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Abstract

The paper continues our previous study of complex polymers with two branching points of functionalities $f_1$ and $f_2$ known as pom-pom molecules \cite{1}. Here, we analyze the asymmetric case, when $f_1 \neq f_2$. Applying both the analytical approach, based on direct polymer renormalization, and computer simulations, we obtained the quantitative estimates for the set of universal size and shape characteristics of molecule as well as its individual branches. The main attention is pointed towards the impact of asymmetric architecture of molecule on its behaviour in a good solvent regime. In particular, we evaluate the size ratio of the gyration radii of symmetric and asymmetric pom-pom topologies of the same total molecular weight and quantitatively reveal an increase of effective size of molecule caused by anisotropy. We estimated the shift of center of mass position caused by presence of side stars which can serve as another characteristic of the asymmetry of pom-pom structure.
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1. Introduction

The study of macromolecules of hyperbranched structure is of great interest both from academic and commercial points of view. Typical examples are commercial low density polyethylene (LDPE), which consists of linear polyethylene backbones with attached alkyl branches \cite{2,3}. The multiple long-chain branches influence results e.g. in considerable lowering the viscosity of the melts of such molecules due to mutual entanglement \cite{4}. In general, the properties of such macromolecules are determined by the competition between the steric repulsion between the side chains and the configurational entropy of the main backbone:
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varying the density of the side chains and their length, the effective stiffness can be controlled over a wide range.

The simplest model of polymer architecture that correctly captures the characteristics of commercial long-chain branched polymers is the pom-pom structure \([5]\). It is assumed to consist of a backbone linear chain with two terminal branching points of functionalities \(f_1\) and \(f_2\), respectively. The rheological properties of these structures were investigated in Refs. \([4, 6, 7, 8]\). In our study, we are concentrated on the conformational properties of such macromolecules in a dilute regime. In our proceeding work \([1]\), we studied the simplified symmetric case with \(f_1 = f_2\). The main attention was focused on a set of universal conformational characteristics of macromolecules, which are independent on any details of chemical structure and are governed only by so-called global parameters. In particular, to estimate the impact of the complex topology of molecule on its effective size measure in a solvent, it is useful to consider the so-called size ratio \(g\) of mean-squared gyration radii of the complex molecule \(\langle R_g^2\rangle_{\text{complex}}\) and that of the simplest linear polymer chain \(\langle R_g^2\rangle_{\text{chain}}\) of the same total molecular weight \([9]\). For the case of pom-pom topology, in the simplest case of Gaussian polymers without monomer-monomer excluded volume one observes \([9, 10]\):

\[
g_{f_1, f_2} = \frac{3(f_1^2 + f_2^2) + 4(f_1 + f_2) + 12f_1f_2 + 1}{(f_1 + f_2 + 1)^2}.
\]  

(1)

More subtle universal characteristics, specific to branched polymers, are e.g. the individual branch swelling ratios \(g(f)\) defined as the ratio of the averaged gyration radii of side or backbone branches and that of linear chain. These ratios characterize the averaged effect of crowdedness caused by a presence of all other branches on a size of a given individual branch. Applying both the theoretical approach and the computer simulations we quantitatively described the stretch and “compactization” of the symmetric pom-pom polymer as a whole and of its individual branches that belong to poms and to its backbone by means of 9 universal size ratios \([1]\). In the present paper, we aim to continue this analysis by investigating the impact of an asymmetrical topology of pom-pom molecule with \(f_1 \neq f_2\) on its swelling properties in solvent by evaluating the corresponding size ratios. We see at least two experimental situations, when such asymmetry can appear. The first one covers the case of precisely controlled synthetic protocol and intentional synthesis of an asymmetric polymer for special purpose (e.g. asymmetrical shape, specific behavior under a flow, etc.). The second one is related to the synthetic protocol, which generates some level of polydispersity in the functionality of the terminal beads.

The layout of the rest of the paper is as follows. Sections \([2, 3]\) provide a brief encounter with both analytical and numerical methods used in our study. We introduce the continuous and the random-flight model of a polymer, describe the direct polymer renormalization approach; the numerical methods based on dissipative particle dynamics and lattice pivot algorithm are introduced as well. In the following Section \([4]\) we give the set of our results, based on approaches described. The conclusions and an outlook are provided in Section \([5]\).
2. The methods: Analytical approach

2.1. Continuous chain model

Pom-pom polymer is described as a set of trajectories in continuous space, otherwise known as continuous chain model\[11\]. Each of the trajectories is of the length $L$ and is parametrised by radius vector $\mathbf{r}(s)$ with $s$ changing from 0 to $L$. The hamiltonian of this model can be written as:

$$
H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{F} \int_{0}^{L} ds \left( \frac{d\mathbf{r}_i(s)}{ds} \right)^2 + \frac{u}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{F} \int_{0}^{L} ds' \int_{0}^{L} ds'' \delta(\mathbf{r}_i(s') - \mathbf{r}_j(s'')),
$$

(2)

here $F = f_1 + f_2 + 1$ is a number of trajectories, first term in the expression represents a connectivity of each trajectory and the second one describes a two point interaction with a coupling constant $u$ known as excluded volume interaction\[12\].

It is a well known property of the model that all topologies are described by the same hamiltonian\[13, 14, 15\] and differ on the level on partition function, which for the pom-pom case reads:

$$
Z_{\text{pom}} = \frac{1}{Z_0} \prod_{i=1}^{f_1} \prod_{j=1}^{f_2} \int D\mathbf{r}(s) \delta(\mathbf{r}_i(0) - \mathbf{r}_0(0)) \times
$$

$$
\times \delta(\mathbf{r}_j(L) - \mathbf{r}_0(L)) e^{-H},
$$

(3)

here the first set of delta function places $f_1$ trajectories at the start of 0th trajectory and the second one places a set of $f_2$ trajectories at its end.

