

On the nature of the mass-gap object in the GW190814 event

Luiz L. Lopes^{1,*} and Debora P. Menezes²

¹*Centro Federal de Educação Tecnológica de Minas Gerais Campus VIII; CEP 37.022-560, Varginha - MG - Brasil*

²*Departamento de Física, CFM - Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina; C.P. 476, CEP 88.040-900, Florianópolis, SC, Brasil*
(Dated: November 4, 2021)

In this letter, we discuss the possibility of the mass-gap object in the GW190814 event being different classes of compact objects: hadronic neutron stars with nucleons only, hadronic stars with nucleons and hyperons, hybrid stars with nucleons and quarks, hybrid stars with nucleons, hyperons and quarks, and strange stars satisfying the Bodmer-Witten conjecture. We show that for the current limit of the observational constraints none of these possibilities can be ruled out.

I. INTRODUCTION AND FORMALISM

In 2019, the GW190814 [1] was detected as the result of a coalescence of a $25.6 M_\odot$ black-hole and a compact object of mass $2.5\text{--}2.67 M_\odot$, which can be either the most massive neutron star or the least massive black hole ever seen. As no electromagnetic counterpart was detected and the signal-to-noise ratio is not good enough to compute the tidal deformability, this object remains a mystery. It lies in the region known as mass-gap, in between 2.5 and $5 M_\odot$.

The possibility of the mass-gap object being a neutron star has already been studied in previous works. For instance, in ref. [2] the influence of the symmetry energy was studied, and a pure nucleonic neutron star with mass around $2.75 M_\odot$ was obtained. The possibility of dark matter admixed in pure nucleonic neutron star was studied in ref. [3], and a maximum mass of $2.50 M_\odot$ was shown to be possible. In refs. [4, 5] the authors discuss the possibility of hybrid stars and hadronic neutron stars with hyperons. They conclude that the mass-gap object can be a hybrid star only if the star is fast rotating [4]. Nevertheless, the presence of hyperons seems to be unlikely even in the Kepler frequency limit [4, 5]. On the other hand, ref. [6] rules out the possibility of quark-hadron phase transition, at least in the non-rotating case. But, according to a model-independent analysis based on the sound velocity, quark-cores are indeed expected inside massive stars [7]. The possibility of the mass-gap object being a strange star was studied in ref. [8], where the authors consider a color superconducting quark matter, while in ref. [9], the authors consider a repulsive bag model with dynamical generated gluon mass.

In the present work, we discuss the possibility of the mass-gap object in the GW190814 event being different classes of compact objects (for a review, see [10]): hadronic neutron stars with nucleons only, hadronic stars with nucleons and hyperons, hybrid stars with nucleons and quarks, hybrid stars with nucleons, hyperons and quarks, and strange stars satisfying the Bodmer-Witten conjecture [11, 12]. To accomplish that, we use for the

hadronic phase an extend version of the QHD model, whose Lagrangian reads [13, 14]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{QHD} = & \bar{\psi}_B [\gamma^\mu (i\partial_\mu - g_{B\omega}\omega_\mu - g_{B\rho}\frac{1}{2}\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\rho}_\mu) + \\ & - (M_B - g_{B\sigma}\sigma)] \psi_B - U(\sigma) + \\ & + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\sigma\partial^\mu\sigma - m_s^2\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{4}\Omega^{\mu\nu}\Omega_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_v^2\omega_\mu\omega^\mu + \\ & + \frac{1}{2}m_\rho^2\vec{\rho}_\mu \cdot \vec{\rho}^\mu - \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{P}^{\mu\nu} \cdot \mathbf{P}_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{L}_{\omega\rho} + \mathcal{L}_\phi, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

in natural units. ψ_B is the baryonic Dirac field, where B can stand either for nucleons only (N) or can run over nucleons (N) and hyperons (H). The σ , ω_μ and $\vec{\rho}_\mu$ are the mesonic fields. The g 's are the Yukawa coupling constants that simulate the strong interaction, M_B is the baryon mass, m_s , m_v , and m_ρ are the masses of the σ , ω , and ρ mesons respectively. The $U(\sigma)$ is the self-interaction term introduced in ref. [15], and $\mathcal{L}_{\omega\rho}$ is a non-linear ω - ρ coupling interaction as in [14]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\omega\rho} = \Lambda_{\omega\rho}(g_{N\rho}^2\rho^\mu \cdot \vec{\rho}_\mu)(g_v^2\omega^\mu\omega_\mu), \quad (2)$$

which is necessary to correct the slope of the symmetry energy (L) and has a strong influence on the radii and tidal deformation of the neutron stars [16, 17], while $\mathcal{L}_\phi$ is related the strangeness hidden ϕ vector meson, which couples only with the hyperons (H), not affecting the properties of symmetric matter:

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi = g_{H\phi}\bar{\psi}_H(\gamma^\mu\phi_\mu)\psi_H + \frac{1}{2}m_\phi^2\phi_\mu\phi^\mu - \frac{1}{4}\Phi^{\mu\nu}\Phi_{\mu\nu}, \quad (3)$$

as pointed in ref. [18, 19], this vector channel is crucial to obtain massive hyperonic neutron stars.

