal{S} = -\sqrt{-1} \mathcal{S} \wedge \frac{\omega_{\mathcal{H}}^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}.$$

Since $*\omega_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{\omega_{\mathcal{H}}^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$, we have

$$\partial_{\mathcal{H}}\omega_{\mathcal{H}}^{n-1} = -2(n-1)!\sqrt{-1} * \mathcal{S} = -2\mathcal{S} \wedge \omega_{\mathcal{H}}^{n-1}. \quad (2.24)$$

Hence, we have

Proposition 2.5. *The following four statements are equivalent:*

- (a) (M, F) is a balanced complex Finsler manifold;
- (b) $\mathcal{S} = 0$ (or $S_{\alpha} = 0$, or $\sum_{\gamma=1}^n L_{\gamma\bar{\alpha}}^{\gamma} = 0$);
- (c) $G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} L_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^{\gamma} = 0$;
- (d) $d_{\mathcal{H}}\omega_{\mathcal{H}}^{n-1} = 0$.

Clearly, a Kähler-Finsler metric on a compact complex manifold must be balanced. When $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M) = 2$, $S_1 = S_2 = 0$ means $S_{12}^1 = S_{12}^2 = 0$, so balanced complex Finsler surfaces are Kähler-Finsler. It is natural to find a sufficient condition for a balanced complex Finsler metric to be Kähler-Finsler.

2.3 Rund Kähler-Finsler-like metrics

The complex Rund connection of a strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler manifold (M, F) was first introduced in [15], which is also a generalization of the Hermitian connection. Denote by $\hat{D} : \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(T_{\mathbb{C}}^* \tilde{M} \otimes \mathcal{V})$ the complex Rund connection. Its connection 1-forms are given by

$$\hat{\theta}_{\beta}^{\alpha} = G^{\bar{\lambda}\alpha} \partial_{\mathcal{H}} G_{\beta\bar{\lambda}} = \Gamma_{\beta;\mu}^{\alpha} dz^{\mu}. \quad (2.25)$$

Locally, the curvature form of the complex Rund connection \hat{D} is [16]

$$\hat{\Omega}_{\beta}^{\alpha} = \hat{\Omega}_{\beta;\mu\bar{\nu}}^{\alpha} dz^{\mu} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\nu} + \hat{\Omega}_{\beta\nu;\mu}^{\alpha} dz^{\mu} \wedge \delta v^{\nu} + \hat{\Omega}_{\beta\bar{\nu};\mu}^{\alpha} dz^{\mu} \wedge \delta \bar{v}^{\nu},$$

where

$$\hat{\Omega}_{\beta;\mu\bar{\nu}}^{\alpha} = -\delta_{\bar{\nu}} (\Gamma_{\beta;\mu}^{\alpha}), \quad \hat{\Omega}_{\beta\nu;\mu}^{\alpha} = -\dot{\partial}_{\nu} (\Gamma_{\beta;\mu}^{\alpha}), \quad \hat{\Omega}_{\beta\bar{\nu};\mu}^{\alpha} = -\dot{\partial}_{\bar{\nu}} (\Gamma_{\beta;\mu}^{\alpha}). \quad (2.26)$$

Clearly, $\Omega_{\beta;\mu\bar{\nu}}^{\alpha} = \hat{\Omega}_{\beta;\mu\bar{\nu}}^{\alpha} - \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} \delta_{\bar{\nu}} (\Gamma_{\mu}^{\gamma})$. Notice that if F is Kähler-Finsler then $\hat{\Omega}_{\beta;\mu\bar{\nu}}^{\alpha} = \hat{\Omega}_{\mu;\beta\bar{\nu}}^{\alpha}$, but $\Omega_{\beta;\mu\bar{\nu}}^{\alpha} = \Omega_{\mu;\beta\bar{\nu}}^{\alpha}$ may fail to be true. In [21], the authors call a Hermitian metric h is Kähler-like if its Chern curvature tensors R^h satisfy $R_{X\bar{Y}Z\bar{W}}^h = R_{\bar{Y}X\bar{W}Z}^h$ for any type (1,0) tangent vectors X, Y, Z , and W . In [4], a Kähler-like manifold is called a Hermitian manifold with holomorphic torsion tensors. Now we extend this definition to complex Finsler geometry. We shall define a class of complex Finsler metrics that are more general than Kähler-Finsler metrics.

Definition 2.6. A strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler metric F is called Rund Kähler-Finsler-like if the horizontal part of the curvature form of the complex Rund connection satisfies $\hat{\Omega}_{\beta;\mu\bar{\nu}}^\alpha = \hat{\Omega}_{\mu;\beta\bar{\nu}}^\alpha$ for every $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu = 1, \dots, n$.

Clearly, every Kähler-Finsler metric is necessarily Rund Kähler-Finsler-like, and F is Rund Kähler-Finsler-like is equivalent to the equations $\delta_{\bar{\nu}}(S_{\beta\mu}^\alpha) = 0$. A natural question is whether a Rund Kähler-Finsler-like metric F is Kähler-Finsler.

3 The canonical connection

Munteanu [14] introduced a metric connection ∇ with respect to the Sasaki-type lift Hermitian structure (2.5) with horizontal and vertical zero torsions. Connection coefficients of ∇ are

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\beta\mu}^\alpha &= \frac{1}{2}G^{\bar{\lambda}\alpha}(\delta_\mu(G_{\beta\bar{\lambda}}) + \delta_\beta(G_{\mu\bar{\lambda}})), & C_{\beta\mu}^\alpha &= \Gamma_{\beta\mu}^\alpha, \\ L_{\beta\bar{\mu}}^\alpha &= \frac{1}{2}G^{\bar{\lambda}\alpha}(\delta_{\bar{\mu}}(G_{\beta\bar{\lambda}}) - \delta_{\bar{\lambda}}(G_{\beta\bar{\mu}})), & C_{\beta\bar{\mu}}^\alpha &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Then connection 1-forms of ∇ are given by

$$\omega_\beta^\alpha = L_{\beta\mu}^\alpha dz^\mu + L_{\beta\bar{\mu}}^\alpha d\bar{z}^\mu + C_{\beta\mu}^\alpha \delta v^\mu. \quad (3.2)$$

Munteanu [14] called the connection ∇ the canonical connection induced by the Chern-Finsler connection D . The connection ∇ is a natural generalization of the complexified Levi-Civita connection on the holomorphic tangent bundle of a Hermitian manifold [12]. Clearly, the connection ∇ and the Chern-Finsler connection D coincide if and only if F is a Kähler-Finsler metric.

