

Spectral radius and $[a, b]$ -factors in graphs ^{*}

Dandan Fan^a, Huiqiu Lin^{a*} and Hongliang Lu^b

^a *School of Mathematics, East China University of Science and Technology,
Shanghai 200237, P.R. China*

^b *School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xi'an Jiaotong University
Xi'an, Shanxi 710049, China*

Abstract An $[a, b]$ -factor of a graph G is a spanning subgraph H such that $a \leq d_H(v) \leq b$ for each $v \in V(G)$. In this paper, we provide spectral conditions for the existence of an odd $[1, b]$ -factor in a connected graph with minimum degree δ and the existence of an $[a, b]$ -factor in a graph, respectively. Our results generalize and improve some previous results on perfect matchings of graphs. For $a = 1$, we extend the result of O [30] to obtain an odd $[1, b]$ -factor and further improve the result of Liu, Liu and Feng [27] for $a = b = 1$. For $n \geq 3a + b - 1$, we confirm the conjecture of Cho, Hyun, O and Park [6]. We conclude some open problems in the end.

Keywords: $[a, b]$ -factor; unique perfect matching; spectral radius.

AMS Classification: 05C50

1 Introduction

The study of factors from graph eigenvalues has a rich history. In 2005, Brouwer and Haemers [3] described a regular graph to contain a perfect matching in terms of the third largest eigenvalue. Their result was improved in [8–10] and extended in [22, 23] to obtain a regular factor. Very recently, O [30] provided a spectral condition to guarantee the existence of a perfect matching in a graph. One problem concerning perfect matching which has attracted considerable interest is that of determining the structure of graphs with a unique perfect matching [5, 32, 35]. Yang and Ye [37] characterized all bipartite graphs with a unique perfect matching whose adjacency

^{*}This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11771141, 12011530064 and 11871391)

Email address: ddfan0526@163.com (D. Fan), huiqiulin@126.com (H. Lin), luhongliang@mail.xjtu.edu.cn (H. Lu).

^{*}Corresponding author.

matrices have inverses diagonally similar to non-negative matrices, which settles an open problem of Godsil on inverses of bipartite graphs in [14]. Lovász [26] proved that a graph of order n with a unique perfect matching cannot have more than $\frac{n^2}{4}$ edges. Based on the structural properties of graphs with a unique perfect matching, in this paper, we first determine the graph attaining the maximum spectral radius among all graphs of order $2n$ with a unique perfect matching.

Let G be a graph with adjacency matrix $A(G)$. The largest eigenvalue of $A(G)$, denoted by $\rho(G)$, is called the *spectral radius* of G . Denote by ' ∇ ' and ' \cup ' the join and union products, respectively. Suppose that G_1 is an empty graph with vertex set $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$, and G_2 is a complete graph with vertex set $W = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}$. Let $G(2n, 1)$ be the graph of order $2n$ obtained from $G_1 \cup G_2$ by letting $N_{G_2}(u_i) = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_i\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Clearly, $G(2n, 1)$ contains a unique perfect matching.

Theorem 1.1. *If G is a connected graph of order $2n$ with a unique perfect matching, then $\rho(G) \leq \rho(G(2n, 1))$, with equality if and only if $G \cong G(2n, 1)$.*

Another problem concerning perfect matching is extending it to a general factor. An *odd $[1, b]$ -factor* of a graph G is a spanning subgraph H such that $d_H(v)$ is odd and $1 \leq d_H(v) \leq b$ for each $v \in V(G)$. Lu, Wu and Yang [20] gave a sufficient condition for the existence of an odd $[1, b]$ -factor in a graph in terms of the third largest eigenvalue. Recently, Kim, O, Park and Ree [19] improved the spectral condition of Lu, Wu and Yang. In this paper, we generalize their result by giving a spectral condition to guarantee the existence of an odd $[1, b]$ -factor with minimum degree δ . Let $T(n, b, \delta) = K_\delta \nabla (K_{n-(b+1)\delta-1} \cup (b\delta + 1)K_1)$ and $F(b, \delta) = \max\{4(b + 1)\delta + 4, b\delta^3 + \delta\}$, where b is a positive odd integer.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that G is a connected graph of even order $n \geq F(b, \delta)$ with minimum degree δ . If $\rho(G) \geq \rho(T(n, b, \delta))$, then G contains an odd $[1, b]$ -factor, unless $G \cong T(n, b, \delta)$.*

An *$[a, b]$ -factor* of a graph G is a spanning subgraph H such that $a \leq d_H(v) \leq b$ for each $v \in V(G)$. In the past decades, some researchers provided various parameter conditions for a graph to have an $[a, b]$ -factor, such as the degree condition [28], the neighborhood condition [25], the stability number [17], and the binding number [7]. In addition, the conditions for a graph to have a fractional $[a, b]$ -factor (see [34] for the definition) was also investigated by several researchers [11, 18, 21]. Only very recently, Cho, Hyun, O and Park [6] posed a conjecture regarding the spectral condition for the existence of an $[a, b]$ -factor as follows.

Conjecture 1.1. *(See [6]) Let $a \cdot n$ be an even integer at least 2, where $n \geq a + 1$. If G is a graph of order n with $\rho(G) > \rho(H_{n,a})$ where $H_{n,a} = K_{a-1} \nabla (K_1 \cup K_{n-a})$, then G contains an $[a, b]$ -factor.*

In this paper, we confirm Conjecture 1.1 for $n \geq 3a + b - 1$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $a \cdot n$ be an even integer, where $n \geq 3a + b - 1$ and $b \geq a \geq 1$. If $\rho(G) > \rho(H_{n,a})$, then G contains an $[a, b]$ -factor.*

A $[k, k]$ -factor is called a k -factor. As a corollary of Theorem 1.3, we have the following result.

