

Mean-field BDSDEs and associated nonlocal semi-linear backward stochastic partial differential equations *

Rainer Buckdahn^{1,2}, Juan Li^{3,†}, Chuanzhi Xing^{3,†}

¹Laboratoire de Mathématiques de Bretagne Atlantique, Univ Brest,
UMR CNRS 6205, 6 avenue Le Gorgeu, 29200 Brest, France.

²School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, P. R. China.

³School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shandong University, Weihai, Weihai 264209, P. R. China.

E-mails: rainer.buckdahn@univ-brest.fr, juanli@sdu.edu.cn, chuanzhixing@mail.sdu.edu.cn.

November 15, 2021

Abstract

In this paper we investigate mean-field backward doubly stochastic differential equations (BDSDEs), i.e., BDSDEs whose driving coefficients also depend on the joint law of the solution process as well as the solution of an associated mean-field forward SDE. Unlike the pioneering paper on BDSDEs by Pardoux-Peng [25], we handle a driving coefficient in the backward integral of the BDSDE for which the Lipschitz assumption with respect to the law of the solution is sufficient, without assuming that this Lipschitz constant is small enough. Using the splitting method introduced in [4] for mean-field SDEs, we study the unique solutions $(Y^{t,\xi}, Z^{t,\xi})$ and $(Y^{t,x,P_\xi}, Z^{t,x,P_\xi})$ of our BDSDEs. Under suitable regularity assumptions on the coefficients we investigate the first and the second order derivatives of the solution $(Y^{t,x,P_\xi}, Z^{t,x,P_\xi})$ with respect to x , the derivative $(\partial_\mu Y^{t,x,P_\xi}(y), \partial_\mu Z^{t,x,P_\xi}(y))$ of the solution process with respect to the measure P_ξ , and the derivative of $(\partial_\mu Y^{t,x,P_\xi}(y), \partial_\mu Z^{t,x,P_\xi}(y))$ with respect to y . However, as the parameters (x, P_ξ) and (x, P_ξ, y) run an infinite-dimensional space, unlike Pardoux and Peng, we cannot apply Kolmogorov's continuity criterion to the value function $V(t, x, P_\xi) := Y_t^{t,x,P_\xi}$, while in the classical case studied in [25] the value function $V(t, x) = Y_t^{t,x}$ can be shown to be of class $C^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, we have for our value function $V(t, x, P_\xi)$ and its derivative $\partial_\mu V(t, x, P_\xi, y)$ only the L^2 -differentiability with respect to x and y , respectively. However, we have to use the (mean-field) Itô formula. To overcome this problem the characterisation of $V = (V(t, x, P_\xi))$ as the unique solution of the associated mean-field backward stochastic PDE uses the $C_b^{1,2,2}$ -functions $\Psi(t, x, P_\xi) := E[V(t, x, P_\xi) \cdot \eta]$ for suitable $\eta \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}; \mathbb{R})$. Using a similar idea, we extend the classical mean-field Itô formula to smooth functions of solutions of mean-field BDSDEs.

Keywords. Mean-field backward doubly stochastic differential equations; Backward stochastic partial differential equation of mean-field type; Itô's formula; Value function; Malliavin calculus
2000 AMS Mathematics subject classification: 60H07, 15, 30; 35R60, 34F05.

*Rainer Buckdahn is supported in part by the “FMJH Program Gaspard Monge in optimization and operation research”, and the ANR (Agence Nationale de la Recherche), France project ANR-16-CE40-0015-01. Juan Li is supported by the NSF of P.R. China (Nos. 12031009, 11871037), National Key R and D Program of China (NO. 2018YFA0703900), Shandong Province (No. JQ201202), NSFC-RS (No. 11661130148; NA150344).

[†]Corresponding authors.

1 Introduction

Backward doubly stochastic differential equations (BDSDEs, for short) were introduced by Pardoux and Peng in their pioneering paper [25] in 1994. These equations are a generalisation of backward SDEs involving in addition to the (forward) Itô integral driven by a Brownian motion W a backward one governed by an independent Brownian motion B . Pardoux and Peng showed namely that the BDSDE gives a (doubly) stochastic interpretation for the classical solution of the following backward stochastic partial differential equation (SPDE, for short):

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x) = & \Phi(x) + \int_t^T \left(\mathcal{L}u(s, x) + f(x, u(s, x), (\nabla u \sigma)(s, x)) \right) ds \\ & + \int_t^T g(x, u(s, x), (\nabla u \sigma)(s, x)) d\overleftarrow{B}_s, \quad t \in [0, T], \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where the second-order differential operator \mathcal{L} is the generator of the forward diffusion SDE associated with the BDSDE.

Stimulated by this pioneering work, a great number of researchers have been attracted by this topic and studied different extensions. Without going into details, let us cite here, for instance, the works by Bally and Matoussi [1], Zhang and Zhao [28] and Matoussi, Piozin and Popier [23]. Other works on BDSDEs were motivated, e.g., by Zakai equation in filtering [21, 22], by stochastic control with partial observations or pathwise stochastic control theory [20]. For other recent developments on BDSDEs, we refer, e.g., to Buckdahn and Ma [5, 6], Han, Peng and Wu [11], but also Li and Xing [17], Li, Xing and Peng [18], Shi, Wen and Xiong [27], Shi, Gu and Liu [26], should be mentioned.

The study of mean-field stochastic differential equations (SDEs), known also as McKean-Vlasov SDEs, goes back to the work by Kac [13] in 1956. Since then, stimulated by numerous applications, the theory of mean-field SDEs has been dynamically developing. In recent years, new impulses to this research were given by the course given by P.L. Lions at *Collège de France* [19] (We also refer to the notes by Cardaliaguet [7]), in which the author introduced the notion of differentiability with respect to (w.r.t.) the probability measure of a function defined over a space of probability laws with finite second order moment. Inspired by [19, 7], in 2017, Buckdahn, Li, Peng and Rainer [4] considered a general mean-field SDE whose coefficients depend on both the solution process and its law. They studied the properties of the solution of this SDE, and in particular, the associated non-local PDEs of mean-field type. Hao and Li [12] extended these studies to mean-field SDEs which, in addition to the driving Brownian motion, are governed by a compensated Poisson random measure. In [15], Li extended this work to general mean-field forward-backward SDEs (FBSDEs) with jumps, in which the coefficients of both the forward and also the backward SDE depend on the solution processes but also on their joint law. She showed in particular that such mean-field FBSDEs give a stochastic interpretation to nonlocal integral-partial differential equations. We emphasize that the value functions in [4], [12] and [15] are deterministic functions.

On the other hand, inspired by the seminal paper [14] by Lasry and Lions (2007), Buckdahn, Djehiche, Li and Peng [2] investigated a nonlinear mean-field BSDE. Since then, motivated by its various applications, the theory of mean-field BSDEs has been studied by numerous researchers, among them Buckdahn, Li and Peng [3], Carmona and Delarue [9], Li, Liang and Zhang [16], Chen, Xing and Zhang [10]. Li and Xing [17] extended these investigations to general mean-field BDSDEs

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_t = & \xi + \int_t^T f(s, P_{(Y_s, Z_s)}, Y_s, Z_s) ds + \int_t^T g(s, P_{(Y_s, Z_s)}, Y_s, Z_s) d\overleftarrow{B}_s \\
& + \int_t^T h(s, P_{(Y_s, Z_s)}) d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

We emphasise that the Lipschitz constant of the coefficient h can be arbitrary without necessarily being small enough. For more details we refer to Appendix A.2.

Inspired by above works, in this paper we study a general mean-field BDSDE associated with a forward diffusion SDE as well as the related backward SPDEs of mean-field type. More precisely, we consider the solutions $(X^{t,\xi}, X^{t,x,P_\xi})$ of the split forward SDE (see, (3.1) and (3.2)) and those of the split BDSDE $(Y^{t,\xi}, Z^{t,\xi})$ and $(Y^{t,x,\xi}, Z^{t,x,\xi})$ (see, (4.1) and (4.2)). Their existence is stated in Theorem A.1 (Appendix A.2), and the fact that $(Y^{t,x,\xi}, Z^{t,x,\xi})$ depends on ξ only through its law $((Y^{t,x,\xi}, Z^{t,x,\xi}) = (Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}))$ is proven by Proposition 4.1. Besides of the study of regularity properties of the solution of the mean-field BDSDE, our main objective is the characterization of the value function $V(t, x, P_\xi) := Y_t^{t,x,P_\xi}$, a stochastic process adapted to the backward filtration generated by the Brownian motion B , as unique solution of the associated mean-field semi-linear backward stochastic PDE (SPDE) which is a new type of SPDE

$$\begin{aligned}
& V(t, x, P_\xi) \\
= & \Phi(x, P_\xi) + \int_t^T \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{x_i} V(s, x, P_\xi) b_i(x, P_\xi) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^d (\partial_{x_i x_j}^2 V)(s, x, P_\xi) (\sigma_{i,k} \sigma_{j,k})(x, P_\xi) \right. \\
& + f(x, V(s, x, P_\xi), \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{x_i} V(s, x, P_\xi) \sigma_i(x, P_\xi), P_{(\xi, \psi(s, \xi, P_\xi))}) \\
& + E \left[\sum_{i=1}^d (\partial_\mu V)_i(s, x, P_\xi, \xi) b_i(\xi, P_\xi) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^d \partial_{y_i} (\partial_\mu V)_j(s, x, P_\xi, \xi) (\sigma_{i,k} \sigma_{j,k})(\xi, P_\xi) \right] \Big\} ds \tag{1.3} \\
& + \int_t^T \sum_{j=1}^l g_j(x, V(s, x, P_\xi), \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{x_i} V(s, x, P_\xi) \sigma_i(x, P_\xi), P_{(\xi, \psi(s, \xi, P_\xi))}) d\overleftarrow{B}_s^j \\
& + \int_t^T \sum_{j=1}^l h_j(P_{(\xi, \psi(s, \xi, P_\xi))}) d\overleftarrow{B}_s^j, \quad (t, x, \xi, P_\xi) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\psi(s, x, P_\xi) := (V(s, x, P_\xi), \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{x_i} V(s, x, P_\xi) \sigma_i(x, P_\xi))$. Observed that, when the coefficients don't depend on the law, (1.3) reduces to (1.1). Following the scheme given by Pardoux and Peng in their seminal paper [25], we study the regularity of $(Y^{t,x,P_\xi}, Z^{t,x,P_\xi})$. However, apart from the fact that Pardoux and Peng restricted to the proof of the first order derivative of $(Y^{t,x}, Z^{t,x})$ w.r.t. x and only stated the second order differentiability, to make the proofs not only for the first order derivative of $(Y^{t,x,P_\xi}, Z^{t,x,P_\xi})$ w.r.t. x and w.r.t. the measure, but we discuss also all the details of the proof for its second order derivative w.r.t. x and the derivative w.r.t. y of the derivative w.r.t. the measure $(\partial_\mu Y^{t,x,P_\xi}(y), \partial_\mu Z^{t,x,P_\xi}(y))$, and this is related with different subtle technicalities. In particular, Malliavin calculus will be used to prove some crucial estimates for Z^{t,x,P_ξ} and its derivatives (see, the Propositions 4.3, 8.1 and A.1). Another difficulty comes from the fact that the derivatives of $(Y^{t,x,P_\xi}, Z^{t,x,P_\xi})$ w.r.t. x (of first and second order) and the (first order) derivative

of $(\partial_\mu Y^{t,x,P_\xi}(y), \partial_\mu Z^{t,x,P_\xi}(y))$ w.r.t. y are L^2 -derivatives, i.e., we prove the L^2 -regularity of the value function $V(t, x, P_\xi) := Y_t^{t,x,P_\xi}$. However, we have to apply the (mean-field) Itô formula to $V(s, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}})$. Pardoux and Peng concluded that their value function $V(t, x)$ is a $C^{1,2}$ -function by using Kolmogorov's continuity criterion. But we cannot use it, because in our case the parameter (t, x, P_ξ) of $V = V(t, x, P_\xi)$ runs an infinite-dimensional space. To overcome that we have for V only L^2 -regularity, we introduce the deterministic function $\Psi(t, x, P_\xi) := E[V(t, x, P_\xi) \cdot \eta]$, for suitable $\eta \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}; \mathbb{R})$, and for Ψ we can show that it is a $C_b^{1,2,2}$ -function. Applying the (mean-field) Itô formula to $\Psi(s, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}})$ will be crucial for the proof that V is a solution of SPDE (1.3), a classical one but with the derivatives w.r.t. x and y in L^2 -sense. By defining a suitable space to which the solution process V belongs, the same technique will allow to prove the uniqueness.

Last but not least, let us mention that we extend the classical mean-field Itô formula (see [4]) to $C_b^{1,2,2}$ -functions $F(s, U_s, P_{Y_s})$ applied to solutions of BDSDEs $U = (U_s)$ and $Y = (Y_s)$ (Theorem 2.1). Our Itô formula extends namely that by Pardoux-Peng [25] who studied the case $F(s, U_s) = |U_s|^2$. As, on the other hand, a classical SDE (with backward integral) is a special case of a BDSDE, our Itô formula can also be regarded as extension of the classical mean-field Itô SDE. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is inspired by our approach in the proof that V is the unique solution of SPDE (1.3).

Our paper is organized as follows: Section 2 is on one hand devoted to preliminaries, but on the other hand we state there also our mean-field Itô formula extended to solutions of BDSDEs. While Section 3 gives a recall on mean-field SDEs, Section 4 is devoted to the investigation of the existence and the uniqueness of solutions and the corresponding estimates for our split mean-field BDSDEs. Section 5 gives a recall on the first order derivatives of the process X^{t,x,P_ξ} with respect to x and the measure P_ξ , and the corresponding estimates. In Section 6 the first order derivatives of $(Y^{t,x,P_\xi}, Z^{t,x,P_\xi})$ w.r.t. x and w.r.t. P_ξ are investigated. Section 7 is devoted to the second order derivatives of X^{t,x,P_ξ} , and using an additional assumption on g (Assumption (H8.2)), the second order derivatives of $(Y^{t,x,P_\xi}, Z^{t,x,P_\xi})$ are discussed in Section 8. Basing on our mean-field Itô's formula, in Section 9 we prove that the value function $V(t, x, P_\xi)$ is the unique classical solution of the SPDE (1.3). Finally, in the Appendix we give the proof of Theorem 2.1 (Appendix A.1), we recall some basic results on mean-field BDSDEs (Appendix A.2), and we study the special case of BDSDEs with a coefficient g which is affine in z (Appendix A.3).

2 Preliminaries

Let $T > 0$ be a fixed time horizon and (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a complete probability space. Let $\{W_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ and $\{B_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ be two mutually independent standard Brownian motions defined on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , with values in \mathbb{R}^d and in \mathbb{R}^l , respectively. We assume that there is a sub- σ -field $\mathcal{F}^0 \subset \mathcal{F}$, containing all P -null subsets of \mathcal{F} , such that

- (i) the Brownian motion (B, W) is independent of \mathcal{F}^0 ;
- (ii) \mathcal{F}^0 is 'rich enough', i.e., $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^k) = \{P_\xi, \xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}^0; \mathbb{R}^k)\}$, $k \geq 1$.

Here $P_\xi := P \circ [\xi]^{-1}$ denotes the law of the random variable ξ under the probability P .

For $0 \leq t \leq s \leq T$, we define $\mathcal{F}_{t,s} := \mathcal{F}_{t,s}^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{s,T}^B \vee \mathcal{F}^0$, $\mathcal{F}_s := \mathcal{F}_{0,s}$, $\mathcal{G}_s := \mathcal{F}_s^W \vee \mathcal{F}^0$ and $\mathcal{D}_s := \mathcal{F}_s^W \vee \mathcal{F}_T^B \vee \mathcal{F}^0$, where for any process $\{\eta_t\}$, $\mathcal{F}_{t,s}^\eta = \sigma\{\eta_r - \eta_t; t \leq r \leq s\}$ augmented by the P -null sets, and $\mathcal{F}_t^\eta = \mathcal{F}_{0,t}^\eta$. It should be noted that $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \in [0, T]\}$ is neither increasing nor decreasing,

and so it does not constitute a filtration. However, $\{\mathcal{G}_t, t \in [0, T]\}$ as well as $\{\mathcal{D}_t, t \in [0, T]\}$ are filtrations. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote the norm and inner product, respectively, by $|x| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$.

Let us introduce some notations and concepts, which are used frequently in what follows. Recall that $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^k)$ is the set of all probability measures μ on $(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k))$ with finite second moment, i.e., $\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} |x|^2 \mu(dx) < \infty$. Here $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ denotes the Borel σ -field over \mathbb{R}^k . $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^k)$ is endowed with the 2-Wasserstein metric: For $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^k)$,

$$W_2(\mu, \nu) := \inf \left\{ \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^k} |x - y|^2 \rho(dxdy) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} : \rho \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^{2k}), \rho(\cdot \times \mathbb{R}^k) = \mu, \rho(\mathbb{R}^k \times \cdot) = \nu \right\}. \quad (2.1)$$

We will also need a weighted 2-Wasserstein metric on $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times d})$: For $\mu, \mu' \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times d})$,

$$W_{2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2}(\mu, \mu') := \inf \left\{ \left(E[\gamma_1 |\xi - \xi'|^2 + \gamma_2 |\eta - \eta'|^2] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \middle| (\xi, \eta), (\xi', \eta') \in L^2(\mathcal{F}; \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}) : \right. \\ \left. P_{(\xi, \eta)} = \mu, P_{(\xi', \eta')} = \mu' \right\}, \quad (2.2)$$

for any fixed $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$. It is obvious that $W_{2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is not only a metric but also equivalent to $W_2(\cdot, \cdot)$. Indeed, $(\gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} W_2(\mu, \mu') \leq W_{2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2}(\mu, \mu') \leq (\gamma_1 \vee \gamma_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} W_2(\mu, \mu')$.

We shall also introduce the following spaces of stochastic processes: For $p > 1$, $t \in [0, T]$,

- $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is the set of \mathcal{F}_T -measurable random variables $\xi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\|\xi\|_{L^p} := (E[|\xi|^p])^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$.
- $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^p(t, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is the set of $\{\mathcal{F}_{t,s}\}$ -adapted measurable continuous processes $\eta : \Omega \times [t, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\|\eta\|_{\mathcal{S}^p} := (E[\sup_{t \leq s \leq T} |\eta(s)|^p])^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$.
- $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^p(t, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is the set of $\{\mathcal{F}_{t,s}\}$ -adapted measurable processes $\eta : \Omega \times [t, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\|\eta\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} := (E[(\int_t^T |\eta(s)|^2 ds)^{\frac{p}{2}}])^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$.
- $C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^p, \mathbb{R}^q)$ is the set of functions of class C^k from \mathbb{R}^p into \mathbb{R}^q whose partial derivatives of all order less than or equal to k are bounded.
- \mathcal{S} is the set of smooth random variables ξ of the form $\xi = \varphi(W(h_1), \dots, W(h_n); B(k_1), \dots, B(k_p))$, $n, p \geq 0$, with $\varphi \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+p}, \mathbb{R})$, $h_1, \dots, h_n \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $k_1, \dots, k_p \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^l)$, $W(h_i) := \int_0^T \langle h_i(t), dW_t \rangle$, $B(k_j) := \int_0^T \langle k_j(t), dB_t \rangle$.

Moreover, if $\xi \in \mathcal{S}$ is of the above form, its Malliavin derivative w.r.t. W , denoted by $D[\cdot] := D^W[\cdot]$, is given by $D_t \xi = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i}(W(h_1), \dots, W(h_n); B(k_1), \dots, B(k_p)) h_i(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq T$.

For $\xi \in \mathcal{S}$, $p > 1$, we define the norm $\|\xi\|_{1,p} = \left(E[|\xi|^p + (\int_0^T |D_t \xi|^2 dt)^{\frac{p}{2}}] \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. From [24] we know the operator D has a closed extension to the space $\mathbb{D}^{1,p}$, the closure of \mathcal{S} with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1,p}$. Furthermore, we put $\mathbb{D}^{1,\infty} = \bigcap_{p \geq 2} \mathbb{D}^{1,p}$. Observe that if $\xi \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable, $D_\theta \xi = 0$, $d\theta dP$ -a.e., $\theta \in (t, T]$. We shall denote by $D_\theta^i \xi$, the i -th component of $D_\theta \xi$, $1 \leq i \leq d$.

We now recall the notion of differentiability with respect to probability measure of a function defined on $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. There are different definitions, we use that introduced by Lions [19], also refer to

the notes by Cardaliaguet [7]. For more details the readers also may refer to Buckdahn, Li, Peng and Rainer [4], Hao and Li [12], Li [15]. Given a function $\varphi : \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we consider the lifted function $\tilde{\varphi}(\xi) := \varphi(P_\xi)$, $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}; \mathbb{R}^d) (= L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; \mathbb{R}^d))$. If for a given $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ there exists a random variable $\xi_0 \in L^2(\mathcal{F}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying $P_{\xi_0} = \mu_0$, such that $\tilde{\varphi} : L^2(\mathcal{F}; \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Fréchet differentiable in ξ_0 , φ is said to be differentiable with respect to μ_0 . This is equivalent with the existence of a continuous linear mapping $D\tilde{\varphi}(\xi_0) : L^2(\mathcal{F}; \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ (i.e., $D\tilde{\varphi}(\xi_0) \in L(L^2(\mathcal{F}; \mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{R}^d)$) such that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(\xi_0 + \zeta) - \tilde{\varphi}(\xi_0) = D\tilde{\varphi}(\xi_0)(\zeta) + o(|\zeta|_{L^2}), \quad (2.3)$$

for $\zeta \in L^2(\mathcal{F}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ with $|\zeta|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0$. Riesz's Representation Theorem allows to show that there exists a unique $\eta \in L^2(\mathcal{F}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $D\tilde{\varphi}(\xi_0)(\zeta) = E[\eta \cdot \zeta]$, $\zeta \in L^2(\mathcal{F}; \mathbb{R}^d)$. It was shown by Lions that η is a Borel measurable function of ξ_0 , refer to Cardaliaguet [7], $\eta = \psi(\xi_0)$, where ψ is a Borel measurable function depending on ξ_0 only through its law. Combining (2.3) and the above argument, we have

$$\varphi(P_{\xi_0 + \zeta}) - \varphi(P_{\xi_0}) = E[\psi(\xi_0) \cdot \zeta] + o(|\zeta|_{L^2}). \quad (2.4)$$

In the spirit of Lions and Cardaliaguet, the derivative of $\varphi : \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with respect to the measure P_{ξ_0} is denoted by $\partial_\mu \varphi(P_{\xi_0}, y) := \psi(y)$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Observe that $\partial_\mu \varphi(P_{\xi_0}, y)$ is only $P_{\xi_0}(dy)$ -a.e. uniquely determined; see also Definition 2.1 in Buckdahn, Li, Peng and Rainer [4]. We also mention that an equivalent approach for the derivative was developed by Cardaliaguet, Delarue, Lasry and P.L. Lions [8], interested readers are referred to this work.

Now we introduce several spaces which will be used frequently.

Definition 2.1. (1) We say that φ belongs to $C_b^1(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, if $\varphi : \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable on $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\partial_\mu \varphi(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is bounded and Lipschitz continuous, i.e., there exists some positive constant L such that

- (i) $|\partial_\mu \varphi(\mu, y)| \leq L$, $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (ii) $|\partial_\mu \varphi(\mu, y) - \partial_\mu \varphi(\mu', y')| \leq L(W_2(\mu, \mu') + |y - y'|)$, $\mu, \mu' \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $y, y' \in \mathbb{R}$.

(2) By $C_b^2(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ we denote the space of all functions $\varphi \in C_b^1(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ such that $(\partial_\mu \varphi)_j(\mu, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable, for every $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and the derivative $\partial_y \partial_\mu \varphi : \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$ is bounded and Lipschitz continuous.

We will use the notation $\partial_\mu \varphi(\mu, y) := \left((\partial_\mu \varphi)_j(\mu, y) \right)_{1 \leq j \leq d}$, $(\mu, y) \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

Definition 2.2. (1) We say that φ belongs to $C_b^{0,2,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, if $\varphi : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

- (i) $\varphi(\cdot, \cdot, \mu) \in C_b^{0,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, for all $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$;
- (ii) $\varphi(t, x, \cdot) \in C_b^2(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, for all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$;
- (iii) All derivatives of order 1 and 2 are continuous on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d$, and uniformly bounded over $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d$, $\partial_\mu \varphi$ and $\partial_y(\partial_\mu \varphi)$ are Lipschitz continuous w.r.t. (x, μ, y) , uniformly w.r.t. t .

(2) We say that φ belongs to $C_b^{1,2,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, if $\varphi : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

- (i) $\varphi(\cdot, \cdot, \mu) \in C_b^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, for all $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$;
- (ii) $\varphi(t, x, \cdot) \in C_b^2(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, for all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$;
- (iii) All derivatives of order 1 and 2 are continuous on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d$, and uniformly bounded over $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d$, $\partial_\mu \varphi$ and $\partial_y(\partial_\mu \varphi)$ are Lipschitz continuous w.r.t. (x, μ, y) ,

uniformly w.r.t. t .

(3) We say that φ belongs to $C_b^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, if $\varphi : [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

(i) $\varphi(\cdot, \mu) \in C_b^1([0, T])$, for all $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$;

(ii) $\varphi(t, \cdot) \in C_b^2(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, for all $t \in [0, T]$;

(iii) All derivatives of order 1 and 2 are continuous on $[0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d$, and uniformly bounded over $[0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d$, $\partial_\mu \varphi$ and $\partial_y(\partial_\mu \varphi)$ are Lipschitz continuous w.r.t. (μ, y) , uniformly w.r.t. t .

Now we briefly review the notion of a solution of a backward doubly stochastic differential equations (BDSDEs, for short) from Pardoux and Peng [25].

Let $f : [0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g : [0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k \times l}$ be jointly measurable and satisfy:

Assumption (H2.1) (i) $(g(t, \cdot, 0, 0))_{t \in [0, T]} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{k \times l})$;

(ii) g is Lipschitz in (y, z) , i.e., there exist constants $C > 0$, and $0 < \alpha < 1$ such that for all $t \in [0, T]$, $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$, P -a.s.,

$$|g(t, y_1, z_1) - g(t, y_2, z_2)|^2 \leq C|y_1 - y_2|^2 + \alpha|z_1 - z_2|^2;$$

(iii) $(f(t, \cdot, 0, 0))_{t \in [0, T]} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^k)$;

(iv) f is Lipschitz in (y, z) , i.e., there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $t \in [0, T]$, $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$, P -a.s.,

$$|f(t, y_1, z_1) - f(t, y_2, z_2)| \leq C(|y_1 - y_2| + |z_1 - z_2|).$$

Given $\xi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; \mathbb{R}^k)$, Pardoux and Peng [25] studied the following BDSDE:

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s) d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (2.5)$$

where W and B are two independent Brownian motions, the integral with respect to B is the Itô backward one, denoted by $d\overleftarrow{B}$.

Proposition 2.1. Under Assumption (H2.1), equation (2.5) has a unique solution $(Y, Z) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^k) \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{k \times d})$.

For more details, please, we refer to Theorem 1.1 in Pardoux and Peng [25] or Appendix A.2 of this paper.

Next we give a general Itô's formula which will be used later.

Theorem 2.1. (Itô's formula). Let $F \in C_b^{1,2,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Given $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $g \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{d \times l})$, $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T; \mathbb{R}^d)$, as well as $u \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $v \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{d \times l})$, $\eta \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T; \mathbb{R}^d)$. We consider the solution $(Y, Z), (U, V) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$ of the following BDSDE, respectively:

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f_s ds + \int_t^T g_s d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$U_t = \eta + \int_t^T u_s ds + \int_t^T v_s d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T V_s dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (2.7)$$

Then, for all $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F(t, U_t, P_{Y_t}) &= F(T, \eta, P_\xi) + \int_t^T \left\{ -(\partial_s F)(s, U_s, P_{Y_s}) + \sum_{i=1}^d (\partial_{x_i} F)(s, U_s, P_{Y_s}) u_s^i \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^d (\partial_{x_i x_j}^2 F)(s, U_s, P_{Y_s}) v_s^{ik} v_s^{jk} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \sum_{k=1}^l (\partial_{x_i x_j}^2 F)(s, U_s, P_{Y_s}) V_s^{ik} V_s^{jk} \Big\} ds \\
&\quad + \int_t^T \widehat{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^d (\partial_\mu F)_i(s, U_s, P_{Y_s}, \widehat{Y}_s) \widehat{f}_s^i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^d \partial_{y_i} (\partial_\mu F)_j(s, U_s, P_{Y_s}, \widehat{Y}_s) \widehat{Z}_s^{ik} \widehat{Z}_s^{jk} \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \sum_{k=1}^l \partial_{y_i} (\partial_\mu F)_j(s, U_s, P_{Y_s}, \widehat{Y}_s) \widehat{g}_s^{ik} \widehat{g}_s^{jk} \Big] ds + \int_t^T \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^l (\partial_{x_i} F)(s, U_s, P_{Y_s}) v_s^{ij} d\overleftarrow{B}_s^j \\
&\quad - \int_t^T \sum_{i,j=1}^d (\partial_{x_i} F)(s, U_s, P_{Y_s}) V_s^{ij} dW_s^j.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Here $(\widehat{Y}, \widehat{Z}, \widehat{f}, \widehat{g})$ denotes an independent copy of (Y, Z, f, g) , defined on another probability space $(\widehat{\Omega}, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{P})$. The expectation $\widehat{E}[\cdot]$ on $(\widehat{\Omega}, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{P})$ concerns only random variables endowed with the superscript “ $\widehat{\cdot}$ ”. For a better readability of the manuscript, the proof of this theorem is postponed to Appendix A.1.

Remark 2.1. We note that like in [4] (there for the “classical” mean-field Itô formula) we do not need the existence of the second order mixed derivatives $\partial_x \partial_\mu F$, $\partial_\mu \partial_x F$, $\partial_\mu^2 F$ for our Itô formula. This is why they are not introduced in the definition of the space $C_b^{1,2,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$.

In particular, for dimensions $d = 1$, $l = 1$, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.1. Let $F \in C_b^{1,2,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^2))$. Given the solution $(Y, Z) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R})$ of the BDSDE

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f_s ds + \int_t^T g_s d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T], \tag{2.9}$$

where $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R})$, $g \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R})$ and $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T; \mathbb{R})$, and the solution $X \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R})$ of the SDE

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b_s ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T], \tag{2.10}$$

where $b \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R})$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R})$, $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_0; \mathbb{R})$. We have, for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&dF(t, X_t, Y_t, P_{(X_t, Y_t)}) \\
&= \left\{ (\partial_t F)(t, X_t, Y_t, P_{(X_t, Y_t)}) + \left\langle (\partial_{(x,y)} F)(t, X_t, Y_t, P_{(X_t, Y_t)}), \begin{pmatrix} b_t \\ -f_t \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \right. \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{yy}^2 F)(t, X_t, Y_t, P_{(X_t, Y_t)}) |g_t|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle (\partial_{(x,y)}^2 F)(t, X_t, Y_t, P_{(X_t, Y_t)}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_t \\ Z_t \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_t \\ Z_t \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \Big\} dt \\
&\quad + \left\{ \widehat{E} \left[\left\langle (\partial_\mu F)(t, X_t, Y_t, P_{(X_t, Y_t)}, \widehat{X}_t, \widehat{Y}_t), \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{b}_t \\ -\widehat{f}_t \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \right] \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \widehat{E} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left\langle \partial_{(x,y)} (\partial_\mu F)(t, X_t, Y_t, P_{(X_t, Y_t)}, \widehat{X}_t, \widehat{Y}_t) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\sigma}_t \\ \widehat{Z}_t \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\sigma}_t \\ \widehat{Z}_t \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \right] \\
& - \widehat{E} \left[\frac{1}{2} \partial_y (\partial_\mu F)_2(t, X_t, Y_t, P_{(X_t, Y_t)}, \widehat{X}_t, \widehat{Y}_t) \cdot |\widehat{g}_t|^2 \right] \Big\} dt \\
& - (\partial_y F)(t, X_t, Y_t, P_{(X_t, Y_t)}) f_t d\overleftarrow{B}_t + \left\langle (\partial_{(x,y)} F)(t, X_t, Y_t, P_{(X_t, Y_t)}), \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_t \\ Z_t \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle dW_t.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Obviously, due to our assumptions, the process $((X, Y), (\sigma, Z)) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^2) \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^2)$ is the unique solution of the BDSDE

$$d \begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ Y_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_t \\ -f_t \end{pmatrix} dt + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -g_t \end{pmatrix} d\overleftarrow{B}_t + \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_t \\ Z_t \end{pmatrix} dW_t, \quad \begin{pmatrix} X_T \\ Y_T \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X_T \\ \xi \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.12)$$

Hence, Corollary 2.1 follows directly from Theorem 2.1. \blacksquare

Remark 2.2. We also observe that the Itô formula studied in [4] is a special case of Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1.

3 Mean-field stochastic differential equations

In this section we consider mean-field stochastic differential equations (SDEs). From now on let be given deterministic Lipschitz functions $b : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ satisfying **Assumption (H3.1)** b and σ are bounded and Lipschitz continuous on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

We consider for the initial data $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$ the following both stochastic differential equations (SDEs):

$$X_s^{t, \xi} = \xi + \int_t^s b(X_r^{t, \xi}, P_{X_r^{t, \xi}}) dr + \int_t^s \sigma(X_r^{t, \xi}, P_{X_r^{t, \xi}}) dW_r, \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$X_s^{t, x, \xi} = x + \int_t^s b(X_r^{t, x, \xi}, P_{X_r^{t, \xi}}) dr + \int_t^s \sigma(X_r^{t, x, \xi}, P_{X_r^{t, \xi}}) dW_r, \quad s \in [t, T]. \quad (3.2)$$

We recall that under Assumption (H3.1) the both SDEs have a unique solution in $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ (see, e.g., Buckdahn, Li, Peng and Rainer [4]). In particular, the solution $X^{t, \xi}$ of equation (3.1) allows to determine that of (3.2). Observe that $X^{t, x, \xi} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is independent of \mathcal{G}_t . As SDE standard estimates show, we have for some $C \in \mathbb{R}_+$ depending only on the Lipschitz constants of b and σ ,

$$E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |X_s^{t, x, \xi} - X_s^{t, x', \xi}|^2 \right] \leq |x - x'|^2, \quad (3.3)$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$. This allows to substitute the random variable ξ for x in (3.2) and shows that $X^{t, x, \xi}|_{x=\xi}$ solves the same SDE as $X^{t, \xi}$. From the uniqueness of the solution we conclude

$$X_s^{t, \xi} = X_s^{t, x, \xi}|_{x=\xi} = X_s^{t, \xi, \xi}, \quad s \in [t, T]. \quad (3.4)$$

Moreover, we deduce the following flow property: For all $0 \leq t \leq s \leq T$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$(X_r^{s, X_s^{t, x, \xi}, X_s^{t, \xi}}, X_r^{s, X_s^{t, \xi}}) = (X_r^{t, x, \xi}, X_r^{t, \xi}), \quad r \in [s, T]. \quad (3.5)$$

We have to show that the solution $X^{t,x,\xi}$ does not depend on ξ itself but only on its law P_ξ . For this, the following lemma is very useful; please refer to Buckdahn, Li, Peng and Rainer [4], or Proposition 3.1 in Hao and Li [12], which is formulated for mean-field SDEs with jump.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose Assumption (H3.1) holds true. Then, for all $p \geq 2$ there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of b and σ , such that for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, \hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi, \hat{\xi} \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, we have the following estimates*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{(i)} \quad E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |X_s^{t,x,\xi} - X_s^{t,\hat{x},\hat{\xi}}|^p | \mathcal{G}_t \right] \leq C_p \left(|x - \hat{x}|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\hat{\xi}})^p \right), \\
& \text{(ii)} \quad E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |X_s^{t,x,\xi}|^p | \mathcal{G}_t \right] \leq C_p \left(1 + |x|^p \right), \\
& \text{(iii)} \quad \sup_{s \in [t, T]} W_2(P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}, P_{X_s^{t,\hat{\xi}}}) \leq C_2 W_2(P_\xi, P_{\hat{\xi}}), \\
& \text{(iv)} \quad E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, t+h]} |X_s^{t,x,\xi} - x|^p | \mathcal{G}_t \right] \leq C_p h^{\frac{p}{2}},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Remark 3.1. An immediate consequence of Lemma 3.1-(i) is that, given $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, the processes X^{t,x,ξ_1} and X^{t,x,ξ_2} are indistinguishable, whenever the laws of $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$ are the same. But this means that we can define

$$X^{t,x,P_\xi} := X^{t,x,\xi}, \quad (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d). \tag{3.7}$$

Extending the notation introduced in the preceding section for functions to random variables and processes, we shall consider the lifted process $\tilde{X}_s^{t,x,\xi} := X_s^{t,x,P_\xi} = X_s^{t,x,\xi}$, $s \in [t, T]$, $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$. However, we prefer to continue to write $X^{t,x,\xi}$ and reserve the notation $\tilde{X}^{t,x,\xi}$ for an independent copy of X^{t,x,P_ξ} , which we will introduce later. On the other hand, note that X_s^{t,x,P_ξ} is \mathcal{F}_s^W -measurable, $s \in [t, T]$, $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$.

We now recall that under natural conditions the solution of a SDE is differentiable in Malliavin's sense and that the derivative is a solution of a linear SDE.

Proposition 3.1. *Let b and σ satisfy Assumption (H3.1). Moreover, we suppose the coefficients b_j , $\sigma_{i,j}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq d$, to satisfy the following assumptions:*

- (i) $b_j(\cdot, \mu)$, $\sigma_{i,j}(\cdot, \mu)$ belong to $C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, for all $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$;
- (ii) The derivatives $\partial_x b_j$, $\partial_x \sigma_{i,j} : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ are bounded and Lipschitz continuous.

Then for all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $s \in [t, T]$, $X_s^{t,x,P_\xi} \in (\mathbb{D}^{1,2})^d$ and a version of $\{D_\theta X_s^{t,x,P_\xi} : \theta, s \in [t, T]\}$ is given by:

- (i) $D_\theta X_s^{t,x,P_\xi} = 0$, if $\theta > s$;
- (ii) $\{D_\theta X_s^{t,x,P_\xi} = (D_\theta^i X_s^{t,x,P_\xi,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq d} : s \in [\theta, T]\}$ is the unique solution of the linear SDE: $s \in [t, T]$, $1 \leq i, j \leq d$,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_\theta^i X_s^{t,x,P_\xi,j} &= \sigma_{i,j}(X_\theta^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_\theta^{t,\xi}}) + \sum_{k=1}^d \int_\theta^s \partial_{x_k} b_j(X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) D_\theta^i X_r^{t,x,P_\xi,k} dr \\
&+ \sum_{k,l=1}^d \int_\theta^s \partial_{x_k} \sigma_{j,l}(X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) D_\theta^i X_r^{t,x,P_\xi,k} dW_r^l, \quad s \in [\theta, T].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Furthermore, for all $p \geq 2$ there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of $\partial_x b$ and $\partial_x \sigma$, such that, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, P -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |D_\theta X_s^{t, x, P_\xi}|^p \right] \leq C_p; \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |D_\theta X_s^{t, x, P_\xi} - D_\theta X_s^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}|^p \right] \leq C_p \left(|x - x'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^p \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. It is standard to prove that X_s^{t, x, P_ξ} has a Malliavin derivative under our assumptions (see [24]). Moreover, from the assumptions, Lemma 3.1 and the standard estimates of classical SDEs, it can easily be verified that (3.9) holds true. \blacksquare

4 Mean-field BDSDEs

In this section we consider mean-field backward doubly stochastic differential equations (BDSDEs for short) driven by a standard (forward) stochastic integral dW_t and a backward stochastic integral dB_t . The existence and the uniqueness of the solution for this type of BDSDEs is proved; for more details, please, we refer to Appendix A.2.

