
Cardinality constrained submodular maximization for random streams

Paul Liu



paul.liu@stanford.edu

Aviad Rubinfeld



aviad@stanford.edu

Jan Vondrák



jvondrak@stanford.edu

Junyao Zhao



junyaoz@stanford.edu

Abstract

We consider the problem of maximizing a submodular function over a cardinality constraint in the streaming model. We show that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a streaming algorithm that achieves a $(1 - 1/e - \epsilon)$ approximation with $O(k/\epsilon)$ space and $O(k/\epsilon)$ update time. This improves upon the previous $(1 - 1/e + \epsilon)$ approximation with $O(k/\epsilon)$ space and $O(k/\epsilon)$ update time.

$(1 - 1/e - \epsilon)$ approximation with $O(k/\epsilon)$ space and $O(k/\epsilon)$ update time. This improves upon the previous $(1 - 1/e + \epsilon)$ approximation with $O(k/\epsilon)$ space and $O(k/\epsilon)$ update time.

1 Introduction

The problem of maximizing a submodular function over a cardinality constraint in the streaming model has been studied extensively. The best known algorithm achieves a $(1 - 1/e + \epsilon)$ approximation with $O(k/\epsilon)$ space and $O(k/\epsilon)$ update time.

streaming algorithms

$\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{K}, \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{V}, \mathbb{M}$

1.1 Submodular functions and the streaming model

$f : 2^E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$
 $S \subseteq T \subseteq E \setminus \{e\}$ submodular: $f(S \cup \{e\}) - f(S) \geq f(T \cup \{e\}) - f(T)$
 $f(S) \leq f(T)$ $S \subseteq T$, f is monotone. marginal $f(e|S) := f(S \cup \{e\}) - f(S)$ $f(\emptyset) = 0$.
 $OPT := \max_{|S| \leq k} f(S)$

k items
 random order vs adversarial.

$f(S)$ for S .

weak oracle vs strong oracle

$n := |E|$, k items, $\tilde{O}_\epsilon(k)$

1.2 Our contributions

$(1 - 1/e - \epsilon)$ vs $(1/e - \epsilon)$
 $O(k/\epsilon)$
 ϵ
 \mathbb{R}^+
 offline

$\Omega(n)$, $(1 - 1/e + \epsilon)$
 $7/8$

1.3 Related work

f

¹
² $f(\emptyset) > 0$

m	$\geq 1/2 - \epsilon$ $\leq 1/2 + \epsilon$ $\leq 2/(2 + \sqrt{2})$	$\geq 1/2 + c, c < 10^{-13}$ $\geq 1 - 1/e - \epsilon$ $\leq 1 - 1/e + \epsilon$ $\geq 1 - 1/e - \epsilon$
m	$\geq 1/2 - \epsilon$ $O(k/\epsilon^2)$ ≥ 0.2779 ≥ 0.1715 $< 1/2 + \epsilon$	$\geq 1/e$

Algorithmic results.

f f

Algorithmic results.

$(1/2 - \epsilon)$ $O(k \log k / \epsilon)$
 $(1/2 - \epsilon)$ $O(k/\epsilon)$
 $1/2$
 $c < 10^{-13}$
 $(1 - 1/e - \epsilon)$ $k^{2^{\text{poly}(1/\epsilon)}}$ $1 - 1/e$
 ϵ $\epsilon = 0.1$

Lower bounds.

$(1/2 + \epsilon)$ $\Omega(n/k)$ $\Omega(n/k^3)$ $(1/2 + \epsilon)$ $\Omega(n/k^2)$
 $(1 - 1/e + \epsilon)$ $\Omega(n)$ $\Omega(n)$
 $\Omega(n)$ $7/8$
 $\Omega(n/k^2)$ $(1 - 1/e + \epsilon)$

Submodular maximization in related models.

k k k

1.4 Overview of our techniques

Main algorithmic techniques.

exponential $(1/\epsilon)$.

active windows

L_i L_{i+1} $L_i \cup \{e\}$ H

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

$1 - 1/e$.

2 A $(1 - 1/e - \epsilon)$ -approximation in $O(k/\epsilon)$ memory

k good $n - k$ bad.

Given $n, \alpha > 1$, E and k windows of size αk in E ,
 $\ell \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ and $L_\ell \subseteq E$ with $|L_\ell| = \alpha k$ and $L_\ell \cap L_{\ell-1} = \emptyset$.
 Let $R := [i/\alpha - \tilde{O}(\sqrt{k}), i/\alpha + \tilde{O}(\sqrt{k})]$.
 Let $\mathcal{R} := \{e \in E \mid e \in R \text{ and } e \in L_{\ell-1}\}$.
 Let $w_i = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{R}} f(e)$.
 Let $w = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$.
 Let $\mathcal{M} = \{f, E, k, \alpha\}$.
 Let $S = \{w_i \mid i = 1, \dots, m\}$.
 Let $H = \{e \in E \mid e \in R \text{ and } e \in L_{\ell-1}\}$.
 Let $C_i = \{e \in H \mid e \in L_{\ell-1}\}$.
 Let $R_i = \{e \in H \mid e \in R\}$.
 Let $C_i = C_i \cup R_i$.
 Let $z_l, z_h = \max\{0, \lfloor i/\alpha \rfloor - 20\alpha\sqrt{k \log k}\}, \min\{k, \lceil i/\alpha \rceil + 20\alpha\sqrt{k \log k}\}$.
 Let $e^* = \operatorname{argmax}_{e \in C_i} \sum_{\ell=z_l}^{z_h} f(e|L_\ell^{i-1})$.
 If $\sum_{\ell=z_l}^{z_h} f(L_\ell^{i-1} \cup \{e^*\}) > \sum_{\ell=z_l}^{z_h} f(L_{\ell+1}^{i-1})$ then
 $H = H \cup \{e^*\}$.
 $L_{\ell+1}^i \leftarrow L_\ell^{i-1} \cup \{e^*\}$ for $\ell \in [z_l, z_h]$.
 for $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, k$ do
 if $f(L_\ell^i) \geq f(L_{\ell+1}^i)$ then
 $L_{\ell+1}^i \leftarrow L_\ell^i + \operatorname{argmax}_{e \in L_{\ell+1}^i} f(e|L_\ell)$
 return $\operatorname{argmax}_{1 \leq \ell \leq k} f(L_\ell^{\alpha k})$

Algorithm 1 E, m

- 1 $\mathcal{D} \leftarrow |E|$ $1, \dots, m$
- 2 $n_i \leftarrow \mathcal{D}$ i
- 3 $t_i \leftarrow \sum_{1 \leq j < i} n_j$
- 4 **for** $i = 1, \dots, m$ **do**
- 5 $w_i \leftarrow \sum_{t_i \leq t_i + n_i} f(e)$
- 6 **return** $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m\}$

Algorithm 2 $\mathcal{M} = (f, E, k, \alpha)$

- 1 $\mathcal{D} \leftarrow |E|$ $w_i \leftarrow \mathcal{D}$ $i = 1, \dots, \alpha k$
- 2 $L_\ell^0 \leftarrow \emptyset$ $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, k$
- 3 $H \leftarrow \emptyset$
- 4 **for** $i = 1, \dots, \alpha k$ **do**
- 5 $C_i \leftarrow w_i$
- 6 $L_\ell^i \leftarrow L_\ell^{i-1}$ $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, k$
- 7 $\mathcal{R} = \{e \in H \mid e \in R\}$ R_i
- 8 $C_i \leftarrow C_i \cup R_i$
- 9 $z_l, z_h \leftarrow \max\{0, \lfloor i/\alpha \rfloor - 20\alpha\sqrt{k \log k}\}, \min\{k, \lceil i/\alpha \rceil + 20\alpha\sqrt{k \log k}\}$
- 10 $e^* \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_{e \in C_i} \sum_{\ell=z_l}^{z_h} f(e|L_\ell^{i-1})$
- 1 **if** $\sum_{\ell=z_l}^{z_h} f(L_\ell^{i-1} \cup \{e^*\}) > \sum_{\ell=z_l}^{z_h} f(L_{\ell+1}^{i-1})$ **then**
- 2 $H = H \cup \{e^*\}$
- 3 $L_{\ell+1}^i \leftarrow L_\ell^{i-1} \cup \{e^*\}$ $\ell \in [z_l, z_h]$
- 4 **for** $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, k$ **do**
- 5 **if** $f(L_\ell^i) \geq f(L_{\ell+1}^i)$ **then**
- 6 $L_{\ell+1}^i \leftarrow L_\ell^i + \operatorname{argmax}_{e \in L_{\ell+1}^i} f(e|L_\ell)$
- 7 **return** $\operatorname{argmax}_{1 \leq \ell \leq k} f(L_\ell^{\alpha k})$

