

# Succinct Data Structure for Path Graphs

Girish Balakrishnan\*, Sankardeep Chakraborty†, N S Narayanaswamy\*,  
and Kunihiko Sadakane†

\*Indian Institute of Technology Madras,  
Chennai, India

girishb@cse.iitm.ac.in  
swamy@cse.iitm.ac.in

†University of Tokyo,  
Tokyo, Japan

sankardeep.chakraborty@gmail.com  
sada@mist.i.u-tokyo.ac.jp

## Abstract

We consider the problem of designing a succinct data structure for *path graphs* (which are a proper subclass of chordal graphs and a proper superclass of interval graphs) with  $n$  vertices while supporting degree, adjacency, and neighborhood queries efficiently. We provide two solutions for this problem. Our first data structure is succinct and occupies  $n \log n + o(n \log n)$  bits while answering adjacency query in  $O(\log n)$  time, and neighborhood and degree queries in  $O(d \log^2 n)$  time where  $d$  is the degree of the queried vertex. Our second data structure answers adjacency queries faster at the expense of slightly more space. More specifically, we provide an  $O(n \log^2 n)$  bit data structure that supports adjacency query in  $O(1)$  time, and the neighborhood query in  $O(d)$  time where  $d$  is the degree of the queried vertex. Central to our data structures is the usage of the classical heavy path decomposition, followed by a careful bookkeeping using an orthogonal range search data structure among others, which maybe of independent interest for designing succinct data structures for other graphs. It is the use of the results of Acan et al. [1] in the second data structure that permits a simple and efficient implementation at the expense of more space.

## 1 Introduction

An *intersection graph*  $G = (V, E)$  is an undirected graph whose vertices are mapped by  $f$  to a family of sets  $F$  such that vertex  $a_1$  is adjacent to  $a_2$  in  $G$  if and only if  $f(a_1) \cap f(a_2) \neq \phi$ . Based on the family of sets  $F$  we get different graph classes. For instance, if  $F$  is the set of intervals on the real number line, then we get *interval graphs*. Yet another example is *chordal graphs*, defined as the intersection graph of subtrees of a tree. *Path graphs* is the class of graphs obtained when  $F$  is the set of paths,  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  in a tree  $T$  such that two paths intersect if and only if the corresponding vertices are adjacent. It is well-known that the class of path graphs is a proper subclass of chordal graphs and a proper superclass of interval graphs [2].

In this work, we address the problem of designing succinct data structure for the class of path graphs so that basic navigational queries such as degree, adjacency, and neighborhood can be answered efficiently. Formally, given a set  $T$  consisting of combinatorial objects with certain property, our goal is to store any arbitrary member  $x \in T$  using the information theoretic minimum of  $\log(|T|) + o(\log(|T|))$  bits (throughout this paper,  $\log$  denotes the logarithm to the base 2) while still being able to support the queries efficiently on  $x$ . Recently Acan et al. [1] showed that the information-theoretic lower bound for representing interval graphs with  $n$  vertices is

at least  $n \log n$  bits, and as path graphs are a proper superclass of interval graphs, this lower bound also holds true for path graphs. Surprisingly, we manage to construct an  $n \log n + o(n \log n)$ -bit data structure for representing path graphs matching this information-theoretic lower bound, thus, obtaining succinct data structure for path graphs for the first time in literature. This is the main contribution of this work.

There already exists a huge body of work on representing various classes of graphs succinctly. A partial list of such special graph classes would be trees [3, 4], planar graphs [5], partial  $k$ -tree [6], and arbitrary graphs [7]. Recently such results have appeared in literature for intersection graphs like interval graphs [1] and chordal graphs [8]. For interval graphs, [1] gives an  $n \log n + O(n)$  bit succinct data structure that supports degree and adjacency queries in  $O(1)$  time while neighborhood query for vertex  $a$  in  $O(d)$  time where  $d$  is the degree of the vertex  $a$ . In the case of chordal graphs, [8] gives an  $n^2/4 + o(n)$  bit succinct data structure that supports adjacency query in  $f(n)$  time where  $f(n) \in \omega(1)$ , degree of a vertex in  $O(1)$  time and neighborhood in  $(f(n))^2$  time per neighbour. The main motivation behind our work stems from these two above mentioned works. More specifically, as path graphs lie sandwiched between interval and chordal graphs (both of which admit succinct representations), it would be interesting to see whether one can design such efficient data structure for path graphs as well.

**Our Results.** Before explaining our main results, let us define some notations first. For graph  $G = (V, E)$  and  $a, b \in V$ ,  $\text{adjacent}(a, b)$  checks if  $\{a, b\}$  is an edge. For  $a \in V$ ,  $\text{neighborhood}(a)$  returns all the vertices that are adjacent to  $a$  in  $G$ , and  $\text{degree}(a)$  returns the number of vertices adjacent to  $a$ . We start by showing that unlabelled path graphs have a succinct representation of size  $n \log n + o(n \log n)$  bits by first obtaining the clique tree representation  $(T, P_1, \dots, P_n)$  and storing succinctly the clique tree  $T$  along with the paths in it. Our first result is the following.

**Theorem 1.** *Path graphs have an  $n \log n + o(n \log n)$ -bit succinct representation that can answer the adjacency query in  $O(\log n)$  time, and the neighborhood and degree queries for vertex  $a$  in  $O(d \log^2 n)$  time where  $d$  is the degree of the vertex  $a$ .*

We then observe that the intersection of the paths  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  with each heavy path in a heavy path tree of  $T$  (denoted by  $\mathcal{T}$ ) defines a natural interval graph. By storing this interval graph using the work of Acan et al. [1], and organizing them into at most  $\log n$  levels, we use additional  $\log n$  factor storage over the succinct representation, but are able to respond to all the queries more efficiently. This is our second result.

**Theorem 2.** *There exists a space-efficient representation for path graphs using  $O(n \log^2 n)$  bits that can answer the adjacency and degree queries in  $O(1)$  time and the neighborhood query for vertex  $a$  in  $O(d)$  time where  $d$  is the degree of the vertex  $a$ .*

The increased efficiency of the space-efficient data structure comes at the expense of increased space which arises due to the duplication of edges of path graph among the  $\log n$  interval graphs. Note that succinct data structure presented here performs range search to implement the queries while the space-efficient data structure delegates the queries to those of the underlying interval graph as implemented in [1].

All the preliminary terminology and concepts required for rest of the sections are in Section 2. In Section 3, a succinct representation for path graphs is presented and Section 4 describes the space-efficient data structure.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Path Graphs and Its Properties

**Definition 3.** A graph  $G$  is a path graph iff there exists a tree  $T$  and family of paths  $\{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$  such that  $G$  is the intersection graph of paths  $\{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$  in  $T$ .  $G$  is said to have the representation  $(T, P_1, \dots, P_n)$ .

For path graph  $G$  represented as  $(T, P_1, \dots, P_n)$  each path  $P_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , corresponds to vertex  $a_i \in V(G)$ . A vertex  $a \in V(G)$  is *simplicial* if its adjacency set  $\text{Adj}(a)$  induces a complete subgraph of  $G$  [2]. The ordering  $\rho = [a_1, \dots, a_n]$  of  $V(G)$  is called a *perfect elimination scheme* if for all  $i, X_i = \{a_j \in \text{Adj}(a_i) \mid j > i, \}$  is complete. Every path graph has a simplicial vertex and a perfect elimination scheme. Moreover, any simplicial vertex can start a perfect elimination scheme (Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 of [2]). The following characterisation of path graphs is due to Gavril [9].

**Theorem 4.** Let  $C$  be the set of maximal cliques of the graph  $G = (V, E)$ . The graph  $G$  is a path graph if and only if there exists a tree  $T$  whose set of nodes is  $C$ , so that for every  $v \in V$ , the set of maximal cliques containing  $v$  form a path in  $T$ .

A tree  $T$  satisfying the conditions mentioned above is called the *clique tree*, and it can be computed in polynomial time [9]. This tree forms the starting point of our main data structure as we will see in Section 3. Note that maximal cliques of  $G$  correspond to nodes of  $T$  and the terminology will be used interchangeably. Then the following is known [9].

