

**THE CIRCUIT-COCIRCUIT INTERSECTION CONJECTURE
FOR INTERSECTION SIZE $k \leq 6$**

JAEHO SHIN

ABSTRACT. Oxley conjectured (1992) that if a matroid has a circuit-cocircuit intersection of size $k \geq 4$, it has a circuit-cocircuit intersection of size $k - 2$. We show that this conjecture holds for $k \leq 6$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PREPARATIONS

We work on finite matroids throughout the paper. Let M be a matroid on $S = E(M)$ and consider its dual matroid M^* with $S = E(M^*)$. A **cocircuit** of M is a circuit of M^* . If a subset $X \subseteq S$ is the intersection of a circuit and a cocircuit of M , then X is called a **circuit-cocircuit intersection**.

A subset $D \subseteq S$ is a cocircuit of M if and only if $S - D$ is a maximal proper flat of M . So, a circuit-cocircuit intersection is a circuit minus a maximal proper flat, and thus its cardinality can never be 1. Oxley conjectured the following.

Conjecture 1.1 (Circuit-Cocircuit Intersection Conjecture 1992). *If a matroid has a circuit-cocircuit intersection of size $k \geq 4$, it has a circuit-cocircuit intersection of size $k - 2$.*

Kingan and Lemos [KL06] showed that the conjecture holds if the underlying matroid is regular. In this paper, we show that the conjecture holds for all circuit-cocircuit intersections of size $k \leq 6$ in an arbitrary matroid. Our proof is based on the following theorems from [Oxl84].

Proposition 1.2 (Oxley 1984). *Let M be any matroid.*

- (1) *Every circuit-cocircuit intersection of a minor of M is a circuit-cocircuit intersection of M .*
- (2) *Let X be a circuit-cocircuit intersection of size $k \geq 4$. Then,*
 - (a) *M has a circuit-cocircuit intersection of size 4.*
 - (b) *M has a minor N whose rank and dual rank are $k - 1$ in which X is both a circuit and a cocircuit, and $E(N) - X$ is a rank- $(k - 2)$ flat. Moreover, N is a simple matroid with $N|_X \simeq U_{k-1,k}$ and $N|_{E(N)-X} \simeq U_{k-2,k-2}$ where $U_{r,n}$ denotes the uniform matroid of rank r on $\{1, \dots, n\}$.*

Proposition 1.2(2a) tells that Conjecture 1.1 holds for $k = 6$. So, we prove the conjecture for $k = 4, 5$.

Note that if I is an independent set of M , for any $e \in S$ satisfying that $I \cup \{e\}$ is a dependent set, there is a unique circuit contained in $I \cup \{e\}$ which contains e .

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05B35.
Key words and phrases. circuit-cocircuit intersection conjecture.

2. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Lemma 2.1. *Fix an integer $k \geq 4$. Let N be a matroid whose rank and dual rank are $k-1$ with a size- k circuit X that is also a cocircuit. Then, N is a simple matroid whose ground set has size $2k-2$ with $N|_X \simeq U_{k-1,k}$ and $N|_{E(N)-X} \simeq U_{k-2,k-2}$. In particular, every circuit has size ≥ 3 . For $y \in E(N) - X$, let*

$$\mathcal{C}_y := \{\text{the circuits } C \text{ of } N \text{ with } C - X = \{y\}\}.$$

Then,

(1) $|\mathcal{C}_y| \geq 2$.

(2) Let C_1 and C_2 be two circuits of \mathcal{C}_y , then $|X - C_1 \cap C_2| \geq 2$ and

$$C_1 \cup C_2 = X \cup \{y\}.$$

(3) If C is a circuit of \mathcal{C}_y other than C_1 and C_2 , then

$$X - C_1 \cap C_2 \subseteq C - \{y\}.$$

(4) $|\mathcal{C}_y| = 2$ if and only if $C_1 \cap C_2 = \{y\}$.

