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Abstract

We generalize Bruned et. al.’s notion of translation in geometric and branched rough paths to a notion of translation in rough paths over any combinatorial Hopf algebra. We show that this notion of translation is equivalent to two bialgebras being in cointeraction, subject to certain additional conditions. We argue that reformulating translations in terms of substitutions, provides simpler conditions for the cointeraction formulation. As a concrete example, we describe translations in planarly branched rough paths.

1 Introduction

The notion of rough path grew out of Lyons’ 1998 work on differential equations [19]

\[ dY_{st} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} f_i(Y_{st})dX_i^s, \tag{1} \]

where \( Y_{0s} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^d \) is an unknown path, the \( f_i : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d \) are vector fields and \( X_s : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^d \) is some driving path. If the latter is sufficiently smooth, then it makes sense to integrate against it iteratively. One obtains a solution in terms of a sum of iterated integrals:

\[ Y_{st} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_n \leq n} (\int \cdots \int f_{i_n} \cdots f_{i_1} dX_{i_1}^s \cdots dX_{i_n}^s). \]

The theory of rough paths is based on the idea that if the path \( X_t \) is not sufficiently smooth, then Equation (1) requires some additional information to understand it in terms of its iterated integrals [12]. See, e.g., [15] for details. A rough path \( X_t \) over the path \( X_t \) is the original path \( X_t \) together with its –abstractly defined– iterated integrals. This then defines the notion of a differential equation controlled by the rough path \( X_t \):

\[ dY_{st} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} f_i(Y_{st})dX_i^s. \tag{2} \]

In Lyon’s work, a rough path \( X_t \) over \( X_t \) is encoded as a path in the character group \((G, \otimes)\) of the shuffle Hopf algebra over \( \mathbb{R}^d \) such that \( X_{st} := X_t \otimes X_s^{-1} \) yielding

\[ \langle X_{st}, e_i \rangle = X_i^s - X_i^t. \]

Here \( e_i \) is the basis vector for the \( i \)th coordinate and the inverse \( X_s^{-1} = X_s \circ S \), where \( S \) is the antipode in the shuffle Hopf algebra. The evaluation \( \langle X_{st}, e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_n} \rangle \) plays the role of the –formally defined– iterated integral

\[ \langle X_{st}, e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_n} \rangle = \int \cdots \int dX_{i_1}^{s_t} \cdots dX_{i_n}^{s_t}. \]
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The righthand side has to be understood formally. Rough paths over the shuffle Hopf algebra are nowadays denoted (weak) geometric rough paths. The concept of rough paths was extended by Gubinelli in [13] to encode more general integrals. He defined the notion of branched rough path as paths in the character group over the Butcher–Connes–Kreimer Hopf algebra of non-planar rooted trees. This idea was then developed further by Curry et. al. in [8], where the concept of a rough path over any combinatorial Hopf algebra was defined. Inspired by Lie group integration theory [16] and the notion of Lie–Butcher series [18] they furthermore showed how rough paths over the Munthe-Kaas–Wright Hopf algebra of planar rooted trees can be use to define solutions to rough differential equations on homogeneous spaces.

Rough path theory was generalized to the theory of regularity structures by Hairer in [14]. From this generalization follows a precise correspondence between notions from rough paths theory and from regularity structures. This correspondence was examined by Bruned et. al. in [1], where they asked the question, whether renormalization of so-called models in regularity structures, studied comprehensively in [2], has a corresponding analogue for rough paths. They showed that the answer is affirmative and established a correspondence between renormalization and translations of geometric as well as branched rough path.

The paper at hand aims at extending the notion of translation of geometric and branched rough paths, to translations of rough paths over any combinatorial Hopf algebra. Based on the properties of both geometric and branched rough paths translations, we propose a definition for translations of rough paths with respect to any combinatorial Hopf algebra. We then show that this definition can be understood via the dual map, as two Hopf algebras in cointeraction that satisfy certain extra conditions. We furthermore show that translations can equivalently be understood as substitutions, better known in the context of Butcher’s B-series, where the dual formulation in terms of cointeracting Hopf algebras is subject to simpler conditions. Translations in planarly branched rough paths are constructed and we describe how these translations affect the solution to rough differential equations on homogeneous spaces driven by a planarly branched rough path.

The structure of the paper is as follows: In section 2 we summarize the definitions and results that the present paper builds upon. In section 3 we define translations for rough paths over a combinatorial Hopf algebra and characterize the dual map as a cointeraction between two Hopf algebras. In section 4 we show that translations can equivalently be thought of as substitutions. The substitution formulation provides a simpler way to describe the dual map. We give a formula to convert between the dual map for substitution and the dual map for translation. In section 5 we show how extra algebraic structure on the Hopf algebra that we take rough paths over can give us an explicit translation map, whose dual map can be described with coloured operads. In section 6 we apply the construction from the previous section to describe translations in planarly branched rough paths.

2 Preliminaries

We recall some definitions and results thereby fixing notations. All algebraic structures are assumed to be defined over some fixed field \( \mathbb{K} \) of characteristic zero.

2.1 Combinatorial Hopf algebras

A bialgebra \((V, \circ, \Delta, \eta, \epsilon)\) over the field \( \mathbb{K} \) is a \( \mathbb{K} \) vector space \( V \) together with an associative multiplication, \( \circ : V \otimes V \to V \), i.e., \( x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z \), a coassociative coproduct, \( \Delta : V \to V \otimes V \), i.e., \((\text{Id} \otimes \Delta) \Delta = (\Delta \otimes \text{Id}) \Delta\), a unit map, \( \eta : \mathbb{K} \to V \), i.e., \( \eta(1) \circ x = x \), and the counit, \( \epsilon : V \to \mathbb{K} \), characterized...
by \((Id \otimes \epsilon)\Delta = Id = (\epsilon \otimes Id)\Delta\), satisfying the bialgebra relations:

\[
\Delta(x \odot y) = \Delta(x) \odot \Delta(y), \\
\epsilon(x)(y) = \epsilon(x \odot y), \\
\Delta(\eta(x)) = (\eta \otimes \eta)\Delta\eta(x), \\
Id\Delta = \epsilon \circ \eta.
\]

A graded bialgebra is called connected if the unit map, \(\eta\), is an isomorphism between \(K\) and the set of degree zero elements. A Hopf algebra is defined as a bialgebra equipped with an anti-homomorphism \(S : V \to V\) called the antipode satisfying

\[
\circ \circ (S \otimes Id)\Delta = \eta \circ \epsilon = \circ \circ (Id \otimes S)\Delta.
\]

A connected and graded bialgebra is a Hopf algebra.

**Definition 1.** Let \((H, \circ, \Delta, \eta, \epsilon)\) be a Hopf algebra. We say that the element \(x \in H\) is primitive if \(\Delta(x) = 1 \otimes x + x \otimes 1\), or grouplike if \(\Delta(x) = x \otimes x\).

**Definition 2.** We say that two bialgebras \((A, \circ_A, \Delta_A, \eta_A, \epsilon_A)\), \((B, \circ_B, \Delta_B, \eta_B, \epsilon_B)\) are in cointeraction if \(B\) is coacting on \(A\) via a map \(\rho : A \to B \otimes A\) that satisfies:

\[
\rho(1_A) = 1_B \otimes 1_A, \\
\rho(x \circ_A y) = \rho(x)(\circ_B \otimes \circ_A)\rho(y), \\
(Id \otimes \epsilon_A)\rho = 1_B\epsilon_A, \\
(Id \otimes \Delta_A)\rho = m^{1,3}_B(\rho \otimes \rho)\Delta_A,
\]

where

\[
m^{1,3}_B(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes d) = a \circ c \otimes b \otimes d.
\]

For our purposes in this paper, we need the definition of a combinatorial Hopf algebra given by Curry et. al. in [8].

