

# Ramsey numbers for complete graphs versus generalized fans

Maoqun Wang and Jianguo Qian\*

School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, PR China

**Abstract.** For two graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , let  $r(G, H)$  and  $r_*(G, H)$  denote the Ramsey number and star-critical Ramsey number of  $G$  versus  $H$ , respectively. In 1996, Li and Rousseau proved that  $r(K_m, F_{t,n}) = tn(m-1) + 1$  for  $m \geq 3$  and sufficiently large  $n$ , where  $F_{t,n} = K_1 + nK_t$ . Recently, Hao and Lin proved that  $r(K_3, F_{3,n}) = 6n + 1$  for  $n \geq 3$  and  $r_*(K_3, F_{3,n}) = 3n + 3$  for  $n \geq 4$ . In this paper, we show that  $r(K_m, sF_{t,n}) = tn(m+s-2) + s$  for sufficiently large  $n$  and, in particular,  $r(K_3, sF_{t,n}) = tn(s+1) + s$  for  $t \in \{3, 4\}$ ,  $n \geq t$  and  $s \geq 1$ . We also show that  $r_*(K_3, F_{4,n}) = 4n + 4$  for  $n \geq 4$  and establish an upper bound on  $r(F_{2,m}, F_{t,n})$ .

**Keywords:** Ramsey number; star-critical Ramsey number; complete graph; generalized fan

## 1 Introduction

For two graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , we denote by  $r(G, H)$  the *Ramsey number* of  $G$  versus  $H$ , that is, the minimum integer  $r$  such that any red/blue edge-coloring of  $K_r$  contains a red  $G$  or a blue  $H$ . By the definition,  $K_{r-1}$  has a red/blue edge-coloring that contains neither a red copy of  $G$  nor a blue copy of  $H$ . We call such a coloring a  $(G, H)$ -free coloring. For two vertex disjoint graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , we denote by  $G_1 + G_2$  the join of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , that is, the graph obtained from  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  by adding all edges between  $V(G_1)$  and  $V(G_2)$ . Further, for a graph  $G$  and positive integer  $n$ , the disjoint union of  $n$  copies of  $G$  is denoted by  $nG$ . For positive integer  $n$ , the *fan*  $F_n$  is defined as  $K_1 + nK_2$ . Generally, the *generalized fan*  $F_{t,n}$  is defined by  $K_1 + nK_t$ . It is clear that  $F_{2,n} = F_n$ .

Ramsey theory is a fascinating branch in combinatorics. Most problems in this area are far from being solved, which stem from the classic problem of determining the number  $r(K_n, K_n)$ . In this paper we focus on the Ramsey numbers for complete graphs versus generalized fans.

---

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: jgqian@xmu.edu.cn (J.G. Qian)

In [14], Li and Rousseau proved the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** [14] *For any graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , there is a positive integer  $n(G, H)$  such that for any integer  $n \geq n(G, H)$ ,*

$$r(K_2 + H, K_1 + nG) = kn(\chi(H) + 1) + 1,$$

where  $k$  is the number of the vertices in  $G$  and  $\chi(H)$  the chromatic number of  $H$ .

**Conjecture 1.2.** [3] *For any  $n \geq m \geq 3$ ,  $r(K_m, F_n) = 2n(m - 1) + 1$ .*

Choosing  $G = K_2$  and  $H = K_{m-2}$  in Theorem 1.1, we see that Theorem 1.1 supports Conjecture 1.2 for any  $m$  and sufficiently large  $n$ . In contrast to sufficiently large  $n$ , the conjecture is also confirmed for some small  $m$ 's as follows.

**Theorem 1.3.** *The following statement holds:*

- (i)  $r(K_3, F_n) = 4n + 1$  for any  $n \geq 3$  [6, 14];
- (ii)  $r(K_4, F_n) = 6n + 1$  for any  $n \geq 4$  [3];
- (iii)  $r(K_5, F_n) = 8n + 1$  for any  $n \geq 5$  [5];
- (iv)  $r(K_6, F_n) = 10n + 1$  for any  $n \geq 6$  [13].

