# KNÖDEL WALKS IN A BÖHM-HORNIK ENVIRONMENT 

HELMUT PRODINGER


#### Abstract

Ideas of Knödel and Böhm-Hornik about walks in certain graphs, resembling the classical symmetric random walk on the integers, are combined. All the relevant generating functions (although occasionally quite involved) are made fully explicit.


## 1. Introduction

The standard random walk on the non-negative integers may be visualized by the following graph (only the first 8 states are shown):


Figure 1. Standard symmetric random walk on the non-negative integers
One starts in state 0 and can go up/down one step, each with the same probability. Böhm and Hornik [1] introduces a related model: up-steps occur with probability $\alpha$ and down-steps occur with probability $\beta=1-\alpha$, but after each step $\alpha$ and $\beta$ change their roles. The follow graph is useful to grasp the idea.


Figure 2. Red edges are labelled with the weight $\alpha$, blue edges with $\beta$
Böhm and Hornik [1] consider random walks to the non-negative integers and on the full set of integers as well. Alternative/additional analysis can be found in [5].

Another twist of a random walk occurs in a model introduced by Knödel [4: There are bins of size 1 and small items (size $\frac{1}{3}$ ) and large items (size $\frac{2}{3}$ ) arrive with the same probability. States correspond to boxes filled with just one large item each. There is one exception, when a small item arrives at the origin. In this case, it cannot be used to complete a partially filled bin, and an extra state is introduced. See [7] and some referenced papers for analysis.

It is the purpose of this paper to combine the ideas of Knödel and Böhm-Hornik: Large items arrive with probability $\alpha$ and small items with probability $\beta$, but after each step the roles of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are changed. The graph with two layers of states will explain the scenario readily. The rest of the paper is devoted to derive generating functions for walks starting at the origin and ending in a prescribed state. The kernel method [7] and the heavy use of computer algebra (Maple) will be essential.


Figure 3. The Knödel-Böhm-Hornik graph
First, we start with a direct approach, which is a brute-force procedure. It leads to four equations, and eventually to biquadratic equations. Computers are capable of handling this, but the next section mostly serves as an invitation to a more sophisticated approach, using only two functions (not four). And, lo and behold, after a certain substitution, the ugly beast turns into a beautiful swan.

## 2. Brute-force Analysis

We introduce the following generating functions: $f_{i}=f_{i}(z)$ has as coefficient of $z^{n}$ the probability to reach state $i$ from the upper layer in $n$ steps, starting from the origin (state 0 ). The function $g_{i}$ is similar, but refers to the lower layer of states. Finally, the extra states and their generating functions are called $P$ resp. $Q$.

From the diagram, considering the last step made, one can see the recursions ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{i} & =\beta z f_{i-1}+\alpha z f_{i+1}, i=2,4,6, \ldots, \\
f_{i} & =\alpha z f_{i-1}+\beta z f_{i+1}, i=3,5,7, \ldots, \\
f_{1} & =\alpha z f_{0}+\beta z f_{2}+\beta z Q=\alpha z f_{0}+\beta z f_{2}+\beta \alpha z^{2} g_{0}, \\
f_{0} & =1+\beta z P+\alpha z f_{1}=1+\beta^{2} z^{2} f_{0}+\alpha z f_{1}, \\
P & =\beta z f_{0}, \\
g_{i} & =\alpha z g_{i-1}+\beta z g_{i+1}, i=2,4,6, \ldots, \\
g_{i} & =\beta z g_{i-1}+\alpha z g_{i+1}, i=3,5,7, \ldots, \\
g_{1} & =\beta z g_{0}+\alpha z g_{2}+\alpha z P=\beta z g_{0}+\alpha z g_{2}+\alpha \beta z^{2} f_{0}, \\
g_{0} & =\alpha z Q+\beta z g_{1}=\alpha^{2} z^{2} g_{0}+\beta z g_{1}, \\
Q & =\alpha z g_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to attack this system, we introduce a second variable $u$ and consider the following four bivariate generating functions:

$$
F_{e}(u)=\sum_{i \geq 0} u^{2 i} f_{2 i}, \quad F_{o}(u)=\sum_{i \geq 0} u^{2 i+1} f_{2 i+1},
$$

[^0]$$
G_{e}(u)=\sum_{i \geq 0} u^{2 i} g_{2 i}, \quad G_{o}(u)=\sum_{i \geq 0} u^{2 i+1} g_{2 i+1}
$$
'e' stands for 'even', 'o' stands for odd. Summing the first recursion, we find (omitting the variable $u$ for the moment)
$$
F_{e}-f_{0}=\beta z u F_{o}+\frac{\alpha z}{u}\left(F_{o}-u f_{1}\right)
$$
adding the recursion for $f_{0}$ leads to
$$
F_{e}=\beta z u F_{o}+\frac{\alpha z}{u} F_{o}+1+\beta^{2} z^{2} f_{0} .
$$