Proof. Since the rank and the dual rank of N are $k-1$, we have $|E(N)| = 2k-2$. Then, $N|_X \simeq U_{k-1,k}$ since X is a size- k circuit, and $E(N) - X$ is a maximal proper flat of size $k-2$ since X is a size- k cocircuit. Moreover, $E(N) - X$ is an independent set with $N|_{E(N)-X} \simeq U_{k-2,k-2}$.

Clearly, N has no loops. If C is a size-2 circuit, then it is not contained in X since X is a circuit of size $k \geq 4$, and is not contained in $E(N) - X$ since $E(N) - X$ is an independent set. Hence, $|C \cap X| = 1$ and $|C - X| = 1$, but then $C \cap X$ is a circuit-cocircuit intersection of size 1, a contradiction. So, N has no size-2 circuits. Thus, N is a simple matroid, and every circuit has size ≥ 3 .

For any size- $(k-1)$ subset $B \subset X$ which is a base of N , the union $B \cup \{y\}$ is dependent. So, there exists a unique circuit $C \subseteq B \cup \{y\}$ which contains y with $C - X = \{y\}$. Note that every circuit of \mathcal{C}_y arises in this way.

Choose another base $B' \subset X$, then $|X - B'| = 1$ and $C \cap B' \neq \emptyset$ since $|C| \geq 3$. For any $x \in C \cap B'$, there is a circuit C' contained in $C \cup X - \{x\} = X \cup \{y\} - \{x\}$. Then, $C' \neq C$ must contain y since otherwise the circuit X would strictly contain it, a contradiction. Hence, C' is a circuit of \mathcal{C}_y , and $|\mathcal{C}_y| \geq 2$. Thus, (1) is proved.

Let C_1 and C_2 be two circuits of \mathcal{C}_y . Then, \mathcal{C}_y has a circuit C that is contained in $C_1 \cup C_2 - \{y\}$. Then, $C \subseteq C_1 \cup C_2 - \{y\} \subseteq X$ and therefore $C = X$ and

$$C_1 \cup C_2 = X \cup \{y\}.$$

Further, because $X - C_1$ and $X - C_2$ are distinct nonempty subsets of $E(N)$, we have $|X - C_1 \cap C_2| = |(X - C_1) \cup (X - C_2)| \geq 2$. Thus, (2) is proved.

If C is a circuit of \mathcal{C}_y other than C_1 and C_2 , then $C \cup C_1$ contains X by (2) and C contains $X - C_1$. In the same manner, C contains $X - C_2$. Therefore, C contains $(X - C_1) \cup (X - C_2) = X - C_1 \cap C_2$. Thus, (3) is proved.

To prove (4), suppose that $C_1 \cap C_2 = \{y\}$. If \mathcal{C}_y has another circuit, say C , then C strictly contains $X - C_1 \cap C_2 = X - \{y\} = X$ by (3), which is a contradiction. Hence, C_1 and C_2 are the only members of \mathcal{C}_y , and $|\mathcal{C}_y| = 2$.

Suppose that $C_1 \cap C_2 - \{y\} \neq \emptyset$. Then, for any $x \in C_1 \cap C_2 - \{y\} \subset X$, there is a circuit C contained in $C_1 \cup C_2 - \{x\} = X \cup \{y\} - \{x\}$, and so $y \in C$ and $C \in \mathcal{C}_y - \{C_1, C_2\}$. Thus, $|\mathcal{C}_y| \geq 3$, and (4) is proved. \square

Now, we prove our main theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *Conjecture 1.1 holds for $k \leq 6$.*

Proof. Let M be a matroid with a size- k circuit-cocircuit intersection X . Then, by Proposition 1.2(2b), there is a minor N of M whose rank and dual rank are $k - 1$ in which X is both a circuit and a cocircuit. So, we can use Lemma 2.1. We prove that N has a circuit-cocircuit intersection of size $k - 2$, then by Proposition 1.2(1), M also has a circuit-cocircuit intersection of size $k - 2$ and we are done. Moreover, it suffices to prove for $k = 4, 5$ by Proposition 1.2(2a). For convenience, we write

$$Y = E(N) - X.$$

Let $k = 4$. Write

$$Y = \{y_1, y_2\} \quad \text{and} \quad X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}.$$

Since Y is a rank-2 flat of size 2, the union $Y \cup \{x_1\}$ is a rank-3 independent set. So, $Y \cup \{x_1, x_2\}$ is a dependent set since the rank of N is 3, and there is a circuit C contained in $Y \cup \{x_1, x_2\}$. Then, $\{x_1, x_2\} \subset C$ since $|C| \geq 3$ and $|Y \cup \{x_1, x_2\}| = 4$. Thus, $C \cap X = \{x_1, x_2\}$ which is a circuit-cocircuit intersection of size 2.

