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Abstract

In this paper we present a family of $q$-ary nonlinear quasi-perfect codes with covering radius 2. The codes have length $n = q^m$ and size $M = q^{n-m} - 1$ where $q$ is a prime power, $q \geq 3$, $m$ is an integer, $m \geq 2$. We prove that there are more than $q^{cn}$ nonequivalent such codes of length $n$, for all sufficiently large $n$ and a constant $c = \frac{1}{q} - \varepsilon$.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a vector space of dimension $n$ over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, where $q$ is a prime power. An arbitrary subset $C$ of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ is called a $q$-ary error correcting code (briefly a $q$-ary code). The length of a code $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is the dimension of the vector space $\mathbb{F}_q^n$. We assume that the all-zero vector $0$ always belongs to the code, unless otherwise specified. A code is called linear if it is a subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$. Otherwise, the code is called nonlinear. The dimension of a linear code is the dimension of the subspace, denoted by $\dim(C)$. The vectors belonging to a code $C$, we will call codewords. The Hamming weight of a vector $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is the number of nonzero coordinate positions of $x$, denoted by $wt(x)$. The Hamming distance between two vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is the number of coordinate positions in which they differ, denoted by $d(x, y)$. The minimum distance of a code $C$ is the smallest Hamming distance between two different codewords of $C$, denoted by $d(C)$.

Define the packing radius $e(C)$ of a code $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$. Let $e(C) = \lfloor (d - 1)/2 \rfloor$, where $d$ is the minimum distance of $C$. We also define the covering radius $\rho(C)$ of a code $C$. Let

$$\rho(C) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n} \min_{c \in C} d(x, c).$$

A code $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is called perfect if $\rho(C) = e(C)$. If $\rho(C) = e(C) + 1$, then $C$ is called quasi-perfect. See [9] p. 19).

Two codes $C_1, C_2 \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ are said to be equivalent if there exists a vector $v \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ and a $n \times n$ monomial matrix $M$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ such that

$$C_2 = \{(v + cM) \mid c \in C_1\}.$$
A codeword \( \mathbf{c} = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n) \) is called even if \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i = 0 \). A code is called even if it contains only even codewords.

We will use the standard notation \( (n, M, d; \rho)_q \) to denote a \( q \)-ary code of length \( n \), size \( M \), minimum distance \( d \), and covering radius \( \rho \).

In [14] Vasil’ev used the switching construction to construct a family of binary nonlinear perfect codes with covering radius \( e = 1 \) and length \( n = 2^m - 1 \), \( m \geq 4 \). In [14] Vasil’ev proved that there are more than \( q^{cn} \) nonequivalent such codes of length \( n \), for all sufficiently large \( n \) and a constant \( c = \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon \). In [9] Etzion and Vardy used the switching construction to construct binary nonlinear perfect codes of full-rank. In [11] Phelps and Villanueva used the switching construction to construct \( q \)-ary nonlinear perfect codes with ranks of different sizes.

In this paper we use the switching construction to construct a family of \( q \)-ary nonlinear quasi-perfect codes with covering radius \( \rho = 2 \). The codes we offer are of length \( n = q^m \) and size \( M = q^{n-m-1} \) where \( q \) is a prime power, \( q \geq 3 \), \( m \) is an integer, \( m \geq 2 \). In this paper we prove that there are more than \( q^{cn} \) nonequivalent such codes of length \( n \), for all sufficiently large \( n \) and a constant \( c = \frac{1}{q} - \varepsilon \).

In [12], using the concatenation construction, a family of \( q \)-ary even quasi-perfect codes with covering radius \( \rho = 2 \) was constructed. The codes proposed in [12] have length \( n = q^m \) and size \( M = q^{n-m-1} \) where \( q \) is a prime power, \( q \geq 3 \), \( m \) is an integer, \( m \geq 4 \). It was shown [12] that there are more than \( q^{cn} \) nonequivalent such codes of length \( n \), for all sufficiently large \( n \) and a constant \( c \).

For \( q = 2 \), the construction from [12] is the concatenation construction of binary extended perfect codes proposed by Phelps in [10].