Similarly, for the odd indices

$$
F_{o}-u f_{1}=\alpha z u\left(F_{e}-f_{0}\right)+\frac{\beta z}{u}\left(F_{e}-f_{0}-u^{2} f_{2}\right)
$$

and further

$$
F_{o}=\alpha z u F_{e}+\frac{\beta z}{u}\left(F_{e}-f_{0}\right)+u \beta \alpha z^{2} g_{0}
$$

The same procedure is done for the even indices and the $g_{i}$ 's:

$$
G_{e}-g_{0}=\alpha z u G_{o}+\frac{\beta z}{u}\left(G_{o}-u g_{1}\right)
$$

and

$$
G_{e}=\alpha z u G_{o}+\frac{\beta z}{u} G_{o}+\alpha^{2} z^{2} g_{0}
$$

Finally, for the odd indices

$$
G_{o}-u g_{1}=\beta z u\left(G_{e}-g_{0}\right)+\frac{\alpha z}{u}\left(G_{e}-g_{0}-u^{2} g_{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
G_{o}=\beta z u G_{e}+\frac{\alpha z}{u}\left(G_{e}-g_{0}\right)+u \alpha \beta z^{2} f_{0}
$$

For the reader's convenience we collected the four equations that we (and Maple) have to deal with:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{e} & =\beta z u F_{o}+\frac{\alpha z}{u} F_{o}+1+\beta^{2} z^{2} f_{0} \\
F_{o} & =\alpha z u F_{e}+\frac{\beta z}{u}\left(F_{e}-f_{0}\right)+u \beta \alpha z^{2} g_{0} \\
G_{e} & =\alpha z u G_{o}+\frac{\beta z}{u} G_{o}+\alpha^{2} z^{2} g_{0} \\
G_{o} & =\beta z u G_{e}+\frac{\alpha z}{u}\left(G_{e}-g_{0}\right)+u \alpha \beta z^{2} f_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

we note again that $f_{0}=F_{e}(0)$ and $g_{0}=G_{e}(0)$.
Maple can solve this, but the solution is implicit since it still depends on $f_{0}$ and $g_{0}$. The expressions are quite long, and they all share the same denominator $D$ :

$$
D=u^{2}-z^{2} u^{4} \alpha-z^{2} u^{2}+2 u^{2} \alpha z^{2}+\alpha^{2} z^{2} u^{4}-2 u^{2} \alpha^{2} z^{2}-z^{2} \alpha+z^{2} \alpha^{2}
$$

Then $D F_{e}=u^{2}-\alpha f_{0} z^{2}+\alpha^{2} f_{0} z^{2}-2 z^{3} u^{4} \alpha^{2} g_{0}+z^{3} u^{4} \alpha g_{0}+z^{3} u^{2} \alpha^{2} g_{0}+z^{3} \alpha^{3} u^{4} g_{0}-$ $z^{3} u^{2} \alpha^{3} g_{0}$ and $D F_{o}=u z\left(-u^{2} \alpha^{2} z g_{0}-f_{0}+\alpha f_{0}+u^{2} \alpha z g_{0}-z^{2} \alpha^{3} f_{0}+\alpha u^{2}-\alpha+\alpha^{3} z^{2} u^{2} f_{0}+\right.$ $\left.1+u^{2} \alpha z^{2} f_{0}-2 u^{2} \alpha^{2} z^{2} f_{0}+f_{0} z^{2}-3 \alpha f_{0} z^{2}+3 \alpha^{2} f_{0} z^{2}\right)$ and $D G_{e}=-\alpha z^{2}\left(-\alpha u^{4} z f_{0}+\right.$
$\left.\alpha^{2} u^{4} z f_{0}+g_{0}-u^{2} z f_{0}+2 u^{2} \alpha z f_{0}-\alpha g_{0}-u^{2} \alpha^{2} z f_{0}\right)$ and finally $D G_{o}=-u z \alpha\left(-u^{2} \alpha z^{2} g_{0}+\right.$ $\left.g_{0}-u^{2} z f_{0}+u^{2} \alpha z f_{0}+u^{2} \alpha^{2} z^{2} g_{0}-\alpha^{2} z^{2} g_{0}\right)$.

