

The Multiplicative Compound of a Matrix Pencil with Applications to Difference-Algebraic Equations

Ron Ofir and Michael Margaliot

Wednesday 3rd November, 2021

Abstract

The multiplicative and additive compounds of a matrix have important applications in geometry, linear algebra, and dynamical systems described by difference equations and by ordinary differential equations. Here, we introduce a generalization of the multiplicative compound to matrix pencils. We analyze the properties of this new compound and describe several applications to the analysis of discrete-time dynamical systems described by difference-algebraic equations.

Index Terms

Multiplicative compounds, discrete-time descriptor systems, Drazin inverse, evolution of volumes, wedge product.

I. INTRODUCTION

Given a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ and an integer $k \in \{1, \dots, \min\{n, m\}\}$, the k -multiplicative compound of A , denoted $A^{(k)}$, is the $\binom{n}{k} \times \binom{m}{k}$ matrix that includes all the $k \times k$ minors of A (in a lexicographic ordering described below). In particular, $A^{(1)} = A$, and if $m = n$ then $A^{(n)} = \det(A)$.

The k -multiplicative compound has an important geometric application. For vectors $x^1, \dots, x^k \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $P(x^1, \dots, x^k)$ denote the parallelotope in \mathbb{R}^n generated by the vertices zero and x^1, \dots, x^k . Let $X := \begin{bmatrix} x^1 & \dots & x^k \end{bmatrix}$. Then the volume of P is equal to the L_2 norm of $X^{(k)}$. Note that the dimensions of $X^{(k)}$ are $\binom{n}{k} \times \binom{k}{k}$, i.e. it is an $\binom{n}{k}$ -dimensional column vector.

The research of MM is supported in part by research grants from the ISF and the DFG.

RO is with the Andrew and Erna Viterbi Faculty of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Technion—Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 3200003, Israel.

MM is with the School of Elec. Eng., Tel Aviv University, Israel 69978. Correspondence: michaelm@tauex.tau.ac.il

For $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ the k -additive compound of A is defined by

$$A^{[k]} := \frac{d}{dt} (\exp(At))^{(k)} \Big|_{t=0}. \quad (1)$$

The multiplicative and additive compounds of a matrix play an important role in matrix theory [1], geometry [2, Chapter IX], combinatorics, and the asymptotic analysis of nonlinear ODEs [3], [4], [5]. One reason for this is that the k -compounds can be used to analyze the evolution of k -parallelotopes under the dynamics.

There is a growing interest in the applications of compound matrices to systems and control theory (see, e.g. [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17]). In particular, the compounds have been used to generalize important classes of dynamical systems leading to linear k -positive and non-linear k -cooperative systems [18], k -contracting systems [3], [19], [20], and k -diagonally stable systems [21].

Given two matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, the corresponding matrix pencil is the matrix-valued function

$$(A, B) := A - \lambda B, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (2)$$

Matrix pencils have numerous applications in linear algebra and in systems and control theory (see e.g. the monographs [22], [23], [24]). In particular, matrix pencils and their generalized eigenvalues and eigenvectors play an important role in differential-algebraic and difference-algebraic equations [22], [25].

Here, we generalize the notion of a k -multiplicative compound of a matrix to the matrix pencil (2). This yields a new matrix pencil denoted $(A, B)^{(k)}$. We show that the important geometric interpretation of the k -multiplicative compound of a matrix is naturally extended to matrix pencils. We analyze the properties of the k -compounds of matrix pencils and the relations between the pencils (A, B) and $(A, B)^{(k)}$. We then present several applications to discrete-time dynamical systems described by difference-algebraic equations. In particular, we show that one can associate with the system a k -compound system that corresponds to the matrix pencil $(A, B)^{(k)}$ and describes the evolution of k -parallelotopes under the dynamics. We then use this to analyse properties such as consistency of initial conditions, tractability and stability of the k -compound system.

Several papers considered matrix pencils and used matrix compounds in their analysis [26], [27], [28], [29], [30], but to the best of our knowledge the generalization that we introduce here and its applications are novel.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. The next section briefly reviews some known

results that are used later on. Section III describes our main theoretical results. Section IV describes several applications of these results. The last section concludes and suggests directions for future research.

We use the following notation. Vectors [matrices] are denoted by small [capital] letters. A square matrix A is called regular [singular] if $\det(A) \neq 0$ [$\det(A) = 0$]. The transpose of A is denoted by A^T .

Given an integer $n \geq 1$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let $Q(k, n)$ denote the list of all k -tuples: $\alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_k$, with $\alpha_i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, ordered lexicographically. For example,

$$Q(3, 4) = ((1, 2, 3), (1, 2, 4), (1, 3, 4), (2, 3, 4)). \quad (3)$$

Given $\alpha, \beta \in Q(k, n)$, let $A[\alpha|\beta]$ denote the submatrix of A obtained by taking the rows [columns] with indices in α [β]. For example,

$$A[(1, 2), (1, 3)] = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{23} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $A(\alpha|\beta)$ denote the corresponding minor, i.e. $A(\alpha|\beta) := \det(A[\alpha|\beta])$.

For square matrices $A_i \in \mathbb{C}^{n_i \times n_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, we use $\text{diag}_{i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}}(A_i)$ to denote the $(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} n_i) \times (\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} n_i)$ diagonal block matrix with blocks A_1, A_2, \dots, A_ℓ . The $n \times n$ identity matrix is denoted by I_n , or just I when the dimension is clear from context.

II. PRELIMINARIES

In order to make this paper more self-contained, this section reviews the multiplicative compound of a matrix (for more details see, e.g., [3], [1]). It also includes a very brief overview of matrix pencils and their applications in difference-algebraic equations.

A. Multiplicative compound of a matrix

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$, and fix $k \in \{1, \dots, \min\{n, m\}\}$. A k -minor of A is the determinant of a $k \times k$ submatrix of A . The k -multiplicative compound of A , denoted $A^{(k)}$, is the matrix that includes all the k -minors of A in lexicographic order. In other words, $A^{(k)}$ is the $\binom{n}{k} \times \binom{m}{k}$ matrix such that

$$(A^{(k)})_{ij} = A(\alpha|\beta), \quad (4)$$

where $\alpha [\beta]$ is the i th [j th] sequence in $Q(k, n)$. For example, if $A \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ then

$$A^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} A((1, 2)|(1, 2)) & A((1, 2)|(1, 3)) & A((1, 2)|(2, 3)) \\ A((1, 3)|(1, 2)) & A((1, 3)|(1, 3)) & A((1, 3)|(2, 3)) \\ A((2, 3)|(1, 2)) & A((2, 3)|(1, 3)) & A((2, 3)|(2, 3)) \end{bmatrix}.$$

In particular, $A^{(1)} = A$, and if $m = n$ then $A^{(n)} = \det(A)$.

