

Dynamic effects of nuclear surface in isoscalar dipole modes

V. I. Abrosimov^{*} and O. I. Davydovska

Institute for Nuclear Research, 03028 Kiev, Ukraine

^{*}Corresponding author: abrosim@kinr.kiev.ua

Abstract

A semiclassical model based on the solution of the Vlasov kinetic equation for finite Fermi systems with a moving surface is used to study dynamic surface effects in the isoscalar dipole modes of heavy spherical nuclei. In this model, collective variables are explicitly used to describe the dynamic deformations of the nuclear surface, and this allows us to determine the variation of the phase-space distribution function that is associated with the dynamic surface (the dynamic-surface approximation). It is shown that the formation of both the low-energy isoscalar dipole resonance (the vortex mode) and the high-energy one (the compression mode) are mostly determined by dynamic surface effects. It is found that in the dynamic-surface approximation the isoscalar dipole strength function has a two-resonance structure, and the centroid energies of both the low-energy resonance and the high-energy resonance are close to corresponding centroid energies of exact strength function. Calculations of the velocity field in the approximation of a dynamic surface show the vortex character of the low-energy isoscalar dipole resonance and the compression character of the high-energy one.

Keywords: isoscalar dipole modes, surface effects, kinetic model, strength function, velocity field

1. Introduction

Isoscalar dipole collective excitations take a special place among the collective excitations in nuclei. Experimental researches of the isoscalar dipole nuclear response have shown not only the high-energy resonance (the compression mode), but also the low-energy resonance (the vortex mode) [1–4]. Various theoretical studies of the nuclear isoscalar dipole excitations have been carried out within quantum approaches [5–11], as well as within semiclassical ones [12–17]. It is well known that in a theoretical study of the isoscalar dipole excitations in nuclei arises the question of the correct separation of the center-of-mass motion from the internal isoscalar dipole excitations. Isoscalar dipole excitations of finite Fermi systems are related to the center-of-mass motion of the system and therefore should be studied within the translation-invariant model as a second-order effect in the dipole moment (in the first order, they reduce to the center-of-mass motion). Taking this feature into account, it can be expected that surface effects significantly affect the dynamics of the isoscalar dipole excitations, and the study of this problem is of special interest.

In this paper, the effect of the dynamic deformation of the nuclear surface on isoscalar dipole excitations is studied by using the translation-invariant model of small oscillations of finite

Fermi systems based on the direct solution of the Vlasov kinetic equation for finite systems with moving surface (model of small oscillations of Fermi liquid drop) [13,15,16]. This model explicitly uses collective variables describing oscillations of the nuclear surface, and therefore is especially useful for our purpose. In Section 2, we briefly recall the formalism of our kinetic model, in particular, the description of dynamic nuclear surface in kinetic model. The dynamic-surface approximation within our kinetic model for collective dipole excitations of finite Fermi systems is determined in Section 3. To study surface effects in the isoscalar dipole modes of nuclei, the internal strength function and the velocity fields associated with the isoscalar dipole modes are considered. The results of numerical calculations of the strength function and the velocity fields for isoscalar dipole modes are shown in different approximations in Section 4.

2. Description of dynamic nuclear surface in kinetic model

In kinetic model of small oscillations of finite Fermi systems with moving surface [15,18], a nucleus is treated as a gas of interacting nucleons confined to a spherical cavity with moving surface. The dipole surface vibrations are described by a set of collective variables $\delta R_{1M}(t)$ according to the equation of surface motion

$$R(\theta, \varphi, t) = R + \sum_M \delta R_{1M}(t) Y_{1M}(\theta, \varphi) \quad (1)$$

