Extremal Problem for Matchings and Rainbow Matchings on Direct Products

Jian Wang¹, Jie You²

¹Department of Mathematics Taiyuan University of Technology Taiyuan 030024, P. R. China

²Center for Applied Mathematics Tianjin University Tianjin 300072, P. R. China

E-mail: ¹wangjian01@tyut.edu.cn, ²yj_math@tju.edu.cn

Abstract

Let $n_1, \ldots, n_\ell, k_1, \ldots, k_\ell$ be integers and let V_1, \ldots, V_ℓ be disjoint sets with $|V_i| = n_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$. Define $\sqcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \binom{V_i}{k_i}$ as the collection of all subsets F of $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} V_i$ with $|F \cap V_i| = k_i$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$. In this paper, we show that if the matching number of $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \sqcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \binom{V_i}{k_i}$ is at most s and $n_i \geq 4\ell^2 k_i^2 s$ for all i, then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} [\binom{n_i}{k_i} - \binom{n_i - s}{k_i}]\prod_{j \neq i} \binom{n_j}{k_j}$. Let $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_s \subseteq \sqcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \binom{V_i}{k_i}$ with $n_i \geq 8\ell^2 k_i^2 s$ for all i. We also prove that if $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_s$ are rainbow matching free, then there exists t in [s] such that $|\mathcal{F}_t| \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} \left[\binom{n_i}{k_i} - \binom{n_i - s + 1}{k_i}\right]\prod_{j \neq i} \binom{n_j}{k_j}$.

1 Introduction

Let $[n] = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and $\binom{[n]}{k}$ be the collection of all its k-subsets. For a k-graph $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$, the matching number of \mathcal{F} , denote by $\nu(\mathcal{F})$, is the maximum number of pairwise disjoint members of \mathcal{F} . Let $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, ..., \mathcal{F}_s \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k}$. We say that $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, ..., \mathcal{F}_s$ contain a rainbow matching if there exist s pairwise disjoint sets $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2, ..., F_s \in \mathcal{F}_s$.

One of the most important open problems in extremal set theory is to determine the maximum of $|\mathcal{F}|$ subject to $\nu(\mathcal{F}) \leq s$, which is known as the celebrated Erdős matching conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1 (Erdős Matching Conjecture [5]). Let $n \ge (s+1)k$ and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq {\binom{[n]}{k}}$. If $\nu(\mathcal{F}) \le s$, then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \le \max\left\{ \binom{k(s+1)-1}{k}, \binom{n}{k} - \binom{n-s}{k} \right\}.$$
(1.1)

The two simple constructions showing that (1.1) is best possible (if true) are

$$\mathcal{E}(n,k,s) = \left\{ E \in \binom{[n]}{k} : E \cap [s] \neq \emptyset \right\} \text{ and } \binom{[(s+1)k-1]}{k}.$$

The case s = 1 is the classical Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem [15]. Erdős and Gallai [6] proved (1.1) for k = 2. The k = 3 case is settled in [10]. For general k, Erdős proved that (1.1) is true and up to isomorphic $\mathcal{E}(n, k, s)$ is the only optimal family provided that $n > n_0(k, s)$. The bounds for $n_0(k, s)$ were subsequently improved by Bollobás, Daykin and Erdős [3], Huang, Loh and Sudakov [14]. The current best bounds establish (1.1) for n > (2s + 1)k ([9]) and for $s > s_0$, $n > \frac{5}{3}sk$ ([11]).

A rainbow version of Erdős matching conjecture was proposed by Aharoni, Howardand [1] and independently Huang, Loh, Sudakov [14].

Conjecture 1.2 ([1,14]). Let $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_s \subseteq {\binom{[n]}{k}}$. If

$$|\mathcal{F}_i| > \max\left\{ \binom{ks-1}{k}, \binom{n}{k} - \binom{n-s+1}{k} \right\},\tag{1.2}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq s$, then $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_s$ contain a rainbow matching.

Using a junta method, Keller and Lifshitz [16] showed the validity of (1.2) for n > f(s)k, where f(s) is an unspecified and a very quickly growing function of s. In [12], Frankl and Kupavskii improved the bound to $12sk(2 + \log s)$. By using the absorbing method, Lu, Wang and Yu [19] proved (1.2) for $n \ge 2k(s+1)$ and $s > s_0(k)$. By applying the concentration inequality for random matchings developed in [11], Kupavskii [17] proved (1.2) for $n \ge 3e(s+1)k$ and $s > 10^7$.

Let $n_1, \ldots, n_\ell, k_1, \ldots, k_\ell$ be integers with $n_1/k_1 \leq \cdots \leq n_\ell/k_\ell$ and let V_1, \ldots, V_ℓ be pairwise disjoint sets with $|V_i| = n_i$. Define the direct product $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\ell} {V_i \choose k_i}$ as the collection of all subsets F of $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} V_i$ with $|F \cap V_i| = k_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$. By the algebraic method, Frankl [8] proved an Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem for direct products as follows:

Theorem 1.1 ([8]). Suppose that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \binom{V_i}{k_i}$ is intersecting and $2 \leq n_1/k_1 \leq \cdots \leq n_{\ell}/k_{\ell}$. Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \frac{k_1}{n_1} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \binom{n_i}{k_i}.$$

In this paper, we mainly consider a direct product version of Erdős matching conjecture. We determine the maximum number of edges in a subfamily of the direct product with matching number at most s for each n_i sufficiently large.

Theorem 1.2. Let $\ell, s, n_1, \ldots, n_\ell, k_1, \ldots, k_\ell$ be integers with $n_i \ge 4\ell^2 k_i^2 s$ for $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\ell} {V_i \choose k_i}$ with $|V_i| = n_i$. If $\nu(\mathcal{F}) \le s$, then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \le \max_{1 \le i \le \ell} \left[\binom{n_i}{k_i} - \binom{n_i - s}{k_i} \right] \prod_{\substack{j \ne i \\ 1 \le j \le \ell}} \binom{n_j}{k_j}.$$
(1.3)

Let S_i be an s-subset of V_i . Set $\vec{n} = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_\ell)$ and $\vec{k} = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_\ell)$. Define

$$\mathcal{E}_i(\vec{n}, \vec{k}, s) = \left\{ E \in \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{V_j}{k_j} : E \cap S_i \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

The constructions $\mathcal{E}_i(\vec{n}, \vec{k}, s)$ show that (1.3) is best possible.

By the random matching technique developed by Frankl and Kupavskii [11], we obtain a condition on the number of edges for a family of direct products to contain a rainbow matching for each n_i sufficiently large.

