arXiv:2111.06576v2 [math.QA] 10 Aug 2022

Classification of Hopf superalgebras associated with quantum special linear superalgebra at roots of unity using Weyl groupoid

Alexander Mazurenko [a], Vladimir A. Stukopin[b]

[a]*MCCME (Moscow Center for Continuous Mathematical Education)*
[b]*MIPT (Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology)*
SMI of VSC RAS (South Mathematical Institute of Vladikavkaz Scientific Center of Russian Academy of Sciences)
MCCME (Moscow Center for Continuous Mathematical Education)

Abstract

We summarize the definition of the Weyl groupoid using supercategory approach in order to investigate quantum superalgebras at roots of unity. We show how the structure of a Hopf superalgebra on a quantum superalgebra is determined by the quantum Weyl groupoid. The Weyl groupoid of $\mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$ is constructed to this end as some supercategory. We prove that in this case quantum superalgebras associated with Dynkin diagrams are isomorphic as superalgebras. It is shown how these quantum superalgebras considered as Hopf superalgebras are connected via twists and isomorphisms. We explicitly construct these twists using the Lusztig isomorphisms considered as elements of the Weyl quantum groupoid. We build a PBW basis for each quantum superalgebra, and investigate how quantum superalgebras are connected with their classical limits, i. e. Lie superbialgebras. We find explicit multiplicative formulas for universal R-matrices, describe relations between them for each realization and classify Hopf superalgebras and triangular structures for the quantum superalgebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(m|n))$.

Keywords: Dynkin diagram, Lie superalgebras, Lie superbialgebras, Lusztig isomorphisms, PBW basis, quantum superalgebras, universal R-matrix, quantum Weyl groupoid, supercategory
MSC-class: 16W35 (Primary) 16W55, 17B37, 81R50, 16W30 (Secondary)

1. Introduction

In this paper we investigate quantum deformation [13] of the Lie superalgebra at roots of unity in the case of quantum superalgebra $sl(m|n)$, where $m \neq n$ and $m, n > 0$. Our considerations are based on a Weyl groupoid, which we define as some supercategory, see Definition 3.2. We show how to associate quantum superalgebras at roots of unity to Dynkin diagrams in Section 4.2. Although the definition of the Weyl groupoid used by us is a slight modification of the definition given in [21], it seems to us to be more suitable for solving further problems of geometrization and categorification of the main objects of the theory of quantum superalgebras. One of our main results is Theorem 4.2 where we show that the two realizations are isomorphic as superalgebras. We investigate how to build a PBW basis for each realization in Theorem 4.4. In Theorem 4.5 we show how the two realizations are connected as Hopf superalgebras. We also compute universal

Email addresses: mazurencoal@gmail.com (Alexander Mazurenko), stukopin@mail.ru (Vladimir A. Stukopin)

Corresponding Author: Alexander Mazurenko, mazurencoal@gmail.com

R-matrices and describe relations between them for each realization using the formulas for the twists corresponding to reflections in the Weyl groupoid.

Our work is motivated by results obtained in [21] and reformulated in [12]. In these papers is defined a Weyl groupoid. In [36] is investigated a Weyl groupoid related to the Lie superalgebras. The case of quantum superalgebras is considered in [23]. We were inspired also by results obtained in [30], [31] and [32]. We also note that this paper generalizes and refines results obtained in our previous article [35].

We study the structures of Hopf superalgebras and triangular structures defined by universal R-matrices and describe the connection between them. This connection is described by twists in terms of the elements of the Weyl quantum groupoid. Thus, the Weyl groupoid plays a central and connecting role in our work. We define the Weyl groupoid in a form convenient for the purpose of our work. Nonetheless, our definition is essentially equivalent to the generally accepted one.

It should be emphasized that the central role in our reasoning is played by the construction of Weyl quantum groupoid. Following V. Serganova, H. Yamane, I. Heckenberger ideas, we define the Weyl groupoid as a supercategory in generality sufficient for our purposes of studying quantum superalgebras. Based on this abstract definition, we give an explicit realization of the Weyl quantum groupoid in terms of isomorphisms of quantum superalgebras generated by isomorphisms, which are induced by reflections (relatively both even and odd roots). We interpret the elements of the quantum Weyl groupoid as adjoint maps given by the elements of the quantum superalgebra $U_q(sl(m|n)$ (or some its extension). Thus, we associate the elements of the quantum Weyl groupoid with the group-like elements of the quantum superalgebra. Among other things, we note that these elements satisfy some analogue of the braid group relations and define braid groupoid structure. It should be noted that the classical Weyl groupoid, acting by reflections with respect to odd roots, permutes non-conjugate Borel subalgebras. Thus, it permutes the corresponding non-isomorphic Manin triples defined by these Borel subalgebras. This gives a natural description and, moreover, a classification of the structures of Lie bisuperalgebras on superalgebra $sl(m|n)$. We confine ourselves here to a detailed consideration of the case $sl(2|1)$. Similarly, the action of the quantum Weyl groupoid allows one to classify the structures of the Hopf superalgebra on the quantized universal enveloping superalgebra $U_q(sl(m|n))$. We describe a connection between the structures of the Hopf superalgebras and give explicit formulas for both twists which intertwines different comultiplication operations and for universal R-matrices of various Hopf superalgebra structures on $U_q(sl(m|n))$. We consider in detail the case $U_q(sl(2|1))$ We explicitly describe the connection of these constructions with the Weyl quantum groupoid.

We consider the above constructions by specializing the quantization parameter q with a value equal to the odd root of unity $q^n = 1, n = 2m + 1$.

To make the basic constructions as explicit as possible, we restrict ourselves to considering a particular case and investigate only the Weyl groupoid of the Lie superalgebra $sl(m|n)$, where $m \neq n$ and $m, n > 0$. Nonetheless, all our considerations can be adopt to the more general case of an arbitrary basic Lie superalgebra. Thus, our definition is based on the definition of the Weyl groupoid given in [21], [12], [36] and contains the classical and quantum versions of the Weyl groupoid. We also give an explicit construction of the Weyl quantum groupoid using Lustig isomorphisms in the spirit of the [31], [32] and [33] (see also [20], [5]). Using this explicit description of the Weyl quantum groupoid we investigate Hopf superalgebras structures and triangular structures associated with Dynkin diagrams and show how they are connected via twists and isomorphisms.

Note that our initial goal, partially motivated by applications, was to study the Hopf structures of quantum affine superalgebras. The second goal is to try to partially clarify the categorical and geometric nature of quantum superalgebras and to show how these structures are determined by

simple combinatorial data. But we decided to introduce these important and general constructions based on the Weyl quantum groupoid in the simplest possible form, so that technical difficulties would not impede the understanding of simple basic ideas.

It is worth mentioning that we use the traditional language of the theory of quantum groups (which are deformations of Lie bialgebras), but our constructions are equivalent to the constructions used by algebraists in the abstract theory of Hopf and Nichols algebras (see [5], [6], [4], [20]).

We will now give an outline of this paper. In Section 2 we recall basic facts about Lie superalgebras, and remind some categorical definitions about supercategories. Next we describe Lie superalgebra $sl(2|1)$ and show how to endow it with the Lie superbialgebra structure.

Section 3 is divided in three parts. In Subsection 3.1 we give the definition of Cartan scheme, use it to construct a category called Weyl groupoid and show how to build $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R})$ the Weyl groupoid of the Lie superalgebra $sl(2|1)$ in Subsection 3.2. Next in Subsection 3.3 we construct a faithful covariant functor from the $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R})$ to the category of Lie superalgebras associated with Dynkin diagrams. Moreover, we show how to endow these Lie superalgebras with the structure of Lie superbialgebras and investigate how they are related to each other.

Section 4 is divided in six parts. In Subsection 4.1 we recall the definition of the quantized universal enveloping superalgebras. Next in Subsection 4.2 it is shown how to associate with Dynkin diagram quantum superalgebra at roots of unity. Subsection 4.3 contains auxiliary categorical definitions and results about Hopf superalgebras. In Subsection 4.4 we construct a faithful covariant functor from the $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R})$ to the category of superalgebras associated with Dynkin diagrams and prove that these superalgebras are isomorphic. In Subsection 4.5 we show how to build a PBW basis for these superalgebras in cas of $sl(2|1)$ (see, also [22]). In Subsection 4.6 we investigate braided Hopf superalgebras associated with Dynkin diagrams and show how they are connected via twists and isomorphisms. The central result is Theorem 4.6 which allows us to classify all Hopf superalgebras which we investigate.

In this paper we use the following notation. Let $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Q}$ denote the sets of natural numbers, integers and rational numbers, respectively. Let $\Bbbk$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. We also use Iverson bracket defined by $[P] = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } P \text{ is true;} \\ 0 \text{ otherwise,} \end{cases}$ where P is a statement that can be true or false.

2. Special Lie superalgebra $sl(2|1)$

As for the terminology concerning Lie superalgebras, we refer to [26], [15].

A super vector space (superspace) V over field $\Bbbk$ is a $\Bbbk$-vector space endowed with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading, in other words, it writes as a direct sum of two vector spaces $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$ such as $V_{\bar{0}}$ is the even part and $V_{\bar{1}}$ is the odd part. Define a parity function $|\cdot| : V \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ for a homogeneous element x in a superspace by $|x| = \bar{a}$, where $v \in V_{\bar{a}}$ and $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. A superalgebra A over the field $\Bbbk$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded algebra $A = A_{\bar{0}} \oplus A_{\bar{1}}$ over $\Bbbk$. A Lie superalgebra is a superalgerba $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ with the bilinear bracket (the super Lie bracket) $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ which satisfies the following axioms, with homogeneous $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$:

$$[x, y] = -(-1)^{|x||y|}[y, x],$$
$$[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] + (-1)^{|x||y|}[y, [x, z]].$$

A Lie superbialgebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot], \delta)$ (see [19], [28]) is a Lie superalgebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ with a skew-symmetric linear map $\delta : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ that preserves the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading and satisfies the following conditions:

$$(\delta \otimes id_{\mathfrak{g}}) \circ \delta - (id_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \delta) \circ \delta = (id_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \tau_{\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}}) \circ (\delta \otimes id) \circ \delta, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\delta([x,y]) = (ad_x \otimes id_{\mathfrak{g}} + id_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes ad_x)\delta(y) - (ad_y \otimes id_{\mathfrak{g}} + id_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes ad_y)\delta(x), \tag{2.2}$$

where $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, $id_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the identity map on $\mathfrak{g}$, $ad_x y = [x,y]$ is the adjoint and $\tau_{V,W} : V \otimes W \to W \otimes V$ is the linear function given by

$$\tau_{V,W}(v \otimes w) = (-1)^{|v||w|} w \otimes v \tag{2.3}$$

for homogeneous $v \in V$ and $w \in W$.

We use the well-known result (for more detail see [40], [41]).

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie superalgebra of type A with associated Cartan matrix $(A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\in I}, \tau)$, where τ is a subset of $I = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ is generated by h_i, e_i and f_i for $i \in I$ (whose parities are all even except for e_t and f_t, $t \in \tau$, which are odd), where the generators satisfy the relations*

$$[h_i, h_j] = 0,\ [h_i, e_j] = a_{ij} e_j,\ [h_i, f_j] = -a_{ij} f_j,\ [e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} h_i$$

and the "super classical Serre-type" relations

$$[e_i, e_i] = [f_i, f_i] = 0,\ \textit{if } i \in \tau,$$

$$(ad_{e_i})^{1+|a_{ij}|} e_j = (ad_{f_i})^{1+|a_{ij}|} f_j = 0,\ \textit{if } i \neq j,\ \textit{and } i \notin \tau,$$

$$[[[e_{m-1}, e_m], e_{m+1}], e_m] = [[[f_{m-1}, f_m], f_{m+1}], f_m] = 0,\ \textit{if } m-1, m, m+1 \in I \textit{ and } m \in \tau,$$

where for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ the linear mapping $ad_x : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is defined by $ad_x(y) = [x,y]$ for all $y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Denote by $\mathfrak{n}^+$ (resp. $\mathfrak{n}^-$) and $\mathfrak{h}$ the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(A,\tau)$ generated by e_1, ..., e_n (resp. f_1, ..., f_n) and h_1, ..., h_n. Then define by $\mathfrak{b}^+ = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$ (resp. $\mathfrak{b}^- = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-$) the positive Borel subalgebra (resp. the negative Borel subalgebra) of $\mathfrak{g}(A,\tau)$.

We remind some categorical definitions. Our notations here follow [9] (see also [10]). Let $\mathcal{SV}ec$ denote the category of superspaces and all (not necessarily homogeneous) linear maps. Set $\underline{\mathcal{SV}ec}$ to be the subcategory of $\mathcal{SV}ec$ consisting of all superspaces but only the even linear maps (superspace morphisms). The tensor product equips $\underline{\mathcal{SV}ec}$ with a monoidal structure, and the map $u \otimes v \to (-1)^{|u||v|} v \otimes u$ makes $\underline{\mathcal{SV}ec}$ into a strict symmetric monoidal category.

Definition 2.1.

1. A supercategory means a category enriched in $\underline{\mathcal{SV}ec}$, i. e. each morphism space is a superspace and composition induces an even linear map. A superfunctor between categories is a $\underline{\mathcal{SV}ec}$-enriched functor, i. e. a functor $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ such that the function $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda, \mu) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(F\lambda, F\mu)$, $f \to Ff$ is an even linear map for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{B})$.
2. For any supercategory $\mathcal{A}$, the underlying category $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ is the category with the same objects as $\mathcal{A}$ but only its even morphisms.
3. Let sLieAlg be the supercategory which objects are Lie superalgebras over field $\Bbbk$. A morphism $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{sLieAlg}}(V, W)$ between Lie superalgebras $(V, [\cdot,\cdot]_V)$ and $(W, [\cdot,\cdot]_W)$ is a linear map of the underlying vector spaces such that $f([x,y]_V) = [f(x), f(y)]_W$ for all $x, y \in V$.
4. Let sBiLieAlg be the supercategory which objects are Lie superbialgebras over field $\Bbbk$. A morphism $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{sLieAlg}}(V, W)$ between Lie superbialgebras $(V, [\cdot,\cdot]_V, \delta_V)$ and $(W, [\cdot,\cdot]_W, \delta_W)$ is a linear map of the underlying vector spaces such that $f([x,y]_V) = [f(x), f(y)]_W$ and $(f \otimes f) \circ \delta_V(x) = \delta_W \circ f(x)$ for all $x, y \in V$.

We also need the following general result.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $f \in Hom_{\underline{sLieAlg}}(\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2)$ be an isomorphism. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is a Lie superbialgebra with a skew-symmetric even linear map $\delta_{\mathfrak{g}_1} : \mathfrak{g}_1 \to \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_1$ which satisfies* (2.1) - (2.2). *Then f induces a Lie superbialgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}_2$, where a skew-symmetric even linear map $\delta_{\mathfrak{g}_2} : \mathfrak{g}_2 \to \mathfrak{g}_2 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_2$ which satisfies* (2.1) - (2.2) *is defined by*

$$\delta_{\mathfrak{g}_2} := (f \otimes f) \circ \delta_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \circ f^{-1}.$$

The special Lie superalgebra $sl(2|1)$ over $\Bbbk$ is the algebra $M_{3,3}(\Bbbk)$ of 3×3 matrices over $\Bbbk$, $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded as $sl(2|1)_{\bar{0}} \oplus sl(2|1)_{\bar{1}}$, where

$$sl(2|1)_{\bar{0}} = \{X = diag(A, D) | Str(X) := tr(A) - tr(D) = 0,\ A \in M_{2,2}(\Bbbk),\ D \in M_{1,1}(\Bbbk)\},$$

and

$$sl(2|1)_{\bar{1}} = \{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) | B \in M_{2,1}(\Bbbk),\ C \in M_{1,2}(\Bbbk)\},$$

with the bilinear super bracket $[x, y] = xy - (-1)^{ab}yx$ for $x \in sl(2|1)_{\bar{a}},\ y \in sl(2|1)_{\bar{b}},\ \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, on $sl(2|1)$.

We choose for basis of Lie superalgebra $sl(2|1)$ over $\Bbbk$ the following elements: $h_1 = e_{1,1} - e_{2,2}$, $h_2 = e_{2,2} + e_{3,3}$, $e_1 = e_{1,2}$, $f_1 = e_{2,1}$, $e_2 = e_{2,3}$, $f_2 = e_{3,2}$, $e_3 = [e_1, e_2] = e_{1,3}$, $f_3 = [f_1, f_2] = -e_{3,1}$, where $e_{i,j} \in M_{3,3}(\Bbbk)$ denotes matrix with 1 at (i, j)-position and zeros elsewhere. The elements h_1, h_2, e_1, f_1 are even and e_2, f_2, e_3, f_3 are odd. We have $[h_i, h_j] = 0$, $[h_i, e_j] = a_{ij}e_j$, $[h_i, f_j] = -a_{ij}f_j$, $[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij}h_i$, $[e_2, e_2] = [f_2, f_2] = 0$, $[e_1, [e_1, e_2]] = [f_1, [f_1, f_2]] = 0$ with (a_{ij}) the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Cartan subalgebra of $sl(2|1)$ is the $\Bbbk$-span $\mathfrak{h} = \langle h_1, h_2 \rangle$. Denote by $\mathfrak{h}^*$ the dual space of $\mathfrak{h}$. $sl(2|1)$ decomposes as a direct sum of root spaces $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*} sl(2|1)_\alpha$, where

$$sl(2|1)_\alpha = \{X \mid [h, X] = \alpha(h)X,\ \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}\}.$$

An $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^* - \{0\}$ is called a root if the root space $sl(2|1)_\alpha$ is not zero. The root system for $sl(2|1)$ is defined to be $\Delta = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid sl(2|1)_\alpha \neq 0, \alpha \neq 0\}$. Define sets of even and odd roots, respectively, to be $\Delta_{\bar{0}} = \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid sl(2|1)_\alpha \cap sl(2|1)_{\bar{0}} \neq 0\}$, $\Delta_{\bar{1}} = \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid sl(2|1)_\alpha \cap sl(2|1)_{\bar{1}} \neq 0\}$. Thus we can define a parity function $|\cdot|_\Delta : \Delta \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ by $|x|_\Delta = \bar{a}$ if $x \in \Delta_{\bar{a}}$, where $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Consider the $\Bbbk$-span $\mathfrak{d} = \langle e_{11}, e_{22}, e_{33} \rangle$ and it's dual space $\mathfrak{d}^* = \langle \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \delta_1 \rangle$. We define a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathfrak{d}^* \times \mathfrak{d}^* \to \Bbbk$ by

$$(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j) = \delta_{ij},\ (\epsilon_i, \delta_1) = 0,\ (\delta_1, \delta_1) = -1$$

for all $i, j \in I$, where $I := \{1, 2\}$.