The holomorphic sectional curvature tensor of ∇ is defined by

$$R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}} = \langle \nabla_{\delta_\mu} \nabla_{\delta_{\bar{\nu}}} \delta_\alpha - \nabla_{\delta_{\bar{\nu}}} \nabla_{\delta_\mu} \delta_\alpha - \nabla_{[\delta_\mu, \delta_{\bar{\nu}}]} \delta_\alpha, \delta_\beta \rangle_v. \quad (3.3)$$

The horizontal holomorphic flag curvature $K_\nabla(H)$ of ∇ along a horizontal vector $H = H^\alpha \delta_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_v$ is defined by

$$K_\nabla(H) = \frac{R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}}(v) H^\alpha \bar{H}^\beta H^\mu \bar{H}^\nu}{(G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(v) H^\alpha \bar{H}^\beta)^2}. \quad (3.4)$$

The holomorphic sectional curvature $K_\nabla(v)$ of ∇ along $v \in \tilde{M}$ is defined by

$$K_\nabla(v) = \frac{R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}}(v) v^\alpha \bar{v}^\beta v^\mu \bar{v}^\nu}{G(v)^2}. \quad (3.5)$$

The Ricci curvature and scalar curvature of the canonical connection ∇ are

$$\mathbf{Ric}_\nabla = \sqrt{-1}R_{\mu\bar{\nu}}dz^\mu \wedge d\bar{z}^\nu \quad \text{with} \quad R_{\mu\bar{\nu}} = G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha}R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$s_\nabla = G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha}G^{\bar{\nu}\mu}R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}}. \quad (3.7)$$

Now we give the explicit formula of $R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let (M, F) be a strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler manifold. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} [\delta_{\bar{\nu}}\delta_\alpha(G_{\mu\bar{\beta}}) + \delta_{\bar{\beta}}\delta_\mu(G_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}) + \delta_{\bar{\nu}}(L_{;\mu}^\sigma)G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\sigma} + \delta_{\bar{\beta}}(L_{;\mu}^\sigma)G_{\alpha\bar{\nu}\sigma}] \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{4} [\delta_\mu(G_{\alpha\bar{\lambda}}) + \delta_\alpha(G_{\mu\bar{\lambda}})] G^{\bar{\lambda}\gamma} [\delta_{\bar{\nu}}(G_{\gamma\bar{\beta}}) + \delta_{\bar{\beta}}(G_{\gamma\bar{\nu}})] \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{4} [\delta_{\bar{\nu}}(G_{\alpha\bar{\lambda}}) - \delta_{\bar{\lambda}}(G_{\alpha\bar{\nu}})] G^{\bar{\lambda}\gamma} [\delta_\mu(G_{\gamma\bar{\beta}}) - \delta_\gamma(G_{\mu\bar{\beta}})] \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} [\delta_\mu(L_{;\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\tau}})G_{\alpha\bar{\nu}\bar{\tau}} - \delta_\mu(L_{;\bar{\nu}}^{\bar{\tau}})G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\bar{\tau}}]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\delta_\mu}\nabla_{\delta_{\bar{\nu}}}\delta_\alpha &= (\delta_\mu(L_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}^\gamma) + L_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}^\sigma L_{\sigma\mu}^\gamma)\delta_\gamma, \\ \nabla_{\delta_{\bar{\nu}}}\nabla_{\delta_\mu}\delta_\alpha &= (\delta_{\bar{\nu}}(L_{\alpha\mu}^\gamma) + L_{\alpha\mu}^\sigma L_{\sigma\bar{\nu}}^\gamma)\delta_\gamma, \\ \langle \nabla_{[\delta_\mu, \delta_{\bar{\nu}}]}\delta_\alpha, \delta_{\bar{\beta}} \rangle_v &= G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\sigma}\delta_{\bar{\nu}}(L_{;\mu}^\sigma), \end{aligned}$$

by (3.3) we have

$$R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}} = [\delta_\mu(L_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}^\gamma) - \delta_{\bar{\nu}}(L_{\alpha\mu}^\gamma) + L_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}^\sigma L_{\sigma\mu}^\gamma - L_{\alpha\mu}^\sigma L_{\sigma\bar{\nu}}^\gamma] G_{\gamma\bar{\beta}} - G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\sigma}\delta_{\bar{\nu}}(L_{;\mu}^\sigma). \quad (3.9)$$

A direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\delta_\mu(L_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}^\gamma) + L_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}^\sigma L_{\sigma\mu}^\gamma) G_{\gamma\bar{\beta}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \{ \delta_{\bar{\nu}}\delta_\mu(G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}) - \delta_{\bar{\beta}}\delta_\mu(G_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}) + [\delta_\mu, \delta_{\bar{\nu}}](G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}) - [\delta_\mu, \delta_{\bar{\beta}}](G_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}) \} \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{4} [\delta_{\bar{\nu}}(G_{\alpha\bar{\lambda}}) - \delta_{\bar{\lambda}}(G_{\alpha\bar{\nu}})] G^{\bar{\lambda}\gamma} [\delta_\mu(G_{\gamma\bar{\beta}}) - \delta_\gamma(G_{\mu\bar{\beta}})], \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & -(\delta_{\bar{\nu}}(L_{\alpha\mu}^\gamma) + L_{\alpha\mu}^\sigma L_{\sigma\bar{\nu}}^\gamma) G_{\gamma\bar{\beta}} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} [\delta_{\bar{\nu}}\delta_\mu(G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}) + \delta_{\bar{\nu}}\delta_\alpha(G_{\mu\bar{\beta}})] \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{4} [\delta_\mu(G_{\alpha\bar{\lambda}}) + \delta_\alpha(G_{\mu\bar{\lambda}})] G^{\bar{\lambda}\gamma} [\delta_{\bar{\nu}}(G_{\gamma\bar{\beta}}) + \delta_{\bar{\beta}}(G_{\gamma\bar{\nu}})]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Since

$$[\delta_\mu, \delta_{\bar{\nu}}] = \delta_{\bar{\nu}}(L_{;\mu}^\sigma) \dot{\partial}_\sigma - \delta_\mu(L_{;\bar{\nu}}^{\bar{\tau}}) \dot{\partial}_{\bar{\tau}},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} [\delta_\mu, \delta_{\bar{\nu}}] (G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}) - [\delta_\mu, \delta_{\bar{\beta}}] (G_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}) - G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\sigma} \delta_{\bar{\nu}} (\Gamma_{;\mu}^\sigma) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[-\delta_{\bar{\nu}} (\Gamma_{;\mu}^\sigma) G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\sigma} - \delta_\mu (\Gamma_{;\bar{\nu}}^{\bar{\sigma}}) G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\bar{\sigma}} - \delta_{\bar{\beta}} (\Gamma_{;\mu}^\sigma) G_{\alpha\bar{\nu}\sigma} + \delta_\mu (\Gamma_{;\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\sigma}}) G_{\alpha\bar{\nu}\bar{\sigma}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

By substituting (3.10)-(3.12) into (3.9) we get (3.8). This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.2. We can check $\overline{R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}}} = R_{\beta\bar{\alpha}\nu\bar{\mu}}$.

Extending the product \langle, \rangle_v to $T_{\mathbb{C}}\tilde{M}$ and being denoted by

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_\alpha, \delta_{\bar{\beta}})_v &= (\delta_{\bar{\beta}}, \delta_\alpha)_v = G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}, \\ (\dot{\delta}_\alpha, \dot{\delta}_{\bar{\beta}})_v &= (\dot{\delta}_{\bar{\beta}}, \dot{\delta}_\alpha)_v = G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}, \\ (\delta_\alpha, \delta_\beta)_v &= (\dot{\delta}_\alpha, \dot{\delta}_\beta)_v = 0, \\ (\delta_\alpha, \dot{\delta}_\beta)_v &= (\delta_\alpha, \dot{\delta}_{\bar{\beta}})_v = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can extend the canonical ∇ to $T_{\mathbb{C}}\tilde{M}$, denoted by ∇^c , with connection 1-forms

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\beta^\alpha &= L_{\beta\mu}^\alpha dz^\mu + L_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\mu}}^\alpha d\bar{z}^\mu + C_{\beta\mu}^\alpha \delta v^\mu, \quad \omega_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\alpha}} = L_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\mu}}^{\bar{\alpha}} d\bar{z}^\mu, \\ \omega_{\bar{\beta}}^\alpha &= L_{\bar{\beta}\mu}^\alpha dz^\mu, \quad \omega_\beta^{\bar{\alpha}} = L_{\bar{\beta}\mu}^{\bar{\alpha}} dz^\mu + L_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\mu}}^{\bar{\alpha}} d\bar{z}^\mu + C_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\mu}}^{\bar{\alpha}} \delta \bar{v}^\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where $L_{\bar{\beta}\mu}^\alpha = L_{\mu\bar{\beta}}^\alpha = \overline{L_{\mu\beta}^{\bar{\alpha}}} = \overline{L_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\alpha}}}$, $L_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\mu}}^{\bar{\alpha}} = \overline{L_{\beta\mu}^\alpha}$, $C_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\mu}}^{\bar{\alpha}} = \overline{C_{\beta\mu}^\alpha}$. The connection ∇^c is a natural generalization of the complexified Levi-Civita connection on the complexified tangent bundle of a Hermitian manifold. As (3.3)-(3.7), we can define the holomorphic sectional curvature tensor $K_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}}$, the horizontal holomorphic flag curvature $K_{\nabla^c}(H)$, the holomorphic sectional curvature $K_{\nabla^c}(v)$, the Ricci curvature $\mathbf{Ric}_{\nabla^c} = \sqrt{-1}K_{\mu\bar{\nu}}dz^\mu \wedge d\bar{z}^\nu$, the scalar curvature s_{∇^c} of the connection ∇^c .

