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ABSTRACT. Generalized integer splines on a graph $G$ with integer edge weights are integer vertex labelings such that if two vertices share an edge in $G$, the vertex labels are congruent modulo the edge weight. We introduce collapsing operations that reduce any simple graph to a single vertex, carrying with it the edge weight information. This corresponds to a sequence of surjective maps between the associated spline modules, leading to an explicit construction of a $\mathbb{Z}$-module basis in terms of the edge weights.

INTRODUCTION

Consider a graph $G$ with integer edge weights. Label the vertices so that if two vertices are joined by an edge with weight $w$, then their vertex labels are congruent modulo $w$. This leads to the following questions:

• Given arbitrary edge weights, can we always construct a vertex labeling?
• Can we find a finite set that generates all vertex labelings?

In [6], Tymozcko, et. al. introduced the study of generalized splines on a graph with edges weighted by ideals in a commutative ring $R$. Their foundational paper concludes with many open questions, primarily about the $R$-module structure of sets of splines and how much this structure is determined by properties of the ring and/or the underlying graph. A subsequent survey article [13] describes the role that generalized splines play in geometry and topology.

In this paper we set $R$ to be $\mathbb{Z}$, the ring of integers, although the results in this paper hold when $R$ is an Euclidean Ring, with minor modifications. We answer both of the above questions affirmatively, and in doing so find a complete characterization of spline modules over $\mathbb{Z}$ for arbitrary graphs.

Generalized splines are a generalization of polynomial splines, which are ubiquitous in applied math, computer graphics and approximation.
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theory. They are often defined to be piecewise polynomial functions on a polyhedral subdivision of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Alfeld and others [1] studied the question of finding dimensions and bases for vector spaces of splines of restricted polynomial degree. In [3, 5], Billera pioneered the use of algebraic techniques in the study of polynomial splines. When the polynomial degrees are unrestricted, the set of all splines on a polyhedral complex will be both a ring and an $R$-module, where $R$ is a polynomial ring in $d$ variables over a field.

The algebraic study of polynomial splines led to a representation of splines as vertex labels of the dual graph of the polyhedral complex [11, 10], where the edges are labeled with linear forms. In this new representation, a set of vertex labels is a spline if each edge label divides the difference between its two vertex labels. This representation easily extends to arbitrary rings, and in fact arises in geometry and topology.

When $R$ is a principal ideal domain, generalized spline modules are always free of rank $n$, the number of vertices of the graph. In [8], Handschy, et. al., constructed “flow-up class” bases for $n$-cycle graphs with integer edge weights. In [9] we proved that flow-up class bases exist for spline modules over arbitrary graphs with integer edge weights, and expanded the class of graphs for which a flow-up class basis could be constructed.

The main result of this paper is the construction of flow-up class bases for the spline module $S_G$, for any arbitrary graph $G$ with integer edge weights. To do this, we introduce two collapsing operations that transform $G$ into a graph with fewer vertices or edges. Using these operations, we can reduce any connected graph to a point. Then we show that each of these operations induces a surjective $\mathbb{Z}$-module map of the associated spline modules. The main algebraic result is that $S_G$ is the direct sum of the kernels of these maps. From there, all that is left to do is to construct a basis for each of the kernels, and show that their pre-images form a basis for $S_G$. A nice feature of this construction is that the first non-zero entry of each basis element, viewed as an $n$-tuple of $\mathbb{Z}^n$, can be written in terms of least common multiples and greatest common divisors of a subset of the edge weights.

1. Preliminaries

We begin with a formal definition of a generalized integer spline. Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertices, edge set $E$, and $A : E \to \mathbb{N}$ an assignment of positive integer weights to edges of $G$.

We denote the set of splines on $(G, A)$ by $S_G(A)$, or just $S_G$ when there is no ambiguity.
Definition 1.1. Let $G$ a graph with $n$ vertices. A generalized integer spline on $G$ is an $n$-tuple $(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that if vertices $v_i$ and $v_j$ are joined by $e \in E$, then $g_i \equiv g_j \mod A(e)$. We refer to these equations as the defining equations for $S_G$.

Example 1.2. Let $G$ be a 3-cycle with edge labels $a, b, c$ as in Figure 1. By the definition above, $(g_1, g_2, g_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ is a spline in $S_G$ if the following defining equations, one for each edge, are satisfied.

$$
g_1 \equiv g_2 \mod a \quad g_2 \equiv g_3 \mod b \quad g_3 \equiv g_1 \mod c$$

Notation: Let $(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m)$ denote the GCD and $[r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m]$ denote the LCM of the set $\{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m\}$.

The following lemma will be used in later sections. The proofs are straightforward, so we omit them.

Lemma 1.3. Let $a, b, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

1. $(a, (b, c)) = (a, b, c)$.
2. $[a, [b, c]] = [a, b, c]$.
3. $[[a, c), (b, c)] = ([a, b], c)$.
4. $x \equiv a \mod m$ and $x \equiv a \mod n$ if and only if $x \equiv a \mod [m, n]$.

We will need the non-coprime version of the Chinese Remainder Theorem, which we state for convenience.