Let  $K_{r-1} \sqcup S_k$  denote the graph obtained from  $K_{r-1}$  by adding a new vertex  $v$  and an edge joining  $v$  to each of  $k$  vertices of  $K_{r-1}$ . For  $r = r(G, H)$ , the *star-critical Ramsey number*  $r_*(G, H)$  is defined as the minimum integer  $r_*$  such that any red/blue edge-coloring of  $K_{r-1} \sqcup S_{r_*}$  contains a red  $G$  or a blue  $H$ . This parameter was first introduced by Hook and Isaak [10], which could be viewed as a refinement of the Ramsey number. For results on star-critical Ramsey numbers involving  $F_{t,n}$ , we refer the readers to references [7, 8, 12, 15, 16]. In [7], Hao and Lin determined the Ramsey number and the star-critical Ramsey number of  $K_3$  versus  $F_{3,n}$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** [7]  $r(K_3, F_{3,n}) = 6n + 1$  for any integer  $n \geq 3$  and  $r_*(K_3, F_{3,n}) = 3n + 3$  for any integer  $n \geq 4$ .

Recently, Hamm et al. [9] determined the Ramsey number of  $sK_m$  versus  $F_{t,n}$  for sufficiently large  $n$  as follows.

**Theorem 1.5.** [9] *Let  $m, s, t, n$  be four positive integers with  $m \geq 3$  and  $tn \geq \max\{(m + 1)(m + C(t, m) + t) + 3, \frac{(m-1)^2}{m-2}((s-1)(m-1) + t)\}$ , where  $C(t, m)$  is a constant depending only on  $t$  and  $m$ . Then  $r(sK_m, F_{t,n}) = tn(m - 1) + s$ .*

In 1975, Burr, Erdős and Spencer [1] investigated Ramsey number for disjoint union of small graphs and showed that  $r(nK_3, nK_3) = 5n$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Li and Rousseau [14] first studied off-diagonal Ramsey number of fans. They showed that  $4n + 1 \leq r(F_m, F_n) \leq 4n + 4m - 2$  for  $n \geq m \geq 1$ . Later in [17], Lin and Li proved that  $r(F_2, F_n) = 4n + 1$  for  $n \geq 2$  and improved the upper bound of Li and Rousseau to be  $r(F_m, F_n) \leq 4n + 2m$  for  $n \geq m \geq 2$ . Recently, Chen, Yu and Zhao [4] showed that  $9n/2 - 5 \leq r(F_n, F_n) \leq 11n/2 + 6$  for any  $n$ . For more results on Ramsey numbers involving  $F_{t,n}$ , we refer the readers to references [18, 20, 21].

In this paper, we prove the following two results.

**Theorem 1.6.** *For any integer  $n \geq 4$ ,  $r(K_3, F_{4,n}) = 8n + 1$  and  $r_*(K_3, F_{4,n}) = 4n + 4$ .*

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let  $m, s, t, n$  be four positive integers with  $n \geq m \geq 3$ . Then  $tn(m + s - 2) + s \leq r(K_m, sF_{t,n}) \leq (tn + 1)(s - 1) + r(K_m, F_{t,n})$ .*

As direct consequences of Theorem 1.4, Theorem 1.6-1.7 and Theorem 1.5, Theorem 1.7, respectively, we have the following two corollaries.

**Corollary 1.8.**  *$r(K_3, sF_{t,n}) = tn(s + 1) + s$  for any  $t \in \{3, 4\}$ ,  $n \geq t$  and  $s \geq 1$ .*

**Corollary 1.9.** *Let  $m, s, t, n$  be four positive integers with  $m \geq 3$  and  $tn \geq \max\{(m + 1)(m + C(t, m) + t) + 3, \frac{(m-1)^2}{m-2}t\}$ , where  $C(t, m)$  is a constant depending only on  $t$  and  $m$ . Then  $r(K_m, sF_{t,n}) = tn(m + s - 2) + s$ .*

Further, combining Theorem 1.7 with Conjecture 1.2 and Theorem 1.3, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.10.** *Let  $m, s, t, n$  be four positive integers with  $n \geq m \geq 3$ . If  $3 \leq m \leq 6$ , then  $r(K_m, sF_n) = 2n(s + m - 2) + s$ . In general, if Conjecture 1.2 is true, then  $r(K_m, sF_n) = 2n(s + m - 2) + s$ .*