**Remark 5.** The number of maximal cliques in a path graph  $G$  with  $n$  vertices is at most  $n$ .

### 2.2 Heavy Path Decomposition

Heavy path decomposition was introduced in [10] and used in [11] and [12] for rooted trees where the root has at least two children. In the heavy path decomposition for a rooted tree  $T$ , each internal node  $u$  selects an edge  $(u, v)$  such that the child  $v$  has the maximum number of descendants among the children of  $u$ . The edge  $(u, v)$  is called a *heavy edge*. Thus, each internal node of  $T$  selects exactly one edge as heavy edge. Further, it is known that each vertex has at most two heavy edges incident on it. An edge that is not chosen as a heavy edge by any internal node is called a *light edge*.

A *heavy path* is a maximal path in which all the edges are heavy. Heavy path decomposition of  $T$  results in the *heavy path tree*, denoted by  $\mathcal{T}$ .  $\mathcal{T}$  is obtained from  $T$  by compressing each of the heavy paths of  $T$  into a node of  $\mathcal{T}$  preserving the other edges of  $T$ . This will result in edges of  $\mathcal{T}$  being exactly the light edges of  $T$ .

**Remark 6.** *The nodes of  $\mathcal{T}$  are of two types: one type has a heavy path associated with it, and the other corresponds to a leaf node whose incident edge is a light edge.*

Due to Remark 6 each node in  $\mathcal{T}$  has a singleton set consisting of a vertex or a heavy path consisting of at least one edge associated with it. The heavy path that contains the root of  $T$  will be the root of  $\mathcal{T}$ . The level of the root node is 0, and each other node has a level which is its distance from the root. The following lemmata are well-known [11], and we extensively use them here.

**Lemma 7.** *The number of levels in  $\mathcal{T}$  is at most  $\lceil \log n \rceil$ . Further, a path in  $\mathcal{T}$  has at most  $2\lceil \log n \rceil$  edges.*

**Lemma 8.** *The nodes of  $T$  are partitioned among the nodes of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Further, each edge of  $T$  is either a light edge or is an edge in one heavy path.*

**Lemma 9.** *For a level  $l$  and a path  $P$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ ,  $P$  consists of at most two nodes with level  $l$ .*

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $\Pi$  be the set of heavy paths of a clique tree  $T$  then there exists a total order  $(\Pi, <)$ .*

*Proof.* Follows directly from the preordering of the nodes of  $T$  since they are stored in such a way that a node's heavy path leads to its left most child.  $\square$

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be the set of light edges of a clique tree  $T$  then there exists a total order  $(\Gamma, <)$ .*

*Proof.* Unlike the heavy path case (Lemma 10) light edges can share one of the end-points.  $\gamma_1 < \gamma_2$ , for two light edges  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  if and only if at least one of the end-points of  $\gamma_2$  is greater than  $\gamma_1$ .  $\square$

### 2.3 Useful Succinct Data Structures

The following results will be used throughout this paper.

**Lemma 12.** [13] *For any ordinal tree with  $n$  nodes, there exists a  $2n + o(n)$  bit Balanced Parentheses (BP) based data structure that supports operations `lca` and `parent` in constant time.*

Given a tree  $T$ , `lca`( $i, j$ ) returns the lowest common ancestor of two nodes  $i, j$  in  $T$  and `parent`( $i$ ) returns the parent of node  $i$  in  $T$ .

**Lemma 13.** [14] *If  $\rho$  is a sequence of  $m$  bits then there exists an  $m + o(m)$  bit data structure that support `rankb` and `selectb` for  $b \in \{0, 1\}$  in constant time.*

`rank1B`( $i$ ) returns the number of 1's up to and including position  $i$  in the bit vector  $B$  and `select1B`( $i$ ) returns the position of the  $i$ -th 1 in the bit vector  $B$ . Similar functions exist for bit 0 too, namely, `rank0B`( $i$ ) and `select0B`( $i$ ).

**Lemma 14.** *A sorted sequence of  $n$  integers  $a_1, \dots, a_n, 1 \leq a_i \leq n$ , can be represented using  $2n + o(n)$  bits that support  $\mathbf{rank}_b$  and  $\mathbf{select}_b$  in constant time for  $b \in \{0, 1\}$ .*

*Proof.* We will prove the lemma by giving a construction of such a data structure.  $a_1$  will be represented by a sequence of  $a_1$  1's followed by a 0. Subsequently  $a_i$ 's are represented by storing  $a_i - a_{i-1}$  many 1's and a 0. It will take  $2n$  bits since there are  $n$  0's and 1's. This bit string can be stored using the result of Lemma 13.  $\square$

**Lemma 15.** *[1] Given an integer sequence  $S$  of size  $n$  there exists a  $2n + o(n)$  bit data structure that supports Range Minimum Query (RMin) in constant time.*

Given a range  $[i, j], 1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , in sequence  $S$ , RMin finds the position of the minimum element in the range.

**Lemma 16.** *[1] Given an integer sequence  $S$  of size  $n$  there exists a  $2n + o(n)$  bit data structure that supports Range Maximum Query (RMax) in constant time.*

Given a range  $[i, j], 1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , in sequence  $S$ , RMax finds the position of the maximum element in the range.

**Lemma 17.** *[15] Given a set of points in  $[1, n] \times [1, n]$  ( $n$  is a positive integer) such that no two points share the same row or column, there exists an  $n \log n(1 + o(1))$  bit range search data structure that can determine the number of points in the range  $[i, i'] \times [j, j']$  in  $O(\log n)$  time, as well as retrieve each of those points in  $O(\log n)$  time in the order given by one coordinate.*

## 2.4 Wavelet Trees

In this paper we use *wavelet trees* to store  $n$  points  $P_i \equiv (l_i, r_i), 1 \leq i \leq n$  taking  $n \log n + o(n \log n)$  bits (Lemma 17). The internal nodes of the tree are ranges of the  $l_i$  values of points and the bit vectors  $B_l$  for every level  $l \in [1, \lceil \log n \rceil]$  store the sub-tree to which the  $r_i$  values will move to. The  $r_i$  values of points are grouped based on the ranges of  $l_i$  values. Range queries for  $[t_1, t_2] \times [s_1, s_2]$  are answered by picking the sub-ranges that cover  $[t_1, t_2]$  and returning those points that have  $r_i$  values in the range  $[s_1, s_2]$ . For this purpose the height of the tree has to be traversed and thus takes  $O(\log n)$  time. For the purpose of this paper we enhance it with additional checks so that unnecessary sub-ranges can be avoided from exploration. These details will be explained in Section 3. Further details can be obtained from Section 3 of [15].

## 3 The Succinct Data Structure

In this section first we present the construction of the succinct data structure followed by how queries are supported on it. Given a path graph  $G = (V, E)$ , use Gavril's method to get its clique tree  $T$  and paths  $P_1, \dots, P_n$ . Each path is represented as  $P_i \equiv (l_i, r_i), 1 \leq i \leq n$ , where  $l_i$  and  $r_i$  are the preorders of its starting and ending nodes in  $T$  such that  $l_i \leq r_i$ .




Figure 1: Succinct representation for a path graph  $G$  with ten vertices. Clique tree representation for  $G$  shown top left. Heavy paths in the tree are shown by solid lines, while light edges are shown by dotted lines. Central to the succinct representation are  $T$ ,  $H$ ,  $F$ , and an orthogonal range search data structure for  $S$ .

### 3.1 Construction of the Succinct Data Structure

The succinct data structure for path graph  $G$  is composed of clique tree  $T$ , heavy path tree  $H$  of clique tree  $T$ , array  $F$  that stores  $l_i$  values of all paths, bit-vector  $R$  that stores the count of repeating  $l_i$  values, array  $S$  that stores  $r_i$  values of all paths as orthogonal range search data structure, array  $J$  that stores the sorted  $r_i$  values of all paths, RMin data structure on array  $A$  that stores the lowest common ancestor of paths, RMin data structures on array  $J_{min}$  that stores  $r_i$  values and RMax data structures on array  $J_{max}$  that stores  $r_i$  respectively. Note that paths  $P_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , are stored in the non-decreasing order of  $l_i$  values. The description of each of these components in detail is as follows:

**Data structure for clique tree  $T$ .** Since a clique tree will contain at most  $n$  nodes (Remark 5), the clique tree  $T$  can be stored using  $2n + o(n)$  bits (Lemma 12) that will support the queries `parent` and `lca` in constant time. Based on these queries we will be able to divide any given path in  $T$  into heavy sub-paths and light edges in  $O(\log n)$  time as will be shown later in Lemma 25.