Proposition 3.3. Let (M, F) be a strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler manifold. Then

$$K_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}} = R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}} - \frac{1}{4} [\delta_{\bar{\beta}}(G_{\mu\bar{\lambda}}) - \delta_{\bar{\lambda}}(G_{\mu\bar{\beta}})] G^{\bar{\lambda}\gamma} [\delta_\alpha(G_{\gamma\bar{\nu}}) - \delta_\gamma(G_{\alpha\bar{\nu}})]. \quad (3.14)$$

Hence we have

$$(K_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}} - R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}}) H^\alpha \bar{H}^\beta K^\mu \bar{K}^\nu \leq 0, \quad \forall H, K \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (3.15)$$

Proof. A direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\delta_\mu}^c \nabla_{\delta_{\bar{\nu}}}^c \delta_\alpha &= [\delta_\mu (L_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}^\gamma) + L_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}^\sigma L_{\sigma\mu}^\gamma + L_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}^{\bar{\sigma}} L_{\bar{\sigma}\mu}^\gamma] \delta_\gamma \\ &\quad + [\delta_\mu (L_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}^{\bar{\gamma}}) + L_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}^{\bar{\sigma}} L_{\bar{\sigma}\mu}^{\bar{\gamma}}] \delta_{\bar{\gamma}}, \\ \nabla_{\delta_{\bar{\nu}}}^c \nabla_{\delta_\mu}^c \delta_\alpha &= [\delta_{\bar{\nu}} (L_{\alpha\mu}^\gamma) + L_{\alpha\mu}^\sigma L_{\sigma\bar{\nu}}^\gamma] \delta_\gamma + L_{\alpha\mu}^\sigma L_{\sigma\bar{\nu}}^{\bar{\gamma}} \delta_{\bar{\gamma}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\left(\nabla_{[\delta_\mu, \delta_{\bar{\nu}}]}^e \delta_\alpha, \delta_{\bar{\beta}}\right)_v = G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\sigma} \delta_{\bar{\nu}}(\Gamma_{;\mu}^\sigma),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}} &= [\delta_\mu (L_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}^\gamma) - \delta_{\bar{\nu}} (L_{\alpha\mu}^\gamma) + L_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}^\sigma L_{\sigma\mu}^\gamma + L_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}^\sigma L_{\sigma\bar{\mu}}^\gamma \\ &\quad - L_{\alpha\mu}^\sigma L_{\sigma\bar{\nu}}^\gamma] G_{\gamma\bar{\beta}} - G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\sigma} \delta_{\bar{\nu}}(\Gamma_{;\mu}^\sigma). \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Observe that

$$L_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}^\sigma L_{\sigma\mu}^\gamma G_{\gamma\bar{\beta}} = -\frac{1}{4} [\delta_{\bar{\beta}}(G_{\mu\bar{\sigma}}) - \delta_{\bar{\sigma}}(G_{\mu\bar{\beta}})] G^{\bar{\sigma}\gamma} [\delta_\alpha(G_{\gamma\bar{\nu}}) - \delta_\gamma(G_{\alpha\bar{\nu}})].$$

By Lemma 3.1, the proof of (3.14) is completed. \square

Remark 3.4. It follows from Remark 3.2 and (3.14) that $\overline{K_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}}} = K_{\beta\bar{\alpha}\nu\bar{\mu}}$. But $K_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}} \neq K_{\mu\bar{\nu}\alpha\bar{\beta}}$. We can check that

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}} - K_{\mu\bar{\nu}\alpha\bar{\beta}} &= \frac{1}{2} [\delta_\alpha, \delta_{\bar{\beta}}] (G_{\mu\bar{\nu}}) - [\delta_\mu, \delta_{\bar{\nu}}] (G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}) + [\delta_\alpha, \delta_{\bar{\nu}}] (G_{\mu\bar{\beta}}) \\ &\quad - [\delta_\mu, \delta_{\bar{\beta}}] (G_{\alpha\bar{\nu}})] + \delta_\alpha \left(\Gamma_{;\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\tau}} \right) G_{\mu\bar{\nu}\bar{\tau}} - \delta_\mu \left(\Gamma_{;\bar{\nu}}^{\bar{\tau}} \right) G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\bar{\tau}}. \end{aligned}$$

4 Curvature relations

Munteanu [14] proved a strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler metric F is a Kähler-Finsler metric if and only if the canonical connection ∇ and the Chern-Finsler connection D coincide. If F is a Kähler-Finsler metric, then $R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}} = \Omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta};\mu\bar{\nu}}$. When a strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler metric F such that $R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}} = \Omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta};\mu\bar{\nu}}$, is it necessarily Kähler-Finsler? By virtue of Lemma 3.1, we give a positive answer.

Theorem 4.1. Let (M, F) be a strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler manifold. Then

- (1) $R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}} = \Omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta};\mu\bar{\nu}}$, if and only if $K_\nabla(H) = K_D(H)$, if and only if F is a Kähler-Finsler metric;
- (2) $K_\nabla(v) = K_D(v)$, if and only if F is a weakly Kähler-Finsler metric.

Proof. (1) By the definition of horizontal holomorphic flag curvature,

$$R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}} = \Omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta};\mu\bar{\nu}}$$

is equivalent to

$$K_\nabla(H) = K_D(H), \quad \forall H = H^\alpha \delta_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_v.$$

It follows from (3.8) in Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} & R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}} H^\alpha \bar{H}^\beta H^\mu \bar{H}^\nu \\ &= - \left[\delta_{\bar{\nu}} \delta_\mu (G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}) - \delta_\mu (G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}) G^{\bar{\lambda}\gamma} \delta_{\bar{\nu}} (G_{\gamma\bar{\beta}}) + G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\sigma} \delta_{\bar{\nu}} (\Gamma_{;\mu}^\sigma) \right] H^\alpha \bar{H}^\beta H^\mu \bar{H}^\nu \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} H^\alpha \bar{H}^\nu [\delta_{\bar{\nu}} (G_{\alpha\bar{\lambda}}) - \delta_{\bar{\lambda}} (G_{\alpha\bar{\nu}})] G^{\bar{\lambda}\gamma} [\delta_\mu (G_{\gamma\bar{\beta}}) - \delta_\gamma (G_{\mu\bar{\beta}})] \bar{H}^\beta H^\mu, \end{aligned}$$

furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} & R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}} H^\alpha \bar{H}^\beta H^\mu \bar{H}^\nu - \Omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta};\mu\bar{\nu}} H^\alpha \bar{H}^\beta H^\mu \bar{H}^\nu \\ &= - \frac{1}{2} H^\alpha \bar{H}^\nu [\delta_{\bar{\nu}} (G_{\alpha\bar{\lambda}}) - \delta_{\bar{\lambda}} (G_{\alpha\bar{\nu}})] G^{\bar{\lambda}\gamma} [\delta_\mu (G_{\gamma\bar{\beta}}) - \delta_\gamma (G_{\mu\bar{\beta}})] \bar{H}^\beta H^\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Now we use the similar argument as in the proof of Main Theorem in [11]. Since $(G^{\bar{\lambda}\gamma})$ is positive definite, then

$$R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}} H^\alpha \bar{H}^\beta H^\mu \bar{H}^\nu = \Omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta};\mu\bar{\nu}} H^\alpha \bar{H}^\beta H^\mu \bar{H}^\nu, \quad \forall H = H^\alpha \delta_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_v,$$

if and only if

$$[\delta_\mu (G_{\gamma\bar{\beta}}) - \delta_\gamma (G_{\mu\bar{\beta}})] \bar{H}^\beta H^\mu = 0, \quad \forall H = H^\alpha \delta_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_v, \quad (4.2)$$

if and only if

$$\delta_\mu (G_{\gamma\bar{\beta}}) = \delta_\gamma (G_{\mu\bar{\beta}}), \quad \forall 1 \leq \mu, \beta, \gamma \leq n,$$

that is F is a Kähler-Finsler metric.

(2) By (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}} v^\alpha \bar{v}^\beta v^\mu \bar{v}^\nu - \Omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta};\mu\bar{\nu}} v^\alpha \bar{v}^\beta v^\mu \bar{v}^\nu \\ &= - \frac{1}{2} [\bar{\chi}(G_{\bar{\lambda}}) - G_{;\bar{\lambda}}] G^{\bar{\lambda}\gamma} [\chi(G_\gamma) - G_{;\gamma}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Hence

$$R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}} v^\alpha \bar{v}^\beta v^\mu \bar{v}^\nu = \Omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta};\mu\bar{\nu}} v^\alpha \bar{v}^\beta v^\mu \bar{v}^\nu,$$

if and only if $\chi(G_\gamma) - G_{;\gamma} = 0$. Noting that

$$G_{;\gamma} - \chi(G_\gamma) = G_\alpha (\Gamma_{\beta;\gamma}^\alpha - \Gamma_{\gamma;\beta}^\alpha) v^\beta,$$

it follows that

$$R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}} v^\alpha \bar{v}^\beta v^\mu \bar{v}^\nu = \Omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta};\mu\bar{\nu}} v^\alpha \bar{v}^\beta v^\mu \bar{v}^\nu$$

if and only if F is a weakly Kähler-Finsler metric. \square

Remark 4.2. By (3.14) and (4.1), we have $K_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}} = \Omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta};\mu\bar{\nu}}$ if and only if $K_{\nabla^c}(H) = K_D(H)$, if and only if F is a Kähler-Finsler metric.

Next, we explore explicit relations between \mathbf{Ric}_{∇} and \mathbf{Ric}_D by using the horizontal fundamental form $\omega_{\mathcal{H}}$ when M is compact. As an added bonus, we obtain a sufficient and necessary condition for a compact strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler manifold to be balanced. The following lemma follows from straightforward computations.

Lemma 4.3. Let (M, F) be a compact strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler manifold. Then

$$\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^*\omega_{\mathcal{H}} = 2\sqrt{-1}\delta_{\bar{\nu}}(S_{\mu})dz^{\mu} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{\mathcal{H}}\partial_{\mathcal{H}}^*\omega_{\mathcal{H}} = 2\sqrt{-1}\delta_{\mu}(S_{\bar{\nu}})dz^{\mu} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\nu}. \quad (4.4)$$

Theorem 4.4. Let (M, F) be a compact strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler manifold. Then

$$\mathbf{Ric}_D - \mathbf{Ric}_{\nabla} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mathcal{H}}\partial_{\mathcal{H}}^*\omega_{\mathcal{H}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^*\omega_{\mathcal{H}}), \quad (4.5)$$

$$s_D - s_{\nabla} = \frac{1}{2}\langle \partial_{\mathcal{H}}\partial_{\mathcal{H}}^*\omega_{\mathcal{H}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^*\omega_{\mathcal{H}}, \omega_{\mathcal{H}} \rangle_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}}. \quad (4.6)$$

Hence, the following three statements are equivalent:

- (a) F is a balanced complex Finsler metric;
- (b) $\mathbf{Ric}_D = \mathbf{Ric}_{\nabla}$;
- (c) $\int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} s_D d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} = \int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} s_{\nabla} d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}}.$

Proof. By (3.8), and a straightforward computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\bar{\nu}} &= -\frac{1}{2}G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} [\delta_{\bar{\nu}}\delta_{\alpha}(G_{\mu\bar{\beta}}) + \delta_{\mu}\delta_{\bar{\beta}}(G_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}) + \delta_{\bar{\nu}}(G^{\sigma}_{;\mu})G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\sigma} + \delta_{\mu}(G^{\bar{\tau}}_{;\bar{\nu}})G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\bar{\tau}}] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha}G^{\bar{\lambda}\gamma} [\delta_{\mu}(G_{\alpha\bar{\lambda}})\delta_{\bar{\beta}}(G_{\gamma\bar{\nu}}) + \delta_{\alpha}(G_{\mu\bar{\lambda}})\delta_{\bar{\nu}}(G_{\gamma\bar{\beta}})]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

It is straightforward to get

$$\delta_{\mu}(S_{\bar{\nu}}) = \frac{1}{2}G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} \left[(\delta_{\mu}\delta_{\bar{\beta}}(G_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}) - \delta_{\mu}\delta_{\bar{\nu}}(G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})) - G^{\bar{\lambda}\gamma}\delta_{\mu}(G_{\alpha\bar{\lambda}})(\delta_{\bar{\beta}}(G_{\gamma\bar{\nu}}) - \delta_{\bar{\nu}}(G_{\gamma\bar{\beta}})) \right]. \quad (4.8)$$

It follows from (4.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\bar{\nu}}(S_{\mu}) &= \frac{1}{2}G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} \left[\delta_{\bar{\nu}}\delta_{\alpha}(G_{\mu\bar{\beta}}) - G^{\bar{\lambda}\gamma}\delta_{\alpha}(G_{\mu\bar{\lambda}})\delta_{\bar{\nu}}(G_{\gamma\bar{\beta}}) \right] - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\bar{\nu}}\delta_{\mu}(\log \det(G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})), \\ \delta_{\mu}(S_{\bar{\nu}}) &= \frac{1}{2}G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} \left[\delta_{\mu}\delta_{\bar{\beta}}(G_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}) - G^{\bar{\lambda}\gamma}\delta_{\mu}(G_{\alpha\bar{\lambda}})\delta_{\bar{\beta}}(G_{\gamma\bar{\nu}}) \right] - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\mu}\delta_{\bar{\nu}}(\log \det(G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& R_{\mu\bar{\nu}} + \delta_{\bar{\nu}}(S_{\mu}) + \delta_{\mu}(S_{\bar{\nu}}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\delta_{\bar{\nu}}\delta_{\mu}(\log \det(G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})) - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\mu}\delta_{\bar{\nu}}(\log \det(G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha}[\delta_{\bar{\nu}}(\Gamma_{;\mu}^{\sigma})G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\sigma} + \delta_{\mu}(\Gamma_{;\bar{\nu}}^{\bar{\sigma}})G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\bar{\sigma}}] \\
&= -\delta_{\bar{\nu}}\delta_{\mu}(\log \det(G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})) - G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha}G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\sigma}\delta_{\bar{\nu}}(\Gamma_{;\mu}^{\sigma}) \\
&= G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha}\Omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta};\mu\bar{\nu}} \\
&= \Omega_{\mu\bar{\nu}},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

It follows from Lemma 4.3 and (4.9) that equality (4.5) holds. Furthermore

$$G^{\bar{\nu}\mu}(R_{\mu\bar{\nu}} + \delta_{\bar{\nu}}(S_{\mu}) + \delta_{\mu}(S_{\bar{\nu}})) = G^{\bar{\nu}\mu}\Omega_{\mu\bar{\nu}}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\langle \partial_{\mathcal{H}}\partial_{\mathcal{H}}^*\omega_{\mathcal{H}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^*\omega_{\mathcal{H}}, \omega_{\mathcal{H}} \rangle_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} = G^{\bar{\nu}\mu}(\delta_{\bar{\nu}}(S_{\mu}) + \delta_{\mu}(S_{\bar{\nu}}))$$

yields equality (4.6).

