A homological characterization of $Q_0$-PvMR

Xiaolei Zhang

a. Department of Basic Courses, Chengdu Aeronautic Polytechnic, Chengdu 610100, China
E-mail: zxlrghj@163.com

Abstract

Let $R$ be a commutative ring. An $R$-module $M$ is called a semi-regular $w$-flat module if $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/I, M)$ is GV-torsion for any finitely generated semi-regular ideal $I$. In this article, we showed that the class of semi-regular $w$-flat modules is a covering class. Moreover, we introduce the semi-regular $w$-flat dimensions of $R$-modules and the $sr$-$w$-weak global dimensions of the commutative ring $R$. Utilizing these notions, we give some homological characterizations of WQ-rings and $Q_0$-PvMRs.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, we always assume $R$ is a commutative ring with identity and $T(R)$ is the total quotient ring of $R$. Following from [17], an ideal $I$ of $R$ is said to be dense if $(0 :_R I) := \{ r \in R | Ir = 0 \} = 0$ and be semi-regular if it contains a finitely generated dense sub-ideal. Denote by $Q$ the set of all finitely generated semi-regular ideals of $R$. Following from [20] that a ring $R$ is called a DQ-ring if $Q = \{ R \}$. If $R$ is an integral domain, the quotient field $K$ is a very important $R$-module to study integral domains. However, the total quotient ring $T(R)$ is not always convenient to study commutative rings $R$ with zero-divisor. For example, $R[x]$ is not always integrally closed in $T(R[x])$ when $R$ is integrally closed in $T(R)$ (see [3]). It is well-known that a finitely generated ideal $I = \langle a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_n \rangle$ is semi-regular if and only if the polynomial $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_n x^n$ is a regular element in $R[x]$ (see [17] Exercise 6.5 for example). So, to study the integrally closeness of $R[x]$, Lucas [10] introduced the ring of finite fractions of $R$:

$$Q_0(R) := \{ \alpha \in T(R[x]) \mid \text{there exists } I \in Q \text{ such that } I\alpha \subseteq R \},$$

and showed that a reduced ring $R$ is integrally closed in $Q_0(R)$ if and only if $R[x]$ is integrally closed in $T(R[x])$. Note that for any commutative ring $R$, we have
Recently, the authors \cite{23, 24} gave several homological characterizations of total quotients rings (i.e. \( R = T(R) \)) and DQ-rings utilizing certain generalized flat modules. There is a natural question to characterize commutative rings with \( R = Q_0(R) \) (called WQ-rings from the star operation point of view). Actually, we shows that WQ-rings are exactly those rings whose modules are all semi-regular \( w \)-flat (see Theorem 4.2).

Prüfer domains are well-known domains and have been studied by many algebraists. In order to generalize Prüfer domains to commutative rings with zero-divisors, Butts and Smith \cite{4}, in 1967, introduced the notion of Prüfer rings over which every finitely generated regular ideal is invertible. Later in 1985, Anderson et al. \cite{1} introduced the notion of strong Prüfer rings whose finitely generated semi-regular ideals are all \( Q_0 \)-invertible. Strong Prüfer rings have many nice properties. For example, the small finitistic dimensions of strong Prüfer rings are at most 1 (see \cite{19}). To give a \( w \)-analogue of Prüfer rings, Huckaba and Papick \cite{9} and Matsuda \cite{13} called a ring \( R \) to be a PvMR (short for Prüfer \( v \)-multiplication ring) provided that any finitely generated regular ideal is \( t \)-invertible. For generalizing strong Prüfer rings, Lucas \cite{12} said a ring \( R \) to be a \( Q_0 \)-PvMR (short for \( Q_0 \)-Prüfer \( v \)-multiplication ring) if any finitely generated semi-regular ideal is \( t \)-invertible, and then he considered the properties of polynomial rings \( R[x] \) and Nagata rings \( R(x) \) and \( w \)-Nagata rings \( R\{x\} \) when \( R \) is a \( Q_0 \)-PvMR. For studying \( Q_0 \)-PvMRs, Qiao and Wang \cite{15} introduced quasi-\( Q_0 \)-PvMRs and showed that a ring \( R \) is a \( Q_0 \)-PvmRif and only if \( R \) is a quasi-\( Q_0 \)-PvMR, and \( R \) has Property \( B \) in \( Q_0(R) \) \((IQ_0(R))_w = Q_0(R)_w \) for any \( I \in \mathcal{Q} \). Wang and Kim \cite{16} gave some module-theoretic properties of \( Q_0 \)-PvMR s. Actually, they showed that \( Q_0 \)-PvMR s are \( Q_0 \)-\( w \)-coherent and each finite type semi-regular ideal is \( w \)-projective. The authors \cite{23, 24} also gave some homological characterizations of strong Prüfer rings and PvMRs utilizing the generalized flat modules. In this paper, we obtain several module-theoretic and homological characterizations of \( Q_0 \)-PvMRs using \( w \)-projective modules, \( w \)-flat modules and semi-regular \( w \)-flat modules (see Theorem 4.4).

