

A simplified proof of the Johansson-Molloy Theorem using the Rosenfeld counting method

Anders Martinsson*

9th February 2022

Abstract

We show that any triangle-free graph with maximum degree Δ has chromatic number at most $(1 + o(1)) \Delta / \log \Delta$.

1 Introduction

The Johansson-Molloy Theorem states that any triangle-free graph G with maximum degree Δ has chromatic number at most $(1 + o(1))\Delta / \ln \Delta$ as $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$. In an interesting new development on this problem, a recent article by Hurley and Pirot [1] present an elementary proof based on the Rosenfeld counting method [2]. In fact, their result is quite a bit stronger in that it works even if the underlying graph contains a moderate amount of triangles, it extends to list colorings, and give explicit error terms. In this note, we will present a simplified version of their proof in the case of triangle-free graphs. We prove the following.

Theorem 1.1. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $\Delta_0 = \Delta_0(\varepsilon)$ such that any triangle-free graph with maximum degree at most $\Delta \geq \Delta_0$ is $\lceil (1 + \varepsilon)\Delta / \ln \Delta \rceil$ -colorable.*

Let us start by fixing some notation. Let $k = \lceil (1 + \varepsilon)\Delta / \ln \Delta \rceil$ denote the number of colors, and for any graph G , let $\mathcal{C}(G)$ the set of proper k -colorings of G .

We will show this theorem following the format of Rosenfelds counting method, by proving by induction that the number of proper colorings of a triangle-free graph grows by a large factor whenever a vertex is added. To this end, let $\ell = \ell(\Delta)$ be any function such that $\ln \Delta \ll \ell = \Delta^{o(1)}$, for instance $\ell(\Delta) = \ln^2 \Delta$.

Claim 1.2. *Let Δ be sufficiently large and let G be any triangle-free graph with maximum degree at most Δ . Then $|\mathcal{C}(G)|/|\mathcal{C}(G - v)| \geq \ell$ for any $v \in G$.*

Note that this immediately implies Theorem 1.1. In fact, the number of proper k -colorings of G grows as $\ell^{|V(G)|} > 0$.

We will prove Claim 1.2 by induction of the number of vertices in G . Note that the statement is trivially true when G consists of a single vertex. So we may assume below that G has at least two vertices and that $v \in G$ denotes an arbitrary but fixed vertex.

For any partial proper coloring c of G , let $L_c(u)$ denote the set of *available colors* for u . That is, the set of colors not present in the neighborhood of u . In other words, $L_c(u)$ is the set of

*ETH Zürich, maanders@inf.ethz.ch

colors we can (re-)assign to u while keeping the coloring proper. Note that this definition holds *regardless* of whether u is already assigned a color.

The core of our argument is to study the behavior of a uniformly chosen proper coloring $\mathbf{c}$ of the graph $G - v$. That is, $\mathbf{c}$ is taken uniformly at random from $\mathcal{C}(G - v)$. We first note that the conclusion of the theorem can be expressed in terms of the expectation of the number $|L_{\mathbf{c}}(v)|$ of available colors for v in $\mathbf{c}$. Observe that each proper coloring of G can be formed by taking a proper coloring of $G - v$ and extending it by coloring v in one of its available colors. Hence, we have the equality

$$\mathbb{E}[|L_{\mathbf{c}}(v)|] = \frac{\sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}(G-v)} |L_c(v)|}{|\mathcal{C}(G-v)|} = \frac{|\mathcal{C}(G)|}{|\mathcal{C}(G-v)|},$$

which means that the claim follows if we can prove that, for sufficiently large Δ , $\mathbb{E}[|L_{\mathbf{c}}(v)|] \geq \ell$. In fact, we will show the stronger statement that, whp as $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$, we have $|L_{\mathbf{c}}(v)| \gg \ell$.

The proof of this is based on two observations about the distribution of $\mathbf{c}$. Let u be any neighbor of v in G , and let $t \geq 0$ be a number to be chosen later. By the induction hypothesis, we know (in fact, for any vertex) that $|\mathcal{C}(G - v)| \geq \ell \cdot |\mathcal{C}(G - v - u)|$. We will use this to show that it is unlikely for u to have only few available colors in $\mathbf{c}$. Note that any proper coloring of $G - v$ in which u has at most t available colors can be formed by taking some proper coloring of $G - v - u$ and coloring u by picking one out of at most t options. Hence, there are at most $t \cdot |\mathcal{C}(G - v - u)|$ such colorings. Plugging in the induction hypothesis as above, it follows that

$$\mathbb{P}(|L_{\mathbf{c}}(u)| \leq t) \leq \frac{t \cdot |\mathcal{C}(G - v - u)|}{|\mathcal{C}(G - v)|} \leq \frac{t}{\ell}.$$

In particular, this means that the expected number of neighbors of v with at most t available colors in $\mathbf{c}$ is at most $t\Delta/\ell$. So if we let $1 \ll t \ll \ell/\ln \Delta$, it follows by Markov's inequality that, with high probability, all but at most $o(k) = o(\Delta/\ln \Delta)$ neighbors of v have more than $t = \omega(1)$ available colors. Crucially, then only a small fraction of colors will be unavailable for v due to these vertices. Thus it suffices to show that it is unlikely for the remaining neighbors of v to block too many of the other $k - o(k)$ colors.

