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Abstract

In this paper we show that coloured Jones and coloured Alexander polynomials can be both read off from the same picture provided by two Lagrangians in a symmetric power of a surface. More specifically, the Nth coloured Jones and Nth coloured Alexander polynomials are specialisations of a graded intersection between two explicit Lagrangian submanifolds in a symmetric power of the punctured disk. The graded intersection is parametrised by the intersection points between these Lagrangians, graded in a specific manner using the diagonals of the symmetric power.
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1. Introduction

Coloured Jones and coloured Alexander polynomials are two sequences of quantum invariants coming from the representation theory of the quantum group U_q(sl(2)). More specifically, the quantum group with generic q gives the family of coloured Jones polynomials \{J_N(L, q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}] \} for \(N \in \mathbb{N}\) associated to a link L, recovering the original Jones polynomial at the first term (corresponding to \(N = 2\)). The same quantum group at roots of unity \(U_{\xi N}(sl(2))\) leads to the sequence coloured Alexander polynomials \{\Phi_N(L, \lambda)\} (or ADO invariants [1]), which are non-semisimple invariants. This family recovers the Alexander polynomial at its first term. These invariants came originally from representation theory ([8],[10]) but there are important open questions predicting that their asymptotics with respect to the colour contain geometric information. At the same time, there is an active research area concerning relations between the categorifications of the Alexander polynomial and Jones polynomial provided by Khovanov homology and knot Floer homology ([14],[9],[13],[5],[6]).

In recent years, we studied topological models for these quantum invariants, and in [2] we showed that \(J_N(L, q)\) and \(\Phi_N(L, \lambda)\) are specialisations of a state sum of graded Lagrangian intersections in a configuration space in the punctured disk. The main purpose of this paper is to show a globalised result, which uses a single graded intersection between two submanifolds and does not involve any state sum.

Our main result is that the \(N^{th}\) coloured Jones and \(N^{th}\) coloured Alexander polynomials of the closure of a braid with \(n\) strands can be read off from a graded intersection between two Lagrangians in the \((n - 1)(N - 1)^{th}\) symmetric power of a punctured disk. The feature of this formula is that the Lagrangian submanifolds are explicit, symmetric and the colour of the invariants can be seen directly in this geometric picture. Moreover, for the particular case when \(N = 2\), this provides a picture which provides a framework for constructing geometrical categorifications for Jones and Alexander polynomials and a further perspective to relate two such theories.
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1.1. Main result  We look at links as being the closure of braids. For this part, let us fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the number of the strands of such a braid. Also, let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be the colour of our quantum invariants. We will start with the $(3n-1)-$punctured disk and consider $\Sigma^{n,N}$ to be its $(n-1)(N-1)-$symmetric power. The construction of the Lagrangians will be done by drawing a collection of $(n-1)$ arcs/circles in the punctured disc, considering products of $(N-1)$-symmetric powers on each of them and then taking their quotient to the symmetric power. We call this set of curves in the punctured disk the “geometric support” of the submanifold.

Moreover, we will use graded intersections, and the grading procedure will be prescribed by certain curves in the punctured disk which connect the two submanifolds.

For $n, N \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the two Lagrangians $S^N_n$ and $\mathcal{T}^N_n$ in the symmetric power of the surface, which are given by the red arcs and green circles respectively:

![Figure 1.1 Lagrangian submanifolds](image)

Using the property that the braid group $B_{3n-1}$ is the mapping class group of the $(3n-1)-$punctured disk, we construct a well defined Lagrangian

$$(\beta_n \cup I_{2n-1}) S^N_n \subseteq \Sigma^{n,N}$$

associated to a braid $\beta_n \in B_n$. We do this by adjoining $2n-1$ trivial strands to $\beta_n$ and then consider the induced action on $\Sigma^{n,N}$. We define an intersection pairing $\langle \cdot \rangle$ between such submanifolds paired with $\mathcal{T}^N_n$. This will be computed from geometric intersection points in the symmetric power between these submanifolds which will be graded by Laurent polynomials, using a collection of loops in $\Sigma^{n,N}$.

Definition 1.1 (Specialisations) For $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, we will use the following morphism:

$$\psi_{c,q,\lambda} : \mathbb{Z}[u^{\pm 1}, x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}, d^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}, q^{\pm \lambda}]$$

$$\psi_{c,q,\lambda}(u) = q^c; \quad \psi_{c,q,\lambda}(x) = q^{2\lambda}; \quad \psi_{c,q,\lambda}(y) = -q^{-2}; \quad \psi_{c,q,\lambda}(d) = q^{-2}. \quad (1.1)$$

We will use the roots of unity $\xi_N = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}}$.

Theorem 1.2 (Unified model as an intersection of two Lagrangians)

Let us fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$ the colour of our invariants. Let $L$ be an oriented link and $\beta_n \in B_n$ such that $L = \beta_n$. We consider the Lagrangian submanifolds $S^N_n, \mathcal{T}^N_n \subseteq \Sigma^{n,N}$ and define the following graded intersection:

$$\Gamma_N(\beta_n)(u, x, d) \in \mathbb{Z}[u^{\pm 1}, x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}, d^{\pm 1}]$$

$$\Gamma_N(\beta_n)(u, x, d) := u^{-w(\beta_n)} u^{-(n-1)}(-y)^{-(n-1)(N-1)} \langle (\beta_n \cup I_{2n-1}) S^N_n, \mathcal{T}^N_n \rangle. \quad (1.2)$$

Then, $\Gamma_N$ recovers the $N^{th}$ coloured Jones and $N^{th}$ coloured Alexander invariants through the following specialisations of coefficients:

$$J_N(L, q) = \Gamma_N(\beta_n)|_{\psi_1 q, N-1}$$

$$\Phi_N(L, \lambda) = \Gamma_N(\beta_n)|_{\psi_1 - N, \lambda}. \quad (1.3)$$

Here, $w(\beta_n)$ is the writhe of the braid.
1.2. Graded intersection Now we present the procedure to construct the graded intersection \((\beta_n \cup \mathbb{I}_{2n-1}) S^N_n, T^N_n)\). Let \(d := (d^1_1, ..., d^1_{N-1}, ..., d^{n-1}_1, ..., d^{n-1}_{N-1})\) be the base point from figure 2.4. First of all, we consider \(L\) to be a set of loops in the symmetric power which are allowed to intersect the diagonal transversely and they do intersect it at least once, as in definition 2.2. Then, in relation (2.3) we define a grading on this set of loops

\[
\phi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z},
\]

where

\[
\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle \langle d \rangle.
\]

The components of \(\phi(l)\) for \(l \in L\) are defined as below:

- \(x\)-coefficients count the winding of the loop \(l\) around the \(2n - 1\) black punctures
- \(y\)-coefficients record the winding of \(l\) around the \(n\) blue punctures
- \(d\)-coefficients count the relative winding of the loop \(l\) in the symmetric power, given by the winding around the diagonal. An important matter is that we count the relative winding that occurs also at the intersection with the diagonal.

The intersection pairing is indexed by the set of intersection points:

\[
I_{\Gamma_n(\beta)} := (\beta_n \cup \mathbb{I}_{2n-1}) S^N_n \cap T^N_n.
\]

We define a colouring \(F = (f^1, ..., f^{n-1})\) of such intersection point \(\bar{x}\) as a collection of functions \(f^k : \{1, ..., N - 1\} \rightarrow \{1, ..., N - 1\}\) for all \(k \in \{1, ..., n - 1\}\) such that the partitions of the set \(\{1, ..., N - 1\}\) induced by the pre-images of points through of these functions have cardinalities prescribed by the multiplicities of the components of \(\bar{x}\) in the symmetric power (as presented in definition 2.9). Let us denote by \(\text{Col}(\bar{x})\) the set of such colourings \(F = (f^1, ..., f^{n-1})\). Then each colouring prescribes a loop in the symmetric power \(l_{x,F}\) which is defined in \(d\), as in definition 2.14. These loops are defined explicitly and use the paths which link the red geometric support with the green geometric support.

**Definition 1.3** (Grading of an intersection point) Let \(\bar{x} \in I_{\Gamma_n(\beta)}\). We consider the polynomial associated to this intersection point given by the gradings of the family of loops associated to \(\bar{x}\) and all possible colourings \(F \in \text{Col}(\bar{x})\):

\[
P(\bar{x}) := \sum_{F \in \text{Col}(\bar{x})} \phi(l_{\bar{x},F}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}, d^{\pm 1}].
\]

Also, we denote by \(\epsilon(\bar{x})\) the product of the signs of the local intersections between the support of \((\beta_n \cup \mathbb{I}_{2n-1}) S^N_n\) and \(T^N_n\) at the \((n-1)(N-1)\) components of \(\bar{x}\).

