


Retrograde doping dependence of charge order in



Manfred Bucher

Physics Department, California State University, Fresno,
Fresno, California 93740-8031

(Dated: November 7, 2021)

Abstract

Comparison with $\text{La}_{2-z-x}\text{Nd}_z\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ ($z = 0, 0.4$) shows that the retrograde doping dependence of charge order in $\text{La}_{1.8-x}\text{Eu}_{0.2}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ must be caused by a mechanism transcending the common charge-order generation in the hole-doped lanthanum cuprates. This could be a bond-stretching phonon with comparable momentum, $q \approx 0.24$ r.l.u., as observed in $\text{La}_{1.675}\text{Eu}_{0.2}\text{Sr}_{0.125}\text{CuO}_4$.

I. CHARGE ORDER IN HOLE-DOPED LANTHANUM CUPRATES

The study of charge-order (CO) and magnetization (M) stripes is a valuable tool in the investigation of the pseudogap phase of high- T_c superconducting copper oxides. In the family of the lanthanum cuprates (‘214’), doped with alkaline-earth $Ae = Sr, Ba$, and possibly co-doped with lanthanides $Ln = Nd, Eu$, the dependence of their incommensurability on Ae -doping x is related as

$$q_{CO}^{CuO_2}(x) = 2q_M^{CuO_2}(x), \quad (1)$$

in reciprocal lattice units (r.l.u.). Recent experiments¹⁻³ on the compounds $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ and $La_{1.6-x}Nd_{0.4}Sr_xCuO_4$ have explored stripes in an extended doping range range, $0.12 < x \leq 0.21$ and 0.26 , respectively. They confirmed that their incommensurability increases monotonically,

$$q_{CO}^{CuO_2}(x) = \frac{\Omega^\pm}{2} \sqrt{x - \hat{p}}, \quad x < \hat{x}, \quad (2)$$

but levels off, beyond a “watershed” concentration \hat{x} (depending on the dopant and co-dopant species), to a constant plateau,

$$q_{CO}^{CuO_2}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \sqrt{\hat{x} - \hat{p}}, \quad x \geq \hat{x}, \quad (3)$$

(see Fig. 1). Such a levelling-off had been observed a long time ago,⁵ but was recently explained⁶ in terms of overflow of doped holes from saturated CuO_2 planes to the bracketing $La_{1-z}Nd_zO$ ($z = 0, 0.2$) layers with incommensurability

$$q_{CO}^{LaO}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{x - \hat{x}}{2}}, \quad x \geq \hat{x}. \quad (4)$$

Specifically, those experiments¹⁻³ determined the watershed values $\hat{x}(La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4) = 0.125$ and $\hat{x}(La_{1.6-x}Nd_{0.4}Sr_xCuO_4) = 0.17$ (see Fig. 1).

A related issue is the closing of the pseudogap at $T = 0$, that is, the doping x^* (quantum critical point) where the pseudogap temperature vanishes, $T^*(x^*) = 0$. As shown in Fig. 2, $T^*(x)$ data of $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ and co-doped $La_{2-z-x}Ln_zSr_xCuO_4$ ($Ln = Nd, Eu$; $z = 0.4, 0.2$), obtained from transport (Hall effect, Nernst effect) and spectroscopic (ARPES) measurements,⁸ fall on a common, linearly decreasing straight line until rapidly dropping off to $T^* = 0$ at $x^* = 0.18$ for $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$, but continuing commonly for $La_{2-z-x}Ln_zSr_xCuO_4$ ($Ln = Nd, Eu$) to $x = 0.21$, then for only $La_{1.6-x}Nd_{0.4}Sr_xCuO_4$, until a drop-off at $x^* = 0.23$. The latter finding indicates a close similarity as a result of $Ln = Nd, Eu$ co-doping.