2.2. Direct renormalization scheme

Observables calculated in continuous chain model depend on the chain length $L$ and diverge in the limit $L \to \infty$. Those divergencies have to be removed in order to receive universal values for the observables in question. In this work we use the des Cloiseaux’s direct renormalization scheme\[12\], that is introducing a set of renormalization factors that are directly connected to the physical quantities to the remove those divergencies.

Due to the fact that different topologies are presented into the model through partition function and the hamiltonian of the model contains only interactions, the fixed points of renormalization scheme, once calculated for a particular hamiltonian, do not depend on the topology of the molecule under consideration, so to get the fixed points of the model it is enough to make the calculations for the simplest case.

Starting with the calculation of the partition function of two interacting polymers $Z(L, L)$ the method then introduces the renormalization factors that are connected with the number of allowed trajectories (the partition function of
a single chain) \( [Z(L, u_0)]^{-2} \) and with its characteristic size which is represented by the end-to-end distance \( \langle R^2_e \rangle \) or more precisely a swelling factor \( \chi_0(L, \{x_0\}) \) defined as \( \chi_0 = \langle R^2_e \rangle / L \). Those functions allow to introduce a renormalized coupling constant:

\[
u_R(u_0) = -[Z(L, u_0)]^{-2}Z(L, L)[2\pi\chi_0(L, u_0)]^{-2+\epsilon/2}
\]

with \( \epsilon \) being a deviation from the upper critical dimension for the coupling constant \( u_0 \).

In the limit of infinitely long chains the dimensionless coupling constant \( u_0 = u(2\pi)^{-d/2}L^{2-d/2} \) diverges unlike the renormalized one \( u_R \) that in the same limit reaches a fixed value:

\[
\lim_{L \to \infty} u_R(u_0) = u_R^*.
\]

In practice the value \( u_R^* \) is calculated from equation:

\[
\beta u_R = 2L \frac{\partial u_R(u_0)}{\partial L} = 0,
\]

For the model under consideration those solutions are well known [12]:

Gaussian: \( u_R^* = 0 \),

Pure: \( u_R^* = \frac{\epsilon}{8} \),

the first one describes a Gaussian case, and the second one is a coupling constant for the model with excluded volume interaction.

2.3. Random-flight model

Within the random flight model [10], each the \( N \)-monomer linear chain in complex polymer structure is considered as a consequence of \( N \) bond vectors \( \sigma_k \), with \( 0 \leq k \leq N \) relatively ot 0 (the reference point). Let us consider the reference point of pom-pom structure to be put in the center of the backbone chain. Let us denote by \( \overrightarrow{R_{cln}} \) and \( \overrightarrow{R_{crn}} \) the position vectors of segments in central chain to the right and to the left from the reference point (considered as 0), correspondingly. They can be expressed as sums over the set of corresponding bond vectors:

\[
\overrightarrow{R_{cln}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \overrightarrow{\sigma_{clk}}, \quad \overrightarrow{R_{crn}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \overrightarrow{\sigma_{crk}}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq \frac{N}{2}.
\]
Similarly, let $\mathbf{R}_{\text{pl}i}^{\gamma}$ and $\mathbf{R}_{\text{pr}i}^{\gamma}$ be the position vectors of monomers in left and right side stars (poms), correspondingly, so that

$$\mathbf{R}_{\text{pl}i}^{\gamma} = \frac{N}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N/2} \mathbf{\sigma}_{\text{cl}k} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{\sigma}_{\text{pl}i,k}, i = 1, \ldots, f_1, \quad (10)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\text{pr}i}^{\gamma} = \frac{N}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N/2} \mathbf{\sigma}_{\text{cr}k} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{\sigma}_{\text{pr}i,k}, i = 1, \ldots, f_2, \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{N}{2} \leq n \leq N + \frac{N}{2}.$$ 

All the bond vectors are assumed to have the equal length $l$ and are connected to each other by unrestricted joints. The direction of each bond is random and independent of the directions of its neighbours. Thus, performing averaging over an ensemble of possible bond configurations, we have

$$\langle \mathbf{\sigma}_k \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \mathbf{\sigma}_k^2 \rangle = l^2, \quad (12)$$

$$\langle \mathbf{\sigma}_{\text{cl}k}^i \mathbf{\sigma}_{\text{cr}m}^j \rangle = l^2 \delta_{ij} \delta_{km} \delta_{ab}, \{a, b\} \in \{\text{cl}, \text{cr}, \text{pl}, \text{pr}\} \quad (13)$$

with $\delta$ being the Kronecker delta. In what follows, we take $l = 1$.

Note that the polymer molecule in this model is assumed to capture Gaussian statistics, i.e. the excluded volume (self-avoidance of segments) is ignored.

3. The methods: Numerical approaches

3.1. Lattice model and Pivot algorithm

Numerical simulations for a pom-pom polymer are performed within the lattice model of self-avoiding walk (SAW) on simple cubic lattice via pivot algorithm [17, 18]. Each the trajectory is not allowed to visit the same site twice as well as the sites that are occupied by other trajectories. In total we consider $f_1 + f_2 + 1$ walks, so that $f_1 + 1$ of them start at the origin and $f_2$ – at the end of walk numbered as 0th. We consider both branching parameters $f_1$ and $f_2$ to change from 1 to 5 with all walks being of the same length and reaching up to
\( N = 100 \) steps making a total amount of steps in pom-pom to be \( N(f_1 + f_2 + 1) \). Then the pivot algorithm is applied again to receive new configuration. We start with initial configuration being a set of strait lines. Then a randomly chosen transformation is applied to the part of walk. If the new trajectory is acceptable under the self-avoidance rules, the new conformation is taken into account; if not – the previous one is accounted for one more time. Then another pivot operation is performed at a new randomly chosen site. The first \( 20N(f_1 + f_2 + 1) \) operations are rejected and the next \( 10^5 \) are used for calculation of observables. All observables are received as an arithmetic average over set of structures build in simulations. Numerical modeling is performed for \( N \) varying from 10 to 100 increasing by a step of ten. The snapshots of configurations are presented on figure 1.