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$, $h : \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$ and $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be deterministic and satisfy:

Assumption (H4.1) The functions f , g , h and Φ are bounded and Lipschitz, i.e., there exist constants $C > 0$, and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$ with $0 < \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 < 1$ such that, for all $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $y, y' \in \mathbb{R}$, $z, z' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mu, \mu' \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & |f(x, y, z, \mu) - f(x', y', z', \mu')| + |h(\mu) - h(\mu')| + |\Phi(x, \mu) - \Phi(x', \mu')| \\ & \leq C(|x - x'| + |y - y'| + |z - z'| + W_2(\mu, \mu')), \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & |g(x, y, z, \mu) - g(x', y', z', \mu')|^2 \leq C(|x - x'|^2 + |y - y'|^2) + \alpha_1 |z - z'|^2 + W_{2, C, \alpha_2}(\mu, \mu')^2. \end{aligned}$$

Given $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$ we consider the following both BDSDEs:

$$\begin{cases} dY_s^{t, \xi} = -f(\Pi_s^{t, \xi}, P_{\Pi_s^{t, \xi}})ds - (g(\Pi_s^{t, \xi}, P_{\Pi_s^{t, \xi}}) + h(P_{\Pi_s^{t, \xi}}))d\overleftarrow{B}_s + Z_s^{t, \xi}dW_s, \quad s \in [t, T], \\ Y_T^{t, \xi} = \Phi(X_T^{t, \xi}, P_{X_T^{t, \xi}}), \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} dY_s^{t, x, \xi} = -f(\Pi_s^{t, x, \xi}, P_{\Pi_s^{t, x, \xi}})ds - (g(\Pi_s^{t, x, \xi}, P_{\Pi_s^{t, x, \xi}}) + h(P_{\Pi_s^{t, x, \xi}}))d\overleftarrow{B}_s + Z_s^{t, x, \xi}dW_s, \quad s \in [t, T], \\ Y_T^{t, x, \xi} = \Phi(X_T^{t, x, \xi}, P_{X_T^{t, x, \xi}}), \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

where $\Pi_s^{t, \xi} := (X_s^{t, \xi}, Y_s^{t, \xi}, Z_s^{t, \xi})$, $\Pi_s^{t, x, \xi} := (X_s^{t, x, \xi}, Y_s^{t, x, \xi}, Z_s^{t, x, \xi})$. Recall that the processes $X^{t, \xi}$ and $X^{t, x, \xi}$ are the solution of SDEs (3.1) and (3.2), respectively.

Under Assumption (H4.1) we know that from Theorem A.1 in Appendix A.2 that Eq.(4.1) has a unique solution $(Y^{t, \xi}, Z^{t, \xi}) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$. On the other hand, once having the solution of (4.1), under Assumption (H4.1) BDSDE (4.2) becomes classical and possesses a unique solution $(Y^{t, x, \xi}, Z^{t, x, \xi}) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$. Indeed, once we have got $\Pi_s^{t, \xi} := (X_s^{t, \xi}, Y_s^{t, \xi}, Z_s^{t, \xi})$, we define $\tilde{f}(s, y, z) = f(X_s^{t, x, \xi}, y, z, P_{\Pi_s^{t, \xi}})$, $\tilde{g}(s, y, z) = g(X_s^{t, x, \xi}, y, z, P_{\Pi_s^{t, \xi}})$, $\tilde{h}(s) =$

$h(P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}})$, $\tilde{\xi} = \Phi(X_T^{t,x,\xi}, P_{\Pi_T^{t,\xi}})$. Obviously, \tilde{f} , \tilde{g} , \tilde{h} and $\tilde{\xi}$ satisfy all assumptions of classical BDSDEs, and hence, BDSDE (4.2) has a unique solution $(Y^{t,x,\xi}, Z^{t,x,\xi}) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$.

From the flow property (3.5) and the uniqueness of the solution of (4.1) and (4.2) we have the following properties: For all $0 \leq t \leq s \leq T$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & (Y_r^{s, X_s^{t,x,\xi}, X_s^{t,\xi}}, Y_r^{s, X_s^{t,\xi}}) = (Y_r^{t,x,\xi}, Y_r^{t,\xi}), \quad r \in [s, T], \quad P\text{-a.s.}; \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & (Z_r^{s, X_s^{t,x,\xi}, X_s^{t,\xi}}, Z_r^{s, X_s^{t,\xi}}) = (Z_r^{t,x,\xi}, Z_r^{t,\xi}), \quad \text{drdP-a.e. on } [s, T] \times \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Moreover, we have to show that the solution $(Y^{t,x,\xi}, Z^{t,x,\xi})$ does not depend on ξ itself but only on its law P_{ξ} .

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose the Assumptions (H3.1) and (H4.1) hold true. Then, there is a constant $C > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of b , σ , f , g , h and Φ , such that, for $t \in [0, T]$, $x, \hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi, \hat{\xi} \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & E\left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |Y_s^{t,x,\xi}|^2 + \int_t^T |Z_s^{t,x,\xi}|^2 ds \middle| \mathcal{G}_t\right] \leq C; \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & E\left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |Y_s^{t,x,\xi} - Y_s^{t,\hat{x},\hat{\xi}}|^2 + \int_t^T |Z_s^{t,x,\xi} - Z_s^{t,\hat{x},\hat{\xi}}|^2 ds \middle| \mathcal{G}_t\right] \leq C_p \left(|x - \hat{x}|^2 + W_2(P_{\xi}, P_{\hat{\xi}})^2\right); \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & \int_t^T W_2(P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}}, P_{\Pi_s^{t,\hat{\xi}}})^2 ds \leq CW_2(P_{\xi}, P_{\hat{\xi}})^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. From Lemma A.1-(1) we get (i) directly. Now we prove (ii) and (iii).

Note that $\Pi^{t,x,\xi}$ is independent of \mathcal{G}_t and, hence, of $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$. This allows to consider $\Pi^{t,x,\xi}|_{x=\xi}$, and from the uniqueness of the solution of (4.1) and (4.2), it follows from (3.4) that $\Pi^{t,\xi} = \Pi^{t,x,\xi}|_{x=\xi}$. On the other hand, it also follows that, if $\xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$ has the same law as ξ , then also $\Pi^{t,\xi'} := \Pi^{t,x,\xi}|_{x=\xi'}$ and $\Pi^{t,\xi}$ are of the same law. Hence, $P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}} = P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi'}, \xi}$, ds -a.e. Then, for given $\xi_i \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $i = 1, 2$, and $\xi'_i \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$ of the same law as ξ_i , we consider the following BDSDE:

$$\begin{cases} dY_s^{t,\xi'_i, \xi_i} = -f(\Pi_s^{t,\xi'_i, \xi_i}, P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi_i}})ds - (g(\Pi_s^{t,\xi'_i, \xi_i}, P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi_i}}) + h(P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi_i}}))d\overleftarrow{B}_s + Z_s^{t,\xi'_i, \xi_i}dW_s, \quad s \in [t, T], \\ Y_T^{t,\xi'_i, \xi_i} = \Phi(X_T^{t,\xi'_i, \xi_i}, P_{X_T^{t,\xi_i}}). \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

Then, taking into account that $P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi_i}} = P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi'_i, \xi_i}}$, ds -a.e., for $i = 1, 2$, we get from Theorem A.2 and (H4.1) that, for $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} & E\left[\int_t^T \left(|Y_s^{t,\xi'_1, \xi_1} - Y_s^{t,\xi'_2, \xi_2}|^2 + |Z_s^{t,\xi'_1, \xi_1} - Z_s^{t,\xi'_2, \xi_2}|^2\right) ds\right] \\ & \leq C^1 E[|X_T^{t,\xi'_1, \xi_1} - X_T^{t,\xi'_2, \xi_2}|^2 + W_2(P_{X_T^{t,\xi_1}}, P_{X_T^{t,\xi_2}})^2] + C^1 E\left[\int_t^T |X_s^{t,\xi'_1, \xi_1} - X_s^{t,\xi'_2, \xi_2}|^2 ds\right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

where C^1 depends only on the Lipschitz constants of f , g , h and Φ . From Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & W_2(P_{X_T^{t,\xi_1}}, P_{X_T^{t,\xi_2}}) \leq CW_2(P_{\xi_1}, P_{\xi_2}); \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & E\left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |X_s^{t,\xi'_1, \xi_1} - X_s^{t,\xi'_2, \xi_2}|^2\right] = E\left[E\left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |X_s^{t,x_1, \xi_1} - X_s^{t,x_2, \xi_2}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{G}_t\right] \middle|_{\substack{x_1 = \xi'_1, \\ x_2 = \xi'_2}}\right] \\ & \leq CE\left[|\xi'_1 - \xi'_2|^2 + W_2(P_{\xi_1}, P_{\xi_2})^2\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Then, by combining (4.6) and (4.7) we obtain

$$E \left[\int_t^T \left(|Y_s^{t,\xi'_1,\xi_1} - Y_s^{t,\xi'_2,\xi_2}|^2 + |Z_s^{t,\xi'_1,\xi_1} - Z_s^{t,\xi'_2,\xi_2}|^2 \right) ds \right] \leq CE \left[|\xi'_1 - \xi'_2|^2 + W_2(P_{\xi_1}, P_{\xi_2})^2 \right]. \quad (4.8)$$

Furthermore, from the properties of W_2 , (4.7)-(ii) and (4.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_t^T W_2(P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi_1}}, P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi_2}})^2 ds = \int_t^T W_2(P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi'_1,\xi_1}}, P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi'_2,\xi_2}})^2 ds \\ & \leq E \left[\int_t^T \left(|X_s^{t,\xi'_1,\xi_1} - X_s^{t,\xi'_2,\xi_2}|^2 + |Y_s^{t,\xi'_1,\xi_1} - Y_s^{t,\xi'_2,\xi_2}|^2 + |Z_s^{t,\xi'_1,\xi_1} - Z_s^{t,\xi'_2,\xi_2}|^2 \right) ds \right] \\ & \leq CE \left[|\xi'_1 - \xi'_2|^2 + W_2(P_{\xi_1}, P_{\xi_2})^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, taking the infimum over all $\xi'_1, \xi'_2 \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$ with $P_{\xi_i} = P_{\xi'_i}$, $i = 1, 2$, we get

$$\int_t^T W_2(P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi_1}}, P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi_2}})^2 ds \leq CW_2(P_{\xi_1}, P_{\xi_2})^2, \quad \xi_1, \xi_2 \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d). \quad (4.9)$$

This allows now to apply Lemma A.1-(1) to BDSDE (4.2) with $f_i(s, y, z) := f(X_s^{t,x_i,\xi_i}, y, z, P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi_i}})$, $g_i(s, y, z) := g(X_s^{t,x_i,\xi_i}, y, z, P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi_i}}) + h(P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi_i}})$, $\theta_i := \Phi(X_T^{t,x_i,\xi_i}, P_{\Pi_T^{t,\xi_i}})$. Then, thanks to Lemma 3.1 and (4.9), there is a constant $C > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of b, σ, f, g, h and Φ , such that, for $t \in [0, T]$, $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |Y_s^{t,x_1,\xi_1} - Y_s^{t,x_2,\xi_2}|^2 + \int_t^T |Z_s^{t,x_1,\xi_1} - Z_s^{t,x_2,\xi_2}|^2 ds \middle| \mathcal{G}_t \right] \\ & \leq CE \left[|X_T^{t,x_1,\xi_1} - X_T^{t,x_2,\xi_2}|^2 + W_2(P_{X_T^{t,\xi_1}}, P_{X_T^{t,\xi_2}})^2 + \int_t^T (|X_s^{t,x_1,\xi_1} - X_s^{t,x_2,\xi_2}|^2 + W_2(P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi_1}}, P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi_2}})^2) ds \middle| \mathcal{G}_t \right] \\ & \leq C \left(|x_1 - x_2|^2 + W_2(P_{\xi_1}, P_{\xi_2})^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. ■

For higher order moment estimates, we need an additional assumption on α_1 and α_2 .

Assumption (H4.2) For some $p_0 \geq 16$, $\overline{C}_p(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)^{\frac{p}{2}} < 1$, when $p = p_0, \frac{p_0}{2}, \frac{p_0}{8}$. Here $\overline{C}_p := 2^{p-1}C_p^*((\frac{p}{p-1})^p + 1)C'_p$, $C_p^* := 2^{-p-2}3^p p^{3p} + 2^{\frac{p}{2}}$, $C'_p := (\frac{p}{p-1})^p 3^{p-1} \left(2C^p 5^{p-1} \vee (6p^3)^p 5^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \right)$, C is the Lipschitz constant in Assumption (H4.1).

Proposition 4.2. Suppose the Assumptions (H3.1), (H4.1) and (H4.2) hold true. Then, for all $p \in [2, p_0]$, there is a constant $C_p > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of b, σ, f, g, h and Φ , such that, for $t \in [0, T]$, $x, \hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi, \hat{\xi} \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{(i) } E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |Y_s^{t,x,\xi}|^p + \left(\int_t^T |Z_s^{t,x,\xi}|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \middle| \mathcal{G}_t \right] \leq C_p; \\ & \text{(ii) } E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |Y_s^{t,x,\xi} - Y_s^{t,\hat{x},\hat{\xi}}|^p + \left(\int_t^T |Z_s^{t,x,\xi} - Z_s^{t,\hat{x},\hat{\xi}}|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \middle| \mathcal{G}_t \right] \leq C_p \left(|x - \hat{x}|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\hat{\xi}})^p \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Proof. From Lemma A.1-(2) we get $E[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |Y_s^{t, x, \xi}|^{p_0} + (\int_t^T |Z_s^{t, x, \xi}|^2 ds)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} | \mathcal{G}_t] \leq C_{p_0}$, then from

Hölder's inequality, for all $p \in [2, p_0]$ we have $E[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |Y_s^{t, x, \xi}|^p + (\int_t^T |Z_s^{t, x, \xi}|^2 ds)^{\frac{p}{2}} | \mathcal{G}_t] \leq C_p$. Now we prove (4.10)-(ii).

From our assumptions, we can apply Lemma A.1-(2) to BDSDE (4.2) with $f_i(s, y, z) := f(X_s^{t, x_i, \xi_i}, y, z, P_{\Pi_s^{t, \xi_i}})$, $g_i(s, y, z) := g(X_s^{t, x_i, \xi_i}, y, z, P_{\Pi_s^{t, \xi_i}}) + h(P_{\Pi_s^{t, \xi_i}})$, $\theta_i := \Phi(X_T^{t, x_i, \xi_i}, P_{\Pi_T^{t, \xi_i}})$. Then, thanks to Lemma 3.1 and (4.4)-(iii), there is a constant $C_{p_0} > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of b, σ, f, g, h and Φ , such that, for $t \in [0, T]$, $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & E[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |Y_s^{t, x_1, \xi_1} - Y_s^{t, x_2, \xi_2}|^{p_0} + (\int_t^T |Z_s^{t, x_1, \xi_1} - Z_s^{t, x_2, \xi_2}|^2 ds)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} | \mathcal{G}_t] \\ & \leq C_{p_0} E[\|X_T^{t, x_1, \xi_1} - X_T^{t, x_2, \xi_2}\|^{p_0} + W_2(P_{X_T^{t, \xi_1}}, P_{X_T^{t, \xi_2}})^{p_0} + (\int_t^T (|X_s^{t, x_1, \xi_1} - X_s^{t, x_2, \xi_2}|^2 + W_2(P_{\Pi_s^{t, \xi_1}}, P_{\Pi_s^{t, \xi_2}})^2) ds)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} | \mathcal{G}_t] \\ & \leq C_{p_0} (|x_1 - x_2|^{p_0} + W_2(P_{\xi_1}, P_{\xi_2})^{p_0}). \end{aligned}$$

Again from Hölder's inequality, for all $p \in [2, p_0]$ we have

$$E[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |Y_s^{t, x, \xi} - Y_s^{t, \hat{x}, \hat{\xi}}|^p + (\int_t^T |Z_s^{t, x, \xi} - Z_s^{t, \hat{x}, \hat{\xi}}|^2 ds)^{\frac{p}{2}} | \mathcal{G}_t] \leq C_p (|x - \hat{x}|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\hat{\xi}})^p).$$

The proof is complete. ■

Remark 4.1. As a matter of fact, in Assumption (H4.1), it is necessary to assume that the functions f, g, h and Φ are Lipschitz. However, the boundedness condition can be replaced by a linear growth condition. In other words, if the functions f, g, h and Φ are Lipschitz and of linear growth, analogous arguments allow to show that Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 still hold true, respectively. On the other hand, notice that in fact $Y_s^{t, x, \xi}$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t, s}^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{s, T}^B$ -measurable, $s \in [t, T]$.

Recalling that $(Y^{t, \xi}, Z^{t, \xi}) = (Y^{t, x, \xi}, Z^{t, x, \xi})|_{x=\xi}$ we have the following result.

Corollary 4.1. Suppose the Assumptions (H3.1), (H4.1) and (H4.2) hold true. Then, for all $p \in [2, p_0]$, there is a constant $C_p > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of b, σ, f, g, h and Φ , such that, for $t \in [0, T]$, $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & E[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |Y_s^{t, \xi_1} - Y_s^{t, \xi_2}|^p + (\int_t^T |Z_s^{t, \xi_1} - Z_s^{t, \xi_2}|^2 ds)^{\frac{p}{2}}] \\ & \leq C_p E[|\xi_1 - \xi_2|^p + W_2(P_{\xi_1}, P_{\xi_2})^p] \leq C_p E[|\xi_1 - \xi_2|^p]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Due to Proposition 4.1 the processes $Y^{t, x, \xi} = \{Y_s^{t, x, \xi}\}_{s \in [t, T]}$, and $Z^{t, x, \xi} = \{Z_s^{t, x, \xi}\}_{s \in [t, T]}$ depend on ξ only through its distribution, which means $(Y_s^{t, x, \xi}, Z_s^{t, x, \xi})$ and $(Y_s^{t, x, \bar{\xi}}, Z_s^{t, x, \bar{\xi}})$ are indistinguishable as long as ξ and $\bar{\xi}$ have the same distribution. Hence we can define $Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi}, Z_s^{t, x, P_\xi}$ by putting

$$Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi} := Y_s^{t, x, \xi}, \quad Z_s^{t, x, P_\xi} := Z_s^{t, x, \xi}.$$

Moreover, from the uniqueness of the solution of the BDSDEs (4.1) and (4.2) it follows that

$$Y^{t,\xi} = Y^{t,x,P_\xi}|_{x=\xi}, \quad Z^{t,\xi} = Z^{t,x,P_\xi}|_{x=\xi}. \quad (4.12)$$

Finally, from (4.3), for $0 \leq t \leq s \leq T$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & (Y_r^{s, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}}, Y_r^{s, X_s^{t,\xi}}) = (Y_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, Y_r^{t,\xi}), \quad r \in [s, T], P\text{-a.s.}, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & (Z_r^{s, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}}, Z_r^{s, X_s^{t,\xi}}) = (Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, Z_r^{t,\xi}), \quad dr dP\text{-a.e. on } [s, T] \times \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Next, we recall that under natural conditions the solution of a BDSDE is differentiable w.r.t. the Brownian motion W in Malliavin's sense and that the derivative is a solution of a linear BDSDE.

Proposition 4.3. *Let b and σ satisfy the assumption in Proposition 3.1, and let Assumption (H4.1) hold true. Moreover, we suppose Φ , f and g satisfy:*

- (i) *For all $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\Phi(\cdot, \mu) \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $f(\cdot, \mu) \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^{d+1+d})$, $g(\cdot, \mu) \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^{d+1+d})$;*
- (ii) *The derivatives $\partial_x \Phi : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $(\partial_x, \partial_y, \partial_z)f : \mathbb{R}^{d+1+d} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^{d+1+d}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+1+d}$, and $(\partial_x, \partial_y, \partial_z)g_i : \mathbb{R}^{d+1+d} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^{d+1+d}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+1+d}$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, are bounded and Lipschitz continuous.*

Then, for all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $s \in [t, T]$, $(Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi})_{t \leq s \leq T} \in L^2(t, T; (\mathbb{D}^{1,2})^{1+d})$ and a version of $\{D_\theta Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, D_\theta Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi} : \theta, s \in [t, T]\}$ is given by:

- (i) $D_\theta Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi} = 0$, $D_\theta Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi} = 0$, $t \leq s < \theta \leq T$;
- (ii) $\{D_\theta Y^{t,x,P_\xi} = (D_\theta^i Y^{t,x,P_\xi})_{1 \leq i \leq d}$, $D_\theta Z^{t,x,P_\xi} = (D_\theta^i Z^{t,x,P_\xi,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq d} : s \in [\theta, T]\}$ *is the unique solution of the linear BDSDE: $s \in [t, T]$, $1 \leq i, j \leq d$,*

$$\begin{aligned} D_\theta^i Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi} &= \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_{x_j} \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_T^{t,\xi}}) D_\theta^i X_T^{t,x,P_\xi,j} + \int_s^T \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_{x_j} f(\Pi_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{\Pi_r^{t,\xi}}) D_\theta^i X_r^{t,x,P_\xi,j} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \partial_y f(\Pi_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{\Pi_r^{t,\xi}}) D_\theta^i Y_r^{t,x,P_\xi} + \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_{z_j} f(\Pi_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{\Pi_r^{t,\xi}}) D_\theta^i Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi,j} \right\} dr \\ &\quad + \int_s^T \sum_{n=1}^l \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_{x_j} g_n(\Pi_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{\Pi_r^{t,\xi}}) D_\theta^i X_r^{t,x,P_\xi,j} + \partial_y g_n(\Pi_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{\Pi_r^{t,\xi}}) D_\theta^i Y_r^{t,x,P_\xi} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_{z_j} g_n(\Pi_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{\Pi_r^{t,\xi}}) D_\theta^i Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi,j} \right\} d\overleftarrow{B}_r^n - \int_s^T \sum_{j=1}^d D_\theta^i Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi,j} dW_r^j, \quad d\theta dP\text{-a.e.}, \quad t \leq \theta \leq s, \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

where $\Pi_r^{t,x,P_\xi} = (X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, Y_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi})$, $\Pi_r^{t,\xi} = \Pi_r^{t,x,P_\xi}|_{x=\xi} = (X_r^{t,\xi}, Y_r^{t,\xi}, Z_r^{t,\xi})$. Moreover,

$$Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi} = P\text{-}\lim_{s < u \downarrow s} D_s Y_u^{t,x,P_\xi}, \quad ds dP\text{-a.e.} \quad (4.15)$$

Furthermore, if in addition Assumption (H4.2) is satisfied, then for all $p \in [2, p_0]$, there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of the coefficients, such that for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $M \geq 1$, P -a.s.,

$$\text{(i)} \quad E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |D_\theta Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}|^p + \left(\int_t^T |D_\theta Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \leq C_p; \quad (4.16)$$

$$(ii) \ E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |D_\theta Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi} - D_\theta Y_s^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}|^p + \left(\int_t^T |D_\theta Z_s^{t, x, P_\xi} - D_\theta Z_s^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \\ \leq C_p M^p \left(|x - x'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^p \right) + \rho_{M, p, \theta}(t, x, P_\xi),$$

where $\rho_{M, p, \theta}(t, x, P_\xi) \rightarrow 0$ and (bounded convergence) $E[\rho_{M, p, \theta}(t, \xi, P_\xi)] \rightarrow 0$, as $M \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, for all $p \in [2, p_0]$ there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of the coefficients, such that for all $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $d s d P$ -a.e., $s \in [t, T]$,

$$(i) \ E[|Z_s^{t, x, P_\xi}|^p] \leq C_p; \\ (ii) \ E[|Z_s^{t, x, P_\xi} - Z_s^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}|^p] \leq C_p M^p \left(|x - x'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^p \right) + \rho_{M, p}(t, x, P_\xi), \quad (4.17)$$

with $M \geq 1$, $\rho_{M, p}(t, x, P_\xi) \rightarrow 0$, as $M \rightarrow \infty$, $E[\rho_{M, p}(t, \xi, P_\xi)] \rightarrow 0$, as $M \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. For simplicity of redaction but without loss of generality, let us restrict to the dimensions $d = 1$, $l = 1$ and to $f(x, y, z, \gamma) = f(z, \gamma(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \cdot))$, $g(x, y, z, \gamma) = g(z, \gamma(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \cdot))$, $h(\gamma) = 0$, $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ and $\Phi(x, \gamma) = \Phi(x)$, $(x, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Remark that as a direct consequence of assumption (H4.1)-(ii), $|\partial_z g(z, \gamma(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \cdot))|^2 \leq \alpha_1$, for all $(z, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$.

It is standard to prove that Y_s^{t, x, P_ξ} and Z_s^{t, x, P_ξ} are Malliavin differentiable under our assumptions, and $Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi} = P\text{-}\lim_{r \downarrow s} D_r Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi}$, so we omit its proof here. Thus, it suffices to prove (4.16). For this note first that Lemma A.1-(2) combined with Lemma 3.1, Proposition 3.1 applied to BDSDE (4.14) yields $E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |D_\theta Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi}|^{p_0} + \left(\int_t^T |D_\theta Z_s^{t, x, P_\xi}|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right] \leq C_{p_0}$, then from Hölder's inequality, for all $p \in [2, p_0]$ we have $E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |D_\theta Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi}|^p + \left(\int_t^T |D_\theta Z_s^{t, x, P_\xi}|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \leq C_p$. Now we want to prove (4.16)-(ii).

For all $0 \leq t \leq T$, $t \leq \theta \leq s \leq T$, $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$, from (4.14) we get the following BDSDE:

$$D_\theta Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi} - D_\theta Y_s^{t, x', P_{\xi'}} = I(t, x, P_\xi, x', P_{\xi'}) + \int_s^T R(r, x, P_\xi, x', P_{\xi'}) dr + \int_s^T H(r, x, P_\xi, x', P_{\xi'}) d\overleftarrow{B}_r \\ + \int_s^T \partial_z g(Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t, \xi}}) \left(D_\theta Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi} - D_\theta Z_r^{t, x', P_{\xi'}} \right) d\overleftarrow{B}_r - \int_s^T \left(D_\theta Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi} - D_\theta Z_r^{t, x', P_{\xi'}} \right) dW_r \\ + \int_s^T \partial_z f(Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t, \xi}}) \left(D_\theta Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi} - D_\theta Z_r^{t, x', P_{\xi'}} \right) dr, \quad (4.18)$$

where

$$I(t, x, P_\xi, x', P_{\xi'}) := \partial_x \Phi(X_T^{t, x, P_\xi}) D_\theta X_T^{t, x, P_\xi} - \partial_x \Phi(X_T^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}) D_\theta X_T^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}, \\ R(r, x, P_\xi, x', P_{\xi'}) := \left(\partial_z f(Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t, \xi}}) - \partial_z f(Z_r^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}, P_{Z_r^{t, \xi'}}) \right) D_\theta Z_r^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}, \\ H(r, x, P_\xi, x', P_{\xi'}) := \left(\partial_z g(Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t, \xi}}) - \partial_z g(Z_r^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}, P_{Z_r^{t, \xi'}}) \right) D_\theta Z_r^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}.$$

From the Lemmas A.1 and 3.1 and the Propositions 3.1 and 4.2 and our assumptions we have,

$$E \left[\sup_{s \in [\theta, T]} |D_\theta Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi} - D_\theta Y_s^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}|^{p_0} + \left(\int_\theta^T |D_\theta Z_s^{t, x, P_\xi} - D_\theta Z_s^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right] \\ \leq C_{p_0} E \left[|I(t, x, P_\xi, x', P_{\xi'})|^{p_0} + \left(\int_\theta^T |R(r, x, P_\xi, x', P_{\xi'})| dr \right)^{p_0} + \left(\int_\theta^T |H(r, x, P_\xi, x', P_{\xi'})|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C_{p_0} E \left[\left(\int_{\theta}^T |(\partial_z f)(Z_r^{t,x,P_{\xi}}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}) - (\partial_z f)(Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi'}})|^2 \cdot |D_{\theta} Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right] \\
&\quad + C_{p_0} E \left[\left(\int_{\theta}^T |(\partial_z g)(Z_r^{t,x,P_{\xi}}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}) - (\partial_z g)(Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi'}})|^2 \cdot |D_{\theta} Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right] \\
&\quad + C_p \left(|x - x'|^{p_0} + W_2(P_{\xi}, P_{\xi'})^{p_0} \right) \\
&\leq C_{p_0} E \left[\left(\int_{\theta}^T \min \{ C, |Z_r^{t,x,P_{\xi}} - Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^2 + W_2(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi'}})^2 \} \cdot |D_{\theta} Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right] \\
&\quad + C_{p_0} \left(|x - x'|^{p_0} + W_2(P_{\xi}, P_{\xi'})^{p_0} \right) \\
&\leq C_{p_0} M^{p_0} E \left[\left(\int_{\theta}^T (|Z_r^{t,x,P_{\xi}} - Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^2 + W_2(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi'}})^2) dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right] \\
&\quad + C_{p_0} E \left[\left(\int_{\theta}^T |D_{\theta} Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^2 I_{\{|D_{\theta} Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}| \geq M\}} dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right] + C_{p_0} \left(|x - x'|^{p_0} + W_2(P_{\xi}, P_{\xi'})^{p_0} \right) \\
&\leq C_{p_0} M^{p_0} \left(|x - x'|^{p_0} + W_2(P_{\xi}, P_{\xi'})^{p_0} \right) + \rho_{M,p_0,\theta}(t, x', P_{\xi'}),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

where $\rho_{M,p_0,\theta}(t, x', P_{\xi'}) \rightarrow 0$, $E[\rho_{M,p_0,\theta}(t, \xi', P_{\xi'})] \rightarrow 0$ ($M \rightarrow \infty$) thanks to the dominated convergence theorem (indeed, $\sup_{x' \in \mathbb{R}} E \left[\left(\int_{\theta}^T |D_{\theta} Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right] \leq C_{p_0} < \infty$, $\theta \in [0, T]$).

Again from Hölder's inequality, for all $p \in [2, p_0]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |D_{\theta} Y_s^{t,x,P_{\xi}} - D_{\theta} Y_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^p + \left(\int_t^T |D_{\theta} Z_s^{t,x,P_{\xi}} - D_{\theta} Z_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \\
&\leq C_p M^p \left(|x - x'|^p + W_2(P_{\xi}, P_{\xi'})^p \right) + \rho_{M,p,\theta}(t, x, P_{\xi}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_{M,p,\theta}(t, x, P_{\xi}) \rightarrow 0$ and $E[\rho_{M,p,\theta}(t, \xi, P_{\xi})] \rightarrow 0$, as $M \rightarrow \infty$.

Finally, observe that, thanks to (4.15), (4.17) is an immediate consequence of (4.16). \blacksquare

Remark 4.2. Let us point out that for $p = 2$, (4.16) and (4.17) also remain true without supposing (H4.2). The proof can be carried out similarly to that of Proposition 4.1.

Now we introduce the value function

$$V(t, x, P_{\xi}) := Y_t^{t,x,P_{\xi}}. \tag{4.20}$$

Notice that $V(t, x, P_{\xi})$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t,T}^B$ -measurable, for all (t, x) . On the other hand, from Proposition 4.2 we get

$$V(s, X_s^{t,x,P_{\xi}}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}) = Y_s^{s, X_s^{t,x,\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}} = Y_s^{t,x,P_{\xi}}, \quad s \in [t, T]. \tag{4.21}$$

A direct consequence of Proposition 4.2 is the following regularity property of the value function.

Proposition 4.4. Let b, σ, f, g, h and Φ satisfy the assumptions in Proposition 4.3. Then, $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \ni (t, x, \mu) \rightarrow V(t, x, \mu)$ is continuous in L^p , for all $p \in [2, p_0]$. More precisely, for all $p \in [2, p_0]$, there exists a constant $C_p \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that, for all $t, t' \in [0, T]$, $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mu, \mu' \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$E[|V(t, x, \mu) - V(t', x', \mu')|^p] \leq C_p \left(|t - t'|^{\frac{p}{2}} + |x - x'|^p + W_2(\mu, \mu')^p \right). \tag{4.22}$$

Proof. As a direct consequence of Proposition 4.2, we have that, for all $p \in [2, p_0]$, there is some constant $C_p \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mu, \mu' \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$E[|V(t, x, \mu) - V(t, x', \mu')|^p] \leq C_p(|x - x'|^p + W_2(\mu, \mu')^p).$$

Let us prove now the $\frac{1}{2}$ -Hölder continuity of V in L^p . Without loss of generality we let $0 \leq t < t'$. Then, for all $p \in [2, p_0]$, we have

$$E[|V(t, x, P_\xi) - V(t', x, P_\xi)|^p] \leq CE[|Y_t^{t,x,P_\xi} - Y_{t'}^{t,x,P_\xi}|^p] + CE[|Y_{t'}^{t,x,P_\xi} - Y_{t'}^{t',x,P_\xi}|^p]. \quad (4.23)$$

On the one hand, as f , g and h are bounded, it follows from (4.17)-(ii) that

$$\begin{aligned} & E[|Y_t^{t,x,P_\xi} - Y_{t'}^{t,x,P_\xi}|^p] \\ & \leq CE[(\int_t^{t'} |f(\Pi_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}})| ds)^p] + CE[(\int_t^{t'} |g(\Pi_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}}) + h(P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}})|^2 ds)^{\frac{p}{2}}] \\ & \quad + CE[(\int_t^{t'} |Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}|^2 ds)^{\frac{p}{2}}] \\ & \leq C|t' - t|^{\frac{p}{2}} + C|t' - t|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \int_t^{t'} E[|Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}|^p] ds \leq C|t' - t|^{\frac{p}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

On the other hand, we deduce from (4.13), Proposition 4.2 and Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} & E[|Y_{t'}^{t,x,P_\xi} - Y_{t'}^{t',x,P_\xi}|^p] = E[E[|Y_{t'}^{t',X_{t'}^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_{t'}^{t,\xi}}} - Y_{t'}^{t',x,P_\xi}|^p | \mathcal{G}_{t'}]] \\ & \leq CE[|X_{t'}^{t,x,P_\xi} - x|^p + W_2(P_{X_{t'}^{t,\xi}}, P_\xi)^p] \\ & \leq CE[|X_{t'}^{t,x,P_\xi} - x|^p + |X_{t'}^{t,\xi} - \xi|^p] \leq C|t' - t|^{\frac{p}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

Therefore, combining (4.23), (4.24) and (4.25) with (ii) of Proposition 4.2, and applying Kolmogorov's continuity criterion, we get that $V(t, x, P_\xi)$ has a version continuous with respect to (t, x) . \blacksquare

Remark 4.3. (4.22) of Proposition 4.4 implies that for all fixed $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $V(\cdot, \cdot, \mu) = \{V(t, x, \mu), (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d\}$ admits a continuous version. As this conclusion is based on Kolmogorov's continuity criterion, and so on the finite-dimensionality of $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, it cannot be extended to $(t, x, \mu) \rightarrow V(t, x, \mu)$.

5 First order derivatives of X^{t,x,P_ξ}

In this section we revisit the first order derivatives of X^{t,x,P_ξ} with respect to x and the measure P_ξ , studied by Buckdahn, Li, Peng and Rainer [4]. For the reader's convenience we give the main results here, for more details the reader is referred to [4], or to Hao and Li [12], where mean-field SDEs with jump are studied.

Assumption (H5.1) The couple of coefficients (b, σ) belongs to $C_b^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d); \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$, that is, the components $b_j, \sigma_{i,j}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq d$, have the following properties:

- (i) $b_j(x, \cdot), \sigma_{i,j}(x, \cdot)$ belong to $C_b^1(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$;

- (ii) $b_j(\cdot, \mu)$, $\sigma_{i,j}(\cdot, \mu)$ belong to $C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, for all $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$;
- (iii) The derivatives $\partial_x b_j, \partial_x \sigma_{i,j}: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\partial_\mu b_j, \partial_\mu \sigma_{i,j}: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ are bounded and Lipschitz continuous.

We begin with recalling the first order derivative of X^{t,x,P_ξ} with respect to x .

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose Assumption (H5.1) holds true. Then the L^2 -derivative of X^{t,x,P_ξ} with respect to x exists, it is denoted by $\partial_x X^{t,x,P_\xi} = (\partial_x X^{t,x,P_\xi,j})_{1 \leq j \leq d}$, and it satisfies the following SDE: $s \in [t, T]$, $1 \leq i, j \leq d$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_i} X_s^{t,x,P_\xi,j} &= \delta_{ij} + \sum_{k=1}^d \int_t^s \partial_{x_k} b_j(X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) \partial_{x_i} X_s^{t,x,P_\xi,k} dr \\ &\quad + \sum_{k,l=1}^d \int_t^s \partial_{x_k} \sigma_{j,l}(X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) \partial_{x_i} X_s^{t,x,P_\xi,k} dW_r^l, \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

where δ_{ij} denotes the Kronecker symbol: It equals 1, if $i = j$, and is equal to zero, otherwise.

For the proof the reader is referred to Theorem 3.1 in [4], and for the case with jumps also to Theorem 4.1 in [12]. From standard estimates for classical SDEs we have

Proposition 5.1. *For all $p \geq 2$, there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of $\partial_x b$ and $\partial_x \sigma$, such that, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, P -a.s.,*

- (i) $E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\partial_x X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}|^p | \mathcal{G}_t \right] \leq C_p$;
- (ii) $E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\partial_x X_s^{t,x,P_\xi} - \partial_x X_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^p | \mathcal{G}_t \right] \leq C_p \left(|x - x'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^p \right)$;
- (iii) $E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, t+h]} |\partial_x X_s^{t,x,P_\xi} - I_{d \times d}|^p | \mathcal{G}_t \right] \leq C_p h^{\frac{p}{2}}$, $0 \leq t \leq t+h \leq T$.

Here $I_{d \times d}$ denotes the unit matrix in dimension d .

The following theorem shows that the unique solution $X^{t,x,\xi}$ of Eq. (3.2) interpreted as a functional of $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is Fréchet differentiable.

Theorem 5.2. *Let (b, σ) satisfy Assumption (H5.1). Then, for all $0 \leq t \leq s \leq T$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the lifted process $L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d) \ni \xi \rightarrow X_s^{t,x,\xi} \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_s; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is Fréchet differentiable, and the Fréchet derivative is given by*

$$DX_s^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) = \tilde{E} \left[U_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(\tilde{\xi}) \tilde{\eta} \right] = \left(\tilde{E} \left[\sum_{j=1}^d U_{s,i,j}^{t,x,P_\xi}(\tilde{\xi}) \tilde{\eta}_j \right] \right)_{1 \leq i \leq d},$$

for all $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_d) \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, where, for $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $U^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) = ((U_{s,i,j}^{t,x,P_\xi}(y))_{s \in [t, T]})_{1 \leq i, j \leq d} \in S_{\mathcal{G}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$ is the unique solution of the following SDE: $s \in [t, T]$, $1 \leq i, j \leq d$,

$$\begin{aligned} U_{s,i,j}^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) &= \sum_{k=1}^d \int_t^s \partial_{x_k} b_i(X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) U_{r,k,j}^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) dr \\ &\quad + \sum_{k,l=1}^d \int_t^s \partial_{x_k} \sigma_{i,l}(X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) U_{r,k,j}^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) dW_r^l \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{k=1}^d \int_t^s E[(\partial_\mu b_i)(z, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}, X_r^{t,y,P_\xi}) \partial_{x_j} X_s^{t,y,P_\xi,k} \\
& \quad + (\partial_\mu b_i)(z, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}, X_r^{t,\xi}) U_{r,k,j}^{t,\xi}(y)] \Big|_{z=X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}} dr \\
& + \sum_{k,l=1}^d \int_t^s E[(\partial_\mu \sigma_{i,l})(z, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}, X_r^{t,y,P_\xi}) \partial_{x_j} X_s^{t,y,P_\xi,k} \\
& \quad + (\partial_\mu \sigma_{i,l})(z, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}, X_r^{t,\xi}) U_{r,k,j}^{t,\xi}(y)] \Big|_{z=X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}} dW_r^l,
\end{aligned}$$

where $U^{t,\xi}(y) = ((U_{s,i,j}^{t,\xi}(y))_{s \in [t,T]})_{1 \leq i,j \leq d} = U^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)|_{x=\xi} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$ satisfies (5.2) with x replaced by ξ .

Proposition 5.2. *For all $p \geq 2$, there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of b and σ , such that, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, x', y, y' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, P -a.s.,*

- (i) $E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} (|U_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)|^p + |U_s^{t,\xi}(y)|^p) \right] \leq C_p$;
- (ii) $E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |U_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) - U_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y')|^p \right] \leq C_p (|x - x'|^p + |y - y'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^p)$;
- (iii) $E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, t+h]} |U_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)|^p \right] \leq C_p h^{\frac{p}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t+h \leq T$.

For the proof of Theorem 5.2 and Proposition 5.2 we refer the reader to Section 4 in [4], and for the case with jumps also to Section 4 in [12] (in the case of jumps we have h instead of $h^{\frac{p}{2}}$ in (iii)).

Furthermore, the derivative of X_s^{t,x,P_ξ} with respect to the probability measure can be defined as follows

$$\partial_\mu X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) := U_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y), \quad s \in [t, T], \quad t \in [0, T], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Thus, we get $DX_s^{t,x,\xi} = \tilde{E} \left[\partial_\mu X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(\tilde{\xi}) \tilde{\eta} \right]$, for all $\eta \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$.

As an immediate result of Proposition 5.2, we have

Proposition 5.3. *For all $p \geq 2$, there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of b and σ , such that, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, x', y, y' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, P -a.s.,*

- (i) $E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\partial_\mu X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)|^p | \mathcal{G}_t \right] \leq C_p$;
- (ii) $E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\partial_\mu X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) - \partial_\mu X_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y')|^p | \mathcal{G}_t \right] \leq C_p (|x - x'|^p + |y - y'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^p)$;
- (iii) $E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, t+h]} |\partial_\mu X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)|^p | \mathcal{G}_t \right] \leq C_p h^{\frac{p}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t+h \leq T$.

