THE STABLE RANK OF $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ IS 3

LUC GUYOT

ABSTRACT. Grunewald, Mennicke and Vaserstein proved with [GMV94, Proposition 1.9] that the Bass stable rank of $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, the ring of the univariate polynomials over $\mathbb{Z}$, is 3. This note addresses minor errors found in their proof. Using their method, we show in addition that the unimodular row $(1 + x, 12, x^2 + 16)$ is not stable.

Rings are assumed to be commutative and unital. Let $R$ be a ring. A row $(r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in R^n$ is unimodular if $\sum_i Rr_i = R$. We denote by $\text{Um}_n(R)$ the set of unimodular rows of size $n$. A row $(r_1, \ldots, r_{n+1}) \in \text{Um}_{n+1}(R)$ $(n > 0)$ is stable if there is $(s_1, \ldots, s_n) \in R^n$ such that

$$(r_1 + s_1r_{n+1}, \ldots, r_n + s_nr_{n+1})$$

belongs to $\text{Um}_n(R)$. An integer $n > 0$ lies in the stable range of $R$ if every row in $\text{Um}_{n+1}(R)$ is stable. If $n$ lies in the stable range of $R$, then so does $k$ for every $k > n$ [MR87, Lemma 11.3.3]. The Bass stable rank $sr(R)$ of $R$ is the least integer in the stable range of $R$. Grunewald, Mennicke and Vaserstein proved the following:

**Theorem A.** ([GMV94, Proposition 1.9]) $sr(\mathbb{Z}[x]) = 3$.

The authors claimed that the row $(21 + 4x, 12, x^2 + 20)$ is unimodular but not stable. This would be enough to establish Theorem A since $sr(\mathbb{Z}[x]) \leq 3$. Indeed, we have $sr(\mathbb{Z}[x]) \leq \dim_{\text{Krull}}(\mathbb{Z}[x]) + 1$ [MR87, Corollary 6.7.4] and $\dim_{\text{Krull}}(\mathbb{Z}[x]) = 2$ [MR87, Proposition 6.5.4]. However, it is unknown if the previous row is stable: because of a typographical error one should read $(21 + 2x, 12, x^2 + 20)$ instead of $(21 + 4x, 12, x^2 + 20)$. Besides [GMV94, Proof of Proposition 1.9, page 191] uses the false statement “$\text{SK}_1(\mathcal{O}, 2\mathcal{O}) \neq 1$” where $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{-5}$.

In Section 2, we present a proof of Theorem A which addresses these errors. Our proof follows closely the lines of the original. It consists in exhibiting a quotient $R$ of $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that $\text{SK}_1(R) \neq 1$. This suffices to show that $sr(\mathbb{Z}[x]) \neq 2$. Indeed, if $sr(\mathbb{Z}[x]) = 2$, then the natural map $\text{SK}_1(\mathbb{Z}[x]) \to \text{SK}_1(R)$ would
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be surjective (Corollary 1.6 below), which is impossible as \( SK_1(\mathbb{Z}[x]) = 1 \) \cite{BHS64, Theorem 1}.

In Section 3, we show in addition

**Proposition B.** The unimodular row \((1 + x, 12, x^2 + 16)\) of \(\mathbb{Z}[x]\) is not stable.
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1. Bass’s stable rank and surjective homomorphisms

In this section, we introduce notation and definitions. We prove subsequently Corollary 1.6, which is a simple, but important argument in the proof of Theorem A

1.1. Bass’s stable rank. The Bass stable rank can be characterized in terms of a lifting property for unimodular rows of quotient rings.

**Proposition 1.1.** Let \( R \) be a commutative and unital ring. Then the following are equivalent.

1. \( sr(R) \leq n \).
2. For every ideal \( I \subseteq R \), the map \( \text{Um}_n(R) \to \text{Um}_n(R/I) \) sending \((r_1, \ldots, r_n)\) to \((r_1 + I, \ldots, r_n + I)\) is surjective.

The proof is straightforward, hence left to the reader. Specializing \( n \) to 1, we obtain:

**Proposition 1.2.** \cite{EO67, Lemma 6.1} Let \( R \) be a commutative and unital ring. Then the following are equivalent.