Notice that $\mathfrak{h}^* \subset \mathfrak{d}^*$. Then the root system $\Delta \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$ has the form $\Delta = \Delta_{\bar{0}} \oplus \Delta_{\bar{1}}$, where $\Delta_{\bar{0}} = \{\pm(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)\}$, $\Delta_{\bar{1}} = \{\pm(\epsilon_1 - \delta_1), \pm(\epsilon_2 - \delta_1)\}$. Accordingly, we also have the decomposition $\Delta = \Delta^+ \cup \Delta^-$, where $\Delta^+ = \{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \epsilon_1 - \delta_1, \epsilon_2 - \delta_1\}$ and $\Delta^- = \{\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1, \delta_1 - \epsilon_1, \delta_1 - \epsilon_2\}$. We choose the basis $\tau = \{\alpha_1 := \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2,\ \alpha_2 := \epsilon_2 - \delta_1\}$. The form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathfrak{d}^*$ induces a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{h}^*$, which will be denoted by $(\cdot, \cdot)$ as well. We define a natural pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h}^* \to \Bbbk$ by linearity with $\langle h_i, \alpha \rangle = \alpha(h_i)$ for all $i \in I,\ \alpha \in \tau$.

The Cartan matrix is $A = (a_{ij} = \alpha_j(h_i);\ \alpha_j \in \tau, i, j \in I)$. One could describe A by the corresponding Dynkin diagram. Join vertex i with vertex j if $a_{ij} \neq 0$. We need two types of

vertices: $\circ$ if $a_{ii}=2$ and $|\alpha_i|_\Delta=0$ (it is called white dot); $\otimes$ if $a_{ii}=0$ and $|\alpha_i|_\Delta=1$ (it is called grey dot), where $i\in I$. We say that two Dynkin diagrams or even graphs that correspond to them are isomorphic if they have the equal number of white and grey dots.

Define the linear function $\delta_{sl(2|1)}: sl(2|1)\to sl(2|1)\otimes sl(2|1)$ on the generators by

$$\delta_{sl(2|1)}(h_i)=0,\ \delta_{sl(2|1)}(e_i)=\frac{1}{2}(h_i\otimes e_i-e_i\otimes h_i),\ \delta_{sl(2|1)}(f_i)=\frac{1}{2}(h_i\otimes f_i-f_i\otimes h_i) \tag{2.4}$$

for $i\in I$, and extend it to all the elements of $sl(2|1)$ using equation (2.2) and by linearity. Then $sl(2|1)$ becomes a Lie superbialgebra.

3. Weyl groupoid

We give a categorical definition of a Weyl groupoid. This enable us to describe the Weyl groupoid of $sl(2|1)$ by generators and relations. We mention how it is connected with the supercategory of Lie superalgebras.

3.1. Cartan schemes and definition of Weyl groupoid

We adopt to our purposes the definition of a Weyl groupoid which was introduced in [21] and reformulated in [12] (see also [36], [24], [31], [27]). Thus we define Weyl groupoid as a supercategory. In Section 3.2 we give the example how Lie superalgebra $sl(2|1)$ fits in our definition.

In order to define Weyl groupoid we need auxiliary data. In this way we associate with an object (Dynkin diagram) of the Weyl groupoid an element of a set D which labels it, root basis τ, maps ρ which indicate the direction of the action and special matrices C used to define reflections.

Let V be a fixed $(N_{\bar 0},N_{\bar 1})$-dimensional super vector space and $N=N_{\bar 0}+N_{\bar 1}$.

Definition 3.1. Let A and D be non-empty sets, where $A=(a_d)_{d\in D}$, τ^d be a basis of V for all $d\in D$, $\rho_\alpha: S_d\to S_{d'}$ bijective mappings for all $\alpha\in\tau^d$, $d\in D$ and for some non-empty $S_d, S_{d'}\subseteq A$, $d'\in D$, and $N\times N$ matrices $C^d=(c^d_{\alpha,\beta}\in\mathbb{Z})_{\alpha,\beta\in\tau^d}$ for all $d\in D$. The tuple

$$\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}(A,D,V,(\tau^d)_{d\in D},(\rho^d_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\tau^d,d\in D},(C^d)_{d\in D})$$

is called a Cartan scheme if for all $d\in D$

1. if $\rho_\alpha: S_d\to S_{d'}$ then $\exists\beta\in S_{d'}$: $\rho_\beta\rho_\alpha=id_{S_d}$ and $\rho_\alpha\rho_\beta=id_{S_{d'}}$ for all $\alpha\in\tau_d$, $d\in D$ and for some $d'\in D$,
2. $c^d_{\alpha,\alpha}=2$ and $c^d_{\alpha,\beta}\le 0$, where $\alpha,\beta\in\tau^d$ with $\alpha\neq\beta$,
3. if $c^d_{\alpha,\beta}=0$, then $c^d_{\beta,\alpha}=0$, where $\alpha,\beta\in\tau^d$,
4. if $\rho_\alpha: S_d\to S_{d'}$ then there exists bijective mapping $i:\tau^d\to\tau^{d'}$ such that $c^d_{\alpha,\beta}=c^{d'}_{i(\alpha),i(\beta)}$ for all $\alpha,\beta\in\tau_d$, $d\in D$ and for some $d'\in D$.

Now we are able to formulate the definition of a Weyl groupoid where morphisms are generalizations of compositions of reflections.

Definition 3.2. Let $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}(A,D,V,(\tau^d)_{d\in D},(\rho_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\tau^d,d\in D},(C^d)_{d\in D})$ be a Cartan scheme. For all $d\in D$ and $\alpha,\beta\in\tau^d$ define the function $\sigma^d_\alpha\in GL_N(V)$ by

$$\sigma^d_\alpha(\beta)=\beta-c^d_{\alpha,\beta}\alpha. \tag{3.1}$$

The Weyl groupoid of $\mathcal{C}$ is the supercategory $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$ such that $\mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}))=A$ and the morphisms are compositions of maps σ^d_α with $d\in D$ and $\alpha\in\tau^d$, where σ^d_α is considered as an element of super vector space $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})}(a_d,\rho_\alpha(a_d))$. The cardinality of D is the rank of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$.

It is easy to see that the above definition is correct and the Weyl groupoid is in fact a supercategory, where the parity of morphism σ_α^d is determined by parity of α as an element of a basis τ^d, where $d \in D$. We reformulate the condition 4 in definition 3.1 in the following way $c^d_{\alpha,\beta} = c^{d'}_{\sigma^d_\alpha(\alpha),\sigma^d_\alpha(\beta)}$, where $\rho_\alpha(a_d) = a_{d'}$ $(d' \in D)$ and for all $\alpha, \beta \in \tau_d$, $d \in D$. Thus a morhpism σ^d_α (3.1) becomes invertible and the inverse is $\sigma^{d'}_{\sigma^d_\alpha(\alpha)}$.

Definition 3.3. A Cartan scheme is called connected if its Weyl groupoid is connected, that is, if for all $a, b \in A$ there exists $w \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})}(a, b)$. The Cartan scheme is called simply connected, if it is connected and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})}(a, a) = \{id_a\}$$

for all $a \in A$.

We characterize root systems in axiomatic way and also add explicit conditions that are imposed on reflections.

Definition 3.4. Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(A, D, V, (\tau^d)_{d\in D}, (\rho^d_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\tau^d, d\in D}, (C^d)_{d\in D})$ be a Cartan scheme. For all $a_d \in A$ let $R^{a_d} \subseteq V$, and define $m^{a_d}_{\alpha,\beta} = |R^{a_d} \cap (\mathbb{N}_0\alpha + \mathbb{N}_0\beta)|$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \tau^d$ and $d \in D$. We say that

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}, (R^a)_{a\in A})$$

is a root system of type $\mathcal{C}$, if it satisfies the following axioms:

1. exists decomposition $R^a = R^a_+ \cup -R^a_+$, for all $a \in A$;
2. $R^{a_d} \cap \mathbb{Z}\alpha = \{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ for all $\alpha \in \tau^d$ and $d \in D$;
3. $\sigma^d_\alpha(R^{a_d}) = R^{\rho^d_\alpha(a_d)}$ for all $\alpha \in \tau^d$ and $d \in D$;
4. for $a, b \in A$, if $id_V \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})}(a, b)$, then $a = b$.

The elements of the set R^a, where $a \in A$, are called roots. The root system $\mathcal{R}$ is called finite if for all $a \in A$ the set R^a is finite. If $\mathcal{R}$ is a root system of type $\mathcal{C}$, then we say that $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}) := \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C})$ is the Weyl groupoid of $\mathcal{R}$.

3.2. Weyl groupoid of $sl(m|n)$

Now we are able to construct the Weyl groupoid of the Lie superalgebra $sl(2|1)$. Note that it follows from [7] that Definition 3.2 of a Weyl groupoid is true for the simple Lie superalgebra $A(m, n)$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, where $A(m, n)$ is defined by $sl(m + 1, n + 1)$ if $m \neq n$, and otherwise $A(n, n) := sl(n + 1, n + 1)/\mathfrak{i}$, where $\mathfrak{i}$ is its unique one-dimensional ideal. We use notations ϵ_i $(i \in \overline{1, m})$, δ_{j-m} $(j \in \overline{m + 1, m + n})$ from Sections 2 and 3.1.

Let $I = \overline{1, m + n - 1}$. The elements of a finite set $D \subset \mathbb{N}$ will be used to label different Dynkin diagrams for $A(m - 1, n - 1)$. We make a convention to parameterize elements of the dual space $\mathfrak{d}^*$ by the set $I(m|n) = \{1, 2, ..., m + n\}$, where

$$\bar\epsilon_i := \begin{cases} \epsilon_i, & \text{if } \ i \in \overline{1, m}, \\ \delta_{i-m}, & \text{if } \ i \in \overline{m + 1, m + n}. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$(\tau^d = \{\alpha_{1,d} = \bar\epsilon_{i_1} - \bar\epsilon_{i_2},\ \alpha_{2,d} = \bar\epsilon_{i_2} - \bar\epsilon_{i_3}\ , ...,\ \alpha_{m+n-1,d} = \bar\epsilon_{i_{m+n-1}} - \bar\epsilon_{i_{m+n}} | \{i_1, ..., i_{m+n}\} = I(m|n)\})_{d\in D}. \tag{3.2}$$

Notice that τ^d is the basis of $\mathfrak{h}^*$ for all $d \in D$.

Set

$$\tau^1 = \{\alpha_{1,1} = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \alpha_{2,1} = \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3, ..., \alpha_{m,1} = \epsilon_m - \delta_1, \alpha_{m+1,1} = \delta_1 - \delta_2, ..., \alpha_{m+n-1,1} = \delta_{n-1} - \delta_n\}.$$

We recall that τ^1 is called a distinguished simple root system for a Lie superalgebra of type $sl(m,n)$ $(A(m-1,n-1))$ ([16]).

Consider the family of symmetric matrices $A_d = ((\alpha_i^d, \alpha_j^d))_{i,j\in I}$, where the non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot,\cdot) : \mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{h}^* \to \Bbbk$ is defined as in Section 2. Define a family of tuples $A = (a_d = (A_d, \tau^d))_{d\in D}$.

Let $c_{\alpha,\beta} := -\max\{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid \beta + k\alpha \in \Delta\}$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$, and $c_{\alpha,\alpha} := 2$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$. Introduce a family of matrices $(C^d = (c^d_{\alpha,\beta} := c_{\alpha,\beta})_{\alpha,\beta\in\tau^d})_{d\in D}$.

Denote the (usual) left action of the symmetric group S_{m+n} on $I(m|n)$ by $\triangleright : S_{m+n} \times I(m|n) \to I(m|n)$ and on Δ by $\circlearrowleft$: $S_{m+n} \times \Delta \to \Delta$, where $s \circlearrowleft (\bar\epsilon_{j_1} - \bar\epsilon_{j_2}) = \bar\epsilon_{s\triangleright j_1} - \bar\epsilon_{s\triangleright j_2}$, for $s \in S_{m+n}$, $j_1, j_2 \in I(m|n)$. Thus define for all $\alpha \in \tau^d$ $(d \in D)$ functions $\rho^d_\alpha : \{a_d\} \to \{a_b\}$, such that $\rho^d_\alpha(a_d) = a_b$, where $b \in D$, $\alpha = \bar\epsilon_{j_1} - \bar\epsilon_{j_2}$ and $\tau^b = \{\alpha_k^{'} := (j_1, j_2) \circlearrowleft \alpha_k = \sigma^d_\alpha(\alpha_k) \mid \alpha_k \in \tau^d, k \in I\}$.

Consider the simply connected Cartan scheme

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(A, D, \mathfrak{h}^*, (\tau^d)_{d\in D}, (\rho^d_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\tau^d, d\in D}, (C^d)_{d\in D}).$$

Notice that $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}, (\Delta = \Delta^a)_{a\in A})$ is the root system of type $\mathcal{C}$. We call $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R})$ the Weyl groupoid of $sl(m|n)$. It is easy to construct Weyl groupoid for $sl(2|1)$ (see Fig. 1).




Figure 1: **Dynkin Diagrams of** $sl(2|1)$

$\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R})$ is the supercategory generated by morphisms (recall (3.1))

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\sigma^d_{\alpha_{i,d}} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}))|\ i \in I, d \in D\} \tag{3.3}$$

and by relations for $j \in J$

$$\sigma^{d_2}_x \sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}} = id_{a_{d_1}},\ \sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}} \sigma^{d_2}_x = id_{a_{d_2}}, \tag{3.4}$$

where $x = \sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}(\alpha_{i,d_1})$;

$$\sigma^{d_2}_{\alpha_{j,d_2}} \sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}} = \sigma^{d_2}_{\alpha_{i,d_2}} \sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{j,d_1}}, \tag{3.5}$$

iff $|i-j| \geq 2$ and $|\alpha_{i,d_1}| = |\alpha_{j,d_1}| = 0$;

$$\sigma^{d_4}_{\alpha_{j,d_4}} \sigma^{d_3}_{\alpha_{i,d_3}} \sigma^{d_2}_{\alpha_{j,d_2}} \sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}} = \sigma^{d_4}_{\alpha_{i,d_4}} \sigma^{d_3}_{\alpha_{j,d_3}} \sigma^{d_2}_{\alpha_{i,d_2}} \sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{j,d_1}}, \tag{3.6}$$

iff $|i-j| \geq 2$;

$$\sigma^{d_3}_{\alpha_{i,d_3}} \sigma^{d_2}_{\alpha_{j,d_2}} \sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}} = \sigma^{d_3}_{\alpha_{j,d_3}} \sigma^{d_2}_{\alpha_{i,d_2}} \sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{j,d_1}}, \tag{3.7}$$

iff $|i-j|=1$ and $|\alpha_{i,d_1}|=|\alpha_{j,d_1}|$;

$$\sigma^{d_6}_{\alpha_{j,d_6}}\sigma^{d_5}_{\alpha_{i,d_5}}\sigma^{d_4}_{\alpha_{j,d_4}}\sigma^{d_3}_{\alpha_{i,d_3}}\sigma^{d_2}_{\alpha_{j,d_2}}\sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}=\sigma^{d_6}_{\alpha_{i,d_6}}\sigma^{d_5}_{\alpha_{j,d_5}}\sigma^{d_4}_{\alpha_{i,d_4}}\sigma^{d_3}_{\alpha_{j,d_3}}\sigma^{d_2}_{\alpha_{i,d_2}}\sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{j,d_1}}, \tag{3.8}$$

iff $|i-j|=1$.

Thus we have theorem (definition)

Theorem 3.1. *Weyl groupoid $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R})$ has the structure of the braid groupoid of type A, i. e. it is groupoid with generators $\mathcal{B}$ (3.3) and relations $\sigma_\alpha\sigma_\beta...=\sigma_\beta\sigma_\alpha...$ for all $\alpha,\beta\in\mathcal{B}$, $\alpha\neq\beta$ with $m(\alpha,\beta)$ factors on both sides, where $m(\alpha,\beta)$ is the order of $\sigma_\alpha\sigma_\beta$ in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R})$.*

Proof. The results follows immediately from equations (3.4) - (3.8) and direct computations. □

Let us note that the above described braid groupoid is a natural generalization of the braid group.

3.3. Connection with the supercategory of Lie superalgebras

We use notations introduced in Section 3.2. Fix $A_d=((\alpha^d_i,\alpha^d_j))_{i,j\in I}$ and the set of simple roots τ^d for $d\in D$. Define a Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}(A_d,\tau^d)$ to be a Lie superalgebra generated as in Proposition 2.1. Recall the definition of the supercategory sLieAlg (see Definition 2.1).

We are able to construct the covariant faithful functor $F:\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R})\to$ sLieAlg. Thus the action on objects is given by the formula

$$F((A_d,\tau^d))=\mathfrak{g}(A_d,\tau^d), \tag{3.9}$$

where $A_d=((\alpha^d_i,\alpha^d_j))_{i,j\in I}$ and $d\in D$. Notice that $sl(m,n)=\mathfrak{g}(A_1,\tau^1)$, where τ^1 be a distinguished system of simple roots ([16]).