Let $\mathcal{M} = (f, E, k, \alpha)$. Let $S = \{w_i \mid i = 1, \dots, m\}$. Let $H = \{e \in E \mid e \in R \text{ and } e \in L_{\ell-1}\}$.

Algorithm 1. *Streaming algorithm for active windows.* 1

Observation 2.1. Algorithm 2 uses at most $O(\alpha k)$ space and $O(n\alpha\sqrt{k\log k})$ time.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose E is streamed according to a permutation chosen at random and we partition E by Algorithm 1 into m windows. This is equivalent to assigning each $e \in E$ to one of m different buckets uniformly and independently at random.

Definition 2.1. Let H_i denote the state of the set H maintained by the algorithm, before processing window i . We define \mathcal{H}_i to be the set of all triples (e, ℓ, j) such that element $e \in H_i$ was added to solution L_ℓ in window j . In other words, \mathcal{H}_i contains all of the changes that the algorithm made to its state while processing the first i windows. For convenience, we treat \mathcal{H}_i as a set of elements and say that $e \in \mathcal{H}_i$ if $e \in H_i$.

Lemma 2.2. Fix a history \mathcal{H}_{i-1} . For any element $e \in E \setminus \mathcal{H}_{i-1}$, and any $i \leq i' \leq m = \alpha k$, we have $\mathbb{P}(e \in w_{i'} \mid \mathcal{H}_{i-1}) \geq 1/(\alpha k)$.

Definition 2.2. Let O be the optimal solution. For window i , let p_e^i be the probability that $e \in w_i$ given \mathcal{H}_{i-1} . Define its active set A_i to be the union of R_i and the set obtained by sampling each $e \in w_i$ with probability $1/(\alpha k p_e^i)$. We call w_i an **active window** if $|O \cap A_i| \geq 1$ and we call $O \cap A_i$ the **active optimal elements** of window i .

Lemma 2.3. Suppose we have streamed up to the $\alpha\beta$ -th window of the input for some $\beta > 0$. Then expected number of active windows seen so far satisfies

$$\bar{Z}_{\alpha\beta} := \text{expected number of active windows} = \beta - \Theta(\beta/\alpha).$$

Furthermore, the actual number of windows concentrates around $\bar{Z}_{\alpha\beta}$ to within $\pm O\left(\sqrt{\beta \log \frac{1}{\delta}}\right)$ with probability $1 - \delta$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_i = \{z_l^i, z_l^i + 1, \dots, z_h^i\}$ where z_l^i and z_h^i are the values of z_l and z_h defined in Algorithm 2 on window i . Conditioned on a history \mathcal{H}_i and window $i + 1$ being active,

$$\sum_{\ell \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} \mathbb{E}[f(L_{\ell+1}^{i+1}) - f(L_\ell^i) \mid \mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{A}_{i+1}] \geq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\ell \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} (f(O) - \mathbb{E}[f(L_\ell^i) \mid \mathcal{H}_i]). \quad \square$$

Algorithm 3 $O(n \log k)$ memory. α is a constant. k is the cardinality constraint.

Theorem 2.5. *The expected value of the best solution found by the algorithm is at least*

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{e} - O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + \alpha \sqrt{\frac{\log k}{k}}\right)\right) OPT.$$

Setting $\alpha = \Theta(1/\varepsilon)$, we have a $(1 - 1/e - \varepsilon - o(1))$ -approximation using $O(k/\varepsilon)$ memory.

3 A $(1/e - \varepsilon)$ -approximation for non-monotone submodular maximization

Algorithm 3

Algorithm 3 Non-Monotone Submodular Maximization (f, E, k, α)

- 1 $w_i \leftarrow \frac{1}{\alpha k} \quad i = 1, \dots, \alpha k$
- 2 $L_\ell^0 \leftarrow \emptyset \quad i = 0, \dots, k$
- 3 $H \leftarrow \emptyset$
- 4 $x_e^i \leftarrow \text{Unif}(0, 1) \quad e \in E, i \in [\alpha k]$
- 5 **for** $i = 1, \dots, \alpha k$ **do**
- 6 $C_i \leftarrow \emptyset$
- 7 **for** $e \in E$ **do**
- 8 **for** $j = 1, \dots, i$ **do**
- 9 $A_e^j = \{r \mid 1 \leq r < j, \sum_{\ell=z_r}^{z_{r+1}} f(e|L_\ell^{r-1}) < f_r, x_e^r > q_e^r\}$
- 10 $q_e^j = \frac{\alpha k - j + |A_e^j| + 1}{\alpha k}$
- 11 **if** $x_e^i \leq q_e^i$ **then** $C_i \leftarrow C_i \cup \{e\}$
- 12 $f_i \leftarrow \sum_{\ell=z_i}^{z_{i+1}} f(e^*|L_\ell^{i-1})$
- 13 **return** $\arg \max_\ell f(L_\ell^{\alpha k})$

Algorithm 3 obtains a $(1/e - \varepsilon)$ -approximation for maximizing a non-monotone submodular function f with respect to a cardinality constraint in $O(k/\varepsilon)$ memory.

Implementation of Algorithm 3

The algorithm maintains a set H of elements. For each element $e \in E$, it maintains a set A_e^j and a value q_e^j . The set A_e^j is defined as $A_e^j = \{r \mid 1 \leq r < j, \sum_{\ell=z_r}^{z_{r+1}} f(e|L_\ell^{r-1}) < f_r, x_e^r > q_e^r\}$. The value q_e^j is defined as $q_e^j = \frac{\alpha k - j + |A_e^j| + 1}{\alpha k}$. The algorithm updates C_i and f_i for each i .

Theorem 3.1. *Algorithm 3 obtains a $(1/e - \varepsilon)$ -approximation for maximizing a non-monotone function f with respect to a cardinality constraint in $O(k/\varepsilon)$ memory.*

³ Algorithm 3 uses $O(n \log k)$ memory.

Worst of
Algorithm
with memory

$$1 - 1/e - \epsilon$$

4 $1 - 1/e$ hardness for monotone submodular maximization

Proposition

Proposition 4.1. Fix subsets G, B of elements (denoting “good” and “bad”) such that $|G| = k$ and $|B| = n - k$; let $r \in [0, k]$ be some parameter. Let m denote the size of the memory buffer, and let p denote the probability that a random subset of size m contains at least $r - 1$ good elements. Let $f : G \cup B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function that satisfies the following symmetries:

- f is symmetric over good (resp. bad) elements, namely there exists \hat{f} such that $f(S) = \hat{f}(|S \cap G|, |S \cap B|)$.
- For any set S with $\leq r - 1$ good elements, f does not distinguish between good and bad elements, namely for $g \leq r - 1$, $\hat{f}(g, b) = \hat{f}(0, b + g)$.