**Definition 3.** A combinatorial Hopf algebra \((V, \circ, \Delta, \eta, \epsilon)\) is a graded connected Hopf algebra \(V = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n\) over a field \(K\) of characteristic 0, together with a basis \(B = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} B_n\) of homogeneous elements, such that

1. There exists two positive constants \(B\) and \(C\) such that the dimension of \(V_n\) is bounded by \(BC^n\).
2. The structure constants \(c_{xy}^z\) and \(c_{zy}^x\) of the product respectively the coproduct, defined for all elements \(x, y, z \in B\) by

\[
x \odot y = \sum_{z \in B} c_{xy}^z z, \\
\Delta(z) = \sum_{x, y \in B} c_{xy}^z x \otimes y,
\]

are non-negative integers.

We furthermore say that \(V\) is non-degenerate if \(B \cap \text{Prim}(V) = B_1\).

**Definition 4.** Let \(H = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H_n\) be a commutative graded Hopf algebra with unit 1, and let \(\gamma \in (0, 1]\). Suppose that \(H\) is endowed with a basis \(B\) making it combinatorial and non-degenerate. A \(\gamma\)-regular \(H\)-rough path is a two-parameter family \(X = (X_{st})_{s, t \in B}\) of linear forms on \(H\) such that \(\langle X_{st}, 1 \rangle = 1\) and
1. For any \( s, t \in \mathbb{R} \) and any \( x, y \in \mathcal{H} \), the following identity holds
\[
\langle X_{st}, x \circ y \rangle = \langle X_{st}, x \rangle \langle X_{st}, y \rangle.
\]

2. For any \( s, t, u \in \mathbb{R} \), Chen’s lemma holds
\[
\mathcal{X}_{su} \ast \mathcal{X}_{ut} = \mathcal{X}_{st},
\]
where \( \ast \) is the convolution product for linear forms on \( \mathcal{H} \), defined in terms of the coproduct on \( \mathcal{H} \).

3. For any \( n \geq 0 \) and any \( x \in B_n \), we have estimates
\[
\sup_{s \neq t} |\langle X_{st}, x \rangle| |t - s|^{\gamma} |x| < \infty,
\]
where \(|x| = n\) denotes the degree of the element \( x \in B_n \).

In any combinatorial Hopf algebra \( \mathcal{H} \), we define the inverse-factorial character \( q : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) by:
\[
q(x) = 1, \quad x \in B_1,
\]
\[
q(y) = \frac{1}{2|y| - 2} q(y_{(1)'}q(y_{(2)'})�,
\]
where we use the Sweedler notation \( \Delta'(y) = y_{(1)'} \otimes y_{(2)'} \) for the reduced coproduct \( \Delta'(y) = \Delta(y) - 1 \otimes y - y \otimes 1 \) of \( \mathcal{H} \). For \( y \in B \) we shall also use the notation
\[
y! = \frac{1}{q(y)}.
\]

**Theorem 2.1** ([8]). Let \( \gamma \in (0, 1] \), and let \( N = \frac{1}{\gamma} \). Let \( \mathcal{X} \) be a \( \gamma \)-regular \( \mathcal{H} \)-rough path. Then there exists a positive constant \( c \) such that:
\[
|\langle X_{st}, x \rangle| \leq c|x| q_\gamma(x) |t - s|^{\gamma} |x|,
\]
for any \( x \in B \), where
\[
q_\gamma(x) = \begin{cases} 
q(x), & |x| \leq N \\
\frac{1}{2|y| - 2} q_\gamma(x')q_\gamma(x''), & |x| > N
\end{cases}.
\]

### 2.2 Trees and forests

A rooted tree is a connected graph without cycles, together with a distinguished vertex called the root. We say that the rooted tree is non-planar if it is not endowed with a preferred embedding into the plane. It is called planar if it is endowed with such embedding into the plane. We will draw rooted trees with the root at the top. The two trees
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are isomorphic as graphs via an isomorphism that sends the root to the root, hence they are equal as non-planar trees. However, considering embeddings into the plane makes them different, hence they are not equal as planar rooted trees. An unordered sequence of non-planar rooted trees is called a non-planar forest. An ordered sequence of planar rooted trees is called an ordered forest. We say that a rooted tree/forest is decorated by the set \( \mathcal{C} \) if there is a map from the vertices of the rooted tree/forest to the set \( \mathcal{C} \). A decoration of a vertex will be drawn by writing the decoration next to the vertex. We will denote by \( \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}} \) the vector space of non-planar rooted trees decorated by \( \mathcal{C} \). The vector space of decorated planar rooted trees is denoted by...
The vector space of decorated non-planar and ordered forests is denoted by $\mathcal{F}_C$ respectively $\mathcal{O}_C$.

Non-planar rooted trees can be endowed with the grafting product $\triangleright: \mathcal{T}_C \otimes \mathcal{T}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_C$ given by defining $\tau_1 \triangleright \tau_2$ to be the sum of all rooted trees obtained by adding one edge from some vertex of $\tau_2$ to the root of $\tau_1$. The root of each of the rooted trees in the sum $\tau_1 \triangleright \tau_2$ is the root of $\tau_2$. The algebra $(\mathcal{T}_C, \triangleright)$ is the free pre-Lie algebra $[3, 5, 6, 17, 20, 23]$. Pre-Lie algebras are defined by the (left) pre-Lie relation

$$x \triangleright (y \triangleright z) = (x \triangleright y) \triangleright z - y \triangleright (x \triangleright z) + (y \triangleright x) \triangleright z = 0.$$ 

The property of being a free pre-Lie algebra means that for any other pre-Lie algebra $(A, \triangleright)$ and a map $\phi: C \rightarrow A$, there exists a unique pre-Lie algebra morphism $\xi: \mathcal{T}_C \rightarrow A$ such that $\xi(c) = \phi(c)$ for all $c \in C$.

Non-planar forests can be endowed with a combinatorial Hopf algebra structure $\mathcal{H}_{BCK}^C = (\mathcal{F}_C, \triangleright \triangleleft, \Delta_{BCK})$ called the Butcher–Connes–Kreimer Hopf algebra $[7]$. The commutative product $\triangleright \triangleleft$ is given by the disjoint union of two unordered sequences of rooted trees. The coproduct $\Delta_{BCK}$ is defined by so-called admissible edge cuts. Let $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_C$ be a non-planar tree and let $c$ be a (possibly empty) subset of edges in $\tau$. We say that $c$ is an admissible edge cut if it contains at most one edge from each path in $\tau$ that starts in the root and ends in a leaf. Removing the edges in $c$ from $\tau$ produces several connected components, the connected component containing the root of $\tau$ will be denoted by $R^c(\tau)$. The product of the remaining connected components will be denoted by $P^c(\tau)$. The coproduct is then given by

$$\Delta_{BCK}(\tau) = \sum_{c \text{ admissible cut}} P^c(\tau) \otimes R^c(\tau) + \tau \otimes 1$$

on non-planar rooted trees, and extended to forests by

$$\Delta_{BCK}(\tau_1 \circ \cdots \circ \tau_n) = \Delta_{BCK}(\tau_1) \circ \cdots \circ \Delta_{BCK}(\tau_n).$$

A rough path in $\mathcal{H}_{BCK}$ is called a branched rough path $[13]$.