**Remark 18.** Note that the tree  $T$  is stored so that leftmost child is in a heavy path. This ensures that nodes in a heavy path have consecutive preorders.

**Data structure for bit-vector  $H$ .** The heavy path tree of  $T$  is represented by a bit vector  $H$  of length  $2n$ . We set  $H[i] = 1$  if the node represented by the open parenthesis at position  $i$  on the BP representation of  $T$  is on a heavy path, and  $H[i] = 0$  otherwise. For example, in Figure 1,  $T$  has three heavy paths, the path from node 1 to 4, the path from node 5 to 6, and the path from node 7 to 8. Then the bits of  $H$  corresponding to these nodes are marked 1. Given the position  $i$  of the open parenthesis of a node, we can decide if it is on a heavy path or not by checking if  $H[i] = 1$  or not. And given a node on a heavy path, we can obtain its starting (ending) point by finding the first 0-bit to the left (right) of the bit for the node. This can be done in constant time using a  $2n + o(n)$  bit data structure (Lemma 13).

**Data structure for bit-vector  $F$ .**  $F$  can be stored in non-decreasing order using  $2n + o(n)$  bits (Lemma 14).

**Bit-vector  $R$ .** Each of the  $P_i \equiv (l_i, r_i), 1 \leq i \leq n$ , are thought of as points on a  $[1, n] \times [1, n]$  grid as shown in Figure 1. So the  $l_i$  values range from x-coordinate 1 to  $n$  on the grid.  $R$  stores the number of points at a particular x-coordinate. For the x-coordinate  $x' \in [1, n]$ , the bit-vector is constructed by putting as many 1's as the number of points at  $x'$  followed by a 0 starting with  $x' = 1$  and ending at  $x' = n$ . For the  $F$  in Figure 1 we have  $R = 01110101010101000$ .  $R$  takes a total size of  $2n$  bits as there will be at most  $n$  1's and  $n$  0's. The number of points with  $l_i = d$  is obtained by  $\text{rank}_1^R(\text{select}_0^R(d)) - \text{rank}_1^R(\text{select}_0^R(d-1))$ .  $R$  can be stored using  $2n + o(n)$  bits (Lemma 13).

**Data structure for  $S$ .**  $S$  is a wavelet tree with the associated bit vectors sorted in non-descending  $r_i$  values of paths. The interior nodes of the wavelet tree denotes the ranges of  $l_i$  values. In order to use the orthogonal range search data structure of Lemma 17 for  $S$ , it requires the points to have distinct coordinates i.e. we need the paths,  $P_i \equiv (l_i, r_i), 1 \leq i \leq n$  to have unique  $l_i$  and  $r_i$  values. To make the  $l_i$  values unique we use the index of the paths sorted in non-decreasing order of  $l_i$  and obtain the original  $l_i$  value from  $F$ . The unique  $r_i$  values will be the indices of array  $J$  that stores the  $r_i$  values of paths in non-decreasing order using  $2n + o(n)$  bits (Lemma 14). This will satisfy the condition of Lemma 17 using extra  $2n + o(n)$  bits for  $J$ .

**Remark 19.** For a given range  $[c, d]$  of  $l_i$  values that can repeat in  $F$  we obtain the new range  $[c', d']$  where  $c' = \text{rank}_0^F(\text{select}_1^F(c)) + 1$  and  $d' = \text{rank}_0^F(\text{select}_1^F(d)) + \text{rank}_1^R(\text{select}_0^R(d)) - \text{rank}_1^R(\text{select}_0^R(d-1))$ . The left end of the new range picks the index of the first occurrence of  $c$  in  $F$  but the right end has to account for the repeating  $l_i$  values so it adds the number of times the  $d$  value repeats to the index of the first occurrence of  $d$  in  $F$ . Let this transformation be denoted by function  $\text{newrange}([c, d])$ .

For every point  $(i, j)$  stored in a range tree as in Lemma 17, function  $\text{access}(j)$  returns the  $i$  value. When a range query is executed on  $S$  we get the index of the  $l_i$  values of paths stored in  $F$  from which we can obtain the  $l_i$  and  $r_i$  values in constant time by querying  $F$  and  $J$ .  $S$  consumes  $n \log n + o(n \log n)$  bits (Lemma 17).

We define a function  $\text{pathep}(i)$  as the function that given path  $i$  returns  $(l_i, r_i)$  using  $F$  and  $S$ .  $l_i$  can be obtained using  $\text{rank}_1^F(\text{select}_0^F(i))$  and its  $r_i$  value using  $\text{rank}_1^J(\text{select}_0^J(\text{access}^S(i)))$ .  $\text{pathep}(i)$  takes  $O(\log n)$  time.

**Data structure for array  $A$ .** Array  $A$  stores in sorted order of  $l_i$  values the lowest common ancestor of the endpoints of the  $n$  paths that can be populated from  $T$  using `1ca` (Lemma 12). By Lemma 15 an RMin data structure on  $A$  can be stored using  $2n + o(n)$  bits.

**Data structure for array  $J_{max}$  and  $J_{min}$ .** They support the RMax and RMin queries on the  $r_i$  values using  $2n + o(n)$  bits each (Lemma 15 and Lemma 16). The elements in  $A$ ,  $J_{max}$ , and  $J_{min}$  are stored in the sorted order of the  $l_i$  values of paths.

From the above list we can conclude the following theorem.

**Theorem 20.** *There exists an  $n \log n + o(n \log n)$ -bit succinct data structure for path graphs.*

### 3.2 Supporting Queries

Before we present the two important lemmas we state the following two support lemmas.

**Lemma 21.** *Let  $P \equiv (a, b)$  and  $Q \equiv (s, t)$  be two paths in the clique tree  $T$  where  $a, b, s, t$  are preorders such that  $a \leq b, s \leq t$  and  $P$  is contained in a heavy path of  $T$ . If  $c$  and  $d$  are preorders of the rightmost leaves in the sub-tree rooted at  $b$  and  $a$  respectively then  $a \leq b \leq c \leq d$ .*

**Lemma 22.** *If  $P \equiv (a, b)$  is contained in a heavy path of clique tree  $T$  then any node  $c \in V(P)$  will satisfy  $a \leq c \leq b$ .*

The following two lemmas are central to the working of the queries.

**Lemma 23.** *Let  $P \equiv (a, b)$  and  $Q \equiv (s, t)$  be two paths in the clique tree  $T$  where  $a, b, s, t$  are preorders such that  $a \leq b, s \leq t$  and  $P$  is contained in a heavy path of  $T$ . If  $c$  and  $d$  are preorders of the rightmost leaves in the sub-tree rooted at  $b$  and  $a$  respectively and  $e$  is the preorder of the lowest common ancestor of  $s$  and  $t$  then  $P$  overlaps with  $Q$  iff:*

1.  $s < a$  and  $a \leq t \leq d$ , or
2.  $a \leq s \leq b$ , or
3.  $b < s \leq c$  and  $e \leq b$ , or
4.  $c < s \leq d$  and  $e < b$ .

*Proof.* First we prove the backward direction i.e. if any of the four conditions are true then  $P$  overlaps  $Q$ . For  $Q$  to overlap with  $P$ ,  $V(P) \cap V(Q) \neq \phi$  must be true. Note that  $a \leq b \leq c \leq d$  (Lemma 21).

1. If  $t \in [a, d]$  then  $t$  is inside the sub-tree rooted by  $a$  which implies that  $a \in V(Q)$ . We already know that  $a \in V(P)$ . So  $V(P) \cap V(Q) \neq \phi$  and so  $P$  overlaps  $Q$ .
2. By Lemma 22 we know that for any node  $i \in [a, b]$  implies  $i \in V(P)$ . Since  $s \in [a, b]$  we have  $s \in V(P)$ . So  $V(P) \cap V(Q) \neq \phi$  and  $P$  overlaps  $Q$ .