3.2. Dissipative particle dynamics simulations

Our study is is based on a mesoscopic simulation technique - dissipative particle dynamics (DPD) [19]. In this method, the polymer and solvent molecules are modeled as soft beads of equal size, each representing a group of atoms. The length-scale is given by the diameter of a soft bead, and the energy unit is \( k_B T \), where \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant and \( T \) is the temperature. Monomers in a polymer chain are bonded via harmonic springs resulting in a force:

\[
F_{ij}^B = -k x_{ij},
\]

where \( k \) is the spring constant, and \( x_{ij} = x_i - x_j \), \( x_i \) and \( x_j \) are the coordinates of \( i \)th and \( j \)th bead, respectively. The non-bonding force \( F_{ij} \) acting on the \( i \)th bead as the result of its interaction with its \( j \)th counterpart is expressed as a sum of three contributions

\[
F_{ij} = F_{ij}^C + F_{ij}^D + F_{ij}^R,
\]

where \( F_{ij}^C \) is the conservative force responsible for the repulsion between the beads, \( F_{ij}^D \) is the dissipative force mimicking the friction between them and the random force \( F_{ij}^R \) works in pair with a dissipative force to thermostat the system. The expression for all these three contribution are given below [19]

\[
F_{ij}^C = \begin{cases} \alpha(1-x_{ij}) \frac{x_{ij}}{x_{ij}}, & x_{ij} < 1, \\ 0, & x_{ij} \geq 1, \end{cases}
\]

\[
F_{ij}^D = -\gamma w^D(x_{ij})(x_{ij} \cdot v_{ij}) \frac{x_{ij}}{x_{ij}},
\]

\[
F_{ij}^R = \sigma w^R(x_{ij}) \theta_{ij} \Delta t^{-1/2} \frac{x_{ij}}{x_{ij}},
\]

where \( \alpha \) is the amplitude for the conservative repulsive force, \( x_{ij} = |x_{ij}| \), \( v_{ij} = v_i - v_j \), \( v_i \) is the velocity of the \( i \)th bead. The dissipative force has an amplitude \( \gamma \) and decays with distance according to the weight function \( w^D(x_{ij}) \).
The amplitude for the random force is $\sigma$ and the respective weight function is $w^R(x_{ij})$. $\theta_{ij}$ is the Gaussian random variable. As was shown by Español and Warren [20], to satisfy the detailed balance requirement, the amplitudes and weight functions for the dissipative and random forces should be interrelated: $\sigma^2 = 2\gamma$ (we choose $\gamma = 6.75$, $\sigma = \sqrt{2\gamma} = 3.67$ here) and $w^D(x_{ij}) = \left[w^R(x_{ij})\right]^2$. Here we use the weight functions quadratically decaying with a distance:

$$w^D(x_{ij}) = \left[w^R(x_{ij})\right]^2 = \begin{cases} (1 - x_{ij})^2, & x_{ij} < 1, \\ 0, & x_{ij} \geq 1. \end{cases}$$ \hspace{1cm} (19)

The reduced density of the system is $\rho^* = N/V = 3$, where $N$ is the total number of beads (pom-pom polymer and solvent) in a system and $V$ is the volume of a system. We consider the case of a single pom-pom polymer within a simulation box, which reproduces the conditions of an infinite dilution. To avoid possible artifacts of the periodic boundary conditions, the size of a cubic simulation box was chosen to be: $1.75(3N_f - 1)^{0.59}$, where $N_f$ is a number of beads in a single arm of a pom-pom polymer.

The total number of branches was fixed at $F = f_1 + f_2 + 1 = 15$ in all cases. Two cases of the arm length, $N_f = 8$ and $N_f = 16$ were examined and, similarly to the case of a symmetric pom-pom polymer [1], the results were found to agree within the accuracy of the simulations. Therefore, we restricted the data presented in this study to the case of $N_f = 8$ only. The case of athermal solvent is assumed, where the amplitude $a$ of a repulsive force in Eq. (16) is set equal to 25 for all combinations of the interacting beads: polymer-polymer, solvent-solvent and polymer-solvent.

The time-step is 0.04 in reduced units. The first $5 \cdot 10^5$ steps are allowed for the system equilibration and are skipped from the analysis. The productive runs span from $4 \cdot 10^5$ up to the maximal simulation step in each case. The error estimates are made by splitting the whole productive run in four equal pieces and evaluation the partial averages $A_i, i = 1-4$ for a given property $A$ in each of them. The final average is $\langle A \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4} A_i$, whereas the conservative estimate for the standard error is assumed as $e(A) = \left(\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4} (A_i - \langle A \rangle)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

4. Results

4.1. Analytical results

4.1.1. Partition function calculation

We start with calculating the expression for the partition function as a series over coupling constant $u$, considered to provide a smaller contribution in comparison to the Gaussian term. We limit this calculation to the linear term
over \( u \), that corresponds to the one loop approximation of the model:

\[
Z_{f_1, f_2}^{\text{pom-pom}} = \frac{1}{Z_0} \prod_{i=1}^{f_1} \prod_{j=1}^{f_2} \int D\vec{r}(s) \delta(\vec{r}_i(0) - \vec{r}_j(0)) \times \]
\[
\times \delta(\vec{r}_i(L) - \vec{r}_j(0)) \left( e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{f_1} \int_0^{L} ds \left( \frac{d\vec{r}(s)}{ds} \right)^2} - \right.
\]
\[
- \frac{u}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{f_1} \int_0^{L} ds' \int_0^{L} ds'' \delta(\vec{r}_i(s') - \vec{r}_j(s'')) \times
\]
\[
\times e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{f_1} \int_0^{L} ds \left( \frac{d\vec{r}(s)}{ds} \right)^2} \right)
\]

