

Towards the Finite- N Twisted Holography from the Geometry of Phase Space

Seyed Farooqh Moosavian,^a Yehao Zhou^{b,c}

^a*Department of Physics, McGill University, Montréal QC H3A 2T8, Canada*

^b*Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo ON N2L 2Y5, Canada*

^c*University of Waterloo, Waterloo ON N2L 3G1, Canada*

E-mail: sfmoosavian@gmail.com, yehaozhou1994@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: We study ring of functions on the (classical and quantized) phase space of $2d$ BF theory with the gauge group GL_N coupled to a $1d$ quantum mechanics with global symmetry GL_K . These functions are gauge-invariant local observables of the coupled system, which appears as boundary side of an early example of twisted holography involving stacks of N D2-branes and K D4-branes intersecting along a real line. We first construct the classical phase space of this system and describe its ring of functions and their large- N limit. We next compute the Hilbert series of these algebras for finite- N and also in the large- N limit. We then study the quantization of this phase space and the deformation quantization of its ring of functions, elaborate its relation to the Yangian, and construct its co-product. Finally, we identify these quantized algebras with the quantized Coulomb-branch algebras of certain $3d$ $\mathcal{N} = 4$ quiver gauge theories.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The Holographic Setup	4
3	Geometry of the Phase Space $\mathcal{M}(N, K)$	7
3.1	Singularities and resolution	7
3.2	Factorization	9
3.3	Generators of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$	10
3.4	Poisson structure	11
3.5	Multiplication morphism	12
3.6	Embedding $\mathcal{M}(N, K) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(N', K)$	13
4	Large-N Limit	14
5	Modules of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ and their Hilbert series	17
5.1	Reduction steps	18
5.2	Calculation on affine Grassmannian	21
5.3	$N \rightarrow \infty$ limit	22
6	Quantization of $\mathcal{M}(N, K)$	24
6.1	Another map from $Y_h(\mathfrak{gl}_K \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1)$ to $\mathbb{C}_h[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$	26
6.2	Defining ideal of $\mathbb{C}_h[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$	28
6.3	Quantized coproduct	30
6.4	Quantized phase space and Coulomb branch algebra	31
7	Conclusion and Outlook	35
A	Hall-Littlewood Polynomials	36
A.1	Jing operators and transformed Hall-Littlewood polynomials	36
B	Affine Grassmannians and Geometrization of Jing Operators	38

1 Introduction

Holography is one of the main active area of research in finding a theory of quantum gravity [1, 2]. The prime example of this concept is The AdS/CFT Correspondence [3, 4].

Recently, a twisted version of The AdS/CFT Correspondence has been formulated by Costello and Li [5, 6]. According to this framework, the holography can be understood as certain algebraic relation, known as Koszul duality, between the algebra of operators in the two sides of the correspondence (see [7] for an earlier example and also [8–23] for follow-up and related works). For a recent and very readable review of Koszul duality aimed at physicists, we refer the reader to [24]. An instance of this twisted version has been studied in [8], where it was shown that the algebra of local operators in $2d$ BF theory with gauge group GL_N coupled to a $1d$ fermionic¹ quantum mechanics with global symmetry GL_K (the boundary side) and the algebra of scattering states computed using Witten digrams of $4d$ Chern-Simons theory with gauge group GL_K (the bulk side) match and in the large- N limit approach the Yangian (see Theorem 1 on page 12 of [8]). Concretely, let B be the the B-field of BF theory and $(\bar{\psi}, \psi)$ are the fields of quantum mechanical system living on the line. It was shown in [8] that the subalgebra of a subset of local gauge-invariant operators of this system, which are given by

$$\bar{\psi}_a B^n \psi^b, \quad a, b = 1, \dots, K, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (1.1)$$

in the large- N limit is the Yangian of \mathfrak{gl}_K .

In this paper, we study the same problem from the perspective of the geometry of the phase space of $2d$ - $1d$ coupled system. One of the reasons we are studying the problem from the phase-space perspective is that it allows us to make statements about some aspects of this example of twisted holography at finite N . Our strategy is to first characterize the (classical or quantized) algebra in the large- N limit and then find the finite- N algebra as the quotient of the large- N limit algebra by an ideal. Let the phase space of the coupled $2d$ - $1d$ system be denoted as $\mathcal{M}(N, K)$, which is parameterized by $(B, \psi, \bar{\psi})$.

Summary of the results

As we have explained so far, we study the phase space of the $2d$ BF theory coupled to a $1d$ quantum-mechanical system. The basic logic of the paper is to first study the classical phase space $\mathcal{M}(K, N)$ and its ring of functions $\mathbb{C}(K, N)$, and finally their large- N limit. We then study modules for these algebras. Then, we considered the quantization of the classical phase space and the deformation quantization $\mathbb{C}_\hbar[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ of its ring of functions, which leads to the algebra. We study its structure, especially its coproduct and its identification with the Coulomb-branch algebra of $3d$ $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theories.

Section 2 is devoted to the review of physical holographic setup. The main results of this work can be summarized as follows.

In Section 3, we investigate the geometry of the phase space of BF theory coupled to our quantum-mechanical system and study the algebra of functions in this phase space. The main results of this section are the following

¹There is nothing special about quantum mechanics to be fermionic. We pointed out it since it was used in [8] for explicit computations. We could consider bosonic quantum mechanics and the resulting operator algebra would be the same. However, the brane configuration which leads to the fermionic vs bosonic quantum mechanics would be different [25, 26].

1. The first result is concerned with the structure of the phase space; we show that $\mathcal{M}(N, K)$ is a normal affine variety of dimension $2NK$. This is shown in Corollary 3.2.
2. $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$, the algebra of functions on $\mathcal{M}(N, K)$ is generated by the set $\{\bar{\psi}B^n\psi; \text{Tr}(B^n)\}$. Note that the operators $\text{Tr}(B^n)$ are dual to the gravitons while determinant ($\det(B^n)$) and subdeterminant operators are dual to giant gravitons in the bulk. We then find that $\mathcal{M}(N, 1) \simeq \mathbb{A}^{2N}$. Furthermore, by defining the morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{ab} : \mathcal{M}(N, K) &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}(1, K) \\ (B, \psi, \bar{\psi}) &\rightarrow (B, \psi_b, \bar{\psi}_a); \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

we show that the products of η_{ab} for various a and b is a closed embedding

$$\prod_{1 \leq a, b \leq K} \eta_{ab} : \mathcal{M}(N, K) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(N, 1) \times_{\mathbb{A}(N)} \mathcal{M}(N, 1) \times_{\mathbb{A}(N)} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{A}(N)} \mathcal{M}(N, 1), \quad (1.3)$$

where the right hand side has K^2 copies of $\mathcal{M}(N, 1)$. This is achieved in Proposition 3.3.

3. Next, we define the following Poisson structure on $\mathcal{M}(N, K)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \{\psi_{ia}, \bar{\psi}_{bj}\} &= \delta_{ab} \delta_{ij}, \\ \{B_{mn}, B_{pq}\} &= \delta_{pn} B_{mq} - \delta_{mq} B_{pn}, \\ \{B_{mn}, \bar{\psi}_{bj}\} &= \{B_{mn}, \psi_{ia}\} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

Defining $T_{ab}^{(n)} \equiv \bar{\psi}_a B^n \psi_b$, with the convention $T_{ab}^{(-1)} = \delta_{ab}$, we show that

$$\{T_{ab}^{(p)}, T_{cd}^{(q)}\} = \sum_{i=-1}^{\min(p,q)-1} \left(T_{cb}^{(p+q-1-i)} T_{ad}^{(i)} - T_{cb}^{(i)} T_{ad}^{(p+q-1-i)} \right). \quad (1.5)$$

In Section 4, we consider the large- N limit of $\mathcal{M}(N, K)$ and its ring of functions $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$. The main results of this section are as follows

1. We show that $\bigcup_N \mathcal{M}(N, K)$ is Zariski-dense in $L^- \text{GL}_K \times L^- \text{GL}_1$, where $L^- \text{GL}_K$ is the loop group defined in (4.1). This result is the content of Theorem 4.1.
2. Using this result, we then show that $\mathcal{M}(\infty, K) \cong L^- \text{GL}_K \times L^- \text{GL}_1$. This in turn would imply that

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(\infty, K)] \cong \mathbb{C}[L^- \text{GL}_K] \otimes \mathbb{C}[L^- \text{GL}_1]. \quad (1.6)$$

Section 5 is devoted to study of modules for $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$. The main result of this section is the computation of Hilbert series for $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ in Theorem 5.1 and its large- N limit $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(\infty, K)]$ in Proposition 5.3.

In Section 6, we move to the quantization $\mathbb{C}_h[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ of the ring of functions $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ on the phase space. Quantization amounts to replace the Poisson brackets (1.3) with commutators and studying the resulting algebras. The main results of this section are

1. We first prove the commutator of $T_{ab}^{(n)}$

$$[T_{ab}^{(p)}, T_{cd}^{(q)}] = \hbar \sum_{i=-1}^{\min(p,q)-1} \left(T_{cb}^{(i)} T_{ad}^{(p+q-1-i)} - T_{cb}^{(p+q-1-i)} T_{ad}^{(i)} \right). \quad (1.7)$$

This is equivalent to the RTT relation if one defines the generating functions $T_{ab}(z)$ (the RTT generators) of $T_{ab}^{(n)}$ by the following power-series expansion at $z \rightarrow \infty$

$$T_{ab}(z) \equiv \sum_{n \geq -1} T_{ab}^{(n)} z^{-n-1} = \delta_{ab} + \bar{\psi}_a \frac{1}{z-B} \psi_b.$$

2. We next present one of the main results of this work, i.e. we show that the surjective map

$$Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_K \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1) := Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_K) \otimes Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\hbar}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)] \quad (1.8)$$

exists for any N . We present two arguments for the existence of this map in Theorem 6.1 and in Section 6.1. We also prove a particular observation of [27] according to which the quantum determinant of Yangian, whose coefficient determine the center, determine is given in terms of Capelli's determinant, defined in (6.9).

3. The coproduct of the quantized algebra is constructed in Section 6.3.
4. Finally, we explain the identification between the quantized ring of functions on the phase space $\mathbb{C}_{\hbar}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ and the Coulomb-branch algebra of certain $3d \mathcal{N} = 4$ quiver gauge theories.

Some details are relegated to the appendices. The Hall-Littlewood polynomial has been reviewed in Appendix A. Geometrization of the Jing operators, which are used in giving a vertex-algebra definition of the Hall-Littlewood polynomials is explained in Appendix B.

2 The Holographic Setup

In this section, we briefly review the twisted holography setup of [8].

The starting point is $6d$ topological string theory on $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{C}$, where the theory is A-twisted along \mathbb{R}^4 and B-twisted along \mathbb{C} . These theories coming from a configuration of branes², which is summarized in Table 1.

There are four main ingredients at play [29]: 1) the theory of open strings on the stack of D2 branes, which is the $2d$ BF theory with gauge group GL_N with the following action

$$S_{\text{BF}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}_{x,w}^2} \text{Tr}_{\mathbf{N}}(BF_A), \quad (2.1)$$

²Similar to [8], we are following the convention used in [28] where Dp -brane in topological string theory have p -dimensional world-volume in spacetime.

	\mathbb{R}_x	\mathbb{R}_y	\mathbb{R}_v	\mathbb{R}_w	\mathbb{C}_z	# of branes
D2	\times			\times		N
D4	\times		\times		\times	K

Table 1. The brane configuration that realizes our twisted holography setup. The subscripts on \mathbb{R}_x et al denote the coordinates along that direction. We have used the same conventions as [8]. The last column denotes the number of branes.

where $B \in \Omega^0(\mathbb{R}_{x,w}^2, \mathfrak{gl}_N)$ is an adjoint-valued scalar, $A \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}_{x,w}^2, \mathfrak{gl}_N)$ is the gauge field for the gauge group GL_N with curvature $F_A = d_A A = dA + A \wedge A$, and the trace is taken over the fundamental representation of \mathfrak{gl}_N , which we have denoted as \mathbf{N} . This plays the role of the boundary side of the correspondence; 2) the theory on the stack of D4-branes, which is $4d$ Chern-Simons theory with gauge group GL_K [7, 30]. Since we do not need this theory in this paper, we are not describing its details and refer the reader to [8]; 3) the $1d$ intersection of the two sets of branes, which introduces a line operator in both theories: the line operator in the BF-theory side is described by a quantum mechanics with fermionic degrees of freedom: $\psi^a \in \Omega^0(\mathbb{R}_x, \mathbf{N})$ with $a = 1, \dots, K$ ³, and the conjugate field $\bar{\psi}_a \in \Omega^0(\mathbb{R}_x, \bar{\mathbf{N}})$, where bar denotes the antifundamental representation. The action of this theory is given by

$$S_{\text{QM}} = \int_L \sum_{a=1}^K \bar{\psi}_a (d + A) \psi^a, \quad (2.2)$$

where we have denoted \mathbb{R}_x as L . A carton of the setup is shown in Figure 1. In the $4d$ Chern-Simons theory side, we get a Wilson line taking values in some representation of $\mathfrak{gl}_K[[z]] = \prod_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{gl}_K \otimes z^n$ (at least classically). 4) The bulk closed-string theory sector, which is a mixture of the Kähler gravity along $\mathbb{R}_{x,y,v,w}^4$ and BCOV theory along \mathbb{C}_z [31–34]. Furthermore, we turn on a background 3-form flux field, which is sourced by D2-branes. This could deform the topology of the closed-string background and also the theory living on the stack of D4-branes. It turns out that the topology of the closed-string background is deformed to $\mathbb{R}_{x,w}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_{+,r} \times S^3$, where $r \equiv (y^2 + v^2 + z\bar{z})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ parameterizes \mathbb{R}_+ , and the background 3-form field measures the flux through S^3 . The value of this flux is nothing but the number of D2-branes, which is N . On the other hand, it turns out that the pullback of the 3-form to D4-branes vanishes, and hence the theory of D4 branes is not deformed in the presence of this flux. Note that the theory on D4-branes could in principle be coupled to the modes in the closed-string theory living in the bulk. In the setup considered in [8], it is assumed that in the large- N limit, there is no such coupling. This has been called rigid holography in the physics literature [35]. Taking this point into account, the theory that effectively plays the role of bulk side in our twisted holography setup is $4d$ Chern-Simons theory and we need to consider Witten diagrams of this theory in the computations of scattering through the bulk.

³Note that there is a change of notation compared to [8]. Here, we have used $a = 1, \dots, K$ for the global symmetry indices while $i = 1, \dots, K$ has been used for the global symmetry indices in [8].




Figure 1. The holographic setup. N coincident D2-branes are hosting a \mathfrak{gl}_N BF theory. These branes should be thought of as the imaging of D2-branes deep in the bulk which are sourcing the bulk fields. At the bottom of the figure, we have shown $2d$ black branes which are the D2-branes in the backreacted geometry of the bulk. A $4d$ \mathfrak{gl}_K Chern-Simons theory lives on the of K coincident D4-branes. The intersection of the two stack of branes is a line defect on which a fermionic quantum-mechanical system lives.

We would like to analyze the phase space of $2d$ BF theory coupled to a $1d$ quantum mechanics and its geometry. We choose the gauge $A = 0$. The equations of motion are

$$\begin{aligned} d\psi^a &= d\bar{\psi}_a = 0, \\ dB - \sum_{a=1}^K \psi^a \bar{\psi}_a \delta_{w=0} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

The solution is that ψ^a and $\bar{\psi}_a$ are constant along the line defect, B is constant on the regions $w < 0$ and $w > 0$, and

$$B_{w>0} - B_{w<0} = \sum_{a=1}^K \psi^a \bar{\psi}_a.$$

So the phase space is parametrized by $B_{w>0}$, ψ^a and $\bar{\psi}_a$, modulo the GL_N action. This is the quiver variety (categorical quotient) associated to the framed quiver in Figure 2. Let us




Figure 2. The quiver description of the phase space.

denote this quiver variety by $\mathcal{M}(N, K) = \text{Rep}(N, K) / \text{GL}_N$, where $\text{Rep}(N, K)$ is the linear space of representations of quiver in Figure 2. We study this space in Section 3.

3 Geometry of the Phase Space $\mathcal{M}(N, K)$

In this section, we analyze the phase space of the coupled theory $S_{\text{BF}} + S_{\text{QM}}$, where S_{BF} and S_{QM} are given by (2.1) and (2.2), respectively.

3.1 Singularities and resolution

When $K = 1$, $\mathcal{M}(N, 1)$ is the Zastava space $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{sl}_2}^N$ studied in [36]. Recall that the degree $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{sl}_2}^N$ is defined by the degree N based quasi-map space of the flag variety of SL_2 . Explicitly, this is the space of polynomials $Q(z), P(z)$ such that $\deg Q < N$ and P is a monic polynomial of degree N . In particular, $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{sl}_2}^N$ is isomorphic to the affine space \mathbb{A}^{2N} . The isomorphism between $\mathcal{M}(N, 1)$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{sl}_2}^N$ is given by the map

$$(B, \psi, \bar{\psi}) \mapsto (P(z) = \det(z - B), Q(z) = \bar{\psi} \text{adj}(z - B)\psi). \quad (3.1)$$

Here $\text{adj}(z - B)$ is the adjugate matrix of $z - B$.