This equation expresses the time-dependent position of the droplet surface $R(\theta, \varphi, t)$, which is a sphere with radius R in equilibrium. The variation of the phase-space distribution function $\delta n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$ related to the isoscalar dipole excitations is determined by the linearized Vlasov equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \left[\delta n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) - \frac{dn_0}{d\epsilon} (\delta V(\mathbf{r}, t) + V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}, t)) \right] = 0 \quad (2)$$

and satisfies the boundary conditions at the moving surface that ensure a free moving surface

$$\left[\delta n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}_\perp, p_r, t) - \delta n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}_\perp, -p_r, t) \right] /_{r=R} = -2 p_r \frac{dn_0}{d\epsilon} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta R(\theta, \varphi, t) \quad (3)$$

and

$$\delta \Pi_{rr}(\mathbf{r}, t) /_{r=R} = 0. \quad (4)$$

Here p_r is the radial momentum of the particle, and $\mathbf{p}_\perp = (0, p_\theta, p_\varphi)$. The variation of the normal component of the momentum flux tensor $\delta \Pi_{rr}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is defined as [19]

$$\delta \Pi_{rr}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int d\mathbf{p} p_r v_r \left[\delta n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) - \frac{dn_0}{d\epsilon} \delta V(\mathbf{r}, t) \right]. \quad (5)$$

The equilibrium distribution function $n_0(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p})$ depends only on the one-particle energy $\epsilon(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p})$ and we use the approximation of the Thomas–Fermi type:

$$\frac{dn_0(\epsilon(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}))}{d\epsilon} = -\frac{4}{h^3} \delta(\epsilon - \epsilon_F), \quad (6)$$

where ϵ_F is the Fermi energy. The mirror reflection boundary condition (3) determines a connection between the variation of the phase-space distribution function and surface oscillations, while the boundary condition (4) provides the consistent motion of particles inside the nucleus and the free surface.

The residual interaction between nucleons is taken into account in the kinetic equation (2) and the boundary condition (4) due to the mean-field variation $\delta V(\mathbf{r}, t)$ given by

$$\delta V(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p} v(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \delta n(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}, t) \quad (7)$$

In order to obtain an explicit solution of the linearized Vlasov equation, we assume a separable effective interaction of the form

$$v(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \kappa_1 \sum_M r r' Y_{1M}(\theta, \phi) Y_{1M}^*(\theta', \phi'), \quad (8)$$

where κ_1 is the isoscalar dipole interaction strength.

Taking into account that isoscalar dipole excitations of finite Fermi systems are a second-order effect with respect to the dipole moment, we assume that collective isoscalar dipole modes are excited by a weak external field of the type

$$V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \beta \delta(t) Q^{(3)}(r) Y_{10}(\theta, \varphi), \quad (9)$$

where $Q^{(3)}(r) = r^3$ is the second-order dipole moment, $\delta(t)$ is the Dirac delta-function in time, and β is a parameter that describes the external field strength.

To find the solution to dynamic equations (1) and (2) with boundary conditions (3) and (4), it is reasonable to change from phase variables (\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}) to new variables $(r, \epsilon, l, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Here, ϵ is the particle energy, l its angular momentum, and α, β, γ are the Euler angles, which describe the rotation to the coordinate system with the z-axis directed along the vector $\mathbf{l} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}$ and the y-axis directed along the vector \mathbf{r} . The (Fourier transformed in time) variation of the distribution function can be written in terms of new variables as the series expansion [20,18]

$$\delta n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, \omega) = \sum_{MNL} [\delta n_{MN}^{L+}(\epsilon, l, r, \omega) + \delta n_{MN}^{L-}(\epsilon, l, r, \omega)] (D_{MN}^L(\alpha, \beta, \gamma))^* Y_{LN}(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}), \quad (10)$$

where $L=1$ and $M=0$ for the variation of the distribution function related to the isoscalar dipole excitations induced by the external field (9) and the value of ω defines the excitation energy of the nucleus ($E=\hbar\omega$).