Then any algorithm has expected value at most

$$ALG \leq (1 - pk)\hat{f}(0, k) + pk \cdot OPT. \quad \square$$

Lemma

Lemma

Lemma 4.1 [1]. There exists a monotone submodular f that satisfies the desiderata of Proposition 4.1 for $r = 2\epsilon k$, and such that:

- $\hat{f}(0, k) = 1 - 1/e + O(\epsilon)$.
- $OPT = f(G) = 1$.

Lemma 4.2 [1]

[1]

There exists a (monotone submodular) coverage function f over a polynomial universe U that satisfies the desiderata of Proposition 4.1 for $r = 2$, and such that:

- $\hat{f}(0, k) = (1 - 1/e + o(1))|U|$.
- $OPT = f(G) = |U|$.

Lemma 4.3 [1]

new construction

There exists a (monotone submodular) coverage function f over an exponential universe U that satisfies the desiderata of Proposition 4.1 for $r = 3$, and such that:

- $\hat{f}(0, k) = (1 - 1/e + o(1))|U|$.
- $OPT = f(G) = |U|$.

Theorem

Theorem 4.4. Any $(1 - 1/e + \epsilon)$ -approximation algorithm in the random order strong oracle model must use the following memory:

- $\Omega(n)$ for a general monotone submodular function.
- $\Omega(n/k^2)$ for a coverage function over a polynomial universe.
- $\Omega(n/k^{3/2})$ for a coverage function over an exponential universe.

5 Experimental results

Algorithm
with memory

Offline L_k Greedy

offline L_k

Offline L_k


- Offline L_k Greedy is $(1 - 1/k)$ -approximation
- Offline Streaming Greedy is $(1 - 1/k)$ -approximation
- Offline Streaming Greedy is $(1 - 1/k)$ -approximation

Offline L_k Greedy

$O(k/\epsilon)$ adversarial

$O(k \log k/\epsilon)$ adversarial

Offline Streaming Greedy



Offline Streaming Greedy

Offline Streaming Greedy is $(1 - 1/k)$ -approximation

Offline Streaming Greedy is $(1 - 1/k)$ -approximation

Datasets [Candogan et al. 2020](#)
[Schmitt et al. 2020](#)
[Bassett et al. 2020](#)
in $k = 1$ to 20. [Bassett et al. 2020](#)
[Schmitt et al. 2020](#)
[Schmitt et al. 2020](#)

[\[1\]](#) [\[16\]](#)

<https://github.com/where-is-paul/submodular-streaming>
<https://tinyurl.com/neurips-21>.

dataset	source	# of sets	universe size
d1	g1	8	8
d2	g2	5	5
d3	g3	2	2
d4	g4	4	4
d5	g5	9	9
d6	g6	6	6
d7	g7	6	6
d8	g8	6	6
d9	g9	9	9

6 Conclusion and Future Work

[Bassett et al. 2020](#)
[Schmitt et al. 2020](#)
[Schmitt et al. 2020](#)
[Schmitt et al. 2020](#)

$$1/e \text{ fraction} \quad 1 - 1/e \text{ fraction}$$

[Candogan et al. 2020](#)
[Schmitt et al. 2020](#)
in $S \times [1/\epsilon + 1]$ [Schmitt et al. 2020](#)
in $O(n\sqrt{k \log k/\epsilon})$. [Schmitt et al. 2020](#)
[Schmitt et al. 2020](#)
[Schmitt et al. 2020](#)
[Schmitt et al. 2020](#)
[Schmitt et al. 2020](#)
[Schmitt et al. 2020](#)
[Schmitt et al. 2020](#)
[Schmitt et al. 2020](#)

$$O(n \log k/\epsilon), \text{ [16]}$$

$$O(\alpha^3 k^{5/2}) \text{ [16]}$$

[\[1\]](#) [\[16\]](#)

1/2 [\[16\]](#)

Acknowledgments and Disclosure of Funding

[Bassett et al. 2020](#)
[Schmitt et al. 2020](#)
[Schmitt et al. 2020](#)
[Schmitt et al. 2020](#)
[Schmitt et al. 2020](#)

References

[Bassett et al. 2020](#)
[Schmitt et al. 2020](#)
arXiv:1911.12959 [cs], 2020

[Schmitt et al. 2020](#)
arXiv:1906.11237 [cs], 2019

- 10th Innovations in Theoretical Computer Science Conference, ITCS 2019, January 10-12, 2019, San Diego, California, USA, [LIPICs](#), [arXiv](#)
- European Journal of Operational Research, [arXiv](#)
- Proceedings of the Twenty-Fifth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 2014, Portland, Oregon, USA, January 5-7, 2014, [arXiv](#)
- The 20th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD '14, New York, NY, USA - August 24 - 27, 2014, [arXiv](#)
- Proceedings of the Twenty-Fifth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 2014, Portland, Oregon, USA, January 5-7, 2014, [arXiv](#)
- Automata, Languages, and Programming - 42nd International Colloquium, ICALP 2015, Kyoto, Japan, July 6-10, 2015, Proceedings, Part 1, [Lecture Notes in Computer Science](#), [arXiv](#)
- [arXiv:1801.06733 \[cs, math\]](#), [arXiv](#)
- J. ACM, [arXiv](#)
- Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 31: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2018, NeurIPS 2018, 3-8 December 2018, Montréal, Canada, [arXiv](#)
- Proceedings of the 52nd Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC 2020, Chicago, IL, USA, June 22-26, 2020, [arXiv](#)
- 47th International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming, ICALP 2020, July 8-11, 2020, Saarbrücken, Germany (Virtual Conference), [LIPICs](#), [arXiv](#)
- [arXiv:2002.05477 \[cs\]](#), [arXiv](#)
- Approximation, Randomization, and Combinatorial Optimization. Algorithms and Techniques, APPROX/RANDOM 2020, August 17-19, [arXiv](#)

2020, Virtual Conference,
iD

LIPICs,
iD

K ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
iD CoRR,

V ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
iD Proceedings of the 38th ACM SIGMOD-SIGACT-SIGAI Symposium on Principles of Database Systems, PODS 2019, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, June 30 - July 5, 2019,
iD

KI +P ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
iD Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2019, 9-15 June 2019, Long Beach, California, USA,
iD Proceedings of Machine Learning Research,
iD

K +J ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
iD The Web Conference 2021, TheWebConf 2021,
iD

M ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
iD Optimization Techniques,
iD

M ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
iD Theory Comput. Syst.,
iD

M +S ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
iD Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2018, Stockholm, Sweden, July 10-15, 2018,
iD Proceedings of Machine Learning Research,
iD

V ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
iD Mathematics of operations research,
iD

V ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
iD Math. Program.,
iD

M ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
iD <http://fimi.uantwerpen.be/data/>.

P ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
iD CoRR,
iD

Appendix

A Missing proofs from Section 2

Lemma A.1.

monotone:

For all i and ℓ , $f(L_\ell^i) \leq f(L_{\ell+1}^{i+1})$ and $f(L_\ell^i) \leq f(L_{\ell+1}^i)$.

Proof.

Let z_ℓ^i and z_h^i be the i -th window indices. Let e^* be the element in L_ℓ^i that is not in $L_{\ell+1}^i$. Then $f(L_\ell^i) \leq f(L_{\ell+1}^i)$. If $\ell > z_h^{i+1}$ and $\ell < z_\ell^{i+1}$, then $L_{\ell+1}^{i+1} = L_\ell^{i+1} \cup \{e^*\}$ and $f(L_{\ell+1}^{i+1}) \geq f(L_\ell^{i+1}) \geq f(L_\ell^i)$. If $\ell \in [z_\ell^{i+1}, z_h^{i+1}]$, then $L_{\ell+1}^{i+1} = L_\ell^i \cup \{e^*\}$ and $f(L_{\ell+1}^{i+1}) \geq f(L_\ell^i \cup \{e^*\}) \geq f(L_\ell^i)$. \square

Lemma 2.1.

