

Minimal length discretization and properties of modified metric tensor and geodesics

Abdel Nasser Tawfik*

*Egyptian Center for Theoretical Physics (ECTP), Cairo, Egypt
World Laboratory for Cosmology And Particle Physics (WLCAPP), Cairo, Egypt *E-mail:
atawfik@cern.ch*

Fady T. Farouk, F. Salah Tarabia, Muhammad Maher

Helwan University, Faculty of Science, Physics Department, 11792 Ain Helwan, Cairo, Egypt

We argue that the minimal length discretization generalizing the Heisenberg uncertainty principle, in which the gravitational impacts on the non-commutation relations are thoughtfully taken into account, radically modifies the spacetime geometry. The resulting metric tensor and geodesic equation combine the general relativity terms with additional terms depending on higher-order derivatives. Suggesting solutions for the modified geodesics, for instance, isn't a trivial task. We discuss on the properties of the resulting metric tensor, line element, and geodesic equation.

Keywords: Quantum gravity, Noncommutative geometry, Relativity and gravitation, Line element, Metric tensor, Geodesic equation, Generalized uncertainty principle

1. Introduction

Suggesting a consistent theory for quantum gravity is an ultimate goal of recent research aiming at unifying quantum mechanics (QM) with the theory of general relativity (GR). The quantum nature of gravity is still an open question in physics. It turns to form an enigma occupying the minds of many physicists for many decades, for instance, so-far there is no scientific explanation for the origin of the gravitational fields and how particles behave inside them! This makes the gravity fundamentally different from the other forces of nature. There were various attempts to reconcile some principles of GR in a quantum framework^{1,2}. The quantum gravity - on one hand - is conjectured to add new elements to GR and QM. On the other hand, the Einstein field equations combine classical geometry with quantum stress-energy-momentum tensor! For QM, we recall the possible modification in the Heisenberg uncertainty principle due to gravitational effects^a, known as generalized uncertainty principle (GUP)^{3,4}. The present work introduces some insights on the possible impacts of GUP on the metric tensor and the geodesic equation and their properties.

Couple decades ago, the line element modification and the gravity quantization have been suggested in literature⁵⁻⁷. A review of these models could be found in refs.⁸⁻¹⁰. An extended class of the metric tensors which are functions of a field of an

^aThey weren't taken into consideration in the original Heisenberg uncertainty principle.

internal vectors $y^\alpha(x)$, $g_{\mu\nu}(x^\alpha \cdot y^\alpha(x))$, was also discussed in literature¹¹. Accordingly, the origin of the gravitational field of spin-1 massless particle could be determined¹¹. Regarding the equivalence principle of gravitation and inertial mass in extended gravity and non-geodesic motion, a machian request was scratched¹², where a direct coupling between the Ricci curvature scalar and the matter Lagrangian could be fixed in cosmological observations¹³.

The present script aims at tackling the long-standing essential puzzle that the Einstein field equations relate *non-quantized* semi-Riemannian geometry expressed in Ricci and Einstein tensors, which are basically depending on the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}(x^\alpha)$, with the *full-quantized* stress-energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ ¹⁴. The approach applied here is the finite minimal length uncertainty obtained from GUP. GUP was inspired by black hole physics, doubly special relativity, and string theory^{3,4}. It is worthy highlighting that GUP is comparable with the Planck length, where quantum fluctuations in *quasi-quantized* manifold likely emerge^{15–17}.

The modifications in the metric tensor and geodesic equation due to GUP shall be introduced. Accordingly, we believe that the present study offers a theoretical framework for the observations that the universe acceleratingly expands. Alongside forces deriving this type of expansion, such as dark energy¹⁷ and cosmological constant¹⁸, we suggest that it might be also originated to interdependence of GR on QM, especially at the Planck scale.

The present paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews the generalized uncertainty principle and minimal length uncertainty. The discretized metric tensor and its properties shall be discussed in section 3. The discretized geodesic equation and its properties shall be introduced in section 4. Section 5 is devoted to the final conclusions.

