

STRONG SOLUTION OF MODIFIED 3D-NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS

MAROUA LTIFI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with a logarithm damping $\alpha \log(e + |u|^2)|u|^2 u$ in H^1 , where we used new methods, new tools and Fourier analysis.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Notations and preliminary results	2
2.1. Notations	2
2.2. Preliminary results	3
3. Existence and uniqueness of strong solution .	5
3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1	5
3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2	5
References	8

1. Introduction

Recently, the modified Navier-Stokes “Navier-Stokes equation with dumping” $\alpha|u|^{\beta-1}u$ was vastly studied by many researchers:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u + \alpha|u|^{\beta-1}u = -\nabla p & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3 \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3 \\ u(0, x) = u^0(x). \end{cases}$$

It was firstly studied by Cai and Jiu [6] in 2008. They are shown by Galerkin’s methods the existence of global weak solution $u \in L^\infty(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^{\beta+1}(L^{\beta+1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for $\beta \geq 1$, global strong solution for any $\beta \geq \frac{7}{2}$ and that the strong solution is unique for any $\frac{7}{2} \leq \beta \leq 5$.

In this paper we study the large time behaviour in Fourier norms of the solution to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with damping in three spatial dimensions in H^1 .

Theorem 1.1. *Let $u^0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be a divergence free vector fields. For $\beta > 3$ there is a unique global solution $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^+, H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ such that*

$$(1.1) \quad \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\alpha \int_0^t \|u\|_{L^{\beta+1}}^{\beta+1} \leq \|u^0\|_{L^2}^2.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35-XX, 35Q30, 76N10.

Key words and phrases. Navier-Stokes Equations; Critical spaces; Long time decay.

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \alpha(\beta - 1) \int_0^t \| |u|^{\beta-3} |\nabla u|^2 \|_{L^1} \\ & + 2\alpha \int_0^t \| |u|^{\beta-1} |\nabla u|^2 \|_{L^1} \leq \|\nabla u^0\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \| |u|^2 |\nabla u|^2 \|_{L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Despite, the continuity and uniqueness of this modified equation is still a big open problem for $\beta = 3$. Indeed, the problem is restricted to the case $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ since the inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 |\nabla u|^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 |\nabla u|^2$$

is not resolvable for these values of α by classical methods and technics.

The trick that will be used in our statement is to increase the function $|u|^2 u$ by a negligible function in relation to $|u|^\xi$, $\xi > 0$.

$$(1.3) \quad (NSD_{\log}) \begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u + \alpha \log(e + |u|^2) |u|^2 u = -\nabla p & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3 \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3 \\ u(0, x) = u^0(x) \in H^1 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases}$$

where $u = u(t, x) = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ and $p = p(t, x)$ denote respectively the unknown velocity and the unknown pressure of the fluid at the point $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3$, and $\alpha > 0$. The terms $(u \cdot \nabla u) := u_1 \partial_1 u + u_2 \partial_2 u + u_3 \partial_3 u$, while $u^0 = (u_1^0(x), u_2^0(x), u_3^0(x))$ is an initial given velocity. If u^0 is quite regular, the divergence free condition determines the pressure p . We recall in our case it was assumed the viscosity is unitary ($\nu = 1$) in order to simplify the calculations and the proofs of our results.

The main result of our work is illustrated in the following theorem :

Theorem 1.2. *Let $u^0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be a divergence free vector fields, then there is a unique global solution $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^+, H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and $\alpha \log(e + |u|^2) |u|^4, \frac{|u|}{e + |u|^2} |\nabla |u|^2|^2, \log(e + |u|^2) |\nabla |u|^2|^2, \log(e + |u|^2) |u|^2 |\nabla u|^2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+, L^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Moreover, for all $t \geq 0$*

$$(1.4) \quad \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\alpha \int_0^t \|\log(e + |u|^2) |u|^4\|_{L^1} \leq \|u^0\|_{L^2}^2.$$

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \alpha \int_0^t \left\| \frac{|u|}{e + |u|^2} |\nabla |u|^2|^2 \right\|_{L^1} + \alpha \int_0^t \|\log(e + |u|^2) |\nabla |u|^2|^2\|_{L^1} \\ & + 2\alpha \int_0^t \|\log(e + |u|^2) |u|^2 |\nabla u|^2\|_{L^1} \leq \|\nabla u^0\|_{L^2}^2 e^{a_\alpha t} \end{aligned}$$

where, $a_\alpha = e^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} - e$

Remark 1.3. If u is the solution of (1.3), we have $u \in L^4(\mathbb{R}^+, \dot{H}^1)$.