The Cauchy-Binet theorem asserts that for any $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$, $B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times \ell}$, and $k \in \{1, \dots, \min\{n, m, \ell\}\}$, we have

$$(AB)^{(k)} = A^{(k)}B^{(k)}. \quad (5)$$

This justifies the term multiplicative compound. Note that when $k = n = m = \ell$, (5) reduces to the well-known formula $\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B)$.

Using the singular value decomposition and the Cauchy-Binet theorem it is possible to show [20] that

$$\text{rank}(A^{(k)}) = \binom{\ell}{k}, \quad (6)$$

where $\ell := \text{rank}(A)$, and $\binom{\ell}{k}$ is defined as zero when $k > \ell$. It follows from (6) that $A^{(k)} = 0$ if and only if $k > \text{rank}(A)$.

By definition, $(A^T)^{(k)} = (A^{(k)})^T$, and $I_n^{(k)} = I_r$, with $r := \binom{n}{k}$. If A is square and regular then (5) gives

$$\begin{aligned} I_n^{(k)} &= (A^{-1}A)^{(k)} = (AA^{-1})^{(k)} \\ &= (A^{-1})^{(k)}A^{(k)} = A^{(k)}(A^{-1})^{(k)}, \end{aligned}$$

so $A^{(k)}$ is also regular and its inverse is $(A^{-1})^{(k)}$. A similar argument shows that if $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is unitary, that is, $U^*U = UU^* = I_n$, where U^* denotes the complex conjugate of U , then $(U^{(k)})^*U^{(k)} = U^{(k)}(U^{(k)})^* = I_r$, so $U^{(k)}$ is also unitary.

If A is upper triangular (lower triangular, diagonal) then $A^{(k)}$ is upper triangular (lower triangular, diagonal), and the diagonal entries of $A^{(k)}$ are

$$(A^{(k)})_{i,i} = \prod_{j=1}^k a_{\alpha_j^i, \alpha_j^i} \quad (7)$$

where α^i is the i th sequence in $Q(k, n)$. For example, for $n = 4$ and $k = 3$, $\alpha^2 = (1, 2, 4)$, so (7) becomes

$$(A^{(3)})_{2,2} = a_{11}a_{22}a_{44}.$$

A useful property of the multiplicative compound $A^{(k)}$ is that its spectrum consists of all k -products of eigenvalues of A . More precisely, if $\lambda_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, are the eigenvalues of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ then the eigenvalues of $A^{(k)}$ are the $\binom{n}{k}$ products:

$$\prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_{\alpha_i}, \quad \alpha \in Q(k, n).$$

For $k = n$ this reduces to the well-known formula $\det(A) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$.

One reason for the usefulness of the k -compounds in systems and control theory is that they have an important geometric application.

1) *Geometric interpretation of the multiplicative compound:* Fix k vectors $x^1, \dots, x^k \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and let $P(x^1, \dots, x^k) := \{\sum_{i=1}^k s_i x^i \mid s_j \in [0, 1]\}$ denote the parallelotope with vertices x^1, \dots, x^k and 0 (see Fig. 1). Let $\text{vol}(P)$ denote the volume of P . Define the $n \times k$ matrix $X := \begin{bmatrix} x^1 & \dots & x^k \end{bmatrix}$, and the $k \times k$ non-negative definite matrix

$$G(x^1, \dots, x^k) := X^T X$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (x^1)^T x^1 & (x^1)^T x^2 & \dots & (x^1)^T x^k \\ (x^2)^T x^1 & (x^2)^T x^2 & \dots & (x^2)^T x^k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (x^k)^T x^1 & (x^k)^T x^2 & \dots & (x^k)^T x^k \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $\text{vol}(P) = \sqrt{\det(G)}$ (see [2, Chapter IX]). To express this using the multiplicative compound, note that $\det(G) = G^{(k)}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}(P) &= \sqrt{(X^T X)^{(k)}} \\ &= \sqrt{(X^{(k)})^T X^{(k)}}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition, the dimensions of $X^{(k)}$ are $\binom{n}{k} \times \binom{k}{k}$, i.e. it is an $\binom{n}{k}$ -dimensional column vector, so we conclude that

$$\text{vol}(P) = |X^{(k)}|_2, \tag{8}$$




Fig. 1. The 2D parallelotope generated by the vertices 0 , x^1 , and x^2 .

where $|\cdot|_2$ denotes the L_2 norm. When $k = n$ this reduces to the well-known formula

$$\text{vol}(P(x^1, \dots, x^n)) = |\det(\begin{bmatrix} x^1 & \dots & x^n \end{bmatrix})|.$$

B. Matrix pencils

Given $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, the associated matrix pencil is the matrix-valued function

$$(A, B) := A - \lambda B, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (9)$$

The matrix pencil is called *regular* if there exists a $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\det(A - \lambda B) \neq 0$. Otherwise, it is called *singular*. The normal rank of (A, B) is

$$\text{nrnk}(A, B) := \max_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \text{rank}(A - \lambda B). \quad (10)$$

Any $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ for which

$$\text{rank}(A - \lambda_0 B) < \text{nrnk}(A, B) \quad (11)$$

is called a finite (generalized) eigenvalue of (A, B) . For any such λ_0 there exists a vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$Av = \lambda_0 Bv. \quad (12)$$

If (A, B) is regular then (12) implies that λ_0 is a finite eigenvalue of (A, B) . If $\det(B) = 0$ then (A, B) also has an eigenvalue at infinity, which corresponds to the zero eigenvalue of the matrix pencil (B, A) .

Recall that any $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ may be jointly triangularized using the generalized Schur decomposition (see e.g. [31, Thm. 7.7.1]), that is, there exist unitary matrices $U, V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$UAV = T, \quad UBV = S, \quad (13)$$

and T and S are upper triangular. The generalized Schur decomposition is particularly useful when studying the spectrum of a matrix pencil. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\det(A - \lambda B) &= \det(U) \det(T - \lambda S) \det(V) \\ &= \det(U) \det(V) \prod_{i=1}^n (T_{i,i} - \lambda S_{i,i}),\end{aligned}$$

the pencil (A, B) is singular if and only if there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $T_{i,i} = S_{i,i} = 0$. In addition, if (A, B) is regular then its finite and infinite eigenvalues may be read from the diagonal elements of T and S as follows: let $\lambda_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, denote the finite and infinite eigenvalues of the regular pencil (A, B) , then

$$\lambda_i = \frac{T_{i,i}}{S_{i,i}}, \quad (14)$$

where for any $c \neq 0$, we define $\frac{c}{0}$ as infinity.