For general K , then same argument in [36, Section 2] shows that the Laumon resolution $\mathcal{P}^N \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^N$ factors through $\mathcal{M}(N, K)$. Here \mathcal{P}^N is the parabolic Laumon space, i.e. the moduli space of degree N rank K subsheaves \mathcal{F} of rank $2K$ trivial vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 such that $\mathcal{F}|_\infty$ is a sub-bundle and is a fixed rank K flag of \mathbb{C}^{2K} , and \mathcal{Z}^N is the parabolic Zastava space associated to SL_{2K} and the parabolic subgroup $P \subset \text{SL}_{2K}$ which stabilizes a fixed rank K flag in \mathbb{C}^{2K} [37]. In fact \mathcal{P}^N is isomorphic to the moduli space of stable representations of the quiver in Figure 2, denoted by $\mathcal{M}^s(N, K)$, where the stability condition is that if $V \subset \mathbb{C}^N$, $B(V) \subset V$ and $\text{Im}(\psi) \subset V$ then $V = \mathbb{C}^N$. The stability condition implies that the action of GL_N on the stable representations is free, thus $\mathcal{M}^s(N, K)$ is smooth. Since the parabolic Zastava space \mathcal{Z}^N is affine, the Laumon resolution $\mathcal{P}^N \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^N$ factors through

the affinization of $\mathcal{P}^N = \mathcal{M}^s(N, K)$, which is $\mathcal{M}(N, K)$, and $\mathcal{M}(N, K) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^N$ is finite since Laumon resolution is proper. Moreover, $\mathcal{P}^N \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^N$ is isomorphism on the locus where the subsheaf \mathcal{F} is a sub-bundle, this corresponds to a map (instead of just a quasi-map) from \mathbb{P}^1 to Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(K, 2K)$ which sends ∞ to identity. We call this locus the “regular” locus, and it has a quiver description as well: it parametrizes quiver representations that are stable and also co-stable, i.e. if $V \subset \mathbb{C}^N$, $B(V) \subset V$ and $V \subset \ker(\bar{\psi})$ then $V = 0$. The semi-simplification map $\mathcal{M}^s(N, K) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(N, K)$ is also isomorphism on the regular locus, since GL_N acts on a stable and co-stable representation freely with closed orbit. This implies that the morphism $\mathcal{M}(N, K) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^N$ is birational. Since $\mathcal{M}(N, K)$ is affine quotient of a smooth variety normal $\mathcal{M}(N, K)$ is normal, thus $\mathcal{M}(N, K) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^N$ is the normalization. It turns out that $\mathcal{M}(N, K) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^N$ is isomorphism, which will be proven in a more general context elsewhere.

Summarize the above discussions, we have morphisms of varieties:

$$\mathcal{P}^N \cong \mathcal{M}^s(N, K) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(N, K) \cong \mathcal{Z}^N,$$

such that $\mathcal{M}^s(N, K) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(N, K)$ is a resolution of singularities, and it is isomorphism when restricted on $\mathcal{M}(N, K)^{\text{reg}}$.

Lemma 3.1. *For the resolution of singularities $f : \mathcal{M}^s(N, K) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(N, K)$, we have $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}(N, K)} \cong Rf_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}^s(N, K)}$, i.e.*

- (1) $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}^s(N, K)} = 0$ for $i > 0$,
- (2) the natural homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}(N, K)} \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}^s(N, K)}$ is isomorphism.

The key to the proof of Lemma 3.1 is Grauert-Riemenschneider vanishing theorem, we recall it here:

Theorem 3.1 (Grauert-Riemenschneider Vanishing). *Let $h : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a resolution of singularities in characteristic zero, then $R^i h_*(\mathcal{K}_X) = 0$ for $i > 0$. Moreover let \mathcal{L} be an ample line bundle on X , then $R^i h_*(\mathcal{K}_X \otimes \mathcal{L}) = 0$ for $i > 0$. Here \mathcal{K}_X is the canonical line bundle of X .*

For a proof (of a more general version of this theorem), see [38, Corollary 2.68]. We would like to apply this theorem to $f : \mathcal{M}^s(N, K) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(N, K)$, but the sheaf in the theorem is the canonical sheaf, not the structure sheaf. This is not an issue, because:

Lemma 3.2. *The canonical line bundle on $\mathcal{M}^s(N, K)$ is trivial.*

Proof. Denote by \mathcal{V} the tautological sheaf on $\mathcal{M}^s(N, K)$, which is the descent of \mathbb{C}^N along the quotient $\text{Rep}^s(N, K) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^s(N, K)$, and denote by W the framing vector space, then there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{V}) \oplus W \otimes \mathcal{V}^* \oplus W^* \otimes \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow T_{\mathcal{M}^s(N, K)} \longrightarrow 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Here $T_{\mathcal{M}^s(N,K)}$ is the tangent sheaf of $\mathcal{M}^s(N,K)$. From this short exact sequence we get

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}^s(N,K)} = \det T_{\mathcal{M}^s(N,K)}^* \cong \det(W \otimes \mathcal{V}^*) \otimes \det(W^* \otimes \mathcal{V}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}^s(N,K)}.$$

■

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Since the canonical line bundle on $\mathcal{M}^s(N,K)$ is trivial, we have

$$R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}^s(N,K)} \cong R^i f_* \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}^s(N,K)} = 0,$$

for $i > 0$, by Grauert-Riemenschneider vanishing theorem. Since $\mathcal{M}(N,K)$ is normal and f is birational, we also have $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}(N,K)} \cong f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}^s(N,K)}$. ■

Corollary 3.1. $\mathcal{M}(N,K)$ has rational Gorenstein singularities.

Proof. $\mathcal{M}(N,K)$ has rational singularities by Lemma 3.1. Then the dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\mathcal{M}(N,K)}$ is

$$\omega_{\mathcal{M}(N,K)} \cong Rf_* \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}^s(N,K)} \cong Rf_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}^s(N,K)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}(N,K)},$$

which is a line bundle, thus $\mathcal{M}(N,K)$ has Gorenstein singularities. ■

3.2 Factorization

There is an obvious morphism:

$$\mathfrak{f}_{N_1, N_2} : \mathcal{M}(N_1, K) \times \mathcal{M}(N_2, K) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(N_1 + N_2, K), \quad (3.3)$$

$$(B^{(1)}, \psi^{(1)}, \overline{\psi}^{(1)}) \times (B^{(2)}, \psi^{(2)}, \overline{\psi}^{(2)}) \mapsto \left(\begin{bmatrix} B^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & B^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \psi^{(1)} \\ \psi^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\psi}^{(1)} & \overline{\psi}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad (3.4)$$

Consider the natural projection

$$\Phi_N : \mathcal{M}(N, K) \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^{(N)}. \quad (3.5)$$

Here Φ_N maps a triple $(B, \psi, \overline{\psi})$ to the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of B , and $\mathbb{A}^{(N)}$ is the N 'th symmetric product of affine line \mathbb{A}^1 , which parametrizes coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of B . Denote by $(\mathbb{A}^{(N_1)} \times \mathbb{A}^{(N_2)})_{\text{disj}}$ the open subset of $\mathbb{A}^{(N_1)} \times \mathbb{A}^{(N_2)}$ such that eigenvalues of $B^{(1)}$ is disjoint from eigenvalues of $B^{(2)}$. Analogous to the $K = 1$ case discussed in [36], we have the following factorization isomorphism

Proposition 3.1. *The restriction of \mathfrak{f}_{N_1, N_2} on $(\mathbb{A}^{(N_1)} \times \mathbb{A}^{(N_2)})_{\text{disj}}$ is isomorphism:*

$$\mathfrak{f}_{N_1, N_2} : (\mathcal{M}(N_1, K) \times \mathcal{M}(N_2, K))_{\text{disj}} \cong \mathcal{M}(N_1 + N_2, K) \times_{\mathbb{A}^{(N_1 + N_2)}} \left(\mathbb{A}^{(N_1)} \times \mathbb{A}^{(N_2)} \right)_{\text{disj}}.$$

Here $(\mathcal{M}(N_1, K) \times \mathcal{M}(N_2, K))_{\text{disj}}$ is the restriction of $\mathcal{M}(N_1, K) \times \mathcal{M}(N_2, K)$ on $(\mathbb{A}^{(N_1)} \times \mathbb{A}^{(N_2)})_{\text{disj}}$.

Corollary 3.2. $\mathcal{M}(N, K)$ is a normal affine variety of dimension $2NK$.

Proof. $\mathcal{M}(N, K)$ is normal and affine since is the quotient of an affine space by GL_N , we only need to show that its dimension is $2NK$. By the factorization isomorphism, it suffices to show that $\dim \mathcal{M}(1, K) = 2K$. Note that $\mathcal{M}(1, K)$ is isomorphic to the \mathbb{A}^1 times the space of $K \times K$ matrices of rank ≤ 1 and the latter has dimension $2K - 1$. ■

Using the normalization map $\mathcal{M}(N, K) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^N$ we have the following result:

Proposition 3.2. *The morphism $\Phi_N : \mathcal{M}(N, K) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{(N)}$ is equidimensional.*

Proof. It suffices to show that the projection $\Phi'_N : \mathcal{Z}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{(N)}$ is equidimensional, since $\mathcal{M}(N, K) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^N$ is finite. Here we prove that the central fiber $\Phi'^{-1}_N(0)$ has dimension $(2K - 1)N$, and the dimensions for other fibers follow from factorization isomorphism.

To compute $\dim \Phi'^{-1}_N(0)$, we use the description of the central fiber for parabolic Zastava in [37, 3.5], and obtain that

$$\Phi'^{-1}_N(0) \cong \overline{\mathrm{Gr}}_P^{N\theta} \cap \mathrm{Gr}_{U(P^-)} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{SL}_{2K}}. \quad (3.6)$$

Here $P \subset \mathrm{SL}_{2K}$ is the parabolic subgroup which stabilizes a fixed rank K flag in \mathbb{C}^{2K} , $U(P^-)$ is the unipotent radical of the opposite of P , and $\theta = \mathrm{diag}(1, 0, \dots, 0, -1)$ is the longest coroot of \mathfrak{sl}_{2K} . Then $\overline{\mathrm{Gr}}_P^{N\theta} \cap \mathrm{Gr}_{U(P^-)} \subset \overline{\mathrm{Gr}}^{N\theta} \cap \mathrm{Gr}_{U(B^-)}$ and the latter has dimension $\langle N\theta, \check{\rho} \rangle = (2K - 1)N$, thus $\dim \Phi'^{-1}_N(0) \leq (2K - 1)N$. Since the generic fiber of Φ_N has dimension $(2K - 1)N$, we also have the other direction of inequality $\dim \Phi'^{-1}_N(0) \geq (2K - 1)N$. Hence $\dim \Phi'^{-1}_N(0) = (2K - 1)N$. ■

Corollary 3.3. *The morphism $\Phi_N : \mathcal{M}(N, K) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{(N)}$ is flat.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.2, Corollary 3.1, and the miracle flatness theorem [39, Tag 00R4] ■

3.3 Generators of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$

By invariant theory, the algebra of functions on $\mathcal{M}(N, K)$, denoted by $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$, is generated by

$$\mathrm{Tr}(B^n), \bar{\psi}_a B^m \psi_b. \quad (3.7)$$

Here $1 \leq n \leq N$, $0 \leq m \leq N - 1$ and $1 \leq a, b \leq K$. When $K = 1$, it turns out that there is no relations between these generators, i.e. $\mathrm{Tr}(B), \dots, \mathrm{Tr}(B^N), \bar{\psi}\psi, \bar{\psi}B\psi, \dots, \bar{\psi}B^{N-1}\psi$ give rise to an isomorphism $\mathcal{M}(N, 1) \cong \mathbb{A}^{2N}$. In fact, since we know that $\dim \mathcal{M}(N, 1) = 2N$ and the map $\mathcal{M}(N, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{2N}$ is closed embedding, the map must be an isomorphism by dimensional reason.

For general K , let us fix a pair of integers a, b , then the functions $\mathrm{Tr}(B^n), \bar{\psi}_a B^m \psi_b$ give rise to a morphism $\eta_{ab} : \mathcal{M}(N, K) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(1, K)$ sending a triple $(B, \psi, \bar{\psi})$ to $(B, \psi_b, \bar{\psi}_a)$. From the above discussions, we have

Proposition 3.3. *The product of η_{ab} is a closed embedding*

$$\prod_{1 \leq a, b \leq K} \eta_{ab} : \mathcal{M}(N, K) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(N, 1) \times_{\mathbb{A}(N)} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{A}(N)} \mathcal{M}(N, 1), \quad (3.8)$$

where the right hand side has K^2 copies of $\mathcal{M}(N, 1)$. Moreover, $\prod_{1 \leq a, b \leq K} \eta_{ab}$ is compatible with factorization isomorphism \mathfrak{f}_{N_1, N_2} .

3.4 Poisson structure

Let us introduce a Poisson structure on the space of $(B, \psi, \bar{\psi})$ as following

$$\{\psi_{ia}, \bar{\psi}_{bj}\} = \delta_{ab} \delta_{ij}, \quad \{B_{mn}, B_{pq}\} = \delta_{pn} B_{mq} - \delta_{mq} B_{pn}, \quad \{B_{mn}, \bar{\psi}_{bj}\} = \{B_{mn}, \psi_{ia}\} = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Here we treat $\psi, \bar{\psi}$ as usual bosonic variables, i.e. commute instead of anti-commute with each other. This Poisson structure comes from the classical limit of $U_h(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \otimes \text{Weyl}_{NK}$, where Weyl_{NK} is the Weyl algebra generated by $\psi, \bar{\psi}$. It is easy to see that the Poisson structure is equivariant under the GL_N action, so it descends to $\mathcal{M}(N, K)$.

Remark 3.1. This is **not** the Poisson structure for the Zastava space. In fact, when $K = 1$, this Poisson structure on $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, 1)]$ is trivial, see the Theorem 3.4 below.

Define $T_{ab}^{(n)} = \bar{\psi}_a B^n \psi_b$, and we use the convention $T_{ab}^{(-1)} = \delta_{ab}$, then denote by $T_{ab}(z)$ the power series expanded at $z \rightarrow \infty$:

$$T_{ab}(z) = \sum_{n \geq -1} T_{ab}^{(n)} z^{-n-1} = \delta_{ab} + \bar{\psi}_a \frac{1}{z - B} \psi_b.$$

Proposition 3.4. *The Poisson brackets between $T_{ab}^{(k)}$ are:*

$$\{T_{ab}^{(p)}, T_{cd}^{(q)}\} = \sum_{i=-1}^{\min(p,q)-1} \left(T_{cb}^{(p+q-1-i)} T_{ad}^{(i)} - T_{cb}^{(i)} T_{ad}^{(p+q-1-i)} \right). \quad (3.10)$$

And for all $n \geq 1$, $\text{Tr}(B^n)$ is Poisson central.

Proof. This is the classical limit of (6.3). ■

Remark 3.2. In [8], another presentation of Poisson structure is obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\psi_{ia}, \bar{\psi}_{bj}\} &= \delta_{ab} \delta_{ij}, \quad \{B_{mn}, B_{pq}\} = \delta_{np} \sum_a \bar{\psi}_{aq} \psi_{ma} - \delta_{mq} \sum_a \bar{\psi}_{an} \psi_{pa}, \\ \{B_{mn}, \bar{\psi}_{bj}\} &= \{B_{mn}, \psi_{ia}\} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

3.5 Multiplication morphism

Apart from the obvious factorization map (3.3), there is another map

$$\mathbf{m}_{N_1, N_2} : \mathcal{M}(N_1, K) \times \mathcal{M}(N_2, K) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(N_1 + N_2, K), \quad (3.12)$$

$$(B^{(1)}, \psi^{(1)}, \overline{\psi}^{(1)}) \times (B^{(2)}, \psi^{(2)}, \overline{\psi}^{(2)}) \mapsto \left(\begin{bmatrix} B^{(1)} & \psi^{(1)} \overline{\psi}^{(2)} \\ 0 & B^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \psi^{(1)} \\ \psi^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\psi}^{(1)} & \overline{\psi}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \right). \quad (3.13)$$

We have the following elementary property of the multiplication morphism.

Proposition 3.5. *The multiplication morphism \mathbf{m}_{N_1, N_2} is dominant.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that the composition $\mathbf{f}_{N_1, N_2}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{m}_{N_1, N_2}$ is dominant when restricted on $(\mathbb{A}^{(N_1)} \times \mathbb{A}^{(N_2)})_{\text{disj}}$. First of all, we construct a $\text{GL}_{N_1} \times \text{GL}_{N_2}$ equivariant map

$$\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_{N_1, N_2} : (\text{Rep}(N_1, K) \times \text{Rep}(N_2, K))_{\text{disj}} \longrightarrow (\text{Rep}(N_1, K) \times \text{Rep}(N_2, K))_{\text{disj}},$$

such that $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_{N_1, N_2}$ descends to $\mathbf{f}_{N_1, N_2}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{m}_{N_1, N_2}$ after taking the quotient by $\text{GL}_{N_1} \times \text{GL}_{N_2}$. The construction is as follows. If the spectra of B_1 and B_2 are disjoint from each other, then linear map $\text{Mat}(N_1, N_2) \rightarrow \text{Mat}(N_1, N_2)$, $X \mapsto B_1 X - X B_2$ is an isomorphism. Let A be the unique $N_1 \times N_2$ matrix such that

$$B^{(1)} A - A B^{(2)} = \psi^{(1)} \overline{\psi}^{(2)} \text{ holds.}$$

Then we can use the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & A \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ to diagonalize } \begin{bmatrix} B^{(1)} & \psi^{(1)} \overline{\psi}^{(2)} \\ 0 & B^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}$$

and it accordingly maps $[\overline{\psi}^{(1)}, \overline{\psi}^{(2)}]$ to $[\overline{\psi}^{(1)}, \overline{\psi}^{(2)} - \overline{\psi}^{(1)} A]$ and $[\psi^{(1)}, \psi^{(2)}]^t$ to $[\psi^{(1)} + A \psi^{(2)}, \psi^{(2)}]^t$. Hence we define $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_{N_1, N_2}$ as

$$(B^{(1)}, \psi^{(1)}, \overline{\psi}^{(1)}) \times (B^{(2)}, \psi^{(2)}, \overline{\psi}^{(2)}) \mapsto (B^{(1)}, \psi^{(1)} + A \psi^{(2)}, \overline{\psi}^{(1)}) \times (B^{(2)}, \psi^{(2)}, \overline{\psi}^{(2)} - \overline{\psi}^{(1)} A). \quad (3.14)$$

Notice that the tangent map $d\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_{N_1, N_2}$ is an isomorphism at any point $(B^{(1)}, 0, \overline{\psi}^{(1)}) \times (B^{(2)}, \psi^{(2)}, 0)$, so $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_{N_1, N_2}$ is generically étale thus it is dominant. Then it follows that \mathbf{m}_{N_1, N_2} is dominant. ■

Proposition 3.6. *The multiplication morphism \mathbf{m}_{N_1, N_2} has following properties*

(1) \mathbf{m}_{N_1, N_2} is Poisson,

(2) $\mathbf{m}_{N_1 + N_2, N_3} \circ (\mathbf{m}_{N_1, N_2} \times \text{Id}) = \mathbf{m}_{N_1, N_2 + N_3} \circ (\text{Id} \times \mathbf{m}_{N_2, N_3})$, i.e. multiplication is associative.