Corollary 1.1. *Let $k \cdot n$ be an even integer, where $n \geq 4k - 1$. If $\rho(G) > \rho(H_{n,k})$, then G contains a k -factor.*

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

If G is connected, then $A(G)$ is irreducible. By the Perron-Frobenius theorem(cf. [15, Section 8.8]), the Perron vector x is a positive eigenvector of $A(G)$ respect to $\rho(G)$. For any $v \in V(G)$, let $N_G(v)$ and $d_G(v)$ be the neighborhood and degree of v , respectively. In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. Before proceeding, the following lemmas are needed.

Lemma 2.1. *(See [24]) Let G be a connected graph, and let u, v be two vertices of G . Suppose that $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s \in N_G(v) \setminus N_G(u)$ with $s \geq 1$, and G^* is the graph obtained from G by deleting the edges vv_i and adding the edges uv_i for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Let x be the Perron vector of $A(G)$. If $x_u \geq x_v$, then $\rho(G) < \rho(G^*)$.*

An edge is said to be a *cut edge* if its removal increases the number of components of a graph.

Lemma 2.2. *(See [16]) Let G be a connected graph with a unique perfect matching. Then G contains a cut edge uv that is an edge of the perfect matching of G .*

Lemma 2.3. *(See [2]) If u and v are two nonadjacent vertices of graph G , then $\rho(G + uv) > \rho(G)$.*

For any $S \subseteq V(G)$, let $G[S]$ be the subgraph of G induced by S . An edge of a graph is called *pendant edge* if exactly one of its ends has the degree 1. We now give a short proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that G is a connected graph of order $2n$ with a unique perfect matching M . By Lemma 2.2, there exists a cut edge, say u_0v_0 , that is contained in M . Observe that $G - u_0v_0$ consists of two odd components. Let $x^{(0)}$ be the Perron vector of $A(G)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $x_{u_0}^{(0)} \geq x_{v_0}^{(0)}$. Let

$$G_1 = G - \sum_{w \in N_G(v_0) \setminus \{u_0\}} v_0w + \sum_{w \in V(G) \setminus (N(u_0) \cup \{u_0\})} u_0w.$$

We see that G_1 also has a unique perfect matching, say M_1 . By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, we have $\rho(G) \leq \rho(G_1)$, with equality if and only if $G \cong G_1$. Let $S_1 = V(G_1) - \{u_0, v_0\}$. Since u_0v_0 is a pendant edge of G_1 that is contained in M_1 , the induced

subgraph $G_1[S_1]$ also contains a unique perfect matching, i.e., $M_1 \setminus \{u_0v_0\}$. Again by Lemma 2.2, there exists a cut edge u_1v_1 in $G_1[S_1]$ that is contained in $M_1 \setminus \{u_0v_0\}$. Let $x^{(1)}$ be the Perron vector of $A(G_1)$. Assume that $x_{u_1}^{(1)} \geq x_{v_1}^{(1)}$. Let

$$G_2 = G_1 - \sum_{w \in N_{G_1[S_1]}(v_1) \setminus \{u_1\}} v_1w + \sum_{w \in S_1 \setminus (N(u_1) \cup \{u_1\})} u_1w.$$

Clearly, G_2 also has a unique perfect matching. Again by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, $\rho(G_1) \leq \rho(G_2)$, where the equality holds if and only if $G_1 \cong G_2$. By repeating this procedure, we can construct a sequence of graphs $G_0, G_1, G_2, \dots, G_{n-1}$:

(i) $G_0 = G$;

(ii) for $i \in [0, n-2]$, let $S_i = V(G_i) - \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}\}$ and

$$G_{i+1} = G_i - \sum_{w \in N_{G_i[S_i]}(v_i) \setminus \{u_i\}} v_iw + \sum_{w \in S_i \setminus (N(u_i) \cup \{u_i\})} u_iw,$$

where u_iv_i is a cut edge of $G_i[S_i]$ that is contained in the unique perfect matching of $G_i[S_i]$ and $x_{v_i}^{(i)} \leq x_{u_i}^{(i)}$, where $x^{(i)}$ is the Perron vector of $A(G_i)$.

As above, we see that G_i has a unique perfect matching for each i , and $\rho(G_i) \leq \rho(G_{i+1})$ with equality if and only if $G_i \cong G_{i+1}$ ($0 \leq i \leq n-2$). Note that $G_{n-1} \cong G(2n, 1)$. Thus we conclude that $\rho(G) \leq \rho(G(2n, 1))$, where the equality holds if and only if $G \cong G(2n, 1)$.

We complete the proof. \square

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

The well-known sufficient and necessary condition for the existence of an odd $[1, b]$ -factor established by Amahashi [1].

Lemma 3.1. (See [1]) *Let G be a graph and let b be a positive odd integer. Then G contains an odd $[1, b]$ -factor if and only if for every subset $S \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$o(G - S) \leq b|S|,$$

where $o(H)$ is the number of odd components in a graph H .

