arXiv:2111.00421v3 [math.PR] 16 Aug 2023

Large deviations for random hives and the spectrum of the sum of two random matrices

Hariharan Narayanan[1], **and Scott Sheffield**[2]

[1] *School of Technology and Computer Science, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, e-mail:* hariharan.narayanan@tifr.res.in

[2] *Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, e-mail:* sheffield@math.mit.edu

Abstract:

Suppose α, β are Lipschitz, strongly concave functions from $[0,1]$ to $\mathbb{R}$ and γ is a concave function from $[0,1]$ to $\mathbb{R}$, such that $\alpha(0) = \gamma(0) = 0$, and $\alpha(1) = \beta(0) = 0$ and $\beta(1) = \gamma(1) = 0$. For an $n \times n$ Hermitian matrix W, let $\mathrm{spec}(W)$ denote the vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$ whose coordinates are the eigenvalues of W listed in non-increasing order. Let $\lambda = \partial^- \alpha$, $\mu = \partial^- \beta$ on $(0,1]$ and $\nu = \partial^- \gamma$, at all points of $(0,1]$, where ∂^- is the left derivative. Let $\lambda_n(i) := n^2(\alpha(\frac{i}{n}) - \alpha(\frac{i-1}{n}))$, for $i \in [n]$, and similarly, $\mu_n(i) := n^2(\beta(\frac{i}{n}) - \beta(\frac{i-1}{n}))$, and $\nu_n(i) := n^2(\gamma(\frac{i}{n}) - \gamma(\frac{i-1}{n}))$.

Let X_n, Y_n be independent random Hermitian matrices from unitarily invariant distributions with spectra λ_n, μ_n respectively. We define norm $\|\cdot\|_I$ to correspond in a certain way to the sup norm of an antiderivative. We prove that the following limit exists.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\ln \mathbb{P}\left[\|\mathrm{spec}(X_n + Y_n) - \nu_n\|_I < n^2\epsilon\right]}{n^2}.$$

We interpret this limit in terms of the surface tension σ of continuum limits of the discrete hives defined by Knutson and Tao.

We provide matching large deviation upper and lower bounds for the spectrum of the sum of two random matrices X_n and Y_n, in terms of the surface tension σ mentioned above.

We also prove large deviation principles for random hives with α and β that are C^2, where the rate function can be interpreted in terms of the maximizer of a functional that is the sum of a term related to the free energy of hives associated with α, β and γ and a quantity related to logarithms of Vandermonde determinants associated with γ.

MSC2020 subject classifications: Primary 60F10, 60B20; secondary 82B41.

Keywords and phrases: Large deviations, Random matrices, Random surfaces.

Contents

1 Introduction . . . 2
1.1 Motivation from Representation Theory and Random Matrices . 2
2 Preliminaries . . . 6
2.1 Large deviations principle . . . 12
2.2 Periodic boundary conditions . . . 12
2.3 Hives . . . 12
3 Convex geometry . . . 14
3.1 Volume of the polytope $P_n(s)$ . . . 15
4 Uniform integrability and submartingale convergence . . . 26
5 Lower bound . . . 31
6 Upper bound . . . 45
7 Large deviations for random hives . . . 58
8 Large deviations for the spectrum of the sum of two random matrices 69
9 Open problems . . . 72
Acknowledgements . . . 72
References . . . 72

1. Introduction

1.1. Motivation from Representation Theory and Random Matrices

Littlewood-Richardson coefficients play an important role in the representation theory of the general linear groups. Among other interpretations, they count the number of tilings of certain domains using squares and equilateral triangles [29]. Let λ, μ, ν be vectors in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ whose entries are non-increasing non-negative integers. Let the ℓ_1 norm of a vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be denoted $|\alpha|$ and let

$$|\lambda| + |\mu| = |\nu|.$$

Take an equilateral triangle Δ of side 1. Tessellate it with equilateral triangles of side $1/n$. Assign boundary values to Δ as in Figure 1.1; Clockwise, assign the values $0, \lambda_1, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2, \ldots, |\lambda|, |\lambda| + \mu_1, \ldots, |\lambda| + |\mu|$. Then anticlockwise, on the horizontal side, assign

$$0, \nu_1, \nu_1 + \nu_2, \ldots, |\nu|.$$

Knutson and Tao defined this hive model for Littlewood-Richardson coefficients in [17]. They showed that the Littlewood-Richardson coefficient $c^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}$ is given by the number of ways of assigning integer values to the interior nodes of the triangle, such that the piecewise linear extension to the interior of Δ is a concave function f from Δ to $\mathbb{R}$. Such an integral "hive" f can be described as an integer point in a certain polytope known as a hive polytope. The volumes of these polytopes shed light on the asymptotics of Littlewood-Richardson coefficients [16, 22, 24, 25]. Additionally, they appear in certain calculations in free probability [18, 7]. Indeed, the volume of the polytope of all real hives with




Fig 1.1: Values taken at interior vertices in the hive model

fixed boundaries λ, μ, ν is equal, up to known multiplicative factors involving Vandermonde determinants, to the probability density of obtaining a Hermitian matrix with spectrum ν when two independent Haar random Hermitian matrices with spectra λ and μ are added [18]. The relation was stated in an explicit form in [7] and has been reproduced here in (2.6).

We refer the reader to [8] for background on hive polytopes and the Horn problem.

Corresponding to every real hive, is a gadget known as a honeycomb, which is a hexagonal tiling. The positions of the lines corresponding to the semi-infinite rays are fixed by the boundary data λ, μ and ν, with each segment being parallel to one of the sides of a regular hexagon. One obtains a random honeycomb from a random hive by mapping the gradient of the hive on each of the unit equilateral triangles to a point in $\mathbb{R}^2$. This point becomes a vertex of the honeycomb.

In this paper, we will primarily be studying limits of hives of increasing size whose boundary conditions remain roughly the same, beyond the necessary modifications entailed by the increasing size. For this reason, we will now introduce new notation.

Suppose α, β are Lipschitz strongly concave functions[1] from $[0,1]$ to $\mathbb{R}$ and γ is a concave function from $[0,1]$ to $\mathbb{R}$, such that $\alpha(0) = \gamma(0) = 0$, and $\alpha(1) = \beta(0) = 0$ and $\beta(1) = \gamma(1) = 0$. For a $n \times n$ Hermitian matrix W, let $\mathrm{spec}(W)$ denote the vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$ whose coordinates are the eigenvalues of W listed in non-increasing order. Let $\lambda = \partial^- \alpha$, $\mu = \partial^- \beta$ on $(0,1]$ and $\nu = \partial^- \gamma$, at all points

[1] We assume strong concavity, i. e. that there exists a positive δ such that $\alpha + \delta x^2$ and $\beta + \delta x^2$ are concave. Without some such assumption, the asymptotic volumes of certain polytopes (corresponding to the set of "augmented hives" that will be defined in Definition 6) that appear as normalization factors in (1.1) can have zero volume, leading to degeneracies.




Fig 1.2: A honeycomb, from Knutson and Tao [18]

of $(0,1]$, where ∂^- is the left derivative, which is monotonically decreasing. Let $\lambda_n(i) := n^2(\alpha(\frac{i}{n}) - \alpha(\frac{i-1}{n}))$, for $i \in [n]$, and similarly, $\mu_n(i) := n^2(\beta(\frac{i}{n}) - \beta(\frac{i-1}{n}))$, and $\nu_n(i) := n^2(\gamma(\frac{i}{n}) - \gamma(\frac{i-1}{n}))$.

We define the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_I$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ as follows. Given $\lambda_n(1) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n(n)$, such that $\sum_i \lambda_n(i) = 0$, let $\|\lambda_n\|_I$ be defined to be the supremum over all $1 \leq i \leq n$ of $\lambda_n(1) + \cdots + \lambda_n(i)$. Let $\mathbf{1}$ denote the vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$ whose coordinates are all 1. Given any vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $v - (n^{-1})\mathbf{1}\langle v, \mathbf{1}\rangle$ has coordinates that are a permutation of $\lambda_n(1), \ldots, \lambda_n(n)$, we define $\|v\|_I$ to be the same as $\|\lambda_n\|_I$, and $\|\cdot\|_I$ is readily seen to be a seminorm. We use $\|\cdot\|_I$ to also denote a seminorm on the space of functions of bounded variation (i. e. expressible as the difference of two monotonically decreasing functions) where the value on a given function $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ equals $\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} n^{-2}\|f_n\|_I$. Note that if f is monotonically decreasing, then $\|f\|_I = \lim_{n\to\infty} n^{-2}\|f_n\|_I$.

Let X_n, Y_n be independent random Hermitian matrices from unitarily invariant distributions with spectra λ_n, μ_n respectively. We wish to obtain an asymptotic expression for the probability that

$$n^{-2}\|\mathrm{spec}(X_n + Y_n) - \nu_n\|_I \leq \epsilon.$$

More precisely, we wish to prove that the following limit exists.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\ln \mathbb{P}\left[n^{-2}\|\mathrm{spec}(X_n + Y_n) - \nu_n\|_I < \epsilon\right]}{n^2}. \tag{1.1}$$

We will interpret this limit in terms of the entropy of certain scaling limits of

hives (or equivalently honeycombs) introduced by Knutson and Tao [17, 18]. In particular, if there exists concave surface satisfying certain conditions, on an equilateral triangle in $\mathbb{R}^2$, with boundary conditions derived from α, β, γ, we will show that the expression in (1.1) can be interpreted in terms of the maximizer of a functional that is the sum of a term related to the free energy of honeycombs associated with α, β and γ and a quantity related to logarithms of Vandermonde determinants associated with γ.

The question of understanding the spectrum of $X_n + Y_n$, in the setting of large deviations has been studied before in [1], where upper and lower bounds were given which agreed for measures that corresponded to free products with amalgamation (see Theorem 1.3, [1]), but not in general. In this paper, in the case where λ and μ have integrals that are strongly concave, we provide matching upper and lower bounds in terms of a certain surface tension σ in Theorem 10. This theorem states the following.

Let $I(\gamma)$ be defined by (8.1).

Let X_n, Y_n be independent random Hermitian matrices from unitarily invariant distributions with spectra λ_n, μ_n respectively. Let $Z_n = X_n + Y_n$. Given a monotonically decreasing sequence of n numbers λ_n whose sum is 0, we define $\iota_n(\lambda_n)$ to be the piecewise linear concave function α from $[0,1]$ to $\mathbb{R}$ such $\alpha(i/n) - \alpha((i-1)/n) = \lambda_n(i)/n^2$ for each $i \in [n]$, and $\alpha(0) = 0$. For any Borel set $E \subset L^\infty[0,1]$, let $\mathcal{P}_n(E) := \mathbb{P}_n[\iota_n(\mathrm{spec}(Z_n)) \in E]$. Let $a_n := \frac{n^2}{2}$. For each Borel measurable set $E \subset L^\infty[0,1]$,

$$-\inf_{\gamma \in E^\circ} I(\gamma) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1} \log(\mathcal{P}_n(E)) \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1} \log(\mathcal{P}_n(E)) \leq -\inf_{\gamma \in \overline{E}} I(\gamma).$$

We also study the related geometric question of the shape of the restriction of a random augmented hive to the upper triangle (see Figure 2.2) as $n \to \infty$. If λ and μ are C^1, Theorem 8 provides a large deviation principle for the shape of the restriction of a random augmented hive to the upper triangle T. This theorem states the following.

Let $I_1(h)$ be defined by (7.3).

Suppose λ, μ are strongly decreasing C^1 functions. Given a discrete hive $h_n \in H_n(\lambda_n, \mu_n; \nu_n)$, we define $h' = \iota_n(h_n)$ to be the piecewise linear extension of h_n. This is a map h' from T to $\mathbb{R}$ such that for any $(x,y) \in T \cap (\mathbb{Z}/n \times \mathbb{Z}/n)$, $h'(x,y) = h_n(nx, ny)/n^2$. For any Borel set $E \subset L^\infty(T)$, let $\mathcal{P}_n(E) := \mathbb{P}_n[\iota_n(h_n) \in E]$. Let $a_n := \frac{n^2}{2}$. For each Borel measurable set $E \subset L^\infty(T)$,

$$-\inf_{h \in E^\circ} I_1(h) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1} \log(\mathcal{P}_n(E)) \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1} \log(\mathcal{P}_n(E)) \leq -\inf_{h \in \overline{E}} I_1(h).$$

In order to study the large deviations of random surfaces [30], it has proven beneficial to first examine the situation with periodic boundary conditions as was done by Cohn, Kenyon and Propp in [4] for the case of domino tilings. In our setting, these structures correspond to random periodic honeycombs or equivalently random hives with periodic Hessians, where the periodicity is at a scale that tends to infinity. The results of [23] provide some insight into concentration phenomena for these objects.




Fig 2.1: A visual depiction of the the second order discrete operators Δ_i. A red dot indicates the vertex (v_1, v_2).

2. Preliminaries

We will denote universal constants by c and C, and their precise value may depend upon the occurrence. Let Spec_n denote the cone of all possible vectors $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \cdots \geq x_n,$$

and $\sum_i x_i = 0$. Let $\mathrm{Leb}_{n-1,0}$ denote the $n-1$ dimensional Lebesgue measure on the linear span of Spec_n.

Let $\square$ be the square with vertices $(0,0), (0,1), (1,1), (1,0)$. We consider a $n \times n$ lattice square $\square_n$ with vertices $(0,0)$, $(0,n)$, $(n,0)$ and (n,n). We use $[n]$ to denote $\mathbb{Z} \cap [1,n]$.

Let T be the isosceles right triangle in $\mathbb{R}^2$ whose vertices are $(0,0)$, $(0,1)$ and $(1,1)$. Let T_n be the set of lattice points $nT \cap (\mathbb{Z}^2)$, where n is a positive integer.

Definition 1 (Discrete Hessian and the Δ_i on T_n)**.** *Let $f : T_n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function.*

- *Let $E_0(T_n)$ be the set of all parallelograms $e_0 \subseteq T_n$ whose vertices are $\{(v_1, v_2), (v_1+1, v_2), (v_1+1, v_2+1), (v_1+2, v_2+1)\}$.*
- *Let $E_1(T_n)$ be the set of all parallelograms $e_1 \subseteq T_n$ whose vertices are $\{(v_1, v_2), (v_1+1, v_2), (v_1, v_2+1), (v_1+1, v_2+1)\}$.*
- *Let $E_2(T_n)$ be the set of all parallelograms $e_2 \subseteq T_n$ whose vertices are $\{(v_1, v_2), (v_1+1, v_2+1), (v_1, v_2+1), (v_1+1, v_2+2)\}$.*

We define the discrete Hessian $\nabla^2(f) : E(T_n) \to \mathbb{R}$ to be a real-valued function on the set $E(T_n) = E_0(T_n) \cup E_1(T_n) \cup E_2(T_n)$ and the Δ_i from $\mathbb{R}^{V(T_n)}$ to

$\mathbb{R}^{E_i(T_n)}$ *by*

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^2 f(e_0) := \Delta_0 f(e_0) &:= f(v_1, v_2) - f(v_1+1, v_2) - f(v_1+1, v_2+1) + f(v_1+2, v_2+1).\\ \nabla^2 f(e_1) := \Delta_1 f(e_1) &:= -f(v_1, v_2) + f(v_1+1, v_2) + f(v_1, v_2+1) - f(v_1+1, v_2+1).\\ \nabla^2 f(e_2) := \Delta_2 f(e_2) &:= f(v_1, v_2) - f(v_1+1, v_2+1) - f(v_1, v_2+1) + f(v_1+1, v_2+2).\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Definition 2 (Rhombus concavity)**.** *Given a function $h : T \to \mathbb{R}$, and a positive integer n, let h_n denote the function from T_n to $\mathbb{R}$ such that for $(nx, ny) \in T_n$, $h_n(nx, ny) = n^2 h(x, y)$. A function $h : T \to \mathbb{R}$ is called* **rhombus concave** *if for any positive integer n, and any i, $\Delta_i h_n$ is nonpositive on $E_i(T_n)$, and h is continuous on T. The corresponding function h_n is called* **discrete (rhombus) concave***. Note that a necessary and sufficient condition for a function h_n from T_n to $\mathbb{R}$ to be discrete concave, is that the piecewise linear extension (which we denote $\tilde{h}_n$) of h_n to nT is rhombus concave. Here each piece is an isosceles right triangle with a $\sqrt{2}-$length hypotenuse parallel to the vector $(1, 1)$.*

Lemma 1. *Let $h : T \to \mathbb{R}$ be a rhombus concave function. Let the restrictions of h to the line segment joining $(0, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$ and to the line segment joining $(0, 1)$ and $(1, 1)$ be L-Lipschitz functions. Then h is CL-Lipschitz on T.*

Proof. Let z_1 and z_2 be two points in T with coordinates (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) respectively, where nx_1, ny_1, nx_2, ny_2 and n are integers. We wish to prove that

$$|h(z_1) - h(z_2)| < CL(|x_1 - x_2| + |y_1 - y_2|), \tag{2.2}$$

for some universal constant C that is independent of z_1 and z_2. There is a piecewise linear path involving at most one vertical and at most one horizontal segment with endpoints in $\mathbb{Z}^2/n$, of total length at most 2 (the ℓ_1-diameter of T), joining z_1 and z_2. Therefore it suffices to prove (2.2) in the two special cases when $x_1 = x_2$ and when $y_1 = y_2$. Suppose first that $x_1 = x_2$ and w.l.o.g $y_1 < y_2$. By (2.1) applied to parallelograms in $E_1(T_n)$, we see that

$$h(z_1) - h(z_2) \le h(0, y_1) - h(0, y_2) < L|y_1 - y_2|.$$

Also, by (2.1) applied to parallelograms in $E_2(T_n)$, we see that

$$h(z_2) - h(z_1) \le h(0, y_2 - x_2) - h(0, y_1 - x_1) < L|y_1 - y_2|.$$

Next suppose that $y_1 = y_2$. W.l.o.g assume that $x_1 < x_2$. By (2.1) applied to parallelograms in $E_1(T_n)$, we see that

$$h(z_2) - h(z_1) \le h(x_2, 1) - h(x_1, 1) < L|x_2 - x_1|.$$

Also, by (2.1) applied to parallelograms in $E_0(T_n)$, we see that

$$h(z_1) - h(z_2) \le h(x_1 - y_1 + 1, 1) - h(x_2 - y_2 + 1, 1) < L|x_2 - x_1|.$$

Since h is continuous, the Lipschitz condition for rational points implies the Lipschitz condition for all $z_1, z_2 \in T$. □

Lemma 2. *Any Lipschitz rhombus concave function from T to $\mathbb{R}$ is concave.*

Proof. Let h be a Lipschitz rhombus concave function from T to $\mathbb{R}$. Let $\tilde{h}_n$ be the piecewise linear extension of h_n to nT, as in Definition 2. We observe that the graph of $\tilde{h}_n$ is polyhedral, and at a point where two or more facets meet, it is locally concave. Hence, $\tilde{h}_n$ is a concave function. Since h is Lipschitz, for any $(x, y) \in T$, $h(x, y) = n^{-2} \lim_{n\to\infty} \tilde{h}_n(nx, ny)$. Since the limit of Lipschitz concave functions that converge pointwise, is concave, we conclude that h is a concave function from T to $\mathbb{R}$. □

Given $e \in E_i(T_n)$, let $conv(e)$ denote the (closed) convex hull of e.

Definition 3 ([13], page 212)**.** *A Radon measure, is a measure on the σ-algebra of Borel sets of a Hausdorff topological space X that is finite on all compact sets, outer regular on all Borel sets, and inner regular on open sets.*

Lemma 3. *Given a Lipschitz rhombus concave function $h : T \to \mathbb{R}$, for $i = 0, 1, 2$, there exists a unique nonpositive Radon measure $D_i h$ on T such that for any n, and any parallelogram $e \in E_i(T_n)$, and the corresponding parallelogram $conv(e)/n \subseteq T$,*

$$n^2 \int_{conv(e)/n} D_i h(dx) = n^2 \int_{(conv(e)/n)^o} D_i h(dx) = \nabla^2 h_n(e).$$

Proof. By Lemma 2, h is concave. The existence and uniqueness of a nonpositive definite matrix valued Radon measure that is the Hessian of a concave function are known by Theorem 3.3 [11]. The fact that

$$n^2 \int_{conv(e)/n} D_i h(dx) = n^2 \int_{(conv(e)/n)^o} D_i h(dx)$$

follows from the fact that h is Lipschitz and the inner regularity of $D_i h$ on open sets. The fact that

$$n^2 \int_{conv(e)/n} D_i h(dx) \leq \nabla^2 h_n(e) \leq n^2 \int_{(conv(e)/n)^o} D_i h(dx),$$

follows by considering the convolution of h with a C^∞ approximate identity, letting the support of this approximate identity tend to $\{0\}$ in Hausdorff distance (note that $\nabla^2 h_n(e)$ is nonpositive) and using inner and outer regularity. □

Definition 4 (Continuous Hessian and the D_i, for $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$)**.** *Given a Lipschitz rhombus concave function $h : T \to \mathbb{R}$, for $i = 0, 1, 2$, we define $D_i h$ to be the nonpositive Radon measure on T such that for any n, and any parallelogram $e \in E_i(T_n)$, and the corresponding parallelogram $conv(e)/n \subseteq T$,*

$$n^2 \int_{conv(e)/n} D_i h(dx) = \nabla^2 h_n(e).$$

We shall define the continuous Hessian $\nabla^2 h$ to be the vector valued Radon measure $(D_0 h, D_1 h, D_2 h)$. When h is C^2, we have

$$D_0 h = \partial_x \partial_y h + \partial_x^2 h \tag{2.3}$$
$$D_1 h = -\partial_x \partial_y h \tag{2.4}$$
$$D_2 h = \partial_x \partial_y h + \partial_y^2 h. \tag{2.5}$$

Definition 5 (Discrete hive)**.** *Let $H_n(\lambda_n, \mu_n; \nu_n)$ denote the set of all discrete concave functions $h_n : T_n \to \mathbb{R}$, (which, following Knutson and Tao [17], we call discrete hives) such that*

1. $\forall i \in [n] \cup \{0\}, \quad h_n(0, i) = \sum_{j=1}^{i} \lambda_n(j)$.
2. $\forall i \in [n] \cup \{0\}, \quad h_n(i, n) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_n(j) + \sum_{j=1}^{i} \mu_n(j)$.
3. $\forall i \in [n] \cup \{0\}, \quad h_n(i, i) = \sum_{j=1}^{i} \nu_n(j)$.

Let $|H_n(\lambda_n, \mu_n; \nu_n)|$ denote the $\binom{n-1}{2}-$dimensional Lebesgue measure of this hive polytope.

Definition 6 (Discrete augmented hive)**.** *A function a_n from $\square_n := ([n] \cup 0) \times ([n] \cup 0) \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a (discrete) augmented hive and is said to belong to $A_n(\lambda_n, \mu_n; \nu_n)$ if the following are true.*

1. *Its restriction to T_n belongs to $H_n(\lambda_n, \mu_n; \nu_n)$.*
2. *For every unit lattice parallelogram that is not a square with vertices contained in $\square_n \cap \{(a, b) | a \geq b\}$, the sum of the values across the longer diagonal is at most the sum of the values across the shorter diagonal.*
3. *All vertices with coordinates of the form $(a, 0)$ are mapped to 0.*

In Figure 2.2, a single point from the augmented hive polytope $A_4(\lambda_4, \mu_4; \nu_4)$ with boundary values $\lambda_4 = (15, 5, -5, -15)$, $\mu_4 = (15, 5, -5, -15)$, $\nu_4 = (15, 5, -5, -15)$ is depicted. Note that the rhombus inequalities corresponding to squares need not be satisfied at the pink diagonal or below it. Indeed they are violated at the square in the southeast corner.

Definition 7. *Let $V_n(\lambda_n(1), \ldots, \lambda_n(n))$ denote the Vandermonde determinant corresponding to the set of n real numbers $\{\lambda_n(1), \ldots, \lambda_n(n)\}$, whose value is $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_n(i) - \lambda_n(j))$.*

Definition 8. *Let $\tau_n = \left(\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n-3}{2}, \ldots, -\frac{n-3}{2}, -\frac{n-1}{2}\right)$.*

Lemma 4. *The $m = (n-1)^2$ dimensional Lebesgue measure of the set of all $n \times n$ augmented hives with boundary conditions λ_n, μ_n, ν_n is exactly $|H_n(\lambda_n, \mu_n; \nu_n)| V_n(\nu_n) / V_n(\tau_n)$.*

Proof. The Lebesgue measure of a full dimensional polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ can be expressed as $\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{-m} |tP \cap \mathbb{Z}^n|$ since the boundary effects become insignificant as $t \to \infty$.

The restriction of $A_n(\lambda_n, \mu_n; \nu_n)$ to the South-East lower triangle below the main diagonal is a linear image of a Gelfand-Tsetlin polytope, and the integer points of this polytope are in one-to-one correspondence with the integer points

of a Gelfand-Tsetlin polytope (see Figure 2.2). The value of the Schur polynomial at the all ones vector of length n is given by

$$s_{\lambda_n}(1,\dots,1) = \frac{\prod_{1\le i<j\le n}(\lambda_n(i)-\lambda_n(j)+j-i)}{\prod_{1\le i<j\le n}(j-i)},$$

which follows from the Weyl character formula. Since this expression counts the number in integer points in a Gelfand-Tsetlin polytope, by scaling, we see that the volume of the polytope of GT patterns in the lower triangle is exactly $V_n(\nu_n)/V_n(\tau_n)$ (see for example, Theorem 15.1 in [28] or Theorem 1.1 in [19]). The Lemma follows. □

Definition 9 (C^k hives)**.** *A C^k hive for $k \ge 0$ is a rhombus concave map from T to $\mathbb{R}$ that is the restriction of a C^k function on some open neighborhood of T.*

Definition 10. *A C^0 augmented hive is a function a from $\square := [0,1]\times[0,1]$, such that for each positive integer n, $a_n : \square_n \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $a_n(nx,ny) = n^2 a(x,y)$ for $(nx,ny) \in ([n]\cup\{0\})\times([n]\cup\{0\})$, is a discrete augmented hive.*

Definition 11. *Let $\lambda = \partial^-\alpha$, $\mu = \partial^-\beta$ on $(0,1]$ and $\nu = \partial^-\gamma$, on $(0,1]$ be bounded, strongly decreasing functions. We denote by $H(\lambda,\mu;\nu)$, the set of all C^0 hives from T to $\mathbb{R}$, whose restrictions to the three sides are α,β and γ, and set $H(\lambda,\mu) := \cup_\nu H(\lambda,\mu;\nu)$. We denote the set of C^0 augmented hives with these boundary conditions by $A(\lambda,\mu;\nu)$.*

Definition 12 (Covering numbers)**.** *Given a metric space X equipped with a distance d, and a subset $S \subseteq X$, we define the $\epsilon-$covering number of S with respect to d to be the smallest integer N, such that there exist N balls of diameter at most ϵ, whose union covers S. If no such finite N exists, the $\epsilon-$covering number of S with respect to d is said to be infinite.*

Lemma 5. *Let $\lambda = \partial^-\alpha$, $\mu = \partial^-\beta$ on $(0,1]$ and $\nu = \partial^-\gamma$, at all points of $(0,1]$ be bounded, strongly decreasing functions. Let $\lambda_n(i) := n^2(\alpha(\frac{i}{n}) - \alpha(\frac{i-1}{n}))$, for $i \in [n]$, and similarly, $\mu_n(i) := n^2(\beta(\frac{i}{n}) - \beta(\frac{i-1}{n}))$, and $\nu_n(i) := n^2(\gamma(\frac{i}{n}) - \gamma(\frac{i-1}{n}))$. The $n^2\epsilon-$covering number with respect to the ℓ^∞ norm of $H_n(\lambda_n,\mu_n;\nu_n)$ is at most $\exp\left(\frac{C'}{\epsilon}\right)$. Here C' is a finite constant depending only on λ,μ and ν.*

Proof. For every discrete hive $h_n \in H_n(\lambda_n,\mu_n;\nu_n)$, there is a piecewise linear $C-$bounded $L-$Lipschitz concave function $h \in H(\lambda,\mu;\nu)$ on T that satisfies $h(x,y) := n^{-2}h_n(nx,ny)$ for $(x,y) \in T \cap (\mathbb{Z}/n \times \mathbb{Z}/n)$, where C and L are finite constants depending only on λ,μ and ν. Bronshtein's Theorem, Theorem 6, [2], (see also [15]) gives an upper bound of $\exp\left(C'\epsilon^{-\frac{d}{2}}\right)$ on the $\epsilon-$covering number with respect to the $L^\infty-$norm of the set of $C-$bounded $L-$Lipschitz convex functions on a compact domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$, where C' depends only on C and L. Therefore, $n^2\epsilon-$covering number with respect to the $\ell_\infty-$norm of $H_n(\lambda_n,\mu_n;\nu_n)$ is at most $\exp\left(\frac{C'}{\epsilon}\right)$. □




Fig 2.2: An augmented hive for $n = 4$. The right triangle below the main diagonal of the 4×4 square corresponds to a random Gelfand-Tsetlin (GT) pattern (printed in red at the center of a diagonal edge) with top row ν_n, given by the difference of the number at a vertex and the one southwest to it. The right triangle above the main diagonal corresponds to a random hive with boundary conditions, $(\lambda_4, \mu_4, \nu_4)$.

2.1. Large deviations principle

Definition 13. *Given a Polish space* Γ *let* $\{\mathbb{P}_n\}$ *be a sequence of Borel probability measures on* Γ*, let* $\{a_n\}$ *be a sequence of positive real numbers such that* $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = \infty$*, and let* $I : \Gamma \to [0, \infty]$ *be a lower semicontinuous functional on* Γ*. For each Borel measurable set* $E \subset \Gamma$*, let* $\overline{E}$ *and* E° *denote respectively the closure and interior of* E*. The sequence* $\{\mathbb{P}_n\}$ *is said to satisfy a large deviation principle with speed* $\{a_n\}$ *and rate* I *if, and only if, for each Borel measurable set* $E \subset \Gamma$*,*

$$-\inf_{\gamma\in E^\circ} I(\gamma) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1} \log(\mathbb{P}_n(E)) \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1} \log(\mathbb{P}_n(E)) \leq -\inf_{\gamma\in\overline{E}} I(\gamma).$$

2.2. Periodic boundary conditions

Let $\mathbb{T}_n$ be the $n \times n$ torus whose vertices are in correspondence with those of $\square_n$, obtained by identifying the n^{th} row with the 0^{th} row and the n^{th} column with the 0^{th} column.

