ON THE VLASOV-POISSON-BOLTZMANN LIMIT OF THE VLASOV-MAXWELL-BOLTZMANN SYSTEM
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Abstract. For the whole range of cutoff intermolecular interactions, we give a rigorous mathematical justification of the limit from the Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system to the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system as the light speed tends to infinity. Such a limit is shown to hold global-in-time in the perturbative framework. The key point in our analysis is to deduce certain a priori estimates which are independent of the light speed and the main difficulty is due to the degeneracy of the dissipative effect of the electromagnetic field for large light speed.
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1. Introduction

1.1. The problem. The motion of dilute ionized plasmas consisting of two-species particles (e.g., electrons and ions) under the influence of binary collisions and the self-consistent electromagnetic field can be modelled by the Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system (cf. [3, Chapter 19] as well as [21, Chapter 6.6])

$$
\partial_t F^+_c + v \cdot \nabla_x F^+_c + \frac{e_+}{m_+} \left( E^c + \frac{v}{c} \times B^c \right) \cdot \nabla_v F^+_c = Q \left( F^+_c, F^+_c \right) + Q \left( F^+_c, F^-_c \right),
$$

$$
\partial_t F^-_c + v \cdot \nabla_x F^-_c - \frac{e_-}{m_-} \left( E^c + \frac{v}{c} \times B^c \right) \cdot \nabla_v F^-_c = Q \left( F^-_c, F^+_c \right) + Q \left( F^-_c, F^-_c \right). \tag{1.1}
$$
Here the electromagnetic field \([E^c, B^c] = [E^c(t, x), B^c(t, x)]\) satisfies the Maxwell equations
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{c} \partial_t E^c - \nabla^x \times B^c &= -\frac{4\pi}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \left( e_+ F^c_+ - e_- F^c_- \right) dv, \\
\frac{1}{c} \partial_t B^c + \nabla^x \times E^c &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]  
(1.2)
\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla^x \cdot E^c &= 4\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( e_+ F^c_+ - e_- F^c_- \right) dv, \\
\nabla^x \cdot B^c &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\nabla^x = [\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2}, \partial_{x_3}]\), \(\nabla^x = [\partial_{v_1}, \partial_{v_2}, \partial_{v_3}]\). The unknown functions \(F^c_\pm = F^c_\pm(t, x, v) \geq 0\) are the number density functions for the ions (+) and electrons (−) with position \(x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3\) and velocity \(v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3\) at time \(t \geq 0\), respectively, \(e_\pm\) and \(m_\pm\) the magnitudes of their charges and masses, and \(c\) the light speed.

Let \(F(v), G(v)\) be two number density functions for two types of particles with masses \(m_\pm\) and diameters \(\sigma_\pm\), then the collision operator \(Q(F, G)(v)\) for cutoff intermolecular interactions is defined as (cf. [3])
\[
Q(F, G)(v) = \frac{(\sigma_+ + \sigma_-)^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} |u - v|^\gamma b \left( \frac{\omega \cdot (v - u)}{|u - v|} \right) \{ F(v') G(u') - F(v) G(u) \} dv du
\]
\[
\equiv Q_{\text{gain}}(F, G) - Q_{\text{loss}}(F, G).
\]
Here \(\omega \in S^2\) and \(b\), the angular part of the collision kernel, satisfies Grad’s cutoff assumption (cf. [14])
\[
0 \leq b(\cos \theta) \leq C |\cos \theta|
\]
(1.3)
for some positive constant \(C > 0\). The deviation angle \(\pi - 2\theta\) satisfies \(\cos \theta = \omega \cdot (v - u)/|v - u|\). Moreover, for \(m_1, m_2 \in \{m_+, m_-\}\),
\[
\begin{align*}
v' &= v - \frac{2m_2}{m_1 + m_2} (v - u) \cdot \omega |\omega|, \\
u' &= u + \frac{2m_1}{m_1 + m_2} (v - u) \cdot \omega |\omega|,
\end{align*}
\]
which denote velocities \((v', u')\) after a collision of particles having velocities \((v, u)\) before the collision and vice versa. Notice that the above identities follow from the conservation of momentum \(m_1 v + m_2 u\) and energy \(\frac{1}{2} m_1 |v|^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_2 |u|^2\).

The exponent \(\gamma \in (-3, 1]\) in the kinetic part of the collision kernel is determined by the potential of intermolecular force, which is classified into the soft potential case for \(-3 < \gamma < 0\), the Maxwell molecular case for \(\gamma = 0\), and the hard potential case for \(0 < \gamma \leq 1\) which includes the hard sphere model with \(\gamma = 1\) and \(b(\cos \theta) = C |\cos \theta|\) for some positive constant \(C > 0\). For the soft potentials, the case \(-2 \leq \gamma < 0\) is called the moderately soft potentials while \(-3 < \gamma < -2\) is called the very soft potentials, cf. [34].

For the Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system (1.1), (1.2), if one let the light speed \(c \rightarrow +\infty\), one can then formally deduce that the solution \([F^c_+(t, x, v), F^c_-(t, x, v), E^c(t, x, v), B^c(t, x)]\) of the Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system (1.1), (1.2) converges to \([F^\infty_+(t, x, v), F^\infty_-(t, x, v), E^\infty(t, x, v), B^\infty(t, x)]\) with \(E^\infty(t, x) \equiv \nabla_x \phi^\infty(t, x)\) for some scalar function \(\phi^\infty(t, x)\), and \([F^\infty_+(t, x, v), F^\infty_-(t, x, v), \phi^\infty(t, x)]\) solves the following Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system
\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t F^\infty_+ + v \cdot \nabla^x F^\infty_+ + \frac{e_+}{m_+} \nabla^x \phi^\infty \cdot \nabla^x F^\infty_+ &= Q \left( F^\infty_+, F^\infty_- \right) + Q \left( F^\infty_-, F^\infty_+ \right), \\
\partial_t F^\infty_- + v \cdot \nabla^x F^\infty_- - \frac{e_-}{m_-} \nabla^x \phi^\infty \cdot \nabla^x F^\infty_- &= Q \left( F^\infty_-, F^\infty_+ \right) + Q \left( F^\infty_+, F^\infty_- \right), \\
\nabla^x \phi^\infty &= 4\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( e_+ F^\infty_+ - e_- F^\infty_- \right) dv,
\end{align*}
\]  
(1.4)
\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t F^\infty_+ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \left( e_+ F^\infty_+ - e_- F^\infty_- \right) dv, \\
\nabla^x \times E^\infty &= 0, \\
\nabla^x \cdot E^\infty &= 4\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( e_+ F^\infty_+ - e_- F^\infty_- \right) dv.
\end{align*}
\]  
(1.5)
If we define
\[
\mu_+(v) = \frac{n_0}{\epsilon_+} \left( \frac{m_+}{2\pi \kappa_B T_0} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \exp \left( -\frac{m_+|v|^2}{2\kappa_B T_0} \right),
\]
\[
\mu_-(v) = \frac{n_0}{\epsilon_-} \left( \frac{m_-}{2\pi \kappa_B T_0} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \exp \left( -\frac{m_-|v|^2}{2\kappa_B T_0} \right),
\]
where \( \kappa_B > 0 \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( n_0 > 0 \) and \( T_0 > 0 \) are constant reference number density and temperature, respectively, and the reference bulk velocities have been chosen to be zero, then it is easy to check that \([\mu_+(v),\mu_-(v),\mathcal{E}(x),\mathfrak{B}(x)]\) is a solution to the Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system (1.1), (1.2) iff
\[
\mathcal{E}(x) = \left[ \mathcal{E}_1(x), \mathcal{E}_2(x), \mathcal{E}_3(x) \right],
\]
\[
\mathfrak{B}(x) = \left[ \mathfrak{B}_1(x), \mathfrak{B}_2(x), \mathfrak{B}_3(x) \right],
\]
hold for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \), from which, the fact that \( \mathfrak{B}(x) \) is always assumed to be bounded, and Liouville’s theorem, one can deduce that \( \mathfrak{B}(x) \equiv \mathfrak{B} = [\mathfrak{B}_1, \mathfrak{B}_2, \mathfrak{B}_3] \), where \([\mathfrak{B}_1, \mathfrak{B}_2, \mathfrak{B}_3]\) is any constant vector.

The main purpose of this paper is to give a rigorous mathematical justification of the above formal limit, which is global-in-time, in the perturbative framework, i.e. in the regime when the solution \([F^c_\pm(t,x,v), F^c_\pm(t,x,v), E^c(t,x), B^c(t,x)]\) of the Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system (1.1), (1.2) and the solution \([F^\infty_\pm(t,x,v), F^\infty_\pm(t,x,v), \phi^\infty(t,x)]\) of the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system (1.4) are a small perturbation of the equilibrium states \([\mu_+(v), \mu_-(v), 0, \mathfrak{B}]\) and \([\mu_+(v), \mu_-(v), 0]\), respectively. Here and in the rest of this paper, \( \mathfrak{B} = [\mathfrak{B}_1, \mathfrak{B}_2, \mathfrak{B}_3] \) is any given constant vector.

To this end, we focus on the Cauchy problem of the Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system (1.1), (1.2) with prescribed initial data
\[
F^c_\pm(0, x, v) = F^0_\pm(v, x), \quad E^c(0, x) = E^c_0(x), \quad B^c(0, x) = B^c_0(x),
\]
which satisfy the compatibility conditions
\[
\nabla_x \cdot E^c_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (F^c_{0,+,} - F^c_{0,-}) \, dv, \quad \nabla_x \cdot B^c_0 = 0,
\]
and the Cauchy problem of the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system (1.4) with initial data
\[
F^\infty_\pm(0, x, v) = F^\infty_\pm(x, v).
\]

Without loss of generality, we assume in the rest of this paper that all the physical constants such as \( m_{\pm}, c_{\pm}, \sigma_{\pm} \), and the generic constant \( 4\pi \) except the light speed \( c \) are chosen to be one. Under such an assumption, we can normalize the above Maxwellians accordingly as
\[
\mu(v) = \mu_+(v) = \mu_-(v) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{|v|^2}{2}}.
\]
Moreover, if we set \( \epsilon = \frac{1}{\kappa} \), then we will use \( F^c_\pm(t,x,v), E^c(t,x), B^c(t,x), F^\infty_\pm(x, v), E^\infty_0(x), B^\infty_0(x) \) to denote \( F^c_\pm(t,x,v), E^c(t,x), B^c(t,x), F^\infty_\pm(x, v), E^\infty_0(x), B^\infty_0(x) \), respectively, in the rest of this paper.

1.2. Notations. To continue, we first introduce some notations used throughout the paper.

- \( A \lesssim B \) means that there is a generic constant \( C > 0 \), which is independent of \( \epsilon \), such that \( A \leq CB \).
- \( A \sim B \) means \( A \leq B \) and \( B \leq A \).
- The multi-indices \( \alpha = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3] \) and \( \beta = [\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3] \) will be used to record spatial and velocity derivatives, respectively. And \( \partial^\alpha \equiv \partial^\alpha_{x_1} \partial^\alpha_{x_2} \partial^\alpha_{x_3} \partial^\beta_1 \partial^\beta_2 \partial^\beta_3 \). Similarly, the notation \( \partial^\alpha \) will be used when \( \beta = 0 \) and likewise for \( \partial_\beta \). The length of \( \alpha \) is denoted by \( |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \). \( \alpha' \leq \alpha \) means that no component of \( \alpha' \) is greater than the corresponding component of \( \alpha \), and \( \alpha' < \alpha \) means that \( \alpha' < \alpha \) and \( |\alpha'| < |\alpha| \). And it is convenient to write \( \partial_i = \partial_{x_i} \) for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \) and \( |\nabla^2 u| = \sum_{|\alpha|=2} |\partial^\alpha u| \);
- \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) is used to denote the \( L^2_x \times L^2_v \) inner product in \( \mathbb{R}^3_x \times \mathbb{R}^3_v \) with the \( L^2 \) norm \( \| \cdot \|_2 \). For notational simplicity, \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) denotes the \( L^2 \times L^2 \) inner product either in \( \mathbb{R}^3_x \times \mathbb{R}^3_v \) or in \( \mathbb{R}^3_x \) with the \( L^2 \times L^2 \) norm \( \| \cdot \| \).
- Moreover \( |f|_2 \equiv \| \sqrt{\nu} f \|_2 \), \( \| f \|_2 \equiv \| \sqrt{\nu} f \|_2 \), where \( \nu(v) \) is the collision frequency defined by (2.11) satisfying \( \nu(v) \sim (v, v) \), \( \nu = \sqrt{1 + |v|^2} \);
- For a complex-valued function \( g(t,x,v) \), \( Re g \) and \( Im g \) denote the real part of \( g(t,x,v) \) and the complex conjugate of \( g(t,x,v) \), respectively. Moreover, \( \langle v, [v, g] \rangle = \langle v, f - g \rangle \), \( \langle [v, v], [f, g] \rangle = \langle v, f - g \rangle \), \( \langle f, g \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle \);
with prescribed initial data

\[ f^0_{\pm}(x,v) = \mu(v) + 1/2(v) f^\pm_{\pm}(t,x,v) \geq 0, \quad B^0_{\pm}(x,v) = B + B^r_{\pm}(t,x) \] (2.1)

with initial data

\[ F_{0,\pm}(x,v) = \mu(v) + 1/2(v) f_{0,\pm}(x,v), \quad B_0^r(x,v) = B + B_0^r(x,v) \] (2.2)

respectively, then we can deduce from (2.1) that

\[ \partial_t f^\pm + v \cdot \nabla f^\pm = E^r \cdot v f^\pm + 1/2 E^r \cdot v f^\pm + E^r \cdot \nabla_v f^\pm \]

\[ \pm \epsilon \left\{ v \times (B + B^r) \right\} \cdot \nabla_v f^\pm + L f^\pm \]

\[ \epsilon \partial_t E^r - \nabla_x \times \vec{B}^e = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \mu^{1/2}(v) \left( f^+_\pm - f^-_\pm \right) dv, \]

\[ \epsilon \partial_t \vec{B}^e + \nabla_x \times \vec{E}^e = 0, \]

\[ \nabla_x \cdot E^e = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mu^{1/2}(v) \left( f^+_\pm - f^-_\pm \right) dv, \quad \nabla_x \cdot \vec{B}^e = 0 \] (2.3)

with prescribed initial data

\[ f^\pm(0,x,v) = f_{0,\pm}(x,v), \quad E^r(0,x) = E_0^r(x), \quad \vec{B}^e(0,x) = \vec{B}_0^e(x) \] (2.4)

which satisfy the compatibility conditions

\[ \nabla_x \cdot E_0^r = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mu^{1/2}(v) \left( f_{0,+}^r - f_{0,-}^r \right) dv, \quad \nabla_x \cdot \vec{B}_0^e = 0. \] (2.5)

For later use, setting \( g = [g_+, g_-] \), the first equation of (2.3) can be also rewritten as

\[ \partial_t f^e + v \cdot \nabla f^e - E^e \cdot v f^e + q_0 E^e \cdot \nabla_v f^e + q_0 \epsilon \left\{ v \times (B + B^e) \right\} \cdot \nabla_v f^e + L f^e \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} q_0 E^e \cdot v f^e + \Gamma (f^e, f^e) \] (2.6)

where

\[ q_0 = \text{diag}(1,-1), \quad q_1 = [1,-1], \] (2.7)

and the linearized collision operator \( L = [L_+, L_-] \) together with the nonlinear collision operator \( \Gamma = [\Gamma_+, \Gamma_-] \) are respectively defined by

\[ Lg = [L_+ g, L_- g], \quad \Gamma(g,h) = [\Gamma_+ (g,h), \Gamma_- (g,h)] \] (2.8)
with
\[ L \pm g = -\mu^{-1/2} \left\{ Q \left( \mu, \mu^{1/2} (g_\pm + g_\mp) \right) + 2Q \left( \mu^{1/2} g_\pm, \mu \right) \right\}, \]
\[ \Gamma_\pm(g, h) = \mu^{-1/2} \left\{ Q \left( \mu^{1/2} g_\pm, \mu^{1/2} h_\pm \right) + Q \left( \mu^{1/2} g_\pm, \mu^{1/2} h_\mp \right) \right\}. \]

For the linearized collision operator \( L \), it is well known (cf. [17]) that it is non-negative and the null space \( \mathcal{N} \) of \( L \) is spanned by
\[ \mathcal{N} = \text{span} \left\{ (1, 0)\mu^{1/2}, (0, 1)\mu^{1/2}, [v_i, v_j] \mu^{1/2} (1 \leq i \leq 3), (|v|^2, |v|^2) \mu^{1/2} \right\}. \]

Let \( P \) be the orthogonal projection from \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \) to \( \mathcal{N} \), then for any given function \( g(t, x, v) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \), one has
\[ P g = a^1_+(t, x)[1, 0] \mu^{1/2} + a^2_+(t, x)[0, 1] \mu^{1/2} + \sum_{i=1}^3 b^i_+(t, x) |v_i| \mu^{1/2} + c^2(t, x) [1, 1] (|v|^2 - 3) \mu^{1/2} \]
with
\[ a^1_+(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mu^{1/2}(v) g_+(t, x, v) dv, \]
\[ b^i_+(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_i \mu^{1/2}(v) (g_+(t, x, v) + g_-(t, x, v)) dv, \]
\[ c^2(t, x) = \frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|v|^2 - 3) \mu^{1/2}(v) (g_+(t, x, v) + g_-(t, x, v)) dv. \]

The expression (2.9) can be rewritten as \( P g = [P g_+, P g_-] \) with
\[ P_\pm g = a^\pm_+(t, x) \mu^{1/2} + \sum_{i=1}^3 b^i_\pm(t, x) v_i \mu^{1/2} + c^\pm(t, x) (|v|^2 - 3) \mu^{1/2}. \]

Therefore, we have the following macro-micro decomposition with respect to the given global Maxwellian \( \mu(v) \), cf. [18, 28]
\[ g(t, x, v) = P g(t, x, v) + [I - P] g(t, x, v), \]
where \( I \) denotes the identity operator, and \( P g \) and \( [I - P] g \) are called the macroscopic and the microscopic component of \( g(t, x, v) \), respectively. Moreover, it is easy to see that \( L \) can be decomposed as
\[ L g = \nu g - Kg \]
with the collision frequency \( \nu(v) \) and the nonlocal integral operator \( K = [K_+, K_-] \) being defined by
\[ \nu(v) = 2Q_{\text{loss}}(1, \mu) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} |v - u| \gamma b \left( \frac{\omega \cdot (v - u)}{|v - u|} \right) \mu(u) d\omega du \sim (1 + |v|)^{\gamma} \]
and
\[ (K_\pm g)(v) = \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ 2Q_{\text{gain}} \left( \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} g_\pm, \mu \right) - Q \left( \mu, \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} (g_\pm + g_\mp) \right) \right\} \]
\[ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} |v - u| \gamma b \left( \frac{\omega \cdot (v - u)}{|v - u|} \right) \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(u) \]
\[ \times \left\{ 2\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(u') g_\pm(v') - \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v')(g_\pm + g_\mp)(u') + \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v)(g_\pm + g_\mp)(u) \right\} d\omega du, \]
respectively.

Under Grad’s angular cutoff assumption (1.3), by [17, Lemma 1], \( L \) is locally coercive in the sense that
\[ -\langle f, L f \rangle \geq \sigma_0 \| [I - P] f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \equiv \sigma_0 \| \sqrt{\nu} [I - P] f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2, \quad \nu(v) \sim (1 + |v|)^{\gamma} \]
holds for some positive constant \( \sigma_0 > 0 \).

To state our main result for hard sphere model, let \([f^c(t, x, v), E^c(t, x, \bar{v})] \) be the unique solution of the Cauchy problem (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and for some \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), we need to define the following energy functional \( E_{n, f^c}(t) \) and the corresponding energy dissipation rate functional \( D_{n, f^c}(t) \) by
\[ E_{n, f^c}(t) \sim \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq n} \| \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta f^c(t) \|^2 + \left\| \left[ E^c(t), \bar{E}^c(t) \right] \right\|_{H^2}, \]
(2.14)
In addition to the assumptions listed in Theorem 2.1, we assume further that

\[ E_\epsilon(t) + \epsilon b(t) \cdot \mathcal{B} \]

holds for all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) respectively.

With the above preparations in hand, we are now ready to state our first result for the hard sphere model.

**Theorem 2.1.** For the hard sphere model, let \( F_\epsilon'(0, x, v) = \mu(v) + \sqrt{\mu(v)} f_0'(x, v) \geq 0 \), if we assume further that there exists some positive constant \( c_0 \) independent of \( \epsilon \) such that

\[ Y_0 = \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 3} \left( \| \partial_\alpha^\beta f_0 \| + \| E_0 \|_{H^1} \right) + \| B_0 - \mathcal{B} \|_{H^1} \leq c_0, \]

then for every \( \epsilon \in (0, 1] \), the Cauchy problem (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) admits a unique global solution \([ f_\epsilon(t, x, v), E_\epsilon(t, x, v), \tilde{B}_\epsilon(t, x) ]\) satisfying (2.1) and the following estimates

\[ E_{3, f_\epsilon}(t) + \int_0^t D_{3, f_\epsilon}(\tau) d\tau \lesssim c_0^2, \]

holds for all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \).

As a direct consequence of the estimate (2.17) and Sobolev’s imbedding theorem, one can easily deduce that the unique global solution \([ F_\epsilon(t, x, v), E_\epsilon(t, x, v), B_\epsilon(t, x) ]\) of the Cauchy problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.7), (1.8) obtained in Theorem 2.1 converges strongly to \([ F_\infty(t, x, v), E_\infty(t, x, v), B_\infty(t, x, v) ]\) as \( \epsilon \rightarrow 0_+ \) which satisfies \( F_\infty \) and \( E_\infty \) satisfies (2.15), the Cauchy problem of the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann equations (1.4), (1.5), (1.9) with

\[ F_{0, \pm}(x, v) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0_+} F_{0, \pm}(x, v). \]

Here

\[ E_\infty(t) \sim \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 3} \left( \| \partial_\alpha^\beta f_\infty(t) \| + \| E_\infty(t) \|_{H^1} \right), \]

\[ D_\infty(t) \sim \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq n} \left( \| \partial_\alpha (a^\infty(t)) + b(t) \cdot c(t) \|_{H^1} \right) + \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 3} \left( \| \partial_\alpha^\beta (f_\infty(t)) \|_{H^1} + \| a^\infty(t) - a(t) \|_{H^1} \right). \]

Our second result in this section is to deduce the error estimate between \([ F_\epsilon(t, x, v), E_\epsilon(t, x, v), B_\epsilon(t, x) ]\) and \([ F_\infty(t, x, v), E_\infty(t, x, v), B_\infty(t, x, v) ]\) provided that certain conditions are imposed on the difference between their initial data. In fact, suppose that there exists some sufficiently small \( \epsilon \)-independent positive constant \( c_0 \) such that

\[ Y_{f, 0} = \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 4} \left( \| \partial_\alpha^\beta f_0 \| + \| E_0 \|_{H^1} \right) \leq c_0, \]

then we can show further that \([ f_\infty(t, x, v), E_\infty(t, x, v), B_\infty(t, x, v) ]\) obtained above satisfies

\[ E_{4, f_\infty}(t) + \int_0^t D_{4, f_\infty}(\tau) d\tau \lesssim c_0^2, \]

from which and (2.17), we can get that

**Corollary 2.1.** In addition to the assumptions listed in Theorem 2.1, we assume further that (2.18) holds and

\[ \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 3} \left( \| \partial_\alpha^\beta (f_\infty - f_0) \| + \| E_0 - E_\infty \|_{H^1} + \| B_0 - \mathcal{B} \|_{H^1} \right) \lesssim c_0^2 \]

is true for any positive constant \( \eta > 0 \), then we can deduce for all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) that

\[ \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 3} \left( \| \partial_\alpha^\beta (f_\infty(t) - f_\infty(t)) \| + \| E_\infty(t) - E_\infty(t) \|_{H^1} + \| B_\infty(t) - \mathcal{B} \|_{H^1} \right) \lesssim c_0^2, \]

for

\[ \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 3} \left( \| \partial_\alpha^\beta (f_\infty(t) - f_\infty(t)) \| + \| E_\infty(t) - E_\infty(t) \|_{H^1} + \| B_\infty(t) - \mathcal{B} \|_{H^1} \right) \lesssim c_0. \]
2.1.2. Main result for soft potentials. Now we turn to deal with the case of soft potentials. Recall that for the hard sphere model, we can deduce that the solution \([f^\epsilon(t, x, v), E^\epsilon(t, x), \vec{B}^\epsilon(t, x)]\) of the Cauchy problem (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) satisfies the estimates (2.17) for all \(\epsilon \in (0, 1]\), from which one can deduce the strong convergence of \([f^\epsilon(t, x, v), E^\epsilon(t, x), B^\epsilon(t, x)]\) to \([f^\infty(t, x, v), E^\infty(t, x), \mathfrak{B}]\) as \(\epsilon \to 0_+\) provided that the initial data \([f_0^\epsilon(x, v), E_0^\epsilon(x), B_0^\epsilon(x)]\) satisfies the assumption (2.16).

The above argument can not be used to treat the case of soft potentials since, unlike the hard sphere model, to guarantee the global solvability of the Cauchy problem (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) for non-hard sphere model, a time-velocity-weighted energy method should be introduced and the temporal decay of the solution itself plays an essential role in closing the a priori estimates, cf. [5, 7, 12] and the references cited therein, and for the case when \(\epsilon\) is chosen sufficiently small, we had to deal with the difficulty caused by the degeneracy of the dissipative effect of the electromagnetic field \([E^\epsilon(t, x), \vec{B}^\epsilon(t, x)]\).

To overcome such a difficulty, we need to assume that both the solution \([F^\epsilon(t, x, v), E^\epsilon(t, x), B^\epsilon(t, x)]\) of the Cauchy problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.7) and the corresponding initial data \([F^\epsilon_0(x, v), E^\epsilon_0(x), B^\epsilon_0(x)]\) satisfies the following expansions

\[
F^\epsilon_0(x, v) = F^\epsilon_0(x, v) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^i F^\epsilon_0(x, v) + \epsilon^m F^\epsilon_0(x, v),
\]

\[
E^\epsilon_0(x) = E^\epsilon_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^i E^\epsilon_0(x) + \epsilon^m E^\epsilon_0(x),
\]

\[
B^\epsilon_0(x) = B^\epsilon_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^i B^\epsilon_0(x) + \epsilon^m B^\epsilon_0(x),
\]

then we will show that \(B^\epsilon(t, x), B^\epsilon(t, x)(i = 1, 2, \cdots, m-1), B^\epsilon_0(x)\), and \(B^\epsilon_0(x)(i = 1, 2, \cdots, m-1)\) are nothing but constant vectors (we will assume without loss of generality that all these constant vectors are independent of \(\epsilon\)), which will be denoted by \(B^\epsilon, B^\epsilon(i = 1, 2, \cdots, m-1)\) in the rest of this paper.

In fact, by substituting the above ansatz into (1.1), (1.2) and by comparing the order of \(\epsilon\) in the resulting system, we can get from (1.1), (1.2), (1.7) that:

(i). \([F^\epsilon_0(x, v), E^\epsilon_0(x, v)]\) solve the following Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system with given magnetic field \(B^\epsilon(t, x)\) and \(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^i B^\epsilon(t, x)\)

\[
\partial_t F^{\epsilon_0}_\pm + v \cdot \nabla_x F^{\epsilon_0}_\pm \pm E^{\epsilon_0} \cdot \nabla_v F^{\epsilon_0}_\pm \pm \epsilon \left\{ v \times \left( B^\epsilon + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^i B^\epsilon \right) \right\} \cdot \nabla_v F^{\epsilon_0}_\pm
\]

\[
= Q \left( F^{\epsilon_0}_\pm, F^{\epsilon_0}_\mp \right) + Q \left( F^{\epsilon_0}_\pm, F^{\epsilon_0}_\pm \right),
\]

\[
\partial_t E^{\epsilon_0} = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3_+} v \left( F^{\epsilon_0}_+ - F^{\epsilon_0}_- \right) dv,
\]

\[
\partial_t B^{\epsilon_0} = \nabla_x \times B^{\epsilon_0} = \nabla_x \cdot B^{\epsilon_0} = \nabla_x \times E^{\epsilon_0} = 0,
\]

\[
\nabla_x \cdot E^{\epsilon_0} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3_+} \left( F^{\epsilon_0}_+ - F^{\epsilon_0}_- \right) dv
\]

with prescribed initial condition

\[
\left[ F^{\epsilon_0}_\pm(0, x, v), E^{\epsilon_0}(0, x) \right] = \left[ F^{\epsilon_0}_\pm(x, v), E^{\epsilon_0}_0(x) \right].
\]
(2.25)$_3$ together with the Liouville theorem imply that $B^{i\varepsilon}(t, x)$ is nothing but a constant vector $B^{i\varepsilon}$, while from (2.25)$_3$ one can conclude that there exists a potential function $\phi^{i\varepsilon}(t, x)$ for the electric field $E^{i\varepsilon}(t, x)$ such that $E^{i\varepsilon}(t, x) = \nabla_x \phi^{i\varepsilon}(t, x)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\lim_{|x|\to +\infty} \phi^{i\varepsilon}(t, x) = 0$ and that the constant vector $B^{i\varepsilon}$ does not depend on $\varepsilon$, thus we can set $B^{i\varepsilon}(t, x) = B_0^{i\varepsilon}(x) = B^i$;

(ii). For $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m - 1$, one can deduce that $[F^{i\varepsilon}_\pm(t, x, v), E^{i\varepsilon}(t, x), B^{i\varepsilon}(t, x)]$ satisfies the following linear Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann equations

$$\partial_t F^{i\varepsilon}_\pm + v \cdot \nabla_x F^{i\varepsilon}_\pm = E^{i\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_v F^{i\varepsilon}_\pm + \nabla_t \cdot (B^{i\varepsilon} F^{i\varepsilon}_\pm) \pm \nabla_v E^{i\varepsilon} \cdot F^{i\varepsilon}_\pm$$

$$= Q \left( F^{i\varepsilon}_\pm, F^{i\varepsilon}_\mp + F^{i\varepsilon}_\mp \right) + Q \left( F^{i\varepsilon}_\pm, F^{i\varepsilon}_\mp + F^{i\varepsilon}_\mp \right) + \sum_{\substack{j_1, j_2 = 1, \cdots, m, \ 0 < j_1, j_2 < m}} \epsilon^{j_1} \epsilon^{j_2} \cdot \nabla_v F^{i\varepsilon}_\pm$$


$$= (2.27)$$

$$\partial_t E^{i\varepsilon} = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \left( F^{i\varepsilon}_+ - F^{i\varepsilon}_- \right) dv,$$

$$\nabla_x \cdot E^{i\varepsilon} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( F^{i\varepsilon}_+ - F^{i\varepsilon}_- \right) dv,$$

$$\partial_t B^{i\varepsilon} = \nabla_x \times B^{i\varepsilon} = \nabla_x \cdot B^{i\varepsilon} = 0,$$

and the initial data

$$[F^{i\varepsilon}_\pm(0, x, v), E^{i\varepsilon}(0, x)] = \left[ F^{i\varepsilon}_0, E^{i\varepsilon}_0(x) \right],$$

which satisfy the compatibility conditions

$$\nabla_x \cdot F^{i\varepsilon}_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( F^{i\varepsilon}_0 + F^{i\varepsilon}_0 \right) dv.$$

Here again from (2.28)$_3$ together with the Liouville theorem, we can deduce for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m - 1$ that $B^{i\varepsilon}(t, x)$ are constant vectors $B^{i\varepsilon}$, while from (2.28)$_3$ one can further conclude that there exist potential functions $\phi^{i\varepsilon}(t, x)$ for the electric fields $E^{i\varepsilon}(t, x)$ such that $E^{i\varepsilon}(t, x) = \nabla_x \phi^{i\varepsilon}(t, x)$ hold. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\lim_{|x|\to +\infty} \phi^{i\varepsilon}(t, x) = 0$ and that the constant vectors $B^{i\varepsilon}$ does not depend on $\varepsilon$, thus we have $B^{i\varepsilon}(t, x) = B_0^{i\varepsilon}(x) = B^i$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m - 1$;

(iii). The remainder $[F^{m\varepsilon}_\pm(t, x, v), E^{m\varepsilon}(t, x), B^{m\varepsilon}(t, x)]$ satisfies the following Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann equations

$$\partial_t F^{m\varepsilon}_\pm + v \cdot \nabla_x F^{m\varepsilon}_\pm = E^{m\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_v F^{m\varepsilon}_\pm + \nabla_t \cdot (B^{m\varepsilon} F^{m\varepsilon}_\pm) \pm \nabla_v E^{m\varepsilon} \cdot F^{m\varepsilon}_\pm$$

$$= Q \left( F^{m\varepsilon}_\pm, F^{m\varepsilon}_\mp + F^{m\varepsilon}_\mp \right) + Q \left( F^{m\varepsilon}_\pm, F^{m\varepsilon}_\mp + F^{m\varepsilon}_\mp \right) + \sum_{\substack{j_1, j_2 = 1, \cdots, m, \ 0 < j_1, j_2 < m}} \epsilon^{j_1} \epsilon^{j_2} \cdot \nabla_v F^{m\varepsilon}_\pm$$

$$= (2.31)$$

$$\epsilon \partial_t E^{m\varepsilon} - \nabla_x \times B^{m\varepsilon} = - \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \left( F^{m\varepsilon}_+ - F^{m\varepsilon}_- \right) dv,$$

$$\epsilon \partial_t B^{m\varepsilon} + \nabla_x \times E^{m\varepsilon} = 0.$$
and initial data

\[
F_{\pm}(0, x, v) = F_{0,\pm}^m(x, v) = \frac{1}{c_m} \left( F_{0,\pm}^m(x, v) - F_{0,\pm}^{P\epsilon}(x, v) - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^i F_{0,\pm}^{i\epsilon}(x, v) \right),
\]

\[
E_{m\epsilon}(0, x) = E_{0,\epsilon}^m(x) = \frac{1}{c_m} \left( E_{0}^m(x) - E_{0}^{P\epsilon}(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^{i+1} E_{0,\epsilon}^{i\epsilon}(x) \right),
\]

\[
B_{m\epsilon}(0, x) = B_{0,\epsilon}^m(x) = \frac{1}{c_m} \left( B_{0}^m(x) - B_{P} - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^i B_i \right),
\]

which satisfy the compatibility conditions

\[
\nabla_x \cdot E_{0,\epsilon}^m = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (F_{0,+}^{m\epsilon} - F_{0,-}^{m\epsilon}) \, dv, \quad \nabla_x \cdot B_{0,\epsilon}^m = 0.
\]

In the perturbative framework, if we further define the perturbations \( f_{\pm}^{P\epsilon}(t, x, v), f_{\pm}^{i\epsilon}(t, x, v) \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq m, \)

\[
f_{\pm}^{P\epsilon}(t, x, v) = \mu(v) + \mu^{1/2}(v)f_{\pm}^{P\epsilon}(t, x, v),
\]

\[
f_{\pm}^{i\epsilon}(t, x, v) = \mu^{1/2}(v)f_{\pm}^{i\epsilon}(t, x, v), \quad 1 \leq i \leq m,
\]

and set

\[
f^{P\epsilon}(t, x, v) = \left[ f_{+}^{P\epsilon}(t, x, v), f_{-}^{P\epsilon}(t, x, v) \right],
\]

\[
f^{i\epsilon}(t, x, v) = \left[ f_{+}^{i\epsilon}(t, x, v), f_{-}^{i\epsilon}(t, x, v) \right], \quad 1 \leq i \leq m,
\]

\[
f_{0,\pm}^{P\epsilon}(x, v) = \mu(v) + \mu^{1/2}(v)f_{0,\pm}^{P\epsilon}(x, v),
\]

\[
f_{0,\pm}^{i\epsilon}(x, v) = \mu^{1/2}(v)f_{0,\pm}^{i\epsilon}(x, v), \quad 1 \leq i \leq m,
\]

then, noticing that

\[
B^{P\epsilon}(t, x) = B^P, \quad B^{i\epsilon}(t, x) = B^i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m - 1,
\]

we can get from (2.24)-(2.26), (2.27)-(2.30), and (2.31)-(2.34) that

(i). \( [f^{P\epsilon}(t, x, v), E^{P\epsilon}(t, x)] \) satisfies the following Cauchy problem

\[
\partial_t f^{P\epsilon} + v \cdot \nabla_x f^{P\epsilon} - E^{P\epsilon} \cdot v \mu^{1/2} q_1 + q_0 E^{P\epsilon} \cdot \nabla_v f^{P\epsilon} + q_0 \epsilon \left( v \times \left( B^P + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^j B_j \right) \right) \cdot \nabla_v f^{P\epsilon} + L f^{P\epsilon}
\]

\[
\partial_t E^{P\epsilon} = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \mu^{1/2}(v) \left( f_{+}^{P\epsilon} - f_{-}^{P\epsilon} \right) \, dv,
\]

\[
\nabla_x \cdot E^{P\epsilon} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mu^{1/2}(v) \left( f_{+}^{P\epsilon} - f_{-}^{P\epsilon} \right) \, dv, \quad \nabla_x \times E^{P\epsilon} = 0,
\]

\[
\left[ f_{\pm}^{P\epsilon}(0, x, v), E^{P\epsilon}(0, x) \right] = \left[ f_{\pm}^{P\epsilon}(x, v), E_{0,\epsilon}^{P\epsilon}(x) \right].
\]