Lemma 3.2. (See [12]) *Let $n = \sum_{i=1}^t n_i + s$. If $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_t \geq p$ and $n_1 < n - s - p(t-1)$, then*

$$\rho(K_s \nabla (K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_t})) < \rho(K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-p(t-1)} \cup (t-1)K_p)).$$

Now we shall give the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that G contains no odd $[1, b]$ -factor, by Lemma 3.1, there exists some nonempty subset S of $V(G)$ such that $q = o(G - S) > b|S|$. Since n is even, q and $b|S|$ have the same parity, we have $q \geq b|S| + 2$. Let $|S| = s$ and $t = bs + 2$. Then G is a spanning subgraph of $G_s^1 = K_s \nabla (K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_t})$ for some positive odd integers $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_t$ with $\sum_{i=1}^t n_i = n - s$. Thus,

$$\rho(G) \leq \rho(G_s^1), \quad (1)$$

where the equality holds if and only if $G \cong G_s^1$. Note that the graph G_s^1 contains no odd $[1, b]$ -factor. Then we shall derive the proof into the following three cases.

Case 1. $s \geq \delta + 1$.

Let $G_s^2 = K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-t+1} \cup (t-1)K_1)$. Note that $s \geq \delta + 1$ and $t = bs + 2$. Then by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\rho(G_s^1) \leq \rho(G_s^2), \quad (2)$$

with equality if and only if $(n_1, \dots, n_t) = (n - s - t + 1, 1, \dots, 1)$. The vertex set of G_s^2 can be partitioned as $V(G_s^2) = V(K_s) \cup V((bs + 1)K_1) \cup V(K_{n-(b+1)s-1})$, where $V(K_s) = \{v_1, \dots, v_s\}$, $V((bs + 1)K_1) = \{u_1, \dots, u_{bs+1}\}$ and $V(K_{n-(b+1)s-1}) = \{w_1, \dots, w_{n-(b+1)s-1}\}$. Let

$$G'_s = G_s^2 + \sum_{i=b\delta+2}^{bs+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-(b+1)s-1} u_i w_j + \sum_{i=b\delta+2}^{bs} \sum_{j=i+1}^{bs+1} u_i u_j - \sum_{i=\delta+1}^s \sum_{j=1}^{b\delta+1} v_i u_j.$$

Clearly, $G'_s \cong K_\delta \nabla (K_{n-(b+1)\delta-1} \cup (b\delta + 1)K_1)$. Let x be the Perron vector of $A(G_s^2)$ with respect to $\rho = \rho(G_s^2)$. By symmetry, x takes the same value (say x_1, x_2 and x_3) on the vertices of $V(K_s)$, $V((bs + 1)K_1)$ and $V(K_{n-(b+1)s-1})$, respectively. Then, by $A(G_s^2)x = \rho x$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho x_2 &= s x_1, \\ \rho x_3 &= s x_1 + (n - (b + 1)s - 2)x_3. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $n \geq s + t = (b + 1)s + 2$. Then $x_3 \geq x_2$ and

$$x_2 = \frac{s x_1}{\rho}. \quad (3)$$

Similarly, let y be the Perron vector of $A(G'_s)$ corresponding to $\rho(G'_s) = \rho'$. By symmetry, y takes the same values y_1, y_2 and y_3 on the vertices of $V(K_\delta)$, $V((b\delta + 1)K_1)$ and $V(K_{n-(b+1)\delta-1})$, respectively. Then, by $A(G'_s)y = \rho'y$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho' y_2 &= \delta y_1, \\ \rho' y_3 &= \delta y_1 + (n - (b + 1)\delta - 2)y_3. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Note that G'_s contains $K_{n-(b+1)\delta-1} \cup K_\delta \cup (b\delta + 1)K_1$ as a proper spanning subgraph. Then $\rho' > \rho(K_{n-(b+1)\delta-1} \cup K_\delta \cup (b\delta + 1)K_1) = n - (b + 1)\delta - 2$. Putting (4) into (5), and considering that $\rho' > n - (b + 1)\delta - 2$, we have

