Valley-resolved Fano resonance in monolayer transition metal dichalcogenides nanoribbons with attached stubs

Hui-Ying Mu,¹ Nie-Wei Wang,¹ Ying-Na Du,¹ Xing-Tao An,¹,²,* and Jian-Jun Liu³

¹Hebei Provincial Key Laboratory of Photoelectric Control on Surface and Interface, School of Science, Hebei University of Science and Technology, Shijiazhuang, Hebei 050018, China
²Key Laboratory for Microstructural Material Physics of Hebei Province, School of Science, Yanshan University, Qinhuangdao 066004, P. R. China
³Physics Department, Shijiazhuang University, Shijiazhuang 050035, China

(Dated: November 9, 2021)

Valley degree of freedom besides spin is a promising candidate as a carrier of information. Spintronics has come a long way and spin modulation can be realized by quantum interference and spin-orbit coupling effect. However, the control of valley degree of freedom using quantum interference is still a problem to be explored. Here we discover a mechanism of producing valley polarization in a monolayer transition metal dichalcogenides nanoribbon with attached stubs, in which valley-resolved Fano resonance are formed due to the quantum interference of intervalley backscattering. When the quantum interference occurs between the localized states at the edge of the stubs and the continuous channels in the nanoribbon, the transmission dips of Fano effect is valley-polarized. As the number of stubs increases, the valley-polarized transmission dips will split and valley-resolved minigaps are formed by Fano resonance with intervalley backscattering in stub superlattice. When the electron incident energy is in these valley-resolved gaps of the superlattice, even with several stubs, the transmission can have a significant valley polarization. Our finding points to an opportunity to realize valley functionalities by quantum interference.

I. INTRODUCTION

Fano resonance arises from the quantum interference between two interfering configurations, one directly through the continuum states and the other through a discrete level [1]. The constructive and destructive interferences of the two configurations give rise to a characteristic asymmetric line shape in the spectrum. Although the Fano resonance is established in spectroscopy, it has been observed as a ubiquitous phenomenon in a wide variety of physical process with coexisting discrete and continuum states, particularly electronic transport in low-dimensional nanostructure [2–15]. Both experimental and theoretical investigations have indicated that Fano resonance has potential application in spintronics [7–10] and optoelectronics devices [16, 17].

Fano resonance also occurs in monolayer materials, such as graphene and monolayer transition metal dichalcogenides (MTMDs) [6, 11, 18, 19]. The energy dispersion of electrons in these materials usually has a pair of degenerate minima located at well-separated momentum space points, known as valleys. The valley degree of freedom besides spin provides a feasible way to design information-storage or information-processing devices. Similar to the control of the spin polarization in spintronics, the manipulation of valley polarization configurations, i.e., unequal population distribution among the degenerate valleys, is one of the key elements for valleytronics devices. The valley polarization has been widely studied by using edges [20], doping [21], defects [22, 23] lattice strains [24–28], intervalley scattering [29, 30], or valley-dependent trigonal warping of the dispersions [31]. Meanwhile, the spin-like properties of the valley, including the valley Hall effect [32–34], the valley magnetic response [21, 35–42], and the valley optical selection rules [43, 44], allow its manipulation similar to the spin controls. A large spin polarization can be generated in semiconductor nanostructures those involves both the spin properties and the quantum interference effects related to spin-dependent Fano resonance. However, it is still a pertinent challenge to realize valley polarization in monolayer honeycomb lattice materials using valley quantum interference effects, such as valley-dependent Fano resonance.

Here we discover that, at a MTMDs nanoribbon with an attached stub, the Fano resonance, i.e., the dip in the transmission spectrum caused by the quantum interference between the localized states of the stub and the continuum states in the nanoribbon, is split into two valley-resolved dips. This valley selectivity is made possible by the intervalley backscattering induced by the localized states in the stub. When the number of stubs attached to the nanoribbon increases, each valley-resolved Fano dip will further split into multiple dips. Therefore, the valley-resolved minigaps will be formed for stub superlattice structures. A sizeable valley polarization can be obtained when the electron incident energy is in these valley-resolved gaps even for the nanoribbon attached several stubs.