\( (20) \)

where \( Z_0 = \prod_{i=1}^{f_1} \prod_{j=1}^{f_2} \int D\vec{r}(s) \delta(\vec{r}_i(0) - \vec{r}_j(0))\delta(\vec{r}_i(L) - \vec{r}_j(0))e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{f_1} \int_0^{L} ds \left( \frac{d\vec{r}(s)}{ds} \right)^2} \)

is a partition function of a pom-pom polymer without excluded volume interactions. In order to calculate contribution from the second term in (20) we consider a set of diagrams presented on Fig. 2. It is important to note that diagram \( Z_1 \) is describing the excluded volume interaction within one trajectory and needs to be taken into account with the pre-factor \( f_1 + f_2 + 1 \), diagram \( Z_2 \) correspond to the contributions from all the interactions between two chains that are separated by one branching point and contains a pre-factor \( f_1 + f_2 + (f_1(f_1-1) + f_2(f_2-1))/2 \) and the last diagram corresponds to interactions between chains separated by both branching points and has a pre-factor \( f_1 f_2 \).

Using a Fourier presentation of \( \delta \)-function:

\[
\delta(\vec{r}_i(s') - \vec{r}_j(s'')) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int d\vec{p}^* e^{-i\vec{p}^* \cdot (\vec{r}_i(s') - \vec{r}_j(s''))} \quad (21)
\]

and calculating the contributions of all diagrams we receive the following ex-

Figure 2: Diagrammatic presentation of contributions into the partition function into one-loop approximation. The solid lines are schematic presentations of polymer path each of length \( L \) and dash line represents a two monomer excluded volume interaction.
pressions:

\[ Z_1 = \frac{u(2\pi)^{-d/2}L^{2-d/2}}{(1-d/2)(2-d/2)^2}, \]  
\[ Z_2 = \frac{u(2\pi)^{-d/2}L^{2-d/2}(2^{2-d/2}-2)}{(1-d/2)(2-d/2)}, \]  
\[ Z_3 = \frac{u(2\pi)^{-d/2}L^{2-d/2}(3^{2-d/2}-2^{2-d/2}+1)}{(1-d/2)(2-d/2)}. \]  

As it was mention in the previous section we consider all the observables as series over a deviation from upper critical dimension \( \epsilon = 4-d \), so the expressions for the diagrams will read:

\[ Z_1 = -\frac{2}{\epsilon} - 1, \]  
\[ Z_2 = -\frac{2}{\epsilon} - 1 - \ln(2), \]  
\[ Z_3 = 2 \ln(2) - \ln(3). \]

Putting it all together we receive the expression for the partition function of pom-pom molecule in one-loop approximation

\[ Z = 1 - u_0 \left( \frac{f_1(f_1 - 1) + f_2(f_2 - 1) - 2}{\epsilon} \right. \]

\[ + \left( f_1 + f_2 + \frac{(f_1(f_1 - 1) + f_2(f_2 - 1))}{2}(1 - \ln(2)) \right. \]

\[ + f_1 f_2(2 \ln(2) - \ln(3)) - f_1 - f_2 - 1 \]  
\[ \right) \]

where \( u_0 = u(2\pi)^{-d/2}L^{2-d/2} \) is a dimensionless coupling constant.

All the observables calculated below are averaged over an ensemble of all possible conformations with the partition function (28):

\[ \langle \ldots \rangle = \frac{1}{Z_{pom}^{f_1 f_2}} \prod_{i=1}^{f_1} \prod_{j=1}^{f_2} \int D\vec{r}(s) \times \]

\[ \times \delta(\vec{r}_i(0) - \vec{r}_0(0))\delta(\vec{r}_j(0) - \vec{r}_0(L)) e^{-H}(\ldots). \]  

4.2. Size characteristics

There are a number of size characteristics that can be defined for pom-pom polymer. A wide list of them were calculated for a symmetric case in our previous study [1]. Here, we introduce a number of new characteristics that allow us to describe the asymmetry of pom-pom molecule when \( f_1 \neq f_2 \). The gyration radius of the structure is defined as:

\[ \langle R_g^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2L^2(F)^2} \times \]

\[ \sum_{i,j=1}^{F} \int_0^L ds_1 \int_0^L ds_2 ((\vec{r}_i(s_2) - \vec{r}_j(s_1))^2). \]
Figure 3: Diagrammatic presentation of contribution into $\xi(\vec{k})$ in Gaussian approximation. The solid lines are schematic presentations of polymer paths each of length $L$ and arrows represents so-called restriction points $s_1$ and $s_2$.

where $s_2$ and $s_1$ are “monomer numbers” along the chain between which an average square distance is calculated. They are often called restriction points. In order to calculate this quantity we use the identity:

$$\langle (\vec{r}_i(s_2) - \vec{r}_j(s_1))^2 \rangle = -2 \frac{d}{d|\vec{k}|^2} \xi(\vec{k})|_{\vec{k}=0},$$

$$\xi(\vec{k}) \equiv \langle e^{-i\vec{k}(\vec{r}_i(s_2) - \vec{r}_j(s_1))} \rangle. \quad (31)$$

and evaluate $\xi(\vec{k})$ in path integration approach. In calculations of the contributions into $\xi(\vec{k})$, it is convenient to use the diagrammatic presentation, as given in Figs. 3 for the Gaussian chain.