C. Difference-algebraic equations

Consider the difference-algebraic equation:

$$Bx(j+1) = Ax(j), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (15)$$

with $x : \{0, 1, \dots\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, and $B, A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. If B is regular then this is equivalent to the discrete-time linear system $x(j+1) = B^{-1}Ax(j)$, but we will assume that B is singular. Then (15) may be interpreted as a discrete-time dynamical system with algebraic constraints.

Recall that an initial condition $x(0)$ is called *consistent* if (15) admits a corresponding solution $x(j)$ for all $j \geq 0$. For example, $x(0) = 0$ is always a consistent initial condition. The system (15) is called *tractable* (some authors use instead the term *solvable*) if for any consistent initial condition $x(0)$ the system (15) admits a unique solution $x(j), j = 0, 1, \dots$.

The next two results relate the system-theoretic properties of (15) to the matrix pencil (A, B) . To state them, we recall the notions of the Drazin index and the Drazin inverse.

Definition 1. [32] *The Drazin index of a square matrix A , denoted $\text{index}(A)$, is the minimal integer $k \geq 0$ such that*

$$\text{rank}(A^k) = \text{rank}(A^{k+1}).$$

For example, if A is regular then $\text{rank}(A^0) = \text{rank}(A^1)$, so $\text{index}(A) = 0$. If N is nilpotent, i.e. there exists a minimal integer k such that $N^k = 0$, then $\text{index}(N) = k$.

Definition 2. [32] Let A be a square matrix. The Drazin inverse of A is a matrix X such that

- 1) $A^{\text{index}(A)+1}X = A^{\text{index}(A)}$,
- 2) $AX = XA$,
- 3) $XAX = X$.

It is known that the Drazin inverse always exists and is unique. Let A^D denote the Drazin inverse of A . It is straightforward to verify that if A is regular then $A^D = A^{-1}$, and that if N is nilpotent then $N^D = 0$. If the Jordan decomposition of A is

$$A = T^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{bmatrix} T, \quad (16)$$

with C regular and N nilpotent, then

$$A^D = T^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} C^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} T. \quad (17)$$

Proposition 1. [25] The system (15) is tractable iff there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\det(A - \lambda B) \neq 0$, that is, iff (A, B) is regular.

Proposition 2. [25], [33] Assume that (15) is tractable. Fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\det(A - \lambda B) \neq 0$, and let

$$\hat{B}_\lambda := (A - \lambda B)^{-1}B, \quad \hat{A}_\lambda := (A - \lambda B)^{-1}A. \quad (18)$$

Let $i := \text{index}(\hat{B}_\lambda)$. An initial condition $x(0)$ is consistent iff $x(0)$ is in the range of $(\hat{B}_\lambda)^i$, and for such an initial condition the unique solution of (15) is

$$x(j+1) = \left((\hat{B}_\lambda)^D \hat{A}_\lambda \right)^j x(0), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots \quad (19)$$

Furthermore, $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x(j) = 0$ for any consistent initial condition $x(0)$ iff all the finite eigenvalues of (A, B) lie in the open unit disk.

The next sections describe our main results.

III. THE MULTIPLICATIVE COMPOUNDS OF A MATRIX PENCIL

We introduce a new definition.

Definition 3. Given $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, and $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the k -multiplicative compound of (A, B) is the matrix pencil

$$(A, B)^{(k)} := A^{(k)} - \lambda B^{(k)}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (20)$$

Note that, in general, $(A, B)^{(k)} \neq (A - \lambda B)^{(k)}$.

By definition, $(A, B)^{(1)}$ is just (A, B) , and $(A, B)^{(n)} = \det(A) - \lambda \det(B)$. Also, $(A, 0)^{(k)}$ is just $A^{(k)}$.

As a specific example, consider

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (21)$$

Then $A^{(2)} = \det(A) = 0$ and $B^{(2)} = \det(B) = 0$, so $(A, B)^{(2)} = A^{(2)} - \lambda B^{(2)} = 0$. Note that in this case (A, B) is regular, and $(A, B)^{(2)}$ is singular.

We now analyze several properties of $(A, B)^{(k)}$.

A. Regularity of $(A, B)^{(k)}$

The next result provides a necessary and sufficient condition for regularity of the matrix pencil $(A, B)^{(k)}$ in terms of a joint triangularization in the form (13).

Proposition 3. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Fix $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then the following two conditions are equivalent.

- 1) The pencil $(A, B)^{(k)}$ is singular.
- 2) For any joint triangularization in the form (13) there exists $\alpha \in Q(k, n)$ such that

$$\prod_{i=1}^k T_{\alpha_i, \alpha_i} = \prod_{i=1}^k S_{\alpha_i, \alpha_i} = 0.$$

Proof. Applying the Cauchy-Binet Theorem to (13) gives

$$U^{(k)} A^{(k)} V^{(k)} = T^{(k)}, \quad U^{(k)} B^{(k)} V^{(k)} = S^{(k)}, \quad (22)$$

and $T^{(k)}, S^{(k)}$ are also upper triangular. Thus, for any $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A^{(k)} - \lambda B^{(k)}) &= \det((U^{(k)})^*(V^{(k)})^*) \det(T^{(k)} - \lambda S^{(k)}) \\ &= \det((U^{(k)})^*(V^{(k)})^*) \prod_{i=1}^r ((T^{(k)})_{ii} - \lambda(S^{(k)})_{ii}), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where $r := \binom{n}{k}$. Since $U^{(k)}, V^{(k)}$ are unitary, we conclude that $\det(A^{(k)} - \lambda B^{(k)}) = 0$ iff

$$\prod_{i=1}^r ((T^{(k)})_{ii} - \lambda(S^{(k)})_{ii}) = 0.$$

In particular, $\det(A^{(k)} - \lambda B^{(k)}) = 0$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ iff there exists an $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ such that $(T^{(k)})_{ii} = (S^{(k)})_{ii} = 0$. Since T is upper triangular, entry (i, i) of $T^{(k)}$ is $\prod_{\ell=1}^k T_{\alpha_\ell, \alpha_\ell}$, where α is the i th sequence in $Q(k, n)$, and similarly for $S^{(k)}$. This completes the proof of Prop. 3. \square

Remark 1. *Prop. 3 implies in particular that if (A, B) is singular then $(A, B)^{(k)}$ is singular for any $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.*

The next result gives simple necessary and sufficient conditions for the singularity of $(A, B)^{(k)}$ with $k >$

1. For a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, let $\ker(A) := \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid Ax = 0\}$.

Corollary 1. *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Fix $k \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. Then the following three conditions are equivalent.*

- 1) *The pencil $(A, B)^{(k)}$ is singular.*
- 2) $\det(A) = \det(B) = 0$.
- 3) $\ker(A^{(k)}) \cap \ker(B^{(k)}) \neq \{0\}$.

