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#### Abstract

Let $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{\ell}, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{\ell}$ be integers and let $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{\ell}$ be disjoint sets with $\left|V_{i}\right|=$ $n_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, \ell$. Define $\sqcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}$ as the collection of all subsets $F$ of $\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} V_{i}$ with $\left|F \cap V_{i}\right|=k_{i}$ for each $i=1, \ldots, \ell$. In this paper, we show that if the matching number of $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \sqcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}$ is at most $s$ and $n_{i} \geq 4 \ell^{2} k_{i}^{2} s$ for all $i$, then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \max _{1 \leq i \leq \ell}\left[\binom{n_{i}}{k_{i}}-\right.$ $\left.\binom{n_{i}-s}{k_{i}}\right] \prod_{j \neq i}\binom{n_{i}}{k_{j}}$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{s} \subseteq \sqcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}$ with $n_{i} \geq 8 \ell^{2} k_{i}^{2} s$ for all $i$. We also prove that if $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{s}$ are rainbow matching free, then there exists $t$ in $[s]$ such that $\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right| \leq \max _{1 \leq i \leq \ell}\left[\binom{n_{i}}{k_{i}}-\binom{n_{i}-s+1}{k_{i}}\right] \prod_{j \neq i}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}}$.


## 1 Introduction

Let $[n]=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and $\binom{[n]}{k}$ be the collection of all its $k$-subsets. For a $k$-graph $\mathcal{F} \subset\binom{[n]}{k}$, the matching number of $\mathcal{F}$, denote by $\nu(\mathcal{F})$, is the maximum number of pairwise disjoint members of $\mathcal{F}$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{s} \subseteq\binom{[n]}{k}$. We say that $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{s}$ contain a rainbow matching if there exist $s$ pairwise disjoint sets $F_{1} \in \mathcal{F}_{1}, F_{2} \in \mathcal{F}_{2}, \ldots, F_{s} \in \mathcal{F}_{s}$.

One of the most important open problems in extremal set theory is to determine the maximum of $|\mathcal{F}|$ subject to $\nu(\mathcal{F}) \leq s$, which is known as the celebrated Erdős matching conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1 (Erdős Matching Conjecture [5]). Let $n \geq(s+1) k$ and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq\binom{[n]}{k}$. If $\nu(\mathcal{F}) \leq s$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{F}| \leq \max \left\{\binom{k(s+1)-1}{k},\binom{n}{k}-\binom{n-s}{k}\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two simple constructions showing that (1.1) is best possible (if true) are

$$
\mathcal{E}(n, k, s)=\left\{E \in\binom{[n]}{k}: E \cap[s] \neq \emptyset\right\} \text { and }\binom{[(s+1) k-1]}{k}
$$

The case $s=1$ is the classical Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem [15]. Erdős and Gallai [6] proved (1.1) for $k=2$. The $k=3$ case is settled in [10]. For general $k$, Erdős proved that (1.1) is true and up to isomorphic $\mathcal{E}(n, k, s)$ is the only optimal family provided that $n>n_{0}(k, s)$. The bounds for $n_{0}(k, s)$ were subsequently improved by Bollobás, Daykin and Erdős [3], Huang, Loh and Sudakov [14]. The current best bounds establish (1.1) for $n>(2 s+1) k$ ([9]) and for $s>s_{0}, n>\frac{5}{3} s k([11])$.

A rainbow version of Erdős matching conjecture was proposed by Aharoni, Howardand [1] and independently Huang, Loh, Sudakov [14].

Conjecture $1.2\left([1,[14])\right.$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{s} \subseteq\binom{[n]}{k}$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{F}_{i}\right|>\max \left\{\binom{k s-1}{k},\binom{n}{k}-\binom{n-s+1}{k}\right\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq s$, then $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{s}$ contain a rainbow matching.

Using a junta method, Keller and Lifshitz [16] showed the validity of (1.2) for $n>f(s) k$, where $f(s)$ is an unspecified and a very quickly growing function of $s$. In [12], Frankl and Kupavskii improved the bound to $12 s k(2+\log s)$. By using the absorbing method, Lu, Wang and $\mathrm{Yu}\left[19\right.$ proved (1.2) for $n \geq 2 k(s+1)$ and $s>s_{0}(k)$. By applying the concentration inequality for random matchings developed in [11], Kupavskii [17] proved (1.2) for $n \geq$ $3 e(s+1) k$ and $s>10^{7}$.

Let $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{\ell}, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{\ell}$ be integers with $n_{1} / k_{1} \leq \cdots \leq n_{\ell} / k_{\ell}$ and let $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{\ell}$ be pairwise disjoint sets with $\left|V_{i}\right|=n_{i}$. Define the direct product $\sqcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}$ as the collection of all subsets $F$ of $\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} V_{i}$ with $\left|F \cap V_{i}\right|=k_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, \ell$. By the algebraic method, Frankl [8] proved an Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem for direct products as follows:

Theorem 1.1 ([8]). Suppose that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \sqcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}$ is intersecting and $2 \leq n_{1} / k_{1} \leq \cdots \leq n_{\ell} / k_{\ell}$. Then

$$
|\mathcal{F}| \leq \frac{k_{1}}{n_{1}} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{i}}{k_{i}}
$$

In this paper, we mainly consider a direct product version of Erdős matching conjecture. We determine the maximum number of edges in a subfamily of the direct product with matching number at most $s$ for each $n_{i}$ sufficiently large.

Theorem 1.2. Let $\ell, s, n_{1}, \ldots, n_{\ell}, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{\ell}$ be integers with $n_{i} \geq 4 \ell^{2} k_{i}^{2}$ s for $i=1, \ldots, \ell$. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \sqcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}$ with $\left|V_{i}\right|=n_{i}$. If $\nu(\mathcal{F}) \leq s$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{F}| \leq \max _{1 \leq i \leq \ell}\left[\binom{n_{i}}{k_{i}}-\binom{n_{i}-s}{k_{i}}\right] \prod_{\substack{j \neq i \\ 1 \leq j \leq \ell}}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $S_{i}$ be an $s$-subset of $V_{i}$. Set $\vec{n}=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{\ell}\right)$ and $\vec{k}=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{\ell}\right)$. Define

$$
\mathcal{E}_{i}(\vec{n}, \vec{k}, s)=\left\{E \in \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{\ell}\binom{V_{j}}{k_{j}}: E \cap S_{i} \neq \emptyset\right\}
$$

The constructions $\mathcal{E}_{i}(\vec{n}, \vec{k}, s)$ show that (1.3) is best possible.
By the random matching technique developed by Frankl and Kupavskii [11], we obtain a condition on the number of edges for a family of direct products to contain a rainbow matching for each $n_{i}$ sufficiently large.

Theorem 1.3. Let $\ell, s, n_{1}, \ldots, n_{\ell}, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{\ell}$ be integers with $n_{i} \geq 8 \ell^{2} k_{i}^{2} s$ for $i=1, \ldots, \ell$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{s} \subseteq \sqcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}$ with $\left|V_{i}\right|=n_{i}$. If $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{s}$ are rainbow matching free, then there exists $1 \leq t \leq s$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right| \leq \max _{1 \leq i \leq \ell}\left[\binom{n_{i}}{k_{i}}-\binom{n_{i}-s+1}{k_{i}}\right] \prod_{\substack{j \neq i \\ 1 \leq j \leq \ell}}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constructions $\mathcal{F}_{1}=\mathcal{F}_{2}=\cdots=\mathcal{F}_{s}=\mathcal{E}_{i}(\vec{n}, \vec{k}, s)$ show that (1.4) is best possible.