Clearly, (a) implies (b), and (b) implies (c). It follows from (4.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\partial_{\mathcal{H}}^*\omega_{\mathcal{H}}, \partial_{\mathcal{H}}^*\omega_{\mathcal{H}})_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} + (\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^*\omega_{\mathcal{H}}, \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^*\omega_{\mathcal{H}})_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} \\
&= (\partial_{\mathcal{H}}\partial_{\mathcal{H}}^*\omega_{\mathcal{H}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^*\omega_{\mathcal{H}}, \omega_{\mathcal{H}})_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} \langle \partial_{\mathcal{H}}\partial_{\mathcal{H}}^*\omega_{\mathcal{H}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^*\omega_{\mathcal{H}}, \omega_{\mathcal{H}} \rangle_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} \\
&= 2 \int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} (s_D - s_{\nabla}) d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We now assume that (c) is true, thus $\partial_{\mathcal{H}}^*\omega_{\mathcal{H}} = \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^*\omega_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$, i.e., F is balanced. \square

For simplicity, we set

$$S_{\alpha\gamma\bar{\lambda}} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{\gamma}(G_{\alpha\bar{\lambda}}) - \delta_{\alpha}(G_{\gamma\bar{\lambda}})), \tag{4.10}$$

$$\mathfrak{S} = S_{\alpha\gamma\bar{\lambda}} dz^{\alpha} \wedge dz^{\gamma} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\nu}. \tag{4.11}$$

Now we introduce a notation

$$\mathfrak{S} \circ \overline{\mathfrak{S}} := G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} G^{\bar{\lambda}\gamma} S_{\alpha\gamma\bar{\nu}} \overline{S_{\beta\lambda\bar{\mu}}} dz^{\mu} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\nu}. \tag{4.12}$$

Then

$$G^{\bar{\nu}\mu}(\mathfrak{S} \circ \overline{\mathfrak{S}})_{\mu\bar{\nu}} = \langle \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{S} \circ \overline{\mathfrak{S}}, \omega_{\mathcal{H}} \rangle_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} = \langle \mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S} \rangle_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}}. \tag{4.13}$$

By (3.14), it is easy to check that

$$K_{\mu\bar{\nu}} = R_{\mu\bar{\nu}} - (\mathfrak{S} \circ \bar{\mathfrak{S}})_{\mu\bar{\nu}}, \quad (4.14)$$

$$s_{\nabla^c} = s_{\nabla} - \langle \mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S} \rangle_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}}. \quad (4.15)$$

By Theorem 4.4 and equations (4.14), (4.15), we obtain the following theorem immediately.

Theorem 4.5. *Let (M, F) be a compact strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler manifold. Then*

$$\mathbf{Ric}_D - \mathbf{Ric}_{\nabla^c} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mathcal{H}} \partial_{\mathcal{H}}^* \omega_{\mathcal{H}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \omega_{\mathcal{H}}) + \sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{S} \circ \bar{\mathfrak{S}}, \quad (4.16)$$

$$s_D - s_{\nabla^c} = \frac{1}{2} \langle \partial_{\mathcal{H}} \partial_{\mathcal{H}}^* \omega_{\mathcal{H}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \omega_{\mathcal{H}}, \omega_{\mathcal{H}} \rangle_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} + \langle \mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S} \rangle_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}}. \quad (4.17)$$

Hence, the following four statements are equivalent:

- (a) F is a Kähler-Finsler metric;
- (b) $\mathbf{Ric}_D = \mathbf{Ric}_{\nabla^c}$;
- (c) $\int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} s_{\nabla} d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} = \int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} s_{\nabla^c} d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}}$;
- (d) $\int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} s_D d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} = \int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} s_{\nabla^c} d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}}$.

5 A sufficient condition for balanced complex Finsler manifolds to be Kähler-Finsler

First, we response the question raised in subsection 2.3 when the complex manifold is compact. Now we give a sufficient condition for a compact Rund Kähler-Finsler-like manifold to be Kähler-Finsler.

Theorem 5.1. *Let (M, F) be a compact Rund Kähler-Finsler-like manifold. If there is a positive horizontal $(n-2, n-2)$ form φ on $\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}$ such that $\partial_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}} \varphi = 0$, then F is a Kähler-Finsler metric. In particular, if $\partial_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}} \omega_{\mathcal{H}}^{n-2} = 0$, then F is a Kähler-Finsler metric.*

Proof. A direct computation shows

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}} \omega_{\mathcal{H}} &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4} [\delta_{\mu} \delta_{\bar{\beta}} (G_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}) + \delta_{\alpha} \delta_{\bar{\nu}} (G_{\mu\bar{\beta}}) - \delta_{\alpha} \delta_{\bar{\beta}} (G_{\mu\bar{\nu}}) - \delta_{\mu} \delta_{\bar{\nu}} (G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})] \\ &\quad dz^{\alpha} \wedge dz^{\mu} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\beta} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

When F is Rund Kähler-Finsler-like, a routine calculation implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\delta_\mu \delta_{\bar{\beta}} (G_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}) - \delta_\mu \delta_{\bar{\nu}} (G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})] + [\delta_\alpha \delta_{\bar{\nu}} (G_{\mu\bar{\beta}}) - \delta_\alpha \delta_{\bar{\beta}} (G_{\mu\bar{\nu}})] \\
&= \delta_\mu \left[\left(\Gamma_{\bar{\nu};\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\lambda}} - \Gamma_{\bar{\beta};\bar{\nu}}^{\bar{\lambda}} \right) G_{\alpha\bar{\lambda}} \right] + \delta_\alpha \left[\left(\Gamma_{\bar{\beta};\bar{\nu}}^{\bar{\lambda}} - \Gamma_{\bar{\nu};\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\lambda}} \right) G_{\mu\bar{\lambda}} \right] \\
&= 2 [\delta_\alpha (G_{\mu\bar{\lambda}}) - \delta_\mu (G_{\alpha\bar{\lambda}})] \overline{S_{\beta\nu}^\lambda} \\
&= -4 G_{\gamma\bar{\lambda}} S_{\alpha\mu}^\gamma \overline{S_{\beta\nu}^\lambda}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (5.1) that

$$\sqrt{-1} \partial_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}} \omega_{\mathcal{H}} = G_{\gamma\bar{\lambda}} S_{\alpha\mu}^\gamma \overline{S_{\beta\nu}^\lambda} dz^\alpha \wedge dz^\mu \wedge d\bar{z}^\beta \wedge d\bar{z}^\nu. \quad (5.2)$$