For the quark phase, we use an extended version of the MIT bag model, as presented in ref. [20], which has a vector meson-quark coupling, as well as a meson mass term to ensure thermodynamic consistency. Its Lagrangian reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{MIT} = & \{\bar{\psi}_q[\gamma^\mu(i\partial_\mu - g_{qV}V_\mu) - m_q]\psi_q + \\ & + \frac{1}{2}m_V^2V_\mu V^\mu - B\}\Theta(\bar{\psi}_q\psi_q). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

* llopes@cefetmg.br

Besides, leptons are added to account for β stable matter.

II. PARAMETRIZATION AND RESULTS

It is crystal clear that we need a very stiff equation of state (EoS) in order to obtain at least a $2.50 M_\odot$. Therefore, for the hadronic EoS, we use here an extended version of the so called NL3 model [21]. Due to the inclusion of the $\mathcal{L}_{\omega\rho}$ term, we are able to reduce the original values of the symmetry energy, S_0 , from 37.4 MeV to 30.4 MeV and the slope, L , from 118 MeV to 43 MeV by fixing $g_{N\rho} = 14.00$ and $\Lambda_{\omega\rho} = 0.44$. With these parameters, most of the physical quantities at saturation density fulfill the constraints discussed in ref. [22, 23]. When hyperons are present it is also crucial to determine the strength of coupling constant of each meson relative to each hyperon. As pointed in ref. [24], different values of the hyperon-meson coupling constants can lead to a maximum mass difference up to 100%. Here, we use the SU(3) flavor symmetry to fix the coupling of the hyperons with the vector mesons. To produce very stiff EoS we use next $\alpha_v = 0.25$ (see Tab.2 from ref. [25]). The coupling of the hyperons with the scalar meson σ is determined in order to reproduce the so-called hyperon potential depths. The $U_\Lambda = -28$ MeV is well known in the literature [24]; while the values of U_Σ and U_Ξ still present some uncertainties. We use the standard $U_\Sigma = +30$ MeV and $U_\Xi = -4$ MeV, which was recently favored by lattice QCD calculations [26]. Pure hadronic EoS and neutron stars are labeled as ‘NN’ if they are constituted by only nucleons, and ‘NH’ if they are constituted by nucleons and hyperons.

Now, the quark EoS must play different roles if we are dealing with a hybrid star or a strange star.

If we want to construct a hybrid star, we have to ensure that the $u-d-s$ matter (as well, the $u-d$ matter) is unstable. Otherwise, it is possible that, as soon as the core of the star converts to the quark phase, the entire star converts into a quark star in a finite amount of time [27, 28].

Therefore, we use in the hybrid star case the bag value as $B^{1/4} = 158$ MeV and $G_V = 0.40$ fm² and 0.43 fm², where we define $G_V \doteq (g/m_v)^2$ as in ref. [20]. The meson and quark masses are the same as presented in ref. [20]. We also use an universal coupling, indicating that the strength of the coupling constant is the same for all quark flavors.

To produce a hybrid star EoS, we use the so-called Maxwell construction. In this case the star presents no mixed phase, in contrast to the one obtained with the Gibbs construction (for a discussion on the differences, see [10]). The star is purely hadronic up to a critical chemical potential value, and presents a pure quark core for values above this critical chemical potential. The critical chemical potential is, in turn, defined as the value where both, the chemical potential and the pressure of

both phases (quark and hadron) are equal: $\mu_q = \mu_H$ at $p_q = p_H$. A hybrid star with only nucleons and quarks with $G_V = 0.40$ fm² ($G_V = 0.43$ fm²) is labeled as ‘NQ40’ (‘NQ43’). In the same way, a hybrid star with nucleons, hyperons and quarks with $G_V = 0.40$ fm² ($G_V = 0.43$ fm²) is labeled ‘NHQ40’ (‘NHQ43’). The critical chemical potential and the related pressure for each model of hybrid star is presented in Tab. I. As can be seen, in relation to the hadronic EoS, the hyperon onset softens the EoS, and pushes the quark-hadron phase transition to higher values of the chemical potential. On other hand, the higher the value of G_V , the higher is the critical chemical potential. We can conclude that a stiff hadronic EoS and a soft quark EoS favors the phase transtion.