- Let $E_0(\mathbb{T}_n)$ be the set of all parallelograms $e_0 \subseteq \mathbb{T}_n$ whose vertices are $\{(v_1, v_2), (v_1 + 1, v_2), (v_1 + 1, v_2 + 1), (v_1 + 2, v_2 + 1)\}$.
- Let $E_1(\mathbb{T}_n)$ be the set of all parallelograms $e_1 \subseteq \mathbb{T}_n$ whose vertices are $\{(v_1, v_2), (v_1 + 1, v_2), (v_1, v_2 + 1), (v_1 + 1, v_2 + 1)\}$.
- Let $E_2(\mathbb{T}_n)$ be the set of all parallelograms $e_2 \subseteq \mathbb{T}_n$ whose vertices are$\{(v_1, v_2), (v_1 + 1, v_2 + 1), (v_1, v_2 + 1), (v_1 + 1, v_2 + 2)\}$.

Let $V(\mathbb{T}_n)$ be the set of vertices of $\mathbb{T}_n$. Where there is no ambiguity, we will abbreviate $V(\mathbb{T}_n)$ to $\mathbb{T}_n$.

For $s_0, s_1, s_2 > 0$ and $f : V(\mathbb{T}_n) \to \mathbb{R}$, we say that $\nabla^2(f)$ satisfies $\nabla^2(f) \preccurlyeq s = (s_0, s_1, s_2)$, if $\nabla^2(f)$ satisfies

1. $\nabla^2(f)(e) \leq s_0$, if $e \in E_0(\mathbb{T}_n)$.
2. $\nabla^2(f)(e) \leq s_1$, if $e \in E_1(\mathbb{T}_n)$.
3. $\nabla^2(f)(e) \leq s_2$, if $e \in E_2(\mathbb{T}_n)$.

Definition 14. *Given* $s = (s_0, s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{R}^3_+$*, let* $P_n(s)$ *be the bounded polytope of all functions* $g : V(\mathbb{T}_n) \to \mathbb{R}$ *such that* $\sum_{v\in V(\mathbb{T}_n)} g(v) = 0$ *and* $\nabla^2(g) \preccurlyeq s$*.*

The following Lemma appears as Lemma 3.8 in [23]. For the sake of completeness, the proof has been reproduced in the section below.

Lemma 6. *Let* $\min_i s_i = 2$*. Then, as* $n \to \infty$*,* $|P_n(s)|^{\frac{1}{n^2}}$ *converges to a limit in the interval* $[1, 2e]$*.*

2.3. Hives

Denoting probability densities with respect to $\mathrm{Leb}_{n-1,0}$ by ρ_n, it is known through the work of Knutson and Tao (see [18] and also Equation (4) in [8]) that

$$\rho_n\left[\mathrm{spec}(X_n+Y_n)=\nu_n\right]=\frac{V_n(\nu_n)V_n(\tau_n)}{V_n(\lambda_n)V_n(\mu_n)}|H_n(\lambda_n,\mu_n;\nu_n)|. \tag{2.6}$$

Then, denoting X_n+Y_n by Z_n, for all positive ϵ, we have

$$\mathbb{P}_n\left[\mathrm{spec}(Z_n)\in B_I^n(\nu_n,\epsilon n^2)\right]=\left(\frac{V_n(\tau_n)^2}{V_n(\lambda_n)V_n(\mu_n)}\right)\int\limits_{B_I^n(\nu_n,\epsilon n^2)}\left(\frac{V_n(\nu'_n)}{V_n(\tau_n)}\right)|H_n(\lambda_n,\mu_n;\nu'_n)|\mathrm{Leb}_{n-1,0}(d\nu'_n). \tag{2.7}$$

We view

$$\bigcup_{\nu'_n\in\mathrm{Spec}_n}A_n(\lambda_n,\mu_n;\nu'_n)$$

as a $(n-1)^2+(n-1)$ dimensional polytope contained in $\mathbb{R}^{(n+1)^2}$ with coordinates $(a_{ij})_{i,j\in[n+1]}$, corresponding to entries in an augmented hive, as in Figure 2.2. Thus, j increases from bottom to top, and i increases from left to right. Let $\Pi_{diag,n}:\mathbb{R}^{(n+1)^2}\to\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, denote the evaluation map that takes a $(n+1)\times(n+1)$ matrix A to its diagonal (without the endpoints) $(a_{i,i})_{2\le i\le n}$. Let Π_{diag} denote the continuous analogue of $\Pi_{diag,n}$.

Recall from the beginning of Section 2 that Spec_n denotes the cone of all possible vectors $x=(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$x_1\ge x_2\ge\cdots\ge x_n,$$

and $\sum_i x_i=0$.

Definition 15. *Let $\epsilon_0>0$ and let $\lambda_n,\mu_n,\nu_n\in\mathrm{Spec}_n$. Let*

$$G_n(\lambda_n,\mu_n;\nu_n,\epsilon_0 n^2):=\left(\bigcup_{\nu'_n\in\mathrm{Spec}_n}A_n(\lambda_n,\mu_n;\nu'_n)\right)\cap\Pi_{diag,n}^{-1}(B_I^n(\nu_n,\epsilon_0 n^2)).$$

Similarly, let

$$G(\lambda,\mu;\nu,\epsilon_0):=\left(\bigcup_{\nu'}A(\lambda,\mu;\nu')\right)\cap\Pi_{diag}^{-1}(B_I(\nu,\epsilon_0)).$$

The volume of this n^2-n dimensional polytope is,

$$\begin{aligned}|G_n(\lambda_n,\mu_n;\nu_n,\epsilon_0 n^2)| &= \int\limits_{B_I^n(\nu_n,\epsilon_0 n^2)}|A_n(\lambda_n,\mu_n;\nu'_n)|\mathrm{Leb}_{n-1,0}(d\nu'_n). &(2.8)\\ &= \int\limits_{B_I^n(\nu_n,\epsilon_0 n^2)}\left(\frac{V_n(\nu'_n)}{V_n(\tau_n)}\right)|H_n(\lambda_n,\mu_n;\nu'_n)|\mathrm{Leb}_{n-1,0}(d\nu'_n) &(2.9)\end{aligned}$$

We need to bound this volume from above and below in a suitable manner.

Definition 16. *Given a C^0 hive $h \in H(\lambda, \mu; \nu)$, let $L_n(h)$ denote the point in $H_n(\lambda_n, \mu_n; \nu_n)$ whose ij^{th} coordinate is given by*

$$(L_n(h))_{ij} := n^2 h\left(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{j}{n}\right),$$

for all $i, j \in [n+1]$ such that $i \leq j$. Let U_n denote the set of lattice points $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ belonging to the triangle in a $[n+1] \times [n+1]$ index set of an augmented hive, such that $0 < y < x \leq n+1$. Let U denote the set of points $(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2$ such that $0 < y < x \leq 1$.

3. Convex geometry

Let $1 \leq \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. Given sets $K_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ for $i \in [\ell]$, let their Minkowski sum $\{x_1 + \cdots + x_\ell | \forall i \in [\ell], x_i \in K_i\}$, be denoted by $K_1 + \cdots + K_\ell$. We state the Brunn-Minkowski inequality below.

Theorem 1 (Brunn-Minkowski)**.** *Let K and L be compact convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^m$.*

$$|K + L|^{\frac{1}{m}} \geq |K|^{\frac{1}{m}} + |L|^{\frac{1}{m}}. \tag{3.1}$$

We will also need the following integral generalization of the Brunn-Minkowski inequality that follows from replacing the above sum by a weighted integral.

Corollary 1. *Let f be a probability density defined on a convex set $J \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and let the image of a (not necessarily orthogonal) projection Π_d of K on to $\mathbb{R}^d$ of a compact m-dimensional convex set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \supseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be equal to J. Suppose that for every $x \in J$, the $m-d$-dimensional volume of the preimage of x under Π_d is proportional to $f(x)$. Then,*

$$\left(\mathrm{vol}_{m-d}\left(\Pi_d^{-1}\left(\frac{\Pi_d \int_{v \in K} v dv}{\mathrm{vol}_m(K)}\right)\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{m-d}} \geq \int_{v \in J} f(\Pi_d v)(\mathrm{vol}_{m-d}(\Pi_d^{-1}(\Pi_d v)))^{\frac{1}{m-d}} dv.$$

We shall need the following result of Prékopa ([27], Theorem 6).

Theorem 2 (Prékopa)**.** *Let $f(x, y)$ be a function of $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^m$ where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Suppose that f is logconcave in $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ and let A be a convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^m$. Then the function of the variable x:*

$$\int_A f(x, y) dy$$

is logconcave in the entire space $\mathbb{R}^n$.

We note the following theorem of Fradelizi [14].

Theorem 3 (Fradelizi)**.** *The density at the center of mass of a logconcave density on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is greater or equal to e^{-n} multiplied by the supremum of the density.*

We will also need the following theorem of Vaaler (Corollary on page 554, [34]).

Theorem 4 (Vaaler)**.** *The volume of a central section of the unit cube* $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ *is greater or equal to* 1*.*

3.1. Volume of the polytope $P_n(s)$

For the convenience of the reader we have reproduced material from Section 3 of the preprint [23] here culminating in the proof of Lemma 3.8 in [23], which is Lemma 6 here. Given a k-dimensional polytope P, we denote by $|P|$ its k-dimensional Lebesgue measure $\mathrm{vol}_k P$. We will need to show that $|P_m(s)|^{1/m^2}$ is less than $(1 + o_m(1))|P_n(s)|^{\frac{1}{n^2}}$, for $n \geq m$. We achieve this by conditioning on a "double layer boundary" and the use of the Brunn-Minkowski inequality. Given a linear operator $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote the operator norm of T, namely $\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|Tx\|_2/\|x\|_2$, by $\|T\|_{op}$. Let $\mathbf{1}$ denote the vector whose every coordinate is 1. In this section, we will assume that $2 = s_0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2$.

Lemma 7. *Let* $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ *be a* $n - d$ *dimensional polytope. Let* $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ *be a linear map such that* $\|T\|_{op} \leq M$*. Suppose that* V *is an* $n - k$ *dimensional subspace of* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *on which* T *acts as identity. Then*

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}_{n-d} T(P)}{\mathrm{vol}_{n-d} P} \leq M^k. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. We may assume that the dimension of the linear span of $T(P)$ is the same as the dimension of the linear span of P, since otherwise, $\mathrm{vol}_{n-d} T(P) = 0$, and the lemma holds. Let V' denote the linear span of P, and let it $n' = \dim V'$, and let $n' - k' := \dim V' \cap V$. We see that

$$k' = (\dim V' - \dim V' \cap V) = \dim V'/(V' \cap V) \leq \dim \mathbb{R}^n/V = k.$$

Let $v_1, \ldots, v_{n'-k'}$ be an orthonormal basis for $V' \cap V$, and let $v_1, \ldots, v_{n'}$ be an orthonormal basis of V'. Denoting the exterior product by $\wedge$, we then see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{vol}_{n-d} T(P)}{\mathrm{vol}_{n-d} P} &\leq \frac{|Tv_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Tv_{n'}|}{|v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{n'}|} \\ &\leq M^{k'} \\ &\leq M^k. \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 17. *Let* $\tilde{P}_n(s)$ *be defined to be the image of* $P_n(s)$ *under the affine transformation* $T : g \mapsto g - g(0)\mathbf{1}$*. Thus, given* $s = (s_0, s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{R}^3_+$*, where* $2 = s_0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2$*, we let* $\tilde{P}_n(s)$ *be the bounded polytope of all functions* $\tilde{g} : V(\mathbb{T}_n) \to \mathbb{R}$ *such that* $\tilde{g}(0) = 0$ *and* $\nabla^2(g) \preccurlyeq s$*.*

Lemma 8. *The n^2-1 dimensional Lebesgue measures of $\tilde{P}_n(s)$ and $P_n(s)$ satisfy*

$$|\tilde{P}_n(s)|^{1/n^2}\left(1-\frac{C\log n}{n^2}\right)\leq |P_n(s)|^{1/n^2}\leq |\tilde{P}_n(s)|^{1/n^2}.$$

Proof. The map $T:\mathbb{R}^{V(\mathbb{T}_n)}\to\mathbb{R}^{V(\mathbb{T}_n)}$ where $T:g\mapsto g-g(0)\mathbf{1}$, acts as identity on every point in the n^2-1 dimensional subspace $\{g\in\mathbb{R}^{V(\mathbb{T}_n)}|g(0)=0\}$. We see that T maps $P_n(s)$ on to $\tilde{P}_n(s)$. Denoting the identity map by I, we see that

$$\|(T-I)(g)\|_2\leq\|g\|_2\|\mathbf{1}\|_2,$$

and therefore, $\|T-I\|_{op}\leq\sqrt{|V(\mathbb{T}_n)|}+1$. Applying Lemma 7 to T with $k=d=1$, and $M=\sqrt{|V(\mathbb{T}_n)|}+1$ we see that

$$|\tilde{P}_n(s)|^{1/n^2}\left(1-\frac{C\log n}{n^2}\right)\leq |\tilde{P}_n(s)|^{1/n^2}(n+1)^{-\frac{1}{n^2}}\leq |P_n(s)|^{1/n^2}.$$

Next, define $\tilde{T}:g\mapsto g-(n^{-2}\sum_{v\in V(\mathbb{T}_n)}g(v))\mathbf{1}$. We see that $\tilde{T}$ maps $\tilde{P}_n(s)$ on to $P_n(s)$. Also, $\tilde{T}$ is an orthogonal projection on to $\{g\in\mathbb{R}^{V(\mathbb{T}_n)}|\sum_{v\in V(\mathbb{T}_n)}g(v)=0\}$. Therefore, $\|\tilde{T}\|_{op}=1$. Applying Lemma 7 to $\tilde{T}$ with $k=d=1$, and $M=1$, we see that

$$|P_n(s)|^{1/n^2}\leq|\tilde{P}_n(s)|^{1/n^2}.$$

□

Recall from the beginning of this section that given a k-dimensional polytope P, we denote by $|P|$ its k-dimensional Lebesgue measure $\mathrm{vol}_k P$. Thus in particular, the presence of $\{0\}$ in $\mathbf{b}_1$ in Lemma 9 does not cause $|\Pi_{\mathbf{b}_1}\tilde{P}_{n_1}(s)|$ to go to 0.

Given n_2 that is a multiple of n_1, the quotient map from $\mathbb{Z}^2$ to $\mathbb{Z}^2/(n_1\mathbb{Z}^2)=\mathbb{T}_{n_1}$ factors through $\mathbb{Z}^2/(n_2\mathbb{Z}^2)=\mathbb{T}_{n_2}$. We denote the respective resulting maps from $\mathbb{T}_{n_2}$ to $\mathbb{T}_{n_1}$ by ϕ_{n_2,n_1}, from $\mathbb{Z}^2$ to $\mathbb{T}_{n_2}$ by ϕ_{0,n_2} and from $\mathbb{Z}^2$ to $\mathbb{T}_{n_1}$ by ϕ_{0,n_1}. Given a set of boundary nodes $\mathbf{b}\subseteq V(\mathbb{T}_n)$, and $x\in\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{b}}$, we define $Q_{\mathbf{b}}(x)$ to be the fiber polytope over x, that is the preimage of x under the projection map $\Pi_{\mathbf{b}}$ of $\tilde{P}_n(s)$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{b}}$. Note that $Q_{\mathbf{b}}(x)$ implicitly depends on s.

Lemma 9. *Let $\{0\}\subseteq\mathbf{b}_1\neq\{0\}$, be a subset of $V(\mathbb{T}_{n_1})$. Then,*

$$0\leq\ln|\Pi_{\mathbf{b}_1}\tilde{P}_{n_1}(s)|\leq(|\mathbf{b}_1|-1)\ln(Cn_1^2).$$

Proof. Given any vertex v_1 in $\mathbf{b}_1$ other than 0, there is a lattice path $\mathrm{path}(v_1)$ (i.e. a path $0=a_1,\ldots,a_k=v_0$, where each a_i-a_{i-1} is in the set $\{(0,1),(1,0)\}$) that goes from 0 to some vertex $v_0\in\phi_{0,n_1}^{-1}(v_1)$ that consists of two straight line segments, the first being a horizontal segment from 0 to some point in $\mathbb{Z}^+\times\{0\}$, and the second being a vertical segment having the direction $(0,1)$. It is clear that this v_0 can be chosen to have ℓ_1-norm at most $2n_1$ by taking an appropriate representative of $\phi_{0,n_1}^{-1}(v_1)$. Since $2=s_0\leq s_1\leq s_2$, as specified in the beginning of this section, we see that $\{0\}\times[0,1]^{\mathbf{b}_1\setminus\{0\}}\subseteq\Pi_{\mathbf{b}_1}\tilde{P}_{n_1}(s)\subseteq\{0\}\times\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{b}_1\setminus\{0\}}$. Let

$f_1 \in \tilde{P}_{n_1}(s)$. Along path$(v_1)$, at each step, the slope of f increases by no more than $s_0 + s_1$ in the horizontal stretch, due to the condition $(\Delta_0 + \Delta_1)(f_1) \leq s_0 + s_1$, and slope of f increases by no more than $s_1 + s_2$ in the vertical stretch, due to the condition $(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2)(f_1) \leq s_1 + s_2$. By performing a translation of the torus that makes v_1 the origin, and repeating the same argument after reversing the roles of 0 and v_1, this implies that f_1 is Cn_1-Lipschitz. Therefore, $\|f_1\|_\infty$ is at most Cn_1^2. Thus $\Pi_{\mathbf{b}_1}\tilde{P}_{n_1}(s)$ is contained inside a $|\mathbf{b}_1| - 1$ dimensional cube of side length no more than Cn_1^2. We have thus proved the lemma. □

Lemma 10. *Let n_1 and n_2 be positive integers satisfying $n_1|n_2$. Then*

$$1 \leq |\tilde{P}_{n_1}(s)|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2}} \leq |\tilde{P}_{n_2}(s)|^{\frac{1}{n_2^2}} \left(1 + \frac{C \log n_1}{n_1}\right). \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. The lower bound of 1 on $|\tilde{P}_{n_1}(s)|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2}}$ follows from $[0,1]^{V(\mathbb{T}_{n_1})\setminus\{0\}} \subseteq \tilde{P}_n(s)$. We define the set $\mathbf{b}_1 \subseteq V(\mathbb{T}_{n_1})$ of "boundary vertices" to be all vertices that are either of the form $(0, y)$ or $(1, y)$ or $(x, 0)$ or $(x, 1)$, where x, y range over all of $\mathbb{Z}/(n_1\mathbb{Z})$. We define the set $\mathbf{b}_2$ to be $\phi_{n_2,n_1}^{-1}(\mathbf{b}_1)$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{b}_1}$, let $F_1(x) := |Q_{\mathbf{b}_1}(x)|$, and for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{b}_2}$, let $F_2(x) := |Q_{\mathbf{b}_2}(x)|$. We now have

$$|\tilde{P}_{n_1}(s)| = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{b}_1}} F_1(x)dx = \int\limits_{\Pi_{\mathbf{b}_1}\tilde{P}_{n_1}(s)} F_1(x)dx. \tag{3.4}$$

Let $\psi_{\mathbf{b}_1,\mathbf{b}_2}$ be the linear map from $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{b}_1}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{b}_2}$ induced by ϕ_{n_2,n_1}. Then, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{b}_1}$,

$$F_2(\psi_{\mathbf{b}_1,\mathbf{b}_2}(x)) = F_1(x)^{\left(\frac{n_2}{n_1}\right)^2}. \tag{3.5}$$

Note that that $\tilde{P}_n(s)$ is $n^2 - 1$ dimensional, has an ℓ_∞ diameter of $O(n^2)$ and contains a n^2-1 dimensional unit ℓ_∞-ball as a consequence of $2 = s_0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2$. So the $|\mathbf{b}_1|-1$ dimensional polytopes $\Pi_{\mathbf{b}_1}\tilde{P}_{n_1}(s)$, and $\psi_{\mathbf{b}_1,\mathbf{b}_2}(\Pi_{\mathbf{b}_1}\tilde{P}_{n_1}(s))$ contain $|\mathbf{b}_1| - 1$ dimensional ℓ_∞ balls of radius 1.

Claim 1. *Let $S_{\mathbf{b}_1,\mathbf{b}_2}(\frac{1}{n_1^4})$ be the set of all $y \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{b}_2}$ such that there exists $x \in \Pi_{\mathbf{b}_1}\tilde{P}_{n_1}((1-\frac{1}{n_1^2})s)$ for which $y-\psi_{\mathbf{b}_1,\mathbf{b}_2}(x) \perp \psi_{\mathbf{b}_1,\mathbf{b}_2}(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{b}_1})$ and $\|y-\psi_{\mathbf{b}_1,\mathbf{b}_2}(x)\|_\infty < \frac{1}{n_1^4}$. Then, $y \in S_{\mathbf{b}_1,\mathbf{b}_2}(\frac{1}{n_1^4})$ implies the following.*

1. *$y \in \Pi_{\mathbf{b}_2}\tilde{P}_{n_2}((1 - \frac{1}{2n_1^2})s)$ and*
2. *$|Q_{\mathbf{b}_2}(y)| \geq c^{(\frac{n_2}{n_1})^2}|Q_{\mathbf{b}_2}(\psi_{\mathbf{b}_1,\mathbf{b}_2}(x))|$.*

Here c, C are absolute constants that do not depend on n_1 and n_2.

Proof. The first assertion of the claim follows from the triangle inequality. To see the second assertion, let the vector $w \in \mathbb{R}^{V(\mathbb{T}_{n_2})}$ equal 0 on all the coordinates indexed by $V(\mathbb{T}_{n_2}) \setminus \mathbf{b}_2$ and equal $\psi_{\mathbf{b}_1,\mathbf{b}_2}(x) - y$ on coordinates indexed by $\mathbf{b}_2$. We know that $x \in \Pi_{\mathbf{b}_1}\tilde{P}_{n_1}((1 - \frac{1}{n_1^2})s)$. Therefore,




Fig 3.1: Some translate of $(1 - \frac{C}{n_1^2})Q_{\mathbf{b}_2}(\psi_{\mathbf{b}_1,\mathbf{b}_2}(x))$ is contained inside $Q_{\mathbf{b}_2}(y)$.

(∗) $Q_{\mathbf{b}_2}(\psi_{\mathbf{b}_1,\mathbf{b}_2}(x)) - w$ has dimension $n_2^2 - |\mathbf{b}_2|$, and contains an axis aligned cube of side length $\frac{c}{n_1^2}$, and hence a euclidean ball of radius $\frac{c}{n_1^2}$.

Since every constraint defining $\tilde{P}_{n_2}(s)$ has the form $x_a + x_b - x_c - x_d \leq s_i$, or $x_0 = 0$,

(∗∗) the affine spans of the codimension 1 faces of the fiber polytope $Q_{\mathbf{b}_2}(y)$ are respectively translates of the affine spans of the corresponding codimension 1 faces of $Q_{\mathbf{b}_2}(\psi_{\mathbf{b}_1,\mathbf{b}_2}(x)) - w$ by euclidean distances that do not exceed $\frac{C}{n_1^4}$.

If K is an polytope in $\mathbb{R}^n$ containing a ball $B(0,r)$ and K' is an affine polytope obtained by translating the faces of K by a distance at most h, then $(1-\frac{h}{r})K' \subset K$. Indeed, it suffices to prove this for half-spaces that contain $B(0,r)$, because K is an intersection of such half-spaces. But if one translates a half-space by h whose bounding hyperplane is at a distance R from the origin, then the distance to the origin of the bounding hyperplane of the translated half-space is at most $R + h$. Since $r \leq R$, $(1 - \frac{h}{r})K' \subset K$.

Therefore, by (∗) and (∗∗), some translate of $(1 - \frac{C}{n_1^2})Q_{\mathbf{b}_2}(\psi_{\mathbf{b}_1,\mathbf{b}_2}(x))$ is contained inside $Q_{\mathbf{b}_2}(y)$ (see Figure 3.1), completing the proof of Claim 1. □

Let K denote the intersection of the origin symmetric cube of radius $\frac{1}{n_1^4}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{b}_2}$ with the orthocomplement of $\psi_{\mathbf{b}_1,\mathbf{b}_2}(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{b}_1})$. By the lower bound of 1 on the volume of a central section of the unit cube due to Vaaler (Theorem 4), it follows that the volume of K is at least $\left(\frac{1}{n_1^4}\right)^{|\mathbf{b}_2|-|\mathbf{b}_1|}$. The inequalities below

now follow from (3.5) and Claim 1.

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{P}_{n_2}(s)| &= \int\limits_{\Pi_{\mathbf{b}_2}\tilde{P}_{n_2}(s)} |Q_{\mathbf{b}_2}(y)|dy \\
&\geq \int\limits_{\Pi_{\mathbf{b}_2}\tilde{P}_{n_2}((1-\frac{1}{2n_1^2})s)} F_2(y)dy \\
&\geq \int\limits_{S_{\mathbf{b}_1,\mathbf{b}_2}(\frac{1}{n_1^4})} F_2(y)dy \\
&\geq \mathrm{vol}(K) \int\limits_{\psi_{\mathbf{b}_1,\mathbf{b}_2}(\Pi_{\mathbf{b}_1}\tilde{P}_{n_1}((1-\frac{1}{n_1^2})s))} c^{(\frac{n_2}{n_1})^2} F_2(z)dz \\
&\geq \mathrm{vol}(K) \int\limits_{\Pi_{\mathbf{b}_1}\tilde{P}_{n_1}((1-\frac{1}{n_1^2})s)} c^{(\frac{n_2}{n_1})^2} F_1(x)^{\left(\frac{n_2}{n_1}\right)^2} dx \\
&\geq c^{(\frac{n_2}{n_1})^2} \left(\frac{1}{n_1^4}\right)^{|\mathbf{b}_2|-|\mathbf{b}_1|} \int\limits_{\Pi_{\mathbf{b}_1}\tilde{P}_{n_1}((1-\frac{1}{n_1^2})s)} F_1(x)^{\left(\frac{n_2}{n_1}\right)^2} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 9, $1 \leq |\Pi_{\mathbf{b}_1}\tilde{P}_{n_1}(s)| \leq n_1^{Cn_1}$, for some universal positive constant $C > 1$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
c|\Pi_{\mathbf{b}_1}\tilde{P}_{n_1}(s)| &\leq (1-\frac{1}{n_1^2})^{|\mathbf{b}_1|-1}|\Pi_{\mathbf{b}_1}\tilde{P}_{n_1}(s)| \\
&= |\Pi_{\mathbf{b}_1}\tilde{P}_{n_1}((1-\frac{1}{n_1^2})s)| \qquad (3.6) \\
&\leq |\Pi_{\mathbf{b}_1}\tilde{P}_{n_1}(s)|.
\end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int\limits_{\Pi_{\mathbf{b}_1}\tilde{P}_{n_1}((1-\frac{1}{n_1^2})s)} F_1(x)^{\left(\frac{n_2}{n_1}\right)^2}dx &\geq |\Pi_{\mathbf{b}_1}\tilde{P}_{n_1}((1-\frac{1}{n_1^2})s)|^{1-(n_2/n_1)^2} \\
&\times \left(\int\limits_{\Pi_{\mathbf{b}_1}\tilde{P}_{n_1}((1-\frac{1}{n_1^2})s)} F_1(x)dx\right)^{\left(\frac{n_2}{n_1}\right)^2} \\
&\geq |\Pi_{\mathbf{b}_1}\tilde{P}_{n_1}(s)|^{1-(n_2/n_1)^2}|\tilde{P}_{n_1}((1-\frac{1}{n_1^2})s)|^{\left(\frac{n_2}{n_1}\right)^2} \\
&\geq |\Pi_{\mathbf{b}_1}\tilde{P}_{n_1}(s)|^{1-(n_2/n_1)^2}\left(c|\tilde{P}_{n_1}(s)|\right)^{\left(\frac{n_2}{n_1}\right)^2} \quad \text{by (3.6)} \\
&\geq (Cn_1^{Cn_1})^{1-(n_2/n_1)^2}|\tilde{P}_{n_1}(s)|^{\left(\frac{n_2}{n_1}\right)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$|\tilde{P}_{n_1}(s)|^{\left(\frac{n_2}{n_1}\right)^2} \leq (Cn_1^{Cn_1})^{(n_2/n_1)^2-1}\left(n_1^4\right)^{|\mathbf{b}_2|-|\mathbf{b}_1|}|\tilde{P}_{n_2}(s)|, \tag{3.7}$$

which gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{P}_{n_1}(s)|^{\left(\frac{1}{n_1}\right)^2} &\leq (Cn_1^{Cn_1})^{(1/n_1^2)-(1/n_2^2)}\left(n_1^4\right)^{\frac{|\mathbf{b}_2|-|\mathbf{b}_1|}{n_2^2}}|\tilde{P}_{n_2}(s)|^{\frac{1}{n_2^2}} && (3.8)\\
&\leq |\tilde{P}_{n_2}(s)|^{\frac{1}{n_2^2}}n_1^{\frac{C}{n_1}} && (3.9)\\
&\leq |\tilde{P}_{n_2}(s)|^{\frac{1}{n_2^2}}\left(1+\frac{C\log n_1}{n_1}\right). && (3.10)
\end{aligned}$$

□

For a positive integer n, let $[n]$ denote the set of positive integers less or equal to n, and let $[n]^2$ denote $[n]\times[n]$. In what follows, we will use v to denote an arbitrary vertex in $V(\mathbb{T}_{n_3})$. Then, by symmetry,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\int_{P_{n_3}(s)}x(v)dx}{|P_{n_3}(s)|} &= \left(\frac{1}{n_3^2}\right)\sum_{v'\in V(\mathbb{T}_{n_3})}\frac{\int_{P_{n_3}(s)}x(v')dx}{|P_{n_3}(s)|} && (3.11)\\
&= \frac{\int_{P_{n_3}(s)}\left(\frac{\sum_{v'\in V(\mathbb{T}_{n_3})}x(v')}{n_3^2}\right)dx}{|P_{n_3}(s)|} && (3.12)\\
&= 0. && (3.13)
\end{aligned}$$

The linear map $u : P_{n_3}(s)\to\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)$ defined by $u(x)(v) = x(v)-x(0)$ is surjective

and injective. Therefore,

$$\frac{\int_{\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)} x(v)dx}{|\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)|} = \frac{\int_{P_{n_3}(s)} u(x)(v)dx}{|P_{n_3}(s)|} \tag{3.14}$$

$$= \frac{\int_{P_{n_3}(s)} x(v)dx}{|P_{n_3}(s)|} - \frac{\int_{P_{n_3}(s)} x(0)dx}{|P_{n_3}(s)|} \tag{3.15}$$

$$= 0. \tag{3.16}$$

Lemma 11. *Let $C < n_2 < n_3$. Then,*

$$|P_{n_2}(s)|^{\frac{1}{n_2^2}} \geq |P_{n_3}(s)|^{\frac{1}{n_3^2}} \left(1 - \frac{C(n_3 - n_2)\ln n_3}{n_3}\right). \tag{3.17}$$