Let $\rho(a_{d_1})=a_{d_2}$ for $d_1,d_2\in D$. We prove in Theorem 3.2 that $\mathfrak{g}(A_{d_1},\tau^{d_1})$ and $\mathfrak{g}(A_{d_2},\tau^{d_2})$ are isomorphic in sLieAlg. Consider a generator $\sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R})}(a_{d_1},a_{d_2})$ (3.3) for an appropriate $\alpha_{i,d_1}\in\tau^{d_1}$ $(i\in I)$ and fix an isomorphism

$$V_{i,d_1}\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\text{sLieAlg}}(\mathfrak{g}(A_{d_1},\tau^{d_1}),\mathfrak{g}(A_{d_2},\tau^{d_2})).$$

Define $F(\sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}})=V_{i,d_1}$ and $F(\sigma^{d_2}_x)=V_{i,d_2}$, where $x=\sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}(\alpha_{i,d_1})$ and $V_{i,d_2}:=V^{-1}_{i,d_1}$. Additionally suppose that isomorphisms $V_{i,d}$ satisfy relations (3.4) - (3.8) for all $i\in I$ and $d\in D$. It is easy to see that F is indeed the covariant faithful functor that preserves the structure of the Weyl groupoid. We give an example of the family of isomorphisms $\{F(\sigma)\in\mathrm{Hom}(\text{sLieAlg})\}_{\sigma\in\mathcal{B}}$. We formulate

Theorem 3.2. *There exist the unique covariant faithful functor $F:\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R})\to$ sLieAlg which satisfies equation (3.9) and for all $\sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}\in\mathcal{B}$ $(i\in I)$*

$$F(\sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}})=L_{i,d_1},\ F(\sigma^{d_2}_x)=L^-_{i,d_2} \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$L_{i,d_1},L^-_{i,d_1}:\mathfrak{g}(A_{d_1},\tau^{d_1})\to\mathfrak{g}(A_{d_2},\tau^{d_2})$$

are unique isomorphisms in sLieAlg satisfying equations (3.11) - (3.22) below for $|i-j|=1$ and $|i-l|\geq 2$ $(j,l\in I)$

$$L_{i,d_1}(h_{i,d_1})=L^-_{i,d_1}(h_{i,d_1})=-h_{i,d_2}, \tag{3.11}$$

$$L_{i,d_1}(h_{j,d_1}) = L^-_{i,d_1}(h_{j,d_1}) = h_{i,d_2} + h_{j,d_2},\ L_{i,d_1}(h_{l,d_1}) = L^-_{i,d_1}(h_{l,d_1}) = h_{l,d_2}, \tag{3.12}$$

$$L_{i,d_1}(e_{i,d_1}) = (-1)^{|\alpha_{i,d_1}|} f_{i,d_2}, \tag{3.13}$$

$$L_{i,d_1}(f_{i,d_1}) = e_{i,d_2}, \tag{3.14}$$

$$L^-_{i,d_1}(e_{i,d_1}) = f_{i,d_2}, \tag{3.15}$$

$$L^-_{i,d_1}(f_{i,d_1}) = (-1)^{|\alpha_{i,d_1}|} e_{i,d_2}, \tag{3.16}$$

$$L_{i,d_1}(e_{j,d_1}) = -(x,y)[e_{i,d_2}, e_{j,d_2}], \tag{3.17}$$

$$L_{i,d_1}(f_{j,d_1}) = [f_{j,d_2}, f_{i,d_2}], \tag{3.18}$$

$$L^-_{i,d_1}(e_{j,d_1}) = (\alpha_{i,d_1}, \alpha_{j,d_1})(-1)^{|x|(|x|+|y|)+[b=-1]+[b(x,y)=1]}[e_{j,d_2}, e_{i,d_2}], \tag{3.19}$$

$$L^-_{i,d_1}(f_{j,d_1}) = (-1)^{1+|x||y|+[b=1]+[b(x,y)=-1]}[f_{i,d_2}, f_{j,d_2}], \tag{3.20}$$

$$L_{i,d_1}(e_{l,d_1}) = L^-_{i,d_1}(e_{l,d_1}) = e_{l,d_2}, \tag{3.21}$$

$$L_{i,d_1}(f_{l,d_1}) = L^-_{i,d_1}(f_{l,d_1}) = f_{l,d_2}, \tag{3.22}$$

where $x = \sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}(\alpha_{i,d_1})$, $y = \sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}(\alpha_{j,d_1})$ *and* $b = [|\alpha_{i,d_1}| = 0] - [|\alpha_{i,d_1}| = 1]$.

One has $L^-_{i,d_2} = (L_{i,d_1})^{-1}$.

Proof. The proof follows from the considerations preceding the statement and from the direct computations. □

Remark 3.1. *Note that the inverse to* $L_{i,d_1} : \mathfrak{g}(A_{d_1}, \tau^{d_1}) \to \mathfrak{g}(A_{d_2}, \tau^{d_2})$ *is given by the following equations*

$$L^{-1}_{i,d_1}(h_{i,d_2}) = -h_{i,d_1},\ L^{-1}_{i,d_1}(h_{j,d_2}) = h_{i,d_1} + h_{j,d_1},\ L^{-1}_{i,d_1}(h_{l,d_2}) = h_{l,d_1},$$

$$L^{-1}_{i,d_1}(e_{i,d_2}) = f_{i,d_1},$$

$$L^{-1}_{i,d_1}(f_{i,d_2}) = (-1)^{|\alpha_{i,d_1}|} e_{i,d_1},$$

$$L^{-1}_{i,d_1}(e_{j,d_2}) = (x,y)(-1)^{|\alpha_{i,d_1}|(|\alpha_{i,d_1}|+|\alpha_{j,d_1}|)+[b=-1]+[b(\alpha_{i,d_1},\alpha_{j,d_1})=1]}[e_{j,d_1}, e_{i,d_1}],$$

$$L^{-1}_{i,d_1}(f_{j,d_2}) = (-1)^{1+|\alpha_{i,d_1}||\alpha_{j,d_1}|+[b=1]+[b(\alpha_{i,d_1},\alpha_{j,d_1})=-1]}[f_{i,d_1}, f_{j,d_1}],$$

$$L^{-1}_{i,d_1}(e_{l,d_2}) = e_{l,d_1},\ L^{-1}_{i,d_1}(f_{l,d_2}) = f_{l,d_1}.$$

Corollary 3.1. *Fix any* d_1 *and* d_2 *in* D. *Then* $\mathfrak{g}(A_{d_1}, \tau^{d_1})$ *and* $\mathfrak{g}(A_{d_2}, \tau^{d_2})$ *are isomorphic in sLieAlg.*

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 3.2 and the fact that Weyl groupoid $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R})$ is simply connected. □

In assumptions made in Theorem 3.2 we prove that isomorphisms $L_{i,d}$ ($i \in I$, $d \in D$) satisfy equations

Lemma 3.1.

$$L^{-}_{i,d_2} L_{i,d_1} = id_{a_{d_1}},\ L_{i,d_1} L^{-}_{i,d_2} = id_{a_{d_2}}, \tag{3.23}$$

where $x = \sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}(\alpha_{i,d_1})$*;*

$$L_{j,d_2} L_{i,d_1} = L_{i,d_2} L_{j,d_1}, \tag{3.24}$$

iff $|i-j| \geq 2$ *and* $|\alpha_{i,d_1}| = |\alpha_{j,d_1}| = 0$*;*

$$L_{j,d_4} L_{i,d_3} L_{j,d_2} L_{i,d_1} = L_{i,d_4} L_{j,d_3} L_{i,d_2} L_{j,d_1}, \tag{3.25}$$

iff $|i-j| \geq 2$*;*

$$L_{i,d_3} L_{j,d_2} L_{i,d_1} = L_{j,d_3} L_{i,d_2} L_{j,d_1}, \tag{3.26}$$

iff $|i-j| = 1$ *and* $|\alpha_{i,d_1}| = |\alpha_{j,d_1}|$*;*

$$L_{j,d_6} L_{i,d_5} L_{j,d_4} L_{i,d_3} L_{j,d_2} L_{i,d_1} = L_{i,d_6} L_{j,d_5} L_{i,d_4} L_{j,d_3} L_{i,d_2} L_{j,d_1}, \tag{3.27}$$

iff $|i-j| = 1$.

Proof. The result follows from the straightforward computations. □

Theorem 3.3. *The braid goupoid of type A operates via isomorphisms on Lie superalgebras* $\mathfrak{g}(A_d, \tau^d)$ *($d \in D$).*

Proof. The result follows from Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.1. □

We can endow Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{g}(A_d, \tau^d)$ with the structure of a Lie superbialgebra. Recall that $A_d = ((\alpha^d_i, \alpha^d_j))_{i,j \in I}$. Define the linear function $\delta : \mathfrak{g}(A_d, \tau^d) \to \mathfrak{g}(A_d, \tau^d) \otimes \mathfrak{g}(A_d, \tau^d)$ on the generators using formulas (2.4), and extend it to all elements of $\mathfrak{g}(A_d, \tau^d)$ using equation (2.2) and by linearity. Then $\mathfrak{g}(A_d, \tau^d)$ becomes the Lie superbialgebra.

Theorem 3.4. *Let* $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R})$ *the Weyl groupoid of* $sl(2|1)$*. Then the Dynkin diagrams in* $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R})$ *are isomorphic as graphs if and only if corresponding Lie superbialgebras are isomorphic.*

Proof. We prove that if Dynkin diagrams are isomorphic then corresponding Lie superbialgebras are isomorphic (see Fig. 1). Consider the unique morphisms

$$W_{d_1,d_2} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{sBiLieAlg}}}(\mathfrak{g}(A_{d_1}, \tau^{d_1}), \mathfrak{g}(A_{d_2}, \tau^{d_2}))$$

such that

$$W_{d_1,d_2}(h_{i,d_1}) = h_{i,d_2},\ W_{d_1,d_2}(e_{i,d_1}) = e_{i,d_2},$$
$$W_{d_1,d_2}(f_{i,d_1}) = f_{i,d_2},$$

for all $i \in I$ and $(d_1, d_2) \in \{(1,2),(3,4),(5,6)\}$. It is easy to see that W_{d_1,d_2} are isomorphisms. Also there exist the unique isomorphism

$$W_{d_1,d_2}(h_{i,d_1}) = h_{j,d_2},\ W_{d_1,d_2}(e_{i,d_1}) = e_{j,d_2},$$

$$W_{d_1,d_2}(f_{i,d_1}) = f_{j,d_2},$$

where $i \neq j$ $(i, j \in I)$ for $(d_1, d_2) \in \{(1, 5)\}$. So the necessity follows.

Now it remains to show that there is no isomorphism

$$W_{1,3} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{sBiLieAlg}}}(\mathfrak{g}(A_1, \tau^1), \mathfrak{g}(A_3, \tau^3))$$

as corresponding Dynkin diagrams are not isomorphic. Indeed, let ϕ be an isomorphism. As an ϕ is an even morphism then $\phi(e_{1,1}) \in \mathfrak{g}(A_3, \tau^3)_{\bar{0}}$ and we have

$$\phi(e_{1,1}) = \gamma_1[e_{1,d_2}, e_{2,d_2}] + \gamma_2[f_{2,d_2}, f_{1,d_2}] + \gamma_3 h,$$

where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \in \Bbbk$ and h is an element of Cartan subalgebra. Then

$$\delta_2 \circ \phi(e_{1,1}) =$$

$$\gamma_1 \frac{1}{2}(1 + (-1)^{|e_{1,d_2}||e_{2,d_2}|}).$$

$$(e_{2,d_2} \otimes e_{1,d_2} - e_{1,d_2} \otimes e_{2,d_2} + (h_{1,d_2} + h_{2,d_2}) \otimes [e_{1,d_2}, e_{2,d_2}] - [e_{1,d_2}, e_{2,d_2}] \otimes (h_{1,d_2} + h_{2,d_2})) +$$

$$\gamma_2 \frac{1}{2}(1 + (-1)^{|f_{1,d_2}||f_{2,d_2}|}).$$

$$(f_{1,d_2} \otimes f_{2,d_2} - f_{2,d_2} \otimes f_{1,d_2} + (h_{1,d_2} + h_{2,d_2}) \otimes [f_{2,d_2}, f_{1,d_2}] - [f_{2,d_2}, f_{1,d_2}] \otimes (h_{1,d_2} + h_{2,d_2})) = 0;$$

$$\phi \otimes \phi \circ \delta_1(e_{1,1}) =$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(\phi(h_{1,1}) \otimes \phi(e_{1,1}) - \phi(e_{1,1}) \otimes \phi(h_{1,1})) \neq 0,$$

where δ_1 (δ_2) is the cocommutator for $\mathfrak{g}(A_1, \tau^1)$ ($\mathfrak{g}(A_2, \tau^2)$). Thus we get the contradiction.

The result follows. □

Define the subcategory $\mathcal{SL}$ in the supercategory $\underline{\mathrm{sLieAlg}}$ as the image of the functor $F : \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}) \to \underline{\mathrm{sLieAlg}}$ defined above. Recall that objects of $\mathcal{SL}$ (3.9) are also Lie superbialgebras. Thus it follows from Proposition 2.2 that morphisms in $\mathcal{SL}$ (3.10) induce structures of Lie superbialgebras on objects of $\mathcal{SL}$. Consequently, $\mathcal{SL}$ can be viewed as the subcategory in the supercategory $\underline{\mathrm{sBiLieAlg}}$.

4. Weyl groupoid of quantum superalgebra $sl(2|1)$ at roots of unity

4.1. Quantized universal enveloping superalgebras

Here we recall the notion of quantized universal enveloping superalgebras (for more detail see [40], [41], [17], [18]).

Let $K = \Bbbk[[h]]$, where h is an indeterminate and view K as a superspace concentrated in degree $\bar{0}$. Let M be a module over K. Consider the inverse system of K-modules

$$p_n : M_n = M/h^n M \to M_{n-1} = M/h^{n-1} M.$$

Let $\hat{M} = \varprojlim M_n$ be the inverse limit. Then $\hat{M}$ has the natural inverse limit topology (called the h-adic topology).

Let V be a $\Bbbk$-superspace. Let $V[[h]]$ to be the set of formal power series. The superspace $V[[h]]$ is naturally a K-module and has a norm given by

$$||v_n h^n + v_{n+1}h^{n+1} + ...|| = 2^{-n},$$

where $v_n \neq 0$ and $v_i \in V$ for $i \geq n$. The topology defined by this norm is complete and coincides with the h-adic topology. We say that a K-module M is topologically free if it is isomorphic to $V[[h]]$ for some $\Bbbk$-module V.

Let M and N be topologically free K-modules. We define the topological tensor product of M and N to be $\widehat{M \otimes_K} N$ which we denote by $M \otimes N$. It follows that $M \otimes N$ is topologically free and that

$$V[[h]] \otimes W[[h]] = (V \otimes W)[[h]]$$

for $\Bbbk$-module V and W.

We say a (Hopf) superalgebra defined over K is topologically free if it is topologically free as a K-module and the tensor product is the above topological tensor product.

A quantized universal enveloping (QUE) superalgebra A is a topologically free Hopf superalgebra over $\Bbbk[[h]]$ such that A/hA is isomorphic as a Hopf superalgebra to universal enveloping superalgebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ for some Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$. We use the following result proved in the non-super case in [13] and in the super case in [2].

Proposition 4.1. *Let A be a QUE superalgebra: $A/hA \cong U(\mathfrak{g})$. Then the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ has a natural structure of a Lie superbialgebra defined by*

$$\delta(x) = h^{-1}(\Delta(\tilde{x}) - \Delta^{op}(\tilde{x})) \mod h, \tag{4.1}$$

where $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\tilde{x} \in A$ is a preimage of x, Δ is a comultiplication in A and $\Delta^{op} := \tau_{U(\mathfrak{g}),U(\mathfrak{g})} \circ \Delta$ (for the definition of $\tau_{U(\mathfrak{g}),U(\mathfrak{g})}$ see (2.3)).

Definition 4.1. Let A be a QUE superalgebra and let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot], \delta)$ be the Lie superbialgebra defined in Proposition 4.1. We say that A is a quantization of the Lie superbialgebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let t be an indeterminate. Set

$$\begin{bmatrix} m+n \\ n \end{bmatrix}_t = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{t^{m+n-i} - t^{-m-n+i}}{t^{i+1} - t^{-i-1}} \in \Bbbk[t],$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote by

$$e^{ht} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{t^n h^n}{n!} \in \Bbbk[[h]]. \tag{4.2}$$

Put $q = e^{h/2}$ and recall notations introduced in Section 2. We need the following result, see [29] and [41].