-	NQ40	NQ43	NHQ40	NHQ43
μ_c (MeV)	1403	1496	1440	1584
p (MeV/fm ³)	145	188	165	240

TABLE I. Critical chemical potential and pressure with and without hyperons for different values of G_V .

In opposition to the hybrid case, if we want to construct a pure quark star, generally known as strange star, we need to ensure that the $u-d-s$ matter is stable (while the $u-d$ matter is still unstable). For a given value of G_V there are just a small range of the bag values that satisfy the Bodmer-Witten conjecture. These values form the so-called stability window [20]. Here, we use $B^{1/4} = 139$ MeV with $G_V = 0.30$ fm² and $G_V = 0.34$ fm². We labeled ‘Q30’ (‘Q34’) the quark EoS, as well as the strange star produced with $G_V = 0.30$ fm² ($G_V = 0.34$ fm²).

In Fig. 1 (top left) we display the EoS for each model discussed in this work and the particle population for the hyperonic star, NH (top right). We can see that the hadronic EoS are the stiffest ones, followed by the strange matter EoS. The softest EoS are the hybrid, once they have higher values of the bag. The hyperon threshold happens at $n = 0.32$ fm⁻³, which indicates that for the NL3 model, only neutron stars with masses above $1.70 M_\odot$ present hyperons in their cores.

-	$M/M_\odot$	R (km)	ϵ_c (MeV/fm ³)	$M_{min}/M_\odot$
NN	2.76	12.96	886	-
NH	2.66	12.83	911	-
Q30	2.61	12.86	852	-
Q34	2.64	13.05	787	-
NQ40	2.52	13.38	790	2.45
NQ43	2.61	13.55	767	2.58
NHQ40	2.52	13.30	809	2.47
NHQ43	2.60	13.32	828	2.59

TABLE II. Hadronic, quark and hybrid star properties for different values of the G_V .

We show the mass-radius relation obtained after solving the TOV equation [29] in Fig. 1 (bottom). The main macroscopic properties are summarized in Tab. II, where




FIG. 1. (Color online) Top: EoS for the different models presented in the text (left) and the particle population for the hadronic star with hyperons - NH (right). Bottom: Mass radius relation for pure hadronic and strange stars (left) and the mass radius relation for the hybrid stars within different models. The hatched area refers to the uncertainty of the lower mass compact object present in the GW190814 event [1]).

M_{min} is the minimum mass which produces a hybrid star. Values below it indicate a pure hadronic star. As can be seen, every class of neutron star discussed in this work reproduces the masses of the GW190814 event. We can also see that the hybrid branch is very small, and only very massive neutron stars can present quark in their cores. Also, hybrid stars with or without hyperons present very similar maximum masses, indicating that the quark EoS is dominant over the hadronic one.

We also estimate the mass and size of the quark core in the most massive hybrid star of each model presented in Tab. II. To accomplish that, we solve the TOV equations for the quark EoS from the energy density corresponding to the critical pressure displayed in Tab. I up to the energy density at the maximum mass shown in Tab. II. We also calculate the speed of sound of the quark matter and compare our results with the proposal presented in ref. [7], where the authors claim that the size and mass of the quark core is directly linked to the speed of sound. The results are presented in absolute and relative values in Tab. III. We see that our quark core mass (radius)

vary from 2% (21 %) up to 15 % (40%). These results are way below those presented in ref. [7], where the quark core mass (radius) can reach 40% (60%). On the other hand, we could produce even more massive quark cores using low values of G_V , but in this case we would not be able to reproduce the GW190814 event. We also see that while lower values of the speed of sound indeed produce higher values of quark masses and radii, the variation in the speed of sound is not as drastic as pointed in ref. [7].

-	NQ40	NQ43	NHQ40	NHQ43
$M_Q/M_\odot$	0.39	0.14	0.29	0.06
R_Q (km)	5.38	3.77	4.80	2.74
% M_Q/M_{max}	15%	5%	12%	2%
% R_Q/R_{total}	40%	28%	36%	21%
v_s^2 (at μ_c)	0.50	0.52	0.51	0.53

TABLE III. Masses and radii of the quark cores and their proportional contribution for the most massive star within different hybrid star models.




