

On the coefficients of the distinct monomials in the expansion of $x_1(x_1 + x_2) \cdots (x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n)$

Sela Fried *

Abstract

We initiate the study of the coefficients of the distinct monomials in the expansion of the multivariate polynomials $x_1(x_1 + x_2) \cdots (x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular we obtain several results regarding their maximal coefficients.

1 Introduction

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let x_1, \dots, x_n be indeterminates. It is well known that among the multitude of their combinatorial interpretations, the Catalan numbers also count the distinct monomials in the expansion of the multivariate polynomials

$$p_n = x_1(x_1 + x_2) \cdots (x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

a result that goes back at least to [9]. It seems that despite the naturalness of these polynomials, the coefficients of their distinct monomials have not been given attention by the community. In particular, to the best of our knowledge, a closed formula for their maximum is not known. In this work we initiate the study of these coefficients and accomplish the following: Upon establishing several elementary properties including a closed formula for them (Lemma 2.2), we show that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a maximal coefficient in the expansion of p_n is attained at a monomial belonging to a certain set \mathcal{M}_n that has the same cardinality as the set of all partitions of n with distinct parts (Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.11). Finally, we provide an algorithm (Algorithm 1) that successively (and greedily) generates a sequence of monomials $(r_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $r_n \in \mathcal{M}_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and conjecture that the corresponding coefficients are actually maximal.

Our interest in the polynomials p_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$ was triggered during our work [5] on the restrictiveness of stochastic orders where we established a closed formula ([5, Lemma 3.6]) for the probability that a random probability distribution that is drawn uniformly from the probability n -simplex is greater than a fixed probability distribution with respect to the usual stochastic order. The formula involves a sum over all distinct monomials of p_{n-1} . Thus, the distinct monomials of p_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$ have a direct application in probability theory.

*The author is a postdoctoral fellow in the Department of Computer Science at the Ben-Gurion University of the Negev. Research Supported by the Israel Science Foundation (ISF) through grant No. 1456/18 and European Research Council Grant number: 949707.

2 Main results

This section consist of two parts: In the first we establish several elementary properties of the coefficients of $p_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ including a closed formula for them and in the second we address the problem of finding the maximal coefficients of $p_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Before we begin, let us, for completeness, prove the claim stated in the introduction that the distinct monomials in the expansion of $p_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ are counted by the Catalan numbers which have the explicit formula $C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ where $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ (e.g. [10, Theorem 1.4.1]). To simplify formulations throughout this work, whenever we refer to a monomial of p_n , we mean a monomial in the expansion of p_n after combining like terms. In particular, in our terminology, the monomials of p_n are distinct. Likewise, whenever we refer to a coefficient (of a monomial) of p_n , we mean the coefficient (of the monomial) after expanding p_n and combining like terms. Finally, unless stated otherwise, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let \mathcal{P}_n denote the set of all monomials of p_n . Then $|\mathcal{P}_n| = C_n$.*

Proof. The Catalan numbers have several fundamental interpretations. Thus, it suffices to construct a bijection between \mathcal{P}_n and a set consisting of the elements of one of these interpretations. Following [10], we shall prove that there is a bijection between \mathcal{P}_n and the set \mathcal{T}_n of plane trees with $n+1$ vertices (cf. [10, p. 6 and Theorem 1.5.1]). The bijection goes through two auxiliary sets \mathcal{A}_n and \mathcal{B}_n .