$$y_3 = \frac{\rho' y_2}{\rho' - (n - (b + 1)\delta - 2)}. \quad (6)$$

Recall that $n \geq (b+1)s+2$. Then $\delta+1 \leq s \leq (n-2)/(b+1)$. Since G_s^2 is not a regular graph, it follows that $\rho < n-1$. Suppose to the contrary that $\rho \geq \rho'$. Combining this with (3) and (6) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
& y^T(\rho' - \rho)x \\
&= y^T(A(G'_s) - A(G_s^2))x \\
&= \sum_{i=b\delta+2}^{bs+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-(b+1)s-1} (x_{u_i}y_{w_j} + x_{w_j}y_{u_i}) + \sum_{i=b\delta+2}^{bs} \sum_{j=i+1}^{bs+1} (x_{u_i}y_{u_j} + x_{u_j}y_{u_i}) - \sum_{i=\delta+1}^s \sum_{j=1}^{b\delta+1} (x_{v_i}y_{u_j} + x_{u_j}y_{v_i}) \\
&= (s-\delta)[b(n-(b+1)s-1)(x_2y_3 + x_3y_3) + b(bs-b\delta-1)x_2y_3 - (b\delta+1)(x_1y_2 + x_2y_3)] \\
&= (s-\delta)[(b(n-(b+1)\delta-s-2)-1)x_2y_3 + b(n-(b+1)s-1)x_3y_3 - (b\delta+1)x_1y_2] \\
&\geq (s-\delta)[b(n-(b+1)\delta-s-2)x_2y_3 - (b\delta+1)x_1y_2] \quad (\text{since } x_3 \geq x_2 \text{ and } n \geq (b+1)s+2) \\
&\geq (s-\delta)[b(n-(b+1)\delta - \frac{n-2}{b+1} - 2)x_2y_3 - (b\delta+1)x_1y_2] \quad (\text{since } s \leq \frac{n-2}{b+1}) \\
&= (s-\delta)x_1y_2 \left(\frac{bs\rho'(n-(b+1)\delta - \frac{n-2}{b+1} - 2)}{\rho(\rho' - (n-(b+1)\delta - 2))} - (b\delta+1) \right) \\
&\geq \frac{(b\delta+1)(s-\delta)x_1y_2}{\rho(\rho' - (n-(b+1)\delta - 2))} [\rho'(n-(b+1)\delta - \frac{n-2}{b+1} - 2) - \rho(\rho' - (n-(b+1)\delta - 2))] \\
& \quad (\text{since } s \geq \delta+1 \text{ and } b \geq 1) \\
&\geq \frac{(b\delta+1)(s-\delta)x_1y_2}{\rho(\rho' - (n-(b+1)\delta - 2))} (\rho'(2n-2(b+1)\delta - \frac{n-2}{b+1} - 4) - \rho\rho') \quad (\text{since } \rho \geq \rho') \\
&\geq \frac{(b\delta+1)(s-\delta)\rho'(\frac{3n}{2} - 2(b+1)\delta - 3 - \rho)x_1y_2}{\rho(\rho' - (n-(b+1)\delta - 2))} \quad (\text{since } b \geq 1) \\
&\geq \frac{(b\delta+1)(s-\delta)\rho'(n-1-\rho)x_1y_2}{\rho(\rho' - (n-(b+1)\delta - 2))} \quad (\text{since } n \geq 4(b+1)\delta+4) \\
&> 0 \quad (\text{since } \rho < n-1, \rho' > n-(b+1)\delta-2 \text{ and } s \geq \delta+1).
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\rho' > \rho$, which contradicts the assumption $\rho' \leq \rho$. It follows that $\rho' > \rho$. Combining this with (1) and (2), we may conclude that $\rho(G) \leq \rho(G_s^1) \leq \rho(G_s^2) < \rho(T(n, b, \delta))$.

Case 2. $s < \delta$.

Let $G_s^3 = K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-(\delta+1-s)(t-1)} \cup (t-1)K_{\delta+1-s})$. Recall that G is a spanning subgraph of $G_s^1 = K_s \nabla (K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_t})$, where $t = bs+2$, $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_t$ and $\sum_{i=1}^t n_i = n-s$. Clearly, $n_t \geq \delta+1-s$ because the minimum degree of G_s^1 is at least δ . By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\rho(G_s^1) \leq \rho(G_s^3), \quad (7)$$

where the equality holds if and only if $(n_1, \dots, n_t) = (n-s-(\delta+1-s)(t-1), \delta+1-s, \dots, \delta+1-s)$.

Assume that $\rho(G_s^3) = \rho^* \geq n-(\delta+1-s)(t-1)$. Let x be the Perron vector of $A(G_s^3)$ corresponding to ρ^* . By symmetry, x takes the same values x_1, x_2 , and x_3 on

the vertices of $K_{n-s-(\delta+1-s)(t-1)}$, $K_{\delta+1-s}$ and K_s , respectively. Then, by $A(G_s^3)x = \rho^*x$, we have

$$\rho^*x_1 = (n-1-(\delta+1-s)(t-1)-s)x_1 + sx_3, \quad (8)$$

$$\rho^*x_2 = (\delta-s)x_2 + sx_3, \quad (9)$$

$$\rho^*x_3 = (n-(\delta+1-s)(t-1)-s)x_1 + (\delta+1-s)(t-1)x_2 + (s-1)x_3. \quad (10)$$

From (8) and (9), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = \frac{sx_3}{\rho^* - (n-1-(\delta+1-s)(t-1)) + s}, \\ x_2 = \frac{sx_3}{\rho^* - \delta + s}. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Note that $n \geq F(b, \delta) \geq b\delta^3 + \delta$, $b \geq 1$ and $\delta \geq s+1 \geq 2$. Then $\rho^* \geq n - (\delta+1-s)(t-1) > \delta+1$. Putting (11) into (10), and considering that $\rho^* \geq n - (\delta+1-s)(t-1) > \delta+1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho^* + 1 \\ &= s + \frac{s(n - (\delta+1-s)(t-1) - s)}{\rho^* - (n-1-(\delta+1-s)(t-1)) + s} + \frac{s(\delta+1-s)(t-1)}{\rho^* - (\delta-s)} \\ &< s + \frac{s(n - (\delta+1-s)(t-1) - s)}{s+1} + \frac{s(\delta+1-s)(t-1)}{s+1} \\ &= s + \frac{s(n-s)}{s+1} \\ &= n - (\delta+1-s)(t-1) - \frac{n-s-(\delta+1-s)(t-1)(s+1)}{s+1} \\ &\leq n - (\delta+1-s)(t-1) - \frac{b\delta^3 + \delta - s - (\delta+1-s)(t-1)(s+1)}{s+1} \quad (\text{since } n \geq b\delta^3 + \delta) \\ &< n - (\delta+1-s)(t-1) \quad (\text{since } \delta \geq s+1, b \geq 1 \text{ and } s \geq 1) \\ &\leq \rho^*, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^* &< n - (\delta+1-s)(t-1) \\ &= n - b\delta - 2 - ((s-1)(\delta-s)b + \delta - (s+1)) \quad (\text{since } \delta \geq s+1, b \geq 1 \text{ and } s \geq 1) \quad (12) \\ &\leq n - b\delta - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $T(n, b, \delta)$ contains $K_{n-b\delta-1} \cup (b\delta+1)K_1$ as a proper spanning subgraph, it follows that $\rho(T(n, b, \delta)) > \rho(K_{n-b\delta-1} \cup (b\delta+1)K_1) = n - b\delta - 2$. Combining this with (1), (7) and (12), we may conclude that $\rho(G) \leq \rho(G_s^1) \leq \rho(G_s^3) < \rho(T(n, b, \delta))$.