Theorem 1.3. Let $\ell, s, n_1, \ldots, n_\ell, k_1, \ldots, k_\ell$ be integers with $n_i \ge 8\ell^2 k_i^2 s$ for $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$. Let $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_s \subseteq \sqcup_{i=1}^{\ell} {V_i \choose k_i}$ with $|V_i| = n_i$. If $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_s$ are rainbow matching free, then there exists $1 \le t \le s$ such that

$$|\mathcal{F}_t| \le \max_{1 \le i \le \ell} \left[\binom{n_i}{k_i} - \binom{n_i - s + 1}{k_i} \right] \prod_{\substack{j \ne i \\ 1 \le j \le \ell}} \binom{n_j}{k_j}.$$
(1.4)

The constructions $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_2 = \cdots = \mathcal{F}_s = \mathcal{E}_i(\vec{n}, \vec{k}, s)$ show that (1.4) is best possible.

2 Extremal problem for matchings on direct products

Frankl and the first author [13] proved an upper bound on the sum of sizes of overlapping families. In this section, by a probabilistic argument we transfer it to an upper bound on the size of $\mathcal{F} \subset \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\ell} {V_i \choose k_i}$ with matching number at most s. By using this upper bound, we prove Theorem 1.2.

Let $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_m \subset {\binom{[n]}{k}}$ and $m \geq s+1$. If one cannot choose pairwise disjoint edges from s+1 distinct families of $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_m$, we say these families are s-overlapping.

Lemma 2.1 ([13]). Let $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_m \subset {\binom{[n]}{k}}$ be s-overlapping families with $n \ge (s+1)k$ and $m \ge s+1$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |\mathcal{F}_i| \le \max\left\{s\binom{n}{k}, ms\binom{n-1}{k-1}\right\}.$$

By Lemma 2.1, we prove the following lemma via a probabilistic argument.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\ell} {V_i \choose k_i}$ with $|V_i| = n_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$ and $s+1 \leq n_1/k_1 \leq \cdots \leq n_{\ell}/k_{\ell}$. If $\nu(\mathcal{F}) \leq s$, then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \le \frac{(s+1)k_1}{n_1} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \binom{n_i}{k_i}.$$

Proof. Let $m = \lfloor \frac{n_2}{k_2} \rfloor$ and let B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_m be an *m*-matching chosen from $\sqcup_{i=2}^{\ell} {V_i \choose k_i}$ uniformly at random. Define

$$\mathcal{F}(B_i) = \left\{ A \in \binom{V_1}{k_1} : A \cup B_i \in \mathcal{F} \right\}$$

for i = 1, ..., m. Since $\nu(\mathcal{F}) \leq s, \mathcal{F}(B_1), ..., \mathcal{F}(B_m)$ are s-overlapping. Note that $m \geq s+1$. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |\mathcal{F}(B_i)| \le \max\left\{s\binom{n_1}{k_1}, ms\binom{n_1-1}{k_1-1}\right\}.$$

 $n_1/k_1 \leq n_2/k_2$ implies that $n_1/k_1 \leq \lfloor n_2/k_2 \rfloor + 1 = m + 1$. It follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |\mathcal{F}(B_i)| \le (m+1)s \binom{n_1 - 1}{k_1 - 1}.$$
(2.1)

Taking the expectation on both sides of (2.1), we obtain that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{E}|\mathcal{F}(B_i)| \le (m+1)s \binom{n_1 - 1}{k_1 - 1}.$$
(2.2)

Since B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_m are chosen from $\sqcup_{i=2}^{\ell} {V_i \choose k_i}$ uniformly, we have

$$\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{F}(B_i)| = \sum_{B \in \bigsqcup_{i=2}^{\ell} \binom{V_i}{k_i}} |\mathcal{F}(B)| \cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{i=2}^{\ell} \binom{n_i}{k_i}} = \frac{|\mathcal{F}|}{\prod_{i=2}^{\ell} \binom{n_i}{k_i}}.$$
(2.3)

By (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$|\mathcal{F}| \le \left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right) s \binom{n_1 - 1}{k_1 - 1} \prod_{i=2}^{\ell} \binom{n_i}{k_i}.$$

Since $m = \lfloor \frac{n_2}{k_2} \rfloor > s$, it follows that

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right)s < s + 1$$

and the lemma follows.

The following operation, called shifting, was invented by Erdős, Ko and Rado [4] and further developed by Frankl [7]. Let $1 \le i < j \le n$, $\mathcal{F} \subset {[n] \choose k}$. Define

$$S_{ij}(\mathcal{F}) = \{ S_{ij}(F) \colon F \in \mathcal{F} \},\$$

where

$$S_{ij}(F) = \begin{cases} (F \setminus \{j\}) \cup \{i\}, & j \in F, i \notin F \text{ and } (F \setminus \{j\}) \cup \{i\} \notin \mathcal{F}; \\ F, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is well known (cf. [7]) that shifting does not increase the matching number.

Let us recall some properties of the shifting operator. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \binom{V_i}{k_i}$ with $V_i = \{v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}, \ldots, v_{i,n_i}\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$. Define a partial order \prec on $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} V_i$ such that

$$v_{i,1} \prec v_{i,2} \prec \cdots \prec v_{i,n_i}$$

for each *i* and vertices from different parts are incomparable. A family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\ell} {V_i \choose k_i}$ is called shifted if $S_{ab}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}$ for all $a, b \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} V_i$ with $a \prec b$. Frankl [7] proved that repeated application of shifting to any family eventually produces a shifted family.

Let $k = k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_\ell$. For two different edges $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k\}$ and $B = \{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k\}$ in $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \binom{V_i}{k_i}$, define $A \prec B$ iff there exists a permutation $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \ldots \sigma_k$ of [k] such that $a_j \prec b_{\sigma_j}$ or $a_j = b_{\sigma_j}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k$. It is shown in [7] that if \mathcal{F} is a shifted family, $A \prec B$ and $B \in \mathcal{F}$ then $A \in \mathcal{F}$ as well.

In the proof of the following lemma, we need the following inequality, which were already used in [3]. For $n \ge (2s+1)k$,

$$\binom{n-s}{k} / \binom{n}{k} \ge \left(1 - \frac{s}{n-k}\right)^k \ge 1 - \frac{ks}{n-k} \ge \frac{1}{2}.$$
(2.4)

Lemma 2.3. Let $\ell, s, n_1, \ldots, n_\ell, k_1, \ldots, k_\ell$ be integers with $n_i \ge 4\ell^2 k_i^2 s$ for $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$. If $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \binom{V_i}{k_i}$ has matching number at most s, then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \le \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \binom{n_i}{k_i} - \min_{x_1 + \dots + x_\ell = s} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \binom{n_i - x_i}{k_i}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $n_1/k_1 \leq \cdots \leq n_\ell/k_\ell$ and \mathcal{F} is shifted. We prove the lemma by induction on s. The case s = 1 is verified in Theorem 1.1. Assume that the lemma holds for the case s - 1. Let $V_i = \{v_{i,j} : j \in [n_i]\}$. For each $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} V_i$, define

$$\mathcal{F}(x) = \{F \setminus \{x\} \colon x \in F \in \mathcal{F}\} \text{ and } \mathcal{F}(\overline{x}) = \{F \colon x \notin F \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

We distinguish two cases.

Case 1. $\nu(\mathcal{F}(\overline{v_{i,1}})) \leq s-1$ for some $i \in [\ell]$.

