

# TRANSVERSAL HAMILTON CYCLE IN HYPERGRAPH SYSTEMS

YANGYANG CHENG, JIE HAN, BIN WANG, GUANGHUI WANG, AND DONGLEI YANG

**ABSTRACT.** A  $k$ -graph system  $\mathbf{H} = \{H_i\}_{i \in [m]}$  is a family of not necessarily distinct  $k$ -graphs on the same  $n$ -vertex set  $V$  and a  $k$ -graph  $H$  on  $V$  is said to be  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal provided that there exists an injection  $\varphi : E(H) \rightarrow [m]$  such that  $e \in E(H_{\varphi(e)})$  for all  $e \in E(H)$ . We show that given  $k \geq 3, \gamma > 0$ , sufficiently large  $n$  and an  $n$ -vertex  $k$ -graph system  $\mathbf{H} = \{H_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ , if  $\delta_{k-1}(H_i) \geq (1/2 + \gamma)n$  for each  $i \in [n]$ , then there exists an  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal tight Hamilton cycle. This extends the result of Rödl, Ruciński and Szemerédi [*Combinatorica*, 2008] on single  $k$ -graphs.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Dirac-type problems.** The study of Hamilton cycles in graphs and hypergraphs is a central area in graph theory with a rich history. A classical theorem of Dirac [22] asserts that for any  $n \geq 3$ , every  $n$ -vertex graph with minimum degree at least  $n/2$  contains a Hamilton cycle.

A  $k$ -uniform hypergraph ( $k$ -graph, hereafter)  $H = (V, E)$  consists of a vertex set  $V$  and an edge set  $E$  which is a family of  $k$ -element subsets of  $V$ , i.e.  $E \subseteq \binom{V}{k}$ . For any  $S \subseteq V(H)$ , the *degree* of  $S$  in  $H$ , denoted by  $\deg_H(S)$ , is the number of edges containing  $S$ . For any integer  $\ell \geq 0$ , define the *minimum  $\ell$ -degree*  $\delta_\ell(H)$  to be  $\min\{\deg_H(S) : S \in \binom{V(H)}{\ell}\}$ . A *tight Hamilton cycle*  $H = (V, E)$  is a cyclic ordering of  $V$  such that every interval of  $k$  consecutive vertices forms an edge. Throughout the rest of this paper, we refer to tight Hamilton cycles as Hamilton cycles.

Problems that relate the minimum degree (in general, minimum  $\ell$ -degree in hypergraphs) to the structure of the (hyper)graphs are often referred to as Dirac-type problems. The problem of determining the best possible minimum  $(k-1)$ -degree condition forcing Hamilton cycles in  $k$ -graphs, was initially researched by Katona and Kierstead [44]. They proved that every  $n$ -vertex  $k$ -graph  $H$  with  $\delta_{k-1}(H) > (1 - \frac{1}{2k})n + 4 - k - \frac{5}{2k}$  admits a Hamilton cycle. They also conjectured that the bound on the minimum  $(k-1)$ -degree can be reduced to roughly  $n/2$ , which was confirmed asymptotically by Rödl, Ruciński and Szemerédi in [65, 66]. The same authors gave the exact version for  $k = 3$  in [68].

**Theorem 1.1** ([66, 68]). *Let  $k \geq 3, \gamma > 0$  and  $H$  be an  $n$ -vertex  $k$ -graph, where  $n$  is sufficiently large. If  $\delta_{k-1}(H) \geq (1/2 + \gamma)n$ , then  $H$  contains a Hamilton cycle. Furthermore, when  $k = 3$  it is enough to have  $\delta_2(H) \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ .*

For more problems and results on Dirac-type problems, we refer the readers to [5, 8, 10, 11, 14, 21, 25, 31, 37, 38, 39, 40, 45, 48, 49, 50, 51, 53, 55, 60, 63] and the recent surveys by Rödl and Ruciński [64], Simonovits and Szemerédi [69] and Zhao [70].

**1.2. A transversal setting.** A  $k$ -graph system  $\mathbf{H} = \{H_i\}_{i \in [m]}$  is a family of not necessarily distinct  $k$ -graphs on the same  $n$ -vertex set  $V$ . Note that each  $H_i$  can be seen as the collection of edges with color  $i$ , and in this sense  $\mathbf{H}$  can be regarded as an edge-colored multi- $k$ -graph. For convenience, we use  $[i, j], i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , to denote the set  $\{i, i+1, \dots, j\}$ . The set  $[1, n]$  is denoted by  $[n]$  in short.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $m$  be an integer and  $\mathbf{H} = \{H_i\}_{i \in [m]}$  be a  $k$ -graph system. Then a  $k$ -graph  $H$  on  $V$  is said to be  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal provided that there exists an injection  $\varphi : E(H) \rightarrow [m]$  such that  $e \in E(H_{\varphi(e)})$  for each  $e \in E(H)$ .

Since  $\varphi$  is an injection, it follows that all edges of  $H$  are from different members of  $\mathbf{H}$ . When  $m = e(H)$ ,  $\varphi$  is a bijection and thus  $H$  contains exactly one edge from each  $H_i$ .

Recently, the study of graph systems has received much attention. Aharoni [1] conjectured a transversal version of the Dirac theorem, that is, for  $|V| = n \geq 3$  and  $\mathbf{G} = \{G_i\}_{i \in [n]}$  on  $V$ , if  $\delta(G_i) \geq n/2$  for each  $i \in [n]$ , then there exists a  $\mathbf{G}$ -transversal Hamilton cycle. This was verified asymptotically by Cheng, Wang and Zhao [18], and completely by Joos and Kim [43]. In [13], Bradshaw, Halasz, and Stacho strengthened the result of Joos and Kim by showing that there exist exponentially many  $\mathbf{G}$ -transversal Hamilton cycles. Similarly, the Hamiltonicity of bipartite graphs due to Moon and Moser [62] has recently been extended to the transversal setting by Bradshaw in [12]. Recently, Ferber, Han and Mao [25] gave a transversal version of the Dirac theorem in random graph systems.

Other recent results on transversal settings include works on matchings [2, 28, 41, 46, 52, 56, 57, 58, 59], factors [3, 17, 61] and so on. We should mention that another related setting which studies rainbow subgraphs in edge-colored (hyper)graphs with restrictions, e.g. properly-colorings [7, 16, 26, 29, 30, 32, 34, 35, 47] and general  $m$ -bounded colorings [4, 9, 15, 19, 20, 23, 24, 36].

The main goal of this paper is to extend Theorem 1.1 to the transversal setting. For every  $k \geq 3, \gamma > 0$ , we say that an  $n$ -vertex  $k$ -graph system  $\mathbf{H} = \{H_i\}_{i \in [n]}$  is a  $(k, n, \gamma)$ -graph system if  $\delta_{k-1}(H_i) \geq (1/2 + \gamma)n$  for each  $i \in [n]$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** For every  $k \geq 3, \gamma > 0$  and sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , every  $(k, n, \gamma)$ -graph system  $\mathbf{H} = \{H_i\}_{i \in [n]}$  admits an  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal Hamilton cycle.

## 2. NOTATION AND PROOF STRATEGY

**2.1. Notation.** A *tight path*  $P = v_1 v_2 \dots v_t$  is a  $k$ -graph whose vertices can be ordered in such a way that each edge consists of  $k$  consecutive vertices and two consecutive edges intersect in exactly  $k-1$  vertices. The *length* of a tight path is the number of edges in the path. We say that  $P$  *connects*  $(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1})$  and  $(v_t, \dots, v_{t-k+2})$ . In this paper, a  $(k-1)$ -element sequence of distinct vertices of  $V$  will be referred as a  $(k-1)$ -tuple. The  $(k-1)$ -tuples  $(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1})$  and  $(v_t, \dots, v_{t-k+2})$  are called the *ends* of  $P$ . Tight paths are referred as paths for convenience.

Given a  $k$ -graph  $H$  and a  $k$ -graph system  $\mathbf{H} = \{H_i\}_{i \in [n]}$  on the same vertex set with  $H$ , we define  $\{i : E(H_i) \cap E(H) \neq \emptyset\}$  as the *color set* of  $H$ , denoted by  $C(H)$ . We call  $P = x_1 \dots x_{2k-2}$  an  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal path *with color pattern*  $(c_1, \dots, c_{k-1})$  if  $\{x_i, \dots, x_{i+k-1}\} \in E(H_{c_i})$  for  $i \in [k-1]$ . Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_m\}$  be a family of vertex-disjoint paths. If each  $P_i, i \in [m]$ , is an  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal

path and  $C(P_i) \cap C(P_j) = \emptyset$  for distinct  $i, j \in [m]$ , then we call this family an ***H***-transversal family of paths. Denote  $\bigcup_{i \in [m]} V(P_i)$  by  $V(\mathcal{P})$ . The size of  $\mathcal{P}$  is the number of paths in the family.

When we write  $\alpha \ll \beta$ , we mean that  $\alpha, \beta$  are constants in  $(0, 1)$ , and for every  $\beta$  we have chosen, there exists  $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0(\beta)$  such that the subsequent arguments hold for all  $\alpha \leq \alpha_0$ . While multiple constants appear in a hierarchy, they are chosen from right to left.

Besides, we require the following concentration inequalities.

**Proposition 2.1** (Corollary 2.3, [42]). *Suppose that  $X$  has the binomial distribution and  $0 < a < 3/2$ . Then  $\Pr(|X - \mathbb{E}X| \geq a\mathbb{E}X) \leq 2e^{-a^2\mathbb{E}X/3}$ .*

**Proposition 2.2** (Corollary 2.2, [33]). *Let  $\binom{[N]}{r}$  be the set of  $r$ -subsets of  $\{1, \dots, N\}$  and let  $h : \binom{[N]}{r} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given. Suppose that there exists  $\alpha \geq 0$  such that*

$$|h(A) - h(A')| \leq \alpha$$

*for any  $A, A' \in \binom{[N]}{r}$  with  $|A \cap A'| = r - 1$ . Let  $C \subseteq [N]$  be a set of size  $r$  chosen uniformly at random. Then*

$$(1) \quad \mathbb{E}e^{h(C)} = \exp(\mathbb{E}h(C) + a),$$

*where  $a$  is a real constant such that  $0 \leq a \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{8} \min\{r, N - r\}$ . Furthermore, for any real  $t > 0$ ,*

$$(2) \quad \Pr(|h(C) - \mathbb{E}h(C)| \geq t) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{2t^2}{\min\{r, N - r\}\alpha^2}\right).$$

**2.2. Proof strategy.** In this section we give an outline of the proof of Theorem 1.3. Our proof is under the framework of the absorption method, systematised by the work of Rödl, Ruciński and Szemerédi [65, 67], which reduces the problem of finding a spanning subgraph to building an absorption structure and an almost spanning structure. Tailored to our problem, the idea is to build a transversal absorbing cycle and a transversal path cover. Moreover, the transversal absorbing cycle will be able to swallow an arbitrary leftover of vertices, a leftover of colors as well as an ***H***-transversal family of paths so that we obtain a transversal Hamilton cycle. This motivates us to append the color information and the connecting technique into the transversal absorption method, which is our contribution compared with the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [66].