It is interesting to note that diagrams on Figs. 2 and 3 look similar with only difference that in first case we consider them with interactions and in second with the restriction points, however the pre-factor will be the same and the expression for the gyration radius in Gaussian approximation reads:

$$\langle R_{g,pom-pom}^2 \rangle_0 = \frac{dL}{6(F)^2} \left( 3f_1^2 + 3f_2^2 + 4f_1 + 4f_2 + 12f_1f_2 + 1 \right).$$

Considering all possible combinations for diagrams on Figs. 2 and 3 a contribution into $\xi(\vec{k})$ the one-loop approximation is received:

$$\langle R_{g,pom-pom}^2 \rangle = \langle R_{g,pom-pom}^2 \rangle_0 (1 + u_0C_g(f_1, f_2, d)) \quad (32)$$

An expression for $C_g$ is provided in the appendix. In case of $f_1 = f_2$ we recover our previous result for symmetric case [1].

We introduce another characteristic that caches the asymmetry of the structure – an average square distance of the monomer from the branching point. In
case of the pom-pom we have to define two of them:

\[
\langle r^2_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{F L} \sum_{i=1}^{f_1+f_2+1} \int_0^L ds \langle (\vec{r}_i(s) - \vec{r}_0(0))^2 \rangle,
\]

\[
\langle r^2_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{F L} \sum_{i=1}^{f_1+f_2+1} \int_0^L ds \langle (\vec{r}_i(s) - \vec{r}_0(L))^2 \rangle,
\]

In evaluating these parameters we again use the diagrammatic technique and consider a set of diagrams similar to those for a gyration radius but with one of the restriction points permanently fixed at the branching point. The expressions in Gaussian approximation read:

\[
\langle r^2_1 \rangle = \frac{dL(f_1 + 1 + 3f_2)}{2F},
\]

\[
\langle r^2_2 \rangle = \frac{dL(f_2 + 1 + 3f_1)}{2F}.
\]

Similarly, the expressions in one loop approximation can be presented in general form:

\[
\langle r^2_1 \rangle = \frac{dL(f_1 + 1 + 3f_2)}{2F} (1 + u_0 C_1(f_1, f_2, d)),
\]

\[
\langle r^2_2 \rangle = \frac{dL(f_2 + 1 + 3f_1)}{2F} (1 + u_0 C_2(f_1, f_2, d)),
\]

with \(C_1(f_1, f_2, d)\) and \(C_2(f_1, f_2, d)\) being the functions of the space dimension and topology which are provided in the Appendix.

4.3. Universal size ratios

For the purpose of describing an effects of asymmetry on the size and shape of pom-pom structure we consider a set of size ratios. We start by considering the ratios that compare the size of the asymmetric pom-pom to the other topologies: a linear polymer chain, a star, and a symmetric pom-pom of the same total molecular weight, defined correspondingly according to:

\[
g_c = \frac{\langle R^2_{g,pom-pom} \rangle}{\langle R^2_{g,chain} \rangle},
\]

\[
g_s = \frac{\langle R^2_{g,star} \rangle}{\langle R^2_{g,pom-pom} \rangle},
\]

\[
g_p = \frac{\langle R^2_{g,pom-pom-sym} \rangle}{\langle R^2_{g,pom-pom} \rangle}.
\]
Within the renormalization group approach the quantities are presented as series over the deviations from the upper critical dimensionality $\epsilon = 4 - d$

$$
\langle R^2_{g,\text{chain}} \rangle = \frac{LdF}{6} \left( 1 + \frac{2u_0}{\epsilon} + u_0A_g(0,0) \right), \quad (42)
$$

$$
\langle R^2_{g,\text{star}} \rangle = \frac{Ld(3F - 2)}{6F} \times \left( 1 + \frac{2u_0}{\epsilon} + u_0A_g(F - 1,0) \right), \quad (43)
$$

$$
\langle R^2_{g,\text{pom}} \rangle = \frac{Ld(18f^2 + 8f + 1)}{6F^2} \times \left( 1 + \frac{2u_0}{\epsilon} + u_0A_g(f, f) \right), \quad (44)
$$

$$
\langle R^2_{g,\text{pom}} \rangle = \langle R^2_{g,\text{pom}} \rangle_0 \times \left( 1 + \frac{2u_0}{\epsilon} + u_0A_g(f_1, f_2) \right), \quad (45)
$$

with $A_g(0,0), A_g(f, f), A_g(f_1, f_2)$ being the functions listed in Appendix. Note that with the first two expressions above we reproduce the well known results for the chain [12] and star [14] gyration radii.

The final expressions for the size ratios (39,40,41) thus read:

$$
g_c = \frac{6\langle R^2_{g,\text{pom}} \rangle}{LdF} \left( 1 + \epsilon \left( \frac{4}{8}(A_g(f_1, f_2) - A_g(0,0)) \right) \right), \quad (46)
$$

$$
g_s = \frac{Ld(3F - 2)}{6F \langle R^2_{g,\text{pom}} \rangle} \times \left( 1 + \epsilon \left( \frac{4}{8}(A_g(F - 1,0) - A_g(f_1, f_2)) \right) \right), \quad (47)
$$

$$
g_p = \frac{6F^2 \langle R^2_{g,\text{pom}} \rangle}{Ld(18f^2 + 8f + 1)} \times \left( 1 + \epsilon \left( \frac{4}{8}(A_g(f_1, f_2) - A_g(f, f)) \right) \right), \quad (48)
$$

where $u_0$ is replaced by the fixed point $8$.

