

A chiralspin symmetry in QCD in Minkowski space-time

Marco Catillo^{1,*}

¹*Institute for Theoretical Physics, ETH Zürich, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland*

(Dated: December 21, 2021)

In this paper, we look how to construct in Minkowski space-time a new type of *chiralspin* group transformation of the spinor fields, similar to the one discovered by recent works of *Glozman et al.* in the context of high temperature QCD and truncated studies in lattice calculations. Afterwards, we prove the invariance of free massless fermionic action under such group transformations, as well the invariance of the Hamiltonian of free massless fermions. At the end, the possible presence of a symmetry driven by such new *chiralspin* group at high temperature QCD, also at non zero chemical potential, is discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent works, the emergence of an unexpected symmetry in lattice QCD simulations has been observed. In particular at high temperature QCD [1–4], right above the chiral phase transition $T > T_c$, but for $T \lesssim 3T_c$, and in truncated studies (see Refs. [5–7] for information on these peculiar works). More specifically, in truncated studies a large degeneration of hadron masses has been discovered. The symmetry which corresponds to such degeneracy has been explained by the group transformation $SU(2)_{CS}$ (or in words *chiralspin* group) of the quark fields, first introduced in [5–7], and that contains the axial group $U(1)_A$ as subgroup. However, as we have studied in [8], the mass degeneracy could also be explained, in the Euclidean space-time, by the group transformation which we have denoted with $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$, that is defined in a slight different manner from $SU(2)_{CS}$, but still has $U(1)_A$ as subgroup. In fact, the two group transformations induce the same transformation in hadron correlators $\langle \mathcal{O}(y)\bar{\mathcal{O}}(x) \rangle$ calculated at fixed reference frame with $x = (\mathbf{0}, x_4)$ and $y = (\mathbf{0}, y_4)$, from which we can still extract the hadron masses, since at large $\mathcal{T} = y_4 - x_4$, we have $\langle \mathcal{O}(y)\bar{\mathcal{O}}(x) \rangle \sim \exp(-m\mathcal{T})$, with m the hadron mass associated to such correlator. Moreover, we have seen that, while $SU(2)_{CS}$ is not a symmetry of the free fermionic action, which makes it not compatible with the possibility of deconfinement at extremely high T, $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$ is instead a symmetry of the free fermionic action, which makes it more suitable to check its presence at $T \gg T_c$, where QCD is supposed to approach at an almost free theory.

The work done in Ref. [8] has been considered in Euclidean space-time. Here, we see that we can define the $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$ either in Minkowskian and also prove that it leaves the fermionic action invariant, repeating the same argumentation of [8] (see in section III of this paper). For doing so, we need to define a $U(1)$ group starting simply from the parity operator (look section II). Beside this, we also prove the invariance of the Hamiltonian of free massless fermions under $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$, giving how the operators of

creation and annihilation of quarks and antiquarks (but in general fermions and anti-fermions) transform under $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$ (in section IV). We also briefly discuss what happens when a gauge interaction term is added in the theory. Finally, we repeat in Minkowskian the argument made in Ref. [8], regarding the presence of $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$ at high T. Moreover we will see that a possible chemical potential term in the action is $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$ -invariant. Therefore we expect that if $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$ would be present at high T and zero chemical potential, i.e. $\mu = 0$, it will be present also at $\mu \neq 0$ (section V). Finally we summarize the main points of this paper in section VI.

We remark that from section II to IV (and including all appendices), everything is kept general, and the reader can assume that we are considering a theory with whatever gauge group \mathcal{G} . The section V is instead specific for QCD, where $\mathcal{G} = SU(3)$, because it is in connection with the lattice results of Refs. [1–7].

II. FROM PARITY TO A $U(1)$ GROUP

For a spinor field, the parity transformation is defined as $\psi(x) \rightarrow P\psi(x)P^\dagger = \gamma^0\psi(\mathcal{P}x)$, with $\mathcal{P} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$ and P the parity operator with properties $P = P^\dagger$ and $P^2 = \mathbb{1}$. The application of two times this transformation gives back again the same spinor field, because $P^2\psi(x)P^{\dagger 2} = \gamma^0 P\psi(\mathcal{P}x)P^\dagger = \gamma^0\gamma^0\psi(\mathcal{P}^2x) = \psi(x)$, since $\mathcal{P}^2 = \mathcal{I}$ and $(\gamma^0)^2 = \mathbb{1}$ (see Eq. (A1) for the representation used for the gamma matrices in this paper). Therefore, for n applications of parity, we have $P^n\psi(x)P^{\dagger n} = (\gamma^0)^n\psi(\mathcal{P}^n x)$, which is $\psi(x)$ for n even and $\gamma^0\psi(\mathcal{P}x)$ for n odd. Exploiting this fact we can define the following spinor transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} U(1)_P : \psi(x) &\rightarrow \psi(x)U_P^\alpha \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i\alpha)^n}{n!} P^n \psi(x) P^{\dagger n} \\ &= \cos(\alpha) \psi(x) + i \sin(\alpha) \gamma^0 \psi(\mathcal{P}x), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where α is some global parameter. Eq. (1) is basically an exponentiation of the parity transformation. Such transformation is of course linear, in the sense that taking two generic fields $\psi_1(x)$ and $\psi_2(x)$, then

* mcatillo@phys.ethz.ch

$(\psi_1(x) + \psi_2(x))^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^{\alpha}} = \psi_1(x)^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^{\alpha}} + \psi_2(x)^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^{\alpha}}$, which simply comes from the definition (1) and observing that $P^n(\psi_1(x) + \psi_2(x))P^{\dagger n} = P^n\psi_1(x)P^{\dagger n} + P^n\psi_2(x)P^{\dagger n}$.

The name that we have chosen for such transformations in (1), comes from the fact that they form a $U(1)$ group. In order to show this point directly, we introduce a bit of notation. We construct two fields $\psi_{\pm}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\psi(x) \pm \psi(\mathcal{P}x))$, that we call ‘‘parity partners’’ and satisfying the properties: $\gamma^0\psi_{\pm}(x) = \pm P\psi_{\pm}(x)P^{\dagger}$ and $\psi_{\pm}(\mathcal{P}x) = \pm\psi_{\pm}(x)$. Afterwards we define the 2-component field

$$\Psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_+(x) \\ \psi_-(x) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

Now, we can transform $\psi_+(x)$ and $\psi_-(x)$ via $U(1)_{\mathbb{P}}$ separately, and use the linear property previously mentioned, obtaining that $\psi_{\pm}(x)^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{2}(\psi(x)^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^{\alpha}} \pm \psi(\mathcal{P}x)^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^{\alpha}})$.

Therefore $\Psi(x)$ transforms as

$$\begin{aligned} U(1)_{\mathbb{P}} : \Psi(x) &\rightarrow \Psi(x)^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^{\alpha}} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_+(x)^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^{\alpha}} \\ \psi_-(x)^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\alpha\gamma^0}\psi_+(x) \\ e^{-i\alpha\gamma^0}\psi_-(x) \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\alpha(\sigma^3 \otimes \gamma^0)}\Psi(x), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where σ^3 is the 3rd Pauli matrix. From (3) is evident that $U(1)_{\mathbb{P}}$ transformations, acting on $\Psi(x)$, form a $U(1)$ group with generator $\sigma^3 \otimes \gamma^0$, which is hermitian and traceless, because $(\sigma^3 \otimes \gamma^0)^{\dagger} = (\sigma^3)^{\dagger} \otimes (\gamma^0)^{\dagger} = \sigma^3 \otimes \gamma^0$ and $\text{Tr}(\sigma^3 \otimes \gamma^0) = \text{Tr}(\sigma^3)\text{Tr}(\gamma^0) = 0$.

We can prove now that the $U(1)_{\mathbb{P}}$ group leaves invariant the action of free massive fermions. For checking this, we can see the transformation of the conjugate field $\bar{\psi}(x)$, which from (1) is just $\bar{\psi}(x)^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^{\alpha}} = (\psi(x)^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^{\alpha}})^{\dagger}\gamma^0$. Therefore the free fermion action

$$S_F(\psi, \bar{\psi}) = \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x) (i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}^x - m) \psi(x). \quad (4)$$

with $\partial_{\mu}^x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}$ and where the integration has to be intended over the whole space-time, transforms as

$$\begin{aligned} S_F(\psi^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^{\alpha}}, \bar{\psi}^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^{\alpha}}) &= \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x)^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^{\alpha}} (i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}^x - m) \psi(x)^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^{\alpha}} \\ &= \cos(\alpha)^2 \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x) (i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}^x - m) \psi(x) \\ &\quad + i \sin(\alpha) \cos(\alpha) \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x) (i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}^x - m) \gamma^0 \psi(\mathcal{P}x) \\ &\quad - i \sin(\alpha) \cos(\alpha) \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(\mathcal{P}x) \gamma^0 (i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}^x - m) \psi(x) \\ &\quad + \sin(\alpha)^2 \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(\mathcal{P}x) \gamma^0 (i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}^x - m) \gamma^0 \psi(\mathcal{P}x) \\ &= \cos(\alpha)^2 \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x) (i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}^x - m) \psi(x) \\ &\quad + i \sin(\alpha) \cos(\alpha) \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x) (i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}^x - m) \gamma^0 \psi(\mathcal{P}x) \\ &\quad - i \sin(\alpha) \cos(\alpha) \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(\mathcal{P}x) (i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}^{\mathcal{P}x} - m) \gamma^0 \psi(x) \\ &\quad + \sin(\alpha)^2 \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(\mathcal{P}x) (i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}^{\mathcal{P}x} - m) \psi(\mathcal{P}x) \\ &= \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x) (i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}^x - m) \psi(x) = S_F(\psi, \bar{\psi}), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where in the 2nd equality we just expanded using (1), in the 3rd equality for the 2nd and 3rd term, we used the property $\gamma^0\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^0 = \gamma^{\nu} \mathcal{P}_{\nu}^{\mu}$ and denoted $\mathcal{P}_{\mu}^{\nu} \partial_{\nu}^{\mathcal{P}x} = \partial_{\mu}^x$. Moreover we have changed the variable $x \rightarrow \mathcal{P}x$, and used that the Jacobian $|\det(\mathcal{P})| = 1$. After this, we see that the terms proportional to $\cos(\alpha)^2$ and $\sin(\alpha)^2$ sum up to 1, while the ones proportional to $i \sin(\alpha) \cos(\alpha)$ sum up to 0. As it is clear from the previous equation, $U(1)_{\mathbb{P}}$ transformations leave invariant S_F .