Theorem 1.4 (Non-Coprime Chinese Remainder Theorem). Let $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i$ between 1 and $n$. The system of congruences
\[ x \equiv a_1 \mod m_1 \]
\[ x \equiv a_2 \mod m_2 \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ x \equiv a_n \mod m_n \]

has a solution if and only if
\[ a_i \equiv a_j \mod (m, m_j) \]
for all \( i, j \) with \( 1 \leq i, j \leq n \). If a solution exists, then it is unique modulo \([m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n]\).

2. Collapsing Operations on \( G \)

In this section, we define two operations on \((G, A)\) that yield another edge-weighted graph \((G', A')\) with either fewer vertices or the same number of vertices and fewer edges. We will show that for any \((G, A)\), and vertices \(v_i\) and \(v_j\), there exists a sequence of operations that yield the weighted edge \((K_2, A_*)\), for some \(A_*\) in \(\mathbb{N}\). Moreover, we will show that any spline on \((K_2, A_*)\) can be extended to a spline on \((G, A)\). We can then use this collapse sequence to construct a \(\mathbb{Z}\)-module basis for \(S_G\).

**Definition 2.1.** Let \( G \) be a graph and \( v \) a vertex of \( G \) of degree \( d \).

1. The **star** of \( v \), denoted \( st(v) \), is the subgraph consisting of \( v \) and all edges that contain \( v \).

2. A **\( d \)-clique** is a subgraph that is a complete graph on \( d \) vertices.

The first collapse operation replaces the star of \( v \) with a \( d \)-clique, where \( d \) is the degree of \( v \), using the neighbors of \( v \) are the vertices. All other vertices and edges in the graph remain the same, although the new graph may have multiple edges. We call this a **star-clique** operation, as we are deleting a vertex star, and then adding new edges to the adjacent \( d \) vertices to form a \( d \)-clique. This generalizes the standard \( Y - \Delta \) transform that takes a 3-star to the 3-clique \( K_3 \). We now define this process more formally.

**Definition 2.2.** Let \( v \) be a vertex of \( G \) of degree \( d \), with adjacent vertices \( \{v_1, \ldots, v_d\} \) and incident edge weights \( \{a_1, \ldots, a_d\} \). The **star-clique** operation transforms \((G, A)\) to \((G_v, A_v)\) as follows.

- Remove \( v \) and the edges of \( st(v) \), but keep the adjacent vertices.
- Add edges between adjacent vertices to form a \( d \)-clique.
- Label the new edge between \( v_i \) and \( v_j \) with \((a_i, a_j)\).
Example 2.3. Let $v_2$ be a vertex of degree 1, with adjacent vertex $v_1$ and incident edge $e$ with weight $a$. The star-clique operation replaces the star of $v_2$, an edge, with a 1-clique, which is the single vertex $v_1$. We call this a leaf deletion. See Figure 2.

Example 2.4. Let $v_2$ have degree 2, with adjacent vertices $v_1$ and $v_3$ and incident edges with weights $a$ and $b$. The star-clique operation replaces the star of $v_2$, i.e. the path $v_1 v_2 v_3$, with the edge $v_1 v_3$. This is a path contraction, with edge weights $a$ and $b$ replaced by $(a, b)$ (see Figure 3).

Example 2.5. Let $v_4$ be a vertex of degree 3, with adjacent vertices $v_1$, $v_2$, and $v_3$ and incident edge weights $c, a, b$. The star-clique operation replaces the star of $v_4$, a “Y-graph,” with a triangle with vertices $v_1, v_2, v_3$. The new edges will have weights $(a, c), (a, b), (b, c)$, as shown in Figure 4.

The new graph $(G_v, A_v)$ has one fewer vertex, but may no longer be a simple graph if some of the $v_i$’s were already be connected in $G$. 
In order to turn $G_v$ into a simple graph, so that we can apply the star-clique operation again, we introduce a second operation, edge collapse, that collapses multiple edges between the same pair of vertices (see Figure 5).

**Definition 2.6.** Let $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_r$ be multiple edges between vertices $v$ and $w$ in $G$ with labels $\{a_1, \ldots, a_r\}$. The edge-collapses operation transforms $(G, A)$ to $(G', A')$ as follows.

- Remove $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_r$.
- Add a new edge $e$ between $v$ and $w$.
- Label $e$ with $[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r]$.

**Example 2.7.** Let $v_1, v_2$ be two vertices joined by edges of weights $a, b$. The edge-collapse operation replaces these edges with a single edge of weight $[a, b]$ (see Figure 5).

**Lemma 2.8.** Let $(G, A)$ be a simple, connected graph containing at least one vertex $v$. Then $(G_v, A_v)$ is also connected.
Proof. We consider two cases.

- Case 1: If $G_v$ is the empty graph or has only one vertex, then it is vacuously connected.
- Case 2: Assume $G_v$ has 2 or more vertices. Let $x$ and $y$ be distinct vertices of $G_v$. Hence they are vertices in $G$ since $G_v$ doesn't introduce new vertices. Since $G$ is connected, there is a path $P$ connecting $x$ and $y$ in $G$. There are two cases.
  - First, assume $P$ does not pass through the vertex $v$. The removal of $v$ does not affect $P$, so $P$ is also a path in $G_v$.
  - Now suppose $P$ passes through the vertex $v$. Then $P$ passes through vertices $p$ and $q$, where $p$ and $q$ are adjacent to $v$. When we form $G_v$, the path $pvq$ in $G$ will be replaced by a single edge $pq$. Thus, we can form a path $P_v$ from $x$ to $y$ in $G_v$ by replacing the edges $pv$ and $vq$ with the edge $pq$ in $G_v$.