3. Since  $s \in [b + 1, c]$ ,  $s$  is inside the tree rooted at  $b$ , say  $T'$ , but  $s \neq b$ . If  $b > e$  then  $t > c$  and  $Q$  has to traverse to a point outside  $T'$ . This implies there exists  $i \in V(Q)$  such that  $i = b$  and we know  $b \in V(P)$ . So  $V(P) \cap V(Q) \neq \phi$  and  $P$  overlaps  $Q$ . Alternatively, if  $b = e$  then  $e \in V(P) \cap V(Q)$  and then also  $P$  and  $Q$  overlap.
4. Since  $s \in [c + 1, d]$ ,  $s$  is in the sub-tree rooted at  $a$  but not in the sub-tree rooted at  $b$ . Since  $e \leq b$  and only nodes in  $[a, b]$  are less than or equal to  $b$  in the sub-tree rooted at  $a$ ,  $Q$  has to have a vertex  $i \in [a, b]$ . So  $V(P) \cap V(Q) \neq \phi$  and  $P$  overlaps  $Q$ .

Now we prove the forward direction i.e. if  $P$  overlaps  $Q$  then exactly one of the given four conditions has to be true (and no other). The conditions are exhaustive as they correspond to the four ranges of values  $s$  can take (or start the path  $Q$ ) with respect to the inequality  $a \leq b \leq c \leq d$  (Lemma 21). If  $P$  overlaps  $Q$  then  $V(P) \cap V(Q) \neq \phi$ .

1. If  $P$  overlaps  $Q$  then there exists an  $i \in V(P) \cap V(Q)$ . Since  $Q$  starts at  $s < a$  to overlap  $[a, b]$  it has to enter the sub-tree rooted at  $a$  and terminate in that sub-tree. This implies that  $i = a$  and  $a \leq t \leq d$ .
2. By Lemma 22.
3. If  $P$  overlaps  $Q$  and  $b < s \leq c$  then  $Q$  has to pass through  $b$ . After passing through  $b$  there can be two possibilities.
  - it exits the sub-tree rooted at  $b$  i.e.  $t > c$  and moves up the tree, or
  - it moves down the tree along distinct nodes on path connecting  $b$  and  $c$  i.e.  $e = b$ .
4. If  $P$  overlaps  $Q$  and  $c < s \leq d$  then there exists  $i \in V(P) \cap V(Q)$  such that  $i \in [a, b]$ .  $Q$  cannot end at  $i$  because then we will have  $t < s$ . Also, note that  $Q$  cannot move down the tree from node  $i$  as  $t > s$ . Since  $s > c > b$ ,  $i \neq b$ . So  $Q$  has to move up the tree i.e.  $e < b$ .

□

**Lemma 24.** *Let  $P \equiv (a, b)$  and  $Q \equiv (s, t)$  be two paths in the clique tree  $T$  where  $a, b, s, t$  are preorders such that  $a < b, s \leq t$  and  $P$  is a light edge of  $T$ . If  $c$  and  $d$  are the preorders of the rightmost leaves in the sub-tree rooted at  $b$  and  $a$  respectively and  $e$  is lowest common ancestor of  $s$  and  $t$ , then  $P$  overlaps  $Q$  iff:*

1.  $s < a$  and  $a \leq t \leq d$ , or
2.  $s = a$ , or
3.  $s = b$ , or
4.  $a < s < b$  and  $e \leq a$ , or
5.  $b < s \leq d$  and  $e \leq b$ .

*Proof.* First, we prove the backward direction i.e. if any of the four conditions are true then  $P$  overlaps  $Q$ . For  $P$  to overlap  $Q$ ,  $V(P) \cap V(Q) \neq \phi$  must be true. Note that from Lemma 21,  $a \leq b \leq d$ .

1. Argument similar to the one in Lemma 23.
2. Trivially.
3. Trivially.
4. Since  $s \in [a + 1, b - 1]$ ,  $Q$  starts in the sub-tree rooted at  $a$ , say  $T_a$ , but not in the sub-tree rooted at  $b$ , say  $T_b$  ( $T_b$  is sub-tree of  $T_a$ ). If  $e < a$  then  $Q$  moves out of  $T_a$  and this can happen only when  $a \in V(Q)$ . If  $e = a$  then also  $a \in V(Q)$ . So  $V(P) \cap V(Q) \neq \phi$  and  $P$  overlaps  $Q$ .
5. Since  $s \in [b + 1, d]$ ,  $Q$  starts in a node in the sub-tree rooted at  $a$ , say  $T_a$ , such that  $s$  is not a node between  $[a, b]$ . If  $e \leq b$  then there are five cases:
  - $Q$  passes through  $b$  and moves down the tree i.e.  $e = b$ . Then,  $V(P) \cap V(Q) \neq \phi$  and  $P$  overlaps  $Q$ .
  - $Q$  passes through  $b$  to  $a$  and then moves down the tree i.e.  $e = a < b$ . Thus,  $\{a, b\} \subseteq V(P) \cap V(Q)$  and  $P$  overlaps  $Q$ .
  - $Q$  passes through  $b$  to  $a$  and then moves up the tree i.e.  $e < a < b$ . Thus,  $\{a, b\} \subseteq V(P) \cap V(Q)$  and  $P$  overlaps  $Q$ .
  - $Q$  reaches  $a$  without passing through  $b$  and moves down the tree i.e.  $e = a < b$ . Then,  $a \in V(P) \cap V(Q)$  and  $P$  overlaps  $Q$ .
  - $Q$  reaches  $a$  without passing through  $b$  and moves up the tree i.e.  $e < a < b$ . Then,  $a \in V(P) \cap V(Q)$  and  $P$  overlaps  $Q$ .

Now we prove the forward direction i.e. if  $P$  overlaps  $Q$  then exactly one of the given four conditions has to be true (and no other). The conditions are exhaustive as they correspond to the three ranges of values  $s$  can take (or start the path  $Q$ ) with respect to the inequality  $a \leq b \leq d$  (Lemma 21). If  $P$  overlaps  $Q$  then  $V(P) \cap V(Q) \neq \phi$ .

1. Argument similar to the one in Lemma 23.
2. Trivially.
3. Trivially.
4. Since  $s \in [a + 1, b - 1]$ ,  $Q$  starts at a node between  $a$  and  $b$  but not at  $a$  or  $b$ . So it has to be in the sub-tree rooted at  $a$ . If  $P$  overlaps  $Q$  then it has to move up to the root  $a$ . At  $a$  it can move down the tree through  $b$  or move up the tree. In both these cases we will have  $e \leq a$ .
5. Since  $s \in [b + 1, d]$ ,  $Q$  starts in the sub-tree rooted at  $a$  at nodes except those in the range  $[a, b]$ . If  $Q$  overlaps  $P$  then there are two possibilities:
  - $Q$  starts in the sub-tree rooted at  $b$  and it has to move up to  $b$ . At  $b$  it can move down the tree or move up passing through  $a$ . In both these cases  $e \leq b$ .
  - $Q$  is not in the sub-tree rooted at  $b$  and so to meet  $P$  it has to be move up to node  $a$ . At node  $a$ ,  $Q$  can move up or move down the tree. In either case,  $e \leq b$ .

□

The result from the following lemma will be used in implementing adjacency and neighborhood queries for the succinct data structure.

**Lemma 25.** *Any arbitrary path  $P_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$  in tree  $T$  can be divided into sub-paths  $P_i^1, \dots, P_i^k, 1 \leq k \leq 2 \log n$ , such that each sub-path is either contained in a heavy path of  $T$  or it is a light edge, in  $O(\log n)$  time.*

*Proof.* As the proof we give the following  $O(\log n)$  time procedure for performing this division. Let  $B$  be the  $2n + o(n)$  bit Balanced Parentheses based data structure of clique tree  $T$  where '(' is denoted by 1 and ')' by 0. Note that except Step 1 each step of the procedure can be done in  $O(1)$  time using results from [13]. Step 1 can be completed in  $O(\log n)$  time. We have as input the index  $i$  of path  $P_i$ .