In present kinetic model, we can find an explicit expression for the variation of the phase-space distribution function associated with the collective isoscalar dipole excitations in the

presentation (10) and then use this function to get the internal response function [15] as well as local dynamic quantities, in particular the velocity field [16]

3. Dynamic-surface approximation

Taking into account the boundary condition (3) the solution of the linearized Vlasov equation (2) for the (Fourier transformed in time) variation of the phase-space distribution function can be written as

$$\delta n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, \omega) = \delta n_{stat}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, \omega) + \delta n_{dyn}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, \omega). \quad (11)$$

Here $\delta n_{stat}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, \omega)$ is the solution for a system confined by the static (fixed) surface while the variation $\delta n_{dyn}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, \omega) \sim \delta R_{1M}(\omega)$ is due to surface oscillations. Taking into account the expansion (10), the solution (11) can be rewritten in terms of new variables in the form

$$\delta n_N^\pm(r, \epsilon, l, \omega) = \delta n_{stat,N}^\pm(r, \epsilon, l, \omega) + \delta n_{dyn,N}^\pm(r, \epsilon, l, \omega). \quad (12)$$

To evaluate the effect of nuclear surface oscillations on the isoscalar dipole modes we neglect the static-surface contribution $\delta n_{stat}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, \omega)$ to the solution $\delta n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, \omega)$ and consider the approximation (the dynamic-surface approximation) defined as

$$\delta n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, \omega) \approx \delta n_{dyn}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, \omega), \quad (13)$$

or in terms of new variables

$$\delta n_N^\pm(r, \epsilon, l, \omega) \approx \delta n_{dyn,N}^\pm(r, \epsilon, l, \omega). \quad (13A)$$

We consider this approximation in the energy region 10 – 30 MeV where the isoscalar dipole modes are observed in heavy spherical nuclei [1-4].

It is of interest to evaluate the dynamic surface effects in the velocity fields associated with the isoscalar dipole modes. This local dynamic quantity describes the spatial distribution of the average nucleon velocity under collective excitations and gives the information about the nature of excitations. In kinetic theory, the velocity field is determined as

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) = (m\rho_0)^{-1} \int d\mathbf{p} \mathbf{p} \delta n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, \omega), \quad (14)$$

where ρ_0 is the nuclear equilibrium density. We will consider the velocity field in the meridian plane XZ that usually exploited in the random-phase-approximation (RPA) calculations [21,5]. In this representation, the particle radius-vector is given by $\mathbf{r} = (x, y=0, z)$ or $\mathbf{r} = (r, \theta, \varphi=0)$ in the spherical coordinates and the velocity field (14) can be written as

$$\mathbf{u}(r, \theta, \varphi=0, \omega) = u_r(r, \theta, \omega) \mathbf{e}_r + u_\theta(r, \theta, \omega) \mathbf{e}_\theta, \quad (15)$$

where $u_r(r, \theta, \omega)$ and $u_\theta(r, \theta, \omega)$ are the radial and tangential components of the velocity field vector and \mathbf{e}_r , \mathbf{e}_θ are the corresponding unit vectors of the spherical coordinate system. The expressions for the functions $u_r(r, \theta, \omega)$ and $u_\theta(r, \theta, \omega)$ can be found in the form

$$u_r(r, \theta, \omega) = Y_{10}(\theta, 0)u_r(r, \omega), \quad (16)$$

$$u_\theta(r, \theta, \omega) = Y_{11}(\theta, 0)u_\theta(r, \omega). \quad (17)$$

Here the dipole spherical harmonics $Y_{1m}(\theta, 0)$ determine the angular dependence of the velocity field, while the radial functions $u_r(r, \omega)$ and $u_\theta(r, \omega)$ can be written as

$$u_r(r, \omega) = \pi \frac{1}{\rho_0} \frac{1}{r^2} \int d\epsilon \int dll \sum_{N=-1}^1 [\delta n_N^+(r, \epsilon, l, \omega) - \delta n_N^-(r, \epsilon, l, \omega)], \quad (18)$$

$$u_\theta(r, \omega) = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \pi \frac{1}{\rho_0} \frac{1}{r^2} \int d\epsilon \int dll \sum_{N=-1}^1 N \frac{p_\perp(r, l)}{p_r(r, \epsilon, l)} [\delta n_N^+(r, \epsilon, l, \omega) + \delta n_N^-(r, \epsilon, l, \omega)], \quad (19)$$