A graph can always be collapsed to a single edge, and even further to a single vertex.

Lemma 2.9. Let $(G, A)$ be a connected edge-weighted graph, and let $v$ and $w$ be vertices of $G$.

(1) We can collapse $G$ to the graph $K_2$ with vertices $v$ and $w$.
(2) We can collapse $G$ to the vertex $v$.

Proof. Choose a vertex other than $v$ and $w$ and do a star-clique operation. This will reduce the number of vertices by one. Now do an edge collapse to remove multiple edges. Repeat until $v$ and $w$ are the only vertices left, and remove any multiple edges. Since the graphs at each stage are connected, by Lemma 2.8, we are left with a single edge between $v$ and $w$.

For part 2, a star-clique operation on $w$ will transform the edge $vw$ into the single vertex $v$.

3. The Spline Modules $S_G$ and $S_{G'}$

Now that we have constructed the graph collapsing operations, we describe their effects on the spline module $S_G$. In order to do this, we must first fix an ordering of the vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ of $G$. Next, we partition $S_G$ into flow-up classes $F_i$, which are subsets of splines with the first $i$ entries equal to zero and the $i+1$st entry non-zero. A flow-up class basis will be a basis that consists of an element from each non-zero flow-up class.
Definition 3.1. For $0 \leq i < n$, the $i$th flow-up class, denoted $F_i$, is the set of splines of the form $F = (0,0,\ldots,0,f_{i+1},\ldots,f_n)$ where $f_{i+1}$ is non-zero. We also define $F_n = \{0\} = 0 = (0,0,\ldots,0)$, the zero spline.

We now define the leading term of a spline to be its first non-zero entry.

Definition 3.2. Let $F \in F_i$ where $i \neq n$, so that $F = (0,0,\ldots,0,f_{i+1},\ldots,f_n)$ and $f_{i+1} \neq 0$. $L(F) = f_{i+1}$ is the leading term of $F$.

Definition 3.3. A spline $B \in F_i$ is a minimal element of $F_i$ if $L(B) > 0$, and for all $F \in F_k$, $(L(B) \leq |L(F)|$).

For example, $1 = (1,1,\ldots,1)$ has leading term 1, which is minimal in $\mathbb{N}$. Thus, $1$ is a minimal element of $F_0$.

Note: Although minimal elements of $F_i$ have the same leading term, the other terms are not in general unique.

Our strategy for constructing a basis for $S_G$ is to find a minimal element from each $F_i$, for $i < n$. The theorem below asserts that this set will always form a basis, called a flow-up class basis.

Theorem 3.4. [9] Let $(G,A)$ be an edge labeled graph with $n$ vertices. Let $B = \{B_0,B_1,\ldots,B_{n-1}\} \subset S_G$ where each $B_i$ is a minimal element of $F_i$. Then $B$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-module basis for $S_G$.

We now look at the effect of collapsing operations on flow-up classes and minimal elements. We begin with an example.

Example 3.5. Let $G$ be the $Y$-graph with vertices $v_1,v_2,v_3,v_4$, and edge labels $a,b,c$, as in Figure 4. The star-clique operation on $v_4$ yields a 3-cycle $G'$ with edge labels $(a,b)$, $(b,c)$, and $(a,c)$.

Let $(v_1,v_2,v_3,v_4) \in S_G$. The defining equations on $G$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
v_4 & \equiv v_1 \text{ mod } c \\
v_4 & \equiv v_2 \text{ mod } a \\
v_4 & \equiv v_3 \text{ mod } b
\end{align*}
$$

(3.1)

By the Theorem 1.4, Equation 3.1 has a solution if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
v_1 & \equiv v_2 \text{ mod } (a,c) \\
v_2 & \equiv v_3 \text{ mod } (a,b) \\
v_3 & \equiv v_1 \text{ mod } (b,c)
\end{align*}
$$

(3.2)

Note that these are in fact the defining equations of $S_{G'}$. Thus the projection map

$$
\phi : S_G \to S_{G'}
$$

defined by $\phi(v_1,v_2,v_3,v_4) = (v_1,v_2,v_3)$ is well defined, due to the forward direction of Theorem 1.4, and surjective by the reverse direction.
Since it's a projection map, it is also an \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module homomorphism. Let \( K \) be the kernel of \( \phi \). Then \( \mathbf{g} \) is in \( K = \phi^{-1}(0) \) if and only if \( \mathbf{g} = (0,0,0,v_4) \) in \( S_G \). Substituting these values into (1), we get

\[
\begin{align*}
  v_4 &\equiv 0 \mod a \\
  v_4 &\equiv 0 \mod b \\
  v_4 &\equiv 0 \mod c
\end{align*}
\]

which have as solutions \( g_4 \equiv 0 \mod [a,b,c] \). Thus \( \mathbf{g} = (0,0,0,[a,b,c]) \) is a minimal element of \( F_3 \) and forms a basis for \( K \).