6 First order derivatives of $(Y^{t,x,P_\xi}, Z^{t,x,P_\xi})$

We recall from Proposition 4.2 that $(Y^{t,x,P_\xi}, Z^{t,x,P_\xi})$ depends on ξ only through its law, which allows to define $(Y^{t,x,P_\xi}, Z^{t,x,P_\xi}) := (Y^{t,x,\xi}, Z^{t,x,\xi})$. This section is devoted to the study of the first order derivatives of $(Y^{t,x,P_\xi}, Z^{t,x,P_\xi})$ with respect to x and P_ξ , respectively.

Assumption (H6.1) Let $\Phi \in C_b^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, $f \in C_b^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1+d} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^{d+1+d}))$, $g \in C_b^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1+d} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^{d+1+d}); \mathbb{R}^l)$ and $h \in C_b^1(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^{d+1+d}); \mathbb{R}^l)$. In addition we suppose Assumption (H4.1)-(ii).

Theorem 6.1. *Under the Assumptions (H5.1) and (H6.1), the L^2 -derivative of the solution of Eq. (4.2) with respect to x , $(\partial_x Y^{t,x,P_\xi}, \partial_x Z^{t,x,P_\xi})$, exists and is the unique solution of the following BDSDE: $s \in [t, T]$, $1 \leq i \leq d$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_i} Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi} = & \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_{x_j} \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_T^{t,\xi}}) \partial_{x_i} X_T^{t,x,P_\xi,j} + \int_s^T \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_{x_j} f(\Pi_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{\Pi_r^{t,\xi}}) \partial_{x_i} X_r^{t,x,P_\xi,j} \right. \\ & + \partial_y f(\Pi_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{\Pi_r^{t,\xi}}) \partial_{x_i} Y_r^{t,x,P_\xi} + \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_{z_j} f(\Pi_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{\Pi_r^{t,\xi}}) \partial_{x_i} Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi,j} \Big\} dr \\ & + \int_s^T \sum_{n=1}^l \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_{x_j} g_n(\Pi_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{\Pi_r^{t,\xi}}) \partial_{x_i} X_r^{t,x,P_\xi,j} + \partial_y g_n(\Pi_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{\Pi_r^{t,\xi}}) \partial_{x_i} Y_r^{t,x,P_\xi} \right. \\ & + \left. \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_{z_j} g_n(\Pi_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{\Pi_r^{t,\xi}}) \partial_{x_i} Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi,j} \right\} d\overleftarrow{B}_r^n - \int_s^T \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_{x_i} Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi,j} dW_r^j, \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

where $\Pi_r^{t,x,P_\xi} = (X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, Y_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi})$, $\Pi_r^{t,\xi} = \Pi_r^{t,x,P_\xi}|_{x=\xi} = (X_r^{t,\xi}, Y_r^{t,\xi}, Z_r^{t,\xi})$.

As the L^2 -derivative of the coefficients $f(\Pi_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}})$ and $g(\Pi_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}})$ concern only Π_s^{t,x,P_ξ} but not the law $P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}}$, the arguments of the proof are standard; the reader is referred, for instance, to [25].

From the standard estimates-Lemma A.1 for classical BDSDEs, combined with Lemma 3.1, Proposition 4.2, Corollary 4.1 and Proposition 5.1, we have the following result (as for the estimate (ii) for $(\partial_x Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, \partial_x Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi})$, the reader may also refer to the proof of Proposition 4.3).

Proposition 6.1. *Suppose the Assumptions (H4.2), (H5.1) and (H6.1) hold true. Then, for all $p \in [2, p_0]$, there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of the coefficients, such that for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, P -a.s.,*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\partial_x Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}|^p + \left(\int_t^T |\partial_x Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} | \mathcal{G}_t \right] \leq C_p, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\partial_x Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi} - \partial_x Y_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^p + \left(\int_t^T |\partial_x Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi} - \partial_x Z_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} | \mathcal{G}_t \right] \\ & \leq C_p M^p \left(|x - x'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^p \right) + \rho_{M,p}(t, x, P_\xi), \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

with $M \geq 1$, $\rho_{M,p}(t, x, P_\xi) \rightarrow 0$, as $M \rightarrow \infty$, $E[\rho_{M,p}(t, \xi, P_\xi)] \rightarrow 0$, as $M \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 6.1. *In analogy to the proof in Proposition 4.1, it can easily be checked that under the Assumptions (H5.1) and (H6.1), there exists a constant $C > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of the coefficients, such that for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, P -a.s.,*

$$\text{(i)} \quad E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\partial_x Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}|^2 + \int_t^T |\partial_x Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}|^2 ds | \mathcal{G}_t \right] \leq C, \quad (6.3)$$

$$(ii) \ E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\partial_x Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi} - \partial_x Y_s^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}|^2 + \int_t^T |\partial_x Z_s^{t, x, P_\xi} - \partial_x Z_s^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}|^2 ds | \mathcal{G}_t \right] \\ \leq CM^2 \left(|x - x'|^2 + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^2 \right) + \rho_M(t, x, P_\xi),$$

with $M \geq 1$, $\rho_M(t, x, P_\xi) \rightarrow 0$, as $M \rightarrow \infty$, $E[\rho_M(t, \xi, P_\xi)] \rightarrow 0$, as $M \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 6.2. Assume the Assumptions (H5.1) and (H6.1) hold. Then, for all $0 \leq t \leq s \leq T$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the lifted processes $L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d) \ni \xi \rightarrow Y_s^{t, x, \xi} := Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi} \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_s; \mathbb{R}^d)$, and $L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d) \ni \xi \rightarrow Z_s^{t, x, \xi} := Z_s^{t, x, P_\xi} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ are Fréchet differentiable, with the Fréchet derivatives

$$DY_s^{t, x, \xi}(\eta) = \overline{E} \left[O_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(\xi) \overline{\eta} \right], \quad s \in [t, T], \quad P\text{-a.s.}, \\ DZ_s^{t, x, \xi}(\eta) = \overline{E} \left[Q_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(\xi) \overline{\eta} \right], \quad ds dP\text{-a.e.}, \quad (6.4)$$

for all $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_d) \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, where for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $(O^{t, x, P_\xi}(y), Q^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)) = \left(((O_{s,j}^{t, x, P_\xi}(y))_{s \in [t, T]})_{1 \leq j \leq d}, ((Q_{s,i,j}^{t, x, P_\xi}(y))_{s \in [t, T]})_{1 \leq i, j \leq d} \right) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$ is the unique solution of the following BDSDE:

$$O_{s,j}^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) = \sum_{k=1}^d \partial_{x_k} \Phi(X_T^{t, x, P_\xi}, P_{X_T^{t, \xi}}) \partial_\mu X_{T,j}^{t, x, P_\xi, k}(y) \\ + \int_s^T (\nabla_{\Pi} f)(\Pi_r^{t, x, P_\xi}, P_{\Pi_r^{t, \xi}}) \Gamma_{r,j}^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) dr + \int_s^T (\nabla_{\Pi} g)(\Pi_r^{t, x, P_\xi}, P_{\Pi_r^{t, \xi}}) \Gamma_{r,j}^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) d\overline{B}_r \\ + \sum_{k=1}^d E \left[(\partial_\mu \Phi)_k(z, P_{X_T^{t, \xi}}, X_r^{t, y, P_\xi}) \partial_{x_j} X_T^{t, y, P_\xi, k} + (\partial_\mu \Phi)_k(z, P_{X_T^{t, \xi}}, X_T^{t, \xi}) \partial_\mu X_{T,j}^{t, \xi, k}(y) \right] \Big|_{z=X_T^{t, x, P_\xi}} \\ + \int_s^T E \left[(\partial_\mu f)(z, P_{\Pi_r^{t, \xi}}, \Pi_r^{t, y, P_\xi}) \partial_{x_j} \Pi_r^{t, y, P_\xi} + (\partial_\mu f)(z, P_{\Pi_r^{t, \xi}}, \Pi_r^{t, \xi}) \Gamma_{r,j}^{t, \xi}(y) \right] \Big|_{z=\Pi_r^{t, x, P_\xi}} dr \\ + \int_s^T E \left[((\partial_\mu g)(z, P_{\Pi_r^{t, \xi}}, \Pi_r^{t, y, P_\xi}) + (\partial_\mu h)(P_{\Pi_r^{t, \xi}}, \Pi_r^{t, y, P_\xi})) \partial_{x_j} \Pi_r^{t, y, P_\xi} \right] \Big|_{z=\Pi_r^{t, x, P_\xi}} d\overline{B}_r \\ + \int_s^T E \left[((\partial_\mu g)(z, P_{\Pi_r^{t, \xi}}, \Pi_r^{t, \xi}) + (\partial_\mu h)(P_{\Pi_r^{t, \xi}}, \Pi_r^{t, \xi})) \Gamma_{r,j}^{t, \xi}(y) \right] \Big|_{z=\Pi_r^{t, x, P_\xi}} d\overline{B}_r \\ - \int_s^T \sum_{k=1}^d Q_{r,k,j}^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) dW_r^k, \quad s \in [t, T], \quad 1 \leq j \leq d, \quad (6.5)$$

where $(O^{t, \xi}, Q^{t, \xi}) = (O^{t, \xi, P_\xi}, Q^{t, \xi, P_\xi})$ is the unique solution of the above BDSDE (6.5) with x replaced by ξ , $\Gamma_{r,j}^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) = (\partial_\mu X_{r,j}^{t, x, P_\xi}(y), O_{r,j}^{t, x, P_\xi}(y), Q_{r,j}^{t, x, P_\xi}(y))$, and $\Gamma_{r,j}^{t, \xi}(y) = \Gamma_{r,j}^{t, \xi, P_\xi}(y)$.

In order to prove Theorem 6.2 we need the following three lemmas. For simplicity of redaction but w.l.o.g., let us restrict to the dimensions $d = 1$, $l = 1$ and to $f(x, y, z, \gamma) = f(z, \gamma(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \cdot))$, $g(x, y, z, \gamma) = g(z, \gamma(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \cdot))$, $h(\gamma) = 0$, $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$, and let $\Phi(x, \gamma) = \Phi(x)$, $(x, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$. We first consider the following BDSDE, which is obtained by formal differentiation of the lifted solution $(Y^{t, x, \xi + q\eta}, Z^{t, x, \xi + q\eta})$ of BDSDE (4.2) (with $\xi + q\eta$ instead of ξ , where $\xi, \eta \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$) with respect to q at $q = 0$. This formal L^2 -differentiation (which will be made rigorous later) leads to a pair of processes $(\mathcal{O}^{t, x, \xi}, \mathcal{Q}^{t, x, \xi})$ solving the BDSDE:

$$\mathcal{O}_s^{t, x, \xi}(\eta) = \partial_x \Phi(X_T^{t, x, \xi}) \mathcal{U}_T^{t, x, \xi}(\eta) + \int_s^T \partial_z f(Z_r^{t, x, \xi}, P_{Z_r^{t, \xi}}) \mathcal{Q}_r^{t, x, \xi}(\eta) dr$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_s^T \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu f)(Z_r^{t,x,\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\xi,P_\xi}) \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\xi,P_\xi} \widehat{\eta} + (\partial_\mu f)(Z_r^{t,x,\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\xi}) \widehat{Q}_r^{t,\xi}(\widehat{\eta}) \right] dr \\
& + \int_s^T \partial_z g(Z_r^{t,x,\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}) \mathcal{Q}_r^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) d\overleftarrow{B}_r \\
& + \int_s^T \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu g)(Z_r^{t,x,\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\xi,P_\xi}) \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\xi,P_\xi} \widehat{\eta} + (\partial_\mu g)(Z_r^{t,x,\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\xi}) \widehat{Q}_r^{t,\xi}(\widehat{\eta}) \right] d\overleftarrow{B}_r \\
& - \int_s^T \mathcal{Q}_r^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) dW_r, \quad s \in [t, T],
\end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

where $(\mathcal{O}^{t,\xi}(\eta), \mathcal{Q}^{t,\xi}(\eta)) = (\mathcal{O}^{t,x,\xi}(\eta), \mathcal{Q}^{t,x,\xi}(\eta))|_{x=\xi}$ is the solution of (6.6) for x replaced by ξ , and $\mathcal{U}_s^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) := DX_s^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) = \widetilde{E}[\partial_\mu X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(\widetilde{\xi})\widetilde{\eta}]$ and $\mathcal{U}_s^{t,\xi}(\eta) = \mathcal{U}_s^{t,x,\xi}(\eta)|_{x=\xi}$, $s \in [t, T]$. Moreover, $(\widehat{\Omega}, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{P})$ is a probability space carrying with $(\widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\eta}, \widehat{B}, \widehat{W})$ an (independent) copy of (ξ, η, B, W) (defined on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P)); $(\widehat{X}^{t,x,P_\xi}, \widehat{Y}^{t,x,P_\xi}, \widehat{Z}^{t,x,P_\xi})$ (resp., $(\widehat{X}^{t,\xi}, \widehat{Y}^{t,\xi}, \widehat{Z}^{t,\xi})$) is the solution of the same equation as that for $(X^{t,x,P_\xi}, Y^{t,x,P_\xi}, Z^{t,x,P_\xi})$ (resp., $(X^{t,\xi}, Y^{t,\xi}, Z^{t,\xi})$), but with the data $(\widehat{\xi}, \widehat{B}, \widehat{W})$ instead of (ξ, B, W) .

Thanks to Theorem A.1 BDSDE (6.6) (with x replaced by ξ) has a unique solution $(\mathcal{O}^{t,\xi}(\eta), \mathcal{Q}^{t,\xi}(\eta)) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, from Theorem A.2 we deduce that there is a constant $C > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of the coefficients, such that

$$E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\mathcal{O}_s^{t,\xi}(\eta)|^2 + \int_t^T |\mathcal{Q}_s^{t,\xi}(\eta)|^2 ds \right] \leq C. \tag{6.7}$$

Once having $(\mathcal{O}^{t,\xi}(\eta), \mathcal{Q}^{t,\xi}(\eta))$, it follows again from the Theorems A.1 and A.2 that (6.6) possesses a unique solution $(\mathcal{O}^{t,x,\xi}(\eta), \mathcal{Q}^{t,x,\xi}(\eta)) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R})$, and there is a constant $C > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of the coefficients, such that

$$E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\mathcal{O}_s^{t,x,\xi}(\eta)|^2 + \int_t^T |\mathcal{Q}_s^{t,x,\xi}(\eta)|^2 ds \right] \leq C. \tag{6.8}$$

Lemma 6.1. *Suppose (H5.1) and (H6.1) hold true. Then, for all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$, $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$, there exist two stochastic processes $\mathcal{O}^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{Q}^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R})$, depending measurably on $y \in \mathbb{R}$, such that*

$$\mathcal{O}_s^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) = \overline{E}[\mathcal{O}_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(\bar{\xi}) \cdot \bar{\eta}], \quad s \in [t, T], \quad P\text{-a.s.}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Q}_s^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) = \overline{E}[\mathcal{Q}_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(\bar{\xi}) \cdot \bar{\eta}], \quad ds dP\text{-a.e.},$$

for all $\eta \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$. In particular, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq t \leq s \leq T$, $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$, the mappings

$$\mathcal{O}_s^{t,x,\xi}(\cdot) : L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{F}_s; \mathbb{R}), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Q}_s^{t,x,\xi}(\cdot) : L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}),$$

are linear and continuous.

Remark 6.2. As $(\mathcal{O}_s^{t,\xi}(y), \mathcal{Q}_s^{t,\xi}(y)) = (\mathcal{O}_s^{t,x,\xi}(y), \mathcal{Q}_s^{t,x,\xi}(y))|_{x=\xi}$, $s \in [t, T]$, $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$, we see directly from Lemma 6.1 that

$$\mathcal{O}_s^{t,\xi}(\eta) = \overline{E}[\mathcal{O}_s^{t,\xi}(\bar{\xi}) \cdot \bar{\eta}], \quad s \in [t, T], \quad P\text{-a.s.}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Q}_s^{t,\xi}(\eta) = \overline{E}[\mathcal{Q}_s^{t,\xi}(\bar{\xi}) \cdot \bar{\eta}], \quad ds dP\text{-a.e.}$$

Proof (of Lemma 6.1). For $y \in \mathbb{R}$, let $(O^{t,x,P_\xi}(y), Q^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R})$ be the unique solution of BDSDE (6.5), which, for our special case ($d = 1$, $l = 1$ and $f = f(z, \gamma(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \cdot))$, $g = g(z, \gamma(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \cdot))$, $h = 0$) writes as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
O_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) &= \partial_x \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}) \partial_\mu X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) + \int_s^T \partial_z f(Z_r^{t,x,\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}) Q_r^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) dr \\
&+ \int_s^T \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu f)(Z_r^{t,x,\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi}) \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} + (\partial_\mu f)(Z_r^{t,x,\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}}) \widehat{Q}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}}(y) \right] dr \\
&+ \int_s^T \partial_z g(Z_r^{t,x,\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}) Q_r^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) d\overleftarrow{B}_r \\
&+ \int_s^T \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu g)(Z_r^{t,x,\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi}) \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} + (\partial_\mu g)(Z_r^{t,x,\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}}) \widehat{Q}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}}(y) \right] d\overleftarrow{B}_r \\
&- \int_s^T Q_r^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) dW_r, \quad s \in [t, T],
\end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

where $O^{t,\xi}(y), Q^{t,\xi}(y) := (O^{t,x,P_\xi}(y), Q^{t,x,P_\xi}(y))|_{x=\xi} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R})$ is the unique solution of (6.9) with x replaced by ξ . It follows from Theorem A.2 that, there is a constant $C > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of the coefficients, such that, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |O_s^{t,\xi}(y)|^2 + \int_t^T |Q_s^{t,\xi}(y)|^2 ds \right] \leq C. \tag{6.10}$$

Then again from Theorem A.2 we obtain

$$E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |O_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)|^2 + \int_t^T |Q_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)|^2 ds \right] \leq C. \tag{6.11}$$

Let the couple $(\bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta})$ defined on some probability space $(\bar{\Omega}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}, \bar{P})$ be an independent copy of (ξ, η) on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) and, in particular, also an independent copy of $(\widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\eta})$ on $(\widehat{\Omega}, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{P})$. After substituting the random variable ξ and $\bar{\xi}$ for x and y in (6.9), respectively, and then multiplying by $\bar{\eta}$ both sides of the equation, taking expectation $\bar{E}[\cdot]$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{E}[O_s^{t,\xi}(\bar{\xi}) \cdot \bar{\eta}] &= \partial_x \Phi(X_T^{t,\xi}) \bar{E}[\partial_\mu X_T^{t,\xi}(\bar{\xi}) \cdot \bar{\eta}] + \bar{E} \left[\int_s^T \partial_z f(Z_r^{t,\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}) Q_r^{t,\xi}(\bar{\xi}) \cdot \bar{\eta} dr \right] \\
&+ \bar{E} \left[\int_s^T \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu f)(Z_r^{t,\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\bar{\xi},P_\xi}) \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\bar{\xi},P_\xi} \cdot \bar{\eta} + (\partial_\mu f)(Z_r^{t,\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}}) \widehat{Q}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}}(\bar{\xi}) \cdot \bar{\eta} \right] dr \right] \\
&+ \bar{E} \left[\int_s^T \partial_z g(Z_r^{t,\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}) Q_r^{t,\xi}(\bar{\xi}) \cdot \bar{\eta} d\overleftarrow{B}_r \right] \\
&+ \bar{E} \left[\int_s^T \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu g)(Z_r^{t,\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\bar{\xi},P_\xi}) \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\bar{\xi},P_\xi} \cdot \bar{\eta} + (\partial_\mu g)(Z_r^{t,\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}}) \widehat{Q}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}}(\bar{\xi}) \cdot \bar{\eta} \right] d\overleftarrow{B}_r \right] \\
&- \bar{E} \left[\int_s^T Q_r^{t,\xi}(\bar{\xi}) \cdot \bar{\eta} dW_r \right], \quad s \in [t, T].
\end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

Since $(\bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta})$ is independent of $(\xi, \eta, Z^{t,x,\xi})$ and $(\widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\eta}, \widehat{Z}^{t,x,P_\xi})$, and of the same law as $(\widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\eta})$, we have

$$\bar{E}[\widehat{E}[(\partial_\mu f)(Z_r^{t,\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\bar{\xi},P_\xi}) \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\bar{\xi},P_\xi} \cdot \bar{\eta}]] = \widehat{E}[(\partial_\mu f)(Z_r^{t,\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi},P_\xi}) \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi},P_\xi} \cdot \bar{\eta}],$$

and similar for the other terms. From the above equalities and the uniqueness of the solution of Eq. (6.6) with x replaced by ξ it follows

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}_s^{t,\xi}(\eta) &= \overline{E}[O_s^{t,\xi}(\bar{\xi}) \cdot \bar{\eta}], \quad s \in [t, T], \quad P\text{-a.s.}, \\ \mathcal{Q}_s^{t,\xi}(\eta) &= \overline{E}[Q_s^{t,\xi}(\bar{\xi}) \cdot \bar{\eta}], \quad dsdP\text{-a.e.}\end{aligned}\tag{6.13}$$

Furthermore, from (6.10) we get

$$\begin{aligned}E[|\mathcal{O}_s^{t,\xi}(\eta)|^2] &= E[|\overline{E}[O_s^{t,\xi}(\bar{\xi}) \cdot \bar{\eta}]|^2] \leq \overline{E}[E[|O_s^{t,\xi}(\bar{\xi})|^2 \cdot |\bar{\eta}|^2]] \\ &= \overline{E}[E[|O_s^{t,\xi}(y)|^2]_{y=\bar{\xi}} \cdot |\bar{\eta}|^2] \leq C\overline{E}[|\bar{\eta}|^2] = CE[|\eta|^2].\end{aligned}\tag{6.14}$$

That means $|\mathcal{O}_s^{t,\xi}(\eta)|_{L^2} \leq C|\eta|_{L^2}$, for every $\eta \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$. Hence, $\mathcal{O}_s^{t,\xi}(\cdot) : L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{F}_s; \mathbb{R})$ is a linear and continuous mapping, for all $s \in [t, T]$, and $|\mathcal{O}_s^{t,\xi}(\cdot)|_{L(L^2, L^2)} \leq C$.

Moreover, we also get

$$\begin{aligned}E\left[\int_t^T |\mathcal{Q}_s^{t,\xi}(\eta)|^2 ds\right] &= E\left[\int_t^T |\overline{E}[Q_s^{t,\xi}(\bar{\xi}) \cdot \bar{\eta}]|^2 ds\right] \leq \overline{E}\left[E\left[\int_t^T |Q_s^{t,\xi}(\bar{\xi})|^2 ds \cdot |\bar{\eta}|^2\right]\right] \\ &= \overline{E}\left[E\left[\int_t^T |Q_s^{t,\xi}(y)|^2 ds\right]_{y=\bar{\xi}} \cdot |\bar{\eta}|^2\right] \leq CE[|\eta|^2].\end{aligned}\tag{6.15}$$

Therefore, also $\mathcal{Q}_s^{t,\xi}(\cdot) : L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R})$ is a continuous linear mapping. Making use of the above argument, but now for $(\mathcal{O}^{t,x,\xi}(\eta), \mathcal{Q}^{t,x,\xi}(\eta))$ instead of $(\mathcal{O}^{t,\xi}(\eta), \mathcal{Q}^{t,\xi}(\eta))$, we have, for all $\eta \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}_s^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) &= \overline{E}[O_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(\bar{\xi}) \cdot \bar{\eta}], \quad s \in [t, T], \quad P\text{-a.s.}, \\ \mathcal{Q}_s^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) &= \overline{E}[Q_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(\bar{\xi}) \cdot \bar{\eta}], \quad dsdP\text{-a.e.}\end{aligned}\tag{6.16}$$

Finally, in analogy to (6.14) and (6.15), we deduce from (6.11) that also $(\mathcal{O}^{t,x,\xi}(\eta), \mathcal{Q}^{t,x,\xi}(\eta))$ is a linear and continuous mapping (over the same spaces as $(\mathcal{O}^{t,\xi}(\eta), \mathcal{Q}^{t,\xi}(\eta))$). \blacksquare

Notice that, it follows from Theorem A.3 that, under the Assumptions (H4.2), (H5.1) and (H6.1), there is a constant $C_{p_0} > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of the coefficients, such that, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $E\left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |O_s^{t,\xi}(y)|^{p_0} + \left(\int_t^T |Q_s^{t,\xi}(y)|^2 ds\right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}}\right] \leq C_{p_0}$. From Hölder's inequality, for all $p \in [2, p_0]$ we have

$$E\left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |O_s^{t,\xi}(y)|^p + \left(\int_t^T |Q_s^{t,\xi}(y)|^2 ds\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right] \leq C_p.\tag{6.17}$$

Then again from Theorem A.3 we obtain $E\left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |O_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)|^{p_0} + \left(\int_t^T |Q_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)|^2 ds\right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}}\right] \leq C_{p_0}$. Again from Hölder's inequality, for all $p \in [2, p_0]$ we have

$$E\left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |O_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)|^p + \left(\int_t^T |Q_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)|^2 ds\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right] \leq C_p.\tag{6.18}$$

Now we prove the following estimate for the solution of Eq. (6.9).

Proposition 6.2. For all $p \in [2, p_0]$, under the Assumptions (H4.2), (H5.1) and (H6.1), there is a constant $C_p > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of the coefficients, such that, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, x', y, y' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, P -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |O_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) - O_s^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}(y')|^p + \left(\int_t^T |Q_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) - Q_s^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}(y')|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \\ & \leq C_p M^p \left(|x - x'|^p + |y - y'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^p \right) \\ & \quad + \rho_{M, p}(t, x, y, P_\xi) + \rho_{M, p}(t, y, P_\xi) + E[\rho_{M, p}(t, \xi, y, P_\xi)], \end{aligned} \quad (6.19)$$

for $M \geq 1$, $\rho_{M, p}(t, x, y, P_\xi) \rightarrow 0$, $\rho_{M, p}(t, y, P_\xi) \rightarrow 0$, $E[\rho_{M, p}(t, \xi, y, P_\xi)] \rightarrow 0$, as $M \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. For simplicity of redaction we restrict ourselves again to the dimensions $l = 1$, $d = 1$, let $f \equiv 0$, $g(\Pi_r^{t, x, P_\xi}, P_{\Pi_r^{t, \xi}}) = g(Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t, \xi}})$, $h(P_{\Pi_r^{t, \xi}}) = h(P_{(Y_r^{t, \xi}, Z_r^{t, \xi})})$ and $\Phi = \Phi(x)$.

Then, from (6.5) we get the following BDSDE:

$$\begin{aligned} O_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) &= \partial_x \Phi(X_T^{t, x, P_\xi}) \partial_\mu X_T^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) + \int_s^T \left\{ \partial_z g(Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t, \xi}}) Q_r^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) \right. \\ &+ \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu h)_1(P_{(Y_r^{t, \xi}, Z_r^{t, \xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t, y, P_\xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t, y, P_\xi})) \partial_x \widehat{Y}_r^{t, y, P_\xi} + (\partial_\mu h)_1(P_{(Y_r^{t, \xi}, Z_r^{t, \xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t, \xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t, \xi})) \widehat{O}_r^{t, \xi}(y) \right] \\ &+ \widehat{E} \left[\left((\partial_\mu g)(Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t, \xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t, y, P_\xi}) + (\partial_\mu h)_2(P_{(Y_r^{t, \xi}, Z_r^{t, \xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t, y, P_\xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t, y, P_\xi})) \right) \cdot \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t, y, P_\xi} \right] \\ &+ \widehat{E} \left[\left((\partial_\mu g)(Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t, \xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t, \xi}) + (\partial_\mu h)_2(P_{(Y_r^{t, \xi}, Z_r^{t, \xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t, \xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t, \xi})) \right) \cdot \widehat{Q}_r^{t, \xi}(y) \right] \Big\} d\overleftarrow{B}_r \\ &- \int_s^T Q_r^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) dW_r, \quad s \in [t, T], \end{aligned} \quad (6.20)$$

where $(O_s^{t, \xi}(y), Q_s^{t, \xi}(y)) = (O_s^{t, x, \xi}(y), Q_s^{t, x, \xi}(y))|_{x=\xi}$.

Let us introduce the $\{\mathcal{F}_{t, s}\}$ -adapted process

$$\begin{aligned} R_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) &:= O_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) - \int_s^T \left\{ \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu h)_1(P_{(Y_r^{t, \xi}, Z_r^{t, \xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t, y, P_\xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t, y, P_\xi})) \partial_x \widehat{Y}_r^{t, y, P_\xi} \right] \right. \\ &+ \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu h)_1(P_{(Y_r^{t, \xi}, Z_r^{t, \xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t, \xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t, \xi})) \widehat{O}_r^{t, \xi}(y) \right] + \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu h)_2(P_{(Y_r^{t, \xi}, Z_r^{t, \xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t, y, P_\xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t, y, P_\xi})) \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t, y, P_\xi} \right] \\ &+ \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu h)_2(P_{(Y_r^{t, \xi}, Z_r^{t, \xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t, \xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t, \xi})) \widehat{Q}_r^{t, \xi}(y) \right] \Big\} d\overleftarrow{B}_r, \quad s \in [t, T]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.21)$$

We note that the integrand of the above stochastic integral w.r.t. $d\overleftarrow{B}$ is deterministic. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} R_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) - R_s^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}(y') &= \Xi(x, x', y, y', \xi, \xi') + \int_s^T H(r, x, x', y, y', \xi, \xi') d\overleftarrow{B}_r \\ &+ \int_s^T \left\{ (\partial_z g)(Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t, \xi}}) (Q_r^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) - Q_r^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}(y')) \right. \\ &\quad + \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu g)(Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t, \xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t, \vartheta, P_\xi}) (\widehat{Q}_r^{t, \vartheta, P_\xi}(y) - \widehat{Q}_r^{t, \vartheta', P_{\xi'}}(y')) \right] \Big\} d\overleftarrow{B}_r \\ &- \int_s^T (Q_r^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) - Q_r^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}(y')) dW_r, \quad s \in [t, T] \end{aligned} \quad (6.22)$$

where $\vartheta, \vartheta' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$ with $P_\vartheta = P_\xi$, $P_{\vartheta'} = P_{\xi'}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & \Xi(x, x', y, y', \xi, \xi') := (\partial_x \Phi)(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}) \partial_\mu X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) - (\partial_x \Phi)(X_T^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}) \partial_\mu X_T^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y'), \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & H(r, x, x', y, y', \xi, \xi') := ((\partial_z g)(Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}) - (\partial_z g)(Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi'}})) Q_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y') \\ & + \widehat{E} \left[((\partial_\mu g)(Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\vartheta},P_\xi}) - (\partial_\mu g)(Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi'}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\vartheta}',P_{\xi'}})) \widehat{Q}_r^{t,\widehat{\vartheta},P_{\xi'}}(y') \right] \\ & + \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu g)(Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi}) \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} - (\partial_\mu g)(Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi'}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}) \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From the Propositions 5.1 and 5.3, for all $p \geq 2$, it holds

$$E[|\Xi(x, x', y, y', \xi, \xi')|^p] \leq C_p (|x - x'|^p + |y - y'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^p). \quad (6.23)$$

We now give the estimate for $H(r, x, x', y, y', \xi, \xi')$. From the Propositions 4.2 and 4.3 as well as their proofs, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & E \left[\left(\int_t^T |(\partial_z g)(Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}) - (\partial_z g)(Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi'}})|^2 \cdot |Q_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y')|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right] \\ & \leq C_{p_0} E \left[\left(\int_t^T \min \{C, |Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} - Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^2 + W_2(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi'}})^2\} \cdot |Q_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y')|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right] \\ & \leq C_{p_0} M^{p_0} E \left[\left(\int_t^T (|Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} - Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^2 + W_2(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi'}})^2) dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right] \\ & \quad + C_{p_0} E \left[\left(\int_t^T |Q_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y')|^2 I_{\{|Q_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y')| \geq M\}} dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right] \\ & \leq C_{p_0} M^{p_0} (|x - x'|^{p_0} + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^{p_0}) + \rho_{M,p_0}(t, x', y', P_{\xi'}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{with } \rho_{M,p_0}(t, x', y', P_{\xi'}) := C_{p_0} E \left[\left(\int_t^T |Q_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y')|^2 I_{\{|Q_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y')| \geq M\}} dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right].$$

In the same way we see

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii)} \quad & E \left[\left(\int_t^T \left| \widehat{E} \left[((\partial_\mu g)(Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\vartheta},P_\xi}) - (\partial_\mu g)(Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi'}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\vartheta}',P_{\xi'}})) \widehat{Q}_r^{t,\widehat{\vartheta},P_{\xi'}}(y') \right] \right|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right] \\ & \leq C_{p_0} M^{p_0} E \left[\left(\int_t^T (|Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} - Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^2 + W_2(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi'}})^2 + \widehat{E}[|\widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\vartheta},P_\xi} - \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\vartheta}',P_{\xi'}}|^2]) dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right] \\ & \quad + C_{p_0} \widehat{E} \left[\left(\int_t^T |\widehat{Q}_r^{t,\widehat{\vartheta},P_{\xi'}}(y')|^2 I_{\{|\widehat{Q}_r^{t,\widehat{\vartheta},P_{\xi'}}(y')| \geq M\}} dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right] \\ & \leq C_{p_0} M^{p_0} (|x - x'|^{p_0} + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^{p_0} + (E[|\vartheta - \vartheta'|^2])^{\frac{p_0}{2}}) + E[\rho_{M,p_0}(t, \xi', y', P_{\xi'})], \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, x', y' \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi, \xi', \vartheta, \vartheta' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$ with $P_\vartheta = P_\xi$, $P_{\vartheta'} = P_{\xi'}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(iii)} \quad & E \left[\left(\int_t^T \left| \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu g)(Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi}) \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} - (\partial_\mu g)(Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi'}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}) \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}} \right] \right|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right] \\ & \leq C_{p_0} \widehat{E} \left[\left(\int_t^T |\partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} - \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right] + C_{p_0} \widehat{E} \left[\left(\int_t^T |\partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}|^2 I_{\{|\partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}| \geq M\}} dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right] \\ & \quad + C_{p_0} M^{p_0} E \left[\left(\int_t^T (|Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} - Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^2 + W_2(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi'}})^2 + \widehat{E}[|\widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} - \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}|^2]) dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right] \\ & \leq C_{p_0} M^{p_0} (|x - x'|^{p_0} + |y - y'|^{p_0} + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^{p_0}) + \rho_{M,p_0}(t, y', P_{\xi'}). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$E\left[\left(\int_t^T |H(r, x, x', y, y', \xi, \xi')|^2 dr\right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}}\right] \leq C_{p_0} M^{p_0} \left(|x - x'|^{p_0} + |y - y'|^{p_0} + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^{p_0} + (E[|\vartheta - \vartheta'|^2])^{\frac{p_0}{2}}\right) \\ + \rho_{M,p_0}(t, x', y', P_{\xi'}) + \rho_{M,p_0}(t, y', P_{\xi'}) + E[\rho_{M,p_0}(t, \xi', y', P_{\xi'})],$$

where $\rho_{M,p_0}(t, x', y', P_{\xi'}) \rightarrow 0$, $\rho_{M,p_0}(t, y', P_{\xi'}) \rightarrow 0$, $E[\rho_{M,p_0}(t, \xi', y', P_{\xi'})] \rightarrow 0$, as $M \rightarrow \infty$. In fact, $E[\rho_{M,p_0}(t, \vartheta', y', P_{\xi'})] = E[\rho_{M,p_0}(t, \xi', y', P_{\xi'})]$, as $\rho_{M,p_0}(t, x', y', P_{\xi'})$ is deterministic and $P_{\vartheta'} = P_{\xi'}$. Then from Hölder's inequality, for all $p \in [2, p_0]$ we have

$$E\left[\left(\int_t^T |H(r, x, x', y, y', \xi, \xi')|^2 dr\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right] \leq C_p M^p \left(|x - x'|^p + |y - y'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^p + (E[|\vartheta - \vartheta'|^2])^{\frac{p}{2}}\right) \\ + \rho_{M,p}(t, x', y', P_{\xi'}) + \rho_{M,p}(t, y', P_{\xi'}) + E[\rho_{M,p}(t, \xi', y', P_{\xi'})],$$

where $\rho_{M,p}(t, x', y', P_{\xi'}) \rightarrow 0$, $\rho_{M,p}(t, y', P_{\xi'}) \rightarrow 0$, $E[\rho_{M,p}(t, \xi', y', P_{\xi'})] \rightarrow 0$, as $M \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, substituting in (6.21) $x = \vartheta$, $x' = \vartheta'$, and using the above estimates, we get from Corollary A.2,

$$E\left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |R_s^{t, \vartheta, P_\xi}(y) - R_s^{t, \vartheta', P_{\xi'}}(y')|^2 + \int_t^T |Q_s^{t, \vartheta, P_\xi}(y) - Q_s^{t, \vartheta', P_{\xi'}}(y')|^2 ds\right] \\ \leq CM^2 \left(|y - y'|^2 + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^2 + E[|\vartheta - \vartheta'|^2]\right) + E[\rho_M(t, \xi', y', P_{\xi'})] + \rho_M(t, y', P_{\xi'}). \quad (6.24)$$

By combining (6.24) with (6.22) and the preceding estimates we obtain from Corollary A.3

$$E\left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |R_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) - R_s^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}(y')|^{p_0} + \left(\int_t^T |Q_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) - Q_s^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}(y')|^2 ds\right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}}\right] \\ \leq C_{p_0} M^{p_0} \left(|x - x'|^{p_0} + |y - y'|^{p_0} + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^{p_0} + (E[|\vartheta - \vartheta'|^2])^{\frac{p_0}{2}}\right) \\ + \rho_{M,p_0}(t, x', y', P_{\xi'}) + \rho_{M,p_0}(t, y', P_{\xi'}) + E[\rho_{M,p_0}(t, \xi', y', P_{\xi'})]. \quad (6.25)$$

On the other hand, from (6.21)

$$E\left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |O_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) - O_s^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}(y')|^{p_0}\right] \\ \leq C_{p_0} E\left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |R_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) - R_s^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}(y')|^{p_0}\right] + C_{p_0} \left(\int_t^T \left\{ \widehat{E}\left[(\partial_\mu h)_1(P_{(Y_r^{t, \xi}, Z_r^{t, \xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t, y, P_\xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t, y, P_\xi})) \partial_x \widehat{Y}_r^{t, y, P_\xi} \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. - (\partial_\mu h)_1(P_{(Y_r^{t, \xi'}, Z_r^{t, \xi'})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t, y', P_{\xi'}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t, y', P_{\xi'}})) \partial_x \widehat{Y}_r^{t, y', P_{\xi'}} \right|^2 \right] \right. \\ \left. + \widehat{E}\left[(\partial_\mu h)_1(P_{(Y_r^{t, \xi}, Z_r^{t, \xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t, \widehat{\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t, \widehat{\xi}})) \widehat{O}_r^{t, \widehat{\xi}}(y) - (\partial_\mu h)_1(P_{(Y_r^{t, \xi'}, Z_r^{t, \xi'})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t, \widehat{\xi'}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t, \widehat{\xi'}})) \widehat{O}_r^{t, \widehat{\xi'}}(y')\right]^2 \right] \\ \left. + \widehat{E}\left[(\partial_\mu h)_2(P_{(Y_r^{t, \xi}, Z_r^{t, \xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t, y, P_\xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t, y, P_\xi})) \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t, y, P_\xi} - (\partial_\mu h)_2(P_{(Y_r^{t, \xi'}, Z_r^{t, \xi'})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t, y', P_{\xi'}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t, y', P_{\xi'}})) \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t, y', P_{\xi'}} \right]^2 \right] \\ \left. + \widehat{E}\left[(\partial_\mu h)_2(P_{(Y_r^{t, \xi}, Z_r^{t, \xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t, \widehat{\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t, \widehat{\xi}})) \widehat{Q}_r^{t, \widehat{\xi}}(y) - (\partial_\mu h)_2(P_{(Y_r^{t, \xi'}, Z_r^{t, \xi'})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t, \widehat{\xi'}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t, \widehat{\xi'}})) \widehat{Q}_r^{t, \widehat{\xi'}}(y')\right]^2 \right\} dr \Bigg)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \\ \leq C_{p_0} E\left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |R_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) - R_s^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}(y')|^{p_0}\right] + C_{p_0} \left(\int_t^T \left(\widehat{E}[\partial_x \widehat{Y}_r^{t, y, P_\xi} - \partial_x \widehat{Y}_r^{t, y', P_{\xi'}}]^2 \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \widehat{E}[\partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t, y, P_\xi} - \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t, y', P_{\xi'}}]^2 + \widehat{E}[\widehat{O}_r^{t, \widehat{\vartheta}, P_\xi}(y) - \widehat{O}_r^{t, \widehat{\vartheta'}}, P_{\xi'}}(y')]^2 + \widehat{E}[\widehat{Q}_r^{t, \widehat{\vartheta}, P_\xi}(y) - \widehat{Q}_r^{t, \widehat{\vartheta'}}, P_{\xi'}}(y')]^2 \right) dr \Bigg)^{\frac{p_0}{2}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + C_{p_0} M^{p_0} \left(\int_t^T \left(W_2(P_{(Y_r^{t,\xi}, Z_r^{t,\xi})}, P_{(Y_r^{t,\xi'}, Z_r^{t,\xi'})})^2 + \widehat{E}[|(\widehat{Y}_r^{t,y,P_\xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi}) - (\widehat{Y}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}})|^2] \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \widehat{E}[|(\widehat{Y}_r^{t,\vartheta,P_\xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\vartheta,P_\xi}) - (\widehat{Y}_r^{t,\vartheta',P_{\xi'}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\vartheta',P_{\xi'}})|^2] \right) dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \\
& + C_{p_0} \left(\int_t^T \widehat{E} \left[|(\partial_x \widehat{Y}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}, \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}})|^2 I_{\{ |(\partial_x \widehat{Y}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}, \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}})| \geq M \}} \right] dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \\
& + C_{p_0} \left(\int_t^T \widehat{E} \left[|(\widehat{O}_r^{t,\widehat{\vartheta'},P_{\xi'}}(y'), \widehat{Q}_r^{t,\widehat{\vartheta'},P_{\xi'}}(y'))|^2 I_{\{ |(\widehat{O}_r^{t,\widehat{\vartheta'},P_{\xi'}}(y'), \widehat{Q}_r^{t,\widehat{\vartheta'},P_{\xi'}}(y'))| \geq M \}} \right] dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.26}$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_M(t, y', P_{\xi'}) &:= \int_t^T \widehat{E} \left[|(\partial_x \widehat{Y}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}, \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}})|^2 I_{\{ |(\partial_x \widehat{Y}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}, \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}})| \geq M \}} \right] dr, \\
\rho_M(t, x', y', P_{\xi'}) &:= \int_t^T \widehat{E} \left[|(\widehat{O}_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y'), \widehat{Q}_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y'))|^2 I_{\{ |(\widehat{O}_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y'), \widehat{Q}_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y'))| \geq M \}} \right] dr.
\end{aligned}$$