Case 3. $s = \delta$.

Note that G_s^1 is a spanning subgraph of $T(n, b, \delta)$. Then

$$\rho(G_s^1) \leq \rho(T(n, b, \delta)),$$

with equality holding if and only if $G_s^1 \cong T(n, b, \delta)$. Combining this with (1), we may conclude that

$$\rho(G) \leq \rho(T(n, b, \delta)),$$

where the equality holds if and only if $G \cong T(n, b, \delta)$. This completes the proof. \square

From Theorem 1.2, we can get the result of Liu, Liu and Feng [27] immediately.

Corollary 3.1. (See [27]) *Let G be a connected graph of even order n with minimum degree $\delta(G) \geq 2$. If $n \geq \max\{7 + 7\delta + 2\delta^2, \delta^3 + 3\delta^2 + 2\delta\}$ and $\rho(G) \geq \rho(T(n, 1, \delta))$, then G has a perfect matching, unless $G \cong T(n, 1, \delta)$.*

4 Proof of Theorem 1.3

The following two structural lemmas will play an essential role in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 4.1. (See [28]) *Let G be a graph of order n with minimum degree $\delta(G)$ and let a and b be integers such that $1 \leq a < b$. Then if $\delta(G) \geq a$, $n \geq 2a + b + \frac{a^2 - a}{b}$ and*

$$\max\{d_G(u), d_G(w)\} \geq \frac{an}{a + b},$$

for any two nonadjacent vertices u and w of G , then G contains an $[a, b]$ -factor.

Lemma 4.2. (See [29]) *Suppose that $k \geq 3$. Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 4k - 3$ with minimum degree $\delta(G)$, where $k \cdot n$ is even and $\delta(G) \geq k$. If*

$$\max\{d_G(u), d_G(w)\} \geq \frac{n}{2},$$

for any two nonadjacent vertices u and w of G , then G contains a k -factor.

Lemma 4.3. (See [13]) *Let G be a connected graph of order n . If*

$$\rho(G) \geq n - 2, \tag{13}$$

then G contains a Hamiltonian path unless $G \cong H_{n,1}$. If the inequality (13) is strict, then G contains a Hamiltonian cycle unless $G \cong H_{n,2}$.

Lemma 4.4. (See [2]) *Let M be a real symmetric matrix, and let $\lambda_1(M)$ be the largest eigenvalue of M . If B_Π is an equitable quotient matrix of M , then the eigenvalues of B_Π are also eigenvalues of M . Furthermore, if M is nonnegative and irreducible, then $\lambda_1(M) = \lambda_1(B_\Pi)$.*

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose that G is a connected graph of order n . Let u, w be two nonadjacent vertices of G such that $\max\{d_G(u), d_G(w)\} \leq t$, where $t \geq 1$. Then $\rho(G) \leq \rho(K_t \nabla (2K_1 \cup K_{n-t-2}))$, with equality if and only if $G \cong K_t \nabla (2K_1 \cup K_{n-t-2})$.*

Proof. Let x be the Perron vector of $A(G)$ with respect to $\rho(G)$. Assume that $V(G) \setminus \{u, w\} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-2}\}$ with $x_{v_1} \geq x_{v_2} \geq \dots \geq x_{v_{n-2}}$. Let

$$G' = G - \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} uv - \sum_{v \in N_G(w)} wv + \sum_{i=1}^t (uv_i + wv_i).$$

Since $d_G(u) \leq t$ and $d_G(w) \leq t$, by Lemma 2.1, it follows that $\rho(G) \leq \rho(G')$, where equality holds if and only if $G \cong G'$. Obviously, G' is a spanning subgraph of $K_t \nabla(2K_1 \cup K_{n-t-2})$. Thus

$$\rho(G) \leq \rho(K_t \nabla(2K_1 \cup K_{n-t-2})),$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong K_t \nabla(2K_1 \cup K_{n-t-2})$. \square

Now we shall give the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let G be a graph of order $n \geq 3a + b - 1$ with $\rho(G) > \rho(H_{n,a})$, where $a \cdot n$ is an even integer and $b \geq a \geq 1$. We first assert that G is connected. If not, suppose that G_1, \dots, G_p ($p \geq 2$) are the components of G . Then $\rho(G) = \max\{\rho(G_1), \dots, \rho(G_p)\} \leq \rho(K_{n-1}) = n - 2$, which contradicts that $\rho(G) > \rho(H_{n,a}) \geq n - 2$, and so we assume that G is connected in the following. We next assert that $\delta(G) \geq a$. Otherwise, let $1 \leq \delta(G) \leq a - 1$. Then G is a spanning subgraph of $H_{n,a}$ for $a \geq 2$, and so $\rho(G) \leq \rho(H_{n,a})$, a contradiction.