As our work involves the \( w \)-operations, we give some reviews. A finitely generated ideal \( J \) of \( R \) is called a Glaz-Vasconcelos ideal (GV-ideal for short) if the natural homomorphism \( R \to \text{Hom}_R(J, R) \) is an isomorphism, and the set of all GV-ideals is denoted by GV\((R)\). Let \( M \) be an \( R \)-module. Define

\[ \text{tor}_{\text{GV}}(M) := \{ x \in M | Jx = 0, \text{ for some } J \in \text{GV}(R) \}. \]

An \( R \)-module \( M \) is called GV-torsion (resp., GV-torsion-free) if \( \text{tor}_{\text{GV}}(M) = M \) (resp., \( \text{tor}_{\text{GV}}(M) = 0 \)). A GV-torsion-free module \( M \) is called a \( w \)-module if \( \text{Ext}^1_R(R/J, M) = 0 \) for any \( J \in \text{GV}(R) \), and the \( w \)-envelope of \( M \) is given by
where $E(M)$ is the injective envelope of $M$. A fractional ideal $I$ is said to be \( w \)-invertible if \( (II^{-1})_w = R \). A DW ring $R$ is a ring over which every module is a \( w \)-module, equivalently the only GV-ideal of $R$ is $R$. A maximal \( w \)-ideal is an ideal of $R$ which is maximal among all \( w \)-submodules of $R$. The set of all maximal \( w \)-ideals is denoted by \( w\text{-}\text{Max}(R) \), and any maximal \( w \)-ideal is prime.

An \( R \)-homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ is said to be a \( w \)-monomorphism (resp., \( w \)-epimorphism, \( w \)-isomorphism) if for any \( m \in w\text{-}\text{Max}(R) \), \( f_m : M_m \rightarrow N_m \) is a monomorphism (resp., an epimorphism, an isomorphism). A sequence $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ is said to be \( w \)-exact if for any \( m \in w\text{-}\text{Max}(R) \), $A_m \rightarrow B_m \rightarrow C_m$ is exact. A class $\mathcal{C}$ of modules is said to be closed under \( w \)-isomorphisms provided that for any \( w \)-isomorphism $f : M \rightarrow N$, if one of the modules $M$ and $N$ is in $\mathcal{C}$, so is the other. An \( R \)-module $M$ is said to be of finite type if there exist a finitely generated free module $F$ and a \( w \)-epimorphism $g : F \rightarrow M$. Following from [16], an \( R \)-module $M$ is said to be \( w \)-flat if for any \( w \)-monomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$, the induced sequence $f \otimes_R 1 : A \otimes_R M \rightarrow B \otimes_R M$ is also a \( w \)-monomorphism. The classes of finite type modules and \( w \)-flat modules are all closed under \( w \)-isomorphisms, see [17, Corollary 6.7.4].

2. Semi-regular \( w \)-flat modules

Recall from [24], an \( R \)-module $M$ is said to be a semi-regular flat module if, for any finitely generated semi-regular ideal $I$ (i.e. $I \in \mathcal{Q}$), we have $\text{Tor}^R_1(R/I, M) = 0$. Obviously, every flat module is semi-regular flat. We denote by $\mathcal{F}_{sr}$ the class of all semi-regular flat modules. Then the class $\mathcal{F}_{sr}$ of all semi-regular flat modules is closed under direct limits, pure submodules and pure quotients [24, Lemma 2.4]. Hence $\mathcal{F}_{sr}$ is a covering class (see [24, Theorem 2.6]). Now, we give a \( w \)-analogue of semi-regular flat modules.