## 2 Extremal problem for matchings on direct products

Frankl and the first author [13] proved an upper bound on the sum of sizes of overlapping families. In this section, by a probabilistic argument we transfer it to an upper bound on the size of $\mathcal{F} \subset \sqcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}$ with matching number at most $s$. By using this upper bound, we prove Theorem 1.2 ,

Let $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{m} \subset\binom{[n]}{k}$ and $m \geq s+1$. If one cannot choose pairwise disjoint edges from $s+1$ distinct families of $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{m}$, we say these families are $s$-overlapping.

Lemma $2.1([13])$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{m} \subset\binom{[n]}{k}$ be s-overlapping families with $n \geq(s+1) k$ and $m \geq s+1$. Then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|\mathcal{F}_{i}\right| \leq \max \left\{s\binom{n}{k}, m s\binom{n-1}{k-1}\right\}
$$

By Lemma 2.1, we prove the following lemma via a probabilistic argument.
Lemma 2.2. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \sqcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}$ with $\left|V_{i}\right|=n_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, \ell$ and $s+1 \leq n_{1} / k_{1} \leq \cdots \leq n_{\ell} / k_{\ell}$. If $\nu(\mathcal{F}) \leq s$, then

$$
|\mathcal{F}| \leq \frac{(s+1) k_{1}}{n_{1}} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{i}}{k_{i}}
$$

Proof. Let $m=\left\lfloor\frac{n_{2}}{k_{2}}\right\rfloor$ and let $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{m}$ be an $m$-matching chosen from $\sqcup_{i=2}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}$ uniformly at random. Define

$$
\mathcal{F}\left(B_{i}\right)=\left\{A \in\binom{V_{1}}{k_{1}}: A \cup B_{i} \in \mathcal{F}\right\}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, m$. Since $\nu(\mathcal{F}) \leq s, \mathcal{F}\left(B_{1}\right), \ldots, \mathcal{F}\left(B_{m}\right)$ are $s$-overlapping. Note that $m \geq s+1$. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|\mathcal{F}\left(B_{i}\right)\right| \leq \max \left\{s\binom{n_{1}}{k_{1}}, m s\binom{n_{1}-1}{k_{1}-1}\right\}
$$

$n_{1} / k_{1} \leq n_{2} / k_{2}$ implies that $n_{1} / k_{1} \leq\left\lfloor n_{2} / k_{2}\right\rfloor+1=m+1$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|\mathcal{F}\left(B_{i}\right)\right| \leq(m+1) s\binom{n_{1}-1}{k_{1}-1} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the expectation on both sides of (2.1), we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{E}\left|\mathcal{F}\left(B_{i}\right)\right| \leq(m+1) s\binom{n_{1}-1}{k_{1}-1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{m}$ are chosen from $\sqcup_{i=2}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}$ uniformly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left|\mathcal{F}\left(B_{i}\right)\right|=\sum_{B \in \bigsqcup_{i=2}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}}|\mathcal{F}(B)| \cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{i=2}^{\ell}\binom{n_{i}}{k_{i}}}=\frac{|\mathcal{F}|}{\prod_{i=2}^{\ell}\binom{n_{i}}{k_{i}}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$
|\mathcal{F}| \leq\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right) s\binom{n_{1}-1}{k_{1}-1} \prod_{i=2}^{\ell}\binom{n_{i}}{k_{i}}
$$

Since $m=\left\lfloor\frac{n_{2}}{k_{2}}\right\rfloor>s$, it follows that

$$
\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right) s<s+1
$$

and the lemma follows.
The following operation, called shifting, was invented by Erdős, Ko and Rado [4] and further developed by Frankl [7]. Let $1 \leq i<j \leq n, \mathcal{F} \subset\binom{[n]}{k}$. Define

$$
S_{i j}(\mathcal{F})=\left\{S_{i j}(F): F \in \mathcal{F}\right\}
$$

where

$$
S_{i j}(F)= \begin{cases}(F \backslash\{j\}) \cup\{i\}, & j \in F, i \notin F \text { and }(F \backslash\{j\}) \cup\{i\} \notin \mathcal{F} ; \\ F, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

It is well known (cf. [7]) that shifting does not increase the matching number.
Let us recall some properties of the shifting operator. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \sqcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}$ with $V_{i}=$ $\left\{v_{i, 1}, v_{i, 2}, \ldots, v_{i, n_{i}}\right\}$ for $i=1, \ldots, \ell$. Define a partial order $\prec$ on $\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} V_{i}$ such that

$$
v_{i, 1} \prec v_{i, 2} \prec \cdots \prec v_{i, n_{i}}
$$

for each $i$ and vertices from different parts are incomparable. A family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \sqcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}$ is called shifted if $S_{a b}(\mathcal{F})=\mathcal{F}$ for all $a, b \in \cup_{i=1}^{\ell} V_{i}$ with $a \prec b$. Frankl [7] proved that repeated application of shifting to any family eventually produces a shifted family.

Let $k=k_{1}+k_{2}+\cdots+k_{\ell}$. For two different edges $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}$ and $B=$ $\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right\}$ in $\sqcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}$, define $A \prec B$ iff there exists a permutation $\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \ldots \sigma_{k}$ of $[k]$ such that $a_{j} \prec b_{\sigma_{j}}$ or $a_{j}=b_{\sigma_{j}}$ for $j=1, \ldots, k$. It is shown in [7] that if $\mathcal{F}$ is a shifted family, $A \prec B$ and $B \in \mathcal{F}$ then $A \in \mathcal{F}$ as well.

In the proof of the following lemma, we need the following inequality, which were already used in [3]. For $n \geq(2 s+1) k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{n-s}{k} /\binom{n}{k} \geq\left(1-\frac{s}{n-k}\right)^{k} \geq 1-\frac{k s}{n-k} \geq \frac{1}{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $\ell, s, n_{1}, \ldots, n_{\ell}, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{\ell}$ be integers with $n_{i} \geq 4 \ell^{2} k_{i}^{2} s$ for $i=1, \ldots, \ell$. If $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \sqcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}$ has matching number at most $s$, then

$$
|\mathcal{F}| \leq \prod_{i=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{i}}{k_{i}}-\min _{x_{1}+\cdots+x_{\ell}=s} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{i}-x_{i}}{k_{i}}
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $n_{1} / k_{1} \leq \cdots \leq n_{\ell} / k_{\ell}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is shifted. We prove the lemma by induction on $s$. The case $s=1$ is verified in Theorem 1.1. Assume that the lemma holds for the case $s-1$. Let $V_{i}=\left\{v_{i, j}: j \in\left[n_{i}\right]\right\}$. For each $x \in \cup_{i=1}^{\ell} V_{i}$, define

$$
\mathcal{F}(x)=\{F \backslash\{x\}: x \in F \in \mathcal{F}\} \text { and } \mathcal{F}(\bar{x})=\{F: x \notin F \in \mathcal{F}\}
$$