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, A, \tau)$ be a Lie superalgebra of type A, where Cartan matrix A is symmetrizable, i. e. there are nonzero rational numbers g_i for $i \in I$ such that $g_i a_{ij} = g_j a_{ji}$. There exists an explicit QUE Hopf superalgebra $U_h^{DJ}(\mathfrak{g}, A, \tau)$. The Hopf superalgebra $U_h^{DJ}(\mathfrak{g}, A, \tau)$ is defined as the $\Bbbk[[h]]$-superalgebra generated by the elements h_i, e_i and f_i, where $i \in I$ (all generators are even except e_i and f_i for $i \in \tau$ which are odd), and the relations:*

$$[h_i, h_j] = 0,\ [h_i, e_j] = a_{ij} e_j,\ [h_i, f_j] = -a_{ij} f_j,$$

$$[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{i,j} \frac{q^{g_i h_i} - q^{-g_i h_i}}{q^{g_i} - q^{-g_i}},$$

and the quantum Serre-type relations

$$e_i^2 = f_i^2 = 0 \text{ for } i \in I \text{ such that } a_{ii} = 0,$$

$$[e_i, e_j] = [f_i, f_j] = 0 \text{ for } i, j \in I \text{ such that } a_{ij} = 0 \text{ and } i \neq j,$$

$$\sum_{v=0}^{1+|a_{ij}|} (-1)^v \begin{bmatrix} 1+|a_{ij}| \\ v \end{bmatrix}_{q^{g_i}} e_i^{1+|a_{ij}|-v} e_j e_i^v = \sum_{v=0}^{1+|a_{ij}|} (-1)^v \begin{bmatrix} 1+|a_{ij}| \\ v \end{bmatrix}_{q^{g_i}} f_i^{1+|a_{ij}|-v} f_j f_i^v = 0$$

for $i \neq j$, $i \notin \tau$ *and* $i, j \in I$,

$$[[[e_{m-1}, e_m]_{q^{(\epsilon_m, \epsilon_m)}}, e_{m+1}]_{q^{(\epsilon_{m+1}, \epsilon_{m+1})}}, e_m] = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$[[[f_{m-1}, f_m]_{q^{(\epsilon_m, \epsilon_m)}}, f_{m+1}]_{q^{(\epsilon_{m+1}, \epsilon_{m+1})}}, f_m] = 0,$$

if $m-1, m, m+1 \in I$ *and* $a_{mm} = 0$, $\alpha_m = \epsilon_m - \epsilon_{m+1} \in \tau$. $[\cdot, \cdot]_v$ *is the bilinear form defined by* $[x, y]_v = xy - (-1)^{|x||y|} vyx$ *on homogeneous* x, y *and* $v \in \Bbbk[[h]]$. *The comultiplication, counit and antipode are given by*

$$\Delta(h_i) = h_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes h_i,\ \Delta(e_i) = e_i \otimes 1 + q^{g_i h_i} \otimes e_i,\ \Delta(f_i) = f_i \otimes q^{-g_i h_i} + 1 \otimes f_i;$$

$$\epsilon(h_i) = \epsilon(e_i) = \epsilon(f_i) = 0;\ S(h_i) = -h_i,\ S(e_i) = -q^{-g_i h_i} e_i,\ S(f_i) = -f_i q^{g_i h_i},$$

where $i \in I$.

4.2. Definition of quantum superalgebra at roots of unity

We introduce the quantum superalgebra of $sl(m|n)$ for any Dynkin diagram using notations from section3.2 and 3.3 (see [8], [42]). Let q be an algebraically independent and invertible element over $\mathbb{Q}$. Consider Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}(A_d, \tau^d)$ $(d \in D)$, where $\tau^d = \{\alpha_{i,d} \mid i \in I\}$ is the corresponding set of simple roots and $A_d = ((\alpha_{i,d}, \alpha_{j,d}))_{i,j \in I}$. Let $\mathfrak{U}_q^d := \mathfrak{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}(A_d, \tau^d))$ for any $d \in D$ be the associative superalgebra over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ with 1, generated by $\{e_{i,d}, f_{i,d}, k_{i,d}, k_{i,d}^{-1} \mid i \in I\}$, satisfying

$$XY = YX \text{ for } X, Y \in \{k_{i,d}, k_{i,d}^{-1} \mid i \in I\}, \tag{4.3}$$

$$k_{i,d} k_{i,d}^{-1} = k_{i,d}^{-1} k_{i,d} = 1,\ e_{i,d} k_{j,d} = q^{-(\alpha_j^d, \alpha_i^d)} k_{j,d} e_{i,d},\ k_{j,d} f_{i,d} = q^{-(\alpha_j^d, \alpha_i^d)} f_{i,d} k_{j,d}, \tag{4.4}$$

$$[e_{i,d}, f_{j,d}]_1 = e_{i,d} f_{j,d} - (-1)^{|\alpha_i^d||\alpha_j^d|} f_{j,d} e_{i,d} = \delta_{i,j} \frac{k_{i,d} - k_{i,d}^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}, \tag{4.5}$$

$$e_{i,d}^2 = f_{i,d}^2 = 0, \text{ if } |\alpha_{i,d}| = 1, \tag{4.6}$$

$$[e_{i,d}, [e_{i,d}, e_{j,d}]_{q^{(\alpha_{i,d}, \alpha_{j,d})}}]_{q^{(\alpha_{i,d}, \alpha_{i,d} + \alpha_{j,d})}} = 0, \text{ if } |\alpha_{i,d}| = 0,\ |i - j| = 1, \tag{4.7}$$

$$[f_{i,d}, [f_{i,d}, f_{j,d}]_{q^{(\alpha_{i,d}, \alpha_{j,d})}}]_{q^{(\alpha_{i,d}, \alpha_{i,d} + \alpha_{j,d})}} = 0, \text{ if } |\alpha_{i,d}| = 0,\ |i - j| = 1, \tag{4.8}$$

$$[[[e_{i-1,d}, e_{i,d}]_{q^{(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_i)}}, e_{i+1,d}]_{q^{(\epsilon_{i+1}, \epsilon_{i+1})}}, e_{i,d}] = 0, \text{ if } |\alpha_{i,d} = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}| = 1, \tag{4.9}$$

$$[[[f_{i-1,d}, f_{i,d}]_{q^{(\epsilon_i,\epsilon_i)}}, f_{i+1,d}]_{q^{(\epsilon_{i+1},\epsilon_{i+1})}}, f_{i,d}] = 0, \text{ if } |\alpha_{i,d} = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}| = 1, \tag{4.10}$$

where $\delta_{i,j}$ is the Kronecker delta, $\alpha_{i,d}, \alpha_{j,d} \in \tau^d$ $(i,j \in I)$; $[\cdot,\cdot]_v$ is the bilinear form defined by

$$[x,y]_v = xy - (-1)^{|x||y|}vyx \tag{4.11}$$

on homogeneous x, y and $v \in \mathbb{Q}(q)$. The parity function is defined by $|k_{i,d}| = 0$ and $|e_{i,d}| = |f_{i,d}| = |\alpha_{i,d}|$, where $\alpha_{i,d} \in \tau^d$ $(i \in I)$.

Also $\mathfrak{U}_q^d(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Hopf superalgebra which comultiplication Δ, counit ϵ and antipode S are

$$\Delta_d(k_{i,d}) = k_{i,d} \otimes k_{i,d},\ \Delta_d(e_{i,d}) = e_{i,d} \otimes 1 + k_{i,d} \otimes e_{i,d},\ \Delta_d(f_{i,d}) = f_{i,d} \otimes k_{i,d}^{-1} + 1 \otimes f_{i,d}; \tag{4.12}$$

$$\epsilon_d(k_{i,d}) = 1,\ \epsilon_d(e_{i,d}) = \epsilon_d(f_{i,d}) = 0;\ S_d(k_{i,d}^{\pm 1}) = k_{i,d}^{\mp 1},\ S_d(e_{i,d}) = -k_{i,d}^{-1}e_{i,d},\ S_d(f_{i,d}) = -f_{i,d}k_{i,d}, \tag{4.13}$$

where $i \in I$.

Proposition 4.2. *There exists the unique injective morphism of Hopf superalgebras for $d \in D$*

$$f : \mathfrak{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}(A_d, \tau^d)) \to U_h^{DJ}(\mathfrak{g}, A_d, \tau),$$

where $\tau = \{\alpha \mid |\alpha| = 1,\ \alpha \in \tau^d\}$, such that for $i \in I$

$$f(q) = e^{\frac{h}{2}},\ f(k_i) = e^{\frac{hh_i}{2}},\ f(k_i^{-1}) = e^{-\frac{hh_i}{2}},\ f(e_i) = e_i,\ f(f_i) = f_i.$$

Proof. The result follows from the direct computations. □

Fix Hopf superalgebra $\mathfrak{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}(A_d, \tau^d))$ for $d \in D$. It follows from Proposition 4.2 that we can consider $\mathfrak{U}_q^d$ as a supersubalgebra in $U_h^{DJ}(\mathfrak{g}, A_d, \tau)$. Thus we are able to apply equation (4.1) to $\mathfrak{U}_q^d$. Then it easy to see that the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}(A_d, \tau^d)$ has a natural structure of a Lie superbialgebra defined by equation (2.4) and extended to all the elements of $\mathfrak{g}(A_d, \tau^d)$ using (2.2).

From now on let q be a root of unity of odd order p. Then it is easy to see that $\mathfrak{U}_q^d$ can be defined in the same way. Now we introduce some auxiliary notations, see [37].

Notation 4.1. Let $(\mathfrak{U}_q^d)^<$, $(\mathfrak{U}_q^d)^>$, $(\mathfrak{U}_q^d)^0$ be the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-subalgebras of $\mathfrak{U}_q^d$ generated respectively by $\{f_{i,d}\}_{i\in I}$, $\{e_{i,d}\}_{i\in I}$, $\{k_{i,d}\}_{i\in I}$.

Let $\tau^d = \{\alpha_{i,d} \mid i \in I\}$ (3.2) be the set of simple roots associated with Dynkin diagram labeled by $d \in D$ and $\Delta_d^+ = \{\alpha_{j,d} + \alpha_{j+1,d} + ... + \alpha_{i,d}\}_{1\le j\le i<n+m}$. Consider the following total order " $\le$ " on Δ_d^+:

$$\alpha_{j,d} + \alpha_{j+1,d} + ... + \alpha_{i,d} \le \alpha_{j',d} + \alpha_{j'+1,d} + ... + \alpha_{i',d} \text{ iff } j < j' \text{ or } j = j', i \le i'. \tag{4.14}$$

For every $\beta \in \Delta_d^+$, we choose a decomposition $\beta = \alpha_{i_1,d}+...+\alpha_{i_p,d}$ such that $[...[e_{\alpha_{i_1,d}}, e_{\alpha_{i_2,d}}, ..., e_{\alpha_{i_p,d}}]$ is a non-zero root vector $e_{\beta,d}$ of $\mathfrak{g}(A_d, \tau^d)$ (here, $e_{\alpha_{i,d},d} = e_{i,d}$ denotes the standart Chevalley generator of $\mathfrak{g}(A_d, \tau^d)$). Then, we define the PBW basis element $e_{\beta,d} \in (\mathfrak{U}_q^d)^>$ and $f_{\beta,d} \in (\mathfrak{U}_q^d)^<$ via

$$e_{\beta,d} := [...[[e_{i_1,d}, e_{i_2,d}]_{q^{(\alpha_{i_1,d},\alpha_{i_2,d})}}, e_{i_3,d}]_{q^{(\alpha_{i_1,d}+\alpha_{i_2,d},\alpha_{i_3,d})}}, ..., e_{i_p,d}]_{q^{(\alpha_{i_1,d}+\alpha_{i_2,d}+...+\alpha_{i_{p-1},d},\alpha_{i_p,d})}},$$

$$f_{\beta,d} := [...[[f_{i_p,d}, f_{i_{p-1},d}]_{q^{-(\alpha_{i_p,d},\alpha_{i_{p-1},d})}}, f_{i_{p-2},d}]_{q^{-(\alpha_{i_p,d}+\alpha_{i_{p-1},d},\alpha_{i_{p-2},d})}}, ..., f_{i_1,d}]_{q^{-(\alpha_{i_p,d}+\alpha_{i_{p-1},d}+...+\alpha_{i_2,d},\alpha_{i_1,d})}}.$$

In particular, $e_{\alpha_{i,d},d} = e_{i,d}$ and $f_{\alpha_{i,d},d} = f_{i,d}$. Let H denote the set of all functions $h : \tau^d \to \{0, 1, ..., p-1\}$ such that $h(\alpha) \leq 1$ if $|\alpha| = 1$ ($\alpha \in \Delta_d^+$). The monomials

$$e_{h,d} := \overrightarrow{\prod_{\beta \in \Delta_d^+}} e_{\beta,d}^{h(\beta)}, \ f_{h,d} := \overleftarrow{\prod_{\beta \in \Delta_d^+}} f_{\beta,d}^{h(\beta)}, \ \forall h \in H \tag{4.15}$$

will be called the ordered PBW monomials of $(\mathfrak{U}_q^d)^>$ and $(\mathfrak{U}_q^d)^<$. Here, the arrows $\to$ an $\leftarrow$ over the product signs refer to the total order (4.14) and its opposite, respectively.

Let H_0 denote the set of all functions $g : I \to \{0, 1, ..., p-1\}$. The monomials (note the order of the products is irrelevant, due to (4.3))

$$k_{g,d} := \prod_{i \in I} k_{i,d}^{g(i)}, \ \forall g \in H_0. \tag{4.16}$$

will be called the PBW monomials of $(\mathfrak{U}_q^d)^0$.

Thus define a total order " $\leq$ " on the elements $\{e_{\alpha,d}, f_{\alpha,d}, k_{i,d}^{\pm 1} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_d^+, i \in I\} \subset \mathfrak{U}_q^d$: set $k_{i,d} \leq k_{j,d}$, if $i \leq j$ ($i, j \in I$); $e_{\alpha,d} \leq e_{\beta,d}$ and $f_{\alpha,d} \leq f_{\beta,d}$, if $\alpha \leq \beta$ ($\alpha, \beta \in \Delta_d^+$); $f_{\alpha,d} < k_{i,d} < e_{\beta,d}$ ($\alpha, \beta \in \Delta_d^+$, $i \in I$).

For all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ set $[n] := \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}$. Denote by

$$exp_q(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(n)_q!},$$

where x is an indeterminate and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $(k)_q := \frac{q^k - 1}{q-1}$ and $(0)_q! := 1$, $(n)_q! := (1)_q (2)_q ... (n)_q$, if $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Now we define the quantum superalgebras $U_q^d := U_q(\mathfrak{g}(A_d, \tau^d))$ of $sl(m|n)$ at roots of unity for the Dynkin diagrams labeled by $d \in D$, see also [34], Proposition 3.1.

Definition 4.2. For any $d \in D$ let U_q^d be the quotient of the Hopf superalgebra $\mathfrak{U}_q^d$ by the two-sided $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Hopf ideal $\mathfrak{I}$ generated by the following elements:

$$e_{i,d}^p, f_{i,d}^p, \tag{4.17}$$

for all $|\alpha_{i,d}| = 0$ ($\alpha_{i,d} \in \tau^d$, $i \in I$);

$$k_{i,d}^p - 1 \tag{4.18}$$

for all $i \in I$.

For convenience we preserve the same notations for U_q^d as for $\mathfrak{U}_q^d$ ($d \in D$). Notice that Proposition 4.1 is not true for U_q^d, as when we specialize to a root of unity q then the equation (4.1) doesn't hold.

4.3. Category of Hopf superalgebras and twists

We consider some categorical definitions and general results about Hopf superalgebras. Our notations here follow [1].

Definition 4.3. 1. Let Alg be the strict monoidal category of algebras over the field $\mathbb{Q}(q)$.

2. Let sAlg be the strict monoidal supercategory ([9], Definition 1.4) of unital associative superalgebras over the field $\mathbb{Q}(q)$. A morphism $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{sAlg}}(V, W)$ between superalgebras (V, μ_V, η_V) and (W, μ_W, η_W) is a linear map of the underlying vector spaces such that $f \circ \mu_V = \mu_W \circ (f \otimes f)$ and $f \circ \eta_V = \eta_W$.
3. Let HAlg be the strict monoidal category of Hopf algebras over the field $\mathbb{Q}(q)$.
4. Let sHAlg be the strict monoidal supercategory of Hopf superalgebras over the field $\mathbb{Q}(q)$. A morphism $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{sHAlg}}(V, W)$ between Hopf superalgebras $(V, \mu_V, \eta_V, \Delta_V, \epsilon_V, S_V)$ and $(W, \mu_W, \eta_W, \Delta_W, \epsilon_W, S_W)$ is a linear map of the underlying vector spaces such that $f \circ \mu_V = \mu_W \circ (f \otimes f)$, $f \circ \eta_V = \eta_W$, $(f \otimes f) \circ \Delta_V = \Delta_W \circ f$, $\epsilon_W \circ f = \epsilon_V$ and $f \circ S_V = S_W \circ f$.

Let $(H, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \epsilon, S)$ be a Hopf superalgebra in $\underline{\mathrm{sHAlg}}$. Recall some results about twists, see [14], [30], [3], [39].

Definition 4.4. A twist for H is an invertible even element $\mathcal{J} \in H \otimes H$ which satisfies

$$(\Delta \otimes id_H)(\mathcal{J})(\mathcal{J} \otimes 1) = (id_H \otimes \Delta)(\mathcal{J})(1 \otimes \mathcal{J}), \tag{4.19}$$

$$(\epsilon \otimes id_H)(\mathcal{J}) = (id_H \otimes \epsilon)(\mathcal{J}) = 1, \tag{4.20}$$

where id_H is the identity map of H.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $(H, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \epsilon, S)$ be a Hopf (super)algebra in $\underline{HAlg}$ ($\underline{sHAlg}$) and let $\mathcal{J}$ be a twist for H. Then there is a new Hopf (super)algebra $H^{\mathcal{J}} := (H, \mu, \eta, \Delta^{\mathcal{J}}, \epsilon, S^{\mathcal{J}})$ defined by the same (super)algebra and counit, and*

$$\Delta^{\mathcal{J}}(h) := \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\Delta(h))\mathcal{J},\ S^{\mathcal{J}}(h) := U^{-1}(S(h))U$$

for all $h \in H$. Here $U = \mu \circ (S \otimes id_H)(\mathcal{J})$ and is invertible. Moreover, $U^{-1} = \mu \circ (id_H \otimes S)(\mathcal{J}^{-1})$. If H is a quasi-cocommutative (braided) Hopf (super)algebra with an universal R-matrix R then $H^{\mathcal{J}}$ is also quasi-cocommutative (braided) with the universal R-matrix $R^{\mathcal{J}}$:

$$R^{\mathcal{J}} := \tau_{H,H}(\mathcal{J}^{-1})R_H\mathcal{J},$$

where $\tau_{H,H}$ is defined by (2.3).

