ON A CONJECTURE OF SUN INVOLVING POWERS OF THREE
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Abstract. Given a positive integer \( n \geq 2 \), let \( D(n) \) denote the smallest positive integer \( m \) such that \( a^3 + a(1 \leq a \leq n) \) are pairwise distinct modulo \( m^2 \). A conjecture of Z.-W. Sun states that \( D(n) = 3^k \), where \( 3^k \) is the least power of 3 no less than \( \sqrt{n} \). The purpose of this paper is to confirm this conjecture.

1. Introduction

Let \( f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \) be a polynomial with all \( f(a)(a \in \mathbb{Z}^+) \) pairwise distinct. Define the determinant \( \Delta_f(n) \) to be the smallest positive integer \( m \) such that \( f(a)(1 \leq a \leq n) \) are pairwise distinct modulo \( m \).

In 1985 Arnold, Benkoski and McCabe [1] studied \( \Delta_f(n) \) with \( f(x) = x^2 \), and it was shown in [1] that for \( n > 4 \), \( \Delta_f(n) \) is the smallest positive integer \( m \geq 2n \) such that \( m \) is \( p \) or \( 2p \) with \( p \) an odd prime. Sun [6] determined \( \Delta_f(n) \) for \( f(x) = 2x(x - 1) \) and \( f(x) = x(x - 1) \) respectively. For example, Sun [6] proved that if \( f(x) = 2x(x - 1) \), then \( \Delta_f(n) \) is the least prime number greater than \( 2n - 2 \). For the study of \( \Delta_f(n) \) with higher degree polynomials \( f \), one may refer to [2, 5, 8]. Sun proposed many interesting conjectures related to determinants, and one may refer to [6] (see also Section 4 of Chapter 6 in [7]) for details.

In this paper, we consider \( D(n) \), which denotes the smallest positive integer \( m \) such that \( a^3 + a(1 \leq a \leq n) \) are pairwise distinct modulo \( m^2 \). In 2013 Z.-W. Sun made the following conjecture (see Conjecture 6.76 in [7]).

Conjecture 1.1. For all \( n \geq 2 \), one has \( D(n) = 3^k \), where

\[
  k = \min \{ j \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : 3^j \geq \sqrt{n} \}. \tag{1.1}
\]

The main result in this paper is to confirm the above conjecture.

Theorem 1.1. Conjecture 1.1 is true.

A closely related problem is to deal with \( \Delta_f(n) \) with \( f(x) = x^3 + x \), and the method in this paper can be also used to study it. We shall consider it elsewhere.
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2. Preparations

We first point out that \( \sqrt{n} \leq D(n) \leq 3^k \), where \( k \) is given in (1.1) throughout this paper. In fact, we have the following.

Lemma 2.1. Let \( n \geq 2 \). Then \( a^3 + a(1 \leq a \leq n) \) are pairwise distinct modulo \( 3^{2k} \).

Proof. Suppose that \( 1 \leq a < b \leq n \). Note that \( b^3 + b - a^3 - a = (b - a)(a^2 + ab + b^2 + 1) \). Since \( 3 \nmid (a^2 + ab + b^2 + 1) \) and \( 1 \leq b - a < n \leq 3^{2k} \), one has \( b^3 + b \not\equiv a^3 + a \pmod{3^{2k}} \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

By Lemma 2.1 in order to establish Theorem 1.1, it suffices to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. Let \( n \geq 2 \). Suppose that \( \sqrt{n} < m < 3^k \) and \( m < 3\sqrt{n} \). Then there exist \( 1 \leq a < b \leq n \) such that \( b^3 + b \equiv a^3 + a \pmod{m^2} \).

In order to prove Lemma 2.2, we shall consider the following six cases.

(i) \( m = \delta p^r \), where \( 1 \leq \delta \leq 3 \), \( p \geq 5 \) is a prime and \( r \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \).

(ii) \( m = 2^r \), where \( r \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \).