Let $k = 5$. Write

$$Y = \{y_1, y_2, y_3\} \quad \text{and} \quad X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\}.$$

If there is a circuit or a cocircuit C of size 4 with $|C \cap Y| = 1$, then $C \cap X$ is a circuit-cocircuit intersection of size 3, and we are done. Therefore, we assume that all circuits and cocircuits C with $|C \cap Y| = 1$ have size 3, 5.

If C is a (co)circuit of size 3 with $|C \cap Y| = 1$, there is a (co)circuit $C' \neq C$ with $C' \cap Y = C \cap Y$ by Lemma 2.1(1). Then, $X \subset C' \cup C$ by Lemma 2.1(2), and $X - C \subset C'$. Since $|X - C| = 3$, we have $|C'| \geq 4$ and $|C'| = 5$ by assumption. Hence, for any $y \in Y$, there always exists a size-5 (co)circuit C' with $C' \cap Y = \{y\}$.

Let D be a cocircuit of size 5 with $D \cap Y = \{y_1\}$, then $|D \cap X| = 4$ and without loss of generality write

$$D \cap X = X - \{x_1\}.$$

We show below that there is a circuit $C \in \mathcal{C}_{y_2}$ of size 5 containing x_1 , then $C \cap D$ is a circuit-cocircuit intersection of size 3, and the proof will be done.

Indeed, there is a circuit $C_1 \in \mathcal{C}_{y_2}$ containing x_1 . If C_1 has size 5, we are done. If C_1 has size 3, without loss of generality write

$$C_1 = \{y_2, x_1, x_2\}.$$

By (1) and (2) of Lemma 2.1, there is a circuit $C_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{y_2}$ with

$$C_1 \cup C_2 = X \cup \{y_2\}$$

and so $|C_2| \geq 4$. Then, C_2 has size 5 and contains either x_1 or x_2 . If C_2 contains x_1 , we are done. If C_2 contains x_2 , we have

$$C_1 \cap C_2 = \{y_2, x_2\}.$$

Then, by (1) and (4) of Lemma 2.1, there is a circuit $C_3 \in \mathcal{C}_{y_2} - \{C_1, C_2\}$, and $C_3 - \{y_2\}$ contains $X - C_1 \cap C_2 = X - \{x_2\}$ by Lemma 2.1(3). Then, C_3 contains $X \cup \{y_2\} - \{x_2\}$ which has size 5, and we have

$$C_3 = X \cup \{y_2\} - \{x_2\}$$

which is a size-5 circuit containing x_1 . The proof is complete. \square

3. TOWARDS THE CONJECTURE FOR INTERSECTION SIZE $k \geq 7$

The computation for intersection size $k \geq 7$ is very complicated. But, we expect the following two propositions to play an important role in solving the conjecture for $k \geq 7$. Proposition 3.1 investigates the interaction between circuits of \mathcal{C}_y and $\mathcal{C}_{y'}$, and Corollary 3.2 particularly deals with rank-2 circuits of N .