If $a = b = 1$. Since n is even and $\rho(G) > \rho(H_{n,1})$, by Lemma 4.3, it follows that G contains a Hamiltonian path of even number, and so G contains a 1-factor. If $a = b = 2$, then by using the same analysis as above, we deduce that G contains a 2-factor. Next, we assume that both a and b are not equal to 1 or 2 simultaneously. Suppose that G contains no $[a, b]$ -factor of order $n \geq 3a + b - 1$. By Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, there exist two nonadjacent vertices u and w such that $\max\{d_G(u), d_G(w)\} \leq \frac{an}{a+b} - 1$. Let $t = \frac{an}{a+b} - 1$. Then $t \geq \delta(G) \geq a \geq 1$. Suppose that $G_1 \cong K_t \nabla(2K_1 \cup K_{n-t-2})$. By Lemma 4.5, we obtain

$$\rho(G) \leq \rho(G_1). \quad (14)$$

The vertex set of G_1 can be partitioned as $V(G_1) = V(2K_1) \cup V(K_t) \cup V(K_{n-t-2})$, where $V(2K_1) = \{u, w\}$, $V(K_t) = \{v_1, \dots, v_t\}$ and $V(K_{n-t-2}) = \{v_{t+1}, \dots, v_{n-2}\}$. Let $G'_1 = G_1 - \{uv_a, uv_{a+1}, \dots, uv_t\} + \{wv_{t+1}, wv_{t+2}, \dots, wv_{n-2}\}$. Clearly, $G'_1 \cong H_{n,a}$. If $a = 1$ and $b \geq 2$, then $G'_1 \cong K_1 \cup K_{n-1}$. Note that $t = \frac{an}{a+b} - 1$. Then $n \geq 3t + 3$. Observe that $A(G_1)$ has the equitable quotient matrix

$$B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & t & 0 \\ 2 & t-1 & n-t-2 \\ 0 & t & n-t-3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of B_1 is

$$f(\lambda) = \lambda^3 - (n-4)\lambda^2 - (n+2t-3)\lambda + 2tn - 2t^2 - 6t.$$

By a simple computation, we have

$$f(-\infty) = -\infty, \quad f(0) = 2t(n-t-3) > 0, \quad f(n-3) = -2t^2 < 0,$$

and

$$f(n-2) = \left(n - \frac{3}{2}\right)^2 - 2t^2 - 2t - \frac{1}{4} \geq 7t^2 + 7t + 2 > 0.$$

By Lemma 4.4, we have $\lambda_1(B_1) = \rho(G_1)$. Notice that $\rho(G'_1) = \rho(K_1 \cup K_{n-1}) = n-2$ and $\lambda_1(B_1) < n-2$. Then $\rho(G_1) < \rho(G'_1)$. Combining this with (14), we obtain that $\rho(G) \leq \rho(G_1) < \rho(H_{n,1})$, a contradiction. Next, we assume that $a \geq 2$ and $b \geq 3$. Recall that $t = \frac{an}{a+b} - 1$. Then $n \geq 2t + 2$. Let x be the Perron vector of $A(G_1)$ with respect to $\rho(G_1)$, and let $\rho = \rho(G_1)$. By symmetry, x takes the same value (say x_1 , x_2 and x_3) on the vertices of $V(2K_1)$, $V(K_t)$ and $V(K_{n-t-2})$, respectively. Then, by $A(G_1)x = \rho x$, we have

$$x_2 = \frac{(\rho - (n-t-3))x_3}{t}. \quad (15)$$

Similarly, let y be the Perron vector of $A(G'_1)$ corresponding to $\rho(G'_1) = \rho'$. By symmetry, y takes the same values y_1 , y_2 and y_3 on the vertices of $V(K_1)$, $V(K_{a-1})$ and $V(K_{n-a})$, respectively. Then, by $A(G'_1)y = \rho'y$, we obtain

$$\rho'y_1 = (a-1)y_2, \quad (16)$$

$$\rho'y_3 = (a-1)y_2 + (n-a-1)y_3. \quad (17)$$

Since G'_1 contains $K_1 \cup K_{n-1}$ as a proper spanning subgraph, we have $\rho' > n-2 > n-a-1$. Putting (16) into (17), and considering that $\rho' > n-a-1$, we have

$$y_3 = \frac{\rho'y_1}{\rho' - (n-a-1)}. \quad (18)$$

Obviously, neither G_1 nor G'_1 is a regular graph. Thus $\rho < n-1$ and $\rho' < n-1$. Combining this with (15) and (18) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} y^T(\rho' - \rho)x &= y^T(A(G'_1) - A(G_1))x \\ &= \sum_{i=t+1}^{n-2} (x_w y_{v_i} + x_{v_i} y_w) - \sum_{i=a}^t (x_u y_{v_i} + x_{v_i} y_u) \\ &= (n-t-2)(x_1 y_3 + x_3 y_3) - (t-a+1)(x_1 y_3 + x_2 y_1) \\ &= (n-2t+a-3)x_1 y_3 + (n-t-2)x_3 y_3 - (t-a+1)x_2 y_1 \\ &> (n-t-2)x_3 y_3 - (t-a+1)x_2 y_1 \quad (\text{since } n \geq 2t+2 \text{ and } a \geq 2) \\ &= x_3 y_1 \left(\frac{\rho'(n-t-2)}{\rho' - (n-a-1)} - \frac{(\rho - (n-t-3))(t-a+1)}{t} \right) \\ &> x_3 y_1 \left(\frac{\rho'(n-t-2)}{a} - \frac{(t-a+1)(t+2)}{t} \right) \quad (\text{since } \rho < n-1 \text{ and } \rho' < n-1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&> x_3 y_1 \left(\frac{(n-2)(n-t-2)}{a} - \frac{(t-a+1)(t+2)}{t} \right) \quad (\text{since } \rho' > n-2) \\
&\geq \frac{((n-2)(n-t-2) - (t-a+1)(t+2))x_3 y_1}{t} \quad (\text{since } t \geq a) \\
&> 0 \quad (\text{since } t \geq a \geq 2 \text{ and } n \geq 2t+2).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $\rho < \rho'$. Combining this with (14), we may conclude that $\rho(G) \leq \rho(G_1) < \rho(H_{n,a})$, a contradiction. Therefore, the proof is complete. \square