Proof. Fix $k \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. Suppose that $(A, B)^{(k)}$ is singular. Then at least one diagonal entry of T and at least one diagonal entry of S are zero, so

$$\det(A) = \det(U) \det(V) \det(T) = 0,$$

$$\det(B) = \det(U) \det(V) \det(S) = 0.$$

This proves that 1) implies 2).

We now show that 2) implies 3). Assume that 2) holds. Then there exist $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that $Ax = 0$ and $Bx = 0$. If x, y are linearly dependent then clearly 3) holds, so we assume that x, y are linearly

independent. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} A^{(2)} \begin{bmatrix} x & y \end{bmatrix}^{(2)} &= \begin{bmatrix} Ax & Ay \end{bmatrix}^{(2)} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Ay \end{bmatrix}^{(2)} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly $B^{(2)} \begin{bmatrix} x & y \end{bmatrix}^{(2)} = 0$. Since x, y are linearly independent, $\begin{bmatrix} x & y \end{bmatrix}^{(2)} \neq 0$, and we conclude that $\begin{bmatrix} x & y \end{bmatrix}^{(2)} \in \ker(A^{(2)}) \cap \ker(B^{(2)})$. A similar argument shows that for any $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, we have $\ker(A^{(j)}) \cap \ker(B^{(j)}) \neq \{0\}$, so 2) implies 3).

Now suppose that 3) holds. Let $x \neq 0$ be a vector such that $x \in \ker(A^{(k)}) \cap \ker(B^{(k)})$. Then

$$(A^{(k)} - \lambda B^{(k)})x = 0$$

for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, so 1) holds. We conclude that 3) implies 1), and this completes the proof of Corollary 1. \square

Corollary 1 demonstrates a perhaps surprising property of the k -multiplicative compound of a pencil. A sufficient, but not necessary, condition for a pencil (A, B) to be singular is that $\ker(A) \cap \ker(B) \neq \{0\}$. However, for $(A, B)^{(k)}$, with $k > 1$, this condition is sufficient and *necessary*.

Remark 2. *Corollary 1 implies in particular that either $(A, B)^{(k)}$ is regular for all $k > 1$, or it is singular for all $k > 1$.*

The next example illustrates Prop. 3 and Corollary 1.

Example 1. *Suppose that $A = \text{diag}(0, 1, 2)$ and $B = \text{diag}(1, 2, 0)$. Note that $\det(A) = \det(B) = 0$. Then $\det(A - \lambda B) = 2\lambda(2\lambda - 1)$, so (A, B) is regular. Also, $A^{(2)} = \text{diag}(0, 0, 2)$ and $B^{(2)} = \text{diag}(2, 0, 0)$, so $\det(A^{(2)} - \lambda B^{(2)}) = 0$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and thus $(A, B)^{(2)}$ is singular. Consider the triangularization in (13). Then there exists exactly one $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that $T_{ii} = 0$, and exactly one $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that $S_{jj} = 0$. Also, $i \neq j$, as otherwise (T, S) is singular and this is impossible as (A, B) is regular. Let α be the sequence in $Q(2, 3)$ that includes i and j . Then*

$$\prod_{r=1}^2 T_{\alpha_r, \alpha_r} = \prod_{i=1}^2 S_{\alpha_r, \alpha_r} = 0.$$

Note also that $x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$ satisfies $x \in \ker(A^{(2)}) \cap \ker(B^{(2)})$. \blacksquare

B. Spectral properties of $(A, B)^{(k)}$

Recall that if $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ then any eigenvalue of $A^{(k)}$ is the product of k eigenvalues of A . The next result generalizes this to the matrix pencil $(A, B)^{(k)}$.

Proposition 4. *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Fix $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Suppose that $(A, B)^{(k)}$ is regular. Then every eigenvalue of $(A, B)^{(k)}$ is the product of k eigenvalues of (A, B) , where we define the product of infinity with any value as infinity.*

Proof. Let $r := \binom{n}{k}$. Eq. (23) implies that the eigenvalues of $(A, B)^{(k)}$ are $\frac{(T^{(k)})_{ii}}{(S^{(k)})_{ii}}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, where we define $\frac{c}{0}$, with $c \neq 0$, as infinity (note that the assumption that the pencil is regular guarantees that the case $(T^{(k)})_{ii} = (S^{(k)})_{ii} = 0$ is not possible). In particular, the eigenvalues of $(A, B) = (A, B)^{(1)}$ are $\frac{T_{ii}}{S_{ii}}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Since T is upper triangular, entry (i, i) of $T^{(k)}$ is $\prod_{\ell=1}^k T_{\alpha_\ell, \alpha_\ell}$, where α is the i th sequence in $Q(k, n)$, and similarly for $S^{(k)}$, and this completes the proof. \square

Given k finite eigenvalues and the corresponding k eigenvectors of (A, B) , the following result gives an explicit formula for the corresponding eigenvalue and eigenvector of $(A, B)^{(k)}$.

Proposition 5. *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, and pick $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Suppose that $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{C}$ and $v^1, \dots, v^k \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ satisfy*

$$Av^i = \lambda_i Bv^i, \quad i = 1, \dots, k. \quad (24)$$

Define $\tilde{v} := \begin{bmatrix} v^1 & \dots & v^k \end{bmatrix}^{(k)}$ and $\tilde{\lambda} := \prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_i$. Then

$$A^{(k)}\tilde{v} = \tilde{\lambda}B^{(k)}\tilde{v}. \quad (25)$$

This implies in particular that if v^1, \dots, v^k are linearly independent eigenvectors of (A, B) with corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$, then $\tilde{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{k}} \setminus \{0\}$ is an eigenvector of $(A, B)^{(k)}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\tilde{\lambda}$.

Proof. Applying the Cauchy-Binet Theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned}
A^{(k)} \begin{bmatrix} v^1 & \dots & v^k \end{bmatrix}^{(k)} &= \begin{bmatrix} Av^1 & \dots & Av^k \end{bmatrix}^{(k)} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 Bv^1 & \dots & \lambda_k Bv^k \end{bmatrix}^{(k)} \\
&= \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \right) \begin{bmatrix} Bv^1 & \dots & Bv^k \end{bmatrix}^{(k)} \\
&= \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \right) B^{(k)} \begin{bmatrix} v^1 & \dots & v^k \end{bmatrix}^{(k)},
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

and this completes the proof. \square

Remark 3. *The multiplicative compound of a matrix pencil has a geometric interpretation similar to that of the multiplicative compound of a matrix. Let $r := \binom{n}{k}$. The dimensions of \tilde{v} are $r \times \binom{k}{k}$, i.e. it is an r -dimensional column vector, and $|\tilde{v}|_2$ is the volume of the parallelotope with vertices $0, v^1, \dots, v^k$. Eq. (26) thus implies that the volume of the parallelotope generated by $0, Av^1, \dots, Av^k$ is equal to $|\prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_i|$ times the volume of the parallelotope generated by $0, Bv^1, \dots, Bv^k$. Indeed, this follows from (24).*

In the next section, we describe several applications of $(A, B)^{(k)}$ and, in particular, use it to study the behaviour of k -parallelotopes under the dynamics of linear differential-algebraic systems.