Note that being the first order expansions of perturbation theory, expressions like (46,47,48) give in general rather a qualitative then the quantitative information. Within the renormalization group approach, it is a well known fact that we have to consider at least the second order in $\epsilon$ to get the quantitatively good values, which require calculations of terms up to $u_0^2$ since $u_0 \sim \epsilon$. Those calculations in case of branched structures are met with a set of challenges, in particular since the total number of diagrams grows exponentially and leads to cumbersome expressions difficult to handle analytically. In this concern, it is useful to use the approximation proposed in Ref. [21], based on general idea
of presenting the gyration radius in a form:

$$\langle R_g^2 \rangle = \langle R_g^2 \rangle_0 \left( \frac{2\pi N}{\Lambda} \right)^{2\nu(\eta)-1} f_p(\eta), \quad (49)$$

with $N$ being the number of monomers, $\Lambda$ a coarse-graining length scale. Parameter $\eta$ here defines the transition from the Gaussian case at $\eta = 0$ ($\nu(\eta) = 1/2$ and $f_p(\eta) = 1$) to the polymer with excluded volume interaction at $\eta \to \infty$ ($\nu(\eta) = \nu$ and $f_p(\eta) = 1 + \alpha$). Since only the factors $\langle R_g^2 \rangle_0$ and $f_p(\eta)$ depend on the polymer topology, the size ratio can be presented as:

$$g_z = \frac{\langle R_{g,1}^2 \rangle_0}{\langle R_{g,2}^2 \rangle_0} \frac{1 + a_1}{1 + a_2} \quad (50)$$

with $\langle R_{g,1}^2 \rangle_0 / \langle R_{g,2}^2 \rangle_0$ being the ratio between topologies “1” and “2” in Gaussian approximation. And the parameters $a_1$, $a_2$ are calculated for these topologies using an expression:

$$a = \frac{3}{32} \frac{C_g(f_1, f_2, d = 3)}{F^{\frac{d-2}{d}}} - \frac{1}{4} \quad (51)$$

This approximation allows us partially account for the higher orders in $\epsilon$ in $C_g$ expansion that are not included in (46,47,48) but will play an important role in higher orders of renormalization group calculations.

The ratios (39,40,41) allow us to compare the characteristic sizes of different topologies but do not characterize deeply the asymmetric properties of the structure under consideration. For that purpose we propose to consider a following asymmetry ratio:

$$g_{sym} = \frac{\langle r_1^2 \rangle - \langle r_2^2 \rangle}{\langle r_2^2 \rangle - \langle r_1^2 \rangle}, \quad (52)$$

with $\langle r_1^2 \rangle$ and $\langle r_2^2 \rangle$ given by Eqs. (33), (34). This quantity is defined in a way that it equals 0 when $f_1 = f_2$ and its maximum corresponds to the most asymmetric case when $f_1 = 1$ and $f_2 = F - 2$ or vice versa. In Gaussian approximation the corresponding expression reads:

$$g_{sym} = \frac{(4(2f_1 + 1 + 2f_2))(f_2 - f_1)}{(f_2 + 1 + 3f_1)(f_1 + 1 + 3f_2)} \quad (53)$$

4.4. Center of mass

In analysis of the hydrodynamic properties of solutions of polymers it is useful to represent the individual macromolecules as soft particles with the effective pair interaction forces acting only between single interaction sites within each polymer [22, 23, 24]. A mostly natural choice of this single interaction site is the center of mass (CM) of the polymers. In this concern, evaluation of CM position of complex polymer structures as well as distribution of monomers around it is of interest [25, 26]. For evaluation the quantities presented in this subsection,
the random-flight model (section 2.3) approach is mostly convenient. The center of mass of a molecule is located at:

$$\vec{R}_{CM} = \frac{1}{N(f_1 + f_2 + 1)} \left[ \frac{N/2}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N/2} \left( \vec{R}_{cln} + \vec{R}_{crn} \right) + \sum_{n=N/2}^{N+N/2} \left( f_1 \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \vec{R}_{pln} + f_2 \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \vec{R}_{prn} \right) \right].$$ (54)

Expressing the position vectors as sums of bond vectors (Eqs. (9)-(11)), we have

$$\vec{R}_{CM} = \frac{1}{N(f_1 + f_2 + 1)} \left[ \frac{N/2}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N/2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \vec{\sigma}_{clk} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \vec{\sigma}_{crk} \right) + \sum_{n=N/2}^{N+N/2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N/2} \sum_{i=1}^{f_1} \vec{\sigma}_{clk} + \sum_{k=1}^{N/2} \sum_{i=1}^{f_2} \vec{\sigma}_{crk} \right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{N(f_1 + f_2 + 1)} \left[ \frac{N/2}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N/2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \vec{\sigma}_{cln} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \vec{\sigma}_{crn} \right) \left( \frac{N}{2} - n \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{f_1} \left( \frac{N}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N/2} \vec{\sigma}_{cln} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \vec{\sigma}_{pln} (N - n) \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{f_2} \left( \frac{N}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N/2} \vec{\sigma}_{crn} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \vec{\sigma}_{prn} (N - n) \right) \right].$$ (55)

Taking into account properties (12) and (13), we obtain an expression for the mean-squared location of the center of mass:

$$\langle \vec{R}_{CM}^2 \rangle_{pom-pom} = \frac{N \left[ 1 + 7(f_1 + f_2) + 6(f_1^2 + f_2^2) \right]}{12(f_1 + f_2 + 1)^2}. $$ (56)

In the limiting case $f_1 = 0, f_2 = 0$ we restore the expression for the center of mass of a single chain of length $N$ with a reference point in the middle of a chain:

$$\langle \vec{R}_{CM}^2 \rangle_{chain} = \frac{N}{12}. $$ (57)

Thus, we can introduce the universal ratio:

$$g_{CM} = \frac{\langle \vec{R}_{CM}^2 \rangle_{chain}}{\langle \vec{R}_{CM}^2 \rangle_{pom-pom}} = \frac{(f_1 + f_2 + 1)^2}{1 + 7(f_1 + f_2) + 6(f_1^2 + f_2^2)}. $$ (58)
This quantity gives a quantitative estimate of the shift of center of mass position caused by presence of side stars (see Fig. 4) and can serve as another characteristic of the asymmetry of pom-pom structure.
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**Figure 4**: Universal ratio of the central of mass positions of the pom-pom and chain polymers of the same molecular weight, as given by Eq. 58, for the cases when \( f = f_1 + f_2 + 1 = 7 \), as function of \( f_2 \).