Suppose E is streamed according to a permutation chosen at random and we partition E by Algorithm 1 into m windows. This is equivalent to assigning each $e \in E$ to one of m different buckets uniformly and independently at random.

Proof.

Let n_i be the number of elements in the i -th window. The assignment is uniform and independent across windows. \square

Definition A.1. Let $P : E \rightarrow [\alpha k]$ be the mapping of E to their window indices; i.e. if e is in the j -th window, then $P(e) = j$. A partition P is \mathcal{H}_i -compatible if the algorithm produces history \mathcal{H}_i when streaming the first i windows partitioned by P .

Lemma 2.2. Fix a history \mathcal{H}_{i-1} . For any element $e \in E \setminus \mathcal{H}_{i-1}$, and any $i \leq i' \leq m = \alpha k$, we have $\mathbb{P}(e \in w'_i \mid \mathcal{H}_{i-1}) \geq 1/(\alpha k)$.

Proof.

Let $e \in E \setminus \mathcal{H}_{i-1}$ and $j, j' \in [m]$. Let \tilde{P} be a partition where e is in window j' . Then $\mathcal{H}_{i-1} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{i-1}^{\tilde{P}}$. Let $\tilde{P}(e) = j'$. Let P be a partition where e is in window j . Let $P(e) = j$. Let \mathcal{H}_{i-1} be the history after streaming the first $i-1$ windows of P . Let $\mathcal{H}_{i-1}^{\tilde{P}}$ be the history after streaming the first $i-1$ windows of \tilde{P} . Let $e' \in \mathcal{H}_{i-1}$. Let $e' \in \mathcal{H}_{i-1}^{\tilde{P}}$. Let $e' \in w_j$ and $e' \in w_{j'}$. Let $P(e) = j$ and $\tilde{P}(e) = j'$. Let \mathcal{H}_{i-1} be the history after streaming the first $i-1$ windows of P . Let $\mathcal{H}_{i-1}^{\tilde{P}}$ be the history after streaming the first $i-1$ windows of \tilde{P} . Let $e \in w_j$ and $e \in w_{j'}$. Let $P(e) = j$ and $\tilde{P}(e) = j'$. \square

$\mathbb{P}(e \in w_j | \mathcal{H}_{i-1}) = \frac{\#\{P \mid P(e) = j, P \text{ is } \mathcal{H}_{i-1}\}}{\#\{P \mid P \text{ is } \mathcal{H}_{i-1}\}}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{P}(e \in w_j | \mathcal{H}_{i-1}) &= \frac{\#\{P \mid P(e) = j, P \text{ is } \mathcal{H}_{i-1}\}}{\#\{P \mid P \text{ is } \mathcal{H}_{i-1}\}} \\
 &= \frac{\#\{P \mid P(e) = j', P \text{ is } \mathcal{H}_{i-1}\}}{\#\{P \mid P \text{ is } \mathcal{H}_{i-1}\}} \\
 &= \mathbb{P}(e \in w_{j'} | \mathcal{H}_{i-1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbb{P}(e \in w_s | \mathcal{H}_{i-1}) = 1/(\alpha k)$

$\mathbb{P}(e \in w_s | \mathcal{H}_{i-1}) = 1/(\alpha k)$

\mathcal{H}_{i-1}

$$1 = \sum_{s=1}^{\alpha k} \mathbb{P}(e \in w_s | \mathcal{H}_{i-1}) = |J_e| \cdot \mathbb{P}(e \in w_i | \mathcal{H}_{i-1})$$

$|J_e| \leq \alpha k$

$$|J_e| \leq \alpha k.$$

\square

$\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{1}_{o \in A_i} | \mathcal{H}_{i-1}) = 1/(\alpha k)$

$\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{1}_{o \in A_i} | \mathcal{H}_{i-1}) = 1/(\alpha k)$

$\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{1}_{o \in A_i} | \mathcal{H}_{i-1}) = 1/(\alpha k)$

$\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{1}_{o \in A_i} | \mathcal{H}_{i-1}) = 1/(\alpha k)$

\mathcal{H}_{i-1}

$\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{1}_i) = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha k}\right)^k$

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{1}_i) = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha k}\right)^k$$

$$= 1/\alpha - 1/(2\alpha^2) + O(1/\alpha^3)$$

$\mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha \beta} \mathbb{1}_i = \alpha \beta \cdot \mathbb{E} \mathbb{1}_1 = (1 - 1/(2\alpha) + O(1/\alpha^2))\beta$

$\mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha \beta} \mathbb{1}_i = \alpha \beta \cdot \mathbb{E} \mathbb{1}_1 = (1 - 1/(2\alpha) + O(1/\alpha^2))\beta$

$$\mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha \beta} \mathbb{1}_i = \alpha \beta \cdot \mathbb{E} \mathbb{1}_1 = (1 - 1/(2\alpha) + O(1/\alpha^2))\beta.$$

$\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{1}_i \neq \mathbb{1}_j) \leq \alpha \beta (\mathbb{E} \mathbb{1}_1 - \mathbb{E} \mathbb{1}_1^2)$

$\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{1}_i \neq \mathbb{1}_j) \leq \alpha \beta (\mathbb{E} \mathbb{1}_1 - \mathbb{E} \mathbb{1}_1^2)$

$\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{1}_i \neq \mathbb{1}_j) \leq \alpha \beta (\mathbb{E} \mathbb{1}_1 - \mathbb{E} \mathbb{1}_1^2)$

$$\text{Var}\left(\sum \mathbb{1}_i\right) \leq \alpha \beta (\mathbb{E} \mathbb{1}_1 - \mathbb{E} \mathbb{1}_1^2)$$

$$= (1 - 3/(2\alpha) + O(1/\alpha^2))\beta.$$

$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha \beta} \mathbb{1}_i \leq -c\sqrt{\beta \log \frac{1}{\delta}} + \mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha \beta} \mathbb{1}_i\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{c^2 \log \frac{1}{\delta}}{3}\right) \leq \delta/2$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha \beta} \mathbb{1}_i \leq -c\sqrt{\beta \log \frac{1}{\delta}} + \mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha \beta} \mathbb{1}_i\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{c^2 \log \frac{1}{\delta}}{3}\right) \leq \delta/2$$

$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha \beta} \mathbb{1}_i \leq c\sqrt{\beta \log \frac{1}{\delta}} + \mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha \beta} \mathbb{1}_i\right) \leq \delta/2$

$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha \beta} \mathbb{1}_i \leq c\sqrt{\beta \log \frac{1}{\delta}} + \mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha \beta} \mathbb{1}_i\right) \leq \delta/2$

$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha \beta} \mathbb{1}_i \leq c\sqrt{\beta \log \frac{1}{\delta}} + \mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha \beta} \mathbb{1}_i\right) \leq \delta/2$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha \beta} \mathbb{1}_i \leq c\sqrt{\beta \log \frac{1}{\delta}} + \mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha \beta} \mathbb{1}_i\right) \leq \delta/2.$$

$\mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha \beta} \mathbb{1}_i = \beta - \Theta(\beta/\alpha)$

$\mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha \beta} \mathbb{1}_i = \beta - \Theta(\beta/\alpha)$

$\mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha \beta} \mathbb{1}_i = \beta - \Theta(\beta/\alpha)$

$\mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha \beta} \mathbb{1}_i = \beta - \Theta(\beta/\alpha)$

$\beta - O\left(\sqrt{\beta \log \frac{1}{\delta}}\right) - \Theta(\beta/\alpha)$

$\beta + O\left(\sqrt{\beta \log \frac{1}{\delta}}\right) - \Theta(\beta/\alpha)$

$1 - \delta$

\square

Lemma 2.3. Suppose we have streamed up to the $\alpha\beta$ -th window of the input for some $\beta > 0$. Then expected number of active windows seen so far satisfies

$$\bar{Z}_{\alpha\beta} := \text{expected number of active windows} = \beta - \Theta(\beta/\alpha).$$

