



X-PIPS-M DATA SUMMARY

Progress through Calculus: 2017-19

Abstract

A compilation of descriptive statistics of responses to the X-PIPS-M suite of surveys for students, student instructors, and faculty instructors of introductory mathematics courses. Data were obtained from Precalculus, Calculus 1, and Calculus 2 courses at 12 universities during the 2017-18 and 2018-19 academic years, as part of the Progress through Calculus research project (NSF DUE IUSE #1430540).

Ciera Street, Naneh Apkarian, Jessica Gehrtz, Rachel Tremaine,
Victoria Barron, Matthew Voigt, & Jessica Ellis Hagman on
behalf of the Progress through Calculus Research Team.

Table of Contents

Overview / Introduction	3
Project Overview	3
Survey Design & Development	3
Data Collection & Participants	3
Reading this Report	4
S-PIPS-M: Student Survey Results	5
Student Identity & Individual Context	5
Gender	5
Race and Ethnicity	5
Sexual Orientation	6
Additional Context / Identity Markers.....	6
FAFSA and Pell Grants	7
Work Hours Per Week	7
Class Standing	7
STEM Intention	7
Math Preparation.....	8
Student Experiences	9
Percentages of Class Time	9
Percentages of Recitation/Lab Time.....	10
Overall Experience.....	10
Technology Use	12
Classroom Experience.....	13
Helpful Course Elements	18
Lab/Recitation Experience	19
Class Comparisons	23
Lab/Recitation Comparisons.....	25
Classroom Climate	26
Malleability of Math Ability	27
Mathematical Attitudes	27
U-GPIPS: Student Instructor Survey	29
Student Instructor Identity & Individual Context	29
Degree and Career Aspirations	29
Gender	30
Race and Ethnicity	30
Sexual Orientation.....	31
Additional Context / Identity Markers.....	31
Course Specific Information	32
Course Role.....	32
Decisions about the course.....	32

Percentages of Class Time	33
Classroom Experience.....	33
Comparisons	42
PIPS: Instructor Survey	43
Instructor Identity & Personal Context	43
Gender	43
Race and Ethnicity	43
Sexual Orientation.....	44
Additional Context / Identity Markers.....	44
Course-Specific Information	46
Course Decisions	46
Percentages of Class Time	47
Classroom Experience.....	47
Comparisons	56
Acknowledgement & References.....	57
Acknowledgements	57
References.....	57

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under grant DUE IUSE #1430540. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and project team and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

Overview / Introduction

Project Overview

Data for this report come from the *Progress through Calculus* (PtC) project (maa.org/PtC), which was sponsored by the National Science Foundation (DUE IUSE #1430540) and run with support from the Mathematical Association of America. The project focused on the Precalculus to Calculus 2 (P2C2) course sequence, which is the course sequence generally required of undergraduate STEM majors. This multiyear project, begun in 2015, consisted of two phases. Phase 1 involved a survey of all university mathematics departments in the United States. The aim of this phase was to catalogue common practices, and in particular, to document the prevalence of program characteristics previously shown to support student success in Calculus 1 ([Bressoud, Mesa, & Rasmussen, 2015](#)). Results of this first phase have been published as a journal article ([Rasmussen et al., 2019](#)) and in a technical report like this one ([Apkarian & Kirin, 2017](#)). Phase 2 of the PtC project consisted of multi-year case studies of 12 selected mathematics programs. This report summarizes responses to surveys collected as part of Phase 2.

Survey Design & Development

The surveys reported on here were co-developed with members of the SEMINAL project (aplu.org/SEMINAL), and the text of these questions, along with a report of the development process, is available as a white paper ([Apkarian et al., 2019](#)). Given this availability, we omit a lengthy discussion of the survey development in this technical summary report. In brief, the X-PIPS-M refers to a suite of instruments created from postsecondary instructional practice surveys (PIPS) about mathematics (the *M*) for different stakeholders (the *X*). The core of these surveys draws on the *Postsecondary Instructional Practices Survey* for STEM instructors which was developed elsewhere (Walter et al., 2016). Our version amended the original items slightly for the mathematical context and contributed additional questions about context and attitudes. We also developed versions specifically for students, faculty instructors, and student instructors to capture different aspects of introductory mathematics education. Surveys generally consisted of (1) questions about the participant, including demographic and contextual questions; and (2) questions about course delivery and experience.

Data Collection & Participants

Data were collected at 12 universities in each term of the 2017-18 academic year and the Fall term of 2019. Surveys were distributed to all instructors of, student instructors of, and students enrolled in mainstream P2C2 courses. Graduate students and undergraduate students who served as either instructor of record or teaching assistant were considered to be student instructors. For our purposes, “Precalculus” refers to courses which function as immediate prerequisites for single-variable calculus regardless of their official title; “Calculus 1” refers to a first course in single-variable calculus, and “Calculus 2” refers to subsequent courses in single variable calculus. The term “mainstream” indicates that a course functions as part of the prerequisite sequence for

additional upper-division mathematics courses; terminal courses that incorporate similar content were not included. Surveys were appropriately tailored to each context: students saw the exact name of their course throughout (indicated in this document as [course]) and students enrolled in courses without an accompanying lab/recitation component were not presented with questions about labs/recitations. Select items were presented based on responses to a previous question; this is indicated where relevant.

Surveys were distributed via email to all participants and the survey was completed electronically using Qualtrics. The research team contacted instructors and student instructors directly, while students were contacted by their instructors; this distribution was facilitated by course coordinators and program liaisons as fit the local context. Instructors were encouraged to offer extra credit to their students for accessing the survey, but this was not required and not all instructors offered such incentives.

Due to the study design and the nature of P2C2 programs, many participants appear more than once in the data. People are double counted if they responded multiple times, which might occur for (1) students across multiple terms; (2) instructors teaching more than one P2C2 course in a single term; (3) instructors teaching any P2C2 course across multiple terms in the study. For instructors who reported on more than one course they were teaching in a particular term, their demographic/contextual information is reported only once while each course-related response is reported separately. For people who responded to the survey multiple times for the same course in the same term, we marked these as duplicates and only report the responses from the most complete survey attempt for each participant.

Reading this Report

This report proceeds in three parts. The student survey (S-PIPS) is presented first, starting on page 5; student instructor survey (U-GPIPS) results begin on page 29; faculty instructors (PIPS) results begin on page 43. Within each, we report first on participant characteristics (demographics, identity, and context) and then on responses to the questions about course delivery and experiences. Participant characteristics are aggregated, while course specific responses are separated into responses pertaining to Precalculus, Calculus 1, and Calculus 2.

Some response options and Likert statements have been abbreviated in tables for readability. This is made clear in the text describing the survey item, and when questions or statements have been abbreviated, they are indicated with an asterisk (*). Exact wording of all items is available in the aforementioned white paper ([Apkarian et al., 2019](#)).

Depending on the survey question, tabulated data are reported in one of two ways to show both the numeric count and the proportion of respondents who selected particular choices. In condensed tables, responses are shown as *number (proportion)*; in extended tables a row of counts appears directly above a row of proportions. Proportions are either out of the total who responded to a particular question (single-response items) or out of the grand total (multiple-response items); any deviations from this are noted in the text.

S-PIPS-M: Student Survey Results

The S-PIPS data contain responses from 19,191 undergraduate students from 12 universities across the nation. We first present the self-identified demographic and contextual information of these students, followed by students' reports of their experiences in their P2C2 courses.

Student Identity & Individual Context

These questions asked about students' identity, including demographic information and academic context. Questions about Gender, Race and Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation were presented as "select all that apply" items with the option to self-identify descriptors not on our lists. We intentionally provided more nuanced options than are generally used in demographic reports (e.g., the US census) as we value the complex nature of identity. Responses to these items were recoded for interpretability in this report; details are provided alongside each item.

Gender

Do you consider yourself to be (Select all that apply):

Cisgender Man	Cisgender Woman	Gender Non-Binary	Transgender	Transgender Man	Transgender Woman	Not reported
10045	7972	39	48	14	9	1064
0.523	0.415	0.002	0.003	0.001	0.000	0.055

Survey response options: Man, Transgender, Woman, Not listed (please specify), Prefer not to disclose.

Selections of only Man, only Woman, or only Transgender are coded as Cisgender Man, Cisgender Woman, and Transgender respectively; selections of Transgender and Man or Transgender and Woman are coded as Transgender Man and Transgender Woman, respectively; selections of Man and Woman (with or without additional selections) or self-described non-binary gender identities (e.g., genderfluid, agender) are coded as Gender Non-Binary; selections of Prefer not to disclose and no selection were coded as Not reported.

Race and Ethnicity

Do you consider yourself to be (Select all that apply):

Asian	1449 (0.076)
Alaska Native or Native American	70 (0.004)
Black or African American	1474 (0.077)
Hispanic or Latinx	2137 (0.111)
Middle Eastern or North African	648 (0.034)
Multiple Race/Ethnicity	2044 (0.107)

Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	42 (0.002)
White	9962 (0.519)
Not reported	1365 (0.071)

Survey response options: Alaskan Native or Native American, Black or African American, Central Asian, East Asian, Hispanic or Latinx, Middle Eastern or North African, Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander, Southeast Asian, South Asian, White, Not listed (please specify), Prefer not to disclose.

Selections of more than one of Central Asian, East Asian, Southeast Asian, South Asian without any other choices are coded as Asian; selections of multiple responses (aside from the previous) are coded as Multiple Race/Ethnicity; selections of Prefer not to disclose and no selection are coded as Not reported.

Sexual Orientation

Do you consider yourself to be (Select all that apply):

Straight	Gay	Lesbian	Bisexual	Asexual	Queer	Queer+	Straight+	Not reported
15618	234	109	698	495	73	169	115	1680
0.814	0.012	0.006	0.036	0.026	0.004	0.009	0.006	0.088

Survey response options: Asexual, Bisexual, Gay, Lesbian, Queer, Straight (Heterosexual), Not listed (please specify), Prefer not to disclose.

Categories are based on research from Voigt (2020) where selections of exactly one listed option are not recoded; selections of Straight (Heterosexual) and one or more additional options are coded as Straight+; selections of more than one option but not Straight (Heterosexual) are coded as Queer+; selections of Prefer not to disclose and no selection are coded as Not reported.

Additional Context / Identity Markers

Do you consider yourself to be (Select all that apply):

International Student	1507 (0.079)
First-generation college student	4963 (0.259)
Commuter student	3234 (0.169)
Transfer student	1927 (0.1)
Student with a disability	558 (0.029)
Student athlete	973 (0.051)
Current or former English language learner	1003 (0.052)
Parent or guardian	135 (0.007)

FAFSA and Pell Grants

Did you use FAFSA to apply for financial aid?

Yes	No	Not reported
13,164 (0.686)	4102 (0.214)	1925 (0.1)

Did you receive a free grant (e.g., Pell Grant)? [Shown only to the 13,164 who responded “Yes” to the previous].

Yes	No	I don’t know	Not reported
5600 (0.425)	4933 (0.375)	2086 (0.159)	545 (0.041)

Work Hours Per Week

Approximately how many hours per week did you work at a job this term?