The proposition will be evident once we make connection to the multiplication map on the loop group in the next section. Note that the factorization map \mathbf{f}_{N_1, N_2} is not Poisson in general.

3.6 Embedding $\mathcal{M}(N, K) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(N', K)$

Suppose that $N < N'$, then we have a morphism

$$\iota_{N, N'} : \mathcal{M}(N, K) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(N', K), \quad (3.15)$$

$$(B, \psi, \overline{\psi}) \mapsto \left(\begin{bmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \psi \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\psi} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right). \quad (3.16)$$

Note that $\iota_{N, N'}^*(\text{Tr}(B^n)) = \text{Tr}(B^n)$, $\iota_{N, N'}^*(T_{ab}^{(m)}) = T_{ab}^{(m)}$, so $\iota_{N, N'}^*$ is surjective, thus $\iota_{N, N'}$ is a closed embedding.

Proposition 3.7. *The embedding $\iota_{N, N'}$ has following properties*

$$(1) \quad \iota_{N', N''} \circ \iota_{N, N'} = \iota_{N, N''},$$

$$(2) \quad \iota_{N, N'} \text{ is Poisson,}$$

$$(3) \quad \mathbf{m}_{N'_1, N'_2} \circ (\iota_{N_1, N'_1} \times \iota_{N_2, N'_2}) = \iota_{N_1 + N_2, N'_1 + N'_2} \circ \mathbf{m}_{N_1, N_2}.$$

Proof. Property (1) is obvious from definition of $\iota_{N, N'}$, (2) is a corollary of Proposition 3.4, only (3) needs explanation. Using property (1), the proof of (3) reduces to the cases of either $N'_1 = N_1, N'_2 = N_2 + 1$ or $N'_1 = N_1 + 1, N'_2 = N_2$. The first case is obvious from the definition of embedding and multiplication morphism, so we only need to consider the case when $N'_1 = N_1 + 1, N'_2 = N_2$. It amounts to showing that

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} B^{(1)} & 0 & \psi^{(1)} \overline{\psi}^{(2)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \psi^{(1)} \\ 0 \\ \psi^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\psi}^{(1)} & 0 & \overline{\psi}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

is equivalent to

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} B^{(1)} & \psi^{(1)} \overline{\psi}^{(2)} & 0 \\ 0 & B^{(2)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \psi^{(1)} \\ \psi^{(2)} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\psi}^{(1)} & \overline{\psi}^{(2)} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

under the action of some matrix $W \in \text{GL}_{N_1 + N_2 + 1}$. It is elementary to check that

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Id}_{N_1} & 0 \\ 0 & w_{N_2} w_{N_2 - 1} \cdots w_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

does the job, where $w_i \in \text{GL}_{N_2 + 1}$ switches row i and row $i + 1$. ■

4 Large- N Limit

In this section we use the embeddings $\iota_{N,N'} : \mathcal{M}(N, K) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(N', K)$ constructed in the previous section to define the large- N limit of the family $\mathcal{M}(N, K)$ as the spectrum of \mathbb{C}^\times -finite elements in the inverse limit of algebras $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$, and show that the large- N limit is isomorphic to the Poisson group $L^-(\mathrm{GL}_K \times \mathrm{GL}_1)$, defined below. It is known that $L^-(\mathrm{GL}_K \times \mathrm{GL}_1)$ quantizes to the Yangian $Y_\hbar(\mathfrak{gl}_K \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1)$, and we will explore the quantized version of the large- N limit in the next section.

Definition 4.1. Define $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(\infty, K)]$ to be the subalgebra of $\varprojlim_N \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ generated by $T_{ab}^{(n)}$ and $\mathrm{Tr}(B^m)$, for all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $1 \leq a, b \leq K$. And then define $\mathcal{M}(\infty, K) = \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(\infty, K)]$.

Denote by $L^- \mathrm{GL}_K$ the group of power series

$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i z^{-i}, \quad g_i \in \mathfrak{gl}_K, \quad (4.1)$$

here the group structure is the multiplication of power series in matrices. Consider the morphism

$$i_N = (\pi_N, \varphi_N) : \mathcal{M}(N, K) \rightarrow L^- \mathrm{GL}_K \times L^- \mathrm{GL}_1, \quad (4.2)$$

$$(B, \psi, \bar{\psi}) \mapsto \left(1 + \bar{\psi} \frac{1}{z - B} \psi, \frac{1}{z^N} \det(z - B) \right), \quad (4.3)$$

which is a closed embedding because $T_{ab}^{(n)}$ and $\mathrm{Tr}(B^m)$ generate $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$. Here $(z - B)^{-1}$ is expanded as a power series of matrices in z^{-1} . It is known that $L^- \mathrm{GL}_K$ is a Poisson-Lie group scheme whose Poisson structure comes from the Manin triple

$$(\mathfrak{gl}_K((z^{-1})), z^{-1} \mathfrak{gl}_K[[z^{-1}]], \mathfrak{gl}_K[z]).$$

Explicitly, let $T_{ab}^{(n)}, n \geq -1$ be the function on $L^- \mathrm{GL}_K$ that takes the value of ab component of g_{n+1} and we use the convention that $T_{ab}^{(-1)} = \delta_{ab}$, then the Poisson structure on $L^- \mathrm{GL}_K$ is determined by the equation

$$(u - v) \{T_{ab}(u), T_{cd}(v)\} = T_{ad}(v)T_{cb}(u) - T_{ad}(u)T_{cb}(v), \quad \text{where } T_{ab}(u) = \sum_{i=-1}^{\infty} T_{ab}^{(i)} u^{-i-1}. \quad (4.4)$$

Compare equation (4.4) with equation (3.10), and we have

Proposition 4.1. *The morphism $i_N : \mathcal{M}(N, K) \rightarrow L^- \mathrm{GL}_K \times L^- \mathrm{GL}_1$ is Poisson.*

Proposition 4.2. *i_N is compatible with embedding $\iota_{N,N'}$ and multiplication \mathfrak{m}_{N_1, N_2} , i.e.*

$$(1) \quad i_{N'} \circ \iota_{N, N'} = i_N,$$

$$(2) \ i_{N_1+N_2} \circ \mathbf{m}_{N_1, N_2} = \mathbf{m} \circ (i_{N_1} \times i_{N_2}).$$

Here $\mathbf{m} : L^-(\mathrm{GL}_K \times \mathrm{GL}_1) \times L^-(\mathrm{GL}_K \times \mathrm{GL}_1) \rightarrow L^-(\mathrm{GL}_K \times \mathrm{GL}_1)$ is the multiplication map of the group $L^-(\mathrm{GL}_K \times \mathrm{GL}_1)$.

Proof. (1) is obvious from definition. (2) can be shown by direct computation. If $(B^{(1)}, \psi^{(1)}, \overline{\psi}^{(1)})$ is a point in $\mathcal{M}(N_1, K)$ and $(B^{(2)}, \psi^{(2)}, \overline{\psi}^{(2)})$ is a point in $\mathcal{M}(N_2, K)$, then $\pi_{N_1+N_2} \circ \mathbf{m}_{N_1, N_2}$ maps this pair of representations to

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 + \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\psi}^{(1)} & \overline{\psi}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \left(z - \begin{bmatrix} B^{(1)} & \psi^{(1)} \overline{\psi}^{(2)} \\ 0 & B^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \psi^{(1)} \\ \psi^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= 1 + \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\psi}^{(1)} & \overline{\psi}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \left(z - \begin{bmatrix} B^{(1)} & \psi^{(1)} \overline{\psi}^{(2)} \\ 0 & B^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \psi^{(1)} \\ \psi^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= 1 + \overline{\psi}^{(1)} \frac{1}{z - B^{(1)}} \psi^{(1)} + \overline{\psi}^{(2)} \frac{1}{z - B^{(2)}} \psi^{(2)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \overline{\psi}^{(1)} \left(B^{(1)} \right)^i \psi^{(1)} \overline{\psi}^{(2)} \left(B^{(2)} \right)^j \psi^{(2)} z^{-i-j-2} \\ &= \left(1 + \overline{\psi}^{(1)} \frac{1}{z - B^{(1)}} \psi^{(1)} \right) \left(1 + \overline{\psi}^{(2)} \frac{1}{z - B^{(2)}} \psi^{(2)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

And we also have $\varphi_{N_1+N_2} \circ \mathbf{m}_{N_1, N_2} = \mathbf{m} \circ (\varphi_{N_1} \times \varphi_{N_2})$ by the multiplicativity of determinants of block diagonal matrices. \blacksquare

Proof of Proposition 3.6. (1) follows from Proposition 4.1 and the fact that the Poisson structure on $L^-(\mathrm{GL}_K \times \mathrm{GL}_1)$ makes it a Poisson-Lie group, i.e. \mathbf{m} is Poisson. (2) is a direct consequence of Proposition 4.2. \blacksquare

Since i_N is compatible with $\iota_{N, N'}$, it makes sense to take the ind-scheme $\bigcup_N \mathcal{M}(N, K)$ inside $L^- \mathrm{GL}_K \times L^- \mathrm{GL}_1$.

Theorem 4.1. $\bigcup_N \mathcal{M}(N, K)$ is Zariski-dense in $L^- \mathrm{GL}_K \times L^- \mathrm{GL}_1$.

Proof. It suffices to show that for every N , there exists N' such that $L_N^- \mathrm{GL}_K \times L_N^- \mathrm{GL}_1$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathcal{M}(N', K)$. Denote by $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}_{L^- \mathrm{GL}_K} \times \mathbf{m}_{L_N^- \mathrm{GL}_1}$ the multiplication map on $L^- \mathrm{GL}_K \times L^- \mathrm{GL}_1$. We make two observations

- (1) $L_1^- \mathrm{GL}_K \times \{1\} \subset \mathcal{M}(K, K)$. This is because $S \times \{1\} \subset \mathcal{M}(K, K)$, where S is the subvariety of $L_1^- \mathrm{GL}_K$:

$$1 + \frac{g}{z}, \ g \in \mathfrak{gl}_K \text{ such that } \mathrm{rank}(g) \leq 1.$$

then we can apply the multiplication \mathbf{m} K times to obtain $L_1^- \mathrm{GL}_K \times \{1\}$, more precisely, we have following linear algebra fact:

- Every matrix $M \in \mathfrak{gl}_K$ can be written as a linear combination $M = X_1 + \cdots + X_K$ such that $\text{rank}(X_i) \leq 1$ and $X_i X_j = 0$ if $i < j$.

This can be interpreted as

$$1 + \frac{M}{z} = \left(1 + \frac{X_1}{z}\right) \cdots \left(1 + \frac{X_K}{z}\right),$$

which is exactly what we want to show. To show this fact, we notice that the statement is true for M if and only if it is true for AMA^{-1} for some $A \in \text{GL}_K$, so without loss of generality, we assume that M is a Jordan block J_λ , and then take $X_i = a_i^\dagger b_i$, where

$$\begin{aligned} a_i &= (0, \dots, 0, \lambda, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \quad i < K \text{ and } i\text{'th component is } \lambda, \\ a_K &= (0, \dots, 0, \lambda), \\ b_i &= (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \quad i\text{'th component is } 1. \end{aligned}$$

If M is a direct sum of Jordan blocks, then we take X_i associated to each individual block.

- (2) $\{1\} \times L_1^- \text{GL}_1 \subset \mathcal{M}(1, K)$, this is because $\{1\} \times L_1^-$ is the the image of points $(b, 0, 0) \subset \mathcal{M}(1, K)$.
- (3) The multiplication map $\mathfrak{m}_{L^- \text{GL}_K} : L_1^- \text{GL}_K \times L_N^- \text{GL}_K \rightarrow L_{N+1}^- \text{GL}_K$ is dominant. In effect, the tangent map $d\mathfrak{m}_{L^- \text{GL}_K}$ at the point $(1, 1 + 1/z + \cdots + 1/z^N)$ is

$$\left(\frac{X}{z}, \frac{Y_1}{z}, \dots, \frac{Y_i}{z^i}, \dots, \frac{Y_N}{z^N}\right) \mapsto \frac{X + Y_1}{z}, \dots, \frac{X + Y_N}{z^N}, \frac{X}{z^{N+1}} \quad (4.5)$$

where left hand side is a tangent vector at $(1, 1 + 1/z + \cdots + 1/z^N)$, and right hand side is a tangent vector at $1 + 1/z + \cdots + 1/z^N \in L_{N+1}^- \text{GL}_K$. Since X, Y_1, \dots, Y_N take value in all matrices in \mathfrak{gl}_K , the linear map (4.5) is surjective and thus is an isomorphism by dimension counting. It follows that $\mathfrak{m}_{L^- \text{GL}_K} : L_1^- \text{GL}_K \times L_N^- \text{GL}_K \rightarrow L_{N+1}^- \text{GL}_K$ is étale at the point $(1, 1 + 1/z + \cdots + 1/z^N)$, thus it is generically étale, and dominant.

Combine (1) and (2) and use the multiplication \mathfrak{m} (which is compatible with the multiplications of $\mathcal{M}(N, K)$), then we have an inclusion $L_1^- \text{GL}_K \times L_1^- \text{GL}_1 \subset \mathcal{M}(K+1, K)$. (3) implies that $\mathfrak{m} : (L_1^- \text{GL}_K \times L_1^- \text{GL}_1) \times (L_N^- \text{GL}_K \times L_N^- \text{GL}_1) \rightarrow L_{N+1}^- \text{GL}_K \times L_{N+1}^- \text{GL}_1$ is dominant. By induction on N , we have inclusions $L_N^- \text{GL}_K \times L_N^- \text{GL}_1 \subset \mathcal{M}((K+1)N, K)$. This concludes the proof. \blacksquare

Corollary 4.1. $\mathcal{M}(\infty, K) \cong L^- \text{GL}_K \times L^- \text{GL}_1$, *i.e.*

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(\infty, K)] \cong \mathbb{C}[L^- \text{GL}_K] \otimes \mathbb{C}[L^- \text{GL}_1]. \quad (4.6)$$

5 Modules of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ and their Hilbert series

Recall that we have a resolution of singularities $f : \mathcal{M}^s(N, K) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(N, K)$, where $\mathcal{M}^s(N, K)$ is the moduli space of stable representations of the quiver in the Figure 2. The action of gauge group GL_N on the space of stable representations $\mathrm{Rep}^s(N, K)$ is free, so the quotient map $\mathrm{Rep}^s(N, K) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^s(N, K)$ is a principal GL_N -bundle. The gauge node vector space \mathbb{C}^N is a trivial bundle on $\mathrm{Rep}(N, K)$ but it is endowed with a non-trivial equivariant structure under the action of GL_N , then it descend to a locally free sheaf \mathcal{V} on $\mathcal{M}^s(N, K)$ since the GL_N action on the stable locus is free. We call this locally free sheaf \mathcal{V} the *tautological sheaf*, and call its determinant line bundle the *tautological line bundle*, denoted by Det .

Lemma 5.1. *The tautological line bundle Det is ample on $\mathcal{M}^s(N, K)$.*

This lemma will be proven in the next subsection. Apply the Grauert-Riemenschneider vanishing theorem 3.1 to the tautological line bundle Det , we have

$$H^i(\mathcal{M}^s(N, K), \mathrm{Det}^{\otimes n}) = 0, \text{ for all } i > 0 \text{ and } n \geq 0. \quad (5.1)$$

Definition 5.1. The $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ module of level n , denoted by $\Gamma(N, K, n)$, is defined by the global section of n 'th power of tautological line bundle, i.e.

$$\Gamma(N, K, n) = \Gamma(\mathcal{M}^s(N, K), \mathrm{Det}^{\otimes n}). \quad (5.2)$$

In this section, we compute the Hilbert series of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ and $\Gamma(N, K, n)$. Before starting, let us introduce some notations and explain what we are going to compute.

The quiver in Figure 2 admits an action of $\mathrm{GL}_K \times \mathbb{C}_q^\times \times \mathbb{C}_t^\times$, where GL_K is the flavour symmetry which acts on the framing vector space, \mathbb{C}_q^\times scales B by $B \mapsto q^{-1}B$, and \mathbb{C}_t^\times scales $\bar{\psi}$ by $\bar{\psi} \mapsto t^{-1}\bar{\psi}$. The convention of the inverse q^{-1} and t^{-1} is such that the functions $\mathrm{Tr}(B^n)$ and $\bar{\psi}_a B^m \psi_b$ scales by q^n and $q^m t$ respectively (since functions are dual to the space). Although $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ is infinite dimensional, every $\mathbb{C}_q^\times \times \mathbb{C}_t^\times$ -weight space of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ is finite dimensional (we will see it later), thus it makes sense to regard $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ as an element in $K_{\mathrm{GL}_K}(\mathrm{pt})[[q, t]]$. Similarly, the same properties hold for $\Gamma(N, K, n)$. The goal of this section is to compute the these elements.

Definition 5.2. Let $K_{\mathrm{GL}_K}(\mathrm{pt}) = \mathbb{Q}[x_1^\pm, \dots, x_K^\pm]^{S_K}$, where S_K is the permutation group acting on x_1, \dots, x_K . We use shorthand notation $f(x)$ for a function of x_1, \dots, x_K , and $f(x^{-1}) = f(x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_K^{-1})$. Denote by $Z_{N, K}(x; q, t)$ the element of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ in $K_{\mathrm{GL}_K}(\mathrm{pt})[[q, t]]$, and denote by $Z_{N, K}^{(n)}(x; q, t)$ the element of $\Gamma(N, K, n)$ in $K_{\mathrm{GL}_K}(\mathrm{pt})[[q, t]]$.