Furthermore, the actual number of windows concentrates around $\bar{Z}_{\alpha\beta}$ to within $\pm O\left(\sqrt{\beta \log \frac{1}{\delta}}\right)$ with probability $1 - \delta$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_i = \{z_l^i, z_l^i + 1, \dots, z_h^i\}$ where z_l^i and z_h^i are the values of z_l and z_h defined in Algorithm 2 on window i . Conditioned on a history \mathcal{H}_i and window $i + 1$ being active,

$$\sum_{\ell \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E}[f(L_{\ell+1}^{i+1}) - f(L_\ell^i) \mid \mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{A}_{i+1}] \geq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\ell \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} (f(O) - \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^i) \mid \mathcal{H}_i]). \quad \text{¶}$$

Proof. ~~Algorithm 2~~

$$e \in E \text{ in } \mathcal{A}_{i+1} \text{ with } 1/(\alpha k), \text{ so } p := \mathbf{P}(o_j \in \mathcal{A}_{i+1} \mid \mathcal{H}_i) = 1/(\alpha k) \text{ for } \mathcal{A}_{i+1}.$$

~~if~~ $o_j \in O$.

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{A}_{i+1} \mid \mathcal{H}_i) = \mathbf{P}(\exists o \in O \cap \mathcal{A}_{i+1} \mid \mathcal{H}_i) = 1 - (1-p)^k.$$

$$\text{for } o_j \text{ in } \sum_{\ell \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} f(o_j \mid L_\ell^i) \geq \sum_{\ell \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} f(o_{j'} \mid L_\ell^i) \text{ for } j \leq j'. \text{ for } o \in \mathcal{A}_{i+1} \text{ for } o \in O.$$

$$\sum_{\ell \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E}[f(L_{\ell+1}^{i+1}) - f(L_\ell^i) \mid \mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{A}_{i+1}] \geq \max_{e \in \mathcal{A}_{i+1}} \sum_{\ell \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E}[f(e \mid L_\ell^i) \mid \mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{A}_{i+1}]$$

$$\geq \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{p(1-p)^{j-1}}{1-(1-p)^k} \sum_{\ell \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E}[f(o_j \mid L_\ell^i) \mid \mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{A}_{i+1}]$$

$$\geq \sum_{\ell \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E} \left[\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k f(o_j \mid L_\ell^i) \mid \mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{A}_{i+1} \right]$$

$$\geq \sum_{\ell \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E} \left[\frac{1}{k} (f(O \cup L_\ell^i) - f(L_\ell^i)) \mid \mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{A}_{i+1} \right] \quad \text{¶}$$

$$\geq \sum_{\ell \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E} \left[\frac{1}{k} (f(O) - f(L_\ell^i)) \mid \mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{A}_{i+1} \right] \quad \text{¶}$$

$$\geq \sum_{\ell \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E} \left[\frac{1}{k} (f(O) - f(L_\ell^i)) \mid \mathcal{H}_i \right]. \quad \text{¶}$$

~~if~~

$$w_{i+1} \text{ in } O \text{ with } \frac{p(1-p)^{j-1}}{1-(1-p)^k} \text{ for } o_j \text{ in } \mathcal{A}_{i+1} \text{ with } w_{i+1}$$

~~if~~

$$\alpha_j = \frac{p(1-p)^{j-1}}{1-(1-p)^k} \text{ and } \beta_j = \sum_{\ell \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E}[f(o_j \mid L_\ell^i) \mid \mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{A}_{i+1}]. \text{ for } \alpha_j \text{ and } \beta_j$$

~~if~~

~~if~~

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \beta_j \geq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \sum_{j=1}^k \beta_j = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{\ell \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E}[f(o_j \mid L_\ell^i) \mid \mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{A}_{i+1}]. \quad \square$$

~~if~~

~~if~~

~~if~~

$$\mathcal{H}_i \text{ is } \mathcal{A}_{i+1}, \text{ so } \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{A}_{i+1} \mid \mathcal{H}_i) \text{ is } \mathcal{A}_{i+1} \text{ in } \mathcal{H}_i \text{ and } \mathcal{A}_{i+1}.$$

Theorem 2.5. The expected value of the best solution found by the algorithm is at least

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{e} - O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + \alpha \sqrt{\frac{\log k}{k}}\right) \right) OPT.$$

Setting $\alpha = \Theta(1/\varepsilon)$, we have a $(1 - 1/e - \varepsilon - o(1))$ -approximation using $O(k/\varepsilon)$ memory.

Proof. $Z_i = \sum_{j=1}^i \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}_j}$

$$\bar{Z}_i := \mathbf{E}[Z_i] = i/\alpha - \Theta(i/\alpha^2), \quad \sigma := 20\sqrt{k \log k} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \text{poly}(1/k)} \quad \forall i \quad Z_i \in [\bar{Z}_i \pm \sigma].$$

$$O, f(L_\ell^i) \leq f(O) \quad \text{for } \ell \text{ in } \mathcal{L}_i \quad |L_\ell^i| \leq k. \quad \pm \text{poly}(1/k)f(O)$$

$$\mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^i)] \quad \ell \text{ in } \mathcal{L}_i \quad Z_i$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{L}}_i := [\bar{Z}_i \pm \alpha\sigma] \quad \mathcal{L}_i := [Z_i \pm (\alpha\sigma + \sigma)]. \quad i' := i - \alpha(\alpha\sigma + \sigma) - \Theta(1)$$

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_i} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^i)] \geq \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}(1 - e^{-1/\alpha})\right)^{i'} - O\left(\frac{i}{\alpha^2 k}\right)\right) f(O).$$

$$\tau := \alpha(k - \alpha\sigma - 2\sigma) \quad \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_\tau|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_\tau} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^\tau)] \geq \left(1 - 1/e - O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha\sigma}{k}\right)\right) f(O).$$

$$\mathbf{E}[f(L_k^\tau)] \geq \left(1 - 1/e - O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha\sigma}{k}\right)\right) f(O).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_{i+1}|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^{i+1}) | \mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{A}_{i+1}] &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_i} \mathbf{E}[f(L_{\ell+1}^{i+1}) | \mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{A}_{i+1}] \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_i \setminus \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E}[f(L_{\ell+1}^{i+1}) | \mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{A}_{i+1}] + \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \sum_{\ell' \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E}[f(L_{\ell'+1}^{i+1}) | \mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{A}_{i+1}] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_i \setminus \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^i) | \mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{A}_{i+1}] + \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \sum_{\ell' \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E}[f(L_{\ell'+1}^{i+1}) | \mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{A}_{i+1}] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_i} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^i) | \mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{A}_{i+1}] + \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \sum_{\ell' \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} \frac{1}{k} \mathbf{E}[f(O) - f(L_{\ell'}^i) | \mathcal{H}_i] \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_i} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^i) | \mathcal{H}_i] + \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \sum_{\ell' \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} \frac{1}{k} \mathbf{E}[f(O) - f(L_{\ell'}^i) | \mathcal{H}_i] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_i} \left(\frac{1}{k} f(O) + \frac{k-1}{k} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^i) | \mathcal{H}_i]\right) - O\left(\frac{\sigma}{k|\mathcal{L}_i|}\right) f(O) \\ &= \frac{1}{k} f(O) + \frac{k-1}{k} \left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_i} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^i) | \mathcal{H}_i]\right) - O\left(\frac{\sigma}{k|\mathcal{L}_i|}\right) f(O). \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_i: \mathcal{A}_{i+1} \text{ d } \mathcal{H}_i \quad \mathcal{L}_\ell^i \text{ d } \mathcal{A}_{i+1} \quad \mathcal{L}_\ell^i \text{ d } \mathcal{L}_\ell^i$$

⁵ $(\mathcal{A}_{i+1} | \mathcal{H}_i) = 1 - (1 - \frac{1}{\alpha k})^k \text{ for } \mathcal{H}_i.$

~~is~~
~~the~~

\mathcal{H}_i .