2. Generalized uncertainty principle and minimal length uncertainty

The Heisenberg uncertainty principle (HUP) is a recipe on how the uncertainties are constrained in the quantum non-commutation relations of length and momentum operators, for instance. This was originally suggested in absence of any gravitational field. Analyzing HUP in gravitational fields comes up with modifications, known as GUP. Such a phenomenological aspect can be originated in black hole physics, doubly special relativity, and string theory^{3,4}. Phenomenology because so far there is no theoretical explanation of the gravitational fields origin making gravity substantially different from the other three forces of nature. A finite minimal length was suggested as¹⁹,

$$\Delta x \Delta p \geq \frac{\hbar}{2} [1 + \beta(\Delta p)^2], \quad (1)$$

where Δx and Δp are length and momentum uncertainties, respectively. $\beta = \beta_0(\ell_p/\hbar)^2 = \beta_0/(m_p c)^2$, is the GUP parameter with $\ell_p = \sqrt{\hbar G/c^3} = 1.977 \times 10^{-16}$ GeV⁻¹ the Planck length and $m_p = \sqrt{\hbar c/G} = 1.22 \times 10^{19}$ GeV/c² the

Planck mass. An upper bound on β_0 is based on astronomical observations, such as, $\beta_0 \lesssim 5.5 \times 10^{60}$ based on recent gravitational waves observations. From Eq. (1), then the minimum length uncertainty reads

$$\Delta x_{\min} \approx \hbar \sqrt{3\beta_0} = \ell_p \sqrt{\beta_0}. \quad (2)$$

The experimental estimations²⁰ of β_0 range from $\sim 10^{21}$ to $\sim 10^{39}$. Another GUP approach motivated by quantum deformation of the Pioncarre algebra^{21,22} suggests that the minimal length associated with is given as

$$\Delta x_{\min} \simeq \frac{\hbar G}{\gamma_0} = \frac{\ell_p^2}{\gamma_0}, \quad (3)$$

where γ_0 is photon's wavelength sent from infinity to be absorbed in a black hole. Its experimental estimations²⁰ range from $\sim 10^{26}$ to $\sim 10^{34}$.

The UV/IR correspondence can be applied on various phenomena in which short and long distance physics play a characterized role. For example, gravity²³ and the "deformed" commutation relations have features of UV/IR correspondence²⁴. Therefore, we assume that the minimal length discretization could be analyzed from the UV/IR correspondence¹⁰. Indeed, Δx rapidly increases (IR) as the Δp increases beyond the Planck scale (UV)²⁵⁻²⁷.

Analogous to expression (1), the canonical non-commutation relation of length and momentum quantum operators is given as

$$[\hat{x}_i, \hat{p}_j] \geq \delta_{ij} \hbar (1 + \beta p^2), \quad (4)$$

where $p^2 = g_{ij} p^{0i} p^{0j}$ and g_{ij} is Minkowski spacetime metric tensor, for instance $(-, +, +, +)$. Both length and momentum operators, respectively, read

$$\hat{x}_i = \hat{x}_{0i} (1 + \beta p^2), \quad (5)$$

$$\hat{p}_j = \hat{p}_{0j}, \quad (6)$$

in which \hat{x}_{0i} and \hat{p}_{0j} can be derived from the corresponding non-commutation relation,

$$[\hat{x}_{0i}, \hat{p}_{0j}] = \delta_{ij} \hbar. \quad (7)$$

3. Discretized metric tensor and its properties

With the quantum non-commutation operations discussed in section 2 the four-dimensional Minkowskian manifold of the line element can be given as

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu, \quad (8)$$

where μ, ν , and $\lambda = 0, 1, 2, 3$. This could be extended to eight-dimensional spacetime tangent bundle with coordinates $x^A = (x^\mu(\zeta^a), \beta \dot{x}^\mu(\zeta^a))$, where $\dot{x}^\mu = dx^\mu/d\zeta^\mu$ ²⁸. Obviously, the line element reads

$$d\tilde{s}^2 = g_{AB} dx^A dx^B, \quad (9)$$

where $x^A = x^A(\zeta^\mu)$, $g_{AB} = g_{\mu\nu} \otimes g_{\mu\nu}$, and the indices A and $B = 0, 1, \dots, 7$. With some assumptions and approximations, this manifold could be reformulated as an effective four-dimensional spacetime manifold,

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{AB} \frac{\partial x^A}{\partial \zeta^\mu} \frac{\partial x^B}{\partial \zeta^\nu} \simeq g_{ab} \left[\frac{\partial x^a}{\partial \zeta^\mu} \frac{\partial x^b}{\partial \zeta^\nu} + \beta \frac{\partial \dot{x}^a}{\partial \zeta^\mu} \frac{\partial \dot{x}^b}{\partial \zeta^\nu} \right] \simeq (1 + \beta \ddot{x}^\lambda \ddot{x}_\lambda) g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (10)$$

$\ddot{x}^\mu = \partial \dot{x}^\mu / \partial \zeta^\mu$ is four-dimensional acceleration. The indices a , and $b = 0, 1, \dots, 7$.