For two sets A and B we denote by $A \Delta B$ their symmetric difference

$$A \Delta B = (A - B) \cup (B - A) = A \cup B - A \cap B.$$

Proposition 3.1. *Fix an integer $k \geq 4$. Let N be a matroid whose rank and dual rank are $k - 1$ with a size- k circuit X that is also a cocircuit. Let C and C' be two circuits satisfying that $|C - X| = |C' - X| = 1$ and $C \cap C' \neq \emptyset$.*

- (1) *If $X \not\subseteq C \cup C'$, then either*
 - (a) *$C \cap X$ or $C' \cap X$ contains the other, or*
 - (b) *there is a circuit C'' with $C \Delta C' \subseteq C'' \subseteq C \cup C'$.*
- (2) *If $C \cap X$ strictly contains $C' \cap X$, then $C - X \neq C' - X$ and there is a circuit C'' satisfying that $C - C' \subseteq C'' \subseteq C \cup C'$ and $C'' - X = C \cup C' - X$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, we know that N is a simple matroid with $|E(N)| = 2k - 2$, $N|_X \simeq U_{k-1,k}$, and $N|_{E(N)-X} \simeq U_{k-2,k-2}$ where $E(N) - X$ is a maximal proper flat of size $k - 2$ which is also an independent set. By assumption, $C - X = \{y\}$ and $C' - X = \{y'\}$ for some $y, y' \in E(N) - X$ so that $C \in \mathcal{C}_y$ and $C' \in \mathcal{C}_{y'}$.

To prove (1) by contrapositive, suppose that $(C - C') \cap X \neq \emptyset$, $(C' - C) \cap X \neq \emptyset$, and there are no circuits C'' with $C \Delta C' \subseteq C'' \subseteq C \cup C'$, i.e. if C'' is a circuit contained in $C \cup C'$, then either $(C - C') - C'' \neq \emptyset$ or $(C' - C) - C'' \neq \emptyset$.

If $y = y'$, then $C, C' \in \mathcal{C}_y$ are distinct circuits, and $X \subset C \cup C'$ by Lemma 2.1(2).

If $y \neq y'$, then $\emptyset \neq C \cap C' \subset X$ and for some $x_0 \in C \cap C'$ and $x_1 \in (C - C') \cap X \neq \emptyset$, there is a circuit C'' with $x_1 \in C'' \subseteq C \cup C' - \{x_0\}$. Then, $\{y, y'\} \cap C'' \neq \emptyset$ since otherwise $C'' \subseteq C \cup C' - \{y, y'\} \subseteq X$, a contradiction, and we have 3 cases:

$$y \notin C'' \text{ or } y' \notin C'' \text{ or } \{y, y'\} \subset C''.$$

If $y \notin C''$, then $C'' \in \mathcal{C}_{y'}$, and $X \subset C' \cup C''$ by Lemma 2.1(2), and so $X \subset C \cup C'$.

If $y' \notin C''$, similarly $X \subset C \cup C'$.

If $\{y, y'\} \subset C''$, suppose that $(C - C') - C'' \neq \emptyset$, then for $x_1 \in (C - C') - C''$ there is a circuit $C_1 \in \mathcal{C}_{y'}$ with $x_1 \in C_1 \subseteq C \cup C' - \{y\}$. Then, $X \subset C_1 \cup C'$ by Lemma 2.1(2) and $X \subset C \cup C'$. Suppose that $(C' - C) - C'' \neq \emptyset$, then similarly $X \subset C \cup C'$. Thus, (1) is proved.

To prove (2), suppose that $C' \cap X \subsetneq C \cap X$, i.e. $(C - C') \cap X \neq \emptyset$. Then, $y \neq y'$ since otherwise $C' \subsetneq C$, and $\{y, y'\} = C \cup C' - X$. For any $x_2 \in (C - C') \cap X$ and $x_3 \in C' \cap X$, there is a circuit C'' with $x_2 \in C'' \subseteq C \cup C' - \{x_3\}$. Then, $\{y, y'\} \cap C''$ is nonempty since otherwise $C'' \subseteq C \cup C' - \{y, y'\} \subseteq X$, and we again have 3 cases:

$$y \notin C'' \text{ or } y' \notin C'' \text{ or } \{y, y'\} \subset C''.$$

If $y \notin C''$, then $C'' \in \mathcal{C}_{y'}$ and $(C'' \cup C') \cap X = X$ by Lemma 2.1(2), but then $X = (C'' \cup C') \cap X \subseteq C \cap X \subsetneq X$, a contradiction.