**Definition 1.4** (Intersection form) Then, the intersection pairing is given by the above polynomials:

\[
\langle (\beta_n \cup \mathbb{I}_{2n-1}) S^N_n, T^N_n \rangle = \sum_{\bar{x} \in (\beta_n \cup \mathbb{I}_{2n-1}) S^N_n \cap T^N_n \atop F \in \text{Col}(\bar{x})} \epsilon(\bar{x}) \cdot P(\bar{x}).
\]

**Definition 1.5** (Unified formula as a graded intersection) The graded intersection is given by:

\[
\Gamma_N(\beta_n)(u, x, d) := u^{-w(\beta_n)} u^{-(n-1)} (-y)^{-(n-1)(N-1)} \sum_{\bar{x} \in (\beta_n \cup \mathbb{I}_{2n-1}) S^N_n \cap T^N_n \atop F \in \text{Col}(\bar{x})} \epsilon(\bar{x}) \cdot P(\bar{x}).
\]

**Remark 1.6** In this notation the main Theorem says that we get the \(N^{th}\) coloured Jones and \(N^{th}\) coloured Alexander invariants from this graded intersection:

\[
J_N(L, q) = \Gamma_N(\beta_n)|_{\psi_{1,n,N-1}}\]

\[
\Phi_N(L, \lambda) = \Gamma_N(\beta_n)|_{\psi_{n,N-1}}\cdot
\]
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1.3. Jones and Alexander polynomials

For the particular case given by $N = 2$, we have an intersection model for the Jones and Alexander invariants. In this case, for each intersection point we have a single colouring associated to it and so our intersection form is obtained from the intersection points between the two Lagrangians, graded by monomials.

\[ S_n^N \quad \text{and} \quad T_n^N \]

**Corollary 1.7 (Jones and Alexander polynomials)** The Jones and Alexander polynomials come from the intersection points between the two Lagrangians in the $(n-1)$-symmetric power of the disc:

\[
J(L, q) = \Gamma_2(\beta_n)|_{u=q,x=q^d, d=q^{-2}} \\
\Delta(L, t) = \Gamma_2(\beta_n)|_{u=t^{-\frac{1}{2}}, x=t, y=1, d=-1}.
\]

(1.9)

1.4. Further directions- Categorifications

For the case $N = 2$ we expect that there exists a definition of a Floer type construction starting from the model $\Gamma_2(\beta_n)|_{\psi_{-1,1,\lambda}}$ which gives knot Floer homology. Our Lagrangians are very similar to the pictures encountered in this theory and the gradings are very closely related. Our next part of the program is to construct a categorification for the Jones polynomial starting from $\Gamma_2(\beta_n)|_{\psi_{1,q,-1}}$. There are cases suggesting that this is related to Khovanov homology and the fact that we have both theories from the same picture would create a proper framework to define a spectral sequence from Khovanov homology towards knot Floer homology.

Further on, the form of the Lagrangians gives a natural framework to study categorifications also for higher colours, for the families of coloured Jones and coloured Alexander polynomials. This improves and is different than the previous results from [2], where we had sums of Lagrangian intersections, which were not yet globalised in a geometric manner.

**Asymptotics** This result brings together the $U_q(sl(2))$ invariants of colour $N$ as an intersection between the two Lagrangians in the symmetric power of order $(n-1)(N-1)$ in the punctured disk. The colour can be seen in the number of particles of this symmetric power and the formula from Corollary 1.5 gives us the possibility to investigate geometrically the behaviour of this intersection form when we increase the colour. This formula provides a starting point for investigating geometric models for the asymptotics, namely the loop expansions of coloured Jones polynomials such as Melvin-Morton-Rozansky ([12]) and Gukov-Manolescu ([7]).

**Structure of the paper** This article has five main parts. In Section 2 we define Lagrangian submanifolds, and we construct the intersection pairing in the symmetric power. In the following part, Section 3 we recall the state sum model from [2]. Then in Section 4, we show that we can read the state sum model from our grading in the symmetric power. In Section 5 we prove the intersection model. The last part, Section 6 shows an example of computation.

1.5. Acknowledgments

I would like to thank András Juhász for discussions concerning the case $N = 2$ and Jacob Rasmussen for asking me this main question of globalising the classes that appeared in the previous state sum. I acknowledge the support of SwissMAP, a National Centre of Competence in Research funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

2. Construction of the two submanifolds and the definition of the grading

In this part, for $n, N \in \mathbb{N}$ we present the homological set-up and the construction of the two Lagrangians which will lead to the colour $N$ quantum invariants.
We start with the two dimensional disc with boundary, with \( n_1 + n_2 \) punctures:

\[
D_{n_1, n_2} = D \setminus \{ p_1, ..., p_{n_1}, q_1, ..., q_{n_2} \}.
\]

Further on, we consider the \( m \)th symmetric power of this punctured disc:

\[
\Sigma^m_{n_1, n_2} := D_{n_1, n_2}^m / \text{Sym}_m,
\]

(2.1)

\[
\bar{\Sigma}^m := D^m / \text{Sym}_m.
\]

(2.2)

(here \( \text{Sym}_m \) is the \( m \)th symmetric group)

Now, let us fix \( d_1, ..., d_m \in D_{n_1, n_2} \) and \( d = (d_1, ..., d_m) \in \Sigma^m_{n_1, n_2} \) the associated base point in the symmetric power.

![Figure 2.1](image-url) Grading on the symmetric power of the punctured disk

**Notation 2.1** (Diagonals) Let us denote the following submanifolds in the symmetric power:

\[
\Delta := \{(x_1, ..., x_m) \in \Sigma^m_{n_1, n_2} \mid \exists 1 \leq i, j \leq m, x_i = x_j \}
\]

\[
\Delta^k_p := \{(x_1, ..., x_m) \in \Sigma^m \mid \exists 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq k, x_i = p_j \}
\]

\[
\Delta^{-k}_p := \{(x_1, ..., x_m) \in \Sigma^m \mid \exists 1 \leq i \leq m, n_1 - k + 1 \leq j \leq n_1, x_i = p_j \}
\]

\[
\Delta_Q := \{(x_1, ..., x_m) \in \Sigma^m \mid \exists 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n_2, x_i = q_j \}
\]

for \( k \in \{1, ..., n_1\} \).

In the following part, we consider a particular set of loops in the symmetric power, which will be used for the grading of our intersection pairing. For this, we denote by \( S^1 \) the radius \( 1 \) circle in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) and by \( S^1_+, S^1_- \) the semicircles given by points from \( S^1 \) with a negative or non-negative \( x \)-coordinate respectively.

**Definition 2.2** (Isotopy classes of loops) We will work with the following classes of loops:

\[
L := \{ l : S^1 \to \Sigma^m_{n_1, n_2} \text{ smooth} \mid l^{-1}(\Delta) \cap S^1_+ = \{1\}, l(S^1_-) \text{ is transverse to } \Delta \}
\]

\[
\Omega := \pi_0(L).
\]

**2.1. Local system**

**Definition 2.3** (Grading on the space \( L \)) Let \( l \in L \) be a loop and consider \( \bar{l} : S^1 \to \bar{\Sigma}^m \) the associated loop in the symmetric power of the disc. Since \( \pi_1(\bar{\Sigma}^m) \cong 1 \) there exists

\[
\sigma_l : (D^2, \partial D^2) \to (\bar{\Sigma}^m, \bar{l})
\]

a disc which has as boundary the loop \( \bar{l} \). Moreover, by a version of the Whitney Approximation Theorem, one can choose a smooth representative of such a disc. Furthermore, by the Thom Transversality Theorem, we choose a smooth representative of this disc which is transverse to the diagonals \( \Delta, \Delta^k_p, \Delta^{-k}_p \) and \( \Delta_Q \). We remark that \( \Delta = \cup_{i,j=1}^{m} \Delta_{i,j} \) where

\[
\Delta_{i,j} := \{(x_1, ..., x_m) \in \Sigma^m_{n_1, n_2} \mid x_i = x_j \}.
\]
Let us fix an orientation for all components $\Delta_{i,j}$, and also for the components of $\Delta^h, \Delta^p$ and $\Delta_Q$. We define the following grading on the space $L$:

$$\phi : L \to \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle \langle d \rangle \phi(l) := x^{(\sigma_1, \Delta^h)} - y^{(\sigma_1, \Delta^p)} , \langle y^{(\sigma_1, \Delta_Q)} , q^{(\sigma_1 \cup I^{(1)} \cup \Delta)} \rangle .$$

(2.3)

The group $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ should be thought of as the multiplicative group of monomials. Picture 2.1 shows a pictorial representation of this grading. Here $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the geometric intersection number in $\Sigma^m$, which is defined as the sum of the intersection numbers with each of the components.

**Proposition 2.4** The grading $\phi$ is well defined on the space $L$ and it does not depend on the choice of a representative of the disc.

**Proposition 2.5** (Grading on the set $\Omega$) The function $\phi$ on $L$ induces a well defined grading on its set of connected components, which we denote by:

$$\varphi : \Omega \to \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle \langle d \rangle \varphi([l]) := \phi(l).$$

2.2. Braid group action In the following part we restrict to the context that we need and define a graded intersection between submanifolds of the symmetric power, which have the form that occurs in our situation. More precisely, we fix $n, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and we use the following parameters:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
n_1 = 2n - 1 & n_2 = n \\
k = n \\
m = (n-1)(N-1). \end{array} \right.$$  

(2.4)

We denote the symmetric power of the (punctured) disc as follows:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\Sigma^{n,N} := \Sigma^{(n-1)(N-1)} \\
\Sigma^{n,N} := \Sigma^{(n-1)(N-1)} \\
\Sigma^{N} := \Sigma^{(n-1)(N-1)} \\
\Sigma^{N} := \Sigma^{(n-1)(N-1)} . \end{array} \right.$$  

(2.5)

The intersection pairing will be a twisted version of the geometric intersection in $\Sigma^{n,N}$, graded by the function $\varphi$. In order to prescribe the grading, we need a base point and we choose it on the submanifold $S_n^N$ and denote it by $d := (d_1, \ldots, d_{N-1}, \ldots, d_{N-1})$, as in picture 2.2.