\mathcal{A}_{i+1} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_{i+1}|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^{i+1})] &= \frac{1 - \Pr[\mathcal{A}_{i+1}]}{|\mathcal{L}_{i+1}|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^{i+1}) \mid \neg \mathcal{A}_{i+1}] + \frac{\Pr[\mathcal{A}_{i+1}]}{|\mathcal{L}_{i+1}|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^{i+1}) \mid \mathcal{A}_{i+1}] \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{\Pr[\mathcal{A}_{i+1}]}{k}\right) \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_i} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^i)] + \frac{1}{k} \Pr[\mathcal{A}_{i+1}] f(O) - O\left(\frac{\sigma}{k|\mathcal{L}_i|}\right) \Pr[\mathcal{A}_{i+1}] f(O) \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha k}\right) \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_i} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^i)] + \frac{1}{\alpha k} f(O) - O\left(\frac{\sigma}{\alpha k |\mathcal{L}_i|}\right) f(O). \end{aligned}$$

~~is~~

$$1 - e^{-1/\alpha} \leq \Pr[\mathcal{A}_{i+1}] = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha k}\right)^k \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}$$

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^{i+1}) \mid \neg \mathcal{A}_{i+1}] \geq \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^i) \mid \neg \mathcal{A}_{i+1}]$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{i+1} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_i \quad |\mathcal{L}_i| = 2(\alpha + 1)\sigma,$$

$$i \quad O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2 k}\right) f(O).$$

i .

□

Remark A.1. For any setting of ε , the approximation factor is at best $1 - \frac{1}{e} - \left(\frac{\log k}{k}\right)^{1/4}$, so we might as well choose $\varepsilon \geq \left(\frac{\log k}{k}\right)^{1/4}$. Agrawal et al. [ASS19] have a similar issue; the formal guarantee shown by Agrawal et al. is an approximation factor of $1 - 1/e - \varepsilon - \log(1/\varepsilon)/(\varepsilon^4 k)$. This implies that in Agrawal et al., the approximation is never better than $1 - 1/e - \tilde{O}(1/k^{1/5})$.

B Missing proofs from Section 4

Proposition 4.1. Fix subsets G, B of elements (denoting “good” and “bad”) such that $|G| = k$ and $|B| = n - k$; let $r \in [0, k]$ be some parameter. Let m denote the size of the memory buffer, and let p denote the probability that a random subset of size m contains at least $r - 1$ good elements. Let $f : G \cup B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function that satisfies the following symmetries:

- f is symmetric over good (resp. bad) elements, namely there exists \hat{f} such that

$$f(S) = \hat{f}(|S \cap G|, |S \cap B|).$$

- For any set S with $\leq r - 1$ good elements, f does not distinguish between good and bad elements, namely for $g \leq r - 1$,

$$\hat{f}(g, b) = \hat{f}(0, b + g).$$

Then any algorithm has expected value at most

$$ALG \leq (1 - pk)\hat{f}(0, k) + pk \cdot OPT. \quad \square$$

Lemma 4.3

(new construction). There exists a (monotone

submodular) coverage function f over an exponential universe U that satisfies the desiderata of Proposition 4.1 for $r = 3$, and such that:

- $\hat{f}(0, k) = (1 - 1/e + o(1))|U|$.
- $OPT = f(G) = |U|$.

Proof.

$$B_i = \{x \in [k]^n \mid x_i = 1\}, \quad G_i = \{x \in [k]^n \mid x_1 = i, x_2 \neq k\} \cup \{x \in [k]^n \mid x_1 = k, x_2 = k\}.$$

- For $b \in [n - k]$ and $g \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, and $i_1, \dots, i_b \in \{k + 1, \dots, n\}$ and $j_1, j_2 \in [k]$,

$$|(\cup_{t=1}^b B_{i_t}) \cup (\cup_{t=1}^g G_{j_t})| = \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^{b+g}\right) k^n.$$

- And

$$|\cup_{j=1}^k G_j| = \left(1 - \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k^2}\right) k^n.$$

- For any fixed b, g and $i_1, \dots, i_b, j_1, \dots, j_g$

$$O(\log n) \quad \square$$

Theorem 4.4. Any $(1 - 1/e + \varepsilon)$ -approximation algorithm in the random order strong oracle model must use the following memory:

- $\Omega(n)$ for a general monotone submodular function.
- $\Omega(n/k^2)$ for a coverage function over a polynomial universe.
- $\Omega(n/k^{3/2})$ for a coverage function over an exponential universe.

Proof.

Let m be the memory used by the algorithm. Let p be the probability that the algorithm uses more than m memory. Then $p \leq \varepsilon/k$.

Case $r = 2\varepsilon k$: $m = \varepsilon n$. Then $km/n = \varepsilon k$.
 $p < \varepsilon/k$.

Case $r = 2$: $m = \varepsilon n/k^2$. Then $km/n = \varepsilon/k$.
 $r - 1 = 1$.
 $p < \varepsilon/k$.

Case $r = 3$: $m = \sqrt{\varepsilon n/k^{3/2}}$. Then $km/n = \sqrt{\varepsilon k^{-3/2}}$.
 $p < \varepsilon/k$.
 $\leq \varepsilon k^{-3/2}$.
 \square

C A $(1/e - \varepsilon)$ -approximation for non-monotone submodular maximization

Let α be a constant such that $1/e - \alpha > \varepsilon$.

Let β be a constant such that $\beta < \alpha$.

Let γ be a constant such that $\gamma < \beta$.

Let δ be a constant such that $\delta < \gamma$.

Let ϵ be a constant such that $\epsilon < \delta$.

Let η be a constant such that $\eta < \epsilon$.

Let θ be a constant such that $\theta < \eta$.

Let ϕ be a constant such that $\phi < \theta$.

Let ψ be a constant such that $\psi < \phi$.

Let χ be a constant such that $\chi < \psi$.

Let ω be a constant such that $\omega < \chi$.

Let ν be a constant such that $\nu < \omega$.

Let μ be a constant such that $\mu < \nu$.

Let λ be a constant such that $\lambda < \mu$.

Let κ be a constant such that $\kappa < \lambda$.

Let ι be a constant such that $\iota < \kappa$.

Let σ be a constant such that $\sigma < \iota$.

Let τ be a constant such that $\tau < \sigma$.

Let ρ be a constant such that $\rho < \tau$.

Let θ be a constant such that $\theta < \rho$.

Let ϕ be a constant such that $\phi < \theta$.

Let χ be a constant such that $\chi < \phi$.

Let ω be a constant such that $\omega < \chi$.

exactly $1/(\alpha k)$

e

e

H

6

$[z_l, z_h]$

l in $l + 1$,
 $[z_l, z_h]$.