The denominator $D$ has 4 roots, considering $u$ as the variable:

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{\alpha(1-\alpha)\left(1-2 z^{2} \alpha^{2}+2 z^{2} \alpha-z^{2}-\sqrt{(1-z)(1+z)(1-z+2 z \alpha)(1+z-2 z \alpha)}\right)}}{\sqrt{2} z \alpha(1-\alpha)}, \\
s_{2}=-s_{1}, \quad s_{3}=\frac{1}{s_{1}}, s_{4}=\frac{1}{s_{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

The factors $u-s_{1}$ and $u-s_{2}$ are 'bad' in the sense of the kernel method [7], i. e., they don't lead to a power series expansion around the origin. Consequently, the numerators of the four functions must be divisible by both factors. Applying this principle to $F_{e}$ and $G_{e}$ leads to two equations, from which $f_{0}$ and $g_{0}$ can be computed. Again, the expressions are long, and an auxiliary quantity $W$ is used:

$$
W=\sqrt{(1-z)(z+1)(1-z+2 z \alpha)(1+z-2 z \alpha)} .
$$

Here are the results:

$$
f_{0}=\frac{\Xi_{1}}{4 \alpha^{2} z^{4}(-1+z)(z+1)(-1+\alpha)^{2}\left(-1+z^{2}-3 z^{2} \alpha+3 z^{2} \alpha^{2}\right)}
$$

with $\Xi_{1}=\left(-3 z^{4} \alpha^{2}+\alpha^{2} W z^{2}+3 z^{2} \alpha^{2}+3 z^{4} \alpha-a W z^{2}-3 z^{2} \alpha-z^{4}+W z^{2}+2 z^{2}-1-\right.$ $W)\left(2 z^{2} \alpha^{2}-2 z^{2} \alpha+z^{2}-1+W\right)$
and

$$
g_{0}=\frac{\Xi_{2}}{8 z^{7}(-1+z)(z+1)(-1+\alpha)^{4}\left(-1+z^{2}-3 z^{2} \alpha+3 z^{2} \alpha^{2}\right) \alpha^{3}}
$$

with $\Xi_{2}=\left(-3 z^{4} \alpha^{2}+\alpha^{2} W z^{2}+3 z^{2} \alpha^{2}+3 z^{4} \alpha-a W z^{2}-3 z^{2} \alpha-z^{4}+W z^{2}+2 z^{2}-1-\right.$ $W)\left(-z^{4} \alpha^{2}+2 z^{4} \alpha^{3}+1-3 z^{2} \alpha^{2}-W+\alpha^{2} W z^{2}-z^{2}+2 z^{2} \alpha\right)\left(2 z^{2} \alpha^{2}-2 z^{2} \alpha+z^{2}-1+W\right)$.

Plugging these results in and simplifying, we find explicit expressions for all four generating functions of interest, again with a common denominator $M$ :
$M=(-1+z)(z+1)(-1+\alpha)^{3}\left(-1+z^{2}-3 z^{2} \alpha+3 z^{2} \alpha^{2}\right)\left(u^{2}-z^{2} u^{4} \alpha-z^{2} u^{2}+2 u^{2} \alpha z^{2}+\right.$ $\left.\alpha^{2} z^{2} u^{4}-2 u^{2} \alpha^{2} z^{2}-z^{2} \alpha+z^{2} \alpha^{2}\right)$.