1. Obtain endpoint  $(s, t)$  of path  $P_i$  from  $F$  and  $S$  using `pathep(i)`.
2. Let  $p = \text{lca}(s, t)$  and  $k = 0$ .
3. Let  $u = s, v = p$ .
4. Let  $v' = \text{parent}(u)$ ,  $a = \text{select}_1^B(u)$ ,  $b = \text{select}_1^B(v')$ ,  $p' = v'$ .
5. If  $\text{rank}_0^H(a) - \text{rank}_0^H(b) = 0$  then  $p' = \text{rank}_1^B(\text{select}_0^H(\text{rank}_0^H(a) + 1))$  add  $P_i^k = (p', u)$  as heavy sub-path else add  $P_i^k = (p', u)$  as light edge.
6. Increment  $k$ .
7. If  $p' \neq p$  then  $u \leftarrow p'$  goto Step 4.
8. Just like we have divided the path from  $s$  to  $p$  divide the path from  $t$  to  $p$  using the above steps.

Since the loop of Step 4 executes  $O(\log n)$  times the procedure takes  $O(\log n)$  time in total. □

**Adjacency query.** Let  $v$  and  $w$  be the two vertices whose adjacency we need to check. Assume that  $v$  and  $w$  are represented by paths  $P$  and  $Q$  respectively in the clique tree  $T$  of  $G$ .  $v$  is adjacent to  $w$  if paths  $P$  and  $Q$  have a non-empty intersection i.e. if they overlap. Let path  $P \equiv (a, b)$  and  $Q \equiv (s, t)$  be obtained using `pathep`. Perform the following steps to check if  $P$  and  $Q$  overlap or not. First, divide the path  $P$  into sub-paths  $P^1, \dots, P^k$  such that each sub-path is on a heavy path of  $T$  or it is a light edge (Lemma 25). Consider  $P^i \equiv (a', b')$ . Let  $c$  be the preorder of the rightmost leaf in the sub-tree rooted at  $b'$ , and  $d$  be the preorder of the rightmost leaf in the sub-tree rooted at  $a'$ .  $c$  and  $d$  can be computed in  $O(1)$  time by using the `rmost_leaf(i)` query on  $T$  for  $i \in \{a', b'\}$  [13]. For each  $P^i$  we can check if it is overlapping with  $Q$  by checking the conditions in Lemma 23 and Lemma 24 based on whether  $P^i$  is a heavy sub-path or a light edge. If  $P^i$  is a heavy sub-path then all conditions except Case 4 of Lemma 23 are easy. For Case 4 we set  $e$  as the lowest common ancestor of  $Q$  and check. If  $P^i$  is a light edge then use the conditions of Lemma 24 by setting  $e$  as the lowest common ancestor of  $Q$ . The conditions in Lemma 23 and 24 can be

checked in  $O(1)$  time and so the adjacency query can be completed in  $O(\log n)$  time as there are at most  $O(\log n)$   $P^i$ 's.

**Neighborhood query.** Let  $v$  be the vertex for which we want to find the neighborhood and  $P \equiv (a, b)$  be the corresponding path obtained using  $\text{pathep}(v)$ . Let  $c$  and  $d$  be the preorders of the rightmost leaf in the sub-tree rooted at  $b$  and  $a$  respectively on  $T$ . Divide path  $P$  into sub-paths  $P^1, \dots, P^k, 1 \leq k \leq 2 \log n$  such that each sub-path either is on a heavy path or a light edge of  $T$  (Lemma 25). Neighborhood can be found by enumerating paths  $Q$  that overlap each of the  $P^i$ 's. Based on whether  $P^i$  is a heavy sub-path or a light edge we have two cases. If  $P^i$  is a heavy sub-path then Lemma 23 gives four conditions. Each condition is associated with a range that can be used to issue a range search query to enumerate the paths satisfying the condition. If  $P^i$  is a light edge then the conditions are based on Lemma 24. The range search is augmented by the method from [16] using data structures  $A$ ,  $J_{max}$ , and  $J_{min}$ . For example, for Case 1 of Lemma 23,  $s < a, a \leq t \leq d$  the range is  $[1, a-1] \times [a, d]$  and so during range search we only enter sub-ranges  $[z, z']$  that cover  $[1, a-1]$  and contains paths with  $r_i$  values in the range  $[a, d]$ . Such sub-ranges  $[z, z']$  are identified by doing RMax and RMin queries on  $J_{max}$  and  $J_{min}$ . In some cases like Case 3 of Lemma 23 in addition to the checking for existence of  $r_i$  values in the sub-ranges we also have to check the lca. For this purpose we have array  $A$  on which we can execute the RMin query and check if the lca is equal to (or less than in Case 4)  $b$ . Similarly for other conditions of Lemma 23 and Lemma 24 we use  $J_{max}$ ,  $J_{min}$  and  $A$ . This will ensure range searches take  $O(d \log n)$  time per heavy sub-path or light edge. Note that we may output the same path more than once. To remove duplicates, we use a bit-vector of length  $n$  to mark if a path was already output or not. If the corresponding bit to a path was marked, we do not output it. If it is not marked, we output the path and mark the bit. After outputting all the paths, we clear the marked bits by running the same algorithm again. For each  $P^i$  of  $P$  there will be at most  $d$  neighbours where  $d$  is the degree of the vertex  $v$ . The complexity of enumerating them all is  $O(d \log n)$  (Lemma 17) and since there are  $O(\log n)$   $P^i$ 's, the total time taken is  $O(d \log^2 n)$ .

As an example, let us consider heavy sub-path  $P^i \equiv (5, 6)$  from Figure 1. So we pick Lemma 23 and start with Case 1. To do this we have to search the range  $[1, a-1] \times [a, d]$  which in this case is  $[1, 4] \times [5, 6]$  and after adjusting for repeating values its  $[1, 5] \times [5, 6]$  (Remark 19). The sub-ranges that cover  $[1, 5]$  are  $[1, 3]$  and  $[4, 5]$  in the first step of recursion on the wavelet tree. We can reject sub-range  $[4, 5]$  as none of the paths with  $l_i$  values in that range have an  $r_i$  value in the range  $[5, 6]$ . This is done by checking in constant time if in the range  $[4, 5]$   $J_{min}$  contains a minimum value more than 6 or the  $J_{max}$  contains a maximum values less than 5. From  $l_i$  values in Figure 1 we can see that the range  $[4, 5]$  in  $J_{max}$  has maximum value less than 5. Recursing in this manner we finally reach range  $[2, 2]$  which indicates position corresponding to path  $P_2$ . Next we go to Case 2 and search  $[5, 6] \times [1, n]$  or  $[6, 8] \times [1, 10]$ . In this case we return all paths with  $l_i$  value in the range  $[6, 8]$  i.e. paths  $P_6, P_7$  and  $P_8$ . Since  $b = c = d$  Cases 3 and 4 can be ignored. For Case 3 and 4 we need to search  $A$  to decide if a sub-range that covers the given range of paths needs to be explored further or not (sometimes in addition to checking  $r_i$  values, like Case 3). To see how Case 3 of Lemma 23 works lets consider heavy sub-path  $P^i \equiv (1, 2)$

in Figure 1. As we recurse during the range search we reach sub-range  $[1, 1]$  where  $r_i$  value is less than 4 but then on running an RMin query on  $A$  we get the lca of path  $P_1$  as 2 which is equal to  $b = 2$ . Thus,  $P_1$  is selected as a neighbour. Similarly, we can execute range queries for cases in Lemma 24.

**Degree query.** For degree query of vertex  $v$ , we run the same algorithm as the neighborhood query and return only the number of neighbours. The time complexity is  $O(d \log^2 n)$  where  $d$  is the degree of vertex  $v$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

## 4 The Space-Efficient Data Structure

The method presented in this section gives an  $O(n \log^2 n)$ -bit representation for path graphs that supports faster implementation for the adjacency, neighbourhood and degree queries in comparison to the succinct representation presented in Section 3. Given a path graph  $G$  represented as  $(T, P_1, \dots, P_n)$  (obtained using Gavril's method [9]) where  $T$  is a clique tree of  $G$ , we consider the heavy path tree  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $T$ . By directly applying Lemma 7, Lemma 8, Lemma 9 and Remark 5 to  $\mathcal{T}$ , the following is true.