IV. APPLICATIONS OF $(A, B)^{(k)}$

Our first application is based on using the multiplicative compound of a matrix pencil to study the number of finite eigenvalues of a matrix pencil.

Proposition 6. *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, with A regular. Then the matrix pencil (A, B) has at most $\text{rank}(B)$ finite eigenvalues.*

Proof. If B is regular then $A - \lambda B = (AB^{-1} - \lambda I)B$, so the eigenvalues of (A, B) are the eigenvalues of the matrix AB^{-1} , and the statement holds. Suppose that B is singular, and let $\ell := \text{rank}(B) < n$. Then,

$$(A, B)^{(\ell+1)} = A^{(\ell+1)} - \lambda B^{(\ell+1)} = A^{(\ell+1)}, \tag{27}$$

so $(A, B)^{(\ell+1)}$ has only infinite eigenvalues. Since A is regular, $(A, B)^{(k)}$ is regular for any k and we may apply Prop. 4 to conclude that every choice of $\ell + 1$ eigenvalues of (A, B) includes at least one infinite eigenvalue, so (A, B) has at most ℓ finite eigenvalues. \square

Example 2. Consider (A, B) with $A = I_3$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then $\text{rank}(B) = 2$, so Prop. 6 implies that (A, B) has at most two finite eigenvalues. Indeed, the eigenvalues of (A, B) are $1, 1, \infty$. ■

A. Applications to dynamical systems described by difference-algebraic equations

We begin by showing that $(A, B)^{(k)}$ can be used to analyze the evolution of volumes of k -parallelotopes under a difference-algebraic equation. For this, we can in fact consider the more general time-varying system

$$B(j+1)x(j+1) = A(j)x(j), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots \quad (28)$$

Theorem 1. Fix $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and pick k consistent initial conditions $a^1, \dots, a^k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of (28). Let $x^i(\ell) := x(\ell, a^i)$ denote a solution at time ℓ of (28) emanating from $x(0) = a^i$. Define the $n \times k$ matrix

$$X(j) := \begin{bmatrix} x^1(j) & \dots & x^k(j) \end{bmatrix},$$

and the $\binom{n}{k}$ -dimensional column vector

$$y(j) := (X(j))^{(k)}. \quad (29)$$

Then

$$B^{(k)}(j+1)y(j+1) = A^{(k)}(j)y(j). \quad (30)$$

Proof. By (28),

$$B(j+1)X(j+1) = A(j)X(j). \quad (31)$$

Taking the k th multiplicative compound on both sides and using the Cauchy-Binet Theorem completes the proof. □

Thus, (28) induces a k -compound difference-algebraic system (30). Note that for $k = 1$, Eq. (30) is the original system (28), whereas for $k = n$, Eq. (30) becomes the scalar equation

$$\det(B(j+1)) \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} x^1(j+1) & \dots & x^n(j+1) \end{bmatrix}\right) = \det(A(j)) \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} x^1(j) & \dots & x^n(j) \end{bmatrix}\right).$$

In the time-invariant case the k -compound system is

$$B^{(k)}y(j+1) = A^{(k)}y(j). \quad (32)$$

In the particular case of time-invariant systems, Thm. 1 implies that the matrix pencil (A, B) determines important system-theoretic properties of (28), and thus the matrix pencil $(A, B)^{(k)}$ determines the same system-theoretic properties for (30). Indeed, combining Prop. 1 and Corollary 1 yields the following.

Proposition 7. *Fix $k \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- 1) *the k -compound system (32) is tractable.*
- 2) *the matrix pencil $(A, B)^{(k)}$ is regular.*
- 3) *at least one of the matrices A, B is regular.*

Note that, perhaps surprisingly, this provides a simple condition for the tractability of the k -compound system.

Combining Prop. 2 and Prop. 4 yields the following result.

Proposition 8. *Assume that the k -compound system (32) is tractable. Fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\det(A^{(k)} - \lambda B^{(k)}) \neq 0$, and let*

$$\hat{B}_{k,\lambda} := (A^{(k)} - \lambda B^{(k)})^{-1} B^{(k)}, \quad \hat{A}_{k,\lambda} := (A^{(k)} - \lambda B^{(k)})^{-1} A^{(k)}.$$

Let $i := \text{index}(\hat{B}_{k,\lambda})$. An initial condition $y(0) \in \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{k}}$ is consistent iff $y(0)$ is in the range of $(\hat{B}_{k,\lambda})^i$, and for such an initial condition the solution of (32) is

$$y(j+1) = \left((\hat{B}_{k,\lambda})^D \hat{A}_{k,\lambda} \right)^j y(0), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots \quad (33)$$

Furthermore, if (A, B) has $s \geq k$ finite eigenvalues, denoted λ_i , $i = 1, \dots, s$, then (32) is asymptotically stable iff

$$\prod_{i=1}^k |\lambda_{\alpha_i}| < 1, \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in Q(k, s). \quad (34)$$

Example 3. Consider (15) with $n = 3$, $A = I$, and $B = \text{diag}(1, 1, 0)$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned}x_1(j+1) &= x_1(j), \\x_2(j+1) &= x_2(j), \\0 &= x_3(j).\end{aligned}$$

In this case, $A - \lambda B = \text{diag}(1 - \lambda, 1 - \lambda, 1)$, so (A, B) is regular and in particular the matrix $A - 0 \cdot B$ is regular. Using $\lambda = 0$ in (18) gives $\hat{B}_0 = A^{-1}B = B$, $\hat{A}_0 = A^{-1}A = I$. The Drazin index of \hat{B}_0 is $i = 1$, and the Drazin inverse is $B^D = B$, so an initial condition $x(0)$ is consistent iff $x(0)$ is in the range of $(\hat{B}_0)^1 = B$, that is, $x(0) \in \text{span}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T\right)$. For such an initial condition the solution of (15) is

$$\begin{aligned}x(j+1) &= \left((\hat{B}_0)^D \hat{A}_0\right)^j x(0) \\&= (B^D)^j x(0) \\&= Bx(0) \\&= \begin{bmatrix} x_1(0) & x_2(0) & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T.\end{aligned}\tag{35}$$

Pick two consistent initial conditions a, b , and let

$$y(j) := \begin{bmatrix} x(j, a) & x(j, b) \end{bmatrix}^{(2)}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}y(j+1) &= \begin{bmatrix} x(j+1, a) & x(j+1, b) \end{bmatrix}^{(2)} \\&= \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{(2)} \\&= \begin{bmatrix} a_1 b_2 - b_1 a_2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T.\end{aligned}\tag{36}$$

Note that $|y(j+1)|_2 = |a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1|$ is the volume of the parallelotope generated by the vertices 0 , a and b .

