Quasiparticle trapping at vortices producing Josephson supercurrent enhancement
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The Josephson junction of a strong spin-orbit material under a magnetic field is a promising Majorana fermion candidate. Supercurrent enhancement by a magnetic field has been observed in the InAs nanowire Josephson junctions and assigned to a topological transition. In this work we observe a similar phenomenon but discuss the non-topological origin by considering trapping of quasiparticles by vortices that penetrate the superconductor under a finite magnetic field. This assignment is supported by the observed hysteresis of the switching current when sweeping up and down the magnetic field. Our experiment shows the importance of quasiparticles in superconducting devices with a magnetic field, which can provide important insights for the design of quantum qubits using superconductors.
Introduction

Combining an s-wave superconductor with a semiconductor nanowire (NW) made of strong spin-orbit interaction (SOI) materials, such as InAs and InSb, is of experimental interest, because it induces a topological transition to the topological superconductor (TSC) phase with suitable magnetic fields and chemical potential \[1 \leq 2\]. The TSC phase of the NW coupled to the superconductor has Majorana fermions (MFs) at the edge. The MFs are expected to be applied to topologically protected quantum computing because of their non-abelian statistics, and recently, research on superconductor-semiconductor NW hybrid systems has been developed to find and control the MFs \[3 \leq 11\]. In the literature, the zero-bias conductance peak \[3 \leq 9\], missing odd Shapiro steps \[10\], Josephson emission at half of the fundamental radiation frequency \[11\], and enhancement of supercurrent (SC) \[12\] have been presented as experimental evidence of MFs in the TSC phase. In these studies, the magnetic field is a crucial parameter that induces nontrivial topological states. However, there have been criticisms of the experimental evidence. Critics argue that the observed phenomena can arise from a trivial source unrelated to the MFs. For example, the zero-bias conductance peak can be attributed to the Andreev bound state (ABS) \[13 \leq 17\] or weak anti-localization \[18\]. In addition, the missing odd integer Shapiro steps can be explained by non-adiabatic dynamics such as the Landau-Zener transition of the highly transparent Josephson junctions \[19\]. These recent criticisms indicate that thorough experimental study and careful data treatment to identify the origin of the novel superconducting transport phenomena are of great importance, significantly, for the establishment of not only TSC and MF physics but also the development of superconducting device physics.

In this report, we focus on the magnetic field-induced enhancement of SC in the Josephson junction of a single InAs NW \[12\]. This enhancement shows that the switching current almost doubles above a certain magnetic field \(B^\ast\) as the positive out-of-plane magnetic field is swept from 0 mT. In the first place, this previous report discusses that the most critical contribution to the enhancement is the existence of MFs induced by the magnetic field. In contrast, we have previously reported a similar experimental study \[20\] on the Josephson junction of an InAs single NW, where we found an enhancement of SC for the in-plane parallel magnetic field in the NW direction. We concluded that this enhancement can be interpreted as low-pass filter formation, which is not related to the presence of MFs. However, in this experimental report, we did not study the out-of plane SC enhancement which is the most remarkable in the previous report \[12\]. Therefore, it is valuable to revisit the SC enhancement with the out-of-plane magnetic field.

For this purpose, we fabricated a Josephson junction on a self-assembled InAs single NW and performed a DC measurement of the SC in a dilution refrigerator. Consequently, we observed the enhancement of the SC, as reported in a previous study \[12 \leq 20\]. To determine the origin of the enhancement, we measured the switching current evolution with the gate voltage and magnetic field. Then, we found that \(B^\ast\) does not depend on the gate voltage, and the magnetic field dependence shows a clear hysteresis with respect to the magnetic field sweep direction. These results suggest that the magnetic-field-induced SC enhancement is related to the vortices penetrating the superconducting electrodes. Thus, we assign the enhancement origin to quasiparticles trapped in the vortex cores. We confirmed that the \(B^\ast\) dependence on the applied magnetic field angle supports the quasiparticle trapping scenario. Our results will contribute to the physics of superconducting devices and especially sort anomalous superconducting transport phenomena into trivial and nontrivial topological natures.