From the independence of $\vartheta' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$ of $(O_s^{t,x',\xi'}(y'), Q_s^{t,x',\xi'}(y'))$:

$$\widehat{E}[\rho_M(t, \widehat{\vartheta}', y', P_{\xi'})] = \int_t^T \widehat{E} \left[|(\widehat{O}_r^{t,\widehat{\vartheta'},P_{\xi'}}(y'), \widehat{Q}_r^{t,\widehat{\vartheta'},P_{\xi'}}(y'))|^2 I_{\{ |(\widehat{O}_r^{t,\widehat{\vartheta'},P_{\xi'}}(y'), \widehat{Q}_r^{t,\widehat{\vartheta'},P_{\xi'}}(y'))| \geq M \}} \right] dr.$$

Then, from (6.24), (6.25), (6.26), the Propositions 4.2 and 6.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |O_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) - O_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y')|^{p_0} \right] &\leq C_{p_0} \left(\int_t^T E[|O_r^{t,\vartheta,P_\xi}(y) - O_r^{t,\vartheta',P_{\xi'}}(y')|^2] dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \\
&+ C_{p_0} M^{p_0} \left(|x - x'|^{p_0} + |y - y'|^{p_0} + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^{p_0} + (E[|\vartheta - \vartheta'|^2])^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right) \\
&+ \rho_{M,p_0}(t, x', y', P_{\xi'}) + \rho_{M,p_0}(t, y', P_{\xi'}) + E[\rho_{M,p_0}(t, \xi', y', P_{\xi'})].
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, from Hölder's inequality, for all $p \in [2, p_0]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |O_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) - O_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y')|^p \right] &\leq C_p \left(\int_t^T E[|O_r^{t,\vartheta,P_\xi}(y) - O_r^{t,\vartheta',P_{\xi'}}(y')|^2] dr \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
&+ C_p M^p \left(|x - x'|^p + |y - y'|^{p_0} + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^p + (E[|\vartheta - \vartheta'|^2])^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) \\
&+ \rho_{M,p}(t, x', y', P_{\xi'}) + \rho_{M,p}(t, y', P_{\xi'}) + E[\rho_{M,p}(t, \xi', y', P_{\xi'})].
\end{aligned} \tag{6.27}$$

First we substitute $x = \vartheta$, $x' = \vartheta'$ in (6.27), then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |O_s^{t,\vartheta,P_\xi}(y) - O_s^{t,\vartheta',P_{\xi'}}(y')|^2 \right] &= E \left[E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |O_s^{t,\vartheta,P_\xi}(y) - O_s^{t,\vartheta',P_{\xi'}}(y')|^2 \right] \Big|_{x=\vartheta, x'=\vartheta'} \right] \\
&\leq C \int_t^T E[|O_r^{t,\vartheta,P_\xi}(y) - O_r^{t,\vartheta',P_{\xi'}}(y')|^2] dr + CM^2 \left(|y - y'|^2 + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^2 + E[|\vartheta - \vartheta'|^2] \right) \\
&+ E[\rho_M(t, \xi', y', P_{\xi'})] + \rho_M(t, y', P_{\xi'}).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.28}$$

Hence, from Gronwall's Lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |O_s^{t, \vartheta, P_\xi}(y) - O_s^{t, \vartheta', P_{\xi'}}(y')|^2 \right] \\ & \leq CM^2 \left(|y - y'|^2 + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^2 + E[|\vartheta - \vartheta'|^2] \right) + E[\rho_M(t, \xi', y', P_{\xi'})] + \rho_M(t, y', P_{\xi'}). \end{aligned} \quad (6.29)$$

Now substituting (6.29) in (6.27), and combining this with the result (6.25) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |O_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) - O_s^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}(y')|^p + \left(\int_t^T |Q_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) - Q_s^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}(y')|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \\ & \leq C_p M^p \left(|x - x'|^p + |y - y'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^p + (E[|\vartheta - \vartheta'|^2])^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) \\ & \quad + \rho_{M,p}(t, x', y', P_{\xi'}) + \rho_{M,p}(t, y', P_{\xi'}) + E[\rho_{M,p}(t, \xi', y', P_{\xi'})]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.30)$$

Together with the fact that

$$W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'}) = \inf \left\{ \left(E[|\vartheta - \vartheta'|^2] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mid \vartheta, \vartheta' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}), P_\vartheta = P_\xi, P_{\vartheta'} = P_{\xi'} \right\},$$

we get the wished estimate. ■

Lemma 6.2. *Suppose (H5.1) and (H6.1) hold true. Then, for all $0 \leq t \leq s \leq T$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the lifted processes $L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}) \ni \xi \rightarrow Y_s^{t, x, \xi} := Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi} \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_s; \mathbb{R})$, $L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}) \ni \xi \rightarrow Z_s^{t, x, \xi} := Z_s^{t, x, P_\xi} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R})$ as functionals of ξ are Gâteaux differentiable, and the Gâteaux derivatives in direction $\eta \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$ are just $\mathcal{O}_s^{t, x, \xi}(\eta)$, $\mathcal{Q}_s^{t, x, \xi}(\eta)$, respectively, i.e.,*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\xi Y_s^{t, x, \xi}(\eta) &= \mathcal{O}_s^{t, x, \xi}(\eta) = \overline{E}[O_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(\bar{\xi}) \cdot \bar{\eta}], \quad s \in [t, T], \quad P\text{-a.s.}, \\ \partial_\xi Z_s^{t, x, \xi}(\eta) &= \mathcal{Q}_s^{t, x, \xi}(\eta) = \overline{E}[Q_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(\bar{\xi}) \cdot \bar{\eta}], \quad ds dP\text{-a.e. on } [t, T] \times \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_s^{t, x, \xi}(\eta)$, $\mathcal{Q}_s^{t, x, \xi}(\eta)$, $O_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)$, $Q_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)$ are defined in Lemma 6.1.

Proof. The proof is split into two steps. For simplicity of redaction we restrict ourselves to the dimensions $d = 1$, $l = 1$, and we let $f \equiv 0$, $g(\Pi_r^{t, x, P_\xi}, P_{\Pi_r^{t, \xi}}) = g(Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi})$, $h(P_{\Pi_r^{t, \xi}}) = h(P_{Z_r^{t, \xi}})$ and $\Phi = \Phi(x)$.

Step 1. We prove that the directional derivatives $Y_s^{t, x, \xi}$, $Z_s^{t, x, \xi}$ in all direction $\eta \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$ exist, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{q} (Y_s^{t, x, P_{\xi+q\eta}} - Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi}) - \mathcal{O}_s^{t, x, \xi}(\eta) \xrightarrow[q \rightarrow 0]{L^2(\mathcal{F}_s; \mathbb{R})} 0, \\ & \frac{1}{q} (Z_s^{t, x, P_{\xi+q\eta}} - Z_s^{t, x, P_\xi}) - \mathcal{Q}_s^{t, x, \xi}(\eta) \xrightarrow[q \rightarrow 0]{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R})} 0. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, for all $s \in [t, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{q} (Y_s^{t, x, P_{\xi+q\eta}} - Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi}) - \mathcal{O}_s^{t, x, \xi}(\eta) \\ &= I_1(x, q) + I_2(s, x, q) + I_3(s, x, q) - \int_s^T \left(\frac{Z_r^{t, x, P_{\xi+q\eta}} - Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi}}{q} - \mathcal{Q}_r^{t, x, \xi}(\eta) \right) dW_r, \end{aligned} \quad (6.31)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1(x, q) &:= \frac{1}{q}(\Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi+q\eta}}) - \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi}})) - \partial_x \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi}}) \mathcal{U}_T^{t,x,\xi}(\eta), \\
I_2(s, x, q) &:= \int_s^T \left\{ \frac{1}{q}(g(Z_r^{t,x,P_{\xi+q\eta}}) - g(Z_r^{t,x,P_{\xi}})) - \partial_z g(Z_r^{t,x,P_{\xi}}) \mathcal{Q}_r^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) \right\} d\overleftarrow{B}_r, \\
I_3(s, x, q) &:= \int_s^T \left\{ \frac{1}{q}(h(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi+q\eta}}) - h(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}})) - \widehat{E}[(\partial_\mu h)(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\xi,P_{\xi}}) \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\xi,P_{\xi}} \widehat{\eta}] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \widehat{E}[(\partial_\mu h)(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\xi}) \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_r^{t,\xi}(\widehat{\eta})] \right\} d\overleftarrow{B}_r
\end{aligned}$$

(Recall that $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_r^{t,\xi}(\widehat{\eta}) = \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_r^{t,\xi,P_{\xi}}(\widehat{\eta})$). First at all, we remark that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1(x, q) &= \int_0^1 (\partial_x \Phi)(X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi}} + \rho(X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi+q\eta}} - X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi}})) d\rho \frac{X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi+q\eta}} - X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi}}}{q} - (\partial_x \Phi)(X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi}}) \mathcal{U}_T^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) \\
&= \int_0^1 \left((\partial_x \Phi)(X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi}} + \rho(X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi+q\eta}} - X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi}})) - (\partial_x \Phi)(X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi}}) \right) d\rho \frac{X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi+q\eta}} - X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi}}}{q} \\
&\quad + (\partial_x \Phi)(X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi}}) \left(\frac{X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi+q\eta}} - X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi}}}{q} - \mathcal{U}_T^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, as $\partial_x \Phi$ is Lipschitz and bounded, and as $I_1(x, q)$ is independent of \mathcal{G}_t ,

$$E[|I_1(x, q)|^2 | \mathcal{G}_t] = E[|I_1(x, q)|^2] \leq \frac{C}{q^2} E[|X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi+q\eta}} - X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi}}|^4] + C E\left[\left|\frac{X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi+q\eta}} - X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi}}}{q} - \mathcal{U}_T^{t,x,\xi}(\eta)\right|^2\right].$$

From Lemma 3.1 we have

$$E[|X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi+q\eta}} - X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi}}|^4] \leq C W_2(P_{\xi+q\eta}, P_{\xi})^4 \leq C q^4 (E[|\eta|^2])^2.$$

On the other hand, from Proposition 5.3, we know

$$\begin{aligned}
E\left[\left|\frac{X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi+q\eta}} - X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi}}}{q} - \mathcal{U}_T^{t,x,\xi}(\eta)\right|^2\right] &= E\left[\left|\widehat{E}\left[\int_0^1 (\partial_\mu X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi+q\eta}}(\widehat{\xi} + \rho q \widehat{\eta}) - \partial_\mu X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi}}(\widehat{\xi})) d\rho \cdot \widehat{\eta}\right]\right|^2\right] \\
&\leq E\left[\left(\widehat{E}\left[\int_0^1 |\partial_\mu X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi+q\eta}}(\widehat{\xi} + \rho q \widehat{\eta}) - \partial_\mu X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi}}(\widehat{\xi})|^2 d\rho\right] \cdot \widehat{E}[|\widehat{\eta}|^2]\right)\right] \\
&\leq E[|\eta|^2] \int_0^1 \widehat{E}\left[E[|\partial_\mu X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi+q\eta}}(y) - \partial_\mu X_T^{t,x,P_{\xi}}(y')|^2] |_{y=\widehat{\xi}+\rho q \widehat{\eta}, y'=\widehat{\xi}}\right] d\rho \\
&\leq E[|\eta|^2] \int_0^1 \widehat{E}\left[(W_2(P_{\xi+q\eta}, P_{\xi})^2 + |y - y'|^2) |_{y=\widehat{\xi}+\rho q \widehat{\eta}, y'=\widehat{\xi}}\right] d\rho \leq C q^2 (E[|\eta|^2])^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$E[|I_1(x, q)|^2 | \mathcal{G}_t] = E[|I_1(x, q)|^2] \leq C q^2 (E[|\eta|^2])^2. \quad (6.32)$$

We now consider $I_2(s, x, q)$. We define $Z_r^{t,x,\xi}(\eta, \rho) := Z_r^{t,x,P_{\xi}} + \rho(Z_r^{t,x,P_{\xi+q\eta}} - Z_r^{t,x,P_{\xi}})$. Then, making use of the fact that $g \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R})$, we get

$$I_2(s, x, q) = \int_s^T \left\{ \int_0^1 \frac{1}{q} \partial_\rho [g(Z_r^{t,x,\xi}(\eta, \rho))] d\rho - (\partial_z g)(Z_r^{t,x,P_{\xi}}) \mathcal{Q}_r^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) \right\} d\overleftarrow{B}_r$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_s^T \left\{ \int_0^1 (\partial_z g)(Z_r^{t,x,\xi}(\eta, \rho)) \frac{Z_r^{t,x,P_{\xi+q\eta}} - Z_r^{t,x,P_{\xi}}}{q} d\rho - (\partial_z g)(Z_r^{t,x,P_{\xi}}) \mathcal{Q}_r^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) \right\} d\overleftarrow{B}_r \\
&= I_{2,1}(s, x, q) + I_{2,2}(s, x, q),
\end{aligned} \tag{6.33}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{2,1}(s, x, q) &:= \int_s^T \left(\int_0^1 (\partial_z g)(Z_r^{t,x,\xi}(\eta, \rho)) d\rho \right) \cdot \left(\frac{Z_r^{t,x,P_{\xi+q\eta}} - Z_r^{t,x,P_{\xi}}}{q} - \mathcal{Q}_r^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) \right) d\overleftarrow{B}_r, \\
I_{2,2}(s, x, q) &:= \int_s^T \left(\int_0^1 ((\partial_z g)(Z_r^{t,x,\xi}(\eta, \rho)) - (\partial_z g)(Z_r^{t,x,P_{\xi}})) d\rho \right) \cdot \mathcal{Q}_r^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) d\overleftarrow{B}_r.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\Xi_r^{1,q} := \int_0^1 ((\partial_z g)(Z_r^{t,x,\xi}(\eta, \rho)) - (\partial_z g)(Z_r^{t,x,P_{\xi}})) d\rho$, $r \in [t, T]$. Notice, as $g \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R})$, combining with Proposition 4.2, it follows that $|\Xi_r^{1,q}| \leq C$, $\Xi_r^{1,q} \rightarrow 0$, $q \downarrow 0$, in $drdP$ -measure. On the other hand, from (6.8), $E[\int_t^T |\mathcal{Q}_s^{t,x,\xi}(\eta)|^2 ds] \leq C$, and, consequently, from the dominated convergence theorem,

$$E\left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |I_{2,2}(s, x, q)|^2\right] \leq CE\left[\int_t^T |\Xi_r^{1,q}|^2 \cdot |\mathcal{Q}_r^{t,x,\xi}(\eta)|^2 dr\right] \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } q \downarrow 0. \tag{6.34}$$

Recall that due to Assumption (H4.1), $|\partial_z g(z)|^2 \leq \alpha_1 < 1$, $z \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore

$$E[|I_{2,1}(s, x, q)|^2] \leq \alpha_1 E\left[\int_s^T \left| \frac{Z_r^{t,x,P_{\xi+q\eta}} - Z_r^{t,x,P_{\xi}}}{q} - \mathcal{Q}_r^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) \right|^2 dr\right]. \tag{6.35}$$

Next, we investigate $I_3(s, x, q)$. For this we observe that

$$I_3(s, x, q) = I_{3,1}(s, x, q) + I_{3,2}(s, x, q), \tag{6.36}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{3,1}(s, x, q) &:= \int_s^T \left\{ \frac{1}{q} (h(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi+q\eta}}) - h(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi,P_{\xi+q\eta}}})) - \widehat{E}\left[(\partial_\mu h)(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi},P_{\xi}}) \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi},P_{\xi}} \widehat{\eta}\right] \right\} d\overleftarrow{B}_r, \\
I_{3,2}(s, x, q) &:= \int_s^T \left\{ \frac{1}{q} (h(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi,P_{\xi+q\eta}}}) - h(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}})) - \widehat{E}\left[(\partial_\mu h)(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}}) \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}}(\widehat{\eta})\right] \right\} d\overleftarrow{B}_r.
\end{aligned}$$

(i) Computation for $I_{3,1}(s, x, q)$: Using Theorem 6.1 and Remark 6.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{3,1}(s, x, q) &= \int_s^T \left\{ \int_0^1 \frac{1}{q} \partial_\rho [h(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi+\rho q\eta, P_{\xi+q\eta}}})] d\rho - \widehat{E}\left[(\partial_\mu h)(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi},P_{\xi}}) \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi},P_{\xi}} \widehat{\eta}\right] \right\} d\overleftarrow{B}_r \\
&= \int_s^T \left\{ \int_0^1 \widehat{E}\left[(\partial_\mu h)(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi+\rho q\eta, P_{\xi+q\eta}}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}+\rho q\widehat{\eta}, P_{\xi+q\eta}}) \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}+\rho q\widehat{\eta}, P_{\xi+q\eta}} \widehat{\eta}\right] d\rho \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \widehat{E}\left[(\partial_\mu h)(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi},P_{\xi}}) \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi},P_{\xi}} \widehat{\eta}\right] \right\} d\overleftarrow{B}_r.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.37}$$

Now, due to Proposition 4.1, as $\partial_\mu h$ is Lipschitz,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_s^T \int_0^1 \widehat{E}\left[|(\partial_\mu h)(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi+\rho q\eta, P_{\xi+q\eta}}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}+\rho q\widehat{\eta}, P_{\xi+q\eta}}) - (\partial_\mu h)(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi},P_{\xi}})|^2\right] d\rho dr \\
&\leq C \int_s^T \int_0^1 \left(W_2(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi+\rho q\eta, P_{\xi+q\eta}}}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}})^2 + \widehat{E}[|\widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}+\rho q\widehat{\eta}, P_{\xi+q\eta}} - \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi},P_{\xi}}|^2] \right) d\rho dr \\
&\leq C \int_s^T \int_0^1 \widehat{E}[|\widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}+\rho q\widehat{\eta}, P_{\xi+q\eta}} - \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi},P_{\xi}}|^2] d\rho dr
\end{aligned} \tag{6.38}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= C \int_0^1 \widehat{E} \left[\widehat{E} \left[\int_s^T |\widehat{Z}_r^{t,x,P_{\xi+q\eta}} - \widehat{Z}_r^{t,x',P_{\xi}}|^2 dr \right] \Big|_{x=\widehat{\xi}+\rho q\widehat{\eta}, x'=\widehat{\xi}} \right] d\rho \\
&\leq C \int_0^1 \widehat{E} \left[|x - x'|^2 \Big|_{x=\widehat{\xi}+\rho q\widehat{\eta}, x'=\widehat{\xi}} + W_2(P_{\xi+q\eta}, P_{\xi})^2 \right] d\rho \\
&\leq C q^2 E[|\eta|^2], \quad q > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

From (6.3) in Remark 6.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_s^T \int_0^1 \widehat{E} \left[|\partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}+\rho q\widehat{\eta},P_{\xi+q\eta}} - \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi},P_{\xi}}|^2 \right] d\rho dr \\
&= \int_0^1 \widehat{E} \left[\widehat{E} \left[\int_s^T |\partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,x,P_{\xi+q\eta}} - \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,x',P_{\xi}}|^2 dr \right] \Big|_{x=\widehat{\xi}+\rho q\widehat{\eta}, x'=\widehat{\xi}} \right] d\rho \\
&\leq \int_0^1 \widehat{E} \left[\left(CM^2(|\widehat{\xi} + \rho q\widehat{\eta} - \widehat{\xi}|^2 + W_2(P_{\xi+q\eta}, P_{\xi})^2) + \rho_M(t, x', P_{\xi}) \Big|_{x'=\widehat{\xi}} \right) \wedge C' \right] d\rho \\
&\leq \widehat{E} \left[\left(CM^2(q^2|\widehat{\eta}|^2 + q^2 \widehat{E}[|\widehat{\eta}|^2]) + \rho_M(t, \widehat{\xi}, P_{\xi}) \right) \wedge C' \right] \\
&\xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 0} \widehat{E} \left[\rho_M(t, \widehat{\xi}, P_{\xi}) \wedge C' \right] \xrightarrow{M \rightarrow \infty} 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.39}$$

For simplicity, we put $\Xi_r^{2,q,\rho} := (\partial_\mu h)(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi+\rho q\widehat{\eta},P_{\xi+q\eta}}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}+\rho q\widehat{\eta},P_{\xi+q\eta}})$, $\Xi_r^2 := (\partial_\mu h)(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi},P_{\xi}})$, $\Xi_r^{3,q,\rho} := \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}+\rho q\widehat{\eta},P_{\xi+q\eta}}$, $\Xi_r^3 := \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi},P_{\xi}}$. Obviously, as $\partial_\mu h$ is Lipschitz, $|\Xi_r^{2,q,\rho}| \leq C$, $r \in [t, T]$, $\rho \in [0, 1]$, $q > 0$, and from above it follows that

$$\Xi^{2,q,\cdot} \xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 0} \Xi^2, \quad \Xi^{3,q,\cdot} \xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 0} \Xi^3 \quad \text{in } L^2([0, 1] \times [t, T] \times \widehat{\Omega}, d\rho dr d\widehat{P}).$$

Hence, $\{\Xi^{3,q,\cdot}, q > 0\}$ is uniformly L^2 -integrable, and as $|\Xi_r^{2,q,\rho}| \leq C$, also $\{\Xi^{2,q,\cdot} \cdot \Xi^{3,q,\cdot}, q > 0\}$ is uniformly L^2 -integrable. Then $\Xi^{2,q,\cdot} \cdot \Xi^{3,q,\cdot} \rightarrow \Xi^2 \cdot \Xi^3$ in $d\rho dr d\widehat{P}$ -measure, imply that $\Xi^{2,q,\cdot} \cdot \Xi^{3,q,\cdot} \rightarrow \Xi^2 \cdot \Xi^3$ in $L^2([0, 1] \times [t, T] \times \widehat{\Omega}, d\rho dr d\widehat{P})$. Consequently,

$$E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |I_{3,1}(s, x, q)|^2 \right] \leq C \widehat{E} \left[\int_t^T \int_0^1 |\Xi_r^{2,q,\rho} \cdot \Xi_r^{3,q,\rho} - \Xi_r^2 \cdot \Xi_r^3|^2 d\rho dr \right] \cdot \widehat{E}[|\widehat{\eta}|^2] \rightarrow 0, \quad q \rightarrow 0. \tag{6.40}$$

(ii) Computation for $I_{3,2}(s, x, q)$: Letting $Z_r^{t,\xi}(\eta, \rho) := Z_r^{t,\xi} + \rho(Z_r^{t,\xi,P_{\xi+q\eta}} - Z_r^{t,\xi})$, and making use of the fact that $h \in C_b^1(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}))$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{3,2}(s, x, q) &= \int_s^T \left\{ \int_0^1 \frac{1}{q} \partial_\rho [h(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}(\eta, \rho)})] d\rho - \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu h)(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}}) \widehat{Q}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}}(\widehat{\eta}) \right] \right\} d\overleftarrow{B}_r \\
&= \int_s^T \left\{ \int_0^1 \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu h)(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}(\eta, \rho)}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}}(\widehat{\eta}, \rho)) \right] \frac{1}{q} (\widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi},P_{\xi+q\eta}} - \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}}) d\rho \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu h)(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}}) \widehat{Q}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}}(\widehat{\eta}) \right] \right\} d\overleftarrow{B}_r = I_{3,2,1}(s, x, q) + I_{3,2,2}(s, x, q),
\end{aligned} \tag{6.41}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{3,2,1}(s, x, q) &:= \int_s^T \left\{ \int_0^1 \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu h)(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}(\eta, \rho)}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}}(\widehat{\eta}, \rho)) \left(\frac{\widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi},P_{\xi+q\eta}} - \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}}}{q} - \widehat{Q}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}}(\widehat{\eta}) \right) \right] d\rho \right\} d\overleftarrow{B}_r, \\
I_{3,2,2}(s, x, q) &:= \int_s^T \left\{ \int_0^1 \widehat{E} \left[\left((\partial_\mu h)(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}(\eta, \rho)}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}}(\widehat{\eta}, \rho)) - (\partial_\mu h)(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}}) \right) \cdot \widehat{Q}_r^{t,\widehat{\xi}}(\widehat{\eta}) \right] d\rho \right\} d\overleftarrow{B}_r.
\end{aligned}$$

We define $\Xi_r^{4,q,\rho} := (\partial_\mu h)(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}(\eta,\rho)}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\xi}(\widehat{\eta}, \rho)) - (\partial_\mu h)(P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\xi})$, and in analogy to the computation for $I_{3,1}(s, x, q)$ we see $|\Xi_r^{4,q,\rho}| \leq C$, $r \in [t, T]$, $\rho \in [0, 1]$, $q > 0$, and $\Xi^{4,q,\cdot} \xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 0} 0$ in $L^2([0, 1] \times [t, T] \times \widehat{\Omega}, d\rho dr d\widehat{P})$. As $E[\int_t^T |\mathcal{Q}_s^{t,\xi}(\eta)|^2 ds] \leq C$, it follows from the dominated convergence theorem that $\Xi^{4,q,\cdot} \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^{t,\xi}(\widehat{\eta}) \xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 0} 0$ in $L^2([0, 1] \times [t, T] \times \widehat{\Omega}, d\rho dr d\widehat{P})$. Thus,

$$E[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |I_{3,2,2}(s, x, q)|^2] \leq C \widehat{E}\left[\int_t^T \int_0^1 |\Xi_r^{4,q,\rho}|^2 \cdot |\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_r^{t,\xi}(\widehat{\eta})|^2 d\rho dr\right] \rightarrow 0, \quad q \rightarrow 0. \quad (6.42)$$

Now we set $J(s, x, q) := \frac{1}{q}(Y_s^{t,x,P_{\xi+q\eta}} - Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}) - \mathcal{O}_s^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) - I_3(s, x, q)$. Note that $J(s, x, q)$ is \mathcal{F}_s -measurable and independent of \mathcal{G}_t (and, hence, of $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$). Thus, from (6.31), we know

$$J(s, x, q) + \int_s^T \left(\frac{Z_r^{t,x,P_{\xi+q\eta}} - Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}}{q} - \mathcal{Q}_r^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) \right) dW_r = I_1(x, q) + I_2(s, x, q). \quad (6.43)$$

Hence, for any $\delta > 0$,

$$E[|J(s, x, q)|^2 + \int_s^T \left| \frac{Z_r^{t,x,P_{\xi+q\eta}} - Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}}{q} - \mathcal{Q}_r^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) \right|^2 dr] \leq C_\delta E[|I_1(x, q)|^2] + (1 + \delta) E[|I_2(s, x, q)|^2],$$

where $C_\delta > 0$ depends on $\delta > 0$. Furthermore, combining the preceding estimate with (6.32), (6.33), (6.34) and (6.35), we obtain for $\delta > 0$ such that $\alpha_1(1 + \delta)^2 < 1$, the existence of a constant $C_\delta > 0$ depending on $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & E[|J(s, x, q)|^2] + (1 - \alpha_1(1 + \delta)^2) E\left[\int_s^T \left| \frac{Z_r^{t,x,P_{\xi+q\eta}} - Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}}{q} - \mathcal{Q}_r^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) \right|^2 dr\right] \\ & \leq C_\delta \left(E[|I_1(x, q)|^2] + E[|I_{2,2}(s, x, q)|^2] \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad q \downarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.44)$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{1}{q} (Z^{t,x,P_{\xi+q\eta}} - Z^{t,x,P_\xi}) - \mathcal{Q}^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) \xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

Substituting in (6.44) ξ for x , we get from the independence of the integrands of \mathcal{G}_t that

$$\begin{aligned} & E[|J(s, \xi, q)|^2] + (1 - \alpha_1(1 + \delta)^2) E\left[\int_s^T \left| \frac{Z_r^{t,\xi,P_{\xi+q\eta}} - Z_r^{t,\xi,P_\xi}}{q} - \mathcal{Q}_r^{t,\xi}(\eta) \right|^2 dr\right] \\ & \leq C_\delta \left(E[|I_1(\xi, q)|^2] + E[|I_{2,2}(s, \xi, q)|^2] \right), \end{aligned} \quad (6.45)$$

and with the same arguments as those used for (6.44), we show that

$$E[|I_1(\xi, q)|^2] + E[|I_{2,2}(s, \xi, q)|^2] \rightarrow 0, \quad q \downarrow 0. \quad (6.46)$$

Then also

$$\frac{1}{q} (Z^{t,\xi,P_{\xi+q\eta}} - Z^{t,\xi,P_\xi}) - \mathcal{Q}^{t,\xi}(\eta) \xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 0} 0. \quad (6.47)$$

Thus, as $\partial_\mu h$ is bounded, we get

$$E[|I_{3,2,1}(s, x, q)|^2] \leq C E\left[\int_t^T \left| \frac{Z_r^{t,\xi,P_{\xi+q\eta}} - Z_r^{t,\xi,P_\xi}}{q} - \mathcal{Q}_r^{t,\xi}(\eta) \right|^2 dr\right] \rightarrow 0, \quad q \rightarrow 0. \quad (6.48)$$

Recalling the definition of $J(s, x, q)$, (6.36) and (6.41), we see

$$\frac{1}{q}(Y_s^{t,x,P_{\xi+q\eta}} - Y_s^{t,x,P_{\xi}}) - \mathcal{O}_s^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) = J(s, x, q) + I_{3,1}(s, x, q) + I_{3,2,1}(s, x, q) + I_{3,2,2}(s, x, q). \quad (6.49)$$

Consequently, combining with (6.40), (6.42), (6.44), (6.48) and (6.49), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{q}(Y_s^{t,x,P_{\xi+q\eta}} - Y_s^{t,x,P_{\xi}}) - \mathcal{O}_s^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) \xrightarrow[q \rightarrow 0]{L^2(\mathcal{F}_s; \mathbb{R})} 0.$$

Step 2. In Step 1 we have proved that the directional derivatives of $Y^{t,x,\xi}$, $Z^{t,x,\xi}$ in all direction $\eta \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$ exist and the directional derivatives $\partial_{\xi} Y_s^{t,x,\xi}(\eta)$, $\partial_{\xi} Z_s^{t,x,\xi}(\eta)$ coincide with $\mathcal{O}_s^{t,x,\xi}(\eta)$, $\mathcal{Q}_s^{t,x,\xi}(\eta)$. Recall that $\mathcal{O}_s^{t,x,\xi}(\eta)$, $\mathcal{Q}_s^{t,x,\xi}(\eta)$ are linear and continuous mappings. Consequently, $Y_s^{t,x,\xi}$, $Z_s^{t,x,\xi}$ as functionals of ξ are Gâteaux differentiable, and furthermore, from Lemma 6.1 the Gâteaux derivatives can be characterized by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\xi} Y_s^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) &= \mathcal{O}_s^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) = \overline{E}[O_s^{t,x,P_{\xi}}(\bar{\xi}) \cdot \bar{\eta}], \quad s \in [t, T], \quad P\text{-a.s.}, \\ \partial_{\xi} Z_s^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) &= \mathcal{Q}_s^{t,x,\xi}(\eta) = \overline{E}[Q_s^{t,x,P_{\xi}}(\bar{\xi}) \cdot \bar{\eta}], \quad ds dP\text{-a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. ■

In order to prove $\partial_{\xi} Y_s^{t,x,\xi}(\eta)$, $\partial_{\xi} Z_s^{t,x,\xi}(\eta)$ are Fréchet derivatives, we want to show that

$$\begin{aligned} L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}) \ni \xi &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_s^{t,x,\xi} \in L(L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}), L^2(\mathcal{F}_s; \mathbb{R})), \\ L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}) \ni \xi &\rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_s^{t,x,\xi} \in L(L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}), \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R})) \end{aligned}$$

are continuous.

Lemma 6.3. *Under the Assumptions (H5.1) and (H6.1), for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the linear functionals $\partial_{\xi} Y_s^{t,x,\cdot} = \mathcal{O}_s^{t,x,\cdot} (= (\xi \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_s^{t,x,\xi})) \in L(L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}), L^2(\mathcal{F}_s; \mathbb{R}))$, $\partial_{\xi} Z_s^{t,x,\cdot} = \mathcal{Q}_s^{t,x,\cdot} (= (\xi \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_s^{t,x,\xi})) \in L(L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}), \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}))$ are continuous.*

Proof. We only prove that $\partial_{\xi} Y_s^{t,x,\xi} = \mathcal{O}_s^{t,x,\xi}$, $s \in [t, T]$ is continuous with respect to ξ . The continuity of $\partial_{\xi} Z_s^{t,x,\cdot} = \mathcal{Q}_s^{t,x,\cdot}$ can be proved with a similar argument. From (6.16) as well as (6.19) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\partial_{\xi} Y_s^{t,x,P_{\xi}} - \partial_{\xi} Y_s^{t,x,P_{\xi'}}|_{L(L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}), L^2(\mathcal{F}_s; \mathbb{R}))}^2 = \sup_{\eta \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}), |\eta|_{L^2} \leq 1} E[|\partial_{\xi} Y_s^{t,x,P_{\xi}}(\eta) - \partial_{\xi} Y_s^{t,x,P_{\xi'}}(\eta)|^2] \\ &= \sup_{\eta \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}), |\eta|_{L^2} \leq 1} E[|\overline{E}[(O_s^{t,x,P_{\xi}}(\bar{\xi}) - O_s^{t,x,P_{\xi'}}(\bar{\xi}')) \cdot \bar{\eta}]|^2] \\ &\leq \sup_{\eta \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}), |\eta|_{L^2} \leq 1} E[\overline{E}[|O_s^{t,x,P_{\xi}}(\bar{\xi}) - O_s^{t,x,P_{\xi'}}(\bar{\xi}')|^2] \cdot \overline{E}[|\bar{\eta}|^2]] \\ &\leq E[\overline{E}[|O_s^{t,x,P_{\xi}}(\bar{\xi}) - O_s^{t,x,P_{\xi'}}(\bar{\xi}')|^2]] = \overline{E}[E[|O_s^{t,x,P_{\xi}}(y) - O_s^{t,x,P_{\xi'}}(y')|^2]_{y=\bar{\xi}, y'=\bar{\xi}'}] \\ &\leq CM^2(\overline{E}[|\bar{\xi} - \bar{\xi}'|^2] + W_2(P_{\xi}, P_{\xi'})^2) + E[\rho_M(t, x, \xi, P_{\xi})] + E[\rho_M(t, \xi, P_{\xi})] + E[\rho_M(t, \xi, \xi, P_{\xi})] \\ &\leq CM^2 E[|\xi - \xi'|^2] + E[\rho_M(t, x, \xi, P_{\xi})] + E[\rho_M(t, \xi, P_{\xi})] + E[\rho_M(t, \xi, \xi, P_{\xi})], \quad t \leq s \leq T, \end{aligned}$$

for all $M \geq 1$, where $E[\rho_M(t, x, \xi, P_{\xi})] \rightarrow 0$, $E[\rho_M(t, \xi, P_{\xi})] \rightarrow 0$, $E[\rho_M(t, \xi, \xi, P_{\xi})] \rightarrow 0$, as $M \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{\lim}_{\xi' \xrightarrow{L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})} \xi} |\partial_{\xi} Y_s^{t,x,P_{\xi}} - \partial_{\xi} Y_s^{t,x,P_{\xi'}}|_{L(L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}), L^2(\mathcal{F}_s; \mathbb{R}))}^2 \\ &\leq E[\rho_M(t, x, \xi, P_{\xi})] + E[\rho_M(t, \xi, P_{\xi})] + E[\rho_M(t, \xi, \xi, P_{\xi})] \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } M \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. ■

So far, combining the Lemmas 6.1, 6.2 and 6.3, Theorem 6.2 has been proved. As shown in Section 5, $(O^{t,x,P_\xi}, Q^{t,x,P_\xi})$ is the derivative of $(Y^{t,x,P_\xi}, Z^{t,x,P_\xi})$ with respect to the measure P_ξ , i.e., $\partial_\mu Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) := O_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)$, $\partial_\mu Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) := Q_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)$. As a direct result of (6.18) and Proposition 6.2 we have

Proposition 6.3. *For all $p \in [2, p_0]$, under the Assumptions (H4.2), (H5.1) and (H6.1), there is a constant $C_p > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of the coefficients, such that, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, x', y, y' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, P -a.s.,*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\partial_\mu Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)|^p + \left(\int_t^T |\partial_\mu Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \leq C_p, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\partial_\mu Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) - \partial_\mu Y_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y')|^p + \left(\int_t^T |\partial_\mu Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) - \partial_\mu Z_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y')|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \\ & \leq C_p M^p \left(|x - x'|^p + |y - y'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^p \right) \\ & \quad + \rho_{M,p}(t, x, y, P_\xi) + \rho_{M,p}(t, y, P_\xi) + E[\rho_{M,p}(t, \xi, y, P_\xi)], \end{aligned} \quad (6.50)$$

with $M \geq 1$, $\rho_{M,p}(t, x, y, P_\xi) \rightarrow 0$, $\rho_{M,p}(t, y, P_\xi) \rightarrow 0$, $E[\rho_{M,p}(t, \xi, y, P_\xi)] \rightarrow 0$, as $M \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 6.3. *In analogy to the proof in Proposition 4.1, it can easily be checked that under the Assumptions (H5.1) and (H6.1), there exists a constant $C > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of the coefficients, such that for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, x', y, y' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, P -a.s.,*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\partial_\mu Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)|^2 + \int_t^T |\partial_\mu Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)|^2 ds \right] \leq C, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\partial_\mu Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) - \partial_\mu Y_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y')|^2 + \int_t^T |\partial_\mu Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) - \partial_\mu Z_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y')|^2 ds \right] \\ & \leq CM^2 \left(|x - x'|^2 + |y - y'|^2 + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^2 \right) + \rho_M(t, x, y, P_\xi) + \rho_M(t, y, P_\xi) + E[\rho_M(t, \xi, y, P_\xi)], \end{aligned}$$

with $M \geq 1$, $\rho_M(t, x, y, P_\xi) \rightarrow 0$, $\rho_M(t, y, P_\xi) \rightarrow 0$, $E[\rho_M(t, \xi, y, P_\xi)] \rightarrow 0$, as $M \rightarrow \infty$.

7 Second order derivatives of X^{t,x,P_ξ}

In this section we investigate the second order derivatives of X^{t,x,P_ξ} . For this we use the following hypothesis.

Assumption (H7.1) The couple of coefficients (b, σ) belongs to $C_b^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d); \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$, that is, $(b, \sigma) \in C_b^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d); \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$ [see Assumption (H5.1)] and the derivatives of the components b_j , $\sigma_{i,j}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq d$, have the following properties:

- (i) $\partial_{x_k} b_i(\cdot, \mu)$, $\partial_{x_k} \sigma_{i,j}(\cdot, \mu) \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, for all $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leq k \leq d$;
- (ii) $\partial_\mu b_j(x, \mu, \cdot)$, $\partial_\mu \sigma_{i,j}(x, \mu, \cdot) \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d)$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$;
- (iii) All the derivatives of b_j , $\sigma_{i,j}$ up to order 2 are bounded and Lipschitz.