However, the introduction of a gauge field interaction like

$$S_I(\psi, \bar{\psi}, A) = g \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^{\mu} A_{\mu}(x) \psi(x), \quad (6)$$

breaks explicitly $U(1)_{\mathbb{P}}$. This was already described in [8] in Euclidean space-time, but the same argument can be trivially translated here in Minkowskian using the transformations (1), and it comes by the following lines,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_I(\psi^{U_{\mathbb{F}}^\alpha}, \bar{\psi}^{U_{\mathbb{F}}^\alpha}, A)/g &= \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x) U_{\mathbb{F}}^{\alpha} \gamma^\mu A_\mu(x) \psi(x) U_{\mathbb{F}}^{\alpha} \\
&= \cos(\alpha)^2 \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu A_\mu(x) \psi(x) \\
&+ i \sin(\alpha) \cos(\alpha) \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu \gamma^0 A_\mu(x) \psi(\mathcal{P}x) \\
&- i \sin(\alpha) \cos(\alpha) \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(\mathcal{P}x) \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu A_\mu(x) \psi(x) \\
&+ \sin(\alpha)^2 \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(\mathcal{P}x) \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \gamma^0 A_\mu(x) \psi(\mathcal{P}x) \\
&= \cos(\alpha)^2 \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu A_\mu(x) \psi(x) \\
&+ i \sin(\alpha) \cos(\alpha) \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu \gamma^0 A_\mu(x) \psi(\mathcal{P}x) \\
&- i \sin(\alpha) \cos(\alpha) \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x) \mathcal{P}_\nu^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^0 A_\mu(\mathcal{P}x) \psi(\mathcal{P}x) \\
&+ \sin(\alpha)^2 \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\nu \mathcal{P}_\nu^\mu A_\mu(\mathcal{P}x) \psi(x) \\
&= \int d^4x [\bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu (\cos(\alpha)^2 A_\mu(x) + \sin(\alpha)^2 A_\mu^P(x)) \psi(x) \\
&+ i \sin(\alpha) \cos(\alpha) \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu (A_\mu(x) - A_\mu^P(x)) \gamma^0 \psi(\mathcal{P}x)], \tag{7}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the same procedure as in Eq. (5) and we defined $A_\nu^P(x) \equiv \mathcal{P}_\nu^\mu A_\mu(\mathcal{P}x) = P A_\nu(x) P^\dagger$. As it is clear from (7), for generic values of α , $S_I(\psi^{U_{\mathbb{F}}^\alpha}, \bar{\psi}^{U_{\mathbb{F}}^\alpha}, A) \neq S_I(\psi, \bar{\psi}, A)$, because in general $A_\mu^P(x) \neq A_\mu(x)$, and a $U(1)_{\mathbb{P}}$ transformation mixes both these fields. Therefore the interaction term breaks $U(1)_{\mathbb{P}}$. However if we restrict to particular values of α , we can obtain the invariance of S_I . In particular we recognize two cases:

- $\alpha = \pi k$ with $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \Rightarrow U(1)_{\mathbb{P}}$ reduces to the group $Z_2 \subset U(1)_{\mathbb{P}}$ and of course $S_F + S_I$ is Z_2 -invariant.
- $\alpha = \pi k + (\pi/2)$ with $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \Rightarrow$ In this case if we perform also a parity transformation of the gauge field $A_\mu(x) \rightarrow A_\mu^P(x)$, we can obtain the invariance of the interaction term, namely $S_I(\psi^{U_{\mathbb{F}}^\alpha}, \bar{\psi}^{U_{\mathbb{F}}^\alpha}, A^P) = S_I(\psi, \bar{\psi}, A)$. In fact, as it is clear from Eq. (1), $U(1)_{\mathbb{P}}$ transformations reduce to $\text{Parity} \times iZ_2 \in U(1)_{\mathbb{P}}$ transformations, where for $\text{Parity} \times iZ_2$ we mean for example the transformation: $\psi(x) \rightarrow z i(P\psi(x)P^\dagger)$, with $z \in Z_2$.

Otherwise a sufficient condition for the $U(1)_{\mathbb{P}}$ invariance of $S_I(\psi, \bar{\psi}, A)$, can be obtained restricting oneself to gauge configurations such that

$$A_\mu^P(x) = A_\mu(x), \tag{8}$$

which means $A_0(\mathcal{P}x) = A_0(x)$ and $A_i(\mathcal{P}x) = -A_i(\mathcal{P}x)$.

III. TOWARDS A NEW CHIRALSPIN GROUP

Beside $U(1)_{\mathbb{P}}$, the other ingredient that we need for constructing our new *chiralspin* group is to derive the $U(1)_A$ transformations for the field given in (2) $U(1)_A$ transformations are defined on ψ as $\psi(x) \rightarrow \psi(x) U_A^\alpha = \exp(-i\alpha\gamma^5)\psi(x)$ and changing the variable $x \rightarrow \mathcal{P}x$, we have the same, i.e. $\psi(\mathcal{P}x) \rightarrow \psi(\mathcal{P}x) U_A^\alpha = \exp(-i\alpha\gamma^5)\psi(\mathcal{P}x)$. Therefore this translates to ψ_+ and ψ_- constructing $\psi_\pm^{U_A^\alpha}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\psi(x) U_A^\alpha \pm \psi(\mathcal{P}x) U_A^\alpha)$, so that $\psi_\pm^{U_A^\alpha}(x) = \exp(-i\alpha\gamma^5)\psi_\pm(x)$. Hence from the definition in (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
U(1)_A : \Psi(x) &\rightarrow \Psi(x) U_A^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_+(x) U_A^\alpha \\ \psi_-(x) U_A^\alpha \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\alpha\gamma^5} \psi_+(x) \\ e^{-i\alpha\gamma^5} \psi_-(x) \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\alpha(-1 \otimes \gamma^5)} \Psi(x). \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

The generator of $U(1)_A$ for the field Ψ is therefore $-1 \otimes \gamma^5$, which is traceless and hermitian.

A. New chiralspin group definition

Taking now the generators of the groups $U(1)_A$ and $U(1)_{\mathbb{P}}$, we rename them as $\Sigma_1^{\mathbb{P}} = \sigma^3 \otimes \gamma^0$, and $\Sigma_3^{\mathbb{P}} = -1 \otimes \gamma^5$ and we define the third matrix $\Sigma_2^{\mathbb{P}} = i\Sigma_1^{\mathbb{P}}\Sigma_3^{\mathbb{P}} = \sigma^3 \otimes i\gamma^5\gamma^0$, which is still traceless and hermitian, since $\text{Tr}(\sigma^3 \otimes i\gamma^5\gamma^0) = \text{Tr}(\sigma^3)\text{Tr}(i\gamma^5\gamma^0) = 0$ and $(\sigma^3 \otimes i\gamma^5\gamma^0)^\dagger = (\sigma^3)^\dagger \otimes (i\gamma^5\gamma^0)^\dagger = \sigma^3 \otimes i\gamma^5\gamma^0$ (see Eq. (A1)). Now the set of $\Sigma_n^{\mathbb{P}}$ s, which are all traceless and hermitian, verify the property: $[\Sigma_i^{\mathbb{P}}, \Sigma_j^{\mathbb{P}}] = 2i\epsilon_{ijk}\Sigma_k^{\mathbb{P}}$. Hence they are generators of an $su(2)$ algebra. We call the Lie group generated by the $\Sigma_n^{\mathbb{P}}$ s as $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathbb{P}}$. The $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathbb{P}}$ group transformations on the field Ψ in (1) are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathbb{P}} : \Psi(x) &\rightarrow \Psi(x) U_{CS^{\mathbb{P}}}^\alpha = U_{CS^{\mathbb{P}}}^\alpha \Psi(x), \\
U_{CS^{\mathbb{P}}}^\alpha &= e^{i\alpha_n \Sigma_n^{\mathbb{P}}} \in SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathbb{P}}, \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

from which for the proper choice of the global vector $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$, we can get the group transformations of $U(1)_{\mathbb{P}}$ and $U(1)_A$ in (3) and (9) respectively. This means that $U(1)_{\mathbb{P}}, U(1)_A \subset SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathbb{P}}$. From the transformations (10) we can get how ψ (and consequently $\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$) transforms, just inverting the definition of ψ_\pm in terms of ψ .

Let us see now how to do it. First of all we recall an important feature which will be also useful later on. As it is well-known every element of a $SU(2)$ group can be written as product of three $U(1)$ matrices, which are subgroups of $SU(2)$. More precisely, if $U_{CS^{\mathbb{P}}}^\alpha \in SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathbb{P}}$, it can be always written as $U_{CS^{\mathbb{P}}}^\alpha = U_{\mathbb{P}}^{\beta_1} U_A^{\beta_2} U_{\mathbb{P}}^{\beta_3}$, where the β_i s are the three Euler angles, while $U_{\mathbb{P}}^{\beta_{1,3}} = \exp(i\beta_{1,3}(\sigma^3 \otimes \gamma^0)) \in U(1)_{\mathbb{P}}$ and $U_A^{\beta_2} = \exp(i\beta_2(-1 \otimes$

γ^5) $\in U(1)_A$. Therefore $\Psi(x)^{U_{CS^P}^\alpha} = U_{CS^P}^\alpha \Psi(x) = U_P^{\beta_1} U_A^{\beta_2} U_P^{\beta_3} \Psi(x) \Rightarrow \Psi(x)^{U_{CS^P}^\alpha} = ((\Psi(x)^{U_P^{\beta_3}})^{U_A^{\beta_2}})^{U_P^{\beta_1}}$. This means that the two subgroups $U(1)_P$ and $U(1)_A$ can give whatever matrix of $SU(2)_{CS^P}^P$. Now from $\Psi(x)^{U_P^{\beta_3}}$ we get the transformation of $\psi(x)$, just using Eq. (3), namely $\Psi(x)^{U_P^{\beta_3}} \Rightarrow \psi(x)^{U_P^{\beta_3}} = \psi_+(x)^{U_P^{\beta_3}} + \psi_-(x)^{U_P^{\beta_3}}$. Moreover, calling $\psi'(x) = \psi(x)^{U_P^{\beta_3}}$, from Eq. (9), we get $(\Psi(x)^{U_P^{\beta_3}})^{U_A^{\beta_2}} \Rightarrow \psi'(x)^{U_A^{\beta_2}} = \psi'_+(x)^{U_A^{\beta_2}} + \psi'_-(x)^{U_A^{\beta_2}}$. Finally, naming $\psi''(x) = \psi(x)^{U_A^{\beta_2}}$, again from (3), we have $((\Psi(x)^{U_P^{\beta_3}})^{U_A^{\beta_2}})^{U_P^{\beta_1}} \Rightarrow \psi''(x)^{U_P^{\beta_1}} = \psi''_+(x)^{U_P^{\beta_1}} + \psi''_-(x)^{U_P^{\beta_1}}$. Therefore the $SU(2)_{CS^P}^P$ transformation of ψ is given by $\psi(x)^{U_{CS^P}^\alpha} \equiv \psi''(x)^{U_P^{\beta_1}}$, hence

$$\psi(x)^{U_{CS^P}^\alpha} = ((\psi(x)^{U_P^{\beta_3}})^{U_A^{\beta_2}})^{U_P^{\beta_1}}, \quad (11)$$

and its full expression using (1) is

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x)^{U_{CS^P}^\alpha} &= \cos(\beta_1) [\exp(-i\beta_2\gamma^5)(\cos(\beta_3)\psi(x) \\ &\quad + i\sin(\beta_3)\gamma^0\psi(\mathcal{P}x))] \\ &\quad + i\sin(\beta_1)\gamma^0 [\exp(-i\beta_2\gamma^5)(\cos(\beta_3)\psi(\mathcal{P}x) \\ &\quad + i\sin(\beta_3)\gamma^0\psi(x))], \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

which is what we wanted to get.