(ii). For \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, m - 1, \)

\[
\left[ f^{i\epsilon}(t, x, v), E^{i\epsilon}(t, x), B^{i\epsilon}(t, x) \right] \text{ with } B^{i\epsilon}(t, x) = B^i \text{ solves}
\]

\[
\partial_t f^{i\epsilon} + v \cdot \nabla_x f^{i\epsilon} - E^{i\epsilon} \cdot v \mu^{1/2} q_1 + \frac{1}{2} q_0 E^{i\epsilon} \cdot v f^{P\epsilon} + q_0 E^{i\epsilon} \cdot \nabla_v f^{P\epsilon}
\]
\[-\frac{1}{2} q_0 E^{P,\epsilon} \cdot v f^{i,\epsilon} + q_0 E^{P,\epsilon} \cdot \nabla_v f^{i,\epsilon} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j_1 + j_2 = i, \delta \leq j_1, j_2 < \epsilon} q_0 E^{j_1,\epsilon} \cdot v f^{j_2,\epsilon} \]

\[+ \sum_{j_1 + j_2 = i, \delta \leq j_1, j_2 < \epsilon} q_0 E^{j_1,\epsilon} \cdot \nabla_v f^{j_2,\epsilon} + \epsilon q_0 \left\{ v \times \left( B^P + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^j B^j \right) \right\} \cdot \nabla_v f^{i,\epsilon} + L f^{i,\epsilon} \]

\[= \Gamma (f^{P,\epsilon}, f^{i,\epsilon}) + \Gamma (f^{i,\epsilon}, f^{P,\epsilon}) + \sum_{j_1 + j_2 = i, \delta \leq j_1, j_2 < \epsilon} \Gamma (f^{j_1,\epsilon}, f^{j_2,\epsilon}) \]

\[\partial_t E^{i,\epsilon} = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \mu^{1/2} (v) \left( f^{i,\epsilon}_+ - f^{i,\epsilon}_- \right) dv, \]

\[\nabla_x \times E^{i,\epsilon} = 0, \]

\[\nabla_x \cdot E^{i,\epsilon} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \mu^{1/2} (v) \left( f^{i,\epsilon}_+ - f^{i,\epsilon}_- \right) dv, \]

and the initial condition

\[\left[ f^{i,\epsilon} (0, x, v), E^{i,\epsilon} (0, x) \right] = \left[ f_0^{i,\epsilon} (x, v), E_0^{i,\epsilon} (x) \right], \]

which satisfy the compatibility conditions

\[\nabla_x \cdot E_0^{i,\epsilon} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \mu^{1/2} (v) \left( f_0^{i,\epsilon}_+ - f_0^{i,\epsilon}_- \right) dv; \]

(iii). \([f^{m,\epsilon} (t, x, v), E^{m,\epsilon} (t, x), B^{m,\epsilon} (t, x)]\) solves

\[\partial_t f^{m,\epsilon} + v \cdot \nabla_x f^{m,\epsilon} - E^{m,\epsilon} \cdot v f^{m,\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2} q_0 E^{m,\epsilon} \cdot v f^{P,\epsilon} + q_0 E^{m,\epsilon} \cdot \nabla_v f^{P,\epsilon} \]

\[\quad - \frac{1}{2} q_0 E^{P,\epsilon} \cdot v f^{m,\epsilon} + q_0 E^{P,\epsilon} \cdot \nabla_v f^{m,\epsilon} - \frac{1}{2} q_0 \sum_{j_1 + j_2 = m, \delta \leq j_1, j_2 < m} \epsilon^{j_1 + j_2 - m} E^{j_1,\epsilon} \cdot v f^{j_2,\epsilon} \]

\[+ q_0 \sum_{0 < j_1 < m} \epsilon^{j_1} E^{j_1,\epsilon} \cdot \nabla_v f^{m,\epsilon} - \frac{1}{2} q_0 \sum_{0 < j_1 < m} \epsilon^{j_1} E^{j_1,\epsilon} \cdot v f^{m,\epsilon} \]

\[+ q_0 \sum_{0 < j_1 < m} \epsilon^{j_1} E^{j_1,\epsilon} \cdot \nabla_v f^{m,\epsilon} - \frac{1}{2} q_0 \sum_{0 < j_1 < m} \epsilon^{j_1} E^{j_1,\epsilon} \cdot \nabla_v f^{m,\epsilon} \]

\[+ \epsilon (v \times B^{m,\epsilon}) \cdot \nabla_v \left( f^{P,\epsilon} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^i f^{i,\epsilon} \right) + q_0 \epsilon \left\{ v \times \left( B^P + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^i B^i \right) \right\} \cdot \nabla_v f^{m,\epsilon} \]

\[\quad - \frac{\epsilon}{2} q_0 E^{m,\epsilon} \cdot v f^{m,\epsilon} + q_0 E^{m,\epsilon} \cdot \nabla_v f^{m,\epsilon} + q_0 \epsilon^{m+1} v \times B^{m,\epsilon} \cdot \nabla_v f^{m,\epsilon} + L f^{m,\epsilon} \]

\[= \Gamma (f^{P,\epsilon}, f^{m,\epsilon}) + \Gamma (f^{m,\epsilon}, f^{P,\epsilon}) + \epsilon^m \Gamma (f^{m,\epsilon}, f^{m,\epsilon}) + \sum_{j_1 + j_2 = m, \delta \leq j_1, j_2 < m} \epsilon^{j_1 + j_2 - m} \Gamma (f^{j_1,\epsilon}, f^{j_2,\epsilon}), \]

\[\epsilon \partial_t E^{m,\epsilon} - \nabla_x \times B^{m,\epsilon} = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \mu^{1/2} (v) \left( f^{m,\epsilon}_+ - f^{m,\epsilon}_- \right) dv, \]

\[\epsilon \partial_t B^{m,\epsilon} + \nabla_x \times E^{m,\epsilon} = 0, \]

\[\nabla_x \cdot E^{m,\epsilon} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \mu^{1/2} (v) \left( f^{m,\epsilon}_+ - f^{m,\epsilon}_- \right) dv, \quad \nabla_x \cdot B^{m,\epsilon} = 0, \]

with prescribed initial data

\[\left[ f^{m,\epsilon} (0, x, v), E^{m,\epsilon} (0, x), B^{m,\epsilon} (0, x) \right] = \left[ f_0^{m,\epsilon} (x, v), E_0^{m,\epsilon} (x), B_0^{m,\epsilon} (x) \right], \]

which satisfy the compatibility conditions

\[\nabla_x \cdot E_0^{m,\epsilon} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \mu^{1/2} (v) \left( f_0^{m,\epsilon}_+ - f_0^{m,\epsilon}_- \right) dv, \quad \nabla_x \cdot B_0^{m,\epsilon} = 0. \]
Now let \([f^{m,\epsilon}(t, x, v), E^{m,\epsilon}(t, x), B^{m,\epsilon}(t, x)]\) be the unique solution of the Cauchy problem (2.42)-(2.45) and for \(k, n \in \mathbb{N}\) satisfying \(k \leq n\), we can define the following energy functionals \(E_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n}(t), E_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n, \epsilon, \gamma}(t), \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n, \epsilon, \gamma}(t), E_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n, \epsilon, \gamma, n, j}(t), E_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n, \epsilon, \gamma, n, \ell, B}(t), E_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n, \epsilon, \gamma, n, \ell, 1}(t), E_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n, \epsilon, \gamma, n, \ell, 0}(t),\) and the corresponding energy dissipation rate functionals \(D_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n}(t), D_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n, \epsilon, \gamma}(t), \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n, \epsilon, \gamma}(t), D_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n, \epsilon, \gamma, n, j}(t), D_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n, \epsilon, \gamma, n, \ell, B}(t), D_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n, \epsilon, \gamma, n, \ell, 1}(t), D_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n, \epsilon, \gamma, n, \ell, 0}(t),\) as follows:

\[
E_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n}(t) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} \| \partial^\alpha [f^{m,\epsilon}(t), E^{m,\epsilon}(t), B^{m,\epsilon}(t)] \|^2,
\]

\[
E_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n, \epsilon, \gamma}(t) = \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq n} \| w_{\ell_{\beta}} \partial^\beta f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2 + \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n}(t),
\]

\[
\mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n, \epsilon, \gamma}(t) = E_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n, \epsilon, \gamma}(t) + \| \Lambda^{-\epsilon} [f^{m,\epsilon}(t), E^{m,\epsilon}(t), B^{m,\epsilon}(t)] \|^2,
\]

\[
E^{(n,j)}_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n, \epsilon, \gamma}(t) = \sum_{k \leq |\alpha| \leq n} \| w_{\ell_{\beta}} \partial^\beta f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2 + \mathcal{E}^{(n,j)}_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n}(t),
\]

\[
\mathcal{E}^{(n,0)}_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n, \epsilon, \gamma, n, \ell, 1}(t) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} \| w_{\ell_{\beta}} \partial^\beta f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2,
\]

\[
\mathcal{E}^{(n,0)}_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n, \epsilon, \gamma, n, \ell, 0}(t) = \| w_{\ell_{\beta}} (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2,
\]

and

\[
D_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n}(t) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} \| \partial^\alpha (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2 + \| \nabla_x P f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2_{H^2} + \epsilon^2 \| \nabla_x [E^{m,\epsilon}(t), B^{m,\epsilon}(t)] \|^2_{H^2} + \epsilon \| \partial^\alpha (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2 + \epsilon^2 \| \partial^\alpha (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2 + \| \partial^\alpha (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2 + \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n}(t)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{(1 + t)^{1+\theta}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} \| w_{\ell_{\beta}} \partial^\beta (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2,
\]

\[
\mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n, \epsilon, \gamma}(t) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n, |\beta| \leq n, |\beta| \leq n} \| \partial^\alpha (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2 + \| \nabla_x [E^{m,\epsilon}(t), B^{m,\epsilon}(t)] \|^2_{H^2} + \epsilon \| \partial^\alpha (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2 + \epsilon^2 \| \partial^\alpha (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2 + \| \partial^\alpha (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2 + \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n}(t)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{(1 + t)^{1+\theta}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n, |\beta| \leq n, |\beta| \leq n} \| w_{\ell_{\beta}} \partial^\beta (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2,
\]

\[
\mathcal{D}^{(n,j)}_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n, \epsilon, \gamma, n, \ell, 1}(t) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} \| w_{\ell_{\beta}} \partial^\beta (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2 + \| \nabla_x [E^{m,\epsilon}(t), B^{m,\epsilon}(t)] \|^2_{H^2} + \epsilon \| \partial^\alpha (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2 + \epsilon^2 \| \partial^\alpha (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2 + \| \partial^\alpha (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2 + \mathcal{D}^{(n,j)}_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n}(t)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{(1 + t)^{1+\theta}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} \| w_{\ell_{\beta}} \partial^\beta (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2,
\]

\[
\mathcal{D}^{(n,0)}_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n, \epsilon, \gamma, n, \ell, 1}(t) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} \| w_{\ell_{\beta}} \partial^\beta (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2 + \| \nabla_x [E^{m,\epsilon}(t), B^{m,\epsilon}(t)] \|^2_{H^2} + \epsilon \| \partial^\alpha (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2 + \epsilon^2 \| \partial^\alpha (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2 + \| \partial^\alpha (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2 + \mathcal{D}^{(n,0)}_{f^{m,\epsilon}, n}(t)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{(1 + t)^{1+\theta}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} \| w_{\ell_{\beta}} \partial^\beta (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2.
\]
Similarly, let \( f^{P,\epsilon}(t, x, v), E^{P,\epsilon}(t, x) \) be the unique solution of the Cauchy problems (2.36), (2.37) and for \( k, n \in \mathbb{N} \) satisfying \( k \leq n \), we can also define the following energy functionals \( E_{f^{P,\epsilon},n}(t), E_{f^{P,\epsilon},n,t,-\gamma}(t), E^{k}_{f^{P,\epsilon},n,t,-\gamma}(t), E^{(n,j)}_{f^{P,\epsilon},n,t,-\gamma}(t), \cdots \) and the corresponding energy dissipation rate functionals \( D_{f^{P,\epsilon},n}(t), D_{f^{P,\epsilon},n,t,-\gamma}(t), D^{k}_{f^{P,\epsilon},n,t,-\gamma}(t), D^{(n,j)}_{f^{P,\epsilon},n,t,-\gamma}(t), \cdots \):

\[
E^{k}_{f^{P,\epsilon},n}(t) = \sum_{k \leq |\alpha| \leq n} \| \partial^{\alpha} \left[ f^{P,\epsilon}(t), E^{P,\epsilon}(t) \right] \|_{H^2}^2 + E^{k}_{f^{P,\epsilon},n}(t),
\]

\[
E_{f^{P,\epsilon},n,t,-\gamma}(t) = \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq n, |\alpha| \geq k} \left\| w_{t-\gamma\ell,j} \partial_{\beta} \left[ f^{P,\epsilon}(t) \right] \right\|_{H^2}^2 + E_{f^{P,\epsilon},n,t,-\gamma}(t),
\]

\[
E^{(n,j)}_{f^{P,\epsilon},n,t,-\gamma}(t) = \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq n} \| w_{t-\gamma\ell,j} \partial_{\beta} \left[ f^{P,\epsilon}(t) \right] \|_{H^2}^2, \quad 1 \leq |\beta| = j \leq n, n \geq 1,
\]

and

\[
D^{k}_{f^{P,\epsilon},n}(t) = \sum_{k \leq |\alpha| \leq n} \| \partial^{\alpha} \left[ f^{P,\epsilon}(t), E^{P,\epsilon}(t) \right] \|_{H^2}^2 + \| \nabla_x \left[ f^{P,\epsilon}(t), E^{P,\epsilon}(t) \right] \|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \Lambda^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \left[ f^{P,\epsilon}(t), E^{P,\epsilon}(t) \right] \right\|_{H^2}^2.
\]

Moreover, for the solution \( f^{i,\epsilon}(t, x, v), E^{i,\epsilon}(t, x) \) \( (i = 1, 2, \cdots, m - 1) \) of the Cauchy problem (2.38)-(2.41), the energy functionals \( E_{f^{i,\epsilon},n}(t), E^{k}_{f^{i,\epsilon},n}(t), E_{f^{i,\epsilon},n,t,-\gamma}(t), E^{k}_{f^{i,\epsilon},n,t,-\gamma}(t), \cdots \) and the corresponding energy dissipation rate functionals \( D_{f^{i,\epsilon},n}(t), D^{k}_{f^{i,\epsilon},n}(t), \cdots \) can be defined in the same way.

With the above preparations in hand, we are now ready to state our main result for cutoff soft potentials.

**Theorem 2.2.** Assume that

- \( \gamma \in (-3, -1), \rho \in \left[ \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \right) \) and \( m > \frac{\gamma}{\rho} + \rho; \)
- For any given constants vectors \( B^i, B^i(i = 1, 2, \cdots, m - 1) \) independent of \( \epsilon \), it holds that

\[
F^\epsilon_0(x, v) = \mu + \sqrt{\mu} \left( f^m_0(x, v) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon f^i_0(x, v) + \epsilon^m f^{m-1}_0(x, v) \right) \geq 0,
\]
Then if we assume further that there exists a sufficiently small positive constant $c_1$, which is independent of $\epsilon$, such that

$$
\mathbb{V}_{\text{Total},0} = \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq N_m} \left\langle v \right| ^{l_m-|\beta|} e^{q(v)^2} \partial_0^{\alpha} f_0^{m,\epsilon} \right\rangle + \sum_{N_0+1 \leq |\alpha|+|\beta| \leq N_m+1} \left\langle v \right| ^{l_m-|\beta|} e^{q(v)^2} \partial_0^{\alpha} f_0^{m,\epsilon} \right\rangle + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha|+|\beta| \leq N_P} \left\langle v \right| ^{l_p-|\beta|} e^{q(v)^2} \partial_0^{\alpha} f_0^{P,\epsilon} \right\rangle + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha|+|\beta| \leq N_P} \left\langle v \right| ^{l_p-|\beta|} e^{q(v)^2} \partial_0^{\alpha} f_0^{P,\epsilon} \right\rangle \leq c_1,
$$

we can deduce that

- For $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m - 1$, the Cauchy problems (2.36)-(2.37), (2.38)-(2.41), and (2.42)-(2.45) admit unique global solutions $[f^{P,\epsilon}(t, x, v), E^{P,\epsilon}(t, x)]$, $[f^{i,\epsilon}(t, x, v), E^{i,\epsilon}(t, x)]$ and $[f^{m,\epsilon}(t, x, v), E^{m,\epsilon}(t, x), B^{m,\epsilon}(t, x)]$, respectively;
- The Cauchy problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.7), (1.8) admits a unique global solution $[F^*(t, x, v), E^*(t, x), B^*(t, x)]$ which satisfies

$$
F^*_\pm(t, x, v) = \mu(v) + \sqrt{\mu(v)} \left( f_{\pm}^{P,\epsilon}(t, x, v) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^i f_{\pm}^{i,\epsilon}(t, x, v) + e^m f_{\pm}^{m,\epsilon}(t, x, v) \right) \geq 0,
$$

$$
E^*(t, x) = E^{P,\epsilon}(t, x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^i E^{i,\epsilon}(t, x) + e^m E^{m,\epsilon}(t, x),
$$

$$
B^*(t, x) = B^P + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^i B^i + e^m B^{m,\epsilon}(t, x).
$$

Furthermore, we have

$$
\sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq N_m} \left\| \partial_0^{\alpha} \left\{ F^* - F^{P,\epsilon} \right\} \right\|^2 \mu^{1/2} + \left\| E^* - E^{P,\epsilon} \right\|^2_{H_{\gamma}^{m+1}} + \left\| B^* - B^P \right\|^2_{H_{\gamma}^{m+1}} \lesssim \epsilon^2 \mathbb{V}_{\text{Total},0}.
$$

Remark 2.1. Some remarks concerning Theorem 2.2 are listed below:

(i) Although only the case of $-3 < \gamma < -1$ is studied in Theorem 2.2, the case of $-1 \leq \gamma < 1$ is much more simpler and similar result also holds. In fact in such a case, one can close the desired energy type estimates by employing only one set of weighted energy norm with the weight $w_{t-|\beta|, t}^{(1+n)^{-\nu}}(t, v) = \frac{e^{q(v)^2}}{t^{1+n} e^{q(v)^2}}$;

(ii) Under the assumptions imposed in Theorem 2.2, since we do not know whether $F_0^{P,\epsilon}(t, x, v) = \mu(v) + \sqrt{\mu(v)} f_{0}^{P,\epsilon}(t, x, v)$ is nonnegative or not, thus we can not deduce that $F^{P,\epsilon}(t, x, v) = \mu(v) + \sqrt{\mu(v)} f^{P,\epsilon}(t, x, v)$ is nonnegative, but we can really prove that, as the parameter $\epsilon \to 0_+$, $[F^{P,\epsilon}(t, x, v), E^{P,\epsilon}(t, x)] \to F^{\infty}(t, x, v), E^{\infty}(t, x)]$ of the Cauchy problem of the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system (1.4)-(1.5) with prescribed initial data (1.9). Here $F_0^{\infty}(x, v) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} F_0^{\epsilon}(x, v)$. Since $F_0^{\epsilon}(x, v) \geq 0$, we can thus get that $F_0^{\infty}(x, v) \geq 0$ and from which one can further prove that $F^{\infty}(t, x, v) \geq 0$;

(iii) The arguments used in this paper can also be used to study the global-in-time Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann limit of the Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system (1.1)-(1.2) for the whole range of non-cutoff intermolecular interactions;

(iv) The parameters $l_m^*, l_m^*, l_m^*(i = 1, 2, \cdots, m - 1), l_p^*$ in Theorem 2.2 satisfy the following relations:
– $\theta$ satisfies
\[
0 < \theta \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\gamma - 2}{4\gamma - 2} \left( \frac{5}{2} + \theta \right) - 1, \frac{\theta - 1}{4} \right\} ;
\]

– Let $\epsilon_0 > 0$ be a sufficiently small fixed constant and the constants $N_P, N_l, N_m,$ and $\sigma_{n,k}$ are chosen to satisfy
\[
\begin{align*}
N_m^0 & \geq 5 \text{ and } N_m + 1 = 2N_m^0 - 1 + [\theta]; \\
N_l^i & \geq N_{l+1}^i + 2 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq m - 1, \ N_P \geq N_l^1 + 2; \\
\sigma_{n,0} & = 0 \text{ for } n \leq N_m \text{ and } \sigma_{N_m^0 + 1,0} = 1 + \epsilon_0, \text{ and } \sigma_{n,k} - \sigma_{n,k-1} = \frac{2(1+\gamma)}{\gamma-2}(1+\theta), 1 \leq k \leq n, 1 \leq n \leq N_m + 1; \\
\end{align*}
\]

– There exist properly large constants $\widehat{l}_i, \widehat{m}_l$ and the parameters $l_P, l_l, l_m$ satisfies
\[
\begin{align*}
\widehat{l}_m & = \frac{\sigma_{m,m}^0}{2} \geq \widehat{l}_m^0 = \frac{\sigma_{l,m}^0}{1 + \theta}, \ l_m \geq N_m + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\theta}{2}; \\
\widehat{\ell}_l^i & \geq \frac{\sigma_{m,m}^0}{1 + \theta} l_m^i - \gamma l_m^1 + \frac{1}{2}, \ l_m^i \geq \frac{-l_m^i + 1}{\gamma} + l_m^i \geq \ell_m, \ l_m^i \geq \ell_m - \gamma l_m^1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2}; \\
\widehat{\ell}_m^i & \geq \frac{3}{2} - \frac{2\rho\gamma N_m^0 + N_m^0}{1 + \theta}, \ l_m - \gamma l_m^1 + \frac{1}{2} \geq \ell_m, \ l_m^i \geq \ell_m^i - \gamma l_m^1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2}; \\
\widehat{\ell}_l^i & \geq \frac{3}{2} - \frac{2\rho\gamma l_m - N_m^0}{1 + \theta}, \ l_j \geq \ell_j - \gamma l_j + \widehat{l}_j \text{ and } \ell_{l_j}^i \geq \ell_{l_j} - \gamma l_{l_j} + 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq m - 2; \\
\widehat{\ell}_p & \geq \frac{3}{2} - \frac{2\rho\gamma l_m - N_m^0}{1 + \theta}, \ l_p \geq \frac{-\ell_p}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, \ l_P \geq \ell_P + \widehat{l}_P \text{ and } \ell_{l_P} \geq \ell_{l_P} - \gamma l_{l_P}.
\end{align*}
\]

2.2. Main ideas. Now we outline our main ideas to deduce our main results, Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2. Our method is based on the nonlinear energy method developed recently in [18, 28, 29] to deal with the global solvability of the Boltzmann equation in the perturbative framework. Such a method is based on the so-called macro-microscopic decompositions of the solution of the Boltzmann equation and the equation itself and it has been proved to be effective to be used to yield the global solvability of some complex kinetic equations, cf. [5, 7, 9, 12, 17, 20, 24, 27, 32, 33] for the Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system (1.1), (1.2) and [6, 8, 10, 11, 15, 26, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42] for the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system (1.4), (1.5), etc.

Since the main purpose of this paper is to give a rigorous mathematical justification of the global-in-time limit from the Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system (1.1), (1.2) to the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system (1.4), we need to deduce certain a priori estimates on the solution $[f^*_\epsilon(t, x, v), f^*_\epsilon(t, x, v), \tilde{E}^*(t, x)]$ of the Cauchy problem of (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) which are independent of the parameter $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$.

For Theorem 2.1, the main difficulty is due to degeneracy of the dissipative effect of the electromagnetic field $[\tilde{E}^*(t, x), \tilde{B}^*(t, x)]$ for small $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ and such a fact is reflected in the expression of the energy dissipation rate functional $D_{\epsilon, f^*}(t)$ defined by (2.15), i.e. the term
\[
e^2 \left\| \left[ E^*(t) + \epsilon f^*(t) \times \mathfrak{B} \right] \right\|^2 + e^2 \left\| \left[ \nabla_x E^*(t), \nabla_x \tilde{B}^*(t) \right] \right\|^2_{H^{\alpha-2}}
\]
in the right hand side of (2.15).

Such a degeneracy effect does lead to some difficulties on the energy types estimate related to the term $E^* \cdot \nabla_x \mu^*_2 q_1$ in (2.6) induced by $E^* \cdot \nabla_x F^*$. In fact, if one performs the energy estimates directly to (2.6), such a term does not have any consequence since
\[
- \left( \partial^\alpha \left( E^* \cdot \nabla_x \mu^*_2 q_1 \right), \partial^\alpha f^* \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \left\| \partial^\alpha E^* \right\|^2 + \left\| \partial^\alpha \tilde{B}^* \right\|^2 \right\},
\]
while for the corresponding estimates on the terms in which mix-derivative $\partial^\alpha$ on $\{I - P\}f^*$ is involved, one has to perform the microscopic projection $\{I - P\}$ to (2.6) and noticing that $E^* \cdot \nabla_x \mu^*_2 q_1$ remains unchanged under such a microscopic projection, thus one has to treat the linear term
\[
\left( \partial^\alpha \left( E^* \cdot \nabla_x \mu^*_2 q_1 \right), \partial^\alpha \left\{ I - P \right\} f^* \right)
\]
the argument used in [5, 7, 9, 17, 32] can not be applied any more to yield the desired $\epsilon$-independent estimates. To overcome such a difficulty, we note that
\[
\{I - P\} \left\{ E^* \cdot \nabla_x \mu^{1/2} q_1 - q_0 (v \times \mathfrak{B}) \cdot \nabla_x \mathfrak{P} f^* \right\} = \left\{ E^* + \epsilon f^* \times \mathfrak{B} \right\} \cdot \nabla_x \mu^{1/2} q_1,
\]
one has from Lemma 3.6 that
\[
\left( \partial_t^\beta \{ q_1 \{ E^\epsilon + eb^\epsilon \times \mathfrak{B} \} \cdot v \mu^{1/2} \}, \partial_t^\beta \{ I - P \} f^\epsilon \right)
= (-1)^{|\beta|} \sum_{i=1}^3 \left( \partial^\alpha \{ E^\epsilon + eb^\epsilon \times \mathfrak{B} \}, \partial_t^\beta \{ v_i \mu^{1/2} \}, \partial^\alpha \{ I_+ - P_+ \} f^\epsilon - \partial^\alpha \{ I_- - P_- \} f^\epsilon \right) \tag{2.51}
\]
\[
\lesssim \frac{1}{4\mathcal{C}_\beta} \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{d}{dt} \left\| \partial_t^\beta \{ v_i \mu^{1/2} \}, \partial^\alpha \{ I_+ - P_+ \} f^\epsilon(t) - \partial^\alpha \{ I_- - P_- \} f^\epsilon(t) \right\|^2
+ \left\| \nabla^{[\alpha} \{ I - P \} f^\epsilon(t) \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla^{[\alpha[1]f^\epsilon(t) \right\|^2 + \mathcal{E}_{3,f}(t) D_{3,f}(t).
\]
and then such a term can be controlled suitably. Here $\mathcal{C}_\beta$ are some positive constants and $\mathcal{E}_{3,f}(t), D_{3,f}(t)$ are defined by (2.14) and (2.15), respectively.

As for the proof of Theorem 2.2, since our main purpose is to cover the whole range of intermolecular interactions, the dissipative effect induced by the coercivity estimate (2.13) of the linearized Boltzmann collision operator $L$ for non-hard sphere model is weaker than the hard sphere model and such a dissipative effect is not sufficient to control the corresponding nonlinear terms induced by the Lorentz forces, which can lead to the velocity growth at the rate of $|v|$. To overcome such a difficulty, a general strategy developed in [5, 7] is to introduce some weights of the form $w_{t-|\beta|,\kappa}(t,v) = \langle v \rangle^\kappa \{ t - |\beta| \} e^{t + \epsilon + 2m} \beta^2$ to compensate the degeneracy coercivity property of the linearized Boltzmann collision operator $L f$.

As a consequence of the introduction of the weight $w_{t-|\beta|,\kappa}(t,v)$, we can now deduce the following two types of dissipative mechanisms for the non-fluid component $\{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon}$:

- The first one is the dissipative term
\[
D_{L-|\beta|,\kappa}^L (f^{m,\epsilon}) \equiv \left\| w_{t-|\beta|,\kappa} \partial_t^\beta \{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon} \right\|^2_\nu \tag{2.52}
\]
which is due to the coercive estimate of $L$;

- The second type is the extra dissipative term
\[
D_{W-|\beta|,\kappa}^W (f^{m,\epsilon}) \equiv (1 + t)^{-\theta} \left\| \langle v \rangle w_{t-|\beta|,\kappa} \partial_t^\beta \{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon} \right\|^2 \tag{2.53}
\]
induced by the exponent factor of the weight $w_{t-|\beta|,\kappa}(t,v)$.

With the above two types of dissipative effects on the non-fluid component $\{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon}$, the nonlinear terms related to the Lorentz force can be estimates suitably as in [5, 7] if one can show that the electromagnetic fields $\{ E^{m,\epsilon}(t,x), B^{m,\epsilon}(t,x) \}$ enjoy certain temporal decay estimates. We note, however, that, since the influence of the light speed, if we employ the interpolation technique introduced in [19] to yield the desired temporal decay estimates, we can only deduce that the temporal decay estimates of the electromagnetic fields $\{ E^{m,\epsilon}(t,x), B^{m,\epsilon}(t,x) \}$ do depend on light speed. In fact one can only show that the electric field $E^{m,\epsilon}(t,x)$ enjoy the following temporal decay rates
\[
\left\| \nabla^k E^{m,\epsilon}(t) \right\|^2 \lesssim \epsilon^{-2(k+\theta)}(1 + t)^{-(k+\theta)} \tag{2.54}
\]
and such a decay estimate can not guarantee that the corresponding term related to $E^{m,\epsilon} \cdot v f^{m,\epsilon}$ can be bounded suitably which is due to the appearance of the factor $\epsilon^{-2(k+\theta)}$ in (2.54).

Our idea to go on is to use the ansatz (2.22) to expand $\{ F^\epsilon(t,x,v), E^\epsilon(t,x), B^\epsilon(t,x) \}$ to certain order. Our observation is that if $m$, the order of expansion, is taken suitably large, such an expansion (2.22) will lead to the appearance of the factor $\epsilon^m$ in front of $E^{m,\epsilon} \cdot v f^{m,\epsilon}$ and such a term now can be estimated as follows:
\[
\left( \epsilon^m E^{m,\epsilon} \cdot v \{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon}, w_{t,\kappa}^2 \{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon} \right)
\lesssim \epsilon^m \left\| E^{m,\epsilon}(t) \right\|_{L^\kappa} \left\| w_{t,\kappa} \{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon}(t,v) \right\|^2
\lesssim \epsilon^m \epsilon^{-\frac{\theta}{2}} (1 + t)^{-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\theta}{2}} \left\| w_{t,\kappa} \{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon}(t,v) \right\|^2
\lesssim \epsilon^m \epsilon^{-\frac{\theta}{2}} (1 + t)^{-\frac{3}{2} - \theta} \left\| w_{t,\kappa} \{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon}(t,v) \right\|^2
\lesssim (1 + t)^{-\theta} \left\| w_{t,\kappa} \{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon}(t,v) \right\|^2 \tag{2.55}
\]
if $m \geq \rho + \frac{3}{2}$. Consequently, the above term can be absorbed by the extra dissipative term $D_{W-|\beta|,\kappa}^W (f^{m,\epsilon})$ defined by (2.53), which is induced by the exponential part of the s $w_{t-|\beta|,\kappa}(t,v)$.
Although the above analysis has shown the power of the above-mentioned weighted energy method based on the weight functions \( w_{\ell,-|\beta|,\kappa}(t,v) \) in studying the global solvability of the Cauchy problem (2.42)-(2.45), we note, however, that, as pointed out in [5, 7], we cannot hope to close the desired energy estimates by using a single weight \( w_{\ell,-|\beta|,\kappa}(t,v) \) with some specifically chosen constant \( \kappa \). More precisely, we can not hope to control the terms related both to the nonlinear term induced by the magnetic field \( B^{\mu,\ell}(t,x) \)

\[
\left( (v \times \partial^{q} B^{\mu,\ell}) \cdot \nabla \partial^{q} \{ I - \mathbf{P} \} f^{\mu,\ell}, w_{\ell,-|\beta|,\kappa} \partial_{\beta} \{ I - \mathbf{P} \} f^{\mu,\ell} \right)
\]

and to the linear transport term \( v \cdot \nabla f^{\mu,\ell}(t,x,v) \)

\[
\left( \partial_{\beta} \{ v \cdot \nabla \{ I - \mathbf{P} \} f^{\mu,\ell} \}, w_{\ell,-|\beta|,\kappa} \partial_{\beta} \{ I - \mathbf{P} \} f^{\mu,\ell} \right)
\]

simultaneously by using the dissipative effects (2.52) and (2.53) for all \(-3 < \gamma \leq 1\) and for just one suitably chosen constant \( \kappa \).

In fact, for \(-3 < \gamma < 0\), if we want to control (2.57) suitably first by (2.52), one has to choose \( \kappa = -\gamma \), but if we try to use (2.53) to bound (2.56) with \( \kappa = -\gamma \), we had to assume that \( \gamma \geq -1 \).

Our main idea, as in [5, 7], is to introduce the following two sets of weighted energy estimates:

(i). The weighted energy method with respect to the weight \( w_{\ell,-|\beta|,-}(t,v) \) is used to deduce the necessary temporal decay estimates on \( [f^{\mu,\ell}(t,x,v), E^{\mu,\ell}(t,x), B^{\mu,\ell}(t,x)] \) together with the uniform-in-time weighted energy type estimates;

(ii). The weighted energy method with respect to the weight \( w_{\ell,-|\beta|,1}(t,v) \) is used to yield the time increase rates on the weighted energy type estimates on \( [f^{\mu,\ell}(t,x,v), E^{\mu,\ell}(t,x), B^{\mu,\ell}(t,x)] \).

By employing these two sets of weighted energy methods and by suitably interpolations between these two sets of weighted norms, we can indeed obtain the desired energy type estimates and show that the solution \( f_{\ell,-|\beta|,\kappa}(t,v) \), which is due to the degeneracy of the dissipative effect of the electromagnetic field \( [E^{\mu,\ell}(t,x), B^{\mu,\ell}(t,x)] \) for small \( \epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \). Compared with the hard sphere model, the difference now is that we had to treat the following estimates related to the term

\[
\left( \partial^{q} \{ q_{1} E^{\mu,\ell} \cdot \nabla^{1/2} \}, w_{\ell,-|\beta|,\kappa} \partial_{\beta} \{ I - \mathbf{P} \} f^{\mu,\ell} \right)
\]

To control such a term, we note that

\[
\{ I - \mathbf{P} \} \left( E^{\mu,\ell} \cdot \nabla^{1/2} q_{1} - q_{0}(v \times \mathcal{B}) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{P} f^{\mu,\ell} \right) = \left( E^{\mu,\ell} + \epsilon f^{\mu,\ell} \times \mathcal{B} \right) \cdot \nabla^{1/2} q_{1}
\]

and

\[
E^{\mu,\ell} \cdot \nabla^{1/2} q_{1} - q_{0}(v \times \mathcal{B}) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{P} f^{\mu,\ell} = \left( E^{\mu,\ell} + \epsilon f^{\mu,\ell} \times \mathcal{B} \right) \cdot \nabla^{1/2} q_{1},
\]

we can therefore get from Lemma 4.3 that

\[
\left( \partial^{q} \left\{ q_{1} \left( E^{\mu,\ell} + \epsilon f^{\mu,\ell} \times \mathcal{B} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^{k} B^{k} \right\} \right) \cdot \nabla^{1/2} q_{1} \leq \frac{1}{4C^{3}} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \partial_{\beta} \left( w_{\ell,-|\beta|,\kappa} \partial^{q} \left[ q_{1} \left( E^{\mu,\ell} + \epsilon f^{\mu,\ell} \times \mathcal{B} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^{k} B^{k} \right] \right) \right\} + \sum_{j_{1} + j_{2} + m = 0}^{j_{1} + j_{2} + m} E_{f^{\mu,\ell},N}(t) D_{f^{\mu,\ell},N}(t)
\]

and

\[
\left( \partial^{q} \left\{ q_{1} \left( E^{\mu,\ell} + \epsilon f^{\mu,\ell} \times \mathcal{B} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^{k} B^{k} \right\} \right) \cdot \nabla^{1/2} q_{1} \leq \frac{1}{4C^{3}} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left\{ \left( w_{\ell,-|\beta|,\kappa} \partial^{q} \left[ q_{1} \left( E^{\mu,\ell} + \epsilon f^{\mu,\ell} \times \mathcal{B} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^{k} B^{k} \right] \right) \right\} + \sum_{j_{1} + j_{2} + m = 0}^{j_{1} + j_{2} + m} E_{f^{\mu,\ell},N}(t) D_{f^{\mu,\ell},N}(t)
\]

and

\[
\left( \partial^{q} \left\{ q_{1} \left( E^{\mu,\ell} + \epsilon f^{\mu,\ell} \times \mathcal{B} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^{k} B^{k} \right\} \right) \cdot \nabla^{1/2} q_{1} \leq \frac{1}{4C^{3}} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left\{ \left( w_{\ell,-|\beta|,\kappa} \partial^{q} \left[ q_{1} \left( E^{\mu,\ell} + \epsilon f^{\mu,\ell} \times \mathcal{B} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^{k} B^{k} \right] \right) \right\} + \sum_{j_{1} + j_{2} + m = 0}^{j_{1} + j_{2} + m} E_{f^{\mu,\ell},N}(t) D_{f^{\mu,\ell},N}(t)
\]
2.1 and Theorem 2.2 respectively. Some preliminaries and some tedious but standard calculations will be given in Appendix A and Appendix B for brevity.