By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$|\mathcal{F}(\overline{v_{i,1}})| \le \binom{n_i - 1}{k_i} \prod_{j \ne i} \binom{n_j}{k_j} - \min_{y_1 + \dots + y_\ell = s - 1} \binom{n_i - 1 - y_i}{k_i} \prod_{j \ne i} \binom{n_j - y_j}{k_j}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}| &= |\mathcal{F}(\overline{v_{i,1}})| + |\mathcal{F}(v_{i,1})| \\ &\leq \binom{n_i - 1}{k_i} \prod_{j \neq i} \binom{n_j}{k_j} - \min_{y_1 + \dots + y_\ell = s - 1} \binom{n_i - 1 - y_i}{k_i} \prod_{j \neq i} \binom{n_j - y_j}{k_j} + \binom{n_i - 1}{k_i - 1} \prod_{j \neq i} \binom{n_j}{k_j} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{n_j}{k_j} - \min_{y_1 + \dots + y_\ell = s - 1} \binom{n_i - 1 - y_i}{k_i} \prod_{j \neq i} \binom{n_j - y_j}{k_j} \\ &\leq \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{n_j}{k_j} - \min_{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_\ell = s} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{n_j - x_j}{k_j} \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma holds.

Case 2. $\nu(\mathcal{F}(\overline{v_{i,1}})) = s \text{ for all } i \in [\ell].$

Let M be an s-matching in $\mathcal{F}(\overline{v_{i,1}})$ and let U be the set of vertices covered by M. Since $\nu(\mathcal{F}) \leq s$, each edge in $\mathcal{F}(v_{i,1})$ intersects U. It follows that

$$|\mathcal{F}(v_{i,1})| \leq \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{n'_j}{k'_j} - \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{n'_j - sk_j}{k'_j}$$

where $n'_j = n_j, k'_j = k_j$ for all $j \neq i$ and $n'_i = n_i - 1, k'_i = k_i - 1$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}(v_{i,1})| &\leq \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \left[\binom{n'_p}{k'_p} - \binom{n'_p - sk_p}{k'_p} \right] \prod_{j \leq p-1} \binom{n'_j - sk_j}{k'_j} \prod_{j \geq p+1} \binom{n'_j}{k'_j} \\ &\leq \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} sk_p \binom{n'_p - 1}{k'_p - 1} \prod_{j \leq p-1} \binom{n'_j - sk_j}{k'_j} \prod_{j \geq p+1} \binom{n'_j}{k'_j} \\ &\leq \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} sk_p \binom{n'_p - 1}{k'_p - 1} \prod_{j \leq p-1} \binom{n'_j}{k'_j} \prod_{j \geq p+1} \binom{n'_j}{k'_j} \\ &\leq \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \frac{sk_pk'_p}{n'_p} \binom{n'_p}{k'_p} \prod_{j \leq p-1} \binom{n'_j}{k'_j} \prod_{j \geq p+1} \binom{n'_j}{k'_j} \\ &= \left(\sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \frac{sk_pk'_p}{n'_p} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{n'_j}{k'_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\frac{k'_i}{n'_i} = \frac{k_i - 1}{n_i - 1} \le \frac{k_i}{n_i}$ and $\binom{n'_i}{k'_i} = \frac{k_i}{n_i} \binom{n_i}{k_i}$. It follows that

$$|\mathcal{F}(v_{i,1})| \le \frac{k_i}{n_i} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \frac{sk_p^2}{n_p} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{n_j}{k_j}.$$
(2.5)

Let M' be an s-matching in \mathcal{F} and let U' be the set of vertices covered by M'. Since \mathcal{F} is shifted, we may assume that

$$U' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \{v_{i,1}, \dots, v_{i,sk_i}\}.$$

For each $i \in [\ell]$ and $j \in [sk_i]$, define

$$\mathcal{F}[v_{i,j}] = \left\{ T \in \bigsqcup_{p=1}^{\ell} \binom{V'_p}{k'_p} \colon T \cup \{v_{i,j}\} \in \mathcal{F} \right\},\$$

where $V'_p = V_p$, $k'_p = k_p$ for $p \neq i$ and $V'_i = V_i \setminus \{v_{i,1}, \ldots, v_{i,j}\}$, $k'_i = k_i - 1$. By shiftedness, we have

$$\mathcal{F}[v_{i,1}] \supseteq \mathcal{F}[v_{i,2}] \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathcal{F}[v_{i,sk_i}]$$
(2.6)

for $i = 1, 2, ..., \ell$.

We claim that $\nu(\mathcal{F}[v_{i,s+1}]) \leq s$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell$. Otherwise, let $E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_{s+1}$ be a matching of size s + 1 in $\mathcal{F}[v_{i,s+1}]$. By (2.6), $E_1 \cup \{v_{i,1}\}, E_2 \cup \{v_{i,2}\}, \ldots, E_{s+1} \cup \{v_{i,s+1}\}$ is a matching of size s + 1 in \mathcal{F} , a contradiction. Thus $\nu(\mathcal{F}[v_{i,s+1}]) \leq s$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell$. Note that $n_i \geq (s+1)k_i$ implies that $\frac{n_i - s - 1}{k_i - 1} \geq \frac{n_i}{k_i} \geq \frac{n_1}{k_1}$. By Lemma 2.2, we get for each i

$$|\mathcal{F}[v_{i,s+1}]| \le \frac{(s+1)k_1}{n_1} \binom{n_i - s - 1}{k_i - 1} \prod_{j \ne i} \binom{n_j}{k_j} \le \frac{(s+1)k_1k_i}{n_1n_i} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{n_j}{k_j}.$$
 (2.7)

Since M' is a largest matching in \mathcal{F} , U' is a vertex cover of \mathcal{F} . By (2.6), it follows that

$$|\mathcal{F}| \le \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{sk_i} |\mathcal{F}[v_{i,j}]| \le \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left(s|\mathcal{F}[v_{i,1}]| + (sk_i - s)|\mathcal{F}[v_{i,s+1}]| \right).$$
(2.8)

Substitute (2.5) and (2.7) into (2.8), we arrive at

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left(s \frac{k_i}{n_i} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \frac{s k_p^2}{n_p} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{n_j}{k_j} + s(k_i - 1) \frac{(s+1)k_1 k_i}{n_1 n_i} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{n_j}{k_j} \right) \right)$$
$$\leq s \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{n_j}{k_j} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{k_i}{n_i} \cdot \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \frac{s k_p^2}{n_p} + \frac{2s k_1 k_i^2}{n_1 n_i} \right).$$

Since $n_i \ge 4\ell^2 k_i^2 s$, we have

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \frac{sk_p^2}{n_p} \le \frac{1}{4\ell}, \text{ and } \frac{2sk_i^2}{n_i} \le \frac{1}{2\ell^2} \le \frac{1}{4\ell}.$$