We distinguish two cases.
Case 1. $\nu\left(\mathcal{F}\left(\overline{v_{i, 1}}\right)\right) \leq s-1$ for some $i \in[\ell]$.
By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$
\left|\mathcal{F}\left(\overline{v_{i, 1}}\right)\right| \leq\binom{ n_{i}-1}{k_{i}} \prod_{j \neq i}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}}-\min _{y_{1}+\cdots+y_{\ell}=s-1}\binom{n_{i}-1-y_{i}}{k_{i}} \prod_{j \neq i}\binom{n_{j}-y_{j}}{k_{j}}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{F}| & =\left|\mathcal{F}\left(\overline{v_{i, 1}}\right)\right|+\left|\mathcal{F}\left(v_{i, 1}\right)\right| \\
& \leq\binom{ n_{i}-1}{k_{i}} \prod_{j \neq i}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}}-\min _{y_{1}+\cdots+y_{\ell}=s-1}\binom{n_{i}-1-y_{i}}{k_{i}} \prod_{j \neq i}\binom{n_{j}-y_{j}}{k_{j}}+\binom{n_{i}-1}{k_{i}-1} \prod_{j \neq i}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}} \\
& =\prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}}-\min _{y_{1}+\cdots+y_{\ell}=s-1}\binom{n_{i}-1-y_{i}}{k_{i}} \prod_{j \neq i}\binom{n_{j}-y_{j}}{k_{j}} \\
& \leq \prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}}-\min _{x_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots+x_{\ell}=s} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}-x_{j}}{k_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the lemma holds.
Case 2. $\nu\left(\mathcal{F}\left(\overline{v_{i, 1}}\right)\right)=s$ for all $i \in[\ell]$.
Let $M$ be an $s$-matching in $\mathcal{F}\left(\overline{v_{i, 1}}\right)$ and let $U$ be the set of vertices covered by $M$. Since $\nu(\mathcal{F}) \leq s$, each edge in $\mathcal{F}\left(v_{i, 1}\right)$ intersects $U$. It follows that

$$
\left|\mathcal{F}\left(v_{i, 1}\right)\right| \leq \prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}^{\prime}}{k_{j}^{\prime}}-\prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}^{\prime}-s k_{j}}{k_{j}^{\prime}}
$$

where $n_{j}^{\prime}=n_{j}, k_{j}^{\prime}=k_{j}$ for all $j \neq i$ and $n_{i}^{\prime}=n_{i}-1, k_{i}^{\prime}=k_{i}-1$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{F}\left(v_{i, 1}\right)\right| & \leq \sum_{p=1}^{\ell}\left[\binom{n_{p}^{\prime}}{k_{p}^{\prime}}-\binom{n_{p}^{\prime}-s k_{p}}{k_{p}^{\prime}}\right] \prod_{j \leq p-1}\binom{n_{j}^{\prime}-s k_{j}}{k_{j}^{\prime}} \prod_{j \geq p+1}\binom{n_{j}^{\prime}}{k_{j}^{\prime}} \\
& \leq \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} s k_{p}\binom{n_{p}^{\prime}-1}{k_{p}^{\prime}-1} \prod_{j \leq p-1}\binom{n_{j}^{\prime}-s k_{j}}{k_{j}^{\prime}} \prod_{j \geq p+1}\binom{n_{j}^{\prime}}{k_{j}^{\prime}} \\
& \leq \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} s k_{p}\binom{n_{p}^{\prime}-1}{k_{p}^{\prime}-1} \prod_{j \leq p-1}\binom{n_{j}^{\prime}}{k_{j}^{\prime}} \prod_{j \geq p+1}\binom{n_{j}^{\prime}}{k_{j}^{\prime}} \\
& \leq \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \frac{s k_{p} k_{p}^{\prime}}{n_{p}^{\prime}}\binom{n_{p}^{\prime}}{k_{p}^{\prime}} \prod_{j \leq p-1}\binom{n_{j}^{\prime}}{k_{j}^{\prime}} \prod_{j \geq p+1}\binom{n_{j}^{\prime}}{k_{j}^{\prime}} \\
& =\left(\sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \frac{s k_{p} k_{p}^{\prime}}{n_{p}^{\prime}}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}^{\prime}}{k_{j}^{\prime}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\frac{k_{i}^{\prime}}{n_{i}^{\prime}}=\frac{k_{i}-1}{n_{i}-1} \leq \frac{k_{i}}{n_{i}}$ and $\binom{n_{i}^{\prime}}{k_{i}^{\prime}}=\frac{k_{i}}{n_{i}}\binom{n_{i}}{k_{i}}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{F}\left(v_{i, 1}\right)\right| \leq \frac{k_{i}}{n_{i}}\left(\sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \frac{s k_{p}^{2}}{n_{p}}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M^{\prime}$ be an $s$-matching in $\mathcal{F}$ and let $U^{\prime}$ be the set of vertices covered by $M^{\prime}$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is shifted, we may assume that

$$
U^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\left\{v_{i, 1}, \ldots, v_{i, s k_{i}}\right\}
$$

For each $i \in[\ell]$ and $j \in\left[s k_{i}\right]$, define

$$
\mathcal{F}\left[v_{i, j}\right]=\left\{T \in \bigsqcup_{p=1}^{\ell}\binom{V_{p}^{\prime}}{k_{p}^{\prime}}: T \cup\left\{v_{i, j}\right\} \in \mathcal{F}\right\}
$$

where $V_{p}^{\prime}=V_{p}, k_{p}^{\prime}=k_{p}$ for $p \neq i$ and $V_{i}^{\prime}=V_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i, 1}, \ldots, v_{i, j}\right\}, k_{i}^{\prime}=k_{i}-1$. By shiftedness, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}\left[v_{i, 1}\right] \supseteq \mathcal{F}\left[v_{i, 2}\right] \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathcal{F}\left[v_{i, s k_{i}}\right] \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=1,2, \ldots, \ell$.
We claim that $\nu\left(\mathcal{F}\left[v_{i, s+1}\right]\right) \leq s$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, \ell$. Otherwise, let $E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots, E_{s+1}$ be a matching of size $s+1$ in $\mathcal{F}\left[v_{i, s+1}\right]$. By (2.6), $E_{1} \cup\left\{v_{i, 1}\right\}, E_{2} \cup\left\{v_{i, 2}\right\}, \ldots, E_{s+1} \cup\left\{v_{i, s+1}\right\}$ is a matching of size $s+1$ in $\mathcal{F}$, a contradiction. Thus $\nu\left(\mathcal{F}\left[v_{i, s+1}\right]\right) \leq s$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, \ell$. Note that $n_{i} \geq(s+1) k_{i}$ implies that $\frac{n_{i}-s-1}{k_{i}-1} \geq \frac{n_{i}}{k_{i}} \geq \frac{n_{1}}{k_{1}}$. By Lemma [2.2, we get for each $i$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{F}\left[v_{i, s+1}\right]\right| \leq \frac{(s+1) k_{1}}{n_{1}}\binom{n_{i}-s-1}{k_{i}-1} \prod_{j \neq i}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}} \leq \frac{(s+1) k_{1} k_{i}}{n_{1} n_{i}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $M^{\prime}$ is a largest matching in $\mathcal{F}, U^{\prime}$ is a vertex cover of $\mathcal{F}$. By (2.6), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{s k_{i}}\left|\mathcal{F}\left[v_{i, j}\right]\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left(s\left|\mathcal{F}\left[v_{i, 1}\right]\right|+\left(s k_{i}-s\right)\left|\mathcal{F}\left[v_{i, s+1}\right]\right|\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitute (2.5) and (2.7) into (2.8), we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{F}| & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left(s \frac{k_{i}}{n_{i}}\left(\sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \frac{s k_{p}^{2}}{n_{p}}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}}+s\left(k_{i}-1\right) \frac{(s+1) k_{1} k_{i}}{n_{1} n_{i}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}}\right) \\
& \leq s \prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left(\frac{k_{i}}{n_{i}} \cdot \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \frac{s k_{p}^{2}}{n_{p}}+\frac{2 s k_{1} k_{i}^{2}}{n_{1} n_{i}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $n_{i} \geq 4 \ell^{2} k_{i}^{2} s$, we have