The undecorated non-planar forests can be endowed with another structure of bialgebra denoted $\mathcal{H}_{CEF M} = (\mathcal{F}_C, \triangleright \triangleleft, \Delta_{CEF M})$ $[4]$. The product $\triangleright \triangleleft$ is the same as for $\mathcal{H}_{BCK}$. The coproduct on the other hand $\Delta_{CEF M}$ is defined by contractions of rooted subtrees. Let $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ be a non-planar rooted tree and let $(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n)$ be a spanning subforest of $\tau$, i.e., each $\tau_i$ is a rooted subtree of $\tau$ and each vertex of $\tau$ is contained in exactly one $\tau_i$. We denote by $\tau/(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n)$ the tree obtained by contracting each subtree to a single vertex. The coproduct, $\Delta_{CEF M}$, is then given by

$$\Delta_{CEF M}(\tau) = \sum_{\text{spanning subforest } (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n)} \tau_1 \circ \cdots \circ \tau_n \otimes \tau/(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n)$$

and extended to forests multiplicatively

$$\Delta_{CEF M}(\tau_1 \circ \cdots \circ \tau_n) = \Delta_{CEF M}(\tau_1) \circ \cdots \circ \Delta_{CEF M}(\tau_n).$$

Planar rooted trees can be endowed with the grafting product $\triangleright: \mathcal{PT}_C \otimes \mathcal{PT}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{PT}_C$ given by defining $\tau_1 \triangleright \tau_2$ to be the sum of all rooted trees obtained by adding one edge from some vertex of $\tau_2$ to the root of $\tau_1$, such that the added edge is leastfrom on the vertex in $\tau_2$ relative to the planar embedding. The root of each of the rooted trees in the sum $\tau_1 \triangleright \tau_2$ is the root of $\tau_2$. Let $(\text{Lie}(\mathcal{PT}_C), [-,-])$ denote the free Lie algebra generated by $\mathcal{PT}_C$ and extend $\triangleright: \text{Lie}(\mathcal{PT}_C) \otimes \text{Lie}(\mathcal{PT}_C) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(\mathcal{PT}_C)$ by the relations

$$\omega_1 \triangleright [\omega_2, \omega_3] = [\omega_1 \triangleright \omega_2, \omega_3] + [\omega_2, \omega_1 \triangleright \omega_3],$$

$$[\omega_1, \omega_2] \triangleright \omega_3 = \omega_1 \triangleright (\omega_2 \triangleright \omega_3) - (\omega_1 \triangleright \omega_2) \triangleright \omega_3 - \omega_2 \triangleright (\omega_1 \triangleright \omega_3) + (\omega_2 \triangleright \omega_1) \triangleright \omega_3.$$
Then \((\text{Lie}(\mathcal{PT}_C), \triangleright, [\cdot, \cdot])\) is the free post-Lie algebra \([9, 10, 13, 21, 25]\). Post-Lie algebras are defined by the two relations above.

Ordered forests can be endowed with a combinatorial Hopf algebra structure \(\mathcal{H}_{MKW}^C = (\mathcal{OF}_C, \shuffle, \Delta_{MKW})\) known as the Munthe-Kaas–Wright Hopf algebra \([22]\). The commutative product \(\shuffle\) is given by the sum of all ways to merge two ordered sequences of rooted trees into one sequence, so that the order from the two original sequences is preserved. The coproduct, \(\Delta_{MKW}\), is defined by admissible left edge cuts. Let \(\tau \in \mathcal{PT}_C\) be a planar rooted tree and let \(c\) be a (possibly empty) subset of edges in \(\tau\). We say that \(c\) is an admissible left edge cut if it contains at most one edge from each path in \(\tau\) from the root to a leaf. Furthermore if \(e\) is an edge in \(c\), then every edge outgoing from the same vertex as \(e\) and that is to the left of \(e\) in the planar embedding, is also in \(c\). Removing the edges in \(c\) from \(\tau\) produces several connected components, the one containing the root of \(\tau\) will be denoted by \(R^c(\tau)\). Connected components that are cut off from the same vertex will be concatenated to an ordered forest respecting the order, and then the resulting ordered forests will be shuffled together, which is denoted by \(P^c(\tau)\). The coproduct \(\Delta_{MKW}\) is defined by

\[
\Delta_{MKW}(\tau) = \sum_{\text{c left admissible cut}} P^c(\tau) \otimes R^c(\tau) + \tau \otimes 1
\]
on planar rooted trees. It is extended to ordered forests by

\[
\Delta_{MKW}(\omega) = (Id \otimes B^+)\Delta_{MKW}(B^+(\omega)),
\]
where \(B^+: \mathcal{OF}_C \to \mathcal{PT}_C\) is given by grafting all trees in the input sequence onto the same root in such a way that the planar embedding represents the order of the sequence and \(B^-: \mathcal{PT}_C \to \mathcal{OF}_C\) is the inverse map. A rough path in \(\mathcal{H}_{MKW}\) is called a planarly branched rough path \([5]\). The product dual to \(\Delta_{MKW}\) is called the planar Grossman–Larson product, given by

\[
\omega_1 \ast \omega_2 = (\omega_1)_{(1)}((\omega_1)_{(2)} \triangleright \omega_2),
\]
where \(\Delta_{\shuffle}(\omega) = \omega_{(1)} \otimes \omega_{(2)}\) is the Sweedler notation for the unshuffle coproduct on words, and the planar grafting is extended to forests by

\[
\tau \triangleright t_1 \cdots t_n = (\tau \triangleright t_1) t_2 \cdots t_n + t_1 (\tau \triangleright t_2) t_3 \cdots t_n + \cdots + t_1 \cdots t_{n-1} (\tau \triangleright t_n),
\]
\[
(\omega \triangleright \omega') = \omega \triangleright (\tau \triangleright \omega') - (\omega \triangleright \tau) \triangleright \omega',
\]
for \(\omega, \omega'\) ordered forests and \(\tau, t_1, \ldots, t_n\) planar rooted trees.

Ordered forests, together with planar grafting extended to forests and non-commutative associative concatenation, form the free \(D\)-algebra \([13, 21, 22]\). A unital associative algebra \((A, \cdot)\) with a non-associative product \(\triangleright\), is a \(D\)-algebra if

\[
1 \triangleright a = a,
\]
\[
a \triangleright x \in \mathcal{D}(A),
\]
\[
x \triangleright (a \triangleright b) = (x \cdot a) \triangleright b + (x \triangleright a) \triangleright b,
\]
for \(a, b \in A\) and \(x \in \mathcal{D}(A)\), where \(\mathcal{D}(A) = \{x \in A : x \triangleright (a \cdot b) = (x \triangleright a) \cdot b + a \cdot (x \triangleright b), \ \forall a, b \in A\}\) denotes the set of derivations in \(A\).

### 2.3 Rough differential equations on a homogeneous space

We recall the notion of rough differential equations on homogeneous spaces together with the solutions, as described in \([8]\). Let \(X_t: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^d\) be a \(\gamma\)-Hölder continuous path. We are interested in the equation

\[
dY_{st} = \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{#} f_i(Y_{st}) dX_t^i,
\]
with initial condition $Y_{ss} = y$. The unknown is a path $Y_s : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{M}$, that maps $t$ to $Y_{st}$, where the homogeneous space $\mathcal{M}$ is a manifold together with a transitive action by a Lie group $G$:

$$(G \times \mathcal{M}) \ni (g, e) \mapsto g.e \in \mathcal{M}.$$ 

The elements $f_i : \mathcal{M} \mapsto \text{Lie}(G)$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$, are smooth maps into the Lie algebra of $G$. The map $\#: C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \text{Lie}(G)) \mapsto C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, T\mathcal{M})$ is given by

$$\#f(y) = \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} \exp(tf(y)).y \in T_y\mathcal{M},$$

and defines the vector fields $\#f_i$. 

Let $U(\text{Lie}(G))$ denote the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra $\text{Lie}(G)$. Then $C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, U(\text{Lie}(G)))$ together with the pointwise associative product in $U(\text{Lie}(G))$ and the product $\triangleright$ given by

$$f \triangleright g = \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} g(\exp(tf(x))).x$$

is a $D$-algebra. Let $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_d)$ be a list of elements of $C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, U(\text{Lie}(G)))$, then the universality property of the free $D$-algebra implies that there exists a unique $D$-algebra morphism $F_f : \mathcal{O}F_{\{1,\ldots,d\}} \mapsto C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, U(\text{Lie}(G)))$ given by $F_f(e_i) = f_i$, for $i = 1, \ldots, d$. 

**Definition 5.** A formal solution to Equation (4) is given by

$$Y_{st} = \#F_f(Y_{st})(y),$$

where

$$Y_{st} = \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{O}F_{\{1,\ldots,d\}}} \langle \mathcal{X}_{st}, \omega \rangle \omega,$$

and where $\mathcal{X}_{st}$ is any planarly branched rough path such that $\langle \mathcal{X}_{st}, \bullet \rangle = X^i_s - X^i_0$, for $i = 1, \ldots, d$. 

