A simple geometry unites synchrony, chimeras, and waves in nonlinear oscillator networks
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One of the simplest mathematical models in the study of nonlinear systems is the Kuramoto model, which describes synchronization in systems from swarms of insects to superconductors. We have recently found a connection between this original, real-valued nonlinear KM and a corresponding complex-valued system that admits an exact solution for the dynamics in an individual simulation. We now use this description to derive analytic solutions of the Kuramoto model for three major synchronization phenomena (phase synchronization, chimera states, and traveling waves), not only in terms of steady state solutions but also in terms of transient dynamics and individual simulations. These results provide new mathematical insight into how sophisticated behaviors arise from connection patterns in nonlinear networked systems.

Networks of nonlinear oscillators have attracted interest across physics, neuroscience, biology, and applied mathematics as models where order can arise without a central coordinator [1, 2]. Oscillator networks have been applied to study the behavior of insects [3, 4], patterns of social behavior [5, 6], neural systems [7, 8], and physical systems [9]. In this domain, the Kuramoto oscillator has emerged as the central mathematical model for studying these synchronization phenomena [10–14]. While quite simple, the Kuramoto model has led to the discovery of new dynamical phenomena well beyond the initial study of nodes evolving to the same phase. Three major dynamical phenomena studied in this model are complete phase synchronization [11], partially synchronized “chimera” states [15–18], and traveling waves [19]. While much study in mathematics has focused on the existence and stability of these states, the link between network topology (i.e. the structure of connections) and transient network dynamics remains unclear. Here we report a new mathematical approach to nonlinear oscillator networks that can provide insight into how the structure of an individual network drives synchronization phenomena for any single simulation. We find this new approach can explain the major synchronization phenomena that have been found in the Kuramoto model, not only in terms of stable, steady state solutions but also in terms of transient behaviors in finite time.

The original Kuramoto model (KM) with phase-lag is given by:

$$\dot{\theta}_i = \omega_i + \epsilon \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{ij} \sin (\theta_j - \theta_i - \phi), \quad (1)$$

where $\theta_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ is the phase of the $i$th oscillator at time $t$, $\omega_i$ is its natural frequency, $N$ is the number of oscillators, $\epsilon$ scales the coupling strength, $A$ is the adjacency matrix, and $\phi$ is the phase lag. We focus here on the case where all oscillators have the same natural frequency ($\omega_i = \omega \forall i \in [1, N]$). The value of $\phi$ transforms the interaction function from the standard attractive case ($\phi = 0$) to repulsive interactions ($\phi = \pi/2$).

Following recent work [20], we can use a complex-valued, algebraic approach to the Kuramoto model. Starting with Eq. (1), we can change to a rotating coordinate frame [21] and set $\omega = 0$ without loss of generality. By subtracting an additional imaginary component in the interaction term,

$$\dot{\theta}_i = \epsilon \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{ij} \left[ \sin(\theta_j - \theta_i - \phi) - i \cos(\theta_j - \theta_i - \phi) \right], \quad (2)$$

we arrive at a complex-valued system (where $\theta_i \in \mathbb{C}$) that provides an algebraic approach to the dynamics of the original nonlinear KM. It is important to note that this specific form of the additional imaginary component is necessary (cf. Supplemental Materials, Sec. I.B).

Starting with Eq. (2), we can now multiply by $i$ and use Euler’s formula to obtain (cf. Supplement Sec. I B):

$$i\dot{x}_i = e^{i\theta_i} \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{ij} e^{-i\phi} e^{i\theta_j}. \quad (3)$$

Letting $x_i = e^{i\theta_i}$, we can write:

$$\dot{x}_i = \epsilon \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{ij} e^{-i\phi} x_j, \quad (4)$$

which has the general solution:

$$\bar{x}(t) = e^{tK} \bar{x}(0), \quad (5)$$
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where \( \vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_N) \) and
\[
K_{ij} = \epsilon e^{-i\phi} A_{ij},
\]
where \( K \) now collates the network topology \( A \), the coupling strength \( \epsilon \), and the phase lag \( \phi \). Importantly, \( \vec{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N \) has complex-valued elements \( x_i(t) \in \mathbb{C} \) whose argument precisely corresponds to \( \theta_i(t) \in \mathbb{R} \) for all times \( t \) (Supplementary Video 1). We thus compare the argument of our analytical expression (Eq. 5, hereafter denoted “analytical”) to computer simulations of the original nonlinear Kuramoto model (Eq. 1, “original KM”) throughout the rest of this work.