**Lemma 2.3 (Absorbing Lemma).** *Given  $k \geq 3, \gamma > 0$ , there exists  $\kappa > 0$  such that the following holds for sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mathbf{H} = \{H_i\}_{i \in [n]}$  be a  $(k, n, \gamma)$ -graph system on  $V$ . Then there exists an ***H***-transversal cycle  $A$  with at most  $\gamma n/2$  vertices such that for any ***H***-transversal family of paths  $\mathcal{P}$  and any vertex set  $U$  in  $V \setminus V(A)$  with  $|\mathcal{P}|, |U| \leq \kappa n$ , there exists an ***H***-transversal cycle  $A'$  with vertex set  $V(A) \cup U \cup V(\mathcal{P})$  and  $C(A) \subseteq C(A')$ .*

We first define two versions of absorbers as follows .

**Definition 2.4.** *Given a  $(k, n, \gamma)$ -graph system  $\mathbf{H}$ , a vertex  $x$  and a color  $c$ , we say that a path  $P$  is a transversal absorber for  $(x, c)$  in an  $n$ -vertex  $k$ -graph system if the following holds:*

- $x \notin V(P)$ ;
- $P = x_1 \cdots x_{2k-2}$  is an ***H***-transversal path with color pattern  $(c_1, \dots, c_{k-1})$ ;

- $x_1 \cdots x_{k-1} x x_k \cdots x_{2k-2}$  is an  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal path with color pattern  $(c, c_1, \dots, c_{k-1})$ .




FIGURE 1. Absorber for  $(x, c)$  when  $k = 3$

**Definition 2.5.** Given a  $(k, n, \gamma)$ -graph system  $\mathbf{H}$ , two disjoint  $(k-1)$ -tuples of vertices  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_{k-1})$ ,  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_{k-1})$  and a  $(k-1)$ -tuple  $(o_1, \dots, o_{k-1})$  of colors, we say that a path  $P$  is a transversal absorber for  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}; o_1, \dots, o_{k-1})$  in an  $n$ -vertex  $k$ -graph system if the following holds:

- $V(P) \cap \{u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\} = \emptyset$ ;
- $P = x_1 \cdots x_{2k-2}$  is an  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal path with color pattern  $(c_1, \dots, c_{k-1})$ ;
- $x_1 \cdots x_{k-1} u_1 \cdots u_{k-1}$  and  $v_1 \cdots v_{k-1} x_k \cdots x_{2k-2}$  are  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal paths with color patterns  $(c_1, \dots, c_{k-1})$ ,  $(o_1, \dots, o_{k-1})$  respectively.




FIGURE 2. Absorber for  $((u_1, u_2), (v_1, v_2); o_1, o_2)$  when  $k = 3$

The second task is to connect the absorbers to a path. The following lemma helps us to connect any two disjoint paths (by connecting their ends).

**Lemma 2.6 (Connecting Lemma).** For every  $k \geq 3, \gamma > 0$ , there exists  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the following holds for sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mathbf{H} = \{H_i\}_{i \in [c]}$  be a  $(k, n, \gamma)$ -graph system and  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$  be two disjoint  $(k-1)$ -tuples of vertices. Then, there exists an  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal path from  $\mathbf{u}$  to  $\mathbf{v}$  with at most  $c + k - 1$  vertices.

Given a  $(k, n, \gamma)$ -graph system  $\mathbf{H} = \{H_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ , we need to construct an  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal family of paths, covering almost all vertices of  $V \setminus V(A)$  and almost all colors of  $[n] \setminus C(A)$ . To achieve this, we use the regularity lemma for hypergraphs and a trick of Ferber and Kwan [25].

**Lemma 2.7 (Path cover Lemma).** *For every  $k \geq 3$ ,  $\gamma, \delta > 0$ , there exists  $L > 0$  such that the following holds for sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Every  $(k, n, \gamma)$ -graph system  $\mathbf{H} = \{H_i\}_{i \in [n]}$  on  $V$  contains an  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal family of paths  $\mathcal{P}$  of size at most  $L$ , covering at least  $(1 - \delta)n$  vertices of  $V$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* For any  $k \geq 3$  and  $\gamma > 0$ , we choose  $1/n \ll 1/L, \kappa \ll \gamma, 1/k$ , and fix  $\mathbf{H}$  to be a  $(k, n, \gamma)$ -graph system on  $V$ .

**Step 1.** By Absorbing Lemma, we obtain an  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal cycle  $A$  with at most  $\gamma n/2$  vertices such that the following property holds. For any  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal family of paths  $\mathcal{P}$  and any vertex set  $U$  in  $V \setminus V(A)$  with  $|\mathcal{P}|, |U| \leq \kappa n$ , there exists an  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal cycle  $A'$  with vertex set  $V(A) \cup U \cup V(\mathcal{P})$  and  $C(A) \subseteq C(A')$ .

**Step 2.** Set  $\mathbf{H}' = \{H'_i\}_{i \in C'}$  where  $C' = [n] \setminus C(A)$ ,  $H'_i = H_i[V \setminus V(A)]$  for  $i \in C'$ . Let  $n' = n - |V(A)|$ . Note that  $\mathbf{H}'$  is a  $(k, n', \gamma/2)$ -graph system where  $n' > (1 - \gamma/2)n$ . Applying Path Cover Lemma to  $\mathbf{H}'$  with  $\delta = \kappa$ , we obtain an  $\mathbf{H}'$ -transversal family of paths  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_p\}$ , where  $p \leq L \leq \kappa n$ , covering all but at most  $\kappa n'$  vertices of  $V \setminus V(A)$ . Denote the set of uncovered vertices by  $T$ . Thus,  $|T| \leq \kappa n' \leq \kappa n$ .

**Step 3.** Using property in **Step 1**, we obtain a transversal cycle with vertex set  $V(A) \cup T \cup V(\mathcal{P})$ , which is actually an  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal Hamilton cycle.  $\square$

### 3. TRANSVERSAL ABSORPTION METHOD

Given a vertex  $x \in V$  and a color  $c \in [n]$ , let  $\mathcal{L}(x; c)$  be the family of transversal absorbers for  $(x, c)$ . Similarly, given two disjoint  $(k - 1)$ -tuples  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  of  $V$  and a  $(k - 1)$ -tuple  $(o_1, \dots, o_{k-1})$  of  $[n]$ , let  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}; o_1, \dots, o_{k-1})$  be the set of transversal absorbers for  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}; o_1, \dots, o_{k-1})$ . We need the following simple result.

**Fact 3.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{H} = \{H_1, \dots, H_n\}$  be a  $(k, n, \gamma)$ -graph system on  $V$ ,  $S$  be a  $(k - 1)$ -subset of  $V$  and  $V_0 \subseteq V \setminus S$ . For any  $i \in [n]$ , we have*

$$|N_{H_i}(S) \cap V_0| \geq |V_0| - \frac{1}{2}n + \gamma n + k - 1.$$

*In particular, for two  $(k - 1)$ -subsets of vertices  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , we obtain that for any  $i, j \in [n]$ ,*

$$|N_{H_i}(S_1) \cap N_{H_j}(S_2)| \geq 2\gamma n + |S_1 \cap S_2|.$$

*Proof.* We have  $|N_{H_i}(S) \cup V_0| \leq n - k + 1$  and thus

$$|N_{H_i}(S) \cap V_0| \geq |V_0| + |N_{H_i}(S)| - (n - k + 1) \geq |V_0| - \frac{1}{2}n + \gamma n + k - 1.$$

For the second statement, we apply the first one with  $S = S_1$  and  $V_0 = N_{H_j}(S_2) \setminus S_1$  and note that  $|V_0| \geq (\frac{1}{2} + \gamma)n - (k - 1 - |S_1 \cap S_2|)$ .  $\square$

Next we show lower bounds on the number of absorbers in a  $(k, n, \gamma)$ -graph system.

**Proposition 3.2.** *For any  $k \geq 3, \gamma > 0$ , there exists  $\zeta > 0$  such that the following holds for all sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $\mathbf{H} = \{H_1, \dots, H_n\}$  is a  $(k, n, \gamma)$ -graph system on  $V$ , then  $|\mathcal{L}(x; c)| \geq \zeta n^{3k-3}$  for every vertex  $x \in V$  and color  $c \in [n]$ ,  $|\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}; o_1, \dots, o_{k-1})| \geq \zeta n^{3k-3}$  for every two disjoint  $(k-1)$ -tuples  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  of  $V$  and a  $(k-1)$ -tuple  $(o_1, \dots, o_{k-1})$  of  $[n]$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $k, \gamma$ , we choose  $1/n \ll \zeta \ll \gamma/k$ . Fixing vertex  $x \in V$  and color  $c \in [n]$ , we construct a transversal absorber  $P = x_1 \cdots x_{2k-2}$  with color pattern  $(c_1, \dots, c_{k-1})$  for  $(x, c)$ . We choose  $(c_1, \dots, c_{k-1})$  arbitrarily, so there are  $(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1) \geq 2^{1-k} n^{k-1}$  choices. Furthermore,  $x_1, \dots, x_{k-2}$  can be chosen arbitrarily in  $(n-1) \cdots (n-k+2) \geq 2^{2-k} n^{k-2}$  ways. For  $x_{k-1}$ , there are at least  $(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma)n$  choices such that  $\{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x\} \in E(H_c)$ . By Fact 3.1, there are at least  $2\gamma n + k - 2$  choices for  $x_j$ ,  $j \in [k, 2k-2]$ , such that  $\{x_{j-k+1}, \dots, x_j\}, \{x_{j-k+2}, \dots, x_j, x\} \in E(H_{c_{j-k+1}})$ . For  $j \in [k+1, 2k-2]$ ,  $x_j$  should be different from  $x_1, \dots, x_{j-k}$ . Thus, the number of choices for each  $x_j$  is at least  $2\gamma n + k - 2 - (j - k) \geq 2\gamma n$ ,  $j \in [k, 2k-2]$ , yielding together at least  $2^{1-k} n^{k-1} 2^{2-k} n^{k-2} (\frac{1}{2} + \gamma)n (2\gamma n)^{k-1} \geq \zeta n^{3k-3}$  transversal absorbers for  $(x, c)$ .

Given  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}), \mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_{k-1})$  and  $(o_1, \dots, o_{k-1})$ , we construct a transversal absorber  $P = x_1 \cdots x_{2k-2}$  with color pattern  $(c_1, \dots, c_{k-1})$  for  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}; o_1, \dots, o_{k-1})$ . There are  $(n-k+1) \cdots (n-2k+2) \geq 2^{1-k} n^{k-1}$  choices for  $(c_1, \dots, c_{k-1})$ . There are at least  $(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma)n - (k-1) \geq \gamma n$  choices for  $x_{k-1}$  such that  $\{u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, x_{k-1}\} \in E(H_{c_{k-1}})$  and  $x_{k-1}$  should be different from  $v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}$ . For  $x_i$ ,  $i \in [k-2]$ , there are at least  $(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma)n - (2k-3) \geq \gamma n$  choices such that  $\{u_{k-i}, \dots, u_{k-1}, x_{k-1}, \dots, x_{i+1}, x_i\} \in E(H_{c_i})$ , and it should be different from  $v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, u_1, \dots, u_{k-1-i}$ .

By Fact 3.1, there are at least  $2\gamma n$  choices for  $x_k$  such that  $\{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_k\} \in E(H_{c_1})$ ,  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, x_k\} \in E(H_{o_1})$  and it is different from  $u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}$ . For  $x_i$ ,  $i \in [k+1, 2k-2]$ , the number of choices is at least  $2\gamma n + k - 2 - (k-1 + 2(i-k)) \geq \gamma n$ , such that  $\{x_{i-(k-1)}, \dots, x_i\} \in E(H_{c_{i-(k-1)}})$ ,  $\{v_{i-(k-1)}, \dots, v_{k-1}, x_k, \dots, x_i\} \in E(H_{o_{i-(k-1)}})$  and it should be different from  $u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, x_1, \dots, x_{i-k}, v_1, \dots, v_{i-k}$ . Thus, there are at least  $2^{1-k} n^{k-1} (\gamma n)^{k-1} (\gamma n)^{k-1} \geq \zeta n^{3k-3}$  transversal absorbers for  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}; o_1, \dots, o_{k-1})$ .  $\square$

Now we show that we can construct a family of disjoint absorbers, with all different colors.