3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1

In this section, we prove Theorem 2.1. For this purpose, suppose that \( f^r(t, x, v), E^r(t, x), \bar{B}^r(t, x) \) is a unique solution of the Cauchy problem (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) defined on the strip \( \Pi_T = [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \) for some given positive constant \( T > 0 \), we now turn to deduce some energy type \textit{a priori} estimates on \( f^r(t, x, v), E^r(t, x), \bar{B}^r(t, x) \) in terms of the corresponding initial data \( f_0^r(x, v), E_0^r(x), \bar{B}_0^r(x) \).

To make the presentation easy to follow, we divide this section into several subsections and the first one is on the macro-structure for \( f^r(t, x, v), E^r(t, x), \bar{B}^r(t, x) \).

3.1. MACRO-STRUCTURE FOR \( f^r(t, x, v), E^r(t, x), \bar{B}^r(t, x) \). We first list below some identities concerning the macroscopic part of \( f^r(t, x, v) \), which can be obtained by recalling the macro-micro decomposition (2.10) introduced in [18], multiplying (2.3) by some \( \mu^3 \)-type terms and by integrating the resulting identities with respect to \( v \) over \( \mathbb{R}^3 \).

**Lemma 3.1.** We can get that

\[
\partial_t \left( \frac{a^r_+ + a^r_-}{2} \right) + \nabla_x \cdot b^r = 0,
\]

\[
\partial_t b^r_+ + \partial_t \left( \frac{a^r_+ + a^r_-}{2} + 2c^r \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \partial_j A_{ij} (\{I - P\} f^r \cdot [1, 1]) = \frac{a^r_+ - a^r_-}{2} E^r_i + \epsilon [G^r \times \mathfrak{B}]_i + \epsilon [G^r \times \bar{B}^r]_i,
\]

\[
\partial_t c^r + \frac{1}{3} \nabla_x \cdot b^r + \frac{5}{6} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \partial_i \mathfrak{B}_i (\{I - P\} f^r \cdot [1, 1]) = \frac{1}{6} \epsilon G^r \cdot E^r,
\]

\[
\partial_t \left( a^r_+ - a^r_- \right) + \nabla_x \cdot G^r = 0,
\]

\[
\partial_t G^r + \nabla_x \left( a^r_+ - a^r_- \right) - 2E^r + \nabla_x \cdot \mathbb{A} (\{I - P\} f^r \cdot q_1) = E^r \left( a^r_+ + a^r_- \right) + 3c^r K \times \mathfrak{B} + 3c^r K \times \bar{B}^r + \left\langle [v, -v] \mu^{1/2}, L f^r + \Gamma_\pm (f^r, f^r) \right\rangle
\]

and

\[
\frac{1}{2} \partial_j A_{ij} (\{I - P\} f^r \cdot [1, 1]) + \partial_j b^r_+ + \partial_j b^r_- - \frac{5}{3} \delta_{ij} \nabla_x \cdot b^r - \frac{5}{3} \delta_{ij} \nabla_x \cdot \mathfrak{B} (\{I - P\} f^r \cdot [1, 1]) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_j A_{ij} (r^r_+ + r^r_- + g^r_+ + g^r_-) - \frac{3}{3} \delta_{ij} G^r \cdot E^r,
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2} \partial_j \mathfrak{B}_j (\{I - P\} f^r \cdot [1, 1]) + \partial_j c^r = \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{B}_j (r^r_+ + r^r_- + g^r_+ + g^r_-),
\]

where

\[
r^r_\pm = -v \cdot \nabla_x \{I_\pm - P_\pm\} f^r - L_\pm f^r,
\]

\[
g^r_\pm = \Gamma_\pm (f^r, f^r) \pm \frac{1}{2} E^r \cdot v f_\pm \mp E^r \cdot \nabla_v f_\pm \mp \epsilon (v \times \mathfrak{B}) \cdot \nabla_v f_\pm \mp \epsilon (v \times \bar{B}^r) \cdot \nabla_v f_\pm,
\]

\[
\mathbb{A}_{mj}(g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v_m v_j - 1) \mu^{1/2} g dv,
\]

\[
\mathfrak{B}_j(g) = \frac{1}{10} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|v|^2 - 5) v_j \mu^{1/2} g dv,
\]
\[ G^* = \left\langle v^1, \{I - P\}f^* \cdot q_1 \right\rangle. \]

The following lemma is concerned with the dissipative effect of the macroscopic quantities such as \( P f^*(t, x, v) \) and \( a^r_+ (t, x) - a^l_- (t, x) \), which can be proved by repeating the argument used in the proof of [23, Lemma 3.2], we thus omit the details for brevity.

Lemma 3.2. For \( N \geq 2 \), there exists \( G_{f^*}(t) \) satisfying
\[ G_{f^*}(t) \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \| \partial^\alpha f^*(t) \|^2 \]
such that
\[ \frac{d}{dt} G_{f^*}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \| \partial^\alpha Pf^*(t) \|^2 + \| a^r_+(t) - a^l_-(t) \|^2 \]
\[ \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \| \partial^\alpha \{ I - P \} f^*(t) \|^2 + \mathcal{E}_{N,f^*}(t) \mathcal{D}_{N,f^*}(t) \]
holds for all \( 0 \leq t \leq T \).

Our next result focuses on the dissipative effect of the electromagnetic field \([ E^*(t, x), \tilde{B}^*(t, x) ] \).

Lemma 3.3. For \( N \geq 2 \), there exists \( G_{E^*,\tilde{B}^*}(t) \) satisfying
\[ G_{E^*,\tilde{B}^*}(t) \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \| \partial^\alpha \left[ f^*, E^*, \tilde{B}^* \right] (t) \|^2 \]
such that the following estimate
\[ \frac{d}{dt} G_{E^*,\tilde{B}^*}(t) + c^2 \left\| E^*(t) + eb^r x \times B \right\|^2 + c^2 \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N-1} \| \partial^\alpha \left[ E^*(t), \tilde{B}^*(t) \right] \|^2 \]
\[ \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \| \partial^\alpha \{ I - P \} f^*(t) \|^2 + \mathcal{E}_{N,f^*}(t) \mathcal{D}_{N,f^*}(t) \]
holds for all \( 0 \leq t \leq T \).

Proof. Since
\[ 2E^* + 2eb^r x \times B = \partial_t G^* + \nabla_x \left( a^r_+ - a^l_- \right) + \nabla_x \cdot \mathcal{K} \left( \{ I - P \} f^* \cdot q_1 \right) - E^* \left( a^r_+ + a^l_- \right) \]
\[ -2eb^r x \times \tilde{B}^* - \left[ [v, -v]^{1/2}, Lf^*, \Gamma ( f^*, f^* ) \right] , \]
multiplying the above identity by \( E^* + eb^r x \times B \) and by integrating the result with respect to \( x \) over \( \mathbb{R}^3 \), we can get from Lemma 5.2 that
\[ 2c^2 \left\| E^* + eb^r x \times B \right\|^2 = 2c^2 \left\{ \{ E^* + eb^r x \times B \}, \{ E^* + eb^r x \times B \} \right\} \]
\[ \lesssim c^2 \left( \partial_t G^*(t), \{ E^* + eb^r x \times B \} \right) + c^2 \left( \left\{ \nabla_x \left( a^r_+ - a^l_- \right) + \nabla_x \cdot \mathcal{K} \left( \{ I - P \} f^* (t) \cdot q_1 \right) \right\}, \{ E^* + eb^r x \times B \} \right) \]
\[ -c^2 \left( E^* \left( a^r_+ + a^l_- \right) + 2eb^r x \times \tilde{B}^* + \left[ [v, -v]^{1/2}, Lf^*, \Gamma ( f^*, f^* ) \right], \{ E^* + eb^r x \times B \} \right) \]
\[ \lesssim c^2 \frac{d}{dt} \left( G^*(t), \{ E^* + eb^r x \times B \} \right) - c^2 \left( G^*, \partial_t \left\{ E^* + eb^r x \times B \right\} \right) + \eta c^2 \left\| E^* + eb^r x \times B \right\|^2 \]
\[ + \left\| \left( a^r_+ - a^l_- \right) \right\|^2 + \left\| \{ I - P \} f^* \right\|^2_{H^1_{L^2}} + \mathcal{E}_{N,f^*}(t) \mathcal{D}_{N,f^*}(t) \]
\[ \lesssim \frac{d}{dt} \left( G^*(t), \{ E^* + eb^r x \times B \} \right) + \eta c^2 \left\| \nabla \times \tilde{B}^* \right\|^2 + \eta c^2 \left\| E^* + eb^r x \times B \right\|^2 \]
\[ + \left\| \left( a^r_+ - a^l_- \right) \right\|^2 + \left\| \{ I - P \} f^* \right\|^2_{H^1_{L^2}} + \mathcal{E}_{N,f^*}(t) \mathcal{D}_{N,f^*}(t) . \]

For \( 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N - 1 \), one also has from Lemma 5.2 that
\[ c^2 \left\| \partial^\alpha E^*(t) \right\|^2 = \left( c\partial^\alpha E^*(t), c\partial^\alpha E^*(t) \right) . \]
\[
\begin{align*}
&\lesssim (c_0 \partial^{\alpha} G^{\ast}(t), \partial^{\alpha} \mathbf{E}^{\ast}(t)) + \left( c_0 \partial^{\alpha} \left\{ \nabla_x \left( a^+_\alpha - a^-_\alpha \right) \right\}(t) + \partial^{\alpha} \left\{ \nabla_x \cdot \mathbf{h} \left( \{ \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P} \} f^{\ast}(t) \cdot q_1 \} \right) \right\}, \partial^{\alpha} \mathbf{E}^{\ast}(t) \\
&\quad - \left( c_0 \partial^{\alpha} \left\{ \mathbf{E}^{\ast}(t) \cdot \left( a^+_\alpha + a^-_\alpha \right) \right\}(t) + \left\{ [v_x, -v_x] \mu^{1/2}, L f^{\ast}(t) + \Gamma (f^{\ast}(t), f^{\ast}(t)) \right\} \right), \partial^{\alpha} \mathbf{E}^{\ast}(t) \\
&\quad - \left( c_0 \partial^{\alpha} \left\{ 2 \epsilon \partial f^{\ast}(t) \times \tilde{B}^{\ast}(t) + 2 \epsilon \partial f^{\ast}(t) \times \mathbf{B} \right\}, \partial^{\alpha} \mathbf{E}^{\ast}(t) \right) \\
&\lesssim \frac{d}{dt} (c_0 \partial^{\alpha} G^{\ast}(t), \partial^{\alpha} \mathbf{E}^{\ast}(t)) - (c_0 \partial^{\alpha} G^{\ast}(t), c_0 \partial^{\alpha} \partial_t \mathbf{E}^{\ast}(t)) + \eta^2 \| \partial^{\alpha} \mathbf{E}^{\ast}(t) \|^2 \\
&\quad + \left\| \partial^{\alpha} \left( a^+_\alpha - a^-_\alpha \right) \right\|^2_{H^2_x} + \left\| \partial^{\alpha} \left\{ \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P} \right\} f^{\ast}(t) \right\|^2_{H^2} + \left\| \partial^{\alpha} \partial f^{\ast}(t) \right\|^2 + \mathcal{E}_{N,f^{\ast}}(t) \mathcal{D}_{N,f^{\ast}}(t).
\end{align*}
\]

Consequently
\[
\begin{align*}
&- \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} (c_0 \partial^{\alpha} G^{\ast}(t), c_0 \partial^{\alpha} \mathbf{E}^{\ast}(t)) + \epsilon^2 \left\| \mathbf{E}^{\ast} + \epsilon \partial f^{\ast} \times \mathbf{B} \right\|^2 + \epsilon^2 \left\| \partial^{\alpha} \mathbf{E}^{\ast}(t) \right\|^2 \\
&\lesssim \eta^2 \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N - 1} \left\| \partial^{\alpha} \tilde{B}^{\ast}(t) \right\|^2 + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \left\| \partial^{\alpha} \mathbf{P} f^{\ast}(t) \right\|^2 + \left\| a^+_\alpha(t) - a^-_\alpha(t) \right\|^2 \\
&\quad + \left\| \partial^{\alpha} \left\{ \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P} \right\} f^{\ast}(t) \right\|^2_{H^2_x} + \mathcal{E}_{N,f^{\ast}}(t) \mathcal{D}_{N,f^{\ast}}(t).
\end{align*}
\]  

For \( \tilde{B}^{\ast} \), since
\[
\begin{align*}
&\epsilon^2 \sum_{i=1}^3 \left\| \partial^{\alpha + \epsilon_i} \tilde{B}^{\ast}(t) \right\|^2 \\
&= \epsilon^2 \left\| \partial^{\alpha} \nabla \times \tilde{B}^{\ast}(t) \right\|^2 \\
&= \left( c_0 \partial^{\alpha} \partial_t \mathbf{E}^{\ast}(t), c_0 \partial^{\alpha} \nabla \times \tilde{B}^{\ast}(t) \right) \\
&= \left( c_0 \partial^{\alpha} \partial_t \mathbf{E}^{\ast}(t), c_0 \partial^{\alpha} \mathbf{E}^{\ast}(t), c_0^2 \partial^{\alpha} \nabla \times \tilde{B}^{\ast}(t) \right) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \left( c_0 \partial^{\alpha} \mathbf{E}^{\ast}(t), c_0^2 \partial^{\alpha} \nabla \times \tilde{B}^{\ast}(t) \right) - \left( c_0 \partial^{\alpha} \mathbf{E}^{\ast}(t), c_0^2 \partial^{\alpha} \nabla \times \partial_t \tilde{B}^{\ast}(t) \right) + \left( c_0 \partial^{\alpha} \mathbf{E}^{\ast}(t), c_0^2 \partial^{\alpha} \nabla \times \tilde{B}^{\ast}(t) \right) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \left( c_0 \partial^{\alpha} \mathbf{E}^{\ast}(t), c_0^2 \partial^{\alpha} \nabla \times \tilde{B}^{\ast}(t) \right) + \left( \partial^{\alpha} \nabla \times \mathbf{E}^{\ast}(t), c_0 \partial^{\alpha} \nabla \times \tilde{B}^{\ast}(t) \right) + \left( \partial^{\alpha} \mathbf{E}^{\ast}(t), c_0^2 \partial^{\alpha} \nabla \times \tilde{B}^{\ast}(t) \right) \\
&\lesssim \frac{d}{dt} \left( c_0 \partial^{\alpha} \mathbf{E}^{\ast}(t), c_0^2 \partial^{\alpha} \nabla \times \tilde{B}^{\ast}(t) \right) + \epsilon^2 \left\| \partial^{\alpha} \nabla \times \mathbf{E}^{\ast}(t) \right\|^2 + \epsilon^2 \left\| \partial^{\alpha} \nabla \times \tilde{B}^{\ast}(t) \right\|^2 + \epsilon^2 \left\| \partial^{\alpha} \mathbf{E}^{\ast}(t) \right\|^2,
\end{align*}
\]
we can deduce from Lemma 5.2 that
\[
\begin{align*}
&- \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N - 1} \left( c_0 \partial^{\alpha} \mathbf{E}^{\ast}(t), c_0^2 \partial^{\alpha} \nabla \times \tilde{B}^{\ast}(t) \right) + \epsilon^2 \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N - 1} \left\| \partial^{\alpha} \tilde{B}^{\ast}(t) \right\|^2 \\
&\lesssim \epsilon^2 \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N - 1} \left\| \partial^{\alpha} \mathbf{E}^{\ast}(t) \right\|^2 + \epsilon^2 \left\| \{ \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P} \} f^{\ast}(t) \right\|^2_{H^2 L^2}.
\end{align*}
\]  

For sufficiently small \( \kappa > 0 \), (3.6) + \( \kappa \times (3.7) \) gives
\[
\frac{d}{dt} G_{E^{\ast}, \tilde{B}^{\ast}}(t) + \epsilon^2 \left\| \mathbf{E}^{\ast} + \epsilon \partial f^{\ast} \times \mathbf{B} \right\|^2 + \epsilon^2 \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N - 1} \left\| \partial^{\alpha} \left[ \mathbf{E}^{\ast}(t), \tilde{B}^{\ast}(t) \right] \right\|^2
\]
\begin{equation}
\sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \| \partial^\alpha P f^\varepsilon (t) \|^2 + \left\| a_+^\varepsilon (t) - a_-^\varepsilon (t) \right\|^2 + \| \partial^\alpha \{ I - P \} f^\varepsilon (t) \|_{H_y^N L_2^N}^2 + \mathcal{E}_{N,f^\varepsilon} (t) \mathcal{D}_{N,f^\varepsilon} (t).
\end{equation}

Here we have set
\( G_{E^\varepsilon, \beta^\varepsilon} (t) = - \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} (\varepsilon \partial^\alpha G^\varepsilon (t), \varepsilon \partial^\alpha E^\varepsilon (t)) - k \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \left( \varepsilon \partial^\alpha E^\varepsilon (t), \varepsilon^2 \partial^\alpha \nabla \times \beta^\varepsilon (t) \right). \)

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.3.

Combing Lemma 3.2 with Lemma 3.3, we can get by taking \( N = 3 \) that

**Lemma 3.4.** There exists \( G_{f^\varepsilon, E^\varepsilon, \beta^\varepsilon} (t) \) satisfying
\begin{equation}
G_{f^\varepsilon, E^\varepsilon, \beta^\varepsilon} (t) \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 3} \left\| \partial^\alpha \left[ f^\varepsilon, E^\varepsilon, \beta^\varepsilon \right] (t) \right\|^2
\end{equation}

such that
\begin{equation}
\frac{d}{dt} G_{f^\varepsilon, E^\varepsilon, \beta^\varepsilon} (t) + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3} \| \partial^\alpha P f^\varepsilon (t) \|^2 + \left\| a_+^\varepsilon (t) - a_-^\varepsilon (t) \right\|^2 \\
+ \varepsilon^2 \left\| E^\varepsilon (t) + eb^\varepsilon (t) \times \mathfrak{B} \right\|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2} \left\| \partial^\alpha \left[ E^\varepsilon (t), \beta^\varepsilon (t) \right] \right\|^2
\lesssim \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 3} \| \partial^\alpha \{ I - P \} f^\varepsilon (t) \|^2 + \mathcal{E}_{5,f^\varepsilon} (t) \mathcal{D}_{5,f^\varepsilon} (t)
\end{equation}

holds for all \( 0 \leq t \leq T \).

3.2. A key estimate related to the electric field \( E^\varepsilon (t, x) \). The estimate (3.8) tells us that the dissipative effect of the electromagnetic field \( \left[ E^\varepsilon (t, x), \beta^\varepsilon (t, x) \right] \) is degenerate for small \( \varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \). As pointed out in the introduction, such a degeneracy will lead to a difficulty in dealing with the term
\( \left( \partial^\alpha \left( E^\varepsilon \cdot v \mu^{1/2} q_1 \right), \partial^\alpha \{ I - P \} f^\varepsilon \right) \)

and the main purpose of this subsection is to provide another way to bound such a term. To this end, we need the following result.

**Lemma 3.5.** It holds that
\begin{equation}
\{ I - P \} \left\{ E^\varepsilon \cdot v \mu^{1/2} q_1 - q_0 \varepsilon (v \times \mathfrak{B}) \cdot \nabla_v P f^\varepsilon \right\} = \left\{ E^\varepsilon + eb^\varepsilon \times \mathfrak{B} \right\} \cdot v \mu^{1/2} q_1.
\end{equation}

**Proof.** From the definition of \( P \), one has
\begin{equation}
\{ I - P \} \left\{ E^\varepsilon \cdot v \mu^{1/2} q_1 \right\} = E^\varepsilon \cdot v \mu^{1/2} q_1 - P \left\{ E^\varepsilon \cdot v \mu^{1/2} q_1 \right\} \\
= E^\varepsilon \cdot v \mu^{1/2} q_1. \tag{3.10}
\end{equation}

Similarly, one also has
\begin{equation}
\{ I - P \} \left\{ -q_0 (v \times \mathfrak{B}) \cdot \nabla_v P f^\varepsilon \right\}
= \{ I - P \} \left\{ -(v \times \mathfrak{B}) \cdot \nabla_v \left\{ b^\varepsilon \cdot v \mu^{1/2} \right\} q_1 \right\}
= \{ I - P \} \left\{ -(v \times \mathfrak{B}) \cdot b^\varepsilon \mu^{1/2} q_1 \right\}
= \{ I - P \} \left\{ (\mathfrak{B} \times v) \cdot b^\varepsilon \mu^{1/2} q_1 \right\}
= \{ I - P \} \left\{ b^\varepsilon \cdot \mathfrak{B} \cdot v \mu^{1/2} q_1 \right\}
= \left( b^\varepsilon \times \mathfrak{B} \right) \cdot v \mu^{1/2} q_1 - P \left\{ \left( b^\varepsilon \times \mathfrak{B} \right) \cdot v \mu^{1/2} q_1 \right\}
= \left( b^\varepsilon \times \mathfrak{B} \right) \cdot v \mu^{1/2} q_1.
\end{equation}

Therefore, one can get (3.9) from (3.10) and (3.11).

Having obtained Lemma 3.5, we can get that
Lemma 3.6. For $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 3$ with $|\beta| \geq 1$, it holds that
\[
\left( \partial^\beta \left\{ q_1 \left( \mathcal{E}^x + eb^{f'} \times \mathfrak{B} \right) \cdot v \mu^{1/2} \right\}, \partial^\alpha (I - P) f^e \right) \\
= (-1)^{|\beta|} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left( \partial^\alpha \left( \mathcal{E}^x + eb^{f'} \times \mathfrak{B} \right), \partial^\beta \left\{ v \mu^{1/2} \right\}, \partial^\alpha \{ I_+ - P_+ \} f^e - \partial^\alpha \{ I_- - P_- \} f^e \right) \\
\lesssim \frac{1}{4C_\beta} \left( \partial^\beta \left\{ v \mu^{1/2} \right\}, \partial^\alpha \{ I_+ - P_+ \} f^e(t) - \partial^\alpha \{ I_- - P_- \} f^e(t) \right)^2 \\
+ \left\| \nabla^{[\alpha]} \{ I - P \} f^e(t) \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla^{[\alpha]+1} f^e(t) \right\|^2 + E_{3, f'}(t) D_{3, f'}(t).
\] (3.12)

Here $C_\beta = f \left( \partial^\beta \{ v \mu^{1/2} \} \right)^2 \alpha \mu$ is some positive constant.

Proof. Applying $I - P$ to (2.6), one has
\[
\partial_t \{ I - P \} f^e + v \cdot \nabla_x \{ I - P \} f^e - \left( \mathcal{E}^x + eb^{f'} \times \mathfrak{B} \right) \cdot v \mu^{1/2} q_0 + L f^e + v \cdot \nabla_x P f^e \\
= -\epsilon \{ I - P \} q_0(v \times \mathfrak{B}) \cdot \nabla_v \{ I - P \} f^e + P \{ v \cdot \nabla_x f^e \} + \{ I - P \} \tilde{G}^e
\] (3.13)

with
\[
\tilde{G}^e = \frac{1}{2} q_0 \mathcal{E}^x \cdot v f^e - q_0 \mathcal{E}^x \cdot \nabla_v f^e - \epsilon q_0 \left( v \times \tilde{B}^e \right) \cdot \nabla_v f^e + \Gamma (f^e, f^e).
\] (3.14)

Multiplying (3.13) by $\partial^\beta \{ v \mu^{1/2} \}$ and integrating the resulting identity with respect to $v$ over $\mathbb{R}^3$, one has
\[
\partial_t \left( \partial^\beta \{ v \mu^{1/2} \}, \{ I_+ - P_\pm \} f^e \right) + \nabla_x \cdot \left( \partial^\beta \{ v \mu^{1/2} \}, \{ I_+ - P_\pm \} f^e \right) \\
\lesssim \left( \partial^\beta \{ v \mu^{1/2} \}, \{ I_+ - P_\pm \} \tilde{G}^e \right).
\] (3.15)

Noticing that
\[
J_1 = (-1)^{|\beta|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( \partial^\beta \{ v \mu^{1/2} \} \right)^2 dv \equiv (-1)^{|\beta|} C_\beta,
\]
we can get from (3.9) that
\[
\partial_t \left( \partial^\beta \{ v \mu^{1/2} \}, \{ I_+ - P_+ \} f^e - \{ I_- - P_- \} f^e \right) - 2(-1)^{|\beta|} C_\beta \left( \mathcal{E}^x + eb^{f'} \times \mathfrak{B} \right) \\
= -\nabla_x \cdot \left( \partial^\beta \{ v \mu^{1/2} \}, \{ I_+ - P_+ \} f^e - \{ I_- - P_- \} f^e \right) \\
+ \left( \partial^\beta \{ v \mu^{1/2} \}, \{ v \cdot \nabla_x f^e \} - P_+ \{ v \cdot \nabla_x f^e \} - P_- \{ v \cdot \nabla_x f^e \} - L_+ f^e + L_- f^e - v \cdot \nabla_x P_+ f^e + v \cdot \nabla_x P_- f^e \right) \\
+ \left( \partial^\beta \{ v \mu^{1/2} \}, - \epsilon \{ I_+ - P_+ \} q_0(v \times \mathfrak{B}) \cdot \nabla_v \{ I - P \} f^e + \epsilon \{ I_- - P_- \} q_0(v \times \mathfrak{B}) \cdot \nabla_v \{ I - P \} f^e \right) \\
+ \left( \partial^\beta \{ v \mu^{1/2} \}, \{ I_+ - P_+ \} \tilde{G}^e - \{ I_- - P_- \} \tilde{G}^e \right).
\] (3.16)

Applying $\partial^\alpha$ to (3.16), one has
\[
\partial_t \left( \partial^\beta \{ v \mu^{1/2} \}, \partial^\alpha \{ I_+ - P_+ \} f^e - \partial^\alpha \{ I_- - P_- \} f^e \right) \\
= -2(-1)^{|\beta|} C_\beta \partial^\alpha \left( \mathcal{E}^x + eb^{f'} \times \mathfrak{B} \right) \\
= -\nabla_x \cdot \left( \partial^\beta \{ v \mu^{1/2} \}, \partial^\alpha \{ I_+ - P_+ \} f^e - \partial^\alpha \{ I_- - P_- \} f^e \right) \\
+ \left( \partial^\beta \{ v \mu^{1/2} \}, \partial^\alpha P_+ \{ v \cdot \nabla_x f^e \} - \partial^\alpha P_- \{ v \cdot \nabla_x f^e \} \\
- \partial^\alpha L_+ f^e + \partial^\alpha L_- f^e - v \cdot \nabla_x \partial^\alpha P_+ f^e + v \cdot \nabla_x \partial^\alpha P_- f^e \right) \\
+ \left( \partial^\beta \{ v \mu^{1/2} \}, - \epsilon \{ I_+ - P_+ \} q_0(v \times \mathfrak{B}) \cdot \nabla_v \partial^\alpha \{ I - P \} f^e + \epsilon \{ I_- - P_- \} q_0(v \times \mathfrak{B}) \cdot \nabla_v \partial^\alpha \{ I - P \} f^e \right)
\] (3.17)
It holds for all Lemma 3.7.

key a priori

\[ \mathcal{D}_{a} \]
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\[ \pm \]

while by employing the estimate (5.4) on the nonlinear collision operator \( \Gamma \)

Thus we have completed the proof of this lemma.

\[ \Box \]

Step 1: Firstly, multiplying (2.6) by \( f' \) and integrating the resulting identity over \( \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \), one has

\[ \begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_{3,f'}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{3,f'}(t) & \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{3,f'}(t) \mathcal{D}_{3,f'}(t).
\end{align*} \]

(3.18)

Proof. For the brevity of the presentation, the proof of this lemma is divided into the following two steps:

Step 1: Firstly, multiplying (2.6) by \( f' \) and integrating the resulting identity over \( \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \), one has

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \left| f', E', \bar{B}' \right| (t) \right]^2 + \left\| \left\{ f' \right\} f'(t) \right\|_{\nu}^2 \]

\[ \lesssim \frac{1}{I_1} (q_0 E'(t) \cdot v f'(t), f'(t)) + \left( \Gamma \left( f'(t), f'(t) \right), f'(t) \right). \]

(3.19)

For \( I_1 \), one can easily get from Sobolev’s inequality that

\[ I_1 \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{2,f'}(t) \mathcal{D}_{2,f'}(t) + \eta \mathcal{D}_{2,f'}(t), \]

while by employing the estimate (5.4) on the nonlinear collision operator \( \Gamma_{d}(g_1, g_2) \) obtained in Lemma 5.2, one can deduce that

\[ I_2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{2,f'}(t) \mathcal{D}_{2,f'}(t) + \eta \mathcal{D}_{2,f'}(t). \]

Plugging the above estimates on \( I_1 \sim I_2 \) into (3.19), one arrives at

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \left| f', E', \bar{B}' \right| (t) \right]^2 + \left\| \left\{ f' \right\} f'(t) \right\|_{\nu}^2 \]n

\[ \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{2,f'}(t) \mathcal{D}_{2,f'}(t) + \eta \mathcal{D}_{2,f'}(t). \]

(3.20)

Next applying \( \partial^\alpha \) with \( 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3 \) to (2.6), multiplying the resulting identity by \( \partial^\alpha f' \), one has by integrating the final result with respect to \( v \) and \( x \) over \( \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \) that

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \partial^\alpha \left[ f', E', \bar{B}' \right] (t) \right]^2 + \left\| \partial^\alpha \left\{ f' \right\} f'(t) \right\|_{\nu}^2 \]n

3.3. Lyapunov-type inequalities for \( \mathcal{E}_{3,f'}(t) \). With the above results in hand, we now turn to deduce the key a priori estimates on the solution \( [f'(t, x, v), E'(t, x), \bar{B}'(t, x)] \) of the Cauchy problem (2.3), (2.4), (2.5).

Lemma 3.7. It holds for all \( 0 \leq t \leq T \) that

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_{3,f'}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{3,f'}(t) \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{3,f'}(t) \mathcal{D}_{3,f'}(t). \]

(3.18)
\[ \begin{align*}
&\lesssim -\left(\partial^\alpha \{ q_0 E^\alpha(t) \cdot \nabla_v f(t) \}, \partial^\alpha f(t) \right)_{I_3} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial \{ q_0 E^\alpha(t) \cdot (v f(t)) \}, \partial^\alpha f(t) \right)_{I_4} \\
&\quad - \left( \partial^\alpha \left\{ \epsilon_0 v \times \overrightarrow{B}_v(t) \cdot \nabla_v f(t) \right\}, \partial^\alpha f(t) \right)_{I_5} + \left( \partial^\alpha \Gamma(f(t), f(t)), \partial^\alpha f(t) \right). 
\end{align*} \]

For \( I_3 \), one has from Sobolev’s inequality that
\[ I_3 \lesssim \| \partial^\alpha E^\alpha(t) \|_{L^\infty_x} \| \partial^\alpha E^\alpha(t) \|_{L^2_x} \| \partial^\alpha f(t) \|_{L^2_x} \| \partial^\alpha f(t) \| + \| \partial^\alpha f(t) \|_{L^2_x} \| \partial^\alpha f(t) \|_{L^2_x} \| \partial^\alpha f(t) \|_{L^2_x} \| \partial^\alpha f(t) \|_{L^2_x} \| \partial^\alpha f(t) \|
\]
\[ \lesssim \| \partial^\alpha E^\alpha(t) \|_{L^\infty_x} \| \partial^\alpha E^\alpha(t) \|_{L^2_x} \| \partial^\alpha f(t) \|_{L^2_x} \| \partial^\alpha f(t) \|_2 \| \partial^\alpha f(t) \|_2 \| \partial^\alpha f(t) \|_2 \| \partial^\alpha f(t) \|_2 \|
\]
\[ \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{3,f^t}(t) \mathcal{D}_{3,f^t}(t) + \eta \mathcal{D}_{3,f^t}(t). \]

Similarly, one can also get from Lemma 5.2 and Sobolev’s inequality that
\[ I_4 + I_5 + I_6 \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{3,f^t}(t) \mathcal{D}_{3,f^t}(t) + \eta \mathcal{D}_{3,f^t}(t). \]

Substituting the above estimates on \( I_3 \sim I_6 \) into (3.21) and by exploiting the estimate (3.20), one has
\[ \frac{d}{dt} \| \partial^\alpha \left\{ f^t, E^\alpha, \overrightarrow{B}_v \right\} (t) \|^2 + \| \partial^\alpha \{ I - \mathcal{P} \} f^t(t) \|^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{3,f^t}(t) \mathcal{D}_{3,f^t}(t) + \eta \mathcal{D}_{3,f^t}(t) \quad (3.21) \]
holds for \( 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3 \).

**Step 2:** Applying \( \partial^\beta \) to (3.13), multiplying the result by \( \partial^\beta \{ I - \mathcal{P} \} f^t \) with \( |\beta| \geq 1 \) and integrating the final resulting identity with respect to \( v \) and \( x \) over \( \mathbb{R}^3_x \times \mathbb{R}^3_v \), one has
\[ \frac{d}{dt} \| \partial^\beta \{ I - \mathcal{P} \} f^t(t) \|^2 + \| \partial^\beta \{ I - \mathcal{P} \} f^t(t) \|^2 \lesssim \eta \sum_{|\beta| < |\beta|} \| \partial^\beta \{ I - \mathcal{P} \} f^t(t) \|^2 + C_\eta \| \partial^\beta E^\alpha(t) \|^2_{L^2_x} \| \partial^\alpha f(t) \|^2_{L^2_x} \| \partial^\alpha f(t) \|^2_{L^2_x} \| \partial^\alpha f(t) \|^2_{L^2_x} \| \partial^\alpha f(t) \|^2_{L^2_x} \| \partial^\alpha f(t) \|^2_{L^2_x}
\]
\[ \left. + \left( \partial^\beta \left\{ \left\{ E^\alpha + e_b f^t \times \mathfrak{B}_v \right\} \cdot v_1^{1/2} q_1 \right\}, \partial^\beta \{ I - \mathcal{P} \} f^t(t) \right)_{I_8} \right). \]

By employing a similar argument used to estimate \( I_5 \) and \( I_6 \), one has
\[ I_8 \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{3,f^t}(t) \mathcal{D}_{3,f^t}(t) + \eta \mathcal{D}_{3,f^t}(t). \]

To control \( I_8 \), one has by (3.12) and Lemma 5.2 that
\[ I_8 = \eta \| \partial^\beta \{ I - \mathcal{P} \} f^t(t) \|^2 + \| \partial^\beta \{ I - \mathcal{P} \} f^t(t) \|^2 \]
\[ \lesssim \eta \sum_{|\beta| < |\beta|} \| \partial^\beta \{ I - \mathcal{P} \} f^t(t) \|^2 + \sum_{|\beta| < |\beta|} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \| \partial^\beta \{ I - \mathcal{P} \} f^t(t) \|^2 \right) + \mathcal{E}_{3,f^t}(t) \mathcal{D}_{3,f^t}(t). \]

Plugging the estimates on \( I_7 \) and \( I_8 \) into (3.22) gives
\[ \frac{d}{dt} \| \partial^\beta \{ I - \mathcal{P} \} f^t(t) \|^2 + \| \partial^\beta \{ I - \mathcal{P} \} f^t(t) \|^2 \]
\[ \lesssim \eta \| \partial^\beta \{ I - \mathcal{P} \} f^t(t) \|^2 + C_\eta \| \partial^\beta \{ I - \mathcal{P} \} f^t(t) \|^2 + \mathcal{E}_{3,f^t}(t) \mathcal{D}_{3,f^t}(t). \quad (3.23) \]
Taking the summation over \(|\beta| = m, |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 3\) for each given 1 \(\leq m \leq 3\), and then taking the proper linear combination of those estimates with properly chosen constants, one has

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 4, |\beta| \geq 1} \left\| \partial_\beta \left( \frac{\partial_\alpha (I - \mathbf{P}) f(t)}{f(t)} \right) \right\|^2 + \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 4, |\beta| \geq 1} \left\| \partial_\beta \left( \frac{\partial_\alpha (I - \mathbf{P}) f(t)}{f(t)} \right) \right\|^2
\]

\[
\lesssim \sum_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 3} \left\| \partial_\alpha \left( \frac{\partial_{\alpha,0} (I - \mathbf{P}) f(t)}{f(t)} \right) \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla_x \mathbf{P} f(t) \right\|_{H^2 L^2}^2 + \mathcal{E}_{3, f^*}(t) \mathcal{D}_{3, f^*}(t) + \eta \mathcal{D}_{3, f^*}(t)
\]

(3.24)

\[
+ \frac{1}{4C_\beta} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i=1}^3 \left\| \partial_\beta \left( v_i \frac{m}{P} \right), \partial_\alpha \left( \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_+ \right) f(t) - \partial_\alpha \left( \mathbf{I}_- - \mathbf{P}_- \right) f(t) \right\|^2.
\]

A proper linear combination of (3.8), (3.20), (3.21) and (3.24) yields (3.18). This completes the proof of Lemma 3.7.