Finally, we prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.11.** *Let  $t, n, m$  be three positive integers with  $t \geq 3$  and  $2m \geq \max\{(t + 1)(t + C(t) + 2) + 3, \frac{(t-1)^2}{t-2}((n - 1)(t - 1) + 2)\}$  where  $C(t)$  is a constant depending only on  $t$ . Then  $r(F_m, F_{t,n}) \leq \max\{m, n\} + (t - 1)(2m + n) + n + m$ .*

In the following section, we give a proof of Theorem 1.6 and, in the last section, we give the proofs of Theorem 1.7 and Theorem 1.11.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.6

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph. For any subset  $S \subseteq V$  or  $S \subseteq E$ , we use  $G[S]$  to denote the subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $S$ . A *neighbour* of a vertex  $v$  is a vertex adjacent to  $v$ . The set of all neighbours of  $v$  is denoted by  $N_G(v)$  and the degree of  $v$  is defined to be  $d_G(v) = |N_G(v)|$ . For a subset  $U \subseteq V$ ,  $N_G(v, U)$  denotes the set of all neighbors of  $v$  in  $U$  and  $d_G(v, U) = |N_G(v, U)|$  denotes the degree of  $v$  in  $U$ . In the definition of Ramsey number, a red/blue edge-coloring of  $K_r$  on vertex set  $V$  can be associated with graphs  $(V, R)$  and  $(V, B)$ , where  $R$  and  $B$  consist of all red and blue edges, respectively. Thus they are complementary to each other. Conversely, any graph  $G$  and its complement  $\overline{G}$  can be associated with a red/blue edge-coloring of a complete graph on  $V$  in which the edges in  $G$  and  $\overline{G}$  are colored in red and blue, respectively. Throughout the following, for a red/blue edge-coloring, we also use  $R$  (or  $B$ ) to denote the spanning subgraph induced by the red edges (or blue edges) if no confusion can occur.

The *chromatic surplus*  $s(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is the minimum size of a color class over all proper vertex-colorings of  $G$  by using  $\chi(G)$  colors. Burr [2] proved that, for any connected graph  $H$  of order  $n \geq s(G)$ ,

$$r(G, H) \geq (\chi(G) - 1)(n - 1) + s(G). \quad (1)$$

A graph  $H$  is called *G-good* if the equality in (1) holds.

Let  $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{\chi(G)}$  be the color classes of vertices under a proper vertex coloring of  $G$  and  $|U_1| = s(G)$ . Denote

$$\tau(G) = \min_{v \in U_1} \min_{2 \leq i \leq \chi(G)} d_G(v, U_i),$$

where the first minimum is taken over all proper vertex colorings of  $G$  with  $\chi(G)$  colors.

We begin our proof with the following four lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** [19] For  $n \geq m \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ ,  $r(mK_3, nK_4) = 4n + 2m + 1$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** [7, 8] Let  $G$  be a graph with  $\chi(G) \geq 2$ , and  $H$  a connected graph of order  $n \geq s(G)$  with minimum degree  $\delta(H)$ . If  $H$  is *G-good*, then  $r_*(G, H) \geq (\chi(G) - 2)(n - 1) + \min\{n, \delta(H) + \tau(G) - 1\}$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $G$  be a graph whose vertex set is partitioned into three subsets  $V_1, V_2$  and  $V_3$  with  $G[V_1] = K_{4n-k}$  and  $G[V_2] = K_{4n-k}$ , where  $1 \leq k \leq 3$  and  $n \geq 4$ . If  $G$  has independence number  $\alpha(G)$  at most two and contains no  $F_{4,n}$ , then for every vertex  $w \in V_3$ ,  $w$  is adjacent to all vertices of either  $V_1$  or  $V_2$ .