**Lemma 3.3.** *For any  $k \geq 3$  and  $\alpha, \zeta > 0$ , there exists  $\beta > 0$  such that the following holds for all sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mathbf{H} = \{H_1, \dots, H_n\}$  be an  $n$ -vertex  $k$ -graph system on  $V$ . If  $|\mathcal{L}(x; c)| \geq \zeta n^{3k-3}$  for every vertex  $x \in V$ ,  $c \in [n]$  and  $|\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}; o_1, \dots, o_{k-1})| \geq \zeta n^{3k-3}$  for all disjoint  $(k-1)$ -tuples  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  of  $V$  and  $(k-1)$ -tuple  $(o_1, \dots, o_{k-1})$  of  $[n]$ , then there exists an  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal family  $\mathcal{F}'$  of paths of length  $k-1$ , satisfying*

$$|\mathcal{F}'| \leq \alpha n, \quad |\mathcal{F}' \cap \mathcal{L}(x; c)| \geq \beta n, \text{ and}$$

$$|\mathcal{F}' \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}; o_1, \dots, o_{k-1})| \geq \beta n,$$

for every vertex  $x \in V$ ,  $c \in [n]$ , two disjoint  $(k-1)$ -tuples  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  of  $V$  and  $(o_1, \dots, o_{k-1})$  of  $[n]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $1/n \ll \beta \ll \varepsilon \ll \alpha, \zeta$ . Each  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal path  $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{2k-2}$  with color pattern  $(c_1, \dots, c_{k-1})$  can be viewed as a  $(3k-3)$ -tuple  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k-2}, c_1, \dots, c_{k-1})$ . Choose a family  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $(3k-3)$ -tuples from  $\binom{V}{2k-2} \times \binom{[n]}{k-1}$  by including each possible  $(3k-3)$ -tuple independently at

random with probability

$$p = \varepsilon \frac{(n - (2k - 2))! \cdot (n - (k - 1))!}{(n - 1)! \cdot n!} \geq \varepsilon n^{-(3k-4)}.$$

Note that  $|\mathcal{F}|$ ,  $|\mathcal{L}(x, c) \cap \mathcal{F}|$ ,  $|\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}; o_1, \dots, o_{k-1}) \cap \mathcal{F}|$  are binomial random variables with

$$\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{F}| = p \frac{n! \cdot n!}{(n - (2k - 2))! \cdot (n - (k - 1))!} = \varepsilon n,$$

$$\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{L}(x, c) \cap \mathcal{F}| = p|\mathcal{L}(x; c)| \geq \varepsilon \zeta n,$$

$$\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}; o_1, \dots, o_{k-1}) \cap \mathcal{F}| = p|\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}; o_1, \dots, o_{k-1})| \geq \varepsilon \zeta n,$$

for every vertex  $x \in V$ ,  $c \in [n]$ , two disjoint  $(k - 1)$ -tuples  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  of  $V$  and  $(o_1, \dots, o_{k-1})$  of  $[n]$ .

By Proposition 2.1, with probability  $1 - o(1)$ , the family  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies the following properties

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq 2\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{F}| = 2\varepsilon n \leq \alpha n,$$

$$|\mathcal{L}(x; c) \cap \mathcal{F}| \geq 2^{-1}\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{L}(x; c) \cap \mathcal{F}| \geq 2^{-1}\varepsilon \zeta n,$$

$$|\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}; o_1, \dots, o_{k-1}) \cap \mathcal{F}| \geq 2^{-1}\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}; o_1, \dots, o_{k-1})| \geq 2^{-1}\varepsilon \zeta n,$$

for every vertex  $x \in V$ ,  $c \in [n]$ , two disjoint  $(k - 1)$ -tuples  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  of  $V$  and  $(o_1, \dots, o_{k-1})$  of  $[n]$ .

We say that two  $(3k - 3)$ -tuples  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k-2}, c_1, \dots, c_{k-1})$  and  $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2k-2}, f_1, \dots, f_{k-1})$  are *intersecting* if  $x_i = y_j$  for some  $i, j \in [2k - 2]$  or  $c_m = f_\ell$  for some  $m, \ell \in [k - 1]$ . We can bound the expected number of pairs of  $(3k - 3)$ -tuples in  $\mathcal{F}$  that are intersecting from above by

$$\frac{n! \cdot n!}{(n - (2k - 2))! \cdot (n - (k - 1))!} (3k - 3)^2 \frac{(n - 1)! \cdot n!}{(n - (2k - 2))! \cdot (n - (k - 1))!} p^2 = (3k - 3)^2 \varepsilon^2 n.$$

Thus, using Markov's inequality, we derive that with probability at least  $1/2$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  contains at most  $2(3k - 3)^2 \varepsilon^2 n$  intersecting pairs of  $(3k - 3)$ -tuples. Remove one  $(3k - 3)$ -tuple from every intersecting pair in  $\mathcal{F}$  and remove the  $(3k - 3)$ -tuples that can not absorb any  $(x, c)$  or  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, o_1, \dots, o_{k-1})$  where  $x \in V$ ,  $c \in [n]$ ,  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  are  $(k - 1)$ -tuples of  $V$  and  $(o_1, \dots, o_{k-1})$  is a  $(k - 1)$ -tuple of  $[n]$ . Thus the resulting subfamily, say  $\mathcal{F}'$ , consists of pairwise disjoint  $(3k - 3)$ -tuples, which satisfies

$$|\mathcal{L}(x; c) \cap \mathcal{F}'| \geq 2^{-1}\varepsilon \zeta n - 2(3k - 3)^2 \varepsilon^2 n \geq \beta n,$$

for any  $x \in V$ ,  $c \in [n]$ , and a similar statement holds for  $|\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}; o_1, \dots, o_{k-1}) \cap \mathcal{F}'|$  for any two disjoint  $(k - 1)$ -tuples  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  of  $V$  and a  $(k - 1)$ -tuple  $(o_1, \dots, o_{k-1})$  of  $[n]$ . Since each  $(3k - 3)$ -tuple in  $\mathcal{F}'$  induces a transversal absorber,  $\mathcal{F}'$  is an **H**-transversal family of paths, where each path is of length  $k - 1$ .  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove Lemma 2.3, assuming Lemma 2.6 holds.

*Proof of Lemma 2.3.* Given  $1/n \ll \kappa \ll \beta \ll \alpha, \zeta \ll \gamma, 1/k$ , let  $\mathbf{H} = \{H_i\}_{i \in [n]}$  be a  $(k, n, \gamma)$ -graph system on  $V$ . By Proposition 3.2, we obtain  $|\mathcal{L}(x; c)| \geq \zeta n^{3k-3}$  for every vertex  $x \in V$  and  $c \in [n]$ , and  $|\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}; o_1, \dots, o_{k-1})| \geq \zeta n^{3k-3}$  for every two disjoint  $(k - 1)$ -tuples  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  of  $V$  and a  $(k - 1)$ -tuple  $(o_1, \dots, o_{k-1})$  of  $[n]$ . By Lemma 3.3, there is an **H**-transversal family of paths  $\mathcal{F}' = \{P_1, \dots, P_{q_n}\}$ , where  $q \leq \alpha$  and  $|V(P_i)| = 2k - 2$  for  $i \in [qn]$ ,  $|\mathcal{F}' \cap \mathcal{L}(x; c)| \geq \beta n$  for every



vertex  $x \in V$ ,  $c \in [n]$  and  $|\mathcal{F}' \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}; o_1, \dots, o_{k-1})| \geq \beta n$  for every two disjoint  $(k-1)$ -tuples  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  of  $V$  and  $(o_1, \dots, o_{k-1})$  of  $[n]$ .

Next, we shall connect all the paths in  $\mathcal{F}'$  into an  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal cycle. Suppose we have connected  $P_1, \dots, P_j$  into one path  $P$ , by using each time at most  $\lceil 8k\gamma^{-2} \rceil - (2k-2)$  vertices from outside  $V(\mathcal{F}')$ . Let  $\mathbf{e} = (u_1, \dots, u_{k-1})$  be an end of  $P$  and  $\mathbf{f} = (v_1, \dots, v_{k-1})$  be an end of  $P_{j+1}$ . Let  $H'_i$  be the induced subgraph of  $H_i$  obtained by removing the vertices of  $V(\mathcal{F}') \cup V(P)$  except  $\mathbf{e}$  and  $\mathbf{f}$ . The number of vertices removed is at most

$$|V(\mathcal{F}') \cup V(P)| \leq (2k-2)qn + \left( \left\lceil \frac{8k}{\gamma^2} \right\rceil - (2k-2) \right) (qn-1) < \left\lceil \frac{8k}{\gamma^2} \right\rceil qn < \frac{\gamma n}{2},$$

where the last inequality holds since  $\alpha \ll \gamma$  and  $k \geq 3$ .

We get a  $(k, n', \gamma/2)$ -graph system  $\mathbf{H}' = \{H'_i\}_{i \in C}$  where  $C = [n] \setminus (C(P) \cup C(\mathcal{F}'))$  and  $n' = |V(H'_i)|$ . Taking a  $(\lceil 8k\gamma^{-2} \rceil - (k-1))$ -subset  $C'$  of  $C$ , we apply Lemma 2.6 to  $\{H'_i\}_{i \in C'}$  with  $\mathbf{e}' = (u_{k-1}, \dots, u_1)$  and  $\mathbf{f}' = (v_{k-1}, \dots, v_1)$ , obtaining an  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal path  $P'$  connecting  $\mathbf{e}'$  and  $\mathbf{f}'$  such that  $|V(P')| \leq \lceil 8k\gamma^{-2} \rceil$ . Thus,  $P \cup P' \cup P_{j+1}$  forms an  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal path.

After connecting all paths in  $\mathcal{F}'$  in a cyclic order, we obtain an  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal  $(k-1)$ -cycle  $A$  with at most

$$(2k-2)qn + \left( \left\lceil \frac{8k}{\gamma^2} \right\rceil - (2k-2) \right) qn \leq \frac{\gamma n}{2}$$

vertices. Finally, fix any  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal family of paths  $\mathcal{P}$  and any vertex set  $U$  in  $V \setminus V(A)$  with  $|\mathcal{P}|, |U| \leq \kappa n$ . We may assume that  $U \cap V(\mathcal{P}) = \emptyset$  as otherwise we just replace  $U$  by  $U \setminus V(\mathcal{P})$ . Since the paths in  $\mathcal{P}$  are vertex disjoint, and  $V(\mathcal{P})$ ,  $U$  and  $V(A)$  are pairwise disjoint, we infer that the number of colors in  $\mathbf{H}$  not used in  $\mathcal{P}$  or  $A$  is at least  $n - |V(A)| - (|V(\mathcal{P})| - (k-1)|\mathcal{P}|) \geq n - |V(A)| - (n - |V(A)| - |U| - (k-1)|\mathcal{P}|) = |U| + (k-1)|\mathcal{P}|$ . Thus, by the property of  $\mathcal{F}'$  and the fact that  $\kappa \ll \beta$ , there is an  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal cycle  $A'$  with vertex set  $V(A) \cup U \cup V(\mathcal{P})$  and  $C(A) \subseteq C(A')$ .  $\square$

#### 4. TRANSVERSAL PATH COVER LEMMA

In this section, we prove our Path Cover Lemma, Lemma 2.7.