A particular case is when in (10), we set $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = (0, \alpha, 0) \equiv \bar{\alpha}$. In this situation, we obtain another $U(1)$ subgroup of $SU(2)_{CS^P}^P$, which we call $U(1)_{PA}$ and its generator is therefore $\Sigma_2^P = \sigma^3 \otimes i\gamma^5\gamma^0$. Now whatever element $U_{PA}^\alpha \equiv \exp(i\alpha\Sigma_2^P) \in U(1)_{PA}$ can be written always using the Euler angles as $U_{PA}^\alpha = U_P^{\pi/4} U_A^\alpha U_P^{-\pi/4}$, that it is easy to verify from (10) and (12). This means that in the Euler decomposition we have $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) = (\pi/4, \alpha, -\pi/4)$. Substituting such values in Eq. (12) and defining $\psi(x)^{U_{PA}^\alpha} \equiv \psi(x)^{U_{CS^P}^\alpha}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U(1)_{PA} : \psi(x) &\rightarrow \psi(x)^{U_{PA}^\alpha} \\ &= \cos(\alpha)\psi(x) + i\sin(\alpha)(i\gamma^5\gamma^0)\psi(\mathcal{P}x), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

which is the $U(1)_{PA}$ group transformation of ψ . As we can see it is similar to the $U(1)_{PA}$ transformations defined in Ref. [8] for the Euclidean case.

We conclude saying that the group $SU(2)_{CS^P}^P$ as defined by Eq. (10) differently from $SU(2)_{CS}$ in Ref. [7], looks as a rotation in the space of the ‘‘parity partners’’ $\psi_+(x)$ and $\psi_-(x)$ (which is similar, but not the same, of what we did in Ref. [9] for baryon parity doublets).

B. Consequences on the fermionic action

From how $SU(2)_{CS^P}^P$ is defined in Eq. (10) we can obtain some consequences on the invariance of the fermionic action, in particular

1. $S_F(\psi, \bar{\psi})$ at $m = 0$ is $SU(2)_{CS^P}^P$ -invariant,
2. the mass term of $S_F(\psi, \bar{\psi})$ breaks explicitly $U(1)_{PA}$ and moreover a gauge interaction in the action is not $U(1)_{PA}$ -invariant,
3. a gauge interaction breaks $SU(2)_{CS^P}^P$. However if we restrict to gauge fields satisfying the relation given in (8), then $S_I(\psi, \bar{\psi}, A)$ is $SU(2)_{CS^P}^P$ -invariant.

For proving the first statement, we use the decomposition of $\psi(x)^{U_{CS^P}^\alpha}$ in Eq. (11) and the $U(1)_P$ -invariance of $S_F(\psi, \bar{\psi})$ in Eq. (5). Hence we get $S_F(\psi^{U_{CS^P}^\alpha}, \bar{\psi}^{U_{CS^P}^\alpha}) = S_F((\psi^{U_P^{\beta_3}})^{U_A^{\beta_2}}, (\bar{\psi}^{U_P^{\beta_3}})^{U_A^{\beta_2}})$. Now for $m = 0$, $U(1)_A$ transformations leave invariant S_F , hence $S_F((\psi(x)^{U_P^{\beta_3}})^{U_A^{\beta_2}}, (\bar{\psi}(x)^{U_P^{\beta_3}})^{U_A^{\beta_2}})|_{m=0} = S_F(\psi(x)^{U_P^{\beta_3}}, \bar{\psi}(x)^{U_P^{\beta_3}})|_{m=0}$. Finally again from (5), we get $S_F(\psi(x)^{U_P^{\beta_3}}, \bar{\psi}(x)^{U_P^{\beta_3}})|_{m=0} = S_F(\psi, \bar{\psi})|_{m=0}$. Therefore we obtain the $SU(2)_{CS^P}^P$ invariance $S_F(\psi^{U_{CS^P}^\alpha}, \bar{\psi}^{U_{CS^P}^\alpha})|_{m=0} = S_F(\psi, \bar{\psi})|_{m=0}$.

The second statement is proved as follows. First of all $S_F(\psi^{U_{PA}^\alpha}, \bar{\psi}^{U_{PA}^\alpha}) \equiv S_F(((\psi^{U_P^{-\pi/4}})^{U_A^\alpha})^{U_P^{\pi/4}}, ((\bar{\psi}^{U_P^{-\pi/4}})^{U_A^\alpha})^{U_P^{\pi/4}})$, where we used the Euler decomposition of the previous section. From (5), we have $S_F(\psi^{U_{PA}^\alpha}, \bar{\psi}^{U_{PA}^\alpha}) = S_F((\psi^{U_P^{-\pi/4}})^{U_A^\alpha}, (\bar{\psi}^{U_P^{-\pi/4}})^{U_A^\alpha})$. However we already know that $U(1)_A$ is broken by the mass term. Therefore $S_F((\psi^{U_P^{-\pi/4}})^{U_A^\alpha}, (\bar{\psi}^{U_P^{-\pi/4}})^{U_A^\alpha}) \neq S_F(\psi^{U_P^{-\pi/4}}, \bar{\psi}^{U_P^{-\pi/4}})$ and we know that, from Eq. (5), $S_F(\psi^{U_P^{-\pi/4}}, \bar{\psi}^{U_P^{-\pi/4}}) = S_F(\psi, \bar{\psi})$. Consequently $S_F(\psi^{U_{PA}^\alpha}, \bar{\psi}^{U_{PA}^\alpha}) \neq S_F(\psi, \bar{\psi})$ for $m \neq 0$. Therefore the mass term breaks $U(1)_{PA}$. The second part of the statement is similar but observing another possible Euler decomposition $U_{PA}^\alpha = U_A^{-\pi/4} U_P^\alpha U_A^{\pi/4}$, valid for every α . Thus we have $S_I(\psi^{U_{PA}^\alpha}, \bar{\psi}^{U_{PA}^\alpha}, A) \equiv S_I(((\psi^{U_A^{\pi/4}})^{U_P^\alpha})^{U_A^{-\pi/4}}, ((\bar{\psi}^{U_A^{\pi/4}})^{U_P^\alpha})^{U_A^{-\pi/4}}, A)$. However we already know that S_I is $U(1)_A$ -invariant, therefore $S_I(\psi^{U_{PA}^\alpha}, \bar{\psi}^{U_{PA}^\alpha}, A) = S_I((\psi^{U_A^{\pi/4}})^{U_P^\alpha}, (\bar{\psi}^{U_A^{\pi/4}})^{U_P^\alpha}, A)$ and $S_I(\psi^{U_A^{\pi/4}}, \bar{\psi}^{U_A^{\pi/4}}, A) = S_I(\psi, \bar{\psi}, A)$. Nevertheless, from (7), $S_I((\psi^{U_A^{\pi/4}})^{U_P^\alpha}, (\bar{\psi}^{U_A^{\pi/4}})^{U_P^\alpha}, A) \neq S_I(\psi^{U_A^{\pi/4}}, \bar{\psi}^{U_A^{\pi/4}}, A)$. Hence $S_I(\psi^{U_{PA}^\alpha}, \bar{\psi}^{U_{PA}^\alpha}, A) \neq S_I(\psi, \bar{\psi}, A)$. This means that the breaking of S_I under $U(1)_P$ leads to the breaking of $U(1)_{PA}$. A direct proof of both parts of this second statement involving directly the $U(1)_{PA}$ transformations (13) is given in Appendix B.

The last statement comes from the observation that the action S_I which involves the interaction between fermions and gauge field is in general not invariant under $U(1)_P$ transformations, as it is evident from (7). Therefore $SU(2)_{CS^P}^P$, which has $U(1)_P$ as subgroup, is not a symmetry of $S_I(\psi, \bar{\psi}, A)$ in the general case. Nevertheless, if we restrict to gauge fields with the property given in Eq. (8), then we have $S_I(\psi^{U_P^{\beta_1}}, \bar{\psi}^{U_P^{\beta_1}}, A) = S_I(\psi, \bar{\psi}, A)$. Therefore using the decomposition in (11), we obtain $S_I(\psi^{U_{CS^P}^\alpha}, \bar{\psi}^{U_{CS^P}^\alpha}, A) = S_I((\psi^{U_P^{\beta_3}})^{U_A^{\beta_2}}, (\bar{\psi}^{U_P^{\beta_3}})^{U_A^{\beta_2}}, A)$. Moreover $U(1)_A$ is

a symmetry of S_I , thus $S_I(\psi^{U_{CS^P}^\alpha}, \bar{\psi}^{U_{CS^P}^\alpha}, A) = S_I(\psi(x)^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^{\beta_3}}, \bar{\psi}(x)^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^{\beta_3}}, A)$. Finally re-using the $U(1)_{\mathbb{P}}$ invariance, we have $S_I(\psi^{U_{CS^P}^\alpha}, \bar{\psi}^{U_{CS^P}^\alpha}, A) = S_I(\psi, \bar{\psi}, A)$. This shows the invariance of $S_I(\psi, \bar{\psi}, A)$ under $SU(2)_{CS}^P$ transformations with the restriction of gauge fields satisfying (8).

IV. CHIRALSPIN AND HAMILTONIAN

Another study, which we want to add, is the invariance of the free fermion Hamiltonian with respect $U(1)_{\mathbb{P}}$ and $SU(2)_{CS}^P$ (for the massless case) and derive the $U(1)_{\mathbb{P}}$ and $SU(2)_{CS}^P$ transformations for creation and annihilation operators for fermions and anti-fermions. Once we do this, we will briefly discuss the case where a gauge interaction is switched on.

Before to start, we point out here, that in this whole section IV, we assume that the spinor field ψ describing free (and eventually massless $m = 0$) fermions (or anti-fermions) is solution of the Dirac equation in the free case, i.e. $(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\psi(x) = 0$, which is [10]

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{r=0}^1 \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} [c_r(\mathbf{p})u_r(\mathbf{p})e^{-ipx} + d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger v_r(\mathbf{p})e^{ipx}], \quad (14)$$

where $u_r(\mathbf{p})$ and $v_r(\mathbf{p})$ are reported in Eq. (A2), and $c_r(\mathbf{p})$, $d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger$ are the annihilation and creation operators for particles and antiparticles respectively.

This is the particular situation where the free fermion action $S_F(\psi, \bar{\psi})$, calculated on such spinor field (14), reaches its minimum value, which is zero. From such spinor field (14) we attempt to apply $U(1)_{\mathbb{P}}$ and $SU(2)_{CS}^P$ transformations, defined in the previous section in case of a totally generic spinor, in order to check the invariance of the free fermion Hamiltonian.

This Hamiltonian, calculated using the spinor field in (14), is given by [10]

$$H_0 = \sum_{r=0}^1 \int d^3p E_{\mathbf{p}} [c_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger c_r(\mathbf{p}) + d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger d_r(\mathbf{p})], \quad (15)$$

where $E_{\mathbf{p}} = \sqrt{|\mathbf{p}|^2 + m^2}$. H_0 is invariant under parity transformation, i.e. $PH_0P^\dagger = H_0$. This means that calling $c_r^P(\mathbf{p}) = Pc_r(\mathbf{p})P^\dagger$ and $d_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger = Pd_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger P^\dagger$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= \frac{1}{2}H_0 + \frac{1}{2}PH_0P^\dagger \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^1 \int d^3p E_{\mathbf{p}} [c_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger c_r(\mathbf{p}) + d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger d_r(\mathbf{p})] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^1 \int d^3p E_{\mathbf{p}} [c_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger c_r^P(\mathbf{p}) + d_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger d_r^P(\mathbf{p})] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^1 \int d^3p E_{\mathbf{p}} [C_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger C_r(\mathbf{p}) + D_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger D_r(\mathbf{p})], \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where we defined the following ‘‘parity partners’’ operators:

$$C_r(\mathbf{p}) = \begin{pmatrix} c_r(\mathbf{p}) \\ c_r^P(\mathbf{p}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger = (d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger \ d_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger). \quad (17)$$

The expressions for $c_r(\mathbf{p})$ and $d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger$ can be obtained by the Fourier transform of $\psi(x)$ and they are reported in (A3), while $c_r^P(\mathbf{p})$ and $d_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger$ are obtained from the fact that $P\psi(x)P^\dagger = \gamma^0\psi(\mathcal{P}x)$ and given in (A4).