FIG. 2. (Color online) Dimensionless tidal parameter for the hadronic and strange stars.

We now discuss some astrophysical constraints inferred from neutron star observations. One of the open issues is the radius of the canonical neutron star ($M = 1.40 M_{\odot}$). Results obtained via the NICER x-ray telescope points out that $R_{1.4}$ should be in the range of 11.52 km to 13.85 km [30] and 11.96 km to 14.26 km [31]; while ref. [32] points to radius between 11.3 to 13.5 km and ref. [33] to an upper limit of 13.5 km. An interval between 11.82 km and 13.72 was obtained in ref. [34]. Our values of $R_{1.4} = 13.49$ km for hadronic and hybrid stars, as well 12.10 km and 12.14 km for strange stars with G_V equal to 0.30 fm² and 0.34 fm² respectively, are in agreement with all of these studies. But, notwithstanding, an upper limit of 13.2 km [35] and 12.45 km [36] can be found in the literature. In these cases, only strange stars can fulfill such constraints. An even lower value of 11.9 km was also pointed in ref. [37], and within the models investigated in the present work, we are unable to satisfy both the radius of the canonical star and the mass of the lower compact object in the GW190814 event. It is also worth mentioning that the PREX2 experiment [38], whose results face some criticisms, points to a canonical radius between $13.25 \text{ km} < R_{1.4} < 14.26 \text{ km}$, which is again in agreement with our results for hadronic and hybrid stars. Such constraint does not apply to the strange stars, once is derived directly from nuclear physics analyzes.

Another important quantity is the dimensionless tidal deformability parameter Λ , defined as:

$$\Lambda = \frac{2k_2}{3C^5} \quad (5)$$

where M is the compact object mass and $C = GM/R$ is its compactness. The parameter k_2 is called Love number and is related to the metric perturbation. A larger tidal deformability indicates that the object is easily deformable. On the opposite side, a compact object with a small tidal deformability parameter is more compact and

more difficult to be deformed (see ref. [39–42] for more details).

We display in Fig. 2 the dimensionless tidal parameter for hadronic and strange stars. As we can see, as strange stars are self bounded and present no crust, their tidal parameter are much lower in comparison with the hadronic case. We found a value of $\Lambda_{1.4} = 788$ for hadronic and hybrid stars and $\Lambda_{1.4} = 111$ and 113 for strange stars with $G_V = 0.30 \text{ fm}^2$ and 0.34 fm^2 respectively.

Exactly as in the case of the radius, the dimensionless tidal parameter of the canonical star, $\Lambda_{1.4}$ can be used as a constraint. A limit between 302 - 806 was found in ref. [32]. Within this constraint, no strange stars can simultaneous reproduce this value for $\Lambda_{1.4}$ and reach the mass limit of the lower mass compact object in the GW190814 event, while both constraints can be satisfied concomitantly for hadronic and hybrid stars. In complete opposition, ref. [39] proposed a limit between $70 < \Lambda_{1.4} < 580$, which can only be fulfilled by strange stars and rules out hadronic and hybrid stars. In ref. [42] we have $\Lambda_{1.4} < 800$. In this case, all neutron star classes are still valid as possible candidates to the GW190814 event. Moreover, our results are once again in agreement with the PREX2 values, which lie between $642 < \Lambda_{1.4} < 955$ [38] for hadronic and hybrid stars. Again, this result does not apply to strange stars.

III. CONCLUSION

We have discussed the possibility of the mass-gap object in the GW190814 event being different classes of static compact objects: hadronic neutron stars with only nucleons, hadronic stars with nucleons and hyperons, hybrid stars with nucleons and quarks, hybrid stars with nucleons, hyperons and quarks, and strange stars satisfying the Bodmer-Witten conjecture. We have shown that for the current limit of the observational constraints none of these possibilities can be ruled out. Indeed with the help of SU(3) symmetry group and the strangeness hidden ϕ meson, we are able to reproduce a static hyperonic star with masses up to $2.66 M_{\odot}$. In the same sense, hybrid stars with masses above $2.50 M_{\odot}$ are also possible, even when hyperons are present. The same is true for strange stars satisfying the Bodmer-Witten conjecture. We have also found that strange stars are more compact and present significantly lower values for the tidal deformability parameter when compared with hadronic and hybrid ones.

In the light of astrophysical constraints, we see for the radius of the canonical star, $R_{1.4}$, that the hadronic and hybrid stars are in agreement with the constraints presented in ref. [30–34], but fails to reproduce the constraints from ref. [35–37]. For strange stars, only the constraint from ref. [37] is violated.