### 2.4 Translations in geometric- and branched rough paths

We recall the notion of translation from Bruned et. al. [1].

Let $(T(\mathcal{C}), \triangleright, \Delta_\triangleright)$ denote the shuffle Hopf algebra of non-commutative words with letters from the finite alphabet $\mathcal{C}$, with deconcatenation as coproduct. Let $B$ be a basis of Lie polynomials in $T(\mathcal{C})$ such that the Hopf algebra is combinatorial and non-degenerate. Then a geometric rough path is a $T(\mathcal{C})$-rough path. We shall denote the letters in $\mathcal{C} = B_1$ by $e_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, for $|\mathcal{C}| = n$. Let $(T(\mathcal{C})^*, \circ, \Delta_\circ)$ denote the graded dual Hopf algebra to $(T(\mathcal{C}), \triangleright, \Delta_\triangleright)$, it can be identified with $T(\mathcal{C})$ by using the canonical dual basis. We write $\overline{T(\mathcal{C})^*}$ for the completion. The completed dual $(\overline{T(\mathcal{C})^*}, \circ, \Delta_\circ)$ can be equipped with a Hopf-type algebra structure. Note that this is not exactly a Hopf algebra as $\Delta_\circ$ does not map $\overline{T(\mathcal{C})^*}$ into $(\overline{T(\mathcal{C})^*})^{\otimes 2}$, but rather into $(\overline{T(\mathcal{C})^*})^{\otimes 2} \cong \prod_{m,n=0}^{\infty} T(\mathcal{C})_m \otimes T(\mathcal{C})_n$. Then the infinitesimal characters of $(T(\mathcal{C}), \triangleright, \Delta_\triangleright)$ are primitive in $(\overline{T(\mathcal{C})^*}, \circ, \Delta_\circ)$, and the characters are grouplike.

A translation $T_v : \overline{T(\mathcal{C})^*} \to \overline{T(\mathcal{C})^*}$, defined for a collection $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ of elements that are primitive with respect to $\Delta_\triangleright$, is the unique map given by

$$T_v(e_i) = e_i + v_i$$

and extended to be a continuous algebra morphism with respect to the concatenation product. The following properties hold [1]:
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• $T_v$ maps primitive elements (infinitesimal characters) to primitive elements, and grouplike elements (characters) to grouplike elements.

• $T_v \circ T_u = T_{v+T_v(u)}$.

• $T_v$ maps rough paths to rough paths.

Let $\mathcal{H}_B^{\text{BCK}} = (\mathcal{F}_C, \circ, \Delta_{\text{BCK}})$ be the Butcher–Connes–Kreimer Hopf algebra of non-planar rooted trees, and let $(\mathcal{H}_B^{\text{BCK}})^* = (\mathcal{F}_C^*, *, \Delta_{\langle \rangle})$ be the dual graded Hopf algebra. The basis $\mathcal{B}$ is given by the forests. In particular, $B_1 = C$ is given by single vertex trees decorated by $C$. Denote the completed dual by $(\mathcal{H}_B^{\text{BCK}})^*$, where $\Delta_{\langle \rangle} : \mathcal{F}_C \to (\mathcal{F}_C)^{\otimes 2}$. Note that, as in the geometric case, this is not exactly a Hopf algebra.

One may now attempt to define a translation $M_v : (\mathcal{H}_B^{\text{BCK}})^* \to (\mathcal{H}_B^{\text{BCK}})^*$ by

$$M_v(e_i) = e_i + v_i,$$

for $v_i$ primitive, and extend this as a continuous algebra morphism with respect to $\ast$. It turns out that this construction does not admit a unique extension.

One algebraic structure that does extend uniquely from single-vertex trees to trees is the pre-Lie algebraic structure. Extending the map $M_v$ from the previous paragraph to be a pre-Lie algebra morphism on rooted trees, and a $\ast$ morphism on forests, gives us the notion of translation in branched rough paths from [1]. Then $M_v$ has the properties:

• $M_v$ maps primitive elements to primitive elements, and grouplike elements to grouplike elements.

• $M_v \circ M_u = M_{v+M_v(u)}$.

• $M_v$ maps rough paths to rough paths.

3 Translations in rough paths

We propose to use the properties from Section 2.4 as the definition for translations in rough paths over any combinatorial Hopf algebra.

**Definition 6.** Let $(\mathcal{H}, \odot, \Delta, \eta, \epsilon)$ be a non-degenerate combinatorial Hopf algebra with basis $\mathcal{B}$. Let $(\mathcal{H}^*, *, \Delta_{\langle \rangle})$ denote the graded dual space, with convolution product $*$ dual to $\Delta$ and coproduct $\Delta_{\langle \rangle}$ dual to $\odot$. Identify $\mathcal{H}$ with $\mathcal{H}^*$ via the dual basis. Let $(\overline{\mathcal{H}}^*, *, \Delta_{\langle \rangle})$ be the completed dual equipped with a Hopf-type algebra structure. A family of algebra morphisms $T_v : \overline{\mathcal{H}}^* \to \overline{\mathcal{H}}^*$ is a translation if

1. $T_v(e_i) = e_i + v_i$ for every $e_i \in B_1$ and some $v = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$, $v_i$ primitive.

2. $T_u \circ T_v = T_{v+T_v(u)}$, where $T_v(u) = \{T_v(u_1), \ldots, T_v(u_n)\}$.

3. For each $\mathcal{H}$-rough path $X_{st}$, the pointwise translation $T_v(X_{st}) = T_v(X)_{st}$ is a $\mathcal{H}$-rough path:

   (a) $T_v$ maps characters to characters.

   (b) $T_v$ is a morphism with respect to the convolution product of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^*$.

   (c) The bound

   $$\sup_{s \neq t} \frac{|(T_v(X)_{st}, x)|}{|t - s|^\gamma |x|} < \infty$$

   holds.
Let \( (\mathcal{H}, \odot, \Delta, \eta, \epsilon) \) be a combinatorial Hopf algebra and denote the indecomposable elements of \( \ker(\epsilon) \) by \( \mathcal{H}_{in} \). Let \( \mathcal{H}_{in} \times B_1 \) denote vector space of pairs \((v_i, e_i)\), \( v_i \in \mathcal{H}_{in}, e_i \in B_1 \) where the vector space structure is given by linearity in the first component. Let \( (S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times B_1), \ast, \Delta_v) \) denote the free cofree unital commutative co-commutative Hopf algebra. Seeing \( S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times B_1) \) as commutative polynomials in elements from \( \mathcal{H}_{in} \times B_1 \), let it be graded by degree of the polynomials. Identify the graded dual space \( S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times B_1)^\ast \) with \( S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times B_1) \) by using the dual basis. Then \( (S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times B_1), \ast, \Delta_v) \) is a Hopf algebra, and the completion \( S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times B_1)^\ast \) is a Hopf-type algebra such that

\[
\langle x \ast y, z \rangle = \langle x \odot y, \Delta_v(z) \rangle,
\]

for \( x, y \in S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times B_1)^\ast \) and \( z \in S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times B_1) \). Define the map \( \exp^\ast : S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times B_1)^\ast \to S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times B_1)^\ast \) by

\[
\exp^\ast(x) = 1 + x + \frac{1}{2!}(x \ast x) + \frac{1}{3!}(x \ast x \ast x) + \cdots.
\]

Then \( \exp^\ast \) maps primitive elements of \( S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times B_1)^\ast \) into characters over \( S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times B_1) \) and satisfies the identity

\[
\exp^\ast(x + y) = \exp^\ast(x) \ast \exp^\ast(y).
\]

Let \( T_v \) be a translation in \( \mathcal{H} \). For \( v = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\} \), denote

\[
e^v = \exp^\ast \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (v_i, e_i) \right) \in S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times B_1)^\ast.
\]

Define a map \( \rho_T : \mathcal{H} \to S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times B_1) \otimes \mathcal{H} \) by

\[
\langle T_v(y), x \rangle = \langle e^v \odot y, \rho_T(x) \rangle.
\]

Extend the map to \( \rho_T : \mathcal{H}_{in} \times B_1 \to S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times B_1) \odot S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times B_1) \) by letting it act on the first component, and then to \( S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times B_1) \) as an algebra morphism. We are now ready to show that every translation can dually be described by bialgebras in cointeraction.