We can formalize this process for any graph.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let \((G,A)\) be an edge-weighted simple graph on vertices \( \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\} \) and let \((G_n,A_n)\) be the result of a star-clique operation on vertex \( v_n \). The map \( \phi : S_G \to S_{G_n} \) defined by \( \phi(g_1,g_2,\ldots,g_n) = (g_1,g_2,\ldots,g_{n-1}) \) is a surjective \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module homomorphism with kernel \( F_{n-1} \cup F_n \).

**Proof.** The first thing to note is that the only edges affected by a star-clique operation are those incident to vertex \( v \), say \( v_i, \ldots, v_{i_d} \). Thus the defining equations for \( S_G \) and \( S_{G_n} \) only differ in that \( S_G \) includes equations

\[
g_n \equiv g_{i_1} \mod a_{i_1} \\
g_n \equiv g_{i_2} \mod a_{i_2} \\
\vdots \\
g_n \equiv g_{i_d} \mod a_{i_d}
\]

whereas \( S_{G_n} \) includes the equations

\[
g_{i_j} \equiv g_{i_k} \mod (a_{i_j},a_{i_k}), \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq d
\]

By Theorem 1.4, the first set of equations implies that second, hence \( \phi \) is well defined. Also by Theorem 1.4, the second set of equations implies there exists a \( g_n \) satisfying the first set, and since the remaining equations don’t involve \( g_n \), we have shown there exists a spline in \( S_G \) mapping to a given spline in \( S_{G_n} \).

Now let \( K \) denote the kernel of \( \phi \). Then \( \mathbf{g} \in K = \phi^{-1}(0) \) if and only if \( \mathbf{g} = (0,\ldots,0,g_n) \) in \( S_G \). If \( g_n \neq 0 \), then \( \mathbf{g} \) has exactly \( n \) zeroes, hence is an element of \( F_{n-1} \). If \( g_n = 0 \), then \( \mathbf{g} = \mathbf{0} \), the only element of \( F_n \).

**Theorem 3.7.** Let \((G,A)\) be an edge weighted graph and \((G',A')\) be the result of an edge collapse operation on multiple edges \( e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_r \). Then \( S_{G'} = S_G \).
Proof. Suppose that edges \( e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_r \) each connect vertices \( v \) and \( w \), and have edge labels \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r \). The label for the new edge \( e \) in \( G', A' \) is \([a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r]\). Thus the only difference between the defining equations for \( S_G \) and \( S_{G'} \) are the \( r \) equations \( g_v \equiv g_w \mod a_i \) and the single equation \( g_v \equiv g_w \mod [a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r] \) in \( S_{G_e} \). By Lemma 1.3, these two sets of equations have the same solutions, hence \( S_{G'} = S_G \).

In other words, collapsing multiple edges changes the graph, but not the corresponding spline module. Putting these theorems together we now state our main result of this section.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let \((G', A')\) be the result of a sequence of collapsing operations on an edge-weighted graph \((G, A)\) resulting in the removal of vertices \(v_{r+1}, \ldots, v_n\) and the collapsing of any multiple edges. Then the map \( \phi : S_G \to S_{G'} \) defined by \( \phi(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = (g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_r) \) is a surjective \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module homomorphism with kernel \( F_r \cup \cdots \cup F_{n-1} \cup F_n \).

**Proof.** By Theorem 3.6 each time we do a star-clique operation, we have a surjective \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module homomorphism \( \phi : S_G \to S_{G_e} \), and each time we do an edge collapse, the module stays the same. Since \( \phi \) is a composition of finitely many surjective \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module homomorphisms, it is also a surjective \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module homomorphism.

Let \( K \) denote the kernel of \( \phi \). Then \( g \in K = \phi^{-1}(0) \) if and only if \( g = (0, \ldots, 0, g_{r+1}, \ldots, g_n) \) in \( S_G \). Since \( g \) has at least \( r \) zeroes, it is an element of \( F_i \) for some \( i \geq r \).

**Corollary 3.9.** Let \((G, A)\) be an edge-weighted graph on \( n \) vertices and \( v_i \) and \( v_j \) be distinct vertices of \( G \). The map \( \phi : S_G \to S_{K_2} \) defined by \( \phi(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = (g_i, g_j) \) is a surjective \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module homomorphism.

**Proof.** We start with \((G, A)\) and delete vertices one by one until there are only two vertices left. Each time we end up with multiple edges, we collapse them and replace \( S_G \) with \( S_{G_e} \). By Theorem 3.8 the result now follows.

### 4. A basis for \( S_G \)

We now use the map \( \phi \) to compare flow-up classes and minimal elements of \( S_G \) and \( S_{G'} \).

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \((G', A')\) be the result of collapsing operations that remove vertices \( v_{r+1}, \ldots, v_n \). Define \( \phi : S_G \to S_{G'} \) by \( \phi(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = (g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_r) \). Suppose \( i < r \), \( F \in F_i \), and \( K \) is the kernel of \( \phi \). Then,

\[
(1) \quad \phi(F) = F'_i
\]
(2) \( L(F) = L(\phi(F)) \).
(3) \( F \) is minimal if and only if \( \phi(F) \) is minimal.
(4) Let \( B' \) and \( K \) be flow-up class bases for \( S_{G'} \) and \( K \), respectively. Let \( B \) consist of a pre-image of each element of \( B' \). Then \( B \cup K \) is a flow-up class basis of \( S_G \).
(5) As \( R \)-modules, \( S_G \cong S_{G'} \oplus K \).