**Definition 2.6** We denote by $sS_n^N, s\Sigma^N \subseteq D_{2n-1,n}$ the collections of red curves and green circles that are the geometric supports of $S_n^N$ and $\Sigma^N$ respectively.

**Definition 2.7** (Paths to the base points) Let us choose a collection of $(n - 1)$ paths in the punctured disc, which we denote by $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_{n-1}$ which start from the red curves and end on the left hand side of the circles, as in figure 2.2. Similarly, let $\eta'_1, \ldots, \eta'_{n-1}$ be the paths which start from the red curves and end on the right hand side of the circles.

We use that the braid group $B_n$ is the mapping class group of the punctured disc and so for $\beta_n \in B_n$ we get a diffeomorphism $h_{\beta_n \cup \xi_{2n-2}} \in \text{Diff}(D_{2n-1,n}).$

**Remark 2.8** Up to isotopy we can choose this diffeomorphism such that it is the identity outside the dark grey disc around the punctures labeled by $0, \ldots, n - 1$ from picture 2.2. Also, we choose a representative $h_{\beta_n \cup \xi_{2n-2}}$ such that there are no trivial discs in

$$D_{2n-1,n} \setminus (h_{\beta_n \cup \xi_{2n-2}}(sS_n^N) \cup s\Sigma^N)$$

in the interior of this dark grey disc, with half of their boundary on $(h_{\beta_n \cup \xi_{2n-2}})(sS_n^N)$ and the other half on $s\Sigma^N$.

This map will induce a diffeomorphism on the symmetric power of the punctured disc and we act by this on the submanifold $S_n^N$. 
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2.3. Loops associated to intersection points

We will define a graded intersection between submanifolds of the form

\[ h_{\beta_n, \cup I_n - 2} \cap N_n \] such that \( h_{\beta_n, \cup I_n - 2} \) satisfies the above mentioned conditions. This pairing will be parametrised by the intersection points between \( h_{\beta_n, \cup I_n - 2} \cap N_n \) and let us denote this set by \( I_{h_{\beta_n}} \). Since the diffeomorphism \( h_{\beta_n, \cup I_n - 2} \) was trivial outside the dark grey disc from the picture we have that:

\[ d \in h_{\beta_n, \cup I_n - 2} \cap N_n. \]

**Definition 2.9** (Colourings associated to an intersection point) Let \( \bar{x} = (x_1, ..., x_{(n-1)(N-1)}) \in I_{h_{\beta_n}} \). Let \( k \in \{1, ..., n-1\} \) and now we look at the \( k^{th} \) red curve which ends in the puncture labeled by \( 2n - 1 - k \). There are precisely \( N - 1 \) components of \( \bar{x} \) which belong to this curve (counted with multiplicities) and denote them and their multiplicities by:

\[ (x^k_1, ..., x^k_l), \quad \text{where } m^k_1 + ... + m^k_l = N - 1. \]

We define a *colouring* to be a collection of functions \( f^k : \{1, ..., N-1\} \rightarrow \{1, ..., l_k\} \) for all \( k \in \{1, ..., n-1\} \) with the property that:

- \( \text{card}((f^k)^{-1}\{i\}) = m^k_i. \)
- \( ((f^k)^{-1}\{l_k\}) = \{N - m^k_1, ..., N - 1\} \) if \( x^k_l \) belongs to the right hand side of the disc.

Let us denote:

\[ (f^k)^{-1}\{i\} = \{f^k[i, 1], ..., f^k[i, m^k_i]\}. \]  \( (2.6) \)

We denote the family of these functions by \( F := (f^1, ..., f^{n-1}) \) and call this a *colouring of the multipoint \( \bar{x} \).*

**Definition 2.10** For a given intersection point \( \bar{x} \), we denote the set of associated colourings by:

\[ \text{Col}(\bar{x}) := \{ F = (f^1, ..., f^{n-1}) \mid F \text{ colouring with respect to the multiplicities of the multipoint } \bar{x} \}. \]

In the following part, for a given intersection point \( \bar{x} \) and a colouring \( F \) we will construct a loop \( l_{\bar{x}, F} \) in the configuration space, based in \( d \). This will be done in two steps:

- First, we construct a path from \( d \) towards \( \bar{x} \), which does not depend on the colouring and uses the green submanifold \( T^N_n \).
- Secondly, we continue with a path from \( \bar{x} \) back to the basepoint \( d \), using the colouring \( F \) and the red submanifold \( S^N_n \).

Then, we will concatenate these paths and obtain a loop in the symmetric power. Let us make this precise, as follows.
Definition 2.11 (Path from the base point towards an intersection point)
Let \( \bar{x} = (x_1, ..., x_{(n-1)(N-1)}) \in I_{h_{\bar{u}}}. \) Let \( k \in \{1, ..., n-1\} \) and now we look at the \( k^{th} \) green circle which goes around the punctures labeled by \((k, 2n-1-k)\). There are precisely \( N-1 \) components of \( \bar{x} \) which belong to this circle (counted with multiplicities) and denote them and their multiplicities by:
\[
(x^k_1, ..., x^k_{\bar{u}_1})
\]
\[
(\bar{m}^k_1, ..., \bar{m}^k_{\bar{u}_1}), \text{ where } \bar{m}^k_1 + ... + \bar{m}^k_{\bar{u}_1} = N - 1.
\]

by ordering them as they occur on the circle minus the maximal point using the opposite of its orientation. If \( x^k_1 \) is in the left half of the disc, let \( \bar{\nu}^1_1, ..., \bar{\nu}^1_{\bar{m}^k_1} \) be \( \bar{m}^k_1 \) paths in the punctured disc which start in \( d^k_1, ..., d^k_{\bar{m}^k_1} \), follow the path \( \eta \) and then they follow the green curve until they reach the point \( x^k_1 \).

Now we look at the point \( x^k_2 \). If this is in the left hand side of the disc as well, then we construct in a similar manner \( \bar{m}^k_2 \) paths starting from the base points \( d^k_{\bar{m}^k_1 + 1}, ..., d^k_{\bar{m}^k_1 + \bar{m}^k_2} \) which all pass through \( x^k_2 \). We continue this procedure up to the point where we have \( \bar{m}^k_{\bar{u}_1} \) paths passing through \( x^k_{\bar{u}_1} \).

Looking at the picture we notice that we have maximum one intersection point in the right hand side of the disc, namely \( x^k_{\bar{u}_1} \). If so, then the last set of paths will be constructed in a slightly different manner. Let \( \bar{\nu}^N_{N-1}, ..., \bar{\nu}^N_{N-1} \) be a family of paths starting from the last base points from the \( k^{th} \) red curve: \( d^k_{N-\bar{m}^k_{\bar{u}_1}}, ..., d^k_{N-1} \), follow the paths \( \eta_{\bar{u}_1} \) towards the green circle and then continue on the green support up to the point \( x^k_{\bar{u}_1} \).

We do this procedure for all \( k \in \{1, ..., n-1\} \) and we obtain a collection of \((n-1)(N-1)\) paths in the punctured disc. Let us consider
\[
\gamma_{\bar{x}} := \{\bar{\nu}^1_1, ..., \bar{\nu}^N_{N-1}, ..., \bar{\nu}^N_{N-1}\}
\]
the path in \( \Sigma^N \) given by the collection of these paths (in the disc), which will start from \( d \) and end in \( \bar{x} \).

Definition 2.12 (Path associated to a coloured intersection point, ending in the base point)
Let \( \bar{x} = (x_1, ..., x_{(n-1)(N-1)}) \in I_{h_{\bar{u}}}, \) and suppose that \( F \) is a colouring of \( \bar{x} \). Let \( k \in \{1, ..., n-1\} \) and this time we use the \( k^{th} \) red curve which ends in the puncture labeled by \( 2n-1-k \). On this curve, there are exactly \( N-1 \) components of \( \bar{x} \) (counted with multiplicities) and we denote them as below:
\[
(x^k_1, ..., x^k_{\bar{u}_1})
\]
\[
(\bar{m}^k_1, ..., \bar{m}^k_{\bar{u}_1}), \text{ where } \bar{m}^k_1 + ... + \bar{m}^k_{\bar{u}_1} = N - 1.
\]

The colouring will induce a partition of the base points \( d^k_1, ..., d^k_{N-1} \) into \( l_k \) sets, which we denote by:
\[
\left\{d^{k}_{f_{[1],1}}, ..., d^{k}_{f_{[1],m_{1}}}, \right\}
\]
\[
\left\{d^{k}_{f_{[l_k],1}}, ..., d^{k}_{f_{[l_k],m_{l_k}}}, \right\}.
\]
To simplify the notation, we denote this partition of \( N - 1 \) base points into \( l_k \) sets of cardinals \( m^k_1, \ldots, m^k_k \) as follows:

\[
\begin{cases}
\{d^{k}_{[1,1]}, \ldots, d^{k}_{[1,m^k_1]}\} \\
\{d^{k}_{[n,1]}, \ldots, d^{k}_{[n,m^k_k]}\}.
\end{cases}
\] (2.9)

Let \( \nu^1_k, \ldots, \nu^k_{m^k_k} \) be \( m^k_1 \) paths in the punctured disc which start in \( x_1^k \) and follow the red curve back to the base points, arriving in \( d^k_{[1,1]}, \ldots, d^k_{[1,m^k_1]} \) respectively.