## 2 Generalized Reed-Muller codes

Let \( \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_m] \) be the algebra of polynomials in \( m \) variables over the field \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Let a polynomial \( f \) be in \( \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_m] \), then by \( \deg(f) \) we denote its total degree. Let \( AG(m, q) \) be the \( m \)-dimensional affine space over the field \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Let \( n = q^m \) and the points \( P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_n \) of \( AG(m, q) \) be arranged in some fixed order. Let \( r \) be an integer such that \( 0 \leq r \leq (q-1)m \). Then the generalized Reed-Muller code [7] of order \( r \) over the field \( \mathbb{F}_q \) is the following subspace:

\[
RM_q(r, m) = \{(f(P_1), f(P_2), \ldots, f(P_n)) \mid f \in \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_m], \deg(f) \leq r\}.
\]

The code \( RM_q(r, m) \) has the following parameters ([7, 11, Theorem 5.5]):

1. the length is \( q^m \);
2. the dimension is
   \[
   \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} \binom{m + r - kq}{r - kq};
   \] (1)
3. the minimum distance is
   \[
   (q - b)q^{m-a-1},
   \] (2)
   where \( r = (q - 1)a + b \) and \( 0 \leq b < q - 1 \).
In what follows, we are only interested in the generalized Reed-Muller codes \(RM_q(r, m)\) of order \(r = (q-1)m - 2\). In the binary case, the code \(RM_q(r, m)\) of order \(r = (q-1)m - 2\) is the extended Hamming code of length \(n = 2^m\). The dimension of the extended Hamming code of length \(n = 2^m\) is \(n - m - 1\) and the minimum distance is 4.

**Proposition 1** Let \(q \geq 3\), \(m \geq 1\), and \(n = q^m\). Then the dimension of the generalized Reed-Muller code \(RM_q((q-1)m - 2, m)\) is \(n - m - 1\) and the minimum distance is 3.

**Proof.** If a code \(C\) belongs to the class of generalized Reed-Muller codes, then the dual code \(C^\perp\) also belongs to this class \([3]\). Assume that the length of a code \(C\) is \(n\). Then \(\dim(C) + \dim(C^\perp) = n\). From \([1\text{, Theorem 5.8}]\), for \(r < (q-1)m\), we have \(RM_q(r, m)^\perp = RM_q((q-1)m - 1 - r, m)\). Therefore, the order of the code dual to the code \(RM_q((q-1)m - 2, m)\) is 1. By formulas \([1]\) and \([3]\), the dimension of the code \(RM_q((q-1)m - 2, m)\) is \(n - m - 1\).

Now we show that for all \(m \geq 1\) the minimum distance of the generalized Reed-Muller code \(RM_q((q-1)m - 2, m)\) is 3. Since \(r = (q-1)m - 2 = (q-1)a + b\) and \(0 \leq b < q - 1\), for \(q \geq 3\), we have \(a = m - 1\) and \(b = q - 3\). Therefore, by the formula \([2]\), the minimum distance of the generalized Reed-Muller code \(RM_q((q-1)m - 2, m)\) is 3.

**Proposition 2** The generalized Reed-Muller code \(RM_q((q-1)m - 2, m)\) is a \(q\)-ary quasi-perfect code with covering radius \(\rho = 2\).

**Proof.** The first order generalized Reed-Muller code is a linear two-weight code \([3]\). According to \([2\text{, Theorem 5.10}]\), the code dual to a linear two-weight code has covering radius \(\rho = 2\). Therefore, the generalized Reed-Muller codes of order \((q-1)m - 2\) are quasi-perfect codes with covering radius \(\rho = 2\).

From \([13\text{, Theorem 3.11}]\) it follows that linear quasi-perfect codes are uniformly packed codes. Uniformly packed codes attain the Johnson bound and are optimal codes \([13\text{, Theorem 1.3}]\).