(iii) \( m = 2^r 3^s \), where \( r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \).

(iv) \( m = 2^r 3^s 5 \), where \( r, s \in \mathbb{N} = \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\} \).

(v) \( m = 2^r 3^s 7 \) or \( m = 2^r \cdot 3^s \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \), where \( r, s \in \mathbb{N} \).

(vi) \( m = \delta p^r \), where \( \delta < 4 \), \( p \geq 5 \) is a prime, \( r \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \), \( p \nmid \delta \) and \( p^r \geq 11 \).

It is clear that \( m \) in (2.1) must satisfy (at least) one of the above six cases.

3. The Cases (ii)-(vi)

The purpose of this section is to deal with cases (ii)-(vi). Throughout this paper, we assume that (2.1) holds.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that \( m = \delta p^r \), where \( \delta \geq 4 \), \( p \geq 5 \) is a prime, \( r \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \) and \( p \nmid \delta \). If \( p^{2r} + \delta^2 p \leq n \), then there exist \( 1 \leq a < b \leq n \) such that \( b^3 + b \equiv a^3 + a \pmod{m^2} \).

Proof. We consider \( 1 \leq a \leq p^{2r} \) and \( b = a + \delta^2 c \) with \( 1 \leq c \leq p \). Note that \( b \leq p^{2r} + \delta^2 p \leq n \). It suffices to find \( a, c \) such that

\[
a^2 + a(a + \delta^2 c) + (a + \delta^2 c)^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{2r}}.
\]

This is equivalent to

\[
(6a + 3\delta^2 c)^2 \equiv -3\delta^4 c^2 - 12 \pmod{p^{2r}}.
\]

It is well known that

\[
\left| \sum_{1 \leq j \leq p} \left( \frac{-3\delta^4 j^2 - 12}{p} \right) \right| = 1,
\]
where \( \left( \frac{c}{p} \right) \) denotes the Legendre symbol. We conclude that there exists \( 1 \leq c \leq p \) such that \(-3\delta^4c^2 - 12\) is a quadratic residue modulo \( p \). Now it is easy to deduce that there exists \( 1 \leq a \leq p^{2r} \) such that \((6a + 3\delta^2c)^2 \equiv -3\delta^4c^2 - 12 \pmod{p^{2r}}\). This completes the proof.

\[ \square \]

**Lemma 3.2** (Case (vi)). Let \( n \geq 2000 \). Suppose that \( m = \delta^r \), where \( \delta \geq 4 \), \( p \geq 5 \) is a prime, \( r \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \), \( p \nmid \delta \) and \( p^r \geq 11 \). Then there exist \( 1 \leq a < b \leq n \) such that \( b^3 + b \equiv a^3 + a \pmod{m^2} \).

**Proof.** In view of Lemma 3.1, we need to verify \( p^{2r} + \delta^2p \leq n \). By (2.1), \( n > \frac{\delta^2p^{2r}}{9} \). It suffices to prove \( p^{2r} + \delta^2p \leq \frac{\delta^2p^{2r}}{9} \), which is equivalent to \((\delta^2 - 9)(p^{2r-1} - 9) \geq 81\). Since \( \delta^2 - 9 \geq 7 \) and \( p^{2r-1} \geq p^r \geq 11 \), we have

\[
(\delta^2 - 9)(p^{2r-1} - 9) \geq \max\{2(\delta^2 - 9), 7(p^{2r-1} - 9)\}.
\]

Note that \( \delta^2(p^{2r-1})^2 \geq \delta^2p^{2r} = m^2 > n \geq 2000 \). Thus, we have either \( \delta^2 \geq 50 \) or \( p^{2r-1} \geq 21 \), and it follows that \((\delta^2 - 9)(p^{2r-1} - 9) \geq 81\). This completes the proof.

\[ \square \]

**Lemma 3.3** (Case (v)). Let \( n \geq 14 \). Suppose that \( m = 2^r3^s7 \) or \( m = 2^r \cdot 3^s \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \), where \( r, s \in \mathbb{N} \). Then there exist \( 1 \leq a < b \leq n \) such that \( b^3 + b \equiv a^3 + a \pmod{m^2} \).