In general (see Supplementary Material - Sec. I.B - for specific conditions), we can write the temporal dynamics of \( \vec{x} \) in terms of the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of \( K \):
\[
\vec{x}(t) = c_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} \vec{v}_1 + c_2 e^{\lambda_2 t} \vec{v}_2 + \cdots + c_N e^{\lambda_N t} \vec{v}_N,
\]
where \( \lambda_k \) is the eigenvalue associated with the eigenvector \( \vec{v}_k \). The projection on to the \( k^{th} \) eigenmode is given by the inner product \( \mu_k(t) = \langle \vec{x}(t), \vec{v}_k \rangle \).

This expression now allows us to understand the three major synchronization phenomena previously discovered in the Kuramoto model from a comprehensive mathematical perspective, in terms of the geometry of the \( \mu_k \) representing the contribution of the \( k^{th} \) eigenmode. Furthermore, when \( A \) follows the same connectivity rule for all nodes (i.e. where connections can be fully specified by a single vector cyclically permuted across all nodes, resulting in a circulant matrix), the circulant diagonalization theorem (CDT) allows obtaining the eigenspectrum analytically, with eigenvectors following an ordering of Fourier modes from low to high spatial frequencies (Supplementary Materials, Sec. I.B, Figs. S6 and S9) [22]. Using Eq. (7), we can then analyze the system in terms of the eigenmode contributions \( \mu_k \).

We first studied the emergence of phase synchronized states in Kuramoto oscillators with attractive coupling (\( \phi = 0 \)), where the adjacency matrix \( A \) is given by a complete graph on \( N = 50 \) nodes. Figure 1 shows the precise correspondence between (a) the original Kuramoto and (b) the analytical expression during the transition from random initial conditions \( x_i(0) \sim \mathcal{U}[-\pi, \pi] \) to synchrony. This transition is measured by the Kuramoto order parameter \( R(t) = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\exp[i\theta_i(t)]| \), which also exhibits a precise correspondence between the original KM and analytical expression (Fig. 1c). Using the analytical expression, we can then analyze the system in terms of the contribution of individual eigenmodes, represented in color in Fig. 1d (\( \log |\mu| \)). Prior to the transition to synchrony, the eigenmode contributions are almost uniform. After the transition, however, the contributions shift away from uniformity, and the first eigenmode becomes dominant (yellow line at \( \mu_1 \)).

The analytical approach we have introduced provides an opportunity to understand this transition geometrically. Specifically, we can study how the first eigenmode, which represents the synchronized state, behaves in relation to the other modes, which represent more complicated configurations of phase. As the system transitions to synchronization, the contribution of the first eigenmode increases in magnitude (modulus) in the complex plane, while the other eigenmode contributions collapse to the origin (Supplementary Video 2). Because it is the argument of Eq. (5) that determines the phase dynamics in the analytical approach, the contribution of the first eigenmode, which is associated with the eigenvector \( \vec{v}_1 = (1, 1, \ldots, 1)^T \) (and \( \text{Arg}[\vec{v}_1] = 0, \forall i \in [1, N] \)), explicitly brings the network towards the synchronized state. This analysis provides a novel geometric insight into the transition to synchrony and how the pattern of connections in a network determines the transition from an incoherent to a coherent state during an individual simulation. Further, when \( \phi = \pi/2 \), interactions in the network become repulsive instead of attractive, and in this case, Kuramoto networks do not synchronize [23]. Consistent with this, our analysis shows the eigenmode contributions remain uniform across time (Fig. S1 and Supplementary Video 3). These results demonstrate the geometric view provided by the eigenmodes can provide insight into the transient dynamics of synchronized and incoherent states.

We now use this approach to understand two more
networks where the same connectivity rule is applied to each node, the eigenmodes higher than $\mu_1$ take the form of traveling waves from low to high spatial scales in their arguments (Fig. S9) [22]. Analysis of the eigenmode contributions (log $|\mu|$), plotted as solid lines representing an average over 100 simulations (with shaded regions representing the standard deviation over simulations), reveals the chimera is created by an interplay of the synchronizing mode $\mu_1$ and a set of modes representing waves traveling in opposite directions with progressively higher spatial frequency ($t = 10\text{ s}$, blue line, Fig. 3, right panel). This is in contrast to the completely synchronous state, where the contribution of the first eigenmode and the rest differ by more than 12 orders of magnitude 10 seconds into the simulation (burgundy line, Fig. 3, middle panel), and in contrast to the incoherent case ($\phi = \pi/2$), where the contributions across eigenmodes remain uniform (yellow line, Fig. 3, right panel). These results allow us to understand the emergence of the chimera as an interplay between specific types of modes in the Kuramoto system. Furthermore, analysis of the aggregate connectivity matrix $K$ illustrates the underlying mechanism for this interplay of modes is a rotation of the eigenvalues in the complex plane, which reduces the difference between the real part of the eigenvalue associated with the synchronized state and the real part of the rest (Figs. S6 and S7).