Second, letting $G_0 := G - v - N(v)$, we consider the distribution of $\mathbf{c}$ conditioned on $\mathbf{c}|_{G_0} = c_0$ for some $c_0 \in \mathcal{C}(G_0)$. Observe that, as G is triangle-free, there cannot be any edges between two vertices in $N(v)$. Thus, for any $u \in N(v)$, we have that $L_{\mathbf{c}}(u)$ is completely determined by c_0 according to $L_{c_0}(u)$. Moreover, any $c \in \mathcal{C}(G - v)$ such that $c|_{G_0} = c_0$ can be constructed from c_0 by, for each $u \in N(v)$, color u by an arbitrary color in $L_{c_0}(u)$. As $\mathbf{c}$ is uniformly distributed, any such extension of c_0 is equally likely. Hence, conditioned on $\mathbf{c}|_{G_0} = c_0$, we get that the colors of the neighbors of v are conditionally independent and uniformly chosen from the respective sets $L_{c_0}(u)$. It remains to show that when $L_{\mathbf{c}}(u)$ is large for most $u \in N(v)$, the set of colors present in $N(v)$ behaves like a coupon collector process.

We have now reduced the problem to the following elementary statement: We are given sets $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_d \subseteq [k]$ for some $d \leq \Delta$ where all but $o(k)$ sets satisfies $|L_i| > t = \omega(1)$, and random variables $X_1 \in L_1, \dots, X_d \in L_d$ chosen independently and uniformly from the respective sets. We wish to show that, whp, $\mathbf{X} := [k] \setminus \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d\}$ contains $\gg \ell$ elements.

Note first that the events $j \in \mathbf{X}$ for $j \in [k]$ are negatively correlated. This is intuitively clear as if some number j never appears among $X_1, \dots, X_d$, then any X_i such that $j \in L_i$ is consequently more likely to hit any value in $L_i \setminus \{j\}$. It follows that $\text{Var}(|\mathbf{X}|) \leq \mathbb{E}|\mathbf{X}|$, so by Chebyshev's inequality it suffices to show that $\mathbb{E}|\mathbf{X}| \gg \ell$.

Condition on the values of X_i for each i such that $|L_i| \leq t$, and let B be the set of colors assigned to these variables. Then $|B| = o(k)$. By linearity of expectation, the conditional

expected value of $|\mathbf{X}|$ given these values is

$$\sum_{j \in [k] \setminus B} \prod_{\substack{L_i \ni j \\ |L_i| > t}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|L_i|}\right).$$

It only remains to find a natural lower bound to this expression. By applying the AM-GM inequality to the right-hand side sum, we can lower bound the previous expression by

$$(k - |B|) \left(\prod_{j \in [k] \setminus B} \prod_{\substack{L_i \ni j \\ |L_i| > t}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|L_i|}\right) \right)^{1/(k - |B|)}.$$

Now, by reordering the products, we get

$$\prod_{i: |L_i| > t} \prod_{j \in L_i \setminus B} (1 - 1/|L_i|) \geq ((1 - 1/t)^t)^\Delta = e^{-(1+O(1/t)) \cdot \Delta},$$

meaning we can further lower bound the above expression by

$$(k - |B|) \exp \left(-\frac{(1 + o(1)) \cdot \Delta}{k - |B|} \right) = \Theta \left(\frac{\Delta}{\ln \Delta} \right) \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{1 + o(1)}{1 + \varepsilon} \ln \Delta \right) \geq \Delta^{\varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon) - o(1)},$$

which is clearly $\gg \ell$, as desired. $\square$

We end this note with two short remarks. First, by carefully choosing parameters ℓ and t in the argument, it is possible to let ε depend on Δ according to $\varepsilon = \Omega(\log \log \Delta / \log \Delta)$. Second, it is strictly speaking not needed to argue for concentration of $|\mathbf{X}|$ in the proof. As one only wishes to conclude that $|L_{\mathbf{c}}(v)|$ is large in expectation, estimating $\mathbb{E}|\mathbf{X}|$ suffices on its own. Nevertheless, we have chosen to write the proof with concentration as we find the statement that $|L_{\mathbf{c}}(v)|$ is large whp intuitively simpler than that $\mathbb{E}[|L_{\mathbf{c}}(v)| \mid \mathbf{c}|_{G_0}]$ is large whp.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks François Pirot and Eoin Hurley for helpful feedback.

References

- [1] Eoin Hurley, and François Pirot, *A first moment proof of the Johansson-Molloy theorem*, preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.15215>, 2021+.
- [2] Matthieu Rosenfeld, *Another Approach to Non-Repetitive Colorings of Graphs of Bounded Degree*, Electronic Journal of Combinatorics 27(3), 2020.