We now determine $y(j)$ directly from the k -compound system. Eq. (30) yields $B^{(2)}y(j+1) = A^{(2)}y(j)$,

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} y_1(j+1) &= y_1(j), \\ 0 &= y_2(j), \\ 0 &= y_3(j). \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

The pencil

$$\begin{aligned} (A, B)^{(2)} &= A^{(2)} - \lambda B^{(2)} \\ &= I - \lambda \operatorname{diag}(1, 0, 0) \\ &= \operatorname{diag}(1 - \lambda, 1, 1) \end{aligned}$$

is regular, and in particular the matrix $A^{(2)} - 0 \cdot B^{(2)}$ is regular. A calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{B}_{2,0} &= (A^{(2)})^{-1} B^{(2)} = B^{(2)}, \\ \hat{A}_{2,0} &:= (A^{(2)})^{-1} A^{(2)} = A^{(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to verify that $(B^{(2)})^D = B^{(2)}$, so (33) gives

$$\begin{aligned} y(j) &= B^{(2)} y(0) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} y_1(0) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and this agrees with (37). ■

B. On the relation between solutions of the difference-algebraic equation and solutions of the k -compound difference-algebraic equation

Consider the LTI difference-algebraic system (15). We already showed that given $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ solutions to (15) the vector $y(j)$ in (29) is a solution to the k -compound system (32). However, Prop. 7 implies that under certain conditions a tractable difference-algebraic system will induce a non-tractable k -compound system for any $k > 1$. That is, given k consistent initial conditions to (15), the k -compound system may have several solutions emanating from the corresponding initial condition $y(0)$.

On the other hand, when $(A, B)^{(k)}$ is regular for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ it is possible that for large values of k zero is the only consistent initial condition of the k -compound system. Indeed, for large k , Eq. (15) may not have k linearly-independent consistent initial conditions. The following results analyze these issues. We begin with the case where $(A, B)^{(k)}$ is regular for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Proposition 9. *Suppose that the pencil (A, B) satisfies that $(A, B)^{(\ell)}$ is regular for any $\ell > 1$. Fix $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let \mathcal{V}^1 denote the subspace of consistent initial conditions of (15), and let \mathcal{V}^k denote the subspace of consistent initial conditions of the k -compound system (32). Then*

$$\dim(\mathcal{V}^k) = \binom{\dim(\mathcal{V}^1)}{k}, \quad (38)$$

where $\binom{\dim(\mathcal{V}^1)}{k}$ is defined to be zero for $k > \dim(\mathcal{V}^1)$.

Prop. 9 implies in particular that (32) will have zero as its only consistent initial condition for any $k > \dim(\mathcal{V}^1)$.

Proof. Let s denote the number of finite eigenvalues of (A, B) , counting multiplicities. Recall that $\dim(\mathcal{V}^1) = s$. It follows from Prop. 4 that $(A, B)^k$ has $\binom{s}{k}$ finite eigenvalues, and this completes the proof of Prop. 9. \square

Note that $\dim(\mathcal{V}^1) = \text{rank}((\hat{B}_\lambda)^{\text{index}(\hat{B}_\lambda)}) \leq \text{rank}(B)$. Suppose that $\text{rank}(B) < n$ and fix $k > \text{rank}(B)$. Then $\hat{B}_{k,\lambda} = 0$, so $\dim(\mathcal{V}^k) = 0$. However, often $\dim(\mathcal{V}^1)$ is strictly smaller than $\text{rank}(B)$, and then there exists $k \leq \text{rank}(B)$ such that $\dim(\mathcal{V}^k) = 0$ but $\hat{B}_{k,\lambda} \neq 0$. In this case $\hat{B}_{k,\lambda}$ will be nilpotent. The next example illustrates this.

Example 4. *Consider (32) with*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -3 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $\lambda = 1$, and note that $\det(A - B) \neq 0$. It may be verified that $\text{index}(\hat{B}_\lambda) = 2$ and $\text{rank}(\hat{B}_\lambda^{\text{index}(\hat{B}_\lambda)}) = 1$, so $\dim(\mathcal{V}^1) = 1$, and Prop. 9 implies that $\dim(\mathcal{V}^k) = 0$ for $k = 2, 3$.

We now show directly that $\dim(\mathcal{V}^2) = 0$. The 2-compound system is

$$B^{(2)}y(j+1) = A^{(2)}y(j). \quad (39)$$

The matrix $A^{(2)} - B^{(2)}$ is regular and multiplying (39) on the left by $(A^{(2)} - B^{(2)})^{-1}$ gives $\hat{B}_{2,1}y(j+1) = \hat{A}_{2,1}y(j)$, that is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} y(j+1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} y(j).$$

The first equation here gives $y_1(j) \equiv 0$. Using this in the second equation gives $y_2(j) \equiv 0$, and now the third equation gives $y_3(j) \equiv 0$, so indeed the only consistent initial condition is $y(0) = 0$. Note that the matrix

$$\hat{B}_{2,1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

is nilpotent, as expected. ■

We now turn to consider the case where (A, B) is regular, but $(A, B)^{(k)}$ is singular for any $k > 1$. The following result shows that in this case the k -compound system will have a consistent non-zero initial condition for any k .

Proposition 10. *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be such that (A, B) is regular and $\det(A) = \det(B) = 0$. Fix $k > 1$. Then there exists a vector $z \in \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{k}} \setminus \{0\}$ such that:*

- 1) z is a consistent initial condition for the k -compound system (32);
- 2) if $y(j)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots$ is a solution of (32) then $y(j) + z$, $j = 0, 1, \dots$, is another solution of (32) for the initial condition $y(0)$.

Proof. Since $\det(A) = \det(B) = 0$, Corollary 1 implies that $\ker(A^{(k)}) \cap \ker(B^{(k)}) \neq \{0\}$. Pick a non-zero vector $z \in \ker(A^{(k)}) \cap \ker(B^{(k)})$. Consider the sequence $y(j) = z$ for all $j \geq 0$. Since $B^{(k)}y(j+1) = A^{(k)}y(j) = 0$, $y(j)$ is a solution of the k -compound system and, in particular, the vector z is indeed a consistent initial condition of (32). This proves 1). The proof of 2) follows similarly. □

The singularity of $(A, B)^{(k)}$ implies that the k -compound system may have consistent initial conditions and solutions that do not correspond to k -compounds of consistent initial conditions and solutions of the original system. The next example illustrates this.