Proof.

(1) If \( F = (0, \ldots, 0, f_{i+1}, \ldots, f_n) \) has \( i \) leading zeroes, then \( \phi(F) = (0, \ldots, 0, f_{i+1}, \ldots, f_r) \) also has \( i \) leading zeroes.
(2) \( L(F) = f_{i+1} = L(\phi(F)) \).
(3) If \( F \) is minimal but \( \phi(F) \) is not, then there is some \( M' \) in \( \mathcal{F} \) such that \( |L(M')| < |L(\phi(F))| \). Let \( M \) be in the inverse image of \( M' \). By (2), \( L(M) = L(M') \), so \( |L(M)| < |L(\phi(F))| \), which contradicts the minimality of \( F \). Conversely, suppose \( F' = \phi(F) \) is minimal but \( F \) is not. Then there exists \( M \) in \( \mathcal{F} \) such that \( |L(M)| < |L(F)| \). Then \( L(M) = L(\phi(M)) \), which implies \( |L(\phi(M))| < |L(F')| \), contradicting the minimality of \( F' \).
(4) Since \( B' \) and \( K \) are flow-up class bases, their leading terms are minimal in \( S_{G'} \) and \( K \), respectively. Let \( B \) be a set of inverse images of elements of \( B' \). By (3), these elements will minimal and there will be one from each flow-up class \( \mathcal{F}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{r-1} \). To complete a basis for \( S_G \), we need a minimal element from each remaining non-zero flow-up classes \( \mathcal{F}_{r}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \). By Theorem 3.8, \( K = \mathcal{F}_r \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \cup \mathcal{F}_n \). Thus any flow-up class basis for \( K \) will complete a basis of \( S_G \), making \( B \cup K \) a flow-up class basis for \( S_G \).
(5) This follows from (4).

In fact, we can describe \( S_G \) as the direct sum of rank 1 kernels of collapsing maps. First, we define a complete collapse sequence of graphs.

Definition 4.2. Let \((G, A)\) be a simple edge-weighted graph with \( n \) vertices. A complete collapse sequence is a set of graphs \( \{G_n, \ldots, G_1\} \), where \( G = G_n \) and for \( 2 \leq i \leq n, G_{i-1} \) is result of collapsing operations that remove the vertex \( v_i \) from \( G_i \).

Note that each \( G_i \) contains \( i \) vertices and that \( G_1 \) is a graph consisting of the single vertex \( v_1 \).
Theorem 4.3. Let \( \{G_k\} \) be a complete collapse sequence of \((G, A)\). Define
\[
S_{G_n} \xrightarrow{\phi_n} S_{G_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\phi_3} S_{G_2} \xrightarrow{\phi_2} S_{G_1} \xrightarrow{\phi_1} 0
\]
by \( \phi_i(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_i) = (g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{i-1}) \) for \( i > 1 \), and \( \phi_1(g_1) = 0 \). Let \( K_i \) denote the kernel of \( \phi_i \). Then
(1) \( S_G \cong K_1 \oplus K_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus K_n \).
(2) \( K_i \) is a rank 1 submodule of \( S_{G_i} \).
(3) Let \( st(v_i) \) in \( G_i \) have edge weights \( \{c_1, \ldots, c_d\} \). Then \( m_1 = (1) \) generates \( K_1 \) and \( m_i = (0,0,\ldots,[c_1,\ldots,c_d]) \) generates \( K_i \), for \( i > 1 \).
(4) For each \( i < n \), let \( M_i \) in \( S_G \) be a pre-image of \( m_i \) under the map \( \phi_n \circ \phi_{n-1} \cdots \circ \phi_{i+1} \), and let \( M_n = m_n \). Then \( \{M_1, \ldots, M_n\} \) is a flow-up class basis for \( S_G \) where \( M_i \in \mathcal{F}_{i-1} \).

Proof. By Theorem 4.1 for all \( i > 1 \) \( S_{G_i} \cong S_{G_{i-1}} \oplus K_i \). When \( i = n \) and \( n-1 \), we have \( S_G \cong S_{G_{n-1}} \oplus K_n \) and \( S_{G_{n-1}} \cong S_{G_{n-2}} \oplus K_{n-1} \). Putting these together we have
\[
S_G = S_{G_{n-2}} \oplus K_n \oplus K_{n-1}
\]
We can continue in this manner, down to \( i = 2 \), to get \( S_G \cong K_n \oplus K_{n-1} \oplus \cdots \oplus K_2 \oplus S_{G_1} \). The last map \( \phi_1 : S_{G_1} \to 0 \) is the zero map, so \( K_1 = S_{G_1} \), giving us the first result. Since \( G_1 \) has no edges, and hence no defining equations, \( S_{G_1} = R \). Thus \( K_1 = R \), which is generated by the element \((1)\). For \( i > 1 \), elements of \( K_i \) have form \((0,0,\ldots,0,g_i)\). Thus \( K_i \) is isomorphic to a non-zero submodule of \( R \), hence \( K_i \) has rank 1. Elements of \( K_i \) correspond to vertex labels \( g_i \) for \( v_i \) and 0 for all other vertices, so the defining equations are \( g_i \equiv 0 \mod A(e_j) \) for each edge \( e_j \) incident to \( v_i \), and \( 0 \equiv 0 \mod A(e) \) for all other edges. The incident edges are precisely the edges in \( st(v_i) \), which have weights \( \{c_1, \ldots, c_d\} \). Then \((0,0,\ldots,0,g_i) \in K_i \) if and only if \( g_i \equiv 0 \mod c_j \) for all \( j \). But by Lemma 1.3, this is equivalent to \( g_i \equiv 0 \mod [c_1, \ldots, c_d] \). So \( m_i = (0,0,\ldots,[c_1,\ldots,c_d]) \) is a minimal element of \( K_i \), which means \( m_i \) generates \( K_i \).