3.4. The proof of Theorem 2.1. Now we are ready to deduce the desired \textit{a priori} estimates on the solution \(f^*(t, x, v), E^*(t, x), \tilde{B}^*(t, x)\) of the Cauchy problem (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) defined on the strip \(\Pi_T = [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3\) and complete the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Suppose that the Cauchy problem (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) admits a unique solution \(f^*(t, x, v), E^*(t, x), \tilde{B}^*(t, x)\) on the strip \(\Pi_T = [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3\) and satisfy the following \textit{a priori} assumption

\[
X(t) = \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 3} \left\| \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta f(t) \right\|^2 + \left\| \mathbf{E}^*(t), \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^*(t) \right\|_{H^2}^2 \leq M, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T
\]

(3.25)

for some sufficiently small positive constant \(M > 0\).

Under the \textit{a priori} estimates (3.25), from (3.18), integrating from 0 to \(t\), one has for all \(0 \leq t \leq T\) that

\[
\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \{ \mathcal{E}_{3, f^*}(s) \} \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{3, f^*}(0), \quad (3.26)
\]

from which one can deduce (2.17) immediately.

Having obtained the estimate (3.26), one can then get the global solvability result for the Cauchy problem (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) by the continuation argument and as a by-product of such an argument, one can show that the estimate (3.26) holds for all \(t \in \mathbb{R}^+\). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

4. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2

This section is devoted to proving Theorem 2.2. To this end, suppose that \(f^{m, e}(t, x, v), E^{m, e}(t, x), B^{m, e}(t, x)\) is a unique solution of the Cauchy problem (2.42)-(2.45) and for \(i = 1, 2, \cdots, m - 1\), let \(f^{P, e}(t, x, v), E^{P, e}(t, x), \tilde{B}^{P, e}(t, x)\) be solutions of the Cauchy problems (2.36)-(2.37), (2.38)-(2.41), and (2.42)-(2.45), respectively, defined on the strip \(\Pi_T = [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3\) for some positive constant \(T > 0\), we now turn to deduce certain energy type \textit{a priori} estimates on \(f^{m, e}(t, x, v), E^{m, e}(t, x), B^{m, e}(t, x)\) in terms of its initial data in the coming subsections. The first result is on the macro-structure of \(f^{m, e}(t, x, v), E^{m, e}(t, x), B^{m, e}(t, x)\).

4.1. Macro-structure for \(f^{m, e}(t, x, v), E^{m, e}(t, x), B^{m, e}(t, x)\). In this section, the first result is concerned with the dissipative effect of the macroscopic quantities such as \(\mathbf{P} f^{m, e}(t, x, v), a_{m, e}^+(t, x) - a_{m, e}^-(t, x), \) etc. To do so, we first need the following identities concerning the macroscopic part of \(f^{m, e}(t, x, v)\).

Lemma 4.1. It holds that

\[
\partial_t \left( \frac{a_{m, e}^+ + a_{m, e}^-}{2} \right) + \nabla_x \cdot b^{m, e} = 0,
\]

\[
\partial_t b_j^{m, e} + \partial_j \left( \frac{a_{m, e}^+ + a_{m, e}^-}{2} + 2c^{m, e} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^3 \partial_k a_{m, e} \left( \{I - \mathbf{P}\} f^{m, e} \cdot [1, 1] \right)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{k=1}^3 \partial_k a_{m, e} \left( \{I - \mathbf{P}\} f^{m, e} \cdot [1, 1] \right)
\]

\[
\equiv 0.
\]
Based on the above lemma, one has by repeating the argument used in the proof of [23, Lemma 3.2] that

\[
G^{j,i,e} = \left\langle v^{1/2} \delta \{ f^{j,i,e} + q_1 \} \right\rangle, \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots, m.
\]

and

\[
\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \mathbb{A}_{jk} \left( \{ I - \mathbb{P} \} f^{m,e} \cdot \{ 1, 1 \} \right) + \partial_k b^{m,e}_j + \partial_j b^{m,e}_k - 2 \delta_{jk} \nabla x \cdot b^{m,e} + 5 \delta_{jk} \nabla x \cdot \mathbb{B} \left( \{ I - \mathbb{P} \} f^{m,e} \cdot \{ 1, 1 \} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{A}_{jk} \left( r^{m,e}_+ + r^{m,e}_- + g^{m,e}_+ + g^{m,e}_- \right),
\]

where

\[
r^{m,e}_\pm = -v \cdot \nabla x \{ I_\pm - \mathbb{P}_\pm \} f^{m,e} - L f^{m,e},
\]

\[
g^{m,e}_\pm = \pm \frac{1}{2} E^{m,e} \cdot v f^{m,e}_\pm + E^{m,e} \cdot \nabla v f^{m,e}_\pm \pm \frac{1}{2} E^{m,e} \cdot E^{m,e} \cdot \nabla v f^{m,e}_\pm
\]

\[
\pm \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j_1+j_2 = m, 0 < j_1 < j_2 < m} \delta_{j_1} \nabla x \cdot f^{j_1,j_2}_\pm \pm \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j_1+j_2 = m, 0 < j_1, j_2 < m} \delta_{j_1} \nabla x \cdot \nabla f^{j_1,j_2}_\pm \pm \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 < j_1 < m} \delta_{j_1} E^{j_1,e} \cdot v f^{m,e}_\pm
\]

\[
\pm \left( v \times B^{m,e} \right) \cdot \nabla v \left\{ f^{m,e}_\pm + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^i f^{i,e}_\pm \right\} \pm \epsilon \left( v \times \left( B^d + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^i B^i \right) \right) \cdot \nabla v f^{m,e}_\pm
\]

\[
\pm \frac{1}{2} E^{m,e} \cdot v f^{m,e}_\pm + \epsilon E^{m,e} \cdot \nabla v f^{m,e}_\pm + \epsilon^m \left( v \times B^{m,e} \right) \cdot \nabla v f^{m,e}_\pm
\]

\[
+ \Gamma \left( f^{P,e}, f^{m,e} \right) + \Gamma \left( f^{m,e}, f^{P,e} \right) + \epsilon^m \Gamma \left( f^{m,e}, f^{m,e} \right) + \sum_{j_1+j_2 = m, 0 < j_1, j_2 < m} \delta_{j_1} \nabla x \cdot f^{j_1,j_2}_\pm \left( f^{j_1,e}, f^{j_2,e} \right)
\]

Based on the above lemma, one has by repeating the argument used in the proof of [23, Lemma 3.2] that
Lemma 4.2. There exists \( G_{f,\epsilon,E,m,,\epsilon,B,m,,\epsilon}(t) \) satisfying
\[
G_{f,\epsilon,E,m,,\epsilon,B,m,,\epsilon}(t) \leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \| \partial^{\alpha} f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2 + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-1} \| \partial^{\alpha} [E^{m,\epsilon}(t), B^{m,\epsilon}(t)] \|^2
\]
such that
\[
dt G_{f,\epsilon,E,m,,\epsilon,B,m,,\epsilon}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \| \partial^{\alpha} P f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2 \\
+ \epsilon^2 \left| E^{m,\epsilon}(t) + \epsilon b^{m,\epsilon}(t) \times \left\{ B^P + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^j B^j \right\} \right|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \| \partial^{\alpha} f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2
\]
holds for all \( 0 \leq t \leq T \).

4.2. Some estimates related to the electromagnetic field and the transport term. The main purpose of this section is to derive certain estimates related to the electromagnetic field \([E^{m,\epsilon}(t, x), B^{m,\epsilon}(t, x)]\) and the linear transport term \(v \cdot \nabla_x f^{m,\epsilon}(t, x, v)\). As pointed out before, compared with the problem on global solvability near Maxwellians for fixed light speed studied in [5], the difficulty we encountered here is due to the degeneracy of the dissipative effect of electromagnetics \([E^{m,\epsilon}(t, x), B^{m,\epsilon}(t, x)]\) for large light speed, cf. the small parameter \(\epsilon\) in front of terms related to the electromagnetic field \([E^{m,\epsilon}(t, x), B^{m,\epsilon}(t, x)]\) in the definitions of the energy dissipation rate functionals \(D_{f,\epsilon,,\epsilon,n}(t), D_{f,\epsilon,,\epsilon,n,t,,\epsilon-\gamma}(t), \text{ and } \overline{D}_{f,\epsilon,,\epsilon,n,,\epsilon-\gamma}(t)\) given by (2.47). To deduce an \(\epsilon\)-independent estimates on \(f^{m,\epsilon}(t, x, v)\), unlike [5], we had to use a different way to deal with all those terms related to the electromagnetic field \([E^{m,\epsilon}(t, x), B^{m,\epsilon}(t, x)]\). Moreover, since weighted energy estimates will be used, we also need to control the terms related to the linear transport term \(v \cdot \nabla_x f^{m,\epsilon}(t, x, v)\) suitably.

4.2.1. Some linear estimates related to the electromagnetic field and the transport term. This section focuses on dealing with some estimates on some linear terms related to the electromagnetic field \([E^{m,\epsilon}(t, x), B^{m,\epsilon}(t, x)]\) and the linear transport term \(v \cdot \nabla_x f^{m,\epsilon}(t, x, v)\).

Our first result is on some linear terms related to the electromagnetic field \([E^{m,\epsilon}(t, x), B^{m,\epsilon}(t, x)]\).

Lemma 4.3. We have the following estimates:

(i). For \(|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N \text{ with } |\beta| \geq 1 \text{ or } |\alpha| = |\beta| = 0\), it holds that
\[
\left( \partial^{\beta} \left\{ q_1 \left\{ E^{m,\epsilon} + \epsilon b^{m,\epsilon} \times \left\{ B^P + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^j B^j \right\} \right\}, v \mu^{1/2} \right\}, w_{\ell-|\beta|,n}^{2} \partial^{\alpha} \left\{ I - P \right\} f^{m,\epsilon} \right) \\
= (-1)^{|\beta|} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left\{ \partial^{\alpha} E^{m,\epsilon} + \epsilon b^{m,\epsilon} \times \left\{ B^P + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^j B^j \right\} \right\}_{i}, v^{1/2} \left\{ \partial^{\alpha} \left\{ I_+ - P_+ \right\} f^{m,\epsilon} - \partial^{\alpha} \left\{ I_+ - P_- \right\} f^{m,\epsilon} \right\} \\
\leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{d}{dt} \left\| \partial^{\beta} \left\{ w^{2} \partial_{\beta} \left\{ v \mu^{1/2} \right\} \right\}, \partial^{\alpha} \left\{ I_+ - P_+ \right\} f^{m,\epsilon}(t) - \partial^{\alpha} \left\{ I_- - P_- \right\} f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \right\|^{2}
\]
\[
+ \left\| \nabla^{\alpha} \left\{ I - P \right\} f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \right\|_{v}^{2} + \left\| \nabla^{\alpha+1} f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \right\|_{v}^{2} + E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N}(t)D_{f^{m,\epsilon},N}(t) + \sum_{j_1+j_2 \geq m, 0 < j_1, j_2 \leq m} E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N}(t)D_{f^{m,\epsilon},N}(t).
\]

Here
\[
C_{\beta}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left\{ w_{\ell-|\beta|,n}^{2} \partial_{\beta} \left\{ v \mu^{1/2} \right\} \right\}^{2} dv
\]
depends only on the time variable \(t\) that satisfies \(C_{\beta} \sim 1\) for \(t \geq 0\);

(ii). For \(|\alpha| \leq N - 1\), it also holds that
\[
\left( \partial^{\alpha} \left\{ q_1 \left\{ E^{m,\epsilon} + \epsilon b^{m,\epsilon} \times \left\{ B^P + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^j B^j \right\} \right\}, v \mu^{1/2} \right\}, w_{\ell-|\beta|,n}^{2} \partial^{\alpha} f^{m,\epsilon} \right)
\]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{3} \left( \partial^\alpha \left\{ E^{m,\varepsilon} + eb^{m,\varepsilon} \times \left\{ B^p + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} e^j B^{j_i} \right\} \right\}, \left\{ \left\{ w_{t-|\beta|,\kappa}^2 \right\}, \partial^\alpha f_{m,+} - \partial^\alpha f_{m,-} \right\} \right)
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{4 C_0} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left\| \left\{ \left\{ w_{t-|\beta|,\kappa}^2 \right\}, \partial^\alpha f_{m,+} - \partial^\alpha f_{m,-} \right\} \right\|^2
\]
\[
+ \left\| \nabla^{[\alpha]} f^{m,\varepsilon}(t) \right\|^2_v + \left\| \nabla^{[\alpha]+1} f^{m,\varepsilon}(t) \right\|^2_v + \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\varepsilon},N}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\varepsilon},N}(t) + \sum_{j_1+j_2 \geq m, \quad 0 < j_1, j_2 \leq m} \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\varepsilon},N}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\varepsilon},N}(t).
\]

Here again \( C_0(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ w_{t-|\beta|,\kappa}^2 \right\} dv \) depends only on \( t \) and satisfies \( C_0(t) \sim 1 \).

**Proof.** To prove Lemma 4.3, we can get first the following equation for \( (I - P)f^{m,\varepsilon} \) by applying \( (I - P) \) to (2.38):

\[
\partial_t (I - P)f^{m,\varepsilon} + v \cdot \nabla_x (I - P)f^{m,\varepsilon} - \left\{ E^{m,\varepsilon} + eb^{m,\varepsilon} \times \left\{ B^p + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} e^j B^{j_i} \right\} \right\} \cdot v^{1/2} q_1
\]
\[
+ v \cdot \nabla_x f - P(v \cdot \nabla_x f) + Lf^{m,\varepsilon} + \epsilon (I - P) \left\{ v \times \left( B^p + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} e^j B^{j_i} \right) \right\} \cdot \nabla_v (I - P)f^{m,\varepsilon}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} (I - P) \left( q_0 E^{m,\varepsilon} \cdot v f^{P,\varepsilon} \right) - (I - P) \left( q_0 E^{m,\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_v f^{P,\varepsilon} \right)
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2} (I - P) \left( q_0 \sum_{0 < j_1 < m} e^{j_1} E^{m,\varepsilon} \cdot v f^{j_1,\varepsilon} \right) - (I - P) \left( q_0 \sum_{0 < j_1 < m} e^{j_1} E^{m,\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_v f^{j_1,\varepsilon} \right)
\]
\[
- (I - P) \left( q_0 \epsilon (v \times B^p) \cdot \nabla_v \left\{ f^{P,\varepsilon} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} e^i f^{i,\varepsilon} \right\} \right)
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2} (I - P) \left( q_0 \sum_{j_1+j_2 \geq m, \quad 0 < j_1, j_2 < m} e^{j_1+j_2} E^{j_1,\varepsilon} \cdot v f^{j_2,\varepsilon} \right) - (I - P) \left( q_0 \sum_{j_1+j_2 \geq m, \quad 0 < j_1, j_2 < m} e^{j_1+j_2} E^{j_1,\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_v f^{j_2,\varepsilon} \right)
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2} (I - P) \left( q_0 E^{P,\varepsilon} \cdot v f^{m,\varepsilon} \right) + (I - P) \left( q_0 E^{P,\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_v f^{m,\varepsilon} \right)
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2} (I - P) \left( q_0 \sum_{0 < j_1 < m} e^{j_1} E^{j_1,\varepsilon} \cdot v f^{m,\varepsilon} \right) + (I - P) \left( q_0 \sum_{0 < j_1 < m} e^{j_1} E^{j_1,\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_v f^{m,\varepsilon} \right)
\]
\[
+ (I - P) \left( \frac{e^m}{2} q_0 E^{m,\varepsilon} \cdot v f^{m,\varepsilon} \right) + (I - P) \left( q_0 \epsilon e^m \left\{ E^{m,\varepsilon} + \epsilon v \times B^{m,\varepsilon} \right\} \cdot \nabla_v f^{m,\varepsilon} \right)
\]
\[
+ \Gamma \left( f^{P,\varepsilon}, f^{m,\varepsilon} \right) + \Gamma \left( f^{m,\varepsilon}, f^{P,\varepsilon} \right) + \sum_{j_1+j_2 \geq m, \quad 0 < j_1, j_2 < m} e^{j_1+j_2-m} \Gamma \left( f^{j_1,\varepsilon}, f^{j_2,\varepsilon} \right)
\]
\[
+ \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} e^{j_1} \left\{ \Gamma \left( f^{j_1,\varepsilon}, f^{m,\varepsilon} \right) + \Gamma \left( f^{m,\varepsilon}, f^{j_1,\varepsilon} \right) \right\} + e^m \Gamma \left( f^{m,\varepsilon}, f^{m,\varepsilon} \right)
\]
\[
:= \left\{ I_{m,\varepsilon,+}^{m,\varepsilon,+,\text{mix mix}}(t), I_{m,\varepsilon,-}^{m,\varepsilon,-\text{mix mix}}(t) \right\},
\]

where we \( \left\{ I_{m,\varepsilon,+}^{m,\varepsilon,+,\text{mix mix}}(t), I_{m,\varepsilon,-}^{m,\varepsilon,-\text{mix mix}}(t) \right\} \) to denote the all terms in the right-hand side of the above vector equality for brevity.

Multiplying (4.7) by \( \partial_\beta \left\{ w_{t-|\beta|,\kappa}^2 \right\} \) and integrating the resulting identity with respect to \( v \) over \( \mathbb{R}^3 \), one has

\[
\partial_t \left\{ \partial_\beta \left\{ w_{t-|\beta|,\kappa}^2 \right\} \right\} \cdot \left\{ I_{\pm} - P_{\pm} \right\} f^{m,\varepsilon} + \nabla_x \cdot \left\{ \partial_\beta \left\{ w_{t-|\beta|,\kappa}^2 \right\} \right\} \cdot \left\{ I_{\pm} - P_{\pm} \right\} f^{m,\varepsilon}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t \left( \partial_{\beta} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon_j \cdot B_j \right) \right) + \dot{\mathbf{E}}^{m\epsilon} + & c \dot{f}^{m\epsilon} \times \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \epsilon_j \cdot B_j \right) \right) \\
= & \partial_t \left( \partial_{\beta} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon_j \cdot B_j \right) \right) + \dot{\mathbf{E}}^{m\epsilon} + c \dot{f}^{m\epsilon} \times \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \epsilon_j \cdot B_j \right) \right)
\end{align*}
\]

(4.8)

Noticing that

\[ J_1 = (-1)^{|\beta|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon_j \cdot B_j \right) ^2 \, dv \equiv (-1)^{|\beta|} C_{\beta}, \]

we can get from (4.8) that

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t \left( \partial_{\beta} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon_j \cdot B_j \right) \right) + \dot{\mathbf{E}}^{m\epsilon} + & c \dot{f}^{m\epsilon} \times \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \epsilon_j \cdot B_j \right) \right) \\
= & \partial_t \left( \partial_{\beta} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon_j \cdot B_j \right) \right) + \dot{\mathbf{E}}^{m\epsilon} + c \dot{f}^{m\epsilon} \times \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \epsilon_j \cdot B_j \right) \right)
\end{align*}
\]

(4.9)

Applying \( \partial^\alpha \) to (4.9), one has

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t \left( \partial_{\beta} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon_j \cdot B_j \right) \right) + \partial^\alpha \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon_j \cdot B_j \right) \right) & \cdot \dot{\mathbf{E}}^{m\epsilon} + c \dot{f}^{m\epsilon} \times \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \epsilon_j \cdot B_j \right) \right) \\
= & \partial_t \left( \partial_{\beta} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon_j \cdot B_j \right) \right) + \partial^\alpha \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon_j \cdot B_j \right) \right) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{E}}^{m\epsilon} + c \dot{f}^{m\epsilon} \times \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \epsilon_j \cdot B_j \right) \right)
\end{align*}
\]

(4.10)
The equation in the image is a mathematical proof involving the control of terms related to the linear transport term in a system of equations. The proof involves controlling terms of the form $v \cdot \nabla_x f_{m,e}(t, x, v)$, where $f_{m,e}$ is a function of time $t$, position $x$, and velocity $v$. The proof uses Cauchy's inequality and a series of summations to control the terms $\partial_\beta (w_{\ell_{m-|\beta|-1}}^2 \partial_\beta [v_{1/2}])$, $\partial_\beta (w_{\ell_{m-|\beta|}}^2 \partial_\beta [v_{1/2}])$, and $\partial_\beta (w_{\ell_{m-|\beta|,n}}^2 \partial_\beta [v_{1/2}])$, where $\beta$ is a multi-index.

The proof proceeds by defining $\mathcal{RHS}_1$ as all the terms in the right-hand side of (4.10) and then applying Cauchy's inequality and summations to control the terms. The proof concludes by stating that the desired inequality holds, which is (4.5), and (4.6) can be obtained in a similar way. Thus, the proof of Lemma 4.4 is completed.

For the corresponding term related to the linear transport term $v \cdot \nabla_x f_{m,e}(t, x, v)$, we have the following result.

**Lemma 4.4.** Assume $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N_m + 1$ with $|\beta| \geq 1$, and

$$\sigma_{n,k} - \sigma_{n,k-1} = \frac{2(1 + \gamma)}{\gamma - 2} (1 + \vartheta), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$  

(11.11)

one has

$$(1 + t)^{-\sigma_{n,|\beta|}} \left( \partial_\alpha^2 (v \cdot \nabla_x \{I - P\} f_{m,e}) \right), w_{\ell_{m-|\beta|-1}}^2 \partial_\beta \{I - P\} f_{m,e} \right) \lesssim (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{n,|\beta|}} D^{(n,|\beta|-1)}_{f, \rho, N}(t) + \eta(1 + t)^{-\sigma_{n,|\beta|}} \left\| w_{\ell_{m-|\beta|-1}} \partial_\beta \{I - P\} f_{m,e} (t) \right\|_2^2. $$  

(12.12)

**Proof.** By Cauchy's inequality, one has

$$\left( \partial_\alpha^2 (v \cdot \nabla_x \{I - P\} f_{m,e}) \right), w_{\ell_{m-|\beta|-1}}^2 \partial_\beta \{I - P\} f_{m,e} \right) \lesssim \left\| w_{\ell_{m-|\beta|-1}} \partial_\beta \{I - P\} f_{m,e} (t) \right\|_2^2 \lesssim \frac{4(1 + \gamma)}{\gamma - 2} (1 + \vartheta) \left\| w_{\ell_{m-|\beta|-1}} \partial_\beta \{I - P\} f_{m,e} (t) \right\|_2^2. $$  

(13.13)

To control $\left\| w_{\ell_{m-|\beta|-1}} \partial_\beta \{I - P\} f_{m,e} (t) \right\|_2^2$, one applies different time-rates to deduce

$$\lesssim \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| = n} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{n,|\beta|}} \left\| w_{\ell_{m-|\beta|-1}} \partial_\beta \{I - P\} f_{m,e} (t) \right\|_2^2 \lesssim (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{n,|\beta|} - \frac{2(1 + \gamma)}{\gamma - 2} (1 + \vartheta)} \left\| w_{\ell_{m-|\beta|-1}} \partial_\beta \{I - P\} f_{m,e} (t) \right\|_2^2. $$  

(14.14)
We only prove (4.16) for simplicity. For this purpose, we can deduce from the triangle inequality that

\[
\sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| = n} \left\{ (1 + t)^{-\sigma_n,|\beta|-1} \left\| w_{\epsilon m-|\beta|-\epsilon j} \partial_{\beta}^{\alpha + \epsilon j} (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon} (v) \right\|^2 \right\}^{\frac{2}{1+\epsilon}} + (1 + t)^{-\sigma_n,|\beta|-1} \left\| w_{\epsilon m-|\beta|-\epsilon j} \partial_{\beta}^{\alpha + \epsilon j} (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon} \right\|^2
\]

where we used (4.11), then one has (4.12) by (4.13).

4.2.2. Some estimates on the interactions between \([f^{m,\epsilon}(t, x, v), E^{m,\epsilon}(t, x), B^{m,\epsilon}(t, x)]\), \([f^{P,\epsilon}(t, x, v), E^{P,\epsilon}(t, x)]\), and \([f^{\epsilon}(t, x, v), E^{\epsilon}(t, x)]\) (i = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, m - 1). In this subsection, we will focus on some estimates on the interactions between \([f^{m,\epsilon}(t, x, v), E^{m,\epsilon}(t, x), B^{m,\epsilon}(t, x)]\), \([f^{P,\epsilon}(t, x, v), E^{P,\epsilon}(t, x)]\), and \([f^{\epsilon}(t, x, v), E^{\epsilon}(t, x)]\) (i = 1, \cdots, m - 1), in which the electromagnetic \([E^{m,\epsilon}(t, x), B^{m,\epsilon}(t, x)]\) are involved, with respect to the weight \(w_{m-|\beta|,\kappa}(t, v)\) for both \(\kappa = -\gamma\) and \(\kappa = 1\).

First for estimates on the interactions between \(E^{m,\epsilon}(t, x)\) and \([f^{P,\epsilon}(t, x, v), f^{m,\epsilon}(t, x, v)]\) with respect to the weight \(w_{m-|\beta|,\kappa}(t, v)\) for both \(\kappa = -\gamma\) and \(\kappa = 1\), we have the following result.

**Lemma 4.5.** Take \(n \geq 2\) and \(w_{m-|\beta|,\kappa}(t, v) = \langle v \rangle^{\kappa} \langle t \rangle^{\frac{4}{(1+\epsilon)^2}}, e_{\gamma}(t)\), one has the following estimates:

i) For \(1 \leq |\alpha| \leq n\), one has

\[
\left\| \left( \partial_{\alpha} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} q_0 E^{m,\epsilon} \cdot v f^{P,\epsilon} \right\} \right) \cdot \partial_{\alpha} f^{m,\epsilon} \right\| \lesssim \left\| E^{m,\epsilon}(t) \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \nabla v f^{P,\epsilon}(t) \right\|_{L^2} \left\| (I - P) f^{P,\epsilon}(t) \right\|_{L^2} \left\| (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{1+\epsilon}} + \eta \left\| \partial_{\alpha} f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{1+\epsilon}}.
\]

ii) For \(1 \leq |\alpha| \leq n\), one has

\[
\left\| \left( \partial_{\alpha} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} q_0 E^{m,\epsilon} \cdot v f^{P,\epsilon} \right\} \right) \cdot w_{m-|\beta|,\kappa}^{2} \partial_{\alpha} f^{m,\epsilon} \right\| \lesssim \left\| E^{m,\epsilon,n}(t) D^{f_{P,\epsilon,n,-\frac{\epsilon m}{m+n+1}+\frac{\epsilon m}{m+n+1},-\gamma}(t) + \eta \left\| w_{m-|\beta|,\kappa} \partial_{\alpha} f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \right\|_{L^2} \right\|^{2}.
\]

iii) For \(|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq n\) with \(|\beta| \geq 1\) or \(|\alpha| = |\beta| = 0\), one has

\[
\left\| \left( \partial_{\alpha} \left\{ (I - P) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} q_0 E^{m,\epsilon} \cdot v f^{P,\epsilon} \right\} \right\} \right) \cdot w_{m-|\beta|,\kappa}^{2} \partial_{\alpha} (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon} \right\| \lesssim \left\| E^{m,\epsilon,n}(t) D^{f_{P,\epsilon,n,-\frac{\epsilon m}{m+n+1}+\frac{\epsilon m}{m+n+1},-\gamma}(t) + \eta \left\| w_{m-|\beta|,\kappa} \partial_{\alpha} (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \right\|_{L^2} \right\|^{2}.
\]

**Proof.** We only prove (4.16) for simplicity. For this purpose, we can deduce from the triangle inequality that

\[
\left\| \left( \partial_{\alpha} \left\{ (I - P) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} q_0 E^{m,\epsilon} \cdot v f^{P,\epsilon} \right\} \right\} \right) \cdot w_{m-|\beta|,\kappa}^{2} \partial_{\alpha} (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon} \right\| \lesssim \left\| \left( \partial_{\alpha} \left\{ (I - P) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} q_0 E^{m,\epsilon} \cdot v f^{P,\epsilon} \right\} \right\} \right) \cdot w_{m-|\beta|,\kappa}^{2} \partial_{\alpha} (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon} \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \left( \partial_{\alpha} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} q_0 E^{m,\epsilon} \cdot v f^{P,\epsilon} \right\} \right) \cdot w_{m-|\beta|,\kappa} \partial_{\alpha} (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon} \right\|_{L^2} \]
By $L^2 - L^\infty - L^2$, $L^2 - L^\infty - L^2$, $L^3 - L^6 - L^2$ or $L^6 - L^3 - L^2$ type Sobolev inequalities, one can deduce

\[ I_{\alpha,\beta,1} \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq n, \beta \geq \Gamma} \left\| \nabla_x E^{m,\epsilon} \right\|^2_{H^2_{\beta-2}} \left\| u_{l_m-|\beta|,\epsilon} \nabla E^{m,\epsilon} \right\|^2_{H^2_{\beta-1} - L^2_{\beta}} + \eta \left\| u_{l_m-|\beta|,\epsilon} \nabla E^{m,\epsilon} \right\|^2_{H^2_{\beta-1} - L^2_{\beta}} \]
Lemma 4.7. Recall the definitions in (2.47), take

\[ n \geq 3, \quad \ell_m \geq 1 - \frac{3\gamma}{2}, \quad \theta = \frac{2 - 4\gamma}{2\ell_m - \gamma}, \]

we have the following estimates:

i) For \( 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq n \), one has

\[ \left| \left( \partial^\alpha \left\{ \frac{1}{2} q_0 e^{i \theta}\phi \cdot v f^{m, \epsilon} \right\} \right) \right| \leq E_{f^{m, \epsilon}, n}(t) D_{f^{m, \epsilon}, n}(t) \]

\[ + \left\| e^m \nabla_x \left[ E^{m, \epsilon}(t), B^{m, \epsilon}(t) \right] \right\|_{L^2_x}^2 \left\| v \nabla_x^{n-1} \left( I - P \right) f^{m, \epsilon}(t) v^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^2 + \eta D_{f^{m, \epsilon}, n}(t) \]

and

\[ \left| \left( \partial^\alpha \left\{ \frac{1}{2} q_0 e^{i \theta}\phi \cdot v f^{m, \epsilon} \right\} \right) \right| \leq E_{f^{m, \epsilon}, n}(t) D_{f^{m, \epsilon}, n}(t) \]

\[ + \left\| e^m \nabla_x \left[ E^{m, \epsilon}(t), B^{m, \epsilon}(t) \right] \right\|_{L^2_x}^2 \left\| v \nabla_x^{n-1} \left( I - P \right) f^{m, \epsilon}(t) v^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^2 + \eta D_{f^{m, \epsilon}, n}(t); \]

ii) For \( 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq n \), one has

\[ \left| \left( \partial^\alpha \left\{ \frac{1}{2} q_0 e^{i \theta}\phi \cdot v f^{m, \epsilon} \right\} \right) \right| \leq E_{f^{m, \epsilon}, n}(t) D_{f^{m, \epsilon}, n}(t) + e^m \left\| E^{m, \epsilon}(t) \right\|_{L^2_x} \left\| v \nabla_x^{n-1} \left( I - P \right) f^{m, \epsilon}(t) v^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^2 \]

\[ + \left\| e^{2m} \nabla_x \left[ E^{m, \epsilon}(t), \epsilon B^{m, \epsilon}(t) \right] \right\|_{L^2_x}^2 \left\| v \nabla_x^{n-1} \left( I - P \right) f^{m, \epsilon}(t) v^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^2 \]

\[ + \chi_{|\alpha| \geq N_0^m} \left( E_{f^{m, \epsilon}, n}(t) E^{1}_{f^{m, \epsilon}, n}(t) \right) D_{f^{m, \epsilon}, n, \epsilon, \eta}(t) + \eta D_{f^{m, \epsilon}, n, \epsilon, \eta}(t) \]
and
\[
\left( \partial^\alpha \left\{ \frac{1}{2} q_0 \epsilon^m E^{m,\epsilon} \cdot v^{f,m,\epsilon} \right\},w_{l_{m,-\gamma}}^2 \partial^\alpha f^{m,\epsilon} \right) \leq \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\epsilon},n}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon},n}(t) + \epsilon^m \left\| E^{m,\epsilon}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty} \left\| w_{l_{m,-\gamma}} \partial^\alpha f^{m,\epsilon}(t) (v)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^2 + \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n} \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon},j}^j \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_{m},l_{m}+\frac{3}{2}-j}(t) + \eta \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon},n,l_{m},-\gamma}(t); 
\] (4.27)

\[
\left( \partial^\beta \left\{ \{ I - P \} \left( \epsilon^m q_0 \left( E^{m,\epsilon} + \epsilon v \times B^{m,\epsilon} \right) \cdot \nabla_v f^{m,\epsilon} \right) \right\},w_{l_{m,-\gamma}}^2 \partial^\beta \left\{ \{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon} \right\} \right) \leq \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\epsilon},n}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon},n}(t) + \epsilon^m \left\| E^{m,\epsilon}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty} \left\| w_{l_{m,-\gamma}} \partial^\beta \left\{ \{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon} \right\} (v)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^2 + \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n} \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon},j}^j \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_{m},l_{m}+\frac{3}{2}-j}(t) + \eta \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon},n,l_{m},-\gamma}(t); 
\] (4.28)

iii) For \(|\alpha| + |\beta| = n\) with \(|\beta| \geq 1\) or \(|\alpha| = |\beta| = 0\), one has
\[
\left( \partial^\beta \left\{ \{ I - P \} \left( \epsilon^m q_0 \left( E^{m,\epsilon} + \epsilon v \times B^{m,\epsilon} \right) \cdot \nabla_v f^{m,\epsilon} \right) \right\},w_{l_{m,-\gamma}}^2 \partial^\beta \left\{ \{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon} \right\} \right) \leq \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\epsilon},n}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon},n}(t) + \epsilon^m \left\| E^{m,\epsilon}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty} \left\| w_{l_{m,-\gamma}} \partial^\beta \left\{ \{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon} \right\} (v)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^2 + \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n} \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon},j}^j \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_{m},l_{m}+\frac{3}{2}-j}(t) + \eta \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon},n,l_{m},-\gamma}(t). 
\] (4.29)

\[
\left( \partial^\alpha \left\{ \epsilon^m q_0 \left( E^{m,\epsilon} + \epsilon v \times B^{m,\epsilon} \right) \cdot \nabla_v f^{m,\epsilon} \right\},w_{l_{m,-\gamma}}^2 \partial^\alpha f^{m,\epsilon} \right) = \left\{ \sum_{0 < \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} \left( \epsilon^m q_0 \partial^\alpha_1 \left( E^{m,\epsilon} + \epsilon v \times B^{m,\epsilon} \right) \cdot \nabla_v \partial^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} f^{m,\epsilon},w_{l_{m,-\gamma}}^2 \partial^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \partial^\alpha f^{m,\epsilon} \right) \right\}_{J_{\alpha,1}} 
\] 
\[ + \sum_{0 < \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} \left( \epsilon^m q_0 \partial^\alpha_1 \left( E^{m,\epsilon} + \epsilon v \times B^{m,\epsilon} \right) \cdot \nabla_v \partial^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} f^{m,\epsilon},w_{l_{m,-\gamma}}^2 \partial^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \partial^\alpha f^{m,\epsilon} \right) \right\}_{J_{\alpha,2}}, 
\] (4.30)

\[
\] 
we can get by using integration by parts that
\[
J_{\alpha,1} \leq \epsilon^m \left\{ \left\| E^{m,\epsilon} \right\|_{L^\infty} + \left\| \epsilon B^{m,\epsilon} \right\|_{L^\infty} \right\} \left\| w_{l_{m,-\gamma}} \partial^\alpha f^{m,\epsilon} (v) \right\|^2. 
\] 

For \(J_{\alpha,2}\), one has by Sobolev’s inequalities that
\[
J_{\alpha,2} \leq \epsilon^m \left\{ \left\| E^{m,\epsilon} \right\|_{H^2} + \left\| \epsilon B^{m,\epsilon} \right\|_{H^2} \right\} \left\| \nabla_v f^{m,\epsilon} \right\|^2_{H^{-2}.L^2} + \eta \left\| \partial^\alpha f^{m,\epsilon} (v)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^2 
\] 
and \(J_{\alpha,3}\) can be estimated as follows
\[
J_{\alpha,3} \leq \epsilon^m \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha_1| \leq N_{k}^0-2} \left\{ \left\| \partial^{\alpha_1} E^{m,\epsilon} \right\|^2_{L^2} + \left\| \epsilon \partial^{\alpha_1} B^{m,\epsilon} \right\|^2_{L^2} \right\} \left\| w_{l_{m,-\gamma}} \partial^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \left\{ \{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon} \right\} (v)^{-\frac{2}{2}} \right\|^2 
\] 