The remainder of our paper is organized as follows. In the second section, we present some notations, definitions, and preliminary results. In Section 3, we will look at the global solution of Theorem 1.2. Furthermore, the solution's uniqueness and right continuity.

2. Notations and preliminary results

2.1. Notations. In this section, we collect some notations and definitions that will be used later.

- The Fourier transformation is normalized as

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \exp(-ix \cdot \xi) f(x) dx, \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

- The inverse Fourier formula is

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(g)(x) = (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \exp(i\xi \cdot x) g(\xi) d\xi, \quad x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

- The convolution product of a suitable pair of function f and g on \mathbb{R}^3 is given by

$$(f * g)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(y) g(x - y) dy.$$

- If $f = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$ and $g = (g_1, g_2, g_3)$ are two vector fields, we set

$$f \otimes g := (g_1 f, g_2 f, g_3 f),$$

and

$$\operatorname{div}(f \otimes g) := (\operatorname{div}(g_1 f), \operatorname{div}(g_2 f), \operatorname{div}(g_3 f)).$$

Moreover, if $\operatorname{div} g = 0$ we obtain

$$\operatorname{div}(f \otimes g) := g_1 \partial_1 f + g_2 \partial_2 f + g_3 \partial_3 f := g \cdot \nabla f.$$

- Let $(B, \|\cdot\|)$, be a Banach space, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $T > 0$. We define $L_T^p(B)$ the space of all measurable functions $[0, t] \ni t \mapsto f(t) \in B$ such that $t \mapsto \|f(t)\| \in L^p([0, T])$.

- The Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3); (1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2} \widehat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\}$.

- The homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3); \widehat{f} \in L_{loc}^1 \text{ and } |\xi|^s \widehat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\}$.

- For $R > 0$, the Friedrich operator J_R is defined by

$$J_R(D)f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathbf{1}_{|\xi| < R} \widehat{f}).$$

- The Leray projector $\mathbb{P} : (L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))^3 \rightarrow (L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))^3$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}f) = \widehat{f}(\xi) - (\widehat{f}(\xi) \cdot \frac{\xi}{|\xi|}) \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} = M(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi); \quad M(\xi) = (\delta_{k,l} - \frac{\xi_k \xi_l}{|\xi|^2})_{1 \leq k, l \leq 3}.$$

- $L_\sigma^2(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{f \in (L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))^3; \operatorname{div} f = 0\}$.
- $\dot{H}_\sigma^1(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{f \in (\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3))^3; \operatorname{div} f = 0\}$.
- $C_r(I, B) = \{f : I \rightarrow B \text{ right continuous}\}$, where B is Banach space and I is an interval.
- Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we define $a_+ = \max(a, 0)$.

2.2. Preliminary results. In this section, we recall some classical results and we give new technical lemmas.

Proposition 2.1. ([3]) *Let H be Hilbert space.*

- (1) *If (x_n) is a bounded sequence of elements in H , then there is a subsequence $(x_{\varphi(n)})$ such that*

$$(x_{\varphi(n)} | y) \rightarrow (x | y), \quad \forall y \in H.$$

- (2) *If $x \in H$ and (x_n) is a bounded sequence of elements in H such that*

$$(x_n | y) \rightarrow (x | y), \quad \forall y \in H.$$

Then $\|x\| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\|$.

(3) If $x \in H$ and (x_n) is a bounded sequence of elements in H such that

$$(x_n|y) \rightarrow (x|y), \forall y \in H$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| \leq \|x\|,$$

then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| = 0$.

Lemma 2.2. ([5]) Let s_1, s_2 be two real numbers and $d \in \mathbb{N}$.