A. $U(1)_{\mathbb{P}}$ and Hamiltonian

In order to check if H_0 is $U(1)_{\mathbb{P}}$ -invariant, we need to find how $c_r(\mathbf{p})$, $d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger$, $c_r^P(\mathbf{p})$ and $d_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger$ transform. For this purpose, we just need to use (A3) and (A4) together with the fact that $u_r(\mathbf{p})$ and $v_r(\mathbf{p})$ given in (A2) transform under parity as

$$\gamma^0 u_r(\mathbf{p}) = u_r(-\mathbf{p}), \quad \gamma^0 v_r(\mathbf{p}) = -v_r(-\mathbf{p}). \quad (18)$$

Here, we give the results

$$\begin{aligned} c_r(\mathbf{p})^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha} &= \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} u_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger \psi(x)^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha} e^{ipx} \\ &= \cos(\alpha) c_r(\mathbf{p}) + i \sin(\alpha) c_r^P(\mathbf{p}), \\ c_r^P(\mathbf{p})^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha} &= \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} u_r(-\mathbf{p})^\dagger \psi(x)^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha} e^{ip(\mathcal{P}x)} \\ &= \cos(\alpha) c_r^P(\mathbf{p}) + i \sin(\alpha) c_r(\mathbf{p}), \\ (d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger)^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha} &= \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} v_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger \psi(x)^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha} e^{-ipx} \\ &= \cos(\alpha) d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger + i \sin(\alpha) d_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger, \\ (d_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger)^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha} &= \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} (-v_r(-\mathbf{p}))^\dagger \psi(x)^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha} e^{-ip(\mathcal{P}x)} \\ &= \cos(\alpha) d_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger + i \sin(\alpha) d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where we have just plugged the expression of $\psi(x)^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha}$ of Eq. (1) and expanded it. For details on the calculations

of (19), we refer to Appendix C. The result of Eq. (19) can be rewritten using the definition in (17) as

$$\begin{aligned} C_r(\mathbf{p}) &\rightarrow C_r(\mathbf{p})^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} c_r(\mathbf{p})^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha} \\ c_r^P(\mathbf{p})^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha} \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\alpha\sigma^1} C_r(\mathbf{p}), \\ D_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger &\rightarrow (D_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger)^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha} \equiv ((d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger)^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha} \quad (d_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger)^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha}) \\ &= D_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger e^{i\alpha\sigma^1} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where σ^1 is the 1st Pauli matrix acting on the 2-dimensional space defined in (17). As it is clear, the H_0 in (16) is invariant under $U(1)_{\mathbb{P}}$, since taking the hermitian of (20), we obtain $(C_r(\mathbf{p})^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha})^\dagger = C_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger \exp(-i\alpha\sigma^1)$ and $(D_r(\mathbf{p})^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha})^\dagger \equiv ((D_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger)^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha})^\dagger = \exp(-i\alpha\sigma^1) D_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger$, therefore $(C_r(\mathbf{p})^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha})^\dagger C_r(\mathbf{p})^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha} = C_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger C_r(\mathbf{p})$ and $(D_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger)^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha} D_r(\mathbf{p})^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha} = D_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger D_r(\mathbf{p})$.

This result is actually pretty obvious in the free case, if you consider that H_0 commutes with P . Take, for example, a state of a particle $|\mathbf{p}\rangle = c_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger|0\rangle$ that has energy $E_{\mathbf{p}} = \langle\mathbf{p}|H_0|\mathbf{p}\rangle$. The $U(1)_{\mathbb{P}}$ invariance of H_0 tells us that the state $|\mathbf{p}\rangle$ is energetically equivalent to the state $|\tilde{\mathbf{p}}\rangle = (c_r(\mathbf{p})^{U_{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha})^\dagger|0\rangle = (\cos(\alpha)c_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger - i\sin(\alpha)c_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger)|0\rangle$, where we used the second of Eq. (19). We rewrite it as $|\tilde{\mathbf{p}}\rangle = \cos(\alpha)|\mathbf{p}\rangle - i\sin(\alpha)|-\mathbf{p}\rangle$, because $c_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger|0\rangle = P c_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger|0\rangle = P|\mathbf{p}\rangle = |-\mathbf{p}\rangle$. Hence we have $\langle\tilde{\mathbf{p}}|H_0|\tilde{\mathbf{p}}\rangle = \cos(\alpha)^2\langle\mathbf{p}|H_0|\mathbf{p}\rangle + i\sin(\alpha)\cos(\alpha)\langle-\mathbf{p}|H_0|\mathbf{p}\rangle - i\sin(\alpha)\cos(\alpha)\langle\mathbf{p}|H_0|-\mathbf{p}\rangle + \sin(\alpha)^2\langle-\mathbf{p}|H_0|-\mathbf{p}\rangle$. However $\langle-\mathbf{p}|H_0|-\mathbf{p}\rangle = \langle\mathbf{p}|P H_0 P^\dagger|\mathbf{p}\rangle = \langle\mathbf{p}|H_0|\mathbf{p}\rangle = E_{\mathbf{p}}$, because $[H_0, P] = 0$, and for the same reason $\langle-\mathbf{p}|H_0|\mathbf{p}\rangle = \langle\mathbf{p}|H_0|-\mathbf{p}\rangle$. Therefore $\langle\tilde{\mathbf{p}}|H_0|\tilde{\mathbf{p}}\rangle = \langle\mathbf{p}|H_0|\mathbf{p}\rangle = E_{\mathbf{p}}$, for whatever value of \mathbf{p} . Hence the two states have the same energy. Basically in the free case, the commutation of between Hamiltonian and parity gives place to the $U(1)_{\mathbb{P}}$ invariance.

in which we used the change of variables $x \rightarrow \mathcal{P}x$, whenever necessary, and that by definition $\Psi(\mathcal{P}x) = (\sigma^3 \otimes \mathbb{1})\Psi(x)$. Details regarding the derivation of (23) are given in Appendix D.

Eq. (23) can be written in a compact way using the notation in (17) as

B. $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$ and Hamiltonian

We prove now that the Hamiltonian H_0 is also invariant under $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$ transformations for $m = 0$. In order to see this point, we give how $c_r(\mathbf{p})$, $c_r^P(\mathbf{p})$, $d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger$, $d_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger$ transform. At first we define the fields $U_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger = (u_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger \quad 0)$ and $V_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger = (v_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger \quad 0)$, which are defined in the ‘‘parity partners’’ space, as $\Psi(x)$ in (2). Hence we have that $u_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger\psi(x) = U_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger[(\mathbb{1} + \sigma^1) \otimes \mathbb{1}]\Psi(x)$ and $v_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger\psi(x) = V_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger[(\mathbb{1} + \sigma^1) \otimes \mathbb{1}]\Psi(x)$, where $\mathbb{1}$ acts in the Dirac space, $\Psi(x)$ is given in (2) and $(\mathbb{1} + \sigma^1)$ acts on the 2-dimensional space of ‘‘parity partners’’. Secondly, we use that for $m = 0$, the vectors u_r and v_r satisfy the properties: $\gamma^5 u_r(\mathbf{p}) = (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}/|\mathbf{p}|) u_r(\mathbf{p})$ and $\gamma^5 v_r(\mathbf{p}) = (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}/|\mathbf{p}|) v_r(\mathbf{p})$. This reflects the fact that in the massless case γ^5 coincides with the helicity operator $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}/|\mathbf{p}|$. For convenience we choose χ_r and consequently χ'_r , defined by Eq. (A2) in the solution of the Dirac equation, such that they are eigenstates of the helicity operator, i.e. $(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}/|\mathbf{p}|)\chi_0 = \chi_0$ and $(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}/|\mathbf{p}|)\chi_1 = -\chi_1$. This means that for $m = 0$ we have

$$\gamma^5 u_r(\mathbf{p}) = h_r u_r(\mathbf{p}), \quad \gamma^5 v_r(\mathbf{p}) = h_{r+1} v_r(\mathbf{p}), \quad (21)$$

with $h_r = (-1)^r$, helicity of the particle.

Using these two considerations, we can redefine $c_r(\mathbf{p})$, $c_r^P(\mathbf{p})$, $d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger$ and $d_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger$, from Eqs. (A3) and (A4), in terms of Ψ , V_r and U_r and in order to look their $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$ transformation we use that from Eq. (10), we can write $U_{CS^{\mathcal{P}}}^\alpha$ extensively as

$$U_{CS^{\mathcal{P}}}^\alpha = \cos(\alpha) + i\sin(\alpha) [e_1 \Sigma_1^{\mathcal{P}} + e_2 \Sigma_2^{\mathcal{P}} + e_3 \Sigma_3^{\mathcal{P}}], \quad (22)$$

where $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = \alpha(e_1, e_2, e_3)$, with $\sum_{i=1}^3 e_i^2 = 1$.

Therefore for $c_r(\mathbf{p})$ and $c_r^P(\mathbf{p})$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_r(\mathbf{p})^{U_{CS^{\mathcal{P}}}^\alpha} &= \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} U_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger [(\mathbb{1} + \sigma^1) \otimes \mathbb{1}] \Psi(x) U_{CS^{\mathcal{P}}}^\alpha e^{ipx} \\ &= \cos(\alpha) c_r(\mathbf{p}) + i\sin(\alpha) [e_1 c_r^P(\mathbf{p}) + e_2 i h_r c_r^P(\mathbf{p}) - e_3 h_r c_r(\mathbf{p})], \\ c_r^P(\mathbf{p})^{U_{CS^{\mathcal{P}}}^\alpha} &= \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} U_r(-\mathbf{p})^\dagger [(\mathbb{1} + \sigma^1) \otimes \mathbb{1}] \Psi(x) U_{CS^{\mathcal{P}}}^\alpha e^{ip(\mathcal{P}x)} \\ &= \cos(\alpha) c_r^P(\mathbf{p}) + i\sin(\alpha) [e_1 c_r(\mathbf{p}) - e_2 i h_r c_r(\mathbf{p}) + e_3 h_r c_r^P(\mathbf{p})], \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

$$C_r(\mathbf{p}) \rightarrow C_r(\mathbf{p})^{U_{CS^{\mathcal{P}}}^\alpha} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} c_r(\mathbf{p})^{U_{CS^{\mathcal{P}}}^\alpha} \\ c_r^P(\mathbf{p})^{U_{CS^{\mathcal{P}}}^\alpha} \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\alpha n_{n(c)}^{\mathcal{P}}} C_r(\mathbf{p}), \quad (24)$$

where $\Sigma_{n(c)}^{\mathcal{P}} = \{\sigma^1, -\sigma^2 h_r, -\sigma^3 h_r\}$, are all traceless, hermitian and with the property: $[\Sigma_{i(c)}^{\mathcal{P}}, \Sigma_{j(c)}^{\mathcal{P}}] = 2i\epsilon_{ijk} \Sigma_{k(c)}^{\mathcal{P}}$, since $h_r^2 = 1$. Therefore we have found a representation

of $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$ for the transformations of $C_r(\mathbf{p})$ in the massless case. Notice that (24) are basically rotations in the space of the ‘‘parity partners’’: $c_r(\mathbf{p})$ and $c_r^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{p})$, which

takes into account the helicity of our particles.