Finally, since \( m_i \) is a minimal element of of the \( i - 1 \)st flow-up class of \( S_{G_i} \), any pre-image \( M_i \) in \( S_G \) will be a minimal element of \( \mathcal{F}_{i-1} \), by Theorem 4.1. Thus the \( M_i \)'s form a flow-up class basis of \( S_G \). \( \blacksquare \)

This gives a procedure for constructing a basis \( \{M_1, \ldots, M_n\} \) for \( S_G \). For \( i > 1 \), \( M_i \) has leading term \([c_1, \ldots, c_d]\) where \([c_1, \ldots, c_d]\) are weights of edges incident to vertex \( v_i \) in \( G_i \), and we can use the Chinese Remainder Theorem to explicitly construct the other terms of \( M_i \). When
Figure 6. Basis for $K_4$

$i = 1$, $G_1$ is a single vertex with no edges. Since there are no restrictions, $m_1 = (1)$, and it’s trivial to see that $M_1 = (1, \ldots, 1)$ is always a spline in $S_G$.

**Example 4.4.** Let $G = K_4$ with the sequence of collapsing operations in Figures 6a through 6e.
In order to find $M_4$, we set $g_1 = 0$, $g_2 = 0$, $g_3 = 0$ in Figure 6a. This gives us the follow equations.

\[
\begin{align*}
g_4 &\equiv 0 \mod 12 \\
g_4 &\equiv 0 \mod 15 \\
g_4 &\equiv 0 \mod 8
\end{align*}
\]

By Theorem 4.3, the leading term $g_4$ will be the LCM of the edge weights incident to $v_4$, so $g_4 = [12, 15, 8] = 120$. This gives basis element $M_4 = (0, 0, 0, 120)$.

Next let $g_1 = 0$, $g_2 = 0$ in (Figure 6c). The equations are

\[
\begin{align*}
g_3 &\equiv 0 \mod 20 \\
g_3 &\equiv 0 \mod 12
\end{align*}
\]

which has least solution $g_3 = [12, 20] = 60$. We can let $g_4 = 0$, which gives us a basis element $M_3 = (0, 0, 60, 0)$.

Now consider Figure 6d and let $g_1 = 0$. Then $g_2 \equiv 0 \mod 12$, so $g_2 = 12$ is minimal. We are guaranteed values for $g_3$ and $g_4$ by the Chinese Remainder Theorem, but in this case we easily find a basis element $M_2 = (0, 12, 12, 12)$.

Finally, we can always set $M_1 = (1, 1, 1, 1)$.

In the next section we find an alternate construction of $L(M_i)$, in terms of the original edge weights of $G$. This construction does not require collapsing $G$ to the graph $G_i$, in terms of the original edge weights of $G$.

5. Leading Terms of Basis Elements

Let $(G, A)$ be an edge-weighted graph and let $(G', A')$ be the result of a star-clique operation removing vertex $v$ from $G$. Let $w$ and $u$ be vertices in $G$ distinct from $v$. We introduce the following notation.

- $(p)$ = the GCD of the edge labels of a path $p$.
- $\mathcal{P}$ = the set of paths between $w$ and $u$ in $G$.
- $\mathcal{Q}$ = the set of paths between $w$ and $u$ in $G'$.
- $[\mathcal{P}]$ = the LCM of the $(p)$’s, where $p \in \mathcal{P}$.
- $[\mathcal{Q}]$ = the LCM of the $(q)$’s, where $q \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Next, we show that $[\mathcal{P}]$ is invariant under the star-clique operation.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let $v$ be a vertex of $G$ and let $(G', A')$ be the result a star-clique operation. Let $w, u$ be vertices in $G$ distinct from $v$. Then $[\mathcal{P}] = [\mathcal{Q}]$.

**Proof.** We partition $\mathcal{P}$ into two sets:
• $\mathcal{P}_+ = \text{paths that include } v$;
• $\mathcal{P}_- = \text{paths not including } v$.

Let $p$ be a path in $\mathcal{P}_-$. Since this path doesn’t include $v$, it will also be a path in $G'$, hence $p$ is in $Q$. Now let $p$ be a path in $\mathcal{P}_+$ with edge labels $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n$. Since this $p$ includes $v$, it contains two vertices $x$ and $y$ and two edges $e$ and $f$ adjacent to $v$, with edge labels $c_i$ and $c_{i+1}$. Thus,

$$(p) = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_i, c_{i+1}, \ldots, c_n)$$

However, by the star-clique construction, there will be an edge in $G'$ directly between $x$ and $y$ with edge label $(c_i, c_{i+1})$. Consequently there is a path $p'$ in $G'$ with

$$(p') = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, (c_i, c_{i+1}), \ldots, c_n).$$

But since $(a, (b, c)) = (a, b, c)$, we have $(p) = (p')$.