(1) If $s_1 < d/2$ and $s_1 + s_2 > 0$, there exists a constant $C_1 = C_1(d, s_1, s_2)$, such that: if $f, g \in \dot{H}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \dot{H}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $f.g \in \dot{H}^{s_1+s_2-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$\|fg\|_{\dot{H}^{s_1+s_2-\frac{d}{2}}} \leq C_1(\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s_1}}\|g\|_{\dot{H}^{s_2}} + \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s_2}}\|g\|_{\dot{H}^{s_1}}).$$

(2) If $s_1, s_2 < d/2$ and $s_1 + s_2 > 0$ there exists a constant $C_2 = C_2(d, s_1, s_2)$ such that: if $f \in \dot{H}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g \in \dot{H}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $f.g \in \dot{H}^{s_1+s_2-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$\|fg\|_{\dot{H}^{s_1+s_2-\frac{d}{2}}} \leq C_2\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s_1}}\|g\|_{\dot{H}^{s_2}}.$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $A, T > 0$ and $f, g, h : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ three continuous functions such that

$$(2.1) \quad \forall t \in [0, T]; f(t) + \int_0^t g(z)dz \leq A + \int_0^t h(z)f(z)dz.$$

Then

$$\forall t \in [0, T]; f(t) + \int_0^t g(z)dz \leq A \exp\left(\int_0^t h(z)dz\right).$$

Proof. By Gronwall lemma, we get

$$\forall t \in [0, T]; f(t) \leq A \exp\left(\int_0^t h(z)dz\right).$$

Put this inequality in 2.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) + \int_0^t g(z)dz &\leq A + \int_0^t h(z)A \exp\left(\int_0^z h(r)dr\right)dz \\ &\leq A + A \int_0^t h(z) \exp\left(\int_0^z h(r)dr\right)dz \\ &\leq A + A \int_0^t \left(\exp\left(\int_0^z h(r)dr\right)\right)' dz \\ &\leq A + A \left(\exp\left(\int_0^t h(r)dr\right) - 1\right) \\ &\leq A \exp\left(\int_0^t h(r)dr\right), \end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof. □

Lemma 2.4. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ Then, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$\langle \log(e + |x|^2)|x|^2x - \log(e + |y|^2)|y|^2y, x - y \rangle \geq 0$$

Proof. Let $a(z) = \log(e + |z|^2)|z|^2$ and $|x| \leq |y|$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a(x)x - a(y)y, x - y \rangle &= \langle (a(x) - a(y))x, x - y \rangle + a(y)\langle x, x - y \rangle \\ &= (a(x) - a(y))\langle x, x - y \rangle + a(y)|x - y|^2 \end{aligned}$$

If $\langle x, x - y \rangle \geq 0$ $(a(x) - a(y))\langle x, x - y \rangle + a(y)|x - y|^2 \geq 0$
 Else, $\langle x, x - y \rangle < 0$, e.g:

$$\begin{aligned} (a(x) - a(y))\langle x, x - y \rangle + a(y)|x - y|^2 &= a(y)(|x - y|^2 + \langle x, x - y \rangle) \\ &= a(y)(\langle x - y, x - y \rangle - \langle x, x - y \rangle) \\ &= a(y)\langle x - y, -y \rangle \\ &= a(y)(|y|^2 - \langle x, y \rangle) \\ &\geq a(y)(|y|^2 - |x||y|) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.5. [4] *Let $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be increasing function . Then there is $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ at most countable family such that for all t in A , f is discontinuous at t . Moreover, if f is decreasing the $g = -f$.*

3. Existence and uniqueness of strong solution .

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. To begin, we take the L^2 scalar product of the first equation with u and integrate it on $[0, t]$, yielding

$$(3.1) \quad \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\alpha \int_0^t \|u\|_{L^{\beta+1}}^{\beta+1} \leq \|u^0\|_{L^2}^2$$