where $p_r(r, \epsilon, l) = [2m\epsilon - (l/r)^2]^{1/2}$ and $p_\perp(r, l) = l/r$ are radial and tangential momentum of the particle, respectively. The variations of the phase-space distribution functions $\delta n_N^\pm(r, \epsilon, l, \omega)$ are the solutions of the linearized Vlasov kinetic equation for a finite system with moving surface. The explicit expressions of these functions are given in Ref. [16]. Taking into account the expansion (12), it is possible to evaluate the velocity field (15) in various approximations, in particular, in the dynamic-surface approximation.

The isoscalar dipole response function for the system with a moving surface is defined as

$$R(\omega) = \beta^{-1} \int d\mathbf{r} r^3 Y_{1M}^*(\theta, \phi) \delta \bar{\varrho}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) \quad (20)$$

Here, the modified particle density variation $\delta \bar{\varrho}(\mathbf{r}, \omega)$ is given by

$$\delta \bar{\varrho}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) = \delta \varrho(\mathbf{r}, \omega) + \varrho_0 \delta(r - R) \delta R_{1M}(\theta, \phi, \omega), \quad (21)$$

where

$$\delta \varrho(\mathbf{r}, \omega) = \int d\mathbf{p} \delta n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, \omega). \quad (22)$$

In our translation-invariant kinetic model, the strength associated with the center of mass motion is concentrated at the zero energy [15]. Therefore the response function (20) can be written in the form

$$R(\omega) = R_{intr}(\omega) - R_{c.m.}(\omega). \quad (23)$$

Here the response of the center of mass $R_{c.m.}(\omega)$ induced by the external field (9) is proportional to $1/\omega^2$. It does not excite the system at $\omega \neq 0$. The internal response function $R_{intr}(\omega)$ can be written in the form

$$R_{intr}(\omega) = R_{stat}(\omega) + R_{dyn}(\omega) - R_{c.m.}(\omega), \quad (24)$$

where $R_{stat}(\omega)$ is the response function of the system with a fixed surface and the second term $R_{dyn}(\omega)$ is the moving-surface contribution to the internal response function. Below we consider the internal isoscalar dipole strength function that is determined as

$$S(E) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im}R_{intr}(E), \quad (25)$$

where $E = \hbar\omega$. By using the expansion (24) we can evaluate the strength function in different approximations.

4. Surface effects in strength function and velocity field

The isoscalar dipole strength function (25) is presented in Fig. 1 in the energy region of the isoscalar dipole resonances in heavy spherical nuclei (10-30 MeV) in different approximations. We consider the response of a sample ‘nucleus’ of $A=208$ nucleons. Dipole strength functions calculated for other values of A , corresponding to other medium-heavy spherical nuclei, are qualitatively similar to the case shown in Fig. 1. The strength parameter of the isoscalar dipole interaction (8), chosen in order to reproduce the experimental value of the giant monopole resonance energy in ^{208}Pb within our kinetic model [13, 15], is $\kappa_1 = -7.5 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ MeV/fm}^2$. The corresponding value of the incompressibility modulus equals $K_A = 160 \text{ MeV}$ [15]. The numerical calculations were carried out using standard values of nuclear parameters: $r_0 = 1.25 \text{ fm}$, $\epsilon_F = 30.94 \text{ MeV}$, and $m = 1.04 \text{ MeV} (10^{-22}\text{s})^2 / \text{fm}^2$.