II. MODEL AND METHODS

Let us consider a MTMDs zigzag nanoribbon with attached stubs of length L and width H (Fig. 1(a)). The width of the nanoribbon is D and the spacing of the stubs is W. In MTMDs, the conduction edges at the ±K valleys are contributed predominantly by the three metal d-orbitals [45]: \( d_{x^2} \), \( d_{y^2} \), \( d_{z^2} \). For a quantitative characterization of the valley-polarized transport and superlattice minibands, with the Fano interference of intervalley backscattering, we have calculated the valley-dependent transport properties and energy disper-
FIG. 1. Valley-resolved Fano resonance as electron transmission through the MTMDs nanoribbon with an attached stub. (a) Schematic of nanoribbons with attached stubs connected to MTMDs leads on the two sides. (b) Valley-resolved transmission and reflection coefficients as functions of the incident energy, with \( L = 10a \), \( a \) being the lattice constant of MTMDs. (c) Valley-resolved transmission and reflection coefficients for \( L = 20a \). (d) Valley polarization \((P_v)\), of the transmission as a function of the incident energy for the two configurations. All calculations here use parameters \( D = 20a \), \( H = 8a \) (c.f. Fig. I(a)).

Consideration using the tight-binding model constructed with the three orbitals that describes well the band edge electrons [45],

\[
H = \sum_i \sum_\mu \varepsilon_\mu c_\mu^\dagger_i c_\mu^i + \sum_{<i,j>} \sum_{\mu\nu} t_{\mu\nu,j} c_{\mu j}^i c_{\nu j}^i.
\]

(1)

Here \( \varepsilon_\mu \) and \( c_\mu^i \) are, respectively, the on-site energy and the creation operator for the electron on orbital \( \mu \) at metal site \( i \), the sums < \( i, j \) > run over all pairs of nearest-neighbor metal sites, and \( t_{\mu\nu,j} \) are the hopping terms fitted from first principles band structures [45].

Consider the nanoribbon with stubs connected to the outer world by left and right semi-infinite pristine MTMDs leads, the valley-resolved transport properties of the system with the tight-binding Hamiltonian in Eq. (1), are calculated using a recursive Green’s function technique [46]. Labeling each unit cell with an index \( i \), the equation of motion can be written as

\[
-(\varepsilon I - H_i) C_i + H_{i-1} C_{i-1} + H_{i+1} C_{i+1} = 0,
\]

(2)

where \( C_i \) is a vector describing the wave-function coefficients on all sites and orbits of unit cell \( i \) within three-band tight-binding model. The matrices \( H_i \) and \( H_{i+1} \) consist of the unit cell and hopping matrix of Hamiltonian, respectively. The equation of motion can be rewritten as a transfer matrix form

\[
\begin{pmatrix} C_{i+1} \\ C_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{i+1}^{-1}(\varepsilon I - H_i) & -H_{i+1}^{-1} H_{i,i-1} \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} C_i \\ C_{i+1} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(3)

We suppose the solutions of Eq. (3) have Bloch symmetry, \( C_i = \lambda C_{i-1} \) and \( C_{i+1} = \lambda^2 C_{i-1} \). Substituting this into Eq. (3) results in an eigenvalue problem,

\[
\begin{pmatrix} H_{i+1}^{-1}(\varepsilon I - H_i) & -H_{i+1}^{-1} H_{i,i-1} \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} C_i \\ C_{i+1} \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} C_i \\ C_{i-1} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(4)

The eigenvalue \( \lambda \) is related to the wave vector \( k \) through \( \lambda = \exp(ika) \). The eigenvalues are denoted by \( \lambda_n(\pm) \), the corresponding eigenvectors by \( u_n(\pm) \), where the right-going and left-going modes are labeled as \( (+) \) and \( (-) \). The Bloch velocities are given by the expression

\[
v_n(\pm) = -\frac{2a}{\hbar} \text{Im} \left[ \lambda_n(\pm) u_n^\dagger(\pm) H_{i,i+1} u_{n}(\pm) \right].
\]

(5)

Define

\[
U(\pm) = (u_1(\pm) \ldots u_{3N_y}(\pm))
\]

(6)

and

\[
\Lambda(\pm) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1(\pm) \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{3N_y}(\pm) \end{pmatrix},
\]

(7)

where \( N_y \) is the number of metal atoms in the \( y \) direction, then we have

\[
F(\pm) = U(\pm) \Lambda(\pm) U^{-1}(\pm).
\]

(8)

The transmission coefficient for the incident mode \( m \) with velocity \( v_m \) and out-going mode \( n \) with velocity \( v_n \) can be obtained as

\[
t_{mn} = \sqrt{\frac{v_n}{v_m}} \left\{ -U^{-1}(+) G_{N_y+1,0} H_{0,-1} \right. \left. \otimes \left[ F^{-1}(+) - F^{-1}(-) \right] U(+) \right\}_{mn},
\]