**Lemma 3.1.** If \( \langle \chi, x \rangle = \langle \chi, y \rangle \) for every character \( \chi \), then \( x = y \).

**Proof.** Characters are determined by their value on indecomposable elements. Suppose that \( x \neq y \), then there is an indecomposable element \( z \) that appears a different number of times in a factorization of \( x \) compared to a factorization of \( y \). Generate a new character \( \chi' \) that evaluates to the same value as \( \chi \) on all indecomposable elements except \( z \), and to a different value than \( \chi \) on \( z \). Then the character property implies that \( \langle \chi', x \rangle \neq \langle \chi', y \rangle \). \( \square \)

**Theorem 3.2.** The Hopf algebra \( (S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times B_1), \ast, \Delta_v) \) is in cointeraction with \( \mathcal{H}, \odot, \Delta \) by the coaction \( \rho_T \).

**Proof.** We have that \( \rho_T(1) = 1 \otimes 1 \) by the assumption that \( T_v \) is an algebra morphism.

Let \( x, y \in \mathcal{H} \) and let \( \chi \) be a character, then since \( T_v \) maps characters to characters:

\[
\langle e^v \odot \chi, \rho_T(x \odot y) \rangle = \langle T_v(\chi), x \odot y \rangle \\
= \langle T_v(\chi), x \rangle \langle T_v(\chi), y \rangle \\
= \langle e^v \odot \chi, \rho_T(x) \rangle \langle e^v \odot \chi, \rho_T(y) \rangle,
\]

which implies

\[
\rho_T(x \odot y) = \rho_T(x) \odot \rho_T(y).
\]
The identity $(\text{Id} \otimes \epsilon)\rho_T = 1\epsilon$ follows from $\langle e^v \otimes 1, \rho_T(x) \rangle = 0$ whenever $x \neq 1$. Where the unit $1 \in \mathcal{H}^*$ is the same as the counit of $(\mathcal{H}, \circ, \Delta)$, by the dual basis identification.

Lastly we need to prove the identity $(\text{Id} \otimes \Delta)\rho_T = m^{1,3}(\rho_T \otimes \rho_T)\Delta$. Recall that $T_v$ maps rough paths to rough paths, meaning that it satisfies $T_v(\psi) \ast T_v(\chi) = T_v(\psi \ast \chi)$ for $\psi, \chi$ characters. This means:

$$
\langle e^v \otimes \psi \otimes \chi, (\text{Id} \otimes \Delta)\rho_T(x) \rangle = \langle e^v \otimes \psi \ast \chi, \rho_T(x) \rangle \\
= \langle T_v(\psi \ast \chi), x \rangle \\
= \langle T_v(\psi) \ast T_v(\chi), x \rangle \\
= \langle T_v(\psi) \otimes T_v(\chi), \Delta(x) \rangle \\
= \langle e^v \otimes \psi \otimes e^v \otimes \chi, (\rho_T \otimes \rho_T)\Delta(x) \rangle.
$$

The property

$$(\text{Id} \otimes \Delta)\rho_T = m^{1,3}(\rho_T \otimes \rho_T)\Delta$$

now follows from Lemma 3.1 and the fact that $e^v$ is a character, as $e^v$ evaluated on the first component of the tensor, multiplied by $e^v$ evaluated on the third component of the tensor, is the same as $e^v$ evaluated on the product of the components. Hence we have proved all the properties of cointeraction and the theorem follows.

Note that the proof does not require the use of the two central translation properties $T_v \circ T_u = T_{v+T_v(u)}$ and $T_v(e_i) = e_i + v_i$. In fact, any map that sends rough paths to rough paths can be dually described by cointeracting bialgebras. The critical properties 1. and 2. from Definition 4 corresponds to properties of the coaction. We describe these properties in the two following propositions.

**Proposition 3.3.** The coaction $\rho_T$, when extended to $S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times \mathcal{B}_1)$, satisfies the identity

$$(\text{Id} \otimes \rho_T)\rho_T = m^{1,2}((\text{Id} \otimes \rho_T \otimes \text{Id})((\Delta, \otimes \text{Id})\rho_T)),$$

where

$$m^{1,2}(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes x_3 \otimes x_4) = x_1 \cdot x_2 \otimes x_3 \otimes x_4.$$  

**Proof.**

$$
\langle e^v \otimes e^u \otimes \chi, (\text{Id} \otimes \rho_T)\rho_T(x) \rangle = \langle e^v \otimes T_u(\chi), \rho_T(x) \rangle \\
= \langle T_v(T_u(\chi)), x \rangle \\
= \langle T_v(T_u(u)) \otimes \chi, \rho_T(x) \rangle \\
= \langle e^v \otimes T_v(u) \otimes \chi, \rho_T(x) \rangle \\
= \langle e^v \otimes T_v(u) \otimes \chi, \rho_T(x) \rangle \\
= \langle e^v \otimes e^v \otimes \chi, (\text{Id} \otimes \rho_T \otimes \text{Id})((\Delta, \otimes \text{Id})\rho_T(x)) \rangle \\
= \langle e^v \otimes e^v \otimes \chi, m^{1,2}((\text{Id} \otimes \rho_T \otimes \text{Id})((\Delta, \otimes \text{Id})\rho_T(x))) \rangle.
$$

**Remark 1.** The map $\rho_T$ is not coassociative, meaning that the identity

$$(\text{Id} \otimes \rho_T)\rho_T = (\rho_T \otimes \text{Id})\rho_T$$

(6)
does not hold. We instead have the relation \(5\), which can be understood as a shifted coassociativity. The \(\rho_T\) in \((\rho_T \otimes 1d)\) from the coassociativity relation \(6\) will as input take a monomial in the product *, and evaluate on each factor of this monomial by the property of being a \(\cdot\)-morphism. The relation \(5\) says that instead of letting \(\rho_T\) evaluate on each factor of the input, we have to sum over all possible ways of letting \(\rho_T\) evaluate on a subset of factors.

One way to informally think of this property is to see a translation \(x \mapsto x + v\) as being a sum of an identity map \(x \mapsto x\) and a substitution map \(x \mapsto v\). The relation \(5\) can then be seen as the dual way to encode this sum. Factors that \(\rho_T\) evaluates on will dually correspond to substitution and factors that \(\rho_T\) does not evaluate on will dually correspond to the identity map.

We will elaborate on substitution maps and the relation to coassociativity in section 4.

**Proposition 3.4.** The coaction \(\rho_T\) satisfies the identity
\[
\langle e^v \otimes e_i, \rho_T(x) \rangle = \langle v_i + e_i, x \rangle,
\]
for \(e_i \in B_1\).

**Proof.**
\[
\langle e_i + v_i, x \rangle = \langle T_v(e_i), x \rangle = \langle e^v \otimes e_i, \rho_T(x) \rangle.
\]

We have now seen that every translation induces a cointeraction between \(S(H, \times B_1)\) and \(H\) by duality. One may then ask whether every such cointeraction will induce a translation. Let \((H, \otimes, \Delta)\) be a non-degenerate combinatorial Hopf algebra that is in cointeraction with \(S(H, \times B_1)\) by a coaction \(\rho_T : H \mapsto S(H, \times B_1) \otimes H\). Define a map \(T_v : \overline{H^*} \mapsto \overline{H^*}\) by \(\langle T_v(y), x \rangle = \langle e^v \otimes y, \rho_T(x) \rangle\). Then

1. \(T_v\) is an algebra morphism:
\[
\langle T_v(a \ast b), x \rangle = \langle e^v \otimes a \ast b, (Id \otimes \Delta)\rho_T(x) \rangle = \langle e^v \otimes a \ast b, m^{1,3}(\rho_T \otimes \rho_T)\Delta(x) \rangle = \langle T_v(a) \ast T_v(b), \Delta(x) \rangle = \langle T_v(a) \ast T_v(b), x \rangle,
\]
for all \(a, b \in H^*\).