0	1 – 5	6 – 10	11 – 15	16 – 20	21 – 30	>30	Not reported
9943	1067	1417	1224	1516	1309	1013	884
0.541	0.058	0.077	0.067	0.083	0.071	0.055	0.048

Class Standing

What is your class standing?

First Year	Sophomore	Junior	Senior	Other	Not reported
10359	4885	2113	678	209	176
0.562	0.265	0.115	0.037	0.011	0.01

STEM Intention

Have you declared, or do you intend to declare, a STEM (science, technology, engineering, or mathematics) major?

Yes	No	Unsure	Not reported
13121	3386	1520	364
0.713	0.184	0.083	0.02

Math Preparation

Do you think your previous math courses adequately prepared you for [current course]?

Yes	No
15010	3352
0.817	0.183

Student Experiences

These questions of the survey relate to the students' reports of their experiences in their Precalculus, Calculus I, and Calculus II courses. The respondents totaled 7136 in Precalculus, 6888 in Calculus I, and 7136 in Calculus II.

Percentages of Class Time

What percent of regular class time, over the whole term, did you spend... [must total 100]

	n	mean	sd	median
All Students				
<i>Working on tasks individually</i>	19063	23.22	23.08	17
<i>Working on tasks in small groups</i>	19063	11.77	16.25	5
<i>Engaging in whole class discussion</i>	19063	14.49	16.26	10
<i>Listening to the instructor lecture or solve problems</i>	19063	50.52	28.25	50
Precalculus Students				
<i>Working on tasks individually</i>	7085	28.51	25.55	24
<i>Working on tasks in small groups</i>	7085	13.13	18.46	5
<i>Engaging in whole class discussion</i>	7085	15.46	16.88	10
<i>Listening to the instructor lecture or solve problems</i>	7085	42.9	27.33	41
Calculus 1 Students				
<i>Working on tasks individually</i>	6819	21.41	21.96	15
<i>Working on tasks in small groups</i>	6819	9.963	14.55	3
<i>Engaging in whole class discussion</i>	6819	13.56	15.74	10
<i>Listening to the instructor lecture or solve problems</i>	6819	55.07	28.13	52
Calculus 2 Students				
<i>Working on tasks individually</i>	5159	18.36	19.19	13
<i>Working on tasks in small groups</i>	5159	12.27	14.87	8
<i>Engaging in whole class discussion</i>	5159	14.4	16	10
<i>Listening to the instructor lecture or solve problems</i>	5159	54.97	27.41	52

Percentages of Recitation/Lab Time

What percent of regular **recitation/lab time**, over the whole term, did you spend... [must total 100]

	n	mean	sd	median
All Students				
<i>Working on tasks individually</i>	7040	29.94	28.84	24
<i>Working on tasks in small groups</i>	7040	41.19	32.82	40
<i>Engaging in whole class discussion</i>	7040	9.82	14.11	2
<i>Listening to the instructor lecture or solve problems</i>	7040	19.05	23.07	10
Precalculus Students				
<i>Working on tasks individually</i>	1583	33.55	32.61	25
<i>Working on tasks in small groups</i>	1583	48.95	32.21	50
<i>Engaging in whole class discussion</i>	1583	7.196	12.43	0
<i>Listening to the instructor lecture or solve problems</i>	1583	10.3	16.21	0
Calculus 1 Students				
<i>Working on tasks individually</i>	3929	30.11	27.7	25
<i>Working on tasks in small groups</i>	3929	38.57	32.22	35
<i>Engaging in whole class discussion</i>	3929	10.51	14.3	5
<i>Listening to the instructor lecture or solve problems</i>	3929	20.81	23.26	13
Calculus 2 Students				
<i>Working on tasks individually</i>	1528	25.8	26.98	20
<i>Working on tasks in small groups</i>	1528	39.87	33.76	35
<i>Engaging in whole class discussion</i>	1528	10.76	14.91	4.5
<i>Listening to the instructor lecture or solve problems</i>	1528	23.57	26.09	15

Overall Experience

Indicate the degree to which the following statements describe your experience in [course]:

		Never	Minimally	Somewhat	Mostly	Very
The test questions focus on important facts and definitions from the course.	PC	267	562	1517	2346	2399
		0.038	0.079	0.214	0.331	0.338
	C1	135	541	1438	2623	2121
		0.02	0.079	0.21	0.382	0.309
	C2	102	337	973	1854	1876
		0.02	0.066	0.189	0.361	0.365

		Never	Minimally	Somewhat	Mostly	Very
The test questions require me to apply course concepts to unfamiliar situations.	PC	783	1256	2013	1814	1231
		0.11	0.177	0.284	0.256	0.173
	C1	443	1092	1896	1997	1433
		0.065	0.159	0.276	0.291	0.209
	C2	402	897	1466	1430	947
		0.078	0.174	0.285	0.278	0.184
I use technology or online resources in relation to this course.	PC	259	422	1086	1862	3476
		0.036	0.059	0.153	0.262	0.489
	C1	276	534	1171	2015	2872
		0.04	0.078	0.171	0.293	0.418
	C2	226	418	903	1509	2088
		0.044	0.081	0.176	0.293	0.406
I make connections between related ideas or concepts when completing assignments.	PC	402	714	2020	2281	1688
		0.057	0.1	0.284	0.321	0.238
	C1	236	733	1977	2497	1424
		0.034	0.107	0.288	0.364	0.207
	C2	128	465	1480	1925	1148
		0.025	0.09	0.288	0.374	0.223
I receive feedback on my assignments without being assigned a formal grade.	PC	2540	1473	1500	873	712
		0.358	0.208	0.211	0.123	0.1
	C1	2675	1635	1372	736	444
		0.39	0.238	0.2	0.107	0.065
	C2	1948	1292	1044	542	319
		0.379	0.251	0.203	0.105	0.062
I see my instructor(s) outside of class for help.	PC	3208	1563	1150	593	591
		0.452	0.22	0.162	0.083	0.083
	C1	2757	1751	1106	612	630
		0.402	0.255	0.161	0.089	0.092
	C2	1759	1330	929	591	531
		0.342	0.259	0.181	0.115	0.103

		Never	Minimally	Somewhat	Mostly	Very
I work with peers outside of class on math problems.	PC	2168	1211	1367	1186	1172
		0.305	0.17	0.192	0.167	0.165
	C1	1527	1258	1456	1288	1340
		0.222	0.183	0.212	0.188	0.195
	C2	1055	962	1066	1011	1049
		0.205	0.187	0.207	0.197	0.204
I attend tutoring sessions outside of class time.	PC	3030	1260	1091	754	972
		0.426	0.177	0.154	0.106	0.137
	C1	2838	1291	1071	759	904
		0.414	0.188	0.156	0.111	0.132
	C2	2043	1014	805	623	661
		0.397	0.197	0.156	0.121	0.128

Technology Use

Which technologies and/or online resources do you use? Mark all that apply.

Graphing Calculator	PC	3511 (0.492)
	C1	3491 (0.507)
	C2	2376 (0.46)
Clickers or other polling devices	PC	324 (0.045)
	C1	210 (0.03)
	C2	188 (0.036)
Computer algebra software (e.g. Maple, Matlab, Mathematica)	PC	1965 (0.275)
	C1	1371 (0.199)
	C2	1129 (0.219)
Online search engines (e.g. Google)	PC	3698 (0.518)
	C1	4066 (0.59)
	C2	3090 (0.598)
Online textbooks	PC	3059 (0.429)
	C1	2893 (0.42)
	C2	1992 (0.386)

Online tutorials (e.g. Khan Academy, YouTube videos)	PC	4575 (0.641)
	C1	4740 (0.688)
	C2	3633 (0.703)
Online computational or graphing tools (e.g. Wolfram Alpha, Geogebra, Desmos)	PC	2593 (0.363)
	C1	3420 (0.497)
	C2	2972 (0.575)
Online homework (e.g. WebAssign, MyMathLab, WebWork)	PC	5072 (0.711)
	C1	4344 (0.631)
	C2	2551 (0.494)
Online forums (e.g. Chegg, StackExchange, Slader)	PC	892 (0.125)
	C1	1623 (0.236)
	C2	1480 (0.286)
Learning management systems (e.g. Blackboard, Canvas, Piazza)	PC	1873 (0.262)
	C1	1798 (0.261)
	C2	1419 (0.275)

Classroom Experience

Indicate the degree to which the following statements describe your experience in regular course meetings of [course]:

		Not at all	Minimally	Somewhat	Mostly	Very
I listen as the instructor guides me through major topics.	PC	169	262	919	2123	3558
		0.024	0.037	0.131	0.302	0.506
	C1	114	202	871	2129	3486
		0.017	0.03	0.128	0.313	0.512
	C2	36	119	434	1578	2925
		0.007	0.023	0.085	0.31	0.574
The class activities connect course content to my life and future work.	PC	1495	1458	1875	1265	939
		0.213	0.207	0.267	0.18	0.134
	C1	1107	1535	1961	1331	861
		0.163	0.226	0.289	0.196	0.127
	C2	734	1078	1465	1118	699
		0.144	0.212	0.288	0.219	0.137

		Not at all	Minimally	Somewhat	Mostly	Very
I receive immediate feedback on my work during class (e.g., clickers).	PC	2017	1183	1392	1203	1243
		0.287	0.168	0.198	0.171	0.177
	C1	2504	1291	1296	956	757
		0.368	0.19	0.19	0.141	0.111
	C2	1662	975	953	853	645
		0.327	0.192	0.187	0.168	0.127
I am asked to respond to questions during class time.	PC	1357	1280	1710	1432	1257
		0.193	0.182	0.243	0.204	0.179
	C1	1525	1485	1676	1271	839
		0.224	0.219	0.247	0.187	0.123
	C2	857	1089	1294	1077	775
		0.168	0.214	0.254	0.212	0.152
*In my class a variety of means (graphs, symbols, tables, etc.) are used to represent course topics and/or solve problems.	PC	356	683	1645	2038	2314
		0.051	0.097	0.234	0.29	0.329
	C1	269	707	1655	2161	2008
		0.04	0.104	0.243	0.318	0.295
	C2	210	547	1223	1615	1505
		0.041	0.107	0.24	0.317	0.295
I talk with other students about course topics during class.	PC	1302	1190	1586	1566	1383
		0.185	0.169	0.226	0.223	0.197
	C1	1297	1309	1665	1496	1018
		0.191	0.193	0.245	0.22	0.15
	C2	858	961	1174	1171	931
		0.168	0.189	0.23	0.23	0.183
I constructively criticize other students' ideas during class.	PC	3388	1424	1172	615	426
		0.482	0.203	0.167	0.088	0.061
	C1	3351	1579	1009	545	318
		0.493	0.232	0.148	0.08	0.047
	C2	2233	1258	846	501	258
		0.438	0.247	0.166	0.098	0.051