5 Concluding remarks

A *fractional matching* of a graph G is a function f giving each edge a number in $[0, 1]$ such that $\sum_{e \in \Gamma(v)} f(e) \leq 1$ for each $v \in V(G)$, where $\Gamma(v)$ is the set of edges incident to v . A *fractional perfect matching* of a graph G is a fractional matching f with $\sum_{e \in E(G)} f(e) = \frac{n}{2}$. The relations between the eigenvalues and the fractional matching number of a graph were studied by several researchers [31, 33, 36].

The following fundamental lemmas provide some sufficient and necessary conditions for the existence of a $[1, b]$ -factor for $b \geq 2$ and a fractional perfect matching in a graph, respectively. Let $i(H)$ be the number of isolated vertices of a graph H .

Lemma 5.1. (See [4]) *Let G be a graph and let $b \geq 2$ be a positive integer. Then G contains a $[1, b]$ -factor if and only if for every subset $S \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$i(G - S) \leq b|S|.$$

Lemma 5.2. (See [34]) *A graph G has a fractional perfect matching if and only if for every subset $S \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$i(G - S) \leq |S|.$$

By Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 and using the same analysis as the proof of Theorem 1.2. We easily obtain the following result.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose that G is a connected graph of order n with minimum degree δ .*

- (i) *If $n \geq 4(b+1)\delta + 4$ and $\rho(G) \geq \rho(K_\delta \nabla (K_{n-(b+1)\delta-1} \cup (b\delta+1)K_1))$ where $b \geq 2$, then G contains a $[1, b]$ -factor, unless $G \cong K_\delta \nabla (K_{n-(b+1)\delta-1} \cup (b\delta+1)K_1)$.*
- (ii) *If $n \geq 8\delta + 4$ and $\rho(G) \geq \rho(K_\delta \nabla (K_{n-2\delta-1} \cup (\delta+1)K_1))$, then G contains a fractional perfect matching, unless $G \cong K_\delta \nabla (K_{n-2\delta-1} \cup (\delta+1)K_1)$.*

Corollary 1.1 provides a spectral condition to guarantee the existence a k -factor in a graph. It is natural to ask the following question for $k \geq 1$.

Problem 5.1. *What is the maximum spectral radius and what is the corresponding extremal graph among all graphs with a unique k -factor for $k \geq 1$?*

In this paper, we give the answer to Problem 5.1 for $k = 1$. However, the structure of graphs with a unique k -factor is more complicated for $k \geq 2$, and it seems difficult to determine the extremal graphs about the problem.

If $k \leq n$. Let $n = sk + t$ with $s \geq 1$ and $0 \leq t \leq k - 1$. We give the process to construct the graph $G(2n, k)$. First define a graph F_1 on $2(k + t)$ vertices as follows. Let $H_1 \cong K_t \nabla tK_1$, and let $A_{11} = V(tK_1)$ and $A_{12} = V(K_t)$. Denote by H_2 the graph obtained from $kK_1 \cup K_k$ by adding edges between $V(kK_1)$ and $V(K_k)$ such that $d_{H_2}(v) = k - t$ for $v \in V(kK_1)$ and $d_{H_2}(u) = 2k - t - 1$ for $u \in V(K_k)$. Suppose that $A_{21} = V(kK_1)$ and $A_{22} = V(K_k)$. Let F_1 be the graph of order $2(k + t)$ obtained from $H_1 \cup H_2$ by connecting all vertices of A_{1j} with $A_{2(3-j)}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2$ and adding all edges between A_{12} and A_{22} . The resulting graph F_1 has exactly one k -factor. Suppose that $U_1 = A_{11} \cup A_{21}$ and $W_1 = A_{12} \cup A_{22}$. Next take $s - 1$ copies of $K_k \nabla kK_1$ labeled F_2, \dots, F_s . For $2 \leq i \leq s$, let U_i and W_i be the vertices set of $V(kK_1)$ and $V(K_k)$ in each F_i , respectively. Then the graph $G(2n, k)$ is obtained by adding edges connecting all vertices of W_i in F_i to all vertices in F_j for each i, j with $1 \leq i < j \leq s$. The resulting graph $G(2n, k)$ has a unique k -factor. We end the paper by proposing the following problem for further research.

Problem 5.2. For $k \geq 1$. Suppose that G is a graph of order $2n$ with a unique k -factor.

- (I) Does $\rho(G) \leq \rho(K_{2n-k} \nabla H)$, where H is a $2(k - n)$ -regular graph if $k > n$?
 (II) Does $\rho(G) \leq \rho(G(2n, k))$, if $k \leq n$?