Figure 2.4  Paths to the base points

Now we look at the point \( x_2^k \). We continue with an analogous construction, by defining \( m^k_2 \) paths starting from \( x_2^k \) and going to the base points \( d^k_{[2,1]}, \ldots, d^k_{[2,m^k_k]} \). We continue this procedure up to the point where we have \( m^k_{m^k_k} \) paths passing through \( x_k^k \). We do this procedure for all \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n - 1\} \) and we obtain a collection of \( (n - 1)(N - 1) \) paths in the punctured disc. Let us consider

\[
\gamma_{\bar{x}, F} := \{\eta^1_1, \ldots, \eta^1_{N-1}, \ldots, \eta^n_1, \ldots, \eta^n_{N-1}\}
\] (2.10)

the path in \( \Sigma^{n,N} \) which is given by the above set of paths, starting in \( \bar{x} \) and ending in \( d \).

Now, we put together the previous definitions and we define a loop in the symmetric power.

**Definition 2.13** (Loop associated to a coloured intersection point)

Let \( \bar{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_{(n - 1)(N - 1)}) \in I_{h_{\beta_n}} \) be an intersection point and consider \( F \) to be a colouring of \( \bar{x} \). We define the following loop based in \( \bar{x} \), which is determined by the colouring \( F \):

\[
l_{\bar{x}, F} := \gamma_{\bar{x}, F} \circ \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{x}} \in L.
\] (2.11)

### 2.4. Graded intersection

**Definition 2.14** We use the set of loops which pass through our intersection points in order to define a graded intersection \( \langle (\beta_n \cup \mathbb{I}_{2n-1}) S^N_n, T^N_n \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}[x^\pm 1, y^\pm 1, d^\pm 1] \) as follows:

\[
\langle h_{\beta_n \cup \mathbb{I}_{2n-1}}(S^N_n), T^N_n \rangle := \sum_{\bar{x} \in I_{h_{\beta_n}}} \epsilon_{x_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot \epsilon_{x_{(n-1)(N-1)}} \cdot \left( \sum_{F \in \text{Col}(\bar{x})} \varphi(l_{\bar{x}, F}) \right).
\] (2.12)

Here, we denote by \( \epsilon_{x_1} \) the sign of the local intersection in the punctured disc at the point \( x_1 \) between the circle and the red curve that \( x_1 \) belong to.

In the following part we show that the intersection form does not depend on the choice of representative \( h_{\beta_n \cup \mathbb{I}_{2n-1}} \).

**Proposition 2.15** (Intersections given by different representatives)

Let \( \beta_n \in B_n \) a braid and consider two associated diffeomorphisms \( h_{\beta_n \cup \mathbb{I}_{2n-1}} \) and \( h'_{\beta_n \cup \mathbb{I}_{2n-1}} \) which satisfy the assertions from the previous subsection. Then

\[
\langle h_{\beta_n \cup \mathbb{I}_{2n-1}}(S^N_n), T^N_n \rangle = \langle h'_{\beta_n \cup \mathbb{I}_{2n-1}}(S^N_n), T^N_n \rangle.
\] (2.13)
Proof. The two intersection pairings are parametrised by the set of intersection points:

\[
\begin{align*}
I_{h_{\beta_n}} &= h_{\beta_n \cup \beta_{2n-2}}(S^N_n) \cap \tau^N_n \\
I_{h'_{\beta_n}} &= h'_{\beta_n \cup \beta_{2n-2}}(S^N_n) \cap \tau^N_n.
\end{align*}
\]  

(2.14)

Now, following the condition that we do not have trivial discs (bigons) between the red curves and the green ones inside the grey disc neither in the picture corresponding to \( h_{\beta_n} \) nor in the one for \( h'_{\beta_n} \) we can find an isotopy between these two diffeomorphisms such that at each level there are no bigons in the disc. it means that the isotopy between these two diffeomorphisms gives a one to one correspondence between the sets of intersection points:

\[ f : I_{h_{\beta_n}} \rightarrow I_{h'_{\beta_n}}. \]

Now, let us look at an intersection point \( \bar{x} \in I_{h_{\beta_n}} \) and its correspondent \( f(\bar{x}) \in I_{h'_{\beta_n}} \). We notice that the multiplicities of the point \( \bar{x} \) are the same as the multiplicities of the point \( f(\bar{x}) \). We are interested about the gradings which are associated to these two intersection points.

Let us fix a colouring \( F \in \text{Col}(\bar{x}) = \text{Col}(f(\bar{x})) \). We consider the loops associated to the points \( \bar{x} \) and \( f(\bar{x}) \), coloured with \( F \):

\[ l_{\bar{x}, F}, l_{f(\bar{x}), F} \in L. \]

Using that \( h_{\beta_n} \) and \( h'_{\beta_n} \) are isotopic and the construction of \( l_{\bar{x}, F} \) and \( l_{f(\bar{x}), F} \) from definition 2.9, we conclude that these loops are also isotopic in \( L \) and so they are in the same connected component of \( L \):

\[ [l_{\bar{x}, F}] = [l_{f(\bar{x}), F}] \in \Omega. \]

Following the definition of the grading we have that

\[ \varphi(l_{\bar{x}, F}) = \varphi(l_{f(\bar{x}, F)}), \forall \bar{x} \in I_{h_{\beta_n}}. \]  

(2.15)

Using this relation and the definition of the graded intersection from equation (2.12) we conclude the equality (2.14) .

The last discussion shows that our intersection form is well defined and it does not depend on the diffeomorphism that is chosen to represent the braid. For the next part we want to use the symplectic structure. We take the symplectic structure on the symmetric power \( \Sigma^N_n \) which comes from the restriction of the symplectic structure of the symmetric power of the complex plane. Then, we represent \( sS^N_n \) and \( s\tau^N_n \) by collections of smooth curves, which are Lagrangians in the punctured disc. Thus \( S^N_n \) and \( \tau^N_n \), which are given by the symmetric powers of these Lagrangians in the punctured disc, will be Lagrangians in \( \Sigma^N_n \).

**Proposition 2.16** For \( \beta_n \in B_n \) there is a representative \( h_{\beta_n \cup \beta_{2n-2}} \) which is a symplectomorphism of \( \Sigma^N_n \) such that the two conditions from remark 2.8 are satisfied.

**Definition 2.17** (Graded intersection) For \( \beta_n \in B_n \), let \( h_{\beta_n \cup \beta_{2n-2}} \) be a symplectomorphism of \( \Sigma^N_n \) as above. Following the above discussion, we have a well defined pairing as below:

\[
\langle (\beta_n \cup \beta_{2n-2})S^N_n, \tau^N_n \rangle := \langle (h_{\beta_n \cup \beta_{2n-2}}(S^N_n), \tau^N_n) = \sum_{\bar{x} \in I_{h_{\beta_n}}} \epsilon_{x_1} \cdots \epsilon_{x_{(n-1)(N-1)}} \varphi(l_{\bar{x}, F}) \rangle.
\]  

(2.16)

(2.17)

3. State sum model

In this part, we present the setting from [2] where we constructed a state sum model recovering the coloured Jones and coloured Alexander polynomials. There we used graded intersections in configuration spaces rather than symmetric powers.

We consider the unordered configuration space of \( (n-1)(N-1) \) particles in the punctured disc \( D_{2n-1} \).
3.1. Homology classes

For this model we have used the family of Lawrence representations which are homological representations of the braid group $B_n$ on the homology of a $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$-covering of the configuration space of $m$ particles in the $n$-punctured disc $D_n$ (which we denote by $C_{n,m}$). More precisely, we have:

1) Lawrence representations $H_{n,m}, H^0_{n,m}$ which are $\mathbb{Z}[x^\pm 1, d^\pm 1]$-modules which carry a $B_n$-action.

2) Intersection pairing $\ll, \gg$: $H_{n,m} \otimes H^0_{n,m} \to \mathbb{Z}[x^\pm 1, d^\pm 1]$ (Poincaré-Lefschetz type duality).

The precise definition of these homology groups is presented in [2] Section 3.

Let us fix $n, N \in \mathbb{N}$. In the following we will use the space $C_{2n-1,(n-1)(N-1)}$ and let $d = \{d_1, ..., d_{(n-1)(N-1)}\}$ a base point as in picture 5.2. The construction of the homology classes in the covering of the configuration space will be done by drawing a set of disjoint curves in the punctured disc, considering products of ordered configuration spaces on those and then taking their quotient to the unordered configuration space. Using this, for any multi-index $i = (i_1, ..., i_{n-1})$, $i_k \in \{0, ..., N-1\}$, $k \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$ we define two Lagrangians denoted by:

$$F'_i \subseteq C_{2n-1,(n-1)(N-1)} \quad \text{and} \quad L'_i \subseteq C_{2n-1,(n-1)(N-1)}.$$

In order to lift these submanifolds to the covering of this configuration space, we use two paths to the base point, which we denote by $\eta^{F'_i}$ and $\eta^{L'_i}$. Then, we consider

$$3F'_i \in H_{2n-1,(n-1)(N-1)} \quad \text{and} \quad L'_i \in H^3_{2n-1,(n-1)(N-1)}$$

to be the homology classes given by the lifts of these submanifolds, using the lifts of the paths to the base points. All this construction is presented in Definition 5.0.2, Definition 5.0.3 and Definition 6.2.1 from [2].