By Lemma 5.1, we have $Z_{N, K}^{(n)}(x; q, t) = \chi(\mathcal{M}^s(N, K), \mathrm{Det}^{\otimes n})$. The case $K = 1$ is trivial: The functions $\mathrm{Tr}(B), \dots, \mathrm{Tr}(B^N), \bar{\psi}\psi, \bar{\psi}B\psi, \dots, \bar{\psi}B^{N-1}\psi$ give rise to an isomorphism $\mathcal{M}(N, 1) \cong \mathbb{A}^{2N}$. The Lemma 5.2 below, together with the fact that the Hilbert-Chow map

for Hilbert scheme of points on smooth curve is isomorphism, implies that $\mathcal{M}^s(N, K) \cong \mathcal{M}(N, K)$. In fact, Det in this case is a trivial bundle, with $\mathbb{C}_q^\times \times \mathbb{C}_t^\times$ -weight $(1, 0)$, thus

$$Z_{N,1}^{(n)}(x; q, t) = q^n Z_{N,1}(x; q, t) = \frac{q^n}{(q; q)_N(t; q)_N}. \quad (5.3)$$

Here we use the q -Pochhammer symbol $(a; q)_n = (1 - a)(1 - aq) \cdots (1 - aq^{n-1})$. The case when $K > 1$ is trickier. In principal, one can use the localization technique to get a formula of $\chi(\mathcal{M}^s(N, K), \text{Det}^{\otimes n})$ in terms of summation over fixed points, but it involves complicated denominators that make it hard to extract the power series in q and t explicitly. What we will actually do, is to reduce the computation to Euler character of vector bundles on Quot scheme, which is related to the affine Grassmannian of GL_K , and finally apply the known results on the geometry of the affine Grassmannian of GL_K to finish the calculation. We present the final result here and explain the calculation in steps afterwards.

Theorem 5.1. *The Hilbert series of $\Gamma(N, K, n)$ is*

$$Z_{N,K}^{(n)}(x; q, t) = \frac{1}{(q; q)_N} \sum_{\underline{\mu}} t^{|\underline{\mu}|} H_{\underline{\mu} + (n^N)}(x; q) s_{(\mu_1)}(x^{-1}) \cdots s_{(\mu_N)}(x^{-1}). \quad (5.4)$$

Here the summation is over arrays $\underline{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$, (n^N) is the array consisting of N copies of n , i.e. (n, n, \dots, n) , $|\underline{\mu}| = \sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i$, $s_{(\mu_i)}(x)$ is the Schur polynomial of the partition (μ_i) , and $H_{\underline{\lambda}}(x; q)$ is the generalized transformed Hall-Littlewood polynomial of the array $\underline{\lambda}$, defined in (A.6).

5.1 Reduction steps

Recall that the stability condition in the definition of $\mathcal{M}^s(N, K)$ is that if $V \subset \mathbb{C}^N$, $B(V) \subset V$ and $\text{Im}(\psi) \subset V$ then $V = \mathbb{C}^N$, in particular the sub-quiver consisting of arrows (B, ψ) is stable under the same stability condition, so we have:

Lemma 5.2. *The moduli of stable representations $\mathcal{M}^s(N, K)$ is a vector bundle over the Quot scheme of \mathbb{A}^1 which parametrizes length N quotients of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus K}$, denoted by $\text{Quot}^N(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus K})$:*

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{M}^s(N, K) = \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{V} \otimes W^*) \\ \downarrow p \\ \text{Quot}^N(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus K}) \end{array}$$

Here \mathcal{V} is the tautological sheaf on $\text{Quot}^N(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus K})$, and W is the framing vector space.

Proof. Consider a point $(B, \psi, \overline{\psi}) \in \mathcal{M}^s(N, K)$, the action B on \mathbb{C}^N makes it into a $\mathbb{C}[z]$ -module such that z acts as B . The stability on (B, ψ) is equivalent to that \mathbb{C}^N is a quotient module of a free module of rank K . This gives rise to a morphism $p : \mathcal{M}^s(N, K) \rightarrow \text{Quot}^N(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus K})$, and the extra information in $\mathcal{M}^s(N, K)$ compared to the Quot scheme is a homomorphism from the universal quotient \mathcal{V} to the framing vector space W , so $\mathcal{M}^s(N, K)$ is represented by $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{V} \otimes W^*)$. \blacksquare

Lemma 5.2 implies that

$$\chi(\mathcal{M}^s(N, K), \text{Det}^{\otimes n}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} t^m \chi(\text{Quot}^N(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus K}), \text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{V} \otimes W^*) \otimes \text{Det}^{\otimes n}). \quad (5.5)$$

Here in each summand, $\chi(\text{Quot}^N(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus K}), \text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{V} \otimes W^*))$ is in $K_{\text{GL}_K}(\text{pt})[[q]]$. So the computation of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ boils down to the computation of equivariant Euler characters of sheaves on the Quot scheme.

The Quot scheme has a nice structure: there is morphism $h : \text{Quot}^N(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus K}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^{(N)}$ where $\mathbb{A}^{(N)}$ is the N 'th symmetric product of \mathbb{A}^1 , which is identified with the Hilbert scheme of N points on \mathbb{A}^1 and h is the Hilbert-Chow morphism for the Quot scheme. In the language of quivers, h maps (B, ψ) to the spectrum of B , regarded as a divisor of degree N on \mathbb{A}^1 .

Lemma 5.3. *The central fiber $h^{-1}(0)$ of the Hilbert-Chow morphism $h : \text{Quot}^N(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus K}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^{(N)}$, endowed with reduced scheme structure, is isomorphic to $\overline{\text{Gr}}_{\text{GL}_K}^{N\omega_1}$ in the affine Grassmannian Gr_{GL_K} . Here $\omega_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is the first fundamental coweight of GL_K .*

Proof. The central fiber $h^{-1}(0)$ represents submodules of $\mathbb{C}[z]^{\oplus K}$ whose cokernels are finite of length N and are supported at 0, so by formal gluing theorem [39, Tag 0BP2], $h^{-1}(0)$ represents submodules of $\mathbb{C}[[z]]^{\oplus K}$ whose cokernels are finite of length N , this is $\overline{\text{Gr}}_{\text{GL}_K}^{N\omega_1}$. ■

Proposition 5.1. *The Hilbert-Chow morphism $h : \text{Quot}^N(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus K}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^{(N)}$ is flat.*

Proof. By the deformation theory, $\text{Quot}^N(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus K})$ is smooth of dimension NK . $h^{-1}(0)_{\text{red}} \cong \overline{\text{Gr}}_{\text{GL}_K}^{N\omega_1}$ has dimension $(K-1)N$, which equals to $\dim \text{Quot}^N(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus K}) - \dim \mathbb{A}^{(N)}$, thus h is flat along $h^{-1}(0)$ by miracle flatness theorem [39, Tag 00R4]. Since flatness is an open condition, h is flat in an open neighborhood of $h^{-1}(0)$. Since Hilbert-Chow morphism h is proper, there is an open neighborhood U of $0 \in \mathbb{A}^{(N)}$ such that $h|_{h^{-1}(U)}$ is flat. Finally h is equivariant under the \mathbb{C}^\times action on \mathbb{A}^1 which contracts $\mathbb{A}^{(N)}$ to 0, so the flatness is transported from U to the whole $\mathbb{A}^{(N)}$. ■

Proposition 5.1 provides a tool that reduces the computation of Euler character to the central fiber. In effect, to compute $\chi(\text{Quot}^N(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus K}), \mathcal{F})$ for a locally free sheaf \mathcal{F} , we can apply \mathbb{C}_q^\times -localization to its derived pushforward $Rh_*(\mathcal{F})$:

$$\chi(\text{Quot}^N(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus K}), \mathcal{F}) = \chi(\mathbb{A}^{(N)}, Rh_*(\mathcal{F})) = \frac{\chi(h^{-1}(0), \mathcal{F}|_{h^{-1}(0)})}{\prod_{i=1}^N (1 - q^i)}, \quad (5.6)$$

where in the last equation we use the proper base change (since \mathcal{F} is flat over $\mathbb{A}^{(N)}$ by Proposition 5.1), and the denominator comes from the tangent space of $\mathbb{A}^{(N)}$ at 0 which has \mathbb{C}_q^\times -weights $-1, \dots, -N$.

Proposition 5.2. *The central fiber $h^{-1}(0)$ is isomorphic to $\overline{\text{Gr}}_{\text{GL}_K}^{N\omega_1}$ as a scheme.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 5.3, the proposition is equivalent to that $h^{-1}(0)$ is reduced. Since h is flat with domain and codomain being smooth, $h^{-1}(0)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay scheme, therefore it is enough to show that $h^{-1}(0)$ is generically reduced. We claim that h is smooth at the point $z^{N\omega_1}$. Assume that the claim is true, then h is smooth in an open neighborhood of $z^{N\omega_1}$, thus $h^{-1}(0)$ is generically reduced.

The claim follows from the deformation theory of $\text{Quot}^N(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus K})$. Namely, if e_1, \dots, e_K is the basis of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus K}$, then $z^{N\omega_1}$ corresponds to short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus K} \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0$$

such that \mathcal{E} is the subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus K}$ generated by $z^N e_1, e_2, \dots, e_K$. Then the tangent space of $\text{Quot}^N(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus K})$ at $z^{N\omega_1}$ is

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}}(\mathcal{E}, Q).$$

In particular, the tangent space contains $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}}(z^N \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}/z^N \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1})$ as a subspace, and the latter projects isomorphically onto the tangent space of $\mathbb{A}^{(N)}$ at 0. In particular, the tangent map at $z^{N\omega_1}$ is surjective, thus h is smooth at $z^{N\omega_1}$. ■

Note that the restriction of the tautological line bundle Det to the central fiber $h^{-1}(0)$ is exactly the determinant line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on the affine Grassmannian. This enables us to prove the aforementioned Lemma 5.1.

Proof of Lemma 5.1. It is well-known that the determinant line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on the affine Grassmannian is ample [40], thus the restriction of the tautological line bundle Det to the central fiber $h^{-1}(0)$ is ample. Since Hilbert-Chow morphism h is proper, there is an open neighborhood U of $0 \in \mathbb{A}^{(N)}$ such that $\text{Det}|_{h^{-1}(U)}$ is ample relative to U . And h is equivariant under the \mathbb{C}^\times action on \mathbb{A}^1 which contracts $\mathbb{A}^{(N)}$ to 0, so the relative ampleness is transported from U to the whole $\mathbb{A}^{(N)}$, i.e. Det is relatively ample over $\mathbb{A}^{(N)}$. Since $\mathbb{A}^{(N)}$ is affine, Det on the Quot scheme $\text{Quot}^N(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus K})$ is ample. Since the projection $\mathcal{M}^s(N, K) \rightarrow \text{Quot}^N(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus K})$ is a vector bundle, the pullback of Det , which is the tautological line bundle on $\mathcal{M}^s(N, K)$, is ample. ■

By the Lemma 5.1 and localization formula (5.6), we reduce the calculation to

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{N,K}^{(n)}(x; q, t) &= \chi(\mathcal{M}^s(N, K), \text{Det}^{\otimes n}) \\ &= \frac{1}{(q; q)_N} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} t^m \chi(\overline{\text{Gr}}_{\text{GL}_K}^{N\omega_1}, \text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{V} \otimes W^*) \otimes \mathcal{O}(n)), \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

where \mathcal{V} is the restriction of the universal quotient sheaf to $\overline{\text{Gr}}_{\text{GL}_K}^{N\omega_1}$.

Remark 5.1. One can show that $\text{Quot}^N(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus K})$ is isomorphic to $\overline{\text{Gr}}_{\text{GL}_K, \mathbb{A}^{(N)}}^{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_1}$, defined as the closure of $\text{Sym}^N(\text{Gr}^{\omega_1} \times \mathbb{A}^1)|_{\mathbb{A}^{(N)} \setminus \mathbb{A}^{(N-1)}}$ in the symmetrized Beilinson-Drinfeld Grassmannian of GL_K on $\mathbb{A}^{(N)}$, here $\mathbb{A}^{(N-1)} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^{(N)}$ embeds diagonally. Moreover the isomorphism is $\text{GL}_K \times \mathbb{C}_q^\times$ -equivariant and commutes with projections to $\mathbb{A}^{(N)}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{Quot}^N(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus K}) & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathrm{Gr}}_{\mathrm{GL}_K, \mathbb{A}^{(N)}}^{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_1} \\
& \searrow h & \swarrow \pi \\
& \mathbb{A}^{(N)} &
\end{array}$$

Here π is the structure map of symmetrized Beilinson-Drinfeld Grassmannian.

Furthermore, one can show that the Picard groups of $\mathcal{M}^s(N, K)$ and $\mathrm{Quot}^N(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus K})$ are generated by the tautological line bundle, i.e.

$$\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{M}^s(N, K)) = \mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{Quot}^N(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\oplus K})) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \mathrm{Det}.$$

5.2 Calculation on affine Grassmannian

It remains to do the calculation on affine Grassmannian for

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} t^m \chi(\overline{\mathrm{Gr}}_{\mathrm{GL}_K}^{N\omega_1}, \mathrm{Sym}^m(\mathcal{V} \otimes W^*) \otimes \mathcal{O}(n)) = \chi(\overline{\mathrm{Gr}}_{\mathrm{GL}_K}^{N\omega_1}, S_t^\bullet(\mathcal{V} \otimes W^*) \otimes \mathcal{O}(n)).$$

Here we use the notation $S_t^\bullet(\mathcal{V} \otimes W^*) = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} t^m \mathrm{Sym}^m(\mathcal{V} \otimes W^*)$. To start with, note that there is a convolution map on $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_K}$:

$$m : \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_K}^{\omega_1} \widetilde{\times} \overline{\mathrm{Gr}}_{\mathrm{GL}_K}^{(N-1)\omega_1} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Gr}}_{\mathrm{GL}_K}^{N\omega_1},$$

see appendix (B.2) for definition of the convolution product. The key property of the convolution product is that

$$\mathcal{O} \cong Rm_* \mathcal{O}. \tag{5.8}$$

See the proof of appendix B.3 for an explanation of this isomorphism. Here \mathcal{O} is the structure sheaves, we omit the subscripts labelling the domain and codomain, since the meaning of the homomorphism is clear. In view of (5.8), we have

$$\chi(\overline{\mathrm{Gr}}_{\mathrm{GL}_K}^{N\omega_1}, S_t^\bullet(\mathcal{V} \otimes W^*) \otimes \mathcal{O}(n)) = \chi\left(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_K}^{\omega_1} \widetilde{\times} \overline{\mathrm{Gr}}_{\mathrm{GL}_K}^{(N-1)\omega_1}, S_t^\bullet(m^* \mathcal{V} \otimes W^*) \otimes \mathcal{O}(n)\right).$$

Let us write \mathcal{V}_N for \mathcal{V} to indicate the rank of the gauge group.

Lemma 5.4. *$m^* \mathcal{V}_N$ is an extension of $p^* \mathcal{V}_1$ by the twist of \mathcal{V}_{N-1} , denoted by $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_{N-1}$, i.e. there is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_{N-1} \longrightarrow m^* \mathcal{V}_N \longrightarrow p^* \mathcal{V}_1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here $p : \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_K}^{\omega_1} \widetilde{\times} \overline{\mathrm{Gr}}_{\mathrm{GL}_K}^{(N-1)\omega_1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Gr}}_{\mathrm{GL}_K}^{N\omega_1}$ is the projection to the first component map, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_{N-1}$ is the sheaf $\mathrm{GL}_K(\mathcal{K}) \overset{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})}{\times} \mathcal{V}_{N-1}$.

Proof. \mathcal{V}_N is the universal quotient of $\mathbb{C}[[z]]^{\oplus K}$. Denote the kernel by L_N . Then the pullback of \mathcal{V}_N to the twisted product $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_K}^{\omega_1} \widetilde{\times} \overline{\mathrm{Gr}}_{\mathrm{GL}_K}^{(N-1)\omega_1}$ is by definition the extension of \mathcal{V}_1 by \mathcal{V}_{N-1} , except that in the definition of \mathcal{V}_{N-1} the free module $\mathbb{C}[[z]]^{\oplus K}$ is replaced by L_1 (this is the meaning of twist). \blacksquare

Note that \mathcal{V}_1 is of rank one, so it is by definition the determinant line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on the affine Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_K}$ restricted on $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_K}^{\omega_1}$. The convolution map easily generalizes to multiple copies of $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_K}$:

$$m : \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_K}^{\omega_1} \widetilde{\times} \cdots \widetilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_K}^{\omega_1} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Gr}}_{\mathrm{GL}_K}^{\omega_1},$$

and we can apply Lemma 5.4 recursively and see that $m^*\mathcal{V}_N$ is a consecutive extension of (twisted) $\mathcal{O}(1)$. Since we only care about the Euler character, we can forget about the extension structure and focus on the K -theory class, in other words, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi(\overline{\mathrm{Gr}}_{\mathrm{GL}_K}^{N\omega_1}, \mathbf{S}_t^\bullet(\mathcal{V} \otimes W^*) \otimes \mathcal{O}(n)) \\ &= \chi(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_K}^{\omega_1} \widetilde{\times} \cdots \widetilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_K}^{\omega_1}, \mathbf{S}_t^\bullet((\mathcal{O}(1) + \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}(1) + \cdots + \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}(1)) \otimes W^*) \otimes (\mathcal{O}(n) \widetilde{\boxtimes} \cdots \widetilde{\boxtimes} \mathcal{O}(n))) \\ &= \sum_{\underline{\mu}} T^{|\underline{\mu}|} \chi(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_K}^{\omega_1} \widetilde{\times} \cdots \widetilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_K}^{\omega_1}, \mathcal{O}(\mu_1 + n) \widetilde{\boxtimes} \cdots \widetilde{\boxtimes} \mathcal{O}(\mu_N + n)) \chi(S^{\mu_1}(W^*)) \cdots \chi(S^{\mu_N}(W^*)). \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

Here the summation is over arrays $\underline{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$, $|\underline{\mu}| = \sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i$, and $\chi(S^k(W^*))$ is the GL_K -equivariant K -theory class of the k 'th symmetric tensor product of W^* , where W is the fundamental representation of GL_K . It is well-known that $\chi(S^k(W^*)) = s_{(k)}(x^{-1})$, where $s_{(k)}(x)$ is the Schur polynomial of the partition (k) . Finally, the remaining part of the computation, which is the character of $\mathcal{O}(\mu_1 + n) \widetilde{\boxtimes} \cdots \widetilde{\boxtimes} \mathcal{O}(\mu_N + n)$, is related to a well-understood family of symmetric functions, the transformed Hall-Littlewood polynomial. In fact we have

$$\chi(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_K}^{\omega_1} \widetilde{\times} \cdots \widetilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_K}^{\omega_1}, \mathcal{O}(\mu_1 + n) \widetilde{\boxtimes} \cdots \widetilde{\boxtimes} \mathcal{O}(\mu_N + n)) = H_{\underline{\mu} + (n^N)}(x; q). \tag{5.10}$$

where $H_{\underline{\mu}}(x; q)$ is the generalized transformed Hall-Littlewood polynomial of the array $\underline{\mu} + (n^N)$ (see (A.6)). For the derivation of this formula, see Corollary B.2 in the appendix.