**Proof.** We first consider the case \( r \geq 1 \). We write \( m^2 = 14t \). Note that \( 14t \). We choose \( a = 3, b = 3 + t \) and it is easy to verify \( b^3 + b \equiv a^3 + a \pmod{m^2} \). Since \( m^2 = 14t < 9n \), we have \( 3 + t < 3 + \frac{9}{14}n \leq n \).

Now we assume that \( r = 0 \). Thus \( m = 3^s7 \) or \( m = 3^s \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \). We write \( m^2 = 7t \) and choose \( a = 3, b = 3 + t \). Then again it is easy to verify \( b^3 + b \equiv a^3 + a \pmod{m^2} \).

We need to confirm \( 3 + t \leq n \). If \( m = 3^s7 \), then by (2.1) we have \( s = k - 2 \) and \( 3 + t = 3 + 3^{2k} = 3 + 3^{2k} \cdot 47 < 3 + \frac{7}{5}n < n \). If \( m = 3^s \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \), then by (2.1) we have \( s = k - 4 \) and \( 3 + t = 3 + 3^{2k} \cdot 7 = 3 + 3^{2k} \cdot 5 \cdot 7 < 3 + \frac{175}{56}n < n \). This completes the proof.

\[ \square \]

**Lemma 3.4.** Suppose that \( m = 2^rt \), where \( r \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \), \( t \) is an odd number. If \( 2^{2r} + t^2 \leq n \), then there exist \( 1 \leq a < b \leq n \) such that \( b^3 + b \equiv a^3 + a \pmod{m^2} \).

**Proof.** We consider \( 1 \leq a \leq 2^{2r} \) and \( b = a + t^2 \). Note that \( b \leq 2^{2r} + t^2 \leq n \). It suffices to find \( a \) such that

\[
a^2 + a(a + t^2) + (a + t^2)^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2r}}.
\]

This is equivalent to

\[
3a^2 + 3at^2 + t^4 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2r}}.
\]

In fact, we can prove by induction that for any \( j \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \), there exists \( 1 \leq a \leq 2^j \) such that

\[
3a^2 + 3at^2 + t^4 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2j}}. \quad (3.1)
\]

When \( j = 1 \), we choose \( a = 1 \). Suppose that (3.1) holds. We consider \( a' = a + 2^jc \). Then

\[
3a'^2 + 3a't^2 + t^4 + 1 \equiv 3a^2 + 3at^2 + t^4 + 1 + 2^jc \pmod{2^{2j+1}}.
\]

We can find \( c \in \{0,1\} \) such that \((3a^2 + 3at^2 + t^4 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2j+1}}\). This completes the proof.

\[ \square \]
Lemma 3.5 (Case (iv)). Let $n \geq 57$. Suppose that $m = 2^r 3^s 5$, where $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exist $1 \leq a < b \leq n$ such that $b^3 + b \equiv a^3 + a \pmod{m^2}$.

Proof. We first consider the case $r \geq 2$. In view of Lemma 3.4, we only need to verify $2^{2r} + t^2 \leq n$, where $t = 3^s 5$. This follows from $2^{2r} + t^2 \leq \frac{2^{2r+2}}{9}$, because $n > \frac{m^2}{9} = \frac{2^{2r+2}}{9}$. The inequality $2^{2r} + t^2 \leq \frac{2^{2r+2}}{9}$ holds due to $2^{2r} \geq 16$ and $t^2 \geq 25$.