Definition 3.1 (Specialisations) Let $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and consider the morphism:

$$\gamma_{c,q,\lambda} : \mathbb{Z}[u^\pm 1, x^\pm 1, d^\pm 1] \to \mathbb{Z}[q^\pm 1, q^\pm \lambda]$$

$$\gamma_{c,q,\lambda}(u) = q^c; \quad \gamma_{c,q,\lambda}(x) = q^{2\lambda}; \quad \gamma_{c,q,\lambda}(d) = q^{-2}. \quad (3.1)$$

Then, we have the following model.

Theorem 3.2 (Unified embedded state sum model [2]) Let $L$ be an oriented link and $\beta_n \in B_n$ such that $L = \beta_n$. Let us consider the polynomial in 3 variables given by the following state sum:

$$\Lambda^c_N(\beta_n)(u, x, d) := u^{-w(\beta_n)} u^{-(n-1)} \sum_{i_1, ..., i_{n-1}=0}^{N-1} d^{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} i_k}$$

$$\ll (\beta_n \cup I_{n-1}) F'_i, L'_i, \gg \in \mathbb{Z}[u^\pm 1, x^\pm 1, d^\pm 1]. \quad (3.2)$$

Then $\Lambda^c_N$ gives the $N^{th}$ coloured Jones and $N^{th}$ coloured Alexander polynomials:

$$J_N(L, q) = \Lambda^c_N(\beta_n)|_{q=|q|, N-1}$$

$$\Phi_N(L, \lambda) = \Lambda^c_N(\beta_n)|_{\lambda=|\lambda|, N, \lambda}. \quad (3.3)$$

Figure 3.1 State sum model
4. Computation of the intersection pairing in the state sum formula

The intersection pairing
\[
\ll, \gg : H_{2n-1,(n-1)(N-1)} \otimes H^\partial_{2n-1,(n-1)(N-1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}, d^{\pm 1}]
\] (4.1)
is defined on the homology of the covering, but it can actually be computed from the geometric intersection in the base configuration space, graded by a certain local system. In the following part, we show that we can use the grading of loops from section 2.1 in order to compute this pairing.

We fix the parameters from section 2.1 as below:
\[n_1 \rightarrow 2n-1, \ n_2 \rightarrow 0, \ m \rightarrow (n-1)(N-1).\]

We remind that the base configuration space that we work with is \(C_{2n-1,(n-1)(N-1)}\). Now, for each intersection point
\[\tilde{w} = (w_1, ..., w_{(n-1)(N-1)}) \in (\beta_n \cup \mathbb{I}_{n-1})F_i^l \cap L_i^l\]
we will construct a loop, denoted by \(l_{\tilde{w}} \subseteq C_{n,m}\). We start with the path \(\eta_l\) which goes from \(d\) and it ends on \(L_i^l\). We continue this path, following the geometric support given by the green circles (excluding the maximal point on each of them) in the punctured disc and construct a path in the configuration space from \(\eta_l(1)\) towards the intersection point \(\tilde{w}\), such that the image of this path is included in \(L_i^l\). Basically, on each circle we choose a collection of \(N-1\) paths in the punctured disc which go towards the \(N-1\) components of \(\tilde{y}\) that lie on it that circle, without passing through the maximal point of that circle. We denote the new path obtained by following the two previous paths by \(\eta^F_{\tilde{w}}\), which goes from \(d\) to \(\tilde{w}\).

In the next step we aim to go back to the base point. We start from \(\tilde{y}\) and go towards the point \(\eta^F_l(1)\) by a path in the configuration space included in \((\beta_n \cup \mathbb{I}_{n-1})F_i^l\). In order to do this, we look at each red curve from the support of \((\beta_n \cup \mathbb{I}_{n-1})F_i^l\) in the punctured disc, and consider a family of \(N-1\) paths which start from the \(N-1\) components of \(\tilde{w}\) which lie on this red support and go towards \(\eta^F_l(1)\). We continue this path in the configuration space with \((\eta^F_l)^{-1}\) and go back to \(d\).

We denote the path obtained by glueing these two paths by \(\eta^F_{\tilde{w}}\), which goes from \(\tilde{w}\) back to \(d\).

Then, we define the loop which based in \(d\) and it is associated to the intersection point \(\tilde{w}\):
\[l_{\tilde{w}} = \left(\eta^F_{\tilde{w}}\right)^{-1} \circ \eta^L_{\tilde{w}}.\]

This is a loop in the configuration space \(C_{2n-1,(n-1)(N-1)}\). Further on, we use the canonical inclusion and see this loop in the symmetric power \(\Sigma_{2n-1,0}^{(n-1)(N-1)}\). This means that we can use the grading defined in proposition 2.5 in order to evaluate the loop using the function \(\varphi\). This leads to the intersection form as follows.

**Proposition 4.1** (Pairing between homology classes computed via the symmetric power)

The intersection pairing from (4.1) has the following formula (which uses the loop \(l_{\tilde{w}}\) and the grading):
\[
\ll (\beta_n \cup \mathbb{I}_{n-1})F_i^l, L_i^l \gg = \sum_{\tilde{w} \in (\beta_n \cup \mathbb{I}_{n-1})F_i^l \cap L_i^l} \epsilon_{w_1} \cdots \epsilon_{w_{(n-1)(N-1)}} \cdot \varphi(l_{\tilde{w}}). \in \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}, d^{\pm 1}]
\] (4.2)

where \(F_i^l, L_i^l\) are considered in the symmetric power \(\Sigma_{2n-1,0}^{(n-1)(N-1)}\) and \(\epsilon_{w_1}, ..., \epsilon_{w_n}\) are signs of local intersections in the punctured disc, which are defined as the ones from definition 2.14.

**Proof.** Since we are working in the symmetric power of the punctured disc in the situation where we have no blue punctures \((n_2 = 0)\), it means that we have no \(y\)-variables in the grading \(\varphi\). Moreover we have that
\[
\varphi(l_{\tilde{w}}) = \phi^{-(n-1)}(l_{\tilde{w}})
\] (4.3)
where $\phi^{-(n-1)}$ is the local system from [2] presented in Definition 3.1.2. Then, using the formula from the pairing $\ll, \gg$ from Remark 3.3.3 ([2]) we have:

$$
\ll (\beta_n \cup I_{n-1})^J_i, L_i' \gg = \sum_{\bar{w} \in (\beta_n \cup I_{n-1})^J_i \cap L_i'} \epsilon_{w_1} \cdots \epsilon_{w_{(n-1)(N-1)}} \cdot \phi^{-(n-1)}(l_{\bar{w}}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x^\pm 1, d^\pm 1].
$$

This definition combined with the property from equation (4.3) concludes the formula from the statement.

5. Intersection model given by two Lagrangians

In this section we prove the intersection model from Theorem 5.1. We remind the statement below.

**Theorem 5.1** (Unified model as an intersection of two Lagrangians) Let $L$ be an oriented link and $\beta_n \in B_n$ such that $L = \hat{\beta}_n$. We consider the following graded intersection:

$$
\Gamma_N(\beta_n) = u - w(\beta_n) - y^{-(n-1)(N-1)} \cdot \phi(\beta_n \cup I_{n-1}, S_N^N, T_N^N) \in \mathbb{Z}[u, y, d] \quad (5.1)
$$

Then, $\Gamma_N$ recovers the $N$th coloured Jones and $N$th coloured Alexander invariants:

$$
J_N(L, q) = \Gamma_N(\beta_n) |_{q_1 = q, N-1}
$$

$$
\Phi_N(L, \lambda) = \Gamma_N(\beta_n) |_{\lambda_1 = \lambda, N, \lambda} \quad (5.2)
$$

We split the proof in four main steps. First, looking at the two types of Lagrangians that we introduced so far we notice that the support of $F_i'$ and the one of $S_N^N$ are very different. In the first step, we consider a Lagrangian which is given by the geometric support of $F_i'$ by pushing the point on the boundary towards the middle of the disc, giving a homology class which we denote by $\bar{F}_i$. We show that we have a state sum model using this family of homology classes. This model is useful on its own for pursuing computations.

Then, we will investigate the new state sum model and the graded intersection model that we are interested in and write their formulas, which will be are parametrised by certain intersection points in the symmetric power and graded in two different ways. In the last two steps, we show that these models lead to the same polynomial.