Moreover, $\frac{k_i}{n_i} \leq \frac{k_1}{n_1}$. Hence, by inequality (2.4) we obtain that

$$|\mathcal{F}| \le \frac{s}{2} \binom{n_1 - 1}{k_1 - 1} \prod_{j=2}^{\ell} \binom{n_j}{k_j} \le s \binom{n_1 - s}{k_1 - 1} \prod_{j=2}^{\ell} \binom{n_j}{k_j} \le \left(\binom{n_1}{k_1} - \binom{n_1 - s}{k_1}\right) \prod_{j=2}^{\ell} \binom{n_j}{k_j}$$

and this completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemma 2.3, we are left to show that

$$\min_{x_1+\dots+x_\ell=s} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \binom{n_i - x_i}{k_i} = \min_{1 \le i \le \ell} \binom{n_i - s}{k_i} \prod_{j \ne i} \binom{n_j}{k_j}.$$

Set

$$f(x) = \binom{n_i - x}{k_i} \binom{n_j - c + x}{k_j}.$$

Computing its derivative, we have

$$f'(x) = \binom{n_i - x}{k_i} \binom{n_j - c + x}{k_j} \left[\sum_{q=0}^{k_j - 1} \frac{1}{n_j - c + x - q} - \sum_{p=0}^{k_i - 1} \frac{1}{n_i - x - p} \right].$$

Set

$$g(x) = \sum_{q=0}^{k_j - 1} \frac{1}{n_j - c + x - q} - \sum_{p=0}^{k_i - 1} \frac{1}{n_i - x - p}.$$

It is easy to see that g(x) is a decreasing function in the range $[k_j - n_j + c, n_i - k_i]$. Moreover,

$$g(k_j - n_j + c) = \sum_{q=0}^{k_j - 1} \frac{1}{k_j - q} - \sum_{p=0}^{k_i - 1} \frac{1}{n_i - p + n_j - k_j - c} > 1 - \frac{k_i}{n_i - k_i} > 0$$

and

$$g(n_i - k_i) = \sum_{q=0}^{k_j - 1} \frac{1}{n_j - c + n_i - k_i - q} - \sum_{p=0}^{k_i - 1} \frac{1}{k_i - p} < \frac{k_j}{n_j - k_j} - 1 < 0.$$

Let x_0 be the unique zero of g(x) in the range $[k_j - n_j + c, n_i - k_i]$. It follows that f(x) is increasing in the range $[k_j - n_j, x_0]$ and decreasing in the range $[x_0, n_i - k_i]$. Then we have

$$\min_{0 \le x \le c} f(x) = \min\left\{ \binom{n_i - c}{k_i} \binom{n_j}{k_j}, \binom{n_i}{k_i} \binom{n_j - c}{k_j} \right\}.$$

Thus,

$$\min_{x_1+\dots+x_\ell=s} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \binom{n_i - x_i}{k_i} = \min_{1 \le i \le \ell} \binom{n_i - s}{k_i} \prod_{j \ne i} \binom{n_j}{k_j}$$

and the theorem follows.

x

3 Extremal problem for rainbow matchings on direct products

Frankl and Kupavskii [11] proved a concentration inequality for the intersections of a kuniform hypergraph and a random matching. In this section, by the concentration property of the bipartite graph defined on two random matchings, we establish a condition on the number of edges for a family of direct products to contain a rainbow matching.

Aharoni and Howard [1] provided a tight condition on the number of edges for a family of bipartite graphs to contain a rainbow matching.

Lemma 3.1 ([1]). Let G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_s be bipartite graphs with the same partite sets L and R such that $|L| = |R| = m \ge s$. If $e(G_i) > (s-1)m$ for every $i \in [s]$, then G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_s contain a rainbow matching.

We recall that the Kneser graph KG(n,k) is the graph on the vertex set $\binom{[n]}{k}$ and with the edge set formed by pairs of disjoint sets. Lovasz [18] determined all the eigenvalues of the adjacent matrix of KG(n,k).

Lemma 3.2 ([18]). The eigenvalues of the adjacent matrix of KG(n,k) are $(-1)^i \binom{n-k-1}{k-i}$ with multiplicity $\binom{n}{i} - \binom{n}{i-1}$, i = 0, 1, ..., k. (Here $\binom{n}{-1} = 0$.)

We also need the following result due to Alon and Chung [2].

Lemma 3.3 ([2]). Let G be a d-regular graph on n vertices and A be its adjacent matrix. Let $d = \lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n \ge -d$ be the eigenvalue of A and let $\lambda = \max\{|\lambda_2|, |\lambda_n|\}$. For $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S| = \alpha n$, we have

$$\left| e(G[S]) - \frac{d}{n} \cdot \frac{(\alpha n)^2}{2} \right| \le \frac{1}{2} \lambda \alpha (1 - \alpha) n.$$

By considering the bipartite graph defined on two random matchings, we prove the following lemma, which is key to the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 3.4. Let $\ell, s, n_1, \ldots, n_\ell, k_1, \ldots, k_\ell$ be integers with $3s \leq n_1/k_1 \leq \cdots \leq n_\ell/k_\ell$. Let $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_s \subseteq \sqcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \binom{V_i}{k_i}$ with $|V_i| = n_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$. If $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_s$ are rainbow matching free, then there exists $1 \leq t \leq s$ such that

$$|\mathcal{F}_t| < \frac{6sk_1}{n_1} \prod_{1 \le j \le \ell} \binom{n_j}{k_j}.$$

Proof. Suppose that

$$|\mathcal{F}_t| = \frac{6sk_1}{n_1} \prod_{1 \le j \le \ell} \binom{n_j}{k_j}$$

for all $1 \leq t \leq s$. Set $m = \lfloor n_1/k_1 \rfloor$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \ldots, A_m\}$ be an *m*-matching chosen from $\binom{V_1}{k_1}$ uniformly at random and $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, \ldots, B_m\}$ be an *m*-matching chosen from $\sqcup_{i=2}^{\ell} \binom{V_i}{k_i}$ uniformly at random. For each $t = 1, \ldots, s$, we construct a bipartite graph G_t with partite sets \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} where we have an edge (A_i, B_j) iff $A_i \cup B_j \in \mathcal{F}_t$. Note that a rainbow matching in G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_s gives a rainbow matching in $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_s$. Thus, by Lemma 3.1 and the union bound, it suffices to show that

$$\Pr(e(G_t) \le (s-1)m) < \frac{1}{s}$$

for each $1 \leq t \leq s$. Let $X = e(G_t)$ and X_{ij} be the indicator function of the event that $A_i \cup B_j \in \mathcal{F}_t$. Clearly, we have

$$X = \sum_{1 \le i,j \le m} X_{ij}.$$

Set $\alpha = |\mathcal{F}_t| / \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} {n_j \choose k_j}$. Take expectation on both sides, we obtain that

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \sum_{1 \le i,j \le m} \Pr[A_i \cup B_j \in \mathcal{F}_t] = \sum_{1 \le i,j \le m} \frac{|\mathcal{F}_t|}{\prod_{j=1}^\ell {n_j \choose k_j}} = \alpha m^2.$$