The first function:
$4 z^{6} \alpha^{3} M F_{e}=-\left(3 z^{4} \alpha^{2}-\alpha^{2} W z^{2}-3 z^{2} \alpha^{2}-3 z^{4} \alpha+a W z^{2}+3 z^{2} \alpha+z^{4}-W z^{2}-2 z^{2}+\right.$ $W+1)\left(-z^{4} \alpha^{4}-z^{4} \alpha^{2}+2 z^{4} \alpha^{3}-23 z^{4} u^{2} \alpha^{2}+12 z^{4} u^{2} \alpha+22 z^{4} u^{2} \alpha^{3}-14 \alpha^{4} z^{4} u^{2}-9 z^{4} u^{4} \alpha^{3}-\right.$ $2 z^{4} u^{4} \alpha+6 z^{4} u^{4} \alpha^{2}+5 z^{4} u^{4} \alpha^{4}-3 z^{4} u^{2}-6 z^{6} \alpha^{5}-4 z^{6} \alpha^{3}+7 z^{6} \alpha^{4}+2 \alpha^{6} z^{6}+z^{6} \alpha^{2}-6 u^{2} z^{6} \alpha^{5}-$ $22 u^{2} z^{6} \alpha^{3}+16 u^{2} z^{6} \alpha^{4}+5 u^{2} \alpha^{6} z^{6}+16 u^{2} z^{6} \alpha^{2}-6 u^{2} z^{6} \alpha-5 \alpha^{6} z^{6} u^{4}-13 \alpha^{4} z^{6} u^{4}+11 \alpha^{5} z^{6} u^{4}+$ $11 \alpha^{3} z^{6} u^{4}-5 \alpha^{2} z^{6} u^{4}+2 \alpha^{7} z^{8} u^{4}+\alpha^{5} z^{8} u^{4}-3 \alpha^{6} z^{8} u^{4}+z^{6} u^{4} \alpha+u^{2} z^{6}-2 u^{2} \alpha^{7} z^{8}+u^{2} \alpha^{6} z^{8}+$ $z^{2} u^{4} \alpha+3 z^{2} u^{2}-\alpha^{2} z^{2} u^{4}-6 u^{2} \alpha z^{2}+7 u^{2} \alpha^{2} z^{2}-u^{2}+4 z^{2} u^{2} a W-z^{2} u^{4} a W+z^{2} u^{4} \alpha^{2} W-$ $5 u^{2} \alpha^{2} z^{2} W-8 u^{2} \alpha^{3} W z^{4}+6 u^{2} \alpha^{4} W z^{4}+8 u^{2} \alpha^{2} W z^{4}-4 u^{2} W z^{4} \alpha-3 \alpha^{2} z^{4} u^{4} W+5 \alpha^{3} z^{4} u^{4} W-$ $3 \alpha^{4} z^{4} u^{4} W+\alpha^{6} z^{6} u^{4} W+z^{4} u^{4} a W-z^{6} u^{4} \alpha^{5} W-u^{2} \alpha^{6} z^{6} W+u^{2} z^{4} W+\alpha^{2} W z^{4}-2 \alpha^{3} W z^{4}-$ $\left.2 z^{2} u^{2} W+\alpha^{4} W z^{4}+u^{2} W\right)$.

The second function:
$4 z^{5} \alpha^{3} /(\alpha-1) / u M F_{o}=\left(-3 z^{4} \alpha^{2}+\alpha^{2} W z^{2}+3 z^{2} \alpha^{2}+3 z^{4} \alpha-a W z^{2}-3 z^{2} \alpha-z^{4}+\right.$ $\left.W z^{2}+2 z^{2}-1-W\right)\left(1+12 z^{4} \alpha^{4}+27 z^{4} \alpha^{2}-25 z^{4} \alpha^{3}-14 z^{4} \alpha-z^{6}-7 z^{4} u^{2} \alpha^{2}+2 z^{4} u^{2} \alpha+\right.$ $10 z^{4} u^{2} \alpha^{3}-5 \alpha^{4} z^{4} u^{2}+3 z^{4}+8 z^{6} \alpha^{5}+29 z^{6} \alpha^{3}-22 z^{6} \alpha^{4}-2 \alpha^{6} z^{6}-20 z^{6} \alpha^{2}+7 z^{6} \alpha+5 W z^{4} \alpha-$ $z^{4} W-5 a W z^{2}+5 \alpha^{2} W z^{2}+2 W z^{2}-W-8 u^{2} z^{6} \alpha^{5}-14 u^{2} z^{6} \alpha^{3}+15 u^{2} z^{6} \alpha^{4}+2 u^{2} \alpha^{6} z^{6}+$ $6 u^{2} z^{6} \alpha^{2}-u^{2} z^{6} \alpha+7 z^{2} \alpha-7 z^{2} \alpha^{2}-u^{2} \alpha z^{2}+u^{2} \alpha^{2} z^{2}+z^{2} u^{2} a W-u^{2} \alpha^{2} z^{2} W-6 u^{2} \alpha^{3} W z^{4}+$ $\left.3 u^{2} \alpha^{4} W z^{4}+4 u^{2} \alpha^{2} W z^{4}-u^{2} W z^{4} \alpha-10 \alpha^{2} W z^{4}+9 \alpha^{3} W z^{4}-4 \alpha^{4} W z^{4}-3 z^{2}\right)$.

The third function:
$8 z^{5} \alpha^{2} M G_{e}=\left(-3 z^{4} \alpha^{2}+\alpha^{2} W z^{2}+3 z^{2} \alpha^{2}+3 z^{4} \alpha-a W z^{2}-3 z^{2} \alpha-z^{4}+W z^{2}+2 z^{2}-\right.$ $1-W)\left(2 \alpha^{4} z^{4} u^{2}-2 \alpha^{4} u^{4} z^{4}-6 z^{4} u^{2} \alpha^{3}+2 z^{4} \alpha^{3}+4 \alpha^{3} u^{4} z^{4}-z^{4} \alpha^{2}-2 \alpha^{2} u^{4} z^{4}+\alpha^{2} W z^{2}+\right.$ $\left.6 z^{4} u^{2} \alpha^{2}-3 z^{2} \alpha^{2}-2 z^{4} u^{2} \alpha+2 z^{2} \alpha+1-W-z^{2}\right)\left(2 z^{2} \alpha^{2}-2 z^{2} \alpha+z^{2}-1+W\right)$.