Now let $q = e_1 \cdots e_n$ be a path in $Q$ and

$$(q) = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n)$$

If an $e_i$ was not an edge in $G$, then its vertices $x$ and $y$ must be adjacent to $v$ in $G$. Thus there are edges $e_x$ and $e_y$ in $G$ with weights $c_x$ and $c_y$, which means $e_i$ in $G'$ has weight $c_i = (c_x, c_y)$. Let $q_i = e_1 \cdots e_{i-1}e_xe_ye_{i+1}e_n$, then

$$(q_i) = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{i-1}, c_x, c_y, c_{i+1}, \ldots, c_n)$$

$$= (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{i-1}, (c_x, c_y), c_{i+1}, \ldots, c_n)$$

$$= (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{i-1}, c_i, c_{i+1}, \ldots, c_n) = (q)$$

Since we can do this for each such edge $e_i$, we see that there is a path $q^+$ in $G$ with $(q^+) = (q)$. Consequently, the GCDs used to compute $[\mathcal{P}]$ are identical to the GCDs used to compute $[\mathcal{Q}]$, so $[\mathcal{P}] = [\mathcal{Q}]$. ■

We now prove that $[\mathcal{P}]$ is invariant under edge-collapse.

**Lemma 5.2.** Let $(G', A')$ be the result an edge-collapse operation, and let $w, u$ be vertices of $G$. Then $[\mathcal{P}] = [\mathcal{Q}]$.

**Proof.** Let $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_r$ with weights $c_1c_2, \ldots, c_r$ be the edges in $G$ that are collapsed to an edge $d$ with weight $c = [c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_r]$ in $G'$.

We can partition $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ into two sets:

• $\mathcal{P}_1 = \text{paths that include any of the } d_i$
• $\mathcal{P}_2 = \text{paths that include none of the } d_i$
• $\mathcal{Q}_1 = \text{paths that include } d$
• $\mathcal{Q}_2 = \text{paths that don’t include } d$
Let $p \in \mathcal{P}_2$. Since $p$ does not include any $d_i$, all of its edges are in $G'$, so $p \in \mathcal{Q}_2$. Similarly, if $p \in \mathcal{Q}_2$ then $p \in \mathcal{P}_2$. Thus $\mathcal{P}_2 = \mathcal{Q}_2$.

Now let $p_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1$ contain edges $d_1$, with remaining edges $e_1, \ldots, e_s$ in both $G$ and $G'$, and edge weights $a_1, \ldots, a_s$. Clearly, for each $1 < i \leq r$ there is a path $p_i \in \mathcal{P}_1$ with edges $d_i, e_1, \ldots, e_s$. Thus we have

$$(p_1) = (c_i, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_s)$$

$$(p_2) = (c_i, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_s)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$(p_r) = (c_i, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_s)$$

These correspond directly to the path $p'$ in $\mathcal{Q}_1$ with edges $d, e_1, \ldots, e_s$ and

$$(p') = [(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_r), a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_s]$$

Similarly, any $p' \in \mathcal{Q}_1$ corresponds to $p_1, \ldots, p_r$ in $\mathcal{P}_\infty$. Let $a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$. By repeated applications of Lemma 1.3 we have

$$[(p_1), \ldots, (p_r)] = [(c_1, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_s), \ldots, (c_r, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_s)]$$

$$= [(c_1, (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_s)), \ldots, (c_r, (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_s))]$$

$$= [(c_1, a), \ldots, (c_r, a)]$$

$$= [(a, [c_1, \ldots, c_r])]$$

$$= (p')$$

Let $\mathcal{Q}_1 = \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$, $\mathcal{Q}_2 = \{y_1, \ldots, y_k\}$ and $y = [y_1, \ldots, y_k]$. Then each $x_i \in \mathcal{Q}_\infty$ corresponds to $r$ different paths $x_{ij} \in \mathcal{P}_1$, and by above, each $x_i = [(x_{i1}), \ldots, (x_{ir})]$.

By repeated applications of Lemma 1.3 we have

$$[\mathcal{Q}] = [(x_1), \ldots, (x_m), (y_1), \ldots, (y_k)]$$

$$= [(x_1), \ldots, (x_m), [(y_1), \ldots, (y_k)]]$$

$$= [(x_1), \ldots, (x_m), y]$$

$$= [(x_{11}), \ldots, (x_{1r}), \ldots, [(x_{m1}), \ldots, (x_{mr})], y]$$

$$= [(x_{11}), \ldots, (x_{1r}), \ldots, [(x_{m1}), \ldots, (x_{mr})], [(x_1), \ldots, (x_m), (y_1), \ldots, (y_k)]]$$

$$= [(x_{11}), \ldots, (x_{1r}), \ldots, (x_{m1}), \ldots, (x_{mr}), (x_1), \ldots, (x_m), (y_1), \ldots, (y_k)]$$

$$= [\mathcal{P}]$$

We have the following important corollary.
Corollary 5.3. Let \( w, u \) be two vertices in both \( G \) and \( G' \). The LCM of the GCD of all paths from \( w \) to \( u \) is invariant under collapsing operations taking \( G \) to \( G' \).