⁶ k

k

Algorithm 4

```

1  $\mathbf{for}$   $i = 1, \dots, \alpha k$   $\mathbf{do}$ 
2    $L_\ell^0 \leftarrow \emptyset$   $\mathbf{for}$   $\ell = 0, \dots, k$ 
3    $H \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
4    $x_e^i \leftarrow \text{Unif}(0, 1)$   $\mathbf{for}$   $e \in E, i \in [\alpha k]$ 
5    $\mathbf{for}$   $i = 1, \dots, \alpha k$   $\mathbf{do}$ 
6      $C_i \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
7      $z_l^i, z_h^i \leftarrow \max\{0, \lfloor i/\alpha \rfloor - 20\alpha\sqrt{k \log k}\}, \min\{k, \lceil i/\alpha \rceil + 20\alpha\sqrt{k \log k}\}$ 
8      $\mathbf{for}$   $e \in w_i$   $\mathbf{do}$ 
9        $\mathbf{for}$   $j = 1, \dots, i$   $\mathbf{do}$ 
10         $L_\ell^{j-1} \leftarrow \mathcal{H}_{i-1}$ 
11         $A_e^j = \{r \mid 1 \leq r < j, \sum_{\ell=z_l^r}^{z_h^r} f(e|L_\ell^{r-1}) < f_r \text{ and } x_e^r > q_e^r\}$ 
12         $q_e^j = \frac{\alpha k - j + |A_e^j| + 1}{\alpha k}$ 
13         $\mathbf{if}$   $x_e^i \leq q_e^i$   $\mathbf{then}$   $C_i \leftarrow C_i \cup \{e\}$ 
14         $\mathbf{for}$   $e \in H$   $\mathbf{do}$ 
15           $e^* \leftarrow \text{argmax}_{e \in C_i} \sum_{\ell=z_l^i}^{z_h^i} f(e|L_\ell^{i-1})$ 
16           $f_i \leftarrow \sum_{\ell=z_l^i}^{z_h^i} f(e^*|L_\ell^{i-1})$ 
17           $\mathbf{if}$   $\sum_{\ell=z_l^i}^{z_h^i} f(L_\ell^{i-1} \cup \{e^*\}) > \sum_{\ell=z_l^i}^{z_h^i} f(L_\ell^{i-1})$   $\mathbf{then}$ 
18             $H = H \cup \{e^*\}$ 
19             $L_{\ell+1}^i \leftarrow L_\ell^{i-1} \cup \{e^*\}$   $\mathbf{for}$   $\ell \in [z_l, z_h]$ 
20             $\mathbf{for}$   $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, k$   $\mathbf{do}$ 
21               $\mathbf{if}$   $f(L_\ell^i) \geq f(L_{\ell+1}^i)$   $\mathbf{then}$ 
22                 $L_{\ell+1}^i \leftarrow L_\ell^i$ 
23    $\mathbf{return}$   $\text{arg max}_\ell f(L_\ell^{\alpha k})$ 

```

Lemma C.1. Let z_l^i and z_h^i be the value of z_l and z_h in Algorithm 4 on window i . For all i and $\ell \notin [z_l^{i+1}, z_h^{i+1}]$, $f(L_\ell^i) \leq f(L_{\ell+1}^{i+1})$.

Proof. \mathbf{for} $\ell > z_h^{i+1}$ \mathbf{do} $L_{\ell+1}^{i+1} = L_{\ell+1}^i$, $f(L_{\ell+1}^{i+1}) = f(L_{\ell+1}^i) \geq f(L_\ell^i)$.
 \mathbf{for} $\ell < z_l^{i+1}$ \mathbf{do} $f(L_{\ell+1}^{i+1}) \geq f(L_\ell^i)$. \square

Implementation of Algorithm 4

Algorithm 4 runs in $O(n\alpha k)$ time. The main loop iterates over $i = 1, \dots, \alpha k$. For each i , it iterates over $e \in w_i$. The inner loop iterates over $j = 1, \dots, i$. The complexity is $O(n\alpha k)$. The probability $\mathbf{P}(e \in w_i \mid \mathcal{H}_{i-1}) = 1/(\alpha k)$. The probability $\mathbf{P}(e \in C_i \mid \mathcal{H}_{i-1}) = 1/(\alpha k)$.

Lemma C.2. Fix a history \mathcal{H}_{i-1} . For any element $e \in E$, we have $\mathbf{P}(e \in C_i \mid \mathcal{H}_{i-1}) = 1/(\alpha k)$.

Proof. \mathbf{for} $e \in E \setminus \mathcal{H}_{i-1}$, $e \in C_i$ \mathbf{iff} $e \in w_i$ and $x_e^i \leq q_e^i$. The probability $\mathbf{P}(e \in w_i \mid \mathcal{H}_{i-1}) = 1/(\alpha k)$. The probability $\mathbf{P}(x_e^i \leq q_e^i) = 1/(\alpha k)$. Therefore, $\mathbf{P}(e \in C_i \mid \mathcal{H}_{i-1}) = 1/(\alpha k)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}(e \in w_j | \mathcal{H}_{i-1}, e \notin \mathcal{H}_{i-1}) = \frac{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{T}} \mathbb{P}(e \in w_j | \mathcal{H}_{i-1}, e \notin \mathcal{H}_{i-1})}{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{T}} \mathbb{P}(e \in w_j | \mathcal{H}_{i-1}, e \notin \mathcal{H}_{i-1})} \\
& = \frac{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{T}} \mathbb{P}(e \in w_j | \mathcal{H}_{i-1}, e \notin \mathcal{H}_{i-1})}{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{T}} \mathbb{P}(e \in w_j | \mathcal{H}_{i-1}, e \notin \mathcal{H}_{i-1})} \\
& = \mathbb{P}(e \in w_{j'} | \mathcal{H}_{i-1}, e \notin \mathcal{H}_{i-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{P}(e \in C_j | \mathcal{H}_{i-1}) = 1/(\alpha k), \quad e \notin \mathcal{H}_{i-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= \sum_{s=1}^{\alpha k} \mathbb{P}(e \in w_s | \mathcal{H}_{i-1}, e \notin \mathcal{H}_{i-1}) \\
&= \sum_{s \in \mathcal{T}} \mathbb{P}(e \in w_s | \mathcal{H}_{i-1}, e \notin \mathcal{H}_{i-1}) \\
&= |\mathcal{T}| \mathbb{P}(e \in w_i | \mathcal{H}_{i-1}, e \notin \mathcal{H}_{i-1})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{P}(e \in C_i | \mathcal{H}_{i-1}) = \mathbb{P}(e \in w_i | \mathcal{H}_{i-1}, e \notin \mathcal{H}_{i-1}) \cdot \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}|} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}|} \cdot \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}|} = 1/(\alpha k). \quad \square$$

Lemma C.3

Let $f : 2^E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a submodular function. Further, let R be a random subset of $T \subseteq E$ in which every element occurs with probability at most p (not necessarily independently). Then, $\mathbf{E}f(R) \geq (1-p)f(\emptyset)$.

Observation C.1

Let $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_i = \{z_l, z_l + 1, \dots, z_h\}$ where z_l and z_h are defined in Algorithm 2. Conditioned on a history \mathcal{H}_i and window $i+1$ being active (the event \mathcal{A}_{i+1}),

$$\sum_{\ell \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E}[f(L_{\ell+1}^{i+1}) - f(L_\ell^i) | \mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{A}_{i+1}] \geq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\ell \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E}[f(O \cup L_\ell^i) - f(L_\ell^i) | \mathcal{H}_i].$$

$$f(O \cup L_\ell^i) \geq f(O) + \frac{1}{k} (f(L_\ell^i) - f(O))$$

Lemma C.4. For every i and every ℓ , the $\mathbf{E}[f(O \cup L_\ell^i)] \geq (1 - 1/(\alpha k))^i f(O)$ for all $\ell \leq k$.

Proof. Consider L_ℓ^i and $e \in L_\ell^i$ conditioned on \mathcal{H}_{i-1} and $e \notin \mathcal{H}_{i-1}$.

in \mathcal{H}_{i-1}, e in C_i $1/(\alpha k)$ $\mathbf{P}(e \in \mathcal{H}_i | e \notin \mathcal{H}_{i-1}) \leq 1/(\alpha k)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(e \notin \mathcal{H}_i) &= \mathbf{P}(e \notin \mathcal{H}_i | e \notin \mathcal{H}_{i-1}) \mathbf{P}(e \notin \mathcal{H}_{i-1}) \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha k}\right) \mathbf{P}(e \notin \mathcal{H}_{i-1}) \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha k}\right)^i \end{aligned}$$

with $\mathbf{P}(e \notin \mathcal{H}_{i-1}) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha k}\right)^{i-1}$ i $\mathbf{P}(e \notin \mathcal{H}_i) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha k}\right)^i$.
 \mathcal{H}_i L_ℓ^i $g(S) = f(S \cup O)$.

$$\mathbf{E}[g(L_\ell^i)] \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha k}\right)^i f(O). \quad \square$$

Theorem 3.1. Algorithm 4 obtains a $(1/e - \varepsilon)$ -approximation for maximizing a non-monotone function f with respect to a cardinality constraint.