Theorem 7.1. *Under Assumption (H7.1) the first order derivatives $x \rightarrow \partial_{x_i} X^{t,x,P_\xi}$, $\partial_\mu X^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ are differentiable w.r.t. x and y , respectively, and for*

$$M_{s,i,j}^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) := (\partial_{x_i x_j}^2 X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, \partial_{y_i}(\partial_\mu X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y))), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq d,$$

we have that, for all $p \geq 2$, there exists a constant $C_p \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, x', y, y' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leq i, j \leq d$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |M_{s,i,j}^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)|^p \right] \leq C_p; \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |M_{s,i,j}^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) - M_{s,i,j}^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y')|^p \right] \leq C_p \left(|x - x'|^p + |y - y'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^p \right); \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, t+h]} |M_{s,i,j}^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)|^p \right] \leq C_p h^{\frac{p}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t+h \leq T. \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

For the proof we refer to Section 5 in [4], or Proposition 5.1 in [12].

We now recall that the first order derivative $\partial_x X^{t,x,P_\xi}$ is differentiable in Malliavin's sense and that the derivative is a solution of a linear SDE.

Proposition 7.1. *Let Assumption (H7.1) hold true. Then for all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $s \in [t, T]$, $1 \leq k \leq d$, the first order derivative $\partial_{x_k} X^{t,x,P_\xi} \in (\mathbb{D}^{1,2})^d$ and a version of $\{D_\theta[\partial_{x_k} X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}] : \theta, s \in [t, T]\}$ is given by:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & D_\theta[\partial_{x_k} X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}] = 0, \text{ if } \theta > s; \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \{D_\theta[\partial_{x_k} X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}] = (D_\theta^i[\partial_{x_k} X_s^{t,x,P_\xi,j}])_{1 \leq i,j \leq d} : s \in [\theta, T]\} \text{ is the unique solution of the linear SDE} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_\theta[\partial_{x_k} X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}] &= \partial_x \sigma(X_\theta^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_\theta^{t,\xi}}) \partial_x X_\theta^{t,x,P_\xi} + \int_\theta^s \partial_{xx}^2 b(X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) D_\theta[X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}] \partial_x X_r^{t,x,P_\xi} dr \\ &+ \int_\theta^s \partial_x b(X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) D_\theta[\partial_{x_k} X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}] dr + \int_\theta^s \partial_{xx}^2 \sigma(X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) D_\theta[X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}] \partial_x X_r^{t,x,P_\xi} dW_r \\ &+ \int_\theta^s \partial_x \sigma(X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) D_\theta[\partial_{x_k} X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}] dW_r, \quad \theta \leq s \leq T. \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

Furthermore, for all $p \geq 2$ there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of the coefficients b , σ and their first and second order derivatives, such that, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leq k \leq d$, P -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |D_\theta[\partial_{x_k} X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}]|^p \right] \leq C_p; \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |D_\theta[\partial_{x_k} X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}] - D_\theta[\partial_{x_k} X_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}]|^p \right] \leq C_p \left(|x - x'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^p \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7.3)$$

Proof. It is standard to prove that $\partial_x X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}$ has a Malliavin derivative $D_\theta[\partial_{x_k} X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}]$ under our assumptions, and $D_\theta[\partial_{x_k} X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}]$ satisfies SDE (7.2). So we omit proving this here. Moreover, with the help of Lemma 3.1, the Propositions 3.1 and 5.1 as well as standard estimates for classical SDEs it can easily be verified that (7.3) holds true. \blacksquare

8 Second order derivatives of $(Y^{t,x,P_\xi}, Z^{t,x,P_\xi})$

This section is devoted to the study of second order derivatives of $(Y^{t,x,P_\xi}, Z^{t,x,P_\xi})$.

Assumption (H8.1) Let $\Phi \in C_b^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, $f \in C_b^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1+d} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^{d+1+d}))$ and $h \in C_b^2(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^{d+1+d}); \mathbb{R}^l)$.

First we recall that under natural conditions the first order derivative $(\partial_x Y^{t,x,P_\xi}, \partial_x Z^{t,x,P_\xi})$ is differentiable w.r.t. the Brownian motion W in Malliavin's sense and that the derivative is a solution of a linear BDSDE. For this we need an additional assumption on g .

Assumption (H8.2) The coefficient g is affine in z : For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$g(x, y, z, \mu) = g^1(x, y, \mu) + g^2(\mu(\cdot \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d))z,$$

where $g^1 \in C_b^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^{d+1+d}); \mathbb{R}^l)$ and $g^2 \in C_b^2(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d); \mathbb{R}^l)$. In addition we suppose $|g^2|^2 \leq \alpha_1$, $\sum_{k=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^l |(\partial_\mu g_i^1)_{d+1+k}|^2 \leq \alpha_2$, where constants $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$ with $0 < \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 < 1$.

Remark 8.1. In order to understand better why we need Assumption (H8.2), consider for $d = l = 1$ and for the functions $\Phi, g \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$ with $|\partial_z g|^2 \leq \alpha_1$, the BDSDE

$$Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi} = \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}) + \int_s^T g(Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}) d\overleftarrow{B}_r - \int_s^T Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} dW_r, \quad s \in [t, T].$$

Then, as we have seen, $(\partial_x Y^{t,x,P_\xi}, \partial_x Z^{t,x,P_\xi})$ is the solution of the linear BDSDE

$$\partial_x Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi} = \partial_x \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}) \partial_x X_T^{t,x,P_\xi} + \int_s^T \partial_z g(Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}) \partial_x Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} d\overleftarrow{B}_r - \int_s^T \partial_x Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} dW_r, \quad s \in [t, T], \quad (8.1)$$

and the formal second derivative $(\partial_{xx}^2 Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, \partial_{xx}^2 Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi})$ should solve the BDSDE

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{xx}^2 Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi} &= \partial_{xx}^2 \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}) (\partial_x X_T^{t,x,P_\xi})^2 + \partial_x \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}) \partial_{xx}^2 X_T^{t,x,P_\xi} \\ &+ \int_s^T \left(\partial_{zz}^2 g(Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}) (\partial_x Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi})^2 + \partial_z g(Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}) \partial_{xx}^2 Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} \right) d\overleftarrow{B}_r - \int_s^T \partial_{xx}^2 Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} dW_r, \quad s \in [t, T]. \end{aligned}$$

However, in order to make sense to the integral $\int_s^T \partial_{zz}^2 g(Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}) (\partial_x Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi})^2 d\overleftarrow{B}_r$, $s \in [t, T]$, we need

$P(\int_t^T |\partial_x Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}|^4 dr < \infty) = 1$, while equation (8.1) only allows to conclude that $E[(\int_t^T |\partial_x Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}|^2 dr)^p] < \infty$, $p \geq 1$. This is the reason why we have to avoid $(\partial_x Z^{t,x,P_\xi})^2$ in the stochastic integral driven by \overleftarrow{B}_r , and this is why we suppose (H8.2).

Proposition 8.1. Let the Assumptions (H4.2), (H7.1), (H8.1) and (H8.2) hold true. Then for all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $s \in [t, T]$, $1 \leq k \leq d$, $(\partial_{x_k} Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, \partial_{x_k} Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}) \in L^2(t, T; (\mathbb{D}^{1,2})^{1+d})$, and a version of $\{D_\theta[\partial_{x_k} Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}], D_\theta[\partial_{x_k} Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}], \theta, s \in [t, T]\}$ is given by:

- (i) $D_\theta[\partial_{x_k} Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}] = 0$, $D_\theta[\partial_{x_k} Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}] = 0$, $t \leq s < \theta \leq T$;
- (ii) $\{D_\theta[\partial_x Y^{t,x,P_\xi}] = (D_\theta^i[\partial_x Y^{t,x,P_\xi}])_{1 \leq i \leq d}$, $D_\theta[\partial_x Z^{t,x,P_\xi}] = (D_\theta^i[\partial_x Z^{t,x,P_\xi}])_{1 \leq i \leq d}$, $s \in [\theta, T]\}$

is the unique solution of the linear BDSDE (Here for simplicity written for $d = 1$, $l = 1$ and

$$f(\Pi_s^{t,x,\xi}, P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}}) = f(Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}), g(\Pi_s^{t,x,\xi}, P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}}) = g^2(P_{X_s^{t,\xi}})Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, h(P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}}) = h(P_{(Y_s^{t,\xi}, Z_s^{t,\xi})}), \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_T^{t,\xi}}) = \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi})$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_\theta[\partial_x Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}] &= \partial_{xx}^2 \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}) D_\theta[X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}] \partial_x X_T^{t,x,P_\xi} + \partial_x \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}) D_\theta[\partial_x X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}] \\ &\quad + \int_s^T \left(\partial_{zz}^2 f(Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}) D_\theta[Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}] \partial_x Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} + \partial_z f(Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}) D_\theta[\partial_x Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}] \right) dr \\ &\quad + \int_s^T g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) D_\theta[\partial_x Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}] d\overleftarrow{B}_r - \int_s^T D_\theta[\partial_x Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}] dW_r, \quad \theta \leq s \leq T. \end{aligned} \quad (8.2)$$

Moreover, $\partial_x Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi} = P\text{-}\lim_{s < u \downarrow s} D_s[\partial_x Y_u^{t,x,P_\xi}]$, $d\text{sd}P$ -a.e. Furthermore, there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of the coefficients, such that for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leq k \leq d$, P -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |D_\theta[\partial_{x_k} Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}]|^p + \left(\int_t^T |[D_\theta \partial_{x_k} Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}]|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \leq C_p, \text{ for all } p \in [2, \frac{p_0}{2}]; \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |D_\theta[\partial_{x_k} Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}] - D_\theta[\partial_{x_k} Y_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}]|^p + \left(\int_t^T |D_\theta[\partial_{x_k} Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}] - D_\theta[\partial_{x_k} Z_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}]|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \\ & \leq C_p \left(|x - x'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^p \right), \text{ for all } p \in [2, \frac{p_0}{8}]. \end{aligned} \quad (8.3)$$

In particular, there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of the coefficients, such that for all $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $d\text{sd}P$ -a.e., $s \in [t, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & E[|\partial_{x_k} Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}|^p] \leq C_p, \text{ for all } p \in [2, \frac{p_0}{2}]; \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & E[|\partial_{x_k} Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi} - \partial_{x_k} Z_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^p] \leq C_p \left(|x - x'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^p \right), \text{ for all } p \in [2, \frac{p_0}{8}]. \end{aligned} \quad (8.4)$$

Proof. Here for simplicity written for $d = 1$, $l = 1$ and $f(\Pi_s^{t,x,\xi}, P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}}) = f(Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi})$, $g(\Pi_s^{t,x,\xi}, P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}}) = g^2(P_{X_s^{t,\xi}})Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}$, $h(P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}}) = h(P_{(Y_s^{t,\xi}, Z_s^{t,\xi})})$ and $\Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_T^{t,\xi}}) = \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi})$.

It is standard to prove that $\partial_x Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}$ and $\partial_x Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}$ are Malliavin differentiable under our assumptions, and that they satisfy BDSDE (8.2), and

$$\partial_x Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} = P\text{-}\lim_{r < s \downarrow r} D_r[\partial_x Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}], \quad (8.5)$$

so we omit proving this here. Thus, it suffices to prove (8.3). For this, note that, from Lemma A.1-(2) and the Propositions 3.1, 5.1, A.1 and A.2, as well as from Theorem A.3 and the Hölder inequality, we get $E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |D_\theta[\partial_x Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}]|^{\frac{p_0}{2}} + \left(\int_t^T |[D_\theta \partial_x Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}]|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p_0}{4}} \right] \leq C_{\frac{p_0}{2}}$. Again from Hölder's inequality, for all $p \in [2, \frac{p_0}{2}]$ we have

$$E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |D_\theta[\partial_x Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}]|^p + \left(\int_t^T |[D_\theta \partial_x Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}]|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \leq C_p. \quad (8.6)$$

Moreover, from (8.6) and (8.5) we obtain (8.4)-(i). In analogy to estimate (8.4)-(i), we also show that

$$E[|D_\theta[Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}]|^p] \leq C_p, \quad s \in [\theta, T], \quad \theta \leq T, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}), \quad p \in [2, \frac{p_0}{2}]. \quad (8.7)$$

Now we prove estimate (8.3)-(ii). For all $0 \leq t \leq T$, $t \leq \theta \leq s \leq T$, $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$, we get from (8.2) the following BDSDE:

$$\begin{aligned} D_\theta[\partial_x Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}] - D_\theta[\partial_x Y_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}] &= \int_s^T \partial_z f(Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}) \left(D_\theta[\partial_x Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}] - D_\theta[\partial_x Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}] \right) dr \\ &+ \int_s^T g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) \left(D_\theta[\partial_x Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}] - D_\theta[\partial_x Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}] \right) d\overleftarrow{B}_r - \int_s^T \left(D_\theta[\partial_x Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}] - D_\theta[\partial_x Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}] \right) dW_r \quad (8.8) \\ &+ I(t, x, P_\xi, x', P_{\xi'}) + \int_s^T R(r, x, P_\xi, x', P_{\xi'}) dr + \int_s^T H(r, x, P_\xi, x', P_{\xi'}) d\overleftarrow{B}_r, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I(t, x, P_\xi, x', P_{\xi'}) &:= \left(\partial_{xx}^2 \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}) D_\theta[X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}] \partial_x X_T^{t,x,P_\xi} + \partial_x \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}) D_\theta[\partial_x X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}] \right) \\ &- \left(\partial_{xx}^2 \Phi(X_T^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}) D_\theta[X_T^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}] \partial_x X_T^{t,x',P_{\xi'}} + \partial_x \Phi(X_T^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}) D_\theta[\partial_x X_T^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}] \right), \\ R(r, x, P_\xi, x', P_{\xi'}) &:= \partial_{zz}^2 f(Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}) D_\theta[Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}] \partial_x Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} - \partial_{zz}^2 f(Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}) D_\theta[Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}] \partial_x Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}} \\ &+ \left(\partial_z f(Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}) - \partial_z f(Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}) \right) D_\theta[\partial_x Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}], \\ H(r, x, P_\xi, x', P_{\xi'}) &:= \left(g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) - g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi'}}) \right) D_\theta[\partial_x Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}]. \end{aligned}$$

From (8.3)-(i), (8.7), Lemmas A.1, Remark 4.1 and 3.1, and the Propositions 3.1, 5.1, A.1, A.2 and 7.1 as well as our assumptions, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &E \left[\sup_{s \in [\theta, T]} |D_\theta[\partial_x Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}] - D_\theta[\partial_x Y_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}]|^{\frac{p_0}{8}} + \left(\int_\theta^T |D_\theta[\partial_x Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}] - D_\theta[\partial_x Z_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}]|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p_0}{16}} \right] \\ &\leq C_{\frac{p_0}{8}} E \left[|I(t, x, P_\xi, x', P_{\xi'})|^{\frac{p_0}{8}} + \left(\int_\theta^T |R(r, x, P_\xi, x', P_{\xi'})| dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{8}} + \left(\int_\theta^T |H(r, x, P_\xi, x', P_{\xi'})|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{16}} \right] \\ &\leq C_{\frac{p_0}{8}} \int_\theta^T (E[|(\partial_{zz}^2 f)(Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}) - (\partial_{zz}^2 f)(Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}})|^{\frac{p_0}{4}}])^{\frac{1}{2}} (E[|\partial_x Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^{\frac{p_0}{2}}])^{\frac{1}{4}} (E[|D_\theta[Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}]|^{\frac{p_0}{2}}])^{\frac{1}{4}} dr \\ &\quad + C_{\frac{p_0}{8}} \left(E \left[\left(\int_\theta^T |\partial_x Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} - \partial_x Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{8}} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(E \left[\left(\int_\theta^T |D_\theta[Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}]|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{8}} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + C_{\frac{p_0}{8}} \left(E \left[\left(\int_\theta^T |D_\theta[Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}] - D_\theta[Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}]|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{8}} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(E \left[\left(\int_\theta^T |\partial_x Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{8}} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + C_{\frac{p_0}{8}} \left(E \left[\left(\int_\theta^T |(\partial_z f)(Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}) - (\partial_z f)(Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}})|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{8}} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(E \left[\left(\int_\theta^T |D_\theta[\partial_x Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}]|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{8}} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + C_{\frac{p_0}{8}} E \left[\left(\int_\theta^T |g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) - g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi'}})|^2 |D_\theta[\partial_x Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}]|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{16}} \right] + C_{\frac{p_0}{8}} \left(|x - x'|^{\frac{p_0}{8}} + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^{\frac{p_0}{8}} \right) \\ &\leq C_{\frac{p_0}{8}} \int_\theta^T (E[|Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} - Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^{\frac{p_0}{4}}])^{\frac{1}{2}} dr + C_{\frac{p_0}{8}} \left(E \left[\left(\int_\theta^T |\partial_x Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} - \partial_x Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{8}} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + C_{\frac{p_0}{8}} \left(E \left[\left(\int_\theta^T |D_\theta[Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}] - D_\theta[Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}]|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{8}} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_{\frac{p_0}{8}} \left(E \left[\left(\int_\theta^T |Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} - Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{8}} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + C_{\frac{p_0}{8}} \sup_{r \in [\theta, T]} W_2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi'}})^{\frac{p_0}{8}} E \left[\left(\int_\theta^T |D_\theta[\partial_x Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}]|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{16}} \right] + C_p \left(|x - x'|^{\frac{p_0}{8}} + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^{\frac{p_0}{8}} \right) \\ &\leq C_{\frac{p_0}{8}} \left(|x - x'|^{\frac{p_0}{8}} + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^{\frac{p_0}{8}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8.9)$$

Then from Hölder's inequality, for all $p \in [2, \frac{p_0}{8}]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |D_\theta[\partial_{x_k} Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}] - D_\theta[\partial_{x_k} Y_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}]|^p + \left(\int_t^T |D_\theta[\partial_{x_k} Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}] - D_\theta[\partial_{x_k} Z_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}]|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \\ & \leq C_p \left(|x - x'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^p \right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, statement (8.4)-(ii) follows immediately from the above estimate and (8.5). \blacksquare

Now we investigate the L^2 -derivative of $(\partial_x Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, \partial_x Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi})$ with respect to x . We notice that, similar to Theorem 6.1, with standard arguments we obtain the following Theorem.

Theorem 8.1. *Suppose the Assumptions (H4.2), (H7.1), (H8.1) and (H8.2) hold true. Then, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, the mapping $(\partial_x Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, \partial_x Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$ is differentiable in x , and its derivative $(\partial_{xx}^2 Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, \partial_{xx}^2 Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi})$ is the unique solution of a BDSDE. Moreover, there is some constant $C_p > 0$ only depending on the bounds and the Lipschitz constants of the coefficients b, σ, f, g, h, Φ and their first and second order derivatives, such that, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\partial_{xx}^2 Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}|^p + \left(\int_t^T |\partial_{xx}^2 Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \leq C_p, \text{ for all } p \in [2, \frac{p_0}{2}]; \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\partial_{xx}^2 Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi} - \partial_{xx}^2 Y_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^p + \left(\int_t^T |\partial_{xx}^2 Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi} - \partial_{xx}^2 Z_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \\ & \leq C_p \left(|x - x'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^p \right), \text{ for all } p \in [2, \frac{p_0}{8}]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Following the approach for the first-order derivatives, we restrict here ourselves to the dimensions $d = 1$, $l = 1$ and to $f(\Pi_s^{t,x,\xi}, P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}}) = f(Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{Z_s^{t,\xi}})$, $g(\Pi_s^{t,x,\xi}, P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}}) = g^2(P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}, Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi})$, $h(P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}}) = 0$ and $\Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_T^{t,\xi}}) = \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi})$.

First we notice that in analogy to Proposition A.2, as the L^2 -derivative of $(\partial_x Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, \partial_x Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi})$ with respect to x does not concern the law $P_{Z^t, \xi}$, the arguments of the proof are standard. Therefore, it can easily be checked that its derivative solves the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{xx}^2 Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi} &= \partial_{xx}^2 \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}) (\partial_x X_T^{t,x,P_\xi})^2 + \partial_x \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}) \partial_{xx}^2 X_T^{t,x,P_\xi} \\ &\quad + \int_s^T \left(\partial_{zz}^2 f(Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}) (\partial_x Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi})^2 + \partial_z f(Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{Z_r^{t,\xi}}) \partial_{xx}^2 Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} \right) dr \\ &\quad + \int_s^T g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) \partial_{xx}^2 Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} d\overleftarrow{B}_r - \int_s^T \partial_{xx}^2 Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} dW_r, \quad t \leq s \leq T. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma A.1-(2), Theorem 7.1 and the Propositions 5.1 and A.2, we now get (i) directly. Finally, using the similar techniques as the proof of Proposition 8.1, we obtain estimate (ii). \blacksquare

Next, we consider the L^2 -differentiability of $y \rightarrow (\partial_\mu Y^{t,x,P_\xi}(y), \partial_\mu Z^{t,x,P_\xi}(y))$.

Theorem 8.2. *Suppose the Assumptions (H4.2), (H7.1), (H8.1) and (H8.2) hold true. Then, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, the process $(\partial_\mu Y^{t,x,P_\xi}(y), \partial_\mu Z^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}^d) \times$*

$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$ is L^2 -differentiable with respect to y , and its derivative $(\partial_y \partial_\mu Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y), \partial_y \partial_\mu Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y))$ is the unique solution of a BDSDE (see (8.11) in the proof).

Moreover, there is some constant $C_p > 0$ only depending on the bounds and the Lipschitz constants of the coefficients b, σ, f, g, h, Φ and their first and second order derivatives, such that, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, x', y, y' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\partial_y \partial_\mu Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)|^p + \left(\int_t^T |\partial_y \partial_\mu Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \leq C_p, \text{ for all } p \in [2, p_0]; \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\partial_y \partial_\mu Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) - \partial_y \partial_\mu Y_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y')|^p + \left(\int_t^T |\partial_y \partial_\mu Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) - \partial_y \partial_\mu Z_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y')|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \\ & \leq C_p \left(|x - x'|^p + |y - y'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^p \right), \text{ for all } p \in [2, \frac{p_0}{2}]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For simplicity of the computation we use again the special case considered in Proposition 8.1 with, in addition, $f = 0$. Then from (A.39) it follows that, for $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in [0, T]$, $(\partial_\mu Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y), \partial_\mu Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y))$ is a solution of the following BDSDE: For $s \in [t, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) &= \partial_x \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}) \partial_\mu X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) + \int_s^T g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) \partial_\mu Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) d\overleftarrow{B}_r \\ &+ \int_s^T \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu g^2)(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{X}_r^{t,y,P_\xi}) \partial_x \widehat{X}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} + (\partial_\mu g^2)(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{X}_r^{t,\xi}) \partial_\mu \widehat{X}_r^{t,\xi,P_\xi}(y) \right] Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} d\overleftarrow{B}_r \\ &+ \int_s^T \widehat{E} \left[\left\langle (\partial_\mu h)(P_{(Y_r^{t,\xi}, Z_r^{t,\xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t,y,P_\xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi})), \left(\partial_x \widehat{Y}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} \right) \right\rangle \right] d\overleftarrow{B}_r \\ &+ \int_s^T \widehat{E} \left[\left\langle (\partial_\mu h)(P_{(Y_r^{t,\xi}, Z_r^{t,\xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t,\xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\xi})), \left(\partial_\mu \widehat{Y}_r^{t,\xi,P_\xi}(y) \right) \right\rangle \right] d\overleftarrow{B}_r - \int_s^T \partial_\mu Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}(y) dW_r. \end{aligned} \quad (8.10)$$

Differentiating formally the above BDSDE (8.10) with respect to y , we get the following BDSDE:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_y (\partial_\mu Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)) &= \partial_x \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}) \partial_y (\partial_\mu X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)) + \int_s^T g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) \partial_y (\partial_\mu Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)) d\overleftarrow{B}_r \\ &+ \int_s^T \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu g^2)(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{X}_r^{t,y,P_\xi}) \partial_{xx}^2 \widehat{X}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} + \partial_y ((\partial_\mu g^2)(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{X}_r^{t,y,P_\xi})) (\partial_x \widehat{X}_r^{t,y,P_\xi})^2 \right] Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} d\overleftarrow{B}_r \\ &+ \int_s^T \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu g^2)(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{X}_r^{t,\xi}) \partial_y (\partial_\mu \widehat{X}_r^{t,\xi}(y)) \right] Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} d\overleftarrow{B}_r - \int_s^T \partial_y (\partial_\mu Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)) dW_r + R_s^{t,y,P_\xi}, \quad s \in [t, T], \end{aligned} \quad (8.11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_s^{t,y,P_\xi} &:= \int_s^T \left\{ \widehat{E} \left[\left\langle \partial_{(y,z)} (\partial_\mu h)(P_{(Y_r^{t,\xi}, Z_r^{t,\xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t,y,P_\xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi})) \cdot \left(\partial_x \widehat{Y}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} \right), \left(\partial_x \widehat{Y}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} \right) \right\rangle \right] \right. \\ &+ \widehat{E} \left[\left\langle (\partial_\mu h)(P_{(Y_r^{t,\xi}, Z_r^{t,\xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t,y,P_\xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi})), \left(\partial_{xx}^2 \widehat{Y}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} \right) \right\rangle \right] \\ &\left. + \widehat{E} \left[\left\langle (\partial_\mu h)(P_{(Y_r^{t,\xi}, Z_r^{t,\xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t,\xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\xi})), \left(\partial_y (\partial_\mu \widehat{Y}_r^{t,\xi,P_\xi}(y)) \right) \right\rangle \right] \right\} d\overleftarrow{B}_r. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that (R_s^{t,y,P_ξ}) is $(\mathcal{F}_{s,T}^B \vee \mathcal{F}^0)$ -adapted.

We first prove estimate (i). For this we consider Eq. (8.11) with x replaced by ξ , then from Theorem A.1 this equation has a unique solution $(\partial_y(\partial_\mu Y_s^{t,\xi,P_\xi}(y)), \partial_y(\partial_\mu Z_s^{t,\xi,P_\xi}(y))) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R})$, and, furthermore, from Theorem A.3 combined with Proposition 8.1 and the Theorems 7.1 and 8.1, we get that there is some $C_{p_0} > 0$ only depending on the bounds and the Lipschitz constants of the coefficients and their derivatives of order 1 and 2 such that

$$E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\partial_y(\partial_\mu Y_s^{t,\xi,P_\xi}(y))|^{p_0} + \left(\int_t^T |\partial_y(\partial_\mu Z_s^{t,\xi,P_\xi}(y))|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right] \leq C_{p_0},$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$. Then from Hölder's inequality, for all $p \in [2, p_0]$ we have

$$E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\partial_y(\partial_\mu Y_s^{t,\xi,P_\xi}(y))|^p + \left(\int_t^T |\partial_y(\partial_\mu Z_s^{t,\xi,P_\xi}(y))|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \leq C_p. \quad (8.12)$$

Then it follows from Theorem A.1 that equation (8.11) has a unique solution $(\partial_y(\partial_\mu Y^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)), \partial_y(\partial_\mu Z^{t,x,P_\xi}(y))) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R})$, and making use of Theorem A.3 we see that

$$E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\partial_y(\partial_\mu Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y))|^{p_0} + \left(\int_t^T |\partial_y(\partial_\mu Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y))|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right] \leq C_{p_0},$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$. Again from Hölder's inequality, for all $p \in [2, p_0]$ we have

$$E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\partial_y(\partial_\mu Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y))|^p + \left(\int_t^T |\partial_y(\partial_\mu Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y))|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \leq C_p, \quad (8.13)$$

Next we prove estimate (ii). Let $x, x', y, y' \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $\xi, \xi', \vartheta, \vartheta' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$ be such that $P_\vartheta = P_\xi$, $P_{\vartheta'} = P_{\xi'}$. Note that $(X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi})$ and $(O_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y), Q_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y))$, $t \leq s \leq T$, are independent of \mathcal{G}_t . Hence, from (8.11) we get the following BDSDE:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_y(\partial_\mu Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)) - R_s^{t,y,P_\xi}) - (\partial_y(\partial_\mu Y_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y')) - R_s^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}) \\ &= I_1(x, y, P_\xi, x', y', P_{\xi'}) + \int_s^T H(r, x, y, P_\xi, x', y', P_{\xi'}) d\overleftarrow{B}_r \\ &+ \int_s^T g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) \left(\partial_y(\partial_\mu Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y(\partial_\mu Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y')) \right) d\overleftarrow{B}_r \\ &+ \int_s^T \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu g^2)(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{X}_r^{t,\vartheta,P_\xi}) \left(\partial_y(\partial_\mu \widehat{X}_r^{t,\vartheta,P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y(\partial_\mu \widehat{X}_r^{t,\vartheta',P_{\xi'}}(y')) \right) \right] Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} d\overleftarrow{B}_r \\ &- \int_s^T \left(\partial_y(\partial_\mu Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y(\partial_\mu Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y')) \right) dW_r, \quad s \in [t, T], \end{aligned} \quad (8.14)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(x, y, P_\xi, x', y', P_{\xi'}) &:= (\partial_x \Phi)(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}) \partial_y(\partial_\mu X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)) - (\partial_x \Phi)(X_T^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}) \partial_y(\partial_\mu X_T^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y')), \\ H(r, x, y, P_\xi, x', y', P_{\xi'}) &:= \left(g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) - g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi'}}) \right) \cdot \partial_y(\partial_\mu Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y')) \\ &+ \widehat{E} \left[\left((\partial_\mu g^2)(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{X}_r^{t,\vartheta,P_\xi}) Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} - (\partial_\mu g^2)(P_{X_r^{t,\xi'}}, \widehat{X}_r^{t,\vartheta',P_{\xi'}}) Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}} \right) \cdot \partial_y(\partial_\mu \widehat{X}_r^{t,\vartheta',P_{\xi'}}(y')) \right] \\ &+ \left(\widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu g^2)(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{X}_r^{t,y,P_\xi}) \partial_{xx}^2 \widehat{X}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} \right] - \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu g^2)(P_{X_r^{t,\xi'}}, \widehat{X}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}) \partial_{xx}^2 \widehat{X}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}} \right] \right) \\ &+ \left(\widehat{E} \left[\partial_y((\partial_\mu g^2)(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{X}_r^{t,y,P_\xi})) (\partial_x \widehat{X}_r^{t,y,P_\xi})^2 \right] - \widehat{E} \left[\partial_y((\partial_\mu g^2)(P_{X_r^{t,\xi'}}, \widehat{X}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}})) (\partial_x \widehat{X}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}})^2 \right] \right). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.1, the Theorems 7.1 and 8.1 as well as Propositions 4.2 and 6.1, the same argument as in the proof of the Propositions 6.2 and 8.1 allow to deduce with the help of Corollary A.3

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |(\partial_y(\partial_\mu Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)) - R_s^{t,y,P_\xi}) - (\partial_y(\partial_\mu Y_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y')) - R_s^{t,y',P_{\xi'}})|^p \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left(\int_t^T |\partial_y(\partial_\mu Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y(\partial_\mu Z_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}(y'))|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \\
& \leq C_p \left(|x - x'|^p + |y - y'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^p + (E[|\vartheta - \vartheta'|^2])^{\frac{p}{2}} \right), \text{ for all } p \in [2, \frac{p_0}{2}].
\end{aligned} \tag{8.15}$$

To get the estimate (ii) of the theorem we have still to estimate $R_s^{t,y,P_\xi} - R_s^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}$. For this we remark that, thanks to Theorem 8.1, Remark 4.1 and the Propositions A.1, A.2 and 8.1-(8.4),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{(i)} \quad \int_t^T \left| \widehat{E} \left[\partial_z(\partial_\mu h)_2(P_{(Y_r^{t,\xi}, Z_r^{t,\xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t,y,P_\xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi})) (\partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi})^2 \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - \partial_z(\partial_\mu h)_2(P_{(Y_r^{t,\xi'}, Z_r^{t,\xi'})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}})) (\partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}})^2 \right] \right|^2 dr \\
& \leq C \int_t^T \left| \widehat{E} \left[\left(W_2(P_{(Y_r^{t,\xi}, Z_r^{t,\xi})}, P_{(Y_r^{t,\xi'}, Z_r^{t,\xi'})}) + |\widehat{Y}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} - \widehat{Y}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}| + |\widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} - \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}| \right) (\partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}})^2 \right] \right|^2 dr \\
& \quad + C \int_t^T \left(\widehat{E} \left[|(\partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi})^2 - (\partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}})^2| \right] \right)^2 dr \\
& \leq C \int_t^T \widehat{E} [|\partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}|^4] \widehat{E} \left[W_2(P_{(Y_r^{t,\xi}, Z_r^{t,\xi})}, P_{(Y_r^{t,\xi'}, Z_r^{t,\xi'})})^2 + |\widehat{Y}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} - \widehat{Y}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}|^2 + |\widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} - \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}|^2 \right] dr \\
& \quad + C \int_t^T \widehat{E} \left[|\partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi}|^2 + |\partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}|^2 \right] \cdot \widehat{E} \left[|\partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} - \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}|^2 \right] dr \\
& \leq C \left(|y - y'|^2 + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^2 \right) + C \int_t^T E \left[E \left[|Y_r^{t,x,P_\xi} - Y_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^2 + |Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} - Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^2 \right] \Big|_{x=\vartheta} \right] dr \\
& \leq C \left(|y - y'|^2 + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^2 + E[|\vartheta - \vartheta'|^2] \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly, we see

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{(ii)} \quad \int_t^T \left| \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu h)_2(P_{(Y_r^{t,\xi}, Z_r^{t,\xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t,y,P_\xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi})) \partial_{xx}^2 \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - (\partial_\mu h)_2(P_{(Y_r^{t,\xi'}, Z_r^{t,\xi'})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}})) \partial_{xx}^2 \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}} \right] \right|^2 dr \\
& \leq C \int_t^T \widehat{E} [|\partial_{xx}^2 \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}|^2] \widehat{E} \left[W_2(P_{(Y_r^{t,\xi}, Z_r^{t,\xi})}, P_{(Y_r^{t,\xi'}, Z_r^{t,\xi'})})^2 + |\widehat{Y}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} - \widehat{Y}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}|^2 + |\widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} - \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}|^2 \right] dr \\
& \quad + C \int_t^T \widehat{E} \left[|\partial_{xx}^2 \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} - \partial_{xx}^2 \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}|^2 \right] dr \\
& \leq C \left(|y - y'|^2 + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^2 + E[|\vartheta - \vartheta'|^2] \right) \cdot \left(1 + \int_t^T \widehat{E} [|\partial_{xx}^2 \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y',P_{\xi'}}|^2] dr \right) \\
& \leq C \left(|y - y'|^2 + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^2 + E[|\vartheta - \vartheta'|^2] \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using (8.13) and previous estimates for our BDSDE with affine g , we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(iii)} \quad & \int_t^T \left| \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu h)_2(P_{(Y_r^{t,\xi}, Z_r^{t,\xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t,\xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\xi})) \partial_y (\partial_\mu \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\xi, P_\xi}(y)) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - (\partial_\mu h)_2(P_{(Y_r^{t,\xi'}, Z_r^{t,\xi'})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t,\xi'}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\xi'})) \partial_y (\partial_\mu \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\xi', P_{\xi'}}(y)) \right] \right|^2 dr \\
& \leq C \int_t^T \widehat{E} [|\partial_y (\partial_\mu \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\vartheta', P_{\xi'}}(y))|^2] \widehat{E} [W_2(P_{(Y_r^{t,\xi}, Z_r^{t,\xi})}, P_{(Y_r^{t,\xi'}, Z_r^{t,\xi'})})^2 + |\widehat{Y}_r^{t,\xi} - \widehat{Y}_r^{t,\xi'}|^2 + |\widehat{Z}_r^{t,\xi} - \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\xi'}|^2] dr \\
& \quad + C \int_t^T \widehat{E} [|\partial_y (\partial_\mu \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\vartheta, P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y (\partial_\mu \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\vartheta', P_{\xi'}}(y))|^2] dr \\
& \leq C \int_t^T \widehat{E} [|\partial_y (\partial_\mu \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\vartheta', P_{\xi'}}(y))|^2] E \left[E \left[|Y_r^{t,x, P_\xi} - Y_r^{t,x', P_{\xi'}}|^2 + |Z_r^{t,x, P_\xi} - Z_r^{t,x', P_{\xi'}}|^2 \right] \Big|_{x=\vartheta, x'=\vartheta'} \right] dr \\
& \quad + CE \left[E \left[\int_t^T |\partial_y (\partial_\mu Z_r^{t,x, P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y (\partial_\mu Z_r^{t,x', P_{\xi'}}(y))|^2 dr \right] \Big|_{x=\vartheta, x'=\vartheta'} \right] \\
& \leq C \left(W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^2 + E[|\vartheta - \vartheta'|^2] \right).
\end{aligned}$$

With similar estimates for the remaining terms of $R_s^{t,y, P_\xi} - R_s^{t,y', P_{\xi'}}$ we get that, for $\vartheta, \vartheta' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$ with $P_\vartheta = P_\xi, P_{\vartheta'} = P_{\xi'}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
E \left[\sup_{r \in [s, T]} |R_r^{t,y, P_\xi} - R_r^{t,y', P_{\xi'}}|^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right] & \leq C_{\frac{p_0}{2}} \left(|y - y'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^{\frac{p_0}{2}} + (E[|\vartheta - \vartheta'|^2])^{\frac{p_0}{4}} \right) \\
& \quad + C_{\frac{p_0}{2}} \left(E \left[\int_s^T |\partial_y (\partial_\mu Y_r^{t,\vartheta, P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y (\partial_\mu Y_r^{t,\vartheta', P_{\xi'}}(y))|^2 dr \right] \right)^{\frac{p_0}{4}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, from Hölder's inequality, for all $p \in [2, \frac{p_0}{2}]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E \left[\sup_{r \in [s, T]} |R_r^{t,y, P_\xi} - R_r^{t,y', P_{\xi'}}|^p \right] & \leq C_p \left(|y - y'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^{\frac{p}{2}} + (E[|\vartheta - \vartheta'|^2])^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) \\
& \quad + C_p \left(E \left[\int_s^T |\partial_y (\partial_\mu Y_r^{t,\vartheta, P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y (\partial_\mu Y_r^{t,\vartheta', P_{\xi'}}(y))|^2 dr \right] \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}. \tag{8.16}
\end{aligned}$$

Then, substituting $x = \vartheta, x' = \vartheta'$ in (8.15), using the above estimate, we obtain, for $s \in [t, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left[\sup_{r \in [s, T]} |\partial_y (\partial_\mu Y_r^{t,\vartheta, P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y (\partial_\mu Y_r^{t,\vartheta', P_{\xi'}}(y))|^2 \right] \\
& = E \left[E \left[\sup_{r \in [s, T]} |\partial_y (\partial_\mu Y_r^{t,x, P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y (\partial_\mu Y_r^{t,x', P_{\xi'}}(y))|^2 \right] \Big|_{x=\vartheta, x'=\vartheta'} \right] \\
& \leq CE \left[\sup_{r \in [s, T]} |R_r^{t,y, P_\xi} - R_r^{t,y', P_{\xi'}}|^2 \right] + C \left(|y - y'|^2 + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^2 + E[|\vartheta - \vartheta'|^2] \right) \\
& \leq C \left(|y - y'|^2 + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^2 + E[|\vartheta - \vartheta'|^2] \right) + CE \left[\int_s^T |\partial_y (\partial_\mu Y_r^{t,\vartheta, P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y (\partial_\mu Y_r^{t,\vartheta', P_{\xi'}}(y))|^2 dr \right],
\end{aligned}$$

and thus, from Gronwall's Lemma,

$$E \left[\sup_{r \in [s, T]} |\partial_y (\partial_\mu Y_r^{t,\vartheta, P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y (\partial_\mu Y_r^{t,\vartheta', P_{\xi'}}(y))|^2 \right] \leq C \left(|y - y'|^2 + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^2 + E[|\vartheta - \vartheta'|^2] \right). \tag{8.17}$$

Hence, (8.16) yields

$$E \left[\sup_{r \in [s, T]} |R_r^{t, y, P_\xi} - R_r^{t, y', P_{\xi'}}|^p \right] \leq C_p \left(|y - y'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^p + (E[|\vartheta - \vartheta'|^2])^{\frac{p}{2}} \right). \quad (8.18)$$

Then, from (8.15) and (8.18) we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\partial_y(\partial_\mu Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y(\partial_\mu Y_s^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}(y'))|^p + \left(\int_t^T |\partial_y(\partial_\mu Z_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y(\partial_\mu Z_s^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}(y'))|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \\ & \leq C_p \left(|x - x'|^p + |y - y'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^p + (E[|\vartheta - \vartheta'|^2])^{\frac{p}{2}} \right), \text{ for all } p \in [2, \frac{p_0}{2}]. \end{aligned} \quad (8.19)$$

Finally, from (8.19), by taking the infimum of $(E[|\vartheta - \vartheta'|^2])^{\frac{p}{2}}$ over all $\vartheta, \vartheta' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$ with $P_\vartheta = P_\xi$, $P_{\vartheta'} = P_{\xi'}$, we get estimate (ii).