2. \(T_v\) maps characters to characters:
\[
\langle T_v(\chi), x \ast y \rangle = \langle e^v \otimes \chi, \rho_T(x \ast y) \rangle = \langle e^v \otimes \chi, \rho_T(x) \ast \rho_T(y) \rangle = \langle e^v \otimes \chi, \rho_T(x) \rangle \langle e^v \otimes \chi, \rho_T(y) \rangle = \langle T_v(\chi), x \rangle \langle T_v(\chi), y \rangle.
\]

3. The bound
\[
\sup_{s \neq t} \frac{|\langle T_v(X_{st}), x \rangle|}{|t - s|^{|x|}} < \infty
\]
follows from
\[
|\langle T_v(X_{st}), x \rangle| = |\langle e^v \otimes X_{st}, \rho_T(x) \rangle|
\]
Lemma 3.6. Let $T$ be a combinatorial Hopf algebra, and suppose that $\phi : H^* \to A$ is a linear map. Let $T_\phi : H^* \to H^* \otimes A$ be a translation in $H$. Then:

$$
\sum_{x \in B} (a, x)\phi(T_\phi(\delta_x)) = \sum_{x \in B} (T_\phi(a), x)\phi(\delta_x) \in A,
$$

for all $a \in B$, where $\delta_x \in H^*$ is the basis element that is dual to $x$.

Proof. Seeing $a$ as a (possibly infinite) sum in the dual basis, the left side of the equation is given by the sum of applying $\phi \circ T_\phi$ to each of the terms. This is however also what the right side of the equation describes. \qed
4 Substitution in rough paths

We find it useful to consider translations also as substitutions, as this ends up giving us simpler identities. By a substitution of rough paths, we mean the following.

**Definition 7.** Let \((H, \circ, \Delta)\) be a non-degenerate combinatorial Hopf algebra with basis \(B\). Let \((H^∗, *, \Delta^\ast)\) denote the graded dual space, with product \(*\) dual to \(\Delta\) and coproduct \(\Delta^\ast\) dual to \(\circ\). Identify \(H\) with \(H^∗\) via the dual basis. Let \((\bar{H}, *, \Delta_\circ)\) be the completed dual equipped with a Hopf-type algebra structure. A family of algebra morphisms \(S_v : \bar{H}_\circ \rightarrow \bar{H}_\circ^\ast\) is a substitution if

1. for \(v = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}\), \(v_i\) primitive, \(S_v(e_i) = v_i \) for every \(e_i \in B_1\).
2. \(S_v \circ S_u = S_{S_v(u)}\), where \(S_v(u) = \{S_v(u_1), \ldots, S_v(u_n)\}\).
3. For each \(H\)-rough path \(X_{st}\), the pointwise translation \(S_v(X_{st}) = S_v(X)_{st}\) is a \(H\)-rough path:
   
   (a) \(S_v\) maps characters to characters.
   
   (b) \(S_v\) is a morphism with respect to the convolution product of \(H\).
   
   (c) The bound
   \[
   \sup_{s \neq t} \left| \frac{\langle S_v(X_{st}), x \rangle}{|t - s|^{1/2}} \right| < \infty
   \]
   holds.

Substitutions of rough paths are essentially the same as translations. Indeed, if \(S_v\) is a substitution by \(v = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}\) then \(T_{v'} := S_v\) is a translation by \(v' = \{v_1 - e_1, \ldots, v_n - e_n\}\) and vice-versa. The condition \(T_{v'}(e_i) = e_i + v'_i\) is clear. The condition \(T_{v'} \circ T_{w'} = T_{v + T_{v'}(w')}\) can be seen by the computation

\[
T_{v'} \circ T_{w'} = S_{v'} \circ S_w
= S_{S_{v}(u)}
= T_{(T_{v'}(u))'}
\]

where

\[
(T_{v'}(u))' = \{T_{v'}(u_1) - e_1, \ldots, T_{v'}(u_n) - e_n\}
= \{T_{v'}(u'_1 + e_1), T_{v'}(u'_n + e_n) - e_n\}
= \{T_{v'}(u'_1) + v'_1 + e_1 - e_1, \ldots, T_{v'}(u'_n) + v'_n + e_n - e_n\}
= v' + T_{v'}(u').
\]

Let \(S_v\) be a substitution and consider the dual map \(\rho_S : H \rightarrow S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times B_1) \otimes H\) given by

\[
\langle S_v(y), x \rangle = \langle e^v \otimes y, \rho_S(x) \rangle.
\]

**Proposition 4.1.** The Hopf algebra \(S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times B_1)\) is in cointeraction with \((H, \circ, \Delta)\) by the coaction \(\rho_S\).

**Proof.** All the arguments from the proof of Theorem apply. 

Now extend the coaction to \(\rho_S : \mathcal{H}_{in} \times B_1 \rightarrow S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times B_1) \otimes S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times B_1)\) by letting it act on the first component and then to \(S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times B_1)\) as an algebra morphism. Then:

\[
\langle v \otimes u \otimes \chi, (Id \otimes \rho_S)\rho_S(x) \rangle = \langle v \otimes S_u(\chi), \rho_S(x) \rangle
\]
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Hence $\rho_S$ is a coassociative coproduct on $S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times \mathcal{B}_1)$. This corresponds to condition (5) for translations.

**Proposition 4.2.** Let $(\mathcal{H}, \odot, \Delta)$ be a non-degenerate combinatorial Hopf algebra that is in cointeraction with $(S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times \mathcal{B}_1), \cdot, \Delta)$ by a coaction $\rho_S : \mathcal{H} \to S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times \mathcal{B}_1) \otimes \mathcal{H}$, satisfying

$$(Id \otimes \rho_S)\rho_S = (\rho_S \otimes Id)\rho_S, \quad (e^v \otimes e_i, \rho_S(x)) = (v_i, x).$$

Then the dual map $S_v$ given by

$$(S_v(y), x) = \langle e^v \otimes y, \rho_S(x) \rangle$$

is a substitution.

**Proof.** This is straightforward to check in the same way it was done for translations.

We now relate the coactions $\rho_S$ and $\rho_T$. The following proposition states that if one knows $\rho_S$, one can obtain $\rho_T$ by replacing every occurrence of an element $(e_i, e_i)$ in the left tensor by $1 + (e_i, e_i)$. This can be understood intuitively by that an $e_i$ in a translation $T_v(e_i) = e_i + v_i$ can result either from the identity part of the translation or from the $v_i$, while an $e_i$ in a substitution $S_v(e_i) = v_i$ can only follow from the $v_i$.

**Proposition 4.3.** Let $S$ be a substitution and let $T$ be the translation induced by $T_{v'} = S_v$ for $v' = \{v_1 - e_1, \ldots, v_n - e_n\}$. Define the linear map $\phi : S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times \mathcal{B}_1) \to S(\mathcal{H}_{in} \times \mathcal{B}_1)$ by

$$\phi((x, e_i)) = \begin{cases} 1 + (e_i, e_i), & x = e_i \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\phi(x \cdot y) = \phi(x) \cdot \phi(y).$$

Then

$$\rho_T = (\phi \otimes Id)\rho_S.$$ 

**Proof.** By the assumption $T_{v'} = S_v$, we get

$$\langle e^{v'} \otimes \chi, \rho_T(x) \rangle = \langle e^v \otimes \chi, \rho_S(x) \rangle.$$