If $y' \notin C''$, then $y \in C''$ and $C'' = \{y\} \cup (C'' \cap X) \subset C$, a contradiction.

Therefore, we have $\{y, y'\} \subset C''$ and $C'' - X = \{y, y'\} = C \cup C' - X$.

Further, since $y' \in C' \cap C''$ and $y \in C'' - C'$, there is a circuit C_2 satisfying that $y \in C_2 \subseteq C' \cup C'' - \{y'\}$, then $C_2 \in \mathcal{C}_y$ and actually $C_2 = C$ since $C' \cup C'' - \{y'\} \subseteq C$. Therefore $C - C' = C_2 - C' \subseteq C''$, and (2) is proved. The proof is complete. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Every rank-2 circuit of N is a circuit of \mathcal{C}_y for some $y \in E(N) - X$, and is also a flat. If $k \geq 5$, for any two rank-2 circuits C and C' , either*

- (1) $C \cap C' = \emptyset$, or
- (2) $C \cap C'$ is a singleton contained in X and $C \Delta C'$ is a circuit of size 4.

Proof. Let C be a rank-2 circuit, then $C \not\subseteq X$ since X is a circuit of size $k \geq 4$, and $C - X \neq \emptyset$. Since $E(N) - X$ is an independent set, $C \not\subseteq E(N) - X$ and $C \cap X \neq \emptyset$. Since $C \cap X$ is a circuit-cocircuit intersection, we have $|C \cap X| \geq 2$. Because C is a rank-2 circuit, $|C \cap X| = 2$ and $|C - X| = 1$, and let $C - X = \{y\}$, then $C \in \mathcal{C}_y$. Moreover, C is a rank-2 flat. Indeed, for all $y' \in E(N) - C \cup X = E(N) - X \cup \{y\}$, we have $r(C \cup \{y'\}) = 3$ since otherwise $\{y, y'\} = (C - X) \cup \{y'\} \subset E(N) - X$ would be a rank-2 flat and contain C . Also, for all $x \in X - C$, we have $r(C \cup \{x\}) = 3$ since $(C \cap X) \cup \{x\} \subset X$ is a size-3 independent set. This proves that C is a flat.

Suppose $k \geq 5$. Let C and C' be two rank-2 circuits, which are also rank-2 flats, then we have $|C \cap X| = |C' \cap X| = 2$ and $|C - X| = |C' - X| = 1$, and moreover $C \cap C'$ is a flat of rank ≤ 1 . Because N is simple, either $C \cap C' = \emptyset$ or $|C \cap C'| = 1$. Suppose $C \cap C' \neq \emptyset$, then $|C \cap C'| = 1$. Since $|(C \cup C') \cap X| \leq 4 < 5 \leq k = |X|$, we have $X \not\subseteq C \cup C'$ and $C - X \neq C' - X$ by Lemma 2.1(2). In particular, $C \cap C'$ is a singleton contained in X . Note that $C \cap X \not\subseteq C' \cap X$ and $C \cap X \not\supseteq C' \cap X$. Then, by Proposition 3.1(1), there is a circuit C'' satisfying that $C \Delta C' \subseteq C'' \subset C \cup C'$. But, $4 = |C \Delta C'| \leq |C''| \leq |C \cup C'| - 1 = 4$, and thus $C'' = C \Delta C'$ which is a circuit of size 4. \square

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank James Oxley for encouraging him to publish this result. He is also grateful to JongHae Keum for supporting him by the grant (NRF-2019R1A2C3010487) of the National Research Foundation funded by the Korean government.

REFERENCES

- [KL06] S. Kingan and M. Lemos, *On the circuit-cocircuit intersection conjecture*, Graphs Combin. **22** (2006), 1–10. [1](#)
- [Oxl84] J. Oxley, *On the intersections of circuits and cocircuits in matroids*, Combinatorica **4** (1984), 187–195. [1](#)
- [Oxl11] J. Oxley, *Matroid Theory*, second edition, Oxf. Grad. Texts Math., vol. 21, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 2011.

KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, 85 HOEGIRO, SEOUL 02455, SOUTH KOREA
 Email address: shin@kias.re.kr