Proof. Let $\rho : V(\mathbb{T}_{n_2}) \to [n_2]^2 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ be the unique map that satisfies $\phi_{0,n_2} \circ \rho = id$ on $V(\mathbb{T}_{n_2})$. We embed $V(\mathbb{T}_{n_2})$ into $V(\mathbb{T}_{n_3})$ via $\phi_{0,n_3} \circ \rho$, and define $\mathbf{b}$ to be $V(\mathbb{T}_{n_3}) \setminus (\phi_{0,n_3} \circ \rho(V(\mathbb{T}_{n_2})))$. Note that $0 \in \mathbf{b}$, since $0 \notin [n_2]$. Recall that $Q_{\mathbf{b}}(x)$ was defined to be the fiber polytope over x, that arises from the projection map $\Pi_{\mathbf{b}}$ of $\tilde{P}_n(s)$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{b}}$. Thus,

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{b}}\setminus\{0\}} \left(\frac{|Q_{\mathbf{b}}(x)|}{|\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)|}\right) x dx = \Pi_{\mathbf{b}} \left(\frac{\int_{\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)} v dv}{|\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)|}\right) \tag{3.18}$$

$$= 0. \tag{3.19}$$

By Theorem 2, $\frac{|Q_{\mathbf{b}}(x)|}{|\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)|}$ is a logconcave function of $x \in \Pi_{\mathbf{b}}\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)$, and is a non-negative and integrable function of x that integrates to 1. By the corollary to the Brunn-Minkowski inequality given in Corollary 1, it follows that

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{b}}\setminus\{0\}} \left(\frac{|Q_{\mathbf{b}}(x)|}{|\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)|}\right) |Q_{\mathbf{b}}(x)|^{\frac{1}{n_3^2-|\mathbf{b}|}} dx \leq \left| Q_{\mathbf{b}} \left(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{b}}\setminus\{0\}} \left(\frac{|Q_{\mathbf{b}}(x)|}{|\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)|}\right) x dx \right) \right|^{\frac{1}{n_3^2-|\mathbf{b}|}}$$

$$= |Q_{\mathbf{b}}(0)|^{\frac{1}{n_3^2-|\mathbf{b}|}} \quad \text{by (3.19)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\int\limits_{\Pi_{\mathbf{b}}\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)} |Q_{\mathbf{b}}(x)|^{1+\frac{1}{n_3^2-|\mathbf{b}|}} \left(\frac{dx}{|\Pi_{\mathbf{b}}\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)|}\right) \leq \left(\frac{|\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)|}{|\Pi_{\mathbf{b}}\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)|}\right) |Q_{\mathbf{b}}(0)|^{\frac{1}{n_3^2-|\mathbf{b}|}}.$$

By the monotonic increase of L^p-norms as p increases from 1 to ∞, for the probability measure $\mu(dx) = \frac{dx}{|\Pi_{\mathbf{b}}\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)|}$, we see that

$$\int\limits_{\Pi_{\mathbf{b}}\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)} |Q_{\mathbf{b}}(x)|^{1+\frac{1}{n_3^2-|\mathbf{b}|}} \frac{dx}{|\Pi_{\mathbf{b}}\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)|} \geq \left(\int\limits_{\Pi_{\mathbf{b}}\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)} |Q_{\mathbf{b}}(x)| \frac{dx}{|\Pi_{\mathbf{b}}\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)|} \right)^{1+\frac{1}{n_3^2-|\mathbf{b}|}} \tag{3.20}$$

$$= \left(\frac{|\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)|}{|\Pi_{\mathbf{b}}\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)|}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{n_3^2-|\mathbf{b}|}}. \tag{3.21}$$

It follows that

$$|Q_{\mathbf{b}}(0)| \geq \frac{|\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)|}{|\Pi_{\mathbf{b}}\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)|}. \tag{3.22}$$

Suppose that $n_2+2 < n_3$. Let $\rho_+ : V(\mathbb{T}_{n_2+2}) \to [n_2+2]^2 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ be the unique map that satisfies $\phi_{0,n_2+2} \circ \rho_+ = id$ on $V(\mathbb{T}_{n_2+2})$. We embed $V(\mathbb{T}_{n_2+2})$ into $V(\mathbb{T}_{n_3})$ via $\phi_{0,n_3} \circ \rho_+$, and define $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ to be $V(\mathbb{T}_{n_3}) \setminus (\phi_{0,n_3} \circ \rho_+(V(\mathbb{T}_{n_2+2})))$. We observe that $|\tilde{P}_{n_2+2}(s(1+\frac{2}{(n_2+2)^2}))|$ is greater or equal to $|Q_{\mathbf{b}}(0)|(\frac{1}{(n_2+2)^2}))^{|\mathbf{b}|-|\tilde{\mathbf{b}}|}$, since $\phi_{0,n_3}\circ\rho_+$, induces an isometric map from $Q_{\mathbf{b}}(0)+[0,\frac{1}{(n_2+2)^2}]^{\mathbf{b}\setminus\tilde{\mathbf{b}}}$ into $\tilde{P}_{n_2+2}(s(1+\frac{2}{(n_2+2)^2}))$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{P}_{n_2+2}(s)| &= \left(1+\frac{2}{(n_2+2)^2}\right)^{-(n_2+2)^2+1}\left|\tilde{P}_{n_2+2}\left(s(1+\frac{2}{(n_2+2)^2})\right)\right| \\
&\geq e^{-2}|Q_{\mathbf{b}}(0)|\left(\frac{1}{(n_2+2)^2}\right)^{|\mathbf{b}|-|\tilde{\mathbf{b}}|} \\
&\geq \frac{e^{-2}|\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)|(\frac{1}{(n_2+2)^2})^{|\mathbf{b}|-|\tilde{\mathbf{b}}|}}{|\Pi_{\mathbf{b}}\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)|} \\
&\geq |\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)|(Cn_3)^{-Cn_3(n_3-n_2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\tilde{P}_{n_2+2}(s)$ contains a unit cube and hence has volume at least 1, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{P}_{n_2+2}(s)|^{\frac{1}{(n_2+2)^2}} &\geq |\tilde{P}_{n_2+2}(s)|^{\frac{1}{n_3^2}} && (3.23)\\
&\geq |\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)|^{\frac{1}{n_3^2}}(Cn_3)^{-C(1-\frac{n_2}{n_3})} && (3.24)\\
&\geq |\tilde{P}_{n_3}(s)|^{\frac{1}{n_3^2}}\left(1-\frac{C(n_3-n_2)\ln n_3}{n_3}\right). && (3.25)
\end{aligned}$$

We have thus proved the following: let $C < n_2+2 < n_3$. Then,

$$|P_{n_2+2}(s)|^{\frac{1}{(n_2+2)^2}} \geq |P_{n_3}(s)|^{\frac{1}{n_3^2}}\left(1-\frac{C(n_3-n_2)\ln n_3}{n_3}\right). \tag{3.26}$$

Relabeling n_2+2 by n_2 yields the lemma. □

We will need the notion of differential entropy (see page 243 of [6]).

Definition 18 (Differential entropy)**.** *Let X be a random variable supported on a finite dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^m$, associated with a measure μ that is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Let the Radon-Nikodym derivative of μ with respect to the Lebesgue measure be denoted f. The differential entropy of X, denoted $h(X)$ (which by overload of notation, we shall also refer to as the differential entropy of f, i.e. $h(f)$), is defined as* $h(X) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f(x)\ln f(x)\,dx$.

The following Lemma is well known, but we include a proof for the reader's convenience.

Lemma 12. *The differential entropy of a mean* 1 *distribution with a bounded Radon-Nikodym derivative with respect to the Lebesgue measure, supported on* $[0,\infty)$ *is less or equal to* 1*, and equality is achieved on the exponential distribution.*

Proof. Let $f : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ denote a density supported on the non-negative reals, whose associated distribution F has mean 1. Let $g : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by $g(x) := e^{-x}$. The relative entropy between f and g is given by

$$\partial(f||g) := \int_{[0,\infty)} f(x) \ln\left(\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}\right) dx, \tag{3.27}$$

and can be shown to be non-negative for all densities f using Jensen's inequality. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(f||g) &= -h(f) + \int_{[0,\infty)} f(x) \ln\left(e^x\right) dx & (3.28)\\ &= -h(f) + 1, & (3.29) \end{aligned}$$

because F has mean 1. This implies that $h(f) \leq 1 = h(g)$. □

Lemma 13. *If* $2 = \min_i s_i$*,*

$$|P_n(s)| \leq \exp\left((n-1)^2(1+\ln 2) + 2(n-1)\ln(C(s)n)\right).$$

If one of the s_i *is* 0*, then the dimension of* $\tilde{P}_n(s)$ *is less or equal to* $2n-2$*.*

Proof. In the first case, without loss of generality, we assume that $s_1 = 2$. Consider the matrix $\nabla_x : \mathbb{R}^{V(\mathbb{T}_n)} \to \mathbb{R}^{V(\mathbb{T}_n)}$ that is given by $\nabla_x f(p,q) := f(p,q) - f(p-1,q)$ and $\nabla_y : \mathbb{R}^{V(\mathbb{T}_n)} \to \mathbb{R}^{V(\mathbb{T}_n)}$ that is given by $\nabla_y f(p,q) := f(p,q) - f(p,q-1)$. We denote the list of values of Δ_1 on squares of the form $\{(p,q),(p,q-1),(p-1,q),(p-1,q-1)\}$ for $(p,q) \in [n] \times [n]$ by $\Delta_1|_{e \subseteq E_1 \cap \square_n}$ Consider the map $\tilde{\Phi} : \mathbb{R}^{V(\mathbb{T}_n)\setminus\{(0,0)\}} \to \mathbb{R}^{[n-1]^2 \oplus [n-1] \oplus [n-1]}$ given by

$$\tilde{\Phi} = \left(\Delta_1|_{E_1 \ni e \subseteq \square_n}, \nabla_x|_{[n-1]\times\{0\}}, \nabla_y|_{\{0\}\times[n-1]}\right).$$

Claim 2. *The determinant of the matrix for the map* $\tilde{\Phi}$ *with respect to the canonical bases for the domain and the range is either* 1 *or* -1*.*

Proof. For any $f \in span(\tilde{P}_n(s))$, and $(p,q) \in \square_n$, we have

$$f(p,q) = f(p,0) + f(0,q) - \sum_{E_1 \ni e \subseteq [p]\times[q]} (\Delta_1 f)(e).$$

Note that $f(p,0)$ and $f(0,q)$ can in turn be expressed as sums of the values of $\nabla_x f$ and $\nabla_y f$ along the x and y axis respectively and the coefficients are all equal to 1. Thus, the matrix for the map $\tilde{\Phi}$ with respect to the canonical bases for the domain and the range is invertible on $span(\tilde{P}_n(s))$ and has an inverse that is a matrix with integer entries. Therefore, we have proved the claim. □

Next suppose $f \in \tilde{P}_n(s)$. As in the proof of Lemma 9, we see that the slope of f increases by no more than s_0+s_1 in a horizontal stretch, due to the condition $(\Delta_0+\Delta_1)(f) \leq s_0+s_1$, and slope of f increases by no more than s_1+s_2 in a vertical stretch, due to the condition $(\Delta_1+\Delta_2)(f) \leq s_1+s_2$. Because these points are on a torus, we know that $\|\nabla_x f\|_\infty \leq C(s)n$ and $\|\nabla_y f\|_\infty < C(s)n$. $|\tilde{P}_n(s)| \geq |P_n(s)|$ by Lemma 8, so together with Claim 2,

$$|\tilde{\Phi}\tilde{P}_n(s)| = |\tilde{P}_n(s)| \geq |P_n(s)|.$$

As noted in the proof of Lemma 8, the map $T : \mathbb{R}^{V(\mathbb{T}_n)} \to \mathbb{R}^{V(\mathbb{T}_n)}$ where $T : g \mapsto g - g(0)\mathbf{1}$, acts as identity on every point in the n^2-1 dimensional subspace $\{g \in \mathbb{R}^{V(\mathbb{T}_n)} | g(0)=0\}$. We see that T maps $P_n(s)$ on to $\tilde{P}_n(s)$. Let f be sampled uniformly at random from the n^2-1-dimensional Lebesgue measure on $P_n(s)$. Let $\tilde{f}$ be sampled uniformly at random from the n^2-1-dimensional Lebesgue measure on $\tilde{\Phi}\tilde{P}_n(s)$. Thus the distribution of $\tilde{f}$ is exactly the same as that of $\tilde{\Phi}Tf$. By the formula for T above, the distribution of each coordinate of $\Delta_1 f$ is exactly the same as the distribution of each coordinate of $\Delta_1 Tf$, which is a density whose mean is 0 and support is bounded above by s_1. However it is clear that by using parallelograms corresponding to E_0 instead of squares corresponding to E_1, the distribution of each coordinate of $\Delta_0 f$ is exactly the same as the distribution of each coordinate of $\Delta_0 Tf$, which is a density whose mean is 0 and support is bounded above by $s_0 = 2$. Now $\ln|\tilde{\Phi}\tilde{P}_n(s)|$ is the differential entropy of $\tilde{f}$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Since the joint differential entropy of a vector valued random variable, is less or equal to the sum of the differential entropies of its one dimensional marginals (see Corollary 10.34 of [36]),

$$\ln|\tilde{\Phi}\tilde{P}_n(s)| \leq (n-1)^2(1+\ln 2) + 2(n-1)(1+2\ln(C(s)n)).$$

Finally, for the second case in the statement of the lemma, if one of the s_i is zero, which without loss of generality we take to be s_1, then for any $f \in span(\tilde{P}_n(s))$, we have $\sum_{E_1 \ni e \subseteq [n]\times[n]} (\Delta_1 f)(e) = 0$ and $(\Delta_1 f)(e) \leq 0$ for each $e \in E_1$, which implies that $(\Delta_1 f)(e) = 0$ for each $e \in E_1$. Thus, $f(p,q) = f(p,0) + f(0,q) - \sum_{E_1 \ni e \subseteq [p]\times[q]} (\Delta_1 f)(e) = f(p,0) + f(0,q)$, for $(p,q) \in \square_n$, implying that f is constrained to lie in a subspace of dimension $2n-2$. □

We will use the lemmas in this section to prove Lemma 6.

Proof of Lemma 6. By Lemma 8 and Lemma 10 and Lemma 13,

$$1 \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} |P_n(s)|^{\frac{1}{n^2}} \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} |P_n(s)|^{\frac{1}{n^2}} \leq 2e. \tag{3.30}$$

Let $C < n_1^2 \leq n_2$. Let $n_3 = (\lfloor \frac{n_2}{n_1} \rfloor + 1)n_1$. By Lemma 8 and Lemma 10 and

Lemma 11,

$$\begin{aligned}
|P_{n_1}(s)|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2}} &\leq |P_{n_3}(s)|^{\frac{1}{n_3^2}} \left(1 + \frac{C \log n_1}{n_1}\right) \\
&\leq |P_{n_2}(s)|^{\frac{1}{n_2^2}} \left(1 - \frac{C(n_3 - n_2) \ln n_3}{n_3}\right)^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{C \log n_1}{n_1}\right) \\
&\leq |P_{n_2}(s)|^{\frac{1}{n_2^2}} \left(1 - \frac{C n_1 \ln n_3}{n_3}\right)^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{C \log n_1}{n_1}\right) \\
&\leq |P_{n_2}(s)|^{\frac{1}{n_2^2}} \left(1 - C n_1 \left(\frac{\ln n_1^2}{n_1^2}\right)\right)^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{C \log n_1}{n_1}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$|P_{n_2}(s)|^{\frac{1}{n_2^2}} \geq |P_{n_1}(s)|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2}} \left(1 - \frac{C \log n_1}{n_1}\right).$$

As a consequence,

$$\left(1 + \frac{C \log n_1}{n_1}\right) \liminf_{n_2 \to \infty} |P_{n_2}(s)|^{\frac{1}{n_2^2}} \geq |P_{n_1}(s)|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2}}.$$

Finally, this gives

$$\liminf_{n_2 \to \infty} |P_{n_2}(s)|^{\frac{1}{n_2^2}} \geq \limsup_{n_1 \to \infty} |P_{n_1}(s)|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2}},$$

implying

$$1 \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} |P_n(s)|^{\frac{1}{n^2}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} |P_n(s)|^{\frac{1}{n^2}} = \limsup_{n \to \infty} |P_n(s)|^{\frac{1}{n^2}} \leq 2e.$$

□

Observation 1. *By the Brunn-Minkowski inequality,* $\mathbf{f}_n(s) := (\mathrm{vol}_{n^2-1} P_n(s))^{\frac{1}{n^2-1}}$ *is a positive Lipschitz concave function of* s *if all the* s_i *are nonzero, and for some* $s_i = 0$*, provided* $n^2 - 1 > 2n - 2$ *as is the case for* $n \geq 2$*,* $\mathbf{f}_n(s) = 0$*.*

We thus conclude the following (part of which is included in the statement of Corollary 3.10 in [23].)

Corollary 2. *As* $n \to \infty$*, the pointwise limit of the functions* $\mathbf{f}_n$ *is a function* $\mathbf{f}$ *defined on* $\mathbb{R}^3_{\geq 0}$ *that is concave. If one of the* s_i *is* 0 *then for all* n*,* $\mathbf{f}_n(s) = 0$*.*

Also, each $\mathbf{f}_n$ is a Lipschitz function of s (which can be seen from its linearity along rays and its concavity as shown in Corollary 2, we have the following.

Corollary 3. *As* $n \to \infty$*, the convergence of the functions* $\mathbf{f}_n$ *to* $\mathbf{f}$ *is uniform on compact subsets of* $\mathbb{R}^3_{\geq 0}$*.*

Also, as $n \to \infty$*, the convergence of the functions* $\frac{\mathbf{f}_n}{\mathbf{f}}$ *to* 1 *is uniform on compact subsets of* $\mathbb{R}^3_{>0}$*.*

Definition 19 (Surface tension σ)**.** *We define* $\sigma(s) := -\ln \mathbf{f}(s)$ *on* $\mathbb{R}^3_+$*.*

We will refer to this quantity as the surface tension, in agreement with its usage in the statistical physics literature.

4. Uniform integrability and submartingale convergence

Definition 20. *Let* $h_* \in \bigcup_{\nu' \in \overline{B}_I(\nu,\epsilon)} H(\lambda,\mu;\nu')$ *satisfy*

$$\inf_T \min_{0\le i\le 2} ((-1)D_i h_*)_{ac} > 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_a \subset \mathcal{F}$ *be the* $\sigma-$*field generated by all possible events* κ *where* κ *belongs to the set* $\mathcal{D}_a$ *of all dyadic triangles or dyadic squares of side length* 2^{-a} *contained in* T. *Let* $\tilde{X} = (-\nabla^2 h_*)_{ac}(\omega)$ *and* $\tilde{X}_a = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{X}|\mathcal{F}_a]$. *Let* $\tilde{Y}_a(\omega) = (2|\kappa|)^{-1}(-\nabla^2 h_*)_{sing}(\kappa)$, *where the* κ *are half-open (in the sense that* κ *has the form* $[x_0,x_1)\times(y_0,y_1]$ *if it is a square and if it is a dyadic triangle, it includes the three sides) so that* $\mathcal{D}_a$ *is a partition of* T *and each* ω *uniquely determines a dyadic triangle or square* $\kappa \in \mathcal{D}_a$, *and* $|\kappa|$ *denotes* $\mathrm{Leb}_2(\kappa)$.

Let $_\tau(\nabla^2 h_*)$ denote the Radon measure given by $\sum_{i\in\{0,1,2\}}(D_i h_*)(x)$.

The goal of this section is to prove in Theorem 21 that

$$\lim_{a\to\infty} \exp\left(-\sum_{\kappa\in\mathcal{D}_a} |\kappa|\sigma\left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1}\int_\kappa \nabla^2 h_*(dx)\right)\right) = \exp\left(-\int_T \sigma((-1)(\nabla^2 h_*(x))_{ac})\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx)\right).$$

Here $|\kappa| := \mathrm{Leb}_2(\kappa)$. The main tool used is the uniform integrability of a certain submartingale: namely that of $\{\sigma(\tilde{X}_a + \tilde{Y}_a)\}_{a\ge 1}$ below.

We now proceed with the details.

Let Leb_2 denote the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^2$. The Hessian $\nabla^2 h_*$ of a concave function h_* is a matrix valued Radon measure [11], which by the Lebesgue decomposition Theorem can be decomposed as

$$\nabla^2 h_* = (\nabla^2 h_*)_{ac} + (\nabla^2 h_*)_{sing}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $(\nabla^2 h_*)_{ac}$, is absolutely continuous with respect to two dimensional Lebesgue measure and $(\nabla^2 h_*)_{sing}$ is singular with respect to the same. We start with $T_{(0,1),(0,0),(1,1)} = T$. Given a dyadic triangle $T_{D,E,F}$ with vertices D, E and F we define its three children to be the triangles $T_{\frac{E+F}{2},\frac{F+D}{2},F}$, $T_{D,\frac{F+D}{2},\frac{D+E}{2}}$, and the square $Q_{\frac{E+F}{2},E,\frac{D+E}{2},\frac{D+F}{2}}$. Given a dyadic square Q', we define its four children by subdivision into four congruent squares of half the side length.

Definition 21 (Uniform integrability)**.** *A collection of random variables* $X_i, i \in I$, *is said to be uniformly integrable if*

$$\lim_{M\to\infty}\left(\sup_{i\in I}\mathbb{E}(|X_i|\mathbf{1}_{|X_i|>M})\right) = 0.$$

Lemma 14 (Theorem 4.6.1, [5])**.** *Given a probability space* $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathcal{P})$ *and a random variable* $X \in L^1$, *the collection* $\{\mathbb{E}[X|G]\big|G\subset\mathcal{F}\}$, *is uniformly integrable.*

The following lemma is due to de la Vallée-Poussin, and may be found in (p.19, Theorem T22, [20]) or [26].

Lemma 15 (de la Vallée-Poussin)**.** *The family $\{X_a\}_{a\in A}$ is uniformly integrable if and only if there exists a non-negative increasing convex function $G(t)$ such that $\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{G(t)}{t}=\infty$ and $\sup_a \mathbb{E}[G(|X_a|)]<\infty$.*

Lemma 16 (Theorem 4.6.4 [5])**.** *For a submartingale, the following are equivalent.*

1. *It is uniformly integrable.*
2. *It converges almost surely and in L^1.*
3. *It converges in L^1.*

Lemma 17 (Theorem 4.6.8, [5])**.** *Suppose $\{\mathcal{F}_a\}_{a\geq 0}$ is an increasing sequence of $\sigma-$fields and $\mathcal{F}_\infty$ is the $\sigma-$field generated by $\bigcup_a \mathcal{F}_a$. Let $X\in L^1$. Then, as $a\to\infty$, $\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{F}_a)\to\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{F}_\infty)$ almost surely and in L^1.*

Consider the probability space $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathcal{P})$, where $\Omega=T$, $\mathcal{F}$ is the collection of Lebesgue measurable subsets of T and $\mathcal{P}$ equals $2\mathrm{Leb}_2$ (i. e. Leb_2 restricted to T and normalized to be a probability measure). Let $\tau((\nabla^2 h_*)_{ac})$ denote the part of the Radon measure given by $\sum_{i\in\{0,1,2\}}(D_i h_*)(x)$ that is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure in the Lebesgue decomposition.

Lemma 18. *Let $h_*\in \bigcup_{\nu'\in\overline{B}_I(\nu,\epsilon)} H(\lambda,\mu;\nu')$. Then, $\tau((\nabla^2 h_*)_{ac})$ belongs to L^1.*

Proof. Since h_* is Lipschitz and rhombus concave, $\tau(\nabla^2 h_*)$ is a (nonpositive valued) Radon measure, and $\int_T \tau(\nabla^2 h_*)$ is finite. Therefore $\tau((\nabla^2 h_*)_{ac})\in L^1$. □

Recall that for $s\in\mathbb{R}^3_+$,

$$\sigma(s)=-\ln\left(\lim_{n\to\infty}(\mathrm{vol}_{n^2-1}P_n(s))^{\frac{1}{n^2-1}}\right).$$

Lemma 19. *Let $h_*\in \bigcup_{\nu'\in\overline{B}_I(\nu,\epsilon)} H(\lambda,\mu;\nu')$ satisfy*

$$\inf_T \min_{0\leq i\leq 2}((-1)D_i h_*)_{ac}>0.$$

As $a\to\infty$, $\sigma(\tilde{X}_a+\tilde{Y}_a)$ converges almost surely and in L^1 to $\sigma(\tilde{X})$.

Proof. We see that

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{X}_{a+1}+\tilde{Y}_{a+1}|\mathcal{F}_a]=\tilde{X}_a+\tilde{Y}_a,$$

and so $\{\tilde{X}_a+\tilde{Y}_a\}_{a\geq 1}$ is a martingale. Since σ is convex, this implies that

$$\mathbb{E}[\sigma(\tilde{X}_{a+1}+\tilde{Y}_{a+1})|\mathcal{F}_a]\geq\sigma(\tilde{X}_a+\tilde{Y}_a),$$

and so $\{\sigma(\tilde{X}_a+\tilde{Y}_a)\}_{a\geq 1}$ is a submartingale. Similarly $\{\sigma(\tilde{X}_a)\}_{a\geq 1}$ is a submartingale. We will now prove that $\{\sigma(\tilde{X}_a+\tilde{Y}_a)\}_{a\geq 1}$ and $\{\sigma(\tilde{X}_a)\}_{a\geq 1}$ are uniformly integrable. Taking $G(t):=\exp(t)$ and applying Lemma 15, we see that it suffices to

show that $\sup_a \mathbb{E}\exp\{|\sigma(\tilde{X}_a+\tilde{Y}_a)|\}_{a\geq 1}<\infty$ and $\sup_a \mathbb{E}\exp\{|\sigma(\tilde{X}_a)|\}_{a\geq 1}<\infty$. By Lemma 6, for all $x\in T$,

$$\log(\min_i(-D_i h_*)_{ac}(x)) \leq -\sigma((-1)(\nabla^2 h_*)_{ac}(x))+\log 2 \quad (4.3)$$

$$< \log(4e)+\log(\tau((-1)\nabla^2 h_*)_{ac}(x)). \quad (4.4)$$

Also $\sigma(\tilde{X}_a+\tilde{Y}_a)\leq\sigma(\tilde{X}_a)$. Therefore, in order to show that $\sup_a \mathbb{E}\exp\{|\sigma(\tilde{X}_a+\tilde{Y}_a)|\}_{a\geq 1}<\infty$ and $\sup_a \mathbb{E}\exp\{|\sigma(\tilde{X}_a)|\}_{a\geq 1}<\infty$, by (4.1) it suffices to show that

$$\sup_a \mathbb{E}\exp(-\sigma(\tilde{X}_a+\tilde{Y}_a))<\infty.$$

Note that $\exp(-\sigma)$ is a concave function by Corollary 2 and $\exp(-\sigma(\mathbb{E}(\tilde{X}_a+\tilde{Y}_a)))$ is independent of a. Thus

$$\sup_a \mathbb{E}\exp(-\sigma(\tilde{X}_a+\tilde{Y}_a))\leq\sup_a\exp(-\sigma(\mathbb{E}(\tilde{X}_a+\tilde{Y}_a)))=\exp(-\sigma(\mathbb{E}(\tilde{X}_1+\tilde{Y}_1)))<\infty,$$

the last inequality holding because h_* is Lipschitz and concave, and so $\int_T \nabla^2 h_*(dx)<\infty$. We have thus proved that $\{\sigma(\tilde{X}_a+\tilde{Y}_a)\}_{a\geq 1}$ and $\{\sigma(\tilde{X}_a)\}_{a\geq 1}$ are uniformly integrable. Therefore $\{\sigma(\tilde{X}_a+\tilde{Y}_a)\}_{a\geq 1}$ converges almost surely and in L^1. It remains to show that the limit is $\sigma(\tilde{X})$. To do so, note that $\tau((\nabla^2 h_*)_{ac})\in L^1$ by Lemma 18, and so by Lemma 14, $\{\tau(\tilde{X}_a)\}_{a\geq 1}$ converges almost surely and in L^1 to $\tau(\tilde{X})$. By (4.4) $\{\sigma(\tilde{X}_a)\}_{a\geq 1}$ converges in L^1, and hence by Lemma 16, almost surely as well. By Lemma 17, the almost sure limit of $\{\sigma(\tilde{X}_a)\}_{a\geq 1}$ is $\sigma(\tilde{X})$.

Claim 3. *$\{\tilde{Y}_a\}_{a\geq 1}$ converges almost surely to 0.*

Proof. We recall that $(\nabla^2 h_*)_{sing}$ is a coordinate-wise nonpositive finite measure that is singular with respect to Lebesgue measure. By the Lebesgue differentiation theorem (see Theorem 3.22, page 99 of [13])

$$\lim_{a\to\infty}\frac{(-1)(\nabla^2 h_*)_{sing}(\kappa_a(\omega))}{|\kappa_a|}=0,$$

for (Lebesgue-)almost all points $\omega\in T$ where $\kappa_a(\omega)$ is the unique element of $\mathcal{D}_a$ that contains ω. Therefore, $\{\tilde{Y}_a\}_{a\geq 1}$ converges almost surely to 0. □

It follows that $\{\sigma(\tilde{X}_a+\tilde{Y}_a)-\sigma(\tilde{X}_a)\}_{a\geq 1}$ converges almost surely to 0. Therefore the almost sure limit of $\{\sigma(\tilde{X}_a+\tilde{Y}_a)\}_{a\geq 1}$ is $\sigma(\tilde{X})$. By Lemma 16 the almost sure limit and the L^1 limit coincide. This proves the Lemma. □

Definition 22. *Let $\tilde{\delta}>0$. Let $H^{\tilde{\delta}}=H^{\tilde{\delta}}(\lambda,\mu)$ be the set of all $h\in H(\lambda,\mu)$ such that for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and any $i=0,1,2$, for any rhombus $e\in E_i(T_n)$,*

$$-\Delta_i(e)\geq\frac{\tilde{\delta}}{n^2}.$$

Let τ be the rhombus concave function on T such that $\tau(x,y)=-x^2-y^2+xy+\ell$, where ℓ is the unique linear function that ensures that $\tau(0,0)=\tau(0,1)=\tau(1,1)=0$. Let $\lambda^{\tilde{\delta}}:=\lambda(y)-\tilde{\delta}\partial_y\tau(x,y)|_{x=0}$, and $\mu^{\tilde{\delta}}:=\mu(x)-\tilde{\delta}\partial_x\tau(x,y)|_{y=1}$.