Now it still remains to show that the formal derivative $(\partial_y(\partial_\mu Y^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)), \partial_y(\partial_\mu Z^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)))$ is really the L^2 -derivative of $(\partial_\mu Y^{t, x, P_\xi}(y), \partial_\mu Z^{t, x, P_\xi}(y))$. For this we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{(i)} \quad \frac{1}{q} (\partial_\mu Y^{t, x, P_\xi}(y + q) - \partial_\mu Y^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y(\partial_\mu Y^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)), \\ & \text{(ii)} \quad \frac{1}{q} (\partial_\mu Z^{t, x, P_\xi}(y + q) - \partial_\mu Z^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y(\partial_\mu Z^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)). \end{aligned}$$

We note that, from (8.10) and (8.11), for all $s \in [t, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{q} (\partial_\mu Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(y + q) - \partial_\mu Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y(\partial_\mu Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)) \\ & = I^q(x, y) + I_s^{1, q}(x, y) + I_s^{2, q}(x, y) + I_s^{3, q}(y) + I_s^{4, q}(y) \\ & \quad + \int_s^T g^2(P_{X_r^{t, \xi}}) \left(\frac{1}{q} (\partial_\mu Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi}(y + q) - \partial_\mu Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y(\partial_\mu Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)) \right) d\overleftarrow{B}_r \\ & \quad - \int_s^T \left(\frac{1}{q} (\partial_\mu Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi}(y + q) - \partial_\mu Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y(\partial_\mu Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)) \right) dW_r, \end{aligned} \quad (8.20)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I^q(x, y) &:= (\partial_x \Phi)(X_T^{t, x, P_\xi}) \left(\frac{1}{q} (\partial_\mu X_T^{t, x, P_\xi}(y + q) - \partial_\mu X_T^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y(\partial_\mu X_T^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)) \right), \\ I_s^{1, q}(x, y) &:= \int_s^T \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu g^2)(P_{X_r^{t, \xi}}, \widehat{X}_r^{t, \xi}) \left(\frac{1}{q} (\partial_\mu \widehat{X}_r^{t, \xi, P_\xi}(y + q) - \partial_\mu \widehat{X}_r^{t, \xi, P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y(\partial_\mu \widehat{X}_r^{t, \xi}(y)) \right) \right] Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi} d\overleftarrow{B}_r, \\ I_s^{2, q}(x, y) &:= \int_s^T \widehat{E} \left[\frac{1}{q} \left((\partial_\mu g^2)(P_{X_r^{t, \xi}}, \widehat{X}_r^{t, y+q, P_\xi}) \partial_x \widehat{X}_r^{t, y+q, P_\xi} - (\partial_\mu g^2)(P_{X_r^{t, \xi}}, \widehat{X}_r^{t, y, P_\xi}) \partial_x \widehat{X}_r^{t, y, P_\xi} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \partial_y((\partial_\mu g^2)(P_{X_r^{t, \xi}}, \widehat{X}_r^{t, y, P_\xi})) (\partial_x \widehat{X}_r^{t, y, P_\xi})^2 - (\partial_\mu g^2)(P_{X_r^{t, \xi}}, \widehat{X}_r^{t, y, P_\xi}) \partial_{xx}^2 \widehat{X}_r^{t, y, P_\xi} \right] Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi} d\overleftarrow{B}_r, \\ I_s^{3, q}(y) &:= \int_s^T \left\{ \frac{1}{q} \left(\widehat{E} \left[\left\langle (\partial_\mu h)(P_{(Y_r^{t, \xi}, Z_r^{t, \xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t, y+q, P_\xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t, y+q, P_\xi})), \left(\partial_x \widehat{Y}_r^{t, y+q, P_\xi} \right) \right\rangle \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left. - \widehat{E} \left[\left\langle (\partial_\mu h)(P_{(Y_r^{t, \xi}, Z_r^{t, \xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t, y, P_\xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t, y, P_\xi})), \left(\partial_x \widehat{Y}_r^{t, y, P_\xi} \right) \right\rangle \right] \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \widehat{E} \left[\left\langle \partial_y (\partial_\mu h) (P_{(Y_r^{t,\xi}, Z_r^{t,\xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t,y,P_\xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi})) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x \widehat{Y}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} \\ \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x \widehat{Y}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} \\ \partial_x \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \right. \\
& \left. - \widehat{E} \left[\left\langle (\partial_\mu h) (P_{(Y_r^{t,\xi}, Z_r^{t,\xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t,y,P_\xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi})), \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{xx}^2 \widehat{Y}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} \\ \partial_{xx}^2 \widehat{Z}_r^{t,y,P_\xi} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \right] \right\} d\overleftarrow{B}_r, \\
I_s^{4,q}(y) &:= \int_s^T \left\{ \widehat{E} \left[\left\langle (\partial_\mu h) (P_{(Y_r^{t,\xi}, Z_r^{t,\xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t,\xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\xi})), \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{q} (\partial_\mu \widehat{Y}_r^{t,\xi,P_\xi}(y+q) - \partial_\mu \widehat{Y}_r^{t,\xi,P_\xi}(y)) \\ \frac{1}{q} (\partial_\mu \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\xi,P_\xi}(y+q) - \partial_\mu \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\xi,P_\xi}(y)) \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \right] \right. \\
& \left. - \widehat{E} \left[\left\langle (\partial_\mu h) (P_{(Y_r^{t,\xi}, Z_r^{t,\xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t,\xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\xi})), \begin{pmatrix} \partial_y (\partial_\mu \widehat{Y}_r^{t,\xi,P_\xi}(y)) \\ \partial_y (\partial_\mu \widehat{Z}_r^{t,\xi,P_\xi}(y)) \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \right] \right\} d\overleftarrow{B}_r.
\end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 7.1 we know

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(i)} \quad & E[|I^q(x, y)|^2] \leq CE \left[\left| \left(\frac{1}{q} (\partial_\mu X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}(y+q) - \partial_\mu X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y (\partial_\mu X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)) \right) \right|^2 \right] \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } q \rightarrow 0; \\
\text{(ii)} \quad & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |I_s^{1,q}(x, y)|^2 \right] \leq CE \left[\int_t^T |Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}|^2 dr \right] \widehat{E} \left[\sup_{r \in [t, T]} \left| \frac{\partial_\mu \widehat{X}_r^{t,\xi}(y+q) - \partial_\mu \widehat{X}_r^{t,\xi}(y)}{q} - \partial_y (\partial_\mu \widehat{X}_r^{t,\xi}(y)) \right|^2 \right] \\
& \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } q \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, Theorem 7.1 combined with Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 5.1 also allows to show

$$E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |I_s^{2,q}(x, y)|^2 \right] \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } q \rightarrow 0.$$

Furthermore, as $I_s^{3,q}(y)$, $I_s^{4,q}(y)$ and so also $J_s^q(y) := I_s^{3,q}(y) + I_s^{4,q}(y)$ are $\mathcal{F}_{s,T}^B$ -measurable, $s \in [t, T]$, it follows that from (8.20)

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} \left| \frac{1}{q} (\partial_\mu Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y+q) - \partial_\mu Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y (\partial_\mu Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)) - J_s^q(y) \right|^2 \right] \\
& + E \left[\int_t^T \left| \frac{1}{q} (\partial_\mu Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}(y+q) - \partial_\mu Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y (\partial_\mu Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}(y)) \right|^2 ds \right] \\
& \leq C \left(E[|I^q(x, y)|^2] + E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |I_s^{1,q}(x, y)|^2 \right] + E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |I_s^{2,q}(x, y)|^2 \right] \right) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } q \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.21}$$

Substituting in (8.21) ξ for x , we get from the independence of the integrands of \mathcal{G}_t ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left[\int_t^T \left| \frac{1}{q} (\partial_\mu Z_r^{t,\xi,P_\xi}(y+q) - \partial_\mu Z_r^{t,\xi,P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y (\partial_\mu Z_r^{t,\xi,P_\xi}(y)) \right|^2 ds \right] \\
& \leq C \left(E[|I^q(\xi, y)|^2] + E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |I_s^{1,q}(\xi, y)|^2 \right] + E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |I_s^{2,q}(\xi, y)|^2 \right] \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and with the same arguments as those used for (8.21), we show that

$$E[|I^q(\xi, y)|^2] + E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |I_s^{1,q}(\xi, y)|^2 \right] + E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |I_s^{2,q}(\xi, y)|^2 \right] \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } q \rightarrow 0.$$

Then also

$$E \left[\int_t^T \left| \frac{1}{q} (\partial_\mu Z_r^{t,\xi,P_\xi}(y+q) - \partial_\mu Z_r^{t,\xi,P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y (\partial_\mu Z_r^{t,\xi,P_\xi}(y)) \right|^2 ds \right] \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } q \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand, from Theorem 8.1 we have that

$$E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} \left| \frac{\partial_x Y_s^{t, x+q, P_\xi} - \partial_x Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi}}{q} - \partial_{xx}^2 Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi} \right|^2 + \int_t^T \left| \frac{\partial_x Z_s^{t, x+q, P_\xi} - \partial_x Z_s^{t, x, P_\xi}}{q} - \partial_{xx}^2 Z_s^{t, x, P_\xi} \right|^2 ds \right] \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } q \rightarrow 0. \quad (8.22)$$

Then, using Theorem 8.1 and (8.4), we get with the help of standard estimates that

$$E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |I_s^{3, q}(y)|^2 \right] \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } q \rightarrow 0.$$

Consequently, as

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |I_s^{4, q}(y)|^2 \right] &\leq C \widehat{E} \left[\int_t^T \left| \frac{1}{q} (\partial_\mu \widehat{Y}_r^{t, \hat{\xi}, P_\xi}(y+q) - \partial_\mu \widehat{Y}_r^{t, \hat{\xi}, P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y (\partial_\mu \widehat{Y}_r^{t, \hat{\xi}, P_\xi}(y)) \right|^2 dr \right] \\ &\quad + C \widehat{E} \left[\int_t^T \left| \frac{1}{q} (\partial_\mu \widehat{Z}_r^{t, \hat{\xi}, P_\xi}(y+q) - \partial_\mu \widehat{Z}_r^{t, \hat{\xi}, P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y (\partial_\mu \widehat{Z}_r^{t, \hat{\xi}, P_\xi}(y)) \right|^2 dr \right], \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |J_s^q(y)|^2 \right] \leq C \widehat{E} \left[\int_t^T \left| \frac{1}{q} (\partial_\mu \widehat{Y}_r^{t, \hat{\xi}, P_\xi}(y+q) - \partial_\mu \widehat{Y}_r^{t, \hat{\xi}, P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y (\partial_\mu \widehat{Y}_r^{t, \hat{\xi}, P_\xi}(y)) \right|^2 dr \right] + R^q(y),$$

where

$$R^q(y) := CE \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |I_s^{3, q}(y)|^2 \right] + C \widehat{E} \left[\int_t^T \left| \frac{1}{q} (\partial_\mu \widehat{Z}_r^{t, \hat{\xi}, P_\xi}(y+q) - \partial_\mu \widehat{Z}_r^{t, \hat{\xi}, P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y (\partial_\mu \widehat{Z}_r^{t, \hat{\xi}, P_\xi}(y)) \right|^2 dr \right],$$

with $R^q(y) \rightarrow 0$, as $q \rightarrow 0$.

Combining this with (8.21) and applying Gronwall's Lemma, we see that also

$$\widehat{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} \left| \frac{1}{q} (\partial_\mu \widehat{Y}_s^{t, \hat{\xi}, P_\xi}(y+q) - \partial_\mu \widehat{Y}_s^{t, \hat{\xi}, P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y (\partial_\mu \widehat{Y}_s^{t, \hat{\xi}, P_\xi}(y)) \right|^2 \right] \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } q \rightarrow 0.$$

Consequently, from (8.21), we get the wished result:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{q} (\partial_\mu Y^{t, x, P_\xi}(y+q) - \partial_\mu Y^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y (\partial_\mu Y^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)) &\xrightarrow[q \rightarrow 0]{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R})} 0, \\ \frac{1}{q} (\partial_\mu Z^{t, x, P_\xi}(y+q) - \partial_\mu Z^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)) - \partial_y (\partial_\mu Z^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)) &\xrightarrow[q \rightarrow 0]{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R})} 0. \end{aligned}$$

■

9 Related backward SPDEs of mean-field type

The objective of this section is to study the related backward SPDEs of mean-field type. We will prove that $V(t, x, P_\xi)$ defined by (4.20) is the unique classical solution of the following nonlocal semi-linear backward SPDE of mean-field type:

$$\begin{aligned} &V(t, x, P_\xi) \\ &= \Phi(x, P_\xi) + \int_t^T \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{x_i} V(s, x, P_\xi) b_i(x, P_\xi) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j, k=1}^d (\partial_{x_i x_j}^2 V)(s, x, P_\xi) (\sigma_{i, k} \sigma_{j, k})(x, P_\xi) \right\} ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + f(x, V(s, x, P_\xi), \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{x_i} V(s, x, P_\xi) \sigma_i(x, P_\xi), P_{(\xi, \psi(s, \xi, P_\xi))}) \\
& + E \left[\sum_{i=1}^d (\partial_\mu V)_i(s, x, P_\xi, \xi) b_i(\xi, P_\xi) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^d \partial_{y_i} (\partial_\mu V)_j(s, x, P_\xi, \xi) (\sigma_{i,k} \sigma_{j,k})(\xi, P_\xi) \right] ds \\
& + \int_t^T \sum_{j=1}^l g_j(x, V(s, x, P_\xi), \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{x_i} V(s, x, P_\xi) \sigma_i(x, P_\xi), P_{(\xi, \psi(s, \xi, P_\xi))}) d\overleftarrow{B}_s^j, \\
& + \int_t^T \sum_{j=1}^l h_j(P_{(\xi, \psi(s, \xi, P_\xi))}) d\overleftarrow{B}_s^j, \quad (t, x, \xi, P_\xi) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d),
\end{aligned} \tag{9.1}$$

where $\psi(s, x, P_\xi) := (V(s, x, P_\xi), \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{x_i} V(s, x, P_\xi) \sigma_i(x, P_\xi))$, and the derivatives $\partial_{x_i} V$, $\partial_{x_i x_j}^2 V$ and $\partial_{y_i} (\partial_\mu V)$ are in L^2 -sense.

Proposition 9.1. (*Representation Formulas*). *Under the Assumptions (H4.2), (H7.1), (H8.1) and (H8.2) we have the following representation formulas:*

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi} &= V(s, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}), \quad P\text{-a.s.}, \quad s \in [t, T]; \\
Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi} &= (\partial_x V)(s, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}) \sigma(X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}), \quad dsdP\text{-a.e.}
\end{aligned} \tag{9.2}$$

Moreover,

$$E \left[|Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi} - (\partial_x V)(s, x, P_\xi) \sigma(x, P_\xi)|^2 \right] \leq C(s-t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T. \tag{9.3}$$

Remark 9.1. Due to (4.12) the solution of BDSDE (4.1) has the following representation formulas:

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_s^{t,\xi} &= V(s, X_s^{t,\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}), \quad P\text{-a.s.}, \quad s \in [t, T]; \\
Z_s^{t,\xi} &= (\partial_x V)(s, X_s^{t,\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}) \sigma(X_s^{t,\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}), \quad dsdP\text{-a.e.}
\end{aligned} \tag{9.4}$$

Proof. The representation formulas for Y^{t,x,P_ξ} is an immediate consequence of (4.13). Let us prove that for Z_s^{t,x,P_ξ} . For simplicity, we suppose $d = l = 1$, $b = 0$, $f = 0$, $g^1 = 0$ and $\Phi(x, \mu) = \Phi(x)$.

From Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 5.1 we have

$$\partial_x X_s^{t,x,P_\xi} = 1 + \int_t^s \partial_x \sigma(X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) \partial_x X_s^{t,x,P_\xi} dW_r, \quad t \leq s \leq T, \tag{9.5}$$

and

$$D_\theta[X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}] = \sigma(X_\theta^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_\theta^{t,\xi}}) + \int_\theta^s \partial_x \sigma(X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) D_\theta[X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}] dW_r, \quad t \leq \theta \leq s \leq T. \tag{9.6}$$

It follows from the uniqueness of the solution of SDE (9.6) that

$$D_\theta[X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}] = \partial_x X_s^{t,x,P_\xi} (\partial_x X_\theta^{t,x,P_\xi})^{-1} \sigma(X_\theta^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_\theta^{t,\xi}}), \quad t \leq \theta \leq s \leq T. \tag{9.7}$$

Furthermore, from Propositions A.1 and A.2, we get for $t \leq \theta \leq s \leq T$:

$$\partial_x Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi} = \partial_x \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}) \partial_x X_T^{t,x,P_\xi} + \int_s^T g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) \partial_x Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} d\overleftarrow{B}_r - \int_s^T \partial_x Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} dW_r; \tag{9.8}$$

$$D_\theta[Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}] = \partial_x \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}) D_\theta X_T^{t,x,P_\xi} + \int_s^T g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) D_\theta[Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}] d\overleftarrow{B}_r - \int_s^T D_\theta[Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}] dW_r. \quad (9.9)$$

Then, as $(\partial_x X_\theta^{t,x,P_\xi})^{-1} \sigma(X_\theta^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_\theta^{t,\xi}})$ is \mathcal{F}_θ^W -measurable (and, hence independent of $\mathcal{F}_{0,T}^B$), it follows from (9.7) that $(\partial_x Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi} (\partial_x X_\theta^{t,x,P_\xi})^{-1} \sigma(X_\theta^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_\theta^{t,\xi}}), \partial_x Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi} (\partial_x X_\theta^{t,x,P_\xi})^{-1} \sigma(X_\theta^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_\theta^{t,\xi}}))$ is a solution of (9.9). From the uniqueness of the solution of equation (9.9) we get

$$D_\theta[Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}] = \partial_x Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi} (\partial_x X_\theta^{t,x,P_\xi})^{-1} \sigma(X_\theta^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_\theta^{t,\xi}}), \quad s \in [t, T], \quad P\text{-a.s.} \quad (9.10)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\theta^{t,x,P_\xi} &= P\text{-}\lim_{\theta < s \downarrow \theta} D_\theta[Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}] = \partial_x Y_\theta^{t,x,P_\xi} (\partial_x X_\theta^{t,x,P_\xi})^{-1} \sigma(X_\theta^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_\theta^{t,\xi}}) \\ &= (\partial_x Y)_\theta^{\theta, X_\theta^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_\theta^{t,\xi}}} \sigma(X_\theta^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_\theta^{t,\xi}}) = (\partial_x V)(\theta, X_\theta^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_\theta^{t,\xi}}) \sigma(X_\theta^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_\theta^{t,\xi}}), \quad d\theta dP\text{-a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} &E \left[|Z_\theta^{t,x,P_\xi} - (\partial_x V)(\theta, x, P_\xi) \sigma(x, P_\xi)|^2 \right] \\ &= E \left[|(\partial_x Y)_\theta^{\theta, X_\theta^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_\theta^{t,\xi}}} \sigma(X_\theta^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_\theta^{t,\xi}}) - \partial_x Y_\theta^{\theta, x, P_\xi} \sigma(x, P_\xi)|^2 \right] \\ &\leq CE \left[|(\partial_x Y)_\theta^{\theta, X_\theta^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_\theta^{t,\xi}}} - \partial_x Y_\theta^{\theta, x, P_\xi}|^2 \right] + C \left(E \left[|\sigma(X_\theta^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_\theta^{t,\xi}}) - \sigma(x, P_\xi)|^4 \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C(\theta - t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq \theta \leq T. \end{aligned}$$

■

If we tried now to translate the approach of Pardoux and Peng [25] to our setting, in order to prove that V is a classical solution of SPDE (9.1), we should show that $V(t, \cdot, \cdot) \in C_b^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. However, in [25] Kolmogorov's continuity criterion played a crucial role for the proof. Here, in our mean-field context we meet the problem that $(t, x, P_\xi) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ runs an infinite dimensional space which excludes the application of Kolmogorov's continuity criterion. The consequence is that we have to content with the continuity and differentiability of first and second order derivatives of $V(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ in the only L^2 -sense. However, we can make the following observation.

Lemma 9.1. *Let $\varphi : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -measurable and $x \rightarrow \varphi(\cdot, x)$ L^2 -differentiable. Then, for all $\eta \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}; \mathbb{R})$, the deterministic function $\Psi(x) := E[\varphi(\cdot, x) \cdot \eta]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, is differentiable w.r.t. x on \mathbb{R}^d , and $\partial_x \Psi(x) = E[\partial_x \varphi(\cdot, x) \cdot \eta]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where $\partial_x \varphi(x)$ denotes the L^2 -derivative of $\varphi(\cdot, \cdot)$ at x .*

Proof. For simplicity, let $d = 1$. For all $\eta \in L^2(\mathcal{F})$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{q}(\Psi(x+q) - \Psi(x)) &= E \left[\left(\frac{1}{q}(\varphi(\cdot, x+q) - \varphi(\cdot, x)) - \partial_x \varphi(\cdot, x) \right) \cdot \eta \right] + E[\partial_x \varphi(\cdot, x) \cdot \eta] \\ &\rightarrow E[\partial_x \varphi(\cdot, x) \cdot \eta], \quad \text{as } q \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

■

Combining the Propositions A.2 and A.3 in Appendix A.3 and the Theorems 8.1 and 8.2 in Section 8, we know that, for all $t \in [0, T]$, (i) $x \rightarrow V(t, x, P_\xi)$ is twice L^2 -differentiable, (ii) $V(t, x, \cdot) : \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable, (iii) $y \rightarrow (\partial_\mu V)(t, x, P_\xi, y)$ is L^2 -differentiable, and we have (iv) the Lipschitz property in L^2 of all these derivatives (with Lipschitz constants independent of t).

Now, for $\eta \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}; \mathbb{R})$, we define $\Psi(t, x, P_\xi) := \Psi_\eta(t, x, P_\xi) := E[V(t, x, P_\xi) \cdot \eta]$, $(t, x, P_\xi) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. It can easily be verified that $\Psi(t, \cdot, \cdot) \in C_b^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Moreover, the following proposition studies the regularity properties with respect to t of $\Psi(t, x, P_\xi)$. For this we make the following additional assumption on η :

Assumption (H9.1) The random variable $\eta \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{0,T}^B; \mathbb{R})$ is such that, for the $(\mathbb{F}^B = (\mathcal{F}_{s,T}^B)_{0 \leq s \leq T})$ -adapted process $\theta^\eta \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}_{\cdot,T}^B}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R})$ with $\eta = E[\eta] + \int_0^T \theta_s^\eta d\overleftarrow{B}_s$, there exists a constant $C_\eta \in \mathbb{R}_+$, such that $|\theta_s^\eta| \leq C_\eta$, $ds dP$ -a.e.

Proposition 9.2. *Under assumptions (H4.2), (H7.1), (H8.1) and (H8.2), for all $\eta \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}; \mathbb{R})$ with (H9.1), $\Psi \in C_b^{0,2,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, and for all $\varphi \in \{\Psi, \partial_x \Psi, \partial_{xx}^2 \Psi, \partial_\mu \Psi, \partial_y(\partial_\mu \Psi)\}$ it holds*

$$|\varphi(t+q, x, P_\xi, y) - \varphi(t, x, P_\xi, y)| \leq C'_\eta \sqrt{q}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t+q \leq T, \quad (x, P_\xi, y) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (9.11)$$

where $\partial_\mu \Psi(t, x, P_\xi, y) = E[\partial_\mu V(t, x, P_\xi, y) \cdot \eta]$, and the constant $C'_\eta \in \mathbb{R}_+$ depends on η and C_η .

Proof. Let us prove (9.11) in four steps. For simplicity, we suppose $d = l = 1$, $b = 0$, $f = 0$, $g^1 = 0$, and $\Phi(x, \mu) = \Phi(x)$.

Step 1. From Proposition 4.4, we know

$$E[|V(t+q, x, P_\xi) - V(t, x, P_\xi)|^p] \leq Cq^{\frac{p}{2}}, \quad (t, x, P_\xi) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}), \quad p \in [2, p_0]. \quad (9.12)$$

It follows that $\Psi(\cdot, x, \mu) \in C^0([0, T])$, for all $(x, P_\xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$, and

$$|\Psi(t+q, x, P_\xi) - \Psi(t, x, P_\xi)| \leq C'_\eta \sqrt{q}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t+q \leq T, \quad (x, P_\xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}). \quad (9.13)$$

Step 2. From (4.20) and the flow property of Y^{\cdot, t, x, P_ξ} we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x V(t+q, x, P_\xi) - \partial_x V(t, x, P_\xi) &= \partial_x Y_{t+q}^{t+q, x, P_\xi} - \partial_x Y_t^{t, x, P_\xi} \\ &= \left(\partial_x Y_{t+q}^{t+q, x, P_\xi} - (\partial_x Y)_{t+q}^{t+q, X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}, P_{X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}}} \right) + (\partial_x Y)_{t+q}^{t+q, X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}, P_{X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}}} \left(1 - \partial_x X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi} \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\partial_x Y_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi} - \partial_x Y_t^{t, x, P_\xi} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (9.14)$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 3.1 and the Propositions A.2, 5.1 and 8.1, we obtain, for all $p \in [2, \frac{p_0}{2}]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & E \left[|\partial_x Y_{t+q}^{t+q, x, P_\xi} - (\partial_x Y)_{t+q}^{t+q, X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}, P_{X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}}} |^p \right] \leq C_p \left(E[|x - X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}|^p] + W_2(P_\xi, P_{X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}})^p \right) \leq C_p q^{\frac{p}{2}}, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & E \left[|(\partial_x Y)_{t+q}^{t+q, X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}, P_{X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}}} \left(1 - \partial_x X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi} \right)|^p \right] \leq C_p (E[|1 - \partial_x X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}|^{2p}])^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_p q^{\frac{p}{2}}, \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & E \left[|\partial_x Y_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi} - \partial_x Y_t^{t, x, P_\xi}|^p \right] \leq C_p q^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \int_t^{t+q} E[|\partial_x Z_s^{t, x, P_\xi}|^p] ds \leq C_p q^{\frac{p}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for all $p \in [2, \frac{p_0}{2}]$,

$$E[|\partial_x V(t+q, x, P_\xi) - \partial_x V(t, x, P_\xi)|^p] \leq C_p q^{\frac{p}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t+q \leq T, \quad (x, P_\xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}).$$

It follows that

$$|\partial_x \Psi(t+q, x, P_\xi) - \partial_x \Psi(t, x, P_\xi)| \leq C'_\eta \sqrt{q}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t+q \leq T, \quad (x, P_\xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}). \quad (9.15)$$

Step 3. To prove $|\partial_{xx}^2 \Psi(t+q, x, P_\xi) - \partial_{xx}^2 \Psi(t, x, P_\xi)| \leq C'_\eta \sqrt{q}$. We observe that,

$$\partial_{xx}^2 V(t+q, x, P_\xi) - \partial_{xx}^2 V(t, x, P_\xi) = \left(\partial_{xx}^2 [Y_{t+q}^{t+q, x, P_\xi}] - \partial_{xx}^2 [Y_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}] \right) + \left(\partial_{xx}^2 [Y_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}] - \partial_{xx}^2 [Y_t^{t, x, P_\xi}] \right). \quad (9.16)$$

On one hand, as $Y_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi} = Y_{t+q}^{t+q, X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}, P_{X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}}}$, and $\partial_x [Y_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}] = (\partial_x Y)_{t+q}^{t+q, X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}, P_{X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}}} \cdot \partial_x X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}$, we have $\partial_{xx}^2 [Y_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}] = (\partial_{xx} Y)_{t+q}^{t+q, X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}, P_{X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}}} \cdot \partial_{xx}^2 X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi} + (\partial_{xx} Y)_{t+q}^{t+q, X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}, P_{X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}}} \cdot (\partial_x X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi})^2$. From Lemma 3.1, the Theorems 7.1 and 8.1 as well as the Propositions A.2 and 5.1, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & E[|\partial_{xx}^2 [Y_{t+q}^{t+q, x, P_\xi}] - \partial_{xx}^2 [Y_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}]|^p] \\ & \leq C_p E[|\partial_{xx}^2 [Y_{t+q}^{t+q, x, P_\xi}] - (\partial_{xx}^2 Y)_{t+q}^{t+q, X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}, P_{X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}}}|^p] + C_p \left(E[|(\partial_x X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi})^2 - 1|^{2p}] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad + C_p \left(E[|\partial_{xx}^2 X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}|^{2p}] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C_p q^{\frac{p}{2}} + C_p \left(E[|\partial_x X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi} - 1|^{4p}] \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \cdot \left(E[|\partial_x X_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi} + 1|^{4p}] \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ & \leq C_p q^{\frac{p}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t+q \leq T, \quad (x, P_\xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}), \quad p \in [2, \frac{p_0}{8}]. \end{aligned} \quad (9.17)$$

On the other hand,

$$\partial_{xx}^2 Y_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi} - \partial_{xx}^2 Y_t^{t, x, P_\xi} = - \int_t^{t+q} g^2(P_{X_r^{t, \xi}}) \partial_{xx}^2 Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi} d\overleftarrow{B}_r + \int_t^{t+q} \partial_{xx}^2 Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi} dW_r,$$

and, combining with assumption (H9.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left[\left(\partial_{xx}^2 Y_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi} - \partial_{xx}^2 Y_t^{t, x, P_\xi} \right) \cdot \eta \right] \\ & = - E \left[\left(\int_t^{t+q} g^2(P_{X_r^{t, \xi}}) \partial_{xx}^2 Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi} d\overleftarrow{B}_r \right) \cdot \eta \right] + E \left[E \left[\int_t^{t+q} \partial_{xx}^2 Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi} dW_r \middle| \mathcal{F}_{0, T}^B \right] \eta \right] \\ & = - E \left[\int_t^{t+q} g^2(P_{X_r^{t, \xi}}) \partial_{xx}^2 Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi} \cdot \theta_r^\eta dr \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we deduce from Theorem 8.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| E \left[\left(\partial_{xx}^2 Y_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi} - \partial_{xx}^2 Y_t^{t, x, P_\xi} \right) \eta \right] \right| \leq C'_\eta E \left[\int_t^{t+q} |\partial_{xx}^2 Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi}| dr \right] \\ & \leq C'_\eta \sqrt{q} \left(E \left[\int_t^{t+q} |\partial_{xx}^2 Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi}|^2 dr \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C'_\eta \sqrt{q}. \end{aligned} \quad (9.18)$$

Consequently, making use of (9.16), (9.17) and (9.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \partial_{xx}^2 \Psi(t+q, x, P_\xi) - \partial_{xx}^2 \Psi(t, x, P_\xi) \right| \\
& \leq E \left[\left| \partial_{xx}^2 [Y_{t+q}^{t+q, x, P_\xi}] - \partial_{xx}^2 [Y_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi}] \right| \cdot |\eta| \right] + \left| E \left[\left(\partial_{xx}^2 Y_{t+q}^{t, x, P_\xi} - \partial_{xx}^2 Y_t^{t, x, P_\xi} \right) \eta \right] \right| \\
& \leq C'_\eta \sqrt{q}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t+q \leq T, \quad (x, P_\xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}).
\end{aligned} \tag{9.19}$$

Step 4. As the expectation $E[\cdot] : L^2(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded linear operator, it follows from Proposition A.3 that $L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}) \ni \xi \rightarrow \tilde{\Psi}(t, x, \xi) := \Psi(t, x, P_\xi) = E[V(t, x, P_\xi) \cdot \eta]$ is Fréchet differentiable and, for all $\zeta \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_\xi[\tilde{\Psi}(t, x, \xi)](\zeta) &= E \left[D_\xi[V(t, x, P_\xi)](\zeta) \cdot \eta \right] = E \left[\overline{E} \left[\partial_\mu V(t, x, P_\xi)(\bar{\xi}) \cdot \bar{\zeta} \right] \cdot \eta \right] \\
&= \overline{E} \left[E \left[\partial_\mu V(t, x, P_\xi)(\bar{\xi}) \cdot \eta \right] \cdot \bar{\zeta} \right] = \overline{E} \left[E \left[\partial_\mu Y_t^{t, x, P_\xi}(\bar{\xi}) \cdot \eta \right] \cdot \bar{\zeta} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

that is, $\partial_\mu \Psi(t, x, P_\xi, y) = E[\partial_\mu Y_t^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) \cdot \eta]$. Furthermore, with similar arguments as above we show that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\partial_\mu \Psi(t+q, x, P_\xi, y) - \partial_\mu \Psi(t, x, P_\xi, y)| + |\partial_y(\partial_\mu \Psi)(t+q, x, P_\xi, y) - \partial_y(\partial_\mu \Psi)(t, x, P_\xi, y)| \\
& \leq C'_\eta \sqrt{q}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t+q \leq T, \quad (x, P_\xi, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}.
\end{aligned} \tag{9.20}$$

■

Definition 9.1. We say that random field φ belongs to $\mathfrak{C}^{0,2,2}(\Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, if $\varphi : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies:

- (i) $\varphi(t, x, \mu)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t,T}^B$ -measurable, $(t, x, \mu) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$;
- (ii) $x \rightarrow \varphi(t, x, \mu)$ is twice continuously L^2 -differentiable;
- (iii) $\mu \rightarrow \varphi(t, x, \mu)$ is differentiable;
- (iv) $y \rightarrow \partial_\mu \varphi(t, x, \mu, y)$ is continuously L^2 -differentiable;
- (v) The first and second order derivatives are L^2 -continuous on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d$;
- (vi) $\Gamma \in C_b^{0,2,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ [see Definition 2.2], where $\Gamma(t, x, \mu) := E[\varphi(t, x, \mu) \cdot \eta]$, for all $\eta \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_{0,T}^B; \mathbb{R})$ satisfying (H9.1), and all $(t, x, \mu) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Now we are able to establish and to prove our main result.

Theorem 9.1. Under the Assumptions (H4.2), (H7.1), (H8.1) and (H8.2), $V \in \mathfrak{C}^{0,2,2}(\Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ is a classical solution of backward SPDE (9.1), and it is unique in $\mathfrak{C}^{0,2,2}(\Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$.

Proof. For simplicity of redaction but without loss of generality, let us restrict to the dimensions $d = 1$, $l = 1$, and to the coefficients $b = 0$, $f = 0$, $g^1 = 0$, $h(P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}}) = h(P_{X_s^{t,\xi}})$, $\Phi(x, \mu) = \Phi(x)$.

We prove that $V(t, x, P_\xi)$ is a solution of (9.1). Let $\eta \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_{0,T}^B; \mathbb{R})$ be such that (H9.1) holds true, and $s \rightarrow \theta_s^\eta$ is continuous. It follows from the Propositions A.2, A.3 and 9.2 and the Theorems 8.1 and 8.2 that $V \in \mathfrak{C}^{0,2,2}(\Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}))$. For $0 \leq t < t+q \leq T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Psi(t+q, x, P_\xi) - \Psi(t, x, P_\xi) = E[(V(t+q, x, P_\xi) - V(t, x, P_\xi)) \cdot \eta] \\
& = -E[(V(t+q, X_{t+q}^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_{t+q}^{t,\xi}}) - V(t+q, x, P_\xi)) \cdot \eta] + E[(Y_{t+q}^{t,x,P_\xi} - Y_t^{t,x,P_\xi}) \cdot \eta].
\end{aligned} \tag{9.21}$$

Note that, as X_{t+q}^{t,x,P_ξ} is \mathcal{F}_{t+q}^W -measurable, and, hence, independent of $\mathcal{F}_{0,T}^B$, while $V(t+q, x, P_\xi)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t+q,T}^B$ -measurable, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $E[V(t+q, X_{t+q}^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_{t+q}^{t,\xi}}) \cdot \eta] = E[\Psi(t+q, X_{t+q}^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_{t+q}^{t,\xi}})]$. As $\Psi \in C_b^{0,2,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}))$, we can apply Theorem 2.1 (Itô's formula) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Psi(t+q, x, P_\xi) - \Psi(t, x, P_\xi) = E[(V(t+q, x, P_\xi) - V(t, x, P_\xi)) \cdot \eta] \\
& = E[(Y_{t+q}^{t,x,P_\xi} - Y_t^{t,x,P_\xi}) \cdot \eta] - E[\Psi(t+q, X_{t+q}^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_{t+q}^{t,\xi}}) - \Psi(t+q, x, P_\xi)] \\
& = E[(Y_{t+q}^{t,x,P_\xi} - Y_t^{t,x,P_\xi}) \cdot \eta] - \int_t^{t+q} E\left[\frac{1}{2}(\partial_{xx}^2 \Psi)(t+q, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}})\sigma(X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}})^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \widehat{E}\left[\frac{1}{2}\partial_y(\partial_\mu \Psi)(t+q, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{X}_s^{t,\xi})\sigma(\widehat{X}_s^{t,\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}})^2\right]\right] ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{9.22}$$

But, from the BDSDE for $(Y^{t,x,P_\xi}, Z^{t,x,P_\xi})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& E[(Y_{t+q}^{t,x,P_\xi} - Y_t^{t,x,P_\xi}) \cdot \eta] \\
& = -E\left[\eta \int_t^{t+q} g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}})Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} d\overleftarrow{B}_r\right] - E\left[\eta \int_t^{t+q} h(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}})d\overleftarrow{B}_r\right] + E\left[\eta \int_t^{t+q} Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} dW_r\right] \\
& = -E\left[\int_t^{t+q} g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}})Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} \cdot \theta_r^\eta dr\right] - E\left[\int_t^{t+q} h(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) \cdot \theta_r^\eta dr\right] \\
& = -E\left[\int_t^{t+q} g^2(P_\xi)(\partial_x V)(r, x, P_\xi)\sigma(x, P_\xi) \cdot \theta_r^\eta dr\right] - E\left[\int_t^{t+q} h(P_\xi) \cdot \theta_r^\eta dr\right] - R_{t,t+q},
\end{aligned} \tag{9.23}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{t,t+q} &:= E\left[\int_t^{t+q} \left(g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}})Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} - g^2(P_\xi)(\partial_x V)(r, x, P_\xi)\sigma(x, P_\xi)\right) \cdot \theta_r^\eta dr\right] \\
&\quad + E\left[\int_t^{t+q} \left(h(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) - h(P_\xi)\right) \cdot \theta_r^\eta dr\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (9.3) in Proposition 9.1, we obtain $|R_{t,t+q}| \leq Cq^{\frac{3}{2}}$. Using our estimates of X_r^{t,x,P_ξ} , $P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}$ and the $\frac{1}{2}$ -Hölder continuity of $t \rightarrow \varphi(t, x, P_\xi)$, for $\varphi = \partial_{xx}^2 \Psi, \partial_y(\partial_\mu \Psi)$ (see Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 9.2), we get now from (9.22)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Psi(t+q, x, P_\xi) - \Psi(t, x, P_\xi) \\
& = -E\left[\int_t^{t+q} g^2(P_\xi)(\partial_x V)(r, x, P_\xi)\sigma(x, P_\xi) \cdot \theta_r^\eta dr\right] - E\left[\int_t^{t+q} h(P_\xi) \cdot \theta_r^\eta dr\right] \\
& \quad - \int_t^{t+q} \left(\frac{1}{2}(\partial_{xx}^2 \Psi)(r, x, P_\xi)\sigma(x, P_\xi)^2 + \widehat{E}\left[\frac{1}{2}\partial_y(\partial_\mu \Psi)(r, x, P_\xi, \widehat{\xi})\sigma(\widehat{\xi}, P_\xi)^2\right]\right) dr - R'_{t,t+q},
\end{aligned} \tag{9.24}$$

with $|R'_{t,t+q}| \leq Cq^{\frac{3}{2}}$. Recall the boundedness and the continuity of $s \rightarrow \theta_s^\eta$. Therefore, it can easily be verified that $\Psi(t, x, P_\xi)$ is differentiable w.r.t. t , and

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \Psi(t, x, P_\xi) &= -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_{xx}^2 \Psi)(t, x, P_\xi)\sigma(x, P_\xi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\widehat{E}\left[\partial_y(\partial_\mu \Psi)(t, x, P_\xi, \widehat{\xi})\sigma(\widehat{\xi}, P_\xi)^2\right] \\
&\quad - E\left[g^2(P_\xi)(\partial_x V)(t, x, P_\xi)\sigma(x, P_\xi) \cdot \theta_t^\eta\right] - E\left[h(P_\xi) \cdot \theta_t^\eta\right], \quad t \in [0, T].
\end{aligned} \tag{9.25}$$