Finally, we considered traveling waves in the Kuramoto model. While, when $\phi = 0$, the synchronized state occurs starting from a broad range of random initial conditions (as in Fig. 1), Kuramoto networks can also exhibit traveling wave states that exist for arbitrary lengths of time (original KM and analytical, Fig. 5a and Fig. 5b). While the stability of such traveling waves, or “twisted”, states has been the subject of much investigation [27–30], our geometric approach provides insight into transient wave dynamics, which have recently been observed to play important roles in several fields, from neural systems [31, 32] to ecology [33] and power grids [34]. Analysis of eigenmodes...
Figure 3. Geometry of eigenmodes explains the mechanism for the chimera state. The eigenmode contribution ($\log|\mu|$) for increasing values of $\phi$ at $t = 1\,s$ (left) and $t = 10\,s$ (middle) demonstrates the evolution of the first modes in the case of $\phi = 0$, while for $\phi = 1.30$, the first and last eigenmodes contribute in balance (right). Solid lines represent average eigenmode contribution over 100 simulations, and shaded regions represent standard deviation.

Figure 4. A subset of contributing eigenmodes creates an analytical approximation to the chimera state numerically simulated in the original KM (a). The first 10 eigenmodes $\lbrace \mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_{10} \rbrace$ creates a partially synchronized region that is too broad (b), while the last 10 eigenmodes $\lbrace \mu_{216}, \mu_{217}, \cdots, \mu_{225} \rbrace$ creates no signature of a chimera (d). However, using the first 10 and last 10 eigenmodes $\lbrace \mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_{10}, \mu_{216}, \mu_{217}, \cdots, \mu_{225} \rbrace$ creates an analytical approximation to the chimera state (c).

Figure 5. Original KM (a) and analytical solution (b) for an example of a wave state on a ring graph ($N = 100, k = 1$, see Supplement Sec. I E 2), resulting from a special set of initial conditions, a “twisted” state (see Eq. (19) in the Supplement). In this context, traveling wave states constitute the contribution of a single eigenmode to the dynamics (2nd eigenmode, in this case) (cf. Fig. S10). The Kuramoto order parameter (c) in the traveling wave state remains at $R = 0$. However, when a finite perturbation is applied to the system at $t = 2\,s$, the network transitions to a phase synchronized state ($R = 1$). The relative instantaneous frequencies following the perturbation exhibit a non-trivial self-organized state during the transition to synchrony (c, inset). This transition is captured by the eigenmode contributions (d), where the 2nd eigenmode gives way to the 1st eigenmode when phase synchronization is reached.
oscillators, which results in a non-trivial, self-organized “falcon” shape in the instantaneous frequencies leading to phase-synchronization after the transition (inset, Fig. 5c), whose form was also precisely captured by the analytical solution. These results demonstrate the geometric view can provide novel insight into transient dynamics in Kuramoto systems following perturbation.

The connection between network structure and resulting nonlinear dynamics is a central question in modern physics. In this work, we have studied an analytical approach to the Kuramoto model, a canonical model for synchronization dynamics in nature. The geometric view enabled by our analytical approach provides insight into three major synchronization phenomena in the Kuramoto model (phase synchronization, partially synchronized chimeras states, and traveling waves). The key novel feature of our analytical approach is that it is valid at finite scales and for individual realizations of the model, which can provide more detailed insight into specific, moment-by-moment dynamics in the system than statistical or asymptotic theoretical approaches. Here, the insight provided by this approach allows us to explain the fully synchronized state as a dominant first eigenmode of the complex system (Fig. 1), partially synchronized “chimera” states as an interplay between modes representing a set of waves traveling in opposite directions (Fig. 2, Fig. 3, and Fig. 4), and traveling waves as localizations in higher eigenmodes (Fig. 5). This unifying insight into three main dynamical phenomena that have been discovered in the Kuramoto model demonstrates the utility of the non-asymptotic, algebraic approach to network structure and nonlinear dynamics in this work.

Finally, because the Kuramoto model has been extensively studied both as a model for neural dynamics [35] and as a fundamental model for computation [31, 36, 37], these results open up several possibilities for studying the connections between network structure, nonlinear dynamics, and computation. The importance of recurrent network structure is becoming increasingly recognized both in biological [38] and artificial [39] visual processing, and in recent work, we introduced a theoretical framework for studying how recurrent connections and traveling waves shape computation in the visual system [32]. Understanding how precise network structure can support specific computations in the brain and artificial learning systems through these analytical approaches may lead to a more comprehensive mathematical understanding of neural computation in future work.
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