Lemma 20. *Suppose $\lambda^{\tilde{\delta}}$ and $\mu^{\tilde{\delta}}$ are strongly decreasing functions. Then, $H^{\tilde{\delta}}(\lambda,\mu)$ is nonempty.*

Proof. Consider the hive $h : T \to \mathbb{R}$ that belongs to $H(\lambda^{\tilde{\delta}},\mu^{\tilde{\delta}})$ for which $h(x,y) = h(0,y) + h(x,0)$. Then $h + \tilde{\delta}\tau \in H^{\tilde{\delta}}(\lambda,\mu)$, thus showing that $H^{\tilde{\delta}}(\lambda,\mu)$ is nonempty. □

Theorem 21. *For any fixed $h_* \in H(\lambda,\mu)$,*

$$\lim_{a\to\infty} \exp\left(-\sum_{\kappa\in\mathcal{D}_a} |\kappa|\sigma\left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1}\int_\kappa \nabla^2 h_*(dx)\right)\right) = \exp\left(-\int_T \sigma((-1)(\nabla^2 h_*(x))_{ac})\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx)\right). \tag{4.5}$$

Here $|\kappa| := \mathrm{Leb}_2(\kappa)$.

Proof. In the preceding discussion, we just proved the following.

For positive $\tilde{\delta}$ and any fixed $h \in H^{\tilde{\delta}}$,

$$\lim_{a\to\infty} \exp\left(-\sum_{\kappa\in\mathcal{D}_a} |\kappa|\sigma\left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1}\int_\kappa \nabla^2 h(dx)\right)\right) = \inf_{a\in\mathbb{N}} \exp\left(-\sum_{\kappa\in\mathcal{D}_a} |\kappa|\sigma\left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1}\int_\kappa \nabla^2 h(dx)\right)\right) = \exp\left(-\int_T \sigma((-1)(\nabla^2 h(x))_{ac})\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx)\right).$$

It remains to remove the restriction that

$$\inf_T \min_{0\le i\le 2}((-1)D_i h)_{ac} > 0.$$

For any fixed $h_* \in H(\lambda,\mu)$, for $t \in \mathbb{N}$, let $h_*^{(t)} := h_* + t^{-1}\tau \in H^{t^{-1}}$, where τ is defined in Definition 22. In (4.6) below, we have used the fact that for $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, if $t_1 < t_2$, then

$$\sigma\left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1}\int_\kappa(-(t_1^{-1},t_1^{-1},t_1^{-1})dx + \nabla^2 h_*(dx))\right) > \sigma\left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1}\int_\kappa(-(t_2^{-1},t_2^{-1},t_2^{-1})dx + \nabla^2 h_*(dx))\right).$$

For any fixed a, we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \exp\left(-\sum_{\kappa\in\mathcal{D}_a} |\kappa|\sigma\left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1}\int_\kappa \nabla^2(h_*^{(t)})(dx)\right)\right) =$$

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \exp\left(-\sum_{\kappa\in\mathcal{D}_a} |\kappa|\sigma\left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1}\int_\kappa (-(t^{-1},t^{-1},t^{-1})dx + \nabla^2 h_*(dx))\right)\right) =$$

$$\inf_{t\in\mathbb{N}} \exp\left(-\sum_{\kappa\in\mathcal{D}_a} |\kappa|\sigma\left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1}\int_\kappa (-(t^{-1},t^{-1},t^{-1})dx + \nabla^2 h_*(dx))\right)\right) = \quad (4.6)$$

$$\exp\left(-\sum_{\kappa\in\mathcal{D}_a} |\kappa|\sigma\left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1}\int_\kappa (\nabla^2 h_*(dx))\right)\right).$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{a\to\infty} \exp\left(-\sum_{\kappa\in\mathcal{D}_a} |\kappa|\sigma\left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1}\int_\kappa \nabla^2 h_*(dx)\right)\right) =$$

$$\inf_{a\in\mathbb{N}} \exp\left(-\sum_{\kappa\in\mathcal{D}_a} |\kappa|\sigma\left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1}\int_\kappa \nabla^2 h_*(dx)\right)\right) =$$

$$\inf_{a\in\mathbb{N}}\inf_{t\in\mathbb{N}} \exp\left(-\sum_{\kappa\in\mathcal{D}_a} |\kappa|\sigma\left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1}\int_\kappa (-(t^{-1},t^{-1},t^{-1})dx + \nabla^2 h_*(dx))\right)\right) =$$

$$\inf_{t\in\mathbb{N}}\inf_{a\in\mathbb{N}} \exp\left(-\sum_{\kappa\in\mathcal{D}_a} |\kappa|\sigma\left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1}\int_\kappa (-(t^{-1},t^{-1},t^{-1})dx + \nabla^2 h_*(dx))\right)\right) =$$

$$\inf_{t\in\mathbb{N}} \exp\left(-\int_T \sigma((-1)(-(t^{-1},t^{-1},t^{-1})dx + \nabla^2 h_*(x))_{ac})\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx)\right).$$

We identify two cases:

1. $\int_T \sigma((-1)(\nabla^2 h_*(x))_{ac})\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) < +\infty$.
   By Lebesgue's dominated convergence Theorem

$$\inf_{t\in\mathbb{N}} \exp\left(-\int_T \sigma((-1)(-(t^{-1},t^{-1},t^{-1}) + \nabla^2 h_*(x))_{ac})\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx)\right) =$$

$$\exp\left(-\int_T \sigma((-1)(\nabla^2 h_*(x))_{ac})\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx)\right).$$

2. $\int_T \sigma((-1)(\nabla^2 h_*(x))_{ac})\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) = +\infty$.
   In this case,

$$\inf_{t\in\mathbb{N}} \exp\left(-\int_T \sigma((-1)(-(t^{-1},t^{-1},t^{-1}) + \nabla^2 h_*(x))_{ac})\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx)\right) =$$

$$\lim_{M\to\infty}\inf_{t\in\mathbb{N}} \exp\left(-\int_T \min(M, \sigma((-1)(-(t^{-1},t^{-1},t^{-1}) + \nabla^2 h_*(x))_{ac})))\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx)\right).$$

Again, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence Theorem, this equals

$$\lim_{M\to\infty} \exp\left(-\int_T \min(M, \sigma((-1)(\nabla^2 h_*(x))_{ac})))\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx)\right),$$

which equals 0.

This completes the proof of this Theorem.

□

5. Lower bound

Definition 23. *Consider the functional*

$$\mathcal{J} : \bigcup_{\nu'} H(\lambda, \mu; \nu') \to \mathbb{R}$$

given by

$$\mathcal{J}(h) := V(\nu') \exp\left(-\int_T \sigma((-1)(\nabla^2 h(x))_{ac})\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx)\right)$$

for any $h \in H(\lambda, \mu; \nu')$.

The goal of this section is to prove in Lemma 34 the following:
let X_n and Y_n be independent random Hermitian matrices with spectra λ_n and μ_n respectively. Let $Z_n = X_n + Y_n$. Then,

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}_n\left[\mathrm{spec}(Z_n) \in B_I^n(\nu_n, \epsilon n^2)\right]^{\frac{2}{n^2}} \geq \sup_{\nu' \in B_I(\nu,\epsilon)} \sup_{h' \in H(\lambda,\mu;\nu')} (V(\lambda)V(\mu))^{-1} \mathcal{J}(h').$$

The proof goes via Lemma 29, in which crucial use is made of the Theorem of Fradelizi stated in Theorem 3. This Theorem allows us to freeze the boundary of a quadratic hive, without losing much entropy. We then patch together (in the C^2 case) many such near quadratic hives with frozen boundary conditions, and show that even such random haves have enough entropy.

We now proceed with the details.

Definition 24 (Approximate identity)**.** *Let* $\theta : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a* C^3 *approximate identity supported on* $[0,1]^2$ *given for* $(x, y) \in [0,1]^2$ *by*

$$\frac{x^4(1-x)^4y^4(1-y)^4}{\int\limits_{(x,y)\in[0,1]^2} x^4(1-x)^4y^4(1-y)^4 dxdy}$$

and elsewhere by 0*, and let* $\theta_\epsilon(x, y) = \epsilon^{-2}\theta(\frac{x}{\epsilon}, \frac{y}{\epsilon})$*, for all* $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Lemma 22.

$$V_n(\tau_n) \geq \exp\left(\binom{n}{2} \log n + n^2 \int_0^1 x \log(1-x) dx\right).$$

Proof. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} V_n(\tau_n) &= \prod_{1\le i<j\le n}(j-i) \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\prod_{\substack{1\le i<j\le n \\ |i-j|=k}}(j-i). \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\prod_{\substack{1\le i<j\le n \\ |i-j|=k}}(j-i) &\ge \prod_{k=1}^{n-1}(n-k)^k \\ &\ge \exp\left(\binom{n}{2}\log n + n^2\int_0^1 x\log(1-x)dx\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Recall from Section 2 that T_n denotes $(nT)\cap(\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z})$, where T is the (closed) convex hull of $(0,0),(0,1)$ and $(1,1)$. For $i=0,1,2$ $E_i(T_n)$ is defined in the manner analogous to $E_i(\mathbb{T}_n)$. Recall from the introduction that we suppose α,β are Lipschitz strongly concave functions from $[0,1]$ to $\mathbb{R}$ and γ is a concave function from $[0,1]$ to $\mathbb{R}$, such that $\alpha(0)=\gamma(0)=0$, and $\alpha(1)=\beta(0)=0$ and $\beta(1)=\gamma(1)=0$. Let $\lambda=\partial^-\alpha$, $\mu=\partial^-\beta$ on $(0,1]$ and $\nu=\partial^-\gamma$, at all points of $(0,1]$, where ∂^- is the left derivative, which is monotonically decreasing. Let $\lambda_n(i) := n^2(\alpha(\frac{i}{n})-\alpha(\frac{i-1}{n}))$, for $i\in[n]$, and similarly, $\mu_n(i) := n^2(\beta(\frac{i}{n})-\beta(\frac{i-1}{n}))$, and $\nu_n(i) := n^2(\gamma(\frac{i}{n})-\gamma(\frac{i-1}{n}))$.

Lemma 23. *Given a bounded monotonically decreasing function $\lambda=\partial^-\alpha$, from $(0,1]$ to $\mathbb{R}$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{V_n(\lambda_n)}{V_n(\tau_n)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n^2}} = \exp\left(\int_{T\setminus\{(t,t)|t\in[0,1]\}}\log\left(\frac{\lambda(x)-\lambda(y)}{x-y}\right)dxdy\right).$$

Proof. We may assume that λ is strictly decreasing, since otherwise, the limit is clearly 0. Without loss of generality, after scaling, we may assume that $\lambda(0)-\lambda(1)=1$. Observe that

$$\log\frac{V_n(\lambda_n)}{n^{\binom{n}{2}}} = \sum_{1\le j<i\le n}\log\left(\frac{\lambda_n(j)-\lambda_n(i)}{n}\right).$$

For $(x,y)\in T\setminus\{(t,t)|t\in[0,1]\}$, let

$$g(x,y) := \log\left(\lambda(x)-\lambda(y)\right).$$

Let

$$g_n : T\setminus\{(t,t)|t\in[0,1]\}\to\mathbb{R},$$

be the function given by

$$g_n(x,y) = \log\left(\frac{\lambda_n(\lceil ny\rceil) - \lambda_n(\lfloor nx\rfloor)}{n}\right).$$

Note that g is nonpositive and the g_n are nonpositive on $T \setminus \{(t,t)|t \in [0,1]\}$ for any n. On every compact subset of $T \setminus \{(t,t)|t \in [0,1]\}$, g is bounded, and the g_n are bounded. Also, for any $(x,y) \in T \setminus \{(t,t)|t \in [0,1]\}$ and $n' > n$, $g_n(x,y) \geq g_{n'}(x,y)$ and the g_n converge pointwise almost everywhere to g as $n \to \infty$, because any monotonically decreasing function λ on $(0,1]$ is continuous almost everywhere. Suppose first that

$$\int_{T\setminus\{(t,t)|t\in[0,1]\}} g(x,y)dxdy > -\infty.$$

Then, the L_1 norm of the function g restricted to an ϵ neighborhood of $\{(t,t)|t \in [0,1]\}$ tends to 0 as ϵ tends to 0. Therefore, by the dominated convergence theorem ([9] pp. Theorem 1.19),

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{T\setminus\{(t,t)|t\in[0,1]\}} g_n(x,y)dxdy = \int_{T\setminus\{(t,t)|t\in[0,1]\}} g(x,y)dxdy.$$

Next suppose $\int_{T\setminus\{(t,t)|t\in[0,1]\}} g(x,y)dxdy = -\infty$. Then, the integral of g on $T \setminus \{(t_1,t_2)||t_1 - t_2| \geq \epsilon\}$ (which is a compact subset of $T \setminus \{(t,t)|t \in [0,1]\}$) tends to $-\infty$ as ϵ tends to 0. Since g_n is nonpositive, this implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{T\setminus\{(t,t)|t\in[0,1]\}} g_n(x,y)dxdy = -\infty$$

as well. By Lemma 22, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{2}{n^2} \log \frac{V_n(\tau_n)}{n^{\binom{n}{2}}}$ is bounded below by an absolute constant. We see by comparing the numerator and denominator term by term that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{2}{n^2} \log \frac{V_n(\tau_n)}{n^{\binom{n}{2}}}$ is bounded above by a universal constant.

Applying the above argument to $\lambda_n = \tau_n$, we see that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\frac{V_n(\lambda_n)}{V_n(\tau_n)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n^2}} = \exp\left(\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{2}{n^2} \log \frac{V_n(\lambda_n)}{n^{\binom{n}{2}}} - \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{2}{n^2} \log \frac{V_n(\tau_n)}{n^{\binom{n}{2}}}\right).$$

The lemma follows. □

Definition 25. *Given a bounded, monotonically decreasing function $\lambda = \partial^- \alpha$, from $(0,1]$ to $\mathbb{R}$, let $V(\lambda) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\frac{V_n(\lambda_n)}{V_n(\tau_n)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n^2}}$, which by Lemma 23 equals*

$$\exp\left(\int_{T\setminus\{(t,t)|t\in[0,1]\}} \log\left(\frac{\lambda(x) - \lambda(y)}{x-y}\right) dxdy\right).$$

Lemma 24. *Let α and β be strongly concave Lipschitz functions from $[0,1]$ to $\mathbb{R}$, taking the value 0 at the endpoints. Let $\lambda = \partial^- \alpha$ and $\mu = \partial^- \beta$. Then, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\frac{V_n(\tau_n)^2}{V_n(\lambda_n)V_n(\mu_n)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n^2}} = \left(\frac{1}{V(\lambda)V(\mu)}\right)$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 23. □

Definition 26. *Given sequences λ_n, μ_n of length n, we define the $X_n(\lambda_n)$ and $Y_n(\mu_n)$ to be independent unitarily invariant random Hermitian matrices with spectra λ_n and μ_n respectively.*

Denote $X_n(\lambda_n) + Y_n(\mu_n)$ by Z_n. Let $0 < \epsilon_1 < 1/16$.

Definition 27. *Given $h \in H(\lambda, \mu; \nu)$, let $h_{\epsilon_1} : T \to \mathbb{R}$ denote the C^3 function defined as follows, where $a(x, y)$ is the unique affine function that results in the function h_{ϵ_1} taking the value 0 at the corners of T:*

$$h_{\epsilon_1}(x, y) := (h \star \theta_{\epsilon_1})((1 - 4\epsilon_1)x + \epsilon_1, (1 - 4\epsilon_1)y + 3\epsilon_1) - \epsilon_1(x^2 + y^2 - xy) + a(x, y).$$

Definition 28. *Define $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}$ the be the boundary values of h_{ϵ_1} on the vertical, horizontal and diagonal side of T respectively.*

Since h is Lipschitz, so is h_{ϵ_1}.

Lemma 25. *For any $\epsilon_0 < \epsilon$, if ϵ_1 is sufficiently small, then for $\tilde{\lambda} = \partial(\tilde{\alpha})$, $\tilde{\mu} = \partial(\tilde{\beta})$, and $\tilde{\nu} = \partial(\tilde{\gamma})$ we have that $\tilde{\alpha} \in B_\infty(\alpha, \frac{\epsilon - \epsilon_0}{4})$, $\tilde{\beta} \in B_\infty(\beta, \frac{\epsilon - \epsilon_0}{4})$ and $\tilde{\gamma} \in B_\infty(\gamma, \frac{\epsilon - \epsilon_0}{2})$, are C^3, concave on $[0, 1]$ and equal 0 on the endpoints 0 and 1. Further, h_{ϵ_1} is a C^3-hive.*

Proof. The convolution of a C^3 function on $[0, \epsilon] \times [0, \epsilon]$ with a C^0 rhombus concave function defined on T is C^3 and rhombus concave at all points where the convolution is well defined; namely those points in T whose ℓ_∞ distance from the diagonal of T is at least ϵ. The convex combination of a set of rhombus concave functions on T is rhombus concave on T. The lemma follows. □

Lemma 26. *For all $\tilde{\lambda} = \partial^-(\tilde{\alpha})$, $\tilde{\mu} = \partial^-(\tilde{\beta})$, and $\tilde{\nu} = \partial^-(\tilde{\gamma})$ such that $\tilde{\alpha} \in B_\infty(\alpha, \frac{\epsilon - \epsilon_0}{4})$, $\tilde{\beta} \in B_\infty(\beta, \frac{\epsilon - \epsilon_0}{4})$ and $\tilde{\gamma} \in B_\infty(\gamma, \frac{\epsilon - \epsilon_0}{2})$, are C^3, concave on $[0, 1]$ and equal 0 on the endpoints 0 and 1,*

$$\mathbb{P}_n \left[\mathrm{spec}(X_n(\lambda_n) + Y_n(\mu_n)) \in B_I^n(\nu_n, \epsilon n^2) \right] \geq \mathbb{P}_n \left[\mathrm{spec}(X_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n) + Y_n(\tilde{\mu}_n)) \in B_I^n \left(\tilde{\nu}_n, \epsilon_0 n^2 \right) \right].$$

Proof. We couple the tuple of random variables $(X_n(\lambda_n), X_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n))$ so that they have the same eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues, when listed in decreasing order. We also couple the tuple of random variables $(Y_n(\mu_n), Y_n(\tilde{\mu}_n))$ so that they have the same eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues, when listed in decreasing order. By design, $n^{-2}\|\mathrm{spec}(X_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n) - X_n(\lambda_n))\|_I \leq \frac{\epsilon - \epsilon_0}{4}$, and $n^{-2}\|\mathrm{spec}(Y_n(\tilde{\mu}_n) - Y_n(\mu_n))\|_I \leq \frac{\epsilon - \epsilon_0}{4}$. The Ky Fan inequalities, (see Equation (1.55), [33]), state that, for each $k \in [n]$, for $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices X and Y, the sum of the top k eigenvalues of $X + Y$ is less or equal to the sum of the top k eigenvalues of X added to the sum of the top k eigenvalues of Y. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} n^{-2}\|\mathrm{spec}((X_n(\lambda_n) - X_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n)) + (Y_n(\mu_n) - Y_n(\tilde{\mu}_n)))\|_I &\leq n^{-2}\|\mathrm{spec}(X_n(\lambda_n) - X_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n))\|_I \\ &+ n^{-2}\|\mathrm{spec}(Y_n(\mu_n) - Y_n(\tilde{\mu}_n))\|_I \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon - \epsilon_0}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if

$$\mathrm{spec}(X_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n) + Y_n(\tilde{\mu}_n)) \in B_I^n\left(\tilde{\nu}_n, \epsilon_0 n^2\right),$$

then

$$X_n(\lambda_n) + Y_n(\mu_n)) \in B_I^n\left(\tilde{\nu}_n, \frac{\epsilon_0+\epsilon}{2} n^2\right) \subseteq B_I^n\left(\nu_n, \epsilon n^2\right),$$

and therefore

$$\mathbb{P}_n\left[\mathrm{spec}(X_n(\lambda_n) + Y_n(\mu_n)) \in B_I^n(\nu_n, \epsilon n^2)\right] \geq \mathbb{P}_n\left[\mathrm{spec}(X_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n) + Y_n(\tilde{\mu}_n)) \in B_I^n\left(\tilde{\nu}_n, \epsilon_0 n^2\right)\right].$$

□

We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 27.

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}_n\left[\mathrm{spec}(Z_n) \in B_I^n(\nu_n, \epsilon n^2)\right]^{\frac{2}{n^2}} \geq \left(\frac{1}{V(\tilde{\lambda})V(\tilde{\mu})}\right) \liminf_{n\to\infty} |G_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n, \tilde{\mu}_n; \tilde{\nu}_n, \epsilon_0 n^2)|^{\frac{2}{n^2}}.$$

Proof. Observe that by (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}_n\left[\mathrm{spec}(X_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n) + Y_n(\tilde{\mu}_n)) \in B_I^n\left(\tilde{\nu}_n, \epsilon_0 n^2\right)\right] &= \int\limits_{B_I^n(\tilde{\nu}_n, \epsilon_0 n^2)} |H_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n, \tilde{\mu}_n; \nu'_n)| \left(\frac{V_n(\nu'_n)V_n(\tau_n)}{V_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n)V_n(\tilde{\mu}_n)}\right) \mathrm{Leb}_{n-1,0}(d\nu'_n) \\
&= \left(\frac{V_n(\tau_n)^2}{V_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n)V_n(\tilde{\mu}_n)}\right) \int\limits_{B_I^n(\tilde{\nu}_n, \epsilon_0 n^2)} |A_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n, \tilde{\mu}_n; \tilde{\nu}_n)| \mathrm{Leb}_{n-1,0}(d\nu'_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 24 and (2.8),

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}_n\left[\mathrm{spec}(Z_n) \in B_I^n(\nu_n, \epsilon n^2)\right]^{\frac{2}{n^2}} \geq \left(\frac{1}{V(\tilde{\lambda})V(\tilde{\mu})}\right) \liminf_{n\to\infty} |G_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n, \tilde{\mu}_n; \tilde{\nu}_n, \epsilon_0 n^2)|^{\frac{2}{n^2}}.$$

□

For a fixed $a \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the set of boundary nodes $\mathbf{b} \subseteq V(T_n)$ as follows. We first define $\mathbf{b}'$ to be the set of all nodes $(nx, ny) \in V(T_n)$ such that there exists an $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|x - i2^{-a}| \leq \frac{4}{n}$ or there exists a $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|y - j2^{-a}| \leq \frac{4}{n}$, or $|y - x| \leq \frac{4}{n}$. Now $V(\mathbb{T}_n) \setminus \mathbf{b}'$ consists of a set of disjoint lattice rectangles separated by boundaries with at least 4 layers together with some boundary triangles which are also separated from the lattice rectangles by at least 4 layers.

Lemma 28. *There exists a set of boundary nodes $\mathbf{b}'' \supseteq \mathbf{b}'$, that satisfies the following conditions.*

1. *$\mathbf{b}''$ is contained in the set of all lattice points (nx, ny) such that, either, there exists an $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $|x - i2^{-a}| \leq \frac{5}{n}$, or there exists a $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $|y - j2^{-a}| \leq \frac{5}{n}$, or $|y - x| \leq \frac{5}{n}$.*
2. *$V(T_n) \setminus \mathbf{b}''$ is the union of a collection of disjoint lattice squares κ_{lat} (not merely rectangles) or isosceles right triangles κ'_{lat}.*




Fig 5.1: Partition with multiple layers separating the squares and triangles. Dashed lines indicate borders of dyadic pieces.

3. *The Minkowski sum of each lattice square κ_{lat} with $\{(x,y)|\max(|x|,|y|) \leq 4/n\}$ is contained in a unique dyadic square κ of side length 2^{-a}.*
4. *The set $V(\mathbb{T}_n) \setminus \mathbf{b}''$ consists of a set of disjoint lattice squares and lattice triangles, of side length in the interval $[2^{-a}n - 16, 2^{-a}n]$.*

Proof. We will give a constructive proof. Augment $\mathbf{b}'$ in the following way. Take a dyadic square κ. If the vertices in $n\kappa$ that are not in $\mathbf{b}'$ form a rectangle with the number of rows exceeding the number of columns by exactly one or the number of columns exceeding the number of rows by exactly one rather than a square, augment $\mathbf{b}'$ by adding one column layer of vertices in $n\kappa$ to $\mathbf{b}'$, or row layer respectively. Do this sequentially for each dyadic square κ. Since we add only one row or column to each dyadic square 1. is satisfied. We see that 2. is satisfied by construction. Even $\mathbf{b}'$ satisfies 3., and so $\mathbf{b}''$ does as well. Since we add at most one row or column of vertices to each dyadic square, 4. is satisfied as well.

The above process takes care of the lattice triangles as well, since they are automatically isosceles. □

Definition 29. *We set $\mathbf{b}$ to $\mathbf{b}''$, (whose existence is guaranteed by the above lemma). Given $\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu} \in \mathrm{Spec}_n$, let $h \in H(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}; \tilde{\nu})$. We define $h_{\mathbf{b}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{b}}$ to be the unique vector whose coordinate corresponding to $b \in \mathbf{b}$ is given by the value that $n^2 h$ takes on $(1/n)b$.*

We will need the definition below as well.

Definition 30. *We define the polytope $\tilde{P}_n(\mathbf{b}, h)$ as the preimage of $(h_{\mathbf{b}})$ under the coordinate projection $\Pi_{\mathbf{b}}$ of $G_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n, \tilde{\mu}_n; \tilde{\nu}_n, \epsilon_0 n^2)$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{b}}$. We define the*

polytope $\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{b}, h)$ *as the preimage of* $(h_{\mathbf{b}}) + [-\frac{1}{2n^6}, \frac{1}{2n^6}]^{\mathbf{b}}$ *under the coordinate projection* $\Pi_{\mathbf{b}}$ *of* $G_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n, \tilde{\mu}_n; \tilde{\nu}_n, \epsilon_0 n^2)$ *onto* $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{b}}$.

In the above definition, for large enough n, the role of $\frac{1}{2n^6}$ is simply to clamp down the values on $\mathbf{b}$ so that they are essentially fixed, while at the same not clamping them down to the extent that they affect the asymptotics of the per vertex differential entropy.

Lemma 29. *Let* $\tilde{h}$ *denote a* C^2 *hive, contained in* $H(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}; \tilde{\nu})$ *such that*

$$\inf_{x \in T} \min_i (-D_i \tilde{h}(x)) > 0.$$

Then, the volumes of the polytopes $\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{b}, \tilde{h})$ *given by Definition 30 satisfy the following asymptotic lower bound as* n *tends to* ∞. *Let* ϵ_2 *be a positive constant. Then,*

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} |\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{b}, \tilde{h})|^{\frac{2}{n^2}} \geq \exp(-\epsilon_2) V(\nu') \exp\left(\int_T \sigma(-D_0 \tilde{h}(x), -D_1 \tilde{h}(x), -D_2 \tilde{h}(x)) \mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) \right)$$

for all a *(which is implicit in the definition of* $\mathbf{b}$*) larger than some number depending on* $\tilde{h}$ *and* ϵ_2.

Proof. Note that $L_n(\tilde{h}) \in H_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n, \tilde{\mu}_n; \tilde{\nu}_n)$. We will now consider two cases corresponding to dyadic squares and triangles respectively.

1. *Let* κ *be a dyadic square in* $\mathcal{D}_a$.

All the lattice points in $n\kappa$ for a dyadic square $\kappa \in \mathcal{D}_a$ that are not in $\mathbf{b}$ are separated from the lattice points in every abutting dyadic square or triangle scaled by n by at least four layers of $\mathbf{b}$. Let the subset of $V(T_n) \cap n\kappa$ of all lattice points at an ℓ_∞ distance of at most 2 from the set $(V(T_n) \setminus \mathbf{b}) \cap n\kappa$ be denoted V_κ. Let this correspond to a lattice square with $n_1 + 4$ vertices on each side (of which n_1 do not belong to $\mathbf{b}$, and 4 vertices do). Denote the projection of the translated polytope $\left(\tilde{P}_n(\mathbf{b}, \tilde{h}) - L_n(\tilde{h})\right)$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{V_\kappa}$ by Q_{n_1}.

For $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, let $\inf_{x \in \kappa} (-1) D_i \tilde{h}(x) = \delta_i$. Let $\tilde{R}_{n_1}$ be the polytope consisting of the set of all functions $g : V(\mathbb{T}_{n_1+4}) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{v \in V(\mathbb{T}_{n_1+4})} g(v) = 0$ and $\nabla^2(g)(e) \leq \delta_i$ where $e \in E_i(\mathbb{T}_{n_1+4})$. We identify the vertices of V_κ with those of $\mathbb{T}_{n_1+4}$ by pasting together the sides of V_κ in the natural way to transform it into a discrete torus. Let R_{n_1} be the polytope consisting of the set of all functions $g : V(\mathbb{T}_{n_1+4}) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for $v \in \mathbf{b}$, $g(v) = 0$ and $\sum_{v \in V(\mathbb{T}_{n_1+4})} g(v) = 0$ and $\nabla^2(g)(e) \leq \delta_i$ where $e \in E_i(\mathbb{T}_{n_1+4})$.

Note that

$$R_{n_1} \subseteq \{x | \sum_i x_i = 0\} \cap Q_{n_1} \subseteq \Pi_{\{x | \sum_i x_i = 0\}} Q_{n_1}.$$

Further, for any $x \in (1 - n^{-6}) R_{n_1}$, the line segment $\{x + [-n^{-C}, n^{-C}] \mathbf{1}_{(V(T_n) \setminus \mathbf{b}) \cap n\kappa}\}$ is contained in Q_{n_1}.

This implies that for all sufficiently large n,

$$|Q_{n_1}| \geq n^{-C}(1-n^{-6})^{\dim R_{n_1}}|R_{n_1}|. \tag{5.1}$$

We see that R_{n_1} is a section of $\tilde{R}_{n_1}$ and is the preimage of 0 under the push forward of the uniform measure on $\tilde{R}_{n_1}$ under the evaluation map $\Pi_{\mathbf{b}}$ that maps $g \in \tilde{R}_{n_1}$ on to $g_{\mathbf{b}\cap V_\kappa}$. By Theorem 3, and the logconcavity of the pushforward of the uniform measure on $\tilde{R}_{n_1}$ under the evaluation map $\Pi_{\mathbf{b}}$ that follows from the convexity of $\tilde{R}_{n_1}$ and Theorem 2, (noting also that the center of mass of $\tilde{R}_{n_1}$ is 0, by (3.13)) we see that

$$\frac{|R_{n_1}|}{|\tilde{R}_{n_1}|} \geq \sup_{g\in\tilde{R}_{n_1}} (2e\|g\|_\infty)^{-Cn_1}.$$

However

$$\lim_{n_1\to\infty} |\tilde{R}_{n_1}|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2}} = \exp(-\sigma(\delta_0,\delta_1,\delta_2)), \tag{5.2}$$

by Lemma 6.