**4.1. Weak Regularity Lemma for Hypergraphs.** A  $k$ -graph  $H$  is  $k$ -partite if there is a partition  $V(H) = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_k$  such that every edge of  $H$  intersects each set  $V_i$  in precisely one vertex for  $i \in [k]$ . Given a  $k$ -partite  $k$ -graph  $H$  on  $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_k$  and subsets  $A_i \subset V_i$ ,  $i \in [k]$ , we define  $e_H(A_1, \dots, A_k)$  to be the number of edges in  $H$  with one vertex in each  $A_i$  and the *density* of  $H$  with respect to  $(A_1, \dots, A_k)$  as

$$d_H(A_1, \dots, A_k) = \frac{e_H(A_1, \dots, A_k)}{|A_1| \cdots |A_k|}.$$

We say that a  $k$ -partite  $k$ -graph  $H$  is  $\varepsilon$ -regular if for all  $A_i \subseteq V_i$  with  $|A_i| \geq \varepsilon |V_i|$ ,  $i \in [k]$ , we have

$$|d_H(A_1, \dots, A_k) - d_H(V_1, \dots, V_k)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

We give a straightforward generalization of the graph regularity lemma.

**Lemma 4.1** (Weak regularity lemma for hypergraphs [37]). *For any  $k \geq 2$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $t_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $T_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the following holds. For every  $k$ -graph  $H$  on sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$*



vertices, there is, for some  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $t_0 \leq t \leq T_0$ , a partition  $V(H) = V_0 \cup V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_t$  such that  $|V_0| \leq \varepsilon n$ ,  $|V_1| = |V_2| = \dots = |V_t|$  and for all but at most  $\varepsilon t^k$  sets  $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \in \binom{[t]}{k}$ , the induced  $k$ -partite  $k$ -graph  $H[V_{i_1}, \dots, V_{i_k}]$  is  $\varepsilon$ -regular.

The partition in Lemma 4.1 is called an  $\varepsilon$ -regular partition of  $H$ . For an  $\varepsilon$ -regular partition of  $H$  and  $d \geq 0$ , we refer to the sets  $V_i$ ,  $i \in [t]$  as *clusters* and define the *reduced hypergraph*  $K = K(\varepsilon, d)$  with vertex set  $[t]$  and  $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \in \binom{[t]}{k}$  being an edge if and only if  $(V_{i_1}, \dots, V_{i_k})$  is  $\varepsilon$ -regular and  $d(V_{i_1}, \dots, V_{i_k}) \geq d$ .

**4.2. Proof Sketch.** In this subsection we provide a proof sketch of Lemma 2.7 and highlight key ideas. We need the following definition.

**Definition 4.2.** A hypergraph  $H^*$  is a  $(1, k)$ -graph ( $(1, k)$ -partite, in other words), if there is a partition of  $V(H^*) = V_1 \cup V_2$  such that every edge contains exactly one vertex of  $V_1$  and  $k$  vertices of  $V_2$ .

Given a partition of  $V(H^*) = V_1 \cup V_2$ , a  $(1, k-1)$ -subset  $S$  of  $V(H^*)$  contains one vertex in  $V_1$  and  $k-1$  vertices in  $V_2$ . Let  $\delta_{1, k-1}(H^*) := \min\{\deg_{H^*}(S) : S \text{ is a } (1, k-1)\text{-subset of } V(H^*)\}$ .

**Step 1. Construct an auxiliary  $(1, k)$ -graph.** Given a  $(k, n, \gamma)$ -graph system  $\mathbf{H} = \{H_i\}_{i \in [n]}$  on  $V$ , we construct the auxiliary hypergraph  $H^*$  with vertex set  $V(H^*) = [n] \cup V$  and edge set  $E(H^*) = \{\{i\} \cup e \mid e \in E(H_i), i \in [n]\}$ . By the definition of  $(k, n, \gamma)$ -graph system, we have  $\delta_{k-1}(H_i) \geq (1/2 + \gamma)n$  for each  $i \in [n]$ . Thus,  $H^*$  is a  $(1, k)$ -graph with  $\delta_{1, k-1}(H^*) \geq (1/2 + \gamma)n$ .

**Step 2. Obtain a reduced hypergraph  $K$ .** With an initial partition  $[n] \cup V$  of  $V(H^*)$ , we apply the Weak Regularity Lemma (Lemma 4.1) to  $H^*$ , and obtain a partition  $V(H^*) = V_0^* \cup I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_{t_1} \cup W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_{t_2}$  where  $|I_i| = |W_j| = m$  for every  $i \in [t_1], j \in [t_2]$ ,  $|V_0^*| \leq 2\varepsilon n$ . By moving at most  $2\varepsilon n/m$  clusters to  $V_0^*$  and renaming if necessary, we obtain a partition  $V(H^*) = V_0 \cup I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_t \cup W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_t$ , where  $t = \min\{t_1, t_2\}$ ,  $|V_0| \leq 4\varepsilon n$ ,  $I_i \subseteq [n]$  and  $W_j \subseteq V$  for every  $i, j \in [t]$ . Let  $K$  be the reduced hypergraph for the partition with vertex set  $\mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{W}$  where  $\mathcal{I} = \{I_1, \dots, I_t\}$  and  $\mathcal{W} = \{W_1, \dots, W_t\}$ . Note that  $K$  is a  $(1, k)$ -graph. We will prove that  $K$  almost ‘inherits’ the  $(1, k-1)$ -degree condition of  $H^*$  in Section 4.3.

**Step 3. Obtain many matchings in  $K$ .** We equally split  $\mathcal{I}$  into  $k$  parts  $\mathcal{I}_i = \{I_{(i-1)t/k+1}, \dots, I_{it/k}\}$  for  $i \in [k]$ . For each  $\mathcal{I}_i \cup \mathcal{W}$ , we randomly partition it to balanced smaller pieces, namely, into parts of form  $\mathcal{I}' \cup \mathcal{W}'$ , where  $|\mathcal{I}'| = Q/k$  and  $|\mathcal{W}'| = Q$ . Denote the family of vertex-disjoint  $(1, k)$ -subgraphs of  $K$  induced on all parts from the partition of  $\mathcal{I}_i \cup \mathcal{W}$  by  $\mathcal{F}_i$ ,  $i \in [k]$ . Note that the size of  $\mathcal{F}_i$  is  $t/Q$ . We shall see in Section 4.4 that almost all members in  $\mathcal{F}_i$  are ‘nice’ in the sense that they inherit the  $(1, k-1)$ -degree condition of  $H^*$ . For each such member in  $\mathcal{F}_i$ ,  $i \in [k]$ , we use the following lemma, a combination of results in ([17] Theorem 1.7) and ([6] Theorem 1.2), and obtain a perfect matching. This yields for each  $i \in [k]$  a large matching (in  $K$ ), say  $M_i$ , by taking the union of the resulting matchings over all members in  $\mathcal{F}_i$ .

**Lemma 4.3** ([6, 17]). *For every  $\gamma > 0, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the following holds for all sufficiently large  $n \in k\mathbb{N}$ . every  $(1, k)$ -graph  $H$  on  $[n/k] \cup V$  with  $\delta_{1, k-1}(H) \geq (1/2 + \gamma)n$  admits a perfect matching, where  $|V| = n$ .*

**Step 4. Embed the paths.** Now back to the original  $(1, k)$ -graph  $H^*$ , each matching edge in  $\bigcup_{i \in [k]} M_i$  can be blown up and we obtain an  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal family of paths. This is achieved in Lemma 4.6. However, note that distinct matchings  $M_i, M_j$  may intersect on vertices in  $\mathcal{W}$ . To overcome this, we build the  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal family of paths in  $H$  piece by piece by zooming in each matching  $M_i$  one by one.

**4.3. Tools.** The following proposition shows that the reduced hypergraph almost inherits the minimum degree property of the original hypergraph.

**Proposition 4.4.** *For any  $\gamma > 0, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the following holds for sufficiently large  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ . Given a  $(1, k)$ -graph  $H^*$  with  $\delta_{1, k-1}(H^*) \geq (1/2 + \gamma)n$  and an  $\varepsilon$ -regular partition  $V(H^*) = V_0 \cup I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_t \cup W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_t$ , let  $K := K(\varepsilon, \gamma/6)$  be the reduced hypergraph. The number of  $(1, k-1)$ -subsets  $S$  of  $V(K)$  violating  $\deg_K(S) \geq (1/2 + \gamma/4)t$  is at most  $k\sqrt{\varepsilon}t^k$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $1/t, \varepsilon \ll \gamma$ . Note that the reduced hypergraph  $K(\varepsilon, \gamma/6)$  can be written as the intersection of two hypergraphs  $D := D(\gamma/6)$  and  $R := R(\varepsilon)$  both defined on the vertex set  $\{I_1, \dots, I_t, W_1, \dots, W_t\}$  where

- $D$  consists of all sets  $\{I_{i_0}, W_{i_1}, \dots, W_{i_k}\}$  such that  $d(I_{i_0}, W_{i_1}, \dots, W_{i_k}) \geq \gamma/6$ ,
- $R$  consists of all sets  $\{I_{i_0}, W_{i_1}, \dots, W_{i_k}\}$  such that  $(I_{i_0}, W_{i_1}, \dots, W_{i_k})$  is  $\varepsilon$ -regular.

For any  $(1, k-1)$ -set  $S$ , assuming  $S = \{I_1, W_1, W_2, \dots, W_{k-1}\}$ , we first show that

$$(3) \quad \deg_D(S) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right)t.$$

Note that  $n/t \geq m := |W_i| = |I_j|$  for  $i, j \in [t]$ . We now consider the number  $z$  of edges in  $H^*$  which intersect each of  $I_{i_0}, W_{i_1}, \dots, W_{i_{k-1}}$  in exactly one vertex. If (3) does not hold, then from the condition on  $\delta_{1, k-1}(H^*)$ , we have

$$(4) \quad tm^{k+1} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2\gamma}{3}\right) \leq m^k \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma\right)n - (k-1)m\right) \leq z < \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right)tm^{k+1} + t\frac{\gamma}{6}m^{k+1},$$

a contradiction.

Note that there are at most  $\varepsilon t^{k+1}$  edges in  $\bar{R}$  (the complement of  $R$ ). Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the family of all  $(1, k-1)$ -element subsets  $S$  for which  $\deg_{\bar{R}}(S) > \sqrt{\varepsilon}t$ . We have  $|\mathcal{S}| \leq k\sqrt{\varepsilon}t^k$ . This, together with (3) and  $\varepsilon \ll \gamma$ , implies that all but at most  $k\sqrt{\varepsilon}t^k$   $(1, k-1)$ -sets  $S \subseteq V(K)$  satisfy  $\deg_K(S) \geq \deg_D(S) - \sqrt{\varepsilon}t \geq (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{4})t$ .  $\square$

**4.4. Random Partition.** Ferber and Kwan [27] showed that if we randomly partition the vertex set of a  $k$ -graph  $H$ , then the subgraph of  $H$  induced on almost all parts inherits the minimum degree of  $H$ . Here we need such a result for our  $(1, k)$ -graphs, whose proof follows almost identical as that in [27]. We include a proof for completeness.