Now we assume that $r = 0$ or $r = 1$. Then $m = 3^5$ or $m = 2 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5$. We write $m = \delta p$ with $p = 5$. In view of Lemma 3.1, we only need to verify $25 + 5\delta^2 \leq n$. If $m = 3^5$, then by (2.1), we have $s = k - 2$ and $25 + 5t = 25 + 5 \cdot 3^2s = 25 + 5 \cdot 3^{2k-4} < 25 + \frac{5}{2} n \leq n$. If $m = 2 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5$, then by (2.1), we have $s = k - 3$ and $25 + 5t = 25 + 20 \cdot 3^2s = 25 + 20 \cdot 3^{2k-6} < 25 + \frac{20}{81} n \leq n$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.6 (Case (iii)). Let $n \geq 144$. Suppose that $m = 2^r 3^s$, where $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exist $1 \leq a < b \leq n$ such that $b^3 + b \equiv a^3 + a \pmod{m^2}$.

Proof. We first consider the case $r \geq 2$ and $s \geq 2$. It is easy to verify $2^{2r} + 3^s \leq n$, and the desired conclusion follows from Lemma 3.3.

Next we consider the case $r = 1$ and $s \geq 2$. By (2.1), we have $s = k - 1$ and $1 + 3^s = 1 + 3^{2k-2} < 1 + \frac{n}{4} < n$. The desired conclusion follows by choosing $a = 1$ and $b = 1 + 3^s$, since $a^2 + ab + b^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

Now we assume that $s = 1$. Then $m = 2^3$ with $r \geq 2$. Note that $a^2 + a(a+9) + (a+9)^2 + 1$ is equal to $112 = 2^4 \cdot 7$ if $a = 1$. Similarly to (3.1), we can prove that for any $j \geq 4$, there exists $1 \leq a \leq 2^j - 15$ such that

$$a^2 + a(a+9) + (a+9)^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^j}.$$ 

In particular, there exists $1 \leq a \leq 2^{2r} - 15$ such that $a^2 + a(a+9) + (a+9)^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2r}}$. The desired conclusion follows by choosing $b = a + 9$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.7 (Case (ii)). Let $n \geq 64$. Suppose that $m = 2^r$, where $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exist $1 \leq a < b \leq n$ such that $b^3 + b \equiv a^3 + a \pmod{m^2}$.

Proof. Note that $(a + 4)^3 + (a + 4) - a^3 - a = 4(3(a + 2)^2 + 5)$. It suffices to find $1 \leq a \leq 2^{2r-4} - 3$ such that $3(a + 2)^2 + 5 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2r-2}}$.

Note that $3 \cdot 1^2 + 5 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$. We can prove by induction that for any $j \geq 3$, there exists $x$ such that

$$3x^2 + 5 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^j}. \quad (3.2)$$

From (3.2), for $j \geq 3$, we can deduce that $3(x + 2^{j-1}c)^2 + 5 \equiv 3x^2 + 5 + 2^j c \pmod{2^{j+1}}$. Thus, there exists $x'$ such that $3x'^2 + 5 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{j+1}}$. In particular, there exists $x$ such that $3x^2 + 5 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2r-2}}$. Since $x$ is odd, we can assume that $1 \leq x \leq 2^{2r-3} - 1$.

Let $y = 2^{2r-3} - x$. Then we deduce that $3y^2 + 5 = 3(2^{2r-3} - x)^2 + 5 \equiv 3x^2 + 5 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2r-2}}$. Therefore, we can further assume that $1 \leq x \leq 2^{2r-4} - 1$. Since $n \geq 64$, we have $r \geq 4$. Then we obtain $3 \leq x \leq 2^{2r-4} - 1$. On choosing $a = x - 2$, we obtain $3(a+2)^2 + 5 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2r-2}}$. Note that $a+4 \leq 2^{2r-4} + 1 < \frac{9}{16} n + 1 \leq n$. This completes the proof.
4. The Cases (i)

In this section, we deal with the case (i). The first result is the following.

Lemma 4.1. Let \( n \geq 100 \). Suppose that \( m = \delta p^r \), where \( 1 \leq \delta \leq 3 \), \( p \equiv 1 \mod 3 \) is a prime, \( r \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \). Then there exist \( 1 \leq a < b \leq n \) such that \( b^3 + b \equiv a^3 + a \mod m^2 \).