Thus we complete the proof of this lemma. □

To estimate $J_{\alpha,3}^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$), one has for $J_{\alpha,3}^{(1)}$ that

$$J_{\alpha,3}^{(1)} \lesssim \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N^0_{R} + 1} \epsilon^2 \sum \left( \| \partial^{\alpha} E^{m,\epsilon} \|_{L^2_x}^2 + \| \epsilon \partial^{\alpha} B^{m,\epsilon} \|_{L^2_x}^2 \right) \left\| w_{l_{m-1},-\gamma} \partial^{\alpha}_{\epsilon_j} (I-P) f^{m,\epsilon}(\nu) \right\|_{L^2_{x\nu}} \leq \frac{2^{\frac{1}{2} - \gamma}}{2^{\frac{1}{2} - \gamma}}
$$

where $\theta$ satisfies that $1 - \frac{3}{2} \gamma = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma) + \bar{\gamma} \nu \theta$ which yields that $\theta = \frac{2^{\frac{1}{2} - \gamma}}{2^{\frac{1}{2} - \gamma}}$. $J_{\alpha,3}^{(2)}$ and $J_{\alpha,3}^{(3)}$ can be controlled in a similar way, while for the last three terms, one can deduce that

$$J_{\alpha,3}^{(4)} + J_{\alpha,3}^{(5)} + J_{\alpha,3}^{(6)} \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{f^{m},N,m}(t) \mathcal{E}_{f^{m},N^0_{R}+2,\gamma} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}_{f^{m},N,m}^{1}(t),$$

Thus we complete the proof of this lemma. □

For the estimates on the interactions of $f^{m}(t, x, v)$ with $[E^{1}(t, x), E^{1}(t, x), \ldots, E^{m-1}(t, x)]$ with respect to the weight $w_{l_{m} - |\beta|-\gamma}(t, v)$, we can get similarly that
Lemma 4.8. Assume

\[ \bar{\ell}_m \geq 1 - \frac{3\gamma}{2}, \theta = \frac{2 - 4\gamma}{2\bar{\ell}_m - \gamma}, \]

we have the following estimates:

i) For \(0 \leq |\alpha| \leq n\), one has

\[
\left| \left( \partial^\alpha \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \langle q_0 E^{P,\varepsilon} \cdot v f^{m,\varepsilon} - q_0 E^{P,\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_v f^{m,\varepsilon} \rangle, \partial^\alpha f^{m,\varepsilon} \right\} \right| \right|_2^2 \leq \mathcal{E}_{f^{P,\varepsilon},n}(t) D_{f^{m,\varepsilon},n}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\varepsilon},n-1, n-\frac{1}{2}, -\gamma}(t) D_{f^{P,\varepsilon},n}(t)
\]

\[
+ \left\| \nabla_x E^{P,\varepsilon}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty_x}^2 \left\| \nabla_v \nabla_x^{n-1} \{ I - P \} f^{m,\varepsilon}(t)(v)^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \right\|_2^2
\]

\[
+ \left\| E^{P,\varepsilon}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty_x}^2 \left\| \nabla_x^{n} \{ I - P \} f^{m,\varepsilon}(t)(v)^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \right\|_2^2 + \eta D_{f^{m,\varepsilon},n}(t)
\]  

(4.31)

and for \(0 < j_1 < m\), one can get that

\[
\left| \left( \partial^\alpha \left\{ \frac{1}{2} q_0 E^{J_1,\varepsilon} \cdot v f^{m,\varepsilon} - q_0 E^{J_1,\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_v f^{m,\varepsilon} \right\}, \partial^\alpha f^{m,\varepsilon} \right\} \right| \right|_2^2 \leq \mathcal{E}_{f^{J_1,\varepsilon},n}(t) D_{f^{m,\varepsilon},n}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\varepsilon},n-1, n-\frac{1}{2}, -\gamma}(t) D_{f^{J_1,\varepsilon},n}(t)
\]

\[
+ \left\| \nabla_x E^{J_1,\varepsilon}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty_x}^2 \left\| \nabla_v \nabla_x^{n-1} \{ I - P \} f^{m,\varepsilon}(t)(v)^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \right\|_2^2
\]

\[
+ \left\| E^{J_1,\varepsilon}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty_x}^2 \left\| \nabla_x^{n} \{ I - P \} f^{m,\varepsilon}(t)(v)^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \right\|_2^2 + \eta D_{f^{m,\varepsilon},n}(t).
\]  

(4.32)

ii) For \(1 \leq |\alpha| \leq n\), one has

\[
\left| \left( \partial^\alpha \left\{ \frac{1}{2} q_0 E^{P,\varepsilon} \cdot v f^{m,\varepsilon} - q_0 E^{P,\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_v f^{m,\varepsilon} \right\}, \partial^\alpha f^{m,\varepsilon} \right\} \right| \right|_2^2 \leq \mathcal{E}_{f^{P,\varepsilon},n}(t) D_{f^{m,\varepsilon},n}(t) + \left\| E^{P,\varepsilon}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty_x}^2 \left\| w_{m-\gamma} f^{m,\varepsilon}(t)(v)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_2^2
\]

\[
+ \left\| \nabla_x E^{P,\varepsilon}(t) \right\|_{H^2_x}^2 \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 1, 0 \leq j \leq |\alpha|} D^{(j,k)}_{f^{m,\varepsilon}, l_m + \bar{\ell}_m}(t) + \eta D_{f^{m,\varepsilon},n,l_m,-\gamma}(t)
\]  

(4.33)

and we also have

\[
\left| \left( \partial^\alpha \left\{ \frac{1}{2} q_0 E^{J_1,\varepsilon} \cdot v f^{m,\varepsilon} - q_0 E^{J_1,\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_v f^{m,\varepsilon} \right\}, \partial^\alpha f^{m,\varepsilon} \right\} \right| \right|_2^2 \leq \mathcal{E}_{f^{J_1,\varepsilon},n}(t) D_{f^{m,\varepsilon},n}(t) + \left\| E^{J_1,\varepsilon}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty_x}^2 \left\| w_{m-\gamma} f^{m,\varepsilon}(t)(v)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_2^2
\]

\[
+ \left\| \nabla_x E^{J_1,\varepsilon}(t) \right\|_{H^2_x}^2 \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 1, 0 \leq j \leq |\alpha|} D^{(j,k)}_{f^{m,\varepsilon}, l_m + \bar{\ell}_m}(t) + \eta D_{f^{m,\varepsilon},n,l_m,-\gamma}(t)
\]  

(4.34)

iii) For \(|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq n\) with \(|\beta| \geq 1\), one has

\[
\left| \left( \partial^\beta \left\{ \{ I - P \} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} q_0 E^{P,\varepsilon} \cdot v f^{m,\varepsilon} - q_0 E^{P,\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_v f^{m,\varepsilon} \right\} \right\}, \partial^\beta f^{m,\varepsilon} \right\} \right| \right|_2^2 \leq \mathcal{E}_{f^{P,\varepsilon},n}(t) D_{f^{m,\varepsilon},n}(t) + \left\| E^{P,\varepsilon}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty_x}^2 \left\| w_{|\beta|-\gamma} f^{m,\varepsilon}(t)(v)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_2^2
\]

\[
+ \left\| \nabla_x E^{P,\varepsilon}(t) \right\|_{H^2_x}^2 \sum_{|\beta| - 1 \leq k \leq \min(|\beta| + 1, n + |\beta|)} D^{(j,k)}_{f^{m,\varepsilon}, l_m + \bar{\ell}_m}(t) + \eta D_{f^{m,\varepsilon},n,l_m,-\gamma}(t)
\]  

(4.35)

and we also have

\[
\left| \left( \partial^\beta \left\{ \{ I - P \} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} q_0 E^{J_1,\varepsilon} \cdot v f^{m,\varepsilon} - q_0 E^{J_1,\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_v f^{m,\varepsilon} \right\} \right\}, \partial^\beta f^{m,\varepsilon} \right\} \right| \right|_2^2 \leq \mathcal{E}_{f^{J_1,\varepsilon},n}(t) D_{f^{m,\varepsilon},n}(t) + \left\| E^{J_1,\varepsilon}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty_x}^2 \left\| w_{|\beta|-\gamma} f^{m,\varepsilon}(t)(v)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_2^2
\]

\[
+ \left\| \nabla_x E^{J_1,\varepsilon}(t) \right\|_{H^2_x}^2 \sum_{|\beta| - 1 \leq k \leq \min(|\beta| + 1, n + |\beta|)} D^{(j,k)}_{f^{m,\varepsilon}, l_m + \bar{\ell}_m}(t) + \eta D_{f^{m,\varepsilon},n,l_m,-\gamma}(t)
\]  

(4.36)
4.2.4. Some nonlinear estimates related to the electromagnetic field with respect to the weight $w_{t-\beta,1}(t,v)$. As we mentioned above, two sets of energy estimates with respect to weights $w_{t-\beta,-}(t,v)$ and $w_{t-\beta,1}(t,v)$ respectively are introduced to close the desired energy estimates, the main purpose of this section is to deduce certain nonlinear estimates related to the electromagnetic field $[E^{m,\epsilon}(t,x), B^{m,\epsilon}(t,x)]$ with respect to the weight $w_{t-\beta,1}(t,v)$.

Lemma 4.9. For $2 \leq n \leq N_m + 1$, we have the following estimates:

i) For $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq n$, one has

$$
\left| \left( \partial_{\alpha} \left\{ \theta \varphi (E^{m,\epsilon} + \epsilon v \times B^{m,\epsilon}) \cdot \nabla \varphi f^{m,\epsilon} \right\} , w_{t-\beta,1}^2 \partial_{\alpha} \varphi f^{m,\epsilon} \right| 
\right| 
\lesssim \mathcal{E}_{F^{m,\epsilon},n}(t) D_{F^{m,\epsilon},n}(t) + \left\| \varphi \varphi f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty} \left| \left( \nabla w_{t-\beta,1} \partial_{\alpha} \varphi f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \right) (v) \right|^2 
\begin{equation}
(4.37)
\end{equation}

ii) For $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq n$, one has

$$
\left| \left( \partial_{\alpha} \left\{ \theta \varphi (E^{m,\epsilon} + \epsilon v \times B^{m,\epsilon}) \cdot \nabla \varphi f^{m,\epsilon} \right\} , w_{t-\beta,1}^2 \partial_{\alpha} \varphi f^{m,\epsilon} \right| 
\right| 
\lesssim \mathcal{E}_{F^{m,\epsilon},n}(t) D_{F^{m,\epsilon},n}(t) + \left\| \varphi \varphi f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty} \left| \left( \nabla w_{t-\beta,1} \partial_{\alpha} \varphi f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \right) (v) \right|^2 
\begin{equation}
(4.39)
\end{equation}
For this purpose, since

\[ \sum_{|\beta| - 1 \leq k \leq |\beta|} D^{(j,k)} f^{m,\epsilon}, \ell_{m,1}(t) \]

we only prove (4.37) since the other estimates can be obtained similarly. For this purpose, since

\[
\left( \partial^3 \{ q_0 e^m E^{m,\epsilon}, \nabla_v f^{m,\epsilon} \}, w_{\ell_{m,1}}^2 \partial^3 f^{m,\epsilon} \right) = 3 \alpha, + \sum_{0 < \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} \left( q_0 e^m \partial^3 \{ E^{m,\epsilon}, \nabla_v \partial^3 f^{m,\epsilon} \}, w_{\ell_{m,1}}^2 \partial^3 f^{m,\epsilon} \right) (4.41)
\]

we get by using integrations by parts and Holder’s inequality that

\[ \tilde{H}_{\alpha,1} \lesssim \| e^m E^{m,\epsilon} \|_{L^\infty} \| w_{\ell_{m,1}} \partial^3 f^{m,\epsilon} \varepsilon^{1/2} \|_2 \]

and

\[ \tilde{H}_{\alpha,2} \lesssim \| e^m E^{m,\epsilon} \|_{H^{N_{m+1}}_{\infty}} \| \nabla_x f^{m,\epsilon} \|_{H^{N_{m+1}}_{\infty}} + \eta \| \partial^3 f^{m,\epsilon} \varepsilon^{1/2} \|_2. \]

For \( \tilde{H}_{\alpha,3} \), one has

\[
\tilde{H}_{\alpha,3} = \sum_{0 < \alpha_1 \leq \alpha} \left( q_0 e^m \partial^3 \{ E^{m,\epsilon}, \nabla_v \partial^3 f^{m,\epsilon} \}, w_{\ell_{m,1}}^2 \partial^3 f^{m,\epsilon} \right)
\]

we get by using integrations by parts and Holder’s inequality that

\[ \tilde{H}_{\alpha,3} \lesssim \| e^m E^{m,\epsilon} \|_{H^{N_{m+1}}_{\infty}} \| \nabla_x f^{m,\epsilon} \|_{H^{N_{m+1}}_{\infty}} + \eta \| \partial^3 f^{m,\epsilon} \varepsilon^{1/2} \|_2. \]

To control the terms \( \tilde{H}_{\alpha,j}^{(j)} \) for \( j = 1, 2, 3, 4 \), we first deal with \( \tilde{H}_{\alpha,3}^{(3)} \) as follows

\[
\tilde{H}_{\alpha,3}^{(3)} \lesssim (1 + t)^{1+\theta} \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha_1| \leq N_{m}^{0}} \| e^m \partial^3 \{ E^{m,\epsilon} \}, w_{\ell_{m,1}}^2 \partial^3 f^{m,\epsilon} \varepsilon^{1/2} \|_2 \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha_1| \leq N_{m}^{0}} D^{(j,k)} f^{m,\epsilon}, \ell_{m,1}(t)
\]

\[ + \eta (1 + t)^{-1-\theta} \| w_{\ell_{m,1}}^2 \partial^3 f^{m,\epsilon} \varepsilon^{1/2} \|_2 \]

\[ \lesssim (1 + t)^{2+2\theta} \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha_1| \leq N_{m}^{0}} \| e^m \partial^3 \{ E^{m,\epsilon} \}, w_{\ell_{m,1}}^2 \partial^3 f^{m,\epsilon} \varepsilon^{1/2} \|_2 \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha_1| \leq N_{m}^{0}} D^{(j,k)} f^{m,\epsilon}, \ell_{m,1}(t) \]

Proof. To simplify the presentation, we only prove (4.37) since the other estimates can be obtained similarly.
\[ + \eta(1 + t)^{-1-\theta} \| w_{t,n} \partial^\alpha f^{m,\epsilon}(v) \|^2 \]

and \( \tilde{H}^{(3)}_{a,\beta} \) and \( \tilde{H}^{(4)}_{a,\beta} \) can be estimated similarly.

As for \( \tilde{H}^{(4)}_{a,\beta} \), one has by Sobolev’s inequalities that

\[ \tilde{H}^{(4)}_{a,\beta} \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m(t)} \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m(t), t_n + \frac{1}{2}, 1}(t) + \eta(1 + t)^{-1-\theta} \| w_{t,n} \partial^\alpha f^{m,\epsilon}(v) \|^2. \]

Collecting the above estimates, one can deduce (4.37) immediately. \( \square \)

Similarly, for the estimates on the interactions of \( f^{m,\epsilon}(t, x, v) \) with \( [E^{P,\epsilon}(t, x), E^{1,\epsilon}(t, x), \ldots, E^{m-1,\epsilon}(t, x)] \) with respect to the weight \( w_{t,n}-|\beta|, 1(t, v) \), we also have the following lemma, whose proofs will be omitted for brevity.

**Lemma 4.10.** For \( 2 \leq n \leq N_m + 1 \), we have the following estimates:

i) For \( 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq n \), one has

\[
\left( \partial^\alpha \left\{ \left( q_0 E^{P,\epsilon} \cdot \nabla_x f^{m,\epsilon} - \frac{1}{2} q_0 E^{P,\epsilon} \cdot v f^{m,\epsilon} \right) , w_{t,n} \partial^\alpha f^{m,\epsilon} \right\} \right) 
\lesssim \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\epsilon},n}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon},n}(t) + \| E^{P,\epsilon}(t) \|_{L^\infty} \| w_{t,n} \partial^\alpha f^{m,\epsilon}(t)(v) \|^2
\]

\[
+ (1 + t)^{2+2\theta} \left\{ \| \nabla_x E^{P,\epsilon}(t) \|^2_{L^\infty} + \| \nabla^2_x E^{P,\epsilon}(t) \|^2_{H^{N_m-2}} \right\} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq s \leq [\alpha]} \mathcal{D}^{(k,l)}_{f^{m,\epsilon},n,t,n}(t)
\]

\[
+ \eta \| \partial^\alpha f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|^2_{v} + \eta(1 + t)^{-1-\theta} \| w_{t,n} \partial^\alpha f^{m,\epsilon}(t)(v) \|^2
\]

and

\[
\left( \partial^\alpha \left\{ q_0 E^{j,\epsilon} \cdot \nabla_x f^{m,\epsilon} - \frac{1}{2} q_0 E^{j,\epsilon} \cdot v f^{m,\epsilon} \right\} , w_{t,n} \partial^\alpha f^{m,\epsilon} \right) 
\lesssim \mathcal{E}_{f^{j,\epsilon},n}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f^{j,\epsilon},n}(t) + \| E^{j,\epsilon}(t) \|_{L^\infty} \| w_{t,n} \partial^\alpha f^{m,\epsilon}(t)(v) \|^2
\]

\[
+ (1 + t)^{2+2\theta} \left\{ \| \nabla_x E^{j,\epsilon}(t) \|^2_{L^\infty} + \| \nabla^2_x E^{j,\epsilon}(t) \|^2_{H^{N_m-2}} \right\} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq s \leq [\alpha]} \mathcal{D}^{(k,l)}_{f^{j,\epsilon},n,t,n}(t)
\]

\[
+ \eta \| \partial^\alpha (I - P) f^{j,\epsilon}(t) \|^2_{v} + \eta(1 + t)^{-1-\theta} \| w_{t,n} \partial^\alpha (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(t)(v) \|^2
\]

and

\[
\left( \partial^\alpha \left\{ q_0 E^{j,\epsilon} \cdot \nabla_x f^{m,\epsilon} - \frac{1}{2} q_0 E^{j,\epsilon} \cdot v f^{m,\epsilon} \right\} , w_{t,n} \partial^\alpha (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon} \right) 
\lesssim \mathcal{E}_{f^{j,\epsilon},n}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f^{j,\epsilon},n}(t) + \| E^{j,\epsilon}(t) \|_{L^\infty} \| w_{t,n} \partial^\alpha (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(t)(v) \|^2
\]

\[
+ (1 + t)^{2+2\theta} \left\{ \| \nabla_x E^{j,\epsilon}(t) \|^2_{L^\infty} + \| \nabla^2_x E^{j,\epsilon}(t) \|^2_{H^{N_m-2}} \right\} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq s \leq [\alpha]} \mathcal{D}^{(k,l)}_{f^{j,\epsilon},n,t,n}(t)
\]

\[
+ \eta \| \partial^\alpha (I - P) f^{j,\epsilon}(t) \|^2_{v} + \eta(1 + t)^{-1-\theta} \| w_{t,n} \partial^\alpha (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(t)(v) \|^2
\]
4.3. Lyapunov-type inequalities for $E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m,l_m,-\gamma}(t)$, $E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m,\rho_m,-\gamma}(t)$, and $E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m+1}(t)$. Now we are going to derive certain Lyapunov-type inequalities for $E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m,l_m,-\gamma}(t)$, $E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m,\rho_m,-\gamma}(t)$, and $E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m+1}(t)$. Our first result is to deduce the Lyapunov-type inequalities for $E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m,l_m,-\gamma}(t)$.

4.3.1. Lyapunov-type inequalities for $E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m,l_m,-\gamma}(t)$. For result on this problem, we can get that

**Lemma 4.11.** Take

$$\bar{t}_m \geq 1 - \frac{3\gamma}{2}, \quad \theta = \frac{2 - 4\gamma}{2\bar{t}_m - \gamma},$$

and assume that

$$\max \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} (1 + \tau)^{1+\theta} \left\| \left[ E_{f^{\rho,\epsilon},E^{j_1\epsilon,\epsilon}} E^{m,\epsilon} \right] (\tau) \right\|_{L_x^\infty}, \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} E_{f^{\rho,\epsilon},N_m+1,l_m+2-\frac{1}{2},-\gamma}(\tau),
\sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} E_{f^{j_1\epsilon,\epsilon},N_m+1,l_m+2-\frac{1}{2},-\gamma}(\tau), \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m,l_m,-\gamma}(\tau) \right\}$$

is sufficiently small, then one has

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m,l_m,-\gamma}(t) + D_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m,l_m,-\gamma}(t) \leq \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \| \nabla_x \left[ E_{f^{\rho,\epsilon},E^{j_1\epsilon,\epsilon}} E^{m,\epsilon} \right] \|^2_{H_x^1} + \epsilon^2 m \| \nabla_x \left[ E^{m,\epsilon}(t), \epsilon B^{m,\epsilon}(t) \right] \|^2_{H_x^{N_m}} \right\} \frac{1}{\theta},$$

(4.47)

**Proof.** Step 1: Applying $\partial^\alpha$ with $|\alpha| \leq N_m$ to (2.42), multiplying the resulting identity by $\partial^\alpha f^{m,\epsilon}$ with $|\alpha| \leq N_m$, and integrating the final result with respect to $v$ and $x$ over $\mathbb{R}_v^3 \times \mathbb{R}_x^3$, one has from (4.14), (4.18), (4.19), (5.7), (4.31), (4.32), (4.24), (4.25), and (5.8) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\| \partial^\alpha f^{m,\epsilon}, \partial^\alpha E^{m,\epsilon}, \partial^\alpha B^{m,\epsilon} \right\|_{L_t^\infty}^2 + \left\| \partial^\alpha (I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \right\|_{L_t^\infty}^2 \leq E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m-1,N_m-\frac{1}{2},-\gamma}(t) \left\{ D_{f^{\rho,\epsilon},N_m}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} D_{f^{j_1\epsilon,\epsilon},N_m}(t) \right\} + \left\{ E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m,N_m-\gamma}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} E_{f^{j_1\epsilon,\epsilon},N_m,N_m-\gamma}(t) + E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m,N_m-\frac{1}{2},-\gamma}(t) \right\} \times D_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m}(t) + \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \| \nabla_x \left[ E^{j_1\epsilon,\epsilon}, E^{f^{\rho,\epsilon},E^{m,\epsilon}} + c_m B^{m,\epsilon} \right] \|^2_{L_x^\infty} \| \nabla_v \nabla_x^{N_m-1}(I - P) f^{m,\epsilon}(v)^{1-\frac{1}{2}} \|^2 \right\}$$

(4.48)
For simplicity in presentation, we use the notations $I_{\text{mix,mic}}(t)$ and $I_{\text{pure,mic}}(t)$ to denote

\begin{align}
I_{\text{mix,mic}}(t) & = \frac{1}{2} (I - P) q_0 E^{m,e} \cdot v f^{P,e} - (I - P) q_0 E^{m,e} \cdot \nabla_v f^{P,e} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} (I - P) q_0 \sum_{0 < j_1 < m} e^{j_1 E^{m,e}} \cdot v f^{j_1,e} - (I - P) q_0 \sum_{0 < j_1 < m} e^{j_1 E^{m,e}} \cdot \nabla_v f^{j_1,e} \tag{4.49}
\end{align}

\begin{align}
I_{\text{pure,mic}}(t) & = \frac{1}{2} (I - P) q_0 E^{P,e} \cdot v f^{m,e} - (I - P) q_0 E^{P,e} \cdot \nabla_v f^{m,e} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} (I - P) q_0 \sum_{0 < j_1 < m} e^{j_1 E^{j_1,e}} \cdot v f^{m,e} - (I - P) q_0 \sum_{0 < j_1 < m} e^{j_1 E^{j_1,e}} \cdot \nabla_v f^{m,e} \tag{4.50}
\end{align}

then (4.7) can be rewritten as

\begin{align}
\partial_t \{ I - P \} f^{m,e} + \{ I - P \} v \cdot \nabla_x f^{m,e} - \left\{ E^{m,e} + e b^{f,m,e} \times \left\{ B^P + \sum_{j_1=1}^{m-1} e^{j_1 B^{j_1}} \right\} \right\} \cdot \nu^{1/2} q_1 \\
+ \epsilon q_0 \left\{ \left( v \times \left( B^P + \sum_{j_1=1}^{m-1} e^{j_1 B^{j_1}} \right) \right) \right\} \cdot \nabla_v \{ I - P \} f^{m,e} + L f^{m,e} \tag{4.51}
\end{align}

Multiplying (4.51) by $w^{2,\gamma}_{m,-\gamma} \{ I - P \} f^{m,e}$ and integrating the resulting identity over $\mathbb{R}_+^3 \times \mathbb{R}_x^3$, one has

\begin{align}
& \frac{d}{dt} \| w^{2,\gamma}_{m,-\gamma} \{ I - P \} f^{m,e} \|^2 + \| w^{2,\gamma}_{m,-\gamma} \{ I - P \} f^{m,e} \|^2 \\
& + \frac{\sigma_0}{(1 + t)^{1+\nu}} \| w^{2,\gamma}_{m,-\gamma} \{ I - P \} f^{m,e}(v) \|^2 \\
\lesssim & \| \{ I - P \} f^{m,e} \|^2 \nu \left( \sup_{S_1} \left( \left\{ E^{m,e} + e b^{f,m,e} \times \left\{ B^P + \sum_{j_1=1}^{m-1} e^{j_1 B^{j_1}} \right\} \right\} \cdot \nu^{1/2} q_1, w^{2,\gamma}_{m,-\gamma} \{ I - P \} f^{m,e} \right) \right) \\
& + \left( \left\{ E^{m,e} + e b^{f,m,e} \times \left\{ B^P + \sum_{j_1=1}^{m-1} e^{j_1 B^{j_1}} \right\} \right\} \cdot \nu^{1/2} q_1, w^{2,\gamma}_{m,-\gamma} \{ I - P \} f^{m,e} \right) \right) \right)
\end{align}
\[ + \left| \left( I_{\text{mix, mic}}(t), w_{l,m,-\gamma}^2 \{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon} \right) \right|_{S_3} \]
\[ + \left| \left( I_{\text{pure, mic}}(t), w_{l,m,-\gamma}^2 \{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon} \right) \right|_{S_4} \]
\[ + \left( \epsilon \eta_0 \left\{ v \times \left\{ B^P + \sum_{j_1=1}^{m-1} e^{j_1} B^{j_1} \right\} \right\} \cdot \nabla \cdot \left\{ I - P \right\} f^{m,\epsilon}, w_{l,m,-\gamma}^2 \{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon} \right) \right|_{S_5}. \] (4.52)

For \( S_1 \), one has

\[ S_1 \lesssim \left| \left( v \cdot \nabla_x \{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon}, w_{l,m,-\gamma}^2 \{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon} \right) \right| + \left| \left( v \cdot \nabla_x P f^{m,\epsilon}, w_{l,m,-\gamma}^2 \{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon} \right) \right| \]
\[ + \left| \left( P \{ v \cdot \nabla_x f^{m,\epsilon} \}, w_{l,m,-\gamma}^2 \{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon} \right) \right| \]
\[ \lesssim \eta \| \nabla_x f^{m,\epsilon} \|_\nu^2 + \| \{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon} \|_\nu^2. \] (4.5)

\[ (4.5) \text{ yields} \]
\[ S_2 \lesssim \frac{1}{4G_\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{d}{dt} \left\| \left\{ w_{l,m,-\gamma}^2 \left[ v_i \mu^{1/2} \right] \right\}, \{ I_+ - P_+ \} f^{m,\epsilon} - \{ I_- - P_- \} f^{m,\epsilon} \right\|^2 \] (4.53)
\[ + \| f^{m,\epsilon} \|_\nu^2 + \| \nabla_x f^{m,\epsilon} \|_\nu^2 + \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\epsilon},2}(t) \left( \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon},2}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m-1} \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon},2}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon},2}(t) \right) \]
\[ + \sum_{j_1+j_2 \geq m, \ 0 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq m} \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\epsilon},2}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon},2}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\epsilon},2}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon},2}(t). \]

Using (4.16), (4.22), (4.23), and (5.11) with \( \alpha = \beta = 0 \), one has

\[ S_3 \lesssim \sum_{j_1+j_2 \geq m, \ 0 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq m} \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\epsilon},2,l,m,-\gamma}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon},3,l,m+2-\frac{1}{2},-\gamma}(t) \]
\[ + \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\epsilon},2,l,m,-\gamma}(t) \left( \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon},3,l,m+2-\frac{1}{2},-\gamma}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon},3,l,m+2-\frac{1}{2},-\gamma}(t) \right) \]
\[ + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\epsilon},2,l,m,-\gamma}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon},2,l,m,-\gamma}(t) \]
\[ + \eta \| w_{l,m,-\gamma} \{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon} \|_\nu^2. \] (4.54)

As for \( S_4 \), one has from (4.35), (4.36), (4.28), (4.29) and (5.12) with \( |\alpha| = |\beta| = 0 \)

\[ S_4 \lesssim \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \left\| \left\| \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\epsilon},1} \{ E^{m,\epsilon} \}, \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\epsilon},1} \{ E^{m,\epsilon} \} \right\|_{L_2} \right\|ight. \left| w_{l,m,-\gamma} \{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon} \right|^2 \right\|^2 \] (4.55)
\[ + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\epsilon},2}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon},2}(t) \]
\[ + \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\epsilon},2,l,m,-\gamma}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon},2,l,m,-\gamma}(t) + \eta \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon},2,l,m,-\gamma}(t). \]

Finally, it is straightforward to compute that

\[ S_5 \lesssim \eta \| f^{m,\epsilon} \|_\nu + \| \{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon} \|_\nu^2. \]

Collecting the estimates on \( S_1 - S_5 \) into (4.52) implies that

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \| w_{l,m,-\gamma} \{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon} \|^2 + \| w_{l,m,-\gamma} \{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon} \|^2 \]
\[ + \frac{q \theta}{(1 + t)^{1+\theta}} \| w_{l,m,-\gamma} \{ I - P \} f^{m,\epsilon} \|^2 \]
\begin{align}
\lesssim & \frac{1}{4\epsilon^2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{d}{dt} \left\| \left\{ w_{l,m,-}\left[ v_{l,m,1/2} \right] \right\} \left( I_p - P_p \right) f^{m,e} - \left\{ I_ - - P_ - \right\} f^{m,e} \right\|^2 \\
& + \sum_{j_1 \leq m} E_{f^{m,e},2,l,m,-1}(t) D_{f^{m,e},3,l,m+2-\frac{1}{2},-1}(t) \\
& + E_{f^{m,e},2,l,m,-1}(t) \left\{ D_{f^{m,e},3,l,m+2-\frac{1}{2},-1}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} D_{f^{m,e},3,l,m+2-\frac{1}{2},-1}(t) \right\} \\
& + \left\{ E_{f^{m,e},2,l,m,-1}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} E_{f^{m,e},2,l,m,-1}(t) \right\} D_{f^{m,e},2,l,m,-1}(t) \\
& + E_{f^{m,e},2,l,m,-1}(t) D_{f^{m,e},2,l,m,-1}(t) + \eta \| f^{m,e} \|_p^2 + D_{f^{m,e},2}(t) + \eta D_{f^{m,e},2,l,m,-1}(t) \\
& + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \left\| [E^{P,e}, E^{j_1,e}, e^m E^{m,e}] \right\|_{L^\infty} \left\| w_{l,m,-1}(I - P) f^{m,e}(v) \right\|^2.
\end{align}

Secondly, applying \( \partial^\alpha \) with \( 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N_m \) to \((4.42)\), multiplying the resulting identity by \( w_{l,m,-1} \partial^\alpha f^{m,e} \), and integrating the final result with respect to \( v \) and \( x \) over \( \mathbb{R}_y^3 \times \mathbb{R}_x^3 \), one has

\begin{equation}
\begin{align}
\frac{d}{dt} \| w_{l,m,-1} \partial^\alpha f^{m,e} \|^2 + \| w_{l,m,-1} \partial^\alpha f^{m,e} \|^2 + \frac{q_0}{(1 + t)^{1+\delta}} \| w_{l,m,-1} \partial^\alpha f^{m,e}(v) \|^2 \\
\lesssim \| \partial^\alpha f^{m,e} \|_p^2 + \left( \partial^\alpha \left\{ E^{m,e} \cdot v^{1/2} q_1 \right\}, w_{l,m,-1} \partial^\alpha f^{m,e} \right) \bigg|_{S_0} \\
+ \left( \partial^\alpha I_{\text{mix}}(t), w_{l,m,-1} \partial^\alpha f^{m,e} \right) \bigg|_{S_0} \\
+ \left( \partial^\alpha I_{\text{pure}}(t), w_{l,m,-1} \partial^\alpha f^{m,e} \right) \bigg|_{S_0} \\
+ \left( \partial^\alpha \epsilon q_0 \left\{ v \times \left\{ B^p + \sum_{j_1=1}^{m-1} \epsilon_j B_j \right\} \right\} \cdot \nabla_v f^{m,e}, w_{l,m,-1} \partial^\alpha f^{m,e} \right) \bigg|_{S_0},
\end{align}
\end{equation}

where

\begin{align}
I_{\text{mix}}(t) & \equiv \frac{1}{2} q_0 \epsilon E^{m,e} \cdot v f^{P,e} - q_0 E^{m,e} \cdot \nabla_v f^{P,e} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} q_0 \sum_{0 < j_1 < m} \epsilon_j E^{m,e} \cdot v f^{j_1,e} - q_0 \sum_{0 < j_1 < m} \epsilon_j E^{m,e} \cdot \nabla_v f^{j_1,e} \\
& - q_0 \epsilon (v \times B^{m,e}) \cdot \nabla_v \left\{ f^{P,e} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon_i f^{i,e} \right\} + \frac{1}{2} q_0 \sum_{j_1 \leq j_2 \leq m} \epsilon_{j_1} E^{j_1,e} \cdot v f^{j_2,e} \\
& - q_0 \sum_{j_1 \leq j_2 \leq m} \epsilon_{j_1} E^{j_1,e} \cdot \nabla_v f^{j_2,e} + \Gamma (f^{P,e}, f^{m,e}) + \Gamma (f^{m,e}, f^{P,e}) \\
& + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \epsilon_{j_1} \left\{ \Gamma (f^{j_1,e}, f^{m,e}) + \Gamma (f^{m,e}, f^{j_1,e}) \right\}
\end{align}

and

\begin{align}
I_{\text{pure}}(t) & \equiv \frac{1}{2} q_0 \epsilon E^{P,e} \cdot v f^{m,e} - q_0 E^{P,e} \cdot \nabla_v f^{m,e} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} q_0 \sum_{0 < j_1 < m} \epsilon_j E^{j_1,e} \cdot v f^{m,e} - q_0 \sum_{0 < j_1 < m} \epsilon_j E^{j_1,e} \cdot \nabla_v f^{m,e} \\
& + \frac{\epsilon m}{2} q_0 E^{m,e} \cdot v f^{m,e} - q_0 \epsilon_m \left\{ E^{m,e} + c v \times B^{m,e} \right\} \cdot \nabla_v f^{m,e} + \epsilon_m \Gamma (f^{m,e}, f^{m,e}).
\end{align}
Thus we can written (2.42) as
\[
\partial_t f^{m,\epsilon} + v \cdot \nabla_x f^{m,\epsilon} - B^{m,\epsilon} \cdot v \mu^{1/2} q_1 \\
+ \epsilon q_0 \left\{ v \times \left\{ B^P + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} e^{j} B^j \right\} \right\} \cdot \nabla_x f^{m,\epsilon} + L f^{m,\epsilon} = I_{mix}(t) + I_{pure}(t). \tag{4.59}
\]

Using (4.6) yields
\[
S_6 \lesssim \frac{1}{4C} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left( \left\langle \left\{ w_{l,m,-\gamma}^2 \left[ v_i \mu^{1/2} \right] \right\}, \partial^\alpha f_{m,+} - \partial^\alpha f_{m,-} \right\rangle \right)^2 + \left\| \nabla^\alpha \theta^{m,\epsilon} \right\|^2
\]
\[
+ \left\| \nabla^\alpha + 1 f^{m,\epsilon} \right\|^2 + E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N}(t) \left\{ D_{f^{m,\epsilon},N}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m-1} D_{f^{m,\epsilon},N}(t) \right\}
\]
\[
+ \sum_{j_1 \geq j_2 \geq \epsilon} E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N}(t) D_{f^{m,\epsilon},N}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m-1} E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N}(t) D_{f^{m,\epsilon},N}(t).
\tag{4.60}
\]

For \( S_7 \), we can get by applying (4.15), (4.20), (4.21), and (5.9) that
\[
S_7 \lesssim \left\langle E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_{m,l,m,-\gamma}} \right\rangle \left\{ D_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_{m+l,m+2,-\gamma}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} D_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_{m+l,m+2,-\gamma}} \right\}
\]
\[
+ \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m-1} E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_{m,l,m,-\gamma}} \right\} D_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_{m,l,m,-\gamma}} + \sum_{j_1 \geq j_2 \geq m} E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_{m,l,m,-\gamma}} D_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_{m,l,m,-\gamma}} + \eta \left\| w_{l,m,-\gamma} \partial^\alpha f^{m,\epsilon} \right\|^2.
\]

For \( S_8 \), one has from (4.33), (4.34), (4.26), (4.27), and (5.10) that
\[
S_8 \lesssim \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m-1} \left\langle \left\| E_{\epsilon,\epsilon}^{m,\epsilon} \right\|^2 \right\|_{L^2} \left\| w_{l,m,-\gamma} \partial^\alpha f^{m,\epsilon}(v) \right\|_{L^2}^2
\]
\[
+ \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m-1} \left\langle \left\| \nabla_x[E^{\epsilon,\epsilon}^{m,\epsilon}] \right\|^2 \right\|_{H^{N_m-1}}^2 + \epsilon^{2m} \left\| \nabla_x[E^{m,\epsilon}, \epsilon B^{m,\epsilon}] \right\|^2 \right\}_{N_m-1}^2
\]
\[
\times \sum_{0 \leq k \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq N_1} D_{f^{m,\epsilon},j,E_{\epsilon}^{m,\epsilon}} - \gamma l + 1 \gamma, 1(t)
\]
\[
+ \left\langle E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_{m,l,m,-\gamma}} \right\rangle D_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_{m,l,m,-\gamma}} + \left\langle E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_{m,l,m,-\gamma}} \right\rangle D_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_{m,l,m,-\gamma}} + \eta D_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_{m,l,m,-\gamma}}(t).
\tag{4.61}
\]

Finally, one has by integrations by parts that
\[
S_9 = \left\langle \epsilon q_0 \left\{ v \times \left\{ B^P + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} e^{j} B^j \right\} \right\} \cdot \nabla_x \partial^\alpha f^{m,\epsilon}, w_{l,m,-\gamma} \partial^\alpha f^{m,\epsilon} \right\rangle = 0.
\tag{4.62}
\]

Plugging the estimates on \( S_6 - S_9 \) into (4.57) yields that
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left\| w_{l,m,-\gamma} \partial^\alpha f^{m,\epsilon} \right\|^2 + \left\| w_{l,m,-\gamma} \partial^\alpha f^{m,\epsilon} \right\|^2 + \frac{q \theta}{(1 + t)^{\alpha}} \left\| w_{l,m,-\gamma} \partial^\alpha f^{m,\epsilon} \right\|^2
\]
\[
\lesssim \frac{1}{4C} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left\langle \left\{ w_{l,m,-\gamma}^2 \left[ v_i \mu^{1/2} \right] \right\}, \partial^\alpha f_{m,+} - \partial^\alpha f_{m,-} \right\|^2.
\]
I.