**Lemma 4.5** (Partition Lemma). *Suppose that  $k \geq 3$ ,  $\lambda, \gamma > 0$ , there exist  $\eta > 0$  and  $Q \in k\mathbb{N}$  such that the following holds for  $t \in Q\mathbb{N}$ . If  $H^*$  is a  $(1, k)$ -graph on  $\left[\frac{t}{k}\right] \cup V$  with  $|V| = t$  where all but at most  $\frac{\eta t}{k} \binom{t}{k-1}$  of the  $(1, k-1)$ -subsets of  $V(H^*)$  have degree at least  $(1/2 + \gamma)(t - k + 1)$ , then there is a partition  $V(H^*) = S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_{t/Q}$  such that all but at most  $\lambda t/Q$  classes  $S_i$  satisfy*

$\delta_{1,k-1}(H^*[S_i]) \geq (1/2 + \gamma/2)(Q - k + 1)$  where each  $S_i$  consists of a  $Q/k$ -subset of  $[t/k]$  and a  $Q$ -subset of  $V$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\eta \ll 1/Q \ll \lambda, \gamma$ . Partition  $[t/k]$  into  $t/Q$  sets  $I_1, \dots, I_{t/Q}$  randomly such that  $|I_i| = Q/k$  for  $i \in [t/Q]$ . We randomly order  $V$  as  $v_1, \dots, v_t$  and let  $V_i = \{v_{(i-1)Q+1}, \dots, v_{iQ}\}$  for  $i \in [t/Q]$ . Let  $S_i = I_i \cup V_i$  for  $i \in [t/Q]$ . Note that each  $V_i$  is a random subset of  $V$ . Let  $M^*$  be the collection of  $(1, k-1)$ -subsets with degree less than  $(1/2 + \gamma)(t - k + 1)$  in  $H^*$ . Then  $|M^*| \leq \frac{\eta t}{k} \binom{t}{k-1}$ . We will prove that for  $i \in [t/Q]$  and every  $(1, k-1)$ -subset  $S$  of  $S_i$ ,

$$(5) \quad \Pr \left[ \deg_{H^*[S_i]}(S) < \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) (Q - k + 1) \right] \leq \eta + e^{-\Omega(\gamma^2 Q)}.$$

First note that the probability of the event  $S \in M^*$ , is at most  $\eta$ . Now let  $A_S$  be the event that  $S$  is not in  $M^*$ . The set  $V_i \setminus S$  is equivalent to a uniformly random set of size  $Q - (k - 1)$  in  $V \setminus S$ . Let  $A$  denote the event that a vertex  $v$  in  $V_i \setminus S$  such that  $S \cup \{v\} \in E(H^*)$ . Note that

$$\Pr[A|A_S] \geq \frac{(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma)(t - k + 1) \binom{t-k}{Q-k}}{(Q - (k - 1)) \binom{t-(k-1)}{Q-(k-1)}} = \frac{1}{2} + \gamma,$$

then we have

$$\mathbb{E} [\deg_{H^*[S_i]}(S)|A_S] \geq \left( \frac{1}{2} + \gamma \right) (Q - k + 1).$$

Exchanging any element with an element outside  $V_i \setminus S$  affects  $\deg_{H^*[S_i]}(S)$  by at most 1. Fixing  $i$ , we apply Proposition 2.2 with  $S \notin M^*$ , the probability that  $S$  has degree less than  $(1/2 + \gamma/2)(Q - k + 1)$  in  $H^*[S_i]$  is at most

$$2 \exp \left( - \frac{2 \left( \frac{\gamma}{2} (Q - k + 1) \right)^2}{(Q - k + 1)} \right) = e^{-\Omega(\gamma^2 Q)}.$$

Thus, (5) is proved.

We say that  $S_i$  is *poor* if some  $(1, k-1)$ -set in the induced graph  $H^*[S_i]$  has degree less than  $(1/2 + \gamma/2)(Q - k + 1)$ . By (5),  $\Pr[S_i \text{ is poor}] \leq \frac{Q}{k} \binom{Q}{k-1} (\eta + e^{-\Omega(\gamma^2 Q)})$  for  $i \in [t/Q]$ . Let  $X$  be the number of poor classes in our partition, then  $\mathbb{E}[X] \leq \frac{t}{k} \binom{Q}{k-1} (\eta + e^{-\Omega(\gamma^2 Q)})$ . By Markov's inequality, we obtain

$$\Pr \left[ X \geq \lambda \frac{t}{Q} \right] \leq \frac{Q}{\lambda k} \binom{Q}{k-1} (\eta + e^{-\Omega(\gamma^2 Q)}).$$

By the choice of  $\eta \ll 1/Q \ll \lambda, \gamma$ , it follows that

$$\frac{Q}{k} \binom{Q}{k-1} (\eta + e^{-\Omega(\gamma^2 Q)}) < \lambda,$$

and thus  $\Pr[X \geq \lambda \frac{t}{Q}] < 1$ . Therefore, there is a partition  $V(H^*) = S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_{t/Q}$ , where  $S_i = I_i \cup V_i$ , such that at least  $(1 - \lambda)t/Q$  classes of them satisfy  $\delta_{1,k-1}(H^*[S_i]) \geq (1/2 + \gamma/2)(Q - k + 1)$ .  $\square$

**4.5. Path Embeddings.** Given a  $(k+1)$ -partite  $(k+1)$ -graph  $H$  on  $V_0 \cup V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_k$ , we call that a  $(k-1)$ -subset  $S$  of  $V(H)$  is *legal* if  $|S \cap V_i| \leq 1$  for  $i \in [k]$  and  $|S \cap V_0| = 0$ . An *expanded path*  $P$  of length  $t$  in  $H$  is a  $(k+1)$ -graph with vertex set  $\{c_1, \dots, c_t\} \cup \{v_1, \dots, v_{t+k-1}\}$  where  $\{c_1, \dots, c_t\} \subseteq V_0$ ,  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{t+k-1}\} \subseteq V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_k$  and edge set  $\{e_1, \dots, e_t\}$  such that  $e_i = \{c_i, v_i, \dots, v_{i+k-1}\}$ . Note that  $|V(P) \cap V_j| = \lfloor \frac{t+k-1}{k} \rfloor$  or  $\lceil \frac{t+k-1}{k} \rceil$  for  $j \in [k]$ .




FIGURE 3. An expanded path in a 4-partite 4-graph (the vertices with the same color are from the same part)

**Lemma 4.6.** *Given  $c, m > 0$  and  $k \geq 2$ , every  $(k+1)$ -partite  $(k+1)$ -graph  $H$  on  $V_0 \cup V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_k$  with at most  $m$  vertices in each part and with at least  $cm^{k+1}$  edges contains an expanded path of at least  $cm/k$  vertices.*

*Proof.* There are at most  $k \cdot m^{k-1}$  legal  $(k-1)$ -subsets of  $V(H)$ . We proceed the following process iteratively. If there is a legal  $(k-1)$ -subset  $S$ , which is contained in less than  $cm^2/k$  edges in the current hypergraph, then we delete all the edges containing  $S$ . The process terminates at a nonempty hypergraph  $H_0$  since less than  $km^{k-1}(cm^2/k) = cm^{k+1}$  edges have been deleted in total. In  $H_0$ , every legal  $(k-1)$ -subset has degree either zero or at least  $cm^2/k$ .

Let  $P$  be a longest expanded path in  $H_0$  with vertex set  $\{c_1, \dots, c_t\} \cup \{v_1, \dots, v_{t+k-1}\}$  for some integer  $t$ . We have  $|V(P) \cap V_0| = t$  and  $|V(P) \cap V_i| \leq t$  since each edge contains exactly one vertex of  $V_i$  for each  $i \in [k]$ . Consider  $S_t = \{v_{t+1}, \dots, v_{t+k-1}\}$ , which is a legal  $(k-1)$ -subset of  $V(H)$ . Furthermore,  $\deg_{H_0}(S_t) \geq cm^2/k$  since  $S_t$  has positive degree. All the edges containing  $S_t$  must intersect  $(V(P) \cap V_0) \cup (V(P) \cap V_j)$  by the maximality of  $P$ , where the index  $j > 0$  such that  $S_t \cap V_j = \emptyset$ . Thus, we have

$$(6) \quad \frac{cm^2}{k} \leq |V(P) \cap V_0| \cdot |V_j| + |V(P) \cap V_j| \cdot |V_0| \leq 2tm,$$

which implies  $t \geq cm/(2k)$ . Note that  $|V(P)| = t + t + k - 1$  and thus  $|V(P)| \geq cm/k$ .  $\square$

The next result enables us to find a family of long vertex-disjoint expanded paths which covers almost all vertices in  $V_0$  in an  $\varepsilon$ -regular  $(k+1)$ -partite  $(k+1)$ -graph.

**Lemma 4.7.** *For any  $\alpha > 0, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the following holds for sufficiently large  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $H$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -regular  $(k+1)$ -partite  $(k+1)$ -graph with density at least  $\alpha$  and  $V(H) = V_0 \cup V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_k$  where  $|V_0| = m$ ,  $m/k \leq |V_i| \leq m$  for  $i \in [k]$ . Then we obtain that  $H$  contains a family  $\mathcal{P}$  of vertex-disjoint expanded paths such that for each  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $|V(P)| \geq \varepsilon(\alpha - \varepsilon)m/k$  and  $\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} |V(P) \cap V_0| \geq (1 - 2k\varepsilon)m$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $1/m \ll \varepsilon \ll \alpha, 1/k$ . We call an expanded path  $P$  good if  $|V(P)| \geq \varepsilon(\alpha - \varepsilon)m/k$ . Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_p\}$  be a largest family of good, vertex-disjoint expanded paths and  $|V(P_i) \cap V_0| = t_i$  for  $i \in [p]$ . Note that  $|V(P_i) \cap V_j| = \lfloor \frac{t_i + k - 1}{k} \rfloor$  or  $\lceil \frac{t_i + k - 1}{k} \rceil$  for  $i \in [p]$  and  $j \in [k]$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $\mathcal{P}$  covers less than  $(1 - 2k\varepsilon)m$  vertices of  $V_0$  and thus  $\sum_{i \in [p]} t_i < (1 - 2k\varepsilon)m$ . Let  $W = V(H) - \bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} V(P)$  be the set of vertices uncovered by  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then we have  $|W \cap V_0| \geq 2k\varepsilon m$ .