On the basis of data received in simulations we calculate size ratios for different values of \( N \), then an asymptotic value is estimated by the use of approximation:

\[
g = A + \frac{B}{N}
\]  

(59) - with \( A \) and \( B \) being fitting parameters.

Let us introduce the relative distances of the monomers \( n \) from the center of mass, which in general are given by:

\[
\overrightarrow{R}_{nCM} = \overrightarrow{R}_n - \overrightarrow{R}_CM.
\]  

(60)

Applying the scheme introduced above, we obtain expressions for the monomers
in central chain and branches in left and right poms, correspondingly:

\[
\langle \mathbf{R}_{nclCM}^2 \rangle = n \left( 1 - \frac{(2f_1 + 1)}{f_1 + f_2 + 1} + \frac{n}{(f_1 + f_2 + 1)N} \right) + \langle \mathbf{R}_{CM}^2 \rangle,
\]

\[
\langle \mathbf{R}_{netCM}^2 \rangle = n \left( 1 - \frac{(2f_2 + 1)}{f_1 + f_2 + 1} + \frac{n}{(f_1 + f_2 + 1)N} \right) + \langle \mathbf{R}_{CM}^2 \rangle,
\]

\[
\langle \mathbf{R}_{nplCM}^2 \rangle = n \left( 1 - \frac{3}{(f_1 + f_2 + 1)} + \frac{n}{(f_1 + f_2 + 1)N} \right) + \langle \mathbf{R}_{CM}^2 \rangle,
\]

\[
\langle \mathbf{R}_{nprCM}^2 \rangle = n \left( 1 - \frac{3}{(f_1 + f_2 + 1)} + \frac{n}{(f_1 + f_2 + 1)N} \right) + \langle \mathbf{R}_{CM}^2 \rangle.
\]

(61)

(62)

(63)

(64)

Figure 5: Size ratios \( g_c \) (top left), \( g_s \) (top right) and \( g_p \) (bottom) vs a branching parameter \( f_1 \). The total number of side chains is fixed at \( F = 7 \). Red open disks are the results of the DPD simulations, green squares – of the MC simulations using the pivot algorithm. Blue curve marked “RG” represents expression (70) evaluated at fixed point 8 for \( \epsilon = 1(d = 3) \). Red dash line show the results of the Douglas-Freed approximation and a black dot-dash line represents the Gaussian model.
4.5. Numerical results

The main focus of this study is on theoretical approach to the shape characteristics of asymmetric pom-pom polymers, whereas the DPD simulations and the MC studies were conducted to validate the theoretical results. To this end, a set of ratios between the dimensions of the asymmetrical pom-pom polymer and these for the equal molecular mass polymers of other topology, were compared. These are: the ratio between the gyration radius of asymmetrical pom-pom to that of a linear chain $g_c$ (39), of a star-like polymer (40) and of a symmetrical pom-pom polymer (41). The results of this comparison are shown in Fig. 5. Red open discs and green squares represent the DPD simulations and the MC study using Pivot algorithm, respectively. Red dash line stands for Douglas-Freed approximation, blue dash line is derived from the renormalization group and black dot-dash line corresponding to the Gaussian model. The data comparison shown in a top row of Fig. 5 (a) indicates a good agreement between the DPD, Douglas-Freed approximation and MC simulations, whereas the renormalization group demonstrates higher values of $g_c$ in this interval of $f_1$. The Gaussian model for this ratio shows lower value of $g_c$. The ratio $g_s$ (Fig. 5(b)) in the intervals of $f_1 = 1 - 4$ and $f_1 = 10 - 13$ shows very good agreement between DPD, Douglas-Freed approximation and the Gaussian model, whereas thr renormalization group demonstrates higher values of $g_s$. The results obtained for the ratio $g_p$ show a good agreement for the case where the pom-pom polymer is close to a symmetrical one ($f_1 = 5 - 9$).

5. Conclusions

We combined analytical studies and computer simulations to evaluate the set of universal characteristics of the asymmetric pom-pom polymers. Theoretical approach is based on direct polymer renormalization of the Edwards continuous chain model and random-flight model. Computer simulations employ the dissipative particle dynamics mesoscopic simulation and Pivot algorithm based on lattice model of polymer.

We considered the ratios that compare the size of the asymmetric pom-pom to the other topologies: the linear chain (defined by Eq. (39)), the star-like
polymer (Eq. 40) and a symmetric pom-pom (Eq. 41) of the same total molecular weight. Analysis of the last ratio quantitatively reveals an increase of effective size of molecule caused by anisotropy. To describe the degree of asymmetry of the characteristic shape of pom-pom structure in a solvent, we introduced the ratio (52) defined in a way that it will be 0 when $f_1 = f_2$ and the maximum values will correspond to the most asymmetric case when $f_1 = 1$ or viceversa. We introduced also the ratio of the mean-squared location of the center of mass of complex pom-pom structure and the linear chain (58). This quantity gives a quantitative estimate of the shift of center of mass position caused by presence of side stars and can serve as another characteristic of the asymmetry of pom-pom structure. Our analytical estimates for the universal ratios for the size characteristics obtained agree well with numerical results.
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Appendix