*Proof.* Suppose to the contrary that  $w$  is not adjacent to  $u$  of  $V_1$  and  $v$  of  $V_2$  for some vertex  $w$  of  $V_3$ . If  $w$  has four nonadjacent vertices  $u, u_1, u_2, u_3$  of  $V_1$ , then these four vertices must be

adjacent to  $v$ , otherwise  $\alpha(G) \geq 3$ , which is a contradiction. In this way,  $G[V_2 \cup \{u, u_1, u_2, u_3\}]$  contains an  $F_{4,n}$  with  $v$  as its center, also a contradiction. Therefore,  $w$  has at most three nonadjacent vertices in  $V_1$ . That is,  $w$  has at least  $4n - k - 3$  neighbors in  $V_1$ . For the same reason,  $w$  has at least  $4n - k - 3$  neighbors in  $V_2$ . We can find  $n - 2$  disjoint  $K_4$  in  $N_G(w, V_1)$  and two disjoint  $K_4$  in  $N_G(w, V_2)$ , which together with  $w$  form an  $F_{4,n}$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *For any  $n \geq 4$ ,  $B \supseteq 2K_{4n}$  for any  $(K_3, F_{4,n})$ -free coloring of  $K_{8n}$ .*

*Proof.* Consider any  $(K_3, F_{4,n})$ -free coloring of  $K_{8n}$ . By Lemma 2.1, we know that  $r(K_3, nK_4) = 4n + 3$ , which implies that  $d_B(u) \leq 4n + 2$  or, equivalently,  $d_R(u) \geq 4n - 3$  for any vertex  $u \in V$ . Since  $R$  contains no red  $K_3$ ,  $N_R(u)$  contains a blue  $K_{4n-3}$ , denoted by  $G_1$ . Choose a vertex  $v$  from  $V(G_1)$ . Since  $d_R(v) \geq 4n - 3$ ,  $N_R(v)$  also contains a blue  $K_{4n-3}$ , denoted by  $G_2$ . Therefore, we have  $B \supseteq 2K_{4n-3}$ .

Let  $V_1 = V(G_1)$ ,  $V_2 = V(G_2)$  and  $V_3 = V(K_{8n}) \setminus (V_1 \cup V_2)$ . We note that  $V_3$  consists of exactly six vertices. By Lemma 2.3, we know that every vertex in  $V_3$  is blue-adjacent (adjacent by a blue edge) to all vertices of either  $G_1$  or  $G_2$ . If at least four vertices in  $V_3$  are blue-adjacent to all vertices of either  $G_1$  or  $G_2$ , then either  $B[V_3 \cup V_1]$  or  $B[V_3 \cup V_2]$  contains a blue  $F_{4,n}$ , a contradiction. By symmetry, this means that exactly three vertices in  $V_3$ , say  $x_1, x_2, x_3$ , are blue-adjacent to all vertices in  $V_1$  while the other three vertices, denoted by  $x_4, x_5, x_6$ , are blue-adjacent to all vertices in  $V_2$ .

If  $x_1$  is blue-adjacent to at least four vertices in  $V_2$ , then  $B$  has an  $F_{4,n}$  with  $x_1$  as its center, a contradiction. Hence,  $x_1$  is blue-adjacent to at most three vertices in  $V_2$ . For the same reason, each of  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  is blue-adjacent to at most three vertices in  $V_2$ . Equivalently, each of  $x_1, x_2$  and  $x_3$  is red-adjacent to at least  $4n - 6$  vertices in  $V_2$ . Therefore, each pair of  $x_1, x_2$  and  $x_3$  are red-adjacent to a common vertex in  $V_2$  as  $|V_2| = 4n - 3$ . This implies that  $x_1x_2, x_2x_3$  and  $x_1x_3$  are blue edges to avoid a red  $K_3$ . By symmetry,  $x_4x_5, x_5x_6$  and  $x_4x_6$  are also blue edges. As a result,  $B[\{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \cup V_1] = K_{4n}$  and  $B[\{x_4, x_5, x_6\} \cup V_2] = K_{4n}$ , i.e.,  $B \supseteq 2K_{4n}$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.6:** We first prove that  $r(K_3, F_{4,n}) = 8n + 1$  for  $n \geq 4$ . By (1),  $r(K_3, F_{4,n}) \geq 8n + 1$  follows immediately. We now need only to show the converse. Consider a red/blue edge-coloring of  $K_{8n+1}$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $K_{8n+1}$  contains neither red  $K_3$  nor blue  $F_{4,n}$ . Choose an arbitrary vertex  $u \in V(K_{8n+1})$ . Since the red neighborhood (the neighbour adjacent by red edges)  $N_R(u)$  of  $u$  induces a blue complete graph, we have  $d_R(u) \leq 4n$  and, hence,  $d_B(u) \geq 4n$ . Let  $K_{8n+1} = K_{8n} + u$ . It is clear that the red/blue edge-coloring restricted on  $K_{8n}$  is also a  $(K_3, F_{4,n})$ -free coloring. So by lemma 2.4, we have  $B - u \supseteq 2K_{4n}$ . Therefore,  $K_{8n+1}$  contains a blue  $F_{4,n}$ , a contradiction.