$$
\sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \frac{s k_{p}^{2}}{n_{p}} \leq \frac{1}{4 \ell}, \text { and } \frac{2 s k_{i}^{2}}{n_{i}} \leq \frac{1}{2 \ell^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{4 \ell}
$$

Moreover, $\frac{k_{i}}{n_{i}} \leq \frac{k_{1}}{n_{1}}$. Hence, by inequality (2.4) we obtain that

$$
|\mathcal{F}| \leq \frac{s}{2}\binom{n_{1}-1}{k_{1}-1} \prod_{j=2}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}} \leq s\binom{n_{1}-s}{k_{1}-1} \prod_{j=2}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}} \leq\left(\binom{n_{1}}{k_{1}}-\binom{n_{1}-s}{k_{1}}\right) \prod_{j=2}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}}
$$

and this completes the proof.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemma 2.3, we are left to show that

$$
\min _{x_{1}+\cdots+x_{\ell}=s} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{i}-x_{i}}{k_{i}}=\min _{1 \leq i \leq \ell}\binom{n_{i}-s}{k_{i}} \prod_{j \neq i}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}} .
$$

Set

$$
f(x)=\binom{n_{i}-x}{k_{i}}\binom{n_{j}-c+x}{k_{j}}
$$

Computing its derivative, we have

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=\binom{n_{i}-x}{k_{i}}\binom{n_{j}-c+x}{k_{j}}\left[\sum_{q=0}^{k_{j}-1} \frac{1}{n_{j}-c+x-q}-\sum_{p=0}^{k_{i}-1} \frac{1}{n_{i}-x-p}\right] .
$$

Set

$$
g(x)=\sum_{q=0}^{k_{j}-1} \frac{1}{n_{j}-c+x-q}-\sum_{p=0}^{k_{i}-1} \frac{1}{n_{i}-x-p} .
$$

It is easy to see that $g(x)$ is a decreasing function in the range $\left[k_{j}-n_{j}+c, n_{i}-k_{i}\right]$. Moreover,

$$
g\left(k_{j}-n_{j}+c\right)=\sum_{q=0}^{k_{j}-1} \frac{1}{k_{j}-q}-\sum_{p=0}^{k_{i}-1} \frac{1}{n_{i}-p+n_{j}-k_{j}-c}>1-\frac{k_{i}}{n_{i}-k_{i}}>0
$$

and

$$
g\left(n_{i}-k_{i}\right)=\sum_{q=0}^{k_{j}-1} \frac{1}{n_{j}-c+n_{i}-k_{i}-q}-\sum_{p=0}^{k_{i}-1} \frac{1}{k_{i}-p}<\frac{k_{j}}{n_{j}-k_{j}}-1<0 .
$$

Let $x_{0}$ be the unique zero of $g(x)$ in the range $\left[k_{j}-n_{j}+c, n_{i}-k_{i}\right]$. It follows that $f(x)$ is increasing in the range $\left[k_{j}-n_{j}, x_{0}\right]$ and decreasing in the range $\left[x_{0}, n_{i}-k_{i}\right]$. Then we have

$$
\min _{0 \leq x \leq c} f(x)=\min \left\{( \begin{array} { c } 
{ n _ { i } - c } \\
{ k _ { i } }
\end{array} ) \left(\begin{array}{c}
\left.\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}},\binom{n_{i}}{k_{i}}\binom{n_{j}-c}{k_{j}}\right\} . . ~ . ~
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Thus,

$$
\min _{x_{1}+\cdots+x_{\ell}=s} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{i}-x_{i}}{k_{i}}=\min _{1 \leq i \leq \ell}\binom{n_{i}-s}{k_{i}} \prod_{j \neq i}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}}
$$

and the theorem follows.

## 3 Extremal problem for rainbow matchings on direct products

Frankl and Kupavskii [11 proved a concentration inequality for the intersections of a $k$ uniform hypergraph and a random matching. In this section, by the concentration property of the bipartite graph defined on two random matchings, we establish a condition on the number of edges for a family of direct products to contain a rainbow matching.

Aharoni and Howard [1] provided a tight condition on the number of edges for a family of bipartite graphs to contain a rainbow matching.

Lemma 3.1 ([1]). Let $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{s}$ be bipartite graphs with the same partite sets $L$ and $R$ such that $|L|=|R|=m \geq s$. If $e\left(G_{i}\right)>(s-1) m$ for every $i \in[s]$, then $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{s}$ contain a rainbow matching.

We recall that the Kneser graph $K G(n, k)$ is the graph on the vertex set $\binom{[n]}{k}$ and with the edge set formed by pairs of disjoint sets. Lovasz [18] determined all the eigenvalues of the adjacent matrix of $K G(n, k)$.
Lemma 3.2 ([18]). The eigenvalues of the adjacent matrix of $K G(n, k)$ are $(-1)^{i}\binom{n-k-1}{k-i}$ with multiplicity $\binom{n}{i}-\binom{n}{i-1}, i=0,1, \ldots, k$. Here $\binom{n}{-1}=0$.)

We also need the following result due to Alon and Chung [2].

Lemma 3.3 ([2]). Let $G$ be a d-regular graph on $n$ vertices and $A$ be its adjacent matrix. Let $d=\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n} \geq-d$ be the eigenvalue of $A$ and let $\lambda=\max \left\{\left|\lambda_{2}\right|,\left|\lambda_{n}\right|\right\}$. For $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S|=\alpha n$, we have

$$
\left|e(G[S])-\frac{d}{n} \cdot \frac{(\alpha n)^{2}}{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda \alpha(1-\alpha) n
$$

By considering the bipartite graph defined on two random matchings, we prove the following lemma, which is key to the proof of Theorem 1.3 ,

Lemma 3.4. Let $\ell, s, n_{1}, \ldots, n_{\ell}, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{\ell}$ be integers with $3 s \leq n_{1} / k_{1} \leq \ldots \leq n_{\ell} / k_{\ell}$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{s} \subseteq \sqcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}$ with $\left|V_{i}\right|=n_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, \ell$. If $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{s}$ are rainbow matching free, then there exists $1 \leq t \leq s$ such that

$$
\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right|<\frac{6 s k_{1}}{n_{1}} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq \ell}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}}
$$