The same can be done for $d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger$ and $d_r^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{p})^\dagger$ and we obtain the following result

$$\begin{aligned} (d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger)^{U_{CS}^\alpha} &= \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} V_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger [(\mathbf{1} + \sigma^1) \otimes \mathbf{1}] \Psi(x)^{U_{CS}^\alpha} e^{-ipx} \\ &= \cos(\alpha) d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger + i \sin(\alpha) [e_1 d_r^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{p})^\dagger + e_2 i h_{r+1} d_r^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{p})^\dagger - e_3 h_{r+1} d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger], \\ (d_r^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{p})^\dagger)^{U_{CS}^\alpha} &= \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} (-V_r(-\mathbf{p}))^\dagger [(\mathbf{1} + \sigma^1) \otimes \mathbf{1}] \Psi(x)^{U_{CS}^\alpha} e^{-ip(\mathcal{P}x)} \\ &= \cos(\alpha) d_r^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{p})^\dagger + i \sin(\alpha) [e_1 d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger - e_2 i h_{r+1} d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger + e_3 h_{r+1} d_r^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{p})^\dagger], \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where we used the same procedure as before. The details of this calculations are again in Appendix D. Eq. (25) can be given in a compact way as

$$\begin{aligned} D_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger \rightarrow (D_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger)^{U_{CS}^\alpha} &\equiv ((d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger)^{U_{CS}^\alpha} (d_r^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{p})^\dagger)^{U_{CS}^\alpha}) \\ &= D_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger \left(e^{i\alpha_n \Sigma_n^{\mathcal{P}(d)}} \right)^T, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where $\Sigma_n^{\mathcal{P}(d)} = \{\sigma^1, -\sigma^2 h_{r+1}, -\sigma^3 h_{r+1}\}$, are again all traceless, hermitian and with the property: $[\Sigma_i^{\mathcal{P}(d)}, \Sigma_j^{\mathcal{P}(d)}] = 2i\epsilon_{ijk} \Sigma_k^{\mathcal{P}(d)}$, since $h_{r+1}^2 = 1$. Eq. (26) expresses the representation of $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$ transformations for $D_r(\mathbf{p})$ and it is a rotation of the ‘‘parity partners’’: $d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger$ and $d_r^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{p})^\dagger$, where we take into account the helicity for antiparticles.

Moreover, we can obtain the $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$ -transformations of $C_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger$ and $D_r(\mathbf{p})$ considering $(C_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger)^{U_{CS}^\alpha} = (C_r(\mathbf{p})^{U_{CS}^\alpha})^\dagger$ and $D_r(\mathbf{p})^{U_{CS}^\alpha} = ((D_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger)^{U_{CS}^\alpha})^\dagger$.

As we can observe, H_0 in (16) is of course invariant under transformations of $C_r(\mathbf{p})$ and $D_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger$ given in (24) and (26), because $(C_r(\mathbf{p})^{U_{CS}^\alpha})^\dagger C_r(\mathbf{p})^{U_{CS}^\alpha} = C_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger C_r(\mathbf{p})$ and $(D_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger)^{U_{CS}^\alpha} D_r(\mathbf{p})^{U_{CS}^\alpha} = D_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger D_r(\mathbf{p})$. This concludes our proof that H_0 at $m = 0$ is $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$ -invariant.

It remains to see what happens when $m \neq 0$ and in presence of a gauge interaction. For $m \neq 0$, we already know that H_0 is not invariant under $U(1)_A$ and therefore is not invariant under $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$, since $U(1)_A \subset SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$. The case of a gauge interaction just makes fall the relation (18), valid in the free case. This means that the relations (24) and (26) do not represent anymore $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$ transformations. Hence in this situation we do not expect to have this symmetry, even because, as we have already seen in the previous section, the gauge interaction breaks the invariance also in the action, because $U(1)_P$ is broken explicitly.

V. MASS DEGENERATION AND SYMMETRY

Now we consider the case of QCD, where the fermion fields ψ that we have discussed so far, are interpreted as quark fields.

The $SU(2)_{CS}$ group transformations, as has been described in [5–7, 11, 12], can be defined in Minkowskian space-time as

$$\begin{aligned} SU(2)_{CS} : \psi(x) &\rightarrow \psi(x)^{U_{CS}^\alpha} = U_{CS}^\alpha \psi(x), \\ U_{CS}^\alpha &= e^{i\alpha_n \Sigma_n} \in SU(2)_{CS}, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where $\Sigma_n = \{\gamma^0, i\gamma^5 \gamma^0, -\gamma^5\}$, and we have just substituted $\gamma^4 \rightarrow \gamma^0$ from Euclidean to Minkowskian space-time. We can see from the above definition that we have two important subgroups just tuning the α_n s. One is $U(1)_A$ (which is also a subgroup of $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$) and the other is the $U(1)$ group generated by γ^0 , from which the group transformation is obtained by choosing $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = (\alpha, 0, 0)$ in (27). Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} U(1)_0 : \psi(x) &\rightarrow \psi(x)^{U(1)_0} \\ &= e^{i\alpha \gamma^0} \psi(x) = \cos(\alpha) \psi(x) + i \sin(\alpha) \gamma^0 \psi(x). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Now, using the Euler decomposition, whatever element of $SU(2)_{CS}$ can be obtained by the product of three matrices belonging to $U(1)_A$ and $U(1)_0$. Therefore the real difference between $SU(2)_{CS}$ and $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$ lies in their different subgroups: $U(1)_0$, given in (28), and $U(1)_P$, given in (1), respectively. However while $U(1)_P$ is a symmetry of the free fermion action S_F in (4), $U(1)_0$ is broken explicitly. This is why $SU(2)_{CS}$ is not a symmetry of free massless quarks. Now we want to show how $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$ is related to $SU(2)_{CS}$ and its consequence on hadron correlators and mass degeneration. At first we take the case when $\Psi(x)$ is evaluated at the point $x^{(\ell)} = (x_0, \mathbf{0})$. In this situation, by definition $\psi_+(x^{(\ell)}) = \psi(x^{(\ell)})$ and $\psi_-(x^{(\ell)}) = 0$. Therefore the transformation (10) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}} : \Psi(x^{(t)}) &\rightarrow \Psi(x^{(t)})^{U_{CS}^{\alpha}} \\
&= e^{i\alpha_n \Sigma_n^{\mathcal{P}}} \begin{pmatrix} \psi(x^{(t)}) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\alpha_n \Sigma_n} \psi(x^{(t)}) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Thus $\psi_{-}(x^{(t)})^{U_{CS}^{\alpha}} = 0$ and $\psi_{+}(x^{(t)})^{U_{CS}^{\alpha}} = \exp(i\alpha_n \Sigma_n) \psi(x^{(t)})$, where the Σ_n s are the $SU(2)_{CS}$ generators previously introduced.

Now naming for simplicity $\psi(x^{(t)})^{U_{CS}^{\alpha}} \equiv \psi_{+}(x^{(t)})^{U_{CS}^{\alpha}}$, the Eq. (29) can be rewritten also as

$$SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}} : \psi(x^{(t)}) \rightarrow \psi(x^{(t)})^{U_{CS}^{\alpha}} = e^{i\alpha_n \Sigma_n} \psi(x^{(t)}). \tag{30}$$

It coincides with Eq. (27) which means that $\psi(x^{(t)})^{U_{CS}^{\alpha}} = \psi(x^{(t)})^{U_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}}$. Hence $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$ and $SU(2)_{CS}$ are indistinguishable when they act on the spinor $\psi(x^{(t)})$. This has an important consequence as we start to describe now. Take an hadron observable $O_H(x)$ made by N_q quarks and \bar{N}_q antiquarks, i.e.

$$O_H(x) = \mathcal{H}_{i_1, \dots, i_{N_q}, j_1, \dots, j_{\bar{N}_q}} \prod_{l=1}^{N_q} \psi(x)_{i_l} \prod_{k=1}^{\bar{N}_q} \bar{\psi}_{j_k}(x) \tag{31}$$

(for example for $N_q = 3$ and $\bar{N}_q = 0$ we get a baryon and $N_q = \bar{N}_q = 1$ we get a meson), where \mathcal{H} is a tensor specifying the quantum numbers of the hadron H , and the indices $\{i\}_{l=1, \dots, N_q}$ and $\{j\}_{k=1, \dots, \bar{N}_q}$ enclose Dirac, flavor and eventually color indices. We now choose to transform it with $SU(2)_{CS}$ and for some choice of the parameters α_n s in (27) we get another hadron observable, i.e.

$$O_{H'}(x) = \mathcal{H}'_{i_1, \dots, i_{N_q}, j_1, \dots, j_{\bar{N}_q}} \prod_{l=1}^{N_q} \psi(x)_{i_l} \prod_{k=1}^{\bar{N}_q} \bar{\psi}_{j_k}(x), \tag{32}$$

which is the observable for the hadron H' . $O_H(x)$ and $O_{H'}(x)$ in (31) and (32) are connected via $SU(2)_{CS}$ if for some α we have $O_{H'}(x) = O_H(x)^{U_{CS}^{\alpha}} \equiv \mathcal{H}_{i_1, \dots, i_{N_q}, j_1, \dots, j_{\bar{N}_q}} \prod_{l=1}^{N_q} \psi(x)_{i_l}^{U_{CS}^{\alpha}} \prod_{k=1}^{\bar{N}_q} \bar{\psi}_{j_k}(x)^{U_{CS}^{\alpha}}$. Now, since for $x = x^{(t)}$ we have $\psi(x^{(t)})^{U_{CS}^{\alpha}} = \psi(x^{(t)})^{U_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}}$, then $O_H(x)^{U_{CS}^{\alpha}}$, which is the $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$ transformation of $O_H(x)$ with the same set of parameters α_n s has the property $O_H(x^{(t)})^{U_{CS}^{\alpha}} = O_H(x^{(t)})^{U_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}}$ and consequently $O_{H'}(x^{(t)}) = O_H(x^{(t)})^{U_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}}$. Therefore even if $O_H(x)^{U_{CS}^{\alpha}}$ could be not associated with an hadron for a generic x , however at $x = x^{(t)}$, it coincides with the hadron operator $O_{H'}(x^{(t)})$. At this point, suppose to consider these two hadron correlators and their expansion in the energy eigenstates using the translational invariance $O_H(x^{(t)}) = \exp(-iHx_0)O_H(0)\exp(iHx_0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle 0|O_H(y^{(t)})O_H(x^{(t)})^{\dagger}|0\rangle &= \sum_n |\langle 0|O_H(0)|n\rangle|^2 e^{-iE_n \mathcal{T}}, \\
\langle 0|O_{H'}(y^{(t)})O_{H'}(x^{(t)})^{\dagger}|0\rangle &= \sum_n |\langle 0|O_{H'}(0)|n\rangle|^2 e^{-iE'_n \mathcal{T}},
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where $y^{(t)} = (y_0, \mathbf{0})$, $\mathcal{T} = y_0 - x_0$, while $m_H = E_0$ and $m_{H'} = E'_0$ are the masses associated with the hadrons H and H' respectively. Now, if $SU(2)_{CS}$ is a symmetry of the theory (which seems to be in truncated studies [5–7] then $\langle 0|O_H(y^{(t)})^{U_{CS}^{\alpha}}(O_H(x^{(t)})^{U_{CS}^{\alpha}})^{\dagger}|0\rangle = \langle 0|O_H(y^{(t)})O_H(x^{(t)})^{\dagger}|0\rangle$ and therefore since we have chosen the $SU(2)_{CS}$ transformations such that $O_H(x)^{U_{CS}^{\alpha}} = O_{H'}(x)$, then we have $m_{H'} = m_H$, from (33). This means that a degeneration of masses appears. Let us see the opposite, i.e. we find a degeneration $m_{H'} = m_H$ coming from $\langle 0|O_{H'}(y^{(t)})O_{H'}(x^{(t)})^{\dagger}|0\rangle = \langle 0|O_H(y^{(t)})O_H(x^{(t)})^{\dagger}|0\rangle$. In that case at $x = x^{(t)}$ (and also $y = y^{(t)}$), we have $O_H(x^{(t)})^{U_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}} = O_H(x^{(t)})^{U_{CS}^{\alpha}}$, which means from the correlator side that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle 0|O_{H'}(y^{(t)})O_{H'}(x^{(t)})^{\dagger}|0\rangle \\
&= \langle 0|O_H(y^{(t)})^{U_{CS}^{\alpha}}(O_H(x^{(t)})^{U_{CS}^{\alpha}})^{\dagger}|0\rangle \\
&= \langle 0|O_H(y^{(t)})^{U_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}}(O_H(x^{(t)})^{U_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}})^{\dagger}|0\rangle \\
&= \langle 0|O_H(y^{(t)})O_H(x^{(t)})^{\dagger}|0\rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