**Definition 2.1.** An \( R \)-module $M$ is said to be a semi-regular \( w \)-flat module if $\text{Tor}^R_1(R/I, M)$ is GV-torsion for any $I \in \mathcal{Q}$. The class of all semi-regular \( w \)-flat modules is denoted by $w\text{-}\mathcal{F}_{sr}$.\n
Obviously, semi-regular flat modules and \( w \)-flat modules are all semi-regular \( w \)-flat. Following from [24] that an \( R \)-module $M$ is said to be a semi-regular coflat module if for any $I \in \mathcal{Q}$, we have $\text{Ext}^1_R(R/I, M) = 0$.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $M$ be an \( R \)-module. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. $M$ is a semi-regular \( w \)-flat module;
(2) for any $I \in \mathcal{Q}$, the natural homomorphism $I \otimes M \to R \otimes M$ is a $w$-monomorphism;

(3) for any $I \in \mathcal{Q}$, the natural homomorphism $\sigma_I : I \otimes M \to IM$ is a $w$-isomorphism;

(4) for any injective $w$-module $E$, $\text{Hom}_R(M, E)$ is a semi-regular coflat module;

Proof. (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2): Let $I$ be a finitely generated semi-regular ideal. Then we have a long exact sequence:

$$0 \to \text{Tor}^1_R(R/I, M) \to I \otimes_R M \to R \otimes_R M \to R/I \otimes_R M \to 0.$$ 

Consequently, $\text{Tor}^1_R(R/I, M)$ is GV-torsion if and only if $I \otimes_R M \to R \to R \otimes_R M$ is a $w$-monomorphism.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): Let $I$ be a finitely generated semi-regular ideal. Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & I \otimes_R M \\
& & \sigma_I \\
& & \cong \\
& & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & IM \\
\end{array}$$

Then $\sigma_I$ is a $w$-monomorphism. Since the multiplicative map $\sigma_I$ is an epimorphism, $\sigma_I$ is a $w$-isomorphism.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Let $I$ be a finitely generated semi-regular ideal. Then we have a long exact sequence:

$$0 \to \text{Tor}^1_R(R/I, M) \to IM \overset{f}{\longrightarrow} M.$$ 

Since $f$ is a natural embedding map, we have $\text{Tor}^1_R(R/I, M)$ is GV-torsion.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (4): Let $I$ be a finitely generated semi-regular ideal and $E$ an injective $w$-module. Then $\text{Ext}^1_R(R/I, \text{Hom}_R(M, E)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Tor}^1_R(R/I, M), E)$. Since $M$ is a semi-regular $w$-flat module, then $\text{Tor}^1_R(R/I, M)$ is GV-torsion. Since $E$ is a $w$-module, we have $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Tor}^1_R(R/I, M), E) = 0$. Thus $\text{Ext}^1_R(R/I, \text{Hom}_R(M, E)) = 0$, so $\text{Hom}_R(M, E)$ is a semi-regular coflat module.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Let $I$ be a finitely generated semi-regular ideal and $E$ an injective $w$-module. Since $\text{Hom}_R(M, E)$ is a regular coflat module and

$$\text{Ext}^1_R(R/I, \text{Hom}_R(M, E)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Tor}^1_R(R/I, M), E),$$

we have $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Tor}^1_R(R/I, M), E) = 0$. By [23 Corollary 3.11], $\text{Tor}^1_R(R/I, M)$ is GV-torsion. So $M$ is a semi-regular $w$-flat module.

\textbf{Corollary 2.3.} Let $R$ be a ring. The class of regular $w$-flat $R$-modules is closed under $w$-isomorphisms.
Proof. Let $f : M \to N$ be a $w$-isomorphism and $I$ a finitely generated semi-regular ideal. There exist two exact sequences $0 \to T_1 \to M \to L \to 0$ and $0 \to L \to N \to T_2 \to 0$ with $T_1$ and $T_2$ GV-torsion. Consider the induced two long exact sequences $0 \to T_1 \to M \to L \to 0$ and $0 \to L \to N \to T_2 \to 0$ with $T_1$ and $T_2$ GV-torsion. By [17, Theorem 6.7.2], $M$ is semi-regular $w$-flat if and only if $N$ is semi-regular $w$-flat. □

Proposition 2.4. Let $R$ be a ring. Then $R$ is a DW-ring if and only if any semi-regular $w$-flat module is semi-regular flat.