Notice that $G_{\gamma\bar{\lambda}} S_{\alpha\mu}^\gamma \overline{S_{\beta\nu}^\lambda} dz^\alpha \wedge dz^\mu \wedge d\bar{z}^\beta \wedge d\bar{z}^\nu$ is a global nonnegative horizontal $(2, 2)$ -form defined on $\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}$ and vanishes if and only if F is horizontal torsion-free. Suppose M is compact and there is a positive horizontal form $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^{n-2, n-2}$ such that $\partial_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}} \varphi = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\sqrt{-1} \omega_{\mathcal{H}}, \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \partial_{\mathcal{H}}^* \varphi)_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} \\
&= (\sqrt{-1} \partial_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}} \omega_{\mathcal{H}}, * \varphi)_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} \sqrt{-1} \partial_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}} \omega_{\mathcal{H}} \wedge \varphi \wedge \frac{\omega_{\mathcal{V}}^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \partial_{\mathcal{H}}^* \varphi = * \partial_{\mathcal{H}} * * \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}} * * \varphi = - * \partial_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}} \varphi = 0.$$

Hence, $\sqrt{-1} \partial_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}} \omega_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$. It follows from (5.2) that $S_{\alpha\mu}^\gamma = 0$, or equivalently, F is a Kähler-Finsler metric. \square

From the above theorem, we can see every compact Rund Kähler-Finsler-like surface must be Kähler-Finsler. Now we explore the relation between Rund Kähler-Finsler-like metrics and balanced complex Finsler metrics on a compact complex manifold.

Theorem 5.2. *Let (M, F) be a Rund Kähler-Finsler-like manifold. If M is compact, then F is a balanced complex Finsler metric.*

Proof. Suppose M is compact. Let $X = X^\alpha \delta_\alpha$ be a horizontal vector field on $\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}$. We define the divergence of X with respect to $d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}}$ by

$$(\operatorname{div} X) d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} = \mathcal{L}_X d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}}, \quad (5.3)$$

where \mathcal{L}_X is the Lie derivative along X with respect to the volume form $d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}}$. We denote by $i(X)$ the inter product operator. By [22], we have

$$(\operatorname{div} X) d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} = d(i(X) d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}}), \quad (5.4)$$

$$\operatorname{div} X = \delta_\alpha (X^\alpha) + X^\alpha \Gamma_{o;\alpha}^o. \quad (5.5)$$

We define a horizontal (1,0) vector field

$$\uparrow \mathcal{S} = G^{\bar{\nu}\alpha} S_{\bar{\nu}} \delta_\alpha. \quad (5.6)$$

By (5.5), we have

$$\operatorname{div} (\uparrow \mathcal{S}) = G^{\bar{\nu}\alpha} \delta_\alpha (S_{\bar{\nu}}) - 2G^{\bar{\nu}\alpha} S_\alpha S_{\bar{\nu}}. \quad (5.7)$$

Integrating on both sides of equality (5.7) on $\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}$ together with (5.4) yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} G^{\bar{\nu}\alpha} [\delta_\alpha (S_{\bar{\nu}}) - 2S_\alpha S_{\bar{\nu}}] d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} = 0.$$

When F is Rund Kähler-Finsler-like, we have $\delta_\alpha (S_{\bar{\nu}}) = 0$ and $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S})_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} = 0$. Thus every $S_\alpha = 0$, that is F is balanced. \square

Remark 5.3. *From the above proof, we can see a compact strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler manifold is balanced if and only if $\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{S} = 0$.*

Next, we response the question raised in subsection 2.2 when the complex manifold is compact. We obtain the following result:

Theorem 5.4. *Let (M, F) be a balanced complex Finsler manifold. Then F is a Kähler-Finsler metric, if and only if*

$$\langle \partial_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}} \omega_{\mathcal{H}}, \omega_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \rangle_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} = 0.$$

Proof. We need only to prove the sufficiency. By (5.1), we have

$$\langle \partial_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}} \omega_{\mathcal{H}}, \omega_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \rangle_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} = \sqrt{-1} G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} G^{\bar{\nu}\mu} [\delta_\mu \delta_{\bar{\beta}} (G_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}) + \delta_\alpha \delta_{\bar{\nu}} (G_{\mu\bar{\beta}}) - \delta_\alpha \delta_{\bar{\beta}} (G_{\mu\bar{\nu}}) - \delta_\mu \delta_{\bar{\nu}} (G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})].$$

Then the condition $\langle \partial_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}} \omega_{\mathcal{H}}, \omega_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \rangle_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} = 0$ is equivalent to

$$G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} G^{\bar{\nu}\mu} [\delta_\mu \delta_{\bar{\beta}} (G_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}) + \delta_\alpha \delta_{\bar{\nu}} (G_{\mu\bar{\beta}}) - \delta_\alpha \delta_{\bar{\beta}} (G_{\mu\bar{\nu}}) - \delta_\mu \delta_{\bar{\nu}} (G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})] = 0. \quad (5.8)$$

By the balanced condition, we have

$$G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} [\delta_\mu \delta_{\bar{\beta}} (G_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}) - \delta_\mu \delta_{\bar{\nu}} (G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})] = 2G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} G^{\bar{\lambda}\gamma} \delta_\mu (G_{\alpha\bar{\lambda}}) \overline{S_{\nu\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}}}. \quad (5.9)$$

Exchanging indices $\alpha, \bar{\beta}$ with $\mu, \bar{\nu}$, respectively, implies

$$G^{\bar{\nu}\mu} [\delta_\alpha \delta_{\bar{\nu}} (G_{\mu\bar{\beta}}) - \delta_\alpha \delta_{\bar{\beta}} (G_{\mu\bar{\nu}})] = 2G^{\bar{\nu}\mu} \delta_\alpha (G_{\mu\bar{\lambda}}) G^{\bar{\lambda}\gamma} \overline{S_{\beta\nu\bar{\gamma}}}. \quad (5.10)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} G^{\bar{\nu}\mu} [\delta_\mu \delta_{\bar{\beta}} (G_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}) + \delta_\alpha \delta_{\bar{\nu}} (G_{\mu\bar{\beta}}) - \delta_\alpha \delta_{\bar{\beta}} (G_{\mu\bar{\nu}}) - \delta_\mu \delta_{\bar{\nu}} (G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})] \\ &= -4G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} G^{\bar{\nu}\mu} G^{\bar{\lambda}\gamma} S_{\alpha\mu\bar{\lambda}} \overline{S_{\beta\nu\bar{\gamma}}} = -4\langle \mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S} \rangle_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.8), we have

$$(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S})_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} = \int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} \langle \mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S} \rangle_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} = 0$$

Hence, $S_{\alpha\mu\bar{\lambda}} = 0$ and F is a Kähler-Finsler metric. \square

Now we give two examples of balanced complex Finsler metrics (resp. Rund Kähler-Finsler-like metrics).

Example 5.5. (Szabó metric [9, 17, 18]) *Let M_1, M_2 be two complex manifolds with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M_1 = n$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M_2 = m$, and $z = (z_1, z_2) \in M_1 \times M_2$ and $v = (v_1, v_2) \in T_{z_1}^{1,0} M_1 \oplus T_{z_2}^{1,0} M_2$ be the local complex coordinates on $M_1 \times M_2$ and the fiber coordinates on $T_{(z_1, z_2)}^{1,0}(M_1 \times M_2)$, respectively. One can define the Szabó metric on $M_1 \times M_2$ by*

$$F_\varepsilon = \sqrt{h_1^2(z_1, v_1) + h_2^2(z_2, v_2) + \varepsilon \left((h_1(z_1, v_1))^{2k} + (h_2(z_2, v_2))^{2k} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}}}, \quad (5.11)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$, $k > 0$, are constants, and h_1 and h_2 are Hermitian metrics on M_1 and M_2 , respectively.