1. \( sr(R) \leq 1 \).
2. For every ideal \( I \subseteq R \), the natural map \( R^* \to (R/I)^* \) is surjective.

The condition \( sr(R) \leq 1 \) received special attention in \cite{Vas84}. We shall see with Proposition 1.5 below that the condition \( sr(R) \leq 2 \) can also be interpreted in terms of surjective group homomorphisms. We denote by \( \text{Jac}(R) \), the Jacobson radical of \( R \), that is, the intersection of the maximal ideals of \( R \).

**Proposition 1.3.** \cite{MR87, Proposition 11.3.11 and Lemma 11.4.6} Let \( I \) be an ideal of \( R \). Then we have \( sr(R/I) \leq sr(R) \) and equality holds if \( I \subseteq \text{Jac}(R) \).
1.2. Rings of stable rank at most 2.

Lemma 1.4. ([GMV94, Lemma 6.2], [EO67, Corollary 8.3]) Let \( R \) be a ring. Let \( (a, b, c) \in Um_3(R) \) and denote by \( \bar{r} \) the image of \( r \in R \) in \( R/\text{rad} \). Then the following are equivalent:

(i) The row \((a, b, c)\) is stable.

(ii) Every matrix \( (\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{a} & b & \ast \\ \ast & \ast & \ast \end{array}) \in SL_2(R/\text{rad}) \) has a lift in \( SL_2(R) \).

Proof. \((i) \Rightarrow (ii)\). Let \( (\begin{array}{cc} \bar{a} & b \\ \ast & \ast \end{array}) \in SL_2(R/\text{rad}) \). Since \((a, b, c)\) is stable we can find \((r, s) \in Um_2(R)\) such that \( \bar{r} = \bar{a} \) and \( \bar{s} = \bar{b} \). Let \( u, v \in R\) be such that \( (\begin{array}{cc} r & s \\ u & v \end{array}) \in SL_2(R) \). Let \( A = (\begin{array}{cc} \bar{a} & b \\ \ast & \ast \end{array}) \in SL_2(R) \). Set \( \bar{E} = (\begin{array}{cc} \bar{a} & b \\ \ast & \ast \end{array}) \). Then \( \bar{E} = (\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ w & 1 \end{array}) \) for some \( w \in R \). Then \( (\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ w & 1 \end{array})^{-1} (\begin{array}{cc} r & s \\ u & v \end{array}) \) is a lift of \( A \).

\((ii) \Rightarrow (i)\). By assumption, we can find \((r, s) \in Um_2(R)\) such that \( r = a + \lambda c \) and \( s = b + \mu c \) for some \( \lambda, \mu \in R \). It follows immediately that \((a, b, c)\) is stable.

Proposition 1.5. Let \( R \) be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) \( \text{sr}(R) \leq 2 \).

(ii) The natural map \( SL_2(R) \rightarrow SL_2(\bar{R}) \) is surjective for every quotient \( \bar{R} \) of \( R \).

(iii) The natural map \( SL_n(R) \rightarrow SL_n(\bar{R}) \) is surjective for every quotient \( \bar{R} \) of \( R \) and every \( n \geq 2 \).

We denote by \( E_n(R) \) the subgroup of \( SL_n(R) \) generated by the elementary matrices, i.e., the matrices which differ from the identity matrix by a single off-diagonal entry.

Proof of Proposition 1.5. Clearly, we have \((iii) \Rightarrow (ii)\). The implication \((ii) \Rightarrow (i)\) is given by Lemma 1.4. Hence it only remains to show that \((i) \Rightarrow (iii)\).