Proof. Obviously, if $R$ is a DW-ring, then every semi-regular $w$-flat module is semi-regular flat. On the other hand, let $J$ be a GV-ideal of $R$, then $R/J$ is GV-torsion and hence a semi-regular $w$-flat module by Corollary 2.3. So $R/J$ is a semi-regular flat module. Note the GV-ideal $J$ is finitely generated and semi-regular, so $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/J, R/J) \cong J/J^2 = 0$ by [17, Exercise 3.20]. It follows that $J$ is a finitely generated idempotent ideal of $R$, and thus $J$ is projective by [6, Proposition 1.10]. Hence $J = J_w = R$. Consequently, $R$ is a DW-ring. □

We say a class $\mathcal{F}$ of $R$-modules is precovering provided that for any $R$-module $M$, there is a homomorphism $f : F \to M$ with $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\text{Hom}_R(F', F) \to \text{Hom}_R(F', M)$ is an epimorphism for any $F' \in \mathcal{F}$. If, moreover, any homomorphism $h$ such that $f = f \circ h$ is an isomorphism, $\mathcal{F}$ is said to be covering. It is well-known that the class of flat modules is a covering class (see [2, Theorem 3]). It was also proved in [22, Theorem 3.5] that the class of $w$-flat modules is a covering class. For the class of semi-regular flat modules, we have the following similar result.

Proposition 2.5. Let $R$ be a ring. Then the class $w-\mathcal{F}_{sr}$ of all semi-regular flat modules is closed under direct limits, pure submodules and pure quotients. Consequently, $w-\mathcal{F}_{sr}$ is a covering class.

Proof. For the direct limits, suppose $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a direct system consisting of semi-regular $w$-flat modules. Then, for any finitely generated semi-regular ideal $I$, we have $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/I, \lim \to M_i) = \lim \to \text{Tor}_1^R(R/I, M_i)$ is GV-torsion. So $\lim \to M_i$ is a semi-regular $w$-flat module.

For pure submodules and pure quotients, let $I$ be a finitely generated semi-regular ideal. Suppose $0 \to M \to N \to L \to 0$ is a pure exact sequence. We have the
following commutative diagram with rows exact:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \to & M \otimes_R I & \to & N \otimes_R I & \to & L \otimes_R I & \to & 0 \\
0 & \to & M \otimes_R R & \to & N \otimes_R R & \to & L \otimes_R R & \to & 0 \\
0 & \to & M \otimes_R R/I & \to & N \otimes_R R/I & \to & L \otimes_R R/I & \to & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

By the generalized Five Lemma (see [17, Lemma 6.3.6]), the natural homomorphism \(f: M \otimes_R I \to M \otimes_R R\) and \(g: L \otimes_R I \to L \otimes_R R\) are all \(w\)-monomorphisms. Consequently, \(M\) and \(L\) are all semi-regular \(w\)-flat. Consequently, \(wFsr\) is a covering class by [8, Theorem 3.4]. □

3. ON THE HOMOLOGICAL DIMENSION OF SEMI-REGULAR \(w\)-FLAT MODULES

The author [23] introduced the notions of homological dimensions of regular \(w\)-flat modules for the homological characterizations of total quotient rings and PvMRs. In order to characterize WQ rings and \(Q_0\)-PvMRs, we introduced the homological dimensions using semi-regular \(w\)-flat modules in this section.

**Definition 3.1.** Let \(R\) be a ring and \(M\) an \(R\)-module. We write \(sr-w-fd_R(M) \leq n\) (\(sr-w-fd\) abbreviates \(semi-regular w\)-flat dimension) if there is a \(w\)-exact sequence of \(R\)-modules

\[
0 \to F_n \to \cdots \to F_1 \to F_0 \to M \to 0 \quad (\Diamond)
\]

with each \(F_i\) \(w\)-flat \((i = 0, \cdots, n - 1)\) and \(F_n\) semi-regular \(w\)-flat. The \(w\)-exact sequence \((\Diamond)\) is said to be a semi-regular \(w\)-flat \(w\)-resolution of length \(n\) of \(M\). The semi-regular \(w\)-flat dimension \(sr-w-fd_R(M)\) is defined to be the length of the shortest semi-regular \(w\)-flat \(w\)-resolution of \(M\). If such finite \(w\)-resolution \((\Diamond)\) does not exist, then we say \(sr-w-fd_R(M) = \infty\).