It follows that

$$\liminf_{n_1\to\infty} |R_{n_1}|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2}} \geq \exp(-\sigma(\delta_0,\delta_1,\delta_2)). \tag{5.3}$$

As $a\to\infty$, the supremum over all $\kappa\in\mathcal{D}_a$ of

$$\sup_{x\in\kappa}(-1)D_i\tilde{h}(x) - \inf_{x\in\kappa}(-1)D_i\tilde{h}(x),$$

tends to 0 because $\tilde{h}$ is C^2 with a uniformly continuous Hessian. However because $\tilde{h}$ is strongly rhombus concave, $\inf_{x\in\kappa}(-1)D_i\tilde{h}(x)$ does not tend to 0. As a consequence, as a tends to infinity, the supremum over all $\kappa\in\mathcal{D}_a$ of

$$\exp\left(-\sigma(\delta_0,\delta_1,\delta_2) + |\kappa|^{-1}\int_\kappa \sigma(-D_0(x),-D_1(x),-D_2(x))\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx)\right)$$

tends to 0.

2. *Let κ' be a dyadic triangle in $\mathcal{D}_a$.*

All the lattice points in $n\kappa'$ for a dyadic triangle $\kappa'\in\mathcal{D}_a$ that are not in $\mathbf{b}$ are separated from the lattice points in every abutting dyadic square or triangle scaled by n by at least four layers of $\mathbf{b}$. Let the subset of $V(T_n)\cap n\kappa'$ of all lattice points at an ℓ_∞ distance of at most 2 from the set $(V(T_n)\setminus\mathbf{b})\cap n\kappa'$ be denoted $V_{\kappa'}$. Let this correspond to a lattice triangle with n_1+4 vertices on each side (of which n_1 do not belong to $\mathbf{b}$, and 4 vertices do). For $i\in\{0,1,2\}$, let $\inf_{x\in\kappa'}(-1)D_i\tilde{h}(x)=\delta_i$. Let $\kappa''\cup\kappa'$ be a dyadic square κ, and let $\kappa''\cap\kappa'$ be the diagonal segment of κ'. This defines κ'' uniquely to be a dyadic triangle obtained by reflecting κ' about its diagonal. Let the subset of $V(\square_n)\cap n\kappa$ of all lattice points at an ℓ_∞ distance of at most 2 from the set $(V(\square_n)\setminus\mathbf{b})\cap n\kappa$

be denoted V_κ. We identify the vertices of V_κ with those of $\mathbb{T}_{n_1+4}$ by pasting together the sides of V_κ in the natural way to transform it into a discrete torus.

Let $\mathcal{R}$ denote the reflection of $\square_n$ about the line $\{(x,y)|y=x\}$.

We augment $\mathbf{b}\cap\kappa$ to $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}$, by including in $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ a band surrounding $n(\kappa'\cap\kappa'')$ (and symmetric about it) and some additional nodes in $n\kappa''$ that ensure that $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}\cap(n\kappa)$ is symmetric under the action of $\mathcal{R}$. More precisely, $\mathbf{b}''$ is defined to be all those vertices in $n\kappa$ that either equal a vertex v or a vertex $\mathcal{R}(v)$ for $v\in\mathbf{b}\cap\kappa'$.

Denote the projection of the polytope

$$\left(\tilde{P}_n(\mathbf{b},L_n(\tilde{h}))-L_n(\tilde{h})\right)\times_{\mathbf{b}\cap\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{b})}\left(\tilde{P}_n(\mathbf{b},L_n(\tilde{h}))-L_n(\tilde{h})\right),$$

(viewed as a polytope of functions from $V(\square_n)$ to $\mathbb{R}$ with the constraints satisfying reflection symmetry across the diagonal) onto $\mathbb{R}^{V_\kappa}$ by Q'_{n_1}.

We include $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ as a subset of $V(\mathbb{T}_{n_1+4})$ by embedding the $(n_1+4)\times(n_1+4)$ square into the torus in the natural way. Let R_{n_1} be the polytope consisting of the set of all functions $g: V(\mathbb{T}_{n_1+4})\to\mathbb{R}$ such that for $v\in\tilde{\mathbf{b}}$, $g(v)=0$ and $\sum_{v\in V(\mathbb{T}_{n_1+4})}g(v)=0$ and $\nabla^2(g)(e)\le\delta_i$ where $e\in E_i(\mathbb{T}_{n_1+4})$.

Let $\tilde{R}_{n_1}$ be the polytope consisting of the set of all functions $g: V(\mathbb{T}_{n_1+4})\to\mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{v\in V(\mathbb{T}_{n_1+4})}g(v)=0$ and $\nabla^2(g)(e)\le\delta_i$ where $e\in E_i(\mathbb{T}_{n_1+4})$.

Note that

$$R_{n_1}\subseteq\{x|\sum_i x_i=0\}\cap Q'_{n_1}\subseteq\Pi_{\{x|\sum_i x_i=0\}}Q'_{n_1}.$$

Further, for any $x\in(1-n^{-6})R_{n_1}$, the line segment $\{x+[-1/n^C,1/n^C]\mathbf{1}_{(V(\square_n)\setminus\mathbf{b})\cap n\kappa}\}$ is contained in Q'_{n_1}.

This implies that for all sufficiently large n,

$$|Q'_{n_1}|\ge n^{-C}(1-n^{-6})^{\dim R_{n_1}}|R_{n_1}|. \tag{5.4}$$

We see that R_{n_1} is a section of $\tilde{R}_{n_1}$ and is the preimage of 0 under the push forward of the uniform measure on $\tilde{R}_{n_1}$ under the evaluation map $\Pi_{\tilde{\mathbf{b}}}$ that maps $g\in\tilde{R}_{n_1}$ on to $g_{\tilde{\mathbf{b}}}$. By Theorem 3 due to Fradelizi, and the logconcavity of the pushforward of the uniform measure on $\tilde{R}_{n_1}$ under the evaluation map $\Pi_{\mathbf{b}}$ that follows from Theorem 2, we see that

$$\frac{|R_{n_1}|}{|\tilde{R}_{n_1}|}\ge\sup_{g\in\tilde{R}_{n_1}}(2e\|g\|_\infty)^{-(Cn_1)}.$$

However

$$\lim_{n_1\to\infty}|\tilde{R}_{n_1}|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2}}=\exp(-\sigma(\delta_0,\delta_1,\delta_2)), \tag{5.5}$$

by Theorem 6.

As in the case of a dyadic square, it follows that

$$\liminf_{n_1\to\infty}|R_{n_1}|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2}}\ge\exp(-\sigma(\delta_0,\delta_1,\delta_2)). \tag{5.6}$$

As $a \to \infty$, the supremum over all dyadic triangles $\kappa' \in \mathcal{D}_a$ of

$$\sup_{x \in \kappa'} (-1) D_i \tilde{h}(x) - \inf_{x \in \kappa} (-1) D_i \tilde{h}(x),$$

tends to 0 because $\tilde{h}$ is C^2 with a uniformly continuous Hessian. However because $\tilde{h}$ is strongly rhombus concave, $\inf_{x \in \kappa'} (-1) D_i \tilde{h}(x)$ does not tend to 0. As a consequence, as a tends to infinity, the supremum over all $\kappa' \in \mathcal{D}_a$ of

$$\exp\left(-\sigma(\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2) + |\kappa'|^{-1} \int_{\kappa'} \sigma(-D_0 h(x), -D_1 h(x), -D_2 h(x)) \mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) \right)$$

tends to 0.

Also suppose $h_n \in H_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n, \tilde{\mu}_n; \tilde{\nu}_n)$ has the property that for each i, each $(-1)\Delta_i h_n$ is uniformly bounded above and below by positive constants on T_n. Then, any hive h'_n that differs in ℓ_∞ norm from h_n by less than $1/(2n^4)$, for sufficiently large n, satisfies the rhombus inequalities and thus is a valid hive. Taken together with Lemma 23, (and by the symmetry of $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ under reflection about $\kappa' \cap \kappa''$) we obtain a lower bound of $\exp(-\epsilon_2) V(\tilde{\nu}) \exp\left(\int_T \sigma(-D_0 h(x), -D_1 h(x), -D_2 h(x)) \mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) \right)$ for all a larger than some number depending on $\tilde{h}$, on $\liminf_{n \to \infty} |\tilde{P}_n(\mathbf{b}, \tilde{h})|^{\frac{2}{n^2}}$, since the volume of the polytope $\tilde{P}_n(\mathbf{b}, \tilde{h})$ is equal to the product of the volumes of all the different Q_{n_1} and Q'_{n_1} for various dyadic squares and triangles.

The lemma follows from Claim 4.

Claim 4.

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} |\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{b}, \tilde{h})|^{\frac{2}{n^2}} \geq \liminf_{n \to \infty} |\tilde{P}_n(\mathbf{b}, \tilde{h})|^{\frac{2}{n^2}}$$

Proof. For any $x \in [-\frac{1}{2n^6}, \frac{1}{2n^6}]^{\mathbf{b}}$, the preimage of $(h_{\mathbf{b}}) + x$ under the coordinate projection $\Pi_{\mathbf{b}}$ contains the polytope $x + (1 - \frac{1}{n}) \tilde{P}_n(\mathbf{b}, \tilde{h})$, because $\tilde{P}_n(\mathbf{b}, \tilde{h})$ contains a Euclidean ball of constant radius (belonging to the affine span of $\tilde{P}_n(\mathbf{b}, \tilde{h})$). It follows that

$$|\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{b}, \tilde{h})| \geq |\tilde{P}_n(\mathbf{b}, \tilde{h})| \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{\dim \tilde{P}_n(\mathbf{b}, \tilde{h})} \left| \left[-\frac{1}{2n^6}, \frac{1}{2n^6} \right]^{\mathbf{b}} \right|.$$

Since, a is constant as $n \to \infty$, $\mathbf{b}$ contains a number of nodes that is linear in n, so the claim follows. □

□

Lemma 30. *For every $h \in H(\lambda, \mu; \nu)$, let h_{ϵ_1} be as defined in Definition 27 and $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}$ be the boundary values of h_{ϵ_1} on the vertical, horizontal and diagonal side of T respectively. Let $\tilde{\lambda} = \partial(\tilde{\alpha})$, $\tilde{\mu} = \partial(\tilde{\beta})$, and $\tilde{\nu} = \partial(\tilde{\gamma})$. Given a fixed positive ϵ_2, for sufficiently small values of ϵ_1 (depending on ϵ_2), the resulting functions $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}$ are such that*

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} |G_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n, \tilde{\mu}_n; \tilde{\nu}_n, \epsilon_0 n^2)|^{\frac{2}{n^2}} \geq \exp(-2\epsilon_2) V(\tilde{\nu}) \exp\left(-\int_T \sigma((-1)(\nabla^2 h)_{ac}) \mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) \right).$$

Proof. By (2.8),

$$\begin{aligned}|G_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n,\tilde{\mu}_n;\tilde{\nu}_n,\epsilon_0 n^2)| &= \int\limits_{B_I^n(\tilde{\nu}_n,\epsilon_0 n^2)} |A_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n,\tilde{\mu}_n;\nu_n')|\mathrm{Leb}_{n-1,0}(d\nu_n')\\ &= \int\limits_{B_I^n(\tilde{\nu}_n,\epsilon_0 n^2)} |H_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n,\tilde{\mu}_n;\nu_n')|\left(\frac{V_n(\nu_n')}{V_n(\tau_n)}\right)\mathrm{Leb}_{n-1,0}(d\nu_n').\end{aligned}$$

If $-\int_T \sigma((-1)(\nabla^2 h)_{ac})\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) > -\infty$, then,

$$\lim_{\epsilon'\to 0} -\int_{(\partial T)_{\epsilon'}} \sigma((-1)(\nabla^2 h)_{ac})\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) = 0 \tag{5.7}$$

where $(\partial T)_{\epsilon'}$ is an ϵ' neighborhood of ∂T. This is due to the logarithmic nature of σ ($\sigma(s) = -\ln \mathbf{f}(s)$ by Definition 19) and the finite upper bound on the value that $(-1)_T(\nabla^2 h)$ (which is a Radon measure by [11]) assigns to T. The convexity of σ and (5.7) together imply that if $-\int_T \sigma((-1)(\nabla^2 h)_{ac})\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) > -\infty$, for any positive ϵ_2, there is a positive threshold ϵ' such that for all $\epsilon_1 < \epsilon'$,

$$-\int_T \sigma((-1)(\nabla^2 h)_{ac})\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) < \epsilon_2 - \left(\int_T \sigma((-1)\nabla^2 h_{\epsilon_1})\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx)\right).$$

As a consequence, (whether or not $-\int_T \sigma((-1)(\nabla^2 h)_{ac})\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx)$ is finite,)

$$V(\tilde{\nu})\exp\left(-\int_T \sigma((-1)(\nabla^2 h)_{ac})\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx)\right) \le \exp(\epsilon_2)V(\tilde{\nu})\exp\left(-\int_T \sigma((-1)\nabla^2 h_{\epsilon_1})\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx)\right).$$

Thus, it suffices to show that for any fixed $\epsilon_2 > 0$, when ϵ_1 is sufficiently small and h_{ϵ_1} is defined by Definition 27,

$$V(\tilde{\nu})\exp\left(-\int_T \sigma((-1)\nabla^2 h_{\epsilon_1})\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx)\right) \le \exp(\epsilon_2)\liminf_{n\to\infty} |G_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n,\tilde{\mu}_n;\tilde{\nu}_n,\epsilon_0 n^2)|^{\frac{2}{n^2}}.$$

We shall analyze the polytope $\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{b}, h_{\epsilon_1})$ given by Definition 30. Since

$$\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{b}, h_{\epsilon_1}) \subseteq G_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n,\tilde{\mu}_n;\tilde{\nu}_n,\epsilon_0 n^2),$$

it suffices to get a suitable lower bound on $|\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{b}, h_{\epsilon_1})|$ to prove this Lemma. This follows from Lemma 29. □

Lemma 31. *Let f from $[x_0, x_1]$ to $\mathbb{R}$ be Lipschitz, and strongly concave, and $\epsilon_7 > 0$ be fixed. Then, there is an $\epsilon_8 > 0$ such that for any Lipschitz concave function $\tilde{f}$ from $[x_0, x_1]$ to $\mathbb{R}$ that satisfies $\|f - \tilde{f}\|_\infty < \epsilon_8$, the following holds. The set of all $x' \in (x_0, x_1)$ such that*

$$\{\partial^+\tilde{f}(x'), \partial^-\tilde{f}(x')\} \subseteq [-\epsilon_7 + \partial^+ f(x'), \partial^- f(x') + \epsilon_7],$$

has Lebesgue measure at least $x_1 - x_0 - \epsilon_7$,

Proof. It is known that the Hessian of a Lipschitz concave function is a Radon measure (see [11]). It follows that the measure of all $x \in (x_0 + \delta, x_1 - \delta)$ such that $\int_{x-\delta}^{x+\delta}(-1)\nabla^2 f(dx) \geq \epsilon_7/4$ tends to 0 as δ tends to 0. Therefore, there exists $\epsilon_{7.5} > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon' \leq \epsilon_{7.5}$, the measure of the set S of all $x \in (x_0 + \epsilon', x_1 - \epsilon')$ such that

$$\int_{x-\epsilon'}^{x+\epsilon'} (-1)\nabla^2 f(dx) \geq \epsilon_7/4 \tag{5.8}$$

is less than ϵ_7. By the last fact, there exists an $\epsilon_8 > 0$ such that if $\tilde{f}$ is a Lipschitz concave function from $[x_0, x_1]$ to $\mathbb{R}$ that satisfies $\|f - \tilde{f}\|_\infty < \epsilon_8$, the following holds. For all $x \notin S$,

$$\partial^- \tilde{f}(x) < \partial^- f(x - \epsilon_{7.5}) + \frac{\epsilon_{7.5}}{2} < \partial^- f(x) + \frac{\epsilon_{7.5}}{2} + \frac{\epsilon_7}{4},$$

and

$$\partial^+ \tilde{f}(x) > \partial^+ f(x + \epsilon_{7.5}) - \frac{\epsilon_{7.5}}{2} > \partial^+ f(x) - \frac{\epsilon_{7.5}}{2} - \frac{\epsilon_7}{4}.$$

The Lemma follows by ensuring that $\epsilon_{7.5} < \epsilon_7$. □

Lemma 32. *Let $h \in H(\lambda, \mu; \nu)$ and $h_{\epsilon_1} \in H(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}; \tilde{\nu})$ be defined in accordance with Definition 27, where $\lambda = \partial^- \alpha$, $\mu = \partial^- \beta$ and α and β are strongly concave. Given a fixed positive ϵ_2, for all sufficiently small values of ϵ_1,*

$$\left(\frac{1}{V(\tilde{\lambda})}\right) > \exp(-\epsilon_2/2)\left(\frac{1}{V(\lambda)}\right),$$

and

$$\left(\frac{1}{V(\tilde{\mu})}\right) > \exp(-\epsilon_2/2)\left(\frac{1}{V(\mu)}\right).$$

More generally, for any fixed $\epsilon_2 > 0$, for any strongly decreasing $\hat{\lambda}$ on $[0, 1]$ such that $\hat{\lambda} = \partial^- \hat{\alpha}$, there exists $\epsilon_3 > 0$ such that if $\|\alpha - \hat{\alpha}\|_\infty < \epsilon_3$, then

$$V(\hat{\lambda}) < \exp(\epsilon_2/2)V(\lambda).$$

Proof. As before, we write $\lambda = \partial^- \alpha$, $\mu = \partial^- \beta$ and $\nu = \partial^- \gamma$, $\tilde{\lambda} = \partial^- \tilde{\alpha}$, $\tilde{\mu} = \partial^- \tilde{\beta}$ and $\tilde{\nu} = \partial^- \tilde{\gamma}$ on $(0, 1)$. Here our choices of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}$ are those that are uniquely specified by their being continuous on $[0, 1]$ and the conditions $\alpha(0) = \tilde{\alpha}(0) = 0$, and $\beta(0) = \tilde{\beta}(0) = 0$, and $\gamma(0) = \tilde{\gamma}(0) = 0$. Due to the fact that h is Lipschitz, as $\epsilon_1 \to 0$, $\max(\|\alpha - \tilde{\alpha}\|_\infty, \|\beta - \tilde{\beta}\|_\infty, \|\gamma - \tilde{\gamma}\|_\infty)$ tends to 0. We also know by the conditions on λ, μ, that α and β are strongly concave. Recall from Definition 27 that $h_{\epsilon_1} : T \to \mathbb{R}$ denotes following the C^3 function where $a(x, y)$ is the unique affine function that results in the function h_{ϵ_1} taking the value 0 at the corners of T:

$$h_{\epsilon_1}(x, y) = (h \star \theta_{\epsilon_1})((1 - 4\epsilon_1)x + \epsilon_1, (1 - 4\epsilon_1)y + 3\epsilon_1) - \epsilon_1(x^2 + y^2 - xy) + a(x, y).$$

By the rhombus inequalities, $\partial^-(\alpha)(0)$ is greater or equal to $\partial_y^-(h)(x,y)$ for any $0 \leq x \leq y \leq 1$. Similarly, $\partial^-(\alpha)(1)$ is less or equal to $\partial_y^-(h)(x,y)$ for any $0 \leq x \leq y \leq 1$. Therefore, $\lambda(0) \geq \tilde{\lambda}(0) - C\epsilon_1$, and $\lambda(1) \leq \tilde{\lambda}(1) + C\epsilon_1$. We will show that $V(\tilde{\lambda})/V(\lambda)$ can be made less than $\exp(\epsilon_2/2)$ by making ϵ_1 sufficiently small. By Lemma 23, it suffices to show that if ϵ_1 is sufficiently small, then

$$\int_{T\setminus\{(t,t)|t\in[0,1]\}} \log\left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}(x)-\tilde{\lambda}(y)}{\lambda(x)-\lambda(y)}\right) dxdy < \epsilon_2/2.$$

If

$$\int_{T\setminus\{(t,t)|t\in[0,1]\}} \log\left(\tilde{\lambda}(x)-\tilde{\lambda}(y)\right) dxdy = -\infty,$$

we are done, so since

$$\int_{T\setminus\{(t,t)|t\in[0,1]\}} \log\left(\tilde{\lambda}(x)-\tilde{\lambda}(y)\right) dxdy \leq \mathrm{Leb}_2(T)\log\left(\tilde{\lambda}(0)-\tilde{\lambda}(1)\right) < \infty,$$

we assume $\int_{T\setminus\{(t,t)|t\in[0,1]\}} \log\left(\tilde{\lambda}(x)-\tilde{\lambda}(y)\right) dxdy$ is finite. Let ϵ_3 be chosen such that

$$\int_{T\cap\{(t',t)||t'-t|<\epsilon_3\}} \left|\log\left(\tilde{\lambda}(x)-\tilde{\lambda}(y)\right)\right| dxdy < \epsilon_2/4.$$

By choosing ϵ_1 and hence $\|\alpha-\tilde{\alpha}\|_\infty$ to be sufficiently small, we can ensure by Lemma 31 that

$$\int_{T\setminus\{(t',t)||t-t'|<\epsilon_3\}} \log\left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}(x)-\tilde{\lambda}(y)}{\lambda(x)-\lambda(y)}\right) dxdy < \epsilon_2/4.$$

It follows that

$$\int_{T\setminus\{(t,t)|t\in[0,1]\}} \log\left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}(x)-\tilde{\lambda}(y)}{\lambda(x)-\lambda(y)}\right) dxdy < \epsilon_2/2.$$

The same argument proves that $V(\tilde{\mu})/V(\mu)$ can be made less than $\exp(\epsilon_2/2)$ by making ϵ_1 arbitrarily small. In the argument above, we used the nearness in L^∞, and $\lambda(0) \geq \tilde{\lambda}(0) - C\epsilon_1$, and $\lambda(1) \leq \tilde{\lambda}(1) + C\epsilon_1$, but not the specifics of the convolution. Therefore, there exists $\epsilon_3 > 0$ such that for any strongly decreasing $\hat{\lambda}$ such that $\hat{\lambda} = \partial^-\alpha$ and $\|\alpha-\hat{\alpha}\|_\infty < \epsilon_3$,

$$\int_{T\cap\{(t,t')\in[\sqrt{\epsilon_3},1]\times[0,1-\sqrt{\epsilon_3}]\}} \log\left(\frac{\hat{\lambda}(x)-\hat{\lambda}(y)}{\lambda(x)-\lambda(y)}\right) dxdy < \epsilon_2/10.$$

We need to handle the integral on the two strips $T\cap([0,\sqrt{\epsilon_3}]\times[0,1])$ and $T\cap([0,1]\times[1-\sqrt{\epsilon_3},1])$. We only handle the first strip, since the second is analogous. By the concavity of α and $\hat{\alpha}$, we see that for any ϵ such that $0<\epsilon<\sqrt{\epsilon_3}$, $|\hat{\lambda}(\epsilon)-\lambda(\epsilon)| < \frac{C\epsilon_3}{\epsilon}$. Thus,

$$\int_{T\cap\{(t,t')\in[0,\sqrt{\epsilon_3}]\times[0,1]\}} \log\left(\frac{\hat{\lambda}(x)-\hat{\lambda}(y)}{\lambda(x)-\lambda(y)}\right) dxdy = O(\int_0^{\sqrt{\epsilon_3}} \log\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) d\epsilon).$$

The RHS equals $O(\sqrt{\epsilon_3}\log(1/\epsilon_3)+\sqrt{\epsilon_3})$, which tends to 0 as ϵ_3 tends to 0. Therefore, for a sufficiently small choice of ϵ_3, we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{V(\lambda)}\right) < \exp(\epsilon_2/2)\left(\frac{1}{V(\hat{\lambda})}\right).$$

□

Lemma 33. *Let $h \in H(\lambda,\mu;\nu)$ and $h_{\epsilon_1} \in H(\tilde{\lambda},\tilde{\mu};\tilde{\nu})$ be defined in accordance with Definition 27, where $\lambda = \partial^- \alpha$, $\mu = \partial^- \beta$ and α and β are strongly concave. Given a fixed positive ϵ_2, for all sufficiently small values of ϵ_1,*

$$V(\tilde{\nu}) > \exp(-\epsilon_2/2)V(\nu).$$

Proof. Let $q(x,y) := x^2+y^2-xy$. Note that

$$h_{\epsilon_1}(x,y) = ((h-\epsilon_1 q) * \theta_{\epsilon_1})((1-4\epsilon_1)x+\epsilon_1,(1-4\epsilon_1)y+3\epsilon_1)+a'(x,y),$$

for a suitable affine function a'. For a given ϵ_1, let $\delta_x,\delta_y \in [-\epsilon_1,0]$. Define the hive $\check{h}(x,y) := (h-\epsilon_1 q)((1-4\epsilon_1)x+\epsilon_1+\delta_x,(1-4\epsilon_1)y+3\epsilon_1+\delta_y)+\check{a}(x,y)$, where $\check{a}$ is chosen to make the value of $\check{h}$ on the vertices of T to be 0, and let $\check{\lambda},\check{\mu}$ and $\check{\nu}$ be such that $\check{h} \in H(\check{\lambda},\check{\mu};\check{\nu})$. As a functional on the space of strongly decreasing functions from $[0,1]$ to $\mathbb{R}$, V is concave. Therefore, to prove this lemma, it suffices to show that when ϵ_1 sufficiently small, for all $\delta_x,\delta_y \in [-\epsilon_1,0]$,

$$V(\nu) \le V(\check{\nu})\exp(\epsilon_2/100).$$

To see this, note that for all $x < -100\epsilon_1 + y$, by the rhombus concavity of h,

$$\check{\nu}(x)-\check{\nu}(y) \ge \nu(x+10\epsilon_1)-\nu(y-10\epsilon_1).$$

Without loss of generality, we may rescale h and assume that $\nu(0) \le \frac{1}{2}$ and $\nu(1) \ge -\frac{1}{2}$.

However, because of the presence of the term $-\epsilon_1 q$ in the expression for $\check{h}$,

$$\left|\int\limits_{\substack{(x,y)\in T\\ |x-y|<100\epsilon_1}} \log(\check{\nu}(x)-\check{\nu}(y))dxdy\right| < C\epsilon_1\log\frac{1}{\epsilon_1}.$$

By the rescaling,

$$\left|\int\limits_{\substack{(x,y)\in T\\ |x-y|>1-20\epsilon_1}} \log(\nu(x)-\nu(y))dxdy\right| < -C\epsilon_1\log(1-\epsilon_1).$$

Thus, when ϵ_1 is sufficiently small,

$$V(\nu) \le V(\check{\nu})\exp(\epsilon_2/100),$$

and we are done. □

Lemma 34. *Let $\lambda : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\mu : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ be strongly decreasing functions that integrate to 0 over $[0,1]$. Let $\nu : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a decreasing function that integrates to 0 over $[0,1]$. Let X_n and Y_n be independent random Hermitian matrices with spectra λ_n and μ_n respectively. Let $Z_n = X_n + Y_n$. Then,*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}_n \left[\mathrm{spec}(Z_n) \in B_I^n(\nu_n, \epsilon n^2)\right]^{\frac{2}{n^2}} \geq \sup_{\nu' \in B_I(\nu,\epsilon)} \sup_{h' \in H(\lambda,\mu;\nu')} (V(\lambda)V(\mu))^{-1} \mathcal{J}(h').$$

Proof. This is a consequence of Lemma 27, Lemma 30, Lemma 32 and Lemma 33. □

6. Upper bound

This section concludes with Lemma 44 which states the following.
Let $Z_n = X_n + Y_n$. Then,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}_n \left[\mathrm{spec}(Z_n) \in B_I^n(\nu_n, \epsilon)\right]^{\frac{2}{n^2}} \leq \sup_{\nu' \in B_I(\nu,\epsilon)} \sup_{h' \in H(\lambda,\mu;\nu')} (V(\lambda)V(\mu))^{-1} \mathcal{J}(h').$$

The strategy to proving this is to break T into dyadic squares, and prove an upper bound on the entropy over each square, when one looks at random hives inside a small tube around a fixed discrete concave function. The key fact used in proving the upper bound is Lemma 36, where it is shown, roughly speaking, that if one considers functions on a torus with a variable upper bound on the Hessian, one can do no better entropy-wise than if this upper bound were a constant.

In Lemma 37, which is the lemma of this section that relates discrete hives to continuous rhombus concave functions, there is a requirement on $h \in \bigcup_{\nu' \in \overline{B}_I(\nu,\epsilon)} H(\lambda,\mu;\nu')$ that it satisfies

$$\inf_T \min_{0\leq i\leq 2} ((-1)D_i h)_{ac} > \tilde{\delta} > 0.$$

The way this is achieved is by a convex combination with a fixed rhombus concave function with this property. But to then transfer our results to the general case, we need the below lemma.

Lemma 35. *Fix $h \in \bigcup_{\nu' \in \overline{B}_I(\nu,\epsilon)} H(\lambda,\mu;\nu')$ that satisfies*

$$\inf_T \min_{0\leq i\leq 2} (-1)(D_i h)_{ac} > \tilde{\delta} > 0.$$

There exists $\nu' \in \overline{B}_I(\nu,\epsilon)$ and $h_* \in H(\lambda,\mu,\nu')$ such that for all positive N and $0 \leq \delta < 1$, we have

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \left| G_n(\lambda_n,\mu_n;\nu_n,\epsilon n^2) \cap \left(B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left(L_n(\delta h + (1-\delta)h_*), \frac{n^2}{N} \right) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{U_n} \right) \right|^{\frac{1}{n^2}}$$

$$\geq \limsup_{n\to\infty} |G_n(\lambda_n,\mu_n;\nu_n,\epsilon n^2)|^{\frac{1}{n^2}} (1-\delta).$$

Proof. By Bronshtein's Theorem on the L^∞ covering numbers of a space of bounded Lipschitz convex functions at a scale N^{-1} (see Lemma 5), for every $N \geq 1$, there is a C^0 hive $h_N \in H(\lambda, \mu; \nu'_N)$ for some $\nu'_N \in B_\infty(\nu, \epsilon)$ such that

$$\left| G_n(\lambda_n, \mu_n; \nu_n, \epsilon n^2) \cap \left(B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left(L_n(h_N), \frac{n^2}{N} \right) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{U_n} \right) \right| \geq \exp(-CN) |G_n(\lambda_n, \mu_n; \nu_n, \epsilon n^2)|,$$

where C is finite constant depending only on λ and μ. For each N, this implies that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \left| G_n(\lambda_n, \mu_n; \nu_n, \epsilon n^2) \cap \left(B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left(L_n(h_N), \frac{n^2}{N} \right) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{U_n} \right) \right|^{\frac{2}{n^2}} = \limsup_{n\to\infty} |G_n(\lambda_n, \mu_n; \nu_n, \epsilon n^2)|^{\frac{2}{n^2}}.$$

Due to the compactness of $\bigcup_\nu H(\lambda, \mu; \nu)$ in the L^∞ topology, there is a subsequence of $(h_N)_{N\geq 1}$ that has a L^∞ limit h_*, which belongs to $H(\lambda, \mu; \nu'')$, for some $\nu'' \in \overline{B}_I(\nu, \epsilon)$. Then for all positive N, we have

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \left| G_n(\lambda_n, \mu_n; \nu_n, \epsilon n^2) \cap \left(B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left(L_n(h_*), \frac{n^2}{N} \right) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{U_n} \right) \right|^{\frac{2}{n^2}} = \limsup_{n\to\infty} |G_n(\lambda_n, \mu_n; \nu_n, \epsilon n^2)|^{\frac{2}{n^2}}.$$

By the Brunn-Minkowski inequality, (taking account the fact that both the bodies involved below are nonempty)

$$\left| G_n(\lambda_n, \mu_n; \nu_n, \epsilon n^2) \cap \left(B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left(L_n(\delta h + (1-\delta) h_*), \frac{n^2}{N} \right) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{U_n} \right) \right|^{\frac{1}{n^2}}$$

is greater or equal to

$$\left| G_n(\lambda_n, \mu_n; \nu_n, \epsilon n^2) \cap \left(B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left(L_n(h_*), \frac{n^2}{N} \right) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{U_n} \right) \right|^{\frac{1}{n^2}} (1-\delta) +$$

$$\left| G_n(\lambda_n, \mu_n; \nu_n, \epsilon n^2) \cap \left(B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left(L_n(h), \frac{n^2}{N} \right) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{U_n} \right) \right|^{\frac{1}{n^2}} \delta.$$

But,

$$\left| G_n(\lambda_n, \mu_n; \nu_n, \epsilon n^2) \cap \left(B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left(L_n(h), \frac{n^2}{N} \right) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{U_n} \right) \right|^{\frac{1}{n^2}} \delta \geq 0,$$

by virtue of its arising from the volume of a nonempty set. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Let $h_* \in \bigcup_{\nu' \in \overline{B}_I(\nu,\epsilon)} H(\lambda, \mu; \nu')$.