Let

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \left\{ (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \mid \sum_{i=k+1}^n a_i \leq n-k, \forall 1 \leq k \leq n-1, \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = n \right\}.$$

We shall show that there are two maps $\Theta: \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n$ and $\Phi: \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$ such that $\Theta \circ \Phi = \text{id}_{\mathcal{P}_n}$ and $\Phi \circ \Theta = \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}_n}$. For $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$ let

$$\Theta((a_1, \dots, a_n)) = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}.$$

The proof that $x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \in \mathcal{P}_n$ relies on the following observation: Suppose $i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ are such that $1 \leq i_k \leq k$ for every $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then $x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_n} \in \mathcal{P}_n$. We define i_1, \dots, i_n as follows: First, if $a_n = 1$ then we set $i_n = n$. Now, suppose we have already defined $i_{n+1-\sum_{i=k}^n a_i}, \dots, i_n$ for some $1 < k \leq n$ such that $1 \leq i_r \leq r$ for every $n+1-\sum_{i=k}^n a_i \leq r \leq n$. Set $i_{n+1-\sum_{i=k-1}^n a_i} = \dots = i_{n-\sum_{i=k}^n a_i} = k-1$. Since $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$, we have

$$\sum_{i=k-1}^n a_i \leq n+2-k \iff n+1-\sum_{i=k-1}^n a_i \geq k-1.$$

It follows that $1 \leq i_r \leq r$ for every $n+1-\sum_{i=k-1}^n a_i \leq r \leq n$.

In the other direction, for $x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \in \mathcal{P}_n$ we let $\Phi(x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}) = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$. To see that $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$, first notice that $a_n \leq 1 = n - (n-1)$. Suppose now that we have already shown that $\sum_{i=k+1}^n a_i \leq n-k$ for some $1 < k \leq n-1$. By definition of p_n , the indeterminate x_k can appear in at most $n-k+1$ places, of which $\sum_{i=k+1}^n a_i$ places are already taken. Thus,

$$a_k \leq n-k+1 - \sum_{i=k+1}^n a_i \iff \sum_{i=k}^n a_i \leq n - (k-1).$$

Consider now the set

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \left\{ (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{-1\} \mid \sum_{i=1}^k b_i \geq 0, \forall 1 \leq k \leq n-1, \sum_{i=1}^n b_i = 0 \right\}.$$

One verifies immediately that the map $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto (a_1 - 1, \dots, a_n - 1)$ gives a bijection between \mathcal{A}_n and \mathcal{B}_n .

It remains to show that there is a bijection between \mathcal{B}_n and \mathcal{T}_n . By [10, 82 on p. 71], the following procedure provides such a bijection: Perform a depth-first search through a plane tree with $n + 1$ vertices and every time a vertex is encountered for the first time, record one less than its number of children, except that the last vertex is ignored. We prove by induction on n that the resulting sequence belongs to \mathcal{B}_n . For $n = 1$ the plane tree has 2 vertices and the corresponding sequence is necessarily (0) which obviously belongs to \mathcal{B}_1 . Suppose the claim holds for plane trees with n vertices and consider a plane tree T with $n + 1$ vertices together with the corresponding sequence (b_1, \dots, b_n) . Deleting the last vertex from T gives a plane tree T' with n vertices. Let $1 \leq l \leq n$ be the index of the parent of the last vertex of T . We distinguish between two cases:

1. $l = n$. In this case T must end with a sequence of three vertices that make a tree of depth two. Thus, $b_n = 0$ and (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) is the sequence corresponding to T' . Using the induction hypothesis and putting back $b_n = 0$ we see that $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathcal{B}_n$.
2. $l < n$. In this case, the n th vertex of T is a leaf and therefore $b_n = -1$. Now, $b_l \geq 0$ and $(b_1, \dots, b_{l-1}, b_l - 1, b_{l+1}, \dots, b_{n-1})$ is the sequence corresponding T' , which, by the induction hypothesis, belongs to \mathcal{B}_{n-1} . Putting back $b_n = -1$ and replacing $b_l - 1$ with b_l we obtain the original sequence and conclude that it belongs to \mathcal{B}_n .

One can show, again by induction, that any $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathcal{B}_n$ induces a unique plane tree with $n + 1$ vertices. We leave the details out. \square

Remark 2.1. It follows from the proof of Lemma 2.1 that we may identify \mathcal{P}_n with \mathcal{A}_n and we shall exploit this equivalent representation freely throughout this work. In particular, we shall refer to elements of \mathcal{A}_n as ‘monomials’.