It is obvious that an \(R\)-module \(M\) is semi-regular \(w\)-flat if and only if \(sr-w-fd_R(M) = 0\) and \(sr-w-fd_R(N) \leq w-fd_R(N)\) for any \(R\)-module \(N\).

**Proposition 3.2.** Let \(R\) be a ring. The following statements are equivalent for an \(R\)-module \(M:\)

1. \(sr-w-fd_R(M) \leq n;\)
2. \(\text{Tor}_n^R(M, R/I)\) is GV-torsion for all finitely generated semi-regular ideals \(I;\)
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Definition 3.3.
The following statements are equivalent for a ring R.
(1) sr-w-w.gl.dim(R) ≤ n.
(2) sr-w-fd_R(M) ≤ n for all R-modules M.
(3) Tor^n_{n+k}(M, R/I) is GV-torsion for all R-modules M and all finitely generated semi-regular ideals I and all k > 0;
(4) Tor^n_{n+1}(M, R/I) is GV-torsion for all R-modules M and all finitely generated semi-regular ideals I of R;

Proposition 3.4. The following statements are equivalent for a ring R.

(3) if 0 → F_n → · · · → F_1 → F_0 → M → 0 is an exact sequence, where F_0, F_1, ..., F_{n-1} are flat R-modules, then F_n is semi-regular w-flat;
(4) if 0 → F_n → · · · → F_1 → F_0 → M → 0 is an w-exact sequence, where F_0, F_1, ..., F_{n-1} are w-flat R-modules, then F_n is semi-regular w-flat;
(5) if 0 → F_n → · · · → F_1 → F_0 → M → 0 is an exact sequence, where F_0, F_1, ..., F_{n-1} are w-flat R-modules, then F_n is semi-regular w-flat;
(6) if 0 → F_n → · · · → F_1 → F_0 → M → 0 is an w-exact sequence, where F_0, F_1, ..., F_{n-1} are flat R-modules, then F_n is semi-regular w-flat.

Proof. (1) ⇒ (2): We prove (2) by induction on n. The case n = 0 is trivial. If n > 0, then there is a w-exact sequence 0 → F_n → · · · → F_1 → F_0 → M → 0 with each F_i w-flat (i = 0, ..., n - 1) and F_n is semi-regular w-flat. Let K_0 = ker(F_0 → M). We have two w-exact sequences 0 → K_0 → F_0 → M → 0 and 0 → F_n → F_{n-1} → · · · → F_1 → K_0 → 0. Note that sr-w-fd_R(K_0) ≤ n - 1. Let I be a finitely generated semi-regular ideal. By induction, we have Tor^n_R(K_0, R/I) is GV-torsion. It follows from [18, Lemma 2.2] that Tor^n_{n+1}(M, R/I) is GV-torsion.

(2) ⇒ (4): Let 0 → F_n → · · · → F_1 → F_0 → M → 0 be a w-exact sequence with each F_i w-flat (i = 0, ..., n - 1). Set L_n = F_n and L_i = Im(F_i → F_{i-1}), where i = 1, ..., n - 1. Then both 0 → L_{i+1} → F_i → L_i → 0 and 0 → L_1 → F_0 → M → 0 are w-exact sequences. By using [18, Lemma 2.2] repeatedly, we can obtain that Tor^1_R(F_n, R/I) is GV-torsion for all finitely generated semi-regular ideals I. Thus F_n is semi-regular w-flat.

(4) ⇒ (5) ⇒ (3) and (4) ⇒ (6) ⇒ (3) ⇒ (1): Trivial. 

Definition 3.3. The sr-w-weak global dimension of a ring R is defined by
sr-w-w.gl.dim(R) = sup{sr-w-fd_R(M) | M is an R-module}.