Proof. The result follows from the definition and properties of a comultiplication, antipode and universal R-matrix. □

Definition 4.5. *a) The Hopf (super)algebra*

$$H^{\mathcal{J}} := (H, \mu, \eta, \Delta^{\mathcal{J}}, \epsilon, S^{\mathcal{J}}) \tag{4.21}$$

is called the twisted Hopf (super)algebra by the twist $\mathcal{J}$. The same notation we use for the quasi-cocommutative (braided) Hopf (super)algebra $H^{\mathcal{J}} := (H, \mu, \eta, \Delta^{\mathcal{J}}, \epsilon, S^{\mathcal{J}}, R^{\mathcal{J}})$.
b) We call $\mathcal{J}$ the twist of type 1.
c) Let $\chi \in Hom_{Alg}(V, W)$ ($Hom_{\underline{sAlg}}(V, W)$ be an isomorphism. Then we call χ the twist of type 2.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\chi \in Hom_{Alg}(V, W)$ ($Hom_{\underline{sAlg}}(V, W)$) be a twist of type* 2. *Suppose that V and W are Hopf (super)algebras, namely*

$$(V, \mu_V, \eta_V, \Delta_V, \epsilon_V, S_V) \text{ and } (W, \mu_W, \eta_W, \Delta_W, \epsilon_W, S_W).$$

Let for any $w \in W$

$$\Delta_W^\chi(w) := (\chi \otimes \chi) \circ \Delta_V(\chi^{-1}(w)),\ \epsilon_W^\chi(w) := \epsilon_V \circ \chi^{-1}(w),\ S_W^\chi(w) := \chi \circ S_V(\chi^{-1}(w)).$$

Then $V^\chi := (W, \mu_W, \eta_W, \Delta_W^\chi, \epsilon_W^\chi, S_W^\chi)$ *is a Hopf (super)algebra isomorphic to* V*. If* V *is a quasi-cocommutative (braided) Hopf (super)algebra with an universal R-matrix* R_V *then* W *is also quasi-cocommutative (braided) with the universal R-matrix* R_W^χ*:*

$$R_W^\chi = (\chi \otimes \chi)(R_V).$$

Proof. The result follows from the definition of a Hopf (super)algebra morphism and direct computations. □

The Hopf (super)algebra

$$V^\chi := (W, \mu_W, \eta_W, \Delta_W^\chi, \epsilon_W^\chi, S_W^\chi) \tag{4.22}$$

is called the twisted Hopf (super)algebra by the isomorphism χ. The same notation we use for a quasi-cocommutative (braided) Hopf (super)algebra $V^\chi := (W, \mu_W, \eta_W, \Delta_W^\chi, \epsilon_W^\chi, S_W^\chi, R_W^\chi)$.

Let C be a (super)coalgebra over a field k. A nonzero element g in C is said to be a group-like element if $\Delta(g) = g \otimes g$. The set of group-like elements in C is denoted by $G(C)$. For $g, h \in G(C)$, $c \in C$ is said to be skew primitive, or more precisely, (g,h)-skew primitive, if $\Delta(c) = c \otimes g + h \otimes c$. The set of all (g,h)-skew primitive elements is denoted by $P_{g,h}(C)$.

4.4. Lusztig type isomorphisms

In this section we show that morphisms of supercategory $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R})$ can be represented by isomorphisms between the quantum superalgebras U_q^d, where $d \in D$, in supercategory $\underline{\text{sAlg}}$. Compare with the Section 3.3, see also [23], [33].

We introduce the covariant faithful functor $F_q : \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}) \to \underline{\text{sAlg}}$. Fix $(A_d, \tau^d) \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}))$ for $d \in D$. The action on objects is given for all $d \in D$ by the formula

$$F_q((A_d, \tau^d)) = U_q^d, \tag{4.23}$$

where $A_d = ((\alpha_i^d, \alpha_j^d))_{i,j \in I}$ and $d \in D$.

Let $\rho(a_{d_1}) = a_{d_2}$ for $d_1, d_2 \in D$. We prove in Theorem 4.2 that $U_q^{d_1}$ and $U_q^{d_2}$ are isomorphic in $\underline{\text{sAlg}}$. Consider a generator $\sigma_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}^{d_1} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R})}(a_{d_1}, a_{d_2})$ (3.3) for an appropriate $\alpha_{i,d_1} \in \tau^{d_1}$ $(i \in I)$ and fix an isomorphism

$$J_{i,d_1} \in \text{Hom}_{\underline{\text{sAlg}}}(U_q^{d_1}, U_q^{d_2}).$$

Define $F_q(\sigma_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}^{d_1}) = J_{i,d_1}$ and $F_q(\sigma_x^{d_2}) = J_{i,d_2}$, where $x = \sigma_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}^{d_1}(\alpha_{i,d_1})$ and $J_{i,d_2} := J_{i,d_1}^{-1}$. Additionally suppose that isomorphisms $V_{i,d}$ satisfy relations (3.4) - (3.8) for all $i \in I$ and $d \in D$. It is easy to see that F_q is indeed the covariant faithful functor that preserves the structure of the Weyl groupoid. We give an example of the family of isomorphisms $\{F_q(\sigma) \in \text{Hom}(\underline{\text{sAlg}})\}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}}$. Call them Lusztig type isomorphisms.

Let

$$T_{i,d_1}, T_{i,d_1}^- : U_q^{d_1} \to U_q^{d_2}$$

are unique isomorphisms in $\underline{\text{sAlg}}$ satisfying the following equations for $|i-j| = 1$ and $|i-l| \geq 2$ $(j, l \in I)$

$$T_{i,d_1}(k_{i,d_1}) = T_{i,d_1}^-(k_{i,d_1}) = k_{i,d_2}^{-1}, \tag{4.24}$$

$$T_{i,d_1}(k_{j,d_1}) = T^-_{i,d_1}(k_{j,d_1}) = k_{i,d_2}k_{j,d_2},\ T_{i,d_1}(k_{l,d_1}) = T^-_{i,d_1}(k_{l,d_1}) = k_{l,d_2}, \tag{4.25}$$

$$T_{i,d_1}(e_{i,d_1}) = (-1)^{|\alpha_{i,d_1}|}f_{i,d_2}k^b_{i,d_2}, \tag{4.26}$$

$$T_{i,d_1}(f_{i,d_1}) = k^{-b}_{i,d_2}e_{i,d_2}, \tag{4.27}$$

$$T^-_{i,d_1}(e_{i,d_1}) = k^{-b}_{i,d_2}f_{i,d_2} \tag{4.28}$$

$$T^-_{i,d_1}(f_{i,d_1}) = (-1)^{|x|}e_{i,d_2}k^b_{i,d_2}, \tag{4.29}$$

$$T_{i,d_1}(e_{j,d_1}) = -(x,y)[e_{x,d_2}, e_{y,d_2}]_{q^z}, \tag{4.30}$$

$$T_{i,d_1}(f_{j,d_1}) = [f_{j,d_2}, f_{i,d_2}]_{q^{-z}}, \tag{4.31}$$

$$T^-_{i,d_1}(e_{j,d_1}) = (\alpha,\beta)(-1)^{|x|(|x|+|y|)+[b=-1]+[b(x,y)=1]}[e_{j,d_2}, e_{i,d_2}]_{q^z}, \tag{4.32}$$

$$T^-_{i,d_1}(f_{j,d_1}) = (-1)^{1+|x||y|+[b=1]+[b(x,y)=-1]}[f_{i,d_2}, f_{j,d_2}]_{q^{-z}}, \tag{4.33}$$

$$T_{i,d_1}(e_{l,d_1}) = T^-_{i,d_1}(e_{l,d_1}) = e_{l,d_2}, \tag{4.34}$$

$$T_{i,d_1}(f_{l,d_1}) = T^-_{i,d_1}(f_{l,d_1}) = f_{l,d_2}, \tag{4.35}$$

where $x = \sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}(\alpha_{i,d_1})$, $y = \sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}(\alpha_{j,d_1})$, $b = [|\alpha_{i,d_1}| = 0] - [|\alpha_{i,d_1}| = 1]$ and $z = b(x,y)$.

One has $T^-_{d_2,d_1} = (T_{d_1,d_2})^{-1}$.

Theorem 4.2. *There exist the unique covariant faithful functor $F_q : \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}) \to \underline{sAlg}$ which satisfies equation (4.23) and for all $\sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}} \in \mathcal{B}$ $(i \in I)$*

$$F_q(\sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}) = T_{i,d_1},\ F_q(\sigma^{d_2}_x) = T^-_{i,d_2}, \tag{4.36}$$

where

$$T_{i,d_1}, T^-_{i,d_1} : U^{d_1}_q \to U^{d_2}_q$$

are isomorphisms in $\underline{sAlg}$ above defined by formulas (4.24) - (4.35).

Proof. The proof follows from the considerations preceding the statement and from the direct computations. □

Remark 4.1. *Note that the inverse to $T_{i,d_1} : U^{d_1}_q \to U^{d_2}_q$ is given by the following equations*

$$T^{-1}_{i,d_1}(k_{i,d_2}) = k^{-1}_{i,d_1},\ T^{-1}_{i,d_1}(k_{j,d_2}) = k_{i,d_1}k_{j,d_1},\ T^{-1}_{i,d_1}(k_{l,d_2}) = k_{l,d_1},$$

$$T^{-1}_{i,d_1}(e_{i,d_2}) = k^{-b}_{i,d_1}f_{i,d_1},$$

$$T^{-1}_{i,d_1}(f_{i,d_2}) = (-1)^{|\alpha_{i,d_1}|}e_{i,d_1}k^b_{i,d_1},$$
$$T^{-1}_{i,d_1}(e_{j,d_2}) = (x,y)(-1)^{|\alpha_{i,d_1}|(|\alpha_{i,d_1}|+|\alpha_{j,d_1}|)+[b=-1]+[b(\alpha_{i,d_1},\alpha_{j,d_1})=1]}[e_{j,d_1},e_{i,d_1}]_{q^{b(\alpha_{i,d_1},\alpha_{j,d_1})}},$$
$$T^{-1}_{i,d_1}(f_{j,d_2}) = (-1)^{1+|\alpha_{i,d_1}||\alpha_{j,d_1}|+[b=1]+[b(\alpha_{i,d_1},\alpha_{j,d_1})=-1]}[f_{i,d_1},f_{j,d_1}]_{q^{-b(\alpha_{i,d_1},\alpha_{j,d_1})}},$$
$$T^{-1}_{i,d_1}(e_{l,d_2}) = e_{l,d_1},\ T^{-1}_{i,d_1}(f_{l,d_2}) = f_{l,d_1}.$$

Corollary 4.1. *Fix any d_1 and d_2 in D. Then $U_q^{d_1}$ and $U_q^{d_2}$ are isomorphic in* sAlg.

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 4.2 and the fact that Weyl groupoid $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R})$ is simply connected. □

In assumptions made in Theorem 4.2 we prove that isomorphisms $T_{i,d}$ ($i \in I$, $d \in D$) satisfy equations

Lemma 4.1.

$$T^-_{i,d_2}T_{i,d_1} = id_{a_{d_1}},\ T_{i,d_1}T^-_{i,d_2} = id_{a_{d_2}}, \tag{4.37}$$

where $x = \sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}(\alpha_{i,d_1})$;

$$T_{j,d_2}T_{i,d_1} = T_{i,d_2}T_{j,d_1}, \tag{4.38}$$

iff $|i-j| \geq 2$ and $|\alpha_{i,d_1}| = |\alpha_{j,d_1}| = 0$;

$$T_{j,d_4}T_{i,d_3}T_{j,d_2}L_{i,d_1} = T_{i,d_4}T_{j,d_3}T_{i,d_2}T_{j,d_1}, \tag{4.39}$$

iff $|i-j| \geq 2$;

$$T_{i,d_3}T_{j,d_2}T_{i,d_1} = T_{j,d_3}T_{i,d_2}T_{j,d_1}, \tag{4.40}$$

iff $|i-j| = 1$ and $|\alpha_{i,d_1}| = |\alpha_{j,d_1}|$;

$$T_{j,d_6}T_{i,d_5}T_{j,d_4}T_{i,d_3}T_{j,d_2}T_{i,d_1} = T_{i,d_6}T_{j,d_5}T_{i,d_4}T_{j,d_3}T_{i,d_2}T_{j,d_1}, \tag{4.41}$$

iff $|i-j| = 1$.

Proof. The result follows from the straightforward computations. □

We will call the algebraic structure defined in the lemma 4.1 by the formulas (4.37 – 4.41) *a braided groupoid of type A*.

Theorem 4.3. *The braid groupoid of type A operates via isomorphisms on quantum superalgebras U_q^d ($d \in D$).*

Proof. The result follows from Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 4.2. □

Define the subcategory $\mathcal{QS}$ in the supercategory sAlg as the image of the functor $F_q : \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}) \to$ sAlg defined above. Recall that objects of $\mathcal{QS}$ (4.23) are also Hopf superalgebras defined by (4.12) - (4.13). Thus it follows from Proposition 4.4 that morphisms in $\mathcal{QS}$ (4.36) are also morphisms in supercategory sHAlg. Consequently, $\mathcal{QS}$ is also the subcategory in the supercategory sHAlg. Recall that we defined in the analogous way the subcategory $\mathcal{SL}$ in the supercategory sBiLieAlg, see Section 3.3.

Proposition 4.5. *Categories $\mathcal{QS}$ and $\mathcal{SL}$ are equivalent, where the equivalence $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{QS} \to \mathcal{SL}$ is defined on objects by $\mathcal{H}(U_q^d) = \mathfrak{g}(A_d, \tau^d)$ and on morphisms by $\mathcal{H}(id_{U_q^d}) = id_{\mathfrak{g}(A_d,\tau^d)}$ and $\mathcal{H}(T_{i,d_1}) = L_{i,d_1}$ ($d, d_1, d_2 \in D$).*

Proof. It is easy to see that the functor $\mathcal{H}$ is full, faithful and dense. The result follows. □

4.5. PBW basis of U_q^d

We build for any $d \in D$ the PBW basis of U_q^d in the case of $sl(2|1)$. Remind the notations and conventions introduced in 4.1. See also [37], [38].

Theorem 4.4. *The elements*

$$\mathcal{Y} = \{f_{h_-,d} \cdot k_{h_0,d} \cdot e_{h_+,d} \mid h_-, h_+ \in H, h_0 \in H_0\}$$

form a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-basis of the quantum superalgebra U_q^d, where $d \in D$.

Proof. The statement immediately follows from the proof ([34], Theorem 3.1). We need only to add extra relations 4.43 and check that the result remains true. Therefore, we give only a sketch of the proof.

Consider a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ super vector space L generated by $X = \{e_{\alpha,d}, f_{\alpha,d}, k_{i,d}^{\pm 1} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_d^+, i \in I\}$. Introduce a pair $(T(L), i)$ where $T(L)$ is the tensor superalgebra of the vector superspace L and i is the canonical inclusion of L in $T(L)$. We identify for convenience X and $i(X)$. Rewrite equations (4.3) - (4.8) and (4.17) - (4.18) in $T(L)$ in the following way

$$a \otimes b - (-1)^{|a||b|} q^{\delta(a,b)} b \otimes a - [a,b]_{q^{\delta(a,b)}} = 0, \tag{4.42}$$

where $a, b \in X,\ [a,b]_{q^{\delta(a,b)}} \in T(L),\ \delta : X \times X \to \{-2,-1,0,1,2\}$,

$$a^{\otimes p} - c_a = 0, \tag{4.43}$$

where $a \in X$, $|a| = 0$ and $c_a \in \mathbb{Q}(q) \subset T(L)$. Denote by J a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded two-sided ideal in $T(L)$ generated by relations (4.42) and (4.43). Notice that $U_q^d \cong T(L)/J$.

The index of $x_{i_1} \otimes x_{i_2} \otimes ... \otimes x_{i_n} \in T(L)$ is defined to be the number of pairs (l,m) with $l < m$ but $x_{i_l} > x_{i_m}$, where $x_{i_j} \in X$, $i_j \in \Delta_d^+ \cup I$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. We adopt in a natural way the definition of the index on elements of U_q^d. Denote by G the monomials having index 0. Notice that $G = \mathcal{Y}$ in U_q^d. Thus, we want to prove that G forms the basis of U_q^d considered as the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-superspace.

Notice that each element in U_q^d is a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-linear combination of unit and standard monomials. Indeed, it is easy to prove by induction on degree and index of elements in U_q^d that this is the case.

Further show that elements of G are linear independent in U_q^d. Let $R = \mathbb{Q}(q)[z_1, ..., z_{|X|}]$ be the polynomial ring. Endow R with the structure of the superalgebra by defining the parity function $|z_i| = |f_{\alpha,d}|$, $|z_{j+|\Delta_d^+|}| = |k_{j,d}|$ and $|z_{i+|I|+|\Delta_d^+|}| = |e_{\alpha',d}|$, where $i \in \{1, ..., |\Delta_d^+|\}$, $\alpha \in \Delta_d^+$ follow in descending order, $j \in I$ and $\alpha' \in \Delta_d^+$ follow in ascending order. Now we want to construct a morphism of superspaces $U_q^d \to R$ which restriction on G is a monomorphism that takes all the elements of G to linear independent polynomials in R. Then the result follows. Thus, we proof that there is a superspace morphism $\theta : T(L) \to R$ which satisfies the following relations

$$\theta(1) = 1,\ \theta(f_{\alpha,d}) = z_i,\ \theta(k_{j,d}) = z_{j+|\Delta_d^+|},\ \theta(e_{\alpha',d}) = z_{i+|I|+|\Delta_d^+|},$$

where $i \in \{1, ..., |\Delta_d^+|\}$, $\alpha \in \Delta_d^+$ follow in descending order, $j \in I$ and $\alpha' \in \Delta_d^+$ follow in ascending order,

$$\theta(x_{i_1} \otimes x_{i_2} \otimes ... \otimes x_{i_n}) = z_{i_1} z_{i_2} ... z_{i_n},\ \text{if } x_{i_1} \leq x_{i_2} \leq ... \leq x_{i_n},$$

$$\theta(x_{i_1} \otimes x_{i_2} \otimes ... \otimes x_{i_k} \otimes x_{i_{k+1}} \otimes ... \otimes x_{i_n}) - (-1)^{|x_{i_k}||x_{i_{k+1}}|} q^{\delta(x_{i_k}, x_{i_{k+1}})} \theta(x_{i_1} \otimes x_{i_2} \otimes ... \otimes x_{i_{k+1}} \otimes x_{i_k} \otimes ... \otimes x_{i_n}) =$$

$$= \theta(x_{i_1} \otimes x_{i_2} \otimes ... \otimes [x_{i_k}, x_{i_{k+1}}] \otimes ... \otimes x_{i_n})$$

for all $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n} \in X$ and $1 \leq k < n$, where $x_{i_j} \in X$, $i_j \in \Delta_d^+ \cup I$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\theta(x^{\otimes p}) = c_x,$$

where $x \in X$, $|x| = 0$ and $c_x \in \mathbb{Q}(q)$.