Here

\[ \{ E f^\alpha, N_m, \omega\} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{ E f^\alpha, N_{m+1}, l_m+2 \} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} D f^\alpha, N_{m+1}, l_m+2 \} \\
+ \eta D f^\alpha, N_{m+1}, \omega \right\} \]

+ \sum_{j_1+j_2=m, j_1 \geq j_2} \sum_{i \leq m} \{ E f^\alpha, N_{m+1}, \omega \} + \| \nabla N_{m+1} \|_{L^2}^2 + D f^\alpha, N_{m+1}, \omega \}

\] Step 3: Applying \( \partial \alpha \) with \(|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N_m, |\beta| \geq 1 \) to (4.51), multiplying the resulting identity by \( w_{m,-|\beta|-\gamma}^2 \), \( \partial \alpha \) \{ I - P \} \( f^m, \gamma \), and integrating the final result with respect to \( v \) and \( x \) over \( \mathbb{R}_v^3 \times \mathbb{R}_x^3 \), one has

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \left\| \nabla w_{m,-|\beta|-\gamma} \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \nabla w_{m,-|\beta|-\gamma} \partial \alpha \right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \eta \left\| w_{m,-|\beta|-\gamma} \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \partial \alpha \right\|_{L^2}^2 \]

\[ + \left\| \left( \partial \beta \right) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B + \sum_{j_1=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^{j_1} B^{j_1} \\
+ \sum_{j_1=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^{j_1} B^{j_1} \right\} \cdot \nabla v \right\|_{L^2}^2 \]

\[ + \left\| \left( \partial \beta \right) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} E f^\alpha, + e b^f, \times \left\{ B^\alpha, + \sum_{j_1=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^{j_1} B^{j_1} \right\} \\
+ \sum_{j_1=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^{j_1} B^{j_1} \right\} \cdot \nabla v \right\|_{L^2}^2 \]

\[ + \left\| \left( \partial \beta \right) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} E f^\alpha, + e b^f, \times \left\{ B^\alpha, + \sum_{j_1=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^{j_1} B^{j_1} \right\} \\
+ \sum_{j_1=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^{j_1} B^{j_1} \right\} \cdot \nabla v \right\|_{L^2}^2 \]

\] Here \( I_{mix,mic}(t) \) and \( I_{pure,mic}(t) \) are defined by (4.49) and (4.50). It is straightforward to compute that

\[ S_{10} \leq \eta \left\| \nabla^{[\alpha+1]} f^m, \gamma \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \partial \alpha \right\|_{L^2}^2 \]

\[ + \left\| w_{m,-|\beta|-\gamma} \left( \alpha + e_j, \beta - e_j \right) \partial \alpha \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \nabla w_{m,-|\beta|-\gamma} \partial \alpha \right\|_{L^2}^2 \]
Using (4.5) yields
\[
\mathcal{S}_{11} \lesssim \frac{1}{4C_\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \partial_3 \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
w_{l+1, m-|\beta|}^2 \\
v_{i, l}^{1/2} \end{array} \right\} \right) v_{i, l}^{1/2} \left( I_+ - P_+ \right) f_{m, \varepsilon} - \partial^3 \left( I_+ - P_+ \right) f_{m, \varepsilon} \right)^2
+ \left\| \nabla^{\alpha} (I - P) f_{m, \varepsilon} \right\|_\nu^2 + \left\| \nabla^{\alpha+1} f_{m, \varepsilon} \right\|_\nu^2
+ \mathcal{E}_{f, m, \varepsilon, N_m}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f, \varepsilon, N_m}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{D}_{f, j_1, \varepsilon, N_m}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{f, j_1, \varepsilon, N_m}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f, \varepsilon, N_m}(t).
\]

By employing (4.16), (4.22), (4.23), (4.35), (4.36) and (5.11), one has
\[
\mathcal{S}_{12} \lesssim \sum_{j_1, j_2 \geq m, \ 0 < \gamma \leq j_1, j_2 < m} \mathcal{E}_{f, j_1, \varepsilon, N_m, \varepsilon, \gamma}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f, j_2, \varepsilon, N_m, \varepsilon}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{E}_{f, j_1, \varepsilon, N_m, \varepsilon, \gamma}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f, \varepsilon, N_m, \varepsilon}(t)
\]
(4.65)

As for \( \mathcal{S}_{13} \), one has from (4.35), (4.36), (4.28), (4.29), and (5.12) that
\[
\mathcal{S}_{13} \lesssim \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \left\| [E_{f, \varepsilon}, E_{j_1, \varepsilon}] \right\|_{L^\infty} \left\| w_{l+1, m-|\beta|} \right\|_2 \lesssim \left\| w_{l+1, m-|\beta|} \right\|_2
+ \chi_{|\beta| \geq 1} \sum_{|\beta'-1 \leq k \leq \min(|\beta|+1, |\alpha+|\beta|)} \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \left\| \right.
\]
(4.66)

Finally, it is straightforward to compute that
\[
\mathcal{S}_{14} = 0.
\]

Collecting the estimates on \( \mathcal{S}_{10} - \mathcal{S}_{14} \) into (4.63) yields that
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left\| w_{l+1, m-|\beta|} \right\|_2 + \left\| w_{l+1, m-|\beta|} \mathcal{D}_{f, \varepsilon, N_m}(t)
\lesssim \frac{1}{4C_\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \partial_3 \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
w_{l+1, m-|\beta|}^2 \\
v_{i, l}^{1/2} \end{array} \right\} \right) v_{i, l}^{1/2} \left( I_+ - P_+ \right) f_{m, \varepsilon} - \partial^3 \left( I_+ - P_+ \right) f_{m, \varepsilon} \right)^2
+ \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \left\| [E_{f, \varepsilon}, E_{j_1, \varepsilon}, \varepsilon, E_{m, \varepsilon}] \right\|_{L^\infty} \left\| w_{l+1, m-|\beta|} \right\|_2
\]
(4.68)
Remark 4.1. Where we used the smallness of (4.46). Thus one gets (4.47).
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\]

\[ 4.3.2. \quad \tilde{t}_{m-1} \leq \tilde{t}_{m-1} + 2 \cdot 1, \gamma(t) \]

\[ + E_{f^m, \nu, t, m, -\gamma}(t) \left\{ D_{f^m, \nu, t, m, -\gamma}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m-1} E_{f^m, \nu, t, m, -\gamma}(t) \right\} D_{f^m, \nu, t, m, -\gamma}(t) \]

\[ + E_{f^m, \nu, t, m, -\gamma}(t) D_{f^m, \nu, t, m, -\gamma}(t) + \chi_{\alpha + |\beta| \geq N_{m+1}} E_{f^m, \nu, t, m, -\gamma}(t) \]

\[ + \| f^m, \nu \|^2 + D_{f^m, \nu, t, m, -\gamma}(t) + \eta D_{f^m, \nu, t, m, -\gamma}(t) \]

A proper linear combination of (4.4), (4.48), (4.56), (4.63), and (4.68) implies that

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N_m} \| w_{m, -|\beta|, -\gamma} \| f^m, \nu \|^2 + \| \nabla_x P f^m, \nu \|^2_{H^2} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N_m} \| \partial^\alpha (I - P) f^m, \nu \|^2_{H^2} + \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N_m} \left( \| w_{m, -|\beta|, -\gamma} \| f^m, \nu \|^2 + \frac{q \| \partial^\alpha (I - P) f^m, \nu \|^2_{H^2}}{(1 + t)^{1 + \sigma}} \right) \]

\[ \cong \begin{cases} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m-1} \| \nabla_x [E^{P \epsilon, t, E^{j_1 \epsilon, t}} \|^2_{H^2} + \epsilon^2 m \| \nabla_x [E^{m, \epsilon, t}, \epsilon B^{m, \epsilon}] \|^2_{H^{2N_{m-1}}} \} \right} \frac{1}{\gamma} \]

\[ \times \sum_{|a| \leq N_m, k \leq N_{m-1}} D_{f^m, \nu, t, m, -\gamma}(t) \quad \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m-1} E_{f^m, \nu, t, m, -\gamma}(t) \left\{ D_{f^m, \nu, t, m, -\gamma}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m-1} E_{f^m, \nu, t, m, -\gamma}(t) \right\} D_{f^m, \nu, t, m, -\gamma}(t) \]

\[ + E_{f^m, \nu, t, m, -\gamma}(t) D_{f^m, \nu, t, m, -\gamma}(t) + \chi_{\alpha + |\beta| \geq N_{m+1}} E_{f^m, \nu, t, m, -\gamma}(t) \]

\[ + \| f^m, \nu \|^2 + D_{f^m, \nu, t, m, -\gamma}(t) + \eta D_{f^m, \nu, t, m, -\gamma}(t) \]

where we used the smallness of (4.46). Thus one gets (4.47).

Remark 4.1. Since \( \| \nabla_{m+1} f^m, \nu(t) \|^2_{H^2} \) is absent in the dissipation rate functional \( D_{f^m, \nu, t, m, -\gamma}(t) \), thus we attempt to use \( D_{f^m, \nu, t, m+1}(t) \) to control \( \| \nabla_{m+1} f^m, \nu(t) \|^2_{H^2} \) in the right hand side of (4.47).

4.3.2. Lyapunov-type inequalities for \( E_{f^m, \nu, t, m+1}(t) \). For the estimate of \( E_{f^m, \nu, t, m+1}(t) \), we can get that

**Lemma 4.12.** Assume that

\[ \max \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} E_{f^m, \nu, t, m+1, -\gamma}(\tau), \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} E_{f^m, \nu, t, m, -\gamma}(\tau), \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} E_{f^m, \nu, t, m+1, -\frac{1}{2}, -\gamma}(\tau) \right\} \]

is sufficiently small, where \( 1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1 \), then one has

\[ \frac{d}{dt} E_{f^m, \nu, t, m+1}(t) + D_{f^m, \nu, t, m+1}(t) \]

\[ \leq \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \| \nabla_x [E^{P \epsilon, t, E^{j_1 \epsilon, t}} + \epsilon^2 m \| \nabla_x [E^{m, \epsilon, t}, \epsilon B^{m, \epsilon}] \|^2_{H^{2N_{m-1}} \nu, t, m+1} + \frac{1}{\gamma} \]

\[ \| \nabla_{\nu, t, m+1} f^m, \nu(t) \|^2_{H^2} \]

\[ \leq \| \nabla_x [E^{P \epsilon, t, E^{j_1 \epsilon, t}} + \epsilon^2 m \| \nabla_x [E^{m, \epsilon, t}, \epsilon B^{m, \epsilon}] \|^2_{H^{2N_{m-1}} \nu, t, m+1} + \frac{1}{\gamma} \]

\[ \| \nabla_{\nu, t, m+1} f^m, \nu(t) \|^2_{H^2} \]
Lemma 4.13. Let $m \geq 1$, and let $L^m$ be the Sobolev space. Then
\[
+ \sum_{1 \leq j_i \leq m^{-1}} \left\| \left[ \epsilon^{m} E^{m, \epsilon} (t), E^{j_1, \epsilon} (t), E^{P, \epsilon} (t) \right] \right\|_{L^2}^2 \left\| \nabla_{x}^{N+1} \{ I - \mathbf{P} \} f^{m, \epsilon} (t) \rangle_{v} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\
+ \sum_{j_1 + j_2 \geq m, 0 \leq j_1, j_2 < m} \left\{ E^{f^{j_1, \epsilon}, N, m+1, N, m+1, -\gamma (t), D^{f^{j_2, \epsilon}, N, m, 2, N, m, 3 - \frac{1}{2}, -\gamma (t)} \right\}
\]
\[
+ E^{f^{m, \epsilon}, N, m, 1} \left\{ D^{f^{m, \epsilon}, N, m+1} (t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_i \leq m^{-1}} D^{f^{j_i, \epsilon}, N, m+1} (t) \right\}
\]
\[
+ E^{f^{m, \epsilon}, N, m, 1} \left\{ D^{f^{m, \epsilon}, N, m+2, N, m, 3 - \frac{1}{2}, -\gamma (t)} + \sum_{i=1}^{m^{-1}} D^{f^{i, \epsilon}, N, m+2, N, m, 3 - \frac{1}{2}, -\gamma (t)} + D^{f^{m, \epsilon}, N, m, 3 - \frac{1}{2}, -\gamma (t)} \right\}
\]
\[
\times D^{f^{m, \epsilon}, N, m+1} (t)
\]
\[
+ \sum_{1 \leq j_i \leq m^{-1}} \left\| \nabla_{x} [E^{j_1, \epsilon}, E^{P, \epsilon}, \epsilon^{m} E^{m, \epsilon}, \epsilon^{m+1} B^{m, \epsilon}] \right\|_{L^2}^2 \left\| \nabla_{v} \nabla_{x}^{N} \{ I - \mathbf{P} \} f^{m, \epsilon} \langle v \rangle_{v} \right\|_{L^2}^2
\]
\[
+ \sum_{1 \leq j_i \leq m^{-1}} \left\| \epsilon^{m} E^{m, \epsilon}, E^{j_1, \epsilon}, E^{P, \epsilon} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \left\| \nabla_{x} f^{m+1} \{ I - \mathbf{P} \} f^{m, \epsilon} \langle v \rangle_{v} \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \eta D^{f^{m, \epsilon}, N, m+1} (t),
\]
where we use the smallness of (4.70).

Proof. In fact, if we replace $N_m$ in the estimate (4.48) by $N_m + 1$, one can deduce that
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N_m + 1} \left\| \partial^{\alpha} f^{m, \epsilon} \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N_m + 1} \left\| \partial^{\alpha} (I - \mathbf{P}) f^{m, \epsilon} \right\|_{L^2}^2
\]
\[
\leq \sum_{j_1 + j_2 \geq m, 0 \leq j_1, j_2 < m} \left\{ E^{f^{j_1, \epsilon}, N, m+1, N, m+1, -\gamma (t), D^{f^{j_2, \epsilon}, N, m+2, N, m, 3 - \frac{1}{2}, -\gamma (t)} \right\}
\]
\[
+ E^{f^{m, \epsilon}, N, m, 1} \left\{ D^{f^{m, \epsilon}, N, m+1} (t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_i \leq m^{-1}} D^{f^{j_i, \epsilon}, N, m+1} (t) \right\}
\]
\[
+ E^{f^{m, \epsilon}, N, m, 1} \left\{ D^{f^{m, \epsilon}, N, m+2, N, m, 3 - \frac{1}{2}, -\gamma (t)} + \sum_{i=1}^{m^{-1}} D^{f^{i, \epsilon}, N, m+2, N, m, 3 - \frac{1}{2}, -\gamma (t)} + D^{f^{m, \epsilon}, N, m, 3 - \frac{1}{2}, -\gamma (t)} \right\}
\]
\[
\times D^{f^{m, \epsilon}, N, m+1} (t)
\]
\[
+ \sum_{1 \leq j_i \leq m^{-1}} \left\| \nabla_{x} [E^{j_1, \epsilon}, E^{P, \epsilon}, \epsilon^{m} E^{m, \epsilon}, \epsilon^{m+1} B^{m, \epsilon}] \right\|_{L^2}^2 \left\| \nabla_{v} \nabla_{x}^{N} \{ I - \mathbf{P} \} f^{m, \epsilon} \langle v \rangle_{v} \right\|_{L^2}^2
\]
\[
+ \sum_{1 \leq j_i \leq m^{-1}} \left\| \epsilon^{m} E^{m, \epsilon}, E^{j_1, \epsilon}, E^{P, \epsilon} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \left\| \nabla_{x} f^{m+1} \{ I - \mathbf{P} \} f^{m, \epsilon} \langle v \rangle_{v} \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \eta D^{f^{m, \epsilon}, N, m+1} (t),
\]
\[ + \sum_{\delta^1+\delta^2 \geq m, \delta^1, \delta^2 \leq m} \mathcal{E}_{f^{1,*}, \mathcal{D}_{f^{2,*}, \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,*}}}}(t) + \| \Lambda^{-\theta}(I - P) f^{m,*}(t) \|_{H^1 L^2}^2 \] 

(4.74)

holds for any \(0 \leq t \leq T\).

Moreover, by applying the argument used to prove Lemma 3.1 in [23, page 3724], one has

**Lemma 4.14.** Under the assumptions stated above, we have that

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \| \Lambda^{-\theta}(f^{m,*}, E^{m,*}, B^{m,*}) \|_{\mathcal{G}}^2 + \| \Lambda^{-\theta}(I - P) f^{m,*} \|_{\mathcal{G}}^2 \\
\leq \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{Q} \left( F \left[ q_0 G \cdot v f^{P,*} \right] \right) + \mathcal{Q} \left( F \left[ q_0 G + \nabla_v f^{P,*} \right] \right) - \mathcal{Q} \left( F \left[ q_0 G \cdot \nabla_v f^{P,*} \right] \right) \right\} \\
+ \mathcal{E}_{f^{P,*}, 2, 3 - \frac{1}{4}, -\gamma}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,*}, 2, 3 - \frac{1}{4}, -\gamma}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,*}, 2, 3 - \frac{1}{4}, -\gamma}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,*}, 2, 3 - \frac{1}{4}, -\gamma}(t) \\
+ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m - 1} \left\{ \mathcal{E}_{f^{j,*}, 2, 3 - \frac{1}{4}, -\gamma}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,*}, 2, 3 - \frac{1}{4}, -\gamma}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,*}, 2, 3 - \frac{1}{4}, -\gamma}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,*}, 2, 3 - \frac{1}{4}, -\gamma}(t) \right\} \\
+ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m - 1} \left\{ \mathcal{E}_{f^{j,*}, 2, 3 - \frac{1}{4}, -\gamma}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f^{j,*}, 2, 3 - \frac{1}{4}, -\gamma}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,*}, 2, 3 - \frac{1}{4}, -\gamma}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,*}, 2, 3 - \frac{1}{4}, -\gamma}(t) \right\} \\
+ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m - 1} \mathcal{E}_{f^{j,*}, 2, 3 - \frac{1}{4}, -\gamma}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f^{j,*}, 2, 3 - \frac{1}{4}, -\gamma}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,*}, 2, 3 - \frac{1}{4}, -\gamma}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,*}, 2, 3 - \frac{1}{4}, -\gamma}(t).
\]

(4.75)

4.4.2. Lyapunov-type inequalities for \( \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,*}, N_0^m, \epsilon, -\gamma}(t) \). By employing the argument used to prove Lemma 4.11 and by applying (4.74) and (4.75), we can get that

**Lemma 4.15.** Assume that

\[
\max \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \left( 1 + \tau \right)^{1 + \theta} \left\| [\epsilon E^{m,*}, E^{j,*}, E^{P,*}] (\tau) \right\|_{L_\infty} + \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,*}, N_0^m + 1, \tau, \rho, -\gamma}(t), \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} \mathcal{E}_{f^{j,*}, N_0^m + 1, \tau, \rho, -\gamma}(t) \right\}
\]

(4.76)

is sufficiently small, one has

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,*}, N_0^m, \epsilon, -\gamma}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,*}, N_0^m, \epsilon, -\gamma}(t)
\]

(4.77)

4.5. Temporal decay rates. To control

\[
\left\{ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m - 1} \left\| \nabla_v \left[ E^{P,*}(t), E^{j,*}(t) \right] \right\|_{H_{N_0^m}}^2 + \epsilon^2 n \left\| \nabla_v \left[ E^{m,*}(t), \epsilon B^{m,*}(t) \right] \right\|_{H_{N_0^m}}^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

(4.78)
Lemma 4.1 in [23, page 3745], one can deduce that

\[
\left\{ \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \left\| \nabla_x \left[ E^{P,\tau}_m(t), E^{j_1,\tau}_m(t) \right] \right\|_{H^{N_m}_m}^2 + \epsilon^2 m \left\| \nabla_x \left[ E^{m,\tau}_m(t), \epsilon B^{m,\tau}_m(t) \right] \right\|_{H^{N_m}_m}^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\times \sum_{0 \leq k \leq N_m, k \neq j \leq N_m} \mathcal{D}^{(j,k)}_{f^{m,\tau}_m, j, \tilde{l}_m-\gamma t_m+1+\frac{1}{2}, 1}(t),
\]

\[
\sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \left\| \nabla_x \left[ E^{P,\tau}_m(t), E^{j_1,\tau}_m(t), \epsilon^m E^{m,\tau}_m(t), \epsilon^{m+1} B^{m,\tau}_m(t) \right] \right\|_{L^2_x}^2 \left\| \nabla_x \nabla_x^N \{ I - P \} f^{m,\tau}_m(t) \langle \psi \rangle^{1-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2_x}^2,
\]

and

\[
\sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \left\| \left[ E^{P,\tau}_m(t), E^{j_1,\tau}_m(t) \right] \right\|_{H^{N_m}_m}^2, \quad \left\| [E^{m,\tau}_m(t), B^{m,\tau}_m(t)] \right\|_{H^{N_m}_m}^2
\]

in the right hand side of (4.47), (4.77) and (4.71), we need the time decay rates property of

\[
\sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \left\| \left[ E^{P,\tau}_m(t), E^{j_1,\tau}_m(t) \right] \right\|_{H^{N_m}_m}^2, \quad \left\| [E^{m,\tau}_m(t), B^{m,\tau}_m(t)] \right\|_{H^{N_m}_m}^2
\]

For result in this direction, we have

**Lemma 4.16. Assume**

- \( N_m^0 \geq 5 \) and \( N_m + 1 = 2N_m^0 - 1 + \delta \);
- \( N_{m-1} \geq N_m + 2, N_j \geq N_{j+1} + 1 \) for \( 0 < j < m - 1, N_P \geq N_1 + 1 \);
- there exist \( \tilde{l}_m > \frac{N_m^0}{2} \), and \( \tilde{l}_m-1 \geq \tilde{l}_m, \tilde{l}_j-1 \geq \tilde{l}_j \) for \( 2 \leq j \leq m - 1, \tilde{l}_P \geq \tilde{l}_1 \)

such that if

\[
\Xi_m(t) := \max \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \sum_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\tau}_m, N_m, N_m, -\gamma}(\tau), \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\tau}_m, N_m, N_m, -\gamma} + \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\tau}_m, N_m, N_m, -\gamma} \right\}
\]

is sufficiently small, then one has for \( k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N_m^0 - 2 \)

\[
\mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\tau}_m, N_m^0}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\tau}_m, N_m^0, t} + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{E}_{f^{j_1,\tau}_m, N_j}(t) \lesssim \epsilon^{-2(k+\delta)} (1 + t)^{-k+\epsilon} \Xi_R(t)
\]

holds for \( 0 \leq t \leq T \).

**Proof.** First notice that under the smallness assumption imposed on \( \Xi_m(t) \), by applying similar strategy as Lemma 4.1 in [23, page 3745], one can deduce that

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\tau}_m, N_m}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{E}_{f^{j_1,\tau}_m, N_j}(t) \right\} + \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\tau}_m, N_m}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{D}_{f^{j_1,\tau}_m, N_j}(t) \leq 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.
\]

Combing with the above inequality, one has by a similar argument that

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\tau}_m, N_0^m}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\tau}_m, N_m^0}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{E}_{f^{j_1,\tau}_m, N_j}(t) \right\} + \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\tau}_m, N_0^m}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\tau}_m, N_m^0}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{D}_{f^{j_1,\tau}_m, N_j}(t) \leq 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.
\]

Now we turn to compare the difference between the energy functionals

\[
\mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\tau}_m, N_0^m}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\tau}_m, N_m^0}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{E}_{f^{j_1,\tau}_m, N_j}(t)
\]

and the dissipation functionals

\[
\mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\tau}_m, N_0^m}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\tau}_m, N_m^0}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{D}_{f^{j_1,\tau}_m, N_j}(t).
\]
To this end, since
\[
\left\| \nabla^k [E^{m,e}, B^{m,e}] \right\| \leq \left\{ \left\| \nabla^{k+1} [E^{m,e}, B^{m,e}] \right\| \right\}^{\frac{k+\varphi}{k+\varphi+1}} \left\{ \epsilon^{k+\varphi} \left\| \Lambda^{-\varphi} [E^{m,e}, B^{m,e}] \right\| \right\} \frac{1}{k+\varphi+1},
\]
\[
\left\| \nabla^{N^0_k} [E^{m,e}, B^{m,e}] \right\| \leq \left\{ \epsilon \left\| \nabla^{N^0_k-1} [E^{m,e}, B^{m,e}] \right\| \right\}^{\frac{k+\varphi}{k+\varphi+1}} \left\{ \epsilon^{k+\varphi} \left\| \nabla^{N^0_k+k+\varphi} [E^{m,e}, B^{m,e}] \right\| \right\} \frac{1}{k+\varphi+1},
\]
and for \(0 < j < m\)
\[
\left\| \nabla^k \left[ P^{m,e}, P^{j,e}, E^{P,e}, E^{j,e} \right] \right\| \leq \left\{ \epsilon \left\| \nabla^{k+1} \left[ P^{m,e}, P^{j,e}, P^{j,e}, E^{P,e}, E^{j,e} \right] \right\| \right\}^{\frac{k+\varphi}{k+\varphi+1}} \left\{ \epsilon^{k+\varphi} \left\| \Lambda^{-\varphi} \left[ P^{m,e}, P^{j,e}, P^{j,e}, E^{P,e}, E^{j,e} \right] \right\| \right\} \frac{1}{k+\varphi+1}
\]
holds for the macroscopic component, while for the microscopic component, we have by employing the Hölder inequality that
\[
\left\| \partial^a \left[ I - P \right] \left[ P^{P,e}, f^{j,e}, m^{m,e} \right] \right\| \leq \left\| \partial^a \left[ I - P \right] \left[ P^{P,e}, f^{j,e}, m^{m,e} \right] \left\langle \psi \right\rangle \frac{k+\varphi}{k+\varphi+1} \left\| \partial^a \left[ I - P \right] \left[ P^{P,e}, f^{j,e}, m^{m,e} \right] \left\langle \psi \right\rangle \right\| \frac{1}{k+\varphi+1} \right\}
\]
(4.86)
\[
\leq \left\| \partial^a \left[ I - P \right] \left[ P^{P,e}, f^{j,e}, m^{m,e} \right] \right\| \frac{\varrho^a}{\varrho^a+\gamma} \left\| \partial^a \left[ I - P \right] \left[ P^{P,e}, f^{j,e}, m^{m,e} \right] \right\| \frac{1}{\varrho^a+\gamma}.
\]

Therefore, we arrive at by collecting the interpolation estimates that
\[
E^{k}_{f^{m,e},N^0_m}(t) + E^{k}_{f^{P,e},N_p}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m-1} E^{k}_{f^{j,e},N_j}(t)
\]
\[
\leq \left\{ D^{k}_{f^{m,e},N^0_m}(t) + D^{k}_{f^{P,e},N_p}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m-1} D^{k}_{f^{j,e},N_j}(t) \right\}^{\frac{k+\varphi}{k+\varphi+1}} \times \{ \Xi_R(t) \}^{\frac{1}{k+\varphi+1}},
\]
which combining with (4.85) yields that
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ E^{k}_{f^{m,e},N^0_m}(t) + E^{k}_{f^{P,e},N_p}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m-1} E^{k}_{f^{j,e},N_j}(t) \right\}
\]
\[
+ \left\{ E^{k}_{f^{m,e},N^0_m}(t) + E^{k}_{f^{P,e},N_p}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m-1} E^{k}_{f^{j,e},N_j}(t) \right\}^{1+\frac{\varphi}{\varrho^a}} \times \{ \Xi_R(t) \}^{-\frac{1}{\varrho^a}} \leq 0.
\]

Solving the above inequality directly gives (4.83), this completes the proof of Lemma 4.16.

Based on the above lemma and by applying Lemma 3.6 of [5] with straightforward modification, we can further obtain the following temporal decay rates of \(E^{k}_{f^{m,e},N^0_m,\ell_m,-\gamma}(t)\).

**Lemma 4.17.** Under the assumption of the above lemma, let \(\ell_m \geq N_p\) and \(\ell_{m-1} \geq \ell_m, \ell_{j-1} \geq \ell_j\) for \(2 \leq j \leq m-1, \ell_P \geq \ell_1\), furthermore, if
\[
\Xi_{R,\text{weight}}(t)
\]
\[
\equiv \max \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau} E^{f^{m,e},N^0_m,\ell_m,-\gamma}(\tau), \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau} E^{f^{m,e},N_m,\ell_{m-1},-\gamma}(\tau), \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau} E^{f^{m,e},N_{m+1}}(\tau),
\]
\[
\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau} E^{f^{P,e},N_p,\ell_P,-\gamma}(\tau), \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m-1} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau} E^{f^{j,e},N_j,\ell_j,-\gamma}(\tau) \right\}
\]
is sufficiently small, then one has for \(k \leq N^0_m - 3\),
\[
E^{k}_{f^{m,e},N^0_m,\ell_P,-\gamma}(t) + \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} E^{k}_{f^{j,e},N_j,\ell_j,-\gamma}(t) + E^{k}_{f^{P,e},N_p,\ell_P,-\gamma}(t) \leq \epsilon^{-2(k+\varphi)} (1 + t)^{-(k+\varphi)} \Xi_{R,\text{weight}}(t).
\]

(4.87)
4.6. Lyapunov-type inequalities for some weighted estimates with the weight \( w_{m,-|\beta|,1}(t,v) \). Now we are ready to get some Lyapunov-type inequalities for some weighted estimates with the weight \( w_{m,-|\beta|,1}(t,v) \).

It is worth to pointing out that, unlike the estimates obtained in Lemma 4.15 which lead to the increase of the weights in the corresponding terms in the right hand side of the estimate (4.77), the estimates we obtained in the coming two lemmas will not lead to the growth of the weights.

**Lemma 4.18.** Assume that

\[
\sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \left\{ (1 + \tau)^{1 + \theta} \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \left\| E^{\tau, e, E^{\tau}, e, \epsilon^{m, e}}(\tau) \right\|_{L^\infty} \right\} \tag{4.88}
\]

is sufficiently small, then one has

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \sum_{N_0 m + 1 \leq n \leq N_0 m + 1, \sigma \leq |\beta| \leq n} (1 + t)^{-\sigma, |\beta|} \mathcal{E}^{(n,|\beta|)}_{f^{m, e}, n, l_{m,1}}(t) \right\} + \sum_{N_0 m + 1 \leq n \leq N_0 m + 1, \sigma \leq |\beta| \leq n} \left\{ (1 + t)^{-\sigma, |\beta|} \mathcal{E}^{(n,|\beta|)}_{f^{m, e}, n, l_{m,1}}(t) + (1 + t)^{-\sigma, |\beta|} D^{(n,|\beta|)}_{f^{m, e}, n, l_{m,1}}(t) \right\} \tag{4.89}
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{N_0 m + 1 \leq n \leq N_0 m + 1, \sigma \leq |\beta| \leq n} \frac{1}{4C_\beta} \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \min(\{ |\beta| + 1, n \})} \mathcal{E}^{(j, k)}_{f^{m, e}, j, l_{m,1}}(t) \right\} \times \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \min(\{ |\beta| + 1, n \})} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \mathcal{E}^{(j, k)}_{f^{m, e}, j, l_{m,1}}(t) \right\} \times \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \min(\{ |\beta| + 1, n \})} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \mathcal{E}^{(j, k)}_{f^{m, e}, j, l_{m,1}}(t) \right\}
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{0 \leq k \leq |\beta|} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \mathcal{E}^{(j, k)}_{f^{m, e}, j, l_{m,1}}(t) \right\} \times \sum_{0 \leq k \leq |\beta|} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \mathcal{E}^{(j, k)}_{f^{m, e}, j, l_{m,1}}(t) \right\} \times \sum_{0 \leq k \leq |\beta|} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \mathcal{E}^{(j, k)}_{f^{m, e}, j, l_{m,1}}(t) \right\}
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{0 \leq k \leq |\beta|} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \mathcal{E}^{(j, k)}_{f^{m, e}, j, l_{m,1}}(t) \right\} \times \sum_{0 \leq k \leq |\beta|} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \mathcal{E}^{(j, k)}_{f^{m, e}, j, l_{m,1}}(t) \right\} \times \sum_{0 \leq k \leq |\beta|} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \mathcal{E}^{(j, k)}_{f^{m, e}, j, l_{m,1}}(t) \right\}
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{0 \leq k \leq |\beta|} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \mathcal{E}^{(j, k)}_{f^{m, e}, j, l_{m,1}}(t) \right\} \times \sum_{0 \leq k \leq |\beta|} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \mathcal{E}^{(j, k)}_{f^{m, e}, j, l_{m,1}}(t) \right\} \times \sum_{0 \leq k \leq |\beta|} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \mathcal{E}^{(j, k)}_{f^{m, e}, j, l_{m,1}}(t) \right\}
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{0 \leq k \leq |\beta|} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \mathcal{E}^{(j, k)}_{f^{m, e}, j, l_{m,1}}(t) \right\} \times \sum_{0 \leq k \leq |\beta|} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \mathcal{E}^{(j, k)}_{f^{m, e}, j, l_{m,1}}(t) \right\} \times \sum_{0 \leq k \leq |\beta|} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \mathcal{E}^{(j, k)}_{f^{m, e}, j, l_{m,1}}(t) \right\}
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{0 \leq k \leq |\beta|} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \mathcal{E}^{(j, k)}_{f^{m, e}, j, l_{m,1}}(t) \right\} \times \sum_{0 \leq k \leq |\beta|} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \mathcal{E}^{(j, k)}_{f^{m, e}, j, l_{m,1}}(t) \right\} \times \sum_{0 \leq k \leq |\beta|} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \mathcal{E}^{(j, k)}_{f^{m, e}, j, l_{m,1}}(t) \right\}
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{0 \leq k \leq |\beta|} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \mathcal{E}^{(j, k)}_{f^{m, e}, j, l_{m,1}}(t) \right\} \times \sum_{0 \leq k \leq |\beta|} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \mathcal{E}^{(j, k)}_{f^{m, e}, j, l_{m,1}}(t) \right\} \times \sum_{0 \leq k \leq |\beta|} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \mathcal{E}^{(j, k)}_{f^{m, e}, j, l_{m,1}}(t) \right\}
\]
\[ + \mathcal{E}_{f^m, N_{m+1}}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{f^m, N_{m+1}}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{f^m, N_{m+1}}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{f^m, N_{m+1}}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{f^m, N_{m+1}}(t). \]

**Proof.** **Step 1:** Firstly, performing \( w^2_{m,1} \partial^\alpha f^m \times \partial^\alpha \) (2.42) with \( |\alpha| = N_m + 1 \) and integrating the resulting identity over \( \mathbb{R}^3_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3 \), one has

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left| w_{m,1} \partial^\alpha f^m \right|^2 + \left| w_{m,1} \partial^\alpha f^m \right|^2 + \frac{q^2}{(1 + t)^{1+\theta}} \left| w_{m,1} \partial^\alpha f^m(v) \right|^2 \leq \left| \partial^\alpha f^m \right|^2 + \left[ \partial^\alpha \left( E^{m,e} \cdot \nabla E^{m,e} \right), w_{m,1} \partial^\alpha f^m \right]_{W_1} \]

\[
+ \left( \partial^\alpha \left( E_{\text{mix}}(t) + I_{\text{pure}}(t) \right), w_{m,1} \partial^\alpha f^m \right)_{W_2} + \left( \partial^\alpha \left( E^{m,e} \right), w_{m,1} \partial^\alpha f^m \right)_{W_3} \right) \text{. (4.90)}
\]

For \( W_1 \), multiplying a time factor \((1 + t)^{-\frac{1+\theta}{2}}\) implies

\[
(1 + t)^{-\frac{1+\theta}{2}} \mathcal{W}_1 \leq (1 + t)^{-\frac{1+\theta}{2}} \left[ \partial^\alpha \left( E^{m,e} \cdot \nabla E^{m,e} \right), w_{m,1} \partial^\alpha f^m \right] \]

\[
\leq \eta (1 + t)^{-1-\kappa} \left| \nabla^{N_m+1} E^{m,e} \right|^2 + \left| \nabla^{N_m+1} f^m \right|^2. \text{ (4.91)}
\]