It is now proper to highlight a number of the discretized metric tensor properties. First, we distinguish between flat and curved spacetime:

- For flat spacetime, $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$ and the modified metric tensor reads

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \simeq \eta_{\mu\nu} + \beta \ddot{x}^\lambda \ddot{x}_\lambda \eta_{\mu\nu} \simeq \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}, \quad (11)$$

where $h_{\mu\nu} = \beta \ddot{x}^\lambda \ddot{x}_\lambda \eta_{\mu\nu}$. The quantum fluctuations from which the minimal length uncertainty likely emerges are compassed in $h_{\mu\nu}$. In other words, both GR metric tensor and this modified one are only distinguishable, at finite $h_{\mu\nu}$.

- For curved spacetime, the modified metric tensor reads

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \simeq g_{\mu\nu} + \beta \ddot{x}^\lambda \ddot{x}_\lambda g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (12)$$

Again, $\beta \ddot{x}^\lambda \ddot{x}_\lambda g_{\mu\nu}$ represents the minimal length contributions.

The $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$, Eq. (12), seems to play the same role as that of $g_{\mu\nu}$, namely it turns a covariant tensor into a contravariant tensor and vice versa. $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ is apparently symmetric in its indices μ and ν as its components are likely equal upon exchanging the indices. The $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ symmetric properties are invariant upon basis transformation only if its indices are of the same type; variant or covariant. For spacetime represented by a four-dimensional differentiable manifold \mathcal{M} , the metric tensor, $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$, Eq. (12), is covariant, second-degree, symmetric on \mathcal{M} . Such manifold is Lorentzian. In local coordinates x^μ , the metric can be expressed in dx^μ (one-form gradients of the scalar coordinate fields x^μ), and the coefficients $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ (16 real-valued functions). If the local coordinates are specified, the metric can be written as a 4×4 symmetric matrix with the coefficients $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$. If the matrix is non-singular having non-vanishing determinant, $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ is non-degenerate. The Lorentzian signature of $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ obviously implies that the matrix has one negative and three positive eigenvalues. Under a change of the local coordinates $x^\mu \rightarrow x^{\bar{\mu}}$, we obtain

$$\tilde{g}_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}} = \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x^{\bar{\mu}}} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial x^{\bar{\nu}}} \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} = \Theta_{\bar{\mu}}^\alpha \Theta_{\bar{\nu}}^\beta \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} \quad (13)$$

If dx^μ are regarded as the components of an infinitesimal coordinate displacement four-vector, the metric determines the invariant square of an infinitesimal line element. Also, it is apparent that the principle of the general covariance is satisfied even in absence of gravitational effects on the modified Minkowski metric. Then, the modified four-dimensional line element can be expressed as

$$d\tilde{s}^2 = g_{\mu\nu} (dx^\mu dx^\nu + \beta^2 \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu) = ds^2 + \beta^2 \ddot{x}^\lambda \ddot{x}_\lambda ds^2, \quad (14)$$

and $d\tilde{s}^2$ manifests the causal structure of the spacetime. Only timelike four-dimensional line element, $d\tilde{s}^2 < 0$, can physically covered by a massive object. If $d\tilde{s}^2 = 0$ the line element is lightlike (covered by massless photon). On the other hand, for $d\tilde{s}^2 > 0$, the four-dimensional line element is an incremental proper length, i.e., spacelike.

4. Discretized geodesic equation and its properties

The properties of the manifold including geodesics in GR [$\ddot{x}^\mu + \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \dot{x}^\alpha \dot{x}^\beta = 0$ with $\dot{x}^\mu = \partial x^\mu / \partial s$, Christpher symbols $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu = (g^{\mu\gamma}/2)(\partial g_{\gamma\alpha}/\partial x^\beta + \partial g_{\gamma\beta}/\partial x^\alpha - \partial g_{\alpha\beta}/\partial x^\gamma)$, and s is a scalar parameter of motion such as a proper time] can be generalized. For example, the notion of a "straight line" is to be generalized to "curved spacetime". The consequences of the length discretization or minimal length uncertainty based on GUP, generalize the world line of a free particle. GR assumes that gravity couldn't be regarded as a force but it should be emerged from "classical" curved spacetime geometry and "quantized" stress-energy-momentum tensor is causing the "classical" spacetime curvature. The approach utilized here is discretized minimal length, which modifies both metric tensor and line element.

As discussed in ref.¹⁰, using the variational principle and extremization of the path s_{AB} , the geodesic equation could be derived as follows.

- In flat spacetime (Minkowski space)

$$\beta \mathcal{L} \frac{d^2 \dot{x}^\mu}{ds^2} - \frac{dx^\mu}{ds} + c = 0. \quad (15)$$

Even if β , the GUP parameter vanishes, the geodesic equation reduces to that of a straight line, at constant velocity, i.e., both β terms disappear.