Taking the \dot{H}^1 scalar product with u as well :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{\beta-3} |\nabla |u|^2|^2 + \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{\beta-1} |\nabla u|^2 &\leq \langle \nabla(u \nabla u), \nabla u \rangle_{L^2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\alpha(\beta-1)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{\beta-3} |\nabla |u|^2|^2 + \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{\beta-1} |\nabla u|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 |\nabla u|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Integrate on $[0, t]$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \alpha(\beta-1) \int_0^t \| |u|^{\beta-3} |\nabla |u|^2|^2 \|_{L^1} \\ + 2\alpha \int_0^t \| |u|^{\beta-1} |\nabla u|^2 \|_{L^1} \leq \|\nabla u^0\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \| |u|^2 |\nabla u|^2 \|_{L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

- For $\beta > 3$ we obtain the global existence for bounded solution.
- For $\beta = 3$ Indeed, the problem is limited to the case $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ because the inequality (1.2) is unsolvable for these α values. To solve our statement, we will add the function $\log(e + |u|^2)$ to $|u|^2 u$. We will solve the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with logarithmic damping 1.2 at the next party

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2.

• A priori estimates

We start by taking the L^2 scalar product of the first equation with u , we get

$$(3.2) \quad \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\alpha \int_0^t \|\log(e + |u|^2) |u|^4\|_{L^1} \leq \|u^0\|_{L^2}^2$$

Also, taking the \dot{H}^1 scalar product of (NSD_{\log}) with u :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u|^2}{e + |u|^2} |\nabla |u|^2|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \log(e + |u|^2) |\nabla |u|^2|^2 \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha \log(e + |u|^2) \right) |u|^2 |\nabla u|^2 \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

For $t \geq 0$, put $M_t = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : (\alpha \log(e + |u|^2) - \frac{1}{2}) \geq 0\}$.

Further

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha \log(e + |u|^2) \right) |u|^2 |\nabla u|^2 = \int_{M_t} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha \log(e + |u|^2) \right) |u|^2 |\nabla u|^2 \\ + \int_{M_t^c} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha \log(e + |u|^2) \right) |u|^2 |\nabla u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_{M_t^c} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha \log(e + |u|^2) \right) |u|^2 |\nabla u|^2 \leq (e^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} - e)_+ \int_{M_t^c} |\nabla u|^2,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \left\| \frac{|u|^2}{e + |u|^2} |\nabla |u|^2|^2 \right\|_{L^1} \\ + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\log(e + |u|^2) |\nabla |u|^2|^2\|_{L^1} \leq (e^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} - e)_+ \int_{M_t^c} |\nabla u|^2 \\ \leq (e^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} - e)_+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Integrate on $[0, t]$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \alpha \int_0^t \left\| \frac{|u|^2}{e + |u|^2} |\nabla |u|^2|^2 \right\|_{L^1} \\ + \int_0^t \alpha \|\log(e + |u|^2) |\nabla |u|^2|^2\|_{L^1} \leq \|\nabla u^0\|_{L^2}^2 + 2(e^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} - e)_+ \int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \alpha \int_0^t \left\| \frac{|u|^2}{e + |u|^2} |\nabla |u|^2|^2 \right\|_{L^1} \\ + \int_0^t \alpha \|\log(e + |u|^2) |\nabla |u|^2|^2\|_{L^1} \leq \|\nabla u^0\|_{L^2}^2 e^{(2(e^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} - e)_+ + t)} \\ (3.3) \leq \|\nabla u^0\|_{L^2}^2 e^{a_\alpha t}, \end{aligned}$$

where, $a_\alpha = (e^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} - e)_+$.

Absolutely, these bounds come from the approximate solutions via the Friederich's regularization procedure. The passage to the limit follows using classical argument by combining Ascoli's Theorem and the Cantor Diagonal Process [2]. And this solution in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, H^1) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \dot{H}^2)$ satisfies (3.2) and (3.3).