It was proved that F_ε is a strongly pseudoconvex metric on $M_1 \times M_2$, and that F_ε is a Kähler-Finsler metric if and only if h_1 and h_2 are both Kähler metrics on M_1 and M_2 , respectively. We denote by ${}^{M_1}\Gamma_{\beta;\mu}^\alpha$ and ${}^{M_2}\Gamma_{j;k}^i$ the Chern connection coefficients of h_1 and h_2 , respectively, where lowercase Greek indices run from 1 to n and lowercase Latin indices run from 1 to m . The horizontal connection coefficients of the Chern-Finsler connection associated to F_ε are [9]

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\beta;\mu}^\alpha &= {}^{M_1}\Gamma_{\beta;\mu}^\alpha, \quad \Gamma_{j+n;k+n}^{i+n} = {}^{M_2}\Gamma_{j;k}^i, \\ \Gamma_{j+n;\mu}^\alpha &= \Gamma_{\beta;k+n}^\alpha = \Gamma_{j+n;k+n}^\alpha = \Gamma_{\beta;\mu}^{i+n} = \Gamma_{j+n;\mu}^{i+n} = \Gamma_{\beta;k+n}^{i+n} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

Hence, $S_\alpha = {}^{M_1}S_\alpha$, $S_{i+n} = {}^{M_2}S_i$. Notice that the horizontal connection coefficients of the Chern-Finsler connection associated to F_ε depend only on the base manifold coordinates, we have

$$\hat{\Omega}_{\beta;\mu\bar{\nu}}^\alpha = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^\nu} \left({}^{M_1}\Gamma_{\beta;\mu}^\alpha \right), \quad \hat{\Omega}_{j+n;k+n\bar{l}+n}^{i+n} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{l+n}} \left({}^{M_2}\Gamma_{j;k}^i \right),$$

and the other horizontal curvature terms of the Rund connection are zero. Hence

Proposition 5.6. *The symbols put as above. Suppose that M_1 and M_2 are both compact complex manifold. Then*

- (i) F_ε is a balanced complex Finsler metric if and only if h_1 and h_2 are balanced metrics on M_1 and M_2 , respectively.
- (ii) F_ε is a Rund Kähler-Finsler-like metric if and only if h_1 and h_2 are Kähler-like metrics on M_1 and M_2 , respectively.

By analogy with the Randers metrics in real Finsler geometry, Aldea and Munteanu introduced complex Randers metrics.

Example 5.7. (complex Randers metric [3, 7, 19]) *Let $\alpha(z, v) = \sqrt{h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(z)v^\alpha\bar{v}^\beta}$ be a Hermitian metric on a complex manifold M and $\beta(z, v) = b_\alpha(z)v^\alpha$ be a $(1, 0)$ -form. The complex Randers metric on M are defined by*

$$F(z, v) = \alpha + |\beta|.$$

It was proved that $F(z, v) = \alpha + |\beta|$ is a strongly pseudoconvex (even strongly convex) complex Finsler metric. Moreover, if $\beta \otimes \bar{\beta}$ is parallel with respect to α , then $\Gamma_{\beta;\mu}^\alpha = \gamma_{\beta;\mu}^\alpha$, where $\gamma_{\beta;\mu}^\alpha$ are the Chern connection coefficients of α . Hence

Proposition 5.8. *The symbols put as above. Suppose that $\beta \otimes \bar{\beta}$ is parallel with respect to α . Then*

- (i) $F = \alpha + |\beta|$ is a balanced complex Finsler metric if and only if α is a balanced metric.
- (ii) $F = \alpha + |\beta|$ is a Rund Kähler-Finsler-like metric if and only if α is a Kähler-like metric.

6 Conformal transformations of a balanced complex Finsler manifold

Suppose that $\tilde{F}(z, v) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\rho(z)}F(z, v)$ is a conformal metric of a strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler metric F , where ρ is a smooth real function on M . Set $\tilde{G} = \tilde{F}^2$ and $\tilde{G}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \partial_\alpha\partial_{\bar{\beta}}(\tilde{G})$. From now on, we denote by an extra symbol “ \sim ” the corresponding quantities with respect to the conformal metric $\tilde{F}(z, v)$.

Lemma 6.1. [2] *Suppose $\tilde{F}(z, v) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\rho(z)}F(z, v)$ is a conformal metric of a strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler metric F . Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Gamma}_{\beta}^\alpha &= \Gamma_{\beta}^\alpha + \rho_{;\beta}v^\alpha, & \tilde{\delta}_\beta &= \delta_\beta - \rho_{;\beta}v^\alpha, \\ \tilde{\Gamma}_{\beta;\gamma}^\alpha &= \Gamma_{\beta;\gamma}^\alpha + \delta_\beta^\alpha\rho_{;\gamma}, & \tilde{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha &= \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha,\end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_{;\beta} = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z^\beta}$, and $\iota = v^\alpha \dot{\partial}_\alpha$ is the radial vertical vector field associated to F .

Theorem 6.2. *On a compact complex manifold M , there exists at most one balanced complex Finsler metric (up to constant multiples) in each conformal class of complex Finsler metrics. If (M, F) is a non-compact Rund Kähler-Finsler-like manifold, then the conformal metric $\tilde{F}(z, v) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\rho(z)}F(z, v)$ is Rund Kähler-Finsler-like if and only if $\partial\bar{\partial}\rho = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that F is a balanced complex Finsler metric on a compact complex manifold, and $\tilde{F}(z, v) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\rho(z)}F(z, v)$ is a conformal transformation of F . By equality (3.4) in [10], we have

$$\tilde{S}_\alpha = S_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}(1-n)\rho_{;\alpha}. \quad (6.1)$$

If \tilde{F} is also balanced, then $\tilde{S}_\alpha = S_\alpha = 0$ and $\rho_{;\alpha} = 0$, i.e., ρ is a constant.

Now assume that (M, F) is a non-compact strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler manifold. Noting that $S_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$ is $(0, 0)$ homogeneous with respect to the fiber coordinate v , we have $\iota(S_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha) = 0$. By Lemma 6.1, we have

$$\tilde{\delta}_{\bar{v}}(\tilde{S}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha) = \delta_{\bar{v}}(S_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha) + \frac{1}{2}(\delta_\beta^\alpha \rho_{;\gamma\bar{v}} - \delta_\gamma^\alpha \rho_{;\beta\bar{v}}). \quad (6.2)$$

If F is Rund Kähler-Finsler-like, then the conformal metric \tilde{F} is Rund Kähler-Finsler-like if and only if $\delta_\beta^\alpha \rho_{;\gamma\bar{v}} - \delta_\gamma^\alpha \rho_{;\beta\bar{v}} = 0$. Contracting on indices α and β , it yields $(n-1)\rho_{;\gamma\bar{v}} = 0$, which is equivalent to $\partial\bar{\partial}\rho = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 6.3. *Obviously, the equality $\partial\bar{\partial}\rho = 0$ implies that ρ is a harmonic function, however, the converse is not true. Especially for $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = 2$, it is easy to check that $\rho_1(z_1, z_2) = x_1x_2 - y_1y_2$ and $\rho_2(z_1, z_2) = x_1y_2 + y_1x_2$ both satisfy $\partial\bar{\partial}\rho = 0$.*

Theorem 6.4. *On a compact strongly pseudoconvex complex Finsler manifold (M, F) , the conformal metric $\tilde{F}(z, v) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\rho(z)}F(z, v)$ is balanced, if and only if*

$$\mathbf{Ric}_D - \mathbf{Ric}_\nabla = (n-1)\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\rho, \quad (6.3)$$

if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} (s_D - s_\nabla) d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} = (n-1)(\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\rho, \omega_{\mathcal{H}})_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}}. \quad (6.4)$$