Next, we prove that  $r_*(K_3, F_{4,n}) = 4n + 4$ . Note that  $r_*(K_3, F_{4,n}) \geq 4n + 4$  follows directly from lemma 2.2. We now prove its converse.

Consider any  $(K_3, F_{4,n})$ -free coloring for  $K_{8n}$ . Lemma 2.4 implies that the blue graph  $B \supseteq 2K_{4n}$ . Let  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  be the vertex sets of the two blue  $K_{4n}$ 's, respectively. Add a new vertex  $v$  and an edge joining  $v$  to each of  $4n + 4$  vertices in  $V_1 \cup V_2$ . It suffices to show that, no matter how to color these  $4n + 4$  edges, we can always find either a red  $K_3$  or a blue  $F_{4,n}$ .

Note that for  $n \geq 4$ , there are at least four edges between  $v$  and  $V_i$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Further,  $v$  is adjacent to at least ten vertices of either  $V_1$  or  $V_2$ , say  $V_1$ . Take  $\{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\} \subset V_1$  and  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\} \subset V_2$ . We see that  $v$  is red-adjacent to some vertex of  $\{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$ . Otherwise,  $\{v\} \cup V_1$  will induce a blue  $F_{4,n}$ . Without loss of generality, assume  $vu_4$  is red. Similarly, assume  $vv_4$  is red. If  $v$  has at least four blue neighbors in  $V_1$ , then we get a blue  $F_{4,n}$ , a contradiction. So we assume that  $v$  has at most three blue neighbors in  $V_1$ . For the same reason, assume that  $v_4$  has at most three blue neighbors in  $V_1$ . In this way,  $v$  and  $v_4$  have a common red neighbor in  $V_1$ . Therefore, we get a red  $K_3$ , again a contradiction. This completes the proof.

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.7 and Theorem 1.11

We first prove Theorem 1.7. Since the complete multipartite graph  $K_{(tn+1)s-1, \underbrace{tn, tn, \dots, tn}_{m-2}}$  does not contain  $K_m$  and its complement  $K_{(tn+1)s-1} \cup \underbrace{K_{tn} \cup K_{tn}, \dots, K_{tn}}_{m-2}$  does not contain  $sF_{t,n}$ , we have  $r(K_m, sF_{t,n}) \geq tn(s + m - 2) + s$ .

We now prove that  $r(K_m, sF_{t,n}) \leq (tn + 1)(s - 1) + r(K_m, F_{t,n})$ . Let  $N = (tn + 1)(s - 1) + r(K_m, F_{t,n})$ . For a red/blue edge-coloring of  $K_N$ , if  $K_N$  has a red  $K_m$ , then we are done. Otherwise, we have a blue  $F_{t,n}$  since  $N > r(K_m, F_{t,n})$ . Removing the blue  $F_{t,n}$  from  $K_N$ , we get a red/blue edge-coloring complete graph of order  $(tn + 1)(s - 2) + r(K_m, F_{t,n})$ , which contains a blue  $F_{t,n}$  if  $s - 2 \geq 0$ . Repeating this procedure, we finally get a complete graph of order  $r(K_m, F_{t,n}) - (tn + 1)$ , which is obtained from  $K_N$  by removing  $s$  blue  $F_{t,n}$ 's. This means  $r(K_m, sF_{t,n}) \leq (tn + 1)(s - 1) + r(K_m, F_{t,n})$ , which completes our proof of Theorem 1.7.

Next, we prove Theorem 1.11. We begin with the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1.** [11] *Let  $n, t$  be two positive integers with  $t \geq 2$  and  $G$  be a graph of order  $tn$ . If  $\delta(G) \geq (1 - \frac{1}{t})tn$ , then  $G$  has  $n$  vertex-disjoint  $K_t$ 's.*

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $s, n, t$  be three positive integers with  $t \geq 2$ . Then  $r(sK_2, F_{t,n}) = \max\{s, n\} + (t - 1)n + s$ .*

*Proof.* We separate the proof into two cases.