**Lemma 26.**  *$\mathcal{T}$  has at most  $\lceil \log n \rceil$  levels and each path  $P$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  has at most  $2\lceil \log n \rceil$  edges; further, for each pair of paths  $P_i$  and  $P_j$  which have a non-empty intersection, there exists a node  $v$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  such that the path associated with  $v$  intersect with the path  $P_i \cap P_j$ .*

We next prove a structure theorem regarding the intersection graph of paths which intersect with a heavy path  $\pi$  in  $T$  associated with a node in  $\mathcal{T}$ . Remember that  $\pi$  could be a heavy path in  $T$  or a single leaf node in  $T$  (Remark 6). In the following when we write  $\pi$  with or without a subscript or superscript, it corresponds to the path associated with a node in  $\mathcal{T}$ . For a node  $w \in \mathcal{T}$ , we use  $\pi_w$  to denote the path in  $T$  associated with the node  $w$ .

For a path  $\pi$  associated with a node in  $\mathcal{T}$  of level number  $l$ ,  $G_\pi$  is a graph whose vertices are defined as follows: for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , there is a vertex corresponding to the  $P_i \cap \pi$  provided it is non-empty. Two vertices are adjacent in  $G_\pi$  if the corresponding paths have a non-empty intersection, otherwise they are not adjacent.

**Lemma 27.** *Let  $\pi$  be a path in  $T$ . Then  $G_\pi$  is an interval graph.*

*Proof.* The vertices of  $G_\pi$  correspond to paths  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  which have a non-empty intersection with  $\pi$ . Thus, it follows that each vertex of  $G_\pi$  corresponds to a sub-path of  $\pi$ , which is equivalently an interval in the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, |\pi|\}$ . Thus,  $G_\pi$  is an interval graph.  $\square$

We now define an interval graph for each level  $l$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  by taking the union of the interval graphs associated with each node of level  $l$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ . This interval graph plays a crucial role in our efficient algorithms to respond to the queries. Formally, for level  $l$ , let  $S_l$  be the set of nodes in  $\mathcal{T}$ . For each  $l$ , define  $G_l = \bigcup_{a \in S_l} G_{\pi_a}$ . The vertex set and edge set of  $G_l$  is the union of vertex sets and edge sets of  $G_{\pi_a}$  for all  $a \in S_l$ . Clearly,  $G_l$  is an interval graph because we know from Lemma 27 that for each  $a \in S_l$  the connected component  $G_{\pi_a}$  is an interval graph.

**Lemma 28.** *For a vertex  $a \in V(G)$  and integer  $l$ , there exists at most two nodes at level  $l$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $P_a$  has a non-empty intersection with the paths in  $T$  associated with the two nodes.*

*Proof.* From Lemma 9 we know that for each level  $l$  and a path  $P$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ ,  $P$  can have at most two nodes of  $\mathcal{T}$  in level  $l$ . From  $P_a$  we can get a path  $P$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ , by visualizing  $P_a$  as a sequence of paths  $P^1, P^2, \dots, P^k$ , where each  $P^i$  is a sub-path of a heavy path in  $T$  or a light edge. Since we know that each sub-path of a heavy path is *contained* in a node of  $\mathcal{T}$ ,  $P_a$  naturally defines a path  $P$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ . Thus, applying Lemma 9 to  $P$ , it follows that for each level  $l$ ,  $P_a$  has a non-empty intersection with the paths associated with at most two nodes with level  $l$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 29.** *For each  $l$ , and each  $a \in V(G)$ , there are at most two nodes  $u$  and  $u'$  at level  $l$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $G_{\pi_u}$  and  $G_{\pi_{u'}}$  have a vertex each corresponding to the paths  $P_a \cap \pi_u$  and  $P_a \cap \pi_{u'}$ . Therefore, the number of vertices in the interval graph  $G_l$  is at most  $2n$ .*

*Proof.* The first statement is a reformulation of the statement in Lemma 28. Thus, for each  $a \in V(G)$ , there are at most two vertices in  $G_l$ , and thus  $G_l$  has at most  $2n$  vertices.  $\square$

**Lemma 30.** *For  $a$  and  $b$  in  $V(G)$ ,  $P_a$  and  $P_b$  have a non-empty intersection in  $T$  if and only if either there are two nodes  $w$  and  $w'$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  with different levels such that  $P_a$  and  $P_b$  both intersect  $\pi_w$  and  $\pi_{w'}$  or there is exactly a node  $w$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $P_a \cap \pi_w$  and  $P_b \cap \pi_w$  have a non-empty intersection.*

*Proof.* We know from Lemma 8 that each node of  $P_a \cap P_b$  is in a path associated with a node in  $\mathcal{T}$ . Further,  $P_a \cap P_b$  can be written as a sequence  $P^1, P^2, \dots, P^k$  where each  $P^i$  is either a sub-path of a heavy path or a light edge in  $T$ . If none of the  $P^i$  is a light edge then it means that  $P_a \cap P_b$  is the sub-path of a heavy path associated with one node in  $\mathcal{T}$ , because by Lemma 8 all the vertices of  $T$  are partitioned among the paths associated with the nodes of  $\mathcal{T}$ . If some  $P^i$  is a light edge say  $\{x, x'\}$  then consider the nodes  $w$  and  $w'$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $x$  is in  $\pi_w$  and  $x' \in \pi_{w'}$ . Clearly,  $P_a \cap P_b$  has an intersection with  $\pi_w$  and  $\pi_{w'}$ , and the levels of  $w$  and  $w'$  are different. Hence the lemma.  $\square$

Lemma 26 shows that all path intersections in the clique tree  $T$  are captured by nodes of  $\mathcal{T}$  and Lemma 27 shows that they are captured as interval graphs. Finally, Lemma 30 shows that all edges of path graph  $G$  appear as path overlaps in  $\mathcal{T}$ . Thus, as a consequence of these lemmas, we have shown that the edges of a given path graph are represented among  $\lceil \log n \rceil$  interval graphs, and no other edges are represented in the union of the interval graphs. Further, Lemma 28 and Lemma 29 will help in bounding the space complexity of the PIT and IT. These observations will also be used to efficiently respond to adjacency, neighborhood, and degree queries.

#### 4.1 Data structure to support efficient queries

The main goal of this section is to prove Theorem 2. For a path graph  $G = (V, E)$  with  $n$  vertices, the space-efficient data structure is constructed by first obtaining the  $(T, P_1, \dots, P_n)$  representation for  $G$  using Gavril's method [9], where  $T$  is a clique tree and  $P_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , are paths in  $T$ , followed by the computation of the heavy path tree  $\mathcal{T}$  of the clique tree  $T$  as guaranteed in Section 2.2.

The following are the components of the space-efficient data structure:

**Clique Tree,  $T$ .** The clique tree is stored using  $2n + o(n)$  bits. The clique tree is enhanced with additional data to support the queries, particularly the neighborhood query. Every node of the clique tree stores details of paths starting or ending at that node. Storing this will take  $O(n \log n)$  bits in total. At each node we will also store the heavy path and/or light edge to which it belongs taking  $O(n \log n)$  bits (Remark 5).

**Heavy-Path to Level Mapping,  $L$ .** Using  $O(n \log \log n)$  bits the levels to which each heavy path belongs is stored in  $L$ .

**Paths that Cover Heavy-Paths,  $\Pi$ .** We will also store details of paths that completely contain a heavy path  $\pi_i$ . These paths are classified into two sets, namely, paths from the previous heavy path denoted  $\pi_i^<$  and paths that originate at this heavy path and contains it completely denoted  $\pi_i^=$ . Such a classification is possible due to Lemma 10. The total space required for all these details is  $O(n \log^2 n)$  bits.

**Paths Intersecting Light-Edges,  $\Gamma$ .** Light edges can be ordered similar to heavy sub-paths (Lemma 11). Let light edges be denoted by  $\gamma$ . Each light edge will have paths categorised into  $\gamma_i^=$  and  $\gamma_i^<$  (as in the case of  $\Pi$ ). Each path has  $O(\log n)$  light edges and each edge is associated with two nodes i.e. there are  $O(\log n)$  nodes associated with light edges on a path. For each path we need  $O(\log^2 n)$  bits since we take  $\log n$  bits to store the path labels. For  $n$  paths the total space needed is  $O(n \log^2 n)$  bits.