For the dimensionless tidal deformability parameter, we see that hadronic and hybrid stars fulfil the constraint from ref. [32] and fail to reproduce the constraint from

ref. [39], while strange stars do exactly the opposite. Furthermore every neutron star class fulfill the constraint from ref. [42].

More reliable values for the radii and tidal deformability are still necessary to correctly tune the EoS.

Acknowledgments: This work is a part of the project INCT-FNA Proc. No. 464898/2014-5. D.P.M. is partially supported by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq/Brazil) under grant 301155.2017-8.

-
- [1] R. Abbott et al., *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **896**, L44 (2020)
 - [2] X. Wu et al., *Phys. Rev C* **104**, 015802 (2021)
 - [3] H. Das, A. Kumar, S. Patra, *Phys. Rev D* **104**, 063028 (2021)
 - [4] V. Dexheimer et al., *Phys. Rev C* **103**, 025808 (2021)
 - [5] A. Sedrakian, F. Weber, J. Li, *Phys. Rev D* **102**, 041301(R) (2020)
 - [6] I. Rather, A. Usmani, S. Patra, *J. Phys G* **48**, 085201 (2021)
 - [7] E. Annala et al., *Nat. Phys.* **16**, 907 (2020).
 - [8] I. Bombaci et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 162702 (2021)
 - [9] M. Albino, R. Fariello, F. Navarra, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 083011 (2021)
 - [10] D. P. Menezes, *Universe* **7**, 267 (2021).
 - [11] A. Bodmer, *Phys. Rev. D* **4**, 1601 (1971).
 - [12] E. Witten, *Phys. Rev. D* **30**, 272 (1984).
 - [13] B. D. Serot, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **55**, 1855 (1992)
 - [14] F. Fattoyev et al., *Phys. Rev. C* **82**, 055803 (2010)
 - [15] J. Boguta, A. Bodmer, *Nucl. Phys. A* **292**, 413 (1977)
 - [16] R. Cavagnoli, D. P. Menezes, C. Providencia, *Phys. Rev. C* **84**, 065810 (2011)
 - [17] V. Dexheimer et. al, *J. Phys. G* **46**, 034002 (2019)
 - [18] L. Lopes, D. Menezes, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **56**, 122 (2020)
 - [19] S. Weissenborn, D. Chatterjee, J. Schaffner-Bielich, *Nucl. Phys. A* **881**, 62 (2012)
 - [20] L. Lopes, C. Biesdorf, D. Menezes, *Phys. Script.* **96**, 065303 (2021)
 - [21] G. Lalazissis, J. König, P. Ring, *Phys. Rev. C* **55**, 540 (1997).
 - [22] M. Dutra et al., *Phys. Rev. C* **90**, 055203 (2014)
 - [23] M. Oertel et al., *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **89**, 015007 (2017)
 - [24] N. K. Glendenning, *Compact Stars*, Springer, New York - Second Edition (2000)
 - [25] L. Lopes, D. Menezes, *Nucl. Phys. A* **1009**, 122171 (2021)
 - [26] T. Inoue, *JPS Conf. Proc.* **26**, 023018 (2019)
 - [27] A. Olinto *Phys. Lett. B* **192**, 71 (1987)
 - [28] K. D. Marquez and D. P. Menezes, *JCAP* **12**(2017) 028.
 - [29] J. R. Oppenheimer, G. M. Volkoff, *Phys. Rev.* **55**, 374 (1939)
 - [30] T. Riley, et al., *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **887**, L21 (2019)
 - [31] M.C. Miller, et al., *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **887**, L24 (2019)
 - [32] M. Coughlin et al., *Mon. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc. Lett.* **489**, L91 (2019)
 - [33] A. Mondal, G. Dewangan, B. Raychaudhuri *Mon. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc.* **487**, 5441 (2019)
 - [34] T. Malik et al., *Phys. Rev. C* **98**, 035804 (2018)
 - [35] J. Lattimer, A. Steiner, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **50**, 40 (2014)
 - [36] J. Lattimer, A. Steiner, *Astrophys. J.* **784**, 123 (2014)
 - [37] C. Capano, et al., *Nat. Astron.* **4**, 625 (2020)
 - [38] B. Reed, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 172503 (2021)
 - [39] B. Abbott et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 161101 (2018)
 - [40] C. Flores et al., *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 1142 (2020)
 - [41] K. Chatziioannou, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **52**, 109 (2020)
 - [42] B. Abbott et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 161101 (2017)