Since $n_1/k_1 \ge 3s$, we have

$$\alpha m = \frac{6sk_1}{n_1} \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{n_1}{k_1} \right\rfloor \ge \frac{6sk_1}{n_1} \cdot \frac{n_1 - k_1}{k_1} \ge 6s\left(1 - \frac{k_1}{n_1}\right) \ge 6s - 2.$$
(3.1)

By the Chebyshev inequality, it follows that

$$\Pr(X \le (s-1)m) \le \Pr\left(X \le \frac{\mathbb{E}(X)}{6}\right) \le \Pr\left(|X - \mathbb{E}(X)| \ge \frac{5}{6}\mathbb{E}(X)\right) \le \frac{36}{25} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{Var}(X)}{\alpha^2 m^4}.$$
(3.2)

Now we need to give an upper bound for Var(X). For $1 \le i, i', j, j' \le m$, define

$$\theta(i, j, i', j') = \Pr\left(A_i \cup B_j, A_{i'} \cup B_{j'} \in \mathcal{F}_t\right) - \alpha^2.$$

Then,

$$\operatorname{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}(X^{2}) - \mathbb{E}(X)^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i,j,i',j'\in[m]} \left(\mathbb{E}[X_{ij}X_{i'j'}] - \mathbb{E}[X_{ij}]\mathbb{E}[X_{i'j'}] \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i,j,i',j'\in[m]} \left(\operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{i}\cup B_{j}, A_{i'}\cup B_{j'}\in\mathcal{F}_{t}\right) - \operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{i}\cup B_{j}\in\mathcal{F}_{t}\right) \operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{i'}\cup B_{j'}\in\mathcal{F}_{t}\right) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i,j,i',j'\in[m]} \theta(i,j,i',j'). \tag{3.3}$$

We derive upper bounds on $\theta(i, j, i', j')$ for $i, j, i', j' \in [m]$ by distinguishing four cases.

Case 1. $i \neq i'$ and $j \neq j'$.

Let H be a graph on the vertex set $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \binom{V_i}{k_i}$ and with the edge set formed by pairs of disjoint sets in $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \binom{V_i}{k_i}$. Let λ be the second largest absolute eigenvalue of adjacent matrix of H. Frankl [8] observed that the adjacency matrix of H is the Kronecker product of the adjacency matrices of the Kneser graphs. By Lemma 3.2, it follows that

$$\lambda = \frac{k_1}{n_1 - k_1} \prod_{1 \le p \le \ell} \binom{n_p - k_p}{k_p}.$$

Since $i \neq i'$ and $j \neq j'$, we have $(A_i \cup B_j) \cap (A_{i'} \cup B_{j'}) = \emptyset$. Note that A_1, \ldots, A_m and B_1, \ldots, B_m are chosen uniformly at random. The probability that $A_i \cup B_j, A_{i'} \cup B_{j'} \in \mathcal{F}_t$ equals to the probability that a uniform chosen edge from E(H) is an edge of the induce subgraph $H[\mathcal{F}_t]$, that is,

$$\Pr\left(A_i \cup B_j, A_{i'} \cup B_{j'} \in \mathcal{F}_t\right) = \frac{e(H[\mathcal{F}_t])}{e(H)}.$$

Let *D* be the degree of *H* and *N* be the number of vertices of *H*. It is easy to see that $D = \prod_{1 \le p \le \ell} {n_p - k_p \choose k_p}$ and $N = \prod_{1 \le p \le \ell} {n_p \choose k_p}$. Since *H* is *D*-regular, we have $e(H) = \frac{DN}{2}$. By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\theta(i,j,i',j') \le \left|\frac{e(H[\mathcal{F}_t])}{e(H)} - \alpha^2\right| \le \frac{\lambda}{D}\alpha(1-\alpha) \le \frac{k_1}{n_1 - k_1}\alpha.$$
(3.4)

Case 2. $i \neq i'$ and j = j'.

For $B \in \bigsqcup_{i=2}^{\ell} \binom{V_i}{k_i}$, define $\mathcal{F}_t(B) = \left\{ K \in \binom{V_1}{k_1} : K \cup B \in \mathcal{F}_t \right\}$. Let H be the Kneser graph on the vertex set $\binom{V_1}{k_1}$. Since $i \neq i'$ and j = j', the event that $A_i \cup B_j, A_{i'} \cup B_{j'} \in \mathcal{F}_t$ is equivalent to the event that a uniform chosen edge of H is an edge in $H[\mathcal{F}_t(B_j)]$. Thus,

$$\Pr\left(A_i \cup B_j, A_{i'} \cup B_{j'} \in \mathcal{F}_t\right) = \sum_{B \in \bigsqcup_{i=2}^{\ell} \binom{V_i}{k_i}} \Pr\left(A_i, A_i' \in \mathcal{F}_t(B) | B_j = B\right) \Pr\left(B_j = B\right)$$

$$= \sum_{B \in \bigsqcup_{i=2}^{\ell} \binom{V_i}{k_i}} \frac{e(H[\mathcal{F}_t(B)])}{e(H)} \cdot \Pr\left(B_j = B\right).$$
(3.5)

Set $\alpha(B) = |\mathcal{F}_t(B)|/|\binom{n_1}{k_1}|$. Let *D* be the degree of *H* and *N* be the number of vertices in *H*. Clearly, $D = \binom{n_1-k_1}{k_1}$ and $N = \binom{n_1}{k_1}$. Let λ be the second largest absolute eigenvalue of adjacent matrix of *H*. By Lemma 3.2, we have $\lambda = \binom{n_1-k_1-1}{k_1-1}$. By lemma 3.3, it follows that

$$\left|\frac{e(H[\mathcal{F}_t(B)])}{e(H)} - \alpha^2(B)\right| \le \frac{\lambda}{D}\alpha(B)(1 - \alpha(B)) \le \alpha(B)(1 - \alpha(B)).$$
(3.6)

By (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(i,j,i',j') &= \Pr\left(A_i \cup B_j, A_{i'} \cup B_{j'} \in \mathcal{F}_t\right) - \alpha^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\prod_{2 \leq p \leq \ell} \binom{n_p}{k_p}} \sum_{B \in \bigsqcup_{i=2}^{\ell} \binom{V_i}{k_i}} \left(\alpha(B)(1-\alpha(B)) + \alpha^2(B)\right) - \alpha^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\prod_{2 \leq p \leq \ell} \binom{n_p}{k_p}} \sum_{B \in \bigsqcup_{i=2}^{\ell} \binom{V_i}{k_i}} \alpha(B) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\prod_{2 \leq p \leq \ell} \binom{n_p}{k_p}} \sum_{B \in \bigsqcup_{i=2}^{\ell} \binom{V_i}{k_i}} \frac{|\mathcal{F}_t(B)|}{\binom{n_1}{k_1}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\prod_{1 \leq p \leq \ell} \binom{n_p}{k_p}} \sum_{B \in \bigsqcup_{i=2}^{\ell} \binom{V_i}{k_i}} |\mathcal{F}_t(B)| \\ &\leq \frac{|\mathcal{F}_t|}{\prod_{1 \leq p \leq \ell} \binom{n_p}{k_p}} \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.7)$$

Case 3. i = i' and $j \neq j'$.