**Paths,  $P$ .** Using  $O(n \log n)$  bits we will also store the end points of the paths  $P_1, \dots, P_n$ .

**Path Containment Relation,  $H$ .** At each level a path's heavy sub-path can be contained in another path's heavy sub-path. For every level we store this containment relation in  $H$ . Each path  $P_i$ 's heavy sub-path  $P_i^k$  can have an immediate container in each of the  $\lceil \log n \rceil$  levels. By Lemma 9 a path can intersect with at most two heavy paths in a level and each can have such an immediate container.  $H$  has a row for each path and  $\lceil \log n \rceil$  columns. Each entry of the table will take  $\log n$  bits to store the parent path. So per path  $H$  will take  $O(\log^2 n)$  bits and for  $n$  paths it will be  $O(n \log^2 n)$  bits.

**The Path Intersection Table (PIT).** Using  $T$  and  $\mathcal{T}$ , we next represent the paths  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  in the clique tree  $T$ . For each path  $P_i$ , by a traversal of the path from one end-node to the other of  $P_i$ , we can compute the levels of nodes in  $P_i$  from the levels of nodes in  $\mathcal{T}$ . This information is organized in 2-d array PIT whose  $n$  rows correspond to  $P_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , and there are as many columns as the number of levels in  $\mathcal{T}$  which from Lemma 7 is at most  $\lceil \log n \rceil$ .  $PIT[i][j] = 1$  if and only if a node in  $P_i$  is part of the path associated with a node in level  $j$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ , and otherwise  $PIT[i][j] = 0$ . For each  $P_i$ ,

the row corresponding to  $P_i$  can be evaluated in time  $O(|P_i|)$ , by performing constant time look-up of the representation of  $T$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  for each node of  $P_i$ . If  $PIT[i][j] = 1$ , then the  $(i, j)$ -th entry also stores labels of at most two new nodes (by Lemma 28) that we create corresponding to  $P_i \cap \pi_{w_1}$  and  $P_i \cap \pi_{w_2}$  for the the interval graph  $G_j$  (described below) corresponding to the nodes  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  at level  $j$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ . We refer to these values apart from the value of  $PIT[i][j]$  as the additional information stored in the  $ij$ -th entry. Thus, the storage used by PIT is  $O(n \log^2 n)$  bits, as there are  $n$  rows and at most  $\log n$  columns, and each array entry uses at most  $O(\log n)$  amount of storage.

**The Interval Graph Table (IT).** IT stores the interval graph  $G_l$  for each level  $l$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ . Let  $n_l$  denote the number of vertices in  $G_l$  and let  $S_l$  denote the set of nodes in  $\mathcal{T}$  whose level is  $l$ . The interval graph  $G_l$  is stored using the succinct representation due to Acan et al. [1] using  $n_l \log n_l + O(n_l)$  bits. From Lemma 29 we know that for each  $l$ ,  $n_l$  is at most  $2n$ . By the definition of  $G_l$  we know that  $G_l$  is the union of the graphs  $G_w$  for each  $w \in S_l$ . Thus, to present  $G_l$  to the algorithm in [1], we consider each  $w$  in  $S_l$ , and generate  $G_w$  for each  $w \in S_l$ , present  $G_w$  to the algorithm in [1], and then take the union of all the interval representations as the representation of  $G_l$ . To generate  $G_w$  for a  $w \in S_l$ , we consider the intersection graph formed by those non-empty paths  $P_i \cap \pi_w$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . To compute the path  $P_i \cap \pi_w$ , we basically look-up the path  $\pi_w$  in the data structure for  $\mathcal{T}$ , and then compute the intersection with  $P_i$ . The new label to old label mapping can be done using  $4n + o(n)$  (Lemma 14 and 29) bits per level by sorting the vertices belonging to that level and using their indices as new labels. This will take a total of  $O(n \log n)$  bits for all the levels.

**Auxiliary Interval Graph,  $G_I$ .** Using the PIT we construct an interval graph  $G_I$ . Let path  $P_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , contain nodes in the levels  $l_1^i$  to  $l_2^i$  ( $l_1^i < l_2^i$ ). On the real number line  $[1, \lceil \log n \rceil]$  this will be an interval  $[l_1^i, l_2^i]$ . Thus,  $G_I$  will be an intersection graph of intervals on a real number line if each pair of paths  $P_i$  and  $P_j$  corresponding to vertices  $v_i, v_j \in V(G)$  are such that they are adjacent if and only if the corresponding intervals  $[l_1^i, l_2^i]$  and  $[l_1^j, l_2^j]$  overlap.  $G_I$  can be stored using at most  $n \log n + O(n)$  bits [1].

The data structures for storing  $T, L, \Pi, \Gamma, P, H, PIT, IT$  and  $G_I$  together use a storage of  $O(n \log^2 n)$  proving one part of Theorem 2. Next, we present the algorithms for the adjacency, neighborhood and degree queries and their time complexities.

**Adjacency query in  $O(1)$  time.** First we make the following observation and then proceed to the query.

**Lemma 31.** *Let paths  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  on  $\mathcal{T}$  both have nodes at levels  $\{l_1, \dots, l_k\}, 1 \leq k \leq \lceil \log n \rceil$  and  $l_1 = \min(\{l_1, \dots, l_k\})$ . If  $P_1 \cap P_2 \neq \phi$  at  $l_1$  then they will not intersect on any other level.*

To answer adjacency query on two vertices  $v_1, v_2 \in V(G)$  we first we check if corresponding paths in  $G_I$  are adjacent or not in constant time. If no then  $v_1, v_2$  are not adjacent. Else get the lowest levels in which corresponding intervals  $I_1, I_2$  intersect in constant time from the interval representation of  $G_I$ . Let it be  $l$ . By Lemma 31 we need to check only if the paths  $P_1, P_2$  intersect at  $l$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ . This can be done as follows. Let  $\{v_{11}, v_{12}\}$  and  $\{v_{21}, v_{22}\}$  be the vertices in  $G_l$  corresponding to

paths  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  (obtained from PIT). We can find if any  $v_i \in \{v_{11}, v_{12}\}$  is adjacent to  $v_j \in \{v_{21}, v_{22}\}$  in  $G_l$  in constant time by querying the interval graph  $G_l$ .

**Degree Query in  $O(1)$  Time.** The degree of each vertex can be stored using  $n \log n$  bits and the degree query can be solved in constant time.

**Neighborhood Query in  $O(d)$  Time.** Before delving into the mechanics of the neighborhood query we make the following observation regarding the types of overlapping that neighbours make on a heavy sub-path of a path  $P_i$  on the clique tree.

- Type 1: Paths that completely contain some heavy sub-path  $P_i^k$  of  $P_i$  and also the heavy path  $\pi$  that contains it.
- Type 2: Paths that completely contain some heavy sub-path  $P_i^k$  of  $P_i$  but not the heavy path  $\pi$  that contains it.
- Type 3: Paths that start or/and stop inside some heavy sub-path  $P_i^k$  of  $P_i$ .
- Type 4: Paths that enter or/and exit within some heavy sub-path  $P_i^k$  of  $P_i$ .

**Lemma 32.** *For a given path  $P_i$  on clique tree  $T$  every path overlapping with it will have exactly one of the four types.*

*Proof.* Every heavy sub-path of  $P_i$  can be considered like an interval  $P_i^k$  on a heavy path  $\pi$ . There are only three ways in which another path  $Q$  can intersect with an interval  $P_i^k$  on  $\pi$ , which are as follows:

1.  $Q$  can completely contain  $P_i^k$ , or
2.  $Q$  can be completely contained inside  $P_i^k$ , or
3.  $Q$  can either start or end in  $P_i^k$  but not both.