This implies that $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$ symmetry can also explain the same mass degeneration. Therefore looking just the mass degeneration $m_{H'} = m_H$ does not tell us if the symmetry is $SU(2)_{CS}$ of the truncated studies [1–7, 11, 12], where at first the mass degeneration has been observed, or $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$ of this paper.

However because $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$ is a symmetry of free quarks (in the massless case), its possible presence does not go in contrast with the deconfinement regime at high temperature QCD, since in section III we have shown that it is a symmetry of the action as well the Hamiltonian of free fermions (let say quarks) in the massless case. On the contrary $SU(2)_{CS}$ is not a symmetry of S_F at $m = 0$ because $U(1)_0$ is explicitly broken (see Refs. [11, 12]). Therefore we expect that $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$ to be visible at temperature $T \rightarrow \infty$, where quarks will behave as quasi free particles. Hence, while $SU(2)_{CS}$ is just present in the range $T_c < T \lesssim 3T_c$ [1–4], $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$ could be visible (at least approximately) also at $T > 3T_c$ and describe the large mass degeneracy which was previously explained by $SU(2)_{CS}$ in the truncated studies [5–7, 11, 12]. Therefore a study on the lattice on this point is strongly suggested.

Now, lattice calculations, as we know, are generally performed at zero chemical potential (due to technical difficulties). However, if we suppose to switch on an eventual chemical potential term in the action, this would not spoil $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$. In fact the $SU(2)_{CS}^{\mathcal{P}}$ transformations leave also invariant the chemical potential part of the action (as also $SU(2)_{CS}$ does, see

Refs. [11, 13]), which is $S_{(\mu)}(\psi, \bar{\psi}) = \mu \int d^4x \psi(x)^\dagger \psi(x)$. The demonstration starts rewriting it as $S_{(\mu)}(\psi, \bar{\psi}) = \mu \int d^4x (\psi_+(x)^\dagger \psi_+(x) + \psi_-(x)^\dagger \psi_-(x))$, since $\psi(x) = \psi_+(x) + \psi_-(x)$. This because the mixing terms give zero under the integration, indeed $\int d^4x \psi_\pm(x)^\dagger \psi_\mp(x) = \int d^4x \psi_\pm(\mathcal{P}x)^\dagger \psi_\mp(\mathcal{P}x) = -\int d^4x \psi_\pm(x)^\dagger \psi_\mp(x) = 0$, where firstly we used the change of variable $x \rightarrow \mathcal{P}x$ and $|\det(\mathcal{P})| = 1$ and secondly that $\psi_\pm(\mathcal{P}x) = \pm \psi_\pm(x)$ by definition. Therefore, using (2), then we have $S_{(\mu)}(\psi, \bar{\psi}) = \mu \int d^4x \Psi(x)^\dagger \Psi(x)$. Consequently from Eq. (10), we get $S_{(\mu)}(\psi_{CS^P}^{\alpha}, \bar{\psi}_{CS^P}^{\alpha}) = \mu \int d^4x (\Psi(x)_{CS^P}^{\alpha})^\dagger \Psi(x)_{CS^P}^{\alpha} = \mu \int d^4x \Psi(x)^\dagger \Psi(x) = S_{(\mu)}(\psi, \bar{\psi})$. Hence the invariance of $S_{(\mu)}(\psi, \bar{\psi})$ under $SU(2)_{CS}^P$ is proven. Consequently, if there is a regime at high temperature where $SU(2)_{CS}^P$ is a symmetry of QCD, then a possible non zero chemical potential do not break such symmetry.

VI. SUMMARY

In Ref. [8], we have seen how $SU(2)_{CS}^P$ can be a candidate for describing the large degeneracy found on lattice calculations [5–7], and eventually at high temperature QCD studies [1–4]. Here, we have defined such group in Minkowskian and proved that the fermionic action of free massless fermions is left invariant under such group and a chemical potential term is also $SU(2)_{CS}^P$ -invariant.

In the case of a gauge interaction, $SU(2)_{CS}^P$ is explicitly broken except for some special cases [8]. Therefore a more profound investigation on this is needed. We have also seen that the Hamiltonian of free fermions is $SU(2)_{CS}^P$ -invariant and given how the creation and annihilation operators of fermions and anti-fermions, when organized in “parity partners” (in the sense of Eq. (17)), would transform in this case, see Eqs. (24) and (26).

Moreover, from the Minkowskian perspective as we have done in Euclidean [8], we have seen that a mass degeneration given by a possible presence of the *chiral spin* group $SU(2)_{CS}$ symmetry can be either explained by our *chiral spin* group $SU(2)_{CS}^P$. However since $SU(2)_{CS}^P$ is a symmetry of free fermions, then it is compatible with the presence of the deconfinement regime in QCD. Therefore it could be visible (at least *effectively*) at high temperature QCD, i.e. $T > T_c$ (as e.g. $U(1)_A$ in Refs. [14–16]), but this is still something to be checked on lattice calculations.

As we have shown in this paper, $SU(2)_{CS}$ and $SU(2)_{CS}^P$ have both $U(1)_A$ as subgroup, nevertheless they differ each other by the subgroup generated by γ^0 , i.e. $U(1)_0 \subset SU(2)_{CS}$, look Eq. (28), and $U(1)_P \subset SU(2)_{CS}^P$, look Eq. (1). Now, $U(1)_A$ has been already studied on the lattice and the suppression of its breaking for extremely high temperature is pretty evident by many lattice studies [14–16]. Therefore in order to check the presence of $SU(2)_{CS}^P$ in QCD at high T , is sufficient to verify the presence of $U(1)_P$ (defined by Eq. (1)) in lat-

tice QCD at high temperature, which still haven’t been done yet.

Appendix A: Known formulas

In this paper we have used the Dirac representation for the gamma matrices, namely

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tau^k \\ -\tau^k & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where τ^k for $k = 1, 2, 3$ are the Pauli matrices. Using such representation the solution of the Dirac equation: $(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\psi(x) = 0$, given in (14), contains the 4-component vectors $u_r(\mathbf{p})$ and $v_r(\mathbf{p})$, which have the following structure [10]

$$u_r(\mathbf{p}) = \sqrt{\frac{E+m}{2E}} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_r \\ \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{E+m} \chi_r \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_r(\mathbf{p}) = \sqrt{\frac{E+m}{2E}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{E+m} \chi'_r \\ \chi'_r \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A2})$$

with $\chi'_r = \chi_{r \oplus 1}$ (where $r \oplus 1 = (r+1) \bmod 2$), while χ_0 and χ_1 are two two-dimensional orthogonal vectors, i.e. $\chi_r^\dagger \chi_{r'} = \chi'_r{}^\dagger \chi'_r = \delta_{rr'}$. Consequently the normalization is $u_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger u_{r'}(\mathbf{p}) = v_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger v_{r'}(\mathbf{p}) = \delta_{rr'}$, for whatever \mathbf{p} .

Other interesting features come from the coefficients $c_r(\mathbf{p})$ and $d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger$ in (14), namely the annihilation and creation operators of particles and antiparticles respectively. They can be obtained by the Fourier transform

$$c_r(\mathbf{p}) = \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} u_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger \psi(x) e^{ipx}, \quad d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger = \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} v_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger \psi(x) e^{-ipx}. \quad (\text{A3})$$

Moreover from the parity transformation $P\psi(x)P^\dagger = \gamma^0 \psi(\mathcal{P}x)$ and the relations (18), we can express $c_r^P(\mathbf{p}) \equiv Pc_r(\mathbf{p})P^\dagger$ and $d_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger \equiv Pd_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger P^\dagger$ as

$$c_r^P(\mathbf{p}) = \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} u_r(-\mathbf{p})^\dagger \psi(x) e^{ip(\mathcal{P}x)}, \quad d_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger = \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} (-v_r(-\mathbf{p}))^\dagger \psi(x) e^{-ip(\mathcal{P}x)}. \quad (\text{A4})$$

where we used that $\gamma^0 = (\gamma^0)^\dagger$, changed the variable $x \rightarrow \mathcal{P}x$ used that $\mathcal{P} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1) = \mathcal{P}^{-1}$ and that the Jacobian $|\det(\mathcal{P})|^2 = 1$.

Appendix B: $U(1)_{PA}$ breaking

In this Appendix we want to prove that the gauge interaction and also the mass term in the action break

explicitly $U(1)_{\text{PA}}$, subgroup of $SU(2)_{\text{CS}}^{\mathcal{P}}$. The expression of $U(1)_{\text{PA}}$ transformations for a fermion field ψ is given in Eq. (13), from which the transformation for $\bar{\psi}$ is $\bar{\psi}(x)U_{\text{PA}}^{\alpha} = (\psi(x)U_{\text{PA}}^{\alpha})^{\dagger}\gamma^0 = \cos(\alpha)\bar{\psi}(x) + i\sin(\alpha)\bar{\psi}(\mathcal{P}x)i\gamma^5\gamma^0$.