5.3 $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit

Recall that $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(\infty, K)]$ is the subalgebra of $\varprojlim_N \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ generated by $T_{ab}^{(n)}$ and $\mathrm{Tr}(B^m)$, for all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $1 \leq a, b \leq K$ (Definition 4.1).

Lemma 5.5. $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(\infty, K)]$ contains all $T \times \mathbb{C}_q^\times \times \mathbb{C}_t^\times$ eigenvectors in $\varprojlim_N \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$, where $T \subset \mathrm{GL}_K$ is the maximal torus.

Proof. We claim that for fixed $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the dimension of \mathbb{C}_q^\times -weight n space of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ stabilizes when $N \gg 0$, more precisely there exists N such that for all $N' > N$ the kernel of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N', K)] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ has \mathbb{C}_q^\times -weights $> n$. To see why this is true, we take N such that $L_n^- \mathrm{GL}_K \times L_n^- \mathrm{GL}_1 \subset \mathcal{M}(N, K)$ (N can be $(n+1)K$ according to the proof of Theorem 4.1), then $\ker(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N', K)] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)])$ is a subquotient of $\ker(\mathbb{C}[L^- \mathrm{GL}_K \times L^- \mathrm{GL}_1] \rightarrow$

$\mathbb{C}[L_n^- \text{GL}_K \times L_n^- \text{GL}_1]$), and the latter is an ideal generated by elements of \mathbb{C}_q^\times -weights greater than n .

Now assume that $a \in \varprojlim_N \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ is a $T \times \mathbb{C}_q^\times \times \mathbb{C}_t^\times$ eigenvector, and let its \mathbb{C}_q^\times be n . Then there exists N such that for all $N' > N$ the kernel of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N', K)] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ has \mathbb{C}_q^\times -weights greater than n . Consider the image of a in $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$, denoted by \bar{a} , and take a $T \times \mathbb{C}_q^\times \times \mathbb{C}_t^\times$ -equivariant lift of \bar{a} along the projection $\mathbb{C}[L^- \text{GL}_K \times L^- \text{GL}_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$, and we denote the lift by a' , then $a - a'$ has \mathbb{C}_q^\times -weight n and is zero in $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$, thus $a - a'$ is in the kernel of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N', K)] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ for all $N' > N$, which forces $a = a'$ in $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N', K)]$ because of weight consideration, therefore $a = a'$ in $\varprojlim_N \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$. \blacksquare

Proposition 5.3. *The Hilbert series of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(\infty, K)]$ equals to the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit of Hilbert series of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$, i.e.*

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(\infty, K)] = \frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\underline{\mu}} t^{|\underline{\mu}|} H_{\underline{\mu}}(x; q) s_{(\mu_1)}(x^{-1}) \cdots s_{(\mu_N)}(x^{-1}) \quad (5.11)$$

Proof. The $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit of Hilbert series of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ enumerates $T \times \mathbb{C}_q^\times \times \mathbb{C}_t^\times$ eigenvectors in $\varprojlim_N \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$, which is the same as $T \times \mathbb{C}_q^\times \times \mathbb{C}_t^\times$ eigenvectors in $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(\infty, K)]$, by Lemma 5.5. \blacksquare

On the other hand, $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(\infty, K)]$ is freely generated by $\bar{\psi}_a B^n \psi_b, \text{Tr}(B^m)$, which makes its Hilbert series easily computed by

$$\text{PE}((t + tq + tq^2 + \cdots) \chi(\mathfrak{gl}_K)) \text{PE}(q + q^2 + \cdots). \quad (5.12)$$

Here $\chi(\mathfrak{gl}_K)$ is the character of the adjoint representation of GL_K , and PE is the plethestic exponential. Note that $\chi(\mathfrak{gl}_K)$ can be written as a symmetric function $1 + \frac{s_{\underline{\lambda}_{\text{ad}}}(x)}{h_K(x)}$, where $\underline{\lambda}_{\text{ad}}$ is the Young tableaux corresponding to the adjoint representation of SL_K , and $h_K(x) = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_K$. Moreover,

$$\text{PE}(q + q^2 + \cdots) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^i} = \frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty}.$$

Compare equation 5.11 with 5.12, we get the following interesting equation, which we do not know other way to prove.

Corollary 5.1.

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\underline{\mu}} t^{|\underline{\mu}|} H_{\underline{\mu}}(x; q) s_{(\mu_1)}(x^{-1}) \cdots s_{(\mu_N)}(x^{-1}) = \text{PE} \left(\frac{t}{1 - q} \left(1 + \frac{s_{\underline{\lambda}_{\text{ad}}}(x)}{h_K(x)} \right) \right). \quad (5.13)$$

Here $\underline{\lambda}_{\text{ad}}$ is the Young tableaux corresponding to the adjoint representation of SL_K , $h_K(x) = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_K$, and PE is the plethestic exponential.

6 Quantization of $\mathcal{M}(N, K)$

In this section we study the quantization of $\mathcal{M}(N, K)$, namely we quantizes the Poisson structure (3.9) to the commutation relation:

$$[\psi_{ia}, \bar{\psi}_{bj}] = \hbar \delta_{ab} \delta_{ij}, [B_{mn}, B_{pq}] = \hbar (\delta_{pn} B_{mq} - \delta_{mq} B_{pn}), [B_{mn}, \bar{\psi}_{bj}] = [B_{mn}, \psi_{ia}] = 0. \quad (6.1)$$

This is the algebra $U_\hbar(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \otimes \text{Weyl}_{NK}$, and we define the quantized ring of functions on the phase space $\mathbb{C}_\hbar[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ by the invariant part $(U_\hbar(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \otimes \text{Weyl}_{NK})^{\text{GL}_N}$. Since GL_N is reductive, we have $\mathbb{C}_\hbar[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]/(\hbar) = \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$. Note that $\mathbb{C}_\hbar[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ possesses a natural grading by setting

$$\deg(\psi) = 0, \deg(\bar{\psi}) = 1, \deg(B) = 1, \deg(\hbar) = 1. \quad (6.2)$$

Lemma 6.1. $\mathbb{C}_\hbar[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ is flat over $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$.

Proof. Since $U_\hbar(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \otimes \text{Weyl}_{NK}$ is flat over $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$, the subalgebra $\mathbb{C}_\hbar[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ is \hbar -torsion free, thus it is also flat over $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$. \blacksquare

Remark 6.1. On the stable moduli $\mathcal{M}^s(N, K)$ there is a notion of quantized structure sheaf, namely, consider the completion of $U_\hbar(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \otimes \text{Weyl}_{NK}$ in the \hbar -adic topology, this allows us to localize it in the Zariski topology of the affine space $\text{Rep}(N, K)$, and by taking GL_N -invariant on the open locus of stable representations $\text{Rep}^s(N, K)$, we get a sheaf of flat $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ -algebras on $\mathcal{M}^s(N, K)$, denoted by $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}^s(N, K)}$. By construction we have $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}^s(N, K)}/(\hbar) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}^s(N, K)}$. This sheaf is related to $\mathbb{C}_\hbar[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ as follows. By construction we have a natural homomorphism of algebras $\mathbb{C}_\hbar[\mathcal{M}(N, K)] \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{M}^s(N, K), \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}^s(N, K)})$, which preserves the grading (6.2). On the other hand, by Lemma 3.1 below, we have

- $H^i(\mathcal{M}^s(N, K), \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}^s(N, K)}) = 0$, for $i > 0$.
- $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}^s(N, K), \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}^s(N, K)})$ is a flat $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ -algebra, which quantizes $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$.

Since $\mathbb{C}_\hbar[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ is generated by positive degree elements, we conclude that $\mathbb{C}_\hbar[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ is naturally identified with the subalgebra of homogeneous elements in $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}^s(N, K), \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}^s(N, K)})$.

$T_{ab}^{(n)} = \bar{\psi}_a B^n \psi_b$ and $\text{Tr}(B^k)$ generate $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$, so they generate $\mathbb{C}_\hbar[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ as well, and it is easy to see that $\text{Tr}(B^k)$ commutes with all elements in $U_\hbar(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \otimes \text{Weyl}_{NK}$, therefore $\text{Tr}(B^k)$ is central. We denote by $T_{ab}(z)$ the power series expanded at $z \rightarrow \infty$:

$$T_{ab}(z) = \sum_{n \geq -1} T_{ab}^{(n)} z^{-n-1} = \delta_{ab} + \bar{\psi}_a \frac{1}{z - B} \psi_b.$$

Proposition 6.1. The commutators between $T_{ab}^{(k)}$ are:

$$[T_{ab}^{(p)}, T_{cd}^{(q)}] = \hbar \sum_{i=-1}^{\min(p, q)-1} \left(T_{cb}^{(i)} T_{ad}^{(p+q-1-i)} - T_{cb}^{(p+q-1-i)} T_{ad}^{(i)} \right). \quad (6.3)$$

Proof. It is easy to see that (6.3) is equivalent to

$$[T_{ab}^{(p+1)}, T_{cd}^{(q)}] - [T_{ab}^{(p)}, T_{cd}^{(q+1)}] = \hbar \left(T_{cb}^{(p)} T_{ad}^{(q)} - T_{cb}^{(q)} T_{ad}^{(p)} \right).$$

We compute the left hand side of the above equation:

$$\begin{aligned} [T_{ab}^{(p+1)}, T_{cd}^{(q)}] - [T_{ab}^{(p)}, T_{cd}^{(q+1)}] &= \bar{\psi}_{am} \bar{\psi}_{cr} ([(B^{p+1})_{mn}, (B^q)_{rs}] - [(B^p)_{mn}, (B^{q+1})_{rs}]) \psi_{bn} \psi_{ds} \\ &= \hbar \bar{\psi}_{am} \bar{\psi}_{cr} \left(\sum_{i=1}^q (B^{i-1})_{rn} (B^{p+1+q-i})_{ms} - (B^{i+p})_{rn} (B^{q-i})_{ms} \right) \psi_{bn} \psi_{ds} \\ &\quad - \hbar \bar{\psi}_{am} \bar{\psi}_{cr} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{q+1} (B^{i-1})_{rn} (B^{p+1+q-i})_{ms} - (B^{i+p-1})_{rn} (B^{q+1-i})_{ms} \right) \psi_{bn} \psi_{ds} \\ &= \hbar \bar{\psi}_{am} \bar{\psi}_{cr} ((B^p)_{rn} (B^q)_{ms} - (B^q)_{rn} (B^p)_{ms}) \psi_{bn} \psi_{ds}, \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly the right hand side. ■

Remark 6.2. The commutators (6.3) is equivalent to the RTT equation

$$[T_{ab}(u), T_{cd}(v)] = \frac{\hbar}{u-v} (T_{cb}(u) T_{ad}(v) - T_{cb}(v) T_{ad}(u)). \quad (6.4)$$

The classical embedding $\mathcal{M}(L, K) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(N, K)$ for $L < N$ can be quantized as follows. Consider the left ideal of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \otimes \text{Weyl}_{NK}$ generated by B_{ij} and ψ_{ia} for all $L < i, j \leq N$ and $1 \leq a \leq K$, denote it by $I_{L,N}^0$, then $I_{L,N} := (U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \otimes \text{Weyl}_{NK})^{\text{GL}_{N-L}} \cap I_{L,N}^0$ is a two-sided ideal in $(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \otimes \text{Weyl}_{NK})^{\text{GL}_{N-L}}$, where GL_{N-L} acts on indices $L < i, j \leq N$. It is easy to see that

$$(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \otimes \text{Weyl}_{NK})^{\text{GL}_{N-L}} = (U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_L) \otimes \text{Weyl}_{LK}) \oplus I_{L,N}$$

as vector spaces, thus $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_L) \otimes \text{Weyl}_{LK} = (U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \otimes \text{Weyl}_{NK})^{\text{GL}_{N-L}} / I_{L,N}$. Restricting to $\mathbb{C}_{\hbar}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)] = (U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \otimes \text{Weyl}_{NK})^{\text{GL}_N}$, we get a map $\mathbb{C}_{\hbar}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\hbar}[\mathcal{M}(L, K)]$ between graded algebras, and this quantizes the embedding $\mathcal{M}(L, K) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(N, K)$. This map is surjective because it is surjective modulo \hbar .

Theorem 6.1. *For every N there is a surjective map of algebras*

$$Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_K \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1) := Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_K) \otimes Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_1) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\hbar}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)].$$

Here we define $Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_1)$ as the algebra $\mathbb{C}[L^- \text{GL}_1][\hbar]$. These maps are compatible in the sense that for $N > L$ the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_K \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}_{\hbar}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)] \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbb{C}_{\hbar}[\mathcal{M}(L, K)] \end{array}$$

commutes. Moreover, the intersection of ideals of these maps is zero.

Proof. After quantization, we need to be careful about taking determinant. Instead of taking coefficients in the characteristic polynomial of B , we use the natural generators $\text{Tr}(B^k)$. More precisely, write $\mathbb{C}[L^- \text{GL}_1] = \mathbb{C}[m_1, m_2, \dots]$, where m_i is the function that takes the value of a_i in the power series $1 + \sum_{i \geq 1} a_i z^{-i} \in L^- \text{GL}_1$, and define the “power sum” generators p_1, p_2, \dots by

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{p_n}{n z^n} = -\log \left(1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{m_n}{z^n} \right).$$

We define the map $Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\hbar}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ by $p_n \mapsto \text{Tr}(B^n)$. Let the RTT generators of $Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_K)$ be $\mathbb{T}(u)$, and we define $Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_K) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\hbar}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ by $\mathbb{T}(u) \mapsto T(u)$. Then $Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_K) \otimes Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\hbar}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ is surjective since it is surjective modulo \hbar . The compatibility is clear from construction. The intersection of kernels is zero because $\mathbb{C}_{\hbar}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ is flat over $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$ and the intersection of kernels modulo \hbar is zero. \blacksquare

6.1 Another map from $Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_K \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1)$ to $\mathbb{C}_{\hbar}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$

Recall that the phase space $\mathcal{M}(N, K)$ is actually a Hamiltonian reduction of $(B_+, B_-, \psi, \bar{\psi})$ by the moment map equation $B_+ - B_- = \psi \bar{\psi}$. In the previous discussions we use the convention $B = B_+$, so there is another set of generators $\bar{\psi} B_-^k \psi$ and $\text{Tr}(B_-^k)$ of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$. It is easy to see that the subalgebra in $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ generated by $\bar{\psi} B_+^k \psi$ is the same as the subalgebra generated by $\bar{\psi} B_-^k \psi$. However, the subalgebra generated by $\text{Tr}(B_+^k)$ is not the same as the subalgebra generated by $\text{Tr}(B_-^k)$. This means that we have two distinct maps from $\mathbb{C}[L^- \text{GL}_1]$ to $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$.

After quantization, the commutation relation between B_- are

$$[B_{-,ij}, B_{-,kl}] = \hbar(\delta_{il} B_{-,kj} - \delta_{kj} B_{-,il}).$$

Definition 6.1. The quantum moment map $\mu : \mathfrak{gl}_N \rightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \otimes U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \otimes \text{Weyl}_{NK}$ is

$$\mu(E_{ij}) = B_{+,ij} - B_{-,ij} - \psi_i \bar{\psi}_j + \hbar N \delta_{ij}. \quad (6.5)$$

And the quantum Hamiltonian reduction $(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \otimes U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \otimes \text{Weyl}_{NK}) //_{\mu} \text{GL}_N$ is defined as the GL_N invariant of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \otimes U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \otimes \text{Weyl}_{NK}$ quotient by the left ideal generated by $\mu(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$. Denote the quantum Hamiltonian reduction by $\mathcal{A}_{N,K}$.

Obviously there are two isomorphisms between $\mathbb{C}_{\hbar}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ and $\mathcal{A}_{N,K}$, corresponding to two set of generators which are packaged in the generating functions

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ab}(u) &= \delta_{ab} + \bar{\psi}_a \frac{1}{u - B_+} \psi_b, \quad Z(u) = 1 - \hbar \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{u - B_+} \right), \\ \bar{T}_{ab}(u) &= \delta_{ab} + \bar{\psi}_a \frac{1}{u + B_-} \psi_b, \quad \bar{Z}(u) = 1 - \hbar \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{u + B_-} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The relations between two sets of generators are summarized in the next lemma.