(9)

and the reflection coefficient for the incident mode \( m \) and out-going mode \( n \) as

\[
r_{mn} = \sqrt{\frac{v_n}{v_m}} \left\{ -U^{-1}(-) G_{0,0} H_{0,-1} \right. \left. \otimes \left[ F^{-1}(+) - F^{-1}(-) \right] - I \right\} U(+))_{mn}.
\]

(10)
Here, \( N_x \) is the number of metal atoms in the \( x \) direction and the Green’s-function matrix block \( G_{N_x+1,0} \) and \( G_{0,0} \) can be found using a set of recursive formulas [46]. Therefore, the valley-dependent transmission and reflection coefficients for the incident valley \( A \) and out-going valley \( A' \) (\( A, A' = K \) or \( -K \)) can be defined as, respectively,

\[
T_{A',A} = \sum_{m \in \{A'\}, n \in \{A\}} |t_{mn}|^2 \tag{11}
\]

and

\[
R_{A',A} = \sum_{m \in \{A'\}, n \in \{A\}} |r_{mn}|^2. \tag{12}
\]

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As examples, we numerically demonstrate the sizable valley polarization effects driven by Fano resonance of inter-valley backscattering in monolayer MoS\(_2\) nanoribbons with stubs. The widths of the nanoribbon and the stub are set as \( D = 10\sqrt{3}a \) and \( H = 4\sqrt{3}a \), respectively, \( a \) being the lattice constant of MoS\(_2\). Fig. 1(b) shows the calculated valley-conserved and valley-flip transmission and reflection coefficients for the nanoribbon attached one stub with \( L = 10a \). The two valley-conserved reflection coefficients \( R_{K,K} \) and \( R_{-K,K} \) are always identical, as they correspond to intravalley backscatterings with the same momentum transfers. The two valley-flip transmission coefficients are equal, i.e., \( T_{K,-K} = T_{K,-K} \) as the two scattering channels are conjugate of each other [29]. Both of the valley-conserved transmission coefficients, \( T_{K,K} \) and \( T_{-K,-K} \) in Fig. 1(b), exhibit a wide plateau and a series of dips on the plateau. These dips arise from the Fano-type interference between the continuous states propagating in the main channel and a bound state formed in the stub. This type of resonance is called as the structure induced Fano resonance. The peaks of valley-flip reflection coefficients, in Fig. 1(b), indicate that the Fano resonance here is a quantum destructive interferences behavior with strong intervalley backscattering. The valley-resolved Fano resonance of transmission coefficients in Fig. 1(b) is caused by the combined effect of intervalley backscattering and quantum interference.

The charge current passing through the nanoribbon with a stub is accompanied by a valley-polarized flow when the electron incident energy is set at the Fano resonant position. In order to study the valley polarization caused by Fano resonance with intervalley backscattering, we do not consider the effect of the edge state, because the valley-dependent transport properties of the edge state are obvious. At the upper boundary of the nanoribbon, the electrons in \( K \) valley propagate steadily to the right lead and will not be affected by the stub. The valley polarization can be defined as \( P_v \equiv \frac{1}{T}(T_{K,K} + T_{-K,K} - T_{-K,-K} - T_{K,-K}) \), where \( T_{-K,-K} = T_{-K,-K} - 1 \) and \( T = T_{K,K} + T_{-K,K} + T_{-K,-K} + T_{K,-K} \) remove the edge state contribution. Fig. 1(d) plots the valley polarization as a function of the incident energy for \( L = 10a \) and \( L = 20a \). A pronounced valley polarization almost reaching \( \sim 85\% \) can be obtained in the nanoribbons with a short stub, for example \( L = 10a \), in which the inter-valley backscattering results significant valley-resolved Fano resonance. Moreover, the direction of valley polarization can be controlled by the incident energy.

We also examined the effect of the stub size on the Fano resonance. When the size of the stub increases, two kinds of Fano resonances appear on the transmission spectra plateau, as shown in Fig. 1(c). It can be seen from the reflection coefficients that one is mainly caused by intravalley backscattering and the other is induced by intervalley backscattering. The valley splitting effect of Fano resonance will be suppressed when the size of the stub increases. The valley-resolved Fano resonance positions are difficult to distinguish in terms of the incident energy. In this case, valley polarization generates near the Fano resonance energy positions, but the strength of the valley polarization is reduced, as shown in Fig. 1(d).