Proof. We consider \( 1 \leq a \leq p^r \) and \( b = a + \delta^2 p^r \). Note that

\[
b \leq p^r + \delta^2 p^r = (1 + \delta^2)p^r \leq (1 + \delta^2)\frac{3\sqrt{n}}{\delta} \leq 10\sqrt{n} \leq n.
\]

It suffices to prove that there exists \( 1 \leq a \leq p^r \) such that

\[
a^2 + a(a + \delta^2 p^r) + (a + \delta^2 p^r)^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \mod p^r.
\]

This is equivalent to

\[
3a^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \mod p^r.
\]

The desired conclusion now follows due to the condition \( p \equiv 1 \mod 3 \). This completes the proof.

From now on, we assume throughout this section that \( m = \delta p^r \), where \( 1 \leq \delta \leq 3 \), \( p \equiv 2 \mod 3 \) is an odd prime, \( r \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \). Note that \( p \equiv 2 \mod 3 \) is equivalent to \( \left(\frac{-3}{p}\right) = -1 \).

We shall deal with the remaining case by using analytic number theory method. Throughout this section, we use the notation

\[
e(\alpha) = e^{2\pi i \alpha}.
\]

We introduce

\[
X := X_p = \begin{cases} 
\lfloor \frac{n^2}{5} \rfloor p^{2r-2}, & \text{if } p = 5, \\
\lfloor \frac{n}{9} \rfloor p^{2r-1}, & \text{if } p \geq 11.
\end{cases}
\]

We write

\[
\rho := \rho_p = \begin{cases} 
2r - 2, & \text{if } p = 5, \\
2r - 1, & \text{if } p \geq 11.
\end{cases}
\]

We aim to find \( 1 \leq a \neq b \leq \frac{n}{\delta^2} \) such that \( \delta^4(a^2 + ab + b^2) + 1 \equiv 0 \mod p^{2r} \). Then on choosing \( a' = \delta^2 a, b' = \delta^2 b \), we obtain \( a'^3 + a' \equiv b'^3 + b' \mod m^2 \). By (2.1), we have \( X < \frac{n}{\delta^2} \).

Let

\[
f(a, b) = \delta^4(a^2 + ab + b^2).
\]

Now we introduce

\[
\mathcal{N} = \sum_{1 \leq a, b \leq X \atop f(a, b) + 1 \equiv 0 \mod p^{2r}} 1.
\]
Since $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ is an odd prime, one has $f(a, a) + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{2r}}$. In particular,
\[
N = \sum_{1 \leq a \neq b \leq X \atop f(a, b) + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{2r}}} 1.
\] (4.5)

Therefore, the main objective is to prove $N > 0$.

For $j \geq 1$, we define
\[
T_j = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq c \leq p^j \leq a, b \leq X \atop (c, p) = 1}} e\left(\frac{cf(a, b) + c}{p^{j}}\right).
\] (4.6)

**Lemma 4.2.** Let $N$ and $T_j$ be given in (4.4) and (4.6) respectively. We have
\[
N = \frac{X^2}{p^{2r}} + \frac{1}{p^{2r}} \sum_{j=1}^{2r} T_j.
\] (4.7)

**Proof.** We make use of the identity
\[
\frac{1}{q} \sum_{c=1}^{q} e\left(\frac{cq}{q}\right) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } q|g \\ 0, & \text{if } q \nmid g \end{cases}
\] (4.8)

to obtain
\[
N = \frac{1}{p^{2r}} \sum_{1 \leq c \leq p^j} \sum_{1 \leq a, b \leq X} e\left(\frac{cf(a, b) + c}{p^{j}}\right).
\]