		Not at all	Minimally	Somewhat	Mostly	Very
I discuss the difficulties I have with math with other students during class.	PC	1340	1114	1573	1501	1506
		0.191	0.158	0.224	0.213	0.214
	C1	1265	1281	1574	1492	1188
		0.186	0.188	0.231	0.219	0.175
	C2	902	901	1182	1186	921
		0.177	0.177	0.232	0.233	0.181
I work on problems individually during class time.	PC	629	1090	1882	1735	1700
		0.089	0.155	0.267	0.247	0.242
	C1	821	1486	1830	1496	1165
		0.121	0.219	0.269	0.22	0.171
	C2	634	1169	1434	1098	754
		0.125	0.23	0.282	0.216	0.148
I work with other students in a small group during class.	PC	2112	1248	1272	1084	1320
		0.3	0.177	0.181	0.154	0.188
	C1	2314	1427	1163	942	948
		0.341	0.21	0.171	0.139	0.14
	C2	1439	942	975	834	899
		0.283	0.185	0.192	0.164	0.177
Multiple approaches to solving a problem are discussed in class.	PC	429	820	1713	2061	2001
		0.061	0.117	0.244	0.293	0.285
	C1	359	902	1845	2113	1565
		0.053	0.133	0.272	0.311	0.231
	C2	170	501	1247	1681	1494
		0.033	0.098	0.245	0.33	0.293
I have enough time during class to reflect about the processes I use to solve problems.	PC	715	1176	1873	1815	1455
		0.102	0.167	0.266	0.258	0.207
	C1	706	1344	1852	1797	1099
		0.104	0.198	0.272	0.264	0.162
	C2	484	995	1358	1355	903
		0.095	0.195	0.267	0.266	0.177

		Not at all	Minimally	Somewhat	Mostly	Very
A wide range of students respond to the instructor's questions in class.	PC	674	1600	2134	1552	1070
		0.096	0.228	0.304	0.221	0.152
	C1	758	1954	2104	1274	709
		0.111	0.287	0.309	0.187	0.104
	C2	384	1261	1525	1141	781
		0.075	0.248	0.299	0.224	0.153
The instructor knows my name.	PC	1225	976	1212	1033	2577
		0.174	0.139	0.173	0.147	0.367
	C1	1462	981	1023	896	2429
		0.215	0.144	0.151	0.132	0.358
	C2	668	521	625	715	2563
		0.131	0.102	0.123	0.14	0.503
*Class is structured to encourage peer-to-peer support among students.	PC	1223	1167	1561	1446	1639
		0.174	0.166	0.222	0.206	0.233
	C1	1354	1470	1584	1306	1085
		0.199	0.216	0.233	0.192	0.16
	C2	898	965	1162	985	1091
		0.176	0.189	0.228	0.193	0.214
There is a sense of community among the students in my class.	PC	1110	1476	1856	1405	1181
		0.158	0.21	0.264	0.2	0.168
	C1	1033	1495	1957	1380	930
		0.152	0.22	0.288	0.203	0.137
	C2	636	1010	1333	1132	979
		0.125	0.198	0.262	0.222	0.192
The instructor adjusts teaching based upon what the class understands and does not understand.	PC	762	736	1429	1810	2299
		0.108	0.105	0.203	0.257	0.327
	C1	725	961	1546	1833	1730
		0.107	0.141	0.228	0.27	0.255
	C2	359	576	1043	1511	1600
		0.071	0.113	0.205	0.297	0.314

		Not at all	Minimally	Somewhat	Mostly	Very
The instructor explains concepts in this class in a variety of ways.	PC	457	844	1548	1930	2256
		0.065	0.12	0.22	0.274	0.321
	C1	420	959	1583	2031	1810
		0.062	0.141	0.233	0.299	0.266
	C2	206	527	1111	1621	1633
		0.04	0.103	0.218	0.318	0.32
I receive feedback from my instructor on homework, exams, quizzes, etc.	PC	1006	1013	1514	1539	1957
		0.143	0.144	0.215	0.219	0.278
	C1	612	1025	1544	1785	1838
		0.09	0.151	0.227	0.262	0.27
	C2	249	514	953	1422	1958
		0.049	0.101	0.187	0.279	0.384
I share my ideas (or my group's ideas) during whole class discussions.	PC	2297	1485	1455	1011	782
		0.327	0.211	0.207	0.144	0.111
	C1	2373	1638	1405	843	537
		0.349	0.241	0.207	0.124	0.079
	C2	1484	1273	1073	757	506
		0.291	0.25	0.211	0.149	0.099
A wide range of students participate in class.	PC	681	1596	2205	1504	1045
		0.097	0.227	0.314	0.214	0.149
	C1	763	1986	2035	1279	736
		0.112	0.292	0.299	0.188	0.108
	C2	399	1286	1485	1136	794
		0.078	0.252	0.291	0.223	0.156
My instructor uses strategies to encourage participation from a wide range of students.	PC	755	1006	1652	1785	1839
		0.107	0.143	0.235	0.254	0.261
	C1	917	1315	1791	1532	1248
		0.135	0.193	0.263	0.225	0.183
	C2	521	853	1240	1198	1286
		0.102	0.167	0.243	0.235	0.252

Helpful Course Elements

To what extent are the following course elements helpful to your learning in [course]?

		Not applicable	Not helpful	Somewhat helpful	Very helpful
Online homework:	PC	376	906	2177	3560
		0.054	0.129	0.31	0.507
	C1	1327	771	2054	2629
		0.196	0.114	0.303	0.388
	C2	1301	536	1504	1734
		0.256	0.106	0.296	0.342
Written homework:	PC	1551	822	2181	2438
		0.222	0.118	0.312	0.349
	C1	1176	572	2313	2720
		0.173	0.084	0.341	0.401
	C2	673	363	1608	2442
		0.132	0.071	0.316	0.48
Exams:	PC	101	1319	2969	2613
		0.014	0.188	0.424	0.373
	C1	51	1146	3027	2563
		0.008	0.169	0.446	0.378
	C2	29	724	2237	2099
		0.006	0.142	0.44	0.412
Worksheets or handouts in class:	PC	1270	537	1895	3308
		0.181	0.077	0.27	0.472
	C1	1508	363	1929	2982
		0.222	0.054	0.284	0.44
	C2	1055	239	1279	2514
		0.207	0.047	0.251	0.494

Lab/Recitation Experience

Indicate the degree to which the following statements describe your experience in **recitation/lab sections** of [course]:

		Not at all	Minimally	Somewhat	Mostly	Very
I listen as the instructor guides me through major topics.	PC	196	124	313	401	515
		0.127	0.08	0.202	0.259	0.332
	C1	234	239	580	993	1793
		0.061	0.062	0.151	0.259	0.467
	C2	185	126	217	340	618
		0.124	0.085	0.146	0.229	0.416
The lab/recitation activities connect course content to my life and future work.	PC	329	289	442	266	219
		0.213	0.187	0.286	0.172	0.142
	C1	568	692	1095	795	688
		0.148	0.18	0.285	0.207	0.179
	C2	322	258	384	286	238
		0.216	0.173	0.258	0.192	0.16
*I receive immediate feedback on my work during lab/recitation (e.g., clickers)	PC	355	221	314	324	337
		0.229	0.142	0.202	0.209	0.217
	C1	883	489	794	793	885
		0.23	0.127	0.207	0.206	0.23
	C2	444	188	275	282	301
		0.298	0.126	0.185	0.189	0.202
I am asked to respond to questions during lab/recitation time.	PC	437	230	326	280	270
		0.283	0.149	0.211	0.181	0.175
	C1	735	643	835	834	796
		0.191	0.167	0.217	0.217	0.207
	C2	356	231	307	295	303
		0.239	0.155	0.206	0.198	0.203
In my lab/recitation a variety of means (graphs, symbols, tables, etc.) are used to represent course topics and/or solve problems.	PC	164	189	381	425	389
		0.106	0.122	0.246	0.275	0.251
	C1	241	420	912	1105	1163
		0.063	0.109	0.237	0.288	0.303
	C2	236	201	341	324	389
		0.158	0.135	0.229	0.217	0.261

		Not at all	Minimally	Somewhat	Mostly	Very
I talk with other students about course topics during lab/recitation.	PC	159	155	322	397	516
		0.103	0.1	0.208	0.256	0.333
	C1	410	353	691	955	1425
		0.107	0.092	0.18	0.249	0.372
	C2	226	128	252	344	536
		0.152	0.086	0.17	0.231	0.361
I constructively criticize other students' ideas during lab/recitation.	PC	519	290	328	236	175
		0.335	0.187	0.212	0.152	0.113
	C1	1122	727	861	641	487
		0.292	0.189	0.224	0.167	0.127
	C2	477	258	312	252	190
		0.32	0.173	0.21	0.169	0.128
I discuss the difficulties I have with math with other students during lab/recitation.	PC	174	179	337	392	466
		0.112	0.116	0.218	0.253	0.301
	C1	473	430	767	946	1228
		0.123	0.112	0.2	0.246	0.319
	C2	259	148	272	355	458
		0.174	0.099	0.182	0.238	0.307
I work on problems individually during lab/recitation time.	PC	153	231	424	349	388
		0.099	0.15	0.274	0.226	0.251
	C1	222	494	985	966	1171
		0.058	0.129	0.257	0.252	0.305
	C2	203	185	359	359	384
		0.136	0.124	0.241	0.241	0.258
I work with other students in small groups during lab/recitation.	PC	196	151	242	290	666
		0.127	0.098	0.157	0.188	0.431
	C1	502	375	544	645	1771
		0.131	0.098	0.142	0.168	0.462
	C2	281	134	156	222	695
		0.189	0.09	0.105	0.149	0.467

		Not at all	Minimally	Somewhat	Mostly	Very
Multiple approaches to solving a problem are discussed in lab/recitation.	PC	231	206	383	380	344
		0.15	0.133	0.248	0.246	0.223
	C1	309	415	936	1091	1083
		0.081	0.108	0.244	0.285	0.282
	C2	230	164	320	388	387
		0.154	0.11	0.215	0.261	0.26
I have enough time during lab/recitation to reflect about the processes I use to solve problems.	PC	150	239	391	430	335
		0.097	0.155	0.253	0.278	0.217
	C1	235	437	923	1111	1131
		0.061	0.114	0.241	0.29	0.295
	C2	212	174	343	395	366
		0.142	0.117	0.23	0.265	0.246
A wide range of students respond to the instructor's questions in lab/recitation.	PC	333	266	373	308	262
		0.216	0.173	0.242	0.2	0.17
	C1	468	601	994	938	837
		0.122	0.157	0.259	0.244	0.218
	C2	266	241	358	335	291
		0.178	0.162	0.24	0.225	0.195
The instructor knows my name.	PC	521	222	251	181	369
		0.337	0.144	0.163	0.117	0.239
	C1	644	406	571	630	1587
		0.168	0.106	0.149	0.164	0.413
	C2	271	179	188	194	660
		0.182	0.12	0.126	0.13	0.442
Lab/recitation is structured to encourage peer-to-peer support among students.	PC	151	171	293	386	546
		0.098	0.111	0.189	0.25	0.353
	C1	355	352	696	901	1541
		0.092	0.092	0.181	0.234	0.401
	C2	229	134	245	314	569
		0.154	0.09	0.164	0.211	0.382