**Step 1-New state sum model** Let us consider the Lagrangian submanifolds given by the geometric supports from the picture below:

$$
F_i \subseteq C_{2n,(n-1)(N-1)} \quad \text{and} \quad L_i \subseteq C_{2n,(n-1)(N-1)}
$$

Then, let us consider the homology classes given by the lifts of these submanifolds in the corresponding covering (constructed by the same procedure as the one from section 3.1) and denote them by:

$$
F_i \in H_{2n,(n-1)(N-1)} \quad \text{and} \quad L_i \in H^0_{2n,(n-1)(N-1)}
$$

**Figure 5.1** State sum model

Then, let us consider the homology classes given by the lifts of these submanifolds in the corresponding covering (constructed by the same procedure as the one from section 3.1) and denote them by:
Theorem 5.2 (Unified embedded state sum model with new classes) Let us consider the following state sum for $\beta_n \in B_n$:

$$\Lambda_N(\beta_n)(u, x, d) := u^{-w(\beta_n)} u^{-(n-1)} \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1} = 0}^{N-1} d^{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} j_k}$$

(5.3)

$$\ll (\beta_n \cup \underline{1}_n) F_i \gg \in \mathbb{Z}[u^{\pm 1}, x^{\pm 1}, d^{\pm 1}].$$

Then $\Lambda_N$ gives the $N^{th}$ coloured Jones and $N^{th}$ coloured Alexander polynomials of an oriented link $L$ such that $L = \beta_n$:

$$J_N(L, q) = \Lambda_N(\beta_n)|_{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{n-1}}$$

$$\Phi_N(L, \lambda) = \Lambda_N(\beta_n)|_{\gamma_1 - \gamma_n, \xi N, \lambda}.$$  

(5.4)

Proof. We will show that $\Lambda_N(\beta_n)(u, x, d) = \Lambda'_N(\beta_n)(u, x, d)$. This will be done in two parts.

- First, we study the action of the braid group on the first family of classes which is used in the definition of $\Lambda'_N(\beta_n)(u, x, d)$ and the ones which appear in the formula for $\Lambda_N(\beta_n)(u, x, d)$.

- Secondly, we present a criteria stating the requirements for a family of dual classes that one has to take in order to obtain the state sum $\Lambda'_N(\beta_n)(u, x, d)$. Then, we prove that the family of second homology classes $L_i$ satisfies this property.

Definition 5.3 For a set of natural numbers $j_0, \ldots, j_{2n-2} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $j_0 + \ldots + j_{2n-2} = (n - 1)(N - 1)$ we denote by $U_{j_0, \ldots, j_{2n-2}}$, $U'_{j_0, \ldots, j_{2n-2}}$, the homology class given by the lifts of the geometric supports from the picture below:

$$U'_{j_0, \ldots, j_{2n-2}} \in H_{2n-1, (n-1)(N-1)} \quad \text{and} \quad U_{j_0, \ldots, j_{2n-2}} \in H_{2n, (n-1)(N-1)}$$

Figure 5.2 Change of the first family of classes

Let us fix $\tilde{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1})$. Using the above notation we have:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{i}} = U'_{0, i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}, N-1-i_{n-1}, \ldots, N-1-i_1}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{i}} = U_{0, i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}, N-1-i_{n-1}, \ldots, N-1-i_1}.$$

Looking at the action of braids on these classes and using the structure of these homology groups [3], we conclude that there are the following decompositions:

$$(\beta_n \cup \underline{1}_n) \mathcal{G}_{\tilde{i}} = \sum_{j_0, \ldots, j_{n-1} = 0}^{N-1} \alpha'_{j_0, \ldots, j_{n-1}} \ U_{j_0, j_1, \ldots, j_{n-1}, N-1-i_{n-1}, \ldots, N-1-i_1}$$

(5.5)

$$(\beta_n \cup \underline{1}_n) \mathcal{F}_{\tilde{i}} = \sum_{j_0, \ldots, j_{n-1} = 0}^{N-1} \alpha_{j_0, \ldots, j_{n-1}} \ U_{j_0, j_1, \ldots, j_{n-1}, N-1-i_{n-1}, \ldots, N-1-i_1}$$

(5.6)
where $\alpha'(j_0, \ldots, j_{n-1}), \alpha'(j_0, \ldots, j_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}, d^{\pm 1}]$. Now we want to understand what is the relation between these coefficients and we will actually prove that:
\[
\alpha'(j_0, \ldots, j_{n-1}) = \alpha(j_0, \ldots, j_{n-1}), \forall j_0, \ldots, j_{n-1}. \tag{5.7}
\]

This relation can be seen by looking at the formula for these coefficients, which can be computed by pairing with a dual basis (as in [4]). This formula uses the red geometric supports, the paths to the boundary and the local system. Up to isotopy we can assume that the braid action is non-trivial just on a grey disc which goes around the first $n$-punctures. Now, the red geometric support inside the grey disc is the same in both pictures, the only change is part of the geometric support outside that disc. However, any path from the first picture corresponds to a path in the second picture which has the same winding around punctures and the same relative winding of the particles, and so any such paths (which compute the coefficients) are evaluated in the same way by the local system.

We pass to the second part and investigate the intersections with the second classes. In [2] we computed the following intersection:
\[
\ll \mathcal{L}'_i, \mathcal{L}'_i \gg = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (j_0, \ldots, j_{2n-2}) = (0, i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}, N - 1 - i_{n-1}, \ldots, N - 1 - i_1) \\ 0, & \text{if } j_0 \neq 0 \text{ or } \exists k \in \{1, \ldots, n - 1\} : j_k \neq N - 1 - j_{2n-1-k}. \end{cases} \tag{5.8}
\]

Moreover, following the argument from Step III and Step IV from Section 6 -[2], we conclude the following criteria.

**Lemma 5.4** If we have a family of homology classes $\mathcal{W}'_i \in H^0_{2n-1,(n-1)(N-1)}$ such that:
\[
\ll \mathcal{W}'_{j_0, \ldots, j_{2n-2}}, \mathcal{W}'_i \gg = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (j_0, \ldots, j_{2n-2}) = (0, i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}, N - 1 - i_{n-1}, \ldots, N - 1 - i_1) \\ 0, & \text{if } j_0 \neq 0 \text{ or } \exists k \in \{1, \ldots, n - 1\} : j_k \neq N - 1 - j_{2n-1-k} \end{cases} \tag{5.9}
\]

then they we can replace the classes $\mathcal{L}'_i$ by $\mathcal{W}'_i$ in equation (3.2) without changing the value of the state sum.

On the other hand, we know that after we act with the braids, $(\beta_n \cup \mathbb{N}_{n-1})\mathcal{F}'_i$ and $(\beta_n \cup \mathbb{N})\mathcal{F}_i$ have the same coefficients in the decomposition with respect to the classes of the form $\mathcal{W}'_{j_0, \ldots, j_{2n-2}}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{j_0, \ldots, j_{2n-2}}$ respectively (as presented in formulas (5.5) and (5.7)). This shows the following property.

**Lemma 5.5** (Family of classes which lead to the same state sum)

Suppose that we have a family of homology classes $\mathcal{L}'_i \in H^0_{2n-1,(n-1)(N-1)}$, such that:
\[
\ll \mathcal{L}'_{j_0, \ldots, j_{2n-2}}, \mathcal{L}'_i \gg = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (j_0, \ldots, j_{2n-2}) = (0, i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}, N - 1 - i_{n-1}, \ldots, N - 1 - i_1) \\ 0, & \text{if } j_0 \neq 0 \text{ or } \exists k \in \{1, \ldots, n - 1\} : j_k \neq N - 1 - j_{2n-1-k} \end{cases} \tag{5.10}
\]

We consider the state sum using these dual classes:
\[
\Lambda_N'((\beta_n)_{u,x,d}) := u^{-w(\beta_n)}u^{-(n-1)} \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1} = 0}^{N-1} d^{-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} i_k} \ll (\beta_n \cup \mathbb{N})\mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{W}_i \gg. \tag{5.11}
\]

Then by the procedure of replacing the $\mathcal{F}'$-classes by $\mathcal{F}$-classes and the duals $\mathcal{L}'$ by $\mathcal{L}'$ in $\Lambda_N'((\beta_n)$ we keep the same value of the state sum:
\[
\Lambda_N'((\beta_n) = \Lambda_N^W(\beta_n).
\]

In the next part we show that the family $\mathcal{L}'_i$ has the above property. Let us fix $j_0, \ldots, j_{2n-2} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $j_0 + \ldots + j_{2n-2} = (n - 1)(N - 1)$. The pairing $\ll \mathcal{U}_{j_0, \ldots, j_{2n-2}}, \mathcal{L}'_i \gg$ is encoded by the geometric intersection in the configuration space between their supports: $U_{j_0, \ldots, j_{2n-2}}$ and $L_i$, as in the picture below.
We will show that if
\[ U_{j_0, \ldots, j_{2n-2}} \cap L_i \neq \emptyset \]
then \( j_0 = 0 \) and \( j_k = N - 1 - j_{2n-1-k}, \forall k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\} \). Let \( w = (w_0, \ldots, w_{(n-1)(N-1)}) \in U_{j_0, \ldots, j_{2n-2}} \cap L_i \). There are \( N-1 \) components of \( w \) lying on the green circle around the punctures labeled by \((n-1,n)\) and also on the red support from the above picture. This means that: \( j_{n-1} + j_n \geq N - 1 \). Similarly, the \( k^{th} \) circle intersects just the red curves which end in the punctures labeled by \((k,2n-1-k)\) and so we have that:
\begin{align}
\begin{cases}
    j_1 + j_{2n-2} \geq N - 1 \\
    \vdots \\
    j_{n-1} + j_n \geq N - 1 
\end{cases}
\end{align}
(5.12)

On the other hand, we know that \( j_0 + \ldots + j_{n-1} = (n-1)(N-1) \). Putting these relations together we conclude that: \( j_0 = 0 \) and \( j_k = N - 1 - j_{2n-1-k}, \forall k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\} \). For the last part of the argument, it is a similar computation as the one from [2] to see that:
\[ \ll U'_{j_0, \ldots, j_{2n-2}}, \mathcal{L}_i \gg = 1, \text{ if } (j_0, \ldots, j_{2n-2}) = (0, i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}, N - 1 - i_{n-1}, \ldots, N - 1 - i_1). \]

This shows that the family of classes \( \{ \mathcal{L}_i \} \) satisfies the requirements (5.11) from Lemma 5.5 and we conclude the equality of the two state sums:
\[ \Lambda'_N(\beta_n) = \Lambda_N(\beta_n). \]

\[ \square \]

**Step 2- Formulas for the two intersection pairings** We will prove that the graded intersection \( \Gamma_N \) leads to the same polynomial as the state sum \( \Lambda_N(\beta_n)(u, x, d) \), namely:
\[ \Gamma_N(\beta_n)|_{y=-d} = \Lambda_N(\beta_n)(u, x, d), \forall \beta_n \in B_n. \]  
(5.13)

First of all, we choose the action of the braid group to be trivial outside a grey disc around the first punctures and also we choose two representatives of the classes \( \mathcal{F}_i \) and \( \mathcal{L}_i \) which have the geometric supports that intersect inside the grey disc, in the left hand side of the punctured disc.