Definition 31. *For $a \geq 1$, consider the functional*

$$\mathcal{J}_a : \bigcup_{\nu'} H(\lambda, \mu; \nu') \to \mathbb{R}$$

given by

$$\mathcal{J}_a(h) := V(\nu') \exp\left(- \sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{D}_a} |\kappa| \sigma \left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1} \int_{\kappa^o} (\nabla^2 h)(dx) \right) \right)$$

for any $h \in H(\lambda, \mu; \nu')$. *Here* $|\kappa| := \mathrm{Leb}_2(\kappa)$, *and* κ^o *is the interior of* κ.

Note that $\mathcal{J}_a(h_*)$ is *not* in general equal to the expression of which the limit is being taken in the LHS in (4.5), due to the possibility of the singular part of $\nabla^2 h_*$ being supported on $\partial\kappa$. However, by the convexity of σ, and (4.5) we have the following sets of inequalities, and hence Corollary 4. For any fixed $h_* \in \bigcup_{\nu' \in \overline{B}_I(\nu,\epsilon)} H(\lambda, \mu; \nu')$

$$\exp\left(- \sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{D}_a} |\kappa| \sigma \left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1} \int_{\kappa} \nabla^2 h_*(dx) \right) \right) \geq$$
$$\exp\left(- \sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{D}_a} |\kappa| \sigma \left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1} \int_{\kappa^o} \nabla^2 h_*(dx) \right) \right) \geq$$
$$\exp\left(- \sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{D}_a} |\kappa| \sigma \left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1} \int_{\kappa} (\nabla^2 h_*)_{ac} \mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) \right) \right) \geq$$
$$\exp\left(- \int_T \sigma((-1)\nabla^2 h_*(x))_{ac} \mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) \right).$$

Thus we have the following corollary to Theorem 21.

Corollary 4. *For any fixed* $h_* \in \bigcup_{\nu' \in \overline{B}_I(\nu,\epsilon)} H(\lambda, \mu; \nu')$

$$\lim_{a \to \infty} \exp\left(- \sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{D}_a} |\kappa| \sigma \left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1} \int_{\kappa^o} (\nabla^2 h_*)_{ac} \mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) \right) \right) = \exp\left(- \int_T \sigma((-1)(\nabla^2 h_*(x))_{ac}) \mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) \right). \tag{6.1}$$

$$\lim_{a \to \infty} \exp\left(- \sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{D}_a} |\kappa| \sigma \left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1} \int_{\kappa^o} \nabla^2 h_*(dx) \right) \right) = \exp\left(- \int_T \sigma((-1)(\nabla^2 h_*(x))_{ac}) \mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) \right). \tag{6.2}$$

Here $|\kappa| := \mathrm{Leb}_2(\kappa)$.

Lemma 36. *Let* $t : (E_0(\mathbb{T}_{n_1}) \cup E_1(\mathbb{T}_{n_1}) \cup E_2(\mathbb{T}_{n_1})) \to \mathbb{R}_+$ *be a function from the rhombi of* $\mathbb{T}_{n_1}$ *to the nonnegative reals. Let* $Q_{n_1}(t)$ *be the polytope consisting of the set of all functions* $g : V(\mathbb{T}_{n_1}) \to \mathbb{R}$ *such that* $\sum_{v \in V(\mathbb{T}_{n_1})} g(v) = 0$ *and* $\nabla^2(g)(e) \leq t(e)$ *for each* $e \in E_0(\mathbb{T}_{n_1}) \cup E_1(\mathbb{T}_{n_1}) \cup E_2(\mathbb{T}_{n_1})$. *Suppose that for each* $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, *we have* $\sum_{e \in E_i(\mathbb{T}_{n_1})} t(e) = n_1^2 s_i$. *Then,* $|Q_{n_1}(t)| \leq |P_{n_1}(s)|$.

Proof. Let the translation operator T_v act on functions $g : V(\mathbb{T}_{n_1}) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{v \in V(\mathbb{T}_{n_1})} g(v) = 0$ by $T_v g(w) := g(w - v)$. Let $T_v(Q_{n_1}(t))$ be defined to be the set of all $T_v g$ as g ranges over $Q_{n_1}(t)$. Then, denoting Minkowski sums by $\oplus$, we see by the Brunn-Minkowski inequality and the fact that the $T_v(Q_{n_1}(t))$ all have the same volume that

$$|Q_{n_1}(t)|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2-1}} \leq \left| \left(\frac{1}{n_1^2}\right) \left(\bigoplus_{v \in V(\mathbb{T}_{n_1})} T_v(Q_{n_1}(t)) \right) \right|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2-1}}.$$

From the containment

$$\left(\frac{1}{n_1^2}\right) \left(\bigoplus_{v \in V(\mathbb{T}_{n_1})} T_v(Q_{n_1}(t)) \right) \subseteq P_{n_1}(s),$$

it follows that

$$\left| \left(\frac{1}{n_1^2}\right) \left(\bigoplus_{v \in V(\mathbb{T}_{n_1})} T_v(Q_{n_1}(t)) \right) \right|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2-1}} \leq |P_{n_1}(s)|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2-1}}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

□

Lemma 37. *Fix $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h \in \bigcup_{\nu' \in \overline{B}_I(\nu,\epsilon)} H(\lambda, \mu; \nu')$ that satisfies*

$$\inf_T \min_{0 \leq i \leq 2} ((-1)D_i h)_{ac} > \tilde{\delta} > 0.$$

Fix $\epsilon_9 > 0$. There is a positive n_0, such that following is true for all $n > n_0$. Let $\mathbf{b}$ be defined by Definition 29 and let $\tilde{Q}_n$ be as in Definition 30. For every $h'' = \tilde{\delta} h + (1 - \tilde{\delta}) h_{**} \in H(\lambda, \mu, \nu'')$, where $h_{**} \in \bigcup_{\nu' \in \overline{B}_I(\nu,\epsilon)} H(\lambda, \mu; \nu')$,

$$|\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{b}, h'')|^{\frac{2}{n^2}} < \mathcal{J}_a(h'') \exp(\epsilon_9).$$

Proof. We proceed to study $\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{b}, h'')$ in order to obtain an upper bound on its volume.

1. Let κ be a dyadic square in $\mathcal{D}_a$. All the lattice points in $n\kappa$ for a dyadic square $\kappa \in \mathcal{D}_a$ that are not in $\mathbf{b}$ are are separated from the lattice points in every abutting dyadic square by at least four layers of $\mathbf{b}$. Let the subset of $V(T_n) \cap n\kappa$ of all lattice points at an ℓ_∞ distance of at most 2 from the set $(V(T_n) \setminus \mathbf{b}) \cap n\kappa$ be denoted V_κ. Denote the projection of the translated polytope $\left(\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{b}, h'') - L_n(h'')\right)$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{V_\kappa}$ by Q_κ. Then $\Pi_{\sum_i x_i = 0} Q_\kappa \subseteq Q_{n_1}(t)$, where n_1^2 is the number of vertices in V_κ, and for a rhombus e, $t(e)$ is $-\nabla^2(h'')(e)$, and $Q_{n_1}(t)$ is the polytope defined in Lemma 36. Suppose

that for each $i \in \{0,1,2\}$, we have $\sum_{e \in E_i(\mathbb{T}_{n_1})} t(e) = n^2 s_i$. Then, applying Lemma 36, we see that $|Q_{n_1}(t)| \leq |P_{n_1}(s)|$. That s is dominated by $\frac{16 n_1}{n^6} - |\kappa|^{-1} \int_{\kappa^o} \nabla^2 h''(dx)$, can be seen as follows using the fact that $V_\kappa \subseteq n\kappa^o$. Suppose first that h'' is a C^2 function on $n\kappa^o$. Then this domination holds by Green's Theorem (see Lemma 3). Next suppose h'' is an arbitrary Lipschitz rhombus concave function on $n\kappa^o$. The required result follows from the smooth case after convolution with a C^∞ approximate identity of sufficiently small support. Therefore, by Lemma 6 and Observation 1, for all sufficiently large n (and hence n_1)

$$|P_{n_1}(s)|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2-1}} \leq \frac{C n_1}{n^6} + |P_{n_1}(-|\kappa|^{-1} \int_{\kappa^o} \nabla^2 h''(dx))|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2-1}}, \tag{6.3}$$

where C is an absolute constant. Assuming $|P_{n_1}(-|\kappa|^{-1} \int_{\kappa^o} \nabla^2 h''(dx))|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2-1}} = \delta_0 > 0$, we see from Lemma 36 that

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_\kappa|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2}} &\leq (diam(Q_\kappa))^{\frac{1}{n_1^2}} |\Pi_{\sum_i x_i = 0} Q_\kappa|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2}} \\ &\leq (diam(Q_\kappa))^{\frac{1}{n_1^2}} \left(\left(\frac{C n_1}{n^6} + |P_{n_1}(-|\kappa|^{-1} \int_{\kappa^o} \nabla^2 h''(dx))|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2-1}} \right)^{n_1^2-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{n_1^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The RHS can be (crudely) bounded above by


$$\left(\frac{C n_1^3}{\delta_0 n^6} + (1 + \frac{C \log n}{n_1^2}) |P_{n_1}(-|\kappa|^{-1} \int_{\kappa^o} \nabla^2 h''(dx))|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2}} \right),$$

because letting $\xi = \frac{C n_1}{n^6}$, we have $(\xi + \delta_0)^{\frac{1}{n_1^2}} \leq \delta_0^{\frac{1}{n_1^2}} \left(1 + \frac{C\xi}{n_1^2 \delta_0}\right)$.

2. Let κ' be a dyadic triangle in $\mathcal{D}_a$. Let κ'' denote the triangle obtained by a central reflection $\mathcal{R}(\kappa') = \kappa''$, where $\mathcal{R}(x) = p - x$, p being the center of the hypotenuse of κ. Let T'_n denote the right angled lattice triangle below the diagonal in the augmented $n \times n$ hive. Let $V(T_n) \cap (n\kappa')$ be denoted $V_{\kappa'}$ and $V(T'_n) \cap (n\kappa'')$ be denoted $V_{\kappa''}$. Denote the projection of the translated polytope $\left(\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{b}, h'') - L_n(h'')\right)$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{V_{\kappa'}}$ by $Q_{\kappa'}$. Let $T_{\mathcal{R}}$ be the map from $\mathbb{R}^{V_{\kappa'}}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{V_{\kappa''}}$ that takes function of $V_{\kappa'}$ to a function of $V_{\kappa''}$ by mapping $V_{\kappa''}$ into $V_{\kappa'}$ using $\mathcal{R}$. Denote the isomorphic copy $T_{\mathcal{R}}(Q_{\kappa''})$ by $Q_{\kappa''}$. Note that $V_{\kappa'} \cap V_{\kappa''}$ consists of vertices on the diagonal, on which any points in $Q_{\kappa''}$ and $Q_{\kappa''}$, viewed as functions, take the value 0. Suppose $\Pi_{V_{\kappa'} \cup V_{\kappa''}}$ projects $\mathbb{R}^{V_{\kappa'} \cup V_{\kappa''}}$ onto $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{V_{\kappa'} \cup V_{\kappa''}}, \sum_i x_i = 0\}$ orthogonally. Let $Q_{\kappa' \cup \kappa''}$ be the polytope

$$\Pi_{V_{\kappa'} \cup V_{\kappa''}} \left(\left(Q_{\kappa'} \times_{V_{\kappa'} \cap V_{\kappa''}} Q_{\kappa''}\right) + \left[-\frac{1}{2n^6}, \frac{1}{2n^6}\right]^{(\mathbf{b} \cap \kappa') \cup (\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{b}) \cap \kappa'')} \right),$$

where, $Q_\kappa := Q_{\kappa'} \times_{V_{\kappa'} \cap V_{\kappa''}} Q_{\kappa''}$ is defined to be the subset of $\mathbb{R}^{V_{\kappa'} \cup V_{\kappa''}}$ given by the set of points whose coordinates equal their coordinates in $Q_{\kappa'}$


−1
1
−1
1
−1
1

over $V_{\kappa'}$ and in $Q_{\kappa''}$ over $V_{\kappa''}$, (which, on $V_{\kappa'} \cap V_{\kappa''}$, belong to $\left[-\frac{1}{2n^6}, \frac{1}{2n^6}\right]$ and agree across the two patches $V_{\kappa'}$ and $V_{\kappa''}$). Then

$$\Pi_{V_{\kappa'} \cup V_{\kappa''}} Q_\kappa \subseteq Q_{n_1}(t), \tag{6.4}$$

where n_1^2 is the number of vertices in $V_{\kappa'} \cup V_{\kappa''}$, and for a rhombus e is supported in κ', $t(e)$ is $-\nabla^2(L_n(h''))(e)$ or if e is supported in κ'', $t(e)$ is $-\nabla^2(L_n(h''))(\mathcal{R}(e))$, or in case e straddles the hypotenuse boundary of κ, $t(e)$ equals $\frac{2}{n^6}$. As before, $Q_{n_1}(t)$ is the polytope defined in Lemma 36. Suppose that for each $i \in \{0,1,2\}$, we have $\sum_{e \in E_i(\mathbb{T}_{n_1})} t(e) = n^2 s_i$. Then, by (6.4) and applying Lemma 36, we see that

$$(diam(Q_\kappa))^{-1} |Q_\kappa| \leq |\Pi_{V_{\kappa'} \cup V_{\kappa''}} Q_\kappa| \leq |Q_{n_1}(t)| \leq |P_{n_1}(s)|.$$

As in part 1. of this proof, we establish using Green's Theorem and the fact that $V_{\kappa'} \subseteq n\kappa'$, that s is dominated by $\frac{16 n_1}{n^6} - |\kappa'|^{-1} \int_{\kappa'} \nabla^2 h''(dx)$. Therefore, by Lemma 6, for all sufficiently large n_1,

$$|P_{n_1}(s)|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2-1}} \leq \frac{C n_1}{n^6} + |P_{n_1}(-|\kappa'|^{-1} \int_{\kappa'} \nabla^2 h''(dx))|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2-1}}. \tag{6.5}$$

Therefore, assuming $|P_{n_1}(-|\kappa'|^{-1} \int_{\kappa'} \nabla^2 h''(dx))|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2}} = \delta_0 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|Q_{\kappa'}|^{\frac{2}{n_1^2}} &\leq (diam(Q_\kappa))^{\frac{1}{n_1^2}} \left(\sqrt{|P_{n_1}(s)|}\right)^{\frac{2}{n_1^2}} \\
&\leq \left(\left(\frac{C n_1}{n^6} + (1 + \frac{C \log n}{n_1^2}) |P_{n_1}(-|\kappa'|^{-1} \int_{\kappa'} \nabla^2 h''(dx))|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2-1}}\right)^{n_1^2-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{n_1^2}} \\
&\leq \left(\frac{C n_1^3}{\delta_0 n^6} + (1 + \frac{C \log n}{n_1^2}) |P_{n_1}(-|\kappa'|^{-1} \int_{\kappa'} \nabla^2 h''(dx))|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

If a vector space E is the orthogonal sum of subspaces $E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_r$, then for any full dimensional polytope $Q \subseteq E$, the volume of Q is less or equal to the product of the volumes of the projections $\Pi_{E_i} Q$ of Q on to the E_i because $Q \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^r \Pi_{E_i} Q$. Thus, by 1. and 2. above,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{b}, h'')|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2}} \leq & \left(\prod_{\text{subsquares } \kappa \in \mathcal{D}_a} \left(\frac{C n_1^3}{\delta_0 n^6} + (1 + \frac{C \log n}{n_1^2}) |P_{n_1}(-|\kappa|^{-1} \int_{\kappa^o} \nabla^2 h''(dx))|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2}}\right)\right) \times \\
& \left(\prod_{\text{subtriangles } \kappa' \in \mathcal{D}_a} \left(\frac{C n_1^3}{\delta_0 n^6} + (1 + \frac{C \log n}{n_1^2}) |P_{n_1}(-|\kappa'|^{-1} \int_{\kappa'} \nabla^2 h''(dx))|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2}}\right)\right) \times \\
& V_n(\nu_n'').
\end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows from Lemma 6, noting that δ_0 is independent of n, and for each $\kappa' \in \mathcal{D}_a$, the convergence of $|P_{n_1}(-|\kappa'|^{-1} \int_{\kappa'} \nabla^2 h''(dx))|^{\frac{1}{n_1^2}}$ to $\mathbf{f}(-|\kappa'|^{-1} \int_{\kappa'} \nabla^2 h''(dx))$ as n tends to infinity is uniform over the h'' in question by Corollary 3 because $-|\kappa'|^{-1} \int_{\kappa'} \nabla^2 h''(dx)$ ranges over a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^3_{>0}$. □

Let $h \in \bigcup_{\nu' \in \overline{B}_I(\nu,\epsilon)} H(\lambda,\mu;\nu')$. Let κ be a dyadic square in $\mathcal{D}_a$ such that

$$\inf_{\substack{z \in \kappa \\ z' \in \partial T}} |z - z'| > 0. \tag{6.6}$$

Let the y coordinates in κ range from $y_0 = y_0^\kappa$ to $y_1 = y_1^\kappa$, and x coordinates range from $x_0 = x_0^\kappa$ to $x_1 = x_1^\kappa$. Consider the function $f_\kappa(x) = \int_{y_0}^{y_1} h(x,y)dy$, and the function $g_\kappa(y) = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} h(x,y)dx$. For every fixed y', $h(x,y')$ is a bounded, Lipschitz, concave function of $x \in [x_0, x_1]$. Therefore $f(x) = f_\kappa(x)$ is a bounded, Lipschitz, concave function of x for $x \in [x_0, x_1]$. Similarly $g(y) = g_\kappa(y)$ is a bounded Lipschitz, concave function of y for $y \in [y_0, y_1]$.

Note that $\partial^- f_\kappa(x_0), \partial^+ f_\kappa(x_1), \partial^- g_\kappa(y_0)$ and $\partial^+ g_\kappa(y_1)$ can be unambiguously defined because of (6.6).

Lemma 38. *Fix $\epsilon_7 = \epsilon_7^\kappa > 0$. Let $x' \in [x_0, x_1]$ and $y' \in [y_0, y_1]$.*

There exists a sufficiently small positive $\epsilon_8 = \epsilon_8^\kappa$ depending on ϵ_7, κ, x', y' and h such that the following is true. Let $\tilde{f} : [x_0, x_1] \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{g} : [y_0, y_1] \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by $\tilde{f}(x) = \int_{y_0}^{y_1} \tilde{h}(x,y)dy$, and $\tilde{g}(y) = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \tilde{h}(x,y)dx$ for some $\tilde{h} \in \bigcup_{\nu' \in \overline{B}_I(\nu,\epsilon)} H(\lambda,\mu;\nu')$ such that

$$\|h - \tilde{h}\|_\infty < \epsilon_8.$$

Then,

1. $\{\partial^+ \tilde{f}(x'), \partial^- \tilde{f}(x')\} \subseteq [-\epsilon_7 + \partial^+ f(x'), \partial^- f(x') + \epsilon_7]$.
2. $\{\partial^+ \tilde{g}(y'), \partial^- \tilde{g}(y')\} \subseteq [-\epsilon_7 + \partial^+ g(y'), \partial^- g(y') + \epsilon_7]$.

Proof. Since h and $\tilde{h}$ are Lipschitz and concave, it follows that the same is true of $f, \tilde{f}$, g and $\tilde{g}$. Since the situations are symmetric, it suffices to prove 1.

There exists $x_0' < x'$ such that

$$\partial^- f(x') < (f(x') - f(x_0'))/(x' - x_0') < \partial^- f(x') + \epsilon_7/2.$$

Since $\|f - \tilde{f}\|_\infty < \epsilon_8 2^{-a}$, we see that

$$\partial^- \tilde{f}(x') < (f(x') - f(x_0') + \epsilon_8 2^{-a+1})/(x' - x_0') < \partial^- f(x') + \epsilon_7,$$

for sufficiently small ϵ_8. Similarly, when ϵ_8 is sufficiently small, the case of $\partial^+ \tilde{f}(x')$ is analogous and

$$\partial^+ \tilde{f}(x') > \partial^+ f(x') - \epsilon_7.$$

The result thus follows. □

Lemma 39. *Let $\epsilon_{8.5} > 0$, be an arbitrarily small positive real. Let $h' \in \bigcup_{\nu' \in \overline{B}_I(\nu,\epsilon)} H(\lambda,\mu;\nu')$. There exists an $a' \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following property. For every $a \geq a'$, the total Lebesgue measure of all dyadic squares $\kappa = [x_0, x_1) \times (y_0, y_1] \in \mathcal{D}_a$ such that*

1. $\inf_{\substack{z\in\kappa\\ z'\in\partial T}} |z-z'|>0$, *and*
2. $|\partial^+ f'_\kappa(x_0)-\partial^- f'_\kappa(x_0)|+|\partial^+ f'_\kappa(x_1)-\partial^- f'_\kappa(x_1)|<\epsilon_{8.5}|\kappa|$ *and*
3. $|\partial^+ g'_\kappa(y_0)-\partial^- g'_\kappa(y_0)|+|\partial^+ g'_\kappa(y_1)-\partial^- g'_\kappa(y_1)|<\epsilon_{8.5}|\kappa|$,

is greater than $\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon_{8.5}$.

Proof. Note that f'_κ and g'_κ extend to an open neighborhood of $[x_0,x_1]$ because of 1. and so $\partial^+ f'_\kappa(x_1)$, $\partial^- f'_\kappa(x_0)$, $\partial^+ g'_\kappa(y_1)$ and $\partial^- g'_\kappa(y_0)$ are all well defined. We know from the rhombus inequalities that h' is Lipschitz, and hence that $|\tau((\nabla^2 h')_{sing})(T)|$ is finite. Let $\partial\kappa$ denote those points in κ which do not belong to κ^o; recall that κ is a half-open dyadic square or a closed dyadic triangle. Therefore there is a finite upper bound independent of a'' on $\sum_{\kappa\in\mathcal{D}_{a''}}\int_{\partial\kappa}(-1)\tau(\nabla^2 h')(dx)$. However, for any $a\geq a''$,

$$\bigcup_{\kappa\in\mathcal{D}_a}\partial\kappa\supseteq\bigcup_{\kappa\in\mathcal{D}_{a''}}\partial\kappa.$$

Therefore, $\sum_{\kappa\in\mathcal{D}_a}\int_{\partial\kappa}(-1)\tau(\nabla^2 h')(dx)$ is a monotonically increasing function of a. The measure $\tau((\nabla^2 h')_{sing})$ endows any point with zero measure, because by Theorem 6.1 of [11], $\tau((\nabla^2 h'))$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the one dimensional Hausdorff measure. Therefore, for a dyadic square $\kappa=[x_0,x_1)\times(y_0,y_1]$ we know that $|\partial^+ f'_\kappa(x_0)-\partial^- f'_\kappa(x_0)|+|\partial^+ g'_\kappa(y_1)-\partial^- g'_\kappa(y_1)|$ is bounded above by $\int_{\partial\kappa}(-1)\tau((\nabla^2 h')_{sing})(dx)$. It follows that there exists some sufficiently large a'' such that for all $a>a''$,

$$\sum_{\kappa\in\mathcal{D}_a}\int_{\partial\kappa}(-1)\tau(\nabla^2 h')(dx)-\sum_{\kappa\in\mathcal{D}_{a''}}\int_{\partial\kappa}(-1)\tau(\nabla^2 h')(dx)<\frac{\epsilon_{8.5}^2}{100}.$$

For any fixed a'', the total Lebesgue measure of all dyadic squares $\kappa\in\mathcal{D}_a$ such that $\partial\kappa''\cap\partial\kappa=\emptyset$ for all $\kappa''\in\mathcal{D}_{a''}$, tends to $\frac{1}{2}$ (and in particular eventually exceeds $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\epsilon_{8.5}}{2}$) as a tends to infinity. The Lemma now follows. □

Lemma 40. *Fix* $\epsilon_{8.5}>0$, *and* $h'\in\bigcup_{\nu'\in\overline{B}_I(\nu,\epsilon)}H(\lambda,\mu;\nu')$, *such that* $\mathcal{J}(h')>\epsilon_{8.5}$. *For all sufficiently large* a'', *there exists* $N>0$ *such that for every* $h''\in\bigcup_{\nu'\in\overline{B}_I(\nu,\epsilon)}H(\lambda,\mu;\nu')$ *such that* $\|h''-h'\|_\infty<\frac{1}{N}$, *the following is true.*

$$\mathcal{J}_{a''}(h'')<(\mathcal{J}_{a''}(h')+\epsilon_{8.5}^2)\exp\left(C\epsilon_{8.5}+\epsilon_{8.5}\log\frac{C(\lambda,\mu)}{\epsilon_{8.5}}\right).$$

Proof. Let a'' be an arbitrary integer greater or equal to the a' appearing in the statement of Lemma 39. For each $\kappa\in\mathcal{D}_{a''}$, let ϵ_8^κ be a small constant, whose value will be fixed later in this proof. Let N be chosen such that

$$\frac{1}{N}<\inf_{\substack{\kappa\in\mathcal{D}_{a''}\\ (x',y')\in\left(2^{-a''}\mathbb{N}^2\right)\cap\overline{\kappa}}}4^{-a''}\epsilon_8^\kappa. \tag{6.7}$$

Recall that by (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5), we have that when h is C^2,

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 h &= \partial_x \partial_y h + \partial_x^2 h \\ D_1 h &= -\partial_x \partial_y h \\ D_2 h &= \partial_x \partial_y h + \partial_y^2 h. \end{aligned}$$

From this, we see by convolution with a C^∞ approximate identity and Green's Theorem that for *any* Lipschitz rhombus concave h and $\mathcal{D}_{a''} \ni \kappa = [x_0, x_1) \times [y_0, y_1)$, that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\kappa^o} (-1) D_0 h(dx) &= -h(x_0,y_0) - h(x_1,y_1) + h(x_0,y_1) + h(x_1,y_0) + \partial^+ f_\kappa(x_0) - \partial^- f_\kappa(x_1), \\ \int_{\kappa^o} (-1) D_1 h(dx) &= h(x_0,y_0) + h(x_1,y_1) - h(x_0,y_1) - h(x_1,y_0), \\ \int_{\kappa^o} (-1) D_2 h(dx) &= -h(x_0,y_0) - h(x_1,y_1) + h(x_0,y_1) + h(x_1,y_0) + \partial^+ g(y_0) - \partial^- g(y_1). \end{aligned}$$

Observation 2. *By our choice of parameters, for all $\kappa \in \mathcal{D}_{a''}$ that are contained in a set $S_1 \cup S_2$ of measure $\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon_9$ whose existence is guaranteed by Lemma 39, we either have for $\kappa \in S_1$*

$$\left| \sigma\left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1} \int_{\kappa^o} \nabla^2 h''(dx) \right) - \sigma\left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1} \int_{\kappa^o} \nabla^2 h'(dx) \right) \right| < C\epsilon_{8.5}, \quad (6.8)$$

or have, for $\kappa \in S_2$,

$$\sigma\left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1} \int_{\kappa^o} \nabla^2 h'(dx) \right) > \frac{1}{\epsilon_9^2}, \quad (6.9)$$

and

$$\sigma\left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1} \int_{\kappa^o} \nabla^2 h''(dx) \right) > \frac{1}{\epsilon_9^2}, \quad (6.10)$$

since $\mathcal{J}(h') > \epsilon_{8.5}$, for some $\epsilon_9 < \epsilon_{8.5}$ that depends only on $\epsilon_{8.5}$ and h'.

Claim 5. *There exists ϵ_9 depending on h' and $\epsilon_{8.5}$ alone such that we can choose a'' large enough that*

$$\exp\left(- \sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{D}_{a''} \setminus (S')} |\kappa| \sigma\left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1} \int_{\kappa^o} \nabla^2 h'(dx) \right) \right) V(\nu') < \mathcal{J}(h') + \epsilon_{8.5}^2$$

for any set $S' \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{a''}$ whose Lebesgue measure is less or equal to $2\epsilon_9$. Here $h' \in H(\lambda, \mu; \nu')$.