We change variable by taking $c = p^{2r-j}c'$ with $0 \leq j \leq 2r$, $1 \leq c' \leq p^j$ and $(c', p) = 1$ to deduce that
\[
N = \frac{1}{p^{2r}} \sum_{j=0}^{2r} \sum_{1 \leq c' \leq p^j} \sum_{1 \leq a, b \leq X} e\left(\frac{cf(a, b) + c'}{p^{j}}\right) = \frac{X^2}{p^{2r}} + \frac{X^2}{p^{2r}} \sum_{j=1}^{2r} T_j.
\]

We are done. \qed

We define
\[
S_j := S_j(x, y) = \sum_{1 \leq c \leq p^j \leq a, b \leq p^j \atop (c, p) = 1} e\left(\frac{cf(a, b) + ax + by + c}{p^{j}}\right).
\] (4.9)

**Lemma 4.3.** Let $S_j$ be given in (4.9). We have
\[
S_j = p^{j} \left(\frac{-3}{p^{j}}\right) \sum_{1 \leq c \leq p^j \atop (c, p) = 1} e\left(\frac{3cd^j(-x^2 + xy - y^2) + c}{p^{j}}\right),
\] (4.10)

where the notation $\overline{d}$ in (4.10) means $d \cdot \overline{d} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^j}$. 
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Proof. Write
\[ R_j = \sum_{1 \leq a, b \leq p^j} e\left(\frac{cf(a, b) + ax + by}{p^j}\right). \]  
(4.11)

The desired conclusion follows from
\[ R_j = p^j \left(\frac{-3}{p^j}\right)e\left(\frac{3c\delta^3(-x^2 + xy - y^2)}{p^j}\right), \]  
(4.12)

It is not hard to verify that
\[ c\delta^4(a^2 + ab + b^2) + ax + by \equiv c\delta^4(a + 2b + 2\delta x)^2 + 3c\delta^4(2b + 3\delta (y - \delta x))^2 + 3c\delta^4(-x^2 + xy - y^2) \pmod{p^j}. \]

We conclude from above that
\[ R_j = \left( \sum_{1 \leq a \leq p^j} e\left(\frac{ca^2}{p^j}\right) \right) \left( \sum_{1 \leq b \leq p^j} e\left(\frac{3cb^2}{p^j}\right) \right) e\left(\frac{3c\delta^3(-x^2 + xy - y^2)}{p^j}\right). \]

For the Gauss sum, it is well known that (see Chapter 7 of [3])
\[ \sum_{1 \leq a \leq p^j} e\left(\frac{ca^2}{p^j}\right) = p^j \left(\frac{c}{p^j}\right) \epsilon_{p^j}, \]
where \( \epsilon_{p^j} \) satisfies \( \epsilon_{p^j}^2 \equiv \left(\frac{-1}{p^j}\right) \pmod{p^j} \). This proves (4.12) and we are done. \( \square \)

Lemma 4.4. Let \( \rho \) be given in (4.2). For \( 1 \leq j \leq \rho \), we have
\[ T_j = X^2 p^{-j} \left(\frac{-3}{p^j}\right) \mu(p^j), \]  
(4.13)

where \( \mu(\cdot) \) is the M"obius function.

Proof. If \( 1 \leq j \leq \rho \), then \( p^j | X \) and we have
\[ T_j = X^2 p^{-2j} \sum_{1 \leq c \leq p^j} \sum_{1 \leq a, b \leq p^j \atop (c,p)=1} e\left(\frac{cf(a, b) + c}{p^j}\right) = X^2 p^{-2j} S_j(0,0). \]  
(4.14)

By Lemma 4.3 we have \( S_j(0,0) = p^j \left(\frac{-3}{p^j}\right) \mu(p^j) \) and this yields (4.13). We are done. \( \square \)

Lemma 4.5. Let \( \rho \) be given in (4.2). We have
\[ \mathcal{N} = \frac{X^2}{p^{2r}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) + \frac{1}{p^{2r}} \sum_{j=\rho+1}^{2r} T_j. \]  
(4.15)

Proof. The desired conclusion follows from Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.4. \( \square \)