Hence, by the observation that  $|V(P_i) \cap V_j| \leq \lceil \frac{t_i+k-1}{k} \rceil \leq \frac{t_i}{k} + 2$  for each  $i \in [p]$ ,  $j \in [k]$  and the fact that  $p = |\mathcal{P}| \leq (k+1)m/(\varepsilon(\alpha - \varepsilon)m/k) = k(k+1)(\varepsilon(\alpha - \varepsilon))^{-1}$ , we have that

$$|W \cap V_i| = |V_i| - |V_i \cap V(\mathcal{P})| \geq \frac{m}{k} - \sum_{i \in [p]} \left( \frac{t_i}{k} + 2 \right) \geq \frac{m}{k} - \frac{(1 - 2k\varepsilon)m}{k} - 2p \geq \varepsilon m + 1.$$

Let  $W_i \subseteq W \cap V_i, i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$  be such that

$$|W_0| = |W_1| = \dots = |W_k| = \varepsilon m \geq \varepsilon |V_i|.$$

Finally, let  $\hat{H}$  be the subhypergraph of  $H$  induced on the vertex set  $W_0 \cup W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_k$ . Since  $H$  is  $\varepsilon$ -regular, we have

$$d_H(W_0, W_1, \dots, W_k) \geq d_H(V_0, V_1, \dots, V_k) - \varepsilon \geq \alpha - \varepsilon,$$

or equivalently,

$$|E(\hat{H})| \geq (\alpha - \varepsilon)(\varepsilon m)^{k+1},$$

and then Lemma 4.6 implies that there is an expanded path in  $\hat{H}$  on at least  $\varepsilon(\alpha - \varepsilon)m/k$  vertices, contrary to the maximality of  $\mathcal{P}$ .  $\square$

#### 4.6. Proof of Lemma 2.7.

*Proof.* We choose the following parameters

$$1/n \ll 1/T_0 \ll \varepsilon, 1/t_0 \ll 1/Q \ll \lambda \ll \delta, \gamma, 1/k.$$

Given a  $(k, n, \gamma)$ -graph system  $\mathbf{H} = \{H_i\}_{i \in [n]}$  on  $V$ , we construct a  $(1, k)$ -graph  $H^*$  with vertex set  $[n] \cup V$  and edge set  $\{\{i\} \cup e : e \in E(H_i), i \in [n]\}$ . With an initial partition  $[n] \cup V$  of  $V(H^*)$ , we apply Lemma 4.1 to  $H^*$ , and obtain a partition  $V(H^*) = V_0^* \cup I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_{t_1} \cup W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_{t_2}$  where  $t_0 \leq t_1, t_2 \leq T_0, |I_i| = |W_j| = m$  for  $i \in [t_1]$  and  $j \in [t_2]$ ,  $|V_0^*| \leq 2\varepsilon n$ . By moving at most  $2\varepsilon n/m$  clusters to  $V_0^*$  and renaming if necessary, we obtain a partition  $V(H^*) = V_0 \cup I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_t \cup W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_t$ , where  $t = \min\{t_1, t_2\}$ ,  $|V_0| \leq 4\varepsilon n$ ,  $I_i \subseteq [n]$  and  $W_j \subseteq V$  for every  $i, j \in [t]$ . Let  $L = \left\lceil \frac{3kT_0}{\varepsilon(\gamma/6 - \varepsilon)} \right\rceil$ .

Let  $K := K(\varepsilon, \gamma/6)$  be the  $(1, k)$ -partite reduced hypergraph on  $\mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{W}$  where  $\mathcal{I} = \{I_1, \dots, I_t\}$  and  $\mathcal{W} = \{W_1, \dots, W_t\}$ . We get a family of  $(1, k)$ -graphs  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \dots, F_k\}$  where  $F_i = K[\{I_{(i-1)t/k+1}, \dots, I_{it/k}\} \cup \mathcal{W}]$  for  $i \in [k]$ .

For each  $i \in [k]$ , applying Proposition 4.4 and Lemma 4.5 to  $F_i$  with  $\eta = k\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ , we obtain a partition  $V(F_i) = S_{i,1} \cup \dots \cup S_{i,t/Q}$  such that each  $S_{i,j}$  consists of  $Q/k$  vertices in  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $Q$  vertices in  $\mathcal{W}$ , and all but at most  $\lambda t/Q$  classes  $S_{i,j}$  satisfy  $\delta_{1,k-1}(F_i[S_{i,j}]) \geq (1/2 + \gamma/2)(Q - k + 1)$  where  $j \in [t/Q]$ . We call such classes  $S_{i,j}$  *nice*. Denote by  $\mathcal{S}_i$  the set of indices  $j$  such that  $S_{i,j}$  is nice. Then  $|\mathcal{S}_i| \geq (1 - \lambda)t/Q$ . Applying Lemma 4.3 to each  $F_i[S_{i,\ell}]$  for  $i \in [k]$ ,  $\ell \in \mathcal{S}_i$ , we obtain a perfect matching  $M_{i,\ell}$ . Let  $M_i = \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathcal{S}_i} M_{i,\ell}$  and  $M = \bigcup_{i \in [k]} M_i$ . Note that each  $M_i$  is a matching in  $F_i$ . For each  $W_j \in \mathcal{W}$ , let  $p_j$  be the number of edges in  $M$  that contain  $W_j$ ,  $j \in [t]$ . Then  $\sum_{j \in [t]} p_j \geq k \cdot (1 - \lambda) \frac{t}{Q} \cdot Q = (1 - \lambda)kt$ . Next, we proceed the following process.

##### Path Embedding Process:

Given  $H^*, \mathcal{I} = \{I_1, \dots, I_t\}, \mathcal{W} = \{W_1, \dots, W_t\}, M_1, \dots, M_k$ , we initialize  $W_j^* := W_j$  for  $j \in [t]$  and  $i := 1$ .

**Step 1.** For each  $e \in M_i$ , let  $H_e$  be the subgraph of  $H^*$  induced on the corresponding clusters constituting the edge  $e$ , we denote by  $I_e, W_{j_1(e)}^*, \dots, W_{j_k(e)}^*$  where  $I_e \in \mathcal{I}$ .

**Step 2.** Applying Lemma 4.7 to each  $H_e$ ,  $e \in M_i$  with  $\alpha = \gamma/6$ , we obtain a family  $\mathcal{P}_e$  of vertex-disjoint expanded paths that covers all but at most  $2k\epsilon m$  vertices in  $I_e$  and for each  $P \in \mathcal{P}_e$ ,  $|V(P)| \geq \epsilon(\gamma/6 - \epsilon)m/k$ .

**Step 3.** Let  $\mathcal{P}_i = \bigcup_{j \leq i} \bigcup_{e \in M_j} \mathcal{P}_e$  and update  $W_j^*$  by deleting the vertices used in  $\mathcal{P}_i$  for  $j \in [t]$ .

**Step 4.** Update  $i := i + 1$  and if  $i \leq k$ , go to **Step 1**; otherwise terminate the process.

After the process, we obtain  $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{P}_k$ . It follows from the definition of  $p_j$  that the size of uncovered vertices of each  $W_j$  is

$$|W_j^*| = m - \sum_{W_j \in e, e \in M} |\mathcal{P}_e \cap W_j| \leq m - p_j \lfloor \frac{(1 - 2k\epsilon)m + k - 1}{k} \rfloor \leq m - p_j \frac{(1 - 2k\epsilon)m}{k}.$$

Recall that  $\sum_{j \in [t]} p_j \geq (1 - \lambda)kt$ . We obtain that  $\mathcal{P}$  covers all but

$$|V_0| + \sum_{j \in [t]} |W_j^*| \leq 4\epsilon n + \sum_{j \in [t]} \left( m - p_j \frac{(1 - 2k\epsilon)m}{k} \right) \leq (4(k+1)\epsilon + \lambda)n \leq \delta n$$

vertices of  $V$ . Moreover, since  $|V(P)| \geq \frac{\epsilon(\frac{\gamma}{6} - \epsilon)}{k} \lfloor \frac{n}{t} \rfloor$  for each path  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $t \leq T_0$ , we have  $|\mathcal{P}| < 2n / (\frac{\epsilon(\frac{\gamma}{6} - \epsilon)}{k} \lfloor \frac{n}{t} \rfloor) < L$ . Finally, observe that  $\mathcal{P}$  gives rise to an **H**-transversal family of paths which completes the proof.  $\square$




FIGURE 4. The proof sketch of Lemma 2.7

## 5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Inspired by a series of recent successes on transversal settings of matchings [57, 56, 58, 59] and Hamilton cycles [43], we suspect the threshold for transversal Hamilton cycle in a  $k$ -graph system is the same with the threshold for Hamilton cycle in a single  $k$ -graph.

**Conjecture 5.1.** *Suppose  $\mathbf{H} = \{H_i\}_{i \in [n]}$  is an  $n$ -vertex  $k$ -graph system on  $V$ ,  $n \geq k + 1 \geq 4$ , such that  $\delta_{k-1}(H_i) \geq \lfloor (n - k + 3)/2 \rfloor$ , then there is an  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal Hamilton cycle.*

On the other hand, the problem of giving the sufficient condition for the transversal Hamilton  $\ell$ -cycles,  $\ell \in [k - 2]$ , is still open.

## 6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (11871311, 11631014) and Youth Interdisciplinary Innovation Group of Shandong University. We thank the anonymous referee for detailed feedback that improved the presentation of the paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Aharoni, M. DeVos, S.G.H. de la Maza, A. Montejano, and R. Šámal. A rainbow version of Mantel’s theorem. *Adv. Combin.*, 2, 12pp, 2020.
- [2] R. Aharoni and D. Howard. Size conditions for the existence of rainbow matching. <http://math.colgate.edu/~dmhoward/rsc.pdf>.
- [3] S. Akbari, O. Etesami, H. Mahini, and M. Mahmoody. On rainbow cycles in edge colored complete graphs. *Australas. J. Combin.*, 37:33, 2007.
- [4] M. Albert, A. Frieze, and B. Reed. Multicoloured Hamilton cycles. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 2(1):R10, 1995.
- [5] P. Allen, O. Parczyk, and V. Pfenninger. Resilience for tight Hamiltonicity. *arXiv:2105.04513*.
- [6] N. Alon, P. Frankl, H. Huang, V. Rödl, A. Ruciński, and B. Sudakov. Large matchings in uniform hypergraphs and the conjecture of Erdős and Samuels. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 119(6):1200–1215, 2012.
- [7] N. Alon, A. Pokrovskiy, and B. Sudakov. Random subgraphs of properly edge-coloured complete graphs and long rainbow cycles. *Israel J. Math.*, 222:317–331, 2017.
- [8] S. Antoniuk, N. Kamčev, and A. Ruciński. Properly colored Hamilton cycles in Dirac-type hypergraphs. *arXiv:2006.16544v1*.
- [9] D. Bal and A. Frieze. Rainbow matchings and Hamilton cycles in random graphs. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 48(3):503–523, 2016.
- [10] J. D. O. Bastos, G. O. Mota, M. Schacht, J. Schnitzer, and F. Schlenker. Loose Hamiltonian cycles forced by  $(k - 2)$ -degree - approximate version. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 31(4):2328–2347, 2017.
- [11] J. D. O. Bastos, G. O. Mota, M. Schacht, J. Schnitzer, and F. Schlenker. Loose Hamiltonian cycles forced by large  $(k - 2)$ -degree - sharp version. *Contrib. Discrete Math.*, 13(2):88–100, 2018.
- [12] P. Bradshaw. Transversals and bipancyclicity in bipartite graph families. *arXiv:2002.10014v5*.
- [13] P. Bradshaw, K. Halasz, and L. Stacho. From one to many rainbow Hamiltonian cycles. *Graphs Combin.*, 38(6):188, 2022.
- [14] E. Buß, H. Hàn, and M. Schacht. Minimum vertex degree conditions for loose Hamilton cycles in 3-uniform hypergraphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 114(6):658–678, 2013.
- [15] H. Chen. Long rainbow paths and rainbow cycles in edge colored graphs—A survey. *Applied Math. Comput.*, 317:187–192, 2018.
- [16] H. Chen and X. Li. Long rainbow path in properly edge-colored complete graphs. *arXiv:1503.04516*.