Let us start with the gauge interaction term of the action $S_I(\psi, \bar{\psi}, A) = g \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}A_{\mu}(x)\psi(x)$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
& S_I(\psi^{U_{\text{PA}}^{\alpha}}, \bar{\psi}^{U_{\text{PA}}^{\alpha}}, A)/g \\
&= \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x)U_{\text{PA}}^{\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}A_{\mu}(x)\psi(x)U_{\text{PA}}^{\alpha} \\
&= \cos(\alpha)^2 \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}A_{\mu}(x)\psi(x) \\
&+ i\sin(\alpha)\cos(\alpha) \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}(i\gamma^5\gamma^0)A_{\mu}(x)\psi(\mathcal{P}x) \\
&+ i\sin(\alpha)\cos(\alpha) \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(\mathcal{P}x)(i\gamma^5\gamma^0)\gamma^{\mu}A_{\mu}(x)\psi(x) \\
&- \sin(\alpha)^2 \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(\mathcal{P}x)(i\gamma^5\gamma^0)\gamma^{\mu}(i\gamma^5\gamma^0)A_{\mu}(x)\psi(\mathcal{P}x) \\
&= \cos(\alpha)^2 \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}A_{\mu}(x)\psi(x) \\
&+ i\sin(\alpha)\cos(\alpha) \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}(i\gamma^5\gamma^0)A_{\mu}(x)\psi(\mathcal{P}x) \\
&- i\sin(\alpha)\cos(\alpha) \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x)\mathcal{P}_{\nu}^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}(i\gamma^5\gamma^0)A_{\mu}(\mathcal{P}x)\psi(\mathcal{P}x) \\
&+ \sin(\alpha)^2 \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\nu}\mathcal{P}_{\nu}^{\mu}A_{\mu}(\mathcal{P}x)\psi(x) \\
&= \int d^4x [\bar{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}(\cos(\alpha)^2A_{\mu}(x) + \sin(\alpha)^2A_{\mu}^{\mathcal{P}}(x))\psi(x) \\
&+ i\sin(\alpha)\cos(\alpha)\bar{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}(A_{\mu}(x) - A_{\mu}^{\mathcal{P}}(x))(i\gamma^5\gamma^0)\psi(\mathcal{P}x)], \tag{B1}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used that $(i\gamma^5\gamma^0)\gamma^{\mu}(i\gamma^5\gamma^0) = -\gamma^{\nu}\mathcal{P}_{\nu}^{\mu}$ and we have changed the variable $x \rightarrow \mathcal{P}x$ for the last two terms after the third equality, and used that the Jacobian $|\det(\mathcal{P})| = 1$. Eq. (B1) tells us that for a generic value of α , $S_I(\psi^{U_{\text{PA}}^{\alpha}}, \bar{\psi}^{U_{\text{PA}}^{\alpha}}, A) \neq S_I(\psi, \bar{\psi}, A)$ because in general $A_{\mu}^{\mathcal{P}}(x) \equiv \mathcal{P}_{\nu}^{\mu}A_{\nu}(x) \neq A_{\mu}(x)$ and therefore S_I is not $U(1)_{\text{PA}}$ -invariant. Eq. (B1) is similar to Eq. (7) for $U(1)_{\text{P}}$, hence the two consequences below that equation become similar for $U(1)_{\text{PA}}$. In the sense that we can re-obtain the invariance of S_I only for particular values of α . In particular we recognize two cases:

- $\alpha = \pi k$ with $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \Rightarrow U(1)_{\text{PA}}$ reduces to the group $Z_2 \subset U(1)_{\text{PA}}$ (see Eq. (13)) and of course $S_F + S_I$ is Z_2 -invariant.
- $\alpha = \pi k + (\pi/2)$ with $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \Rightarrow$ In this case if we perform also a parity transformation of the gauge field $A_{\mu}(x) \rightarrow A_{\mu}^{\mathcal{P}}(x)$, we can obtain the invariance of the interaction term, namely $S_I(\psi^{U_{\text{PA}}^{\alpha}}, \bar{\psi}^{U_{\text{PA}}^{\alpha}}, A^{\mathcal{P}}) = S_I(\psi, \bar{\psi}, A)$. In fact, as it is clear from Eq. (13), $U(1)_{\text{PA}}$ group transformations reduce to Parity $\times \gamma^5 Z_2 \in U(1)_{\text{PA}}$ transformations,

where for Parity $\times \gamma^5 Z_2$ we mean the transformation: $\psi(x) \rightarrow z\gamma^5(P\psi(x)P^{\dagger})$, with $z \in Z_2$.

Otherwise a sufficient condition for the $U(1)_{\text{PA}}$ invariance of $S_I(\psi, \bar{\psi}, A)$, can be obtained restricting ourself to gauge configurations satisfying the condition in Eq. (8).

Regarding the mass term: $m \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x)\psi(x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x)U_{\text{PA}}^{\alpha}\psi(x)U_{\text{PA}}^{\alpha} \\
&= \cos(\alpha)^2 \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x)\psi(x) \\
&+ i\sin(\alpha)\cos(\alpha) \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x)(i\gamma^5\gamma^0)\psi(\mathcal{P}x) \\
&+ i\sin(\alpha)\cos(\alpha) \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(\mathcal{P}x)(i\gamma^5\gamma^0)\psi(x) \\
&- \sin(\alpha)^2 \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(\mathcal{P}x)(i\gamma^5\gamma^0)(i\gamma^5\gamma^0)\psi(\mathcal{P}x) \\
&= \cos(2\alpha) \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x)\psi(x) \\
&+ i\sin(2\alpha) \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(\mathcal{P}x)(i\gamma^5\gamma^0)\psi(x) \\
&\neq \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x)\psi(x), \tag{B2}
\end{aligned}$$

which is therefore not invariant under $U(1)_{\text{PA}}$ transformations. The mass term breaks $U(1)_{\text{PA}}$.

Appendix C: Explicit calculation of Eq. (19)

Let us start proving the first of Eq. (19), considering the expression (1) for the $U(1)_{\text{P}}$ transformations and the expression of $c_r(\mathbf{p})$ in (A3). Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
c_r(\mathbf{p})^{U_{\text{P}}^{\alpha}} &= \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} u_r(\mathbf{p})^{\dagger}\psi(x)U_{\text{P}}^{\alpha}e^{ipx} \\
&= \cos(\alpha) \left[\int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} u_r(\mathbf{p})^{\dagger}\psi(x)e^{ipx} \right] \\
&+ i\sin(\alpha) \left[\int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} u_r(\mathbf{p})^{\dagger}\gamma^0\psi(\mathcal{P}x)e^{ipx} \right] \\
&= \cos(\alpha) c_r(\mathbf{p}) \\
&+ i\sin(\alpha) \left[\int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} (\gamma^0 u_r(\mathbf{p}))^{\dagger}\psi(x)e^{ip(\mathcal{P}x)} \right] \\
&= \cos(\alpha) c_r(\mathbf{p}) + i\sin(\alpha) c_r^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{p}), \tag{C1}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have changed the variable $x \rightarrow \mathcal{P}x$ and used that $|\det(\mathcal{P})| = 1$. We have also used the expression of $c_r^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{p})$ in (A4) and Eq. (18).

For $c_r^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{p})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
c_r^P(\mathbf{p})^{U_{\mathbb{F}}^\alpha} &= \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} u_r(-\mathbf{p})^\dagger \psi(x) U_{\mathbb{F}}^\alpha e^{ip(\mathcal{P}x)} \\
&= \cos(\alpha) \left[\int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} u_r(-\mathbf{p})^\dagger \psi(x) e^{ip(\mathcal{P}x)} \right] \\
&+ i \sin(\alpha) \left[\int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} u_r(-\mathbf{p})^\dagger \gamma^0 \psi(\mathcal{P}x) e^{i(\mathcal{P}x)} \right] \\
&= \cos(\alpha) c_r^P(\mathbf{p}) \\
&+ i \sin(\alpha) \left[\int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} (\gamma^0 u_r(-\mathbf{p}))^\dagger \psi(x) e^{ipx} \right] \\
&= \cos(\alpha) c_r^P(\mathbf{p}) + i \sin(\alpha) c_r(\mathbf{p}), \tag{C2}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the same procedure as before. Regarding $d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger)^{U_{\mathbb{F}}^\alpha} &= \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} v_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger \psi(x) U_{\mathbb{F}}^\alpha e^{-ipx} \\
&= \cos(\alpha) \left[\int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} v_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger \psi(x) e^{-ipx} \right] \\
&+ i \sin(\alpha) \left[\int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} v_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger \gamma^0 \psi(\mathcal{P}x) e^{-ipx} \right] \\
&= \cos(\alpha) d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger \\
&+ i \sin(\alpha) \left[\int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} (\gamma^0 v_r(\mathbf{p}))^\dagger \psi(x) e^{-ip(\mathcal{P}x)} \right] \\
&= \cos(\alpha) d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger + i \sin(\alpha) d_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger, \tag{C3}
\end{aligned}$$

and similar for $d_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(d_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger)^{U_{\mathbb{F}}^\alpha} &= \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} (-v_r(-\mathbf{p}))^\dagger \psi(x) U_{\mathbb{F}}^\alpha e^{-ip(\mathcal{P}x)} \\
&= \cos(\alpha) \left[\int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} (-v_r(-\mathbf{p}))^\dagger \psi(x) e^{-ip(\mathcal{P}x)} \right] \\
&- i \sin(\alpha) \left[\int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} v_r(-\mathbf{p})^\dagger \gamma^0 \psi(\mathcal{P}x) e^{-ip(\mathcal{P}x)} \right] \\
&= \cos(\alpha) d_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger \\
&- i \sin(\alpha) \left[\int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} (\gamma^0 v_r(-\mathbf{p}))^\dagger \psi(x) e^{-ipx} \right] \\
&= \cos(\alpha) d_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger + i \sin(\alpha) d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger, \tag{C4}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have changed the variable $x \rightarrow \mathcal{P}x$ and used that $|\det(\mathcal{P})| = 1$. We have also used the expressions

of $d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger$ and $d_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger$ in (A3) and (A4) respectively, and Eq. (18).

Appendix D: Explicit calculation of Eqs. (23) and (25)

We have seen in section IV B that we can write $u_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger \psi(x) = U_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger [(\mathbf{1} + \sigma^1) \otimes \mathbf{1}] \Psi(x)$ and $v_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger \psi(x) = V_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger [(\mathbf{1} + \sigma^1) \otimes \mathbf{1}] \Psi(x)$, where $U_r(\mathbf{p})$ and $V_r(\mathbf{p})$ are defined at the beginning of that section. The properties of $u_r(\mathbf{p})$ and $v_r(\mathbf{p})$ (see Eq. (A2)), given in Eqs. (18) and (21), valid for $m = 0$, can be translated for $U_r(\mathbf{p})$ and $V_r(\mathbf{p})$ as well, and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{1} \otimes \gamma^0) U_r(\mathbf{p}) &= U_r(-\mathbf{p}), \\
(\mathbf{1} \otimes \gamma^0) V_r(\mathbf{p}) &= -V_r(-\mathbf{p}), \\
(\mathbf{1} \otimes \gamma^5) U_r(\mathbf{p}) &= h_r U_r(\mathbf{p}), \\
(\mathbf{1} \otimes \gamma^5) V_r(\mathbf{p}) &= h_{r \oplus 1} V_r(\mathbf{p}), \tag{D1}
\end{aligned}$$

where 3rd and 4th equations are valid for $m = 0$, where γ^5 coincides with the helicity operator $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}/|\mathbf{p}|$. This implies that for opposite values $-\mathbf{p}$, 3rd and 4th equations of (D1) become

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{1} \otimes \gamma^5) U_r(-\mathbf{p}) &= -h_r U_r(-\mathbf{p}), \\
(\mathbf{1} \otimes \gamma^5) V_r(-\mathbf{p}) &= -h_{r \oplus 1} V_r(-\mathbf{p}). \tag{D2}
\end{aligned}$$