Proof. Since $\sigma((\nabla^2 h')_{ac}) \in L^1$, we see that there must exist an ϵ_9 such that for all measurable subsets S of T having Lebesgue measure less than $2\epsilon_9$,

$$\int_S |\sigma((\nabla^2 h')_{ac})| \mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) < c\epsilon_{8.5}^2.$$

By Theorem 21, there exists an a_1 such that for all $a_3 \geq a_1$, $\mathcal{J}_{a_3}(h') < \mathcal{J}(h) + \frac{\epsilon_{8.5}^2}{2}$. By Theorem 21 and the convexity of σ, there exists an a_0 such that for all $a_2 \geq a_0$, we have

$$\sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{D}_{a_2}} \left| \int_\kappa \left(\sigma \left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1} \int_{\kappa^o} \left(\nabla^2 h'(dx) \right) \right) - \sigma \left((\nabla^2 h')_{ac} \right) \right) \mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) \right| =$$

$$\sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{D}_{a_2}} (-1) \int_\kappa \left(\sigma \left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1} \int_{\kappa^o} \left(\nabla^2 h'(dx) \right) \right) - \sigma \left((\nabla^2 h')_{ac} \right) \right) \mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) \leq$$

$$\sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{D}_{a_2}} (-1) \int_\kappa \left(\sigma \left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1} \int_{\kappa} \left(\nabla^2 h'(dx) \right) \right) - \sigma \left((\nabla^2 h')_{ac} \right) \right) \mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) < c\epsilon_{8.5}^2.$$

Choosing $a'' \geq \max(a_0, a_1)$ satisfies the conditions of this claim. □

For the set $S = S_2 \cup S_3$ of remaining dyadic triangles and dyadic cubes,

$$\sum_{\kappa \in S} |\kappa| \sigma \left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1} \int_{\kappa^o} \nabla^2 h''(dx) \right) \geq (-1)\epsilon_{8.5} \log \frac{C(\lambda, \mu)}{\epsilon_{8.5}}, \tag{6.11}$$

where $C(\lambda, \mu)$ is a finite constant that can be fixed (as seen from Lemma 1) depending on λ and μ alone, which bounds from above, (up to multiplicative C) the Lipschitz constant and hence the magnitude of the total measure of the trace of the Hessian of any rhombus concave function in $H(\lambda, \mu)$. We now choose ϵ_8 (such a value of ϵ_8 exists by Lemma 38). so that when N is chosen to satisfy (6.7), we have for every $h'' \in \bigcup_{\nu' \in \overline{B}_I(\nu, \epsilon)} H(\lambda, \mu; \nu')$ such that $\|h'' - h'\|_\infty < \frac{1}{N}$, the following to be true (using the last part of Lemma 32, to get the second step, and taking $h'' \in H(\lambda, \mu; \nu'')$ in the first step).

$$\exp \left(- \sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{D}_{a''} \setminus (S_2 \cup S_3)} |\kappa| \sigma \left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1} \int_{\kappa^o} \nabla^2 h''(dx) \right) \right) V(\nu'') <$$

$$\exp \left(- \sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{D}_{a''} \setminus (S_2 \cup S_3)} |\kappa| \sigma \left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1} \int_{\kappa^o} \nabla^2 h'(dx) \right) \right) V(\nu') \exp\left(C\epsilon_{8.5}\right) <$$

$$\left(\mathcal{J}(h') + \epsilon_{8.5}^2\right) \exp\left(C\epsilon_{8.5}\right).$$

As stated in the beginning of this proof, we let a'' be an arbitrary integer greater or equal to the a' appearing in the statement of Lemma 39. Therefore,

$$\exp\left(-\sum_{\kappa\in\mathcal{D}_{a''}\setminus(S_2\cup S_3)}|\kappa|\sigma\left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1}\int_\kappa\nabla^2h''(dx)\right)\right)V(\nu'')<$$

$$\exp\left(-\sum_{\kappa\in\mathcal{D}_{a''}\setminus(S_2\cup S_3)}|\kappa|\sigma\left((-1)|\kappa|^{-1}\int_{\kappa^o}\nabla^2h''(dx)\right)\right)V(\nu'')\exp\left(C\epsilon_{8.5}\right).$$

Together with Observation 2 and (6.11), we have that

$$\mathcal{J}_{a''}(h'')<(\mathcal{J}_{a''}(h')+\epsilon_{8.5}^2)\exp\left(C\epsilon_{8.5}+\epsilon_{8.5}\log\frac{C(\lambda,\mu)}{\epsilon_{8.5}}\right).$$

This completes the proof of this lemma. □

Lemma 41. *Fix $\epsilon_{8.5}>0$, and $h\in\bigcup_{\nu'\in\overline{B}_I(\nu,\epsilon)}H(\lambda,\mu;\nu')$, such that $\mathcal{J}(h)=0$. For all sufficiently large a'', there exists $N>0$ such that for every $h''\in\bigcup_{\nu'\in\overline{B}_I(\nu,\epsilon)}H(\lambda,\mu;\nu')$ such that $\|h''-h\|_\infty<\frac{1}{N}$,*

$$\mathcal{J}_a(h'')<\epsilon_{8.5}.$$

Proof. Let $h'=h+\delta\tau$, for some positive δ such that $\mathcal{J}(h')<\epsilon_{8.5}/2$. Such a δ exists by the proof of Theorem 21. By the preceding Lemma 40, we see that there is an L^∞ neighborhood of h' of radius $1/N$ for which

$$\mathcal{J}_a(h'')<(\mathcal{J}_a(h')+\epsilon_{8.5}^2)\exp\left(C\epsilon_{8.5}\right).$$

This lemma follows by relabelling $(\epsilon_{8.5}/2+\epsilon_{8.5}^2)\exp\left(C\epsilon_{8.5}\right)$ as $\epsilon_{8.5}$, and noting that a $1/N$ neighborhood of h is translated into a $1/N$ neighborhood of h' under addition by $\delta\tau$.

□

Lemma 42. *Let λ,μ be strongly decreasing functions that integrate to 0 over $[0,1]$. The functional $\mathcal{J}$ is upper semicontinuous on $H(\lambda,\mu)$ with respect to the $L^\infty(T)$ metric.*

Proof. Fix $\epsilon_9>0$. Then, by Lemma 40 and Lemma 41, for all sufficiently large a, there exists $N>0$ such that for every $h''\in H(\lambda,\mu)$ such that $\|h''-h'\|_\infty<\frac{1}{N}$,

$$\mathcal{J}_a(h'')<\mathcal{J}_a(h')\exp(\epsilon_9)+\epsilon_9.$$

For any $a>a'$, $\mathcal{J}_{a'}-\mathcal{J}_a$ is a nonnegative functional on $H(\lambda,\mu)$. Therefore, $\lim_{a'\to\infty}\mathcal{J}_{a'}$ (which by Corollary 4 equals $\mathcal{J}$) is upper semicontinuous at h'. Thus, $\mathcal{J}$ is an upper semicontinuous functional on $H(\lambda,\mu)$ with respect to the $L^\infty(T)$ metric. □

In the following lemma, let $h_* \in \bigcup_{\nu' \in \overline{B}_I(\nu,\epsilon)} H(\lambda,\mu;\nu')$ be such that for all positive N and $0 \leq \delta < \tilde{\delta}$, we have

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \left| G_n(\lambda_n,\mu_n;\nu_n,\epsilon n^2) \cap \left(B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left(L_n(\delta h + (1-\delta)h_*), \frac{n^2}{N} \right) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{U_n} \right) \right|^{\frac{1}{n^2}}$$

$$\geq \limsup_{n\to\infty} |G_n(\lambda_n,\mu_n;\nu_n,\epsilon n^2)|^{\frac{1}{n^2}} (1-\delta),$$

where $h \in \bigcup_{\nu' \in \overline{B}_I(\nu,\epsilon)} H(\lambda,\mu;\nu')$ satisfies

$$\inf_T \min_{0\leq i\leq 2} (-1)(D_i h)_{ac} > \tilde{\delta} > 0.$$

Such an h exists as a consequence of Lemma 20 (by taking a convex combination with the rhombus concave function defined there). The existence of such a h_* is guaranteed by Lemma 35.

Lemma 43. *Let $h'' = \delta h + (1-\delta)h_*$. For any fixed $\epsilon_{10} > 0$, the following is true. For any $a \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all n larger than some threshold (possibly depending on a and N),*

$$\left| G_n(\lambda_n,\mu_n;\nu_n,\epsilon n^2) \cap \left(B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left(L_n(h_*), \frac{n^2}{N} \right) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{U_n} \right) \right|^{\frac{2}{n^2}} (1-\delta)^2 < \mathcal{J}_a(h'') \exp(\epsilon_{10}).$$

Proof. Let $\hat{Q}_n := \delta h_n + (1-\delta) \left(G_n(\lambda_n,\mu_n;\nu_n,\epsilon n^2) \cap \left(B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left(L_n(h_*), \frac{n^2}{N} \right) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{U_n} \right) \right)$. Let $\hat{Q} := \delta h + (1-\delta) \left(G(\lambda,\mu;\nu,\epsilon) \cap \left(B_\infty \left(h_*, \frac{1}{N} \right) \oplus \mathbb{R}^U \right) \right)$, where U is the analogue of U_n in the continuous setting.

For each n, we see that

$$\left| G_n(\lambda_n,\mu_n;\nu_n,\epsilon n^2) \cap \left(B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left(L_n(h_*), \frac{n^2}{N} \right) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{U_n} \right) \right|^{\frac{2}{n^2}} (1-\delta)^2 = |\hat{Q}_n|^{\frac{2}{n^2}}$$

Fix $\epsilon_9 > 0$. Let $\mathbf{b}$ be defined by Definition 29 and $\tilde{Q}_n$ be as in Definition 30. For all $h' \in \hat{Q}$, the following is true from Lemma 37 for all sufficiently large n. For any $h' \in \hat{Q}$,

$$|\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{b}, L_n(h'))|^{\frac{2}{n^2}} < \mathcal{J}_a(h') \exp(\epsilon_9).$$

For N large enough, this is in turn less than $\mathcal{J}_a(h'') \exp(2\epsilon_9)$ by Lemma 40, where $h'' = \delta h + (1-\delta)h_*$. Note that the dimension of $\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{b}, L_n(h''))$ is n^2.

$$G_n(\lambda_n,\mu_n;\nu_n,\epsilon n^2) \cap \left(B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left(L_n(h_*), \frac{n^2}{N} \right) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{U_n} \right)$$

can be covered by n^{Cn} sets of the form $\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{b}, L_n(h'))$, where the h' all belong to $\hat{Q}$. Therefore, we have, for all sufficiently large n,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|G_n(\lambda_n,\mu_n;\nu_n,\epsilon n^2)\cap\left(B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}}\left(L_n(h_*),\frac{n^2}{N}\right)\oplus\mathbb{R}^{U_n}\right)\right|^{\frac{2}{n^2}}(1-\delta)^2 &< \left(n^{Cn}\sup_{h'\in\hat{Q}}|\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{b},L_n(h'))|\right)^{\frac{2}{n^2}}\\ &< \mathcal{J}_a(h'')\exp(\epsilon_{10}).\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 44. *Let $\lambda:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}$ and $\mu:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}$ be strongly decreasing functions that integrate to 0 over $[0,1]$. Let $\nu:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}$ be a decreasing function that integrates to 0 over $[0,1]$. Let X_n and Y_n be independent random Hermitian matrices with spectra λ_n and μ_n respectively. Let $Z_n=X_n+Y_n$. Then,*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{P}_n\left[\mathrm{spec}(Z_n)\in B_I^n(\nu_n,\epsilon n^2)\right]^{\frac{2}{n^2}}\leq\sup_{\nu'\in B_I(\nu,\epsilon)}\sup_{h'\in H(\lambda,\mu;\nu')}(V(\lambda)V(\mu))^{-1}\mathcal{J}(h').$$

Proof. By (2.7), we see that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{P}_n\left[\mathrm{spec}(Z_n)\in B_I^n(\nu_n,\epsilon n^2)\right]^{\frac{2}{n^2}}=\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{V_n(\lambda_n)V_n(\mu_n)}{V_n(\tau_n)^2}\right)^{-\frac{2}{n^2}}\left|G_n(\lambda_n,\mu_n;\nu_n,\epsilon n^2)\right|^{\frac{2}{n^2}}.$$

By Lemma 23, Lemma 35, Lemma 40 and Lemma 43, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{V_n(\lambda_n)V_n(\mu_n)}{V_n(\tau_n)^2}\right)^{-\frac{2}{n^2}}\left|G_n(\lambda_n,\mu_n;\nu_n,\epsilon n^2)\right|^{\frac{2}{n^2}} &\leq \lim_{a\to\infty}\frac{(V(\lambda)V(\mu))^{-1}\mathcal{J}_a(\delta h+(1-\delta)h_*)}{(1-\delta)^2}\\ &= \frac{(V(\lambda)V(\mu))^{-1}\mathcal{J}(\delta h+(1-\delta)h_*)}{(1-\delta)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{V_n(\lambda_n)V_n(\mu_n)}{V_n(\tau_n)^2}\right)^{-\frac{2}{n^2}}\left|G_n(\lambda_n,\mu_n;\nu_n,\epsilon n^2)\right|^{\frac{2}{n^2}} &\leq \liminf_{\delta\to 0}(V(\lambda)V(\mu))^{-1}\mathcal{J}(\delta h+(1-\delta)h_*)\\ &\leq \sup_{\nu'\in B_I(\nu,\epsilon)}\sup_{h'\in H(\lambda,\mu;\nu')}(V(\lambda)V(\mu))^{-1}\mathcal{J}(h').\end{aligned}$$

□

7. Large deviations for random hives

Lemma 45. *Let $h\in H(\lambda,\mu;\nu)$ be a hive. Let $\tilde{h}\in H(\tilde{\lambda},\tilde{\mu};\tilde{\nu})$ be a C^2 hive such that $h-\tilde{h}$ is a hive. For any fixed $\epsilon_0>0$,*

$$V(\tilde{\nu})\exp\left(-\int_T\sigma((-1)\nabla^2\tilde{h})\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx)\right)\leq$$

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\left|G_n(\lambda_n,\mu_n;\nu_n,\epsilon_0 n^2)\cap\left(B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}}(L_n(h),n^2\epsilon_0)\oplus\mathbb{R}^{U_n}\right)\right|^{\frac{2}{n^2}}.$$

Proof. First suppose that $\tilde{h} \in H^{\tilde{\delta}}$ for some positive $\tilde{\delta}$. We shall analyze the polytope $\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{b}, h)$ given by Definition 30. Since

$$\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{b}, h) \subseteq G_n(\lambda_n, \mu_n; \nu_n, \epsilon_0 n^2) \cap \left(B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}}(L_n(h), n^2\epsilon_0) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{U_n} \right),$$

it suffices to get a suitable lower bound on $|\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{b}, h)|$ to prove this Lemma. The map $h' \mapsto h' + h - \tilde{h}$ translates $\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{b}, \tilde{h})$ into $\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{b}, h)$. Therefore it suffices to get a suitable lower bound on $|\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{b}, \tilde{h})|$. This follows from Lemma 29.

Now suppose $\tilde{h} \notin H^{\tilde{\delta}}$ for all positive $\tilde{\delta}$. By Lemma 20, $H^{\tilde{\delta}}(\lambda, \mu)$ is nonempty for some positive $\tilde{\delta}$. Let $\hat{h} \in H^{\tilde{\delta}}(\lambda, \mu)$. For all sufficiently small δ, $h_\delta := \delta\hat{h} + (1-\delta)h$ satisfies

$$L_n(h_\delta) \in G_n(\lambda_n, \mu_n; \nu_n, \epsilon_0 n^2) \cap \left(B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}}(L_n(h), n^2\epsilon_0) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{U_n} \right). \tag{7.1}$$

Also, for $\tilde{h}_\delta := \delta\hat{h} + (1-\delta)\tilde{h} \in H(\tilde{\lambda}_\delta, \tilde{\mu}_\delta; \tilde{\nu}_\delta)$, we have

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} V(\tilde{\nu}_\delta) \exp\left(-\int_T \sigma((-1)\nabla^2 \tilde{h}_\delta) \mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) \right) \geq V(\tilde{\nu}) \exp\left(-\int_T \sigma((-1)\nabla^2 \tilde{h}) \mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) \right). \tag{7.2}$$

From the case already proved (i. e. when $\tilde{h} \in H^{\tilde{\delta}}$ for some positive $\tilde{\delta}$, for some smaller ϵ_0 if necessary) and from (7.1) and (7.2), we are done. □

Notation 1. *Let* $H := \bigcup_\lambda \bigcup_\mu \bigcup_\nu H(\lambda, \mu; \nu)$. *Let* $H(\lambda, \mu) := \bigcup_{\nu'} H(\lambda, \mu; \nu')$. *For* $h' \in H(\lambda, \mu; \nu')$, *let*

$$I_1(h') := -\log\left(\frac{\mathcal{J}(h')}{V(\lambda)V(\mu)} \right). \tag{7.3}$$

For the purposes of this section, let $\mathbb{P}_n$ *denote the measure on* $H_n(\lambda_n, \mu_n) := \bigcup_{\nu'_n} H_n(\lambda_n, \mu_n; \nu'_n)$ *obtained by restricting a uniformly random augmented hive from* $\bigcup_{\nu'_n} A_n(\lambda_n, \mu_n; \nu'_n)$ *to* T_n.

Theorem 5 (Lower bound in terms of C^2 hives)**.** *For any* $\epsilon > 0$ *and* $h_* \in H(\lambda, \mu; \nu)$,

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{2}{n^2} \right) \log \mathbb{P}_n \left[h_n \in B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}}\left(L_n(h_*), n^2\epsilon\right) \right] \geq - \inf_{\substack{h' \in B_\infty(h_*, \epsilon) \cap H(\lambda, \mu) \\ h' - \tilde{h} \in H \\ \tilde{h} \in C^2(T) \cap H}} I_1(h').$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 45 and Lemma 23. □

The following upper bound holds for all bounded monotonically decreasing λ and μ.

Theorem 6 (Upper bound in terms of C^0 hives)**.** *For any $\epsilon > 0$ and $h_* \in H(\lambda, \mu; \nu)$,*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \left(\frac{2}{n^2}\right) \log \mathbb{P}_n \left[h_n \in B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left(L_n(h_*), n^2\epsilon\right)\right] \leq - \inf_{h' \in B_\infty(h_*,\epsilon) \cap H(\lambda,\mu)} I_1(h').$$

Proof. The map $\phi : h'' \mapsto \epsilon_0(h_*) + (1-\epsilon_0)h''$ by passing to L_n gives rise to a map ϕ_n from $H_n(\lambda_n, \mu_n) \cap B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left(L_n(h_*), n^2\epsilon\right)$ into $H_n(\lambda_n, \mu_n) \cap B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left(L_n(h_*), n^2\epsilon(1-\epsilon_0)\right)$, and since this map has a Jacobian determinant that equals $(1-\epsilon_0)^{\binom{n}{2}}$, we observe the following.

$$\lim_{\epsilon_0 \to 0^+} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \left(\frac{2}{n^2}\right) \log \mathbb{P}_n \left[h_n \in B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left(L_n(h_*), n^2\epsilon(1-\epsilon_0)\right)\right] \tag{7.4}$$

$$= \limsup_{n\to\infty} \left(\frac{2}{n^2}\right) \log \mathbb{P}_n \left[h_n \in B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left(L_n(h_*), n^2\epsilon\right)\right].$$

Fix $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\hat{h} \in \bigcup_{\nu' \in \overline{B}_I(\nu,\epsilon)} H(\lambda, \mu; \nu')$ that satisfies

$$\inf_T \min_{0\leq i\leq 2} ((-1)D_i\hat{h})_{ac} > \tilde{\delta} > 0.$$

Such a choice of $\hat{h}$ exists from Lemma 20 and taking convex combinations with h_*. Then we see that for each positive ϵ_0, for all sufficiently small $\hat{\delta}$,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \left(\frac{2}{n^2}\right) \log \mathbb{P}_n \left[h_n \in B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left(L_n(h_*), n^2\epsilon(1-\epsilon_0)\right)\right]$$

is less or equal to

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \left(\frac{2}{n^2}\right) \log \mathbb{P}_n \left[\hat{\delta}\hat{h}_n + (1-\hat{\delta})h_n \in B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left(L_n(h_*), n^2\epsilon\right)\right]. \tag{7.5}$$

It follows that the limit as $\hat{\delta}$ tends to 0 of (7.5) is greater or equal to (7.4). We now fix $\hat{\delta}$ and obtain an upper bound on (7.5) in terms of I_1.

Fix $\epsilon_9 > 0$. There is a positive n_0, such that following is true for all $n > n_0$. Let $\mathbf{b}$ be defined by Definition 29 and let $\tilde{Q}_n$ be as in Definition 30. Then, by Lemma 37, for every $\hat{\delta} \leq \tilde{\delta}$, $h'' = \hat{\delta}\hat{h} + (1-\hat{\delta})h_{**} \in H(\lambda, \mu, \nu'')$, where $h_{**} \in \bigcup_{\nu' \in \overline{B}_I(\nu,\epsilon)} H(\lambda, \mu; \nu')$,

$$|\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{b}, L_n(h''))|^{\frac{2}{n^2}} < \mathcal{J}_a(h'') \exp(\epsilon_9).$$

We note that for each fixed a, the number of sites in $\mathbf{b}$ is bounded above by Cn.

Note that the ℓ_∞ diameter of $\left(\hat{\delta}\hat{h}_n + (1-\hat{\delta})H_n(\lambda_n,\mu_n)\right)\cap B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}}\left(L_n(h_*), n^2\epsilon\right)$ is less than n^C and the sum of the volumes of the elements in its cover $\mathcal{C}$ consisting of some n^{Cn} polytopes of the form $\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{b}, L_n(h''))$ satisfies

$$(1-\hat{\delta})^2\left(\frac{V_n(\lambda_n)V_n(\mu_n)}{V_n(\tau_n)^2}\right)^{\frac{2}{n^2}}\left(\mathbb{P}_n\left[\hat{\delta}\hat{h}_n + (1-\hat{\delta})h_n \in B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}}\left(L_n(h_*), n^2\epsilon\right)\right]\right)^{\frac{2}{n^2}} <$$

$$\left(\sum_{\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{b},L_n(h''))\in\mathcal{C}}\left|\tilde{Q}_n(\mathbf{b}, L_n(h''))\right|\right)^{\frac{2}{n^2}} < \sup_{h''\in\left(\hat{\delta}\hat{h}+(1-\hat{\delta})H(\lambda,\mu)\right)\cap B_\infty(h_*,\epsilon)}\mathcal{J}_a(h'')\exp(\epsilon_9).$$

Note that for each $h'' = \hat{\delta}\hat{h} + (1-\hat{\delta})h_{**} \in H(\lambda,\mu,\nu'')$, $\lim_{a\to\infty}\mathcal{J}_a(h'') = \mathcal{J}(h'')$, from Theorem 21. By Lemma 40, Lemma 41 and the compactness of

$$\left(\hat{\delta}\hat{h} + (1-\hat{\delta})H(\lambda,\mu)\right)\cap B_\infty(h_*,\epsilon)$$

in the L^∞ topology by the Arzela-Ascoli theorem (the rhombus concave functions in $H(\lambda,\mu)$ are all Lipschitz with the same finite Lipschitz constant by Lemma 1)

$$\sup_{h''\in\left(\hat{\delta}\hat{h}+(1-\hat{\delta})H(\lambda,\mu)\right)\cap B_\infty(h_*,\epsilon)}\mathcal{J}_a(h'')\exp(\epsilon_9),$$

converges as $a\to\infty$ to

$$\sup_{h''\in\left(\hat{\delta}\hat{h}+(1-\hat{\delta})H(\lambda,\mu)\right)\cap B_\infty(h_*,\epsilon)}\mathcal{J}(h'')\exp(\epsilon_9).$$

By Lemma 24, $\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{V_n(\tau_n)^2}{V_n(\lambda_n)V_n(\mu_n)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n^2}} = \frac{1}{V(\lambda)V(\mu)}$. The theorem follows. □

Theorem 7 (Equality when λ and μ are C^1)**.** *Suppose λ and μ are strongly decreasing and belong to $C^1[0,1]$ and satisfy $\int_0^1\lambda(y)dy = \int_0^1\mu(x)dx = 0$. For any $\epsilon>0$ and $h_*\in H(\lambda,\mu;\nu)$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{2}{n^2}\right)\log\mathbb{P}_n\left[h_n\in B_\infty^{\binom{n+1}{2}}\left(L_n(h_*), n^2\epsilon\right)\right] = -\inf_{h'\in B_\infty(h_*,\epsilon)\cap H(\lambda,\mu)} I_1(h'). \quad (7.6)$$

Proof. By the lower and upper bounds presented in Theorem 5 and Theorem 6, it suffices to show that

$$-\inf_{\substack{h''\in B_\infty(h_*,\epsilon)\cap H(\lambda,\mu)\\ h''-\tilde{h}\in H\\ \tilde{h}\in C^2(T)}} I_1(\tilde{h}) \geq -\inf_{h'\in B_\infty(h_*,\epsilon)\cap H(\lambda,\mu)} I_1(h'). \quad (7.7)$$

We will achieve this as follows. For every $h'\in B_\infty(h_*,\epsilon)\cap H(\lambda,\mu)$, and $\delta'>0$, we will produce $h^\#\in B_\infty(h', C\delta')\cap H(\lambda,\mu)$, such that $h^\#\in C^2(T)$ and




Fig 7.1: Construction of a hive $h^{(2)}$ from $h^{(1)}$. A crucial first step is inserting strips near the horizontal and vertical boundary. We then shift $h^{(1)}$ and paste it to the strips. On a particular green line segment, the value taken is constant. $h^{(2)}$ is rhombus concave, as can be seen by analyzing Δ_0 and Δ_2 on the two rhombi $e_0 \in E_0(T_n)$ and $e_2 \in E_2(T_n)$ for some positive integer n that have been depicted, though $h^{(2)}$ is not in general differentiable.

$I_1(h^{\#}) < I_1(h') + \delta'$. Let M be chosen such that $|\partial^2\alpha(z)|$ and $|\partial^2\beta(z)|$ belong to $[\frac{1}{M}, M]$ for all $0 < z < 1$. Let δ be such that $\frac{1}{16M} > \delta > 0$. Let

$$h^{(1)} := (1 - 2M\delta)h' + \ell,$$

where ℓ is an affine function chosen so that

A. $\partial_y \ell + (1 - 2M\delta)\partial^- \alpha(1) = 0$, and
B. $\partial_x \ell + (1 - 2M\delta)\partial^+ \beta(0) = 0$, and
C. $\ell(0, 1) + (1 - 2M\delta)h'(0, 1) = 0$.

It is clear that $h^{(1)} - \ell \in B_\infty(h', C\delta)$ because h' is bounded. The reason we define $h^{(1)}$ with the multiplicative factor of $(1 - 2M\delta)$ is that we will need to perform various operations on it that transform it into a C^2 hive $h^{(3)}$ but in the process alter the boundary conditions a little. In the final step, we will add a hive $\tilde{h}$ to $h^{(3)}$ to give rise to a strongly rhombus concave function $h^{\#}$ with exactly the right boundary conditions. In order for the boundary values of $h^{(3)}$ to be such that adding a suitable rhombus concave function makes the boundary values right, we have to work with $h^{(1)}$ rather than h in the first place. The additive term of ℓ ensures that derivatives at the point $(0, 1)$ are zero, and the value is 0 as well. This is essential to make the rhombus inequalities hold in $h^{(2)}$ defined below. This is delicate because from left to right, across the vertical line $\{(x, y)|x = \delta, y \leq 1 - \delta\}$, there can be a jump discontinuity in $\partial_x^- h^{(2)}$ and from bottom to top, across the horizontal line $\{(x, y)|y = 1 - \delta, x \geq \delta\}$, there can be a jump discontinuity in $\partial_y^- h^{(2)}$.

Next, define $h^{(2)}$ on $T +_m ([0,\delta] \times [-\delta, 0])$ (where $+_m$ signifies Minkowski sum) as follows.

1. For $(x,y) \in F_1(\delta) := (T + (\delta, -\delta))$, let $h^{(2)}(x,y) = h^{(1)}(x-\delta, y+\delta)$.
2. For $(x,y) \in F_2(\delta) := [\delta, 1+\delta] \times [1-\delta, 1]$, let $h^{(2)}(x,y) = h^{(1)}(x-\delta, 1)$.
3. For $(x,y) \in F_3(\delta) := [0,\delta] \times [1-\delta, 1]$, let $h^{(2)}(x,y) = 0$.
4. For $(x,y) \in F_4(\delta) := [0,\delta] \times [-\delta, 1-\delta]$, let $h^{(2)}(x,y) = h^{(1)}(0, y+\delta)$.

$h^{(2)} \in B_\infty(h^{(1)}, C\delta)$ because $h^{(1)}$ is Lipschitz.

Claim 6. *The function $h^{(2)}$ is rhombus concave.*

Proof. We first consider rhombi straddling the boundary of $F_1(\delta)$ or $F_3(\delta)$. The rhombi that do not straddle these boundaries can be subdivided into rhombi of the same shape, each of which is entirely contained in one of the $F_i(\delta)$, and which hence satisfy the corresponding rhombus constraint. For rhombi contained in $F_3(\delta) \cup F_2(\delta)$, we see that since in this region the value of $h^{(2)}$ is independent of the x coordinate, and is concave with respect to the y coordinate, the rhombus inequalities are satisfied. The same argument holds for $F_3(\delta) \cup F_4(\delta)$. Let us now consider the boundary of $F_1(\delta)$. There are only two nontrivial cases: $e_0 \in E_0(T_n)$ intersecting $\{\delta\} \times [-\delta, 1-\delta]$ and $e_2 \in E_2(T_n)$ intersecting $[\delta, 1+\delta] \times \{1-\delta\}$ (see Figure 7.1). These two are analogous, so we only handle the former. Let the vertices of e_0 be v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3 starting from the left, and going in anti-clockwise order. Then, $h^{(2)}(v_0) = h^{(2)}(v_1)$ by construction. However, $h^{(1)}$ is rhombus concave, and therefore in view of B. above, $h^{(2)}(v_2) \leq h^{(2)}(v_3)$. This proves the claim. □

Recall from Definition 24, that θ supported on $[0,1]^2$ is given for $(x,y) \in [0,1]^2$ by

$$\theta(x,y) := \frac{x^4(1-x)^4y^4(1-y)^4}{\int\limits_{(x,y)\in[0,1]^2} x^4(1-x)^4y^4(1-y)^4 dxdy}$$

and elsewhere by 0, and $\theta_\delta(x,y) = \delta^{-2}\theta(\frac{x}{\delta}, \frac{y}{\delta})$, for all $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. We define $\bar{\theta}_\delta(x,y) := \theta_\delta(-x,y)$.

($\star$) Let δ_1 satisfying $\delta^2 > \delta_1 > 0$ be such that for any point $z \in [0,1]$, if $z' \in [0,1]$ is such that $|z - z'| < \delta_1$, then $|\partial^2\alpha(z) - \partial^2\alpha(z')| < \frac{\delta}{16}$, and $|\partial^2\beta(z) - \partial^2\beta(z')| < \frac{\delta}{16}$.

Next, we define $h^{(3)}$ on T by

$$h^{(3)}(x,y) = (h^{(2)} * \bar{\theta}_{\delta_1}) - \frac{\delta}{16}\left(x^2 + y^2 - xy\right) + \ell', \tag{7.8}$$

where $h^{(2)}$ is viewed as a function from $T + ([0,\delta] \times [-\delta, 0])$ to $\mathbb{R}$, and ℓ' is a linear function chosen so that the value taken by $h^{(3)}$ on $(0,0)$, $(0,1)$ and $(1,1)$, equals 0.