Results

In this study, a Josephson junction was fabricated on an InAs single NW placed on a Si substrate. A scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of the complete device is shown in Fig. 1(a). We used two superconductor Al electrodes that were separated by approximately 100 nm. The carrier density of the NW was controlled using a top gate electrode. The voltage \(V\) across the junction as a function of the current \(I\) measured under various magnetic fields for a temperature of \(T = 37\) mK is shown in Fig. 1(b). Figure 1(c) shows the differential resistance \(dV/dI\) as functions of the bias current \(I\) and out-of-plane magnetic field \(B\) when the device was placed at \(V_g = 0\) V.

The boundary identified by the colour change gives the magnitude of the SC and switching current \(I_{sw}\). The \(I_{sw}\) at \(B = 0\) mT was 30 nA and gradually increased as \(B\) increased to 10 mT, where it reached a maximum. We denoted this maximum point as \(B^\ast\). \(I_{sw}\) then decreased and vanished at \(B = 60\) mT. This result is similar to the previous report \[12\], including in terms of the magnitude of the enhancement. It should be noted that \(dV/dI\) in the SC region remains zero at all measured \(B\). This is a significant difference from the in-plane magnetic field case in Ref. \[20\], because the enhancement with the in-plane field is derived from the formation of low-pass filters in the SC, causing the finite \(dV/dI\) at \(|B| > |B^\ast|\).

To investigate whether the enhancement originated from the NW or superconducting metals, we varied the electron
density of the NW by $V_g$. Figures 2(a) and (b) show $I_{sw}$ as a function of $B$ and $V_g$. Note that the junction can be completely depleted at $V_g = -5.1$ V (See S.M.). The maximum point $B^*$ remained constant, whereas $I_{sw}$ changed with varying the electron density of the NW with $V_g$. Additionally, $B^*$ was constant with varying $V_g$. This result indicates that the enhancement did not originate from the NW between the two superconducting electrodes but the superconducting metals or NW beneath the superconducting metals. If the enhancement originated from the MF contributions, $B^*$ would change as $V_g$ changes because the $B$ corresponding to the topological transition depends on the Fermi energy of the NW. Therefore, the observed enhancement of the SC cannot be attributed to the appearance of the TSC phase. Because $B^*$ did not depend on $V_g$, the enhancement must have been caused by magnetic field-induced phenomena generated in the superconducting electrodes rather than in the NW.

We study $dV/dI$ as in the plane of $I$ and $B$ by changing the direction of the magnetic field, as shown in Fig. 3(a). The magnetic field was applied at an out-of-plane angle $\phi$ measured from the plane. We observed an increase in the critical field as the applied $B$ tilts from the out-of-plane ($\phi = 90^\circ$) to the in-plane ($\phi = 0^\circ$) direction. In Fig. 3(a), we highlight the enhancement peak points $B^*$ (blue) and plot them using crosses as a function of $\phi$ in Fig. 3(b).

Furthermore, we fit the plotted $B^*$ using the following formula:

$$B^* = \frac{A}{\sin \phi},$$

where $A$ corresponds to the out-of-plane component of $B^*$. The solid line in Fig. 3(b) shows the calculated magnetic field of Eq. (1) compared to the experimentally obtained $B^*$. The good agreement with the experiment indicates that only the out-of-plane magnetic field component determines $B^*$.

Finally, we investigated the $B$ dependence of $I_{sw}$ when $B$ was swept in different directions. Figures 4(a), (b) and (c) show $I_{sw}$ as a function of $B$ at $T = 37$ mK, 375 mK, and 425 mK, respectively. The blue (purple) lines represent the upward (downward) sweep. Here, we found a clear hysteresis of $I_{sw}$ depending on the $B$ sweep direction, as shown in Fig. 4. The hysteresis was apparent for $|B| < |B^*|$ while it did not appear for $|B| > |B^*|$. Furthermore, in Fig. 4(a), 

![Image](image-url)
FIG. 2. (a) $I_{sw}$ as functions of $B$ at different $V_g$. (b) $I_{sw}$ as a function of $B$ and $V_g$. $B^*$ is found to be independent of $V_g$.