Using such notation and defining for brevity $\Gamma = [(\mathbf{1} + \sigma^1) \otimes \mathbf{1}]$, we can rewrite $c_r(\mathbf{p})$, $c_r^P(\mathbf{p})$, $d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger$ and $d_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger$ of Eqs. (A3) and (A4) as

$$\begin{aligned}
c_r(\mathbf{p}) &= \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} U_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger \Gamma \Psi(x) e^{ipx}, \\
c_r^P(\mathbf{p}) &= \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} U_r(-\mathbf{p})^\dagger \Gamma \Psi(x) e^{ip(\mathcal{P}x)} \\
&= \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} U_r(-\mathbf{p})^\dagger \Gamma \Psi(\mathcal{P}x) e^{ipx}, \\
d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger &= \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} V_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger \Gamma \Psi(x) e^{-ipx}, \\
d_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger &= \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} (-V_r(-\mathbf{p}))^\dagger \Gamma \Psi(x) e^{-ip(\mathcal{P}x)} \\
&= \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} (-V_r(-\mathbf{p}))^\dagger \Gamma \Psi(\mathcal{P}x) e^{-ipx}, \tag{D3}
\end{aligned}$$

where in $c_r^P(\mathbf{p})$ and $d_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger$, we have changed the variable $x \rightarrow \mathcal{P}x$ and used that the Jacobian is $|\det(\mathcal{P})| = 1$. At this point the $SU(2)_{CS}^P$ transformations are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
c_r(\mathbf{p})^{U_{CS^P}^\alpha} &= \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} U_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger \Gamma \Psi(x)^{U_{CS^P}^\alpha} e^{ipx} \\
&= \cos(\alpha) c_r(\mathbf{p}) + i \sin(\alpha) \sum_{i=1}^3 e_i \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} U_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger \Gamma \Sigma_i^P \Psi(x) e^{ipx}, \\
c_r^P(\mathbf{p})^{U_{CS^P}^\alpha} &= \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} U_r(-\mathbf{p})^\dagger \Gamma \Psi(x)^{U_{CS^P}^\alpha} e^{ip(\mathcal{P}x)} \\
&= \cos(\alpha) c_r^P(\mathbf{p}) + i \sin(\alpha) \sum_{i=1}^3 e_i \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} U_r(-\mathbf{p})^\dagger \Gamma \Sigma_i^P \Psi(x) e^{ip(\mathcal{P}x)}, \\
(d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger)^{U_{CS^P}^\alpha} &= \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} V_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger \Gamma \Psi(x)^{U_{CS^P}^\alpha} e^{-ipx} \\
&= \cos(\alpha) d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger + i \sin(\alpha) \sum_{i=1}^3 e_i \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} V_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger \Gamma \Sigma_i^P \Psi(x) e^{-ipx}, \\
(d_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger)^{U_{CS^P}^\alpha} &= \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} (-V_r(-\mathbf{p}))^\dagger \Gamma \Psi(x)^{U_{CS^P}^\alpha} e^{-ip(\mathcal{P}x)} \\
&= \cos(\alpha) d_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger + i \sin(\alpha) \sum_{i=1}^3 e_i \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} (-V_r(-\mathbf{p}))^\dagger \Gamma \Sigma_i^P \Psi(x) e^{-ip(\mathcal{P}x)},
\end{aligned} \tag{D4}$$

where we used that $\Psi(x)^{U_{CS^P}^\alpha} = U_{CS^P}^\alpha \Psi(x)$ and $U_{CS^P}^\alpha$ is given in Eq. (22). From $c_r(\mathbf{p})$ and $c_r^P(\mathbf{p})$ is evident that

we need to know the terms $U_r(\pm\mathbf{p})^\dagger [(\mathbf{1} + \sigma^1) \otimes \mathbf{1}] \Sigma_i^P \Psi(x)$, where $\Sigma_i^P = \{\sigma^3 \otimes \gamma^0, \sigma^3 \otimes i\gamma^5 \gamma^0, -\mathbf{1} \otimes \gamma^5\}$. At first we notice that by definition $(\sigma^3 \otimes \mathbf{1}) \Psi(x) = \Psi(\mathcal{P}x)$ and then from Eqs. (D1) and (D2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
U_r(\pm\mathbf{p})^\dagger \Gamma \Sigma_1^P \Psi(x) &= ((\mathbf{1} \otimes \gamma^0) U_r(\pm\mathbf{p}))^\dagger \Gamma (\sigma^3 \otimes \mathbf{1}) \Psi(x) = U_r(\mp\mathbf{p})^\dagger \Gamma \Psi(\mathcal{P}x), \\
U_r(\pm\mathbf{p})^\dagger \Gamma \Sigma_2^P \Psi(x) &= ((\mathbf{1} \otimes i\gamma^5 \gamma^0) U_r(\pm\mathbf{p}))^\dagger \Gamma (\sigma^3 \otimes \mathbf{1}) \Psi(x) = \pm i h_r U_r(\mp\mathbf{p})^\dagger \Gamma \Psi(\mathcal{P}x), \\
U_r(\pm\mathbf{p})^\dagger \Gamma \Sigma_3^P \Psi(x) &= ((-\mathbf{1} \otimes \gamma^5) U_r(\pm\mathbf{p}))^\dagger \Gamma \Psi(x) = \mp h_r U_r(\pm\mathbf{p})^\dagger \Gamma \Psi(x),
\end{aligned} \tag{D5}$$

where we have decomposed $\sigma^3 \otimes l_i = (\mathbf{1} \otimes l_i)(\sigma^3 \otimes \mathbf{1})$, with $l_i = \{\gamma^0, i\gamma^5 \gamma^0\}$ and used that $[\mathbf{1} \otimes l_i, \Gamma] = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Now we can plug (D5) in Eq. (D4), having in mind (D3), and finally we obtain the right sides of Eq.

(23).

Regarding the terms $V_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger [(\mathbf{1} + \sigma^1) \otimes \mathbf{1}] \Psi(x)^{U_{CS^P}^\alpha}$ for $d_r(\mathbf{p})^\dagger$ and $d_r^P(\mathbf{p})^\dagger$, they can be rewritten using Eqs. (D1) and (D2) as

$$\begin{aligned}
V_r(\pm\mathbf{p})^\dagger \Gamma \Sigma_1^P \Psi(x) &= ((\mathbf{1} \otimes \gamma^0) V_r(\pm\mathbf{p}))^\dagger \Gamma (\sigma^3 \otimes \mathbf{1}) \Psi(x) = -V_r(\mp\mathbf{p})^\dagger \Gamma \Psi(\mathcal{P}x), \\
V_r(\pm\mathbf{p})^\dagger \Gamma \Sigma_2^P \Psi(x) &= ((\mathbf{1} \otimes i\gamma^5 \gamma^0) V_r(\pm\mathbf{p}))^\dagger \Gamma (\sigma^3 \otimes \mathbf{1}) \Psi(x) = \mp i h_{r\oplus 1} V_r(\mp\mathbf{p})^\dagger \Gamma \Psi(\mathcal{P}x), \\
V_r(\pm\mathbf{p})^\dagger \Gamma \Sigma_3^P \Psi(x) &= ((-\mathbf{1} \otimes \gamma^5) V_r(\pm\mathbf{p}))^\dagger \Gamma \Psi(x) = \mp h_{r\oplus 1} V_r(\pm\mathbf{p})^\dagger \Gamma \Psi(x),
\end{aligned} \tag{D6}$$

where we used the same procedure as in Eq. (D5). Now we plug (D6) in Eq. (D4) and keeping in mind the ex-

pression (D3), we obtain the right sides of Eq. (25). This ends our calculation.

[1] C. Rohrhofer, Y. Aoki, G. Cossu, H. Fukaya, L. Ya. Glozman, S. Hashimoto, C.B. Lang, and S. Prelovsek.

Approximate degeneracy of $J = 1$ spatial correla-

- tors in high temperature QCD. *Phys. Rev. D*, 96(9):094501, 2017. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.094501. [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 99, 039901 (2019)].
- [2] C. Rohrhofer, Y. Aoki, G. Cossu, H. Fukaya, C. Gattringer, L.Ya. Glozman, S. Hashimoto, C.B. Lang, and S. Prelovsek. Symmetries of spatial meson correlators in high temperature QCD. *Phys. Rev. D*, 100(1):014502, 2019. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.014502.
- [3] C. Rohrhofer, Y. Aoki, L.Ya. Glozman, and S. Hashimoto. Chiral-spin symmetry of the meson spectral function above T_c . *Phys. Lett. B*, 802:135245, 2020. doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135245.
- [4] L. Ya. Glozman, Y. Aoki, S. Hashimoto, and C. Rohrhofer. Symmetries of temporal correlators and the nature of hot QCD. In *38th International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory*, 8 2021.
- [5] M. Denissenya, L. Ya. Glozman, and C.B. Lang. Symmetries of mesons after unbreaking of chiral symmetry and their string interpretation. *Phys. Rev. D*, 89(7):077502, 2014. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.077502.
- [6] M. Denissenya, L. Ya. Glozman, and C. B. Lang. Isoscalar mesons upon unbreaking of chiral symmetry. *Phys. Rev. D*, 91(3):034505, 2015. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.034505.
- [7] M. Denissenya, L. Ya. Glozman, and M. Pak. Evidence for a new $SU(4)$ symmetry with $J = 2$ mesons. *Phys. Rev. D*, 91(11):114512, 2015. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.114512.
- [8] Marco Catillo. On $SU(2)_{CS}$ -like groups and invariance of the fermionic action in QCD. 9 2021.
- [9] M. Catillo and L. Ya. Glozman. Baryon parity doublets and chiral spin symmetry. *Phys. Rev. D*, 98(1):014030, 2018. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.98.014030.
- [10] Franz Mandl and Graham Shaw. *QUANTUM FIELD THEORY*. 1985.
- [11] L. Ya. Glozman. Chiral-spin symmetry and QCD at high temperature. *Eur. Phys. J. A*, 54(7):117, 2018. doi:10.1140/epja/i2018-12560-0.
- [12] L.Ya. Glozman. A hidden classical symmetry of QCD. *EPJ Web Conf.*, 164:03002, 2017. doi:10.1051/epjconf/201716403002.
- [13] L. Ya Glozman. Three regimes of QCD. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, 36(25):2044031, 2021. doi:10.1142/S0217751X20440315.
- [14] A. Bazavov et al. The chiral transition and $U(1)_A$ symmetry restoration from lattice QCD using Domain Wall Fermions. *Phys. Rev. D*, 86:094503, 2012. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.86.094503.
- [15] Guido Cossu, Sinya Aoki, Hidenori Fukaya, Shoji Hashimoto, Takashi Kaneko, Hideo Matsufuru, and Jun-ichi Noaki. Finite temperature study of the axial $U(1)$ symmetry on the lattice with overlap fermion formulation. *Phys. Rev. D*, 87(11):114514, 2013. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.114514. [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 88, 019901 (2013)].
- [16] A. Tomiya, G. Cossu, S. Aoki, H. Fukaya, S. Hashimoto, T. Kaneko, and J. Noaki. Evidence of effective axial $U(1)$ symmetry restoration at high temperature QCD. *Phys. Rev. D*, 96(3):034509, 2017. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.034509. [Addendum: Phys.Rev.D 96, 079902 (2017)].