Example 5. Consider (32) with $A = \text{diag}(0, 1, 1)$ and $B = \text{diag}(1, 1, 0)$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(j+1) &= 0, \\ x_2(j+1) &= x_2(j), \\ 0 &= x_3(j). \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Note that the pencil (A, B) is regular, but since $\det(A) = \det(B) = 0$, the pencil $(A, B)^{(k)}$ is singular for any $k > 1$. The subspace of consistent initial conditions of (40) is

$$\mathcal{V}^1 = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}. \tag{41}$$

Furthermore, given a consistent initial condition $a \in \mathcal{V}^1$, the corresponding solution is $x(0) = a$ and

$$x(j) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

We now consider the 2-compound system. Since $A^{(2)} = \text{diag}(0, 0, 1)$ and $B^{(2)} = \text{diag}(1, 0, 0)$, the 2-compound system is

$$\begin{aligned} y_1(j+1) &= 0, \\ 0 &= 0, \\ 0 &= y_3(j). \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

This implies that $\mathcal{V}^2 = \mathcal{V}^1$. For any two vectors $a, b \in \mathcal{V}^1$, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \end{bmatrix}^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 b_2 - b_1 a_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, the 2-compound system has consistent initial conditions that are not 2-compounds of consistent initial conditions of the original system. Furthermore, it is easy to see that the 2-compound system has solutions that are not 2-compounds of solutions of the original system. ■

C. Drazin inverse of the k -multiplicative compound of a matrix

Eq. (33) includes the Drazin inverse of the k -multiplicative compound of a matrix. The next result shows that this is equal to the k -multiplicative of the Drazin inverse of the original matrix.

Proposition 11. *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, and fix $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then,*

$$(A^{(k)})^D = (A^D)^{(k)}. \quad (43)$$

Proof. Denote $i := \text{index}(A)$, $j := \text{index}(A^{(k)})$, and $E := A^{(k)}$. Then we need to show that $E^D = (A^D)^{(k)}$. Since A^D is the Drazin inverse of A , we have

$$\begin{aligned} A^{i+1}A^D &= A^i, \\ AA^D &= A^D A, \\ A^D AA^D &= A^D. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Taking the k -multiplicative compounds of these equations and using the Cauchy-Binet Theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned} E^{i+1}(A^D)^{(k)} &= E^i, \\ E(A^D)^{(k)} &= (A^D)^{(k)} E, \\ (A^D)^{(k)} E(A^D)^{(k)} &= (A^D)^{(k)}. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Thus, $(A^D)^{(k)}$ satisfies two of the requirements for the Drazin inverse of E , and we only need to show that

$$E^{j+1}(A^D)^{(k)} = E^j. \quad (46)$$

Let A have the Jordan decomposition in (16). Then the index of nilpotency of N is also i , that is, i is the minimal integer such that $N^i = 0$. We prove the proposition when $T = I$, so $A = \begin{bmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{bmatrix}$,

$A^i = \begin{bmatrix} C^i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, and $A^D = \begin{bmatrix} C^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. The proof in the more general case is very similar. Denote the dimension of C by s . Then $\text{rank}(A^i) = s$. We consider two cases.

Case 1: Assume that $k > s$. Then every $(k \times k)$ -submatrix of A^D includes either a column of zeros or a row of zeros, so $(A^D)^{(k)} = 0$. Also, since every eigenvalue of $A^{(k)}$ is the product of k eigenvalues of A , every eigenvalue of E is zero. Thus, E is nilpotent, so $E^j = 0$. We conclude that (46) holds.

Case 2: Assume that $k \leq s$. We will show that in this case $j = i$. Fix a non-negative integer ℓ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(E^{\ell+1}) &= \text{rank}((A^{(k)})^{\ell+1}) \\ &= \text{rank}((A^{\ell+1})^{(k)}) \\ &= \binom{\text{rank}(A^{\ell+1})}{k}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equation follows from (6). Combining this with the definition of i gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(E^{i+1}) &= \binom{\text{rank}(A^{i+1})}{k} \\ &= \binom{\text{rank}(A^i)}{k} \\ &= \text{rank}(E^i). \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Also, for any $p < i$ we have $A^p = \begin{bmatrix} C^p & 0 \\ 0 & N^p \end{bmatrix}$, with $C \in \mathbb{C}^{s \times s}$ and $N^p \neq 0$, and combining this with $k \leq s$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(E^{p+1}) &= \binom{\text{rank}(A^{p+1})}{k} \\ &< \binom{\text{rank}(A^p)}{k} \\ &= \text{rank}(E^p). \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Combining (47) and (48) proves that $j = i$, and thus (45) implies (46). This completes the proof of Prop. 11. \square

Example 6. Consider the $n \times n$ matrix $A = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_s, 0, \dots, 0)$, with $a_i \neq 0$. Fix $k \leq s$. Then on the one-hand $A^{(k)} = \text{diag}(\prod_{i=1}^k a_i, \dots, \prod_{i=s-k+1}^s a_i, 0, \dots, 0)$, so

$$(A^{(k)})^D = \text{diag}\left(\prod_{i=1}^k a_i^{-1}, \dots, \prod_{i=s-k+1}^s a_i^{-1}, 0, \dots, 0\right).$$

On the other-hand, $A^D = \text{diag}(a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_s^{-1}, 0, \dots, 0)$ and thus

$$(A^D)^{(k)} = \text{diag}\left(\prod_{i=1}^k a_i^{-1}, \dots, \prod_{i=s-k+1}^s a_i^{-1}, 0, \dots, 0\right),$$

so $(A^D)^{(k)} = (A^{(k)})^D$. \blacksquare

Remark 4. If A is regular then $A^D = A^{-1}$, so Prop. 11 reduces to the well-known relation $(A^{(k)})^{-1} = (A^{-1})^{(k)}$.

V. CONCLUSION

Given square matrices A, B , we defined the k -multiplicative compound of the matrix pencil (A, B) . This is a matrix pencil, denoted $(A, B)^{(k)}$, that for $k = 1$ reduces to (A, B) . We studied the relation between (A, B) and $(A, B)^{(k)}$ and illustrated several applications to difference-algebraic equations. In particular, we showed that the difference-algebraic system corresponding to $(A, B)^{(k)}$ describes the evolution of k -parallelotopes in the difference-algebraic system corresponding to (A, B) .