Similarly, let H be a graph on the vertex set $\bigsqcup_{i=2}^{\ell} \binom{V_i}{k_i}$ and with the edge set formed by pairs of disjoint sets in $\bigsqcup_{i=2}^{\ell} \binom{V_i}{k_i}$. Let λ be the second largest absolute eigenvalue of adjacent matrix of H. Since the adjacency matrix of H is the Kronecker product of the adjacency matrices of the Kneser graphs. By Lemma 3.2, it follows that

$$\lambda = \frac{k_2}{n_2 - k_2} \prod_{2 \le p \le \ell} \binom{n_p - k_p}{k_p}.$$

For each $A \in {V_1 \choose k_1}$, define $\mathcal{F}_t(A) = \left\{ K \in \bigsqcup_{i=2}^{\ell} {V_i \choose k_i} : A \cup K \in \mathcal{F}_t \right\}$. Set $\alpha(A) = |\mathcal{F}_t(A)| / \prod_{2 \le p \le \ell} {n_p \choose k_p}$. Let D be the degree of H and let N be the number of vertices in H. Clearly, $D = \prod_{2 \le p \le \ell} {n_p - k_p \choose k_p}$ and $N = \prod_{2 \le p \le \ell} {n_p \choose k_p}$. Then,

$$\Pr\left(A_i \cup B_j, A_{i'} \cup B_{j'} \in \mathcal{F}_t\right) = \sum_{A \in \binom{V_1}{k_1}} \frac{e(H[\mathcal{F}_t(A)])}{e(H)} \cdot \Pr\left(A_i = A\right).$$

By Lemma 3.3, we have for $A \in \binom{V_1}{k_1}$

$$\left|\frac{e(H[\mathcal{F}_t(A)])}{e(H)} - \alpha^2(A)\right| \le \frac{\lambda}{D}\alpha(A)(1 - \alpha(A)) \le \alpha(A)(1 - \alpha(A)).$$

Thus,

$$\theta(i, j, i', j') \leq \Pr\left(A_i \cup B_j, A_{i'} \cup B_{j'} \in \mathcal{F}_t\right) - \alpha^2$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\binom{n_1}{k_1}} \sum_{A \in \binom{V_1}{k_1}} \left(\alpha(A)(1 - \alpha(A)) + \alpha^2(A)\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\binom{n_1}{k_1}} \cdot \sum_{A \in \binom{V_1}{k_1}} \alpha(A)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\binom{n_1}{k_1}} \sum_{A \in \binom{V_1}{k_1}} \frac{|\mathcal{F}_t(A)|}{\prod_{2 \leq p \leq \ell} \binom{n_p}{k_p}}$$

$$= \alpha.$$
(3.8)

Case 4. i = i' and j = j'.

In this case, $\Pr(A_i \cup B_j, A_{i'} \cup B_{j'} \in \mathcal{F}_t) = \Pr(A_i \cup B_j \in \mathcal{F}_t) = \alpha$. Hence,

$$\theta(i, j, i', j') = \alpha - \alpha^2 \le \alpha.$$
(3.9)

Substitute (3.4), (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9) into (3.3), we have

$$\operatorname{Var}(X) = \sum_{i,j,i',j' \in [m]} \theta(i,j,i',j') \le \frac{k_1}{n_1 - k_1} \alpha m^2 (m-1)^2 + 2\alpha m^3 + \alpha m^2.$$

Note that $m = \lfloor \frac{n_1}{k_1} \rfloor \leq \frac{n_1}{k_1}$ implies $\frac{k_1}{n_1 - k_1} \leq \frac{1}{m-1}$. It follows that

$$\operatorname{Var}(X) \le \frac{1}{m-1} \alpha m^2 (m-1)^2 + 2\alpha m^3 + \alpha m^2 = 3\alpha m^3.$$
(3.10)

Substitute (3.10) into (3.2), we arrive at

$$\Pr(X \le (s-1)m) \le \frac{36}{25} \cdot \frac{3\alpha m^3}{\alpha^2 m^4} = \frac{36 \cdot 3}{25\alpha m}.$$

Moreover, (3.1) implies that $\alpha m \ge 6s - 2 \ge 5s$. Thus, we obtain that

$$\Pr(X \le (s-1)m) \le \frac{36 \times 3}{25\alpha m} \le \frac{36 \times 3}{25 \times 5} \cdot \frac{1}{s} < \frac{1}{s},$$

and the lemma follows.

The following lemma shows that the shifting operator preserves the rainbow matching free property of a family of uniform hypergraphs, which is due to Huang, Loh and Sudakov [14].

Lemma 3.5 (Huang-Loh-Sudakov [14]). If the families $\mathcal{F}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_s \subseteq {\binom{[n]}{k}}$ are rainbow matching free and $i, j \in [n]$ with i < j, then $S_{ij}(\mathcal{F}_1), \ldots, S_{ij}(\mathcal{F}_s)$ are still rainbow matching free.

Lemma 3.6. Let $\ell, s, n_1, \ldots, n_\ell, k_1, \ldots, k_\ell$ be integers with $n_i \geq 8\ell^2 k_i^2 s$ for $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$. Let $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_s \subseteq \sqcup_{i=1}^{\ell} {V_i \choose k_i}$ with $|V_i| = n_i$. If $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_s$ are rainbow matching free, then there exists $1 \leq t \leq s$ such that

$$|\mathcal{F}_t| \le \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \binom{n_i}{k_i} - \min_{x_1 + \dots + x_\ell = s-1} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \binom{n_i - x_i}{k_i}.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $n_1/k_1 \leq n_2/k_2 \leq \cdots \leq n_\ell/k_\ell$. By Lemma 3.5, we may assume that \mathcal{F}_i is shifted for all $i = 1, \ldots, s$. We prove the theorem by induction on s. The case s = 1 is trivial. Assume that the lemma holds for the case s - 1 and we have to show the lemma holds for the case s.

Let
$$V_i = \{v_{i,j} : j \in [n_i]\}$$
. For every $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} V_i$ and $1 \le t \le s$, define
 $\mathcal{F}_t(x) = \{F \setminus \{x\} : x \in F \in \mathcal{F}_t\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_t(\overline{x}) = \{F : x \notin F \in \mathcal{F}_t\}$.

We distinguish into two cases.

Case 1. There exist $r \in [s]$ and $i \in [\ell]$ such that $\mathcal{F}_1(\overline{v_{i,1}}), \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{r-1}(\overline{v_{i,1}}), \mathcal{F}_{r+1}(\overline{v_{i,1}}), \ldots, \mathcal{F}_s(\overline{v_{i,1}})$ are rainbow matching free.