Recall that $T^0(L) = \mathbb{Q}(q)1$ and $T^n(L) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n L$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote by $T^{n,j}(L)$ a linear subspace $T^n(L)$ spanned by all monomials $x_{i_1} \otimes x_{i_2} \otimes ... \otimes x_{i_n}$, which have index less or equal to j. Thus,

$$T^{n,0}(L) \subset T^{n,1}(L) \subset ... \subset T^n(L).$$

We define $\theta : T^0(L) \to R$ by $\theta(1) = 1$. Suppose inductively that $\theta : T^0(L) \oplus T^1(L) ... \oplus T^{n-1}(L) \to R$ has already been defined satisfying the required conditions. We will show that θ can be extended to $\theta : T^0(L) \oplus T^1(L) ... \oplus T^n(L) \to R$. We define $\theta : T^{n,0}(L) \to R$ by

$$\theta(x_{i_1} \otimes x_{i_2} \otimes ... \otimes x_{i_n}) = z_{i_1} z_{i_2} ... z_{i_n}$$

for standard monomials of degree n. We suppose $\theta : T^{n,i-1} \to R$ has already been defined, thus giving a superspace morphism from $\theta : T^0(L) \oplus T^1(L) ... \oplus T^{n-1}(L) \oplus T^{n,i-1}(L) \to R$ satisfying the required conditions. We wish to define $\theta : T^{n,i}(L) \to R$.

Assume that the monomial $x_{i_1} \otimes x_{i_2} \otimes ... \otimes x_{i_n}$ has the index $i \geq 1$ and let $x_{i_k} \geq x_{i_{k+1}}$. Then define

$$\theta(x_{i_1} \otimes ... \otimes x_{i_k} \otimes x_{i_{k+1}} \otimes ... \otimes x_{i_n}) = \theta(x_{i_1} \otimes ... \otimes [x_{i_k}, x_{i_{k+1}}] \otimes ... \otimes x_{i_n}) + \tag{4.44}$$
$$+(-1)^{|x_{i_k}||x_{i_{k+1}}|} q^{\delta(x_{i_k}, x_{i_{k+1}})} \theta(x_{i_1} \otimes ... \otimes x_{i_{k+1}} \otimes x_{i_k} \otimes ... \otimes x_{i_n}).$$

This definition is correct as both terms on the right side of the equation belong to a super vector space $T^0(L) + T^1(L) + ... + T^{n-1}(L) + T^{n,i-1}(L)$. We state that the definition 4.44 doesn't depend on the choise of the pair $(x_{i_k}, x_{i_{k+1}})$, where $x_{i_k} > x_{i_{k+1}}$. Let $(x_{i_j}, x_{i_{j+1}})$ be another pair, where $x_{i_j} > x_{i_{j+1}}$. There are two different possible situations: 1. $x_{i_j} > x_{i_{k+1}}$, 2. $x_{i_j} = x_{i_{k+1}}$. It is easy to see that the statement is true in both cases.

Further define

$$\theta(x_{i_1} \otimes ... \otimes x_{i_k} \otimes x^{\otimes p} \otimes x_{i_{k+p+1}} \otimes ... \otimes x_{i_n}) = c_x \theta(x_{i_1} \otimes ... \otimes x_{i_k} \otimes x_{i_{k+p+1}} \otimes ... \otimes x_{i_n}), \tag{4.45}$$

where $p \leq n$, $x \in X$, $|x| = 0$ and $c_x \in \mathbb{Q}(q)$. Let the monomial $x_{i_1} \otimes ... \otimes x_{i_k} \otimes x^{\otimes p} \otimes x_{i_{k+p+1}} \otimes ... \otimes x_{i_n}$ have the index $i \geq 1$. Then it is easy to see that the order of application of equations (4.44) and 4.45 doesn't affect on result. Notice, in this connection, that

$$\theta(x^p \otimes y) = \theta(y \otimes x^p) = c_x \theta(y),$$

if $x > y$, where $x, y \in X$, $|x| = 0$ and $c_x \in \mathbb{Q}(q)$,

$$\theta(y \otimes x^p) = \theta(x^p \otimes y) = c_x \theta(y),$$

if $y > x$, where $x, y \in X$, $|x| = 0$ and $c_x \in \mathbb{Q}(q)$.

Thus we have defined a map $\theta : T^{n,i}(L) \to R$. A linear extension of this map gives us $\theta : \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j(L) \oplus T^{n,i}(L) \to R$, which satisfies the required conditions. Since $T^n = T^{n,r}$ for sufficiently large r, we can consider a map $\theta : \sum_{j=0}^{n} T^j(L) \to R$. Since $T(L) = T^0 \oplus \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} T^i(L)$, we get a map $\theta : T(L) \to R$, which satisfies the required conditions. It is easy to see that $\theta : T(L) \to R$ annihilates J. Thus, θ induces the required superspace morphism $\bar{\theta} : T(L)/J \to R$, that is $\bar{\theta} : U_q^d \to R$.

□

4.6. Hopf superalgebra structure and universal R-matrix

We describe how the standard Hopf superalgebra structures associated with each Dynkin diagram are related.

Isomorphisms described in Theorem 4.2 induce Hopf superalgebra structures being twists of type 2, see Section 4.3. We want to understand how the new Hopf superalgebra structure is related to the standard one defined by equations (4.12) - (4.13).

Let $\rho(a_{d_1}) = a_{d_2}$ for $d_1, d_2 \in D$. Consider a generator $\sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R})}(a_{d_1}, a_{d_2})$ (3.3) for an appropriate $\alpha_{i,d_1} \in \tau^{d_1}$ $(i \in I)$ and fix the isomorphism $F_q(\sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}) = T_{i,d_1}$ (4.2). We put

$$J_{\alpha_{i,d_1}} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (-1)^i q^{-(x,x)i(i-1)/2} \frac{(q-q^{-1})^i}{(i)_{q^{-(x,x)}}!} T^i_{i,d_1}(f_{i,d_1}) \otimes T^i_{i,d_1}(e_{i,d_1}) =$$

$$= exp_{q^{-(x,x)}}((q-q^{-1}) T_{i,d_1}(f_{i,d_1}) \otimes T_{i,d_1}(e_{i,d_1}))^{-1},$$

if $|\alpha_{i,d_1}| = 0$;

$$J_{\alpha_{i,d_1}} = 1 \otimes 1 + (q-q^{-1}) f_{i,d_2} \otimes e_{i,d_2} = exp_{q^{-(x,x)}}((q-q^{-1}) f_{i,d_2} \otimes e_{i,d_2}),$$

if $|\alpha_{i,d_1}| = 1$, where $x = \sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}(\alpha_{i,d_1})$.

Lemma 4.2. *$J_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}$ is a twist of type 1 for $(U_q^{d_2})^{T_{i,d_1}}$. Moreover, the twist of type 1 for $(U_q^{d_1})^{T^-_{i,d_2}}$ is given by $J^-_{\alpha_{i,d_2}} = (T^-_{i,d_2} \otimes T^-_{i,d_2})(J^{-1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}})$.*

Proof. First note that $J_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}$ is an even element.

Let $|\alpha_{i,d_1}| = 0$. Then, the inverse is given by

$$J^{-1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}} = exp_{q^{-(x,x)}}((q-q^{-1}) T_{i,d_1}(f_{i,d_1}) \otimes T_{i,d_1}(e_{i,d_1})).$$

Also in this case

$$J^-_{\alpha_{i,d_2}} = (T^-_{i,d_2} \otimes T^-_{i,d_2})(J^{-1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}) = exp_{q^{-(x,x)}}((q-q^{-1}) f_{i,d_1} \otimes e_{i,d_1})$$

and

$$(J^-_{\alpha_{i,d_2}})^{-1} = (T^-_{i,d_2} \otimes T^-_{i,d_2})(J_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}) = exp_{q^{-(x,x)}}((q-q^{-1}) f_{i,d_1} \otimes e_{i,d_1})^{-1}.$$

Let $|\alpha_{i,d_1}| = 1$. Then, the inverse is given by

$$J^{-1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}} = exp_{q^{-(x,x)}}((-1)(q-q^{-1}) f_{i,d_2} \otimes e_{i,d_2}).$$

Thus we have

$$J^-_{\alpha_{i,d_2}} = (T^-_{i,d_2} \otimes T^-_{i,d_2})(J^{-1}_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}) = exp_{q^{-(x,x)}}((q-q^{-1}) T^-_{i,d_2}(f_{i,d_2}) \otimes T^-_{i,d_2}(e_{i,d_2}))^{-1}$$

and

$$(J^-_{\alpha_{i,d_2}})^{-1} = exp_{q^{-(x,x)}}((q-q^{-1}) T^-_{i,d_2}(f_{i,d_2}) \otimes T^-_{i,d_2}(e_{i,d_2})).$$

We have to check that conditions in Definiton 4.4 are satisfied. The result follows from direct computations.

□

Now we want to show that for the case of a quantized superalgebra $(U_q^{d_2})^{T_{i,d_1}}$ the twisting by the two-tensor $J_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}$ coincides with the $U_q^{d_2}$. We formulate

Lemma 4.3. *The twisted Hopf superalgebra $((U_q^{d_2})^{T_{i,d_1}})^{J_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}}$ coincides with Hopf superalgebra $U_q^{d_2}$.*

Proof. $J_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}$ is the twist of type 1 by Lemma 4.2.

Now we consider how changes the coproduct and antipode of $(U_q^{d_2})^{T_{i,d_1}}$ after twisting by $J_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}$. It is easy to check that

$$\Delta_{d_2}(h) = J_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}^{-1} \Delta_{d_2}^{T_{i,d_1}}(h) J_{\alpha_{i,d_1}}$$

for all $h \in U_q^{d_2}$. Since an antipode is determined uniquely by a coproduct, we have

$$S_{d_2}(h) = U^{-1} S_{d_2}^{T_{i,d_1}}(h) U,$$

for all $h \in U_q^{d_2}$, where $U = \mu_{U_q^{d_2}} \circ (S_{d_2}^{T_{i,d_1}} \otimes id_{U_q^{d_2}})(J_{\alpha_{i,d_1}})$.

The result follows by Proposition 4.3. □

Let $a_{d_1} = (A_{d_1}, \tau^{d_1})$ and $a_{d_n} = (A_{d_n}, \tau^{d_n})$ be arbitrary objects in $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R})$ for $d_1, d_n \in D$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). It follows from the definition of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R})$ and equations (3.3) - (3.8) that there is a morphism $\theta \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R})}(a_{d_1}, a_{d_n})$. Let $\theta = \sigma^{d_{n-1}}_{\alpha_{i_{n-1},d_{n-1}}} ... \sigma^{d_2}_{\alpha_{i_2,d_2}} \sigma^{d_1}_{\alpha_{i_1,d_1}}$, where $\sigma^{d_k}_{\alpha_{i_k},d_k} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R})}(a_{d_k}, a_{d_{k+1}})$ ($i_k \in I$, $d_k \in D$, $\alpha_{i_k} \in \tau^{d_k}$ and $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$). It follows from Corollary 4.1 that the functor $F_q : \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{R}) \to \underline{\mathrm{sAlg}}$ induces a Lusztig type isomorphism $T_{d_1,d_n} : U_q^{d_1} \to U_q^{d_n}$ in $\underline{\mathrm{sAlg}}$ such that $F_q(\theta) = T_{d_1,d_n}$ and $T_{d_1,d_n} = T_{i_{n-1},d_{n-1}} ... T_{i_2,d_2} T_{i_1,d_1}$.

Thus we can consider Hopf superalgebra $(U_q^{d_1})^{P_{\theta,d_1}}$, which is defined by formula

$$(U_q^{d_1})^{P_{\theta,d_1}} = (((((((U_q^{d_1})^{T_{i_1,d_1}})^{J_{\alpha_{i_1,d_1}}})^{T_{i_2,d_2}})^{J_{\alpha_{i_2,d_2}}})^{\cdots})^{T_{i_{n-1},d_{n-1}}})^{J_{\alpha_{i_{n-1},d_{n-1}}}}. \tag{4.46}$$

We can summarize our considerations in the

Theorem 4.5. *Hopf superalgebra $(U_q^{d_1})^{P_{\theta,d_1}}$ coincides with the Hopf superalgebra $U_q^{d_n}$.*

Proof. The result follows from Lemma 4.3 by induction on n. □

We use notations introduced in Section 4.3. It follows from the same arguments as in the [11] that $G(U_q^d)$ is generated by the group-like elements k_i ($i \in I$) in the Hopf superalgebra U_q^d. To get all Hopf superalgebra isomorphisms of U_q^d for $d \in D$, we need to describe all skew primitive elements $P_{1,g}(U_q^d)$ and $P_{g,1}(U_q^d)$ ($g \in G(U_q^d)$).

Lemma 4.4. *We have*

$$P_{1,g}(U_q^d) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}(q)(1-g) \oplus \mathbb{Q}(q) e_i \oplus \mathbb{Q}(q) g f_i, & g = k_i \ (1 \le i < m+n), \\ \mathbb{Q}(q)(1-g), & \textit{otherwise}; \end{cases} \tag{4.47}$$

$$P_{g,1}(U_q^d) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}(q)(1-g) \oplus \mathbb{Q}(q) f_i \oplus \mathbb{Q}(q) e_i g, & g = k_i^{-1} \ (1 \le i < m+n), \\ \mathbb{Q}(q)(1-g), & \textit{otherwise}. \end{cases} \tag{4.48}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that in [11], [25]. □

Fix $d_1 \in D$ and consider the quantum superalgebra $U_q^{d_1}$. Now we want to describe quantum superalgebras $U_q^{d_2}$ ($d_2 \in D$) that are isomorphic to $U_q^{d_1}$ as Hopf superalgebras. We can describe Dynkin diagram associated with $U_q^{d_1}$ ($U_q^{d_2}$) by the set of simple roots

$$\tau^{d_1} = \{\alpha_{i,d_1} \mid i \in I\} \ (\tau^{d_2} = \{\alpha_{i,d_2} \mid i \in I\}).$$

Let $a = (a_1, ..., a_{m+n-1}) \in (\mathbb{Q}(q)^*)^{m+n-1}$. Suppose that $(\alpha_{i,d_1}, \alpha_{j,d_1}) = (\alpha_{i,d_2}, \alpha_{j,d_2})$ for all $i, j \in I$. Define $\phi_a : U_q^{d_1} \to U_q^{d_2}$ by

$$\phi_a(k_{i,d_1}) = k_{i,d_2},\ \phi_a(e_{i,d_1}) = a_i e_{i,d_2},\ \phi_a(f_{i,d_1}) = a_i^{-1} f_{i,d_2}. \tag{4.49}$$

Suppose that $(\alpha_{i,d_1}, \alpha_{j,d_1}) = (\alpha_{m+n-i,d_2}, \alpha_{m+n-j,d_2})$ for all $i, j \in I$. We define $\phi^{'} : U_q^{d_1} \to U_q^{d_2}$ by

$$\phi^{'}(k_{i,d_1}) = k_{m+n-i,d_2},\ \phi^{'}(e_{i,d_1}) = e_{m+n-i,d_2},\ \phi^{'}(f_{i,d_1}) = f_{m+n-i,d_2}. \tag{4.50}$$

Suppose that $(\alpha_{i,d_1}, \alpha_{j,d_1}) = -(\alpha_{i,d_2}, \alpha_{j,d_2})$ for all $i, j \in I$. We define $\phi^{''} : U_q^{d_1} \to U_q^{d_2}$ by

$$\phi^{''}(k_{i,d_1}) = k_{i,d_2},\ \phi^{''}(e_{i,d_1}) = k_{i,d_2} f_{i,d_2},\ \phi^{''}(f_{i,d_1}) = e_{i,d_2} k_{i,d_2}^{-1}. \tag{4.51}$$

Suppose that $(\alpha_{i,d_1}, \alpha_{j,d_1}) = -(\alpha_{m+n-i,d_2}, \alpha_{m+n-j,d_2})$ for all $i, j \in I$. We define $\phi^{'''} : U_q^{d_1} \to U_q^{d_2}$ by

$$\phi^{'''}(k_{i,d_1}) = k_{m+n-i,d_2},\ \phi^{'''}(e_{i,d_1}) = k_{m+n-i,d_2} f_{m+n-i,d_2},\ \phi^{'''}(f_{i,d_1}) = e_{m+n-i,d_2} k_{m+n-i,d_2}^{-1}. \tag{4.52}$$

Theorem 4.6. *Let ϕ_a, $\phi^{'}$, $\phi^{''}$ and $\phi^{'''}$ be as above. Then these maps define Hopf superalgebra isomorphisms.*

Proof. It follows immediately from the definition that ϕ_a, $\phi^{'}$, $\phi^{''}$ and $\phi^{'''}$ are $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading and even maps. The result follows from the direct computations. □

Compare the following result with Theorem 4 in [11].