### 3 Switching construction

The parity-check matrix \(H\) of \(RM_q((q-1)m - 2, m)\) is \((m+1) \times q^m\) matrix that contains the all-unity vector of length \(n = q^m\) and all transposed vectors of \(\mathbb{F}_q^m\), see \([3]\). Let \(H = [h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n]\), where \(h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n\) are columns of the matrix \(H\). The affine space \(AG(m, q)\) will be regarded as the incidence geometry. Points \(P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_n\) of the affine space \(AG(m, q)\) correspond to the coordinates of the vector space \(\mathbb{F}_q^n\).
Let \( \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \), then the support of the vector \( \mathbf{x} \) is the set

\[ \text{supp}(\mathbf{x}) = \{ i \mid x_i \neq 0 \}. \]

By Proposition 1, the minimum distance of the code \( RM_q((q - 1)m - 2, m) \) is 3 if \( q \geq 3 \). A codeword of weight 3 of the code \( RM_q((q - 1)m - 2, m) \) we will call a triple. Let \( \mathbf{c} = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n) \) be a triple and \( \text{supp}(\mathbf{c}) = \{i, j, k\} \). Then

1. the triple \( \mathbf{c} \) lies on a line \( l \) if \( \{P_i, P_j, P_k\} \subseteq l \);
2. the corresponding columns \( \mathbf{h}_i, \mathbf{h}_j, \mathbf{h}_k \) are linearly dependent, i.e.,

\[ c_i \mathbf{h}_i + c_j \mathbf{h}_j + c_k \mathbf{h}_k = \mathbf{0}. \]

Let \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \), then by \( \mathcal{R}_i \) we denote a subspace spanned by the set of all triples of \( RM_q((q - 1)m - 2, m) \) having 1 in the \( i \)th coordinate. By definition, the minimum distance of \( \mathcal{R}_i \) is 3.

**Proposition 3** Let \( q \geq 3, m \geq 1 \), and \( n = q^m \). Let \( \mathcal{R}_i \subseteq RM_q((q - 1)m - 2, m) \). Then for any \( i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \) the dimension of \( \mathcal{R}_i \) is \( n - [m]_q - 1 \), where \([m]_q\) is the \( q \)-analogue of the natural number \( m \).

**Proof.** For \( q \geq 3 \), every line of the affine space \( AG(m, q) \) is in \( RM_q((q - 1)m - 2, m) \), see [3]. The generalized Reed-Muller code \( RM_q((q - 1)m - 2, m) \) is spanned by its minimum-weight vectors [3]. In an affine space \( AG(m, q) \), each point has \( \frac{q - 1}{q - 1} \) lines passing through it, and each line contains \( q \) points. For every two distinct points, there is exactly one line that contains both points. Thus, for each line, there are \( q - 2 \) linear independent triples that lie on this line. Therefore, the number of linear independent triples that generate \( \mathcal{R}_i \) is \( (q - 2) \frac{n - 1}{n - 1} = n - [m]_q - 1 \).

For \( m = 1 \), generalized Reed-Muller codes are extended Reed-Solomon codes [9, p. 296]. For \( m = 1 \), the affine space \( AG(1, q) \) is a line, and for \( \mathcal{R}_i \subseteq RM_q(q - 3, 1) \),

\[ \dim(RM_q(q - 3, 1)) = \dim(\mathcal{R}_i) = q - 2. \]

**Proposition 4** Let \( q \geq 3, m \geq 1 \). Let \( \mathbf{c} \) be a triple and \( \mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{R}_i \subseteq RM_q((q - 1)m - 2, m) \). Then \( \mathbf{c} \) lies on a line passing through the point \( P_i \).

**Proof.** If the triples lie on same line, then their linear combination lies on the same line. In an affine space \( AG(m, q) \), the intersection of any two distinct lines contains exactly one point. Therefore, triples that lie on distinct lines passing through the point \( P_i \) have only one common point \( P_i \) and their linear combination contains more than three points. By Proposition 2, the code \( RM_q((q - 1)m - 2, m) \) is a \( q \)-ary quasi-perfect code with covering radius \( \rho = 2 \). For a given code \( RM_q((q - 1)m - 2, m) \) and \( t = 0, 1, 2 \), define

\[ RM_q^{(t)}((q - 1)m - 2, m) = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid d(\mathbf{x}, RM_q((q - 1)m - 2, m)) = t \}. \]