Obviously, by definition, sr-w-w.gl.dim(R) ≤ w-w.gl.dim(R) for any ring R. We can easily deduce the following results from Proposition 3.2.
4. Rings with sr-\textit{w}-weak global dimensions at most one

Recently, the authors in [27] introduced the notion of \(q\)-operation on a commutative ring \(R\). We give some reviews here. An \(R\)-module \(M\) is said to be \(Q\)-torison-free if \(Im = 0\) with \(I \in Q\) and \(m \in M\) can deduce \(m = 0\). Let \(M\) be a \(Q\)-torison-free \(R\)-module. The Lucas envelope

\[ M_q = \{x \in E(M) \mid \text{there exists } I \in Q \text{ such that } Ix \subseteq M \} \]

where \(E(M)\) is the injective envelope of \(M\). An \(Q\)-torison-free \(R\)-module \(M\) is said to be a Lucas module provided that \(M_q = M\). By [21, Proposition 2.2], a ring is a DQ-ring if and only if every \(R\)-module is Lucas module. Since any GV-ideal is finitely generated semi-regular, we have Lucas modules are all \(w\)-modules. However, \(R\) itself is not always a Lucas module. It was proved in [20, Proposition 3.8] that a ring \(R\) is a Lucas module if and only if the \(q\)- and \(w\)-operations on \(R\) coincide, if and only if every finitely generated semi-regular ideal is a GV-ideal, if and only if \(Q_0(R) = R\). For convenience, we say a ring \(R\) is a WQ-ring if every finitely generated semi-regular ideal is a GV-ideal. Obviously, a ring \(R\) is a DQ-ring if and only if it is a DW-ring and a WQ-ring. It was proved in [23, Theorem 4.1] that a ring \(R\) is a total quotient ring (i.e. any regular element of \(R\) is a unit) if and only if every \(R\)-module is regular \(w\)-flat, if and only if \(\text{reg-}w\cdot \text{gl.dim}(R) = 0\). Next, we will give a homological characterization of WQ rings utilizing \(sr\)-\(w\)-weak global dimensions.

\textbf{Lemma 4.1.} Let \(I = \langle a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n \rangle\) be a finitely generated ideal of \(R\). Suppose \(m\) is a positive integer and \(K = \langle a_1^m, a_2^m, \cdots, a_n^m \rangle\). Then \(I^{mn} \subseteq K\).

\textit{Proof.} Note that \(I^{mn}\) is generated by \(\{ \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i^{k_i} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i = mn\}\). By the pigeonhole principle, there exists some \(k_i\) such that \(k_i \geq m\). So each \(\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i^{k_i} \in K\), and thus \(I^{mn} \subseteq K\). \qed

\textbf{Theorem 4.2.} Let \(R\) be a ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:

\begin{enumerate}
    \item \(R\) is a WQ-ring.
    \item every \(R\)-module is semi-regular \(w\)-flat;
    \item \(sr\)-\(w\cdot \text{gl.dim}(R) = 0\).
    \item for every finitely generated semi-regular ideal \(I\), \(R/I\) is a \(w\)-flat module;
    \item \(I \subseteq (I^2)_w\) for any finitely generated semi-regular ideal \(I\) of \(R\);
    \item every \(w\)-module is a Lucas module;
    \item \(Q_0(R) = R\).
\end{enumerate}
Proof. (1) ⇒ (2): Let $I$ be a finitely generated semi-regular ideal of $R$ and $M$ an $R$-module. Then $I$ is a GV-ideal of $R$. So $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/I, M)$ is GV-torsion. Hence $M$ is semi-regular $w$-flat.

(1) ⇒ (6) and (2) ⇔ (3): Trivial.

(2) ⇒ (4): Let $I$ be a finitely generated semi-regular ideal of $R$ and $K$ a finitely generated ideal of $R$. Then $R/K$ is a semi-regular $w$-flat module. So $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/K, R/I)$ is GV-torsion. Hence $R/I$ is a $w$-flat module by [17] Theorem 6.7.3.

(4) ⇒ (5): Let $I$ be a finitely generated semi-regular ideal of $R$. Then $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/I, R/I)$ is GV-torsion since $R/I$ is a $w$-flat module by (4). That is, $I/I^2$ is GV-torsion, and thus $I \subseteq (I)_w = (I^2)_w$.