By the induction hypothesis, there exists $t \in [s] \setminus \{r\}$ such that

$$|\mathcal{F}_t(\overline{v_{i,1}})| \le \binom{n_i - 1}{k_i} \prod_{j \ne i} \binom{n_j}{k_j} - \min_{y_1 + \dots + y_\ell = s - 1} \binom{n_i - 1 - y_i}{k_i} \prod_{j \ne i} \binom{n_j - y_j}{k_j}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}_{t}| &= |\mathcal{F}_{t}(\overline{v_{i,1}})| + |\mathcal{F}_{t}(v_{i,1})| \\ &\leq \binom{n_{i}-1}{k_{i}} \prod_{j \neq i} \binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}} - \min_{y_{1}+\dots+y_{\ell}=s-1} \binom{n_{i}-1-y_{i}}{k_{i}} \prod_{j \neq i} \binom{n_{j}-y_{j}}{k_{j}} + \binom{n_{i}-1}{k_{i}-1} \prod_{j \neq i} \binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}} - \min_{y_{1}+\dots+y_{\ell}=s-1} \binom{n_{i}-1-y_{i}}{k_{i}} \prod_{j \neq i} \binom{n_{j}-y_{j}}{k_{j}} \\ &\leq \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}} - \min_{x_{1}+x_{2}+\dots+x_{\ell}=s} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{n_{j}-x_{j}}{k_{j}} \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma holds.

Case 2. $\mathcal{F}_1(\overline{v_{i,1}}), \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{r-1}(\overline{v_{i,1}}), \mathcal{F}_{r+1}(\overline{v_{i,1}}), \ldots, \mathcal{F}_s(\overline{v_{i,1}})$ contain a rainbow matching for all $r \in [s]$ and $i \in [\ell]$.

Let M be a rainbow matching in $\{\mathcal{F}_1(\overline{v_{i,1}}), \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{r-1}(\overline{v_{i,1}}), \mathcal{F}_{r+1}(\overline{v_{i,1}}), \ldots, \mathcal{F}_s(\overline{v_{i,1}})\}$ and let U be the set of vertices covered by M. Since $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_s$ are rainbow matching free, each

edge of $\mathcal{F}_r(v_{i,1})$ intersects U. It follows that

$$|\mathcal{F}_{r}(v_{i,1})| \leq \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{n'_{j}}{k'_{j}} - \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{n'_{j} - (s-1)k_{j}}{k'_{j}},$$

where $n'_j = n_j, k'_j = k_j$ for all $j \neq i$ and $n'_i = n_i - 1, k'_i = k_i - 1$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}_{r}(v_{i,1})| &\leq \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \left[\binom{n'_{p}}{k'_{p}} - \binom{n'_{p} - (s-1)k_{p}}{k'_{p}} \right] \prod_{j \leq p-1} \binom{n'_{j} - (s-1)k_{j}}{k'_{j}} \prod_{j \geq p+1} \binom{n'_{j}}{k'_{j}} \\ &\leq \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} (s-1)k_{p} \binom{n'_{p} - 1}{k'_{p} - 1} \prod_{j \leq p-1} \binom{n'_{j} - (s-1)k_{j}}{k'_{j}} \prod_{j \geq p+1} \binom{n'_{j}}{k'_{j}} \\ &\leq \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} (s-1)k_{p} \binom{n'_{p} - 1}{k'_{p} - 1} \prod_{j \leq p-1} \binom{n'_{j}}{k'_{j}} \prod_{j \geq p+1} \binom{n'_{j}}{k'_{j}} \\ &\leq \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \frac{(s-1)k_{p}k'_{p}}{n'_{p}} \binom{n'_{p}}{k'_{p}} \prod_{j \leq p-1} \binom{n'_{j}}{k'_{j}} \prod_{j \geq p+1} \binom{n'_{j}}{k'_{j}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \frac{(s-1)k_{p}k'_{p}}{n'_{p}} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{n'_{j}}{k'_{j}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\frac{k'_i}{n'_i} = \frac{k_i - 1}{n_i - 1} \leq \frac{k_i}{n_i}$ and $\binom{n'_i}{k'_i} = \frac{k_i}{n_i} \binom{n_i}{k_i}$. It follows that for every $i \in [\ell]$ and $r \in [s]$

$$\left|\mathcal{F}_{r}(v_{i,1})\right| \leq \frac{(s-1)k_{i}}{n_{i}} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \frac{k_{p}^{2}}{n_{p}}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{n_{j}}{k_{j}}.$$
(3.11)

For $j \in [\ell]$, let $S_j = \{v_{j,1}, \ldots, v_{j,s}\}$ and let $V'_j = V_j \setminus S_j$. For every $r \in [s]$ and $i \in [\ell]$, define

$$\mathcal{F}_r[v_{i,s}] = \left\{ E \in \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{V'_j}{k'_j} \colon E \cup \{v_{i,s}\} \in \mathcal{F}_r \right\},$$

where $k'_j = k_j$ for all $j \neq i$ and $k'_i = k_i - 1$. Define

$$\mathcal{F}_r^*[v_{i,s}] = \left\{ E \cup \{u\} \colon E \in \mathcal{F}_r[v_{i,s}], \ u \in V_i' \setminus E \right\}.$$

Claim 1. There exists $t \in [s]$ such that for all $i \in [\ell]$

$$\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}[v_{i,s}]\right| \leq \frac{6sk_{1}}{n_{1}} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} \binom{n_{j} - s}{k_{j}}.$$
(3.12)

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that for every $t \in [s]$ there is an $i_t \in [\ell]$ such that

$$|\mathcal{F}_t^*[v_{i_t,s}]| > \frac{6sk_1}{n_1} \prod_{1 \le j \le \ell} \binom{n_j - s}{k_j}.$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{F}_t^*[v_{i_t,s}] \subseteq \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{V_j'}{k_j}.$$

By Lemma 3.4, we conclude that $\mathcal{F}_1^*[v_{i_1,s}], \ldots, \mathcal{F}_s^*[v_{i_s,s}]$ contain a rainbow matching. Let $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1^*[v_{i_1,s}], \ldots, F_s \in \mathcal{F}_s^*[v_{i_s,s}]$ be such a matching. By the definition of $\mathcal{F}_t^*[v_{i_t,s}]$, we see that there exist $E_1 \subset F_1, \ldots, E_s \subset F_s$ such that $E_1 \cup \{v_{i_1,s}\} \in \mathcal{F}_1, E_2 \cup \{v_{i_2,s}\} \in \mathcal{F}_2, \ldots, E_s \cup \{v_{i_s,s}\} \in \mathcal{F}_s$. Note that $\mathcal{F}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_s$ are all shifted. It follows that $E_1 \cup \{v_{i_1,1}\} \in \mathcal{F}_1, E_2 \cup \{v_{i_2,2}\} \in \mathcal{F}_2, \ldots, E_s \cup \{v_{i_s,s}\} \in \mathcal{F}_s$ is a rainbow matching, which contradicts the fact that $\mathcal{F}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_s$ are rainbow matching free.