In Fig. 1, by the solid curve the isoscalar dipole strength function is shown that was calculated by using the total variation of the distribution function induced by the external field (9) (the exact strength function within our kinetic model), see Eqs. (11), (12). As can be seen this isoscalar dipole strength function displays a two-resonance structure. The centroid energies of the low- and the high-energy isoscalar dipole resonances equal 11.5 and 24.3 MeV, respectively, in the agreement with experimental data for the isoscalar dipole resonances in ^{208}Pb nucleus [3,4]. In the dynamic-surface approximation shown by the dashed curve in Fig. 1, the isoscalar dipole strength function has also a two-resonance structure and moreover the centroid energies of the low- and the high-energy resonances are close to the centroid energies of the exact strength function. The effect of the strength distribution on the isoscalar dipole resonances in the static surface approximation is not significant (see the dotted curve in Fig. 1). Thus, the collective isoscalar dipole modes are determined by the dynamic surface effects.

The results of numerical calculations of the velocity fields associated with the low- and high-energy resonances of the dipole strength function at centroid energies are shown in Figs. 2 and 3

in different approximations. In Figs. 2a) and 3a) the isoscalar dipole velocity fields are presented that were calculated by using the total variation of the distribution function induced by the external field (9) (the exact velocity fields in our kinetic model), see Eqs. (11), (12). Calculations display the pure vortex (toroidal) character of the exact velocity field associated with the low-energy resonance at the centroid energy 11.5 MeV while the exact velocity field associated with the high-energy resonance at the centroid energy 24.3 MeV exhibits the compression character, see Figs. 2a) and 3a). These results obtained in our kinetic model [16] are in qualitative agreement with the previous results of relevant RPA calculations [5, 8, 10, 11].




Fig.1. Isoscalar dipole strength function in the energy region of the isoscalar dipole resonances is shown in different approximations: the exact strength function within our model (solid curve), the strength function in the dynamic-surface approximation, see Eq. (13), (dashed curve) and evaluated with static-surface boundary condition (dotted curve). The system contains $A=208$ nucleons.

In the dynamic-surface approximation, the velocity field associated with the low-energy resonance has essentially a vortex character close to the exact velocity field, cf. Figs. 2b) and 2a), but this velocity field also involves compression inside the nuclear fluid. The velocity field associated with the low-energy resonance in the static-surface approximation (see Fig. 2c)) does not show vortex motion, however it has a compression inside the nuclear fluid that ensures the pure vortex character of the exact velocity field. Thus, the formation of the pure vortex character of the (exact) velocity field associated with the low-energy isoscalar dipole resonance is due to




Fig.2. Velocity fields in the XZ -plane associated with the low-energy resonance of the isoscalar dipole strength function at the centroid energy 11.5 MeV, see Fig. 1 (solid curve), are shown in different approximations, see Eqs.(12), (13): the velocity field evaluated using the exact solution, Fig. 2a), in the dynamic-surface approximation, Fig. 2b), and in the static-surface approximation, Fig. 2c). The system contains $A = 208$ nucleons.




Fig.3. Velocity fields in the XZ -plane associated with the high-energy resonance of the isoscalar dipole strength function at the centroid energy of 24.3 MeV, see solid curve in Fig.1, in the same approximations as in Fig. 2.

dynamic surface effects as well as to the single-particle excitations inside the nuclear fluid. In Fig. 3b), the velocity field associated with the high-energy isoscalar dipole mode in the dynamic-surface approximation is shown. It could be seen that this velocity field has a compression character and moreover it is about the same as the compression character of the exact velocity field, cf. Figs. 3b) and 3a). On the other hand, the velocity field associated with the high-energy isoscalar dipole mode, which is calculated in the static-surface approximation, can be neglected, see Fig. 3c).

5. Conclusions

The effect of the nuclear surface oscillations on the isoscalar dipole resonances in heavy spherical nuclei has been studied within the kinetic model of small vibrations of finite Fermi systems with a moving surface. For this, the isoscalar dipole strength function and velocity fields associated with isoscalar dipole modes are evaluated in different approximations, in particular, in the dynamic-surface approximation, which takes into account only the variations of the phase-space distribution function caused by the moving surface.

It is found that the strength distribution in the energy region of the isoscalar dipole resonances is mainly determined by dynamic surface effects. The low-energy and high-energy resonances of the isoscalar dipole strength function in the dynamic-surface approximation are very similar to the corresponding resonances of the exact strength function.