		Not at all	Minimally	Somewhat	Mostly	Very
There is a sense of community among the students in my lab/recitation.	PC	258	247	393	340	309
		0.167	0.16	0.254	0.22	0.2
	C1	387	487	958	948	1056
		0.101	0.127	0.25	0.247	0.275
	C2	240	165	351	361	373
		0.161	0.111	0.236	0.242	0.25
The instructor adjusts teaching based on what the class understands and does not understand.	PC	289	209	335	336	375
		0.187	0.135	0.217	0.218	0.243
	C1	385	364	706	1052	1333
		0.1	0.095	0.184	0.274	0.347
	C2	253	149	279	370	440
		0.17	0.1	0.187	0.248	0.295
The instructor explains concepts in this lab/recitation in a variety of ways.	PC	249	217	368	363	348
		0.161	0.14	0.238	0.235	0.225
	C1	313	424	866	1062	1175
		0.082	0.11	0.226	0.277	0.306
	C2	233	190	324	376	366
		0.156	0.128	0.218	0.253	0.246
I receive feedback from my instructor on homework, exams, quizzes, etc.	PC	260	210	306	376	397
		0.168	0.136	0.198	0.243	0.256
	C1	322	407	774	1045	1293
		0.084	0.106	0.202	0.272	0.337
	C2	217	143	261	338	530
		0.146	0.096	0.175	0.227	0.356
I share my ideas (or my group's ideas) during whole lab/recitation discussions.	PC	457	251	286	290	261
		0.296	0.162	0.185	0.188	0.169
	C1	849	653	858	722	756
		0.221	0.17	0.224	0.188	0.197
	C2	396	228	322	276	267
		0.266	0.153	0.216	0.185	0.179

		Not at all	Minimally	Somewhat	Mostly	Very
A wide range of students participate in lab/recitation.	PC	200	269	358	357	358
		0.13	0.174	0.232	0.232	0.232
	C1	313	551	938	994	1041
		0.082	0.144	0.244	0.259	0.271
	C2	213	195	354	371	358
		0.143	0.131	0.237	0.249	0.24
My instructor uses strategies to encourage participation from a wide range of students.	PC	265	220	392	337	334
		0.171	0.142	0.253	0.218	0.216
	C1	448	499	922	945	1017
		0.117	0.13	0.241	0.247	0.265
	C2	282	205	337	299	369
		0.189	0.137	0.226	0.2	0.247

Class Comparisons

Consider your regular course meetings and primary instructor of [course]. As compared to other students in class...

		A lot less	Somewhat less	The same	Somewhat more	A lot more
How much opportunity do you get to answer questions in class?	PC	516	478	5366	371	218
		0.074	0.069	0.772	0.053	0.031
	C1	459	428	5370	331	128
		0.068	0.064	0.8	0.049	0.019
	C2	252	297	4118	303	90
		0.05	0.059	0.814	0.06	0.018
How much attention does the instructor give to your questions?	PC	346	330	5812	301	148
		0.05	0.048	0.838	0.043	0.021
	C1	279	316	5793	225	92
		0.042	0.047	0.864	0.034	0.014
	C2	145	213	4417	214	68
		0.029	0.042	0.873	0.042	0.013

		A lot less	Somewhat less	The same	Somewhat more	A lot more
How much help do you get from the instructor?	PC	492	648	5133	462	204
		0.071	0.093	0.74	0.067	0.029
	C1	446	664	5024	471	92
		0.067	0.099	0.75	0.07	0.014
	C2	235	466	3823	438	93
		0.046	0.092	0.756	0.087	0.018
How much encouragement do you receive from the instructor?	PC	416	447	5402	460	207
		0.06	0.064	0.779	0.066	0.03
	C1	382	388	5424	402	103
		0.057	0.058	0.81	0.06	0.015
	C2	168	264	4164	351	103
		0.033	0.052	0.825	0.07	0.02
How much opportunity do you get to contribute to class discussions?	PC	409	401	5575	359	189
		0.059	0.058	0.804	0.052	0.027
	C1	360	382	5545	320	99
		0.054	0.057	0.827	0.048	0.015
	C2	181	295	4216	274	86
		0.036	0.058	0.835	0.054	0.017
How much praise does your work receive?	PC	513	445	5467	354	144
		0.074	0.064	0.79	0.051	0.021
	C1	446	457	5414	306	72
		0.067	0.068	0.809	0.046	0.011
	C2	217	327	4174	263	65
		0.043	0.065	0.827	0.052	0.013

Lab/Recitation Comparisons

Consider your **recitation/lab section and recitation/lab instructor**. As compared to other students in class...

		A lot less	Somewhat less	The same	Somewhat more	A lot more
How much opportunity do you get to answer questions in lab/recitation?	PC	85	90	1256	80	28
		0.055	0.058	0.816	0.052	0.018
	C1	138	164	3204	281	45
		0.036	0.043	0.836	0.073	0.012
	C2	50	54	1272	84	25
		0.034	0.036	0.857	0.057	0.017
How much attention does the instructor give your questions?	PC	69	93	1259	91	27
		0.045	0.06	0.818	0.059	0.018
	C1	99	162	3251	272	45
		0.026	0.042	0.849	0.071	0.012
	C2	50	50	1274	82	26
		0.034	0.034	0.86	0.055	0.018
How much help do you get from the instructor?	PC	81	118	1174	123	42
		0.053	0.077	0.763	0.08	0.027
	C1	141	247	2983	369	87
		0.037	0.065	0.779	0.096	0.023
	C2	62	88	1180	121	32
		0.042	0.059	0.796	0.082	0.022
How much encouragement do you receive from the instructor?	PC	75	99	1214	109	37
		0.049	0.065	0.791	0.071	0.024
	C1	112	166	3194	280	69
		0.029	0.043	0.836	0.073	0.018
	C2	53	61	1253	83	30
		0.036	0.041	0.847	0.056	0.02
How much opportunity do you get to contribute to lab/recitation discussion?	PC	74	91	1268	75	26
		0.048	0.059	0.827	0.049	0.017
	C1	129	149	3295	195	57
		0.034	0.039	0.861	0.051	0.015
	C2	52	49	1288	70	22
		0.035	0.033	0.87	0.047	0.015

		A lot less	Somewhat less	The same	Somewhat more	A lot more
How much praise does your work receive?	PC	97	84	1227	83	29
		0.064	0.055	0.807	0.055	0.019
	C1	144	179	3215	215	54
		0.038	0.047	0.844	0.056	0.014
	C2	56	69	1256	69	25
		0.038	0.047	0.852	0.047	0.017

Classroom Climate

How would you describe the overall climate in [course]?

		(1) Excluding and Hostile	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) Including and Friendly
PC		171	337	1140	1759	3509
		0.025	0.049	0.165	0.254	0.507
C1		139	348	1223	2014	2979
		0.021	0.052	0.182	0.3	0.444
C2		90	223	791	1379	2567
		0.018	0.044	0.157	0.273	0.508
		(1) Intellectually Boring	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) Intellectually Engaging
PC		631	903	1743	1779	1860
		0.091	0.131	0.252	0.257	0.269
C1		536	886	1598	1951	1731
		0.08	0.132	0.238	0.291	0.258
C2		236	477	1044	1580	1711
		0.047	0.094	0.207	0.313	0.339
		(1) Academically Easy	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) Academically Rigorous
PC		272	717	2190	2017	1711
		0.039	0.104	0.317	0.292	0.248
C1		192	457	1592	2211	2253
		0.029	0.068	0.237	0.33	0.336
C2		72	180	835	1737	2222
		0.014	0.036	0.165	0.344	0.44

Malleability of Math Ability

I believe that my math ability can be improved through dedication and hard work.

Response options: Strongly agree (1) to Strongly disagree (6).

		1 (SA)	2	3	4	5	6 (SD)
I believe that my math ability can be improved through dedication and hard work	PC	3993	2002	612	133	44	129
		0.578	0.29	0.089	0.019	0.006	0.019
	C1	3711	2202	520	103	46	97
		0.556	0.33	0.078	0.015	0.007	0.015
	C2	3026	1482	366	68	24	68
		0.601	0.294	0.073	0.014	0.005	0.014

Mathematical Attitudes

Please indicate your level of agreement for the following statements from the beginning of the course and now.

Response options: Strongly agree (1) to Strongly disagree (6).

I am interested in mathematics:													
		Attitude at the beginning of the course						Current attitude in the course					
		1 (SA)	2	3	4	5	6 (SD)	1 (SA)	2	3	4	5	6 (SD)
PC		1352	1752	1396	678	972	797	1240	1722	1437	733	768	821
		0.195	0.252	0.201	0.098	0.14	0.115	0.184	0.256	0.214	0.109	0.114	0.122
C1		1640	2117	1472	506	607	372	1378	2018	1434	618	575	527
		0.244	0.315	0.219	0.075	0.09	0.055	0.21	0.308	0.219	0.094	0.088	0.08
C2		1507	1840	1005	309	265	144	1359	1693	1017	381	299	228
		0.297	0.363	0.198	0.061	0.052	0.028	0.273	0.34	0.204	0.077	0.06	0.046
I enjoy doing mathematics:													
		Attitude at the beginning of the course						Current attitude in the course					
		1 (SA)	2	3	4	5	6 (SD)	1 (SA)	2	3	4	5	6 (SD)
PC		1161	1639	1365	773	970	1029	1061	1606	1470	808	806	949
		0.167	0.236	0.197	0.111	0.14	0.148	0.158	0.24	0.219	0.121	0.12	0.142
C1		1452	1987	1504	696	597	467	1154	1815	1554	782	638	587
		0.217	0.296	0.224	0.104	0.089	0.07	0.177	0.278	0.238	0.12	0.098	0.09
C2		1357	1692	1068	446	306	196	1103	1515	1172	514	364	304
		0.268	0.334	0.211	0.088	0.06	0.039	0.222	0.305	0.236	0.103	0.073	0.061

I am confident in my mathematical abilities:													
		Attitude at the beginning of the course					Current attitude in the course						
		1 (SA)	2	3	4	5	6 (SD)	1 (SA)	2	3	4	5	6 (SD)
PC		1068	1859	1717	845	755	668	1090	2009	1654	755	541	553
		0.155	0.269	0.248	0.122	0.109	0.097	0.165	0.304	0.251	0.114	0.082	0.084
C1		1408	2134	1561	724	491	354	1062	1883	1654	802	566	450
		0.211	0.32	0.234	0.109	0.074	0.053	0.165	0.293	0.258	0.125	0.088	0.07
C2		1216	1801	1150	451	264	166	858	1586	1289	510	385	277
		0.241	0.357	0.228	0.089	0.052	0.033	0.175	0.323	0.263	0.104	0.078	0.056
I am able to learn mathematics:													
		Attitude at the beginning of the course					Current attitude in the course						
		1 (SA)	2	3	4	5	6 (SD)	1 (SA)	2	3	4	5	6 (SD)
PC		2004	2654	1165	386	280	217	2292	2527	951	314	209	238
		0.299	0.396	0.174	0.058	0.042	0.032	0.351	0.387	0.146	0.048	0.032	0.036
C1		2167	2769	1039	271	137	99	2112	2499	1069	341	176	163
		0.334	0.427	0.16	0.042	0.021	0.015	0.332	0.393	0.168	0.054	0.028	0.026
C2		1918	2073	671	159	72	43	1848	1866	724	224	114	88
		0.389	0.42	0.136	0.032	0.015	0.009	0.38	0.384	0.149	0.046	0.023	0.018

U-GPIPS: Student Instructor Survey

The U-GPIPS data contain 331 responses from undergraduate and graduate student instructors from twelve universities across the United States. Of these responses, 294 come from graduate student instructors, 34 from undergraduate student instructors, and 3 from student instructors who did not report their current degree program. We first present the self-identified demographic information of these students.