By Claim 1, there exists $t \in [s]$ such that (3.12) holds for all $i \in [\ell]$. By the definition of $\mathcal{F}_t^*[v_{i,s}]$, we see that for each $E \in \mathcal{F}_t[v_{i,s}]$ there are $|V'_i \setminus E| = (n_i - s) - (k_i - 1)$ choices of u such that $E \cup \{u\} \in \mathcal{F}_t^*[v_{i,s}]$. Moreover, for each $F \in \mathcal{F}_t^*[v_{i,s}]$ we have $|F \cap V'_i| = k_i$. It follows that at most k_i sets in $\mathcal{F}_t[v_{i,s}]$ are contained in F. Thus, we have

$$|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{*}[v_{i,s}]| \geq \frac{n_{i} - s - k_{i} + 1}{k_{i}} \cdot |\mathcal{F}_{t}[v_{i,s}]|.$$
(3.13)

By (3.12) and (3.13), we obtain that for all $i = 1, 2, ..., \ell$,

$$|\mathcal{F}_t[v_{i,s}]| \le \frac{6sk_1k_i}{n_1(n_i - s - k_i + 1)} \prod_{1 \le j \le \ell} \binom{n_j}{k_j}.$$
(3.14)

Note that $\mathcal{F}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{t-1}, \mathcal{F}_{t+1}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_s$ contain a rainbow matching. Let M' be such a matching and let U' be the set of vertices covered by M'. By shiftedness, we may assume that

$$U' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \{ v_{i,1}, \dots, v_{i,(s-1)k_i} \}.$$

Since $\mathcal{F}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_s$ are rainbow matching free, it follows that every edge of \mathcal{F}_t intersects U'. Thus,

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{(s-1)k_i} \mathcal{F}_t[v_{i,j}].$$

By shiftedness, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_t[v_{i,1}] \supseteq \mathcal{F}_t[v_{i,2}] \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathcal{F}_t[v_{i,(s-1)k_i}]$$

for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell$. Then

$$|\mathcal{F}_t| \le \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (s-1)|\mathcal{F}_t[v_{i,1}]| + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (k_i - 1)(s-1)|\mathcal{F}_t[v_{i,s}]|.$$
(3.15)

Substitute (3.11) and (3.14) into (3.15), we arrive at

$$|\mathcal{F}_t| \le \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left((s-1)^2 \left(\sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \frac{k_p^2}{n_p} \right) \frac{k_i}{n_i} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{n_j}{k_j} + 6s(s-1) \frac{k_i(k_i-1)}{n_i - s - k_i + 1} \frac{k_1}{n_1} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{n_j}{k_j} \right).$$

Since $\frac{k_i}{n_i} \leq \frac{k_1}{n_1}$, we have

$$|\mathcal{F}_t| \le \frac{k_1}{n_1} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{n_j}{k_j} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left((s-1)^2 \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \frac{k_p^2}{n_p} + 6s(s-1) \frac{k_i(k_i-1)}{n_i - s - k_i + 1} \right).$$

Since $n_i \ge 8\ell^2 k_i^2 s$ and $\ell \ge 2$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left((s-1)^2 \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \frac{k_p^2}{n_p} \right) = \frac{(s-1)^2 \ell^2}{8\ell^2 s} \le \frac{s-1}{8},$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{6s(s-1)k_i(k_i-1)}{n_i - s - k_i + 1} \le \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{6s(s-1)k_i(k_i-1)}{16\ell k_i(k_i-1)s + 16\ell k_is - s - k_i + 1} \le \frac{3(s-1)}{8}$$

Moreover, $\frac{k_i}{n_i} \leq \frac{k_1}{n_1}$. Hence, by inequality (2.4) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}_t| &\leq \frac{(s-1)}{2} \binom{n_1-1}{k_1-1} \prod_{j=2}^{\ell} \binom{n_j}{k_j} \\ &\leq (s-1) \binom{n_1-s+1}{k_1-1} \prod_{j=2}^{\ell} \binom{n_j}{k_j} \\ &\leq \left(\binom{n_1}{k_1} - \binom{n_1-s+1}{k_1} \right) \prod_{j=2}^{\ell} \binom{n_j}{k_j}, \end{aligned}$$

and this completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. As the same to the proof of Theorem 1.2, we have

$$\min_{x_1+\dots+x_\ell=s} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \binom{n_i - x_i}{k_i} = \min_{1 \le i \le \ell} \binom{n_i - s}{k_i} \prod_{j \ne i} \binom{n_j}{k_j}$$

Thus, Lemma 3.6 implies the theorem.

References

- [1] R. Aharoni and D. Howard. A rainbow *r*-partite version of the Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem. Combinatorics, Probability and Computing, 26(3):321–337, 2017.
- [2] N. Alon and F. R. Chung. Explicit construction of linear sized tolerant networks. *Discrete Mathematics*, 72(1-3):15–19, 1988.
- [3] B. Bollobás, D. E. Daykin, and P. Erdős. Sets of independent edges of a hypergraph. The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, 27(1):25–32, 1976.

- [4] P. Erdős, C. Ko, and R. Rado. Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets. The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, 12:313–320, 1961.
- [5] P. Erdős. A problem on independent r-tuples. Annales Universitatis Budapestinensis, 8:93–95, 1965.
- [6] P. Erdős and T. Gallai. On maximal paths and circuits of graphs. Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungarica, 10(3-4):337–356, 1959.
- [7] P. Frankl. The shifting technique in extremal set theory. Surveys in combinatorics, 123:81–110, 1987.
- [8] P. Frankl. An Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem for direct products. European Journal of Combinatorics, 17(8):727–730, 1996.
- [9] P. Frankl. Improved bounds for Erdős matching conjecture. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, 120(5):1068–1072, 2013.
- [10] P. Frankl. On the maximum number of edges in a hypergraph with given matching number. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 216:562–581, 2017.
- [11] P. Frankl and A. Kupavskii. The Erdős matching conjecture and concentration inequalities. arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.08855, 2018.
- [12] P. Frankl and A. Kupavskii. Simple juntas for shifted families. Discrete Analysis, (14):18 pp, 2020.
- [13] P. Frankl and J. Wang. On the sum of sizes of overlapping families. arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.00481, 2021.
- [14] H. Huang, P.-S. Loh, and B. Sudakov. The size of a hypergraph and its matching number. Combinatorics, Probability and Computing, 21(3):442–450, 2012.
- [15] G. Katona. Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets. Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae, 15(3-4):329–337, 1964.
- [16] N. Keller and N. Lifshitz. The junta method for hypergraphs and the Erdős-Chvátal simplex conjecture. Advances in Mathematics, 392:107991, 2021.
- [17] A. Kupavskii. Rainbow version of the Erdős matching conjecture via concentration. arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.08083, 2021.
- [18] L. Lovász. On the shannon capacity of a graph. IEEE Transactions on Information theory, 25(1):1–7, 1979.
- [19] H. Lu, Y. Wang, and X. Yu. A better bound on the size of rainbow matchings. arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.12561, 2020.