Hence, for all $\eta \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_{0,T}^B; \mathbb{R})$ that satisfy (H9.1) and are such that $s \rightarrow \theta_s^\eta$ continuous,

$$\begin{aligned}
& E[(V(t, x, P_\xi) - \Phi(x, P_\xi)) \cdot \eta] = \Psi(t, x, P_\xi) - \Psi(T, x, P_\xi) \\
& = \int_t^T \left(\frac{1}{2}(\partial_{xx}^2 \Psi)(r, x, P_\xi) \sigma(x, P_\xi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \widehat{E} \left[\partial_y(\partial_\mu \Psi)(r, x, P_\xi, \widehat{\xi}) \sigma(\widehat{\xi}, P_\xi)^2 \right] \right) dr \\
& \quad + E \left[\int_t^T g^2(P_\xi)(\partial_x V)(r, x, P_\xi) \sigma(x, P_\xi) \cdot \theta_r^\eta dr \right] + E \left[\int_t^T h(P_\xi) \cdot \theta_r^\eta dr \right] \\
& = \int_t^T \left(\frac{1}{2}(\partial_{xx}^2 \Psi)(r, x, P_\xi) \sigma(x, P_\xi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \widehat{E} \left[\partial_y(\partial_\mu \Psi)(r, x, P_\xi, \widehat{\xi}) \sigma(\widehat{\xi}, P_\xi)^2 \right] \right) dr \\
& \quad + E \left[\int_t^T g^2(P_\xi)(\partial_x V)(r, x, P_\xi) \sigma(x, P_\xi) d\overleftarrow{B}_r \cdot \eta \right] + E \left[\int_t^T h(P_\xi) d\overleftarrow{B}_r \cdot \eta \right] \\
& = E \left[\eta \cdot I(t, x, P_\xi) \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{9.26}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I(t, x, P_\xi) &:= \int_t^T \left(\frac{1}{2}(\partial_{xx}^2 V)(r, x, P_\xi) \sigma(x, P_\xi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \widehat{E} \left[\partial_y(\partial_\mu V)(r, x, P_\xi, \widehat{\xi}) \sigma(\widehat{\xi}, P_\xi)^2 \right] \right) dr \\
&\quad + \int_t^T g^2(P_\xi)(\partial_x V)(r, x, P_\xi) \sigma(x, P_\xi) d\overleftarrow{B}_r + \int_t^T h(P_\xi) d\overleftarrow{B}_r.
\end{aligned}$$

But, since these η satisfying (H9.1) such that $s \rightarrow \theta_s^\eta$ is continuous form a dense subset of $L^2(\mathcal{F}_{0,T}^B; \mathbb{R})$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
V(t, x, P_\xi) &= \Phi(x, P_\xi) + \int_t^T \left(\frac{1}{2}(\partial_{xx}^2 V)(r, x, P_\xi) \sigma(x, P_\xi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \widehat{E} \left[\partial_y(\partial_\mu V)(r, x, P_\xi, \widehat{\xi}) \sigma(\widehat{\xi}, P_\xi)^2 \right] \right) dr \\
&\quad + \int_t^T g^2(P_\xi)(\partial_x V)(r, x, P_\xi) \sigma(x, P_\xi) d\overleftarrow{B}_r + \int_t^T h(P_\xi) d\overleftarrow{B}_r, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad P\text{-a.s.}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us now prove the uniqueness of solution of backward SPDE (9.1) in $\mathfrak{C}^{0,2,2}(\Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}))$. Let $U \in \mathfrak{C}^{0,2,2}(\Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}))$ be a solution of our backward SPDE (9.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
& U(t+q, x, P_\xi) - U(t, x, P_\xi) \\
& = - \int_t^{t+q} \left(\frac{1}{2}(\partial_{xx}^2 U)(r, x, P_\xi) \sigma(x, P_\xi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \widehat{E} \left[\partial_y(\partial_\mu U)(r, x, P_\xi, \widehat{\xi}) \sigma(\widehat{\xi}, P_\xi)^2 \right] \right) dr \\
& \quad - \int_t^{t+q} \left(g^2(P_\xi)(\partial_x U)(r, x, P_\xi) \sigma(x, P_\xi) + h(P_\xi) \right) d\overleftarrow{B}_r, \quad 0 \leq t < t+q \leq T.
\end{aligned} \tag{9.27}$$

Hence, for all $\eta \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_{0,T}^B; \mathbb{R})$ that satisfies (H9.1) such that $s \rightarrow \theta_s^\eta$ is continuous, we have $\Gamma(t, x, P_\xi) := \Gamma_\eta(t, x, P_\xi) := E[U(t, x, P_\xi) \cdot \eta]$. From (9.27) it follows that $\Gamma(t, x, P_\xi)$ is differentiable w.r.t. t , and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t \Gamma(t, x, P_\xi) = \partial_t E[U(t, x, P_\xi) \cdot \eta] \\
& = - \frac{1}{2} E \left[(\partial_{xx}^2 U)(t, x, P_\xi) \sigma(x, P_\xi)^2 \cdot \eta \right] - \frac{1}{2} \widehat{E} \left[E \left[\partial_y(\partial_\mu U)(t, x, P_\xi, \widehat{\xi}) \cdot \eta \right] \sigma(\widehat{\xi}, P_\xi)^2 \right] \\
& \quad - E \left[\left(g^2(P_\xi)(\partial_x U)(t, x, P_\xi) \sigma(x, P_\xi) + h(P_\xi) \right) \cdot \theta_t^\eta \right] \\
& = - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{xx}^2 \Gamma)(t, x, P_\xi) \sigma(x, P_\xi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \widehat{E} \left[\partial_y(\partial_\mu \Gamma)(t, x, P_\xi, \widehat{\xi}) \sigma(\widehat{\xi}, P_\xi)^2 \right] \\
& \quad - E \left[\left(g^2(P_\xi)(\partial_x U)(t, x, P_\xi) \sigma(x, P_\xi) + h(P_\xi) \right) \cdot \theta_t^\eta \right], \quad t \in [0, T].
\end{aligned} \tag{9.28}$$

Hence, as X_s^{t,x,P_ξ} is independent of $\mathcal{F}_{0,T}^B$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t \Gamma)(s, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}) &= -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_{xx}^2 \Gamma)(s, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}) \sigma(X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}})^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \widehat{E} \left[\partial_y (\partial_\mu \Gamma)(s, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{X}_s^{t,\xi}) \sigma(\widehat{X}_s^{t,\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}})^2 \right] \\ &\quad - E \left[\left(g^2(P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}) (\partial_x U)(s, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}) \sigma(X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}) + h(P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}) \right) \cdot \theta_s^\eta \Big| \mathcal{F}_T^W \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (9.29)$$

On the other hand, as $\Gamma \in C_b^{0,2,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}))$, from the Itô formula (It's only the classical Itô formula from [4]):

$$\begin{aligned} d[\Gamma(s, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}})] &= \left((\partial_t \Gamma)(s, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}) + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{xx}^2 \Gamma)(s, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}) \sigma(X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}})^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \widehat{E} \left[\partial_y (\partial_\mu \Gamma)(s, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}, \widehat{X}_s^{t,\xi}) \sigma(\widehat{X}_s^{t,\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}})^2 \right] \right) ds \\ &\quad + (\partial_x \Gamma)(s, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}) \sigma(X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}) dW_s. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, using (9.29), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &d[\Gamma(s, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}})] \\ &= -E \left[\left(g^2(P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}) (\partial_x U)(s, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}) \sigma(X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}) + h(P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}) \right) \cdot \theta_s^\eta \Big| \mathcal{F}_T^W \right] ds \\ &\quad + (\partial_x \Gamma)(s, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}) \sigma(X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}) dW_s, \quad s \in [t, T]. \end{aligned} \quad (9.30)$$

Then, for all $\zeta \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_T^W; \mathbb{R})$, and for all $s \in [t, T]$, as (ζ, X_s^{t,x,P_ξ}) is independent of $\mathcal{F}_{0,T}^B$,

$$\begin{aligned} &E \left[\left(U(s, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}) - \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_T^{t,\xi}}) \right) \eta \zeta \right] = E \left[\left(\Gamma(s, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}) - \Gamma(T, X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_T^{t,\xi}}) \right) \zeta \right] \\ &= E \left[\int_s^T \left(g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) (\partial_x U)(r, X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) \sigma(X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) + h(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) \right) \cdot \theta_r^\eta \cdot \zeta dr \right] \\ &\quad - E \left[\int_s^T (\partial_x \Gamma)(r, X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) \sigma(X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) dW_r \cdot \zeta \right] \\ &= E \left[\int_s^T \left(g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) (\partial_x U)(r, X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) \sigma(X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) + h(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) \right) d\overleftarrow{B}_r \cdot \eta \cdot \zeta \right] \\ &\quad - E \left[\int_s^T (\partial_x U)(r, X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) \sigma(X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) dW_r \cdot \eta \cdot \zeta \right], \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} &E \left[\left\{ U(s, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}) - \left(\Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_T^{t,\xi}}) + \int_s^T \left(g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) (\partial_x U)(r, X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) \sigma(X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) \right. \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. + h(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) \right) d\overleftarrow{B}_r - \int_s^T (\partial_x U)(r, X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) \sigma(X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) dW_r \right\} \cdot \eta \cdot \zeta \right] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (9.31)$$

for all $\zeta \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_T^W; \mathbb{R})$, and for all $\eta \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_{0,T}^B; \mathbb{R})$ that satisfy (H9.1) such that $s \rightarrow \theta_s^\eta$ continuous. This shows that, P -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} U(s, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}) &= \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_T^{t,\xi}}) + \int_s^T \left(g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) (\partial_x U)(r, X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) \sigma(X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + h(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) \right) d\overleftarrow{B}_r - \int_s^T (\partial_x U)(r, X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) \sigma(X_r^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) dW_r, \quad s \in [t, T], \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $(U(s, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}), (\partial_x U)(s, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}) \sigma(X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}))$ is a solution of BDSDE (4.2), and from the uniqueness of the solution it follows:

$$(U(s, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}), (\partial_x U)(s, X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}}) \sigma(X_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_s^{t,\xi}})) = (Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}),$$

and, in particular, for $s = t$, $U(t, x, P_\xi) = Y_t^{t,x,P_\xi} = V(t, x, P_\xi)$, $(t, x, P_\xi) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$. The proof is complete. \blacksquare

A Appendix

A.1 The proof of Theorem 2.1

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may prove the theorem for the case $F \in C_b^{1,2,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, $f = 0$ and the dimensions $d = 1$ and $l = 1$. The general case with f and dimensions $d \geq 1$, $l \geq 1$ can be obtained by a straight-forward extension.

Step 1. Firstly, we consider the special case $F(s, x, \mu) = G(\mu)$, $(s, x, \mu) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$. For this we consider the solution (Y, Z) of the BDSDE $Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T g_s d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s$, $t \in [0, T]$, for $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T; \mathbb{R})$ and $g \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R})$, where g and ξ are bounded by a positive constant C .

Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq C$, $1 \leq k \leq 2^n$. We put $t_k^n := k2^{-n}T$, $\varphi^+(s) := k2^{-n}T$, $\varphi^-(s) := (k-1)2^{-n}T$, and we define the process $g_s^n := E[g_s | \mathcal{F}_{\varphi^-(s)}^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{\varphi^+(s), T}^B]$, for $s \in (t_{k-1}^n, t_k^n]$. As $g^n \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R})$ we can introduce the process (Y^n, Z^n) as solution of the BDSDE

$$Y_t^n = \xi + \int_t^T g_s^n d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T Z_s^n dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Lemma A.1, but also standard estimates allow to verify easily that

$$E \left[\sup_{s \in [0, T]} |Y_s - Y_s^n|^2 + \int_0^T |Z_s - Z_s^n|^2 ds \right] \leq CE \left[\int_0^T |g_s - g_s^n|^2 ds \right] \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

We remark that, for $1 \leq k \leq 2^n$, $Y_{t_k^n}^n \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_{t_k^n}; \mathbb{R})$, where $\mathcal{F}_{t_k^n} = \mathcal{F}_{t_k^n}^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t_k^n, T}^B$. As $W = (W_t)$ is an $(\mathcal{F}_t^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t, T}^B)$ -Brownian motion and has w.r.t. the same filtration the martingale representation property, there exists a unique square integrable, $(\mathcal{F}_t^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t, T}^B)$ -adapted process $\{(\tilde{Y}_t^n, \tilde{Z}_t^n), t \in [t_{k-1}^n, t_k^n]\}$ such that

$$\tilde{Y}_t^n = Y_{t_k^n}^n - \int_t^{t_k^n} \tilde{Z}_r^n dW_r, \quad t \in [t_{k-1}^n, t_k^n].$$

But as $\{g_r^n, r \in [t_{k-1}^n, t_k^n]\}$ is bounded and $\mathcal{B}([t_{k-1}^n, t_k^n]) \otimes (\mathcal{F}_{t_{k-1}^n}^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t_k^n, T}^B)$ -measurable, the process $\{\int_t^{t_k^n} g_r^n d\overleftarrow{B}_r, t \in [t_{k-1}^n, t_k^n]\}$ is in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}_{t_{k-1}^n}^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t_k^n, T}^B}^2(t_{k-1}^n, t_k^n; \mathbb{R})$ and the process $\bar{Y}_t^n := \tilde{Y}_t^n + \int_t^{t_k^n} g_r^n d\overleftarrow{B}_r$, $t \in [t_{k-1}^n, t_k^n]$, belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t_{k-1}^n, t_k^n; \mathbb{R})$. Consequently, $(\bar{Y}_t^n, \tilde{Z}_t^n)$, $t \in [t_{k-1}^n, t_k^n]$, is a solution of BDSDE (A.1),

$$\bar{Y}_t^n = \tilde{Y}_t^n + \int_t^{t_k^n} g_r^n d\overleftarrow{B}_r = Y_{t_k^n}^n + \int_t^{t_k^n} g_r^n d\overleftarrow{B}_r - \int_t^{t_k^n} \tilde{Z}_r^n dW_r, \quad t \in [t_{k-1}^n, t_k^n],$$

and from the uniqueness of the solution of (A.1) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^n &= \overline{Y}_t^n = \tilde{Y}_t^n + \int_t^{t_k^n} g_r^n d\overleftarrow{B}_r, \quad t \in [t_{k-1}^n, t_k^n], \quad P\text{-a.s.}, \\ Z_t^n &= \tilde{Z}_t^n, \quad dt dP\text{-a.e. on } [t_{k-1}^n, t_k^n] \times \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

and so, in particular, we have that Z_t^n is $(\mathcal{F}_t^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t_k^n, T}^B)$ -measurable, $t \in [t_{k-1}^n, t_k^n]$, $1 \leq k \leq 2^n$. Moreover, as g and ξ are bounded, it follows from Lemma A.1 that, for all $p \geq 2$, there is some $C_p \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$E \left[\sup_{s \in [0, T]} |Y_s^n|^p + \left(\int_0^T |Z_s^n|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \leq C_p, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Then, for $1 \leq k \leq 2^n$, $t_{k-1}^n \leq t < t+h \leq t_k^n$, with the notation $\Xi_{t, t+h}^{n, \lambda} := Y_t^n + \lambda(Y_{t+h}^n - Y_t^n)$, it follows from the chain rule that

$$\begin{aligned} G(P_{Y_{t+h}^n}) - G(P_{Y_t^n}) &= \tilde{G}(Y_{t+h}^n) - \tilde{G}(Y_t^n) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\lambda} \tilde{G}(\Xi_{t, t+h}^{n, \lambda}) d\lambda \\ &= \int_0^1 \hat{E}[(\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t, t+h}^{n, \lambda}}, \hat{\Xi}_{t, t+h}^{n, \lambda}) \cdot (\hat{Y}_{t+h}^n - \hat{Y}_t^n)] d\lambda = I_1 - I_2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &:= \int_0^1 \hat{E}[(\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t, t+h}^{n, \lambda}}, \hat{\Xi}_{t, t+h}^{n, \lambda}) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} \hat{Z}_r^n d\widehat{W}_r \right)] d\lambda, \\ I_2 &:= \int_0^1 \hat{E}[(\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t, t+h}^{n, \lambda}}, \hat{\Xi}_{t, t+h}^{n, \lambda}) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} \hat{g}_r^n d\overleftarrow{B}_r \right)] d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Here $(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \hat{P})$ is a probability space carrying with $(\hat{\xi}, \hat{g}^n, \hat{B}, \hat{W})$ an independent copy of (ξ, g^n, B, W) (which is defined on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P)); (\hat{Y}^n, \hat{Z}^n) is the solution of the same equation as that for (Y^n, Z^n) , but with the data $(\hat{\xi}, \hat{g}^n, \hat{B}, \hat{W})$ instead of (ξ, g^n, B, W) .

We first make the computation for I_1 . It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_0^1 \hat{E} \left[\left((\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t, t+h}^{n, \lambda}}, \hat{\Xi}_{t, t+h}^{n, \lambda}) - (\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t, t+h}^{n, \lambda}}, \hat{Y}_t^n) \right) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} \hat{Z}_r^n d\widehat{W}_r \right) \right] d\lambda \\ &= \int_0^1 \lambda \int_0^1 \hat{E} \left[\partial_y (\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t, t+h}^{n, \lambda}}, \hat{\Xi}_{t, t+h}^{n, \rho\lambda}) \cdot (\hat{Y}_{t+h}^n - \hat{Y}_t^n) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} \hat{Z}_r^n d\widehat{W}_r \right) \right] d\rho d\lambda \\ &= I_{1,1} + I_{1,2}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1,1} &:= \int_0^1 \lambda \int_0^1 \hat{E} \left[\partial_y (\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t, t+h}^{n, \lambda}}, \hat{\Xi}_{t, t+h}^{n, \rho\lambda}) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} \hat{Z}_r^n d\widehat{W}_r \right)^2 \right] d\rho d\lambda, \\ I_{1,2} &:= - \int_0^1 \lambda \int_0^1 \hat{E} \left[\partial_y (\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t, t+h}^{n, \lambda}}, \hat{\Xi}_{t, t+h}^{n, \rho\lambda}) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} \hat{g}_r^n d\overleftarrow{B}_r \right) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} \hat{Z}_r^n d\widehat{W}_r \right) \right] d\rho d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Let us now consider $I_{1,1}$. Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1,1} &= \int_0^1 \lambda \int_0^1 \hat{E} \left[\partial_y (\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t, t+h}^{n, \lambda}}, \hat{Y}_t^n) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} \hat{Z}_r^n d\widehat{W}_r \right)^2 \right] d\rho d\lambda + R_{1,1} \\ &= \int_0^1 \lambda \hat{E} \left[\partial_y (\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t, t+h}^{n, \lambda}}, \hat{Y}_t^n) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} |\hat{Z}_r^n|^2 dr \right) \right] d\lambda + R_{1,1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \hat{E} \left[\partial_y (\partial_\mu G)(P_{Y_t^n}, \hat{Y}_t^n) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} |\hat{Z}_r^n|^2 dr \right) \right] + R_{1,1} + R_{1,2}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

where

$$R_{1,1} := \int_0^1 \lambda \int_0^1 \widehat{E} \left[\left(\partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t,t+h}^{n,\lambda}}, \widehat{\Xi}_{t,t+h}^{n,\rho\lambda}) - \partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t,t+h}^{n,\lambda}}, \widehat{Y}_t^n) \right) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} \widehat{Z}_r^n d\widehat{W}_r \right)^2 \right] d\rho d\lambda,$$

$$R_{1,2} := \int_0^1 \lambda \widehat{E} \left[\left(\partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t,t+h}^{n,\lambda}}, \widehat{Y}_t^n) - \partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{Y_t^n}, \widehat{Y}_t^n) \right) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} |\widehat{Z}_r^n|^2 dr \right) \right] d\lambda.$$

Making use of the fact that $\partial_y(\partial_\mu G)$ is Lipschitz, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |R_{1,1}| &\leq C \int_0^1 \lambda \int_0^1 \widehat{E} \left[|\widehat{\Xi}_{t,t+h}^{n,\rho\lambda} - \widehat{Y}_t^n| \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} \widehat{Z}_r^n d\widehat{W}_r \right)^2 \right] d\rho d\lambda \\ &\leq CE \left[|Y_{t+h}^n - Y_t^n| \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} Z_r^n dW_r \right)^2 \right] \\ &\leq CE \left[\left| \int_t^{t+h} Z_r^n dW_r \right|^3 \right] + CE \left[\left| \int_t^{t+h} g_r^n d\overleftarrow{B}_r \right| \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} Z_r^n dW_r \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

But, as $\int_t^{t+h} g_r^n d\overleftarrow{B}_r$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t_{k-1}^n}^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t,T}^B \vee \mathcal{F}^0$ -measurable and $\int_t^{t+h} Z_r^n dW_r$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t+h}^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t_k^n, T}^B$ -measurable, both are conditionally independent knowing $\mathcal{F}_{t_{k-1}^n}^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t_k^n, T}^B$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &E \left[\left| \int_t^{t+h} g_r^n d\overleftarrow{B}_r \right| \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} Z_r^n dW_r \right)^2 \right] \\ &= E \left[E \left[\left| \int_t^{t+h} g_r^n d\overleftarrow{B}_r \right| \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t_{k-1}^n}^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t_k^n, T}^B \right] \cdot E \left[\left(\int_t^{t+h} Z_r^n dW_r \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t_{k-1}^n}^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t_k^n, T}^B \right] \right] \\ &\leq CE \left[E \left[\left(\int_t^{t+h} |g_r^n|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t_{k-1}^n}^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t_k^n, T}^B \right] \cdot E \left[\int_t^{t+h} |Z_r^n|^2 dr \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t_{k-1}^n}^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t_k^n, T}^B \right] \right] \\ &\leq C\sqrt{h}E \left[\int_t^{t+h} |Z_r^n|^2 dr \right], \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality and the boundedness of g . Consequently,

$$|R_{1,1}| \leq CE \left[\left(\left(\int_t^{t+h} |Z_r^n|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{h} \right) \cdot \int_t^{t+h} |Z_r^n|^2 dr \right].$$

The estimate of $R_{1,2}$ is similar but easier:

$$\begin{aligned} |R_{1,2}| &\leq C \int_0^1 \lambda W_2(P_{\Xi_{t,t+h}^{n,\lambda}}, P_{Y_t^n}) d\lambda \cdot \widehat{E} \left[\int_t^{t+h} |\widehat{Z}_r^n|^2 dr \right] \\ &\leq C(E[|Y_{t+h}^n - Y_t^n|^2])^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot E \left[\int_t^{t+h} |Z_r^n|^2 dr \right] \\ &\leq C \left(\sqrt{h} + (E[\int_t^{t+h} |Z_r^n|^2 dr])^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \cdot E \left[\int_t^{t+h} |Z_r^n|^2 dr \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Next we compute $I_{1,2}$. As $(Y_{t+h}^n, \int_t^{t+h} Z_r^n dW_r)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t+h}^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t+h, T}^B$ -measurable, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} -I_{1,2} &= \int_0^1 \lambda \int_0^1 \widehat{E} \left[\partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t,t+h}^{n,\lambda}}, \widehat{\Xi}_{t,t+h}^{n,\rho\lambda}) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} \widehat{g}_r^n d\overleftarrow{B}_r \right) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} \widehat{Z}_r^n d\widehat{W}_r \right) \right] d\rho d\lambda \\ &= \int_0^1 \lambda \widehat{E} \left[\partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t,t+h}^{n,\lambda}}, \widehat{Y}_{t+h}^n) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} \widehat{g}_r^n d\overleftarrow{B}_r \right) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} \widehat{Z}_r^n d\widehat{W}_r \right) \right] d\lambda + R_{1,3} = R_{1,3}, \end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

where

$$R_{1,3} := \int_0^1 \lambda \int_0^1 \widehat{E} \left[\left(\partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t,t+h}^{n,\lambda}}, \widehat{\Xi}_{t,t+h}^{n,\rho\lambda}) - \partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t,t+h}^{n,\lambda}}, \widehat{Y}_{t+h}^n) \right) \right. \\ \left. \times \left(\int_t^{t+h} \widehat{g}_r^n d\overleftarrow{\widehat{B}}_r \right) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} \widehat{Z}_r^n d\widehat{W}_r \right) \right] d\rho d\lambda.$$

Similar to the estimate of $R_{1,1}$, by using again the conditional independence of $\int_t^{t+h} g_r^n d\overleftarrow{B}_r$ and $\int_t^{t+h} Z_r^n dW_r$ knowing $\mathcal{F}_{t_{k-1}^n}^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t_k^n, T}^B$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |R_{1,3}| &\leq CE \left[|Y_{t+h}^n - Y_t^n| \cdot \left| \int_t^{t+h} g_r^n d\overleftarrow{B}_r \right| \cdot \left| \int_t^{t+h} Z_r^n dW_r \right| \right] \\ &\leq CE \left[\left| \int_t^{t+h} g_r^n d\overleftarrow{B}_r \right|^2 \cdot \left| \int_t^{t+h} Z_r^n dW_r \right| \right] + CE \left[\left| \int_t^{t+h} g_r^n d\overleftarrow{B}_r \right| \cdot \left| \int_t^{t+h} Z_r^n dW_r \right|^2 \right] \\ &= CE \left[E \left[\left| \int_t^{t+h} g_r^n d\overleftarrow{B}_r \right|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t_{k-1}^n}^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t_k^n, T}^B \right] \cdot E \left[\left| \int_t^{t+h} Z_r^n dW_r \right|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t_{k-1}^n}^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t_k^n, T}^B \right] \right] \\ &\quad + CE \left[E \left[\left| \int_t^{t+h} g_r^n d\overleftarrow{B}_r \right| \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t_{k-1}^n}^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t_k^n, T}^B \right] \cdot E \left[\left| \int_t^{t+h} Z_r^n dW_r \right|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t_{k-1}^n}^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t_k^n, T}^B \right] \right] \\ &\leq ChE \left[\left(\int_t^{t+h} |Z_r^n|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] + C\sqrt{h}E \left[\int_t^{t+h} |Z_r^n|^2 dr \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, summarizing the above computation for I_1 , we get

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{2} \widehat{E} \left[\partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{Y_t^n}, \widehat{Y}_t^n) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} |\widehat{Z}_r^n|^2 dr \right) \right] + R_1, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

where $R_1 = R_{1,1} + R_{1,2} - R_{1,3}$, and

$$|R_1| \leq CE \left[\left(\left(\int_t^{t+h} |Z_r^n|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (E \left[\int_t^{t+h} |Z_r^n|^2 dr \right])^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{h} \right) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} |Z_r^n|^2 dr + h \right) \right], \quad t_{k-1}^n \leq t < t+h \leq t_k^n.$$

Next we compute I_2 . Let $\Gamma_{t,t+h}^{n,\rho,\lambda} := Y_{t+h}^n - \rho(1-\lambda)(Y_{t+h}^n - Y_t^n)$. Then, as Y_{t+h}^n is $\mathcal{F}_{t+h}^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t+h, T}^B$ -measurable,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_0^1 \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t,t+h}^{n,\lambda}}, \widehat{\Xi}_{t,t+h}^{n,\lambda}) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} \widehat{g}_r^n d\overleftarrow{\widehat{B}}_r \right) \right] d\lambda \\ &= \int_0^1 \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t,t+h}^{n,\lambda}}, \widehat{Y}_{t+h}^n) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} \widehat{g}_r^n d\overleftarrow{\widehat{B}}_r \right) \right] d\lambda \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 (1-\lambda) \int_0^1 \widehat{E} \left[\partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t,t+h}^{n,\lambda}}, \widehat{\Gamma}_{t,t+h}^{n,\rho,\lambda}) \cdot (\widehat{Y}_{t+h}^n - \widehat{Y}_t^n) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} \widehat{g}_r^n d\overleftarrow{\widehat{B}}_r \right) \right] d\rho d\lambda \\ &= - \int_0^1 (1-\lambda) \int_0^1 \widehat{E} \left[\partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t,t+h}^{n,\lambda}}, \widehat{\Gamma}_{t,t+h}^{n,\rho,\lambda}) \cdot (\widehat{Y}_{t+h}^n - \widehat{Y}_t^n) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} \widehat{g}_r^n d\overleftarrow{\widehat{B}}_r \right) \right] d\rho d\lambda \\ &= I_{2,1} - I_{2,2}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2,1} &:= \int_0^1 (1-\lambda) \int_0^1 \widehat{E} \left[\partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t,t+h}^{n,\lambda}}, \widehat{\Gamma}_{t,t+h}^{n,\rho,\lambda}) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} \widehat{g}_r^n d\overleftarrow{\widehat{B}}_r \right)^2 \right] d\rho d\lambda, \\ I_{2,2} &:= \int_0^1 (1-\lambda) \int_0^1 \widehat{E} \left[\partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t,t+h}^{n,\lambda}}, \widehat{\Gamma}_{t,t+h}^{n,\rho,\lambda}) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} \widehat{g}_r^n d\overleftarrow{\widehat{B}}_r \right) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} \widehat{Z}_r^n d\widehat{W}_r \right) \right] d\rho d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

A straight-forward computation for $I_{2,1}$ shows

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{2,1} &= \int_0^1 (1-\lambda) \int_0^1 \widehat{E}[\partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t,t+h}^{n,\lambda}}, \widehat{Y}_{t+h}^n) \cdot (\int_t^{t+h} \widehat{g}_r^n d\overleftarrow{B}_r)^2] d\rho d\lambda + R_{2,1} \\
&= \int_0^1 (1-\lambda) \int_0^1 \widehat{E}[\partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t,t+h}^{n,\lambda}}, \widehat{Y}_{t+h}^n) \cdot (\int_t^{t+h} |\widehat{g}_r^n|^2 dr)] d\rho d\lambda + R_{2,1} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \widehat{E}[\partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{Y_t^n}, \widehat{Y}_t^n) \cdot (\int_t^{t+h} |\widehat{g}_r^n|^2 dr)] + R_{2,1} + R_{2,2},
\end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{2,1} &:= \int_0^1 (1-\lambda) \int_0^1 \widehat{E}\left[\left(\partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t,t+h}^{n,\lambda}}, \widehat{\Gamma}_{t,t+h}^{n,\rho,\lambda}) - \partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t,t+h}^{n,\lambda}}, \widehat{Y}_{t+h}^n)\right) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} \widehat{g}_r^n d\overleftarrow{B}_r\right)^2\right] d\rho d\lambda, \\
R_{2,2} &:= \int_0^1 (1-\lambda) \int_0^1 \widehat{E}\left[\left(\partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t,t+h}^{n,\lambda}}, \widehat{Y}_{t+h}^n) - \partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{Y_t^n}, \widehat{Y}_t^n)\right) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} |\widehat{g}_r^n|^2 dr\right)\right] d\rho d\lambda,
\end{aligned}$$

and with arguments similar to those for R_1 we see that

$$|R_{2,1}| + |R_{2,2}| \leq C \left((E[\int_t^{t+h} |Z_r^n|^2 dr])^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{h} \right) h.$$

As concerns $I_{2,2}$, we remark

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{2,2} &= \int_0^1 (1-\lambda) \int_0^1 \widehat{E}[\partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t,t+h}^{n,\lambda}}, \widehat{Y}_{t+h}^n) \cdot (\int_t^{t+h} \widehat{g}_r^n d\overleftarrow{B}_r) \cdot (\int_t^{t+h} \widehat{Z}_r^n d\widehat{W}_r)] d\rho d\lambda \\
&\quad + \int_0^1 (1-\lambda) \int_0^1 \widehat{E}\left[\left(\partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t,t+h}^{n,\lambda}}, \widehat{\Gamma}_{t,t+h}^{n,\rho,\lambda}) - \partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{\Xi_{t,t+h}^{n,\lambda}}, \widehat{Y}_{t+h}^n)\right) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} \widehat{g}_r^n d\overleftarrow{B}_r\right) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} \widehat{Z}_r^n d\widehat{W}_r\right)\right] d\rho d\lambda,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

where the first double integral at the right-hand side equals to zero. We observe that the terms in $I_{2,2}$ are similar to those of I_1 . Thus, using an argument analogous to that in the proof of $I_{1,1}$ and $I_{1,2}$, and combining it with our result for $I_{2,1}$, we obtain

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{2} \widehat{E}\left[\partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{Y_t^n}, \widehat{Y}_t^n) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} |\widehat{g}_r^n|^2 dr\right)\right] + R_2, \tag{A.12}$$

where $R_2 = R_{2,1} + R_{2,2} - I_{2,2}$, and

$$|R_2| \leq CE \left[\left(\left(\int_t^{t+h} |Z_r^n|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (E[\int_t^{t+h} |Z_r^n|^2 dr])^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{h} \right) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} |Z_r^n|^2 dr + h \right) \right], \quad t_{k-1}^n \leq t < t+h \leq t_k^n.$$

Hence, from (A.4), (A.8) and (A.12), we have

$$G(P_{Y_{t+h}^n}) - G(P_{Y_t^n}) = \frac{1}{2} \widehat{E}\left[\partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{Y_t^n}, \widehat{Y}_t^n) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} (|\widehat{Z}_r^n|^2 - |\widehat{g}_r^n|^2) dr\right)\right] + R_{t,t+h}^n, \tag{A.13}$$

where $R_{t,t+h}^n := R_1 - R_2$, and

$$|R_{t,t+h}^n| \leq CE \left[\left(\left(\int_t^{t+h} |Z_r^n|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (E[\int_t^{t+h} |Z_r^n|^2 dr])^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{h} \right) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} |Z_r^n|^2 dr + h \right) \right], \quad t_{k-1}^n \leq t < t+h \leq t_k^n.$$

Now let $m \geq 1$, and put $s_i^m := t + i2^{-m}h$, $0 \leq i \leq 2^m$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} G(P_{Y_{t+h}^n}) - G(P_{Y_t^n}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{2^m} \left(G(P_{Y_{s_i^m}^n}) - G(P_{Y_{s_{i-1}^m}^n}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \widehat{E} \left[\int_t^{t+h} \sum_{i=1}^{2^m} I_{[s_{i-1}^m, s_i^m]}(s) \partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{Y_{s_{i-1}^m}^n}, \widehat{Y}_{s_{i-1}^m}^n) \cdot (|\widehat{Z}_s^n|^2 - |\widehat{g}_s^n|^2) ds \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{2^m} R_{s_{i-1}^m, s_i^m}^n, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

with $\sum_{i=1}^{2^m} |R_{s_{i-1}^m, s_i^m}^n| \rightarrow 0$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{2^m} |R_{s_{i-1}^m, s_i^m}^n| &\leq E \left[\max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^m} \alpha(s_{i-1}^m, s_i^m) \cdot \left(\int_t^{t+h} |Z_r^n|^2 dr + h \right) \right] \\ &\leq C(E[(\max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^m} \alpha(s_{i-1}^m, s_i^m))^2])^{\frac{1}{2}} =: J_m, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha(s, s') = \left(\int_s^{s'} |Z_r^n|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (E[\int_s^{s'} |Z_r^n|^2 dr])^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{s' - s}, \quad s \leq s'.$$

As $s \rightarrow \int_0^s |Z_r^n|^2 dr$ is continuous, and bounded in L^p ($p \geq 1$), it follows with the help of the dominated convergence theorem that $J_m \rightarrow 0$.

Hence, letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$G(P_{Y_{t+h}^n}) - G(P_{Y_t^n}) = \frac{1}{2} \widehat{E} \left[\int_t^{t+h} \partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{Y_s^n}, \widehat{Y}_s^n) \cdot (|\widehat{Z}_s^n|^2 - |\widehat{g}_s^n|^2) ds \right]. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

As this holds for all $t_{k-1}^n \leq t < t+h \leq t_k^n$, $1 \leq k \leq 2^n$, it holds for all $0 \leq t < t+h \leq T$. Moreover, from (A.2), (A.3), the boundedness and the Lipschitz continuity of G and $\partial_y(\partial_\mu G)$ and the dominated convergence theorem, it follows that we can take limit in (A.15), as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This yields

$$G(P_{Y_{t+h}}) - G(P_{Y_t}) = \frac{1}{2} \widehat{E} \left[\int_t^{t+h} \partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(P_{Y_s}, \widehat{Y}_s) \cdot (|\widehat{Z}_s|^2 - |\widehat{g}_s|^2) ds \right], \quad 0 \leq t < t+h \leq T. \quad (\text{A.16})$$

Step 2. The extension of (A.16) to the multi-dimensional case is straight-forward. For this reason we state only the result here. Let $G \in C_b^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $g \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{d \times l})$ be bounded. Then, for the unique solution (Y, Z) of the BDSDE $Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T g_s d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s$, $t \in [0, T]$, we have, for $0 \leq t < t+h \leq T$,

$$G(t+h, P_{Y_{t+h}}) - G(t, P_{Y_t}) = \int_t^{t+h} \left\{ (\partial_t G)(s, P_{Y_s}) + \frac{1}{2} \widehat{E} \left[\text{tr}(\partial_y(\partial_\mu G)(s, P_{Y_s}, \widehat{Y}_s) \cdot (\widehat{Z}_s \widehat{Z}_s^* - \widehat{g}_s \widehat{g}_s^*)) \right] \right\} ds.$$

Step 3. We now consider $F \in C_b^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$, $l \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R})$ and $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T; \mathbb{R})$, where l and ξ are supposed to be bounded. Our objective is to show that, for the unique solution $(U, V) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R})$ of the BDSDE $U_t = \xi + \int_t^T l_s d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T V_s dW_s$, $t \in [0, T]$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} F(t+h, U_{t+h}) - F(t, U_t) &= \int_t^{t+h} \left\{ (\partial_t F)(s, U_s) + \frac{1}{2} ((\partial_{xx}^2 F)(s, U_s) \cdot (|V_s|^2 - |l_s|^2)) \right\} ds \\ &\quad - \int_t^{t+h} (\partial_x F)(s, U_s) \cdot l_s d\overleftarrow{B}_s + \int_t^{t+h} (\partial_x F)(s, U_s) \cdot V_s dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad P\text{-a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

For this we inspire from the proof of Theorem 9.1. Let $\eta^1 \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_T; \mathbb{R})$ and $\eta^2 \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_{0,T}^B; \mathbb{R})$ be such that, for $(\eta_t^1) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}^W}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R})$ and $(\eta_t^2) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}_{\cdot,T}^B}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R})$ with

$$\begin{aligned}\eta^1(t) &:= E[\eta^1 | \mathcal{F}_t^W] = E[\eta^1] + \int_0^t \eta_s^1 dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T], \\ \eta^2(t) &:= E[\eta^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t,T}^B] = E[\eta^2] + \int_t^T \eta_s^2 d\overleftarrow{B}_s, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad P\text{-a.s.},\end{aligned}$$

it holds that $(\eta_t^1)_{t \in [0, T]}$ and $(\eta_t^2)_{t \in [0, T]}$ are bounded. Note that, knowing $\mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{F}_t^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t,T}^B$, η^1 and η^2 are conditionally independent, and, thus

$$E[F(t, U_t) \eta^1 \eta^2] = E[F(t, U_t) E[\eta^1 \eta^2 | \mathcal{F}_t]] = E[F(t, U_t) \eta^1(t) \eta^2(t)], \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Now, to apply Step 2, we put $G(t, \mu) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(t, x) y z \mu(dx dy dz)$, $(t, \mu) \in [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and $Y_t := (U_t, \eta^1(t), \eta^2(t))^*$, $Z_t := (V_t, \eta_t^1, 0)^*$, $t \in [0, T]$. We observe that $(Y, Z) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^3)$ is the unique solution of the BDSDE

$$\begin{pmatrix} U_t \\ \eta^1(t) \\ \eta^2(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta^1 \\ E[\eta^2] \end{pmatrix} + \int_t^T \begin{pmatrix} l_s \\ 0 \\ \eta_s^2 \end{pmatrix} d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T \begin{pmatrix} V_s \\ \eta_s^1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

This allows to apply the result of Step 2 to $G(t, P_{Y_t}) = E[F(t, U_t) \eta^1(t) \eta^2(t)]$. Note that, in particular, $(\partial_\mu G)(t, P_{Y_t}, (x, y, z)) = (\partial_x F(t, x) y z, F(t, x) z, F(t, x) y)$ and put $g_t := (l_t, 0, \eta_t^2)^*$, $t \in [0, T]$. Consequently, a straight-forward computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}& E[F(t+h, U_{t+h}) \eta^1 \eta^2] - E[F(t, U_t) \eta^1 \eta^2] \\&= E[F(t+h, U_{t+h}) \eta^1(t+h) \eta^2(t+h)] - E[F(t, U_t) \eta^1(t) \eta^2(t)] = G(t+h, P_{Y_{t+h}}) - G(t, P_{Y_t}) \\&= \int_t^{t+h} \left\{ (\partial_t G)(s, P_{Y_s}) + \frac{1}{2} \widehat{E} \left[\text{tr} \left((\partial_{(x,y,z)} G)(s, P_{Y_s}, \widehat{Y}_s) \cdot (\widehat{Z}_s \widehat{Z}_s^* - \widehat{g}_s \widehat{g}_s^*) \right) \right] \right\} ds \\&= \int_t^{t+h} \left\{ E[(\partial_t F)(s, U_s) \eta^1(s) \eta^2(s)] + \frac{1}{2} \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_{xx}^2 F)(s, \widehat{U}_s) \cdot (|\widehat{V}_s|^2 - |\widehat{l}_s|^2) \widehat{\eta^1(s)} \widehat{\eta^2(s)} \right] \right. \\&\quad \left. + \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_x F)(s, \widehat{U}_s) \cdot (\widehat{V}_s \widehat{\eta_s^1} \widehat{\eta_s^2} - \widehat{l}_s \widehat{\eta^1(s)} \widehat{\eta_s^2}) \right] \right\} ds, \quad 0 \leq t < t+h \leq T.\end{aligned}$$

As $\eta^2(s) = E[\eta^2 | \mathcal{F}_s]$, $s \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}& \int_t^{t+h} \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_x F)(s, \widehat{U}_s) \cdot \widehat{V}_s \widehat{\eta_s^1} \widehat{\eta^2(s)} \right] ds = \int_t^{t+h} E \left[(\partial_x F)(s, U_s) \cdot V_s \eta_s^1 \eta^2 \right] ds \\&= E \left[E \left[\int_t^{t+h} (\partial_x F)(s, U_s) \cdot V_s \eta_s^1 ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_{0,T}^B \right] \eta^2 \right] \\&= E \left[E \left[\left(\int_t^{t+h} (\partial_x F)(s, U_s) \cdot V_s dW_s \right) \cdot \left(\int_0^T \eta_s^1 dW_s \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{0,T}^B \right] \eta^2 \right] \\&= E \left[E \left[\left(\int_t^{t+h} (\partial_x F)(s, U_s) \cdot V_s dW_s \right) \cdot \eta^1 \middle| \mathcal{F}_{0,T}^B \right] \eta^2 \right] \\&= E \left[\left(\int_t^{t+h} (\partial_x F)(s, U_s) \cdot V_s dW_s \right) \cdot \eta^1 \eta^2 \right], \quad 0 \leq t < t+h \leq T.\end{aligned}$$

With a symmetric argument we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_t^{t+h} \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_x F)(s, \widehat{U}_s) \cdot \widehat{l}_s \widehat{\eta^1(s)} \widehat{\eta_s^2} \right] ds = \int_t^{t+h} E \left[(\partial_x F)(s, U_s) \cdot l_s \eta^1 \eta_s^2 \right] ds \\
&= E \left[E \left[\int_t^{t+h} (\partial_x F)(s, U_s) \cdot l_s \eta_s^2 ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^W \right] \eta^1 \right] \\
&= E \left[E \left[\left(\int_t^{t+h} (\partial_x F)(s, U_s) \cdot l_s d\overleftarrow{B}_s \right) \cdot \eta^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^W \right] \eta^1 \right] \\
&= E \left[\left(\int_t^{t+h} (\partial_x F)(s, U_s) \cdot l_s d\overleftarrow{B}_s \right) \cdot \eta^1 \eta^2 \right], \quad 0 \leq t < t+h \leq T.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, summarising the above computation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
E \left[(F(t+h, U_{t+h}) - F(t, U_t)) \eta^1 \eta^2 \right] &= E \left[\left(\int_t^{t+h} \left\{ (\partial_t F)(s, U_s) + \frac{1}{2} ((\partial_{xx}^2 F)(s, U_s) \cdot (|V_s|^2 - |l_s|^2)) \right\} ds \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \int_t^{t+h} (\partial_x F)(s, U_s) \cdot l_s d\overleftarrow{B}_s + \int_t^{t+h} (\partial_x F)(s, U_s) \cdot V_s dW_s \right) \eta^1 \eta^2 \right],
\end{aligned}$$

and recalling the arbitrariness of $\eta^1 \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_T; \mathbb{R})$, $\eta^2 \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_{0,T}^B; \mathbb{R})$ with $(\eta_t^1), (\eta_t^2)$ bounded, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
F(t+h, U_{t+h}) - F(t, U_t) &= \int_t^{t+h} \left\{ (\partial_t F)(s, U_s) + \frac{1}{2} ((\partial_{xx}^2 F)(s, U_s) \cdot (|V_s|^2 - |l_s|^2)) \right\} ds \\
&\quad - \int_t^{t+h} (\partial_x F)(s, U_s) \cdot l_s d\overleftarrow{B}_s + \int_t^{t+h} (\partial_x F)(s, U_s) \cdot V_s dW_s, \quad 0 \leq t < t+h \leq T, \quad P\text{-a.s.}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.17}$$

We remark that, standard approximation, (A.17) can be extended to the case where $((\partial_t F)(s, U_s)) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R})$.