The fourth function:
$8 z^{6}(1-\alpha) \alpha^{2} / u M G_{0}=\left(2 z^{2} \alpha^{2}-2 z^{2} \alpha+z^{2}-1+W\right)\left(2 z^{4} u^{2} \alpha^{3}-2 z^{4} \alpha^{3}-3 z^{4} u^{2} \alpha^{2}+z^{4} \alpha^{2}-\right.$ $\left.\alpha^{2} W z^{2}+3 z^{2} \alpha^{2}-u^{2} \alpha^{2} z^{2}+u^{2} \alpha^{2} z^{2} W+z^{4} u^{2} \alpha+u^{2} \alpha z^{2}-z^{2} u^{2} \alpha W-2 z^{2} \alpha+z^{2}+W-1\right)(z \alpha+$ 1) $(-1+z \alpha)\left(-3 z^{4} \alpha^{2}+\alpha^{2} W z^{2}+3 z^{2} \alpha^{2}+3 z^{4} \alpha-\alpha W z^{2}-3 z^{2} \alpha-z^{4}+W z^{2}+2 z^{2}-1-W\right)$.

Of course, the expressions do not look appealing, but that is what they are. We can derive as many corollaries from this as we want, of course with Maple:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{0}=\left[u^{0}\right] F_{e}=1+\left(2 \alpha^{2}+1-2 \alpha\right) z^{2}+\left(5 \alpha^{4}-10 \alpha^{3}+9 \alpha^{2}-4 \alpha+1\right) z^{4}+\cdots \\
& f_{1}=\left[u^{1}\right] F_{o}=\alpha z+\left(3 \alpha^{2}-4 \alpha+2\right) \alpha z^{3}+\left(8 \alpha^{4}-19 \alpha^{3}+20 \alpha^{2}-11 \alpha+3\right) \alpha z^{5}+\cdots, \\
& f_{2}=\left[u^{2}\right] F_{e}=\alpha(1-\alpha) z^{2}+2(1-\alpha)\left(2 \alpha^{2}+1-2 \alpha\right) \alpha z^{4}+\cdots \\
& f_{3}=\left[u^{3}\right] F_{o}=(1-\alpha) \alpha^{2} z^{3}+(1-\alpha)\left(5 \alpha^{2}-6 \alpha+3\right) \alpha^{2} z^{5}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{0}=\left[u^{0}\right] G_{e}=\alpha(1-\alpha)^{2} z^{3}+\left(5 \alpha^{2}-4 \alpha+2\right)(1-\alpha)^{2} \alpha z^{5}+\cdots \\
& g_{1}=\left[u^{1}\right] G_{o}=\alpha(1-\alpha) z^{2}+2(1-\alpha)\left(2 \alpha^{2}+1-2 \alpha\right) \alpha z^{4} \\
& g_{2}=\left[u^{2}\right] G_{e}=(1-\alpha) \alpha^{2} z^{3}+(1-\alpha)\left(5 \alpha^{2}-6 \alpha+3\right) \alpha^{2} z^{5}+\cdots \\
& g_{3}=\left[u^{3}\right] G_{o}=\alpha^{2}(1-\alpha)^{2} z^{4}+3 \alpha^{2}\left(2 \alpha^{2}+1-2 \alpha\right)(1-\alpha)^{2} z^{6}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. A more sophisticated approach

The imbalance of $\alpha$ versus $\beta$ is leveled out after 2 (or an even number of) steps. Thus, as in [5], we consider the system after an even number of steps. In the following graph, a directed arrow stands for 2 steps (a double-step). Note that the system is still working without look-ahead, writing s for the small item of size $\frac{1}{3}$ and I for the large item of size $\frac{2}{3}$, the sequences sl resp. Is lead to different states when being in the special state named $Q$.


Figure 4. Two steps. Red with probability $\alpha \beta$, green with probability $1-2 \alpha \beta=\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}$, blue with probability $\beta^{2}$, brown with probability $\alpha^{2}$.