2.1 Elementary properties of the coefficients of p_n

Our first result is an explicit formula for the coefficients of p_n . We shall use the following notation:

Definition 2.1. For $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$ we denote by $c_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}$ the corresponding coefficient.

Lemma 2.2. Let $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$. Then

$$c_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{n - k + 1 - \sum_{i=k+1}^n a_i}{a_k!}.$$

Proof. Beginning with a_n , we notice that x_n can be taken solely from the last term of the product in the definition of p_n . Thus, there are $\binom{1}{a_n}$ possibilities to do that. Proceeding to a_{n-1} we notice that x_{n-1} can be taken only from the last two terms of the product, but not from those who contributed x_n . This gives $\binom{2-a_n}{a_{n-1}}$ possibilities. Continuing so until we reach a_1 , we conclude that the number of possibilities to obtain (a_1, \dots, a_n) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{k+1 - \sum_{i=n-k+1}^n a_i}{a_{n-k}} &= \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(k - \sum_{i=n-(k-1)}^n a_i)! (k+1 - \sum_{i=n-(k-1)}^n a_i)}{a_{n-k}! (k+1 - \sum_{i=n-k}^n a_i)!} \\ &= \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{k+1 - \sum_{i=n-(k-1)}^n a_i}{a_{n-k}!} \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{n - k + 1 - \sum_{i=k+1}^n a_i}{a_k!}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.4. For $2 \leq i \leq n$, the i th coefficient of p_n is $n + 1 - i$. In particular, every natural number is a coefficient of infinitely many p_n s.

Proof. Let $(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (n - 1, 0, \dots, 0, \overbrace{1}^{\text{ith place}}, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathcal{A}_n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} &= \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(n - k + 1 - \sum_{l=k+1}^n a_l \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} (n - k) \prod_{k=i}^{n-1} (n - k + 1) \\ &= n + 1 - i. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.1. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ denote by $\mathbb{P}(m)$ the set of prime divisors of m . Then

$$\bigcup_{i=m}^{n-1} \mathbb{P}(m) = \bigcup_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n} \mathbb{P}(c_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}).$$

Proof. The inclusion “ \subseteq ” follows immediately from Lemma 2.4. In the other direction, recall that $c_{(n, 0, \dots, 0)} = 1$. Thus, it suffices to consider $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$ such that $\sum_{i=2}^n a_i \geq 1$. It follows that for every $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ it holds $n - k + 1 - \sum_{i=k+1}^n a_i \leq n - 1$. The assertion follows now from Lemma 2.2. □

In Remark 2.5 we shall use the following result to lower bound the maximal coefficients of p_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Additionally, it provides an interpretation of OEIS A009999.

Lemma 2.5. For $1 \leq j \leq n$ it holds

$$c_{(j, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-j}, 0, \dots, 0)} = j^{n-j}.$$

Proof. It holds

$$\begin{aligned} c_{(j, 1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)} &= \frac{1}{j!} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(n - k + 1 - \sum_{i=k+1}^n a_i \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{j!} \prod_{k=1}^{n-j} (n - k + 1 - (n - j - k + 1)) \prod_{k=n-j+1}^{n-1} (n - k + 1) \\ &= j^{n-j}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

□

Lemma 2.6. It holds $\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n} c_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} = n!$.

Proof. The assertion follows immediately from specializing $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (1, \dots, 1)$ in the definition of p_n . □

In the following lemma we calculate the sum of the coefficients of the monomials of p_n that contain x_i :

Lemma 2.7. Let $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then

$$\sum_{\substack{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n \\ a_i > 0}} c_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} = (n - i + 1)(n - 1)!.$$