The sets \( RM_q^{(t)}((q - 1)m - 2, m) \) are called the subconstituents of \( RM_q((q - 1)m - 2, m) \).
Proposition 5 Let $q \geq 3$, $m \geq 2$. Let $x \in RM_q^2((q-1)m-2,m)$, $wt(x) = 2$, and $\text{supp}(x) = \{j, k\}$. Let $i \neq j$, $i \neq k$, and the points $P_i, P_j, P_k$ are collinear. Then there is a triple $c \in R_i \subset RM_q((q-1)m-2,m)$ such that $\text{supp}(c) = \{i, j, k\}$ and $d(c, x) = 2$.

Proof. Consider $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in RM_q^2((q-1)m-2,m)$, $n = q^m$. Let $wt(x) = 2$ and $\text{supp}(x) = \{j, k\}$. Then there are $q-2$ linear independent triples that lie on a line passing through the points $P_j$ and $P_k$. Let $i \neq j$, $i \neq k$, and the points $P_i, P_j, P_k$ are collinear. Then there is a triple $c = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n)$ lies on the line such that $\text{supp}(c) = \{i, j, k\}$. For some scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$, either $x_j = \lambda c_j$ or $x_k = \lambda c_k$, and $d(x, \lambda c) = 2$.

Let $e_i$ denote the vector of length $n$ having all components equal to zero, except the $i$th component which contains a one. Let $x \in RM_q((q-1)m-2,m)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$. Let $R_i + x + \lambda e_i$ be a translate of $R_i + x$. We shall define a switching to be the process of the replacing the coset $R_i + x$ with the translate $R_i + x + \lambda e_i$.

Theorem 6 Let $q \geq 3$, $m \geq 2$, and $n = q^m$. Let $R_i + x \subset RM_q((q-1)m-2,m)$. Then

$$C' = \left(RM_q((q-1)m-2,m) \setminus (R_i + x)\right) \cup \left(R_i + x + \lambda e_i\right)$$

is a $q$-ary nonlinear quasi-perfect code with parameters $(n, q^{n-1}, 3; 2)_q$, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ and for any $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.

Proof. It is easy to show that $C'$ is nonlinear. Let us show that $d(C') = 3$. Let $y \in (R_i + x)$ and $z \in RM_q((q-1)m-2,m) \setminus (R_i + x)$. Assume that $d(y + \lambda e_i, z) = 2$. Then $d(\lambda e_i, z - y) = 2$. Thus, $z - y$ is a triple that lies on a line passing through the point $P_i$. So $(z - y) \in R_i$ and $z \in R_i + x$. We obtained a contradiction. Therefore, the minimum distance of the code $C'$ is 3.

Now let us show that $\rho(C') = 2$. Let $y \in RM_q^1((q-1)m-2,m)$. In this case, it is obvious that there exists $c \in C'$ such that $d(c, y) \leq 2$.

Let $y \in RM_q((q-1)m-2,m)$. Then there exists $c \in RM_q((q-1)m-2,m)$ such that $d(c, y) = 2$. If $c \in RM_q((q-1)m-2,m) \setminus (R_i + x)$, then $c \in C'$. Let $c \in R_i + x$. Since $wt(y - c) = 2$, let $\text{supp}(y - c) = \{j, k\}$.

1. If the points $P_i, P_j, P_k$ are not collinear, then, by Proposition 4 there exists a triple $c' \notin R_i$ such that $d(c, y' + c) = 2$.

2. If the points $P_i, P_j, P_k$ are collinear. If $i = j$ or $i = k$, then it is obvious that there is a triple $c' \in R_i$ such that $d(y, c' + c + \lambda e_i) = 2$. If $i \neq j$, $i \neq k$, then, by Proposition 5 there exists a triple $c' \in R_i$ such that $d(y, c' + c + \lambda e_i) = 2$.