FIG. 1. Incommensurability of charge-order stripes, $q(x) = q_{CO}^{CuO_2}(x)$, and of magnetization stripes, $q(x) = 2q_M^{CuO_2}(x)$, in $La_{2-z-x}Ln_zAe_xCuO_4$ ($Ln = Nd, Eu; z = 0, 0.4, 0.2$) due to doping with $Ae = Sr$ or Ba . Circles show data from X-ray diffraction or neutron scattering (cf. Ref. 6). The broken solid curve is a graph of Eq. (2), calculated with a constant offset value, $\tilde{p} = 0.02$. Commensurate doping concentrations are denoted by $x_n \equiv 2/n^2$. The discontinuity at $x_6 \approx 0.056$ is caused by a change of stripe orientation, relative to the planar crystal axes, from diagonal for $x < x_6$ to parallel for $x > x_6$. The curve holds for temperature near $T = 0$ and is accurate for low doping, $x < 0.09$. Neglect of the doping dependence of the offset value, $\tilde{p}(x) < 0.02$, causes the slight deviation of the curve (too low) from most data in the doping range $x > 0.09$. Doping beyond watershed concentrations, $\hat{x}_{Sr} = 0.125 = 1/8$, $\hat{x}_{Ba} = 0.14 \approx 1/7$ and $\hat{x}_{Sr+Nd} = 0.17 \approx 1/6$, yields constant stripe incommensurabilities, $q_c(x) = 0.235$ (Sr), 0.25 (Ba) and 0.278 ($Sr+Nd$), given by Eq. (3) (horizontal lines).

II. CHARGE ORDER IN $La_{1.8-x}Eu_{0.2}Sr_xCuO_4$

Very recently neutron scattering and resonant soft X-ray scattering experiments⁹ have provided incommensurability data of $La_{1.8-x}Eu_{0.2}Sr_xCuO_4$ in the doping range $0.07 \leq x \leq 0.20$. Only the low-temperature data ($T = 24$ K) are considered in this note. They are included in Fig. 1. The values for $0.07 \leq x \leq 0.15$ are in good agreement with previous $q_{CO}^{CuO_2}(x)$ data of $La_{1.8-x}Eu_{0.2}Sr_xCuO_4$. The value at $x = 0.15$ follows the square-root curve of Eq. (2)

beyond the watershed concentration $\hat{x} = 0.125$ of $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$. From the close similarity of the $T^*(x)$ data of the two $La_{2-z-x}Ln_zSr_xCuO_4$ compounds, $Ln = Nd, Eu$, one would expect a very close levelling-off for both cases of co-doping, that is, at $\hat{x} = 0.17$ to $q_{CO}^{CuO_2} = 0.278$. Surprisingly, this is not borne out by the novel data of $La_{1.8-x}Eu_{0.2}Sr_xCuO_4$ at $x = 0.17$ and $x = 0.20$. The possibility of a watershed value $\hat{x} = 0.154$ of $La_{1.8-x}Eu_{0.2}Sr_xCuO_4$ that would determine a constant level, coinciding with $q_{CO}^{CuO_2}(0.17) = 0.259$, must be ruled out because of the lower value $q_{CO}^{CuO_2}(0.20) = 0.234$. What could be the reason for the retrograde incommensurability beyond $x = 0.17$?

The monotonic doping dependence of charge-order incommensurability on Sr -doping x , Eqs. (2) and (4), is the result of Coulomb repulsion between doped holes, residing pairwise at O atoms at anion lattice sites.⁶ Apart from the CuO_2 planes and $La_{0.9}Eu_{0.1}O$ layers, there are no other places to which O atoms could escape. Beyond \hat{x} , the hole density in the CuO_2 planes and $La_{0.9}Eu_{0.1}O$ layers must be in balance. This eliminates the possibility of removing holes from the CuO_2 planes by adding them to the $La_{0.9}Eu_{0.1}O$ layers. Where, then, did the doped holes, lost in the transition $x = 0.17 \rightarrow 0.20$, go? Their “disappearance” transcends the mechanism of charge-order generation. What could that be?




FIG. 2. Doping dependence of the pseudogap temperature T^* of $La_{2-z-x}Ln_zSr_xCuO_4$ ($Ln = Eu, Sr$; $z = 0, 0.2, 0.4$) and quantum critical points p^* where the pseudogap closes (graph simplified after Ref. 8).

III. BOND-STRETCHING PHONONS

Resonant inelastic X-ray scattering (RIXS) in $La_{1.675}Eu_{0.2}Sr_{0.125}CuO_4$ has revealed bond-stretching phonons of momentum $q \approx 0.24$ in the CuO_2 plane, and corresponding phonon softening, that significantly weakens charge order of comparable incommensurability.¹⁰ In oscillating bond-stretching, O^{2-} ions, bracketing axially next-nearest Cu^{2+} neighbors, move in a breathing mode. It can be assumed that such phonons are present in $La_{1.8-x}Eu_{0.2}Sr_xCuO_4$ at other doping levels, too, including $x = 0.17$ and $x = 0.20$ with incommensurabilities $q_{CO}^{CuO_2} \approx 0.24$. The double-hole-bearing O atoms of those compounds will likewise participate in the breathing mode. This effectively reduces their (average) presence at anion lattice sites. In this sense they are *partially* lost to the hole density that generates charge order. Qualitatively, the reduced concentration of O atoms at anion lattice sites causes a widening of their superlattice spacing with consequent decrease of $q_{CO}^{CuO_2}(x)$ incommensurability.