Proof. Specializing, $f_n(x_i) := p_n(1, \dots, 1, x_i, 1, \dots, 1)$ is a (univariate) polynomial in x_i whose free coefficient r is the sum of the coefficients corresponding to the monomials that do not contain x_i . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
r &= f_n(0) \\
&= p_n(1, \dots, 1, x_i, 1, \dots, 1)|_{x_i=0} \\
&= p_n(1, \dots, 1, 0, 1, \dots, 1) \\
&= 1 \cdot 2 \cdots (i-1)(i-1)i \cdots (n-1) \\
&= (i-1)(n-1)!.
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n \\ a_i > 0}} c_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} &= \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n} c_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} - \sum_{\substack{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n \\ a_i = 0}} c_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} \\
&= n! - r = (n-i+1)(n-1)!
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is due to Lemma 2.6 and (2). \square

Remark 2.2. Lemma 2.7 provides an additional interpretation of the following well known sequences:

The sequence	OEIS
$n!$	A000142
$(n-1)(n-1)!$	A001563
$(n-2)(n-1)!$	A062119
$(n-3)(n-1)!$	A052571

Combining Lemma 2.1 together with Lemma 2.6 we immediately obtain

Corollary 2.2. *The average of the coefficients of p_n is $\frac{n!}{C_n}$.*

Remark 2.3. The sequence $\left(\frac{n!}{C_n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is rational and the sequences of the corresponding numerators and denominators are OEIS A144187 and OEIS A144186, respectively. Corollary 2.2 provides an additional interpretation of these sequences that correspond to the denominator and enumerator of the series expansion of the EGF for the Catalan numbers, respectively.

In the following lemma we calculate the sum of the coefficients of p_n whose corresponding monomials have x_i as a variable with maximal index. This result seems to provide the first interpretation of OEIS A299504. These monomials are being used in the proof of [5, Lemma 3.6].

Lemma 2.8. *Let $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n \\ a_{i+1} = \dots = a_n = 0}} c_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} = i!i^{n-i}.$$

Proof. Clearly,

$$\sum_{\substack{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n \\ a_{i+1} = \dots = a_n = 0}} = p_n(\overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{i \text{ times}}, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{n-i \text{ times}}) = i!i^{n-i}.$$

\square

2.2 The maximal coefficients of $p_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$

The multinomial theorem (e.g. [3, Theorem 3.9]) states that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds

$$(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^m = \sum \binom{m}{k_1, \dots, k_n} x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n} \quad (3)$$

where the sum is over all nonnegative integers k_1, \dots, k_n such that $k_1 + \cdots + k_n = m$. It seems to be folklore that the maximal coefficient on the right-hand side of (3) is obtained whenever r of the k_1, \dots, k_n are equal to $q+1$ and the rest are equal to q where $m = qn+r$, $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $0 \leq r < n$. In this section we address the analogue problem of finding the maximal coefficients of $p_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence of which we denote by $(m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ (OEIS A349404). For example,

$$(m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = 1, 1, 2, 4, 9, 27, 96, 384, 1536, \dots$$

The quotients of consecutive elements of $(m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ exhibit a nontrivial pattern and the induced sequence is denoted by $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, i.e. $q_n = \frac{m_{n+1}}{m_n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Table 1 lists $(m_n)_{n=1}^{29}$ and $(q_n)_{n=1}^{28}$ which were established by brute force. The last column in the table lists a monomial of p_n whose coefficient is m_n . Notice that such a monomial is, in general, not unique and in Table 2 we list all other monomials of p_1, \dots, p_{29} whose coefficients are maximal.

The problem of finding a maximal coefficient of p_n may be formulated as a combinatorial optimization problem as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize} \quad \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{n - k + 1 - \sum_{i=k+1}^n a_i}{a_k!} \\ & \text{subject to} \quad (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n. \end{aligned}$$