Proof. $Z_i = \sum_{j=1}^i \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}_i}$ $\sigma := 20\sqrt{k \log k}$
 $1 - \text{poly}(1/k)$

$$\forall i \quad Z_i \in [\bar{Z}_i \pm \sigma]. \quad \S$$

$O, f(L_\ell^i) \leq f(O)$ ℓ i $|L_\ell^i| \leq k$ $\pm \text{poly}(1/k)f(O)$
 $\mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^i)] \geq f(O) - \text{poly}(1/k)f(O)$ $\pm \text{poly}(1/k)f(O)$

$\bar{L}_i := [\bar{Z}_i \pm \alpha\sigma]$ $\mathcal{L}_i := [Z_i \pm (\alpha\sigma + \sigma)]$ $i' := i - \alpha(\alpha\sigma + \sigma) - \Theta(1)$ $i' \geq 0$.

with

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_i} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^i) | \mathcal{A}_{i+1}] \geq \frac{i+1}{\alpha k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha k}\right)^i f(O) - O\left(\frac{i+1}{\alpha^2 k}\right) f(O). \quad \P$$

$\tau := \alpha(k - \alpha\sigma - 2\sigma)$

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_\tau} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^\tau)] \geq \left(1/e - O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha\sigma}{k}\right)\right) f(O).$$

L_ℓ^i ℓ $L_k^\tau \geq (1/e - O(\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha\sigma}{k})) f(O)$.

\mathcal{H}_{i-1} \mathcal{L}_i $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_i$ $1/\text{poly}(k)$

s $i' \geq 0$, d

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_{i+1}|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^{i+1}) | \mathcal{A}_{i+1}] &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_i} \mathbf{E}[f(L_{\ell+1}^{i+1}) | \mathcal{A}_{i+1}] && \text{B} \quad \mathcal{L}_i) \\
&= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \left(\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_i \setminus \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E}[f(L_{\ell+1}^{i+1}) | \mathcal{A}_{i+1}] + \sum_{\ell' \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E}[f(L_{\ell'+1}^{i+1}) | \mathcal{A}_{i+1}] \right) && \text{B} \\
&= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \left(\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_i \setminus \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^i) | \mathcal{A}_{i+1}] + \sum_{\ell' \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E}[f(L_{\ell'+1}^{i+1}) | \mathcal{A}_{i+1}] \right) && \text{B} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \left(\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_i} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^i) | \mathcal{A}_{i+1}] + \sum_{\ell' \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} \frac{1}{k} \mathbf{E}[(f(O \cup L_{\ell'}^i) - f(L_{\ell'}^i)) | \mathcal{A}_{i+1}] \right) && \text{B} \\
&= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \left(\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_i} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^i)] + \sum_{\ell' \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} \frac{1}{k} \mathbf{E}[(f(O \cup L_{\ell'}^i) - f(L_{\ell'}^i))] \right) && (\mathcal{A}_{i+1} \text{ B} \quad L_\ell^i \forall \ell) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \left(\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_i} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^i)] + \sum_{\ell' \in \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}} \frac{1}{k} \mathbf{E}[(1 - (\alpha k)^{-1})^i f(O) - f(L_{\ell'}^i)] \right) && \text{B} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_i} \left(\frac{(1 - (\alpha k)^{-1})^i}{k} f(O) + \frac{k-1}{k} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^i)] \right) - O\left(\frac{\sigma}{k|\mathcal{L}_i|}\right) f(O) && (|\mathcal{L}_i| - |\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{i+1}| = 2\sigma) \\
&= \frac{(1 - (\alpha k)^{-1})^i}{k} f(O) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_i} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^i)] - O\left(\frac{\sigma}{k|\mathcal{L}_i|}\right) f(O).
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_{i+1}|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^{i+1})] &= \frac{1 - \Pr[\mathcal{A}_{i+1}]}{|\mathcal{L}_{i+1}|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^{i+1}) | \neg \mathcal{A}_{i+1}] + \frac{\Pr[\mathcal{A}_{i+1}]}{|\mathcal{L}_{i+1}|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{i+1}} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^{i+1}) | \mathcal{A}_{i+1}] \\
&\geq \left(1 - \frac{\Pr[\mathcal{A}_{i+1}]}{k}\right) \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_i} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^i)] + \frac{(1 - (\alpha k)^{-1})^i}{k} \Pr[\mathcal{A}_{i+1}] f(O) - O\left(\frac{\sigma}{k|\mathcal{L}_i|}\right) \Pr[\mathcal{A}_{i+1}] f(O) \\
&\geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha k}\right) \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_i} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^i)] + \frac{(1 - (\alpha k)^{-1})^i}{\alpha k} f(O) - O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2 k}\right) f(O).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^{i+1}) | \neg \mathcal{A}_{i+1}] &\geq \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^i) | \neg \mathcal{A}_{i+1}]. \\
\mathcal{L}_i &\subseteq \bar{\mathcal{L}}_i \subseteq \mathcal{L}_i \\
|\bar{\mathcal{L}}_i| &= 2(\alpha + 1)\sigma \\
1 - e^{-1/\alpha} &\leq \Pr[\mathcal{A}_{i+1}] = 1 - (1 - \frac{1}{\alpha k})^k \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}. \\
\mathcal{H}_{i-1} &
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{f}_i &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_i} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^i)]. \\
\bar{f}_i &\geq \frac{i}{\alpha k} (1 - \frac{1}{\alpha k})^{i-1} f(O) - O\left(\frac{i}{\alpha^2 k}\right) f(O). \\
\bar{f}_i & \geq \frac{1}{(\alpha k)^i} f(O)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{f}_{i+1} &\geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha k}\right) \bar{f}_i + \frac{(1 - (\alpha k)^{-1})^i}{\alpha k} f(O) - O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2 k}\right) f(O) \\
&\geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha k}\right) \left(\frac{i}{\alpha k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha k}\right)^{i-1} - O\left(\frac{i}{\alpha^2 k}\right)\right) f(O) + \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha k})^i}{\alpha k} f(O) - O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2 k}\right) f(O) \\
&\geq \frac{i+1}{\alpha k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha k}\right)^i f(O) - O\left(\frac{i+1}{\alpha^2 k}\right) f(O).
\end{aligned}$$

$\tau := \alpha(k - \alpha\sigma - 2\sigma)$,

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_i|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_\tau} \mathbf{E}[f(L_\ell^\tau)] \geq \left(1/e - O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha\sigma}{k}\right)\right) f(O).$$

$\alpha = \Theta(1/\varepsilon)$ and $\varepsilon = \omega\left(\frac{\log k}{k}\right)^{1/4}$
□

With $\varepsilon = \omega\left(\frac{\log k}{k}\right)^{1/4}$, we have $1 - 1/e - \varepsilon = \Omega\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)$.

D Experiments

<https://github.com/where-is-paul/submodular-streaming> and
<https://tinyurl.com/neurips-21>.


Experiments for non-monotone submodular streaming

We consider

Datasets L of size $n \times n$ in \mathbb{R}^k with $S \subseteq k$ and $\log \det(L_S)$ is

the objective.

dataset	source
bing	bing
yahoo	yahoo
gowalla	gowalla



We consider

⁷ L_S is $k \times k$ with L in \mathbb{R}^k and $S \subseteq k$.