Proof. Suppose that

$$
\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right|=\frac{6 s k_{1}}{n_{1}} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq \ell}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}}
$$

for all $1 \leq t \leq s$. Set $m=\left\lfloor n_{1} / k_{1}\right\rfloor$. Let $\mathcal{A}=\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m}\right\}$ be an $m$-matching chosen from $\binom{V_{1}}{k_{1}}$ uniformly at random and $\mathcal{B}=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}\right\}$ be an $m$-matching chosen from $\sqcup_{i=2}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}$ uniformly at random. For each $t=1, \ldots, s$, we construct a bipartite graph $G_{t}$ with partite sets $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ where we have an edge $\left(A_{i}, B_{j}\right)$ iff $A_{i} \cup B_{j} \in \mathcal{F}_{t}$. Note that a rainbow matching in $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{s}$ gives a rainbow matching in $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{s}$. Thus, by Lemma 3.1 and the union bound, it suffices to show that

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(e\left(G_{t}\right) \leq(s-1) m\right)<\frac{1}{s}
$$

for each $1 \leq t \leq s$. Let $X=e\left(G_{t}\right)$ and $X_{i j}$ be the indicator function of the event that $A_{i} \cup B_{j} \in \mathcal{F}_{t}$. Clearly, we have

$$
X=\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} X_{i j}
$$

Set $\alpha=\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right| / \prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}}$. Take expectation on both sides, we obtain that

$$
\mathbb{E}(X)=\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{i} \cup B_{j} \in \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]=\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \frac{\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right|}{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}}}=\alpha m^{2}
$$

Since $n_{1} / k_{1} \geq 3 s$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha m=\frac{6 s k_{1}}{n_{1}} \cdot\left\lfloor\frac{n_{1}}{k_{1}}\right\rfloor \geq \frac{6 s k_{1}}{n_{1}} \cdot \frac{n_{1}-k_{1}}{k_{1}} \geq 6 s\left(1-\frac{k_{1}}{n_{1}}\right) \geq 6 s-2 . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Chebyshev inequality, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pr}(X \leq(s-1) m) \leq \operatorname{Pr}\left(X \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}(X)}{6}\right) \leq \operatorname{Pr}\left(|X-\mathbb{E}(X)| \geq \frac{5}{6} \mathbb{E}(X)\right) \leq \frac{36}{25} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{Var}(X)}{\alpha^{2} m^{4}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we need to give an upper bound for $\operatorname{Var}(X)$. For $1 \leq i, i^{\prime}, j, j^{\prime} \leq m$, define

$$
\theta\left(i, j, i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{i} \cup B_{j}, A_{i^{\prime}} \cup B_{j^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)-\alpha^{2}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Var}(X) & =\mathbb{E}\left(X^{2}\right)-\mathbb{E}(X)^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i, j, i^{\prime}, j^{\prime} \in[m]}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i j} X_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}\right]-\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i j}\right] \mathbb{E}\left[X_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}\right]\right) \\
& =\sum_{i, j, i^{\prime}, j^{\prime} \in[m]}\left(\operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{i} \cup B_{j}, A_{i^{\prime}} \cup B_{j^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)-\operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{i} \cup B_{j} \in \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) \operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{i^{\prime}} \cup B_{j^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i, j, i^{\prime}, j^{\prime} \in[m]} \theta\left(i, j, i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

We derive upper bounds on $\theta\left(i, j, i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right)$ for $i, j, i^{\prime}, j^{\prime} \in[m]$ by distinguishing four cases.
Case 1. $i \neq i^{\prime}$ and $j \neq j^{\prime}$.
Let $H$ be a graph on the vertex set $\sqcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}$ and with the edge set formed by pairs of disjoint sets in $\sqcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}$. Let $\lambda$ be the second largest absolute eigenvalue of adjacent matrix of $H$. Frankl [8 observed that the adjacency matrix of $H$ is the Kronecker product of the adjacency matrices of the Kneser graphs. By Lemma 3.2, it follows that

$$
\lambda=\frac{k_{1}}{n_{1}-k_{1}} \prod_{1 \leq p \leq \ell}\binom{n_{p}-k_{p}}{k_{p}}
$$

Since $i \neq i^{\prime}$ and $j \neq j^{\prime}$, we have $\left(A_{i} \cup B_{j}\right) \cap\left(A_{i^{\prime}} \cup B_{j^{\prime}}\right)=\emptyset$. Note that $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m}$ and $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}$ are chosen uniformly at random. The probability that $A_{i} \cup B_{j}, A_{i^{\prime}} \cup B_{j^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{F}_{t}$ equals to the probability that a uniform chosen edge from $E(H)$ is an edge of the induce subgraph $H\left[\mathcal{F}_{t}\right]$, that is,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{i} \cup B_{j}, A_{i^{\prime}} \cup B_{j^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)=\frac{e\left(H\left[\mathcal{F}_{t}\right]\right)}{e(H)}
$$

Let $D$ be the degree of $H$ and $N$ be the number of vertices of $H$. It is easy to see that $D=\prod_{1 \leq p \leq \ell}\binom{n_{p}-k_{p}}{k_{p}}$ and $N=\prod_{1 \leq p \leq \ell}\left(\begin{array}{c}\binom{n_{p}}{k_{p}} \text {. Since } H \text { is } D \text {-regular, we have } e(H)=\frac{D N}{2} \text {. By }, ~\end{array}\right.$ Lemma 3.3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(i, j, i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \leq\left|\frac{e\left(H\left[\mathcal{F}_{t}\right]\right)}{e(H)}-\alpha^{2}\right| \leq \frac{\lambda}{D} \alpha(1-\alpha) \leq \frac{k_{1}}{n_{1}-k_{1}} \alpha \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2. $i \neq i^{\prime}$ and $j=j^{\prime}$.
For $B \in \sqcup_{i=2}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}$, define $\mathcal{F}_{t}(B)=\left\{K \in\binom{V_{1}}{k_{1}}: K \cup B \in \mathcal{F}_{t}\right\}$. Let $H$ be the Kneser graph on the vertex set $\binom{V_{1}}{k_{1}}$. Since $i \neq i^{\prime}$ and $j=j^{\prime}$, the event that $A_{i} \cup B_{j}, A_{i^{\prime}} \cup B_{j^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{F}_{t}$ is equivalent to the event that a uniform chosen edge of $H$ is an edge in $H\left[\mathcal{F}_{t}\left(B_{j}\right)\right]$. Thus,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{i} \cup B_{j}, A_{i^{\prime}} \cup B_{j^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)=\sum_{B \in \bigsqcup_{i=2}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}} \operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{i}, A_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}_{t}(B) \mid B_{j}=B\right) \operatorname{Pr}\left(B_{j}=B\right)
$$

$$
=\sum_{B \in \bigsqcup_{i=2}^{\ell}\left(\begin{array}{l}
V_{i}^{i} \tag{3.5}
\end{array}\right)} \frac{e\left(H\left[\mathcal{F}_{t}(B)\right]\right)}{e(H)} \cdot \operatorname{Pr}\left(B_{j}=B\right) .
$$