Figs. 2(a)-(b) plot the total transmission probability, \( T_{\text{sum}} = T_{K,K} + T_{-K,K} - T_{-K,-K} - T_{K,-K} \), as a function of the incident energy for \( L = 10a \) and \( L = 20a \), respectively. In the bulk energy gap below the bottom of the conduction band, the total transmission probability \( T_{\text{sum}} = 1 \) is the contribution of the edge state. For Fano resonance with intervalley backscattering, such as point D in Fig. 2(a), the quantum interference is not completely destructive and one of the valleys is retained in the total transmission probability. For F point in Fig. 2(b), Fano resonance with intravalley backscattering is completely destructive quantum interference.

In order to understand the localized state distribution in the
and valley-flip transmission and reflection coefficients for a two-stub nanoribbon with $L = 10a$, and $W = 5a$. Each valley-polarized Fano resonance dip is split into two, which broadens the range of the incident energy for valley polarization. Each valley polarization peak of the nanoribbon with one stub becomes two peaks now and the valley polarization maintains a high intensity in a certain incident energy range, as shown in Fig. 3(b). If the number of the stubs attached to the nanoribbon continues to increase, the valley-polarized Fano resonance dips and the valley polarization peaks split further into more dips and peaks. Fig. 3(c) shows the valley polarization as a function of the number of the stubs when the incident energy is in the energy range indicated by double-headed arrows in Fig. 3(b). Large valley polarization is obtained over a wide energy range, and remarkably, by just using four stubs, the valley polarization can already reach 60% $\sim$ 90% (c.f. Fig. 3(c)).

According to the superlattice transport theory, as long as the distance between the stubs is not too far and the wave functions in the adjacent stubs can overlap, the nanoribbon with multiple stubs will have the properties of miniband transport, that is, the system will have valley-resolved minibands and minigaps. Fig. 4(a) shows an example of the stub superlattice energy bands, with $L = 10a$ and $W = 5a$, where the length of the supercell is $L + W$. In the superlattice, the edge states still exist perfectly (indicated by the arrows in Fig. 4(a)), and zone folding does not open the energy gap in the edge states, because the edge states can not be reflected by the stubs. Our calculation finds that the strong intravalley backscattering shown in Fig. 4(d), leads to a sizable bulk minigap $\Delta$ at the boundary of the mini-zone.

Besides the bulk minigap $\Delta$, there are two valley-resolved minigaps $\Delta_+$ and $\Delta_-$ at the center and boundary of the mini-zone, respectively. In the neighborhood of $\Delta_+$ and $\Delta_-$, multiple intervalley backscattering by the stubs gives rise to closely spaced Fano resonance dips which will develop into minigaps in infinite period superlattice. Within the gaps $\Delta_+$ and $\Delta_-$, the minibands are valley polarized. The Fano resonance with intervalley backscattering makes possible energy windows for valley-polarized transport in the superlattice. Fig. 4(b)-(c) shows the valley polarization and transmission probability as functions of the incident energy, for a 20-stub superlattice with $L = 10a$ and $W = 5a$. As the periods increase, the Fano resonance dips in transmission are further split groups of valley-resolved dips. These dips evolve into continuous valley-resolved minigaps in the limit of a superlattice. This leads to a pronounced valley polarization exceeding 90%, with a total transmission probability $T_{\text{sum}} \sim 2$ (Fig. 4(b)).

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, we have shown that a remarkable valley filtering can be realized in a MTMDs nanoribbon with attached stubs due to the Fano resonance with the intervalley backscattering. The edge states on the boundaries of the stub and the bulk localized states in the stubs cause respectively the intervalley and intravalley-backscattering Fano resonance. It is the intervalley-backscattering Fano resonance that results...
FIG. 4. Valley filtering performance for the stub superlattice. (a) An example of superlattice miniband dispersion, with $L = 10a$ and $W = 5a$. The lines indicated by the arrows are the edge states of the system. In the case of zone folding, intravalley backscattering causes a bulk minigaps $\Delta$ at the boundary of the mini-zone. The intervalley backscattering gives rise to two valley-resolved gaps $\Delta_+$ and $\Delta_-$ at the center and boundary of the mini-zone, respectively. (b) Valley polarization and probability of transmission as functions of the incidence energy. (c) Valley-resolved transmission coefficients. (d) Valley-resolved reflection coefficients. The number of superlattice periods $N = 20$ is used in (b-d).

a significant valley polarization. The valley-resolved Fano resonance will split when the number of stubs increases and the valley-resolved minigaps are formed at the supercell Brillouin zone boundary and center for the stub superlattice structure. The transmission has nearly perfect valley polarization of the valley filtering functionality by electrostatic control. Moreover, a valley polarization in a wide energy region can be generated in nanoribbons with just several stubs. These results point to an unexpected but exciting opportunity to build valley functionality by quantum interference in MTMDs nanostructure.
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