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#### Abstract

We prove constructively that the maximum possible number of minimal connected dominating sets in a connected undirected graph of order $n$ is in $\Omega\left(1.489^{n}\right)$. This improves the previously known lower bound of $\Omega\left(1.4422^{n}\right)$ and reduces the gap between lower and upper bounds for input-sensitive enumeration of minimal connected dominating sets in general graphs as well as some special graph classes.


## 1 Introduction

A connected dominating set in a graph $G=(V, E)$ is a set of vertices whose closed neighborhood is $V$ that induces a connected subgraph. A connected dominating set is inclusion minimal if it does not contain another connected dominating set as a proper subset.

Enumerating all minimal connected dominating sets in a given graph can be trivially performed in $O\left(2^{n}\right)$. Whether a better enumeration algorithm exists was one of the most important open problems posed in the first workshop on enumeration (Lorentz Center, Netherlands, 2015) [2]. The problem has been subsequently addressed in [5] where an algorithm that runs in $O\left(\left(2-10^{-50}\right)^{n}\right)$ was presented. This slightly improves the upper bound on the number of minimal connected dominating sets in a (general) graph.

On the other hand, the maximum number of minimal connected dominating sets in a graph was shown to be in $\Omega\left(3^{\frac{n}{3}}\right)$ 3]. This lower bound is obviously very low compared to the upper bound and to the running time of the current asymptotically-fastest exact algorithm, which is in $O\left(1.862^{n}\right)$
[1]. The gap between upper and lower bounds is narrower when it comes to special graph classes. On chordal graphs, for example, the upper bound has been recently improved to $O\left(1.4736^{n}\right)$ 4]. Other improved lower/upper bounds have been obtained for AT-free, strongly chordal, distance-hereditary graphs, and cographs in [3]. Further improved bounds for split graphs and cobipartite graphs have been obtained in [6].

In this note we report an improved lower bound on the maximum number of minimal connected dominating sets in a graph. This is related to the enumeration of all the minimal connected dominating sets since it also gives a lower bound on the asymptotic performance of any input-sensitive enumeration algorithm.

## 2 Graphs with Large Minimal Connected Dominating Sets

Given arbitrary positive integers $k$, $t$, we construct a graph $G_{t}^{k}$ of order $n=k(2 t+1)+1$ as follows.

The main building blocks of $G_{t}^{k}$ consist of $k$ copies of a base-graph $G_{t}$, of order $2 t-1$. The vertex set of $G_{t}$ consists of three layers. The first layer is a set $X=\left\{x_{1} \ldots x_{t}\right\}$ that induces a clique. The second is an independent set $Y=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots y_{t}\right\}$, while the third layer consists of a singleton $\{z\}$. Each vertex $x_{i} \in X$ has exactly $t-1$ neighbors in $Y: N\left(x_{i}\right)=\left\{y_{j} \in Y: i \neq j\right\}$. In other words, the base-graph $G_{t}$ has a maximum anti-matching $1\left\{\left\{x_{j}, y_{j}\right\}\right.$ : $1 \leq j \leq t\}$. In fact, $X \cup Y$ induces a copy of $K_{t, t}$ minus a perfect matching. Finally the vertex $z$ is adjacent to all the $t$ vertices in $Y$. Figure 1 below shows the graph $G_{t}$ for $t=4$.

Lemma 1. For each $t>0$, the graph $G_{t}$ has exactly $\frac{t^{3}+t^{2}}{2}-t$ minimal connected dominating sets that have non-empty intersection with the set $X$.

Proof. The set $X$ cannot have more than two vertices in common with any minimal connected dominating set, since any two elements of $X$ dominate $X \cup Y$. Any minimal connected dominating set that contains exactly one vertex $x_{i}$ of $X$ must contain the vertex $z$, to dominate $y_{i}$, and one of the $t-1$ neighbors of $x_{i}$ (to be connected). There are $t(t-1)$ sets of this type. Moreover, each pair of elements of $X$ dominates $Y$. So a minimal connected dominating set can be formed by (any) two elements of $X$ and any of the elements of $Y$ (to dominate $z$ ). There are $t \frac{t(t-1)}{2}$ such sets.
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Figure 1: The graph $G_{4}$
The hub-vertex $s$ in $G_{t}^{k}$ must be in any connected dominating set, being a cut-vertex. Therefore, there is no need for the set $X$ in $G_{t}$ to induce a clique (in $G_{t}^{k}$ ), being always dominated by $s$. In other words, the counting used in the above proof still holds if each copy of $G_{t}$ is replaced by $G_{t}-E(X)$ in $G_{t}^{k}$. Here $E(X)$ denotes the set of edges connecting pairs of vertices in $X$. The below figure shows $G_{3}^{3}$ without the edges between pairs of element of $X$ in each copy of $G_{3}$.


Figure 2: The graph $G_{3}^{3}$

Theorem 1. The maximum number of minimal connected dominating sets in a simple undirected connected graph of order $n$ is in $\Omega\left(1.489^{n}\right)$.

Proof. By Lemma 1, each copy of the graph $G_{t}$ has $\frac{t^{3}+t^{2}}{2}-t$ minimal connected dominating sets. There are $k$ such graphs in $G_{t}^{k}$, in addition to the vertex $s$ that connects them all. Every minimal connected dominating set must contain $s$ and at least one element from $N(s)$ in each $G_{t}$. Therefore, the total number of minimal connected dominating sets in $G_{t}^{k}$ is $\left(\frac{t^{3}+t^{2}}{2}-t\right)^{k}=\left(\frac{t^{3}+t^{2}}{2}-t\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2 t+1}}$. The claimed lower bound is achieved when $t=4$, which gives a total of $36^{\frac{n-1}{9}} \in \Omega\left(1.489^{n}\right)$.

We note that $G_{t}^{k}$ is a $t$-degenerate graph that is also bipartite (since the set $X$ in each copy of $G_{t}$ can be an independent set). Furthermore, we observe that $G_{3}^{k}$ is planar. To see this, simply re-order the elements of $Y$ in each copy of $G_{3}$ as shown in Figure 3 below.


Figure 3: A plane drawing of $G_{3}^{3}$
Therefore, we can obtain an improved lower bound for 3-degenerate, bipartite and planar graphs. We conclude with the following corollary.

Corollary 1. The maximum number of minimal connected dominating sets in a 3-degenerate bipartite planar graph of order $n$ is in $\Omega\left(1.472^{n}\right)$.

## 3 Conclusion

The method we adopted for constructing asymptotic worst-case examples for enumerating minimal connected dominating sets consists of combining copies of a certain base-graph having a particular subset of vertices that must contain elements of any minimal connected dominating set, being linked to a main hub-vertex. For example, the graph $G_{4}$ has 36 minimal connected dominating sets, each of which must contain elements of the set $X$, which in turn is linked to the hub-vertex $s$ in $G_{4}^{k}$.

The main question at this stage is: can we do better? We believe it is very difficult to find a base-graph of order eight or less that can be used to achieve a higher lower-bound since it would have to have at least 25 minimal connected dominating sets. Moreover, any better example that contains more than 9 vertices must have a much larger number of minimal connected dominating sets. For example, to achieve a better lower bound with a basegraph of order 10 (or 11 ), such a graph must have at least 54 (respectively 80) minimal connected dominating sets. It would be challenging to obtain such a construction, which is hereby posed as an open problem.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ An anti-matching in $G$ is a collection of disjoint non-adjacent pairs of its vertices.