As noted in the introduction to this report, instructors responded for each course they were teaching in a particular term. In the identity and context portion of this report, instructors are counted only once per term; in the course-specific information their responses are reported separately for each *course* they were teaching at the time they completed the survey.

Student Instructor Identity & Individual Context

These questions asked about student instructors' identity, including demographic information and academic context. Questions about Gender, Race and Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation were presented as "select all that apply" items with the option to self-identify descriptors not on our lists. We intentionally provided more nuanced options than are generally use in demographic reports (e.g., the US census) as we value the complex nature of identity. Responses to these items were recoded for interpretability in this report; details are provided alongside each item.

Degree and Career Aspirations

What degree(s) or certifications do you intend to obtain from [your institution]? Mark all that apply.

B.A./B.S.	50 (0.151)
Teaching certification/credential	8 (0.024)
M.A./M.S. in mathematics	81 (0.245)
M.A./M.S. in mathematics education	6 (0.018)
Ph.D. in mathematics	203 (0.613)
Ph.D. in mathematics education	30 (0.091)
Ed.D.	1 (0.003)
Other	11 (0.033)

What is your intended career trajectory?

Academic position at a 4-year college or university – teaching focused	93 (0.347)
Academic position at a 4-year college or university – research focused	112 (0.418)
Academic position at a 2-year college	5 (0.019)

Non-academic position (industry, government, etc.)	34 (0.127)
Other (please explain)	24 (0.09)

Gender

Do you consider yourself to be (Select all that apply):

Cisgender Man	Cisgender Woman	Gender Non-binary	Transgender	Transgender Man	Transgender Woman	Not reported
165	113	1	2	0	0	50
0.498	0.341	0.003	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.151

Survey response options: Man, Transgender, Woman, Not listed (please specify), Prefer not to disclose.

Selections of only Man, only Woman, or only Transgender are coded as Cisgender Man, Cisgender Woman, and Transgender respectively; selections of Transgender and Man or Transgender and Woman are coded as Transgender Man and Transgender Woman, respectively; selections of Man and Woman (with or without additional selections) or self-described non-binary gender identities (e.g., genderfluid, agender) are coded as Gender Non-Binary; selections of Prefer not to disclose and no selection were coded as Not reported.

Race and Ethnicity

Do you consider yourself to be (Select all that apply):

Asian	62 (0.187)
Alaska Native or Native American	0 (0.000)
Black or African American	8 (0.024)
Hispanic or Latinx	8 (0.024)
Middle Eastern or North African	5 (0.015)
Multiple Race	16 (0.048)
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0 (0.000)
White	180 (0.544)
Not reported	52 (0.157)

Survey response options: Alaskan Native or Native American, Black or African American, Central Asian, East Asian, Hispanic or Latinx, Middle Eastern or North African, Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander, Southeast Asian, South Asian, White, Not listed (please specify), Prefer not to disclose.

Selections of more than one of Central Asian, East Asian, Southeast Asian, South Asian without any other choices are coded as Asian; selections of multiple responses (aside from

the previous) are coded as Multiple Race/Ethnicity; selections of Prefer not to disclose and no selection are coded as Not reported.

Sexual Orientation

Do you consider yourself to be (Select all that apply):

Straight	Gay	Lesbian	Bisexual	Asexual	Queer	Queer+	Straight+	Not reported
205	7	0	19	5	1	3	2	89
0.619	0.021	0.000	0.057	0.015	0.003	0.009	0.006	0.269

Survey response options: Asexual, Bisexual, Gay, Lesbian, Queer, Straight (Heterosexual), Not listed (please specify), Prefer not to disclose.

Categories are based on research from Voigt (2020) where selections of exactly one listed option are not recoded; selections of Straight (Heterosexual) and one or more additional options are coded as Straight+; selections of more than one option but not Straight (Heterosexual) are coded as Queer+; selections of Prefer not to disclose and no selection are coded as Not reported.

Additional Context / Identity Markers

Do you consider yourself to be (Select all that apply):

International Student	80 (0.242)
First-generation college student	72 (0.218)
First-generation higher education	87 (0.263)
Student with a disability	6 (0.018)
English language learner	45 (0.136)
Parent or guardian	14 (0.042)

Course Specific Information

This section describes the participants' perceptions of their role in Precalculus, Calculus I, and/or Calculus II (it may be the case that one participant taught more than one of the above courses during the semester). Each result will be shown in the order Precalculus, Calculus I, Calculus II.

Course Role

What is your official role with regards to [course]?

	Instructor of record/ primary instructor	Recitation/discussion/lab section leader	Other
PC	44 0.746	12 0.203	3 0.051
C1	77 0.481	82 0.512	1 0.006
C2	56 0.602	37 0.398	0 0.000

Decisions about the course

How are most decisions about course content (e.g. syllabi, exams, homework, pacing, grading) made for [course]? Clarify if you wish.

	I make most decisions	I am part of a team that makes most decisions	Someone else makes most decisions
PC	1 0.017	25 0.431	32 0.552
C1	17 0.106	40 0.25	103 0.644
C2	21 0.226	22 0.237	50 0.538

How are most decisions about instructional approach (e.g., use of clickers, group work, active learning) made for [course]? Clarify if you wish.

	I make most decisions	I am part of a team that makes most decisions	Someone else makes most decisions
PC	21 0.356	16 0.271	22 0.373
C1	71 0.449	37 0.234	50 0.316
C2	55 0.585	15 0.16	24 0.255

Percentages of Class Time

When you are teaching [course], what percent of that time do students spend... [must total 100]

	n	mean	sd	median
All Student Instructors				
<i>Working on tasks individually</i>	314	10.98	12.67	10
<i>Working on tasks in small groups</i>	314	29.81	27.82	20
<i>Engaging in whole class discussion</i>	314	12.46	13.49	10
<i>Listening to the instructor lecture or solve problems</i>	314	46.75	27.79	50
Precalculus Instructors				
<i>Working on tasks individually</i>	59	13.2	18.77	10
<i>Working on tasks in small groups</i>	59	25.39	21.89	23
<i>Engaging in whole class discussion</i>	59	18.31	17.05	15
<i>Listening to the instructor lecture or solve problems</i>	59	43.1	22.56	41
Calculus 1 Instructors				
<i>Working on tasks individually</i>	161	11.3	11.1	10
<i>Working on tasks in small groups</i>	161	31.29	27.98	24
<i>Engaging in whole class discussion</i>	161	12.4	13.51	10
<i>Listening to the instructor lecture or solve problems</i>	161	45	28.16	45
Calculus 2 Instructors				
<i>Working on tasks individually</i>	94	9.043	10.07	6
<i>Working on tasks in small groups</i>	94	30.03	30.71	20
<i>Engaging in whole class discussion</i>	94	8.883	9.065	6
<i>Listening to the instructor lecture or solve problems</i>	94	52.04	29.58	60

Classroom Experience

Please indicate the degree to which the following statements are descriptive of your teaching.

		Not at all	Minimally	Somewhat	Mostly	Very
I guide students through major topics as they listen:	PC	3	7	8	27	12
		0.053	0.123	0.14	0.474	0.211
	C1	1	9	32	58	52
		0.007	0.059	0.211	0.382	0.342
	C2	1	7	15	36	31
		0.011	0.078	0.167	0.4	0.344

		Not at all	Minimally	Somewhat	Mostly	Very
I provide activities that connect course content to my students' lives and future work:	PC	6	16	18	7	10
		0.105	0.281	0.316	0.123	0.175
	C1	24	37	48	31	15
		0.155	0.239	0.31	0.2	0.097
	C2	11	21	32	19	8
		0.121	0.231	0.352	0.209	0.088
My syllabus contains the specific topics that will be covered in every class session:	PC	8	9	7	9	23
		0.143	0.161	0.125	0.161	0.411
	C1	18	16	17	37	60
		0.122	0.108	0.115	0.25	0.405
	C2	12	9	13	21	36
		0.132	0.099	0.143	0.231	0.396
I provide students with immediate feedback on their work during class (e.g., student response systems; short quizzes):	PC	14	4	13	20	6
		0.246	0.07	0.228	0.351	0.105
	C1	23	29	30	34	38
		0.149	0.188	0.195	0.221	0.247
	C2	15	22	11	23	20
		0.165	0.242	0.121	0.253	0.22
I structure my course with the assumption that most of the students have little useful knowledge of the topics:	PC	2	7	16	17	14
		0.036	0.125	0.286	0.304	0.25
	C1	2	17	43	56	35
		0.013	0.111	0.281	0.366	0.229
	C2	2	13	21	31	22
		0.022	0.146	0.236	0.348	0.247
I use student assessment results to guide the direction of my instruction during the semester:	PC	6	8	12	16	15
		0.105	0.14	0.211	0.281	0.263
	C1	16	18	39	41	38
		0.105	0.118	0.257	0.27	0.25
	C2	12	13	20	24	22
		0.132	0.143	0.22	0.264	0.242

		Not at all	Minimally	Somewhat	Mostly	Very
I ask students to respond to questions during class time:	PC	1	5	13	38	1
		0.018	0.088	0.228	0.667	0.018
	C1	5	21	42	89	5
		0.032	0.134	0.268	0.567	0.032
	C2	1	5	10	25	50
		0.011	0.055	0.11	0.275	0.549
I use student questions and comments to determine the focus and direction of classroom lessons:	PC	2	7	13	21	14
		0.035	0.123	0.228	0.368	0.246
	C1	6	13	37	56	42
		0.039	0.084	0.24	0.364	0.273
	C2	2	5	27	36	21
		0.022	0.055	0.297	0.396	0.231
In my class a variety of means (models, drawings, graphs, symbols, simulations, tables, etc.) are used to represent course topics and/or solve problems:	PC	1	3	12	23	18
		0.018	0.053	0.211	0.404	0.316
	C1	4	13	35	47	58
		0.025	0.083	0.223	0.299	0.369
	C2	6	3	27	30	25
		0.066	0.033	0.297	0.33	0.275
I structure class so that students explore or discuss their understanding of concepts before direct instruction:	PC	8	22	13	11	3
		0.14	0.386	0.228	0.193	0.053
	C1	24	35	45	31	20
		0.155	0.226	0.29	0.2	0.129
	C2	20	18	25	21	7
		0.22	0.198	0.275	0.231	0.077
My class sessions are structured to give students a clear/structured set of notes:	PC	4	5	7	21	20
		0.07	0.088	0.123	0.368	0.351
	C1	16	15	31	41	50
		0.105	0.098	0.203	0.268	0.327
	C2	5	9	16	34	27
		0.055	0.099	0.176	0.374	0.297