Theorem 4.7. *Let $U_q^{d_1}$ and $U_q^{d_2}$ be Hopf superalgebras associated with Dynkin diagrams d_1 and $d_2 \in D$ resprectively. Then there exists an isomorphism $\phi \in Hom_{\underline{sHAlg}}(U_q^{d_1}, U_q^{d_2})$ if and only if d_1 and d_2 are isomorphic as graphs and*

1. *$\phi = \phi_a$, if $(\alpha_{i,d_1}, \alpha_{j,d_1}) = (\alpha_{i,d_2}, \alpha_{j,d_2})$ for all $i, j \in I$;*
2. *$\phi = \phi^{'} \circ \phi_a$, if $(\alpha_{i,d_1}, \alpha_{j,d_1}) = (\alpha_{m+n-i,d_2}, \alpha_{m+n-j,d_2})$ for all $i, j \in I$;*
3. *$\phi = \phi^{''} \circ \phi_a$, if $(\alpha_{i,d_1}, \alpha_{j,d_1}) = -(\alpha_{i,d_2}, \alpha_{j,d_2})$ for all $i, j \in I$;*
4. *$\phi = \phi^{'''} \circ \phi_a$, if $(\alpha_{i,d_1}, \alpha_{j,d_1}) = -(\alpha_{m+n-i,d_2}, \alpha_{m+n-j,d_2})$ for all $i, j \in I$.*

Proof. For any $i \in I$, we have

$$\Delta_{d_2}(\phi(e_{i,d_1})) = (\phi \otimes \phi)\Delta_{d_1}(e_{i,d_1}) = \phi(e_{i,d_1}) \otimes 1 + \phi(k_{i,d_1}) \otimes \phi(e_{i,d_1}).$$

It follows from the definition of the quantum superalgebra in Section 4.2 that there are no other invertible elements in U_q^d except for that in $G(U_q^d)$ for $d \in D$. Thus $\phi(k_{i,d_1}) \in G(U_q^{d_2})$. Moreover, as ϕ is an isomorphism, we have from (4.47) that there exists some $\bar{i} = \rho(i) \in I$ such that $\phi(k_{i,d_1}) = k_{\bar{i},d_2}$ for $\rho : I \to I$. It is clear that ρ is bijective. By Lemma 4.4 we have

$$\phi(e_{i,d_1}) = a_i e_{\bar{i},d_2} + b_i k_{\bar{i},d_2} f_{\bar{i},d_2} + c_i(1 - k_{\bar{i},d_2}),$$

for suitable $a_i, b_i, c_i \in \mathbb{Q}(q)$. Note that $e_{\bar{i},d_2}$, $k_{\bar{i},d_2}f_{\bar{i},d_2}$ and $1 - k_{\bar{i},d_2}$ are linear independent.

Recall that $q^2 \neq 1$. Consider the equation

$$\phi(k_{i,d_1}e_{j,d_1}k_{i,d_1}^{-1}) = q^{(\alpha_{i,d_1},\alpha_{j,d_1})}\phi(e_{j,d_1}). \tag{4.53}$$

We have

$$0 = a_j(q^{(\alpha_{\bar{i},d_2},\alpha_{\bar{j},d_2})} - q^{(\alpha_{i,d_1},\alpha_{j,d_1})}) = b_j(q^{-(\alpha_{\bar{i},d_2},\alpha_{\bar{j},d_2})} - q^{(\alpha_{i,d_1},\alpha_{j,d_1})}) = c_j(1 - q^{(\alpha_{i,d_1},\alpha_{j,d_1})}). \tag{4.54}$$

Let $i \neq j$. Note that $(\alpha_{\bar{i},d_2}, \alpha_{\bar{j},d_2}) = 0, 1$ or -1. There is at least one odd vertex in Dynkin diagram. Let $|e_{j,d_1}| = 1$ and $|i - j| = 1$. Then $|(\alpha_{i,d_1}, \alpha_{j,d_1})| = |(\alpha_{\bar{i},d_2}, \alpha_{\bar{j},d_2})| = 1$. Thus $c_j = 0$ and $a_j \neq 0$, $b_j = 0$ or $a_j = 0$, $b_j \neq 0$. Thus if $|e_{j,d_1}| = 1$

$$\phi(e_{j,d_1}) = a_j e_{\bar{j},d_2} \text{ or } \phi(e_{j,d_1}) = b_j k_{\bar{j},d_2}f_{\bar{j},d_2}.$$

Moreover,

$$\phi(e_{j,d_1}^2) = a_j^2 e_{\bar{j},d_2}^2 = 0 \text{ or } \phi(e_{j,d_1}) = q^{(\alpha_{\bar{j},d_2},\alpha_{\bar{j},d_2})}b_j^2 k_{\bar{j},d_2}^2 f_{\bar{j},d_2}^2 = 0. \tag{4.55}$$

It follows that $\phi(e_{j,d_1})$ is an odd generator. Denote by $I = I_{\bar{0},d_1} \cup I_{\bar{1},d_1} = I_{\bar{0},d_2} \cup I_{\bar{1},d_2}$ the sets of even and odd indices of generators in $U_q^{d_1}$ and $U_q^{d_2}$ respectively. Then we get from (4.55) that $|I_{\bar{1},d_2}| \geq |I_{\bar{1},d_1}|$. As ϕ is the isomorphism using the same argument, we have $|I_{\bar{1},d_1}| \geq |I_{\bar{1},d_2}|$. Thus $|I_{\bar{1},d_1}| = |I_{\bar{1},d_2}| \Rightarrow |I_{\bar{0},d_1}| = |I_{\bar{0},d_2}|$.

Consider the equation (4.53) and let $i = j$ and $|e_{j,d_1}| = 0$. It follows that $\phi(e_{j,d_1})$ is an even generator and $|(\alpha_{i,d_1}, \alpha_{j,d_1})| = |(\alpha_{\bar{i},d_2}, \alpha_{\bar{j},d_2})| = 2$. Then we get from the equation (4.54) that if $|e_{j,d_1}| = 0$

$$\phi(e_{j,d_1}) = a_j e_{\bar{j},d_2} \text{ or } \phi(e_{j,d_1}) = b_j k_{\bar{j},d_2}f_{\bar{j},d_2}.$$

Case 1. Fix $j \in I$ such that $|e_{j,d_1}| = 1$ and let $\phi(e_{j,d_1}) = a_j e_{\bar{j},d_2}$. We claim that $\forall i \in I$, we have $\phi(e_{i,d_1}) = a_i e_{\bar{i},d_2}$ and $\rho(i) = i$ or $\rho(i) = m + n - i$.

Consider the equations

$$\phi(k_{i,d_1}e_{j,d_1}k_{i,d_1}^{-1}) = q^{(\alpha_{i,d_1},\alpha_{j,d_1})}\phi(e_{j,d_1}),\ k_{\bar{i},d_2}a_j e_{\bar{j},d_2}k_{\bar{i},d_2}^{-1} = q^{(\alpha_{\bar{i},d_2},\alpha_{\bar{j},d_2})}a_j e_{\bar{j},d_2}.$$

Thus $\forall i \in I$

$$q^{(\alpha_{\bar{i},d_2},\alpha_{\bar{j},d_2})} = q^{(\alpha_{i,d_1},\alpha_{j,d_1})},\ (\alpha_{\bar{i},d_2}, \alpha_{\bar{j},d_2}) = (\alpha_{i,d_1}, \alpha_{j,d_1}).$$

Moreover, if $|i - j| = 1$, we have $(\alpha_{i,d_1}, \alpha_{j,d_1}) \neq 0$ and $\bar{i} = \bar{j} - 1$ or $\bar{i} = \bar{j} + 1$.

It follows from

$$\phi(k_{j,d_1}e_{i,d_1}k_{j,d_1}^{-1}) = q^{(\alpha_{i,d_1},\alpha_{j,d_1})}\phi(e_{i,d_1})$$

that for $|i - j| = 1$

$$0 = a_i(q^{(\alpha_{\bar{i},d_2},\alpha_{\bar{j},d_2})} - q^{(\alpha_{i,d_1},\alpha_{j,d_1})}) = b_i(q^{-(\alpha_{\bar{i},d_2},\alpha_{\bar{j},d_2})} - q^{(\alpha_{i,d_1},\alpha_{j,d_1})}).$$

Hence, $\phi(e_{i,d_1}) = a_i e_{\bar{i},d_2}$.

Now we suppose that $\bar{i} = \bar{j} - 1$. By the same argument $\overline{i-1} = \bar{i} - 1$ or $\overline{i-1} = \bar{i} + 1 = \bar{j}$. But ρ is the bijection and this implies that $\overline{i-1} = \bar{i} - 1$. Thus we use induction on r to prove that $\phi(e_{r,d_1}) = a_i e_{\bar{r},d_2}$ in the case $1 \leq r \leq j$; the case $j + 1 \leq r \leq m + n - 1$ is similar. Using the same arguments as before it is easy to see that the result follows. Moreover, $\forall i \in I$ as

$$\rho(1) < \rho(2) < ... < \rho(j) < ... < \rho(m + n - 1)$$

we have $\rho(i) = i$.

Now we suppose that $\bar{i} = \bar{j} + 1$. By the same argument, we have $\overline{i-1} = \bar{i} + 1$. Thus we use induction on r to prove that $\phi(e_{r,d_1}) = a_i e_{\bar{r},d_2}$ in the case $1 \leq r \leq j$; the case $j+1 \leq r \leq m+n-1$ is similar. Using the same arguments as before it is easy to see that the result follows. Moreover, $\forall i \in I$ as

$$\rho(1) > \rho(2) > ... > \rho(j) > ... > \rho(m+n-1)$$

we have $\rho(i) = m + n - i$.

Case 2. Fix $j \in I$ such that $|e_{j,d_1}| = 1$ and let $\phi(e_{j,d_1}) = b_j k_{\bar{j},d_2} f_{\bar{j},d_2}$. We claim that $\forall i \in I$, we have $\phi(e_{i,d_1}) = b_i k_{\bar{i},d_2} f_{\bar{i},d_2}$ and $\rho(i) = i$ or $\rho(i) = m + n - i$.

Consider the equation

$$\phi(k_{i,d_1} e_{j,d_1} k_{i,d_1}^{-1}) = q^{(\alpha_{i,d_1},\alpha_{j,d_1})} \phi(e_{j,d_1}),\ k_{\bar{i},d_2} b_j k_{\bar{j},d_2} f_{\bar{j},d_2} k_{\bar{i},d_2}^{-1} = q^{-(\alpha_{\bar{i},d_2},\alpha_{\bar{j},d_2})} b_j k_{\bar{j},d_2} f_{\bar{j},d_2}.$$

Thus $\forall i \in I$

$$q^{(\alpha_{i,d_1},\alpha_{j,d_1})} = q^{-(\alpha_{\bar{i},d_2},\alpha_{\bar{j},d_2})},\ (\alpha_{i,d_1},\alpha_{j,d_1}) = -(\alpha_{\bar{i},d_2},\alpha_{\bar{j},d_2}).$$

Moreover, if $|i-j| = 1$, we have $(\alpha_{i,d_1},\alpha_{j,d_1}) \neq 0$ and $\bar{i} = \bar{j} - 1$ or $\bar{i} = \bar{j} + 1$.

It follows from

$$\phi(k_{j,d_1} e_{i,d_1} k_{j,d_1}^{-1}) = q^{(\alpha_{i,d_1},\alpha_{j,d_1})} \phi(e_{i,d_1})$$

that for $|i-j| = 1$

$$0 = a_i(q^{(\alpha_{\bar{i},d_2},\alpha_{\bar{j},d_2})} - q^{(\alpha_{i,d_1},\alpha_{j,d_1})}) = b_i(q^{-(\alpha_{\bar{i},d_2},\alpha_{\bar{j},d_2})} - q^{(\alpha_{i,d_1},\alpha_{j,d_1})}).$$

Hence, $\phi(e_{i,d_1}) = b_i k_{\bar{i},d_2} f_{\bar{i},d_2}$.

Now we suppose that $\bar{i} = \bar{j} - 1$. By the same argument $\overline{i-1} = \bar{i} - 1$ or $\overline{i-1} = \bar{i} + 1 = \bar{j}$. But ρ is the bijection and this implies that $\overline{i-1} = \bar{i} - 1$. Thus we use induction on r to prove that $\phi(e_{r,d_1}) = b_r k_{\bar{r},d_2} f_{\bar{r},d_2}$ in the case $1 \leq r \leq j$; the case $j+1 \leq r \leq m+n-1$ is similar. Using the same arguments as before it is easy to see that the result follows. Moreover, $\forall i \in I$ as

$$\rho(1) < \rho(2) < ... < \rho(j) < ... < \rho(m+n-1)$$

we have $\rho(i) = i$.

Now we suppose that $\bar{i} = \bar{j} + 1$. By the same argument, we have $\overline{i-1} = \bar{i} + 1$. Thus we use induction on r to prove that $\phi(e_{r,d_1}) = b_r k_{\bar{r},d_2} f_{\bar{r},d_2}$ in the case $1 \leq r \leq j$; the case $j+1 \leq r \leq m+n-1$ is similar. Using the same arguments as before it is easy to see that the result follows. Moreover, $\forall i \in I$ as

$$\rho(1) > \rho(2) > ... > \rho(j) > ... > \rho(m+n-1)$$

we have $\rho(i) = m + n - i$.

Consider the equation

$$\phi(k_{i,d_1} f_{j,d_1} k_{i,d_1}^{-1}) = q^{-(\alpha_{i,d_1},\alpha_{j,d_1})} \phi(f_{j,d_1}).$$

We use (4.48) to obtain that $\phi(f_{j,d_1}) = a_j' f_{j,d_2}$ or $\phi(f_{j,d_1}) = a_j' f_{m+n-j,d_2}$ in Case 1 and $\phi(f_{j,d_1}) = b_j' e_{j,d_2} k_{j,d_2}^{-1}$ or $\phi(f_{j,d_1}) = b_j' e_{m+n-j,d_2} k_{m+n-j,d_2}^{-1}$ in Case 2.

Finally, consider the equation

$$\phi(e_{i,d_1} f_{j,d_1} - (-1)^{|\alpha_{i,d_1}||\alpha_{j,d_1}|} f_{j,d_1} e_{i,d_1}) = \delta_{i,j} \phi(\frac{k_{i,d_1} - k_{i,d_1}^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}).$$

We obtain that $a_i^{'} = a_i^{-1}$ and $b_i^{'} = b_i^{-1}$ for all $i \in I$.

This impiles that if there exists an isomorphism $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{sHAlg}}}(U_q^{d_1}, U_q^{d_2})$ then Dynkin diagrams associated with $U_q^{d_1}$ and $U_q^{d_2}$ are isomorphic as graphs and

1. $\phi = \phi_a$, if $(\alpha_{i,d_1}, \alpha_{j,d_1}) = (\alpha_{i,d_2}, \alpha_{j,d_2})$ for all $i, j \in I$;
2. $\phi = \phi^{'} \circ \phi_a$, if $(\alpha_{i,d_1}, \alpha_{j,d_1}) = (\alpha_{m+n-i,d_2}, \alpha_{m+n-j,d_2})$ for all $i, j \in I$;
3. $\phi = \phi^{''} \circ \phi_a$, if $(\alpha_{i,d_1}, \alpha_{j,d_1}) = -(\alpha_{i,d_2}, \alpha_{j,d_2})$ for all $i, j \in I$;
4. $\phi = \phi^{'''} \circ \phi_a$, if $(\alpha_{i,d_1}, \alpha_{j,d_1}) = -(\alpha_{m+n-i,d_2}, \alpha_{m+n-j,d_2})$ for all $i, j \in I$.

The sufficience follows from Theorem 4.6.

□

Let $\mathrm{Aut}_{\underline{\mathrm{sHAlg}}}(U_q^d)$ $(d \in D)$ be the group of Hopf superalgebra automorphisms of U_q^d with the associated Dynkin diagram described by the set of simple roots $\tau^d = \{\alpha_{i,d} \mid i \in I\}$. Consider the conditions imposed on roots described in the formulation of Theorem 4.7. Also recall that q is a root of unity of odd order p.

Corollary 4.2. 1. *$Aut_{\underline{sHAlg}}(U_q^d) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Q}(q)^*)^{m+n-1}$, if the condition 2 is satisfied;*
2. *$Aut_{\underline{sHAlg}}(U_q^d) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2p\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Q}(q)^*)^{m+n-1}$, if the condition 4 is satisfied;*
3. *$Aut_{\underline{sHAlg}}(U_q^d) \cong (\mathbb{Q}(q)^*)^{m+n-1}$, otherwise.*

Proof. We use the same notations as in Theorem 4.7.

Consider the case 1 (2). Let $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} =< x >$ $(\mathbb{Z}/2p\mathbb{Z} =< x >)$. Recall that in the condition 2 (4) of Theorem 4.7 are explicitly descibed all possible automorphisms for this case. Thus we are able to construct the function $\gamma_1 : \mathrm{Aut}_{\underline{\mathrm{sHAlg}}}(U_q^d) \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Q}(q)^*)^{m+n-1}$ $(\gamma_2 : \mathrm{Aut}_{\underline{\mathrm{sHAlg}}}(U_q^d) \to \mathbb{Z}/2p\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Q}(q)^*)^{m+n-1})$ defined by

$$\gamma_1(\phi_a) = 1 \times a,\ \gamma_1(\phi^{'}) = x \times 1\ (\gamma_2(\phi_a) = 1 \times a,\ \gamma_2(\phi^{'''}) = x \times 1).$$

Also note that ϕ_a and $\phi^{'}$ $(\phi^{'''})$ commute. Applying $\phi^{'}$ $(\phi^{'''})$ to the generators of U_q^d (see (4.50) and (4.52) respectively) we see that $\phi^{'}$ has order 2 ($\phi^{'''}$ has order $2p$). It is easy to see that γ_1 (γ_2) induces the group isomorphism.