Assume that \((i)\) holds and let \( n \geq 2 \), \( A \in SL_n(\bar{R}) \) where \( \bar{R} = R/I \) for some ideal \( I \) of \( R \). If \( n = 2 \), then it follows from Lemma 1.4 that \( A \) has a lift in \( SL_2(R) \). Indeed, write \( A = (\begin{array}{cc} a + I & b + I \\ d + I & e + I \end{array}) \in SL_2(\bar{R}) \). As \((a + I, b + I) \in Um_2(\bar{R})\), there is \( c \in R \) such that \((a, b, c) \in Um_3(R)\) and \( \tilde{A} = (\begin{array}{cc} a + Rc & b + Rc \\ d + Rc & e + Rc \end{array}) \in SL_2(R/\text{rad}) \). By hypothesis, we have \( \text{sr}(R) \leq 2 \), so that Lemma 1.4 applies and provides us with a lift of \( \tilde{A} \) in \( SL_2(R) \) which is also a lift of \( A \).
We can now assume that \( n > 2 \) and proceed by induction on \( n \). Since \( \text{sr}(R) \leq 2 \) by Proposition 1.3, and because the first row of \( A \) is unimodular, we can find \( E, E' \in E_n(R) \) such that \( A = E \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & A' \end{pmatrix} E' \) with \( A' \in \text{SL}_{n-1}(R) \). By induction hypothesis, the matrix \( A' \) has a lift in \( \text{SL}_{n-1}(R) \). Clearly, the matrices \( E \) and \( E' \) lift to \( \text{SL}_n(R) \). Thus \( A \) has a lift in \( \text{SL}_n(R) \). \( \square \)

The next result refers to the special Whitehead group \( \text{SK}_1(R) \) of a ring \( R \). We define this group as follows. Let \( E(R) = \bigcup_n E_n(R) \) and \( \text{SL}(R) = \bigcup_n \text{SL}_n(R) \) be the ascending unions for which the embeddings \( E_n(R) \to E_{n+1}(R) \) and \( \text{SL}_n(R) \to \text{SL}_{n+1}(R) \) are defined through \( A \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \). Then \( E(R) \) is a normal subgroup of \( \text{SL}(R) \) [Mag02, Whitehead Lemma 9.7] and the special Whitehead group \( \text{SK}_1(R) \) of \( R \) is the quotient group \( \text{SL}(R)/E(R) \).

**Corollary 1.6.** Let \( R \) be a ring satisfying \( \text{sr}(R) \leq 2 \). Let \( R \) be a quotient of \( R \). Then the natural map \( \text{SK}_1(R) \to \text{SK}_1(R) \) is surjective. \( \square \)

Following [Coh66], we call a ring \( R \) a GE\(_2\)-ring if \( \text{SL}_2(R) = E_2(R) \). The next corollaries are of independent interest.

**Corollary 1.7.** Let \( R \) be a GE\(_2\)-ring satisfying \( \text{sr}(R) \leq 2 \). Then every quotient of \( R \) is a GE\(_2\)-ring. \( \square \)

**Remark 1.8.** Corollary 1.7 provides a straightforward proof of [Guy18, Theorem A]. However [Guy18, Lemma 3], which implies [Guy18, Theorem A], doesn’t follow from Corollary 1.7.

**Corollary 1.9.** [McG08, Theorem 3.6] Let \( R \) be a ring such that any proper quotient of \( R/J(R) \) has stable rank 1. Then \( \text{sr}(R) \leq 2 \).

**Proof.** It is easy to check that rings of stable rank 1 are GE\(_2\)-rings. The result follows by combining Proposition 1.5 with Proposition 1.3. \( \square \)

1.3. The groups \( \text{SK}_1(R, I) \), \( K_2(R) \) and the relative sequence. In this section, we define the relative special Whitehead group \( \text{SK}_1(R, I) \) for \( I \) an ideal of a ring \( R \) and the group \( K_2(R) \) from algebraic K-theory. We describe then an exact sequence involving these groups called the relative sequence. This sequence will come in handy for the K-theoretical computations of Section 2.

Let \( R \) be a ring. Let \( n \geq 2 \) and denote by \( I_n \) the \( n \times n \) identity matrix. For \( 1 \leq i, j \leq n \), let \( \epsilon_{ij} \) be the \( n \times n \) matrix whose \((i,j)\) entry is 1 and whose other
entries are zero. For \( a \in R \) and \( i \neq j \), we set \( e_{ij}(a) = I_n + a e_{ij} \) and call any such matrix an elementary matrix.

Recall that \( E_n(R) \) is the subgroup of \( SL_n(R) \) generated by the elementary matrices. Let \( I \) be an ideal of \( R \). We denote by \( E_n(R, I) \) the normal subgroup of \( E_n(R) \) which is normally generated by the matrices \( e_{ij}(a) \) with \( a \in I, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n \). We denote by \( SL_n(R, I) \) the kernel of the natural map \( SL_n(R) \to SL_n(R/I) \).