This problem is intractable already for small values of n since C_n is asymptotically $\frac{4^n}{\sqrt{\pi n^{3/2}}}$ (e.g. [2, Problem 12-4]). The following two lemmas reduce the complexity of the problem. More precisely, in Lemma 2.9 we show that it suffices to perform the search over elements of $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$ such that $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_n$ and in Lemma 2.10 we show that the search may be performed over $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$ with consecutive differences bounded by 1, i.e., $a_i - a_{i+1} \leq 1$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. In Lemma 2.11 we show that the subset \mathcal{M}_n of \mathcal{A}_n consisting of elements that have both properties has the same cardinality as the set of all partitions of n with distinct parts, reducing the complexity of the problem to the order of $\frac{3^{3/4}}{12n^{3/4}} e^{\pi\sqrt{n/3}}$.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$ such that for some $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ it holds $a_i < a_{i+1}$. Let (a'_1, \dots, a'_n) be defined by*

$$a'_k = \begin{cases} a_k & k \neq i, i+1 \\ a_{i+1} & k = i \\ a_i & k = i+1, \end{cases} \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Then $(a'_1, \dots, a'_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$ and $c_{(a'_1, \dots, a'_n)} > c_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}$.

Proof. It holds

1. $\prod_{k=1}^n a_k! = \prod_{k=1}^n a'_k!$,
2. $n - k + 1 - \sum_{j=k+1}^n a_j = n - k + 1 - \sum_{j=k+1}^n a'_j$ for every $i+1 \leq k \leq n$ and

3. $n - k + 1 - \sum_{j=k+1}^n a_j = n - k + 1 - \sum_{j=k+1}^n a'_j$ for every $1 \leq k \leq i - 1$.

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} c_{(a'_1, \dots, a'_n)} > c_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} &\iff n - (i + 1) + 1 - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a'_j > n - (i + 1) + 1 - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_j \\ &\iff -a'_{i+1} - \sum_{j=i+2}^n a'_j > -\sum_{j=i+1}^n a_j \\ &\iff a_i < a_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.10. *Let $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$ such that for some $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ it holds $a_i > a_{i+1} + 1$. Let (a'_1, \dots, a'_n) be defined by*

$$a'_k = \begin{cases} a_k & k \neq i, i + 1 \\ a_i - 1 & k = i \\ a_{i+1} + 1 & k = i + 1, \end{cases} \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Then $(a'_1, \dots, a'_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$ and $c_{(a'_1, \dots, a'_n)} \geq c_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}$.

Proof. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{(a'_1, \dots, a'_n)} \geq c_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} &\iff \frac{n - i - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_j}{a_{i+1} + 1} \geq \frac{n - i - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_j + 1}{a_i} \\ &\iff n - i - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_j \geq \frac{a_{i+1} + 1}{a_i - (a_{i+1} + 1)} \\ &\iff n - (i - 1) - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_j \geq \frac{a_i}{a_i - (a_{i+1} + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_i - (a_{i+1} + 1) \geq 1$ it suffices to show that $n - (i - 1) - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_j \geq a_i$ or, equivalently, that $\sum_{j=i}^n a_j \leq n - (i - 1)$ but this holds by the definition of \mathcal{A}_n . □

Combining Lemma 2.9 and Lemma 2.10 we obtain

Theorem 2.1. *A maximal coefficient of p_n is attained at a monomial belonging to \mathcal{M}_n where*

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n \mid a_{i+1} \leq a_i \leq a_{i+1} + 1, \forall 1 \leq i \leq n - 1\}.$$

Lemma 2.11. *1. There is a bijection between the set*

$$\{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n \mid a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n\}$$

considered in Lemma 2.9 and the set of all partitions of n . In particular, Lemma 2.9 reduces the complexity of the problem to the order of $\frac{1}{4n\sqrt{3}}e^{\pi\sqrt{2n/3}}$.

2. There is a bijection between \mathcal{M}_n and the set of all partitions of n with distinct parts. In particular, Theorem 2.1 reduces the complexity of the problem to the order of $\frac{3^{3/4}}{12n^{3/4}}e^{\pi\sqrt{n/3}}$.

Proof. Recall that, by definition (e.g. [1, Definition 1.1]), a partition of n is a nonincreasing sequence $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_r$ of $r \in \mathbb{N}$ natural numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i = n$. One also writes (a_1, \dots, a_r) for such a partition.