Theorem 7 Let $q \geq 3$, $m \geq 2$, and $n = q^m$. Let $R_i + x_i \subset RM_q((q-1)m-2,m)$, $1 \leq t \leq q^{[m]q^{-m}}$, $(R_i + x_i) \cap (R_i + x_t) = \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq t_1 \leq q^{[m]q^{-m}}$, $1 \leq t_2 \leq q^{[m]q^{-m}}$, $t_1 \neq t_2$. Then

$$C' = \bigcup_{t=1}^{q^{[m]q^{-m}}} (R_i + x_t + \lambda_t e_i)$$

is a $q$-ary quasi-perfect code with parameters $(n, q^{n-1}, 3; 2)_q$, $\lambda_t \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. 
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Proof. The number of cosets $R_i + x_t$ is $q^{[m]}_q - m$. The cosets $R_i + x_t$ form a partition of $RM_q((q-1)\cdot m - 2, m)$. Let us show that $d(C') = 3$. Let $y \in (R_i + x_{t_1} + \lambda_{t_1} e_1)$, $z \in (R_i + x_{t_2} + \lambda_{t_2} e_1)$, and $(R_i + x_{t_1}) \cap (R_i + x_{t_2}) = \emptyset$. Since
\begin{align*}
(y - \lambda_{t_1} e_1) \in (R_i + x_{t_1} + (\lambda_{t_1} - \lambda_{t_2}) e_1),
(z - \lambda_{t_2} e_1) \in (R_i + x_{t_2}) \subset RM_q((q-1)\cdot m - 2, m) \setminus (R_i + x_{t_1}),
\end{align*}
by Theorem[3] we have $d((y - \lambda_{t_1} e_1), (z - \lambda_{t_2} e_1)) \geq 3$.

Now let us show that $\rho(C') = 2$. Let $y \in RM_q^{(2)}(\{q-1\} \cdot m - 2, m)$. Then there exists $c \in RM_q((q-1)\cdot m - 2, m)$ such that $d(c, y) = 2$. Let $c \in R_i + x_t$. Since $wt(y - c) = 2$, let $supp(y - c) = \{j, k\}$. Assume that the points $P_i, P_j, P_k$ are collinear. If $i = j$ or $i = k$, then it is obvious that there is a triple $c' \in R_i$ such that $d(y, c' + c + \lambda_j e_i) = 2$ and $c' + c \in R_i + x_t$. If $i \neq j, i \neq k$, then, by Proposition[4] there exists a triple $c' \in R_i$ such that $d(y, c' + c + \lambda_j e_i) = 2$ and $c' + c \in R_i + x_t$.

If points $P_i, P_j, P_k$ are not collinear, then, by Proposition[4] there exists a triple $c' \notin R_i$ such that $d(y, c' + c) = 2$ and $c' + c \notin R_i + x_t$.

4 Lower bound

Theorem 8 Let $q \geq 3$, $m \geq 2$, and $n = q^m$. Then there are more than $q^{q^m - m - (q^m + m^2)}$ nonequivalent $q$-ary quasi-perfect codes with parameters $(n, q^{n-m-1}, 3; 2)_q$.

Proof. The number of words of length $q^{[m]}_q - m$ over $F_q$ is $q^{q^m - m}$. Hence, by Theorem[3] the number of different quasi-perfect codes with parameters $(n, q^{n-m-1}, 3; 2)_q$ is $q^{q^m - m}$. The number of monomial matrices of size $n \times n$ over $F_q$ is $(q-1)^n \cdot q^n$. Any equivalence class contains not more than $(q-1)^n \cdot q^n$ different quasi-perfect codes with parameters $(n, q^{n-m-1}, 3; 2)_q$. Therefore, there are more than $q^{q^m - m - n(m+2)}$ nonequivalent $q$-ary quasi-perfect codes with parameters $(n, q^{n-m-1}, 3; 2)_q$.

Corollary 9 Let $q \geq 3$, $m \geq 2$, and $n = q^m$. Then there are more than $q^{q^m - 1}$ nonequivalent $q$-ary nonlinear quasi-perfect codes with parameters $(n, q^{n-m-1}, 3; 2)_q$ for all sufficiently large $n$ and a constant $c = \frac{1}{q} - \varepsilon$.
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