 $H$. Clearly, $D=\binom{n_{1}-k_{1}}{k_{1}}$ and $N=\binom{n_{1}}{k_{1}}$. Let $\lambda$ be the second largest absolute eigenvalue of adjacent matrix of $H$. By Lemma 3.2, we have $\lambda=\binom{n_{1}-k_{1}-1}{k_{1}-1}$. By lemma 3.3, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{e\left(H\left[\mathcal{F}_{t}(B)\right]\right)}{e(H)}-\alpha^{2}(B)\right| \leq \frac{\lambda}{D} \alpha(B)(1-\alpha(B)) \leq \alpha(B)(1-\alpha(B)) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta\left(i, j, i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{i} \cup B_{j}, A_{i^{\prime}} \cup B_{j^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)-\alpha^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\prod_{2 \leq p \leq \ell}\binom{n_{p}}{k_{p}}} \sum_{B \in \bigsqcup_{i=2}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}}\left(\alpha(B)(1-\alpha(B))+\alpha^{2}(B)\right)-\alpha^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\prod_{2 \leq p \leq \ell}\binom{n_{p}}{k_{p}}} \sum_{B \in \bigsqcup_{i=2}^{\ell}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}
\end{array}\right.} \alpha(B) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\prod_{2 \leq p \leq \ell}\binom{n_{p}}{k_{p}}} \sum_{B \in \bigsqcup_{i=2}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}} \frac{\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}(B)\right|}{\binom{n_{1}}{k_{1}}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\prod_{1 \leq p \leq \ell}\binom{n_{p}}{k_{p}}} \sum_{B \in \bigsqcup_{i=2}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}^{i}}{k_{i}}}\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}(B)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right|}{\prod_{1 \leq p \leq \ell}\binom{n_{p}}{k_{p}}} \\
& =\alpha \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Case 3. $i=i^{\prime}$ and $j \neq j^{\prime}$.
Similarly, let $H$ be a graph on the vertex set $\sqcup_{i=2}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}$ and with the edge set formed by pairs of disjoint sets in $\sqcup_{i=2}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}$. Let $\lambda$ be the second largest absolute eigenvalue of adjacent matrix of $H$. Since the adjacency matrix of $H$ is the Kronecker product of the adjacency matrices of the Kneser graphs. By Lemma 3.2, it follows that

$$
\lambda=\frac{k_{2}}{n_{2}-k_{2}} \prod_{2 \leq p \leq \ell}\binom{n_{p}-k_{p}}{k_{p}} .
$$

For each $A \in\binom{V_{1}}{k_{1}}$, define $\mathcal{F}_{t}(A)=\left\{K \in \sqcup_{i=2}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}: A \cup K \in \mathcal{F}_{t}\right\}$. Set $\alpha(A)=\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}(A)\right| / \prod_{2 \leq p \leq \ell}\binom{n_{p}}{k_{p}}$. Let $D$ be the degree of $H$ and let $N$ be the number of vertices in $H$. Clearly, $D=$ $\prod_{2 \leq p \leq \ell}\binom{n_{p}-k_{p}}{k_{p}}$ and $N=\prod_{2 \leq p \leq \ell}\binom{n_{p}}{k_{p}}$. Then,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{i} \cup B_{j}, A_{i^{\prime}} \cup B_{j^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)=\sum_{A \in\binom{V_{1}^{1}}{k_{1}}} \frac{e\left(H\left[\mathcal{F}_{t}(A)\right]\right)}{e(H)} \cdot \operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{i}=A\right) .
$$

By Lemma 3.3, we have for $A \in\binom{V_{1}}{k_{1}}$

$$
\left|\frac{e\left(H\left[\mathcal{F}_{t}(A)\right]\right)}{e(H)}-\alpha^{2}(A)\right| \leq \frac{\lambda}{D} \alpha(A)(1-\alpha(A)) \leq \alpha(A)(1-\alpha(A)) .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta\left(i, j, i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) & \leq \operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{i} \cup B_{j}, A_{i^{\prime}} \cup B_{j^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)-\alpha^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\binom{n_{1}}{k_{1}}} \sum_{A \in\binom{V_{1}^{1}}{k_{1}}}\left(\alpha(A)(1-\alpha(A))+\alpha^{2}(A)\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\binom{n_{1}}{k_{1}}} \cdot \sum_{A \in\binom{V_{1}}{k_{1}}} \alpha(A) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\binom{n_{1}}{k_{1}}} \sum_{A \in\left(\begin{array}{l}
\binom{V_{1}}{k_{1}}
\end{array}\right.} \frac{\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}(A)\right|}{\prod_{2 \leq p \leq \ell}\binom{n_{p}}{k_{p}}} \\
& =\alpha . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Case 4. $i=i^{\prime}$ and $j=j^{\prime}$.
In this case, $\operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{i} \cup B_{j}, A_{i^{\prime}} \cup B_{j^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{i} \cup B_{j} \in \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)=\alpha$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(i, j, i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right)=\alpha-\alpha^{2} \leq \alpha . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitute (3.4), (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9) into (3.3), we have

$$
\operatorname{Var}(X)=\sum_{i, j, i^{\prime}, j^{\prime} \in[m]} \theta\left(i, j, i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \leq \frac{k_{1}}{n_{1}-k_{1}} \alpha m^{2}(m-1)^{2}+2 \alpha m^{3}+\alpha m^{2}
$$

Note that $m=\left\lfloor\frac{n_{1}}{k_{1}}\right\rfloor \leq \frac{n_{1}}{k_{1}}$ implies $\frac{k_{1}}{n_{1}-k_{1}} \leq \frac{1}{m-1}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}(X) \leq \frac{1}{m-1} \alpha m^{2}(m-1)^{2}+2 \alpha m^{3}+\alpha m^{2}=3 \alpha m^{3} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitute (3.10) into (3.2), we arrive at

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(X \leq(s-1) m) \leq \frac{36}{25} \cdot \frac{3 \alpha m^{3}}{\alpha^{2} m^{4}}=\frac{36 \cdot 3}{25 \alpha m} .
$$

Moreover, (3.1) implies that $\alpha m \geq 6 s-2 \geq 5 s$. Thus, we obtain that

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(X \leq(s-1) m) \leq \frac{36 \times 3}{25 \alpha m} \leq \frac{36 \times 3}{25 \times 5} \cdot \frac{1}{s}<\frac{1}{s}
$$

and the lemma follows.
The following lemma shows that the shifting operator preserves the rainbow matching free property of a family of uniform hypergraphs, which is due to Huang, Loh and Sudakov [14].

Lemma 3.5 (Huang-Loh-Sudakov [14]). If the families $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{s} \subseteq\binom{[n]}{k}$ are rainbow matching free and $i, j \in[n]$ with $i<j$, then $S_{i j}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right), \ldots, S_{i j}\left(\mathcal{F}_{s}\right)$ are still rainbow matching free.
Lemma 3.6. Let $\ell, s, n_{1}, \ldots, n_{\ell}, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{\ell}$ be integers with $n_{i} \geq 8 \ell^{2} k_{i}^{2}$ sor $i=1, \ldots, \ell$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{s} \subseteq \sqcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\binom{V_{i}}{k_{i}}$ with $\left|V_{i}\right|=n_{i}$. If $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{s}$ are rainbow matching free, then there exists $1 \leq t \leq s$ such that

$$
\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right| \leq \prod_{i=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{i}}{k_{i}}-\min _{x_{1}+\cdots+x_{\ell}=s-1} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{i}-x_{i}}{k_{i}} .
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $n_{1} / k_{1} \leq n_{2} / k_{2} \leq \cdots \leq n_{\ell} / k_{\ell}$. By Lemma [3.5, we may assume that $\mathcal{F}_{i}$ is shifted for all $i=1, \ldots, s$. We prove the theorem by induction on $s$. The case $s=1$ is trivial. Assume that the lemma holds for the case $s-1$ and we have to show the lemma holds for the case $s$.