Denote

$$\epsilon = \sum_i (e_i, e_i)$$

and note that

$$e^v = e^{v'} + \epsilon = e^{v'} \cdot e^{\epsilon}.$$

Hence

$$\langle e^{v'} \otimes \chi, \rho_T(x) \rangle = \langle e^{v'} \otimes e^{\epsilon} \otimes \chi, (\Delta \otimes Id)\rho_S(x) \rangle$$

and the proposition follows.
Example 4.4. Let $\mathcal{H}_{BCK}$ be the Hopf algebra of undecorated rooted trees corresponding to branched rough paths and let $T_v$ be the translation of $\mathcal{H}_{BCK}$ described in [1]. Then the coaction $\rho_S$ for the corresponding substitution map $S_v$ agrees with $\Delta_{CEF}$ when restricted to trees. Then $\bullet$ is the unique element in $B_1$ and:

$$\rho_S(\bullet) = \cdots \otimes \cdots + \bullet \otimes (2 \bullet + \bullet) + (\cdots + \bullet \bullet + \bullet) \otimes \cdots$$

$$\rho_T(\bullet) = (\phi \otimes Id) \rho_S(\bullet)$$

$$= (\phi \otimes Id) (\cdots \otimes \cdots + \bullet \otimes (2 \bullet + \bullet) + (\cdots + \bullet \bullet + \bullet) \otimes \cdots)$$

$$= (1 + \bullet)^4 \otimes \cdots + (1 + \bullet)^2 (2 \bullet + \bullet) + (\cdots + (1 + \bullet) + (1 + \bullet) + \bullet) \otimes \cdots$$

$$= 1 \otimes \cdots + \cdots \otimes \cdots + \cdots \otimes \cdots + \cdots \otimes \cdots$$

$$+ \bullet \otimes (2 \bullet + \bullet) + \cdots \otimes (2 \bullet + \bullet) + \cdots \otimes (2 \bullet + \bullet)$$

$$+ \cdots \otimes \cdots + \cdots \otimes \cdots + \cdots \otimes \cdots + \cdots \otimes \cdots.$$

We see that, to go from $\rho_S$ to $\rho_T$, we have to identify every occurrence of $\bullet$ on the left side of the tensor. Then we split the terms with $\bullet$ into a sum of either keeping the $\bullet$ on the left side, or replacing it with the unit for the multiplication.

5 Algebraic Translation/Substitution

In [1], the authors construct translations on the Butcher–Connes–Kreimer Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}_{BCK}$ by considering a pre-Lie algebraic structure over the primitive elements. Noting that all primitive elements could be freely generated from $B_1$ by the pre-Lie product, they define:

$$T_v(e_i) = e_i + v_i$$

and then extend this map to a pre-Lie algebra morphism as well as a morphism for the convolution product. We would like to capture this idea in the notion of algebraic translations.

Definition 8. Let $T_v : \overline{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ be a translation of $\mathcal{H}$-rough paths. Suppose that there are $k$ products $\circ_i : (\mathcal{H}_{in})^* \otimes (\mathcal{H}_{in})^* \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}_{in})^*$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$ such that $(\mathcal{H}_{in})^*$ is generated by $(B_1)^*$ via these products. If $T_v(x \circ_i y) = T(x) \circ_i T(y)$, we say that $T_v$ is an algebraic translation. We similarly define algebraic substitutions.

The goal is now to determine when such an algebraic structure on the indecomposable elements will generate a translation. Let $(\mathcal{H}, \circ, \Delta)$ be a non-degenerate combinatorial Hopf algebra with basis $B$. Let $(\mathcal{H}^*, \ast, \Delta_\otimes)$ be the graded dual Hopf algebra, then $((\mathcal{H}_{in})^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_\ast)$ is a Lie algebra with bracket

$$[a, b]_\ast = a \ast b - b \ast a.$$  

Furthermore $(\mathcal{H}_{in})^*$ is a generating set for all of $\mathcal{H}^*$ with respect to the product $\ast$. The fact that translations are $\ast$-morphisms now tells us that a restriction $T_v : (\mathcal{H}_{in})^* \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}_{in})^*$ must be a morphism with respect to $[\cdot, \cdot]_\ast$ and will extend uniquely to all of $\mathcal{H}^*$. We can then extend $T_v$ to $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ by assuming continuity.

Suppose that the primitive elements $(\mathcal{H}_{in})^*$ together with $k + 1$ products $[\cdot, \cdot]_\ast, \circ_i : (\mathcal{H}_{in})^* \otimes (\mathcal{H}_{in})^* \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}_{in})^*$ form an algebra generated by $(B_1)^*$. We now want to define a map $S_v : (\mathcal{H}_{in})^* \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}_{in})^*$ by:

$$S_v(e_i) = v_i,$$
\[ S_v(a \circ_i b) = S_v(a) \circ_i S_v(b), \]
\[ S_v([a, b]) = [S_v(a), S_v(b)]. \]

This is well-defined for all \( v \) exactly when \( ((H_n)^*, \circ_1, \ldots, \circ_k, [\cdot, \cdot]_*) \) is a free algebra generated by \( B_1 \). We shall in this section work with the assumption that \( S_v \) is well-defined.

**Remark 2.** The assumptions that \( ((H_n)^*, \circ_1, \ldots, \circ_k, [\cdot, \cdot]_*) \) is a free algebra holds for branched rough paths, where the primitive elements form the free pre-Lie algebra. The assumptions furthermore holds for planarly branched rough paths, where the primitive elements form the free post-Lie algebra.

It is worth noting that the free pre-Lie product can be obtained by first applying the convolution product \(*\) and then projecting onto the primitive elements. Similarly for the free post-Lie product. This way of producing the primitive elements, will only result in an algebra that has \( (B_1)^* \) as generating set if the coproduct \( \Delta(x) \), that defines the convolution product \(*\), has a non-zero term in \( H_n \otimes H_n \) for every \( x \in H_n \).

**Theorem 5.1.** Let \( (H, \circ, \Delta) \) be a non-degenerate combinatorial Hopf algebra with basis \( B \). Suppose that \( ((H_n)^*, \circ_1, \ldots, \circ_k, [\cdot, \cdot]_*) \) is a free algebra generated by \( B_1 \). Then the continuous map \( S_v : H^* \to H^* \) defined by

\[
S_v(e_i) = v_i, \quad e_i \in (B_1)^*,
\]
\[
S_v(a \circ_i b) = S_v(a) \circ_i S_v(b), \quad a, b \in (H_n)^*,
\]
\[
S_v(x * y) = S_v(x) * S_v(y), \quad x, y \in H^*.
\]

is a substitution (and hence a translation).

**Proof.** We check all of the conditions:

1. \( S_v(e_i) = v_i \) is by definition verified.
2. \( S_v \circ S_u \) and \( S_{S_u} \) are both \( \circ_i \) morphisms, for \( i = 1, \ldots, k \), that agree on \( (B_1)^* \), hence they agree on \( (H_n)^* \). They are furthermore \(*\)-morphisms that agree on \( (H_n)^* \) and therefore agree on \( H^* \). Finally they agree on \( H^* \) by continuity.
3. \( S_v \) maps characters to characters as it is a continuous algebra morphism that maps primitive elements to primitive elements.
4. \( S_v(x * y) = S_v(x) * S_v(y) \) is by definition.
5. The bound can be seen from that \( |S_v(x)| \leq N|x| \), where \( N = \max\{|v_1|, \ldots, |v_n|\} \), and \( x \in H^* \).

\[ \square \]

We are now interested in describing the coaction \( \rho_S \) for an algebraic substitution. It turns out that the coaction can always be described using coloured operads. The construction used here is based on Foissy [11], where coproducts are deduced from operads. This was adapted in [24] to construct coactions.

Suppose that \( ((H_n)^*, \circ_1, \ldots, \circ_k, [\cdot, \cdot]_*) \) is a free algebra, then one can construct a coloured operad \( P = \otimes_{m=1}^\infty P(m) \). Every element in \( (H_n)^* \) can be expressed as a polynomial in elements from \( (B_1)^* \) by using the products \( \circ_1, \ldots, \circ_k \). An element in \( P(m) \) is a pair \( (x, e_i) \) where \( e_i \in B_1 \) and \( x \) is a homogeneous element of

\[ ^1 \text{Free in the sense that the defining relations apply the same to all elements. This is, for example, not the case for the universal D-algebra [18, 21, 22], as the definition of a D-algebra singles out a subset of elements called derivations that satisfy additional relations. Being well-defined would in this case also require that } S_v \text{ maps derivations to derivations, which is dependant on } v. \]
degree \( m \) in \((\mathcal{H}_m)^*\), together with a bijection between the set \( \{1, \ldots, m\} \) and the degree 1 elements in its polynomial representation. Let \( y \in P(n) \) and \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \in P \), then the composition

\[
(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \circ y
\]
is defined if the second component of each \( x_i \) equals the degree 1 element labeled by \( i \) in \( y \). If this is the case, the composition is given by replacing each degree 1 element in the polynomial representation of \( y \) by the first component of their corresponding \( x_i \). The labels of the degree 1 elements in each \( x_i \) are shifted by \( \sum_{j<i} |x_j| \), so that the result of the composition remains in \( P \). This is well-defined because \((|\mathcal{H}_m|^*, \phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k, [\ldots,])\) was assumed to be free.