The proof can be completed by observing that Case 1 in the list above can be divided into Type 1 and Type 2. □

We now show that all neighbors of path  $P_i$ , corresponding to vertex  $v_i$ , can be read off from the nodes that comprise  $P_i$  and each neighbor will be repeated at most a constant number of times. Let  $P_i$  be the sequence of nodes  $u_1, \dots, u_z$  after traversal. On obtaining each node add them to two separate lists,  $HP$  and  $LE$ , each aggregated by heavy paths and light edges to which they belong. Now, pick nodes based on the increasing order of heavy paths they belong to. Type 1 neighbors can be read off  $\pi_j^=$  and  $\pi_j^<$  from  $\Pi$  where  $\pi_j$  is the heavy path to which the node belongs. For the first node we add elements of both  $\pi_j^=$  and  $\pi_j^<$  into the neighborhood of  $P_i$  and subsequently only  $\pi_j^=$ . For Type 2 neighbors we use the containment relation stored in  $H$ . For each level  $k$  in which  $P_i$  has non-empty intersection with a heavy path  $\pi$  we check the entry  $(i, k)$ -th entry in  $H$  and add the entry for heavy path  $\pi$  into the neighborhood of  $P_i$ . Note that from the heavy path to which a node belongs and the mapping of heavy paths to levels in  $L$  we obtain the level  $k$  to which  $P_i$  belongs. Type 3 neighbors can be obtained by checking for each node  $u \in \{u_1, \dots, u_t\}$  if any path starts or/and ends at that node. Type 4 neighbors can be read off  $\gamma_j^=$  and  $\gamma_j^<$  by a method similar to Type 1 by using  $\Gamma$  where  $\gamma_j$  is a light edge to which the node of  $P_i$  belongs.

**Lemma 33.** *Given a path graph  $G$ , the neighborhood query for vertex  $v \in V(G)$  takes  $O(d)$  time where  $d$  is the degree of  $v$ .*

*Proof.* Due to Lemma 32 we search only for four different neighbors for path  $P_v$ , corresponding to vertex  $v$ , on the clique tree  $T$  of  $G$ . Traversing the path  $P_v$  on  $T$  takes  $O(d)$  time as each node contributes at least one unique neighbor of  $v$ . The sorting of nodes of  $P_v$  based on heavy paths to which they belong can be done in  $O(d)$  time. Now, we look at time required to obtain each type of neighbor.

- Type 1 neighbours can be read off as each node in  $HP$  is read. This will take  $O(d)$  time as only the heavy path label is needed and at most one node will be present for each entry in  $HP$  and each such node will contribute a new neighbor.
- Type 2 neighbors can be obtained from  $H$ . For each node in  $P_i$  we obtain new levels of  $T$  to which  $P_i$  passes and use that level to check  $H$ . For each query we get either a neighbor or we stop the search. Thus, this also takes  $O(d)$  time.
- Type 3 neighbor can be obtained by checking for each node if any other path starts or ends at that node. For this type an overlapping path can be read off at most two times i.e. one when it starts and second when it ends. So this step also takes  $O(d)$  time.
- Type 4 neighbors can be obtained by reading nodes in  $LE$  in a manner similar to that of Type 1. Once all Type 1 to 3 neighbors are obtained we start reading nodes from  $LE$ . For nodes that we read first time we add  $\gamma_i^{\leq}$  in addition to  $\gamma_i^{\bar{}}$ . Note that Type 1 and 3 can be also be counted here, but they will be read only once here so Type 1 will be counted at most twice and Type 3 at most thrice. Thus, this step will also take  $O(d)$  time.

All types of neighbors can be read in  $O(d)$  time from the above argument. □

*Proof of Theorem 2.* For a given path graph  $G$ , the representations of  $T$ , PIT, IT and  $G_I$  exactly represent  $G$  and is a space-efficient data structure using  $O(n \log^2 n)$  bits. Further the representation supports the adjacency query in  $O(1)$  time and neighborhood query in  $O(d)$ . By just taking the size of the neighborhood our method responds to the degree query also in  $O(d)$  time. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

## 5 Some Important Algorithms

**Depth-first Search (DFS) and Breadth-first Search (BFS).** For the space efficient data structure the steps of the algorithm are as follows:

1. Get the spanning forest for the interval graph on each level of the heavy path tree  $\mathcal{T}$ . This can be completed in  $O(n)$  time as follows. For interval graph  $G_{\pi_i}$  stored using the method from [1] we perform the following steps. Find set of intervals  $\mathcal{I}$  that cover the entire range this can be done by starting with an interval and picking a neighboring interval that has the largest  $r$ -value. Create

a tree  $T'_h$  as chain of nodes where each chain represents the intervals in  $\mathcal{I}$ . This can be repeated till the entire range is covered. Note that that intervals in  $\mathcal{I}$  are ordered. For each interval  $I_a \in \mathcal{I}$  pick the next neighbor i.e. interval  $I_{a+1}$  that has  $l$ -value in the range of  $I_a$ . This can be done in constant time using bit vector  $S$  from [1]. For every such interval obtained add it as a child to the node corresponding to  $I_a$ . Keep doing this till there are no more overlapping intervals. Once all neighbours of  $I_a$  are complete pick  $I'_a$  and repeat till there are no more intervals in  $\mathcal{I}$  to be considered.

2. Merge the spanning trees  $T'_h$  into one tree. Since the edges are unique on the spanning trees the cost of merging is  $O(n)$ .
3. Perform DFS/BFS on the tree constructed using  $O(n)$  time.

Thus, the total time for DFS/BFS will be  $n \log n$ .

**Lemma 34.** *Given an interval representation of an interval graph a spanning tree of the graph can be constructed in  $O(n)$  time.*

**Lemma 35.** *For the path graph  $G$  represented using space-efficient data structure the spanning tree can be constructed in  $O(n \log n)$  time.*

**Lemma 36.** *Given a path graph  $G$ , its DFS/BFS can be completed in  $O(n \log n)$  time.*

## 6 Conclusion

The best implementations of BFS and DFS are of significant interest as many other problems for path graphs use them as subroutines. In the work by Acan et al. [1], for interval graphs we can see that the representation permits very efficient BFS and DFS algorithms. Such an enquiry for path graphs is yet to be attempted for the representations explained in this paper for path graphs.

## Reference

- [1] H. Acan, S. Chakraborty, S. Jo, and S. R. Satti, “Succinct data structures for families of interval graphs,” *WADS*, vol. 11646, 2019.
- [2] M. C. Golumbic, *Algorithmic Graph Theory and Perfect Graphs*, North-Holland Publishing Co., NLD, 2004.
- [3] S. Chakraborty and K. Sadakane, “Indexing graph search trees and applications,” in *44th MFCS*, 2019, pp. 67:1–67:14.
- [4] J. I. Munro and V. Raman, “Succinct representation of balanced parentheses and static trees,” *SIAM J. Comput.*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 762–776, 2001.
- [5] L. C. Aleardi, O. Devillers, and G. Schaeffer, “Succinct representations of planar maps,” *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 408, no. 2-3, pp. 174–187, 2008.
- [6] A. Farzan and S. Kamali, “Compact navigation and distance oracles for graphs with small treewidth,” *Algorithmica*, vol. 69, no. 1, pp. 92–116, 2014.
- [7] A. Farzan and J. I. Munro, “Succinct encoding of arbitrary graphs,” *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 513, pp. 38–52, 2013.

- [8] J. I. Munro and K. Wu, “Succinct data structures for chordal graphs,” in *ISAAC*, 2018, vol. 123 of *Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs)*, pp. 67:1–67:12.
- [9] F. Gavril, “A recognition algorithm for the intersection graphs of paths in trees,” 1978.
- [10] D. D. Sleator and R. E. Tarjan, “A data structure for dynamic trees,” *Proceedings of the Thirteenth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, p. 114–122, 1981.
- [11] R. Grossi and G. Ottaviano, “Fast compressed tries through path decompositions,” *ACM J. Exp. Algorithmics*, vol. 19, Jan. 2015.
- [12] P. Ferragina, R. Grossi, A. Gupta, R. Shah, and J. S. Vitter, “On searching compressed string collections cache-obliviously,” 2008, PODS ’08, p. 181–190, ACM.
- [13] G. Navarro and K. Sadakane, “Fully functional static and dynamic succinct trees,” *ACM Trans. Algorithms*, vol. 10, no. 3, May 2014.
- [14] R. Raman, V. Raman, and S. R. Satti, “Succinct indexable dictionaries with applications to encoding  $k$ -ary trees, prefix sums and multisets,” *ACM Trans. Algorithms*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 43, 2007.
- [15] V. Mäkinen and G. Navarro, “Rank and select revisited and extended,” *Theoretical Computer Science*, vol. 387, no. 3, pp. 332–347, 2007.
- [16] S. Muthukrishnan, “Efficient algorithms for document retrieval problems,” in *Proceedings of ACM-SIAM SODA*, 2002, pp. 657–666.