- [17] Y. Cheng, J. Han, B. Wang, and G. Wang. Rainbow spanning structures in graph and hypergraph systems. *arXiv: 2105. 10219v1*.
- [18] Y. Cheng, G. Wang, and Y. Zhao. Rainbow pancyclicity in graph systems. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 28(3), 2021.
- [19] M. Coulson, P. Keevash, G. Perarnau, and L. Yepremyan. Rainbow factors in hypergraphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 172, 2020.
- [20] M. Coulson and G. Perarnau. A rainbow Dirac’s theorem. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 34(3):1670–1692, 2020.
- [21] A. Czygrinow and T. Molla. Tight co-degree condition for the existence of loose Hamilton cycles in 3-graphs. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 28(1):67–76, 2013.
- [22] G. A. Dirac. Some theorems on abstract graphs. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 3-2(1):69–81, 1952.
- [23] A. Dudek and M. Ferrara. Extensions of results on rainbow Hamilton cycles in uniform hypergraphs. *Graphs Combin.*, 31:577–583, 2015.
- [24] A. Dudek, A. Frieze, and A. Ruciński. Rainbow Hamilton cycles in uniform hypergraphs. *Electron. J. Combin.*, page P46, 2012.
- [25] A. Ferber, J. Han, and D. Mao. Dirac-type problem of rainbow matchings and Hamilton cycles in random graphs. *arXiv:2211.05477*, 2022.
- [26] A. Ferber and M. Krivelevich. Rainbow Hamilton cycles in random graphs and hypergraphs. *Recent trends Combin.*, pages 167–189, 2016.
- [27] A. Ferber and M. Kwan. Dirac-type theorems in random hypergraphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 155:318–357, 2022.
- [28] P. Frankl and A. Kupavskii. Simple juntas for shifted families. *Discrete Anal.*, pages Paper No. 14, 18, 2020.
- [29] A. Frieze and P. Loh. Rainbow Hamilton cycles in random graphs. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 44(3):328–354, 2014.
- [30] H. Gebauer and F. Mousset. On rainbow cycles and paths. *arXiv:1207.0840*.
- [31] R. Gould. Recent advances on the Hamiltonian problem: Survey iii. *Graphs Combin.*, 30:1–46, 2014.
- [32] S. Gould, T. Kelly, D. Kühn, and D. Osthus. Almost all optimally coloured complete graphs contain a rainbow Hamilton path. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 156:57–100, 2022.
- [33] C. Greenhill, M. Isaev, M. Kwan, and B. D. McKay. The average number of spanning trees in sparse graphs with given degrees. *European J. Combin.*, 63:6–25, 2017.
- [34] A. Gyárfás and M. Mhalla. Rainbow and orthogonal paths in factorizations of  $K_n$ . *J. Combin. Des.*, 18(3):167–176, 2010.
- [35] A. Gyárfás, M. Ruszinkó, G. Sárközy, and R. Schelp. Long rainbow cycles in proper edge-colorings of complete graphs. *Australas. J. Combin.*, 50:45–53, 2011.
- [36] G. Hahn and C. Thomassen. Path and cycle sub-Ramsey numbers and an edge-colouring conjecture. *Discrete Math.*, 62(1):29–33, 1986.
- [37] H. Hàn and M. Schacht. Dirac-type results for loose Hamilton cycles in uniform hypergraphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 100(3):332–346, 2010.
- [38] J. Han and Y. Zhao. Minimum codegree threshold for Hamilton  $\ell$ -cycles in  $k$ -uniform hypergraphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 132:194–223, 2015.
- [39] J. Han and Y. Zhao. Minimum vertex degree threshold for loose Hamilton cycles in 3-uniform hypergraphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 132:70–96, 2015.
- [40] J. Han and Y. Zhao. Hamiltonicity in randomly perturbed hypergraphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 144:14–31, 2020.
- [41] H. Huang, P. Loh, and B. Sudakov. The size of a hypergraph and its matching number. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 21(03):442–450, 2012.
- [42] S. Janson, T. Łuczak, and A. Ruciński. Random graphs. *John Wiley and Sons*, New York, 2000.
- [43] F. Joos and J. Kim. On a rainbow version of Dirac’s theorem. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 52(3):498–504, 2020.
- [44] G. Katona and H. Kierstead. Hamiltonian chains in hypergraphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 30(3):205–212, 1999.

- [45] P. Keevash, D. Kühn, R. Mycroft, and D. Osthus. Loose Hamilton cycles in hypergraphs. *Discrete Math.*, 311(7):544–559, 2010.
- [46] P. Keevash, N. Lifshitz, E. Long, and D. Minzer. Global hypercontractivity and its applications. *arXiv:2103.04604v1*.
- [47] P. Keevash, D. Mubayi, B. Sudakov, and J. Verstraëte. Rainbow turán problems. *Combin., Probab. Comput.*, 16(1):109–126, 2007.
- [48] D. Kühn, R. Mycroft, and D. Osthus. Hamilton  $\ell$ -cycles in uniform hypergraphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 117(7):910–927, 2010.
- [49] D. Kühn and D. Osthus. Hamilton cycles in graphs and hypergraphs: an extremal perspective. *arXiv:1402.4268*.
- [50] D. Kühn and D. Osthus. Loose Hamilton cycles in 3-uniform hypergraphs of high minimum degree. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 96(6):767–821, 2006.
- [51] D. Kühn and D. Osthus. A survey on Hamilton cycles in directed graphs. *European J. Combin.*, 33(5):750–766, 2012.
- [52] A. Kupavskii. Rainbow version of the Erdős matching conjecture via concentration. *arXiv:2104.0803v1*.
- [53] R. Lang and N. Sanhueza-Matamala. Minimum degree conditions for tight Hamilton cycles. *arXiv:2005.05291*.
- [54] I. Levitt, G. N. Sárközy, and E. Szemerédi. How to avoid using the Regularity lemma: Pósa’s conjecture revisited. *Discrete Math.*, 310(3):630–641, 2010.
- [55] G. Liu and X. Liu. Hamiltonian paths and cycles in some 4-uniform hypergraph. *arXiv: 2104.05016v2*.
- [56] H. Lu, Y. Wang, and X. Yu. Rainbow perfect matchings for 4-uniform hypergraphs. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 36(3):1645–1662, 2022.
- [57] H. Lu, Y. Wang, and X. Yu. A better bound on the size of rainbow matchings. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 195:105700, 2023.
- [58] H. Lu and X. Yu. On rainbow matchings for hypergraphs. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 32(1):382–393, 2018.
- [59] H. Lu, X. Yu, and X. Yuan. Rainbow matchings for 3-uniform hypergraphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 183, 2021.
- [60] A. McDowell and R. Mycroft. Hamilton  $\ell$ -cycles in randomly-perturbed hypergraphs. *arXiv:1802.04242*.
- [61] R. Montgomery, A. Müyesser, and Y. Pehova. Transversal factors and spanning trees. *Adv. Combin.*, 3, 25pp, 2022.
- [62] J. Moon and L. Moser. On Hamiltonian bipartite graphs. *Israel J. Math.*, 1(3):163–165, 1963.
- [63] C. Reiher, V. Rödl, A. Ruciński, M. Schacht, and E. Szemerédi. Minimum vertex degree condition for tight Hamiltonian cycles in 3-uniform hypergraphs. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 119(2), 2019.
- [64] V. Rödl and A. Ruciński. Dirac-type questions for hypergraphs—a survey (or more problems for endre to solve). *Bolyai Society Math. Studies*, 21:561–590, 2010.
- [65] V. Rödl, A. Ruciński, and E. Szemerédi. A Dirac-type theorem for 3-uniform hypergraphs. *Combin., Prob. Comp.*, 15(1-2):229–251, 2006.
- [66] V. Rödl, A. Ruciński, and E. Szemerédi. An approximate Dirac-type theorem for  $k$ -uniform hypergraphs. *Combinatorica(Budapest. 1981)*, 28(2):229–260, 2008.
- [67] V. Rödl, A. Ruciński, and E. Szemerédi. Perfect matchings in large uniform hypergraphs with large minimum collective degree. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 116(3):613–636, 2009.
- [68] V. Rödl, A. Ruciński, and E. Szemerédi. Dirac-type conditions for Hamiltonian paths and cycles in 3-uniform hypergraphs. *Adv. Math.*, 227(3):1225–1299, 2011.
- [69] M. Simonovits and E. Szemerédi. Embedding graphs into larger graphs: results, methods, and problems. In *Building Bridges. II*, volume 28 of *Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud.*, pages 445–592. Springer, Berlin, [2019] ©2019.
- [70] Y. Zhao. Recent advances on Dirac-type problems for hypergraphs. In *Recent trends in combinatorics*, volume 159 of *IMA Vol. Math. Appl.*, pages 145–165. Springer, [Cham], 2016.

## APPENDIX A. THE POSTPONED PROOFS

In this section, we prove Lemma 2.6. The idea of the proof is to grow tree-like structures (called cascades) from both designated ends  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  until they meet, forming the  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal path as desired. Our proof follows almost identical as that in [54, 66]. Before we describe the cascades, it is convenient to introduce the following notation. For two sequences of vertices

$$\omega_1 = (v_1, \dots, v_r, w_1, \dots, w_s) \text{ and } \omega_2 = (w_1, \dots, w_s, u_1, \dots, u_t)$$

where  $r, t \geq 1, s \geq 0$  and all vertices are distinct, we define their *concatenation* as

$$\omega_1 \omega_2 = (v_1, \dots, v_r, w_1, \dots, w_s, u_1, \dots, u_t).$$

This operation can be iterated. For instance, if  $\omega_1 = (w_1, \dots, w_{k-2})$ ,  $\omega_2 = (w_2, \dots, w_{k-1})$  and  $\omega_3 = (w_3, \dots, w_k)$  where all  $w_i$  are distinct, then  $\omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_3 = (w_1, \dots, w_k)$ . We could write  $\omega_1 \omega_2 w_k$  instead of  $\omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_3$ . Let  $e_0 = (v_1, \dots, v_{k-1})$  be a given  $(k-1)$ -tuple of vertices. We will define the *transversal  $e_0$ -cascade* as an auxiliary sequence of bipartite graphs  $G_j, j = 1, 2, \dots$ , with bipartitions  $(A_{j-1}, A_j)$ , whose vertices are  $(k-2)$ -tuples of the vertices of  $H$  and the edges correspond to some  $(k-1)$ -tuples of the vertices of  $H$ . Each node  $f \in A_j$  belongs to two graphs  $G_j$  and  $G_{j+1}$ . Its neighbors in  $G_j$  belongs to  $A_{j-1}$ , while its neighbors in  $G_{j+1}$  belongs to  $A_{j+1}$ . For a node  $f = (v_1, \dots, v_{k-2})$  of the transversal cascade, the vertex  $v_1$  is called the *prefix*, while  $v_{k-2}$  is called the *suffix* of  $f$ .

We define the transversal cascade recursively as follows. Let  $e_0 = (v_1, \dots, v_{k-1})$ ,  $f_0 = (v_2, \dots, v_{k-1})$  and  $A_0 = \{f_0\}$ . For every vertex  $v \notin e_0$ , we include the node  $g = (v_3, \dots, v_{k-1}, v)$  in the set  $A_1$  if and only if  $v_1 f_0 g = e_0 v \in H_{c_1}$  for  $c_1 \in [c]$ . The graph  $G_1$  is the star with center  $f_0$  and the arms leading to all the nodes  $g \in A_1$ .

Further, let  $A_2$  be the set of all  $(k-2)$ -tuples  $h$  such that for some node  $g \in A_1$  we have  $f_0 g h \in H_{c_2}$  where  $c_2 \neq c_1$  and  $c_2 \in [c]$ . Note that each  $h \in A_2$  is obtained from a node  $g \in A_1$  by dropping the prefix of  $g$  and adding a new suffix  $u$ , we denote such node by  $g_u$ . The graph  $G_2$  consists of all edges  $\{g, h\}$  where  $g \in A_1$ ,  $h \in A_2$  and  $f_0 g h \in H_{c_2}$ , it is equal to say  $G_2$  consists of all edges  $\{g, g_u\}$  where  $f_0 g u \in H_{c_2}$ .