**Case 1.**  $n \geq s$ .

In this case,  $\max\{s, n\} + (t-1)n + s = tn + s$ . Since the graph  $K_{tn, s-1}$  does not contain  $sK_2$  and its complement  $K_{tn} \cup K_{s-1}$  does not contain  $F_{t,n}$ , we have  $r(sK_2, F_{t,n}) \geq tn + s$ .

We now prove  $r(sK_2, F_{t,n}) \leq tn + s$  by induction on  $s$ . The case  $s = 1$  is trivial. We assume that  $s \geq 2$  and assertion holds for  $s-1$ , i.e.,  $r((s-1)K_2, F_{t,n}) \leq tn + s - 1$ . For any red/blue edge-coloring of  $K_{tn+s}$ , if  $K_{tn+s}$  contains no blue  $F_{t,n}$ , then by the induction hypothesis,  $K_{tn+s}$  must contain a red  $(s-1)K_2$  as  $tn + s > r((s-1)K_2, F_{t,n})$ . Let  $M = \{u_1v_1, u_2v_2, \dots, u_{s-1}v_{s-1}\}$  be the set of the  $s-1$  red  $K_2$ 's,  $M^* = \{u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2, \dots, u_{s-1}, v_{s-1}\}$  and  $W = V(K_{tn+s}) \setminus M^*$ . Then  $|W| = tn - s + 2$ . We see that  $W$  induces a blue  $K_{tn-s+2}$ , since otherwise  $W$  would contain a red edge, which together with  $M^*$  form a red  $sK_2$ .

If  $v_i$  is red-adjacent to one vertex in  $W$  for some  $i$ , then  $u_i$  must be blue-adjacent to all other vertices in  $W$ , since otherwise we would obtain two independent red edges between  $\{u_i, v_i\}$  and  $W$ , which together with the  $s-2$  red  $K_2$ 's in  $M \setminus \{u_iv_i\}$  form a red  $sK_2$ . By symmetry, this implies that, for any  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s-1\}$ , either  $u_i$  or  $v_i$  is blue-adjacent to all but at most one vertex in  $W$ . By relabeling if necessary, we may assume that each  $u_i$  is blue-adjacent to all but at most one vertex in  $W$ . Let  $W'$  be the set of all red neighbours of  $u_i$  in  $W$  (maybe empty). Then  $|W'| \leq s-1$  and  $|W \setminus W'| \geq (tn - s + 2) - (s-1) \geq 3$ . We thus have a vertex  $w \in W$  that is blue-adjacent to each  $u_i$ . Let  $G_1 = B[W \cup \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{s-1}\} \setminus \{w\}]$ . It is easy to see that  $\delta(G_1) \geq tn - s \geq (1 - \frac{1}{t})tn$ . So by Lemma 3.1, we obtain  $n$  vertex-disjoint  $K_t$ 's in  $G_1$ . Therefore,  $G_1 + w$  contains a blue  $F_{t,n}$  with  $w$  as its center, a contradiction.

**Case 2.**  $n < s$ .

In this case,  $\max\{s, n\} + (t-1)n + s = (t-1)n + 2s$ . The desired lower bound  $r(sK_2, F_{t,n}) \geq (t-1)n + 2s$  follows from the fact that the graph  $\overline{K}_{(t-1)n} \cup K_{2s-1}$  does not contain  $sK_2$  and its complement  $K_{(t-1)n} + \overline{K}_{2s-1}$  does not contain  $F_{t,n}$ .

To prove  $r(sK_2, F_{t,n}) \leq (t-1)n + 2s$ , we show by induction on  $s \geq n$  that if a graph  $\overline{G}$  of order  $(t-1)n + 2s$  contains no  $F_{t,n}$ , then  $G$  contains  $s$  independent edges. The assertion for the case  $s = n$  follows directly from Case 1. We now assume that  $s > n$ . By deleting a pair of nonadjacent vertices  $u$  and  $v$  from  $\overline{G}$ , we have a subgraph  $\overline{H}$  of  $\overline{G}$  on  $(t-1)n + 2(s-1)$  vertices with  $s-1 \geq n$ , which does not contain  $F_{t,n}$ . So by the induction hypothesis,  $H$  contains  $(s-1)K_2$ , which together with the edge  $uv$  yields an  $sK_2$  in  $G$ .  $\square$