- and in curved spacetime

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 \dot{x}^\mu}{ds^2} + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\eta \frac{dx^\mu}{ds} \frac{dx^\nu}{ds} = \beta \left\{ \frac{d}{ds} \left[\mathcal{L} \frac{d^2 \dot{x}^\mu}{ds^2} \right] + \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \left(g_{\mu\nu,\alpha} \frac{dx^\mu}{ds} \frac{dx^\nu}{ds} - \mathcal{L} g_{\mu\alpha,\gamma} \frac{dx^\gamma}{ds} \frac{dx^\mu}{ds} \right) \right. \\ \left. \mathcal{L} g^{\mu\nu} \left(2g_{\mu\alpha,\gamma} \frac{d}{ds} + g_{\mu\alpha,\gamma,\delta} \frac{dx^\delta}{ds} \right) \frac{dx^\gamma}{ds} \frac{dx^\mu}{ds} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where the proper time can be deduced from the Lagrangian, $\tau = \int \mathcal{L}(s, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}) ds$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial s} &= \mathcal{L}(s, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}) = - \left[g_{\mu\nu} \left(\frac{dx^\mu}{ds} \frac{dx^\nu}{ds} + \beta \frac{d\dot{x}^\mu}{ds} \frac{d\dot{x}^\nu}{ds} \right) \right]^{1/2}, \\ \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\eta &= \frac{1}{2} g^{\eta\alpha} [g_{\mu\alpha,\nu} - g_{\alpha\nu,\mu} + g_{\mu\nu,\alpha}], \\ g_{\mu\nu,\alpha} &= \frac{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\alpha}, \\ g_{\mu\alpha,\gamma,\delta} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\delta} \left(\frac{\partial g_{\mu\alpha}}{\partial x^\gamma} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The β -terms in Eq. (16) distinguish this expression of discretized geodesics from the GR geodesics,

$$\frac{d^2 x^\mu}{ds^2} + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\eta \frac{dx^\mu}{ds} \frac{dx^\nu}{ds} = 0, \quad (17)$$

which could be solved when, for instance, assuring that the proper time reads $ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu$. Under the condition that

$$g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^\mu}{ds} \frac{dx^\nu}{ds} = \epsilon, \quad (18)$$

where $\epsilon = 0$ for photon and $\epsilon = 1$ for massive particles, the geodesic equation is supplemented. For conserved energy and angular momentum the geodesic equation can be expressed as an ordinary first-order differential equation²⁹.

Solving the discretized geodesic equation, Eq. (16), is not a trivial task, because of the finite terms in rhs, which encompass higher-order derivatives.

5. Conclusions

The approach of minimal length uncertainty conserves both Christoffel connection^b and the equivalence principle of the gravitational and inertial mass. They are not affected. This allows to recover any violations in the equivalence principle, especially as a possible reason of quantum gravity. We highlight that this conclusion contradicts previous studies^{30,31}. The reason could be the rigorous procedure implemented in the present study. We have first determined the modified metric tensor. Accordingly, we have obtained the modified line element. Both are key principles of the proposed theory of discretized geometry. We could also derive the corresponding geodesics, in which the appearance of higher-order derivatives substantially distinguishes this expression from the GR geodesics.

The modification to the line element is summarized in the term $\beta^2 \ddot{x}^\lambda \ddot{x}_\lambda$, which highlights the essential contributions added by the acceleration of the test particle. We conclude that the length discretization ensures that the line element wouldn't only expand but apparently accelerates. The metric tensor is also modified by the term $\beta \ddot{x}^\lambda \ddot{x}_\lambda$. The properties of the modified metric tensor has been elaborated.

We find that the modified geodesics is not only providing the acceleration of a test particle inside the gravitational field. Higher-order derivatives are also present³², namely the jerk, \ddot{x}^μ , which in turn is derived from the time derivative of the acceleration. In contract to GR geodesics, solving the modified geodesics isn't a trivial task. The corresponding differential equations are complex and non-linear.

Acknowledgments

AT is very grateful to organizers of sixteenth Marcel Grossmann meeting - MG16 for their kind invitation and financial support!

^bThe metric connection characterizes the affine connection to a manifold provided with the metric. This allows lengths, for instance, to be measured on that manifold.