Lemma 6.2.

$$T_{ab}(u)\overline{T}_{bc}(-u) = \delta_{ac}, \quad (6.6)$$

$$T_{ab}(u)\overline{T}_{ba}(-u + K\hbar) = KZ(u)\overline{Z}(-u + K\hbar) \quad (6.7)$$

Proof. First of all, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ab}(u)\overline{T}_{bc}(w) &= \delta_{ac} + \overline{\psi}_a \frac{1}{u - B_+} \psi_c + \overline{\psi}_a \frac{1}{w + B_-} \psi_c + \overline{\psi}_a \frac{1}{u - B_+} \psi_b \overline{\psi}_b \frac{1}{w + B_-} \psi_c \\ &= \delta_{ac} + \overline{\psi}_a \frac{1}{u - B_+} \psi_c + \overline{\psi}_a \frac{1}{w + B_-} \psi_c + \overline{\psi}_a \frac{1}{u - B_+} (B_+ - B_-) \frac{1}{w + B_-} \psi_c \\ &= \delta_{ac} + (u + w) \overline{\psi}_a \frac{1}{u - B_+} \frac{1}{w + B_-} \psi_c. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $w = -u$, we get $T_{ab}(u)\overline{T}_{bc}(-u) = \delta_{ac}$. Contracting with δ_{ac} , we get

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ab}(u)\overline{T}_{ba}(w) &= K + (u + w) \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{w + B_-} \psi \overline{\psi} \frac{1}{u - B_+} \right) - K\hbar(u + w) \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{w + B_-} \frac{1}{u - B_+} \right) \\ &= K + (u + w) \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{w + B_-} (B_+ - B_-) \frac{1}{u - B_+} \right) + \hbar(u + w) \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{w + B_-} \right) \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{u - B_+} \right) \\ &\quad - K\hbar(u + w) \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{w + B_-} \frac{1}{u - B_+} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here the second equality follows from moment map condition. Taking $w = -u + K\hbar$, we get

$$T_{ab}(u)\overline{T}_{ba}(-u + K\hbar) = K \left(1 - \hbar \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{u - B_+} \right) \right) \left(1 - \hbar \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{-u + K\hbar + B_-} \right) \right).$$

■

Recall that the quantum determinant of $T(u)$ is defined as

$$\text{qdet} T(u) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_K} \text{sgn}(\sigma) T_{\sigma(1),1} \left(u + \frac{K-1}{2} \hbar \right) \cdots T_{\sigma(K),K} \left(u - \frac{K-1}{2} \hbar \right). \quad (6.8)$$

It is proposed in [27] that quantum determinant of $T(u)$ should be related to Capelli's determinant of B_{\pm} , we prove it in the next proposition.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $C_+(u)$ be the Capelli's determinant of B_+*

$$C_+(u) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N} \text{sgn}(\sigma) (u - (N-1)\hbar - B_+)_{\sigma(1),1} \cdots (u - B_+)_{\sigma(N),N}, \quad (6.9)$$

and similarly let $C_-(u)$ be the the Capelli's determinant of $-B_-$, then

$$\text{qdet} T(u) = (-1)^N \frac{C_-(-u + \frac{K-1}{2} \hbar)}{C_+(u + \frac{K-1}{2} \hbar)}. \quad (6.10)$$

Proof. Let $f(u) = \text{qdet}T(u) \cdot C_+(u + \frac{K-1}{2}\hbar)/C_-(-u + \frac{K-1}{2}\hbar)$, then compare the quantum Liouville formula [41]:

$$T_{ab}(u)\overline{T}_{ba}(-u + K\hbar) = K \frac{\text{qdet}T(u - \frac{K-1}{2}\hbar)}{\text{qdet}T(u - \frac{K+1}{2}\hbar)}, \quad (6.11)$$

with Lemma 6.2, we get $f(u)/f(u - \hbar) = 1$, so $f(u)$ does not depend on u , thus $f(u) = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} f(u) = (-1)^N$, i.e. $\text{qdet}T(u) = (-1)^N C_-(-u + \frac{K-1}{2}\hbar)/C_+(u + \frac{K-1}{2}\hbar)$. ■

Now we have RTT generator $T(u)$ and its inverse $\overline{T}(-u)$, then the J -generators of the Yangian for \mathfrak{sl}_K can be obtained from them, in fact one define $B_{\text{avr}} = \frac{1}{2}(B_+ + B_-)$, and

$$J_{ab}^{(n)} = \overline{\psi}_a B_{\text{avr}}^n \psi_b, \quad (6.12)$$

then $J_{ab}^{(0)}$ are generators of \mathfrak{gl}_K and they act on $J_{ab}^{(1)}$ as adjoint representation, and

$$[J_{ab}^{(1)}, J_{cd}^{(1)}] = \hbar(\delta_{bc}J_{ad}^{(2)} - \delta_{ad}J_{cb}^{(2)}) + \frac{\hbar}{4}(J_{ed}^{(0)}J_{ae}^{(0)}J_{cb}^{(0)} - J_{eb}^{(0)}J_{ce}^{(0)}J_{ad}^{(0)}). \quad (6.13)$$

The above commutation relation shows that $\tilde{J}_{ab}^{(0)} = J_{ab}^{(0)} - \frac{1}{K}\delta_{ab}J_{cc}^{(0)}$ and $\tilde{J}_{ab}^{(1)} = J_{ab}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{K}\delta_{ab}J_{cc}^{(1)}$ generate the image of the subalgebra $Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{sl}_K) \subset Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_K \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1)$.

6.2 Defining ideal of $\mathbb{C}_{\hbar}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$

In this subsection we present some observations about the ideal of the quotient map $Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_K \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\hbar}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$.

Definition 6.2. Fix N , define a power series $\mathbb{C}(u) = z^N + \sum_{n>0} C_n u^{N-n}$ with coefficients $C_n \in Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_1)$ by

$$1 - \frac{N\hbar}{u} - \hbar \sum_{n>0} \frac{p_n}{u^{n+1}} = \frac{\mathbb{C}(u - \hbar)}{\mathbb{C}(u)}. \quad (6.14)$$

Here p_n are the power sum generators of $Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_1)$. Let RTT generator of $Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_K)$ be $\mathbb{T}(u) = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{T}^{(n)} u^{-n-1}$, and write the quantum minor of $\mathbb{T}(u)$ for row indices $\underline{a} = (a_1 < \dots < a_i)$ and column indices $\underline{b} = (b_1 < \dots < b_i)$ as

$$\mathbb{T}_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}(u) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_i} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \mathbb{T}_{\sigma(a_1), b_1}(u + \frac{i-1}{2}\hbar) \cdots \mathbb{T}_{\sigma(a_i), b_i}(u - \frac{i-1}{2}\hbar). \quad (6.15)$$

Remark 6.3. Let $C(u)$ be the Capelli's determinant of B , then by the quantum Newton's formula [41], we have

$$1 - \hbar \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{u - B} \right) = \frac{C(u - \hbar)}{C(u)}, \quad (6.16)$$

therefore the image of $\mathbb{C}(u)$ in $\mathbb{C}_{\hbar}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ is $C(u)$. In the classical limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, $C(u)$ is the $\det(u - B)$, and $C_n \equiv (-1)^n m_n \pmod{\hbar}$, where m_n are the generators of $\mathbb{C}[L^- \text{GL}_1]$ that take the value of a_n in the power series $1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n z^{-n} \in L^- \text{GL}_1$.

Theorem 6.2. *The kernel of $Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_K \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\hbar}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ is generated by all coefficients for negative powers in u in the power series*

$$C(u), C(u + \frac{i-1}{2}\hbar)T_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}(u), \quad (6.17)$$

for all $\underline{a} = (a_1 < \dots < a_i), \underline{b} = (b_1 < \dots < b_i)$ and all $1 \leq i \leq K$.

Proof. First of all, we show that (6.17) are mapped to polynomials. For $C(u)$, its image is the Capelli's determinant $C(u)$ of B , which is a polynomial. Note that $C(u)$ is known to be noncommutative version of characteristic polynomial in the sense that $C(B) = 0$ [41], thus we have recursion relations: $T_{ab}^{(m)} + \sum_{n=1}^N C_n T_{ab}^{(m-n)} = 0$ for all $m \geq N$, which is equivalent to that $C(u)T_{ab}(u)$ is a polynomial. It follows from (6.10) that $C(u + \frac{K-1}{2}\hbar)\text{qdet}T(u)$ is a polynomial. Next we consider the embedding $\mathbb{C}_{\hbar}[\mathcal{M}(N, i)] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\hbar}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ by $B \mapsto B$ and $\psi_{is} \mapsto \psi_{ia_s}$ and $\bar{\psi}_{si} \mapsto \bar{\psi}_{a_s i}$. This implies that $C(u + \frac{i-1}{2}\hbar)T_{\underline{a}, \underline{a}}(u)$ are polynomials for all $\underline{a} = (a_1 < \dots < a_i)$ and all $1 \leq i \leq K$. After taking commutators with $T_{ab}^{(0)}$ for various indices a and b , we see that all coefficients for negative powers in u in the power series $C(u + \frac{i-1}{2}\hbar)T_{\underline{a}, \underline{b}}(u)$ are \hbar -torsion, and by the flatness (Lemma 6.1) they must be zero. Thus we see that (6.17) are mapped to polynomials.

Next we show that the kernel of $Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_K \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\hbar}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ is generated by all coefficients for negative powers in u in the power series (6.17). By the flatness over $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$ (Lemma 6.1), it suffices to show that they generate the ideal modulo \hbar . In fact, we claim that the scheme defined by vanishing of those coefficients modulo \hbar is reduced and irreducible of dimension $2NK$, this implies the result. To prove the claim, we write down the image of (6.17) in the Drinfeld's generators of $Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_K \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1)$:

$$H_i(u) = C(u)^{\delta_{i,1}} \frac{A_{i-1}(u + \frac{\hbar}{2})A_{i+1}(u + \frac{\hbar}{2})}{A_i(u)A_i(u + \hbar)} \quad (6.18)$$

$$E_i(u) = C(u + \frac{i-1}{2}\hbar)T_{\underline{i}, \underline{i}^+}(u)A_i(u)^{-1}, F_i(u) = C(u + \frac{i-1}{2}\hbar)A_i(u)^{-1}T_{\underline{i}^+, \underline{i}}(u), \quad (6.19)$$

where $1 \leq i \leq K-1$, $A_0(u) = 1$, $A_i(u) = C(u + \frac{i-1}{2}\hbar)T_{\underline{i}, \underline{i}}(u)$, $\underline{i} = (1 < \dots < i)$ and $\underline{i}^+ = (1 < \dots < i-1 < i+1)$. Compare this formula with [42, Corollary B.17] we conclude that the quotient of $Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_K \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1)$ by the ideal generated by all coefficients for negative powers in u in the power series (6.17) is the truncated Yangian $Y_0^{N\lambda}[m_1^L, \dots, m_N^L, m_1^R, \dots, m_N^R]_{\hbar}$ for \mathfrak{sl}_K , where $\lambda = \omega_1 + \omega_{K-1}$ and ω_i is the i 'th fundamental coweight of \mathfrak{sl}_K , and the mass parameters $W_L(u) = \prod_{i=1}^N (u - m_i^L), W_R(u) = \prod_{i=1}^N (u - m_i^R)$ are identified through

$$W_L(u) = C(u - \frac{1}{2}\hbar), W_R(u) = (-1)^N C_-(-u + \frac{K}{2}\hbar). \quad (6.20)$$

Since $Y_0^{N\lambda}[m_1^L, \dots, m_N^L, m_1^R, \dots, m_N^R]_{\hbar}/(\hbar) = \mathbb{C}[\overline{W}_{0, \text{SL}_K}^{N\lambda}]$ and $\overline{W}_{0, \text{SL}_K}^{N\lambda}$ is a reduced and irreducible scheme of dimension $2NK$, the theorem follows. \blacksquare

Remark 6.4. We actually find an explicit S-duality isomorphism between quantized Higgs branch of the $3d \mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theory associated to the quiver in Figure 3 and the quantized




Figure 3. The quiver diagram for the Higgs-branch description

Coulomb branch of the $3d \mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theory associated to the quiver in Figure 4. The generator $A_i(u)$ for $1 \leq i \leq K-1$ are mapped to $\prod_{r=1}^N (u - \hbar - w_{i,r})$, where $w_{i,r}$ is the r 'th equivariant parameter of the i 'th gauge node. The subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}_\hbar[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ generated by $A_i(u)$ for $1 \leq i \leq K-1$ is known as the *Gelfand-Zeitlin* subalgebra.




Figure 4. The quiver diagram for the Coulomb-branch description. The corresponding gauge theory is mirror-dual to the one described by the quiver in Figure 3.

6.3 Quantized coproduct

It is well-known that truncated Yangian has coproduct

$$\Delta : Y_0^{\frac{(N_1+N_2)\lambda}{2}}[m_1^L, \dots, m_{N_1+N_2}^L, m_1^R, \dots, m_{N_1+N_2}^R]_\hbar \longrightarrow \quad (6.21)$$

$$Y_0^{\frac{N_1\lambda}{2}}[m_1^L, \dots, m_{N_1}^L, m_1^R, \dots, m_{N_1}^R]_\hbar \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\hbar]} Y_0^{\frac{N_2\lambda}{2}}[m_{N_1+1}^L, \dots, m_{N_1+N_2}^L, m_{N_1+1}^R, \dots, m_{N_1+N_2}^R]_\hbar$$

which is compatible with the coproduct on $Y_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_K)$. In the RTT generators, we can write the coproduct explicitly as

$$\Delta(T_{ab}(u)) = T_{ac}(u) \otimes T_{cb}(u), \quad \Delta(C(u)) = C(u) \otimes C(u). \quad (6.22)$$

or equivalently

$$\Delta(\bar{T}_{ab}(u)) = \bar{T}_{cb}(u) \otimes \bar{T}_{ac}(u), \quad \Delta(C_-(u)) = C_-(u) \otimes C_-(u). \quad (6.23)$$

Note that this coproduct is a map of $Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_K \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1)$ -bimodules.

An interesting feature of this coproduct is that it does not come from a truncation of coproduct for $Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_K \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1)$. In fact (6.16) together with (6.22) implies that $1 - \hbar \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{u-B} \right)$ is group-like, and we compute that $\Delta(\text{Tr}(B)) = \text{Tr}(B) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \text{Tr}(B) - \hbar N_1 N_2$. The rank of the truncation explicitly enters the coproduct formula, this means that we need to upgrade the rank N into a variable in the large N limit. Namely we define the $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$ -bialgebra $Y_{\hbar, \delta}(\mathfrak{gl}_K \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1)$ as the Yangian extended by central element δ , i.e. $Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_K \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1)[\delta]$, and the coproduct

$$\Delta(\text{T}_{ab}(u)) = \text{T}_{ac}(u) \otimes \text{T}_{cb}(u), \quad \Delta(\delta) = \delta \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta, \quad (6.24)$$

$$\Delta(p_n) = p_n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes p_n - \hbar \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_i \otimes p_{n-1-i},$$

where $p_n, n > 0$ are the power sum generators of $Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_1)$ and $p_0 := \delta$, and the counit

$$\epsilon(\text{T}_{ab}(u)) = \delta_{ab}, \quad \epsilon(p_n) = \epsilon(\delta) = 0. \quad (6.25)$$

Under the natural quotient map $Y_{\hbar, \delta}(\mathfrak{gl}_K \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\hbar}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ defined as $\text{T}(u) \mapsto T(u), p_n \mapsto \text{Tr}(B^n), \delta \mapsto N$, the coproduct (6.24) truncates to (6.22).

Motivated by (6.14), we define power series $A(u) = 1 + \sum_{n>0} A_n u^{-n}$, $A_n \in Y_{\hbar, \delta}(\mathfrak{gl}_1)$ by

$$\left(1 - \frac{\hbar}{u}\right)^{-\delta} \left(1 - \hbar \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{p_n}{u^{n+1}}\right) = \frac{A(u - \hbar)}{A(u)}. \quad (6.26)$$

Then the second line of (6.24) can be written in a compact form

$$\Delta(A(u)) = A(u) \otimes A(u). \quad (6.27)$$

In fact $Y_{\hbar, \delta}(\mathfrak{gl}_K \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1)$ is a $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$ -Hopf algebra with antipode S

$$S(\text{T}(u)) = \text{T}^{-1}(u), \quad S(\delta) = -\delta, \quad S(A(u)) = A^{-1}(u). \quad (6.28)$$

6.4 Quantized phase space and Coulomb branch algebra

In this subsection we give a conceptual understanding of the identification between the quantized phase space $\mathbb{C}_{\hbar}[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ and Coulomb branch algebra associated to the quiver in Figure 4.

Given a quiver Q , we denote by $\mathcal{A}_C^{\hbar}(Q)$ the quantum Coulomb branch algebra associated to the quiver Q with all mass deformation turned on [42], i.e.

$$\mathcal{A}_C^{\hbar}(Q) := H_{\star}^{(\text{GL}(V) \circ \times \text{GL}(W) \circ) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^{\times}}(\mathcal{R}),$$

see [42] for more details.




Figure 5. The quiver for the Weyl algebra $\text{Weyl}_{N(K+N)}$.

Example 6.1. It is known that the quantum Coulomb branch algebra of the quiver of Figure 5 is the Weyl algebra $\text{Weyl}_{N(K+N)}$. Its classical limit is the generalized transverse slice $\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{w_0(\lambda_N^*)}^{\lambda_N^*}$, where λ_N is the N 'th fundamental coweight of $\text{GL}_{N(K+N)}$ and w_0 is the longest element of the Weyl group of $\text{GL}_{N(K+N)}$ and $\lambda_N^* = -w_0(\lambda_N)$. The projection $\text{GL}_{N(K+N)}((z)) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{\text{GL}_{N(K+N)}}$ identifies $\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{w_0(\lambda_N^*)}^{\lambda_N^*}$ with the cotangent bundle of the orbit $U_{\lambda_N} \cdot z^{-\lambda_N}$, where U_{λ_N} is the unipotent group whose Lie algebra is the -1 eigenspace of λ_N .