Let  $N = \max\{m, n\} + (t-1)(2m+n) + n + m$ , and consider a red/blue edge-coloring of  $K_N$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $R$  contains no  $F_m$  and  $B$  contains no blue  $F_{t,n}$ . By Lemma 3.2, we know that  $d_R(u) \leq \max\{m, n\} + (t-1)n + m - 1$  for any  $u \in V(K_N)$  since the red neighbours of  $u$  induce no  $mK_2$ . Furthermore, by Theorem 1.5, we have  $d_B(u) \leq 2m(t-1) + n - 1$  for any  $u \in V(K_N)$ . Then  $N \leq \max\{m, n\} + (t-1)n + m - 1 + 2m(t-1) + n - 1 + 1 < \max\{m, n\} + (t-1)(2m+n) + n + m$ , a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.11.

## 4 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China [Grant numbers, 11971406, 12171402].

## References

- [1] S. Burr, P. Erdős, J.H. Spencer, Ramsey theorems for multiple copies of graphs, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 209 (1975) 87-99.
- [2] S. Burr, Ramsey numbers involving graphs with long suspended paths, J. London Math. Soc. 2 (1981) 405-413.
- [3] E.T Baskoro Surahmat, H.J. Broersma, The Ramsey numbers of fans versus  $K_4$ , Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl. 43 (2005) 96-102.
- [4] G. Chen, X. Yu, Y. Zhao, Improved bounds on the Ramsey number of fans, European J. Combin. 96 (2021) 103347.
- [5] Y. Chen, Y. Zhang, The Ramsey numbers of fans versus a complete graph of order five, Electron. J. Graph Theory Appl. 2 (2014) 66-69.
- [6] L. Gupta, S.k. Gupta, A. Sudan, On Ramsey numbers for fan-fan graphs, J. Combin. inf. Syst. Sci. 22 (1997) 85-93.
- [7] Y. Hao, Q. Lin, Ramsey number of  $K_3$  versus  $F_{3,n}$ , Discrete Appl. Math. 251 (2018) 345-348.
- [8] Y. Hao, Q. Lin, Star-critical Ramsey numbers for large generalized fans and books, Discrete Math. 341 (2018) 3385-3393.
- [9] A. Hamm, P. Hazelton, S. Thompson, On Ramsey and star-critical Ramsey number for gneralized fans versus  $nK_m$ , Discrete Appl. Math. 305 (2021) 64-70.
- [10] J. Hook, G. Isaak, Star-critical Ramsey numbers, Discrete Appl. Math. 159 (2011) 328-334.
- [11] A. Hajnal, E. Szemerédi, Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdős, In Combin. Theory and Its Appli. (1970) 601-623.
- [12] S. Haghi, H.R. Maimani, A. Seify, Star-critical Ramsey number of  $F_n$  versus  $K_4$ , Discrete Appl. Math. 217 (2017) 203-209.
- [13] S. Kadota, T. Onozuka, Y. Suzuki, The graph Ramsey number  $R(F_l, K_6)$ , Discrete Math. 342 (2009) 1028-1037.



- [14] Y. Li, C.C. Rousseau, Fan-complete graph Ramsey numbers, *J. Graph Theory* 23 (1996) 413-420.
- [15] Z. Li, Y. Li, Some star-critical Ramsey numbers, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 182 (2015) 301-305.
- [16] Y. Li, Y. Li, Y. Wang, Star-Critical Ramsey Numbers of Generalized Fans, *Graphs Comb.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00373-021-02337-2>.
- [17] Q. Lin, Y. Li, On Ramsey numbers of fans, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 157 (2009) 191-194.
- [18] Q. Lin, Y. Li, L. Dong, Ramsey goodness and generalized stars, *European J. Combin.* 31 (2010) 1228-1234.
- [19] P.J. Lorimer, Ramsey number for quadrangles and triangles, *J. Comb. Theory Ser. B* 23 (1977) 262-265.
- [20] S.P. Radziszowski, Small Ramsey numbers, *Electron. J. Combin.* (2021) DS1.16 <http://www.combinatorics.org>.
- [21] Y. Zhang, H. Broersma, Y. Chen, A note on Ramsey numbers for fans, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 92 (2015) 19-23.