Proof. By Lemma 6.1 and equalitis (2.23), (6.1), we obtain

$$\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \omega_{\mathcal{H}} + (n-1)\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\rho. \quad (6.5)$$

Therefore

$$\bar{\partial}_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}^* \omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \omega_{\mathcal{H}} - (n-1) \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \rho \quad (6.6)$$

and

$$\partial_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}^* \omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = \partial_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \omega_{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (6.7)$$

If \tilde{F} is balanced, i.e., $\bar{\partial}_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}^* \omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = 0$, then

$$\partial_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \omega_{\mathcal{H}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \omega_{\mathcal{H}} = 2(n-1) \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \rho. \quad (6.8)$$

It follows from (4.5) and (6.8) that (6.3) holds. On the other hand, suppose that (6.3) holds. By (4.5) and (6.6), we obtain $\partial_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}^* \omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} + \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}^* \omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = 0$. Compactness can reduce $\partial_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}^* \omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}^* \omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 6.5. *Suppose that (M, F) is a balanced complex Finsler manifold with positive Chern-Finsler scalar curvature. Then the conformal metric $\tilde{F}(z, v) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\rho(z)} F(z, v)$ owns positive Chern-Finsler total scalar curvature, that is*

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} \tilde{s}_{\tilde{D}} d\tilde{\mu}_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} > 0. \quad (6.9)$$

Proof. By Lemma 6.1, a direct computation shows

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \Omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - n\rho_{;\alpha\bar{\beta}}, \quad (6.10)$$

and then

$$\tilde{s}_{\tilde{D}} = e^{-\rho} \left(s_D - nG^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} \rho_{;\alpha\bar{\beta}} \right). \quad (6.11)$$

Note that [8]

$$d\tilde{\mu}_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} = e^{n\rho} d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}},$$

thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} \tilde{s}_{\tilde{D}} d\tilde{\mu}_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} = \int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} e^{(n-1)\rho} \left(s_D - nG^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} \rho_{;\alpha\bar{\beta}} \right) d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}}. \quad (6.12)$$

By the balanced condition, we have

$$\operatorname{div} \left(\uparrow \partial e^{(n-1)\rho} \right) = G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} (e^{(n-1)\rho})_{;\alpha\bar{\beta}}. \quad (6.13)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& n \int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} e^{(n-1)\rho} G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} \rho_{;\alpha\bar{\beta}} d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} \\
&= \frac{n}{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} G^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} \left[\left(e^{(n-1)\rho} \right)_{;\alpha\bar{\beta}} - (n-1)^2 e^{(n-1)\rho} \rho_{;\alpha} \rho_{;\bar{\beta}} \right] d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} \\
&= \frac{n}{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} \left[\operatorname{div} \left(\uparrow \partial e^{(n-1)\rho} \right) - 4 \left\langle \partial e^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)\rho}, \partial e^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)\rho} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} \right] d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} \\
&= - \frac{4n}{n-1} \left(\partial e^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)\rho}, \partial e^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)\rho} \right)_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} \tilde{s}_{\tilde{D}} d\tilde{\mu}_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} = \int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} e^{(n-1)\rho} s_D d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} + \frac{4n}{n-1} \left(\partial e^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)\rho}, \partial e^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)\rho} \right)_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}}. \quad (6.14)$$

If $s_D > 0$, then $\int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} \tilde{s}_{\tilde{D}} d\tilde{\mu}_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} \geq \int_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} e^{(n-1)\rho} s_D d\mu_{\mathbb{P}\tilde{M}} > 0$. \square

Acknowledgements: This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12001165, 12071386, 11701494), the Nanhu Scholars Program for Young Scholars of Xinyang Normal University and the Key Research Project of Henan Higher Education Institutions(China) (No. 22A110021).

References

- [1] Abate, M., Patrizio, G.: Finsler Metrics-A Global Approach with Applications to Geometric Function Theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1591. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg (1994)
- [2] Aldea, N.: On the curvature of the $(g.E.)$ conformal complex Finsler metrics. Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie, **48**, 7–23 (2005)
- [3] Aldea, N., Munteanu, G.: On complex Finsler spaces with Randers metric. J. Korean Math. Soc., **46**, 949–966 (2009)
- [4] Balas, A.: Compact Hermitian manifolds of constant holomorphic sectional curvature. Math. Z., **189**, 193–210 (1985)
- [5] Bao, D., Chern, S., Shen, Z.: An Introduction to Riemann-Finsler Geometry. Springer-Verlag, New York (2000)

- [6] Chen, B., Shen, Y.: Kähler-Finsler metrics are actually strongly Kähler. *Chin. Ann. Math. Ser B*, **30**(2), 173–178 (2009)
- [7] Chen, B., Shen, Y.: On complex Randers Metrics. *Inter. J. Math.*, **21**(8), 971–986 (2010)
- [8] Chen, B., Shen, Y., Zhao, L.: Kähler-Finsler metrics and conformal deformations. *Isr. J. Math.*, **248**, 355–382 (2022)
- [9] Chen, Y., Yan, R., The Szabó metric on the product of complex manifolds. *Acta Math. Sinica (Chinese Ser.)*, **50**(4), 801–804 (2007)
- [10] Li, H., Qiu, C., Xia, H. et al: On conformal complex Finsler metrics. *Sci. China Math.*, **65**(7), 1517–1530 (2022)
- [11] Li, H., Qiu, C.: A note on holomorphic sectional curvature of a hermitian manifold. *Glasgow Math. J.*, **64**(3), 739–745 (2022)
- [12] He, J., Liu, K., Yang, X.: Levi-Civita Ricci-flat metrics on compact complex manifolds. *J. Geom. Anal.*, **30**(1), 646–666 (2020)
- [13] Kobayashi, S.: Negative vector bundles and complex Finsler structures. *Nagoya Math. J.*, **57**, 153–166 (1975)
- [14] Munteanu, G.: *Complex Spaces in Finsler, Lagrange and Hamilton Geometries*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (2004)
- [15] Rund, H.: The curvature theory of direction-dependent connections on complex manifolds. *Tensor NS*, **24**, 189–205 (1972)
- [16] Sun, L., Zhong, C.: Characterization of complex Finsler connections and weakly complex Berwald metrics. *Differ. Geom. Appl.*, **31**, 648–671 (2013)
- [17] Xia, H., Zhong, C., On strongly convex weakly Kähler-Finsler metrics of constant flag curvture, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **443**, 891–912 (2016)
- [18] Xia, H., Zhong, C., On product complex Finsler manifolds, *Turk. J. Math.*, **43**, 422–438 (2019)
- [19] Xia, H., Zhong, C., A remark on complex Randers metrics, *Acta Math. Sci.*, **41B**(3), 670–678 (2021)

- [20] Xiao, J., Zhong, T., Qiu, C.: Bochner technique on strong Kähler-Finsler manifolds. *Acta Math. Sci.*, **30**(1), 89–106 (2010)
- [21] Yang, B., Zheng, F.: On curvature tensors of Hermitian manifolds. *Commun. Anal. Geom.*, **26**(5), 1195–1222 (2018)
- [22] Zhong, C.: A vanishing theorem on Kähler-Finsler manifolds. *Differ. Geom. Appl.*, **27**, 551–565 (2009)
- [23] Zhong, C., Zhong, T.: Mean-value Laplacian for (p, q) -forms on strongly Kähler-Finsler manifolds. *Adv. Math. (China)*, **33**(2), 152–164 (2004)
- [24] Zhong, C., Zhong, T.: Hodge decomposition theorem on strongly Kähler-Finsler manifolds. *Sci. China Ser A*, **49**(11), 1696–1714 (2006)