(5) ⇒ (1): Let $I = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle$ is finitely generated semi-regular ideal. There exists a GV-ideal $J$ such that $JI \subseteq I^2$. We claim that $I$ is also a GV-ideal. Indeed, suppose $J$ is generated by \{j_1, \ldots, j_m\}. For each $k = 1, \ldots, m$, we have $j_k a_i = \sum_{j=1}^n r_{ij} a_j$ for some suitable $r_{ij} \in I$. The column vector $a \in R^n$ whose $i$-th coordinate is $a_i$, and the matrix $A = ||j_k \delta_{ij} - r_{ij}||$, where $\delta_{ij}$ is the Kronecker symbol, satisfy $Aa = 0$. Hence $\det(A)a = 0$. Since $I$ is semi-regular, we have $\det(A) = 0$. So $j_k^n + j_k^{n+1}r_1 + \cdots + r_n = 0$ for some $r_i \in I$. Thus $j_k^n \in I$ for each $k = 1, \ldots, m$. By Lemma [4.1], we have $J^m \subseteq \langle j_k^n | k = 1, \ldots, m \rangle \subseteq I$. Since $J^m$ is a GV-ideal, the finitely generated semi-regular ideal $I$ is also a GV-ideal (see [17] Proposition 6.1.9).

(6) ⇒ (1): Since $R$ is a $w$-module, then it is a Lucas module. So $R$ is a WQ-ring by [20] Proposition 3.8.

(1) ⇔ (7): See [20] Proposition 3.8. \qed

It was proved in [24] Theorem 3.1] that a ring $R$ is a DQ-ring (i.e. the only finitely generated semi-regular ideal of $R$ is $R$ itself) if and only if every $R$-module is semi-regular flat. Hence rings with $sr$-$w$-weak global dimensions equal to 0 and $sr$-$w$-weak global dimensions equal to 0 do not coincide.

Example 4.3. [11] Example 12 Let $D = L[X^2, X^3, Y]$, $\mathcal{P} = \text{Spec}(D) - \{\langle X^2, X^3, Y \rangle\}$, $B = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathcal{P}} K(R/p)$ and $R = D(+)B$ where $L$ is a field and $K(R/p)$ is the quotient field of $R/p$. Since $Q_0(R) = R$, $R$ is a WQ-ring by [20] Proposition 3.8. Since every finitely generated $R$-ideal of the form $J(+)B$ with $\sqrt{J} = \langle X^2, X^3, Y \rangle$ is a GV-ideal, $R$ is not a DW-ring. Hence $R$ is not a DQ-ring by [21] Proposition 2.2.

Recall from Lucas [12] that an ideal $I$ of $R$ is said to be $t$-invertible if there is an $R$-submodule $J$ of $Q_0(R)$ such that $(IJ)_t = R$, and $R$ is called a $Q_0$-PvMR if every finitely generated semi-regular ideal of $R$ is $t$-invertible. From [16] Proposition
4.17], a semi-regular ideal is \( t \)-invertible if and only if it is \( w \)-invertible. So a ring \( R \) is a \( Q_0 \)-PvMR if and only if every finitely generated semi-regular ideal is \( w \)-invertible. Recall from [16] that an \( R \)-module \( M \) is said to be a \( w \)-projective module if \( \Ext_R^1((M/\Tor(GV(M)), N) \) is a GV-torsion module for any torsion-free \( w \)-module \( N \). Recall from [16] that an \( R \)-module \( M \) is said to be semi-regular if there are a positive integer \( n \) and a chain of submodules of \( M \):

\[
0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M_{n-1} \subseteq M_n = M
\]
such that every factor module \( M_i/M_{i-1} \) is \( w \)-isomorphic to a semi-regular ideal of \( R \).

**Theorem 4.4.** Let \( R \) be a ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. \( R \) is a \( Q_0 \)-PvMR;
2. any submodule of a semi-regular \( w \)-flat \( R \)-module is semi-regular \( w \)-flat;
3. any submodule of a \( w \)-flat \( R \)-module is semi-regular \( w \)-flat;
4. any ideal of \( R \) is semi-regular \( w \)-flat;
5. any finitely generated (resp., finite type) ideal of \( R \) is semi-regular \( w \)-flat;
6. any finitely generated (resp., finite type) semi-regular ideal of \( R \) is \( w \)-flat;
7. any finitely generated (resp., finite type) semi-regular ideal of \( R \) is \( w \)-projective;
8. \( \text{sr-}w.w.gl.dim(R) \leq 1 \);
9. any finitely generated (resp., finite type) semi-regular \( R \)-module is \( w \)-projective.