Using this result, we can construct leading terms of basis elements in terms of LCMs and GCDs of the edge weights of \( G \).

Theorem 5.4. Let \( M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_n \) be a flow up class basis for \( S_G \), and let \( P_{ij} \) denote the set of paths from \( v_i \) to \( v_j \) in \( S_G \) where \( i < j \). Then \( L(M_1) = 1 \), and for \( i > 1 \), and \( L(M_i) = [[P_{i1}], [P_{i2}], \ldots, [P_{i,i-1}]] \).

Proof. \( M_1 = (1, \ldots, 1) \) is minimal in \( F_0 \) since 1 is minimal in \( N \). We know from Theorem that \( L(M_i) = L(m_i) \) where \( m_i = (0, 0, \ldots, c_1, \ldots, c_r) \) is a minimal element of \( K_i \), and \( c_j \) is the edge label of the edge between \( v_i \) and \( v_j \), for all \( j \leq r \leq i \). (If necessary we can temporarily reorder the \( v_j \)'s incident to \( v_i \) so that they are vertices \( v_1, \ldots, v_r \).) For each \( j \), the edge \( e_j \) with label \( c_j \) is the only path form \( v_i \) to \( v_j \) in \( G_i \). By Lemma 1.3, this means that \( c_j = [P_{ij}] \). Then \( L(M_i) = [c_1, \ldots, c_r] = [[P_{i1}], [P_{i2}], \ldots, [P_{i,r}]] \). If \( r = i - 1 \), we are done. If \( r < i - 1 \), let \( p \) be a path from \( v_i \) to \( v_k \) where \( r < k \leq i \). This path necessarily passes through some \( v_j \) for some \( j \leq r \), so \( d_j \) is one of the edges of \( p \). Let \( b \) be the GCD of the edge weights of the remaining edges of \( p \). Then \( (p) = (c_j, b) \). Since \((p)\) divides \( c_j \), we have \([c_j] = [c_j, (p)]\) and thus \([c_1, \ldots, c_r] = [c_1, \ldots, c_r, (p)]\). Since we can do this for any path from \( v_i \) to \( v_k \), we get that \([c_1, \ldots, c_r] = [c_1, \ldots, c_r, [P_{ik}]]\) and by varying \( k \) we get that \( L(M_i) = [c_1, \ldots, c_r, [P_{i,r}], \ldots, [P_{i,i-1}]] = [[P_{i1}], [P_{i2}], \ldots, [P_{i,i-1}]] \). ■

We see from this proof that we don’t need to check all paths from \( v_i \) to all vertices \( v_j \), just paths from \( v_i \) to \( v_j \) where all interior vertices have subscripts bigger than \( i \). An easy way to identify these paths is to label all vertices \( v_1 \) to \( v_{i-1} \) with 0’s, and use only paths from \( v_i \) to the first 0 you run into.

Example 5.5. We will use Theorem 5.4 to compute the leading terms of a flow class basis for \( S_G \), the \( K_4 \) graph of Figure 7.

1. First, we have \( L(M_1) = 1 \).
2. In order to find \( L(M_2) \) we set \( g_1 = 0 \) as in Figure 8a. Then \( L(M_2) \) is the LCM of the GCD of all paths from \( g_2 \) to any vertex labeled 0. These paths are:
   - \( g_2g_1 \), which has edge weight 6,
   - \( g_2g_1g_1 \), which has edge weights 12, 15
   - \( g_2g_1g_1 \), which has edge weights 20, 6
   - \( g_2g_1g_1 \), which has edge weights 15, 8, 6
   - \( g_3g_1g_1 \), which has edge weights 20, 8, 12
Figure 7. Edge-weighted $K_4$

Thus $L(M_2) = [6, (12, 15), (20, 6), (15, 8, 6), (20, 8, 12)] = [6, 3, 2, 1, 4] = 12.$

(3) For $L(M_3)$, we set both $g_1, g_2$ equal 0 as in Figure 8b. The paths from $g_3$ to any vertex labeled 0 are:

- $g_3g_2$, with edge weight 20,
- $g_3g_1$, with edge weight 6,
- $g_3g_4g_1$, with edge weights 8, 12
- $g_3g_4g_2$, with edge weights 8, 15

Thus $L(M_3) = [20, 6, (8, 12), (8, 15)] = [20, 6, 4, 1] = 60.$

(4) Finally to compute $L(M_4)$ we set $g_1, g_2, g_3$ equal to 0, as in Figure 8c, and find the paths from $g_4$ to 0:

- $g_4g_1$, with weight 12,
- $g_4g_2$, with weight 15,
- $g_4g_3$, with weight 8,

Then $L(M_4) = [12, 15, 8] = 120.$

Thus the leading terms of $M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4$ are 1, 12, 60, and 120 respectively. These agree with the leading terms found in Example 4.4, illustrating that Theorems 4.3 and 5.4 provide two different ways to construct the same basis for $S_G$.

References

Figure 8a

Figure 8b

Figure 8c

Figure 8. Finding Leading Terms Using Theorem 5.4


**Department of Mathematics, Bard College, Annandale-on-Hudson, NY, 12504**

*E-mail address: rose@bard.edu*

**Department of Mathematics, Brooklyn College, Brooklyn, NY 11210**

*E-mail address: jsuzuki@brooklyn.cuny.edu*