To begin with we remind the formulas that give the above intersection pairings, following relations (2.16) and (4.3):
\begin{align}
    ((\beta_n \cup \underline{1}_{2n-1}) \mathcal{S}_n^N, \mathcal{F}_n^N) &= \sum_{\bar{w} \in (\beta_n \cup \underline{1}_{2n-1}) \mathcal{S}_n^N \cap \mathcal{T}_n^N} \epsilon_{x_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \epsilon_{x_{(n-1)(N-1)}} \sum_{F \in \text{Col}(\bar{w})} \varphi(l_{\bar{w}, F}) \quad (5.14) \\
    \ll (\beta_n \cup \underline{1}_{n}) \mathcal{F}_i^N, \mathcal{L}_i \gg &= \sum_{\bar{w} \in (\beta_n \cup \underline{1}_{n}) \mathcal{F}_i \cap \mathcal{L}_i} \epsilon_{w_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \epsilon_{w_{(n-1)(N-1)}} \cdot \varphi(l_{\bar{w}}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x^\pm 1, d^\pm 1]. 
\end{align}
(5.15)
This means that the graded intersections have the following form:

\[ \Gamma_N(\beta_n)(u, x, y, d) = u^{-w(\beta_n)}u^{-(n-1)}(-y)^{-(n-1)(N-1)}, \]

\[ \Lambda_N(\beta_n)(u, x, d) = u^{-w(\beta_n)}u^{-(n-1)}, \]

\[ \sum_{x \in (\beta_n \cup I_{2n-1})S^N \cap \mathbb{T}^n} \epsilon x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \epsilon x_{(n-1)(N-1)} \cdot \left( \sum_{F \in \text{Col}(\bar{x})} \varphi(l_{x,F}) \right) = \]

\[ \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_{N-1}=0}^{N-1} d^{-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} I_{i_k}} \sum_{\bar{w} \in (\beta_n \cup I_n)F \cap L_i} \epsilon w_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \epsilon w_{(n-1)(N-1)} \cdot \varphi(l_{\bar{w}}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}, d^{\pm 1}]. \]  

We will prove that these two expressions become equal after we replace the \(d\)-coefficients from the second sum by the variable \(-y\) as below:

\[ (-y)^{-(n-1)(N-1)} \sum_{x \in (\beta_n \cup I_{2n-1})S^N \cap \mathbb{T}^n} \epsilon x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \epsilon x_{(n-1)(N-1)} \cdot \left( \sum_{F \in \text{Col}(\bar{x})} \varphi(l_{x,F}) \right) = \]

\[ \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_{N-1}=0}^{N-1} (-y)^{-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} I_{i_k}} \sum_{\bar{w} \in (\beta_n \cup I_n)F \cap L_i} \epsilon w_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \epsilon w_{(n-1)(N-1)} \cdot \varphi(l_{\bar{w}}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}, d^{\pm 1}]. \]  

In the next part we investigate the terms that appear in the two previous sums. One first remark is that these pairings are given by intersections in different symmetric powers and also that the indexing points between Lagrangian submanifolds are different. We introduce the following notation:

\[ I_{\beta_n} := (\beta_n \cup I_{2n-1})S^N \cap \mathbb{T}^n \]

\[ \mathcal{X}_{\beta_n}(\bar{i}) := (\beta_n \cup I_n)F_1 \cap L_{\bar{i}}. \]

Then, the indexing sets for the two state sums are the following:

\[ I_{\Gamma_N(\beta_n)} := \{ (\bar{x}, F) \mid \bar{x} \in I_{\beta_n}, F \text{ colouring associated to the multiplicities of } \bar{x} \} \]  

\[ I_{\Lambda_N(\beta_n)} := \{ (\bar{i}, \bar{w}) \mid \bar{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}), 0 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1} \leq N-1, \bar{w} \in \mathcal{X}_{\beta_n}(\bar{i}) \}. \]

We will split the next part of the proof into two steps, as follows:

- First, we want to create a bijective correspondence between these indexing sets:

\[ \chi : I_{\Lambda_N(\beta_n)} \rightarrow I_{\Gamma_N(\beta_n)}. \]

- Secondly, once this is constructed, we will investigate the gradings of the loops associated to two points which correspond one another through the function \(\chi\).

**Step 3- Correspondence between the indexing sets using the intersection points**

Let \((\bar{i}, \bar{w}) \in I_{\Lambda_N(\beta_n)}\). We have that

\[ \bar{w} = (w_1, \ldots, w_{(n-1)(N-1)}) \in (\beta_n \cup I_n)F_1 \cap L_{\bar{i}}. \]

This means that for any \(k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}\), there are \(N-1\) components the point \(\bar{w}\) which belong to the \(k^{th}\) green circle from the support of \(L_{\bar{i}}\). Now, if we compress the red curves from the support of \(F_i\) which end in the same puncture we obtain the picture in the punctured disc which is on the left side of figure 5.4. On the right hand side we have the geometric support of the submanifolds \((\beta_n \cup I_{2n-1})S^N\) and \(\mathbb{T}^n\).

We remark that those supports are exactly the same except the interior of the cream vertical band from the picture. The \(N-1\) components of \(\bar{w}\) belonging to the \(k^{th}\) circle will transform through the compression process into a number of points, which we denote by

\[ y_1^k, \ldots, y_{l;k}^k. \]
Looking at the two pictures, we see that the intersection points between the red and the green supports are in one to one correspondence, since they are all in the exterior of the horizontal cream band.

![Figure 5.4 Intersections between geometric supports](image)

Then, we denote by

\[ x_1^k, \ldots, x_l^k(k) \]

the points from the right picture associated to \( y_1^k, \ldots, y_l^k(k) \), which belong to the intersection \( (\beta_n \cup \mathbb{L}_{2n-1})S_N \cap \mathcal{F}_N^2 \). In order to have a point in the symmetric power of the disc, we have to pre-prescribe the multiplicities of these points. In order to do this, we go back and remember that the points \( y_1^k, \ldots, y_l^k(k) \) came from red curves with multiplicities, which we compressed during the above procedure. This means that for a fixed point \( y_i^k \) there will be many points from the support of \( (\beta_n \cup \mathbb{L}_n)F_i \cap L_i \) which get compressed to that point, and we denote this number by \( m_i^k \).

We consider the point in the symmetric power given by the following elements in the punctured disc (with the associated multiplicities)

\[ x^{(i,w)} := \left( (x_1^1, m_1^1), \ldots, (x_l^{n-1}, m_l^{n-1}) \right). \tag{5.19} \]

In the next part we will define the colouring associated to this multipoint, in order to complete the definition of the function \( \chi \). This time, we will use the multiplicities of the red curves which give \( (\beta_n \cup \mathbb{L}_n)F_i \). We want to define a colouring as in definition 2.9: \( F := \{ f^1, \ldots, f^{n-1} \} \) where \( f^k : \{ 1, \ldots, N - 1 \} \to \{ 1, \ldots, l(k) \} \) for \( k \in \{ 1, \ldots, n - 1 \} \) such that:

\[ \text{card } \left( \{ f^k \}^{-1} \{ i \} \right) = m_i^k. \tag{5.20} \]

Let us fix \( k \in \{ 1, \ldots, N - 1 \} \). We look at the geometric support of the \( k^{th} \) pair of red multicurves from the support of \( (\beta_n \cup \mathbb{L}_n)F_i \) (this is the image through \( (\beta_n \cup \mathbb{L}_n) \) of the set of red curves which end in the punctures \( k \) and \( 2n - 1 - k \)). We begin from the puncture from left hand side of the disc where this pair ends. We start to follow this red geometric support and we encounter elements of \( w \) precisely in the places where the smoothing has elements of \( \tilde{y} \).

This means that for such a point \( y_j^k \), correspondingly we have \( m_j^k \) points at the intersection between the supports of \( (\beta_n \cup \mathbb{L}_n)F_i \) and \( L_i \). If \( y_j^k \) is in the left half of the disc, those \( m_j^k \) points will lie on the \( i_k \) red arcs (which all start and end in the same punctures) that give \( y_j^k \) after the compression procedure. We remark that we have maximum one point \( y_j^k \) in the right hand side of the disc, and in this situation the \( m_j^k = N - 1 - i_k \) components will be precisely the points of intersection between the \( N - 1 - i_k \) red arcs which end in the puncture \( 2n - 1 - k \) and the green circle, as in the picture from the top (1 and 2) of figure 5.5.