A view of Fig. 2 shows that the quantum critical point p^* of $La_{1.8-x}Eu_{0.2}Sr_xCuO_4$ has not been determined. It remains an open question how the retrograde incommensurability of this compound affects the closing of its pseudogap.

Are bond-stretching phonons present in Nd co-doped $La_{1.6-x}Nd_{0.4}Sr_xCuO_4$? None have been reported. Their absence would explain why no retrograde charge order is observed in that compound.

-
- ¹ J. Q. Lin, H. Miao, D. G. Mazzone, G. D. Gu, A. Nag, A. C. Walters, M. García-Fernández, A. Barbour, J. Pellicciari, I. Jarrige, M. Oda, K. Kurosawa, N. Momono, K. Zhou, V. Bisogni, X. Liu, and M. P. M. Dean, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 207005 (2020).
- ² H. Miao, G. Fabbri, R. J. Koch, D. G. Mazzone, C. S. Nelson, R. Acevedo-Esteves, G. D. Gu, Y. Li, T. Yilimaz, K. Kaznatcheev, E. Vescovo, M. Oda, T. Kurosawa, N. Momono, T. Assefa, I. K. Robinson, E. S. Bozin, J. M. Tranquada, P. D. Johnson, and M. P. M. Dean, *npj Quantum Materials* **6**, 31 (2021).
- ³ Q. Ma, K. C. Rule, Z. W. Cronkwright, M. Dragomir, G. Mitchell, E. M. Smith, S. Chi, A. I. Kolesnikov, M. B. Stone, and B. D. Gaulin, *Phys. Rev. Research* **3**, 023151 (2021).
- ⁴ The stripe-orientation factor in Eq. (2) is $\Omega^+ = \sqrt{2}$ for $x > x_6 = 2/6^2 \simeq 0.056$ when stripes are parallel to the a or b axis, but $\Omega^- = 1$ for $x < x_6$ when stripes are diagonal. The offset value \tilde{p} under

the radical is the hole concentration necessary to keep three-dimensional antiferromagnetism (3D-AFM) suppressed.

- ⁵ K. Yamada, C. H. Lee, K. Kurahashi, J. Wada, S. Wakimoto, S. Ueki, H. Kimura, Y. Endoh, S. Hosoya, G. Shirane, R. J. Birgeneau, M. Greven, M. A. Kastner, and Y. J. Kim, *Phys. Rev. B* **57**, 6165 (1998).
- ⁶ M. Bucher, “Stripes in heterovalent-metal doped cuprates,” arXiv:2002.12116v9
- ⁷ M. Bucher, “Closing of the pseudogap in Sr-doped and Nd-codoped lanthanum cuprate,” arXiv:2109.03046v3
- ⁸ O. Cyr-Choinière, R. Daou, F. Laliberté, C. Collignon, S. Badoux, D. LeBoeuf, J. Chang, B. J. Ramshaw, D. A. Bonn, W. N. Hardy, R. Liang, J.-Q. Yan, J.-G. Cheng, J.-S. Zhou, J. B. Goodenough, S. Pyon, T. Takayama, H. Takagi, N. Doiron-Leyraud, and L. Taillefer, *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 064502 (2018).
- ⁹ S. Lee, E. W. Huang, T. A. Johnson, X. Guo, A. A. Husain, M. Mitrano, K. Lu, A. V. Zakrzewski, G. A. de la Peña, Y. Peng, H. Huang, S.-J. Lee, H. Jang, J.-S. Lee, Y. I. Joe, W. B. Doriese, P. Szypryt, D. S. Swetz, S. Chi, A. A. Aczel, G. J. MacDougall, S. A. Kivelson, E. Fradkin, and P. Abbamonte, “Generic character of charge and spin density waves in superconducting cuprates,” arXiv:2110.13991
- ¹⁰ Q. Wang, K. v. Arx, M. Horio, D. J. Mukkattukavil, J. Küster, Y. Sassa, T. Schmitt, A. Nag, S. Pyon, T. Takayama, H. Takagi, M. Garcia-Fernandez, K.-J. Zhou, and J. Chang, *Sci. Adv.* **7**, eabg7394 (2021).