**Proof.** Since the classes of semi-regular \( w \)-flat modules, \( w \)-flat modules, \( w \)-projective modules and \( w \)-invertible ideals are closed under \( w \)-isomorphism and every finite type ideal is isomorphic to a finitely generated sub-ideal, we just need to consider the “finitely generated” cases in (5), (6), (7) and (9).

(2) \( \Rightarrow \) (3) \( \Rightarrow \) (4) \( \Rightarrow \) (5) \( \Rightarrow \) (6) and (9) \( \Rightarrow \) (5): Trivial.

(5) \( \Leftrightarrow \) (6): Let \( I \) be a finitely generated semi-regular ideal of \( R \) and \( J \) a finitely generated ideal of \( R \). Then we have \( \Tor_R^1(R/J, I) \cong \Tor_R^1(R/I, R/J) \cong \Tor_R^1(R/I, J) \).

Consequently, \( J \) is semi-regular \( w \)-flat if and only if \( I \) is \( w \)-flat.

(6) \( \Rightarrow \) (1): Let \( I \) be a finitely generated semi-regular ideal of \( R \) and \( m \) a maximal \( w \)-ideal of \( R \). Then \( I_m \) is finitely generated flat \( R_m \)-ideal. By [7, Lemma 4.2.1] and [14, Theorem 2.5], we have \( I_m \) is a free \( R_m \)-ideal. So the rank of \( I_m \) is at most 1. Hence \( I \) is \( w \)-invertible by [16, Theorem 4.13].

(1) \( \Rightarrow \) (6): Let \( I \) be a finitely generated semi-regular ideal of \( R \) and \( m \) a maximal \( w \)-ideal of \( R \). Then \( I_m \) is a principal \( R_m \)-ideal by [16, Theorem 4.13]. Suppose \( I_m = (\frac{r}{s}) \). Then \( (0 :_{R_m} \frac{r}{s}) = (0 :_{R_m} I_m) = (0 :_RI_m) = 0 \) by [17, Exercise 1.72]. Thus \( \frac{r}{s} \) is regular element. So \( I_m \cong R_m \). Consequently, \( I \) is a \( w \)-flat \( R \)-ideal.
(6) ⇒ (2): Let $M$ be a semi-regular $w$-flat module and $N$ a submodule of $M$. Suppose $I$ is a finitely generated semi-regular ideal, then $I$ is a $w$-flat ideal. Thus $w$-fd$_R(R/I) \leq 1$. Consider the exact sequence
\[
\text{Tor}^2_R(R/I, M/N) \to \text{Tor}^1_R(R/I, N) \to \text{Tor}^1_R(R/I, M).
\]
Since Tor$_2^R(R/I, M/N)$ and Tor$_1^R(R/I, M)$ are GV-torsion, we have Tor$_1^R(R/I, N)$ is GV-torsion. So $N$ is a semi-regular $w$-flat module.

(1) ⇒ (7): Let $I$ be a finitely generated semi-regular ideal of $R$. Then $I$ is $w$-invertible, and hence $w$-projective by [16, Theorem 4.13].

(3) ⇔ (8): By Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.4.

(1) ⇒ (9): See [16, Theorem 4.23].

The following examples show that regular $w$-flat ideals are not necessary semi-regular $w$-flat and semi-regular $w$-flat ideals are not necessary semi-regular flat.

**Example 4.5.** [12, Example 8.10] Let $D = \mathbb{Z} + (Y, Z)\mathbb{Q}[[Y, Z]]$ and let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of height one primes of $D$. Let $R = B + B$ be the $A + B$ ring corresponding to $D$ and $\mathcal{P}$. It was showed that $R$ is a PvMR but not a $Q_0$-PvMR. Hence there exists a regular $w$-flat ideal which is not semi-regular $w$-flat by Theorem 4.4 and [23, Theorem 4.8].

**Example 4.6.** [12, Example 8.11] Let $E = D[Z]$ where $D$ is a Dedekind domain with a maximal ideal $N = \langle a, b \rangle$ for which no power of $N$ is principal. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of primes of $E$ which contain neither $Z$ nor $NE$. Set $R = B + B$. It was showed that $R$ is a $Q_0$-PvMR but not a strong Prüfer ring. Hence there exists a semi-regular $w$-flat ideal which is not semi-regular flat by Theorem 4.4 and [24, Theorem 3.4].
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