Let $V_{i}=\left\{v_{i, j}: j \in\left[n_{i}\right]\right\}$. For every $x \in \cup_{i=1}^{\ell} V_{i}$ and $1 \leq t \leq s$, define

$$
\mathcal{F}_{t}(x)=\left\{F \backslash\{x\}: x \in F \in \mathcal{F}_{t}\right\} \text { and } \mathcal{F}_{t}(\bar{x})=\left\{F: x \notin F \in \mathcal{F}_{t}\right\} .
$$

We distinguish into two cases.
Case 1. There exist $r \in[s]$ and $i \in[\ell]$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{1}\left(\overline{v_{i, 1}}\right), \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{r-1}\left(\overline{v_{i, 1}}\right), \mathcal{F}_{r+1}\left(\overline{v_{i, 1}}\right), \ldots$, $\mathcal{F}_{s}\left(\overline{v_{i, 1}}\right)$ are rainbow matching free.

By the induction hypothesis, there exists $t \in[s] \backslash\{r\}$ such that

$$
\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}\left(\overline{v_{i, 1}}\right)\right| \leq\binom{ n_{i}-1}{k_{i}} \prod_{j \neq i}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}}-\min _{y_{1}+\cdots+y_{l}=s-1}\binom{n_{i}-1-y_{i}}{k_{i}} \prod_{j \neq i}\binom{n_{j}-y_{j}}{k_{j}} .
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right| & =\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}\left(\overline{v_{i, 1}}\right)\right|+\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}\left(v_{i, 1}\right)\right| \\
& \leq\binom{ n_{i}-1}{k_{i}} \prod_{j \neq i}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}}-\min _{y_{1}+\cdots+y_{\ell}=s-1}\binom{n_{i}-1-y_{i}}{k_{i}} \prod_{j \neq i}\binom{n_{j}-y_{j}}{k_{j}}+\binom{n_{i}-1}{k_{i}-1} \prod_{j \neq i}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}} \\
& =\prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}}-\min _{y_{1}+\cdots+y_{\ell}=s-1}\binom{n_{i}-1-y_{i}}{k_{i}} \prod_{j \neq i}\binom{n_{j}-y_{j}}{k_{j}} \\
& \leq \prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}}-\min _{x_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots+x_{\ell}=s} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}-x_{j}}{k_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the lemma holds.
Case 2. $\mathcal{F}_{1}\left(\overline{v_{i, 1}}\right), \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{r-1}\left(\overline{v_{i, 1}}\right), \mathcal{F}_{r+1}\left(\overline{v_{i, 1}}\right), \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{s}\left(\overline{v_{i, 1}}\right)$ contain a rainbow matching for all $r \in[s]$ and $i \in[\ell]$.

Let $M$ be a rainbow matching in $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{1}\left(\overline{v_{i, 1}}\right), \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{r-1}\left(\overline{v_{i, 1}}\right), \mathcal{F}_{r+1}\left(\overline{v_{i, 1}}\right), \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{s}\left(\overline{v_{i, 1}}\right)\right\}$ and let $U$ be the set of vertices covered by $M$. Since $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{s}$ are rainbow matching free, each
edge of $\mathcal{F}_{r}\left(v_{i, 1}\right)$ intersects $U$. It follows that

$$
\left|\mathcal{F}_{r}\left(v_{i, 1}\right)\right| \leq \prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}^{\prime}}{k_{j}^{\prime}}-\prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}^{\prime}-(s-1) k_{j}}{k_{j}^{\prime}},
$$

where $n_{j}^{\prime}=n_{j}, k_{j}^{\prime}=k_{j}$ for all $j \neq i$ and $n_{i}^{\prime}=n_{i}-1, k_{i}^{\prime}=k_{i}-1$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{F}_{r}\left(v_{i, 1}\right)\right| & \leq \sum_{p=1}^{\ell}\left[\binom{n_{p}^{\prime}}{k_{p}^{\prime}}-\binom{n_{p}^{\prime}-(s-1) k_{p}}{k_{p}^{\prime}}\right] \prod_{j \leq p-1}\binom{n_{j}^{\prime}-(s-1) k_{j}}{k_{j}^{\prime}} \prod_{j \geq p+1}\binom{n_{j}^{\prime}}{k_{j}^{\prime}} \\
& \leq \sum_{p=1}^{\ell}(s-1) k_{p}\binom{n_{p}^{\prime}-1}{k_{p}^{\prime}-1} \prod_{j \leq p-1}\binom{n_{j}^{\prime}-(s-1) k_{j}}{k_{j}^{\prime}} \prod_{j \geq p+1}\binom{n_{j}^{\prime}}{k_{j}^{\prime}} \\
& \leq \sum_{p=1}^{\ell}(s-1) k_{p}\binom{n_{p}^{\prime}-1}{k_{p}^{\prime}-1} \prod_{j \leq p-1}\binom{n_{j}^{\prime}}{k_{j}^{\prime}} \prod_{j \geq p+1}\binom{n_{j}^{\prime}}{k_{j}^{\prime}} \\
& \leq \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \frac{(s-1) k_{p} k_{p}^{\prime}}{n_{p}^{\prime}}\binom{n_{p}^{\prime}}{k_{p}^{\prime}} \prod_{j \leq p-1}\binom{n_{j}^{\prime}}{k_{j}^{\prime}} \prod_{j \geq p+1}\binom{n_{j}^{\prime}}{k_{j}^{\prime}} \\
& =\left(\sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \frac{(s-1) k_{p} k_{p}^{\prime}}{n_{p}^{\prime}}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}^{\prime}}{k_{j}^{\prime}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\frac{k_{i}^{\prime}}{n_{i}^{\prime}}=\frac{k_{i}-1}{n_{i}-1} \leq \frac{k_{i}}{n_{i}}$ and $\binom{n_{i}^{\prime}}{k_{i}^{\prime}}=\frac{k_{i}}{n_{i}}\binom{n}{k_{i}}$. It follows that for every $i \in[\ell]$ and $r \in[s]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{F}_{r}\left(v_{i, 1}\right)\right| \leq \frac{(s-1) k_{i}}{n_{i}}\left(\sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \frac{k_{p}^{2}}{n_{p}}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $j \in[\ell]$, let $S_{j}=\left\{v_{j, 1}, \ldots, v_{j, s}\right\}$ and let $V_{j}^{\prime}=V_{j} \backslash S_{j}$. For every $r \in[s]$ and $i \in[\ell]$, define

$$
\mathcal{F}_{r}\left[v_{i, s}\right]=\left\{E \in \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{\ell}\binom{V_{j}^{\prime}}{k_{j}^{\prime}}: E \cup\left\{v_{i, s}\right\} \in \mathcal{F}_{r}\right\},
$$

where $k_{j}^{\prime}=k_{j}$ for all $j \neq i$ and $k_{i}^{\prime}=k_{i}-1$. Define

$$
\mathcal{F}_{r}^{*}\left[v_{i, s}\right]=\left\{E \cup\{u\}: E \in \mathcal{F}_{r}\left[v_{i, s}\right], u \in V_{i}^{\prime} \backslash E\right\} .
$$