The graph is now simpler than before. We introduce generating functions $f_{N}$ for the upper layer of states, and $g_{N}$ for the lower layer of states. The meaning of these generating functions is now different from the previous section, but it is apparent how
they are related. Here are the recursions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{N} & =z \alpha \beta f_{N-1}+z \alpha \beta f_{N+1}+z\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) f_{N}, \quad N \geq 2, \\
f_{1} & =z \alpha \beta f_{0}+z \alpha \beta f_{2}+z\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) f_{1}+z \beta^{2} f_{Q}, \\
f_{0} & =1+z \alpha \beta f_{1}+z\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) f_{0}+z \alpha \beta f_{Q}, \\
f_{Q} & =z \alpha \beta g_{0}+z \alpha^{2} f_{Q}=\frac{z \alpha \beta g_{0}}{1-z \alpha^{2}}, \\
g_{N} & =z \alpha \beta g_{N-1}+z \alpha \beta g_{N+1}+z\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) g_{N}, \quad N \geq 1, \\
g_{0} & =z \alpha \beta f_{0}+z \alpha \beta g_{1}+z \alpha \beta f_{Q}+z\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) g_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Introducing only two bivariate generating functions

$$
F(u)=\sum_{N \geq 0} u^{N} f_{N} \quad \text { and } \quad G(u)=\sum_{N \geq 0} u^{N} g_{N}
$$

we find by summing the recursions

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(u)=\sum_{N \geq 0} u^{N} f_{N} & =z \alpha \beta \sum_{N \geq 2} u^{N} f_{N-1}+z \alpha \beta \sum_{N \geq 2} u^{N} f_{N+1}+z\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) \sum_{N \geq 2} u^{N} f_{N} \\
& +u\left(z \alpha \beta f_{0}+z \alpha \beta f_{2}+z\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) f_{1}+z \beta^{2} \frac{z \alpha \beta g_{0}}{1-z \alpha^{2}}\right) \\
& +1+z \alpha \beta f_{1}+z\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) f_{0}+z \alpha \beta \frac{z \alpha \beta g_{0}}{1-z \alpha^{2}} \\
& =z \alpha \beta u F(u)+\frac{z \alpha \beta}{u}\left(F(u)-f_{0}\right)+z\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) F(u) \\
& +u z^{2} \beta^{3} \alpha \frac{g_{0}}{1-z \alpha^{2}}+1+z^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta^{2} \frac{g_{0}}{1-z \alpha^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(u)=\sum_{N \geq 0} u^{N} g_{N} & =z \alpha \beta \sum_{N \geq 1} u^{N} g_{N-1}+z \alpha \beta \sum_{N \geq 1} u^{N} g_{N+1}+z\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) \sum_{N \geq 1} u^{N} g_{N} \\
& +z \alpha \beta f_{0}+z \alpha \beta g_{1}+z \alpha \beta \frac{z \alpha \beta g_{0}}{1-z \alpha^{2}}+z\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) g_{0} \\
& =z \alpha \beta u G(u)+\frac{z \alpha \beta}{u}\left(G(u)-g_{0}\right)+z\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) G(u) \\
& +z \alpha \beta f_{0}+z^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta^{2} \frac{g_{0}}{1-z \alpha^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving the system leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(u)=\frac{-u z \alpha^{2}+z^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta^{2} g_{0} u-z \alpha \beta f_{0}+z^{2} \alpha^{3} \beta f_{0}+u+u^{2} z^{2} \beta^{3} \alpha g_{0}}{u-2 u z \alpha^{2}-z \alpha \beta u^{2}+z^{2} \alpha^{3} \beta u^{2}-z \alpha \beta+z^{2} \alpha^{3} \beta+z^{2} u \alpha^{4}-z u \beta^{2}+z^{2} u \beta^{2} \alpha^{2}}, \\
& G(u)=-\frac{z \alpha \beta\left(-z \alpha \beta g_{0} u+g_{0}-g_{0} z \alpha^{2}+z \alpha^{2} f_{0} u-f_{0} u\right)}{u-2 u z \alpha^{2}-z \alpha \beta u^{2}+z^{2} \alpha^{3} \beta u^{2}-z \alpha \beta+z^{2} \alpha^{3} \beta+z^{2} u \alpha^{4}-z u \beta^{2}+z^{2} u \beta^{2} \alpha^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

These answers are implicit, since they contain $f_{0}=F(0)$ and $g_{0}=G(0)$. To make them explicit, the kernel method is used once again. The denominators factor as

$$
z \alpha \beta\left(-1+z \alpha^{2}\right)\left(u-r_{1}\right)\left(u-r_{2}\right)
$$

with

$$
r_{2}=\frac{1-z \alpha^{2}-z \beta^{2}-\sqrt{z^{2} \alpha^{4}-2 z^{2} \beta^{2} \alpha^{2}-2 z \alpha^{2}+z^{2} \beta^{4}-2 z \beta^{2}+1}}{2 z \alpha \beta}
$$

and $r_{1}=\frac{1}{r_{2}}$.
The factor $\left(u-r_{2}\right)$ (the 'bad' factor) must cancel from numerator and denominator. The result is now

$$
F(u)=\frac{r_{2} z^{2} \beta^{3} \alpha g_{0}-z \alpha^{2}+z^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta^{2} g_{0}+1+u z^{2} \beta^{3} \alpha g_{0}}{z \alpha \beta\left(-1+z \alpha^{2}\right)\left(u-r_{1}\right)}
$$

and

$$
G(u)=\frac{-\left(-z \alpha \beta g_{0}+z \alpha^{2} f_{0}-f_{0}\right)}{\left(-1+z \alpha^{2}\right)\left(u-r_{1}\right)}
$$