Note that for \( \rho + 1 \leq j \leq 2r \), the summations over \( a \) and \( b \) in (4.6) are incomplete summations (modulo \( p^j \)).
Lemma 4.6. For \( \rho + 1 \leq j \leq 2r \), we have

\[
T_j = \frac{1}{p^{2j}} \sum_{1 \leq x, y \leq p^j} S_j(x, y) \sum_{1 \leq a', b' \leq X} e\left( -\frac{a'x + b'y}{p^j} \right).
\] (4.16)

Proof. This follows from (4.8) by considering the summations over \( x \) and \( y \) in (4.16). \( \square \)

Lemma 4.7. Let \( u \in \mathbb{Z} \). We have

\[
\left| \sum_{1 \leq c \leq p^j \atop (c, p^j) = 1} e\left( \frac{cu + c}{p^j} \right) \right| \leq 2p^{\frac{3j}{2}}.
\] (4.17)

In particular, we have

\[
|S_j| \leq 2p^{\frac{3j}{2}}.
\] (4.18)

Proof. Write

\[
K(p^j; u) = \sum_{1 \leq c \leq p^j \atop (c, p^j) = 1} e\left( \frac{cu + c}{p^j} \right).
\] (4.19)

If \( p^j | u \), then \( K(p^j; u) \) is a Ramanujan sum and \( K(p^j; u) = \mu(p^j) \). If \( p \nmid u \), then \( K(p^j; u) \) is a Kloosterman sum and by Corollary 4.4 in [4] we have \( |K(p^j; u)| \leq 2p^{\frac{j}{2}} \).

Now we assume that \( p^j \parallel u \) with \( 1 \leq t \leq j - 1 \). By changing variables \( c = xp^{j-1} + y \), we obtain

\[
K(p^j; u) = \sum_{1 \leq y \leq p^j \atop (y, p^j) = 1} \sum_{1 \leq x \leq p^j} e\left( \frac{yu + xp^{j-1} + y}{p^j} \right) = 0.
\] (4.20)

The proof of (4.17) is complete. Note that (4.18) follows from Lemma 4.3 and (4.17) immediately. We are done. \( \square \)

Lemma 4.8. For \( \rho + 1 \leq j \leq 2r \), we have

\[
\sum_{1 \leq x \leq p^j} \left| \sum_{1 \leq a \leq X} e\left( \frac{ax}{p^j} \right) \right| \leq p^j(2 + \ln p^j).
\] (4.21)

Proof. On writing \( Y = \frac{p^{j-1}}{2} \), we have

\[
\sum_{1 \leq x \leq p^j} \left| \sum_{1 \leq a \leq X} e\left( \frac{ax}{p^j} \right) \right| = X + 2 \sum_{1 \leq x \leq Y} \left| \sum_{1 \leq a \leq X} e\left( \frac{ax}{p^j} \right) \right|
\]

\[
= X + 2 \sum_{1 \leq x \leq Y} \frac{1 - e\left( \frac{xX}{p^j} \right)}{1 - e\left( \frac{x}{p^j} \right)}
\]

\[
\leq X + 4 \sum_{1 \leq x \leq Y} \frac{1}{1 - e\left( \frac{x}{p^j} \right)}.
\]
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For $0 < \theta < 1/2$, we have $|1 - e(\theta)| = 2\sin(\pi\theta) > 4\theta$. Therefore,
\[
\sum_{1 \leq x \leq p^j} \left| \sum_{1 \leq a \leq X} e\left(\frac{ax}{p^j}\right) \right| \leq X + \sum_{1 \leq x \leq Y} \frac{p^j}{x} \leq X + p^j(1 + \ln Y) \leq p^j(2 + \ln p^j).
\]
We are done.