Claim 1. There exists $t \in[s]$ such that for all $i \in[\ell]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}\left[v_{i, s}\right]\right| \leq \frac{6 s k_{1}}{n_{1}} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq \ell}\binom{n_{j}-s}{k_{j}} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that for every $t \in[s]$ there is an $i_{t} \in[\ell]$ such that

$$
\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}\left[v_{i t, s}\right]\right|>\frac{6 s k_{1}}{n_{1}} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq \ell}\binom{n_{j}-s}{k_{j}} .
$$

Note that

$$
\mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}\left[v_{i_{t}, s}\right] \subseteq \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{\ell}\binom{V_{j}^{\prime}}{k_{j}} .
$$

By Lemma 3.4, we conclude that $\mathcal{F}_{1}^{*}\left[v_{i_{1}, s}\right], \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{s}^{*}\left[v_{i_{s}, s}\right]$ contain a rainbow matching. Let $F_{1} \in \mathcal{F}_{1}^{*}\left[v_{i_{1}, s}\right], \ldots, F_{s} \in \mathcal{F}_{s}^{*}\left[v_{i_{s}, s}\right]$ be such a matching. By the definition of $\mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}\left[v_{i_{t}, s}\right]$, we see that there exist $E_{1} \subset F_{1}, \ldots, E_{s} \subset F_{s}$ such that $E_{1} \cup\left\{v_{i_{1}, s}\right\} \in \mathcal{F}_{1}, E_{2} \cup\left\{v_{i_{2}, s}\right\} \in \mathcal{F}_{2} \ldots, E_{s} \cup\left\{v_{i_{s}, s}\right\} \in$ $\mathcal{F}_{s}$. Note that $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{s}$ are all shifted. It follows that $E_{1} \cup\left\{v_{i_{1}, 1}\right\} \in \mathcal{F}_{1}, E_{2} \cup\left\{v_{i_{2}, 2}\right\} \in$ $\mathcal{F}_{2}, \ldots, E_{s} \cup\left\{v_{i_{s}, s}\right\} \in \mathcal{F}_{s}$ is a rainbow matching, which contradicts the fact that $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{s}$ are rainbow matching free.

By Claim 1, there exists $t \in[s]$ such that (3.12) holds for all $i \in[\ell]$. By the definition of $\mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}\left[v_{i, s}\right]$, we see that for each $E \in \mathcal{F}_{t}\left[v_{i, s}\right]$ there are $\left|V_{i}^{\prime} \backslash E\right|=\left(n_{i}-s\right)-\left(k_{i}-1\right)$ choices of $u$ such that $E \cup\{u\} \in \mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}\left[v_{i, s}\right]$. Moreover, for each $F \in \mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}\left[v_{i, s}\right]$ we have $\left|F \cap V_{i}^{\prime}\right|=k_{i}$. It follows that at most $k_{i}$ sets in $\mathcal{F}_{t}\left[v_{i, s}\right]$ are contained in $F$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}\left[v_{i, s}\right]\right| \geq \frac{n_{i}-s-k_{i}+1}{k_{i}} \cdot\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}\left[v_{i, s}\right]\right| . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.12) and (3.13), we obtain that for all $i=1,2, \ldots, \ell$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}\left[v_{i, s}\right]\right| \leq \frac{6 s k_{1} k_{i}}{n_{1}\left(n_{i}-s-k_{i}+1\right)} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq \ell}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{t-1}, \mathcal{F}_{t+1}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{s}$ contain a rainbow matching. Let $M^{\prime}$ be such a matching and let $U^{\prime}$ be the set of vertices covered by $M^{\prime}$. By shiftedness, we may assume that

$$
U^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell}\left\{v_{i, 1}, \ldots, v_{i,(s-1) k_{i}}\right\}
$$

Since $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{s}$ are rainbow matching free, it follows that every edge of $\mathcal{F}_{t}$ intersects $U^{\prime}$. Thus,

$$
\mathcal{F}_{t}=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{(s-1) k_{i}} \mathcal{F}_{t}\left[v_{i, j}\right]
$$

By shiftedness, we have

$$
\mathcal{F}_{t}\left[v_{i, 1}\right] \supseteq \mathcal{F}_{t}\left[v_{i, 2}\right] \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathcal{F}_{t}\left[v_{i,(s-1) k_{i}}\right]
$$

for $i=1,2, \ldots, \ell$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell}(s-1)\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}\left[v_{i, 1}\right]\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left(k_{i}-1\right)(s-1)\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}\left[v_{i, s}\right]\right| . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitute (3.11) and (3.14) into (3.15), we arrive at

$$
\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left((s-1)^{2}\left(\sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \frac{k_{p}^{2}}{n_{p}}\right) \frac{k_{i}}{n_{i}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}}+6 s(s-1) \frac{k_{i}\left(k_{i}-1\right)}{n_{i}-s-k_{i}+1} \frac{k_{1}}{n_{1}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}}\right)
$$

Since $\frac{k_{i}}{n_{i}} \leq \frac{k_{1}}{n_{1}}$, we have

$$
\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right| \leq \frac{k_{1}}{n_{1}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left((s-1)^{2} \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \frac{k_{p}^{2}}{n_{p}}+6 s(s-1) \frac{k_{i}\left(k_{i}-1\right)}{n_{i}-s-k_{i}+1}\right) .
$$

Since $n_{i} \geq 8 \ell^{2} k_{i}^{2} s$ and $\ell \geq 2$, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left((s-1)^{2} \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \frac{k_{p}^{2}}{n_{p}}\right)=\frac{(s-1)^{2} \ell^{2}}{8 \ell^{2} s} \leq \frac{s-1}{8}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{6 s(s-1) k_{i}\left(k_{i}-1\right)}{n_{i}-s-k_{i}+1} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{6 s(s-1) k_{i}\left(k_{i}-1\right)}{16 \ell k_{i}\left(k_{i}-1\right) s+16 \ell k_{i} s-s-k_{i}+1} \leq \frac{3(s-1)}{8}
$$

Moreover, $\frac{k_{i}}{n_{i}} \leq \frac{k_{1}}{n_{1}}$. Hence, by inequality (2.4) we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right| & \leq \frac{(s-1)}{2}\binom{n_{1}-1}{k_{1}-1} \prod_{j=2}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}} \\
& \leq(s-1)\binom{n_{1}-s+1}{k_{1}-1} \prod_{j=2}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}} \\
& \leq\left(\binom{n_{1}}{k_{1}}-\binom{n_{1}-s+1}{k_{1}}\right) \prod_{j=2}^{\ell}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and this completes the proof.
Proof of Theorem 1.3. As the same to the proof of Theorem 1.2, we have

$$
\min _{x_{1}+\cdots+x_{\ell}=s} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell}\binom{n_{i}-x_{i}}{k_{i}}=\min _{1 \leq i \leq \ell}\binom{n_{i}-s}{k_{i}} \prod_{j \neq i}\binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}} .
$$

Thus, Lemma 3.6 implies the theorem.
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