FIG. 3. (a) Bias voltage $V$ as functions of $B$ and $I$. The blue circles indicate $B^*$. (b) Magnetic field $B^*$ vs. $\phi$ indicated by the blue crosses. The blue solid curve indicates fittings of $B^*$ by Eq. (1). The fitting parameter is given as $A = 18.6(11)$ mT.

$I_{sw}$ in $-B^* < B < 0$ mT is larger than that in $0$ mT $< B < B^*$ in the sweep from negative to positive and vice versa. In Figs. 4 (b) and (c), the hysteresis appears between $\pm B^*$ and dips around $\pm 5$ mT and has the same sweep direction dependence. Note that the out-of-plane $B$ dependence of $I_{sw}$ in ref [12] is also asymmetric for $B^* > B > 0$ mT and $-B^* < B < 0$ mT, suggesting hysteresis.

Discussion

We attribute the observed enhancement to quasiparticle trapping by superconducting vortices. The vortices penetrate a superconductor when the magnetic field applied to the superconductor exceeds the critical field $B_{c1}$. Note that supercurrent enhancement has been observed in similar nanowire systems [21, 22], but these results do not show hysteresis of $B$ field sweep, meaning the origins of the enhancement are different from ours. The superconducting pair potential is broken at the vortex cores, and they act as trapping potentials for quasiparticles. This type of quasiparticle trapping can improve the superconducting device quality, as observed in electron turnstile devices [23, 24], because the trapping effectively lowers the electron temperature. This effect has been applied to the design of superconducting qubits, for example, forming vortices in the outer region so that the system of interest is cooled down [25–27].

The switching current $I_{sw}$ is affected by thermally excited quasiparticles, depending on the electron temperature. Therefore, the observed SC enhancement can be attributed to electron cooling due to quasiparticle trapping. In this scenario, the observed hysteresis is also reasonable because while decreasing $B$ from $B > B^*$ to $0$ mT, some of the
vortices remain due to pinning by impurities or diffraction. Consequently, electron cooling by the quasiparticle trap works in the region of $0 \, \text{mT} < B < B^*$ in the positive and negative $B$ sweep from and vice versa, leading to hysteresis. When comparing the results at several temperatures in Fig. 4, it is observed that $B^*$ (dashed lines) decreases with increasing $T$. This indicates that $B_{c1}$ becomes smaller as $T$ increases, supporting the electron cooling scenario. In addition, the enhancement at $B = B^*$ gradually decreases as $T$ increases. This behaviour is consistent with the decrease in the electron cooling effect at higher temperatures, because the number of quasiparticles at higher temperatures increases. The order of the cooling effect can be estimated as 100 mK (see Fig. S4), which is comparable to a previous report [27]. We note that the 0-$\pi$ transition of the junction and magnetic impurities in the NW cannot explain the hysteresis, even if they make $B^*$ remain constant with $V_g$. For the same reason, the hysteresis cannot be attributed to the topological transition in the proximitized region.

Our results revealed that the enhancement of the switching current by an out-of-plane magnetic field is non-topological. However, to realize topological qubits using MFs [28, 29], it is important to reduce the quasiparticle density to protect the information of the qubits from quasiparticle poisoning. Even with a higher magnetic field, if the material is a type-II superconductor or thin film in which vortices can penetrate, the device can be designed to trap quasiparticles effectively so that the system of interest is cooled. Effectiveness of quasiparticle trapping is known to depend strongly on device structure [23], and therefore further studies for optimal design for cooling will be necessary. This report shows that quasiparticles in superconducting devices can be reduced by quasiparticle trapping under a finite perpendicular magnetic field, which provides important insights for the design of topological qubit devices in the near future.