The relative special Whitehead group \( SK_1(R, I) \) is defined as follows. Let \( E(R, I) \equiv \bigcup_n E_n(R, I) \) and \( SL(R, I) \equiv \bigcup_n SL_n(R, I) \) be the ascending unions for which the embeddings \( E_n(R, I) \to E_{n+1}(R, I) \) and \( SL_n(R, I) \to SL_{n+1}(R, I) \) are defined through \( A \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \). Then \( E(R, I) \) is a normal subgroup of \( SL(R, I) \) [Mag02, Relative Whitehead Lemma 11.1] and \( SK_1(R, I) = SL(R, I)/E(R, I) \).

We now turn to the definition of \( K_2(R) \). The Steinberg group \( St_n(R) \) is the group with generators \( x_{ij}(r) \), with \( i \neq j, 1 \leq i, j \leq n \) and \( r \in R \) subject to the defining relations:

\[
x_{ij}(r) x_{ij}(r) = x_{ij}(r + s),
\]

\[
[x_{ij}(r), x_{kl}(s)] = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \neq l, j \neq k, \\ x_{il}, & \text{if } i \neq l, j = k. \end{cases}
\]

Removing the restrictions \( i \leq n \) and \( j \leq n \) on the generators \( x_{ij}(r) \), the same presentation defines the Steinberg group \( St(R) \). Because the elementary matrices \( e_{ij}(r) \) obey the above standard relations and generate \( E(R) \), the map \( x_{ij}(r) \mapsto e_{ij}(r) \) induces a surjective group homomorphism \( St(R) \mapsto E(R) \). The kernel of this homomorphism is \( K_2(R) \).

Finally, we introduce the so-called relative sequence:

\[
K_2(R/I) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} SK_1(R, I) \to SK_1(R) \to SK_1(R/I)
\]

where the second and third arrows are induced respectively by the inclusion \( SL(R, I) \subseteq SL(R) \) and the natural map \( SL(R) \to SL(R/I) \). For the connecting homomorphism \( \partial_1 \), we refer the reader to [Mag02, Theorem 13.20 and Example 13.22] where this sequence is shown to be exact.

2. Proof of Theorem A

We shall establish

**Proposition 2.1.** \( SK_1(\mathbb{Z} + 4i\mathbb{Z}) \neq 1 \) where \( i = \sqrt{-1} \).

As outlined in the introduction, Theorem A immediately follows from the combination of Proposition 2.1 with Corollary 1.6 and

**Theorem 2.2.** [BHS64, Theorem 1] \( SK_1(\mathbb{Z}[x]) = 1 \).
Our proof of Proposition 2.1 relies on Lemma 2.3.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let \( I \) be an ideal of a ring \( R \) such that \( R/I \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \) for some \( n \geq 0 \). Then the natural map

\[
SK_1(R, I) \to SK_1(R)
\]

induced by the inclusion \( SL(R, I) \subseteq SL(R) \) is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** In the exact sequence \( (2) \) introduced in Section 1.3

\[
K_2(R/I) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} SK_1(R, I) \to SK_1(R) \to SK_1(R/I)
\]

the last term, namely \( SK_1(R/I) \), is trivial since \( R/I \) is Artinian and hence of stable rank 1 [Bas64, Corollary 10.5]. In addition, the image of \( K_2(R/I) \) in \( SK_1(R) \) is also trivial. Indeed \( K_2(R/I) \) is generated by the Steinberg symbol \( \{-1+I, -1+I\}_{R/I} \) because \( R/I \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \) [Mag02, Exercises 12.B.6 and 13A.9]. As \( \{-1, -1\}_R \) is a lift of the previous symbol in \( K_2(R) \) our claim follows from the definition of \( \partial_1 \) [Mag02, Theorem 13.20], which completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Proof of Proposition 2.1.** Let \( S = \mathbb{Z}[i], I = 4S \) and \( R = \mathbb{Z} + I \). By Lemma 2.3 we have \( SK_1(R) \cong SK_1(R, I) \). The inclusion \( R \subset S \) induces a surjective group homomorphism

\[
SK_1(R, I) \twoheadrightarrow SK_1(S, I).
\]

As \( SK_1(S, I) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) by the Bass-Milnor-Serre Theorem [Mag02, Theorem 11.33] (Theorem 3.1 below), we conclude that \( SK_1(R) \neq 1 \). \( \square \)

**Remark 2.4.** As an alternative to Proposition 2.1, one can show that \( SK_1(\mathbb{Z} + 3\zeta_3\mathbb{Z}) \neq 1 \) where \( \zeta_3 = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} \). This is a direct consequence of [Swa71, Lemma 3.2 and subsequent remark] and Lemma 2.3.