Example 6.2. The $3d$ $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theory associated to the following quiver




Figure 6. The quiver diagram of $\mathcal{T}[\text{SU}(N)]$ theory.

is known as $\mathcal{T}[\text{SU}(N)]$, its Coulomb branch algebra is isomorphic to $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$. An explicit way to see this isomorphism is by looking at the evaluation representation of $Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_N) : \mathbb{T}_{ij}(u) \mapsto \delta_{ij} + \frac{E_{ij}}{u}$, where E_{ij} are the generators of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ satisfying relations $[E_{ij}, E_{kl}] = \hbar(\delta_{jk}E_{il} - \delta_{il}E_{kj})$. Define

$$A_n(u) = u^{[n]} \mathbb{T}_{\underline{n}, \underline{n}}(u), \quad u^{[n]} := (u + \frac{n-1}{2}\hbar)(u + \frac{n-3}{2}\hbar) \cdots (u - \frac{n-1}{2}\hbar),$$

where $\mathbb{T}_{\underline{n}, \underline{n}}(u)$ is the quantum determinant of the submatrix of $\mathbb{T}(u)$ consisting of first n rows and first n columns. Write $A_n(u) = u^n + \sum_{i>0} A_n^{(i)} u^{n-i}$, then the kernel of $Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \twoheadrightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$

contains $A_n^{(p)}$ for all $p > n$ and for all $1 \leq n \leq N$. In the Drinfeld generators, we have

$$H_n(u) = \frac{A_{n-1}(u + \frac{\hbar}{2})A_{n+1}(u + \frac{\hbar}{2})}{A_n(u)A_n(u + \hbar)}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N-1, \quad A_0(u) = 1.$$

Compare with [42, Corollary B.17] we conclude that the quotient of $Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ by the ideal generated by $A_n^{(p)}$ for all $p > n$ and for all $1 \leq n \leq N$ (and invert \hbar if possible) is the truncated Yangian $Y_0^{\frac{N\omega_{N-1}}{N\omega_{N-1}}}[m_1, \dots, m_N]_{\hbar}$ for \mathfrak{sl}_N , where ω_{N-1} is the $(N-1)$ 'st fundamental coweight of \mathfrak{sl}_N , and the mass parameters $W(u) = \prod_{i=1}^N (u - m_i)$ are identified as $W(u) = A_N(u + \hbar)$. The classical limit of $Y_0^{\frac{N\omega_{N-1}}{N\omega_{N-1}}}[m_1, \dots, m_N]_{\hbar}$ is the function ring of $\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{0, \text{SL}_N}^{\frac{N\omega_{N-1}}{N\omega_{N-1}}}$, which is reduced and irreducible of dimension N^2 . On the other hand, the classical limit of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ is the function ring of \mathfrak{gl}_N^* , which has dimension N^2 and embeds into $\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{0, \text{SL}_N}^{\frac{N\omega_{N-1}}{N\omega_{N-1}}}$ as a closed subscheme, thus $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ is isomorphic to the Coulomb branch algebra of quiver in the Figure 6, argued in the same way as Theorem 6.2.

Recall that balanced subquiver $Q^{\text{bal}} \subset Q$ is formed by those edge-loop-free nodes $i \in Q_0$ such that $2 \dim V_i = \dim W_i + \sum_j a_{ij} \dim V_j$ where a_{ij} is the number of edges between i and j . It is well-known that Q^{bal} is a union of finite ADE quivers, unless Q^{bal} is a union of connected components of Q of affine type with zero framing on them. It is shown in [42] that if it is not the latter case then the corresponding ADE group, denoted by \tilde{L}^{bal} , acts on the Coulomb branch algebra $\mathcal{A}_C^{\hbar}(Q)$, such that the infinitesimal action is generated by $\frac{1}{\hbar}[H_i^{(1)}, \bullet], \frac{1}{\hbar}[E_i^{(1)}, \bullet], \frac{1}{\hbar}[F_i^{(1)}, \bullet]$ for those $i \in Q_0^{\text{bal}}$.

Example 6.3. In the case that Q is of ADE type with gauge dimension vector \mathbf{v} and flavour dimension vector \mathbf{w} , the classical Coulomb branch $\mathcal{M}_C(Q)$ is the Poisson variety $\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu^*}^{\lambda^*}$, where $\lambda = \sum_{i \in Q_0} \mathbf{w}_i \lambda_i, \mu = \lambda - \sum_{i \in Q_0} \mathbf{v}_i \alpha_i, \lambda^* = -w_0(\lambda), \lambda_i$ are fundamental coweights and α_i are fundamental coroots and w_0 is the longest element in the Weyl group of G . It is shown in [43, Example A.5] that L^{bal} action can be identified with the natural action of $\text{Stab}_G(\mu^*)$ on $\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu^*}^{\lambda^*}$ when μ is dominant. This holds for general μ . In fact we can take a dominant ν such that $\langle \nu, \check{\alpha}_i \rangle = 0, \forall i \in Q_0^{\text{bal}}$ and $\mu + \nu$ is dominant, then the shift map $i_{0, \nu^*} : \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu^* + \nu^*}^{\lambda^* + \nu^*}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu^*}^{\lambda^*}]$ commutes with the action of $\text{Stab}_G(\mu^*) \subset \text{Stab}_G(\mu^* + \nu^*)$. Since i_{0, ν^*} is Poisson and preserves $E_i^{(1)}, F_i^{(1)}, H_i^{(1)}$ for $i \in Q_0^{\text{bal}}$, it follows that the action of L^{bal} constructed in [43, Proposition A.3] commutes with the shift map. Since the action of L^{bal} agrees with the natural one for $\text{Stab}_G(\mu^*)$ on $\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu^* + \nu^*}^{\lambda^* + \nu^*}$, and the shift map is birational and equivariant for both of actions, these two actions agree on $\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu^*}^{\lambda^*}$ as well.

Remark 6.5. Suppose that there is another action of \tilde{L}^{bal} on $\mathcal{A}_C^{\hbar}(Q)$ which acts trivially on \hbar , not necessarily the one constructed in [43, Appendix A], such that these two actions agree after modulo \hbar and mass parameters (generators of $H_{\text{GL}(W)}^*(\text{pt})$), then these two actions must agree on $\mathcal{A}_C^{\hbar}(Q)$. In fact $\mathcal{A}_C^{\hbar}(Q)$ is a flat deformation of $\mathcal{A}_C^{\hbar}(Q)/(\hbar, \text{mass})$ and the deformation spaces of modules for reductive group are trivial.

Consider a quiver Q containing following part




Figure 7. The quiver Q .

Then $\mathcal{A}_C^h(Q)$ admits an action of SL_N , and also a grading (\mathbb{C}^\times action) coming from $\pi_0(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_N})$ which commutes with the SL_N action, thus $\mathcal{A}_C^h(Q)$ admits an action of GL_N . Denote the following quiver by Q'




Figure 8. The quiver Q' .

then we have

Lemma 6.3. $\mathcal{A}_C^h(Q') \cong \mathcal{A}_C^h(Q)^{\mathrm{GL}_N}$.

Proof. Consider the affine Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_N}$ and denote by \mathcal{A}_Q (resp. $\mathcal{A}_{Q'}$) the ring object in $D_{\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_N})$ coming from pushing forward of the dualizing complex on the BFN space of triples corresponding to quiver gauge theory Q (resp. Q'), see [43]. Then we have $\mathcal{A}_Q \cong \mathcal{A}_R \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_{Q'}$ [43], where \mathcal{A}_R is the regular ring object with a natural GL_N action (which is called the right action in [43]). Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_C^h(Q)^{\mathrm{GL}_N} &= H_{\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_N}, \mathcal{A}_R \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_{Q'})^{\mathrm{GL}_N} = H_{\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_N}, \mathrm{IC}_0 \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_{Q'}) \\ &= \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\mathrm{IC}_0, \mathcal{A}_{Q'}) = \mathcal{A}_C^h(Q'). \end{aligned}$$

■

Example 6.4. In the example of $\mathrm{Weyl}_{N(K+N)}$, $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{SL}_{N(K+N)}}(w_0(\lambda_N^*)) = \mathrm{SL}_N \times \mathrm{SL}_{N+K}$ acts on $\mathrm{Weyl}_{N(K+N)} = \mathrm{Diff}_h(\mathbb{C}^N \otimes \mathbb{C}^{K+N})$ naturally via regarding \mathbb{C}^N as fundamental representation of SL_N and \mathbb{C}^{K+N} as antifundamental representation of SL_{K+N} , and modulo \hbar the action becomes the natural one on the cotangent bundle of the orbit $U_{\lambda_N} \cdot z^{-\lambda_N}$, thus

by the above remark we see that the action of $L^{\text{bal}} = \text{SL}_N \times \text{SL}_{N+K}$ on $\text{Weyl}_{N(K+N)}$ is the natural one described above. Moreover the grading coming from $\pi_0(\text{Gr}_{\text{GL}_N})$ is that $\deg E_N^{(p)} = -1, \deg F_N^{(p)} = 1$, and this grading enlarges the action of $\text{SL}_N \times \text{SL}_{N+K}$ to the action of $\text{GL}_N \times \text{GL}_{N+K}$ on $\text{Weyl}_{N(K+N)} = \text{Diff}_h(\mathbb{C}^N \otimes \mathbb{C}^{K+N})$ via regarding \mathbb{C}^N as fundamental representation of GL_N and \mathbb{C}^{K+N} as antifundamental representation of GL_{K+N} .

Applying Lemma 6.3 to the quiver in the Figure 5 with $K = 0$, then we see that $U_h(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \cong \text{Weyl}_{N^2}^{\text{GL}_N}$, which is nothing but the free field realization of $U_h(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$. Then it follows that $\mathbb{C}_h[\mathcal{M}(N, K)] \cong \text{Weyl}_{N(K+N)}^{\text{GL}_N \times \text{GL}_N}$ where the action comes from the restriction of $\text{GL}_N \times \text{GL}_{N+K}$ to $\text{GL}_N \times \text{GL}_N$. Apply Lemma 6.3 again, followed by removing the edge between flavours as it has no effect on Coulomb branch, we see that $\mathbb{C}_h[\mathcal{M}(N, K)]$ is the Coulomb branch algebra of the quiver in Figure 4.

Remark 6.6. Apply Lemma 6.3 to the quiver in the Figure 6 with N replaced by $N + K$, and we see that

$$U_h(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+K})^{\text{GL}_N} \cong Y_0^\lambda[m_1^L, \dots, m_N^L, m_1^R, \dots, m_{N+K}^R]_h,$$

where the right-hand-side is a truncated Yangian for \mathfrak{sl}_K and $\lambda = N\omega_1 + (N + K)\omega_{K-1}$. This is known as the centralizer construction of Yangian in the literature [41].

7 Conclusion and Outlook

In this paper, we have studied certain aspects of the twisted holography setup of [8] at finite- N and also large- N limit. We gave characterization of the finite- N subalgebra of gauge-invariant observables, whose large- N limit has been studied in [8]. It is interesting to see that the finite- N algebra is the truncated Yangian of \mathfrak{gl}_K which shows up as the quantized ring of functions on the phase space of certain 't Hooft operators in 4d Chern-Simons theory studied by [44], this hints a finite- N duality between the 4d Chern-Simons and 2d BF, which should be the truncation of the large- N duality in [8]. More general features of this finite- N duality will be explored in future publication. One more curious question is that whether we can find a giant graviton expansion of the following form obtained in [20]

$$\frac{Z_{N,K}(x; q, t)}{Z_{\infty,K}(x; q, t)} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q^{kN} \widehat{Z}_{k,K}(x; q, t). \quad (7.1)$$

This should be related to the index of 4d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory. This and many other aspects that have not been explored here will be considered in subsequent publications.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Kevin Costello, Davide Gaiotto, Ji Hoon Lee, and Nafiz Ishtiaque for discussions and comments on earlier version of this paper. The work of SFM is funded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC). The work of YZ is supported by Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics. Research at Perimeter Institute is supported by the Government of Canada through Industry Canada and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Research and Innovation.

A Hall-Littlewood Polynomials

In this appendix we review some background on symmetric functions, following section 3 of [45].

Definition A.1. For a partition $\underline{\lambda} = (1^{\alpha_1}, 2^{\alpha_2}, \dots)$, the Hall-Littlewood polynomial $P_{\underline{\lambda}}(x; q)$ is defined in $n \geq l(\underline{\lambda}) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \alpha_i$ variables x_1, \dots, x_n by the formula

$$P_{\underline{\lambda}}(x; q) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i \geq 0} [\alpha_i]_q!} \sum_{w \in S_n} w \left(x^{\underline{\lambda}} \prod_{i < j} \frac{1 - qx_j/x_i}{1 - x_j/x_i} \right). \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Here α_0 is defined so that $\sum_{i \geq 0} \alpha_i = \alpha_0 + l(\underline{\lambda}) = n$, and $x^{\underline{\lambda}} = x_1^{\lambda_1} \dots x_n^{\lambda_n}$, and we use the standard q -number notation

$$[n]_q = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q}, \quad [n]_q! = [n]_q [n-1]_q \dots [1]_q, \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q! [n-k]_q!}.$$

The Hall-Littlewood polynomial $P_{\underline{\lambda}}(x; q)$ is an interpolation between Schur symmetric functions $s_{\underline{\lambda}}(x)$ and monomial symmetric functions $m_{\underline{\lambda}}(x)$, in fact we have

$$P_{\underline{\lambda}}(x; 0) = s_{\underline{\lambda}}(x), \quad P_{\underline{\lambda}}(x; 1) = m_{\underline{\lambda}}(x). \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Definition A.2. The Kostka-Foulkes functions are coefficients of the expansion

$$s_{\underline{\lambda}}(x) = \sum_{\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu}} K_{\underline{\lambda}\underline{\mu}}(q) P_{\underline{\mu}}(x; q). \quad (\text{A.3})$$

In particular, by (A.2) we have

$$K_{\underline{\lambda}\underline{\mu}}(0) = \delta_{\underline{\lambda}\underline{\mu}}.$$

A.1 Jing operators and transformed Hall-Littlewood polynomials

Naihuan Jing found a definition of Hall-Littlewood polynomials using vertex algebra [46]. Before giving his definition, we recall some plethystic notations.

The ring of symmetric functions over a base field \mathbb{F} (assuming characteristic zero) is freely generated by power sum functions p_k , that is

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{F}} = \mathbb{F}[p_1, p_2, \dots].$$

Let R be a ring containing \mathbb{F} , A be a formal Laurent series with R coefficients in indeterminates a_1, a_2, \dots , we define $p_k[A]$ to be the result of replacing each indeterminate a_i in A by a_i^k . Then for any $f \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{F}}$, the plethystic substitution of A into f , denoted $f[A]$, is the image of f under the homomorphism sending p_k to $p_k[A]$.

Example A.1. We list some special cases here.

- Let $A = a_1 + \cdots + a_n$, then $p_k[A] = a_1^k + \cdots + a_n^k = p_k(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, and thus for any $f \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{F}}$, we have $f[A] = f(a_1, \dots, a_n)$.
- Let A, B be formal Laurent series with \mathbb{R} coefficients, then $p_k[A \pm B] = p_k[A] \pm p_k[B]$.
- Let $\text{PE} = \exp(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k/k)$, then we have

$$\text{PE}[A + B] = \text{PE}[A]\text{PE}[B], \text{PE}[A - B] = \text{PE}[A]/\text{PE}[B].$$

For a single variable x , we have $\text{PE}(x) = \frac{1}{1-x}$, thus for a summation $X = x_1 + x_2 + \cdots$,

$$\text{PE}(X) = \prod_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{1-x_i}, \text{PE}(-X) = \prod_{i \geq 1} (1-x_i).$$

For the rest of this section, we fix the notation $X = x_1 + x_2 + \cdots$.

Definition A.3. The Jing operators are the coefficients $S_m^q = [u^m]S^q(u)$ of the operator generating function $S^q(u)$ defined by

$$S^q(u)f = f[X + (q-1)u^{-1}]\text{PE}[uX]. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Proposition A.1. *Jing operators S_m^q satisfy relations:*

$$S_n^q S_{m+1}^q - q S_{m+1}^q S_n^q = q S_{n+1}^q S_m^q - S_m^q S_{n+1}^q. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

For a proof, see [46, Proposition 2.12], mind that our q is denoted by t there and our S_m^q is denoted by H_{-m} there.

Definition A.4. Let $\underline{\mu} = (\mu_1 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_l)$ be a Young tableaux (partition), define the transformed Hall-Littlewood polynomial by

$$H_{\underline{\mu}}(x; q) = S_{\mu_1}^q S_{\mu_2}^q \cdots S_{\mu_l}^q(1). \quad (\text{A.6})$$

For a general array $\underline{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^l$, we define the generalized transformed Hall-Littlewood polynomial by the same formula above.

Using relations (A.5) recursively, we can bring a product of operators $S_{\mu_1}^q \cdots S_{\mu_l}^q$ for an array $\underline{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^l$ into a linear combination of operators $S_{\mu'_1}^q \cdots S_{\mu'_l}^q$ such that $\mu'_1 \geq \cdots \geq \mu'_l$, in other words, a generalized transformed Hall-Littlewood polynomial can be written as linear combination of usual transformed Hall-Littlewood polynomials.

The following proposition summarizes the fundamental properties of transformed Hall-Littlewood polynomials, for a proof, see [45, 3.4.3].

Proposition A.2. *The transformed Hall-Littlewood polynomials $H_{\underline{\mu}}$ are related to the classical Hall-Littlewood polynomials $P_{\underline{\mu}}$ by*

$$H_{\underline{\mu}}[(1-q)X; q] = (1-q)^{l(\underline{\mu})} \prod_{i=1}^{\mu_1} [\alpha_i(\underline{\mu})]_q! P_{\underline{\mu}}(x; q). \quad (\text{A.7})$$

They are uniquely characterized by the following properties.

$$(i) \ H_{\underline{\mu}}(x; q) \in s_{\underline{\mu}}(x) + \mathbb{Z}[q] \cdot \{s_{\underline{\lambda}}(x) : \underline{\lambda} > \underline{\mu}\},$$

$$(ii) \ H_{\underline{\mu}}[(1-q)x; q] \in \mathbb{Z}[q] \cdot \{s_{\underline{\lambda}}(x) : \underline{\lambda} \leq \underline{\mu}\}.$$

And $H_{\underline{\mu}}$ is related to Schur functions by

$$H_{\underline{\mu}}(x; q) = \sum_{\underline{\lambda} \leq \underline{\mu}} K_{\underline{\lambda} \underline{\mu}}(q) s_{\underline{\lambda}}(x). \quad (\text{A.8})$$

It turns out that we can rewrite the definition of Jing operators without referring to the generating function $S^q(u)$.