For \( W_2 \), setting (4.15), (4.20), (4.21) with \( \kappa = 1 \), which combining with (4.42), (4.43), (4.37), (4.38), and (5.13) imply that

\[
\mathcal{W}_2 \leq \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \left| \| E^{P,e}, E^{j_1,e}, E^{m,e} \|_{L^\infty} \right| \left| w_{m,1} \partial^\alpha f^m \right| \right|^2 \]

\[
+ (1 + t)^{2+2\theta} \left( \left| \nabla \left[ E^{P,e}, E^{j_1,e}, E^{m,e}, E^{m+1} B^{m,e} \right] \right|^2_{L^\infty} + \left| \nabla \left[ E^{P,e}, E^{j_1,e}, E^{m,e}, E^{m+1} B^{m,e} \right] \right|^2_{H^k_{m-3}} \right) \]

\[
+ \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m, \leq |\alpha|} \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,e}, j, m}^{(j,k)}(t) \text{, (4.92)}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} \left\{ E_{f^{m,e}, N_{m+1}, -\frac{1}{2} \gamma, -\gamma}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} E_{f^{m,e}, N_{m+1}, -\frac{1}{2} \gamma, -\gamma}(t) \right\} \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,e}, N_{m+1}}(t) \]

\[
+ \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} \left\{ E_{f^{m,e}, N_{m+1}, -\frac{1}{2} \gamma, -\gamma}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} E_{f^{m,e}, N_{m+1}, -\frac{1}{2} \gamma, -\gamma}(t) \right\} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,e}, j, m}^{(j,0)}(t) \]

\[
+ \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} \left\{ E_{f^{m,e}, N_{m+1}, -\frac{1}{2} \gamma, -\gamma}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} E_{f^{m,e}, N_{m+1}, -\frac{1}{2} \gamma, -\gamma}(t) \right\} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,e}, j, m}^{(j,0)}(t) \]

\[
+ \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} \left\{ E_{f^{m,e}, N_{m+1}, -\frac{1}{2} \gamma, -\gamma}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} E_{f^{m,e}, N_{m+1}, -\frac{1}{2} \gamma, -\gamma}(t) \right\} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,e}, j, m}^{(j,0)}(t) \]

\[
+ \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} \left\{ E_{f^{m,e}, N_{m+1}, -\frac{1}{2} \gamma, -\gamma}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} E_{f^{m,e}, N_{m+1}, -\frac{1}{2} \gamma, -\gamma}(t) \right\} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,e}, j, m}^{(j,0)}(t) \]

\[
+ \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} \left\{ E_{f^{m,e}, N_{m+1}, -\frac{1}{2} \gamma, -\gamma}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} E_{f^{m,e}, N_{m+1}, -\frac{1}{2} \gamma, -\gamma}(t) \right\} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,e}, j, m}^{(j,0)}(t) \]

\[
+ \eta \left| \partial^\alpha f^m \right|^2 + \eta (1 + t)^{-1-\theta} \left| w_{m,1} \partial^\alpha f^m(v) \right|^2 \text{. (4.92)}
\]
where we have used the assumption (4.88).

\[ + E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m,N_m,-\gamma}(t) \left\{ D_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m+1}(t) + \sum_{0 \leq j \leq [\alpha]} D_{f^{m,\epsilon},j,l_m,1}^{(j,0)}(t) \right\} \]

\[ + \chi_{[\alpha]=1} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 2} E_{f^{m,\epsilon},j,l_m,1}^{(j,0)}(t) \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 2} D_{f^{m,\epsilon},j,l_m,1}^{(j,0)}(t) \]

\[ + \chi_{[\alpha] \geq 2} \sum_{0 \leq j_1 \leq [\alpha]} E_{f^{m,\epsilon},j_1,l_m,1}^{(j_1,0)}(t) \times \sum_{0 \leq j_2 \leq [\alpha]} D_{f^{m,\epsilon},j_2,l_m,1}^{(j_2,0)}(t). \]

For \( \mathcal{W}_3 \), it is easy to see that

\[ \mathcal{W}_3 = 0. \]

For later simplicity in presentation, we use

\[ \sigma_{N_m+1,0} = \frac{1 + \epsilon_0}{2}, \quad (4.93) \]

thus we get

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{N_m+1,0}} \| w_{l_m,1}^{\gamma} \partial^{\alpha} f^{m,\epsilon} \|^2 \right\} + (1 + t)^{-1-\sigma_{N_m+1,0}} \| w_{l_m,1}^{\gamma} \partial^{\alpha} f^{m,\epsilon} \|^2 \]

\[ + (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{N_m+1,0}} \| w_{l_m,1}^{\gamma} \partial^{\alpha} f^{m,\epsilon} \|^2 + (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{N_m+1,0}} \| \chi^{q\tilde{\omega}} \| \| w_{l_m,1}^{\gamma} \partial^{\alpha} f^{m,\epsilon}(v) \|^2 \]

\[ \lesssim (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{N_m+1,0}} (1 + t)^{2+2\epsilon} \]

\[ \times \left\{ \| \nabla_{\alpha} [E_{f^{m_1,\epsilon},E_{f^{m_2,\epsilon},E_{f^{m_3,\epsilon},E_{f^{m_4,\epsilon}}}}^{m^{m_1},m^{m_2},m^{m_3},m^{m_4}}] \|_{L^\infty}^2 + \| \nabla_{\alpha} [E_{f^{m_1,\epsilon},E_{f^{m_3,\epsilon},E_{f^{m_4,\epsilon}}}]^{m^{m_1}+1,m^{m_3}+1,m^{m_4}} \|_{H^m_{-3}}^2 \right\} \]

\[ \times \sum_{0 \leq k \leq [\alpha]} \sum_{k \leq j \leq [\alpha]} E_{f^{m,\epsilon},j,l_m,1}^{(j,k)}(t) \]

\[ \left\{ E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m+1,-\gamma}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m+1,-\gamma}(t) \right\} D_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m+1}(t) \]

\[ + E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m+1}(t) \left\{ D_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m+2,N_m+3,-\gamma}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} D_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m+2,N_m+2,-\gamma}(t) \right\} \]

\[ + (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{N_m+1,0}} \]

\[ \times \sum_{0 \leq j \leq [\alpha]} E_{f^{m,\epsilon},j,l_m,1}^{(j,0)}(t) \left\{ D_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m+1,-\gamma}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} D_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m+1,-\gamma}(t) \right\} \]

\[ + \sum_{j_1 + j_2 \geq m} \left\{ E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m+2,-\gamma}^{j_1 + j_2,2,2,2}(t) D_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m+1,-\gamma}^{j_1 + j_2,2,2,2}(t) \right\} \]

\[ + (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{N_m+1,0}} E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m,N_m,-\gamma}(t) \left\{ D_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m+1}(t) + \sum_{0 \leq j \leq [\alpha]} D_{f^{m,\epsilon},j,l_m,1}^{(j,0)}(t) \right\} \]

\[ + (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{N_m+1,0}} \chi_{[\alpha]=1} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 2} E_{f^{m,\epsilon},j,l_m,1}^{(j,0)}(t) \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 2} D_{f^{m,\epsilon},j,l_m,1}^{(j,0)}(t) \]

\[ + (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{N_m+1,0}} \chi_{[\alpha] \geq 2} \sum_{0 \leq j_1 \leq [\alpha]} E_{f^{m,\epsilon},j_1,l_m,1}^{(j_1,0)}(t) \times \sum_{0 \leq j_2 \leq [\alpha]} D_{f^{m,\epsilon},j_2,l_m,1}^{(j_2,0)}(t) \]

\[ + E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m}(t) E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m,N_m,N_m,-\gamma}(t) + \eta (1 + t)^{-1-\epsilon_0} \| \nabla_{\alpha} [E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m,N_m,N_m,-\gamma}(t) \| \| \nabla_{\alpha} [E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m,N_m,N_m,-\gamma}(t) \|^2 \| \nabla_{\alpha} [E_{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m,N_m,N_m,-\gamma}(t) \|^2, \]

where we have used the assumption (4.88).
Secondly, applying $\partial_\beta^\alpha$ with $|\alpha| + |\beta| = N_m + 1$, $|\beta| \geq 1$ to (4.7), multiplying the resulting identity by $w_{l_m-|\beta|,1}^2 \partial_\beta^\alpha (I - P)^{f_{m,\varepsilon}}$, and integrating the final result over $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$, one has

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt} \|w_{l_m-|\beta|,1} \partial_\beta^\alpha (I - P)^{f_{m,\varepsilon}}\|^2 &+ \|w_{l_m-|\beta|,1} \partial_\beta^\alpha (I - P)^{f_{m,\varepsilon}}\|^2 \\
+ \frac{q_d}{(1 + t)^{1+\sigma}} \|w_{l_m-|\beta|,1} \partial_\beta^\alpha (I - P)^{f_{m,\varepsilon}}\|^2 &\lesssim \eta \|w_{l_m-|\beta|,1} \partial_\beta^\alpha (I - P)^{f_{m,\varepsilon}}\|^2 \\
+ \left(\partial_\beta^\alpha \{ - (I - P) v \cdot \nabla_x f_{m,\varepsilon} \}, w_{l_m-|\beta|,1}^2 \partial_\beta^\alpha (I - P)^{f_{m,\varepsilon}}\right) &\lesssim \eta \|w_{l_m-|\beta|,1} \partial_\beta^\alpha (I - P)^{f_{m,\varepsilon}}\|^2 \\
+ \left(\partial_\beta^\alpha \{ (I_{mix,mic}(t) + I_{pure,mic}(t)) \}, w_{l_m-|\beta|,1}^2 \partial_\beta^\alpha (I - P)^{f_{m,\varepsilon}}\right) &\lesssim \eta \|w_{l_m-|\beta|,1} \partial_\beta^\alpha (I - P)^{f_{m,\varepsilon}}\|^2 \quad (4.95)
\end{align*}
\]

By the macro-micro decomposition (2.10), one has

\[
W_4 \lesssim \left(\partial_\beta^\alpha \{ v \cdot \nabla_x (I - P)^{f_{m,\varepsilon}} \}, w_{l_m-|\beta|,1}^2 \partial_\beta^\alpha (I - P)^{f_{m,\varepsilon}}\right) \tag{W_{4,1}}
\]

\[
W_{4,2} \left(\partial_\beta^\alpha \{ v \cdot \nabla_x f_{m,\varepsilon} \}, w_{l_m-|\beta|,1}^2 \partial_\beta^\alpha (I - P)^{f_{m,\varepsilon}}\right)
\]

\[
W_{4,3} \left(\partial_\beta^\alpha \{ v \cdot \nabla_x f_{m,\varepsilon} \}, w_{l_m-|\beta|,1}^2 \partial_\beta^\alpha (I - P)^{f_{m,\varepsilon}}\right)
\]

For $W_{4,1}$, multiplying time factors $(1 + t)^{-\sigma_{N_m+1,1}}$, applying (4.12), one has

\[
(1 + t)^{-\sigma_{N_m+1,1}} W_{4,1} \lesssim (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{N_m+1,1}} D_{f_{m,\varepsilon},N_m+1,i_m+1}^\alpha (I + t) + \eta (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{N_m+1,1}} \|w_{l_m-|\beta|,1} \partial_\beta^\alpha (I - P)^{f_{m,\varepsilon}}\|^2
\]

It is straightforward to compute that

\[
W_{4,2} + W_{4,3} \lesssim \eta \|v_{|\alpha|+1} f_{m,\varepsilon}\|^2 + \|\partial_\beta^\alpha (I - P)^{f_{m,\varepsilon}}\|^2
\]

Using (4.5) yields

\[
W_5 \lesssim \frac{1}{4\epsilon_\beta} \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{d}{dt} \left\langle \partial_\beta \left[ w_{l_m-|\beta|,1}^2 v \mu^{1/2} \right], \partial_\beta \left[ w_{l_m-|\beta|,1}^2 \partial_\beta^\alpha (I_+ - P_+)^{f_{m,\varepsilon}} - \partial_\beta^\alpha (I_+ - P_+)^{f_{m,\varepsilon}} \right] \right\rangle \tag{W_{5,1}}
\]

\[
+ \left\| \nabla_{|\alpha|+1} f_{m,\varepsilon} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla_{|\alpha|+1} f_{m,\varepsilon} \right\|^2 \\
+ \mathcal{E}_{f_{m,\varepsilon},N_m}(t) \left\{ D_{f_{m,\varepsilon},N_m+1}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} D_{f_{j_1,\varepsilon},N_m+1}(t) + D_{f_{j_1,\varepsilon},N_m+1}(t) \right\}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{0 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{E}_{f_{j_1,\varepsilon},N_m+1}(t) D_{f_{j_1,\varepsilon},N_m+1}(t) + D_{f_{j_1,\varepsilon},N_m+1}(t)
\]

As for $W_6$, one has

\[
W_6
\]
Finally, it is straightforward to compute that

\[
\mathcal{W}_7 = 0.
\]

Collecting the estimates on \(\mathcal{W}_4 \sim \mathcal{W}_7\) into (4.95) yields that

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ (1 + t)^{-\sigma N_{m+1}} \| w_{m-|\beta|,1} \partial_\beta^\alpha \{ I - P \} f^{m,e} \| \right\}^2 + (1 + t)^{-1 - \sigma N_{m+1}} \| w_{m-|\beta|,1} \partial_\beta^\alpha \{ I - P \} f^{m,e} \|^2 \\
+ (1 + t)^{-\sigma N_{m+1}} \| w_{m-|\beta|,1} \partial_\beta^\alpha \{ I - P \} f^{m,e} \| _p^2 \\
+ (1 + t)^{-\sigma N_{m+1}} \frac{q \beta}{(1 + t)^{1 + a}} \| w_{m-|\beta|,1} \partial_\beta^\alpha \{ I - P \} f^{m,e} (v) \|^2 \\
\lesssim \frac{1}{4C_\beta} \sum_i \frac{d}{dt} \left\langle \partial_\beta \left\{ w_{m-|\beta|,1} \partial_\beta \left[ v_i \mu^{1/2} \right] \right\}, \partial_\alpha \{ I_+ - P_+ \} f^{m,e} - \partial_\alpha \{ I_- - P_- \} f^{m,e} \right\rangle^2 \\
+ (1 + t)^{-\sigma N_{m+1}} \partial_\beta \left( \mathcal{D}_{m,e}^{N_{m+1},1,0} \right) f^{m,e} (v) (1 + t) \| f^{m,e} \| _p^2 \\
+ (1 + t)^{-\sigma N_{m+1}} \| f^{m,e} \| _p^2 + 20 \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 2, \ k \leq j \leq |\alpha|} \mathcal{D}_{m,e}^{j,k} f^{m,e} (v) (1 + t) \| f^{m,e} \| _p^2 \\
\times \left\{ \| \nabla_x [E^{P,e}, E^{j1,e}, e^{m} E^{m,e}, e^{m+1} B^{m,e}] \| _L^2 + \| \nabla_x^2 [E^{P,e}, E^{j1,e}, e^{m} E^{m,e}, e^{m+1} B^{m,e}] \| _H_{N_{m-3}}^2 \right\} \\
\sum_{0 \leq k \leq 2, \ k \leq j \leq |\alpha|} \mathcal{D}_{m,e}^{j,k} (v) (1 + t) \| f^{m,e} \| _p^2.
\]

\[(4.97)\]
\[
+ \left\{ E^{f^r, N_m+1, -\frac{t_m}{m}, -\gamma}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} E^{f^1, N_m+1, -\frac{t_m}{m}, -\gamma}(t) \right\} D^{f^m, N_m+1}(t)
+ E^{f^m, N_m+1}(t) \left\{ D^{f^p, N_m+2, N_m+3, -\frac{t_m+1-N_m}{m}, -\gamma}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} D^{f^1, N_m+2, N_m+2, -\frac{t_m+1-N_m}{m}, -\gamma}(t) \right\}
+ \left\{ E^{f^r, N_m+1, -\frac{t_m}{m}, -\gamma}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} E^{f^1, N_m+1, -\frac{t_m}{m}, -\gamma}(t) \right\} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 1} D^{(j,k)}_{f^m, j, l_m}(t)
(1 + t)^{-\sigma N_m+1, 1} \sum_{k_1 + k_2 \leq 1} \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq j_1 \leq N_m+1} E^{(j_1, k_1)}_{f^m, j_1, l_1}(t) \times \sum_{0 \leq j_2 \leq N_m+1} D^{(j_2, k_1)}_{f^m, j_2, l_1}(t) \right\}.
\]

For \(|\alpha| + |\beta| = N_m + 1\) with \(2 \leq |\beta| \leq N_m + 1\), one has
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ (1 + t)^{-\sigma N_m+1, |\beta|} \left\| w_{N_m-|\beta|, 1} \partial^\beta I \{ f^m, e \} \| \right\|^2 \right\} + (1 + t)^{-1 - \sigma N_m+1, |\beta|} \left\| w_{N_m-|\beta|, 1} \partial^\beta I \{ f^m, e \} \| \right\|^2
+ (1 + t)^{-\sigma N_m+1, |\beta|} \left\| w_{N_m-|\beta|, 1} \partial^\beta I \{ f^m, e \} \| \right\|^2
+ (1 + t)^{-\sigma N_m+1, |\beta|} q \left\| \frac{1}{(1 + t)^{1+\gamma}} \left\| w_{N_m-\gamma} (\alpha, \beta) \partial^\beta I \{ f^m, e \} \| \right\|^2 \right\} \leq \frac{1}{4 C_\beta} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \frac{d}{dt} \left\| \partial^\beta \left\{ w^2_{N_m-|\beta|, 1} \partial^\beta \left[ v_{1}\mu^{1/2} \right] \right\}, \partial^\alpha I \{ f^m, e \} - \partial^\alpha I \{ f^m, e \} \right\|^2 \right\} + (1 + t)^{-\sigma N_m+1, |\beta|} \left\{ D^{f^m, N_m+1, |\beta|, 1} \right\} + D^{f^m, N_m+1}(t)
+ (1 + t)^{-\sigma N_m+1, |\beta|+2 + 2\theta} \times \left\{ \left\| \nabla_x [E P^e, E^{j_1, e}, \epsilon^m E^{m, e}, \epsilon^{m+1} B^{m, e}] \right\|_{L^2_{y \gamma}} + \left\| \nabla_x^2 [E P^e, E^{j_1, e}, \epsilon^m E^{m, e}, \epsilon^{m+1} B^{m, e}] \right\|_{H^2_{y \gamma-3}} \right\} \times \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \min(|\beta|+1, |\alpha|+|\beta|)} \sum_{0 \leq j_1 \leq N_m+1} D^{(j,k)}_{f^m, j_1, l_1}(t)
+ \left\{ E^{f^r, N_m+1, -\frac{t_m}{m}, -\gamma}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} E^{f^1, N_m+1, -\frac{t_m}{m}, -\gamma}(t) \right\} D^{f^m, N_m+1}(t)
+ E^{f^m, N_m+1}(t) \left\{ D^{f^p, N_m+2, N_m+3, -\frac{t_m+1-N_m}{m}, -\gamma}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} D^{f^1, N_m+2, N_m+2, -\frac{t_m+1-N_m}{m}, -\gamma}(t) \right\}
+ \left\{ E^{f^r, N_m+1, -\frac{t_m}{m}, -\gamma}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} E^{f^1, N_m+1, -\frac{t_m}{m}, -\gamma}(t) \right\} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 1} D^{(j,k)}_{f^m, j, l_m}(t)
(1 + t)^{-\sigma N_m+1, |\beta|}.
\[
\sum_{0 \leq |\beta| \leq N_m+1} \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,e},j_1,\ell_1^m}(t) \left\{ \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,e},N_m+1-\frac{\ell_m}{m},\gamma}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{D}_{f^{j_1,e},N_m+1-\frac{\ell_m}{m},\gamma}(t) \right\} \\
+ \left\{ \sum_{j_1 \leq j_2 < m, 0 < j_1} \mathcal{E}_{f^{j_2,e},N_{m+2}+1-\frac{\ell_{m+2}}{m+2},-\gamma}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f^{j_1,e},N_{m+1}-\frac{\ell_{m+2}}{m+2},+\gamma}(t) \right\} \\
+ \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,e},N_{m+1}}(t) \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,e},j_1,\ell_1^m}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,e},N_{m+1}}(t) \sum_{0 \leq j_2 \leq N_m+1} \mathcal{D}_{f^{j_2,e},j_1,\ell_1^m}(t) \\
+ (1 + t)^{-\sigma}(N_{m+1},|\beta|) \sum_{j_1,j_2 \leq m} \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,e},j_1,\ell_1^m}(t) \times \sum_{0 \leq j_2 \leq N_m+1} \mathcal{D}_{f^{j_2,e},j_1,\ell_1^m}(t) \right). 
\]

Therefore, a proper linear combination of (4.94), (4.97) and (4.98) over \(|\alpha| + |\beta| = N_m + 1, 0 \leq |\beta| \leq N_m + 1\), one has
Step 2: For $N_m^0 + 1 \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| = n \leq N_m$, similar to that of Step 1, one can deduce that

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq |\beta| \leq n} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_n,|\beta|} \mathcal{E}^{(n,|\beta|)}_{f^{m,\epsilon},n,t^m_{m,1}}(t) \right\} \\
+ \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq |\beta| \leq n} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_n,|\beta|} \mathcal{E}^{(n,|\beta|)}_{f^{m,\epsilon},n,t^m_{m,1}}(t) + (1 + t)^{-\sigma_n,|\beta|} \mathcal{D}^{(n,|\beta|)}_{f^{m,\epsilon},n,t^m_{m,1}}(t) \right\} \\
\leq \frac{1}{4C_\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{d}{dt} \left\| \partial_\beta \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left[ 2 \sum_{0 \leq |\beta| \leq n} \mathcal{E}^{(n,|\beta|)}_{f^{m,\epsilon},n,t^m_{m,1}}(t) \right] \right\} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \left[ f^{m,\epsilon} \right] \right\|^2_{L^2} \\
+ \sum_{0 \leq |\beta| \leq n} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{N_m+1,|\beta|} + 2|\omega|} \\
\times \left\{ \left\| \nabla \left[ f^{m,\epsilon} \right] \right\|^2_{L^2} + \left\| \nabla \left[ f^{m,\epsilon} \right] \right\|^2_{L^2} \right\} \\
\mathcal{D}^{(j,k)}_{f^{m,\epsilon},J,t^m_{m,1}}(t) \\
+ \mathcal{E}^{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m+1,< \omega,\gamma>}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{E}^{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m+1,< \omega,\gamma>}(t) \right\} \mathcal{D}^{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m+1}(t) \\
+ \mathcal{E}^{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m+1}(t) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \mathcal{D}^{f^{m,\epsilon},N_2+2,N_2+3,< \omega,\gamma>}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \mathcal{D}^{f^{m,\epsilon},N_2+2,N_2+3,< \omega,\gamma>}(t) \right\} \\
+ \mathcal{E}^{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m+1,< \omega,\gamma>}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{E}^{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m+1,< \omega,\gamma>}(t) \right\} \sum_{0 \leq |\beta| \leq n} \mathcal{D}^{(j,k)}_{f^{m,\epsilon},J,t^m_{m,1}}(t) \\
+ \sum_{0 \leq |\beta| \leq n} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{N_m+1,|\beta|}} \mathcal{E}^{f_j^{m,\epsilon},J,t^m_{m,1}}(t) \\
\times \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq |\beta| \leq n} \mathcal{D}^{(j,k)}_{f^{m,\epsilon},J,t^m_{m,1}}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{D}^{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m+1,< \omega,\gamma>}(t) \right\} \\
+ \sum_{0 \leq |\beta| \leq n} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{N_m+1,|\beta|}} \mathcal{E}^{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m+1,< \omega,\gamma>}(t) \sum_{0 \leq |\beta| \leq n} \mathcal{D}^{(j,k)}_{f^{m,\epsilon},J,t^m_{m,1}}(t) \\
+ \sum_{0 \leq |\beta| \leq n} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{N_m+1,|\beta|}} \sum_{j_1+k_2 \leq |\beta|} \mathcal{E}^{f^{(j_1,k_2)}}_{f^{m,\epsilon},J,t^m_{m,1}}(t) \times \sum_{0 \leq j_2 \leq n} \mathcal{D}^{(j_2,k_1)}_{f^{m,\epsilon},J,t^m_{m,1}}(t) \\
+ \mathcal{E}^{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m+1}(t) \mathcal{E}^{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m+1,< \omega,\gamma>}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m+1,< \omega,\gamma>}(t) \mathcal{D}^{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m+1}(t) \\
+ \mathcal{D}^{f^{m,\epsilon},N_m+1}(t) + \left\| \nabla^{N+m+1} f^{m,\epsilon} \right\|_{L^2}^2.$
Step 3: Based on the above two steps, one has (4.89) by a proper linear combination of (4.99) and (4.100), which completes the proof of this lemma.

Moreover, we can deduce by applying a similar strategy that

**Lemma 4.19.** Under the assumptions in the above lemma, then

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \sum_{0\leq n \leq N_0', 0 \leq |\beta| \leq n} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{n,|\beta|}} \mathcal{E}^{(n, |\beta|)}_{f^{m}, n, t^{f^{m}}, 1} (t) \right\} + \sum_{0\leq n \leq N_0', 0 \leq |\beta| \leq n} \left\{ (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{n,|\beta|}} \mathcal{E}^{(n, |\beta|)}_{f^{m}, n, t^{f^{m}}, 1} (t) + (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{n,|\beta|}} \mathcal{D}^{(n, |\beta|)}_{f^{m}, n, t^{f^{m}}, 1} (t) \right\}
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{0\leq n \leq N_0', 0 \leq |\beta| \leq n} \frac{1}{4C_{\beta}} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{d}{dt} \left\| \partial_{\beta} \left\{ \frac{\partial_{\beta} \left[ v_i \mu^{1/2} \right]}{f^{m,n,1}}, \partial^{\alpha} \{ I_+ - P_+ \} f^{m,n}(t) - \partial^{\alpha} \{ I_- - P_- \} f^{m,n}(t) \right\|^2 \right\|
\]

\[
\times \left\{ \left\| \nabla_{x} \left[ E_{f^{m,n}, 1} E_{f^{m,n}, 2} E_{f^{m,n}, 3} \right] (t) \right\|_{L^{\infty}_{x}}^2 + \left\| \nabla_{x} \left[ E_{f^{m,n}, 1} E_{f^{m,n}, 2} E_{f^{m,n}, 3} \right] (t) \right\|_{L^{\infty}_{x}}^2 \right\}
\times \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,n}, t^{f^{m}}, 1} (t)
\]

\[
+ \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,n}, t^{f^{m}}, 1} (t) \left\{ \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,n}, t^{f^{m}}, 1} (t) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,n}, t^{f^{m}}, 1} (t) \right\}
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{0\leq n \leq N_0', 0 \leq |\beta| \leq n} \left\{ \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,n}, t^{f^{m}}, 1} (t) \right\}
\]

\[
\times \left\{ \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,n}, t^{f^{m}}, 1} (t) + \sum_{j_1 + j_2 \geq m, 0 \leq j_1, j_2 < m} \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,n}, t^{f^{m}}, 1} (t) \right\}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j_1 + j_2 = m, 0 \leq j_1 < j_2 < m} \left\{ \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,n}, t^{f^{m}}, 1} (t) \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,n}, t^{f^{m}}, 1} (t) \right\}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{0\leq n \leq N_0', 0 \leq |\beta| \leq n} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{n,|\beta|}} \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,n}, t^{f^{m}}, 1} (t) \sum_{0 \leq k \leq |\beta|} \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,n}, t^{f^{m}}, 1} (t)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{0\leq n \leq N_0', 0 \leq |\beta| \leq n} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{n,|\beta|}}
\]

\[
\times \sum_{k_1 + k_2 \leq |\beta|} \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq j_1 \leq \max(n, 2)} \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,n}, t^{f^{m}, j_1}, 1} (t) \times \sum_{0 \leq j_2 \leq \max(n, 2)} \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,n}, t^{f^{m}, j_2}, 1} (t) \right\} + \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,n}, t^{f^{m}, N_0'}, 1} (t) \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,n}, t^{f^{m}, N_0'}, 1} (t) + \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,n}, t^{f^{m}, N_0'}, 1} (t).
\]

4.7. The proof of Theorem 2.2. Now we are ready to close the *a priori* estimates
Lemma 4.20. Under the a priori estimates (4.102) and the assumptions listed in Theorem 2.2, then it holds that

\[ \Xi_{\text{Total}}(t) \lesssim \Psi_{\text{Total},0}^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.103)

for all \( 0 \leq t \leq T \). Here \( \Psi_{\text{Total},0} \) is defined in (2.49).

Proof. In fact, firstly, we have from Proposition 5.1 and Proposition 5.2 under the a priori estimates (4.102) that

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_{f^{\mu}, \ldots, f^{\mu}, \gamma}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{f^{\mu}, \ldots, f^{\mu}, \gamma}(t) \lesssim 0,
\]

(4.104)

from which, we can deduce that:

(i). If we take

\[ \sigma_{n,0} = 0, n \leq N_m, \quad \sigma_{N_m+1,0} = \frac{1 + \epsilon_0}{2}, \]

and notice that

\[ \sigma_{n,k} - \sigma_{n,k-1} = \frac{2(1+\gamma)}{\gamma - 2} (1+\theta), \]

we can deduce that

\[ \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} \{ \sigma_{n,k} \} = \sigma_{N_m,N_m}, \quad \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} \{ \sigma_{n,k} \} = \sigma_{N_m,N_m}; \]

(ii). If we take \( \tilde{\ell}_m \geq \frac{\gamma}{4} + \frac{2-4\gamma}{2m-\gamma} \) and \( l_1^* \geq \tilde{\ell}_1 - \frac{\gamma}{2} - \gamma l_1 \), then \( \theta = \frac{2-4\gamma}{2m-\gamma} \leq \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{\sigma_{N_m,N_m}} \) and we have from Lemma 4.16 that

\[
\Xi_{\text{Total}}(t) \gtrsim \left( \Xi_{\text{Total}}(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + t)^{-1 + \frac{2N}{2m-\gamma}} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} D^{(j,k)}_{f^{\mu}, \ldots, f^{\mu}, \gamma}(t)
\]

\[
\Xi_{\text{Total}}(t) \gtrsim \left( \Xi_{\text{Total}}(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + t)^{-1 + \frac{2N}{2m-\gamma}} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} D^{(j,k)}_{f^{\mu}, \ldots, f^{\mu}, \gamma}(t).
\]

where all parameters satisfy the assumptions listed in Lemma 4.20 and \( M \) is a sufficiently small positive constant independent of \( \epsilon \).
Thus we have from Lemma 4.11 and (4.104) that
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\epsilon}}^{N_m, l_m, -\gamma}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{f^{P,\epsilon}}^{N_P, l_P, -\gamma}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{E}_{f^{j_1,\epsilon,N_{j_1}, l_{j_1}, -\gamma}}(t) \right\} \\
+ \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon}}^{N_m, l_m, -\gamma}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{f^{P,\epsilon}}^{N_P, l_P, -\gamma}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{D}_{f^{j_1,\epsilon,N_{j_1}, l_{j_1}, -\gamma}}(t) \\
\lesssim \| \nabla^{N_m+1} f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|_{L^2}^2 + \{\Xi_{\text{Total}}(t)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{N_m, N_0}} \sum_{0 \leq l \leq N_m} \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon}}^{l, l_{m+1}}(t) \\
+ \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\epsilon}}^{N_m+1}(t) \mathcal{E}_{f^{N_0, l_m}}^{1} - \gamma_{l_m}^{N_0} + \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) (t).
\]

(iii). Similarly, we have by taking \( l_m^{\epsilon} \geq \tilde{t}_m - \gamma_{l_m}^{N_0} + \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \) that
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\epsilon}}^{N_0, l_m, -\gamma}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{f^{P,\epsilon}}^{N_P, l_P, -\gamma}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{E}_{f^{j_1,\epsilon,N_{j_1}, l_{j_1}, -\gamma}}(t) \right\} \\
+ \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon}}^{N_0, l_m, -\gamma}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{f^{P,\epsilon}}^{N_P, l_P, -\gamma}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{D}_{f^{j_1,\epsilon,N_{j_1}, l_{j_1}, -\gamma}}(t) \\
\lesssim \eta \| \nabla^{N_0+1} f^{m,\epsilon}(t) \|_{L^2}^2 + \{\Xi_{\text{Total}}(t)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{N_0, N_0}} \sum_{0 \leq l \leq N_0} \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon}}^{l, l_{m+1}}(t). 
\]

(iv). Since Lemma 4.16 tells us that
\[
\| \nabla v [e^m E^{m,\epsilon} + e^{m+1} B^{m,\epsilon}] (t) \|_{L^2}^2 \\
\lesssim e^{2m-5-2\vartheta} (1 + t)^{-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\vartheta}{2} + \frac{2(1 + \gamma)}{1 + \vartheta}} (1 + t) \leq \sigma_{N_m, N_0}^{N_m+1,1} (t) - \sigma_{N_m, N_0}^{N_m+1,1} \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon}}^{N_m+1,1, l_{m+1}} \\
\lesssim (1 + t)^{-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\vartheta}{2} + \frac{2(1 + \gamma)}{1 + \vartheta}} (1 + t) \leq \sigma_{N_m, N_0}^{N_m+1,1} \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon}}^{N_m+1,1, l_{m+1}} \\
\lesssim \Xi_{\text{Total}}(t) \left( 1 + t \right)^{-\sigma_{N_m, N_0}^{N_m+1,1} \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon}}^{N_m+1,1, l_{m+1}} \\
\right) 
\]
where we used the fact that
\[
e^{2m-5-2\vartheta} + (1 + t)^{-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\vartheta}{2} + \frac{2(1 + \gamma)}{1 + \vartheta}} (1 + t) \lesssim 1
\]
provided that
\[
m \geq \frac{5}{2} + \vartheta, \quad 0 < \vartheta \leq \frac{\gamma - 2}{2 + 2\gamma} \left( 2 + \vartheta - \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \right) - 1,
\]
then if we take \( l_m^{*} \geq N_m + 2 - \frac{3}{2} \), we can get from Lemma 4.12 that
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \mathcal{E}_{f^{m,\epsilon}}^{N_m+1}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{f^{P,\epsilon}}^{N_P, l_P, -\gamma}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{E}_{f^{j_1,\epsilon,N_{j_1}, l_{j_1}, -\gamma}}(t) \right\} \\
+ \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon}}^{N_m+1}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{f^{P,\epsilon}}^{N_P, l_P, -\gamma}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{D}_{f^{j_1,\epsilon,N_{j_1}, l_{j_1}, -\gamma}}(t) \\
\lesssim \Xi_{\text{Total}}(t) \left( 1 + t \right)^{-\sigma_{N_m, N_0}^{N_m+1,1} \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon}}^{N_m+1,1, l_{m+1}}. 
\]

(v). By Lemma 4.16, one has
\[
\sum_{N_m+1 \leq N \leq N_0+1} (1 + t)^{-\gamma_{N, |\beta|} + 2 + 2\vartheta} \\
\times \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \| \nabla v [e^m E^{m,\epsilon} + e^{m+1} B^{m,\epsilon}] (t) \|_{L^2}^2 \\
\lesssim \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 1} \mathcal{D}_{f^{m,\epsilon}}^{l, l_{m+1}}(t). 
\]
\[
\lesssim e^{2m} \sum_{N_m^0 + 1 \leq n \leq N_m + 1, \atop 0 \leq |\beta| \leq n} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{n,|\beta|} + 2 + 2\vartheta} e^{-5 - 2\vartheta} (1 + t)^{-\frac{5}{2} - \vartheta}
\times \Xi_{\text{Total}}(t) \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \min\{|\beta|+1,n\}, \atop k \leq n} D^{(j,k)}_{f_{m,*},j,l_n^m,1}(t)
\]

\[
\lesssim e^{2m - 5 - 2\vartheta} \sum_{N_m^0 + 1 \leq n \leq N_m + 1, \atop 0 \leq |\beta| \leq n} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{n,|\beta|} + 1} (1 + t)^{2(1 + \vartheta)} (1 + \vartheta) + 2 + 2\vartheta - \frac{5}{2} - \vartheta
\times \Xi_{\text{Total}}(t) \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \min\{|\beta|+1,n\}, \atop k \leq n} D^{(j,k)}_{f_{m,*},j,l_n^m,1}(t)
\]

\[
\lesssim e^{2m - 5 - 2\vartheta} \sum_{N_m^0 + 1 \leq n \leq N_m + 1, \atop 0 \leq |\beta| \leq n} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{n,|\beta|} + 1}
\times \Xi_{\text{Total}}(t) \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \min\{|\beta|+1,n\}, \atop k \leq n} D^{(j,k)}_{f_{m,*},j,l_n^m,1}(t)
\]

\[
\lesssim \Xi_{\text{Total}}(t) \sum_{0 \leq n \leq N_m + 1, \atop 0 \leq k \leq n} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{n,k}} D^{(n,k)}_{f_{m,*},n,l_n^m,1}(t),
\]

where we have used the fact that

\[
e^{2m - 5 - 2\vartheta} + (1 + t)^{2(1 + \vartheta)} (1 + \vartheta) + 2 + 2\vartheta - \frac{5}{2} - \vartheta \lesssim 1
\]

provided that

\[
m \geq \frac{5}{2} + \vartheta, \quad 0 < \vartheta \leq \frac{\gamma - 2}{4\gamma - 2} \left(\frac{5}{2} + \vartheta\right) - 1.
\]

Thus one deduces that

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \sum_{N_m^0 + 1 \leq n \leq N_m + 1, \atop 0 \leq k \leq n} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{n,k}} E^{(n,k)}_{f_{m,*},n,l_n^m,1}(t) + \Xi_{f_{m,*}}(N_1, j_1, \gamma) \right\} + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m - 1} \Xi_{f_{m,*}}(N_1, j_1, \gamma)
\]

\[
\lesssim D^{(n,k)}_{f_{m,*},n,l_n^m,1}(t) + \eta (1 + t)^{-1 - \tau_0} \left\| e \nabla N_{m+1} E^{m,*}(t) \right\|^2 + \Xi_{f_{m,*}}(N_{m+1} + 1, l_n^m) \Xi_{f_{m,*}}(N_{m+1} + 1, l_n^m) + \Xi_{\text{Total}}(t) \sum_{0 \leq n \leq N_m + 1, \atop 0 \leq k \leq n} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{n,k}} D^{(n,k)}_{f_{m,*},n,l_n^m,1}(t),
\]

where we have used the fact that

\[
\sum_{N_m^0 + 1 \leq n \leq N_m + 1, \atop 0 \leq k \leq n} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{n,k}} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{n,k_2}}
\]

\[
\lesssim \sum_{N_m^0 + 1 \leq n \leq N_m + 1, \atop 0 \leq |\beta| \leq n} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{n,k_1}} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{n,k_2}}
\]

\[
\times \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq j_1 \leq n} E^{(j_1,k_1)}_{f_{m,*},j_1,l_n^m,1}(t) \sum_{0 \leq j_2 \leq n} D^{(j_2,k_1)}_{f_{m,*},j_2,l_n^m,1}(t) \right\}
\]

\[
\lesssim \sum_{N_m^0 + 1 \leq n \leq N_m + 1, \atop 0 \leq |\beta| \leq n} \sum_{0 \leq j_1 \leq n, \atop k_1 + k_2 \leq |\beta|} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{j_1,k_1}} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{j_2,k_2}}
\]

\[
\times \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq j_1 \leq n} E^{(j_1,k_1)}_{f_{m,*},j_1,l_n^m,1}(t) \sum_{0 \leq j_2 \leq n} D^{(j_2,k_1)}_{f_{m,*},j_2,l_n^m,1}(t) \right\}
\]

\[
\lesssim \Xi_{\text{Total}}(t) \sum_{0 \leq n \leq N_m + 1, \atop 0 \leq k \leq n} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{n,k}} D^{(n,k)}_{f_{m,*},n,l_n^m,1}(t),
\]
(vi) In spirit of (4.109), one also deduce
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq n \leq N_m^+, \ 0 \leq k \leq n} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{n,k}} \mathcal{E}_{f_m^\rho, n, l_{m+1}}^{(n,k)}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{f_m^\rho, N_P, l_P, -\gamma}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{E}_{f_{j_1}^\rho, N_{j_1}, l_{j_1}, -\gamma}(t) \right\}
\]
\[+\sum_{0 \leq n \leq N_m^+, \ 0 \leq k \leq n} \left\{ (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{n,k}} \mathcal{E}_{f_m^\rho, n, l_{m+1}}^{(n,k)}(t) + (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{n,k}} \mathcal{E}_{f_m^\rho, n, l_{m+1}}^{(n,k)}(t) \right\} \]
\[\leq \mathcal{D}_{f_m^\rho, N_{m+1}^+}(t).
\] (4.110)

(vii) Multiplying \((1 + t)^{-\epsilon_0}\) to (4.108), one gets
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ (1 + t)^{-\epsilon_0} \left\{ \mathcal{E}_{f_m^\rho, N_{m+1}^+}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{f_m^\rho, N_P, l_P, -\gamma}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{E}_{f_{j_1}^\rho, N_{j_1}, l_{j_1}, -\gamma}(t) \right\} \right\}
\]
\[+\mathcal{E}_{f_m^\rho, N_P, l_P, -\gamma}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{E}_{f_{j_1}^\rho, N_{j_1}, l_{j_1}, -\gamma}(t) \]
\[\leq \mathcal{D}_{\text{Total}}(t)(1 + t)^{-\sigma_{m+1,1}} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 1} \mathcal{D}_{f_m^\rho, N_{m+1}^+, N_{m+2}^-}^{(N_{m+1}^+, N_{m+2}^-)}.
\] (4.111)

With the above preparations in hand, by taking a proper linear combination of (4.105), (4.106), (4.109), (4.110), and (4.111) and by applying the smallness of \(\Xi_{\text{Total}}(t)\) and \(\eta\), we can deduce by taking the time integration with respect to \(t\) from 0 to \(T\) that the resulting differential inequality that (4.103) holds. Thus the proof of Lemma 4.20 is complete.