3. PROOF OF PROPOSITION B

We shall prove that the unimodular row

\[
(1 + x, 12, x^2 + 16) \in Um_3(\mathbb{Z}[x])
\]

is not stable. We apply the strategy devised in [GMV94, Proof of Proposition 1.9]: we look for an explicit matrix in \( SL_2(R) \) that defines a non-trivial element of \( SK_1(R) \) for a suitable quotient \( R \) of \( \mathbb{Z}[x] \). To do so, we resort to the Bass-Milnor-Serre Theorem [BMS67, Theorems 3.6 and 4.1] and its description of \( SK_1(S) \) for \( S \) the ring of integers of a totally imaginary number field, in terms of power residue symbols. The following definitions are required to state the latter theorem.

Let \( m > 0 \) be a rational integer. We denote by \( \mu_m \) the group of \( m \)-th roots of unity in the field of complex numbers. Let \( S \) be the ring of integers of a
number field and suppose that $S$ contains $\mu_m$ for some $m > 0$. For $b \in S$ and $a$ an ideal of $S$ such that $a + Sbm = S$, define the $m$-th power residue symbol
\[
\left( \frac{b}{a} \right)_m \equiv \prod_{\text{prime } \mathfrak{p}|a} \left( \frac{b}{\mathfrak{p}} \right)_m
\]
where $\mathfrak{p}$ ranges in the set of prime ideals of $S$ dividing $a$ and where $\left( \frac{b}{\mathfrak{p}} \right)_m$ is the unique element of $\mu_m$ satisfying
\[
b^{m^{-1}} \equiv \left( \frac{b}{\mathfrak{p}} \right)_m \mod \mathfrak{p}
\]
[BMS67, Appendix on number theory, pages 86 and 89] [Mag02, Theorem 11.33 and Proposition 15.40]. If $a = Sa$ with $a \in S$, we simply write $\left( \frac{b}{a} \right)_m$.

**Theorem 3.1.** [BMS67, Theorems 3.6 and 4.1] Let $S$ be the ring integers of a totally imaginary number field. Let $m$ denote the number of roots of unity in $S$. If $I$ is a non-zero ideal of $S$, define the divisor $r = r(I)$ of $m$ by $\text{ord}_p(r) = j_p(I)$ where $j_p(I)$ is the nearest integer in the interval $[0, \text{ord}_p(m)]$ to
\[
\min_p \left[ \frac{\text{ord}_p(I)}{\text{ord}_p(Sp)} - \frac{1}{p-1} \right]
\]
and where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer $\leq x$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ ranges over the prime ideals of $S$ containing $p$.

Then the map \( \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ * & * \end{array} \right) \in \text{SL}_2(S, I) \mapsto \left( \frac{b}{a} \right)_r \) induces an isomorphism from $\text{SK}_1(S, I)$ onto $\mu_r$.

**Proof of Proposition B.** Let $S = \mathbb{Z}[i], I = 4S$ and let $R = \mathbb{Z} + I$. By Theorem 3.1, the power residue symbol $\left( \frac{12}{1+4i} \right)_2 = -1$ yields a matrix $\left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 + 4i & 12 \\ * & * \end{array} \right) \in \text{SL}_2(S, I)$ which defines a non-trivial element of $\text{SK}_1(S, I)$. The same matrix can be lifted from $\text{SK}_1(S, I)$ to $\text{SK}_1(R, I)$ via (4), and certainly maps to a non-trivial element of $\text{SK}_1(R)$ via the isomorphism (3).

Considering the surjective ring homomorphism from $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ onto $R$ induced by $x \mapsto 4i$, we infer from Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 1.4 that the row $(1 + x, 12, x^2 + 16)$ cannot be stable. \qed
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