		Not at all	Minimally	Somewhat	Mostly	Very
I structure class so that students talk with one another about course topics:	PC	2	10	12	18	14
		0.036	0.179	0.214	0.321	0.25
	C1	11	21	36	37	52
		0.07	0.134	0.229	0.236	0.331
	C2	7	9	26	30	19
		0.077	0.099	0.286	0.33	0.209
I structure class so that students constructively criticize one another's ideas:	PC	10	13	23	8	3
		0.175	0.228	0.404	0.14	0.053
	C1	42	41	43	22	9
		0.268	0.261	0.274	0.14	0.057
	C2	19	25	24	17	6
		0.209	0.275	0.264	0.187	0.066
I structure class so that students discuss their mathematical difficulties with other students:	PC	7	7	17	19	8
		0.121	0.121	0.293	0.328	0.138
	C1	20	21	48	33	34
		0.128	0.135	0.308	0.212	0.218
	C2	10	15	27	27	12
		0.11	0.165	0.297	0.297	0.132
I structure class so that students work on problems individually during class:	PC	13	9	20	11	4
		0.228	0.158	0.351	0.193	0.07
	C1	29	45	48	19	15
		0.186	0.288	0.308	0.122	0.096
	C2	18	27	17	18	11
		0.198	0.297	0.187	0.198	0.121
I structure class so that students work together in pairs or small groups:	PC	4	7	11	16	20
		0.069	0.121	0.19	0.276	0.345
	C1	13	18	30	34	62
		0.083	0.115	0.191	0.217	0.395
	C2	9	15	17	18	32
		0.099	0.165	0.187	0.198	0.352

		Not at all	Minimally	Somewhat	Mostly	Very
I structure class so that more than one approach to solving a problem is discussed:	PC	2	2	11	25	17
		0.035	0.035	0.193	0.439	0.298
	C1	1	12	42	57	43
		0.006	0.077	0.271	0.368	0.277
	C2	1	3	19	43	24
		0.011	0.033	0.211	0.478	0.267
I provide time for students to reflect about the processes they use to solve problems:	PC	1	5	12	28	11
		0.018	0.088	0.211	0.491	0.193
	C1	8	12	59	43	33
		0.052	0.077	0.381	0.277	0.213
	C2	2	16	29	30	14
		0.022	0.176	0.319	0.33	0.154
I give students frequent assignments worth a small portion of their grade:	PC	6	2	8	15	25
		0.107	0.036	0.143	0.268	0.446
	C1	15	14	36	44	46
		0.097	0.09	0.232	0.284	0.297
	C2	11	8	12	24	36
		0.121	0.088	0.132	0.264	0.396
I expect students to make connections between related ideas or concepts when completing assignments:	PC	1	3	13	22	18
		0.018	0.053	0.228	0.386	0.316
	C1	1	13	32	68	41
		0.006	0.084	0.206	0.439	0.265
	C2	0	2	15	46	28
		0	0.022	0.165	0.505	0.308
I provide feedback on student assignments without assigning a formal grade:	PC	21	18	12	5	1
		0.368	0.316	0.211	0.088	0.018
	C1	53	45	25	19	11
		0.346	0.294	0.163	0.124	0.072
	C2	30	24	14	13	10
		0.33	0.264	0.154	0.143	0.11

		Not at all	Minimally	Somewhat	Mostly	Very
Test questions focus on important facts and definitions from the course:	PC	3	7	9	18	20
		0.053	0.123	0.158	0.316	0.351
	C1	3	16	24	57	49
		0.02	0.107	0.161	0.383	0.329
	C2	2	6	25	32	25
		0.022	0.067	0.278	0.356	0.278
Test questions require students to apply course concepts to unfamiliar situations:	PC	7	18	17	8	6
		0.125	0.321	0.304	0.143	0.107
	C1	14	28	44	34	30
		0.093	0.187	0.293	0.227	0.2
	C2	5	18	26	22	19
		0.056	0.2	0.289	0.244	0.211
Test questions contain well-defined problems with one correct solution:	PC	4	3	10	14	27
		0.069	0.052	0.172	0.241	0.466
	C1	2	3	25	56	64
		0.013	0.02	0.167	0.373	0.427
	C2	1	2	24	32	30
		0.011	0.022	0.27	0.36	0.337
I use a grading curve as needed to adjust student scores:	PC	23	11	10	9	4
		0.404	0.193	0.175	0.158	0.07
	C1	54	22	30	19	25
		0.36	0.147	0.2	0.127	0.167
	C2	25	14	21	14	16
		0.278	0.156	0.233	0.156	0.178
A wide range of students respond to my questions in class:	PC	2	16	23	11	6
		0.034	0.276	0.397	0.19	0.103
	C1	7	29	61	42	17
		0.045	0.186	0.391	0.269	0.109
	C2	1	13	36	29	12
		0.011	0.143	0.396	0.319	0.132

		Not at all	Minimally	Somewhat	Mostly	Very
I know most of my students by name:	PC	3	5	6	11	32
		0.053	0.088	0.105	0.193	0.561
	C1	4	7	14	15	116
		0.026	0.045	0.09	0.096	0.744
	C2	0	3	8	14	66
		0	0.033	0.088	0.154	0.725
When calling on students in class, I use randomized response strategies (e.g., picking names from a hat):	PC	34	12	7	2	3
		0.586	0.207	0.121	0.034	0.052
	C1	92	27	19	11	7
		0.59	0.173	0.122	0.071	0.045
	C2	53	15	11	8	4
		0.582	0.165	0.121	0.088	0.044
I structure class to encourage peer-to-peer support among students	PC	4	9	12	18	13
		0.071	0.161	0.214	0.321	0.232
	C1	17	27	36	30	46
		0.109	0.173	0.231	0.192	0.295
	C2	9	15	25	23	19
		0.099	0.165	0.275	0.253	0.209
There is a sense of community among the students in my class:	PC	2	10	18	19	7
		0.036	0.179	0.321	0.339	0.125
	C1	6	11	45	61	34
		0.038	0.07	0.287	0.389	0.217
	C2	0	5	36	27	23
		0	0.055	0.396	0.297	0.253
I require students to work in predetermined or randomized groups:	PC	22	6	9	7	13
		0.386	0.105	0.158	0.123	0.228
	C1	38	30	26	27	34
		0.245	0.194	0.168	0.174	0.219
	C2	32	15	12	11	21
		0.352	0.165	0.132	0.121	0.231

		Not at all	Minimally	Somewhat	Mostly	Very
I use strategies that have been shown to support students from underrepresented groups:	PC	11	16	14	9	7
		0.193	0.281	0.246	0.158	0.123
	C1	36	35	44	26	8
		0.242	0.235	0.295	0.174	0.054
	C2	20	25	22	10	8
		0.235	0.294	0.259	0.118	0.094
I consider students' thinking/understanding when planning lessons:	PC	2	2	5	25	23
		0.035	0.035	0.088	0.439	0.404
	C1	3	12	21	51	68
		0.019	0.077	0.135	0.329	0.439
	C2	3	0	12	30	46
		0.033	0	0.132	0.33	0.505
I use a variety of approaches (e.g., questioning, discussion, formal/informal assessments) to gauge where my students are in their understanding of concepts:	PC	2	1	12	21	21
		0.035	0.018	0.211	0.368	0.368
	C1	5	17	35	50	48
		0.032	0.11	0.226	0.323	0.31
	C2	1	8	33	25	24
		0.011	0.088	0.363	0.275	0.264
I understand students' previous conceptions, skills, knowledge, and interests related to a particular topic:	PC	1	5	19	23	10
		0.017	0.086	0.328	0.397	0.172
	C1	6	16	50	61	23
		0.038	0.103	0.321	0.391	0.147
	C2	2	8	27	39	15
		0.022	0.088	0.297	0.429	0.165
I explain concepts in this class in a variety of ways:	PC	1	0	11	28	18
		0.017	0	0.19	0.483	0.31
	C1	1	4	34	69	48
		0.006	0.026	0.218	0.442	0.308
	C2	0	2	15	39	34
		0	0.022	0.167	0.433	0.378

		Not at all	Minimally	Somewhat	Mostly	Very
I adjust my teaching based upon what students currently do or do not understand:	PC	2	3	13	17	22
		0.035	0.053	0.228	0.298	0.386
	C1	0	6	29	54	67
		0	0.038	0.186	0.346	0.429
	C2	1	3	14	39	34
		0.011	0.033	0.154	0.429	0.374
I give feedback on homework, exams, quizzes, etc.:	PC	3	3	7	22	23
		0.052	0.052	0.121	0.379	0.397
	C1	4	10	21	40	80
		0.026	0.065	0.135	0.258	0.516
	C2	4	2	9	26	50
		0.044	0.022	0.099	0.286	0.549
I structure class so that students share their ideas (or their group's ideas) during whole class discussions:	PC	5	5	18	17	12
		0.088	0.088	0.316	0.298	0.211
	C1	15	33	40	47	21
		0.096	0.212	0.256	0.301	0.135
	C2	6	21	21	32	10
		0.067	0.233	0.233	0.356	0.111
I use strategies to encourage participation from a wide range of students:	PC	2	2	20	24	9
		0.035	0.035	0.351	0.421	0.158
	C1	4	22	49	47	30
		0.026	0.145	0.322	0.309	0.197
	C2	3	11	26	33	18
		0.033	0.121	0.286	0.363	0.198
A wide range of students participate in class:	PC	2	6	25	13	11
		0.035	0.105	0.439	0.228	0.193
	C1	6	22	53	43	33
		0.038	0.14	0.338	0.274	0.21
	C2	0	7	22	42	20
		0	0.077	0.242	0.462	0.22

Comparisons

Generally speaking, do other people in your role for [course] use a teaching style similar to yours?

	Yes	No	Too varied to choose	I don't know
PC	28	4	6	20
	0.483	0.069	0.103	0.345
C1	74	4	27	55
	0.462	0.025	0.169	0.344
C2	43	4	10	36
	0.462	0.043	0.108	0.387

How do you feel about the instructional approach(es) being used to teach [course] at [your institution]?

	Very unhappy	Somewhat unhappy	Neutral	Somewhat happy	Happy
PC	3	1	12	26	17
	0.051	0.017	0.203	0.441	0.288
C1	6	10	29	73	42
	0.038	0.062	0.181	0.456	0.262
C2	2	6	21	36	27
	0.022	0.065	0.228	0.391	0.293

PIPS: Instructor Survey

The PIPS data contain 457 responses from instructors from twelve universities across the United States. Of those instructors, 186 taught Precalculus, 164 taught Calculus 1, and 107 taught Calculus 2. We first present the self-identified demographic information of these instructors.

As noted in the introduction to this report, instructors responded for each course they were teaching in a particular term. In the identity and context portion of this report, instructors are counted only once per term; in the course-specific information their responses are reported separately for each *course* they were teaching at the time they completed the survey.

Instructor Identity & Personal Context

These questions asked about instructors' identity, including demographic information and academic context. Questions about Gender, Race and Ethnicity, and Sexual Orientation were presented as "select all that apply" items with the option to self-identify descriptors not on our lists. We intentionally provided more nuanced options than are generally use in demographic reports (e.g., the US census) as we value the complex nature of identity. Responses to these items were recoded for interpretability in this report; details are provided alongside each item.