A list of expressions An expression for the contribution from one loop
approximation into the gyration radius:

\[-C_g(f_1, f_2, d) = \frac{2}{3f_1^2 + 12f_1f_2 + 3f_2^2 + 4f_1 + 4f_2 + 1} \times \]

\[
\left( 12f_1^2 f_2^2 \left( 2^{2-d} - 3^{1-d} - 1 \right) \right) + \\
\frac{2^3 - d}{(d - 10)(d - 6)d(d - 8)(d - 2)(d - 4)} \\
24(f_1 + f_2)(d^3 - 18d^2 + 40d - 448)f_2 f_1 2^{\frac{d}{3}} \\
\frac{72(f_1 + f_2)(d^3 - 18d^2 + 4d - 192)f_2 f_1 3^{\frac{d}{3}}}{(d - 2)d(d - 6)(d - 4)(d - 8)} \\
\frac{9f_1 f_2 3^{\frac{d}{2}} (7d^4 - 196d^3 + 1124d^2 + 16d - 38400)}{(d - 10)(d - 6)d(d - 8)(d - 2)(d - 4)} + \\
\frac{4(d - 12)(d^2 - 6d + 32)(f_1 + f_2)(f_1^2 - f_1 f_2 + f_2^2 - 1)}{(d - 2)d(d - 6)(d - 4)(d - 8)} + \\
\frac{6d - 26d + 136)(f_1^2 + f_2^2)}{(d - 4)(d - 10)(d - 6)(d - 8)} + \\
\frac{12(f_1 + f_2))(d^2 - 10d + 32)f_1 f_2}{(d - 2)(d - 4)(d - 6)} + \\
\frac{f_1 f_2(13d^4 - 364d^3 + 3788d^2 - 18512 + 38400)}{(d - 10)(d - 6)d(d - 8)(d - 2)(d - 4)} + \\
\frac{d^5 + 32d^4 + 300d^3 + 1024d^2 - 1088d}{((d - 10)(d - 4)2(d - 2)d(d - 6)(d - 8))} + \\
\frac{2^9 - d}{(d - 10)(d - 4)^2(d - 2)d(d - 6)(d - 8)} + \\
\frac{2^9 - d f_1(f_1 + 1)(47df_1 - 13d - 120f_1 + 120)}{(d - 10)(d - 4)^2(d - 2)d(d - 6)(d - 8)} + \\
\frac{2^9 - d f_2(f_2 + 1)(47df_2 - 13d - 120f_2 + 120)}{(d - 10)(d - 4)^2(d - 2)d(d - 6)(d - 8)} + \\
\frac{(2^{3-d} d^2 f_2(f_2 + 1)^2 + f_1(f_1 + 1)^2)}{(d - 10)(d - 4)^2(d - 2)d(d - 6)(d - 8)} \times \\
(d^3 - 32d^2 + 300d - 1024) \right)
(65)
An $\epsilon$-expansion for the gyration radius:

\[
A_g(f_1, f_2) = \frac{-13}{12} - (3f_1^2 + 12f_1f_2 + 3f_2^2 + 4f_1 + 4f_2 + 1)^{-1} \times \\
\left( \frac{f_1f_2}{2}(2f_1f_2 - 41f_1 - 41f_2 + 28) + \frac{13}{6} \\
- \frac{13(f_2 + 1)(3f_2^2 + 1)}{6} - \frac{13(f_1 + 1)}{6}(3f_1^2 + 1) + \\
+4\ln(2)(f_2(f_2 + 1)(3f_2 - 2) + f_1(f_1 + 1)(3f_1 - 2)) \\
-16\ln(2)f_1f_2(3f_2 + 3f_1 - 5) + \\
27\ln(3)f_1f_2(2f_2 + 2f_1 - 3) \right)
\]

\[
\langle r_1^2 \rangle = \frac{dL(f_1 + 1 + 3f_2)}{2F} \left( 1 + u_0 \left( \frac{2}{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{12(f_1 + 1 + 3f_2)} \right) \\
(12\ln(2)(2f_1^2 - 20f_1f_2 + 2f_1 + 7f_2) + 216\ln(3)f_1f_2 \\
-13f_1^2 - 40f_1f_2 - 27f_1 - 52f_2 - 14 - 12f_1f_2^2) \right)
\]

\[
\langle r_2^2 \rangle = \frac{dL(f_2 + 1 + 3f_1)}{2F} \left( 1 + u_0 \left( \frac{2}{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{12(f_2 + 1 + 3f_1)} \right) \\
(12\ln(2)(2f_2^2 - 20f_1f_2 + 2f_2 + 7f_1) + 216\ln(3)f_1f_2 \\
-13f_2^2 - 40f_1f_2 - 27f_2 - 52f_1 - 14 - 12f_2f_1^2) \right)
\]

\[
g_c = \frac{3f_1^2 + 3f_2^2 + 4f_1 + 4f_2 + 12f_1f_2 + 1}{(F)^3} (1 - u_0 \times \\
\left( \ln(F) + (3f_1^2 + 12f_1f_2 + 3f_2^2 + 4f_1 + 4f_2 + 1)^{-1} \times \\
\left( \frac{f_1f_2}{2}(2f_1f_2 - 41f_1 - 41f_2 + 28) + \frac{13}{6} \\
- \frac{13(f_2 + 1)}{6}(3f_2^2 + 1) - \frac{13(f_1 + 1)}{6}(3f_1^2 + 1) + \\
+4\ln(2)(f_2(f_2 + 1)(3f_2 - 2) + f_1(f_1 + 1)(3f_1 - 2)) \\
-16\ln(2)f_1f_2(3f_2 + 3f_1 - 5) + \\
27\ln(3)f_1f_2(2f_2 + 2f_1 - 3) \right) \right)
\]
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