Plugging in $u=0$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{0}=\frac{r_{2} z^{2} \beta^{3} \alpha g_{0}-z \alpha^{2}+z^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta^{2} g_{0}+1}{z \alpha \beta\left(-1+z \alpha^{2}\right)\left(-r_{1}\right)}, \\
& g_{0}=\frac{\left(-z \alpha \beta g_{0}+z \alpha^{2} f_{0}-f_{0}\right)}{\left(-1+z \alpha^{2}\right) r_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From these, we can compute $f_{0}$ and $g_{0}$ easily, but don't print it, since it is not too attractive at the moment (in a moment, it will become very beautiful).

It is easy to see that

$$
\left[u^{j}\right] G(u)=\frac{\left(-z \alpha \beta g_{0}+z \alpha^{2} f_{0}-f_{0}\right)}{\left(-1+z \alpha^{2}\right)} r_{2}^{j+1}
$$

and

$$
\left[u^{j}\right] F(u)=-r_{2}^{j+1} \frac{r_{2} z^{2} \beta^{3} \alpha g_{0}-z \alpha^{2}+z^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta^{2} g_{0}+1}{z \alpha \beta\left(-1+z \alpha^{2}\right)}-r_{2}^{j} \frac{z \beta^{2} g_{0}}{\left(-1+z \alpha^{2}\right)} .
$$

Note that $\left[z^{m} u^{j}\right] F(u)$ is the probability to reach state $2 j$ in $m$ (double-)steps, and [ $\left.z^{m} u^{j}\right] G(u)$ is the probability to reach state $2 j+1$ in $m$ (double-)steps.

More attractive formulæ thanks to a substitution. Using the substitution

$$
z=\frac{v}{\alpha \beta+\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) v+\alpha \beta v^{2}}=\frac{v}{(\alpha+v \beta)(\beta+v \alpha)}
$$

(inspired by our old paper [5]) all the expressions become nicer 2 For instance, $r_{2}=v$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{0}=\frac{(v \alpha+\beta)(\alpha+v \beta)}{\alpha \beta(1-v)\left(v^{2}+v+1\right)} \\
& g_{0}=\frac{v\left(\alpha+\alpha v^{2}+v \beta\right)(v \alpha+\beta)}{\alpha \beta(1-v)\left(v^{2}+v+1\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

[^1]The equality $(1-v)\left(v^{2}+v+1\right)=1-v^{3}$ might be useful as well. Even the full bivariate generating functions look now very nice:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F=\frac{\left(u v^{3} \beta+\alpha+v \beta\right)(v \alpha+\beta)}{\beta \alpha(1-u v)(1-v)\left(v^{2}+v+1\right)} \\
& G=\frac{v\left(\alpha+\alpha v^{2}+v \beta\right)(v \alpha+\beta)}{\beta \alpha(1-u v)(1-v)\left(v^{2}+v+1\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, reading off coefficient of powers of $u$,

$$
\left[u^{j}\right] F=\frac{v^{j}(\alpha+v \beta)(v \alpha+\beta)}{\beta \alpha(1-v)\left(v^{2}+v+1\right)}+\frac{v^{j+1}}{\alpha(1-v)\left(v^{2}+v+1\right)}
$$

and

$$
\left[u^{j}\right] G=\frac{v^{j+1}\left(\alpha+\alpha v^{2}+v \beta\right)(v \alpha+\beta)}{\beta \alpha(1-v)\left(v^{2}+v+1\right)} .
$$

Finally we answer the question how to read off coefficients of powers of $z$ when the function is given in terms of $v$ : For that, we employ Cauchy's integral formula in the following computation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[z^{N}\right] H(z(v)) } & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint \frac{d z}{z^{N+1}} H(z(v)) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint \frac{d v}{v^{N+1}} \frac{\alpha \beta\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{(\alpha+\beta v)(\beta+\alpha v)}(\alpha+\beta v)^{N+1}(\beta+\alpha v)^{N+1} H(v) \\
& =\left[v^{N}\right] \alpha \beta\left(1-v^{2}\right)(\alpha+\beta v)^{N}(\beta+\alpha v)^{N} H(v)
\end{aligned}
$$