We can now construct a module \( R = \bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} R(m) \) over the operad \( P \). Every element in \((\mathcal{H})^*\) can be expressed as a polynomial in elements from \((B_1)^*\) by using the products \(*, \phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k\). An element in \( R(m) \) is a pair \((x, e)\) where \( e \in B_1 \) and \( x \) is a homogeneous element of degree \( m \) in \((\mathcal{H})^*\), together with a bijection between the set \( \{1, \ldots, m\} \) and the degree 1 elements in its polynomial representation. Let \( y \in R(n) \) and \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \in P \), then the composition

\[
(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \circ y
\]
is defined if the second component of each \( x_i \) equals the degree 1 element labeled by \( i \) in \( y \). If this is the case, the composition is given by replacing each degree 1 element in the polynomial representation of \( y \) by the first component of their corresponding \( x_i \). The labels of the degree 1 elements in each \( x_i \) are shifted by \( \sum_{j<i} |x_j| \), so that the result of the composition remains in \( R \). This is well-defined because \((|\mathcal{H}_m|^*, \phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k, [\ldots,])\) was assumed to be free.

Let \( \pi_R : R \to \mathcal{H} \) denote the map given by forgetting the labels and the second component. Similarly let \( \pi_P : P \to \mathcal{H}_m \times B_1 \) be the map given by forgetting the labels. For \( x \in R \), let \( x_{e_i} \) denote the number of times \( e_i \in B_1 \) appears as a factor in \( x \) and let

\[
\lambda(x) = \prod_{e_i \in B_1} x_{e_i}!.
\]

Then one can construct a coaction

\[
\rho_S : \mathcal{H} \to S(\mathcal{H}_m \times B_1) \otimes \mathcal{H}
\]
by

\[
\rho_S(x) = \sum_{y_1, \ldots, y_n, z} \frac{1}{\lambda(z) \cdot |y_1|! \cdots |y_n|!} (\pi_R((y_1, \ldots, y_n) \circ z), x) \pi_P(y_1) \cdots \pi_P(y_n) \otimes \pi_R(z).
\]

**Proposition 5.2.** Let \( \rho_S \) be as above and let \( S_v \) be the algebraic substitution from Theorem [5.7]. Then

\[
(S_v(x), y) = (e^v \otimes x, \rho_S(y)),
\]
for all \( y \in \mathcal{H}^* \) and \( x \in \mathcal{H} \).

**Proof.** Write \( x \) as a polynomial in elements from \( B_1 \), then \( S_v(x) \) is obtained by replacing each \( e_i \) by \( v_i \). This means that there exists some way to label the factors of \( x \) such that

\[
S_v(x) = \pi_R(((v_1, e_1), \ldots, (v_1, e_1), (v_2, e_2), \ldots, (v_n, e_n), \ldots (v_n, e_n)) \circ x),
\]
where the number of \((v_i, e_i)\) occurring in the composition is \( x_{e_i} \), for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). The number of possible ways to do this labelling of \( x \) is \( \lambda(x) \) and the number of possible ways to label each \( v_i \) is \( |v_i|! \). This proves the proposition.

Note that once we have a description of \( \rho_S \), we can find a description of \( \rho_T \) by applying Proposition 4.3.
6 Post-Lie translations in planarly branched rough paths

We construct translations in planarly branched rough paths based on section 5.

Let $\mathcal{H}^c_{MKW}$ be the Munthe-Kaas-Wright Hopf algebra. The indecomposable elements in $\mathcal{H}^c_{MKW}$ are the Lie polynomials of trees, meaning all ordered sequences of trees generated by the Lie bracket $[\tau_1, \tau_2] = \tau_1 \tau_2 - \tau_2 \tau_1$ acting on trees and on brackets of trees. This describes the free Lie algebra generated by $\mathcal{P}_{T_C}$.

Hence $(\mathcal{H}^c_{MKW})_m$ can be endowed with the structure of a free post-Lie algebra $((\mathcal{H}^c_{MKW})_m, \triangleright, [, .])$, where $\triangleright$ is the planar grafting product. Furthermore endowing $(\mathcal{H}^c_{MKW})_m$ with the Lie bracket

$$[\tau_1, \tau_2] = \tau_1 * \tau_2 - \tau_2 * \tau_1$$

does not break freeness, as the relation

$$[\tau_1, \tau_2]_* = \tau_1 \triangleright \tau_2 - \tau_2 \triangleright \tau_1 + [\tau_1, \tau_2]$$

applies to all $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in (\mathcal{H}^c_{MKW})_m$. Hence the construction from Section 5 applies. Let $T_v$ denote the post-Lie translation in $\mathcal{H}^c_{MKW}$. Then to compute $T_v(\omega)$ for some $\omega$, we need to factorize $\omega$ by $\triangleright, [, .], *$, e.g.

$$T_v(\omega) = \sum_{\deg(\omega) < \deg(\omega_1 \triangleright \omega_2)} \langle \omega_1 * \omega_2, \omega_3 \rangle \omega_3,$$

when $\omega_1, \omega_2$ are homogeneous with respect to the map $\deg$. Then by Lemma 3.6,

$$T_v(\omega_1 \cdot \omega_2) = T_v(\omega_1) \cdot T_v(\omega_2) - \sum_{\deg(\omega_3) < \deg(\omega_1 \triangleright \omega_2)} \langle \omega_1 * \omega_2, \omega_3 \rangle T_v(\omega_3).$$

Hence $T_v$ is a concatenation morphism for homogeneous elements. The proposition then follows from linearity and continuity. 
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This means that, to evaluate $T_v$, we can instead factorize in terms of $\triangleright$ and concatenation, e.g.

\[
T_{\{\bullet_2, \bullet_1\}^2} = T_{\{\bullet_2, \bullet_1\}^2} (\{\bullet_2, \bullet_1\}^2)
\]
\[
= T_{\{\bullet_2, \bullet_1\}^2} (\bullet_2 \triangleright (\bullet_1 \triangleright \bullet_1) - (\bullet_2 \triangleright \bullet_1) \triangleright \bullet_1) \cdot T_{\{\bullet_2, \bullet_1\}^2} (\bullet_2)
\]
\[
=((\bullet_2 + \bullet_1) \triangleright (\bullet_1 + \bullet_1) \triangleright (\bullet_1 + \bullet_1)) - ((\bullet_2 + \bullet_1) \triangleright (\bullet_1 + \bullet_1)) \triangleright (\bullet_1 + \bullet_1) \cdot (\bullet_2 + \bullet_1).
\]

It furthermore means that we can factorize in terms of concatenation instead of in terms of $\ast$, when we construct the module over the post-Lie operad to describe the coaction $\rho_S$. A description of this coaction, using the concatenation formulation, can be found in \cite{24}. We can then find $\rho_T$ by using Proposition 4.3.

We conclude by remarking on how post-Lie translations interact with differential equations driven by planarly branched rough paths.

**Proposition 6.2.** $Y_{st}$ is a solution to the controlled differential equation

\[
dY_{st} = \#f(Y_{st})d(T_v(X))
\]

if and only if it is a solution to the controlled differential equation

\[
dY_{st} = \#\mathcal{F}_f(\{\bullet_1 + v_1, \ldots, \bullet_d + v_d\})(Y_{st})dX.
\]

**Proof.** This is an immediate consequence from Lemma 3.6 and definition 5. \qed
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