For  $j = 3, \dots, k-2$ , we similarly define

$$A_j = \{h : \exists f \in A_{j-2}, g \in A_{j-1} \text{ such that } \{f, g\} \in G_{j-1}, fgh \in H_{c_j} \text{ where } c_j \neq c_\ell \text{ for } \ell \in [j-1]\}$$

and  $G_j$  as the bipartite graph with bipartition  $(A_{j-1}, A_j)$  and the edge set

$$\{\{g, h\} : \exists f \in A_{j-2} \text{ such that } \{f, g\} \in G_{j-1} \text{ and } fgh \in H_{c_j}, \text{ where } c_j \neq c_\ell \text{ for } \ell \in [j-1]\}.$$

In other words,  $A_j$  and  $G_j$  correspond to the sets of  $(k-2)$ -tuples and  $(k-1)$ -tuples of the vertices of  $V$  which can be reached from  $e_0$  in  $j$  steps by an  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal path.

**First refinement.** Having defined  $A_j$  and  $G_j$  for  $j \leq k$ , beginning with  $j = k-1$  we change the recursive mechanism by getting rid of the nodes in  $A_j$  with too small degree in  $G_j$ . We define auxiliary

$$A'_{k-1} = \{h : \exists f \in A_{k-3}, g \in A_{k-2} \text{ such that } \{f, g\} \in G_{k-2}, fgh \in H_{c_{k-1}} \text{ where } c_{k-1} \neq c_\ell \text{ for } \ell \in [k-2]\}$$

and  $G'_{k-1}$  as the bipartite graph with bipartition  $(A_{k-2}, A'_{k-1})$  and the edge set

$$\{\{g, h\} : \exists f \in A_{k-3} \text{ such that } \{f, g\} \in G_{k-2} \text{ and } fgh \in H_{c_{k-1}} \text{ where } c_{k-1} \neq c_\ell \text{ for } \ell \in [k-2]\}.$$

Then let  $A_{k-1}$  be the subset of  $A'_{k-1}$  consisting of all nodes  $h$  with  $\deg_{G'_{k-1}}(h) \geq \sqrt{n}$  and set  $G_{k-1} = G'_{k-1}[A_{k-2} \cup A_{k-1}]$ .

**Second refinement.** For  $j \geq k$ , to form an edge  $\{g, h\}$  of  $G_j$  we will now require not one but many nodes  $f \in A_{j-2}$  to fulfil the above definition.

Set  $m = \lceil n^{1/4} \rceil$ . Having defined  $G_{j-1}$ , let  $A'_j = \{h : \exists f_1, \dots, f_m \in A_{j-2}, g \in A_{j-1} \text{ such that for all } i \in [m], \{f_i, g\} \in G_{j-1} \text{ and } f_i g h \in H_{c_j} \text{ where } c_j \neq c_\ell \text{ for } \ell \in [j-1]\}$  and let  $G'_j$  be the bipartite graph with bipartition  $(A_{j-1}, A'_j)$  and the edge set  $\{\{g, h\} : \exists f_1, \dots, f_m \in A_{j-2} \text{ such that for all } i \in [m], \{f_i, g\} \in G_{j-1} \text{ and } f_i g h \in H_{c_j} \text{ where } c_j \neq c_\ell \text{ for } \ell \in [j-1]\}$ .

Finally, let  $A_j$  be the subset of  $A'_j$  consisting of all nodes  $h$  with  $\deg_{G'_j}(h) \geq \sqrt{n}$  and let  $G_j = G'_j[A_{j-1} \cup A_j]$ . The sequence  $(G_j), j = 1, 2, \dots$ , will be called the transversal  $e_0$ -cascade.

### A.1. Properties of the cascade.

**Claim A.1** ([66]). *For every  $j \geq k-1$  and every edge  $\{g, h\}$  of  $G_j$  where  $g = (w_1, \dots, w_{k-2}) \in A_{j-1}, h = (w_2, \dots, w_{k-1}) \in A_j$  and  $(g \cup h) \cap e_0 = \emptyset$  and for every set of vertices  $W \subset V \setminus (g \cup h \cup e_0)$  such that  $j + |W| \leq n^{1/4}$ , there is an  $\mathbf{H}$ -transversal path  $P$  of length  $j$  which connects  $(w_{k-1}, \dots, w_1)$  with  $e_0 = (v_1, \dots, v_{k-1})$  and  $V(P) \cap W = \emptyset$ .*

**Degrees.** Recall that  $G'_j = G_j$  for  $j \leq k-2$ . For a node  $g \in A_j$ , we set

$$d^+(g) = \deg_{G'_{j+1}}(g) \text{ and } d^-(g) = \deg_{G_j}(g)$$

for the *forward* and *backward* degree of  $g$  in the cascade. Note that in the definition of  $d^+(g)$  we consider the forward degree before some small degree vertices of  $A'_{j+1}$  are removed. The reason is that we have no control over the effects of the removal on individual forward degrees. On the other hand, for all  $f \in A_j$ ,  $\deg_{G_j}(f) = \deg_{G'_j}(f)$ , so the backward degree is unaffected unless the node is removed. It is trivial that  $d^-(g), d^+(g) \leq n - k + 2$ . Observe that  $G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_{k-2}$  is a tree, thus,  $d^-(g) = 1$  for all  $g \in A_j, j = 1, \dots, k-2$ . Recall that for  $j \geq k-1$  the graph  $G_j$  is obtained from  $G'_j$  by removing nodes  $g$  with  $\deg_{G'_j}(g) < \sqrt{n}$ . Hence our construction guarantees that for all  $g \in A_j, j \geq k-1$ , we have  $d^-(g) \geq \sqrt{n}$ .

For all  $j \leq k-2$  and all  $g \in A_j$ ,

$$(7) \quad d^+(g) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma\right)n,$$

since there are at least  $(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma)n$  vertices  $u$  such that  $fgu \in H_{c_{j+1}}$  where  $f$  is the neighbor of  $g$  in  $A_{j-1}$ . Each such vertex  $u$  corresponds to a neighbor  $g_u$  of  $g$  in  $A_{j+1}$ .

For  $j \geq k$ , the second refinement affects and no lower bound on  $d^+(g)$  is obvious. However, the lower bound  $d^-(g) \geq \sqrt{n}$  introduced by the first refinement maintains.

**Growth.** By inequality (7), for each  $j \in [k-2]$ , we have

$$(8) \quad |G_j| = |A_j| \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma\right)^j n^j,$$

$$(9) \quad |G_{k-1}| \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma\right)^{k-1} n^{k-1}.$$

Call a node  $f \in A_j$  *small* if  $d^-(f) < \frac{1}{2}n$  and denote by  $S_j$  the subset of  $A_j$  consisting of the small nodes. Assume for simplicity that  $1/\varepsilon^2$  is an integer.

**Claim A.2** ([66]). *There exists an index  $j_0$ ,  $k-1 \leq j_0 \leq k-1 + (k-1)/\gamma^2$  such that for all  $j \in [j_0, j_0 + k-2]$  we have  $|S_j| \leq 2\gamma n^{k-2}$ .*

**Claim A.3** ([66]). *Let*

$$k\gamma^{2^{2-k}} < 2^{-k}$$

*and let  $j_0$  be as in Claim A.2. Then  $|A_{j_0+k-2} \setminus S_{j_0+k-2}| \geq (n - k + 2 - \gamma^{2^{2-k}} n)^{k-2}$ .*

**A.2. The completion of the proof of Lemma 2.6.** Let  $\gamma_0$  satisfy the condition in Claim A.3, i.e.  $\gamma_0 := \gamma^{2^{2-k}}$  and  $k\gamma_0 < 2^{-k}$ . Given two disjoint  $(k-1)$ -tuples of vertices  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ , we build the transversal  $e_1$ -cascade and the transversal  $e_2$ -cascade, with the sets of nodes denoted by  $A_j$  and  $B_j$ .

Let  $j_1 = j_0 + k - 2$ , where  $j_0$  is the index guaranteed by Claim A.2 for the transversal  $e_1$ -cascade. Then by Claim A.3, with sufficiently large  $n$ , using Bernoulli inequality, we have

$$|A_{j_1} \setminus S_{j_1}| \geq (n - 2\gamma_0 n)^{k-2} > (1 - 2k\gamma_0)n^{k-2}.$$

On the other hand by inequality (8) for  $j = k-2$ , we have  $|B_{k-2}| > 2^{2-k}n^{k-2}$ ,

$$|B_{k-2} \cap (A_{j_1} \setminus S_{j_1})| > (2^{2-k} - 2k\gamma_0)n^{k-2} \geq \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{k-2}.$$

Hence, there is a not small node  $g = (u_1, \dots, u_{k-2}) \in A_{j_1}$  such that  $g \cap (e_1 \cup e_2) = \emptyset$  and  $g' = (u_{k-2}, \dots, u_1) \in B_{k-2}$ .

Let  $e_2 = (w_1, \dots, w_{k-1})$ ,  $S = \{u_1, \dots, u_{k-2}, w_{k-1}\}$  and  $V_0$  be the set of prefixes  $v$  of the neighbors  $f \in A_{j_1-1}$  of  $g$ . Since  $g' = (u_{k-2}, \dots, u_1) \in B_{k-2}$ , we obtain that  $w_1 \cdots w_{k-1} u_{k-2} \cdots u_1$  is an **H**-transversal path. By Fact 3.1, we have  $|N_{H_{c_{j_1}}}(S) \cap V_0| > \gamma n$ , and thus, there is at least one vertex  $v_0 \notin e_2$  such that  $\{v_0, u_1, \dots, u_{k-2}, w_{k-1}\} \in H_{c_{j_1}}$ .

Let  $P_1 = e_1 \cdots v_0 u_1 \cdots u_{k-2}$  be an **H**-transversal path of length  $j_1$  which avoids the vertices of  $e_2$ . The existence of  $P_1$  follows from Claim A.1 with  $W = e_2$ . The path  $P$  obtained from  $P_1$  by adding the segment  $(w_{k-1}, \dots, w_1)$  and the “hook-up” edge  $\{v_0, u_1, \dots, u_{k-2}, w_{k-1}\}$ , is the **H**-transversal path connecting  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  as desired.

By the bound on  $j_0$  established in Claim A.2 and since  $\gamma \leq 1/2$ ,

$$|V(P)| = j_1 + 2(k-1) = j_0 + 3k - 4 \leq \frac{k-1}{\gamma^2} + 4k - 5 \leq \frac{2k}{\gamma^2}.$$




FIGURE 5. An  $H$ -transversal path connecting two  $(k-1)$ -tuples  $e_1$  and  $e_2$

YC, BW AND GW. SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SHANDONG UNIVERSITY, JINAN, CHINA, EMAIL: (YC) [MATHSOUL@MAIL.SDU.EDU.CN](mailto:MATHSOUL@MAIL.SDU.EDU.CN), (BW) [BINWANG@MAIL.SDU.EDU.CN](mailto:BINWANG@MAIL.SDU.EDU.CN), (GW) [GHWANG@SDU.EDU.CN](mailto:GHWANG@SDU.EDU.CN).

JH. SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BEIJING INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, BEIJING, CHINA, EMAIL: [HAN.JIE@BIT.EDU.CN](mailto:HAN.JIE@BIT.EDU.CN).

DY. DATA SCIENCE INSTITUTE, SHANDONG UNIVERSITY, JINAN, CHINA, EMAIL: [DLYANG@SDU.EDU.CN](mailto:DLYANG@SDU.EDU.CN).