Walks with an odd number of steps. For that, we don't need to do new calculations, by considering the last step separately. We refer to the original Figure 3, It is immediate to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\{\text { reach top level state } 2 j+1 \text { in } 2 m+1 \text { steps }\} \\
& \\
& =\alpha \mathbb{P}\{\text { reach top level state } 2 j \text { in } 2 m \text { steps }\} \\
& \\
& +\beta \mathbb{P}\{\text { reach top level state } 2 j+2 \text { in } 2 m \text { steps }\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\{\text { reach bottom level state } 2 j \text { in } 2 m+1 \text { steps }\} \\
&=\alpha \mathbb{P}\{\text { reach bottom level state } 2 j-1 \text { in } 2 m \text { steps }\} \\
&+\beta \mathbb{P}\{\text { reach bottom level state } 2 j+1 \text { in } 2 m \text { steps }\}
\end{aligned}
$$

the exceptional cases near the beginning are easy to figure out directly.

## 4. Asymptotics

Although this paper concentrates on explicit enumerations, one referee asks for some asymptotic considerations. One natural concept would the height of a Knödel walk, i. e., the state with the highest index that is reached during the walk. For simpler walks, this has been worked out in [6], compare [5]. However, that would be a completely different approach, and we have chosen the kernel method as the unifying method of choice.

We can, however, offer something appealing here, namely we compute the average index of the state where the walks ends (in the sophisticated version). So we compute

$$
\text { EXPECTED-END }=\sum_{k \geq 0}(2 k) f_{k}+\sum_{k \geq 0}(2 k+1) g_{k} .
$$

This is best computed using the bivarite generating functions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { EXPECTED-END } & =\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\left(F\left(u^{2}, z\right)+u G\left(u^{2}, z\right)\right)\right|_{u=1} \\
& =\frac{v(v \alpha+\beta)\left(3 v^{2} \beta+3 \alpha+3 v \beta+v \alpha+\alpha v^{2}+\alpha v^{3}\right)}{\alpha \beta(1-v)^{3}\left(1+v+v^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

To find asymptotics, we transfer back from $v$ to $z$. In order to avoid ungainly expressions that the reader can generate himself/herself with a computer, we demonstrate the procedure for the standard case $\alpha=\beta=\frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$
v=\frac{-z+2-2 \sqrt{1-z}}{z} \sim \frac{z}{4}+\frac{z^{2}}{8}+\cdots
$$

and

$$
\text { EXPECTED-END }=\frac{z-1+(1+z) \sqrt{1-z}}{2(1-z)^{2}} \sim \frac{1}{(1-z)^{3 / 2}}
$$

The coefficient of $z^{n}$ in this expression is $\binom{-3 / 2}{n}(-1)^{n} \sim 2 \sqrt{\frac{n}{\pi}}$, which is the answer to the question about the average index where the walk stops. In the general instance, the singularity of interest is $z \sim 1$, which is equivalent to $v \sim 1$, and

$$
1-v \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha \beta}} \sqrt{1-z}
$$

But

$$
\text { EXPECTED-END } \sim \frac{2}{\alpha \beta} \frac{1}{(1-v)^{3}} \sim 2 \sqrt{\alpha \beta} \frac{1}{(1-z)^{3 / 2}}
$$

whence the result in the general case is $\sim 4 \sqrt{\alpha \beta} \sqrt{\frac{n}{\pi}}$.
The method of local expansions around the dominant singularity (here $z=1$ ) and then translating into the behaviour of the coefficients is called singularity analysis of generating functions. Standard references are [3] and [2].

## 5. Conclusion

We want to emphasize the following points:

- A brute-force approach is possible, but leads to equations of order 4 and explicit but very ungainly expressions.
- Looking at the system after an even number of steps is a clever idea, since the imbalance of $\alpha$ versus $\beta$ is leveled out. The equations are only quadratic.
- Introducing an auxiliary variable, all the generating functions become rational (in the variable). Consequently reading off coefficients is not difficult.
- To go from an even number of steps to an odd number of steps is not difficult, when considering the last step separately and use previous results.
- Once the generating functions of interest are known explicitly, several corollaries of an asymptotic nature can be derived from them.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ One referee suggests to use the symbolic method, as described in Analytic Combinatorics, by Flajolet and Sedgewick. I have known Philippe Flajolet for more than 30 years, being a close coauthor as well, and I am quite confident that he would have chosen the kernel method as well, as he often did. It would be a very artificial enterprise to write out symbolic equations. If such an approach would be successful, there would be a symbolic expression for each "state", and they would depend on each other recursively.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ A referee pointed out the similarity to the Joukowsky transform, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Joukowsky_transform.