Lemma A.1. *For an n -variable function $f \in \mathbb{F}[p_1, \dots, p_n](q)$, where $p_k(x) = x_1^k + \dots + x_n^k$, Jing operator S_m^q acts on it as*

$$(S_m^q f)(x; q) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_1, \dots, qx_i, \dots, x_n; q) \frac{x_i^m}{\prod_{j \neq i} (1 - x_j/x_i)}. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Proof. Notice that

$$\text{PE}(uX) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - ux_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - ux_i} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{1 - x_j/x_i}. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $f = p_{k_1} \dots p_{k_s}$, then by definition, S_m^q is the coefficient of u^m in the series expansion

$$(p_{k_1} + (q^{k_1} - 1)u^{-k_1}) \dots (p_{k_s} + (q^{k_s} - 1)u^{-k_s}) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - ux_i} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{1 - x_j/x_i}.$$

Let us fix an index i in the summation, then for this summand, its $[u^m]$ coefficient is

$$\begin{aligned} & (p_{k_1} + (q^{k_1} - 1)x_i^{k_1}) \dots (p_{k_s} + (q^{k_s} - 1)x_i^{k_s}) \frac{x_i^m}{\prod_{j \neq i} (1 - x_j/x_i)} \\ &= (x_1^{k_1} + \dots + q^{k_1} x_i^{k_1} + \dots + x_n^{k_1}) \dots (x_s^{k_s} + \dots + q^{k_s} x_i^{k_s} + \dots + x_n^{k_s}) \frac{x_i^m}{\prod_{j \neq i} (1 - x_j/x_i)} \\ &= f(x_1, \dots, qx_i, \dots, x_n; q) \frac{x_i^m}{\prod_{j \neq i} (1 - x_j/x_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over i gives the desired formula (A.9). ■

B Affine Grassmannians and Geometrization of Jing Operators

In this section we give a geometric definition of Jing operators S_m^q . Recall that

$$K_{\text{GL}_n \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(\text{pt}) = \mathbb{Q}[x_1^\pm, \dots, x_n^\pm, q^\pm]^{S_n}. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

Here we take rationalized coefficients in the K -theory. Notice that $\mathbb{Q}[p_1, \dots, p_n, q^\pm] \subset K_{\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathrm{pt})$ is a subalgebra.

Consider the affine Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n} = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{K}) / \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$, and let $\omega_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ be the first fundamental coweight of GL_n , then the $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit Gr^{ω_1} is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^{n-1} and it is fixed by the \mathbb{C}^\times -rotation.

The category that we are interested in is $D_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^b(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n})$, the $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant bounded derive category of coherent sheaves on $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}$. Here coherent sheaves on ind-scheme like $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}$ are defined to have finite type support, so for any $\mathcal{F} \in D_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^b(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n})$, we have $\chi(\mathcal{F}) \in K_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathrm{pt}) = K_{\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathrm{pt})$.

There is a convolution product on affine Grassmannian, defined as:

$$m : \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n} \widetilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n} = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{K}) \overset{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})}{\times} \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{K}) / \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{K}) / \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}). \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Here the map sends (g_1, g_2) to $g_1 g_2$. The convolution map of $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}$ induces a functor $\star : D_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^b(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}) \times D_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^b(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}) \rightarrow D_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^b(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n})$ defined as

$$\mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{G} = Rm_*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{G}).$$

Passing to the K -theory, we obtain an map

$$\star : K_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}) \otimes K_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}) \longrightarrow K_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}). \quad (\text{B.3})$$

In fact, the \star -product on $K_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n})$ is associative, and moreover we have the following.

Theorem B.1. *The algebra $K_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n})$ endowed with \star -product is isomorphic to SH_n , the spherical part of double affine Hecke algebra of GL_n .*

The part of story which is relevant to us is that the convolution between $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}$ and the identity point makes $K_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathrm{pt}) = \mathbb{Q}[x_1^\pm, \dots, x_n^\pm, q^\pm]^{S_n}$ into a module of $K_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n})$, and we can realize Jing operators S_m^q geometrically from $K_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n})$ as follows.

There is a distinguished line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ (determinant line bundle) on $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}$ [40, 1.5], and from the construction of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ we know that it is $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant. Let us use $\mathcal{O}(m)|_{\mathrm{Gr}^{\omega_1}}$ to denote $i_* i^* \mathcal{O}(1)^{\otimes m}$ where $i : \mathrm{Gr}^{\omega_1} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}$ is the natural embedding. Since i is $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant, $\mathcal{O}(m)|_{\mathrm{Gr}^{\omega_1}}$ is also $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant.

Proposition B.1. *For $\mathcal{F} \in D_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^b(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n})$, let $\chi = \chi(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1^\pm, \dots, x_n^\pm, q^\pm]^{S_n}$ be the equivariant Euler characteristic of \mathcal{F} , similarly let $\tilde{\chi} = \chi(\mathcal{O}(m)|_{\mathrm{Gr}^{\omega_1}} \star \mathcal{F})$. Then*

$$\tilde{\chi}(x; q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \chi(x_1, \dots, qx_i, \dots, x_n; q) \frac{x_i^m}{\prod_{j \neq i} (1 - x_j/x_i)}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Proof. Let $p : \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n} \widetilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}$ be the projection to the first component map, i.e. $p(g_1, g_2) = g_1$, this is a fibration with fibers isomorphic to $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}$. Then by the projection formula we have

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}(m)|_{\mathrm{Gr}^{\omega_1}} \star \mathcal{F}) = \chi(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}(m) \otimes Li^* Rp_* \tilde{\mathcal{F}}). \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Here $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{O} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}$ is the twist of \mathcal{F} on $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n} \times \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}$. We use the localization on \mathbb{P}^{n-1} to compute the right hand side of (B.5) as following. Let the maximal torus of GL_n be T , then T -fixed points of \mathbb{P}^{n-1} are $[1, 0, \dots, 0], \dots, [0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0], \dots, [0, \dots, 1]$ (in homogeneous coordinates of \mathbb{P}^{n-1}), label these points by e_1, \dots, e_n . Observe that

- (1) The fiber of determinant line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ at e_i has T -weight x_i ,
- (2) The tangent space at e_i has T -weights $x_i/x_j, j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$,
- (3) The fiber of $Li^*Rp_*\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ at e_i has the same T -weights as $\chi(\mathcal{F})$, but the \mathbb{C}^\times -action is different, because the fiber $p^{-1}(e_i)$ is identified with $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}$ via a translation $g \mapsto z^{\omega_i - \omega_{i-1}}g$ and the new \mathbb{C}^\times acts through the diagonal of $\mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{rotation}}^\times \times T_i$, where T_i is the i 'th \mathbb{C}^\times -component of T . In other word, the fiber of $Li^*Rp_*\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ at e_i has the $T \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ -weights

$$\chi(\mathcal{F})(x_1, \dots, qx_i, \dots, x_n; q).$$

Then (B.4) follows from applying localization to $\mathcal{O}(m) \otimes Li^*Rp_*\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ using three observations made above. \blacksquare

Comparing (B.4) and (A.9), we have the following

Corollary B.1. *If $\chi(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathbb{Q}[p_1, \dots, p_n, q^\pm] \subset K_{\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathrm{pt})$, then*

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}(m)|_{\mathrm{Gr}^{\omega_1}} \star \mathcal{F}) = S_m^q \chi(\mathcal{F}). \quad (\text{B.6})$$

From this corollary we see that the operator $\mathcal{O}(m)|_{\mathrm{Gr}^{\omega_1}} \star (-)$ is a geometrization of the Jing operator S_m^q . In fact, it extends the domain of S_m^q to $K_{\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathrm{pt}) = \mathbb{Q}[p_1, \dots, p_n, h_n^{-1}, q^\pm]$, and negative m is also allowed.

Corollary B.2. *Let $\underline{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)$ be an array of nonnegative integers, then*

$$H_{\underline{\mu}}(x; q) = \chi(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}, \mathcal{O}(\mu_1)|_{\mathrm{Gr}^{\omega_1}} \star \dots \star \mathcal{O}(\mu_l)|_{\mathrm{Gr}^{\omega_1}}). \quad (\text{B.7})$$

Proof. Combine (B.6) with the definition of $H_{\underline{\mu}}$ in terms of iterative action of $S_{\mu_i}^q$ (A.6). \blacksquare

Corollary B.3. *Let $\overline{\mathrm{Gr}}^{N\omega_1}$ be the closure of the $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit through $z^{N\omega_1}$, then*

$$\chi(\overline{\mathrm{Gr}}^{N\omega_1}, \mathcal{O}(k)) = H_{(k^N)}(x; q). \quad (\text{B.8})$$

Here (k^N) is the partition consisting of N copies of k , i.e. (k, k, \dots, k) .

Proof. Let $m : \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n} \times \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n} \cdots \times \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}$ be the convolution map of N -copies of $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}$, it is easy to see from the definition of determinate line bundle that there is a $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$m^*\mathcal{O}(1) \cong \mathcal{O}(1) \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(1).$$

It is known that $m(\mathrm{Gr}^{\omega_1} \widetilde{\times} \cdots \widetilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}^{\omega_1}) = \overline{\mathrm{Gr}}^{N\omega_1}$, and it is birational, thus m is a resolution of singularities. It is also known that $\overline{\mathrm{Gr}}^{N\omega_1}$ has rational singularities (this is true for all $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit closure on affine Grassmannian of any reductive group G , see [47, Theorem 2.7]), therefore $Rm_*\mathcal{O} \cong \mathcal{O}$ and $Rm_*m^*\mathcal{O}(k) \cong \mathcal{O}(k)$, thus

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(\overline{\mathrm{Gr}}^{N\omega_1}, \mathcal{O}(k)) &= \chi(\mathrm{Gr}^{\omega_1} \widetilde{\times} \cdots \widetilde{\times} \mathrm{Gr}^{\omega_1}, m^*\mathcal{O}(k)) \\ &= \chi(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}, \mathcal{O}(k)|_{\mathrm{Gr}^{\omega_1}} \star \cdots \star \mathcal{O}(k)|_{\mathrm{Gr}^{\omega_1}}) \\ &= H_{(kN)}(x; q).\end{aligned}$$

■

References

- [1] G. 't Hooft, *Dimensional Reduction in Quantum Gravity*, *Conf. Proc. C* **930308** (1993) 284–296, [[gr-qc/9310026](#)].
- [2] L. Susskind, *The World as a Hologram*, *J. Math. Phys.* **36** (1995) 6377–6396, [[hep-th/9409089](#)].
- [3] J. M. Maldacena, *The Large- N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 231–252, [[hep-th/9711200](#)].
- [4] E. Witten, *Anti-de Sitter Space and Holography*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 253–291, [[hep-th/9802150](#)].
- [5] K. Costello, *M-Theory in the Omega-Background and 5-Dimensional Non-Commutative Gauge Theory*, [1610.04144](#).
- [6] K. Costello, *Holography and Koszul Duality: The Example of the M2-Brane*, [1705.02500](#).
- [7] K. Costello, *Supersymmetric Gauge Theory and the Yangian*, [1303.2632](#).
- [8] N. Ishtiaque, S. F. Moosavian and Y. Zhou, *Topological Holography: The Example of the D2-D4 Brane System*, *SciPost Phys.* **9** (2020) 017, [[1809.00372](#)].
- [9] K. Costello and D. Gaiotto, *Twisted Holography*, [1812.09257](#).
- [10] D. Gaiotto and J. Oh, *Aspects of Ω -Deformed M-Theory*, [1907.06495](#).
- [11] S. Raghavendran and P. Yoo, *Twisted S-Duality*, [1910.13653](#).
- [12] S. Li and J. Troost, *Pure and Twisted Holography*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** (2020) 144, [[1911.06019](#)].
- [13] K. Costello and N. M. Paquette, *Twisted Supergravity and Koszul Duality: A Case Study in AdS_3* , *Commun. Math. Phys.* **384** (2021) 279–339, [[2001.02177](#)].
- [14] J. Oh and Y. Zhou, *Feynman Diagrams and Ω -Deformed M-Theory*, *SciPost Phys.* **10** (2021) 029, [[2002.07343](#)].
- [15] D. Gaiotto and J. Abajian, *Twisted M2-Brane Holography and Sphere Correlation Functions*, [2004.13810](#).
- [16] S. Li and J. Troost, *Twisted String Theory in Anti-de Sitter Space*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2020) 047, [[2005.13817](#)].

- [17] J. Oh and Y. Zhou, *Twisted Holography of Defect Fusions*, *SciPost Phys.* **10** (2021) 105, [[2103.00963](#)].
- [18] J. Oh and Y. Zhou, *A Domain Wall in Twisted M-Theory*, *SciPost Phys.* **11** (2021) 077, [[2105.09537](#)].
- [19] K. Budzik and D. Gaiotto, *Giant Gravitons in Twisted Holography*, [2106.14859](#).
- [20] D. Gaiotto and J. H. Lee, *The Giant Graviton Expansion*, [2109.02545](#).
- [21] K. Costello and S. Li, *Twisted Supergravity and its Quantization*, [1606.00365](#).
- [22] K. Costello and S. Li, *Anomaly Cancellation in the Topological String*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **24** (2020) 1723–1771, [[1905.09269](#)].
- [23] K. Costello and B. R. Williams, *Twisted Heterotic/Type I Duality*, [2110.14616](#).
- [24] N. M. Paquette and B. R. Williams, *Koszul Duality in Quantum Field Theory*, [2110.10257](#).
- [25] J. Gomis and F. Passerini, *Holographic Wilson Loops*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2006) 074, [[hep-th/0604007](#)].
- [26] J. Gomis and F. Passerini, *Wilson Loops as D3-Branes*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2007) 097, [[hep-th/0612022](#)].
- [27] M. Dedushenko and D. Gaiotto, *Correlators on the Wall and \mathfrak{sl}_n Spin Chain*, [2009.11198](#).
- [28] P. S. Aspinwall, *D-Branes on Calabi-Yau Manifolds*, in *Theoretical Advanced Study Institute in Elementary Particle Physics (TASI 2003): Recent Trends in String Theory*, pp. 1–152, 3, 2004, [[hep-th/0403166](#)].
- [29] K. Costello, “[String Theory for Mathematicians](#).” Course at The Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, 2017.
- [30] K. Costello, *Integrable Lattice Models from Four-Dimensional Field Theories*, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* **88** (2014) 3–24, [[1308.0370](#)].
- [31] M. Bershadsky, S. Cecotti, H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, *Kodaira-Spencer Theory of Gravity and Exact Results for Quantum String Amplitudes*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **165** (Oct., 1994) 311–427, [[hep-th/9309140](#)].
- [32] M. Bershadsky and V. Sadov, *Theory of Kähler Gravity*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **11** (1996) 4689–4730, [[hep-th/9410011](#)].
- [33] K. J. Costello and S. Li, *Quantum BCOV Theory on Calabi-Yau Manifolds and the Higher-Genus B-Model*, *arXiv e-prints* (Jan., 2012) , [[1201.4501](#)].
- [34] K. Costello and S. Li, *Quantization of Open-Closed BCOV Theory, I*, *arXiv e-prints* (May, 2015) , [[1505.06703](#)].
- [35] O. Aharony, M. Berkooz and S.-J. Rey, *Rigid holography and six-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ theories on $AdS_5 \times S^1$* , *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** (2015) 121, [[1501.02904](#)].
- [36] M. Finkelberg and L. Rybnikov, *Quantization of Drinfeld Zastava in type A*, *Journal of the European Mathematical Society* **16** (2014) 235–271.
- [37] A. Braverman, M. Finkelberg, D. Gaiotto and I. Mirković, *Intersection cohomology of Drinfeld’s compactifications*, *Selecta Mathematica* **8** (2002) 381–418.

- [38] J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, vol. 134. Cambridge university press, 1998.
- [39] Stacks Project Authors, “*Stacks Project*.” <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2018.
- [40] X. Zhu, *An introduction to affine Grassmannians and the geometric Satake equivalence*, *arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.05593* (2016) .
- [41] A. Molev, *Yangians and their applications*, in *Handbook of algebra*, vol. 3, pp. 907–959. Elsevier, 2003.
- [42] A. Braverman, M. Finkelberg and H. Nakajima, *Coulomb branches of 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ quiver gauge theories and slices in the affine Grassmannian (with appendices by Alexander Braverman, Michael Finkelberg, Joel Kamnitzer, Ryosuke Kodera, Hiraku Nakajima, Ben Webster, and Alex Weekes)*, *arXiv preprint arXiv:1604.03625* (2016) .
- [43] A. Braverman, M. Finkelberg and H. Nakajima, *Ring objects in the equivariant derived Satake category arising from Coulomb branches (with an appendix by Gus Lonergan)*, *arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.02112* (2017) .
- [44] K. Costello, D. Gaiotto and J. Yagi, *Q-operators are ’t Hooft lines*, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.01835* (2021) .
- [45] M. Haiman, *Combinatorics, symmetric functions, and Hilbert schemes*, in *Current developments in mathematics, 2002*, pp. 39–111. International Press of Boston, 2003.
- [46] N. Jing, *Vertex operators and Hall-Littlewood symmetric functions*, *Advances in Mathematics* **87** (1991) 226–248.
- [47] J. Kamnitzer, B. Webster, A. Weekes and O. Yacobi, *Yangians and quantizations of slices in the affine Grassmannian*, *Algebra & Number Theory* **8** (2014) 857–893.