An interesting line of research is defining also the k -additive compound of a matrix pencil, and using it to analyze differential-algebraic equations.

The k -compounds of a matrix have been recently used to define non-trivial generalizations of several classes of both continuous-time and discrete-time dynamical systems including k -positive linear systems [34], k -cooperative nonlinear systems [34], k -contracting systems [19], k -diagonally stable systems [21], and more. Another possible research direction is to use the compounds of matrix pencils to define such generalizations for difference-algebraic and differential-algebraic systems.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Eduardo D. Sontag for helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Fiedler, *Special Matrices and Their Applications in Numerical Mathematics*, 2nd ed. Mineola, NY: Dover Publications, 2008.
- [2] F. R. Gantmacher, *The Theory of Matrices*. Chelsea Publishing Company, 1960, vol. I.
- [3] J. S. Muldowney, "Compound matrices and ordinary differential equations," *The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, pp. 857–872, 1990.
- [4] M. Y. Li and J. S. Muldowney, "Dynamics of differential equations on invariant manifolds," *J. Diff. Eqns.*, vol. 168, no. 2, pp. 295–320, 2000.
- [5] M. Margaliot and E. D. Sontag, "Revisiting totally positive differential systems: A tutorial and new results," *Automatica*, vol. 101, pp. 1–14, 2019.
- [6] E. Bar-Shalom and M. Margaliot, "Compound matrices in systems and control theory," in *Proc. 60th IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control*, 2021, to appear.
- [7] R. Katz, M. Margaliot, and E. Fridman, "Entrainment to subharmonic trajectories in oscillatory discrete-time systems," *Automatica*, vol. 116, p. 108919, 2020.

- [8] R. Alseidi, M. Margaliot, and J. Garloff, “On the spectral properties of nonsingular matrices that are strictly sign-regular for some order with applications to totally positive discrete-time systems,” *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 474, pp. 524–543, 2019.
- [9] T. Ben-Avraham, G. Sharon, Y. Zarai, and M. Margaliot, “Dynamical systems with a cyclic sign variation diminishing property,” *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, vol. 65, pp. 941–954, 2020.
- [10] C. Wu, R. Pines, M. Margaliot, and J.-J. Slotine, “Generalization of the multiplicative and additive compounds of square matrices and contraction in the Hausdorff dimension,” 2020, submitted. [Online]. Available: arXiv2012.13441
- [11] R. Alseidi, M. Margaliot, and J. Garloff, “Discrete-time k -positive linear systems,” *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 399–405, 2021.
- [12] E. Weiss and M. Margaliot, “Is my system of ODEs k -cooperative?” *IEEE Control Systems Letters*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 73–78, 2021.
- [13] C. Grussler, T. B. Burghi, and S. Sojoudi, “Internally Hankel-positive systems,” 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.06962>
- [14] C. Grussler and R. Sepulchre, “Variation diminishing Hankel operators,” in *Proc. 59th IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control*, 2020, pp. 4529–4534.
- [15] C. Grussler, T. Damm, and R. Sepulchre, “Balanced truncation of k -positive systems,” *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, 2021, to appear.
- [16] C. Grussler and R. Sepulchre, “Variation diminishing linear time-invariant systems,” 2020, arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.10030.
- [17] —, “Strongly unimodal systems,” in *Proc. 18th Euro. Control Conf.*, 2019, pp. 3273–3278.
- [18] E. Weiss and M. Margaliot, “A generalization of linear positive systems with applications to nonlinear systems: Invariant sets and the Poincaré-Bendixson property,” *Automatica*, vol. 123, p. 109358, 2021.
- [19] C. Wu, I. Kanevskiy, and M. Margaliot, “ k -contraction: theory and applications,” *Automatica*, 2021, to appear. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2008.10321>
- [20] R. Ofir, M. Margaliot, Y. Levron, and J.-J. Slotine, “A sufficient condition for k -contraction of the series connection of two systems,” 2021, submitted. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.07678>
- [21] C. Wu and M. Margaliot, “Diagonal stability of discrete-time k -positive linear systems with applications to nonlinear systems,” *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, 2021, to appear.
- [22] P. Kunkel and V. Mehrmann, *Differential-Algebraic Equations: Analysis and Numerical Solution*, ser. EMS Textbooks in Mathematics. Zuerich, Switzerland: European Mathematical Society Publishing House, 2006.
- [23] F. Milano, I. Dassios, M. Liu, and G. Tzounas, *Eigenvalue Problems in Power Systems*. CRC Press, 2021.
- [24] P. Benner, M. Bollhofer, D. Kressner, C. Mehl, and T. Stykel, Eds., *Numerical Algebra, Matrix Theory, Differential-Algebraic Equations and Control Theory*. Springer, 2015.
- [25] A. Ben-Israel and T. N. E. Greville, *Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications*, 2nd ed. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag, 2003.
- [26] N. Karcanias and M. Mitrouli, “A matrix pencil based numerical method for the computation of the GCD of polynomials,” *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 977–981, 1994.
- [27] M. Mitrouli, N. Karcanias, and C. Koukouvinos, “Numerical performance of the matrix pencil algorithm computing the greatest common divisor of polynomials and comparison with other matrix-based methodologies,” *J. Computational Applied Math.*, vol. 76, no. 1-2, pp. 89–112, 1996.
- [28] G. I. Kalogeropoulos, A. D. Karageorgos, and A. A. Pantelous, “On the necessary and sufficient condition for a homogenous matrix pencil of a pair (F, G) to have zero or infinite elementary divisors.”
- [29] N. Karcanias and G. Kalogeropoulos, “Geometric theory and feedback invariants of generalized linear systems: a matrix pencil approach,” *Circuits, Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 375–397, 1989.

- [30] S. Iwata, “Computing the maximum degree of minors in matrix pencils via combinatorial relaxation,” *Algorithmica*, vol. 36, p. 331–341, 2003.
- [31] G. Golub and C. Van Loan, *Matrix Computations*, 4th ed., ser. Johns Hopkins Studies in the Mathematical Sciences. Johns Hopkins University Press, 2013.
- [32] M. P. Drazin, “Pseudo-inverses in associative rings and semigroups,” *The American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 65, no. 7, p. 506–514, 1958.
- [33] A. A. Belov, O. G. Andrianova, and A. P. Kurdyukov, *Control of Discrete-Time Descriptor Systems: An Anisotropy-Based Approach*, ser. Studies in Systems, Decision and Control. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2018.
- [34] E. Weiss and M. Margaliot, “A generalization of linear positive systems with applications to nonlinear systems: Invariant sets and the Poincaré–Bendixson property,” *Automatica*, vol. 123, p. 109358, 2021.