Now, because θ is C^2 and $-\frac{\delta}{16}\left(x^2 + y^2 - xy\right)$ is strongly rhombus concave, $h^{(3)}$ is a strongly rhombus concave hive. We see that $h^{(2)}$ restricted to T is a

hive, which is C^2 in a δ neighborhood of the horizontal and vertical boundaries. Further, in a δ neighborhood of the vertical boundary it is constant along horizontal lines, and in a δ neighborhood of the horizontal boundary, it is constant along vertical lines.

Also, $h^{(3)} - \ell' \in B_\infty(h^{(2)}, C\delta)$ because $h^{(2)}$ is Lipschitz, and the convolution of a Lipschitz function with θ is $C\delta'$-close to the given Lipschitz function in the L^∞ norm.

Claim 7. *$\tilde{h}$ given by $\tilde{h}(x,y) = (\alpha(y) - h^{(3)}(0,y)) + (\beta(x) - h^{(3)}(x,1))$, is a hive.*

Proof. Since, $\tilde{h}(x,y) = (\alpha(y) - h^{(3)}(0,y)) + (\beta(x) - h^{(3)}(x,1))$, it suffices to show that $(\alpha(y) - h^{(3)}(0,y))$ is a concave function of y and $(\beta(x) - h^{(3)}(x,1))$ is a concave function of x. By $(\star)$, and the equalities $\partial_x^2(h^{(2)} * \bar{\theta}_{\delta_1}) = (\partial_x^2 h^{(2)}) * \bar{\theta}_{\delta_1}$ and $\partial_y^2(h^{(2)} * \bar{\theta}_{\delta_1}) = (\partial_y^2 h^{(2)}) * \bar{\theta}_{\delta_1}$, we see that $\tilde{h}$ is a hive (the $\delta/16$ in the definition of $h^{(3)}$ could have been replaced by any sufficiently small multiple of δ). □

We see that $h^\# = h^{(3)} + \tilde{h}$ has the right boundary conditions α and β and is a hive. We see that $h^\# \in B_\infty(h^{(3)}, C\delta)$ because $\tilde{h}$ has a suitably small L^∞ norm. We have thus shown that

$$h^\# \in B_\infty(h', C\delta') \cap H(\lambda, \mu). \tag{7.9}$$

if $\delta < C\delta'$. It remains to be shown that for sufficiently small δ,

$$I_1(h^\#) < I_1(h') + \delta'.$$

Recall that for $h^\# \in H(\lambda, \mu; \nu^\#)$, we have

$$e^{-I_1(h^\#)} = \left(\frac{1}{V(\lambda)V(\mu)}\right) V(\nu^\#) \exp\left(-\int_T \sigma((-1)\nabla^2 h^\#(x))\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx)\right). \tag{7.10}$$

We will study the contributions to $\int_T \sigma((-1)\nabla^2 h^\#(x))\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx)$ coming from the regions F_1, F_2, F_3 and F_4 separately, and also obtain bounds for $V(\nu^\#)$.

(I) For any $(s_1, s_2, s_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3_{>0}$, by Lemma 6,

$$\min_i \frac{s_i}{2} \le \mathbf{f}(s) = \exp(-\sigma(s)) \le e \min_i s_i.$$

As a consequence,

$$\left| \int_{F_1(\delta)\setminus T} \sigma((-1)\nabla^2 h^\#(x))\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) \right| \le O\left(\delta \log \frac{1}{\delta}\right).$$

The second inequality below uses the fact that when a function $h^{(2)}$ is convolved with an approximate identity $\bar{\theta}_{\delta_1}$, the Hessian of $h^{(3)}$ equals the convolution of the Hessian of $h^{(2)}$ with $\bar{\theta}_{\delta_1}$. By the convexity of σ, and

the fact that it monotonically decreases along any ray emanating from the origin, contained in $\mathbb{R}^3_{>0}$, we have (taking into account boundary effects) the following.

$$\begin{aligned}\int\limits_{T\cap F_1(\delta)} \sigma((-1)\nabla^2 h^{\#}(x))\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) &\leq \int\limits_{T\cap F_1(\delta)} \sigma((-1)\nabla^2 h^{(3)}(x))\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) + O\left(\delta\log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)\right)\\ &\leq \int\limits_{F_1(\delta)} \sigma((-1)\nabla^2 h^{(2)}(x))\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) + O\left(\delta\log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)\right)\\ &\leq \int\limits_{T} \sigma((-1)\nabla^2 h^{(1)}(x))\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) + O\left(\delta\log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{\delta\to 0}\int\limits_{F_1(\delta)\cap T} \sigma((-1)\nabla^2 h^{\#}(x))\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) \leq \int_T \sigma((-1)\nabla^2 h'(x))\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx).$$

(II) The fact that β is C^2 implies that

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0}\int\limits_{F_2(\delta)\cap T} \sigma((-1)\nabla^2 h^{\#}(x))\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) = 0.$$

(III) The fact that $h^{\#}$ is Lipschitz and quadratic on F_3, with a Hessian that equals $-\frac{\delta}{16}(1,1,1)$, together with the fact that the sidelength of the square region F_3 is δ, gives us that

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0}\int\limits_{F_3(\delta)\cap T} \sigma((-1)\nabla^2 h^{\#}(x))\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) = 0.$$

(IV) The fact that α is C^2 implies that

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0}\int\limits_{F_4(\delta)\cap T} \sigma((-1)\nabla^2 h^{\#}(x))\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx) = 0.$$

Lastly, we need to analyze $V(\nu^{\#})$. Let $v = (1,1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then, $\nu^{\#}$ is a monotonically decreasing C^2 (since θ is C^3) function on $[0,1]$ and $\nu^{\#}(z)$ and equals $\partial_v h^{\#}(z,z)$, for all $z \in (0,1)$. The rhombus inequalities imply that $\partial_v h'$ is a monotonically decreasing function along line segments parallel to v, defined almost everywhere, and the following "interlacing" inequalities hold. Let $u = (1,0)$. Then, for any two points $z_1 \in T$ and $z_2 \in T$ such that $z_2 - z_1$ is a positive multiple of u, then the directional derivatives satisfy

$$\partial_v^+ h'(z_2) \leq \partial_v^+ h'(z_1) \tag{7.11}$$

$$\partial_v^- h'(z_2) \leq \partial_v^- h'(z_1). \tag{7.12}$$

Similarly, if $w = (0, 1)$, then, for any two points $z_1 \in T$ and $z_2 \in T$ such that $z_2 - z_1$ is a positive multiple of w, then the right directional derivatives satisfy

$$\partial_v^+ h'(z_2) \leq \partial_v^+ h'(z_1), \tag{7.13}$$
$$\partial_v^- h'(z_2) \leq \partial_v^- h'(z_1). \tag{7.14}$$

Recall from Definition 25 that

$$V(\nu^\#) = \exp\left(\int_{T\setminus\{(t,t)|t\in[0,1]\}} \log\left(\frac{\nu^\#(x)-\nu^\#(y)}{x-y}\right) dxdy\right).$$

Because

$$\int\limits_{T\cap\{(t,t')|0<|t-t'|<\delta-\delta_1\}} \log\left(\frac{\nu'(x)-\nu'(y)}{x-y}\right) dxdy < O(\delta\log\frac{1}{\delta}),$$

and

$$\int\limits_{T\cap\{(t,t')|0<|t-t'|<\delta\}} \left|\log\left(\frac{\nu^\#(x)-\nu^\#(y)}{x-y}\right)\right| dxdy < O(\delta\log\frac{1}{\delta})$$

hold true, in order to to show

$$\int\limits_T \log\left(\frac{\nu^\#(x)-\nu^\#(y)}{x-y}\right) dxdy$$

is greater or equal to

$$\int\limits_T \log\left(\frac{\nu'(x)-\nu'(y)}{x-y}\right) dxdy - C\delta\log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right),$$

where C is a positive constant independent of δ, it suffices to prove the following claim.

Claim 8.

$$\int\limits_{T\setminus\{(t,t')||t-t'|<\delta\}} \log\left(\frac{\nu^\#(x)-\nu^\#(y)}{x-y}\right) dxdy$$

is greater or equal to

$$\int\limits_{T\setminus\{(t,t')||t-t'|<\delta-\delta_1\}} \log\left(\frac{\nu'(x)-\nu'(y)}{x-y}\right) dxdy - C^\#\delta\log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right),$$

where $C^\#$ is a positive constant independent of δ.

Proof. We observe that

$$\int\limits_{T\setminus\{(t,t')||t-t'|<\delta\}} \log\left(\frac{\nu^{\#}(x)-\nu^{\#}(y)}{x-y}\right)dxdy$$

is greater than

$$\int\limits_{T\setminus\{(t,t')||t-t'|<\delta\}} \log\left(\frac{\nu^{(3)}(x)-\nu^{(3)}(y)}{x-y}\right)dxdy$$

by pointwise domination. We also claim that

Claim 9.

$$\int\limits_{T\setminus\{(t,t')||t-t'|<\delta\}} \log\left(\frac{\nu^{(3)}(x)-\nu^{(3)}(y)}{x-y}\right)dxdy$$

is greater or equal to

$$\int\limits_{T\setminus\{(t,t')||t-t'|<\delta-\delta_1\}} \log\left(\frac{\nu^{(2)}(x)-\nu^{(2)}(y)}{x-y}\right)dxdy - C^{(3)}\delta\log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)$$

Proof. Let $q(x,y) := x^2+y^2-xy$. Note that

$$h^{(3)} = (h^{(2)} - \frac{\delta}{16}q) * \bar{\theta}_{\delta_1} + a',$$

for a suitable affine function a'. For a given ϵ_1, let $(\delta_x,\delta_y) \in [-\delta_1,0]\times[0,\delta_1]$. Define the hive $\check{h}: T\to\mathbb{R}$ by $\check{h}(x,y) := (h^{(2)}-\frac{\delta}{16}q)(x+\delta_x,y+\delta_y)+\check{a}(x,y)$, where $\check{a}$ is chosen to make the value of $\check{h}$ on the vertices of T to be 0, and let $\check{\lambda},\check{\mu}$ and $\check{\nu}$ be such that $\check{h}\in H(\check{\lambda},\check{\mu};\check{\nu})$. As a functional on the space of strongly decreasing functions from $[0,1]$ to $\mathbb{R}$, V is concave. Therefore, to prove this claim, it suffices to show that when δ is sufficiently small, for all $(\delta_x,\delta_y)\in[-\delta_1,0]\times[0,\delta_1]$,

$$V(\nu^{(2)}) \le V(\check{\nu})\exp(C\delta\log\frac{1}{\delta}).$$

To see this, note that for all $x < -100\delta_1 + y$, by the rhombus concavity of $h^{(2)}$,

$$\check{\nu}(x)-\check{\nu}(y) \ge \nu^{(2)}(x+10\delta_1)-\nu^{(2)}(y-10\delta_1).$$

We assume that $\nu^{(2)}(0)\le\frac{M'}{2}$ and $\nu^{(2)}(1)\ge-\frac{M'}{2}$.

However, because of the presence of the term $-\frac{\delta}{16}q$ in the expression for $\check{h}$,

$$\left|\int\limits_{\substack{(x,y)\in T\\ |x-y|<100\delta_1}} \log(\check{\nu}(x)-\check{\nu}(y))dxdy\right| < C\delta_1\log\frac{1}{\delta}.$$

By the rescaling,

$$\left| \int\limits_{\substack{(x,y)\in T \\ |x-y|>1-20\delta_1}} \log(\nu^{(2)}(x) - \nu^{(2)}(y))dxdy \right| < C\delta_1 \log M'.$$

Thus, when δ is sufficiently small, for all $(\delta_x, \delta_y) \in [-\epsilon_1, 0] \times [0, \epsilon_1]$, $V(\nu^{(2)}) \leq V(\check{\nu}) \exp(C\delta \log \frac{1}{\delta})$, which implies the claim. □

.

Also, using the fact that the bands near the boundary that are not contained in $F_1(\delta)$ have thickness $O(\delta)$, we see that

$$\int\limits_{T\setminus\{(t,t')||t-t'|<\delta-\delta_1\}} \log\left(\frac{\nu^{(2)}(x) - \nu^{(2)}(y)}{x-y}\right) dxdy - C^{(3)}\delta \log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)$$

is greater or equal to

$$\int\limits_{T\setminus\{(t,t')||t-t'|<\delta-\delta_1\}} \log\left(\frac{\nu'(x) - \nu'(y)}{x-y}\right) dxdy - C'\delta \log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right).$$

□

Claim 8 and the discussion immediately preceding it imply that

$$\liminf_{\delta\to 0} V(\nu^{\#}) \geq V(\nu').$$

Together with $(I), (II), (III)$ and (IV) above, this implies that for sufficiently small δ,

$$I_1(h^{\#}) < I_1(h') + \delta',$$

and we are done.

□

Lemma 46. *For all $h \in H(\lambda, \mu)$,*

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \inf_{h'\in B_\infty(h,\epsilon)\cap H(\lambda,\mu)} I_1(h') = I_1(h). \tag{7.15}$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 42, which states that $\mathcal{J}$ is upper semicontinuous on $H(\lambda, \mu)$. □

Theorem 8. *Suppose λ, μ are strongly decreasing C^1 functions. Given a discrete hive $h_n \in H_n(\lambda_n, \mu_n; \nu_n)$, we define $h' = \iota_n(h_n)$ to be the piecewise linear extension of h_n. This is a map h' from T to $\mathbb{R}$ such that for any $(x, y) \in T \cap (\mathbb{Z}/n \times \mathbb{Z}/n)$, $h'(x, y) = h_n(nx, ny)/n^2$, that is linear on right triangles with vertices of the form $\{(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{j}{n}), (\frac{i}{n}, \frac{j+1}{n}), (\frac{i+1}{n}, \frac{j+1}{n})\}$ for $i \leq j$ and $i+1, j+1 \in [n]$. For any Borel set $E \subset L^\infty(T)$, let $\mathcal{P}_n(E) := \mathbb{P}_n[\iota_n(h_n) \in E]$. Let $a_n := \frac{n^2}{2}$. For each Borel measurable set $E \subset L^\infty(T)$,*

$$-\inf_{h\in E^\circ} I_1(h) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1} \log(\mathcal{P}_n(E)) \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1} \log(\mathcal{P}_n(E)) \leq -\inf_{h\in\overline{E}} I_1(h).$$

Proof. For each Borel measurable set E, by covering E^o using L^∞ balls, it follows from Theorem 7 that

$$-\inf_{h\in E^\circ} I_1(h) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1}\log(\mathcal{P}_n(E)).$$

Next, suppose for the sake of contradiction, that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1}\log(\mathcal{P}_n(E)) = -\inf_{h\in\overline{E}} I_1(h) + \epsilon'$$

for some positive ϵ'.

For all $h' \in \overline{E}$, such that $I_1(h') < +\infty$, let $\delta_{h'}$ denote a positive real such that $-\inf_{h''\in B_\infty(h',\delta_{h'})} I_1(h'') < -I_1(h') + \epsilon'/2$. The existence of such a positive real is guaranteed by Lemma 46. Since $\overline{E}$ (by Arzelà-Ascoli, in view of the Lipschitz norm being bounded and hence the family being equicontinuous) is compact, there is a finite subset Λ' of $\overline{E}\cap H(\lambda,\mu)$ such that the union of balls $B_\infty(h',\delta_{h'})$ over all $h' \in \Lambda'$ covers $\overline{E}\cap H(\lambda,\mu)$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1}\log(\mathcal{P}_n(E)) &\leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1}\log\left(\mathcal{P}_n\left(\bigcup_{h\in\Lambda'} B_\infty(h',\delta_{h'})\right)\right)\\
&= -\inf_{h'\in\Lambda'}\left(\inf_{h\in B_\infty(h',\delta_{h'})} I_1(h)\right)\\
&\leq -\inf_{h'\in\overline{E}} I_1(h') + \epsilon'/2.
\end{aligned}$$

This however contradicts

$$-\inf_{h\in\overline{E}} I_1(h) + \epsilon' = \limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1}\log(\mathcal{P}_n(E)).$$

Therefore we must have

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1}\log(\mathcal{P}_n(E)) \leq -\inf_{h\in\overline{E}} I_1(h),$$

and we are done. □

8. Large deviations for the spectrum of the sum of two random matrices

For a concave function $\gamma' : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\nu' = \partial^-\gamma'$, we define the rate function

$$I(\gamma') := \log\left(\frac{V(\lambda)V(\mu)}{V(\nu')}\right) + \inf_{h'\in H(\lambda,\mu;\nu')}\int_T \sigma((-1)(\nabla^2 h')_{ac})\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx). \quad (8.1)$$

Theorem 9. *Let X_n, Y_n be independent random Hermitian matrices from unitarily invariant distributions with spectra λ_n, μ_n respectively. Let $Z_n = X_n + Y_n$. For any $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{-2}{n^2}\right)\log\mathbb{P}_n\left[\mathrm{spec}(Z_n) \in B_I^n(\nu_n,\epsilon)\right] = \inf_{\partial^-\gamma'\in B_I(\nu,\epsilon)} I(\gamma').$$

Proof. From Lemma 34 and Lemma 44, we have the following.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}_n \left[\mathrm{spec}(Z_n) \in B_I^n(\nu_n, \epsilon)\right]^{\frac{2}{n^2}} =$$

$$\sup_{\partial^- \gamma' \in B_I(\nu,\epsilon)} \sup_{h' \in H(\lambda,\mu;\nu')} \left(V(\lambda)V(\mu)\right)^{-1} V(\nu') \exp\left(-\int_T \sigma((-1)(\nabla^2 h')_{ac})\mathrm{Leb}_2(dx)\right).$$

The theorem now follows. □

We will next prove the following.

Lemma 47. *For all $\nu = \partial^- \gamma$, where γ is Lipschitz and concave, and $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = 0$,*

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \inf_{\partial^- \gamma' \in B_I(\nu,\epsilon)} I(\gamma') = I(\gamma). \tag{8.2}$$

Proof. Since $\partial^- \gamma \in B_I(\nu, \epsilon)$, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \inf_{\partial^- \gamma' \in B_I(\nu,\epsilon)} I(\gamma') \geq I(\gamma). \tag{8.3}$$

Suppose that

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \inf_{\partial^- \gamma' \in B_I(\nu,\epsilon)} I(\gamma') < +\infty.$$

Then, there exists a sequence of functions $\gamma^{(1)}, \gamma^{(2)}, \ldots$ converging in $L^\infty[0,1]$ to γ, such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} I(\gamma^{(k)}) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \inf_{\partial^- \gamma' \in B_I(\nu,\epsilon)} I(\gamma') < \infty.$$

Let $M := \lim_{k\to\infty} I(\gamma^{(k)})$. Then, by Lemma 42, $\mathcal{J}$ is upper semicontinuous on $H(\lambda, \mu)$.

Let $(h^{(k)})_{k\geq 1}$ be a sequence where $h^{(k)} \in H(\lambda, \mu; \partial^- \gamma^{(k)})$ is such that for all k,

$I_1(h^{(k)}) - I(\gamma^{(k)}) < \frac{1}{k}$. We then see that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} I_1(h^{(k)}) = M.$$

Since $H(\lambda, \mu)$ is compact in $L^\infty(T)$ by Arzelà-Ascoli, $(h^{(i)})_{i\geq 1}$ has a convergent subsequence $(h^{(i_k)})_{k\geq 1}$, whose limit h will belong to $H(\lambda, \mu; \nu)$. By the upper semicontinuity of $\mathcal{J}$, $\limsup_k \mathcal{J}(h^{(i_k)}) \leq \mathcal{J}(h)$. This shows that $\liminf_k I_1(h^{(k)}) \geq I_1(h)$. However $I_1(h)$ is greater or equal to $I(\gamma)$ since $h \in H(\lambda, \mu; \nu)$. If

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \inf_{\partial^- \gamma' \in B_I(\nu,\epsilon)} I(\gamma') = +\infty,$$

there is nothing to prove and we are done. □

Theorem 10. *Let X_n, Y_n be independent random Hermitian matrices from unitarily invariant distributions with spectra λ_n, μ_n respectively. Let $Z_n = X_n + Y_n$. Given a monotonically decreasing sequence of n numbers λ_n whose sum is 0, we define $\iota_n(\lambda_n)$ to be the unique piecewise linear (the pieces being intervals of the form $(\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n})$) concave function α from $[0,1]$ to $\mathbb{R}$ such $\alpha(i/n) - \alpha((i-1)/n) = \lambda_n(i)/n^2$ for each $i \in [n]$, and $\alpha(0) = 0$. For any Borel set $E \subset L^\infty[0,1]$, let $\mathcal{P}_n(E) := \mathbb{P}_n[\iota_n(\mathrm{spec}(Z_n)) \in E]$. Let $a_n := \frac{n^2}{2}$. For each Borel measurable set $E \subset L^\infty[0,1]$,*

$$-\inf_{\gamma \in E^\circ} I(\gamma) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1} \log(\mathcal{P}_n(E)) \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1} \log(\mathcal{P}_n(E)) \leq -\inf_{\gamma \in \overline{E}} I(\gamma).$$

Proof. For each Borel measurable set E, by covering E^o using L^∞ balls, it follows from Theorem 9 that

$$-\inf_{\gamma \in E^\circ} I(\gamma) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1} \log(\mathcal{P}_n(E)).$$

Next, suppose for the sake of contradiction, that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1} \log(\mathcal{P}_n(E)) = -\inf_{\gamma \in \overline{E}} I(\gamma) + \epsilon'$$

for some positive ϵ'. For all $\gamma' \in \overline{E}$, such that $I(\gamma') < +\infty$, let $\delta_{\gamma'}$ denote a positive real such that $-\inf_{\gamma'' \in B_\infty(\gamma', \delta_{\gamma'})} I(\gamma'') < -I(\gamma') + \epsilon'/2$. The existence of such a positive real is guaranteed by Lemma 47. Since $\overline{E} \cap H(\lambda, \mu)$ (by Arzelà-Ascoli, in view of the Lipschitz norm being bounded and hence the family being equicontinuous) is compact, there is a finite subset Λ' of $\overline{E} \cap H(\lambda, \mu)$ such that the union of balls $B_\infty(\gamma', \delta_{\gamma'})$ over all $\gamma' \in \Lambda'$ covers $\overline{E} \cap H(\lambda, \mu)$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1} \log(\mathcal{P}_n(E)) &\leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1} \log\left(\mathcal{P}_n\left(\bigcup_{\gamma' \in \Lambda'} B_\infty(\gamma', \delta_{\gamma'})\right)\right) \\
&= -\inf_{\gamma' \in \Lambda'} \left(\inf_{\gamma \in B_\infty(\gamma', \delta_{\gamma'})} I(\gamma)\right) \\
&\leq -\inf_{\gamma' \in \overline{E}} I(\gamma') + \epsilon'/2.
\end{aligned}$$

This however contradicts

$$-\inf_{\gamma \in \overline{E}} I(\gamma) + \epsilon' = \limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1} \log(\mathcal{P}_n(E)).$$

Therefore we must have

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n^{-1} \log(\mathcal{P}_n(E)) \leq -\inf_{\gamma \in \overline{E}} I(\gamma),$$

and we are done. □

9. Open problems

1. Is σ strictly convex? An affirmative answer would imply the existence, as $n \to \infty$, of a limit shape for a randomly chosen augmented hive with α, β being strongly concave C^2 functions.
2. Is there an explicit analytic expression for σ? Based on the work of Wangru Sun (Definition 5.4 [32]) on the Bead model, and the work of Shlyakhtenko and Tao, (Theorem 1.7, [31]), on random Gelfand-Tsetlin patterns, we conjecture that

$$\lim_{s_2 \to \infty} \sigma(s_0, s_1, s_2) = -\ln\left(\frac{e(s_0+s_1)}{\pi} \sin\left(\frac{\pi s_0}{s_0+s_1}\right)\right).$$

3. The open question 6.1 in [18] asks whether there exists a concrete measure preserving map from the space $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_n \mu_n \nu_n}$ (of Hermitian triples (X_n, Y_n, Z_n) satisfying $X_n + Y_n = Z_n$ and having spectrum $(\lambda_n, \mu_n, \nu_n)$) to $H_n(\lambda_n, \mu_n; \nu_n)$. If there exists such a map (concrete or not) for each n that is Lipschitz (the Lipschitz constant not depending on n) with respect to the $\|\cdot\|_I$ norm on the spectra in the domain and sup norm in the range, it is then possible, to remove the restriction that α and β be C^2 in Theorem 8, and merely require that they be Lipschitz and strongly concave. Do such maps exist?
4. Are there similar large deviations principles for integer valued random hives? Integer valued augmented hives are significant in the representation theory of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ [18], and are connected to the irreducible representations appearing in the direct sum decomposition of the tensor product of two irreducible representations. Integer valued hives are also known to be in bijective correspondence with square triangle tilings of certain regions in the plane [29].

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to the anonymous reviewer for an exceptionally painstaking and careful review that pointed out several inaccuracies. We thank Terence Tao for his valuable comments. Hariharan Narayanan is partially supported by a Ramanujan fellowship and a Swarna Jayanti fellowship, instituted by the Government of India. Scott Sheffield is partially supported by NSF awards DMS 1712862 and DMS 2153742.

References

[1] S. Belinschi, A. Guionnet, and J. Huang. Large deviation principles via spherical integrals. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2004.07117.pdf Preprint, 2020.

[2] E. M. Bronshtein, "$\epsilon-$entropy of convex sets and functions", Siberian Mathematical Journal, 17 (1976), 393–398, Transl. from Sibirsk. Mat. Z. 17, 508–517.
[3] H. Brunn "Über Ovale und Eiflächen." Inaugural Dissertation, München. (1887).
[4] H. Cohn, R. Kenyon, J. Propp, "A variational principle for domino tilings." J. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (2001), no. 2, 297–346.
[5] R. Durrett "Probability: Theory and Examples, Version 5", https://services.math.duke.edu/~rtd/PTE/PTE5_011119.pdf
[6] T. Cover, J. Thomas, "Elements of Information Theory." New York: Wiley. ISBN 0-471-06259-6. (1991).
[7] R. Coquereaux, J-B. Zuber. "From orbital measures to Littlewood-Richardson coefficients and hive polytopes. "Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (D), Combinatorics, Physics and their Interactions, European Mathematical Society, 2018, Combinatorics, Physics and their Interactions, 5 (3), pp.339–386.
[8] R. Coquereaux, C. McSwiggen and J-B. Zuber, "On Horn's Problem and Its Volume Function." Commun. Math. Phys. 376, 2409–2439 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-019-03646-7
[9] C. L. Evans, R. F. Gariepy, (2015). Measure Theory and Fine Properties of Functions. CRC Press.
[10] A. Dinghas: "Über einen geometrischen Satz von Wulff für die Gleichgewichtsform von Kristallen, Z. Kristallogr.," Mineral. Petrogr. 105, (1944)
[11] R. M. Dudley, "On Second Derivatives of Convex functions." Mathematica Scandinavica, vol. 41, no. 1, 1977, pp. 159–174. JSTOR, www.jstor.org/stable/24490947. Accessed 15 Mar. 2021.
[12] A. Figalli, F. Maggi, A. Pratelli, "A mass transportation approach to quantitative isoperimetric inequalities," Invent. math. (2010) 182: 167.
[13] G. B. Folland, Real analysis: modern techniques and their applications. Vol. 40. John Wiley & Sons, 1999. Second Edition.
[14] M. Fradelizi. Sections of convex bodies through their centroid. Arch. Math. 69 (1997), 515–522
[15] F. Gao, J. Wellner, (2017). Entropy of Convex Functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Constructive approximation, 46(3), 565–592. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00365-017-9387-1
[16] C. Ikenmeyer, Small Littlewood–Richardson coefficients. J Algebr Comb 44, 1–29 (2016).
[17] A. Knutson, T. Tao, "The honeycomb model of $GL_n(\mathcal{C})$ tensor products I: proof of the saturation conjecture," J. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1999) 1055-1090.
[18] A. Knutson, and T. Tao, (2000). "Honeycombs and sums of Hermitian matrices." Notices Amer. Math. Soc.. 48.
[19] R. Liu, K. Mészáros, and A. St. Dizier, "Gelfand–Tsetlin Polytopes: A Story of Flow and Order Polytopes," SIAM J. Discrete Math., 33(4), 2394–2415. (22 pages)

[20] P. Meyer, (1966). Probability and Potentials, Blaisdell Publishing Co, N. Y
[21] H. Minkowski, (1896). "Geometrie der Zahlen." Leipzig: Teubner.
[22] H. Narayanan, "Estimating deep Littlewood-Richardson Coefficients." 26th International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (FPSAC 2014), 2014, Chicago, United States. pp.321–332
[23] H. Narayanan, "Random discrete concave functions on an equilateral lattice with periodic Hessians."
https://arxiv.org/pdf/2005.13376.pdf(2020)
[24] A. Okounkov, "Why would multiplicities be log-concave?", The Orbit Method in Geometry and Physics Progress in Mathematics Volume 213, 2003, pp 329–347
[25] I. Pak, G. Panova, D. Yeliussizov, "On the largest Kronecker and Littlewood–Richardson coefficients," Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, Volume 165, 2019, Pages 44-77.
[26] C. De La Vallee Poussin,(1915). "Sur L'Integrale de Lebesgue". Transactions of the American Mathematical Society. 16 (4): 435–501.
[27] A. Prékopa, "On Logarithmic Concave Measures and Functions." Acta Scientiarum Mathematicarum (Szeged) 34(1973) 339-343.
[28] A. Postnikov, "Permutohedra, Associahedra, and Beyond," International Mathematics Research Notices, Volume 2009, Issue 6, 2009, Pages 1026–1106,
https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnn153
[29] K. Purbhoo, "Puzzles, Tableaux and Mosaics," Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics, 28 (2008) no. 4, 461–480.
[30] S. Sheffield, "Random surfaces." Astérisque, 304 (2005)
[31] D. Shlyakhtenko, T. Tao. With an appendix by D. Jekel, "Fractional free convolution powers,"
https://arxiv.org/pdf/2009.01882.pdf
[32] W. Sun, "Dimer model, bead model and standard Young tableaux: finite cases and limit shapes,"
https://arxiv.org/pdf/1804.03414.pdf
[33] T. Tao, "Topics in Random Matrix Theory," Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Volume: 132; 2012; 282 pp.
[34] J.D. Vaaler, "A geometric inequality with applications to linear forms," Pacific J. Math. 83 (1979), 543–553.
[35] R. Vershynin, "High-Dimensional Probability: An Introduction with Applications in Data Science" (Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics), 2018.
[36] R. W. Yeung, "Information Theory and Network Coding," Springer, 2008