Step 4. We combine now the results of the steps 2 and 3 with keeping the assumptions which have been made there. Let $H \in C_b^{1,2,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}))$. Then, due to Step 2, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq t < t+h \leq T$,

$$H(t+h, x, P_{Y_{t+h}}) - H(t, x, P_{Y_t}) = \int_t^{t+h} \left\{ (\partial_t H)(s, x, P_{Y_s}) + \frac{1}{2} \widehat{E} \left[\partial_y (\partial_\mu H)(s, x, P_{Y_s}, \widehat{Y}_s) (|\widehat{Z}_s|^2 - |\widehat{g}_s|^2) \right] \right\} ds,$$

and from Step 3 for $F(t, x) := H(t, x, P_{Y_t})$, $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& H(t+h, U_{t+h}, P_{Y_{t+h}}) - H(t, U_t, P_{Y_t}) = F(t+h, U_{t+h}) - F(t, U_t) \\
&= \int_t^{t+h} \left\{ (\partial_t F)(s, U_s) + \frac{1}{2} ((\partial_{xx}^2 F)(s, U_s) \cdot (|V_s|^2 - |l_s|^2)) \right\} ds \\
&\quad - \int_t^{t+h} (\partial_x F)(s, U_s) \cdot l_s d\overleftarrow{B}_s + \int_t^{t+h} (\partial_x F)(s, U_s) \cdot V_s dW_s \\
&= \int_t^{t+h} \left\{ (\partial_t H)(s, U_s, P_{Y_s}) + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{xx}^2 H)(s, U_s, P_{Y_s}) (|V_s|^2 - |l_s|^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \widehat{E} \left[\partial_y (\partial_\mu H)(s, U_s, P_{Y_s}, \widehat{Y}_s) \cdot (|\widehat{Z}_s|^2 - |\widehat{g}_s|^2) \right] \right\} ds + \int_t^{t+h} (\partial_x H)(s, U_s, P_{Y_s}) \cdot V_s dW_s \\
&\quad - \int_t^{t+h} (\partial_x H)(s, U_s, P_{Y_s}) \cdot l_s d\overleftarrow{B}_s, \quad 0 \leq t < t+h \leq T, \quad P\text{-a.s.}
\end{aligned}$$

■

A.2 Mean-field BDSDEs

We first give two classical estimates for solutions of backward doubly stochastic differential equations (BDSDEs for short), the proof is standard, the readers may refer to, e.g., [25] and [17].

Lemma A.1. *Suppose (Y^i, Z^i) is the unique solution of the following BDSDE with data (f_i, g_i, θ_i) ,*

$$\begin{cases} dY_s^i = -f_i(s, Y_s^i, Z_s^i)ds - g_i(s, Y_s^i, Z_s^i)d\overleftarrow{B}_s + Z_s^i dW_s, \\ Y_T^i = \theta_i, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.18})$$

where the integral w.r.t. B is the Itô backward one, denoted by $d\overleftarrow{B}$, $\theta_i \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; \mathbb{R}^d)$, and the coefficients $f_i : [0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$ and $g_i : [0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k \times l}$, $i = 1, 2$, are jointly measurable and satisfy:

Assumption (H10.1) (i) $(g_i(t, \cdot, 0, 0))_{t \in [0, T]} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{k \times l})$;
(ii) g_i is Lipschitz in (y, z) , i.e., there exist constants $C > 0$, and $0 < \alpha < 1$ such that for all $t \in [0, T]$, $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$, P -a.s.,

$$|g_i(t, y_1, z_1) - g_i(t, y_2, z_2)|^2 \leq C|y_1 - y_2|^2 + \alpha|z_1 - z_2|^2;$$

(iii) $(f_i(t, \cdot, 0, 0))_{t \in [0, T]} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^k)$;
(iv) f_i is Lipschitz in (y, z) , i.e., there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $t \in [0, T]$, $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$, P -a.s.,

$$|f_i(t, y_1, z_1) - f_i(t, y_2, z_2)| \leq C(|y_1 - y_2| + |z_1 - z_2|).$$

Then, for $(\overline{Y}, \overline{Z}) := (Y^1, Z^1) - (Y^2, Z^2)$, $\overline{f} := f_1 - f_2$, $\overline{g} := g_1 - g_2$, $\overline{\theta} := \theta_1 - \theta_2$, we have the following estimates:

(1) There exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on the Lipschitz constant of f and g , such that, for $t \in [0, T]$, P -a.s.,

$$E\left[\sup_{s \in [0, T]} |\overline{Y}_s|^2\right] + E\left[\int_0^T |\overline{Z}_s|^2 ds\right] \leq CE\left[|\overline{\xi}|^2 + \int_0^T \left(|\overline{f}(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1)|^2 + |\overline{g}(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1)|^2\right) ds\right]. \quad (\text{A.19})$$

(2) We suppose that, for some $p \geq 2$, $\overline{C}_p \alpha^{\frac{p}{2}} < 1$. Here $\overline{C}_p := 2^{p-1} C_p^* ((\frac{p}{p-1})^p + 1) C_p'$, $C_p^* := 2^{-p-2} 3^p p^{3p} + 2^{\frac{p}{2}}$, $C_p' := (\frac{p}{p-1})^p 3^{p-1} (2C^p 5^{p-1} \vee (6p^3)^p 5^{\frac{p}{2}-1})$, and C is the Lipschitz constant in Assumption (H10.1). Then there exists $C_p \in \mathbb{R}_+$ only depending on the bounds of the coefficients and on p , such that

$$E\left[\sup_{s \in [0, T]} |\overline{Y}_s|^p + \left(\int_0^T |\overline{Z}_s|^2 ds\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right] \leq C_p E\left[|\overline{\theta}|^p + \left(\int_0^T |\overline{f}(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1)| ds\right)^p + \left(\int_0^T |\overline{g}(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1)|^2 ds\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right]. \quad (\text{A.20})$$

We now consider a more general case of BDSDE (A.18). Let $f : [0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times d} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^{d+k+k \times d}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$, $g : [0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times d} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^{d+k+k \times d}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k \times l}$, and $h : [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^{d+k+k \times d}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k \times l}$ be jointly measurable and satisfy the following standard assumptions:

Assumption (H10.2)

(i) $(g(t, \cdot, 0, 0, \delta_0))_{t \in [0, T]} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{k \times l})$, where δ_0 is the Dirac measure with mass at

$$0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d+k+k \times d},$$

- (ii) g is Lipschitz in (y, z, μ) with some Lipschitz constants $C > 0$, and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$ with $0 < \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 < 1$ such that, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $\mu, \mu' \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^{d+k} \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times d})$, $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$, P -a.s.,

$$|g(t, y_1, z_1, \mu) - g(t, y_2, z_2, \mu')|^2 \leq C|y_1 - y_2|^2 + \alpha_1|z_1 - z_2|^2 + W_{2,C,\alpha_2}(\mu, \mu')^2;$$

- (iii) $(f(t, \cdot, 0, 0, \delta_0))_{t \in [0, T]} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^k)$;

- (iv) f is Lipschitz in (y, z, μ) , i.e., there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for all $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$, $\mu, \mu' \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^{d+k+k \times d})$, $t \in [0, T]$, P -a.s.,

$$|f(t, y_1, z_1, \mu) - f(t, y_2, z_2, \mu')| \leq C(W_2(\mu, \mu') + |y_1 - y_2| + |z_1 - z_2|);$$

- (v) $(h(t, \delta_0))_{t \in [0, T]} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{k \times l})$;

- (vi) h is Lipschitz in μ , i.e., there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $\mu, \mu' \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^{d+k+k \times d})$,

$$|h(t, \mu) - h(t, \mu')|^2 \leq CW_2(\mu, \mu')^2;$$

- (vii) $\xi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; \mathbb{R}^k)$;

- (viii) $X \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Theorem A.1. *Under Assumption (H10.2), the following mean-field BDSDE*

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s, P_{(X_s, Y_s, Z_s)})ds + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s, P_{(X_s, Y_s, Z_s)})d\overleftarrow{B}_s \\ + \int_t^T h(s, P_{(X_s, Y_s, Z_s)})d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.21})$$

has a unique solution $(Y, Z) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^k) \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{k \times d})$.

For the proof the reader is referred to [17]. Similar to Lemma A.1, we also have the following estimates for mean-field BDSDE. In particular, we consider dimension $k = 1$.

Theorem A.2. *Let (Y^i, Z^i) be the unique solution of the following BDSDE with data (f^i, g^i, h^i, ξ^i) ,*

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^i = \xi^i + \int_t^T f^i(s, Y_s^i, Z_s^i, P_{(X_s^i, Y_s^i, Z_s^i)})ds + \int_t^T g^i(s, Y_s^i, Z_s^i, P_{(X_s^i, Y_s^i, Z_s^i)})d\overleftarrow{B}_s \\ + \int_t^T h^i(s, P_{(X_s^i, Y_s^i, Z_s^i)})d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T Z_s^i dW_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.22})$$

where $(f^i, g^i, h^i, \xi^i, X^i)$ satisfies Assumption (H10.2), $i = 1, 2$.

We denote $(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z}) := (X^1, Y^1, Z^1) - (X^2, Y^2, Z^2)$, $\overline{f} := f^1 - f^2$, $\overline{g} := g^1 - g^2$, $\overline{h} := h^1 - h^2$, $\overline{\xi} := \xi^1 - \xi^2$. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of the coefficients such that, P -a.s., $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} E[\sup_{s \in [0, T]} |\overline{Y}_s|^2] + E[\int_0^T |\overline{Z}_s|^2 ds] \leq CE \left[|\overline{\xi}|^2 + \int_0^T |\overline{f}(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1, P_{(X_s^1, Y_s^1, Z_s^1)})|^2 ds \right. \\ \left. + \int_0^T |\overline{g}(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1, P_{(X_s^1, Y_s^1, Z_s^1)})|^2 ds + \int_0^T |\overline{h}(s, P_{(X_s^1, Y_s^1, Z_s^1)})|^2 ds + \int_0^T E[|\overline{X}_s|^2] ds \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.23})$$

For the proof the reader is referred to Proposition 3.2 in [17].

Corollary A.1. *For $i = 1, 2$, let $(Y^i, Z^i) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ be the unique solution of BDSDE (A.22) with data (f^i, g^i, h^i, ξ^i) , $i = 1, 2$. We suppose that f^i , g^i and h^i are of the form $f^i(s, y, z, P_{(Y_s, Z_s)}) = u^i(s, y, z) + \widehat{E}[v^i(s, \widehat{Y}_s, \widehat{Z}_s)]$, $g^i(s, y, z, P_{(Y_s, Z_s)}) = a^i(s, y, z) + \widehat{E}[b^i(s, \widehat{Y}_s, \widehat{Z}_s)]$, $h^i(s, P_{(Y_s, Z_s)}) = \widehat{E}[q^i(s, \widehat{Y}_s, \widehat{Z}_s)]$, where u^i, v^i, a^i, b^i defined over $[0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$, and q^i defined over $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfy Assumption (H10.1). Then, we have, for a constant C only depending on the Lipschitz constants in (H10.1), P -a.s.,*

$$\begin{aligned} E[\sup_{s \in [0, T]} |\overline{Y}_s|^2] + E[\int_0^T |\overline{Z}_s|^2 ds] \leq CE \left[|\xi|^2 + \int_0^T \left(|(u^1 - u^2)(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1)|^2 + |(a^1 - a^2)(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1)|^2 \right) ds \right. \\ \left. + \int_0^T \left(|\widehat{E}[(v^1 - v^2)(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1)]|^2 ds + |\widehat{E}[(b^1 - b^2)(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1)]|^2 ds + |\widehat{E}[(q^1 - q^2)(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1)]|^2 ds \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.24})$$

Theorem A.3. *Let Assumption (H10.2) be satisfied. We suppose that, for some $p \geq 2$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$ are small enough, such that $\overline{C}_p(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)^{\frac{p}{2}} < 1$. Here $\overline{C}_p := 2^{p-1}C_p^*((\frac{p}{p-1})^p + 1)C'_p$, where $C'_p := (\frac{p}{p-1})^{p-1}3^{p-1}(2C^p5^{p-1} \vee (6p^3)^p5^{\frac{p}{2}-1})$, $C_p^* := 2^{-p-2}3^p p^{3p} + 2^{\frac{p}{2}}$, and C is the Lipschitz constant in Assumption (H10.2). Let (Y, Z) denote the unique solution of the mean-field BDSDE (A.21) with data (f, g, h, ξ) . Then there exists $C_p \in \mathbb{R}_+$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants in (H10.1) and on p , such that*

$$\begin{aligned} E[\sup_{s \in [0, T]} |Y_s|^p] + E\left[\left(\int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right] \leq C_p E\left[|\xi|^p + \left(\int_0^T |X_s|^2 ds\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right. \\ \left. + \left(\int_0^T |f(s, 0, 0, \delta_0)|^p ds\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} + \left(\int_0^T |g(s, 0, 0, \delta_0)|^2 ds\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} + \left(\int_0^T |h(s, \delta_0)|^2 ds\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.25})$$

For the proof the reader is referred to Proposition 3.3 in [17].

Now we give the estimates for a special type of mean-field BDSDEs, which are used frequently in our work. We suppose that

- Assumption (H10.3)** (i) $\xi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; \mathbb{R})$;
(ii) $\lambda = (\lambda_s)$, $\beta = (\beta_s)$, $\delta = (\delta_s)$, $\gamma = (\gamma_s)$ are bounded $\{\mathcal{F}_s\}$ -adapted measurable processes;
(iii) $\zeta = (\zeta_s)$, $\theta = (\theta_s)$, $\eta = (\eta_s)$, $\rho = (\rho_s)$ are bounded $\{\mathcal{A}_s\}$ -adapted measurable processes with $\mathcal{A}_s = \mathcal{F}_s \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{F}}$, where $(\widehat{\Omega}, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{P})$ is a copy of (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) ;
(iv) There exist constants $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$ with $0 < \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 < 1$ such that $|\delta|^2 \leq \alpha_1$, $|\rho|^2 \leq \alpha_2$;
(v) $R = (R(s))$ is $\{\mathcal{F}_s\}$ -adapted and measurable with $E[\int_0^T |R(r)|^2 dr] < +\infty$;
(vi) $H = (H(s))$ is $\{\mathcal{F}_s\}$ -adapted and measurable with $E[\int_0^T |H(r)|^2 dr] < +\infty$.

With similar arguments as for the Theorems A.2 and A.3, we get the following corollaries.

Corollary A.2. *Suppose Assumption (H10.3) is satisfied. Let $(Y, Z) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ be the unique solution of the linear BDSDE*

$$\begin{aligned} Y_s = \xi + \int_s^T \left(R(r) + \lambda_r Y_r + \widehat{E}[\zeta_r \widehat{Y}_r] + \gamma_r Z_r + \widehat{E}[\theta_r \widehat{Z}_r] \right) dr \\ + \int_s^T \left(H(r) + \beta_r Y_r + \widehat{E}[\eta_r \widehat{Y}_r] + \delta_r Z_r + \widehat{E}[\rho_r \widehat{Z}_r] \right) d\overleftarrow{B}_r - \int_s^T Z_r dW_r \quad s \in [0, T], \quad P\text{-a.s.}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.26})$$

where $(\widehat{Y}, \widehat{Z})$ denotes a copy of (Y, Z) on $(\widehat{\Omega}, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{P})$ (i.e., $P_{(Y,Z)} = \widehat{P}_{(\widehat{Y}, \widehat{Z})}$). Then there exists a constant $C \in \mathbb{R}_+$ only depending on the bounds of the coefficients such that

$$E[\sup_{s \in [0, T]} |Y_s|^2] + E[\int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds] \leq CE[|\xi|^2 + \int_0^T |R(t)|^2 dt + \int_0^T |H(t)|^2 dt]. \quad (\text{A.27})$$

Corollary A.3. *Let Assumption (H10.3) hold. Moreover, we suppose that, for some $p \geq 2$, $\overline{C}_p(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)^{\frac{p}{2}} < 1$. Here $\overline{C}_p := 2^{p-1}C_p^*((\frac{p}{p-1})^p + 1)C'_p$, $C'_p := (\frac{p}{p-1})^{p3^{p-1}}(2C^p 5^{p-1} \vee (6p^3)^p 5^{\frac{p}{2}-1})$, and $C_p^* := 2^{-p-2}3^p p^{3p} + 2^{\frac{p}{2}}$, where C is the bound of the processes $\lambda, \beta, \delta, \gamma, \zeta, \theta, \eta$ and ρ in Assumption (H10.3). Then there exists $C_p \in \mathbb{R}_+$ only depending on the bounds of the coefficients and on p , such that, for the unique solution (Y, Z) of the BDSDE (A.26), we have*

$$E[\sup_{s \in [0, T]} |Y_s|^p] + E\left[\left(\int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right] \leq C_p E\left[|\xi|^p + \left(\int_0^T |R(t)| dt\right)^p + \left(\int_0^T |H(t)|^2 dt\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right]. \quad (\text{A.28})$$

Let us, finally, briefly discuss

A.3 The special case of g affine with respect to z

The results are mainly used in the proof of Proposition 9.2.

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$, $h : \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$ and $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be deterministic functions and satisfying:

Assumption (H10.4)

- (i) Let $\Phi \in C_b^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, $f \in C_b^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1+d} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^{d+1+d}))$ and $h \in C_b^1(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^{d+1+d}); \mathbb{R}^l)$.
- (ii) The coefficient g is affine in z : for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$g(x, y, z, \mu) = g^1(x, y, \mu) + g^2(\mu(\cdot \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d))z,$$

where $g^1 \in C_b^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^{d+1+d}); \mathbb{R}^l)$ and $g^2 \in C_b^1(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d); \mathbb{R}^{l \times d})$. In addition we suppose $|g^2|^2 \leq \alpha_1$, $\sum_{k=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^d |(\partial_{\mu} g_i^1)_{d+1+k}|^2 \leq \alpha_2$, for constants $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$ with $0 < \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 < 1$.

Given $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$ we consider the following both BDSDEs:

$$\begin{cases} dY_s^{t,\xi} = -f(\Pi_s^{t,\xi}, P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}})ds - (g(\Pi_s^{t,\xi}, P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}}) + h(P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}}))d\overleftarrow{B}_s + Z_s^{t,\xi}dW_s, & s \in [t, T], \\ Y_T^{t,\xi} = \Phi(X_T^{t,\xi}, P_{X_T^{t,\xi}}), \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.29})$$

and

$$\begin{cases} dY_s^{t,x,\xi} = -f(\Pi_s^{t,x,\xi}, P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}})ds - (g(\Pi_s^{t,x,\xi}, P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}}) + h(P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}}))d\overleftarrow{B}_s + Z_s^{t,x,\xi}dW_s, & s \in [t, T], \\ Y_T^{t,x,\xi} = \Phi(X_T^{t,x,\xi}, P_{X_T^{t,\xi}}), \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.30})$$

where $\Pi_s^{t,\xi} := (X_s^{t,\xi}, Y_s^{t,\xi}, Z_s^{t,\xi})$, $\Pi_s^{t,x,\xi} := (X_s^{t,x,\xi}, Y_s^{t,x,\xi}, Z_s^{t,x,\xi})$. Recall that the processes $X^{t,\xi}$ and $X^{t,x,\xi}$ are the solution of SDEs (3.1) and (3.2), respectively.

By using similar techniques as in the proofs of the Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 and the Propositions 4.3, 6.1 and 6.2, we obtain the following Propositions A.1, A.2 and A.3. For simplicity of redaction but without loss of generality, we restrict to the dimensions $d = 1$, $l = 1$ and to $f(\Pi_s^{t,x,\xi}, P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}}) = f(Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi})$, $g(\Pi_s^{t,x,\xi}, P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}}) = g^2(P_{X_s^{t,\xi}})Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}$, $h(P_{\Pi_s^{t,\xi}}) = h(P_{(Y_s^{t,\xi}, Z_s^{t,\xi})})$ and $\Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}, P_{X_T^{t,\xi}}) = \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi})$.

Proposition A.1. *Let the Assumptions (H5.1) and (H10.4) hold true. Then for all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$, $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$, $(Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}) \in L^2(t, T; (\mathbb{D}^{1,2})^2)$ and a version of $\{D_\theta Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}, D_\theta Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi} : \theta, s \in [t, T]\}$ is given by:*

- (i) $D_\theta Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi} = 0, D_\theta Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi} = 0, t \leq s < \theta \leq T$;
- (ii) $\{D_\theta Y^{t,x,P_\xi}, D_\theta Z^{t,x,P_\xi} : s \in [\theta, T]\}$ is the unique solution of the linear BDSDE: $s \in [t, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_\theta Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi} &= \partial_x \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}) D_\theta X_T^{t,x,P_\xi} + \int_s^T \partial_z f(Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}) D_\theta Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} dr \\ &\quad + \int_s^T g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) D_\theta Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} d\overleftarrow{B}_r - \int_s^T D_\theta Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} dW_r, \quad d\theta dP\text{-a.e.}, \quad t \leq \theta \leq s. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.31})$$

Moreover, $Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi} = P\text{-}\lim_{s < u \downarrow s} D_s Y_u^{t,x,P_\xi}$, $dsdP\text{-a.e.}$ Furthermore, if in addition Assumption (H4.2) is satisfied, then there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of the coefficients, such that for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$, $P\text{-a.s.}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |D_\theta Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}|^p + \left(\int_t^T |D_\theta Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \leq C_p, \quad \text{for all } p \in [2, p_0]; \\ (ii) \quad & E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |D_\theta Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi} - D_\theta Y_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^p + \left(\int_t^T |D_\theta Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi} - D_\theta Z_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \\ & \leq C_p \left(|x - x'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^p \right), \quad \text{for all } p \in [2, \frac{p_0}{2}]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.32})$$

In particular, there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of the coefficients, such that for all $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$, $dsdP\text{-a.e.}$, $s \in [t, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & E[|Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}|^p] \leq C_p, \quad \text{for all } p \in [2, p_0]; \\ (ii) \quad & E[|Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi} - Z_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^p] \leq C_p \left(|x - x'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^p \right), \quad \text{for all } p \in [2, \frac{p_0}{2}]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.33})$$

Proof. It is standard to prove that Y_s^{t,x,P_ξ} and Z_s^{t,x,P_ξ} are Malliavin differentiable under our assumptions, and $Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} = P\text{-}\lim_{r < s \downarrow r} D_r Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}$, so we omit proving this here. Thus, it suffices to prove (A.32).

For (A.32), from Lemma A.1-(2) we get $E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |D_\theta Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}|^{p_0} + \left(\int_t^T |D_\theta Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right] \leq C_{p_0}$.

Then from Hölder's inequality, for all $p \in [2, p_0]$ we have $E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |D_\theta Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}|^p + \left(\int_t^T |D_\theta Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \leq C_p$. Now we prove (A.32)-(ii).

For all $0 \leq t \leq T$, $t \leq \theta \leq s \leq T$, $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$, from (A.31) we get the following BDSDE:

$$\begin{aligned} D_\theta Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi} - D_\theta Y_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}} &= I(t, x, P_\xi, x', P_{\xi'}) + \int_s^T R(r, x, P_\xi, x', P_{\xi'}) dr + \int_s^T H(r, x, P_\xi, x', P_{\xi'}) d\overleftarrow{B}_r \\ &\quad + \int_s^T \partial_z f(Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}) (D_\theta Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} - D_\theta Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}) dr + \int_s^T g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) (D_\theta Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} - D_\theta Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}) d\overleftarrow{B}_r \\ &\quad - \int_s^T (D_\theta Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} - D_\theta Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}) dW_r, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.34})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I(t, x, P_\xi, x', P_{\xi'}) &:= \partial_x \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}) D_\theta X_T^{t,x,P_\xi} - \partial_x \Phi(X_T^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}) D_\theta X_T^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}; \\ R(r, x, P_\xi, x', P_{\xi'}) &:= \left(\partial_z f(Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}) - \partial_z f(Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}) \right) D_\theta Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}; \\ H(r, x, P_\xi, x', P_{\xi'}) &:= \left(g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) - g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi'}}) \right) D_\theta Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}. \end{aligned}$$

From (A.32)-(i), the Lemmas A.1 and 3.1, Proposition 3.1 and Remark 4.1 as well as from our assumptions it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left[\sup_{s \in [\theta, T]} |D_\theta Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi} - D_\theta Y_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^{\frac{p_0}{2}} + \left(\int_\theta^T |D_\theta Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi} - D_\theta Z_s^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p_0}{4}} \right] \\ & \leq C_{\frac{p_0}{2}} E \left[|I(t, x, P_\xi, x', P_{\xi'})|^{\frac{p_0}{2}} + \left(\int_\theta^T |R(r, x, P_\xi, x', P_{\xi'})|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} + \left(\int_\theta^T |H(r, x, P_\xi, x', P_{\xi'})|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{4}} \right] \\ & \leq C_{\frac{p_0}{2}} E \left[\left(\int_\theta^T |(\partial_z f)(Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}) - (\partial_z f)(Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}})|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(E \left[\left(\int_\theta^T |D_\theta Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad + C_{\frac{p_0}{2}} E \left[\left(\int_\theta^T |g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) - g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi'}})|^2 \cdot |D_\theta Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{4}} \right] + C_{\frac{p_0}{2}} \left(|x - x'|^{\frac{p_0}{2}} + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right) \\ & \leq C_{\frac{p_0}{2}} \left(|x - x'|^{\frac{p_0}{2}} + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right) + C_{\frac{p_0}{2}} E \left[\left(\int_\theta^T |Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} - Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^2 dr \right)^{p_0} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad + C_{\frac{p_0}{2}} \sup_{r \in [\theta, T]} W_2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}, P_{X_r^{t,\xi'}})^{\frac{p_0}{2}} E \left[\left(\int_\theta^T |D_\theta Z_r^{t,x',P_{\xi'}}|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p_0}{4}} \right] \\ & \leq C_{\frac{p_0}{2}} \left(|x - x'|^{\frac{p_0}{2}} + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^{\frac{p_0}{2}} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{A.35}$$

Then from Hölder's inequality, for all $p \in [2, \frac{p_0}{2}]$ we have (A.32)-(ii). \blacksquare

Remark A.1. In analogy to the proof in Proposition 4.1, it can easily be checked that under the Assumptions (H5.1) and (H10.4), there exists a constant $C > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of the coefficients, such that for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$, P -a.s.,

$$E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |D_\theta Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi}|^2 + \int_t^T |D_\theta Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}|^2 ds \right] \leq C, \text{ and } E[|Z_s^{t,x,P_\xi}|^2] \leq C.$$

Proposition A.2. Under the Assumptions (H5.1) and (H10.4), the L^2 -derivative, $(\partial_x Y^{t,x,P_\xi}, \partial_x Z^{t,x,P_\xi})$, of the solution of Eq. (4.2) with respect to x exists and is the unique solution of the following BDSDE:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x Y_s^{t,x,P_\xi} &= \partial_x \Phi(X_T^{t,x,P_\xi}) \partial_x X_T^{t,x,P_\xi} + \int_s^T \partial_z f(Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi}) \partial_x Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} dr \\ &\quad + \int_s^T g^2(P_{X_r^{t,\xi}}) \partial_x Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} d\overleftarrow{B}_r - \int_s^T \partial_x Z_r^{t,x,P_\xi} dW_r, \quad s \in [t, T]. \end{aligned} \tag{A.36}$$

Furthermore, if in addition Assumption (H4.2), then there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of the coefficients, such that for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$,

P -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{(i)} \quad E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\partial_x Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi}|^p + \left(\int_t^T |\partial_x Z_s^{t, x, P_\xi}|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} |G_t| \right] \leq C_p, \text{ for all } p \in [2, p_0], \\
& \text{(ii)} \quad E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\partial_x Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi} - \partial_x Y_s^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}|^p + \left(\int_t^T |\partial_x Z_s^{t, x, P_\xi} - \partial_x Z_s^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} |G_t| \right] \\
& \leq C_p \left(|x - x'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^p \right), \text{ for all } p \in [2, \frac{p_0}{2}].
\end{aligned} \tag{A.37}$$

Indeed, using (A.33), the proof is similar to that of Theorem 6.1 and Proposition 6.1, and so we omit it here.

Remark A.2. In analogy to the proof in Proposition 4.1, it can easily be checked that under the Assumptions (H5.1) and (H10.4), there exists a constant $C > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of the coefficients, such that for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$, P -a.s.,

$$E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\partial_x Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi}|^2 + \int_t^T |\partial_x Z_s^{t, x, P_\xi}|^2 ds |G_t| \right] \leq C.$$

Proposition A.3. Assume (H5.1) and (H10.4). Then, for all $0 \leq t \leq s \leq T$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the lifted processes $L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}) \ni \xi \rightarrow Y_s^{t, x, \xi} := Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi} \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_s; \mathbb{R})$, and $L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}) \ni \xi \rightarrow (Z_s^{t, x, \xi} := Z_s^{t, x, P_\xi}) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R})$ are Fréchet differentiable, with the Fréchet derivatives

$$\begin{aligned}
DY_s^{t, x, \xi}(\eta) &= \overline{E} \left[\partial_\mu Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(\bar{\xi}) \bar{\eta} \right], \quad s \in [t, T], \quad P\text{-a.s.}, \\
DZ_s^{t, x, \xi}(\eta) &= \overline{E} \left[\partial_\mu Z_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(\bar{\xi}) \bar{\eta} \right], \quad ds dP\text{-a.e.},
\end{aligned} \tag{A.38}$$

for $\eta \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$, where for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $(\partial_\mu Y_r^{t, x, P_\xi}(y), \partial_\mu Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R})$ is the unique solution of the following BDSDE:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\mu Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) &= \partial_x \Phi(X_T^{t, x, P_\xi}) \partial_\mu X_T^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) + \int_s^T \partial_z f(Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi}) \partial_\mu Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) dr + \int_s^T g^2(P_{X_r^{t, \xi}}) \partial_\mu Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) d\overleftarrow{B}_r \\
&+ \int_s^T \widehat{E} \left[(\partial_\mu g^2)(P_{X_r^{t, \xi}}, \widehat{X}_r^{t, y, P_\xi}) \partial_x \widehat{X}_r^{t, y, P_\xi} + (\partial_\mu g^2)(P_{X_r^{t, \xi}}, \widehat{X}_r^{t, \xi}) \partial_\mu \widehat{X}_r^{t, \xi, P_\xi}(y) \right] Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi} d\overleftarrow{B}_r \\
&+ \int_s^T \widehat{E} \left[\left\langle (\partial_\mu h)(P_{(Y_r^{t, \xi}, Z_r^{t, \xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t, y, P_\xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t, y, P_\xi})), \left(\partial_x \widehat{Y}_r^{t, y, P_\xi} \right) \right\rangle \right] d\overleftarrow{B}_r \\
&+ \int_s^T \widehat{E} \left[\left\langle (\partial_\mu h)(P_{(Y_r^{t, \xi}, Z_r^{t, \xi})}, (\widehat{Y}_r^{t, \xi}, \widehat{Z}_r^{t, \xi})), \left(\partial_\mu \widehat{Y}_r^{t, \xi, P_\xi}(y) \right) \right\rangle \right] d\overleftarrow{B}_r - \int_s^T \partial_\mu Z_r^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) dW_r.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.39}$$

Furthermore, if in addition Assumption (H4.2) is satisfied, then there is a constant $C_p > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of the coefficients, such that, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, x', y, y' \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R})$, P -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{(i)} \quad E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\partial_\mu Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)|^p + \left(\int_t^T |\partial_\mu Z_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \leq C_p, \text{ for all } p \in [2, p_0], \\
& \text{(ii)} \quad E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\partial_\mu Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) - \partial_\mu Y_s^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}(y')|^p + \left(\int_t^T |\partial_\mu Z_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(y) - \partial_\mu Z_s^{t, x', P_{\xi'}}(y')|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \\
& \leq C_p \left(|x - x'|^p + |y - y'|^p + W_2(P_\xi, P_{\xi'})^p \right), \text{ for all } p \in [2, \frac{p_0}{2}].
\end{aligned} \tag{A.40}$$

Using (A.33) and (A.37), the proof is similar to that of Theorem 6.2 and Proposition 6.2, and so we omit it here.

Remark A.3. *In analogy to the proof in Proposition 4.1, it can easily be checked that under the Assumptions (H5.1) and (H10.4) but without (H4.2), there exists a constant $C > 0$ only depending on the Lipschitz constants of the coefficients, such that for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, x', y, y' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi, \xi' \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$, P -a.s.,*

$$E \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\partial_\mu Y_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)|^2 + \int_t^T |\partial_\mu Z_s^{t, x, P_\xi}(y)|^2 ds \right] \leq C.$$

References

- [1] V. Bally, A. Matoussi. Weak solutions for SPDEs and backward doubly stochastic differential equations. *J. Theor. Probab.* 14, 125-164, 2001.
- [2] R. Buckdahn, B. Djehiche, J. Li, S. Peng. Mean-field backward stochastic differential equations. A limit approach. *Annals of Probability*. 37 (4), 1524-1565, 2009.
- [3] R. Buckdahn, J. Li, S. Peng. Mean-field backward stochastic differential equations and related partial differential equations. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*. 119 (10), 3133-3154, 2009.
- [4] R. Buckdahn, J. Li, S. Peng, C. Rainer. Mean-field stochastic differential equations and associated PDEs. *Annals of Probability*. 45 (2), 824-878, 2017.
- [5] R. Buckdahn, J. Ma. Stochastic viscosity solutions for nonlinear stochastic partial differential equations. I. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*. 93 (2), 181-204, 2001.
- [6] R. Buckdahn, J. Ma, Stochastic viscosity solutions for nonlinear stochastic partial differential equations. II. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*. 93 (2), 205-228, 2001.
- [7] P. Cardaliaguet. Notes on mean field games. (from P.-L. Lions' lectures at Collège de France), 2013. <https://www.ceremade.dauphine.fr/~cardalia>.
- [8] P. Cardaliaguet, F. Delarue, J.M. Lasry, P.L. Lions. The master equation and the convergence problem in mean field games. Princeton University Press. 2019. ISBN: 9780691190709.
- [9] R. Carmona, F. Delarue. The master equation for large population equilibriums. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*. 100, 77-128, 2014.
- [10] Y. Chen, C. Xing, X. Zhang. L^p solution of general mean-field BSDEs with continuous coefficients. *Acta mathematica scientia*. 40 (4), 1116-1140, 2020.
- [11] Y. Han, S. Peng, Z. Wu. Maximum Principle for Backward Doubly Stochastic Control Systems with Applications. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*. 48 (7), 4224-4241, 2010.
- [12] T. Hao, J. Li. Mean-field SDEs with jumps and nonlocal integral-PDEs. *Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications*. 23 (2), 1-51, 2016.

- [13] M. Kac. Foundations of kinetic theory. *Proceedings of The third Berkeley symposium on mathematical statistics and probability*. 3, 171-197, 1956.
- [14] J.M. Lasry, P.L. Lions. Mean field games. *Japanese Journal of Mathematics*. 2, 229-260, 2007.
- [15] J. Li. Mean-field forward and backward SDEs with jumps and associated nonlocal quasi-linear integral-PDEs. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*. 128 (9), 3118-3180, 2018.
- [16] J. Li, H. Liang, X. Zhang. General mean-field BSDEs with continuous coefficients. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*. 466 (1), 264-280, 2018.
- [17] J. Li, C. Xing. General mean-field BDSDEs with continuous coefficients. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*. 506 (2), 125699, 2022. DOI: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2021.125699.
- [18] J. Li, C. Xing, Y. Peng. Comparison theorems for multi-dimensional general mean-field BDSDEs. *Acta Mathematica Scientia*. 41 (2), 535-551, 2021.
- [19] P.L. Lions. Cours au Collège de France. www.college-de-france.fr. 2013.
- [20] P.L. Lions, P.E. Souganidis. Fully nonlinear stochastic partial differential equations: non-smooth equations and applications. *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris I*. 327 (8), 735-741, 1998.
- [21] R.S. Liptser, A.N. Shiryaev. Statistics of Random Processes. I, expanded ed., in: Applications of Mathematics (New York), vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001, General theory, Translated from the 1974 Russian original by A. B. Aries, Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability.
- [22] R.S. Liptser, A.N. Shiryaev, Statistics of Random Processes. II, expanded ed., in: Applications of Mathematics (New York), vol. 6, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001, Applications, Translated from the 1974 Russian original by A. B. Aries, Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability.
- [23] A. Matoussi, L. Piozin, A. Popier. Stochastic partial differential equations with singular terminal condition. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*. 127, 831-876, 2017.
- [24] D. Nualart. The Malliavin calculus and related topics. *Probability and Its Applications*. New York and Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [25] E. Pardoux, S. Peng. Backward doubly stochastic differential equations and systems of quasi-linear SPDEs. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*. 98, 209-227, 1994.
- [26] Y. Shi, Y. Gu, K. Liu. Comparison theorem of backward doubly stochastic differential equations and applications. *Stochastic Analysis and Application*. 23 (1), 97-110, 2005.
- [27] Y. Shi, J. Wen, J. Xiong. Backward doubly stochastic Volterra integral equations and their applications. *Journal of Differential Equations*. 269 (9), 6492-6528, 2020.
- [28] Q. Zhang, H. Zhao. SPDEs with polynomial growth coefficients and the Malliavin calculus method. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*. 123 (6), 2228-2271, 2013.