We denote the set of such points \( y_j^k \) (counted following the red support from left to right) by:

\[ \{ c_1, \ldots, c_{N-1} \}. \]

These points can be connected to \( N - 1 \) points from the boundary of the disc following the paths that give \( \eta^{F_i} \). We think of these boundary points as labeled by \( \{ 1, \ldots, N - 1 \} \). Now, we remember that we can partition the set of points \( (c_1, \ldots, c_{N-1}) \) into \( l(k) \) subsets, where each subset
get compressed the same point through the compression procedure. This means that we can colour the points \((c_1, \ldots, c_{N-1})\) by \(l(k)\) colours, and looking at the correspondence to the points on the boundary, we have an induced colouring of the set \(\{1, \ldots, N-1\}\) into \(l(k)\) colours. We define \(f^k : \{1, \ldots, N-1\} \to \{1, \ldots, l(k)\}\) to be this function and consider the associated colouring

\[
F^{(i, \tilde{w})} := (f^1, \ldots, f^{n-1}).
\]  

(5.21)

**Definition 5.6** (Correspondence between the indexing sets)

We consider the function \(\chi : I_{\Lambda_N}(\beta_n) \to I_{\Gamma_N}(\beta_n)\) given by:

\[
\chi((\tilde{i}, \tilde{w})) := (x^{(i, \tilde{w})}, F^{(\tilde{i}, \tilde{w})}).
\]  

(5.22)

Further on, it is possible to reverse this procedure and starting from a point \((x, F) \in I_{\Gamma_N}(\beta_n)\) to construct an associated element in \(I_{\Lambda_N}(\beta_n)\) and so we conclude that the function \(\chi\) is a well defined bijection.

**Step 4- Gradings in the two models** In this last step we want to show that the gradings behave well with respect to the correspondence \(\chi\). Following the notations for the indexing sets, we express relation (5.16) as below:

\[
\sum_{(\tilde{x}, \tilde{f}) \in I_{\Gamma_N}(\beta_n)} \epsilon_{x_1} \cdot \epsilon_{x(\tilde{f})} \cdot \varphi(\tilde{l}_{\tilde{x}, \tilde{f}}) = \sum_{(\tilde{i}, \tilde{w}) \in I_{\Lambda_N}(\beta_n)} \epsilon_{w_1} \cdot \epsilon_{w(\tilde{w})} \cdot (-y)^{(n-1)(N-1) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} i_k} \cdot \varphi(l_{\tilde{w}}).
\]  

(5.23)

We will prove this relation. More specifically we will show that for \((\tilde{i}, \tilde{w}) \in I_{\Lambda_N}(\beta_n)\) we have:

\[
\epsilon_{w_1} \cdot \epsilon_{w(\tilde{w})} \cdot (-y)^{(n-1)(N-1) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} i_k} \cdot \varphi(l_{\tilde{w}}) = \epsilon_{x_1} \cdot \epsilon_{x(\tilde{f})} \cdot \varphi(\tilde{l}_{\tilde{x}, \tilde{f}})
\]  

(5.24)

where \((\tilde{x}, F) = \chi((\tilde{i}, \tilde{w}))\). Now we look at the associated loops in the symmetric power: \(l_{\tilde{w}}\) and \(l_{\tilde{x}, \tilde{f}}\). We remark that each of these has in total

\[
(N - 1 - i_1) + \ldots + (N - 1 - i_{n-1}) = (n - 1)(N - 1) - (i_1 + \ldots + i_{n-1})
\]

components in the punctured disc which are on the right hand side of it. However, \(l_{\tilde{w}}\) does not have any winding, but the components of \(l_{\tilde{x}, \tilde{f}}\) wind around the blue punctures. This means that we get a factor \(y^{(n-1)(N-1) - (i_1 + \ldots + i_{n-1})}\) when we evaluate the grading \(\varphi(l_{\tilde{x}, \tilde{f}})\). On the other hand, looking at the signs of the local orientations, we notice that the \((n-1)(N-1) - (i_1 + \ldots + i_{n-1})\) components from

\[
(\beta_n \cup \mathbb{L}_{n-1}) S_N^N \cap \mathcal{T}^N_n
\]

which belong to the right hand side of the disc have negative orientations, and so we get an extra \((-1)^{(n-1)(N-1)}\) factor this way.

It remains to show that the contributions from left hand side of the disc to the gradings of the two loops are equal. We start with the loop \(l_{\tilde{w}}\) and we look at its components in the punctured disc which start from the base points that are connected to the \(k^{th}\) circle. The recipe for constructing this loop says that we have to start from these base points, go to the green circle and then continue towards the components of the intersection point \(\tilde{w}\) which belong to this circle following the red curves, as in the first picture 1 from figure 5.5. We remark that we can slide these base points vertically, preserving their ordering, and consider them fixed on the set of red curves as in the picture 1'). This change will preserve the evaluation of the grading on this loop.

Further on, we want to understand the effect of the compression procedure with respect to the grading of the loop. This procedure does not effect the first part of the construction where we start.
from the base points from picture 5.5 (1’ and 2’)) and then we follow the green dotted curves to get to the green support. What changes is the construction of the second part of the loop, regarding the path that comes back from the intersection points towards the base points, following the red curves.

Now, for \( t \in \{1, \ldots, n - 1\} \) we imagine that we compress the set of \( i_t \) red curves from the support of \((\beta_n \cup \mathbb{I}_{n-1}) F_1 \cap L_i\) towards the associated red curve from figure 5.5 2’). The base points will go towards \( d_1', \ldots, d_{N-1}' \) and the order will be preserved through this procedure. This shows that the contribution of relative grading coming from parts of the loops which go towards base points belonging to different red curves is the same in the two pictures.

The last subtlety to be discussed concerns the relative grading coming from points which are chosen on the set of these \( i_t \) curves and the associated points with multiplicities from the compressed picture. More precisely, let us consider two points \( x^t_p \) and \( x^t_q \) on the same red curve from the support \((\beta_n \cup \mathbb{I}_{2n-1}) S^N_n \). Then they come from the compression of \( m^t_p \) and \( m^t_q \) points respectively which belong to the set of red curves with multiplicities \( i_t \) from the support \((\beta_n \cup \mathbb{I}_{n}) F_1 \cap L_i\). Then following the construction of the loop \( l_\tilde{w} \), we have to go from these points to the base points, which now lie on the red curve. The \( m^t_p \) points are send to a subset \( S_p \) of \( \{d_1, \ldots, d_s\} \). Using the constructions of the colouring \( F \) and the loop \( l_{(\tilde{z},F)} \) we see that in the compressed picture the multipoint \((x^t_p, m^t_p)\) is send to the \( m^t_p \) components of \( \{d_1', \ldots, d_{N-1}'\} \) obtained from \( S_p \) by compression. With this we see that the loops \( l_{(\tilde{z},F)} \) and \( l_\tilde{w} \) induce the same permutation of the set of ordered base points. Now, one has many choices of fixing \( m^t_p \) points from the \( i_t \) points which get compressed to \( x^t_p \) and \( m^t_q \) points from the \( i_q \) points which get compressed to \( x^t_q \). Then they will induce different colourings on the right picture. Now, the grading on the left hand side will change corresponding to the relative winding number induced by such choices, which in the right hand side will be encoded precisely by the intersection of the loop \( l_{(\tilde{z},F)} \) with the diagonal, which will be created by the colouring.

We conclude that the contribution of the gradings coming from the parts of the loops \( l_{(\tilde{z},F)} \) and \( l_\tilde{w} \) from the left hand side of the disk is the same, and so relation (5.24) holds. This concludes the proof of the intersection model in the symmetric power.
6. Example

Let $T$ be the trefoil knot, which is the closure of $\sigma^3$ for $\sigma \in B_2$. Then, we look at the two submanifolds and compute the gradings as in the picture below:

\[(\beta_n \cup \Gamma_{2n-1})S_2^2 \cap T_2^2\]

![Trefoil knot](image)

**Figure 6.1** Trefoil knot

$$\Gamma_2(\sigma^3)(u, x, y, d) := u^{-4}(-y)^{-1}(-x^{-3} + x^{-2} - x^{-1} + 1 - y). \quad (6.1)$$

For the Jones polynomial, we have to specialise: $u = q, x = q^2, y = -q^{-2} d = q^{-2}$ and we get the normalised version of this invariant:

$$J(T, q) = \Gamma_2(\sigma^3)(u, x, y, d)_{| \psi_1, q, 1} = -q^{-8} + q^{-2} + q^{-6}. \quad (6.2)$$

For the Alexander polynomial, we have the specialisation $u = \xi_2^{-\lambda}, x = \xi_2^{2\lambda}, y = -\xi_2^{-2} = 1 d = \xi_2^{-2} = -1$ and we obtain:

$$\Phi_2(T, \lambda) = \Gamma_2(\sigma^3)(u, x, d)_{| \psi_{-1}, \xi_2^{2\lambda}, 1} = \xi_2^{2\lambda} - 1 + \xi_2^{-2\lambda}. \quad (6.3)$$

Or, if we write it in terms of $x = \xi^{2\lambda}$ we get the usual form of the Alexander polynomial:

$$\Delta(T, x) = x - 1 + x^{-1}. \quad (6.4)$$
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