Calculations of velocity fields associated with isoscalar dipole resonances in different approximations have shown that the nature of velocity fields is also determined by dynamic surface effects. In the dynamic-surface approximation, the velocity field for low-energy resonance has a vortex character close to the character of the exact velocity field. While the velocity field associated with high-energy resonance has the character of compression in the approximation of the dynamic surface and, in addition, this velocity field is about the same as the exact velocity field.

In this paper it is shown that the isoscalar dipole resonances (vortex and compression modes), which are observed in heavy spherical nuclei, are essentially due to the dynamic effects of the nuclear surface. Moreover, it is found that the formation of vortex motion in low-energy isoscalar dipole resonance is due to dynamic surface effects, as well as to single-particle excitations inside the nuclear fluid.

Finally, our approach allows to get new information about the nature of collective excitations in nuclei. In addition to the velocity field, it is of interest to study other local dynamic quantities associated with changes in the momentum space, in particular, the momentum flux tensor which

contain information about dissipative processes (viscosity, thermal conductivity) in the nuclear quantum fluid and are therefore of interest for the further research.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported in part by the budget program "Support for the development of priority areas of scientific researches", the project of the Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Code 6541230.

References

1. H.L. Clark, Y.-W. Lui, and D.H. Youngblood, *Phys. Rev. C* **63**, 031301 (2001).
2. D.H. Youngblood et al, *Phys. Rev. C* **69**, 034315 (2004).
3. M. Uchida et al, *Phys. Lett. B* **557**, 12 (2003).
4. M. Uchida et al, *Phys. Rev. C* **69**, 051301(R) (2004).
5. D. Vretenar, A. Wandelt and P. Ring, *Phys. Lett. B* **487**, 334 (2000).
6. G. Colo, N. Van Giai, P.F. Bortignon and M.R. Quaglia, *Phys. Lett. B* **485**, 362 (2000).
7. M.L. Gorelik and M.H. Urin, *Phys. Rev. C* **64**, 044310 (2001).
8. D. Vretenar, N. Paar, P. Ring, and T. Niksic, *Phys. Rev. C* **65**, 021301(R) (2002).
9. J. Kvasil, N. Lo Iudice, Ch. Stoyanov, and P. Alexa, *J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys.* **29**, 753 (2003).
10. A. Repko, P.-G. Reinhard, V.O. Nesterenko, and J. Kvasil, *Phys. Rev. C* **87**, 024305 (2013).
11. P.-G. Reinhard, V.O. Nesterenko, A. Repko, and J. Kvasil, *Phys. Rev. C* **89**, 024321 (2014).
12. E.B. Balbutsev, I.V. Molodtsova, and A.V. Unzhakova, *Europhys. Lett.* **26**, 499 (1994).
13. V.I. Abrosimov, A. Dellafiore, and F. Matera, *Nucl. Phys. A* **697**, 748 -764 (2002).
14. M. Urban, *Phys. Rev. C* **85**, 034322 (2012).
15. V.I. Abrosimov, O.I. Davidovskaya, *Ukr. Phys. Journ.* **61**, 571 (2016).
16. V.I. Abrosimov, O.I. Davydovska, *Ukr. Phys. Journ.* **63**, 1043 (2018).
17. V.I. Abrosimov, O.I. Davydovska, *Nucl. Phys. and At. Energy* **21**, 129 (2020).
18. V.I. Abrosimov, A. Dellafiore and F. Matera, *Phys. Part. Nucl.* **36**, 699 (2005).
19. E.M. Lifshitz and L.P. Pitaevsky, *Physical Kinetics* (Pergamon Press, London, 1979).
20. D. M. Brink, A. Dellafiore, and M. Di Toro, *Nucl. Phys. A* **456**, 205 (1986).
21. I. Hamamoto, H. Sagawa, and X.Z. Zhang, *Nucl. Phys. A* **648**, 203 (1999).