Finally consider the case 3. Note that functions described by the condition 3 of Theorem 4.7 cannot exist when we consider the automorphisms of Hopf superalgebra structure on U_q^d. Thus there is only one possible case left, namely the condition 1 of Theorem 4.7. Construct the function $\gamma_3 : \mathrm{Aut}_{\underline{\mathrm{sHAlg}}}(U_q^d) \to (\mathbb{Q}(q)^*)^{m+n-1}$ defined by

$$\gamma_3(\phi_a) = a.$$

It is clear that γ_3 induces the group isomorphism. □

We use notations introduced in Section 3.2. Consider the set of simple roots $\tau^d = \{\alpha_{i,d} \mid i \in I\}$ that corresponds to the Dynkin diagram of U_q^d $(d \in D)$. Recall that ϵ_i $(i \in \overline{1,m})$ and δ_i $(i \in \overline{1,n})$ are elements of the dual space $\mathfrak{d}^*$. Denote by $\bar{\epsilon}_i$ $(i \in I(m|n))$ an element of $L \cup L^{'}$, where $L = \{\epsilon_i \mid i \in \overline{1,m}\}$ and $L^{'} = \{\delta_i \mid i \in \overline{1,n}\}$. Let S_m (S_n) be the symmetric group. Define the action of $S_m \times S_n$ over $L \cup L^{'}$: if $(s_1, s_2) \in S_m \times S_n$ and $\bar{\epsilon}_i \in L \cup L^{'}$ $(i \in I(m|n))$ then

$$(s_1, s_2) \diamond (\bar{\epsilon}_i) = \begin{cases} \epsilon_{s_1(i)}, & \text{if } \bar{\epsilon}_i \in L, \\ \delta_{s_2(i)}, & \text{if } \bar{\epsilon}_i \in L^{'}. \end{cases}$$

We can use this definition to induce the corresponding action of $S_m \times S_n$ over τ^d in the obvious way, i. e. to induce the function $\diamond : (S_m \times S_n) \times \tau^d \to \tau^d$.

Additionally consider the case $m = n$. We define the map $\boxplus : L \cup L^{'} \to L \cup L^{'}$ in the following way: if $\bar{\epsilon}_i \in L \cup L^{'}$ $(i \in I(m|n))$ then

$$\boxplus(\bar{\epsilon}_i) = \begin{cases} \delta_i, & \text{if } \bar{\epsilon}_i \in L, \\ \epsilon_i, & \text{if } \bar{\epsilon}_i \in L^{'}. \end{cases}$$

We can use this definition to induce the function $\boxplus : \tau^d \to \tau^d$ in the obvious way.

It is easy to prove the following result

Theorem 4.8. *A) Fix $d \in D$. Consider the Dynkin diagram of the Hopf superalgebra U_q^d*

$$(\bar{\epsilon}_1 - \bar{\epsilon}_2, \bar{\epsilon}_2 - \bar{\epsilon}_3, ..., \bar{\epsilon}_{m+n-1} - \bar{\epsilon}_{m+n}).$$

Then Dynkin diagrams of all isomorphic Hopf superlagebras have the form

1.
$$(s \diamond (\bar{\epsilon}_1 - \bar{\epsilon}_2), s \diamond (\bar{\epsilon}_2 - \bar{\epsilon}_3), ..., s \diamond (\bar{\epsilon}_{m+n-1} - \bar{\epsilon}_{m+n}));$$

2.
$$(s \diamond (\bar{\epsilon}_{m+n} - \bar{\epsilon}_{m+n-1}), ..., s \diamond (\bar{\epsilon}_3 - \bar{\epsilon}_2), s \diamond (\bar{\epsilon}_2 - \bar{\epsilon}_1));$$

3.
$$(s \diamond (\boxplus(\bar{\epsilon}_1) - \boxplus(\bar{\epsilon}_2)), s \diamond (\boxplus(\bar{\epsilon}_2) - \boxplus(\bar{\epsilon}_3)), ..., s \diamond (\boxplus(\bar{\epsilon}_{m+n-1}) - \boxplus(\bar{\epsilon}_{m+n}))),$$

if $m = n$ and all simple roots in τ^d are odd;

4.
$$(s \diamond (\boxplus(\bar{\epsilon}_{m+n}) - \boxplus(\bar{\epsilon}_{m+n-1})), ..., s \diamond (\boxplus(\bar{\epsilon}_3) - \boxplus(\bar{\epsilon}_2)), s \diamond (\boxplus(\bar{\epsilon}_2) - \boxplus(\bar{\epsilon}_1))),$$

if $m = n$;

for all $s \in S_m \times S_n$.

B) Hopf superalgebra structures of $U_q(sl(m|n))$ are enumerated by the Dynkin diagrams of U_q^d $(d \in D)$ up to isomorphism in the sense of Theorem 4.7.

Proof. First we prove part A. We want to construct Dynkin diagrams associated with all Hopf superalgebras $U_q^{d^{'}}$ $(d^{'} \in D)$ that are isomorphic to U_q^d. Therefore we consider each isomorphism described in Theorem 4.7 and construct Dynkin diagrams associated with isomorphic Hopf superalgebras. It is easy to see that isomorhisms described in conditions 1 - 4 in Theorem 4.7 give rise to Dynkin diagrams described by conditions 1 - 4 stated in part A respectively. The result follows.

Now we prove part B. Fix $d \in D$. Apply Theorem 4.7 to get all Hopf superalgebras $U_q^{d^{'}}$ $(d^{'} \in D)$ isomorphic to U_q^d. Recall Corollary 4.1 which states that there exists an isomorphism of superalgebras $\gamma_{d^{'}} : U_q^{d^{'}} \to U_q(sl(m|n))$ for all $d^{'} \in D$. By Proposition 4.4 we are able to endow $U_q(sl(m|n))$ with the structure of Hopf superalgebra which is isomorphic to that on U_q^d using γ_d as a twist of type 2 (see Definition 4.5). Thereom 4.7 guarantees us that in this way we get all non-isomorphic structures of Hopf superalgebra on $U_q(sl(m|n))$ which are are induced by $U_q^{d^{'}}$ for all $d^{'} \in D$. The result follows. $\square$

4.7. Unique Hopf superalgebra structures of $U_q(sl(2|1))$

We describe all unique Hopf superalgebra structures of $U_q(sl(2|1))$ and their universal R-matices using Theorem 4.8 and Fig. 1.

Condsider Lie superalgebra $sl(2|1)$. Recall that $\tau^1 = \{\alpha_{1,1} = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \alpha_{2,1} = \epsilon_2 - \delta_1\}$, $\alpha_{3,1} = \alpha_{1,1} + \alpha_{2,1}$ and $\tau^3 = \{\alpha_{1,3} = \epsilon_1 - \delta_1, \alpha_{2,3} = \delta_1 - \epsilon_2\}$, $\alpha_{3,3} = \alpha_{1,3} + \alpha_{2,3}$ (see Fig. (1)).

Notice that there are just two non-isomorphic quantum Hopf superalgebras of $U_q(sl(2|1))$ associated with Dynkin diagrams. Indeed, all quantum Hopf superalgebras associated with Dynkin diagrams labeled by $d \in \{1, 2, 5, 6\}$ are isomorphic by Theorem 4.8. We get the same result for quantum Hopf superalgebras associated with Dynkin diagrams labeled by $d \in \{3, 4\}$. Moreover, we know from Theorem 4.8 that quantum Hopf superalgebras $U_q^{d_1}$ and $U_q^{d_2}$ with $d_1 \in \{1, 2, 5, 6\}$ and $d_2 \in \{3, 4\}$ are non-isomorphic (compare with Theorem 3.4).

We know that the universal R-matrix $\bar{R}_1$ of $U_q(sl(2|1))$ (see [34], Theorem 3.4) is the even invertible element

$$\bar{R}_1 = \tilde{R}K,$$

where

$$\tilde{R} = exp_{q^2}((q-q^{-1})[e_{1,1}, e_{2,1}]_{q^{-1}} \otimes [f_{2,1}, f_{1,1}]_q) exp_{q^2}((q-q^{-1})e_{2,1} \otimes f_{2,1}) \times$$
$$\times exp_{q^2}((-1)(q-q^{-1})e_{1,1} \otimes f_{1,1}) exp_{q^2}((-1)q(q-q^{-1})^3[e_{1,1}, e_{2,1}]_{q^{-1}}e_{2,1} \otimes [f_{2,1}, f_{1,1}]_q f_{2,1}),$$
$$K = p^{-2} \sum_{0 \le i_1, j_1, i_2, j_2 \le p-1} q^{i_1(2i_2 - j_2) - j_1 i_2} k_{1,1}^{i_2} k_{2,1}^{j_2} \otimes k_{1,1}^{i_1} k_{2,1}^{j_1}.$$

It follows from Theorem 4.5 that R-matrix $\bar{R}_3$ for U_q^3 with the standard Hopf superalgebra structure defined by equations (4.12) - (4.13) is

$$\bar{R}_3 = (\tau_{U_q^3, U_q^3} \circ J_{\alpha_{2,1}}^{-1}) \bar{R}^{T_{2,1}} J_{\alpha_{2,1}},$$

where

$$\bar{R}^{T_{2,1}} = (T_{2,1} \otimes T_{2,1})(\bar{R}_1) = \tilde{R}^{T_{2,1}} K^{T_{2,1}},$$
$$\tilde{R}^{T_{2,1}} = exp_{q^2}((q-q^{-1})[[e_{2,3}, e_{1,3}]_{q^{-1}}, f_{2,3}k_{2,3}^{-1}]_{q^{-1}} \otimes [k_{2,3}e_{2,3}, [f_{1,3}, f_{2,3}]_q]_q) \times$$
$$exp_{q^2}((q-q^{-1})(-1)f_{2,3}k_{2,3}^{-1} \otimes k_{2,3}e_{2,3}) \times$$
$$exp_{q^2}((q-q^{-1})[e_{2,3}, e_{1,3}]_{q^{-1}} \otimes [f_{1,3}, f_{2,3}]_q) \times$$
$$exp_{q^2}(q(q-q^{-1})^3[[e_{2,3}, e_{1,3}]_{q^{-1}}, f_{2,3}k_{2,3}^{-1}]_{q^{-1}} f_{2,3}k_{2,3}^{-1} \otimes [k_{2,3}e_{2,3}, [f_{1,3}, f_{2,3}]_q]_q k_{2,3}e_{2,3}),$$
$$K^{T_{2,1}} = p^{-2} \sum_{0 \le i_1, r_1, i_2, r_2 \le p-1} q^{i_1 r_2 + i_2 r_1} k_{1,3}^{i_2} k_{2,3}^{r_2} \otimes k_{1,3}^{i_1} k_{2,3}^{r_1},$$
$$J_{\alpha_{2,1}} = 1 \otimes 1 + (q-q^{-1}) f_{2,3} \otimes e_{2,3},$$
$$J_{\alpha_{2,1}}^{-1} = 1 \otimes 1 - (q-q^{-1}) f_{2,3} \otimes e_{2,3}.$$

It follows from the direct computations that

$$\bar{R}_3 = (\tau_{U_q^3, U_q^3} \circ J_{\alpha_{2,1}}^{-1}) \tilde{R}^{T_{2,1}} J_{\alpha_{2,1}} K^{T_{2,1}}.$$

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Egor Dotsenko for a discussion of the results of this article, as well as for clarifying the relation between the quantum Weyl groupoid and the Casimir connection.

Funding

This work is funded by Russian Science Foundation, scientific grant 21-11-00283.

References

[1] S. Aissaoui, A. Makhlouf, On classification of finite-dimensional superbialgebras and Hopf superalgebras, arXiv:1301.0838.

[2] N. Andruskiewitsch, Lie superbialgebras and Poisson-Lie supergroups, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 63 (1993) 147–163. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02941339.

[3] N. Andruskiewitsch, P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, Triangular Hopf algebras with the Chevalley property, Michigan Math. J. 49 (2001) 277-298. https://doi.org/10.1307/mmj/1008719774.

[4] N. Andruskiewitsch, I. Angiono, H. Yamane, On pointed Hopf superalgebras, Contemp. Math. 544 (2011) 123-140. http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/conm/544.

[5] N. Andruskiewitsch, I. Angiono, On finite dimensional Nichols algebras of diagonal type, Bulletin of Mathematical Sciences 7 (2017) 353-573. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13373-017-0113-x.

[6] I. Angiono, H. Yamane, The R-matrix of quantum doubles of Nichols algebras of diagonal type, J. Math. Phys. 56 (2015) 021702. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4907379.

[7] S. Azam, H. Yamane, M. Yousofzadeh, Classification of Finite-Dimensional Irreducible Representations of Generalized Quantum Groups via Weyl Groupoids, Publ. RIMS, Volume 51, Issue 1, 2015, pp. 59-130. (arXiv:1105.0160)

[8] G. Benkart, S. Kang, M. Kashiwara, Crystal bases for the quantum superalgebra $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m,n))$, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (2000) 295-331. https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-00-00321-0.

[9] J. Brundan, A.P. Ellis, Monoidal supercategories, Comm. Math. Phys. 351 (2017) 1045–1089. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-017-2850-9.

[10] J. Brundan, A.P. Ellis, Super Kac-Moody 2-categories, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 115 (2017) 925–973. https://doi.org/10.1112/plms.12055.

[11] J. Chen, S. Yang, Quantum superalgebras $u_q(sl(m|n))$ at roots of unity, Front. Math. China 7 (2012) 607–628. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11464-011-0136-7

[12] M. Cuntz, I. Heckenberger, Weyl groupoids with at most three objects, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 213 (2009) 1112–1128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2008.11.009.

[13] V.G. Drinfeld, Quantum groups, J. Math. Sci. 41 (1988) 898–915. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01247086.

[14] V.G. Drinfeld, Quasi-Hopf algebras, Leningrad Math J. 1(1990), 1419-1457.

[15] L. Frappat, A. Sciarrino, P. Sorba, Structure of basic Lie superalgebras and of their affine extensions, Commun. Math. Phys. 121 (1989) 457–500. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01217734.

[16] L. Frappat, A. Sciarrino, P. Sorba, Dictionary on Lie Superalgebras, Academic Press (2000), London.

[17] N. Geer, Etingof–Kazhdan quantization of Lie superbialgebras, Adv. Math. 207 (2006) 1–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2005.11.005.

[18] N. Geer, Some remarks on quantized Lie superalgebras of classical type, J. Algebra 314 (2007) 565–580. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2006.10.045.

[19] M.D. Gould, R.B. Zhang, A.J. Bracken, Lie bi-superalgebras and the graded classical Yang–Baxter equation, Rev. Math. Phys. 3 (1991) 223–240. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0129055X91000084.

[20] I. Heckenberger, Lusztig isomorphisms for Drinfel'd doubles of bosonizations of Nichols algebras of diagonal type, J. Algebra 323 (2010) 2130-2182. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2010.02.013.

[21] I. Heckenberger, H. Yamane, A generalization of Coxeter groups, root systems, and Matsumoto's theorem, Math. Z. 259 (2008) 255–276. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-007-0223-3.

[22] I. Heckenberger, H. Yamane, Drinfel'd doubles and Shapovalov determinants, Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina 51 (2010) 107-146. MR2840165.

[23] I. Heckenberger, F. Spill, A. Torrielli, H. Yamane, Drinfeld second realization of the quantum affine superalgebras of $D^{(1)}(2,1;x)$ via the Weyl groupoid, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto B8 (2008) 171–216.

[24] C. Hoyt, Classification of finite-growth contragredient Lie superalgebras, arXiv:1606.05303.

[25] N. Hu, X. Wang, Convex PBW-type Lyndon bases and restricted two-parameter quantum groups of type B, Journal of Geometry and Physics 60(3) (2010) 430-453. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomphys.2009.11.005.

[26] V.G. Kac, Lie superalgebras. Advances in Math. 26 (1977) 8-96. https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708(77)90017-2.

[27] V.G. Kac, Infinite dimensional Lie algebras, Cambridge Univ. Press (1990). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-1382-4.

[28] G. Karaali, A New Lie Bialgebra Structure on $\mathfrak{sl}(2|1)$, Contemporary Mathematics 413 (2006) 101–122. MR2262367.

[29] S.M. Khoroshkin, V.N. Tolstoy, Universal R-matrix for quantized (super)algebras, Comm. Math. Phys. 141 (1991) 599–617. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02102819.

[30] S.M. Khoroshkin, V.N. Tolstoy, Twisting of quantum (super)algebras. Connection of Drinfeld's and Cartan-Weyl realizations for quantum affine algebras, arXiv:hep-th/9404036.

[31] S.Z. Levendorskii, Ya.S. Soibel'man, Quantum Weyl group and multiplicative formula for the R-matrix of a simple Lie algebra, Functional Analysis and Its Applications 25 (1991) 143-145. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01079599.

[32] S. Levendorskii, Ya. Soibel'man, V. Stukopin, Quantum Weyl group and universal R-matrix for quantum affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$, Lett. Math. Phys. 27 (1993) 253-264.

[33] G. Lusztig, Introduction to Quantum Groups, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser/Springer, New York (2010). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-8176-4717-9.

[34] A. Mazurenko, V.A. Stukopin, $\mathfrak{R}$-matrix for quantum superalgebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2|1)$ at roots of unity and its application to centralizer algebras, arXiv:1909.11613.

[35] A. Mazurenko, V.A. Stukopin, Weyl groupoid of quantum superalgebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2|1)$ at roots of unity, arXiv:2006.06610.

[36] V. Serganova, Kac-Moody superalgebras and integrability, Developments and Trends in Infinite-Dimensional Lie Theory 288 (2011) 169–218. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-8176-4741-4_6.

[37] A. Tsymbaliuk, PBWD bases and shuffle algebra realizations for $U_v(L\mathfrak{sl}_n), U_{v_1,v_2}(L\mathfrak{sl}_n), U_v(L\mathfrak{sl}(m|n))$ and their integral forms, arXiv:1808.09536.

[38] A. Tsymbaliuk, Shuffle algebra realizations of type A super Yangians and quantum affine superalgebras for all Cartan data, arXiv:1909.13732.

[39] Ying Xu, R.B. Zhang, Quantum correspondences of affine Lie superalgebras, Math. Res. Lett. 25 (2018) 1009-1036. https://dx.doi.org/10.4310/MRL.2018.v25.n3.a14.

[40] H. Yamane, Universal R-matrices for quantum groups associated to simple Lie superalgebras, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 67 (1991) 108–112. https://doi.org/10.3792/pjaa.67.108.

[41] H. Yamane, Quantized enveloping algebras associated with simple Lie superalgebras and their universal R-matrices, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 30 (1994) 15–87. https://doi.org/10.2977/prims/1195166275.

[42] H. Yamane, On defining relations of affine Lie superalgebras and affine quantized universal enveloping superalgebras, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 35 (1999) 321-390. https://doi.org/10.2977/prims/1195143607.