Gender

Do you consider yourself to be (Select all that apply):

Cisgender Man	Cisgender Woman	Gender Non-binary	Transgender	Transgender Man	Transgender Women	Not reported
228	166	5	0	0	0	57
0.5	0.364	0.011	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.125

Survey response options: Man, Transgender, Woman, Not listed (please specify), Prefer not to disclose.

Selections of only Man, only Woman, or only Transgender are coded as Cisgender Man, Cisgender Woman, and Transgender respectively; selections of Transgender and Man or Transgender and Woman are coded as Transgender Man and Transgender Woman, respectively; selections of Man and Woman (with or without additional selections) or self-described non-binary gender identities (e.g., genderfluid, agender) are coded as Gender Non-Binary; selections of Prefer not to disclose and no selection were coded as Not reported.

Race and Ethnicity

Do you consider yourself to be (Select all that apply):

Asian	37 (0.081)
-------	------------

Alaska Native or Native American	4 (0.009)
Black or African American	43 (0.094)
Hispanic or Latinx	17 (0.037)
Middle Eastern or North African	0 (0.000)
Multiple Race	11 (0.024)
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0 (0.000)
White	265 (0.58)
Not reported	80 (0.175)

Survey response options: Alaskan Native or Native American, Black or African American, Central Asian, East Asian, Hispanic or Latinx, Middle Eastern or North African, Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander, Southeast Asian, South Asian, White, Not listed (please specify), Prefer not to disclose.

Selections of more than one of Central Asian, East Asian, Southeast Asian, South Asian without any other choices are coded as Asian; selections of multiple responses (aside from the previous) are coded as Multiple Race/Ethnicity; selections of Prefer not to disclose and no selection are coded as Not reported.

Sexual Orientation

Do you consider yourself to be (Select all that apply):

Straight	Gay	Lesbian	Bisexual	Asexual	Queer	Queer+	Straight+	Not reported
321	1	0	2	10	1	1	0	121
0.702	0.002	0.000	0.004	0.022	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.265

Survey response options: Asexual, Bisexual, Gay, Lesbian, Queer, Straight (Heterosexual), Not listed (please specify), Prefer not to disclose.

Categories are based on research from Voigt (2020) where selections of exactly one listed option are not recoded; selections of Straight (Heterosexual) and one or more additional options are coded as Straight+; selections of more than one option but not Straight (Heterosexual) are coded as Queer+; selections of Prefer not to disclose and no selection are coded as Not reported.

Additional Context / Identity Markers

Do you consider yourself to be (Select all that apply):

International Student	113 (0.247)
First-generation college student	105 (0.23)
First-generation higher education	173 (0.379)
Person with a disability	9 (0.02)

English language learner	77 (0.168)
Parent or guardian	160 (0.35)

Course-Specific Information

This section describes the participants perceptions of their role in Precalculus, Calculus I, and/or Calculus II (it may be the case that one participant taught more than one of the above courses during the semester). Each result will be shown in the order Precalculus, Calculus I, Calculus II.

Course Decisions

How are most decisions about course content (e.g., syllabi, exams, homework, pacing, grading) made for [course]? Clarify if you wish.

	I make most decisions	I am part of a team that makes most decisions	Someone else makes most decisions
PC	68	83	33
	0.37	0.451	0.179
C1	71	53	34
	0.449	0.335	0.215
C2	50	35	14
	0.505	0.354	0.141

How are most decisions about instructional approach (e.g., use of clickers, group work, active learning) made for [course]? Clarify if you wish.

	I make most decisions	I am part of a team that makes most decisions	Someone else makes most decisions
PC	123	51	11
	0.665	0.276	0.059
C1	116	30	14
	0.725	0.188	0.088
C2	89	9	1
	0.899	0.091	0.01

Percentages of Class Time

What percent of regular class time in [course], over the whole term, did your students spend... [must total 100]

	n	mean	sd	median
All Instructors				
<i>Working on tasks individually</i>	449	12.65	14.41	10
<i>Working on tasks in small groups</i>	449	15.65	16.50	11
<i>Engaging in whole class discussion</i>	449	13.00	13.30	10
<i>Listening to the instructor lecture or solve problems</i>	449	58.69	22.57	60
Precalculus Instructors				
<i>Working on tasks individually</i>	185	16.78	17.94	11
<i>Working on tasks in small groups</i>	185	15.85	17.55	10
<i>Engaging in whole class discussion</i>	185	12.91	12.76	10
<i>Listening to the instructor lecture or solve problems</i>	185	54.46	22.15	54
Calculus 1 Instructors				
<i>Working on tasks individually</i>	161	9.83	10.68	8
<i>Working on tasks in small groups</i>	161	17.03	16.61	15
<i>Engaging in whole class discussion</i>	161	11.87	12.13	10
<i>Listening to the instructor lecture or solve problems</i>	161	61.27	21.77	64
Calculus 2 Instructors				
<i>Working on tasks individually</i>	103	9.63	10.01	8
<i>Working on tasks in small groups</i>	103	13.15	14.09	10
<i>Engaging in whole class discussion</i>	103	14.95	15.69	10
<i>Listening to the instructor lecture or solve problems</i>	103	62.27	23.51	66

Classroom Experience

Please indicate the degree to which the following statements are descriptive of your teaching in [course]:

		Not at all descriptive	Minimally descriptive	Somewhat descriptive	Mostly descriptive	Very descriptive
I guide students through major topics as they listen:	PC	2	11	45	64	61
		0.011	0.06	0.246	0.35	0.333
	C1	1	12	32	60	53
		0.006	0.076	0.203	0.38	0.335
	C2	2	4	23	43	29
		0.02	0.04	0.228	0.426	0.287

		Not at all descriptive	Minimally descriptive	Somewhat descriptive	Mostly descriptive	Very descriptive
I provide activities that connect course content to my students' lives and future work:	PC	17	53	58	28	27
		0.093	0.29	0.317	0.153	0.148
	C1	26	41	51	23	18
		0.164	0.258	0.321	0.145	0.113
	C2	19	26	34	16	5
		0.19	0.26	0.34	0.16	0.05
My syllabus contains the specific topics that will be covered in every class session:	PC	16	11	22	40	94
		0.087	0.06	0.12	0.219	0.514
	C1	27	10	31	33	59
		0.169	0.062	0.194	0.206	0.369
	C2	20	9	11	20	42
		0.196	0.088	0.108	0.196	0.412
I provide students with immediate feedback on their work during class (e.g., student response systems; short quizzes):	PC	20	26	33	54	50
		0.109	0.142	0.18	0.295	0.273
	C1	40	40	26	26	28
		0.25	0.25	0.162	0.162	0.175
	C2	22	23	21	18	16
		0.22	0.23	0.21	0.18	0.16
I structure my course with the assumption that most of the students have little useful knowledge of the topics:	PC	13	31	42	58	40
		0.071	0.168	0.228	0.315	0.217
	C1	9	24	45	41	39
		0.057	0.152	0.285	0.259	0.247
	C2	6	18	28	35	15
		0.059	0.176	0.275	0.343	0.147
I use student assessment results to guide the direction of my instruction during the semester:	PC	15	24	50	49	43
		0.083	0.133	0.276	0.271	0.238
	C1	12	22	56	40	29
		0.075	0.138	0.352	0.252	0.182
	C2	5	19	30	24	22
		0.05	0.19	0.3	0.24	0.22

		Not at all descriptive	Minimally descriptive	Somewhat descriptive	Mostly descriptive	Very descriptive
I ask students to respond to questions during class time:	PC	6	3	5	63	104
		0.033	0.017	0.028	0.348	0.575
	C1	2	2	23	45	88
		0.013	0.013	0.144	0.281	0.55
	C2	1	0	12	27	59
		0.01	0	0.121	0.273	0.596
I use student questions and comments to determine the focus and direction of classroom lessons:	PC	10	15	58	60	41
		0.054	0.082	0.315	0.326	0.223
	C1	7	21	51	51	30
		0.044	0.131	0.319	0.319	0.188
	C2	1	14	28	40	19
		0.01	0.137	0.275	0.392	0.186
In my class a variety of means (models, drawings, graphs, symbols, simulations, tables, etc.) are used to represent course topics and/or solve problems:	PC	6	32	98	138	172
		0.013	0.072	0.22	0.309	0.386
	C1	1	11	37	46	65
		0.006	0.069	0.231	0.288	0.406
	C2	0	11	28	29	34
		0	0.108	0.275	0.284	0.333
I structure class so that students explore or discuss their understanding of concepts before direct instruction:	PC	42	42	43	33	22
		0.231	0.231	0.236	0.181	0.121
	C1	35	53	32	18	21
		0.22	0.333	0.201	0.113	0.132
	C2	28	31	23	8	8
		0.286	0.316	0.235	0.082	0.082
My class sessions are structured to give students a clear/structured set of notes:	PC	1	10	20	52	102
		0.005	0.054	0.108	0.281	0.551
	C1	3	17	15	50	74
		0.019	0.107	0.094	0.314	0.465
	C2	1	7	17	29	48
		0.01	0.069	0.167	0.284	0.471

		Not at all descriptive	Minimally descriptive	Somewhat descriptive	Mostly descriptive	Very descriptive
I structure class so that students talk with one another about course topics:	PC	14	38	57	43	29
		0.077	0.21	0.315	0.238	0.16
	C1	18	24	48	38	32
		0.112	0.15	0.3	0.238	0.2
	C2	10	21	27	18	24
		0.1	0.21	0.27	0.18	0.24
I structure class so that students constructively criticize one another's ideas:	PC	62	43	47	18	11
		0.343	0.238	0.26	0.099	0.061
	C1	55	43	30	20	11
		0.346	0.27	0.189	0.126	0.069
	C2	31	29	21	12	6
		0.313	0.293	0.212	0.121	0.061
I structure class so that students discuss their mathematical difficulties with other students:	PC	24	37	60	40	23
		0.13	0.201	0.326	0.217	0.125
	C1	27	27	51	36	18
		0.17	0.17	0.321	0.226	0.113
	C2	20	14	33	19	14
		0.2	0.14	0.33	0.19	0.14
I structure class so that students work on problems individually during class:	PC	10	39	53	44	37
		0.055	0.213	0.29	0.24	0.202
	C1	27	44	42	23	24
		0.169	0.275	0.262	0.144	0.15
	C2	19	28	28	13	14
		0.186	0.275	0.275	0.127	0.137
I structure class so that students work together in pairs or small groups:	PC	29	35	35	47	37
		0.158	0.191	0.191	0.257	0.202
	C1	29	30	37	30	34
		0.181	0.188	0.231	0.188	0.212
	C2	25	23	23	8	22
		0.248	0.228	0.228	0.079	0.218