•**Uniqueness :**

Let u, v two solutions of (NSD_{\log}) and $w = u - v$. We have:

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u + \alpha \log(e + |u|^2) |u|^2 u = -\nabla p_1 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3 \quad (1)$$

$$\partial_t v - \Delta v + v \cdot \nabla v + \alpha \log(e + |v|^2) |v|^2 v = -\nabla p_2 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3 \quad (2)$$

Take (1) – (2), we get: (1)-(2) given:

$$\partial_t w - \Delta w + w \cdot \nabla u + v \nabla w + \alpha(\log(e + |u|^2)|u|^2 u - \log(e + |v|^2)|v|^2 v) = -\nabla(p_1 - p_2)$$

Taking, the L^2 scalar product, we have :

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|w\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla w\|_{L^2}^2 + \alpha \langle (\log(e + |u|^2)|u|^2 u - \log(e + |v|^2)|v|^2 v), w \rangle_{L^2} \leq |\langle w \nabla u, w \rangle|_{L^2}$$

Using Lemma 2.4, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|w\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla w\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \|w\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|w\|_{H^{\frac{3}{4}}}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|w\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w\|_{\dot{H}^1}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \frac{3}{4} \|\nabla w\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|w\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla w\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|w\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4. \end{aligned}$$

According to Gronwall Lemma and using (1.3), we have

$$\|w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|w(0)\|_{L^2}^2 e^{c \int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4},$$

but $w(0) = 0$, so $u = v$.

•**Right continuity:**

* Right continuity at 0:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \alpha \int_0^t \left\| \frac{|u|^2}{e + |u|^2} |\nabla |u|^2|^2 \right\|_{L^1} \\ + \int_0^t \alpha \|\log(e + |u|^2) |\nabla |u|^2|^2\|_{L^1} \leq \|\nabla u^0\|_{L^2}^2 e^{c_\alpha t}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $t_k > 0$ such that $t_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$ then

$$(3.4) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla u(t_k)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\nabla u^0\|_{L^2}^2.$$

From (3.4) we have the Right continuity at 0.

* Right continuity at t_0 : Let $t_0 > 0$. Consider the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v - \Delta v + v \cdot \nabla v + \alpha \log(e + |v|^2) |v|^2 v = -\nabla q & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3 \\ \operatorname{div} v = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3 \\ v(0, x) = u(t_0, x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases}$$

By uniqueness of solution $v(t) = u(t + t_0)$ moreover u is continuous on the right at 0. Then u is continuous on the right at t_0 .

* The subset of \mathbb{R}^+

$$A = \{t \geq 0 / \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \text{ is not continuous at } t\}$$

is at most countable.

Indeed: Let $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2$ and set the function $f : t \mapsto e^{-a_\alpha t} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2$. Clearly, we have

$$A = \{t \geq 0 / f \text{ is not continuous at } t\}.$$

By the last proof, we have

$$\|\nabla u(t_2)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\nabla u(t_1)\|_{L^2}^2 e^{a_\alpha(t_2 - t_1)},$$

which implies

$$\|\nabla u(t_2)\|_{L^2}^2 e^{-a_\alpha t_2} \leq \|\nabla u(t_1)\|_{L^2}^2 e^{-a_\alpha t_1} \iff f(t_2) \leq f(t_1).$$

Thus, f is a decreasing function. According to (2.5), A is at most countable, which complete the proof.

Acknowledgements. It is pleasure to thank Jamel Benameur for insightful comments and assistance through this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bessaih, S. Trabelsi and H.Zorgati *Existence and uniqueness of global solutions for the modified anisotropic 3D Navier-Stokes equations*,
- [2] H. Bahouri, J.Y Chemin and R. Danchin, *Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, Springer Verlag, 523p, 2011.
- [3] H. Brezis, *Analyse Fonctionnel: Théorie et applications*, Masson, 234p, (1996).
- [4] J. Benameur and M. Ltifi, Strong solution of 3D-NSE with exponential damping, arXiv:2103.16707,2021.
- [5] J.-Y. Chemin, *About Navier-Stokes equations*, Publications of Jaques-Louis Lions Laboratoire, Paris VI University, R96023, (1996).
- [6] X. Cai and Q. Jiu, *Weak and strong solutions for the incompressible Navier-Stokes with damping*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 343, p 799-809, 2008.
- [7] J.Pedlosky, *Geophysical Fluids Dynamics*. Springer Verlag, New York (1987).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE OF GABÈS, UNIVERSITY OF GABÈS; TUNISIA
 Email address: widaltifi@gmail.com