Now we turn to prove Theorem 2.2. To this end, recall the definition of the \(\Xi_{\text{Total}}(t)\)–norm. Lemma 4.20 tells that for the local solution \([f_m^\rho(t, x, v), E_m^\rho(t, x), B_m^\rho(t, x)]\) to the Cauchy problem (2.31)-(2.34) defined on the time interval \([0, T]\) for some 0 < \(T\) ≤ +\(\infty\), if
\[\Xi_{\text{Total}}(t) \leq M\]
holds for all \(t \in [0, T]\) and some \(\epsilon\)–independent positive constant \(M\), then there exists a sufficiently small \(\epsilon\)–independent positive constant \(\delta_0 > 0\) such that if
\[M \leq \delta_0^2,
\]
there exists a \(\epsilon\)– independent positive constant \(\overline{C} > 0\) such that
\[\Xi_{\text{Total}}(t) \leq \overline{C}^2 \eta_{\text{Total}, 0}^2
\]
holds for all 0 < \(t \leq T\).

Thus if the initial perturbation \(\eta_{\text{Total}, 0}\) is assumed to be sufficiently small such that
\[\eta_{\text{Total}, 0} \leq \frac{\delta_0}{\overline{C}},
\]
then the global existence follows by combining the local solvability result with the continuation argument in the usual way. To obtain (2.50), recall that the expansion form (2.22), we can deduce that from (4.103)
\[\sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N_m} \left\| \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} \left( \frac{F^\rho - F_P}{\mu^2} \right) \right\|^2 + \|E^\rho - E_P^\rho\|^2_{H^2_{N_{m+1}}} + \|B^\rho - B_P\|^2_{H^2_{N_{m+1}}} \leq \epsilon^{2m} \eta_{\text{Total}, 0}^2,
\] (4.112)
that is what we want. Thus the proof of Theorem 2.2 is complete. \(\square\)

5. Appendix

5.1. Appendix A. In this section, we collect several basic estimates on the linearized Boltzmann collision operator \(L\) and the nonlinear term \(\Gamma\) for hard sphere interaction. Since these estimates are well-established in [15, 17, 32] for hard sphere model and in [11, 33] for cutoff potentials, we only state the result and omit the proofs for brevity.

\(L\) is locally coercive in the sense that
\textbf{Lemma 5.1.} (cf. [5, Lemma 3.1]) Let \(-3 < \gamma \leq 1\), one has
\[
\langle Lf, f \rangle \geq |\{I - P\}f|_p^2.
\] (5.1)
Moreover, let \(|\beta| > 0\), for \(\eta > 0\) small enough and any \(\ell \in \mathbb{R}, \kappa \geq 0, 0 < q < 1, \vartheta \in \mathbb{R}\), there exists \(C_\eta > 0\) such that
\[
\langle w_{\ell,\kappa,\beta}^2Lf, \partial_\beta f \rangle \geq |w_{\ell,\kappa,\beta}^2\{I - P\}f|_p^2 - \eta \sum_{|\beta'| < |\beta|} |w_{\ell,\kappa,\beta'}\{I - P\}f|_p^2 - C_\eta \chi_{(|v| \leq 2C_\eta)}\left|f\right|^2
\] (5.2)
holds.

The second lemma is concerned with the corresponding weighted estimates on the nonlinear term \(G\). For this purpose, similar to that of [33], we can get that
\[
\partial_\beta^2 \Gamma_{\pm}(g_1, g_2) = \sum C_{\beta}^{\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2} C_{\alpha}^{\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2} \Gamma_{\pm}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \left( \partial_{\beta_1}^\alpha g_1, \partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha g_2 \right)
\] (5.3)

\[
= \sum C_{\beta}^{\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2} C_{\alpha}^{\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2} |v - u|^\gamma b(\cos \theta) \partial_{\beta_0} \mu(u) \left\{ \partial_{\beta_1}^\alpha g_1(u') \partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha g_2(u) + \partial_{\beta_1}^\alpha g_1(u') \partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha g_2(u) \right\} d\omega_d u,
\]
where
\[
g_i(t, x, v) = [g_{i+}(t, x, v), g_{i-}(t, x, v)] (i = 1, 2)
\]
and the summations are taken for all \(\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \alpha\).

\textbf{Lemma 5.2.} (cf. [5, Lemma 3.2]) Assume \(\kappa \geq 0, \ell \geq 0\). Let \(-3 < \gamma \leq 1\), \(N \geq 4\), \(g_i = g_i(t, x, v) = [g_{i+}(t, x, v), g_{i-}(t, x, v)] (i = 1, 2, 3)\), \(\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta\) and \(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \alpha\), we have the following results:

(i). When \(|\alpha_1| + |\beta_1| \leq N\), we have
\[
\left\langle w_{\ell,\kappa,\beta} \Gamma_{\pm}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \left( \partial_{\beta_1}^\alpha g_1, \partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha g_2 \right), \partial_\beta g_3 \right\rangle \lesssim \sum_{m \leq 2} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left| \nabla v \right|^{m} \left| \mu^\alpha \partial_{\beta_1}^\alpha g_1 \right|_{L^2_p} \left| \nabla \ell, \kappa, \partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha g_2 \right|_{L^2_{\ell, \kappa}} \left| \nabla w_{\ell, \kappa, \partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha g_2} \right|_{L^2_{\ell, \kappa}} \end{array} \right.
\] (5.4)

\[
\text{or}
\left\langle w_{\ell,\kappa,\beta} \Gamma_{\pm}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \left( \partial_{\beta_1}^\alpha g_1, \partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha g_2 \right), \partial_\beta g_3 \right\rangle \lesssim \sum_{m \leq 2} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left| \nabla v \right|^{m} \left| \mu^\alpha \partial_{\beta_1}^\alpha g_2 \right|_{L^2_p} \left| \nabla \ell, \kappa, \partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha g_2 \right|_{L^2_{\ell, \kappa}} \left| \nabla w_{\ell, \kappa, \partial_{\beta_2}^\alpha g_2} \right|_{L^2_{\ell, \kappa}} \end{array} \right.
\] (5.5)

(ii). Set \(\varsigma(v) = \langle v \rangle^{-\gamma} \equiv \langle v \rangle^{-1}, l \geq 0\), it holds that
\[
|\varsigma^{l} \Gamma(g_1, g_2)|_{L^2_p}^{2} \lesssim \sum_{|\beta| \leq 2} \left| \varsigma^{l-|\beta|} \partial_\beta g_1 \right|^{2}_{L^2_p} \left| \varsigma^{l} \right|^{2}_{L^2_p},
\] (5.6)

Based on Lemma 5.2, we can get some weighted estimates on the interactions between \(f^{P, \varepsilon}(t, x, v), f^{1, \varepsilon}(t, x, v), \ldots, f^{m, \varepsilon}(t, x, v)\) with respect to the weight \(w_{\ell, \kappa},(t, \gamma \varepsilon, t, v)\), whose proof will be omitted for brevity.

\textbf{Lemma 5.3.} Take \(n \geq 8\) and \(w_{\ell, \kappa} = \langle v \rangle^{-\gamma} \langle t \rangle^{-\gamma} \langle v \rangle^{\frac{q(v)^2}{(1 + v)^q}}\), one has the following estimates:

\(i\) For \(|\alpha| \leq n\) with \(j_1 + j_2 \geq m, 0 < j_1, j_2 < m\), one has
\[
\left| \partial^\alpha \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Gamma \left( f^{P, \varepsilon}, f^{m, \varepsilon} \right) + \Gamma \left( f^{m, \varepsilon}, f^{P, \varepsilon} \right) \end{array} \right. \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \varepsilon^{j_1} \left( \Gamma \left( f^{m, \varepsilon}, f^{j_1, \varepsilon} \right) + \Gamma \left( f^{j_1, \varepsilon}, f^{m, \varepsilon} \right) \right)
\] (5.7)

\[
\lesssim \mathcal{E}_{f^{P, \varepsilon}, n-1, \gamma}(t)Df^{m, \varepsilon}, n(t) + \mathcal{E}_{f^{m, \varepsilon}, n-1, n-1-\gamma}(t)Df^{P, \varepsilon}, n(t)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{E}_{f^{j_1, \varepsilon}, n-1, n-1-\gamma}(t)Df^{m, \varepsilon}, n(t) + \mathcal{E}_{f^{m, \varepsilon}, n-1, n-1-\gamma}(t)Df^{j_1, \varepsilon}, n(t)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j_1 + j_2 \geq m} \mathcal{E}_{f^{j_1, \varepsilon}, n-1, n-1-\gamma}(t)Df^{j_2, \varepsilon}, n(t) + \eta \left| \partial^\alpha \left( I - P \right) f^{m, \varepsilon}(t) \langle v \rangle^2 \right|^2,
\]
and
\[
\left| (\partial^\alpha \{ e^{m \Gamma(f_{m,e}, f_{m,e})}, \partial^\alpha f_{m,e} \}) \right| \leq E_{f_{m,e}, n-1,n-1,-\gamma}(t)D_{f_{m,e},n}(t) + \eta D_{f_{m,e},n}(t); \tag{5.8}
\]

ii) For \(1 \leq |\alpha| \leq n\), one has
\[
\begin{align*}
&\left(\partial^\alpha \left\{ \Gamma(f_{P,e}, f_{m,e}) + \Gamma(f_{m,e}, f_{P,e}) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \epsilon_{j_1} \left\{ \Gamma(f_{m,e}, f_{j_1,e}) + \Gamma(f_{j_1,e}, f_{m,e}) \right\} 
+ \sum_{j_1+j_2 \geq m, 0 < j_1, j_2 < m} \epsilon_{j_1+j_2-m} \Gamma(f_{j_1,e}, f_{j_2,e}) \right\} , w_{m-\gamma}^2 \partial^\alpha f_{m,e} \right)
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\leq E_{f_{P,e},n,n-1,-\gamma}(t)D_{f_{m,e},n,n-1,-\gamma}(t)
+ \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \{E_{f_{j_1,e},n,n-1,-\gamma}(t)D_{f_{m,e},n,n-1,-\gamma}(t) + E_{f_{m,e},n,n-1,-\gamma}(t)D_{f_{j_1,e},n,n-1,-\gamma}(t)\}
+ \sum_{j_1+j_2 \geq m, 0 < j_1, j_2 < m} E\gamma_{j_1+\gamma_{j_2}=\gamma}(t)D_{f_{j_1,e},n,n-1,-\gamma}(t) + \eta \|w_{m-\gamma} \partial^\alpha f_{m,e}(t)\|^2.
\tag{5.9}
\]

and
\[
\left| (\partial^\alpha \{ e^{m \Gamma(f_{m,e}, f_{m,e})}, w_{m-\gamma}^2 \partial^\alpha f_{m,e} \}) \right| \leq E_{f_{m,e},n,n-1,-\gamma}(t)D_{f_{m,e},n,n-1,-\gamma}(t) + \eta D_{f_{m,e},n,n-1,-\gamma}(t); \tag{5.10}
\]

iii) For \(|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq n\), one has
\[
\begin{align*}
&\left(\partial^\beta \left\{ \Gamma(f_{P,e}, f_{m,e}) + \Gamma(f_{m,e}, f_{P,e}) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \epsilon_{j_1} \left\{ \Gamma(f_{m,e}, f_{j_1,e}) + \Gamma(f_{j_1,e}, f_{m,e}) \right\} 
+ \sum_{j_1+j_2 \geq m, 0 < j_1, j_2 < m} \epsilon_{j_1+j_2-m} \Gamma(f_{j_1,e}, f_{j_2,e}) \right\} , w_{m-|\beta|-\gamma}^2 \partial^\beta \{ I - P \} f_{m,e} \right)
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\leq E_{f_{P,e},n,n-1,-\gamma}(t)D_{f_{m,e},n,n-1,-\gamma}(t)
+ \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \{E_{f_{j_1,e},n,n-1,-\gamma}(t)D_{f_{m,e},n,n-1,-\gamma}(t) + E_{f_{m,e},n,n-1,-\gamma}(t)D_{f_{j_1,e},n,n-1,-\gamma}(t)\}
+ \sum_{j_1+j_2 \geq m, 0 < j_1, j_2 < m} E_{f_{j_1,e},n,n-1,-\gamma}(t)D_{f_{j_2,e},n,n-1,-\gamma}(t) + \eta \|w_{m-|\beta|-\gamma} \partial^\beta \{ I - P \} f_{m,e}(t)\|^2
\tag{5.11}
\]

and
\[
\left| (\partial^\beta \{ e^{m \Gamma(f_{m,e}, f_{m,e})}, w_{m-|\beta|-\gamma}^2 \partial^\beta \{ I - P \} f_{m,e} \}) \right| \leq E_{f_{m,e},n,n-1,-\gamma}(t)D_{f_{m,e},n,n-1,-\gamma}(t) + \eta D_{f_{m,e},n,n-1,-\gamma}(t). \tag{5.12}
\]

For the corresponding estimates with respect to the weight \(w_{m-|\beta|,1}(t,v)\), we have

**Lemma 5.4.** Take \(n \geq 8\) and \(w_{m-|\beta|,1}(t,v) = \langle v \rangle^{\epsilon_{m-|\beta|,1}(t,v)^2} e^{(1+|\beta|)^2/4}, \) one has the following estimates:

i) For \(1 \leq |\alpha| \leq n\), one has
\[
\begin{align*}
&\left(\partial^\alpha \left\{ \Gamma(f_{P,e}, f_{m,e}) + \Gamma(f_{m,e}, f_{P,e}) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \epsilon_{j_1} \left\{ \Gamma(f_{m,e}, f_{j_1,e}) + \Gamma(f_{j_1,e}, f_{m,e}) \right\} 
+ \sum_{j_1+j_2 \geq m, 0 < j_1, j_2 < m} \epsilon_{j_1+j_2-m} \Gamma(f_{j_1,e}, f_{j_2,e}) + e^m \Gamma(f_{m,e}, f_{m,e}) \right\} , w_{m,1}^2 \partial^\alpha f_{m,e} \right)
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\leq E_{f_{P,e},n,n-1,-\gamma}(t)D_{f_{m,e},n,n-1,-\gamma}(t) + \eta D_{f_{m,e},n,n-1,-\gamma}(t)\tag{5.13}
\]

For the corresponding estimates with respect to the weight \(w_{m-|\beta|,1}(t,v)\), we have

**Lemma 5.4.** Take \(n \geq 8\) and \(w_{m-|\beta|,1}(t,v) = \langle v \rangle^{\epsilon_{m-|\beta|,1}(t,v)^2} e^{(1+|\beta|)^2/4}, \) one has the following estimates:
\[ \begin{align*}
\sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{E}_{f^{j_1 \cdot}, n, \frac{t^{*}_{m, \gamma}}{m}, \gamma}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{E}_{f^{j_1 \cdot}, n, \frac{t^{*}_{m, \gamma}}{m}, \gamma}(t) \\
& \times \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \alpha} \mathcal{D}_{f^{m, \cdot}, j, \ell_{m, 1}, \gamma}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{f^{m, \cdot}, n}(t) \right\} \\
& + \chi_{|\alpha|=1} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 2} \mathcal{E}_{f^{j, \cdot}, j, \ell_{m, 1}, \gamma}(t) \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 2} \mathcal{D}_{f^{j, \cdot}, j, \ell_{m, 1}, \gamma}(t) \\
& + \chi_{|\alpha| \geq 2} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq |\alpha|} \mathcal{E}_{f^{j, \cdot}, j, \ell_{m, 1}, \gamma}(t) \times \sum_{0 \leq j \leq |\alpha|} \mathcal{D}_{f^{j, \cdot}, j, \ell_{m, 1}, \gamma}(t) \\
& + \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq j \leq |\alpha|} \mathcal{E}_{f^{j, \cdot}, j, \ell_{m, 1}, \gamma}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{f^{m, \cdot}, n}(t) \right\} \\
& \left\{ \mathcal{D}_{f^{j_1 \cdot}, n, \frac{t^{*}_{m, \gamma}}{m}, \gamma}(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{D}_{f^{j_1 \cdot}, n, \frac{t^{*}_{m, \gamma}}{m}, \gamma}(t) \right\} \\
& + \sum_{j_1 + j_2 \geq m, 0 \leq j_1, j_2 < m} \mathcal{E}_{f^{j_1 \cdot}, n, \frac{t^{*}_{m, \gamma}}{m}, \gamma}(t) \mathcal{D}_{f^{j_2 \cdot}, n, \frac{t^{*}_{m, \gamma}}{m}, \gamma}(t) + \eta \left\| w_{\ell_{m, 1}} \partial^{m} f^{m, \cdot}(v) \right\|^{2} ;
\end{align*} \]

iii) For \( 1 \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq n \) with \( |\beta| \geq 1 \) or \( |\alpha| = |\beta| = 0 \), one has

\[ \begin{align*}
\partial_{\beta} \left\{ \Gamma \left( f^{P, \cdot}, f^{m, \cdot} \right) + \Gamma \left( f^{m, \cdot}, f^{j, \cdot} \right) + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq m-1} \mathcal{E}_{\gamma} \left( f^{m, \cdot}, f^{j_1, \cdot} \right) + \Gamma \left( f^{j_1, \cdot}, f^{m, \cdot} \right) \right\} \\
+ \sum_{j_1 + j_2 = m, 0 \leq j_1, j_2 < m} \mathcal{E}_{\gamma} \left( f^{j_1, \cdot}, f^{j_2, \cdot} \right) + \mathcal{E}_{\gamma} \left( f^{m, \cdot}, f^{m, \cdot} \right) \right\}, w_{\ell_{m, 1}} \partial_{\beta} \left\| \partial^{m} f^{m, \cdot}(v) \right\|^{2} ;
\end{align*} \]

5.2. Appendix B. To deduce the desired energy estimates, we have to obtain the corresponding energy estimates with respect to \( f^{P, \cdot} \) and \( f^{j, \cdot} \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq m \). The first result is concerned with the estimates on \( f^{P, \cdot} \).
To this end, define

$$
\Xi_{\text{Total}, P}(t) \equiv \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq n \leq N_P, \ 0 \leq \ell \leq \tau} (1 + \tau)^{-\sigma_{n,k}} E_{f^{P, \epsilon},N_P,\ell,-\gamma}(\tau) + \mathcal{E}_{f^{P, \epsilon},N_P,\ell,-\gamma}(\tau) \right\} \lesssim M_P, \quad (5.14)
$$

where $M_P$ is a sufficiently small positive constant independent of $\epsilon$.

Under the a priori estimates (5.14), we have

**Proposition 5.1.** Take

- $-3 < \gamma < -1$, $q \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right)$, $N_P \geq 5$;
- $\vartheta$ satisfies

$$
0 < \vartheta \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\gamma - 2}{4\gamma - 2} \left( \frac{5}{2} + \varrho \right) - 1, \frac{\varrho}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \right\};
$$

- $\sigma_{n,0} = 0$ for $n \leq N_P$ and

$$
\sigma_{n,k} - \sigma_{n,k-1} = \frac{2(1 + \gamma)}{\gamma - 2} (1 + \vartheta), 1 \leq k \leq n, 1 \leq n \leq N_P;
$$

- there exists $\bar{l}_P > \frac{N_P + \varrho}{2}$, $\ell_P \geq N_P$, $l_P \geq \bar{l}_P$, $\bar{\ell}_P \geq \frac{\gamma}{2} - \frac{2\gamma N_P \varrho}{1 + \varrho}$ and $l_P \geq \bar{\ell}_P - \gamma l_P$;

- $F^{P,0}(x, v) = \mu + \sqrt{\tau} f^{P,0}(x, v) \geq 0$.

If we assume further that

$$
\mathcal{Y}_{P,0} = \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N_P} \left\| (v)^{[\alpha]-[\beta]} e^{\varrho(v)^2} \partial_{\beta} f^{P,\epsilon}_0 \right\| + \left\| (f^{P,\epsilon}_0, E^{P,\epsilon}_0) \right\|_{H^{-\varrho}} \quad (5.15)
$$

is bounded from above by some sufficiently small positive constant independent of $\epsilon$, the Cauchy problem (2.36), (2.37) admits a unique global solution $\left[ f^{P,\epsilon}(t, x, v), E^{P,\epsilon}(t, x) \right]$ satisfying $F^P(t, x, v) = \mu + \sqrt{\tau} f^{P,\epsilon}(t, x, v) \geq 0$.

Furthermore, one also has

$$
\frac{d}{dt} E_{f^{P,\epsilon},N_P,\ell,-\gamma}(t) + D_{f^{P,\epsilon},N_P,\ell,-\gamma}(t) \lesssim 0, \quad (5.16)
$$

$$
\frac{d}{dt} E_{f^{P,\epsilon},N_P}(t) + D_{f^{P,\epsilon},N_P}(t) \lesssim 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \cdots, N_P - 1, \quad (5.17)
$$

and

$$
(1 + t)^{\varrho + k} E_{f^{P,\epsilon},N_P}(t) \lesssim \mathcal{Y}_{P,0}, \quad k = 0, 1, \cdots, N_P - 1, \quad (5.19)
$$

$$
(1 + t)^{\varrho + k} E_{f^{P,\epsilon},N_P,\ell,-\gamma}(t) \lesssim \mathcal{Y}_{P,0}, \quad k = 0, 1, \cdots, N_P - 2, \quad (5.20)
$$

and

$$
(1 + t)^{-\varrho - k} E_{f^{P,\epsilon},N_P,\ell,-\gamma}(t) \lesssim \mathcal{Y}_{P,0}, \quad k = 0, 1, \cdots, n. \quad (5.21)
$$

where $0 \leq n \leq N_P$.

**Proof.** In fact, $[F^{P,\epsilon}(t, x, v), E^{P,\epsilon}(t, x)]$ satisfy the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system with a given magnetic field, this proposition can be obtained by a similar argument as [5, 23]. We omit its proof for simplicity. $\Box$

Based on the above proposition, for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m - 1$, we can obtain the corresponding results on the global solvability of the Cauchy problem (2.38)-(2.41) together with some estimates on its global solution $[f^{i,\epsilon}(t, x, v), E^{i,\epsilon}(t, x)]$ by the method of mathematical induction.

In fact, if we define

$$
\Xi_{\text{Total}, i}(t) \equiv \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq n \leq N_i, \ 0 \leq \ell \leq \tau} (1 + \tau)^{-\sigma_{n,k}} E_{f^{i,\epsilon},m_i,\ell}\tau(1)(\tau) + \mathcal{E}_{f^{i,\epsilon},N_i,\ell,-\gamma}(\tau) + \chi_{i2} \sum_{0 < j_1 < i} \sum_{0 \leq n \leq N_i, \ 0 \leq k \leq n} (1 + \tau)^{-\sigma_{n,k}} E_{f^{i,\epsilon},N_i,\ell}\tau(1)(\tau) + \chi_{i2} \sum_{0 < j_1 < i} \mathcal{E}_{f^{i,\epsilon},N_i,\ell,-\gamma}(\tau)
$$

...
where $M_i$ is a sufficiently small positive constant independent of $\epsilon$, we can get by repeating the arguments used in deducing Lemma 4.16, Lemma 4.17, Lemma 4.20, and by the method of mathematical induction that

**Proposition 5.2.** Assume

- $\gamma \in (-3, -1)$, $\vartheta \in [1, \frac{3}{2})$;
- $\vartheta$ satisfies
  \[
  0 < \vartheta \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\gamma - 2}{4\gamma - 2} \left( \frac{5}{2} + \vartheta \right) - 1, \frac{\vartheta}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \right\};
  \]
- $N_P, N_i$ and $\sigma_{n,k}$ can be taken as
  - $N_i \geq 5$, $N_j \geq N_{j+1} + 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq i - 1$, $N_p \geq N_i + 1$;
  - $\sigma_{n,0} = 0$ for $n \leq N_i$ and
    \[
    \sigma_{n,k} - \sigma_{n,k-1} = \frac{2(1 + \gamma)}{\gamma - 2} (1 + \vartheta), 1 \leq k \leq n, 1 \leq n \leq N_i;
    \]
  - there exists $\bar{\ell}_i > \frac{N_i + 1}{2}$, $\bar{\ell}_j - 1 > \bar{\ell}_j$ for $1 < j \leq i$, $\bar{\ell}_j > \bar{\ell}_j$ where $\bar{\ell}_j$ satisfying the assumptions in Proposition 5.1;
  - $\bar{\ell}_i \geq \gamma - 2\frac{\gamma + 1}{1 + \theta}$, $\ell_i \geq N_i$, $l_i \geq \bar{\ell}_i + 1$ and $l_i^* \geq \bar{\ell}_i - \gamma l_i$;
  - $\bar{\ell}_j \geq \frac{\gamma}{2} - 2\frac{\gamma + 1}{1 + \theta}$, $\ell_j \geq -\frac{l_{j+1}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{2}$, $l_j \geq \ell_j + \bar{\ell}_j$ and $l_j^* \geq \bar{\ell}_j - \gamma l_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq i - 1$;
  - $\bar{\ell}_p \geq \frac{\gamma}{2} - 2\frac{\gamma + 1}{1 + \theta}$, $\ell_p \geq -\frac{l_{p+1}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{2}$, $l_p \geq \ell_p + \bar{\ell}_p$ and $l_p^* \geq \bar{\ell}_p - \gamma l_p$.

If we assume further that

\[
\mathcal{W}_{l,0} \equiv \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N_i} \left\| \left( \langle t \rangle^{l_i^*} - |\beta| e^{q(v)} \partial_\beta \right) f_{j,0}^{i,e} \right\|_{H_{l_j}^{N_i}} + \left\| E_0^{i,e} \right\|_{H_{l_j}^{N_i}} + \left\| \Lambda^{-\theta} \left[ f_0^{i,e}, E_0^{i,e} \right] \right\|
\]

\[+ \sum_{0 < j < i} \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N_i} \left\| \langle t \rangle^{l_i^* - |\beta|} e^{q(v)} \partial_\beta f_{j,0}^{i,e} \right\|_{H_{l_j}^{N_i}} + \left\| E_0 f_{j,1}^{i,e} \right\|_{H_{l_j}^{N_i}} + \left\| \Lambda^{-\theta} \left[ f_0^{i,e}, E_0^{i,e} \right] \right\| \right\}
\]

\[+ \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N_P} \left\| \langle t \rangle^{l_P^* - |\beta|} e^{q(v)} \partial_\beta f_{j,0}^{P,e} \right\|_{H_{l_j}^{N_P}} + \left\| E_0 f_{0}^{P,e} \right\|_{H_{l_j}^{N_P}} + \left\| \Lambda^{-\theta} \left[ f_0^{P,e}, E_0^{P,e} \right] \right\|
\]

is bounded from above by some sufficiently small positive constant independent of $\epsilon$, then the Cauchy problem

\[
\text{(2.38)-(2.41)}
\]

admits a unique global solution $[f^{i,e}(t, x, v), E^{i,e}(t, x)]$ which satisfies

\[
\Xi_{\text{total},i}(t) \lesssim \mathcal{W}_{l,0}. \tag{5.24}
\]

Moreover, one also has

\[
\frac{d}{dt} f^{i,e}_{j,i,N_i,i,-\gamma}(t) + \mathcal{D} f^{i,e}_{j,i,N_i,i,-\gamma}(t) \lesssim 0, \quad 0 < i < m \tag{5.25}
\]

and for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N_i - 1$, one has

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ E^{k}_{f,i,N_i}(t) + E^{k}_{f,0,N_P}(t) + \chi_{i \geq 2} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq i-1} E^{k}_{f,i,N_j}(t) \right\}
\]

\[+ \mathcal{D} f^{k}_{j,i,N_i}(t) + \mathcal{D} f^{k}_{j,0,N_P}(t) + \chi_{i \geq 2} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq i-1} D^{k}_{f,i,N_j}(t) \leq 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \tag{5.26}
\]

As a consequence of (5.26), we can get that

\[
(1 + t)^{k+\vartheta} \left\{ E^{k}_{f,i,N_i}(t) + E^{k}_{f,0,N_P}(t) + \chi_{i \geq 2} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq i-1} E^{k}_{f,i,N_j}(t) \right\} \lesssim \mathcal{W}_{l,0}. \tag{5.27}
\]
and for $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, N_i - 2$, then one has
\[
\frac{d}{dt}\left\{\mathcal{E}_{f_{i,\epsilon}, N_i, \ell_i, -\gamma}^k(t) + \mathcal{E}_{f_{P, \epsilon}, N_P, \ell_{P, \epsilon}, -\gamma}^k(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq i-1} \mathcal{E}_{f_{j, \epsilon}, N_j, \ell_j, -\gamma}^k(t)\right\} \\
+ \mathcal{D}_{f_{i,\epsilon}, N_i, \ell_i, -\gamma}^k(t) + \mathcal{D}_{f_{P, \epsilon}, N_P, \ell_{P, \epsilon}, -\gamma}^k(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq i-1} \mathcal{D}_{f_{j, \epsilon}, N_j, \ell_j, -\gamma}^k(t) \leq 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,
\]
(5.28)
as a consequence of (5.28), we can get that
\[
(1 + t)^{k+\sigma_0} \left\{\mathcal{E}_{f_{i,\epsilon}, N_i, \ell_i, -\gamma}^k(t) + \sum_{0 < j < i} \mathcal{E}_{f_{j, \epsilon}, N_j, \ell_j, -\gamma}^k(t) + \mathcal{E}_{f_{P, \epsilon}, N_P, \ell_{P, \epsilon}, -\gamma}^k(t)\right\} \lesssim \gamma^2_{i,0}.
\]
(5.29)

Furthermore, for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m - 1$, one can get that
\[
\sum_{0 \leq j_1 < i} \sum_{0 \leq k_i \leq n_i} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{n,k}} \mathcal{E}_{f_{i,\epsilon}, N_i, \ell_i, -\gamma}^k(t) + \sum_{0 \leq j_1 < i} \sum_{0 \leq k_i \leq n_i} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{n,k}} \mathcal{E}_{f_{i,\epsilon}, N_i, \ell_i, -\gamma}^k(t) \\
+ \sum_{0 \leq j_1 < i} \sum_{0 \leq k_i \leq n_i} (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{n,k}} \mathcal{E}_{f_{P, \epsilon}, N_P, \ell_{P, \epsilon}, -\gamma}^k(t) \lesssim \gamma^2_{i,0}.
\]
(5.30)

Remark 5.1. For the Cauchy problem (2.36), (2.37) and the Cauchy problem (2.38)-(2.41) for the whole range of cutoff intermolecular interactions, since both equations under our considerations are Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann type equations with given constant magnetic field, the arguments used to deduce Lemma 4.16, Lemma 4.17, Lemma 4.20 can be adopted to yield the desired results listed in Propositions 5.1 and 5.2 by employing two sets of weighted energy estimates with the weights $w_{r_{-|\beta|}, -\gamma}(t, v)$ and $w_{r_{-|\beta|}, -\gamma}(t, v)$ respectively. Although the estimates obtained in Propositions 5.1 and 5.2 are sufficient to prove Theorem 2.2, these results can indeed be improved.

In fact, for the moderately soft potentials (i.e. for the case of $-2 \leq \gamma < 0$), by employing the argument developed in [11], we can use a single set of weighted energy method with weights $w_{r_{-|\beta|}, -\gamma}(t, v)$ to deduce similar global solvability results for both the Cauchy problem (2.36), (2.37) and the Cauchy problem (2.38)-(2.41) and to deduce nice temporal decay estimates on $[f^{P,\epsilon}(t, x, v), E^{P,\epsilon}(t, v)]$ and $[f^{P,\epsilon}(t, x, v), E^{P,\epsilon}(t, x)]$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m - 1$ which are sufficient to prove Theorem 2.2, provided that the initial data are assumed to satisfy the neutral conditions.

For the very soft potentials (i.e. for the case of $-3 < \gamma < -2$), by employing the argument developed in [39], we can still use a single set of weighted energy method with weights $w_{r_{-|\beta|}, -\gamma}(t, v)$ to get similar global solvability results for both the Cauchy problem (2.36), (2.37) and the Cauchy problem (2.38)-(2.41) and to deduce the desired temporal decay estimates. But, as in [39], we had to deduce the almost optimal decay estimates on $[f^{P,\epsilon}(t, x, v), E^{P,\epsilon}(t, x)]$ and $[f^{P,\epsilon}(t, x, v), E^{P,\epsilon}(t, x)]$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m - 1$ themselves, certain orders of derivatives with respect the space and velocity variables, and even the macroscopic component and microscopic component of them. Since such an improvement will lead to quite complex analysis, we thus state the somewhat weaker results in Propositions 5.1 and 5.2 for simplicity of presentation.
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