### Methods

The self-assembled InAs NW had a diameter of approximately 80 nm and was grown on a Si substrate by chemical beam epitaxy [30]. A Josephson junction was fabricated on the NW after transferring it onto a 280 nm-thick SiO$_2$ substrate. We made a polymethyl methacrylate pattern of the Al electrodes using electron beam lithography and performed surface treatment using a (NH$_4$)$_2$S$_x$ solution to remove the native surface oxidised layer. Then, we deposited Ti/Al (1 nm/60 nm) as superconducting electrodes. For the top-gate structure, we grew a 20 nm thick Al$_2$O$_3$ by atomic layer deposition and made a top gate electrode of Ti/Au (50 nm/150 nm) [20, 31–34].

### Measurement setup

All measurements were done in a dilution fridge with a standard quasi-4-terminal method. The bottom temperature of the thermal bus was about 35 mK. The conductance was measured with lock-in amplifiers with an excitation voltage of 10 µV. For the SC measurements, DC voltages across the device were measured with a constant current bias.
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Supplemental Materials:

1. Gate voltage dependence of conductance

We measured the differential conductance $G$ as a function of $V_g$ for $T = 9$ K in Fig. S1, which was larger than the Al critical temperature. The carrier in the nanowire was depleted at $V_g = -5.1$ V.

![FIG. S1. The gate voltage dependence of the conductance measured at 9 K.](image)

2. $B$ dependence of supercurrent for some $V_g$

Figure S2 shows the $B$ dependence of the SC in the range from $V_g = 0$ V to $-1.6$ V. $I$ has a maximum at $B = 10$ mT for all $V_g$ values.

3. Magnetic field angle dependence

In Fig. S3(a), we plotted the same data as in Fig. 3(a) but highlight the critical fields $B_c$ (red). In addition, we plotted them as a function of $\phi$, as shown in Fig. S3(b).

Furthermore, we fit $B_c$ using the following formulae:

\[
\left( \frac{B \cos \phi}{B_{c\parallel}} \right)^2 + \left| \frac{B \sin \phi}{B_{c\perp}} \right| = 1, \quad (S1)
\]

\[
\left( \frac{B \cos \phi}{B_{c\parallel}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{B \sin \phi}{B_{c\perp}} \right)^2 = 1, \quad (S2)
\]

for $B_{c\parallel}$ and $B_{c\perp}$ as the parallel and perpendicular components of the critical field, respectively. Equations (S1) and (S2) are called the Tinkham formula and 3D Ginzburg-Landau anisotropic (3dGLA) model [S1, S2], respectively. Both are known as formulae of $B_c$, where Tinkham is for two-dimensional superconductors and 3dGLA is for three-dimensional superconductors. In Fig. S3(b), the red curve fits better, indicating that the two-dimensional treatment is more applicable for this system.

4. Temperature dependence of $I_{sw}$

We plotted $I_{sw}$ measured at $B = 0$ and 10 mT as a function of $T$. From this result, we assumed that the effective cooling of the system was of the order of 10-100 mK to account for the enhancement of the SC observed in Fig. 2(a).
FIG. S2. \( dV/dI \) as functions of \( I \) and \( B \) at various \( V_g \).

FIG. S3. (a) The bias voltage \( V \) as functions of \( B \) and \( I \) (same data as Fig. 3(a)). The red circles indicate \( B_c \). (b) Magnetic field \( B_c \) vs. \( \phi \), indicated by the red circles. The red and green solid curves show the fittings of \( B_c \) using Eq. (S1) and Eq. (S2), respectively. The red curve fits better than the green curve, indicating that the superconducting film should be treated as a two-dimensional film. The fitting parameters are given as follows: \( (B_{c\parallel}, B_{c\perp}) = (213.1(93) \text{ mT}, 88.9(43) \text{ mT}) \) and \( (186(43) \text{ mT}, 124(23) \text{ mT}) \) for Eqs. (S1) and (S2), respectively.

FIG. S4. The temperature dependence of $I_{sw}$ measured at $B = 0$ and 10 mT.