References

1. C. Rovelli and L. Smolin, Loop Space Representation of Quantum General Relativity, *Nucl. Phys. B* **331**, 80 (1990).
2. J. F. Donoghue, General relativity as an effective field theory: The leading quantum corrections, *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 3874 (1994).
3. A. N. Tawfik and A. M. Diab, Generalized Uncertainty Principle: Approaches and Applications, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **23**, p. 1430025 (2014).
4. A. N. Tawfik and A. M. Diab, Review on Generalized Uncertainty Principle, *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **78**, p. 126001 (2015).
5. A. Feoli, G. Lambiase, G. Papini and G. Scarpetta, Schwarzschild field with maximal acceleration corrections, *Phys. Lett. A* **263**, 147 (1999).
6. S. Capozziello, A. Feoli, G. Lambiase, G. Papini and G. Scarpetta, Massive scalar particles in a modified Schwarzschild geometry, *Phys. Lett. A* **268**, 247 (2000).
7. V. Bozza, A. Feoli, G. Lambiase, G. Papini and G. Scarpetta, Maximal acceleration effects in Kerr space, *Phys. Lett. A* **283**, 53 (2001).
8. P. O. Hess, M. Schafer and W. Greiner, *Pseudo-complex General Relativity* (Springer, Heidelberg, 2015).
9. P. Hess, Alternatives to Einstein's General Relativity Theory, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **114**, p. 103809 (2020).
10. A. N. Tawfik, A. M. Diab, S. Shenawy and E. A. El Dahab, Consequences of minimal length discretization on line element, metric tensor and geodesic equation, *Astron. Nachr.* **342**, 54 (2021).
11. C. G. Oliveira, On the properties of an extended class of metric tensors in relativity, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **24**, p. 1081 (1985).
12. I. Licata, C. Corda and E. Benedetto, A machian request for the equivalence principle in extended gravity and nongeodesic motion, *Grav. Cosmol.* **22**, 48 (2016).
13. I. Licata, C. Corda and E. Benedetto, A machian request for the equivalence principle in extended gravity and nongeodesic motion, *Grav. Cosmol.* **22**, 48 (2016).
14. H. Stephani, D. Krämer, M. MacCallum, C. Hoenselaers and E. Herlt, *Exact solutions of Einstein's field equations; 2nd ed.* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2003).
15. F. Karolyhazy, Gravitation and quantum mechanics of macroscopic objects, *Nuovo Cimento (Italy) Divided into Nuovo Cimento A and Nuovo Cimento B* (**10**), **42A** (1966).
16. A. Tawfik and A. Diab, Emergence of cosmic space and minimal length in quantum gravity: a large class of spacetimes, equations of state, and minimal length approaches, *Indian J. Phys.* **90**, 1095 (2016).
17. A. N. Tawfik and E. A. El Dahab, Review on Dark Energy Models, *Grav. Cosmol.* **25**, 103 (2019).
18. P. Peebles and B. Ratra, The Cosmological Constant and Dark Energy, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75**, 559 (2003).
19. A. Kempf, G. Mangano and R. B. Mann, Hilbert space representation of the minimal length uncertainty relation, *Phys. Rev. D* **52**, 1108 (1995).
20. D. Gao and M. Zhan, Constraining the generalized uncertainty principle with cold atoms, *Phys. Rev. A* **94**, p. 013607 (2016).
21. M. Maggiore, A Generalized uncertainty principle in quantum gravity, *Phys. Lett. B* **304**, 65 (1993).
22. M. Maggiore, Quantum groups, gravity and the generalized uncertainty principle, *Phys. Rev. D* **49**, 5182 (1994).
23. M. G. Jackson, Gravity from a modified commutator, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **14**, 2239 (2005).

24. M. Jackson, Gravity from a modified commutator, *International Journal of Modern Physics D* **14**, 2239 (2005).
25. J. M. Maldacena, The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **38**, 1113 (1999).
26. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory, *Phys. Lett. B* **428**, 105 (1998).
27. E. Witten, Anti-de Sitter space and holography, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2**, 253 (1998).
28. H. E. Brandt, Causal Domain of Minkowski-spacetime Tangent Bundle, *Found. Phys. Lett.* **13**, 581 (2000).
29. E. Hackmann and C. Lammerzahl, Complete Analytic Solution of the Geodesic Equation in Schwarzschild- (Anti-) de Sitter Spacetimes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, p. 171101 (2008).
30. S. Ghosh, Quantum Gravity Effects in Geodesic Motion and Predictions of Equivalence Principle Violation, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **31**, p. 025025 (2014).
31. F. Scardigli and R. Casadio, Is the equivalence principle violated by generalized uncertainty principles and holography in a brane-world?, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **18**, 319 (2009).
32. D. Eager, A.-M. Pendrill and N. Reistad, Beyond velocity and acceleration: jerk, snap and higher derivatives, *European Journal of Physics* **37**, p. 065008 (oct 2016).