**Lemma 4.9.** For $\rho + 1 \leq j \leq 2r$, we have
\[
|T_j| \leq 2p^{\frac{3j}{2}}(2 + \ln p^j)^2.
\]

**Proof.** By Lemmas 4.6-4.8, we deduce that
\[
|T_j| \leq \frac{1}{p^{2j}} \sum_{1 \leq x, y \leq p^j} |S_j(x, y)| \cdot \left| \sum_{1 \leq a', b' \leq X} e\left(-\frac{a'x + b'y}{p^j}\right) \right|
\]
\[
\leq 2p^{\frac{3j}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{p^{2j}} \sum_{1 \leq x, y \leq p^j} \left| \sum_{1 \leq a', b' \leq X} e\left(-\frac{a'x + b'y}{p^j}\right) \right|
\]
\[
= 2p^{\frac{3j}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{p^{2j}} \left( \sum_{1 \leq x \leq p^j} \left| \sum_{1 \leq a \leq X} e\left(\frac{ax}{p^j}\right) \right|^2 \right)
\]
\[
\leq 2p^{\frac{3j}{2}}(2 + \ln p^j)^2.
\]
We are done. □

**Lemma 4.10.** We have
\[
\left| N - \frac{X^2}{p^{2r}}(1 + \frac{1}{p}) \right| \leq \begin{cases} 2p^r(2 + \ln p^{2r})^2(1 + \frac{1}{p\sqrt{p}}), & \text{if } p = 5, \\ 2p^r(2 + \ln p^{2r})^2, & \text{if } p \geq 11. \end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** The desired conclusion follows from Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.9 □

**Lemma 4.11.** Let $n \geq 2 \cdot 10^{12}$. Then we have
\[
N > 0.
\]

**Proof.** For $p = 5$, by (4.23), we need to prove
\[
\frac{[\frac{p^2}{9}]^2 p^{4r-4}(1 + \frac{1}{p})}{p^{2r}} > 8p^r(1 + \ln p^r)^2(1 + \frac{1}{p\sqrt{p}}),
\]
and this follows from
\[
p^r > 2 \cdot 5^4(1 + \ln p^r)^2. \tag{4.25}
\]

For $p \geq 23$, we $[\frac{p}{9}] > \frac{p}{9} - 1 \geq \frac{1}{17}p$ and thus
\[
[\frac{p}{9}]^2 p^{-2} \geq 17^{-2}. \tag{4.26}
\]
One can check that (4.26) holds for $11 \leq p \leq 19$ as well.
For $p \geq 11$, by (4.23), we need to prove
\[
\frac{(\lfloor \frac{p}{5} \rfloor)^2 p^{4r-2}}{p^{2r}} > 8p^r(1 + \ln p)^2,
\]
and by (4.26), this follows from
\[
p^r > 8 \cdot 17^2(1 + \ln p)^2.
\]
(4.27)

On writing $q = \sqrt{p^r}$, our task is to prove $q > 34\sqrt{2}(1 + 2 \ln q)$.

Let $f(x) = x - 34\sqrt{2}(1 + 2 \ln x)$. Then $f'(x) = 1 - 68\sqrt{2} \cdot \frac{1}{x}$ and $f$ is increasing when $x > 68\sqrt{2}$. Note that $f(680) > 0$. By (2.1), $q = \sqrt{p^r} > \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}} > 680$. This establishes (4.25) and (4.27). The proof is complete. □

**Lemma 4.12 (Case (i)).** Let $n \geq 2 \cdot 10^{12}$. Suppose that $m = \delta p^r$, where $1 \leq \delta \leq 3$, $p \geq 5$ is a prime, $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then there exist $1 \leq a < b \leq n$ such that $b^3 + b \equiv a^3 + a \pmod{m^2}$.

**Proof.** If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then the desired conclusion follows from Lemmas 4.1. If $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then the desired conclusion follows by combining (4.5) and Lemma 4.11. □

**Proof of Lemma 2.2.** We assume that $n \geq 2 \cdot 10^{12}$ (and the conclusion for $n < 2 \cdot 10^{12}$ can be checked directly). Lemma 2.2 follows from Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.3, Lemma 3.5, Lemma 3.6, Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 4.12. According to the remark between Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we also complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.
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