		Not at all descriptive	Minimally descriptive	Somewhat descriptive	Mostly descriptive	Very descriptive
I structure class so that more than one approach to solving a problem is discussed:	PC	1	7	37	74	61
		0.006	0.039	0.206	0.411	0.339
	C1	1	13	43	70	33
		0.006	0.081	0.269	0.438	0.206
	C2	4	9	28	31	28
		0.04	0.09	0.28	0.31	0.28
I provide time for students to reflect about the processes they use to solve problems:	PC	7	24	60	66	27
		0.038	0.13	0.326	0.359	0.147
	C1	12	26	54	38	29
		0.075	0.164	0.34	0.239	0.182
	C2	4	23	33	26	15
		0.04	0.228	0.327	0.257	0.149
I give students frequent assignments worth a small portion of their grade:	PC	3	11	28	65	78
		0.016	0.059	0.151	0.351	0.422
	C1	10	8	26	52	63
		0.063	0.05	0.164	0.327	0.396
	C2	2	10	17	29	43
		0.02	0.099	0.168	0.287	0.426
I expect students to make connections between related ideas or concepts when completing assignments:	PC	1	4	33	79	67
		0.005	0.022	0.179	0.429	0.364
	C1	3	12	38	71	36
		0.019	0.075	0.238	0.444	0.225
	C2	1	7	23	38	31
		0.01	0.07	0.23	0.38	0.31
I provide feedback on student assignments without assigning a formal grade:	PC	65	42	45	18	12
		0.357	0.231	0.247	0.099	0.066
	C1	61	39	38	6	15
		0.384	0.245	0.239	0.038	0.094
	C2	41	22	20	8	7
		0.418	0.224	0.204	0.082	0.071

		Not at all descriptive	Minimally descriptive	Somewhat descriptive	Mostly descriptive	Very descriptive
Test questions focus on important facts and definitions from the course:	PC	5	19	33	44	82
		0.027	0.104	0.18	0.24	0.448
	C1	2	12	38	44	63
		0.013	0.075	0.239	0.277	0.396
	C2	0	6	24	31	37
		0	0.061	0.245	0.316	0.378
Test questions require students to apply course concepts to unfamiliar situations:	PC	22	50	70	29	14
		0.119	0.27	0.378	0.157	0.076
	C1	21	37	56	22	21
		0.134	0.236	0.357	0.14	0.134
	C2	15	21	39	16	7
		0.153	0.214	0.398	0.163	0.071
Test questions contain well-defined problems with one correct solution:	PC	0	3	17	64	99
		0	0.016	0.093	0.35	0.541
	C1	1	4	17	60	76
		0.006	0.025	0.108	0.38	0.481
	C2	2	3	19	41	38
		0.019	0.029	0.184	0.398	0.369
I use a grading curve as needed to adjust student scores:	PC	69	53	33	14	15
		0.375	0.288	0.179	0.076	0.082
	C1	45	40	27	19	28
		0.283	0.252	0.17	0.119	0.176
	C2	22	22	23	12	20
		0.222	0.222	0.232	0.121	0.202
A wide range of students respond to my questions in class:	PC	12	22	61	62	27
		0.065	0.12	0.332	0.337	0.147
	C1	5	26	68	39	22
		0.031	0.162	0.425	0.244	0.138
	C2	3	13	46	23	17
		0.029	0.127	0.451	0.225	0.167

		Not at all descriptive	Minimally descriptive	Somewhat descriptive	Mostly descriptive	Very descriptive
I know most of my students by name:	PC	9	23	27	29	95
		0.049	0.126	0.148	0.158	0.519
	C1	16	29	20	20	75
		0.1	0.181	0.125	0.125	0.469
	C2	5	14	12	14	56
		0.05	0.139	0.119	0.139	0.554
When calling on students in class, I use randomized response strategies (e.g., picking names from a hat):	PC	105	32	26	8	11
		0.577	0.176	0.143	0.044	0.06
	C1	94	27	19	11	9
		0.588	0.169	0.119	0.069	0.056
	C2	58	15	12	8	5
		0.592	0.153	0.122	0.082	0.051
I structure class to encourage peer-to-peer support among students (e.g., ask peer before you ask me, having group roles, developing a group solution to share, etc.):	PC	20	50	37	44	32
		0.109	0.273	0.202	0.24	0.175
	C1	23	35	42	31	29
		0.144	0.219	0.262	0.194	0.181
	C2	21	22	23	18	16
		0.21	0.22	0.23	0.18	0.16
There is a sense of community among the students in my class:	PC	4	16	64	62	37
		0.022	0.087	0.35	0.339	0.202
	C1	4	15	39	66	35
		0.025	0.094	0.245	0.415	0.22
	C2	1	12	34	30	25
		0.01	0.118	0.333	0.294	0.245
I require students to work in predetermined or randomized groups:	PC	75	27	32	23	23
		0.417	0.15	0.178	0.128	0.128
	C1	81	16	20	13	30
		0.506	0.1	0.125	0.081	0.188
	C2	56	18	13	7	6
		0.56	0.18	0.13	0.07	0.06

		Not at all descriptive	Minimally descriptive	Somewhat descriptive	Mostly descriptive	Very descriptive
I use strategies that have been shown to support students from underrepresented groups:	PC	34	35	54	36	18
		0.192	0.198	0.305	0.203	0.102
	C1	33	38	39	20	23
		0.216	0.248	0.255	0.131	0.15
	C2	24	29	21	10	11
		0.253	0.305	0.221	0.105	0.116
I consider students' thinking/understanding when planning lessons:	PC	6	6	20	84	67
		0.033	0.033	0.109	0.459	0.366
	C1	0	1	27	64	65
		0	0.006	0.172	0.408	0.414
	C2	0	0	16	36	49
		0	0	0.158	0.356	0.485
I use a variety of approaches (e.g., questioning, discussion, formal/informal assessments) to gauge where my students are in their understanding of concepts:	PC	2	9	45	71	56
		0.011	0.049	0.246	0.388	0.306
	C1	3	17	52	46	42
		0.019	0.106	0.325	0.288	0.262
	C2	1	12	28	35	25
		0.01	0.119	0.277	0.347	0.248
I understand students' previous conceptions, skills, knowledge, and interests related to a particular topic:	PC	4	18	51	63	48
		0.022	0.098	0.277	0.342	0.261
	C1	2	16	61	54	27
		0.013	0.1	0.381	0.338	0.169
	C2	1	10	33	33	25
		0.01	0.098	0.324	0.324	0.245
I explain concepts in this class in a variety of ways:	PC	2	3	17	83	77
		0.011	0.016	0.093	0.456	0.423
	C1	1	5	34	65	54
		0.006	0.031	0.214	0.409	0.34
	C2	1	4	18	44	34
		0.01	0.04	0.178	0.436	0.337

		Not at all descriptive	Minimally descriptive	Somewhat descriptive	Mostly descriptive	Very descriptive
I adjust my teaching based upon what students currently do or do not understand:	PC	4	9	41	64	65
		0.022	0.049	0.224	0.35	0.355
	C1	0	7	39	63	51
		0	0.044	0.244	0.394	0.319
	C2	0	4	28	36	34
		0	0.039	0.275	0.353	0.333
I give feedback on homework, exams, quizzes, etc.:	PC	4	8	26	59	87
		0.022	0.043	0.141	0.321	0.473
	C1	7	10	28	41	73
		0.044	0.063	0.176	0.258	0.459
	C2	4	4	9	30	55
		0.039	0.039	0.088	0.294	0.539
I structure class so that students share their ideas (or their group's ideas) during whole class discussions:	PC	17	45	50	46	26
		0.092	0.245	0.272	0.25	0.141
	C1	26	32	47	29	26
		0.162	0.2	0.294	0.181	0.162
	C2	10	26	32	20	13
		0.099	0.257	0.317	0.198	0.129
I use strategies to encourage participation from a wide range of students:	PC	7	11	48	69	50
		0.038	0.059	0.259	0.373	0.27
	C1	8	28	38	44	41
		0.05	0.176	0.239	0.277	0.258
	C2	5	21	28	28	17
		0.051	0.212	0.283	0.283	0.172
A wide range of students participate in class:	PC	7	13	58	72	33
		0.038	0.071	0.317	0.393	0.18
	C1	4	15	60	49	32
		0.025	0.094	0.375	0.306	0.2
	C2	1	15	33	35	18
		0.01	0.147	0.324	0.343	0.176

Comparisons

Generally speaking, do other [course] instructors use a teaching style similar to yours?

		Yes	No	Too varied to choose	I don't know
Do other [course] instructors use a teaching style similar to yours?	PC	72	13	22	77
		0.391	0.071	0.12	0.418
	C1	57	18	18	64
		0.363	0.115	0.115	0.408
	C2	30	14	12	45
		0.297	0.139	0.119	0.446

How do you feel about the instructional approach(es) being used to teach [course] at [your institution]?

		Very unhappy	Somewhat unhappy	Neutral	Somewhat happy	Happy
How do you feel about the instructional approach(es) being used to teach [course] at [your institution]?	PC	2	15	40	70	56
		0.011	0.082	0.219	0.383	0.306
	C1	1	26	33	65	34
		0.006	0.164	0.208	0.409	0.214
	C2	0	13	28	34	25
		0	0.13	0.28	0.34	0.25

Acknowledgement & References

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the students and instructors across all twelve universities who provided us with descriptions of themselves and their mathematics course experiences.

The authors of this manuscript are a subset of the Progress through Calculus research team. This work would not be possible without the contributions of the PI team (David Bressoud, Jessica Ellis Hagman, Sean Larsen, Chris Rasmussen), the Mathematical Association of America, and a long list of senior personnel and graduate students, including: Estrella Johnson, Naneh Apkarian, Jessica Gehrtz, Kristen Vroom, Dana Kirin, Matthew Voigt, Tenchita Alzaga Elizondo, Brittney Ellis, Antonio Martinez, Rachel Tremaine, Ciera Street, Tyler Sullivan, Jason Guglielmo, Victoria Barron, Colin McGrane, and Kate Yang.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under grant DUE IUSE #1430540. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and project team and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

References

- Apkarian, N., & Kirin, D. (2017). *Progress through calculus: Census survey technical report*. Mathematical Association of America. http://bit.ly/PtC_Reporting
- Apkarian, N., Smith, W. M., Vroom, K., Voigt, M., Gehrtz, J., PtC Project Team, & SEMINAL Project Team. (2019). *X-PIPS-M Survey Suite*. <https://www.maa.org/sites/default/files/XPIPSM%20Summary%20Document.pdf>
- Bressoud, D., Mesa, V., & Rasmussen, C. (Eds.). (2015). *Insights and recommendations from the MAA national study of college calculus*. MAA Press.
- Rasmussen, C., Apkarian, N., Hagman, J. E., Johnson, E., Larsen, S., Bressoud, D., & Progress through Calculus Team. (2019). Characteristics of Precalculus through Calculus 2 programs: Insights from a national census survey. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 50(1), 98–112.
- Voigt, M. (2020). *Queer-Spectrum Student Experiences and Resources in Undergraduate Mathematics* [Doctoral dissertation, UC San Diego]. Retrieved from <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7g54x6c7>
- Walter, E. M., Henderson, C. R., Beach, A. L., & Williams, C. T. (2016). Introducing the Postsecondary Instructional Practices Survey (PIPS): A concise, interdisciplinary, and easy-to-score survey. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 15(4), ar53. <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.15-09-0193>