References

- [1] A. Amahashi, On factors with all degrees odd, *Graphs Combin.* **1** (2) (1985) 111–114.
- [2] A. Brouwer, W. Haemers, *Spectra of Graphs*, Springer, Berlin, 2011.
- [3] A. Brouwer, W. Haemers, Eigenvalues and perfect matchings, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **395** (2005) 155–162.
- [4] C. Berge, M. Las Vergnas, On the existence of subgraphs with degree constraints, *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math.* **40** (2) (1978) 165–176.
- [5] D. Bal, A. Dudek, Z. Yilma, On the maximum number of edges in a hypergraph with a unique perfect matching, *Discrete Math.* **311** (21) (2011) 2577–2580.
- [6] E. Cho, J. Hyun, S. O, J. Park, Sharp conditions for the existence of an even $[a, b]$ -factor in a graph, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **58** (1) (2021) 31–46.
- [7] C. Chen, Binding number and minimum degree for $[a, b]$ -factors, *Systems Sci. Math. Sci.* **6** (2) (1993) 179–185.
- [8] S. Cioabă, D. Gregory, W. Haemers, Matchings in regular graphs from eigenvalues, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **99** (2) (2009) 287–297.

- [9] S. Cioabă, Perfect matchings, eigenvalues and expansion, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can.* **27** (4) (2005) 101–104.
- [10] S. Cioabă, D. Gregory, Large matchings from eigenvalues, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **422** (1) (2007) 308–317.
- [11] Y. Egawa, M. Kano, M. Yokota, Existence of all generalized fractional (g, f) -factors of graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **283** (2020) 265–271.
- [12] D. Fan, G. Sergey, X. Huang, H. Lin, The spanning k -trees, perfect matchings and spectral radius of graphs, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, DOI: 10.1080/03081087.2021.1985055.
- [13] M. Fiedler, V. Nikiforov, Spectral radius and Hamiltonicity of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **432** (9) (2010) 2170–2173.
- [14] C. Godsil, Inverses of trees, *Combinatorica* **5** (1) (1985) 33–39.
- [15] C. Godsil, G. Royle, Algebraic Graph Theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [16] A. Kotzig, On the theory of finite graphs with a linear factor. I, *Mat.-Fyz. Časopis. Slovensk. Akad. Vied.* **9** (1959) 73–91.
- [17] M. Kouider, Z. Lonc, Stability number and $[a, b]$ -factors in graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **46** (4) (2004) 254–264.
- [18] M. Kano, H. Lu, Q. Yu, Fractional factors, component factors and isolated vertex conditions in graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* **26** (4) (2019), Paper No. 4.33, 11 pp.
- [19] S. Kim, S. O, J. Park, H. Ree, An odd $[1, b]$ -factor in regular graphs from eigenvalues, *Discrete Math.* **343** (8) (2020) 111906, 4 pp.
- [20] H. Lu, Z. Wu, X. Yang, Eigenvalues and $[1, n]$ -odd factors, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **433** (4) (2010) 750–757.
- [21] H. Lu, Simplified existence theorems on all fractional $[a, b]$ -factors, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **161** (2013) 2075–2078.
- [22] H. Lu, Regular factors of regular graphs from eigenvalues, *Electron. J. Combin.* **17** (1) (2010), Research Paper 159, 12 pp.
- [23] H. Lu, Regular graphs, eigenvalues and regular factors, *J. Graph Theory* **69** (4) (2012) 349–355.
- [24] H. Liu, M. Lu, F. Tian, On the spectral radius of graphs with cut edges, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **389** (2004) 139–145.
- [25] J. Li, On neighborhood condition for graphs to have $[a, b]$ -factors, *Discrete Math.* **260** (2003) 217–221.
- [26] L. Lovász, On the structure of factorizable graphs, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar* **23** (1972) 179–195.

- [27] W. Liu, M. Liu, L. Feng, Spectral conditions for graphs to be β -deficient involving minimum degree, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **66** (4) (2018) 792–802.
- [28] Y. Li, M. Cai, A degree condition for a graph to have $[a, b]$ -factors, *J. Graph Theory* **27** (1) (1998) 1–6.
- [29] T. Nishimura, A degree condition for the existence of k -factors, *J. Graph Theory* **16** (1992) 141–151.
- [30] S. O, Spectral radius and matchings in graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **614** (2021) 316–324.
- [31] S. O, Spectral radius and fractional matchings in graphs, *European J. Combin.* **55** (2016) 144–148.
- [32] S. Panda, S. Pati, On the inverse of a class of bipartite graphs with unique perfect matchings, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* **29** (2015) 89–101.
- [33] Y. Pan, J. Li, W. Zhao, Signless Laplacian spectral radius and fractional matchings in graphs, *Discrete Math.* **343** (10) (2020) 112016, 5 pp.
- [34] E. Scheinerman, D. Ullman, Fractional graph theory: a rational approach to the theory of graphs, Wiley & Sons, New York, 1997.
- [35] X. Wang, W. Shang, J. Yuan, On graphs with a unique perfect matching, *Graphs Combin.* **31** (2015) 1765–1777.
- [36] J. Xue, M. Zhai, J. Shu, Fractional matching number and eigenvalues of a graph, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **67** (2019) 2565–2574.
- [37] Y. Yang, D. Ye, Inverses of bipartite graphs, *Combinatorica* **38** (2018) 1251–1263.