1. Let $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$ such that $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n$ and let $r = \max\{1 \leq i \leq n \mid a_i > 0\}$. Then (a_1, \dots, a_r) is a partition of n . Conversely, let $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathbb{N}$ be a partition of n with $r \in \mathbb{N}$ parts. Extend (a_1, \dots, a_r) with $n - r$ zeros to obtain $(a_1, \dots, a_r, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$. Let $1 \leq l \leq r - 1$ and suppose $\sum_{i=l+1}^n a_i > n - l$. Since $a_r \geq 1$, by monotonicity, also $a_1, \dots, a_{r-1} \geq 1$. Thus,

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \sum_{i=1}^l a_i + \sum_{i=l+1}^n a_i > l + n - l = n.$$

A contradiction. This shows that $(a_1, \dots, a_r, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathcal{A}_n$. The claim regarding the complexity is due to [7], [11] and [8].

2. Let $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{M}_n$ and let $r = \max\{1 \leq i \leq n \mid a_i > 0\}$. Then (a_1, \dots, a_r) is a partition of n such that $a_i - a_{i+1} \leq 1$ for every $1 \leq i \leq r - 1$ and $a_r = 1$. This means that each of the numbers $1, 2, \dots, a_1 =: m$ appears as part in (a_1, \dots, a_r) . We claim that the conjugate (a'_1, \dots, a'_m) of (a_1, \dots, a_r) (cf. [1, Definition 1.8]) has distinct parts. To see that, recall that for $1 \leq i \leq m$, a'_i is defined to be the number of parts of (a_1, \dots, a_r) that are $\geq i$. Suppose $a'_k = a'_{k+1}$ for some $1 \leq k \leq m - 1$. This means that every $1 \leq i \leq r$ if $a_i \geq k$ then also $a_i \geq k + 1$. Thus, k cannot be a part of (a_1, \dots, a_r) , a contradiction.

Conversely, let (a'_1, \dots, a'_m) be a partition of n with $1 \leq m \leq n$ distinct parts and let (a_1, \dots, a_r) be its conjugate where $1 \leq r \leq n$. Suppose that for some $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$ it holds $a_i \geq a_{i+1} + 2$. Thus, there are $1 \leq k, l \leq m$ such that $k \neq l$ and $i \leq a'_k, a'_l < i + 1$. It follows that $a'_k = i = a'_l$, a contradiction. It remains to show that $a_r = 1$. Since the parts of (a'_1, \dots, a'_m) are distinct, $a'_1 > a'_i$ for every $2 \leq i \leq m$. Thus, $r = a'_1$ and $a_r = 1$ since a_r is the number of parts of (a'_1, \dots, a'_m) that are $\geq a'_1$.

The claim regarding the complexity may be found, for example, in [4, (37) on p. 45].

□

Remark 2.4. The idea of the proof of the second statement in Lemma 2.11 is due to J. Grahl and F. T. Adams-Watters (cf. comments to OEIS A000009).

Despite the complexity reduction described above, the problem remains intractable. We provide a simple algorithm that for a prescribed $l \in \mathbb{N}$ successively generates a sequence of monomials $(r_n)_{n=1}^l$ such that $r_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$ for every $1 \leq n \leq l$. In Lemma 2.12 we show that actually $r_n \in \mathcal{M}_n$. The algorithm also returns a sequence $(s_n)_{n=1}^l$ of the corresponding coefficients. It uses a method `Coefficient(·)` that upon receiving a monomial of p_n as input returns its corresponding coefficient, e.g., by using the formula given by Lemma 2.2. We applied the algorithm with $l = 100$ and Table 3 lists the elements of $(s_n)_{n=29}^{100}$ returned by the algorithm (the first 29 elements of $(s_n)_{n=1}^{100}$ coincide with $(m_n)_{n=1}^{29}$ that were already listed in Table 1). We consider the correctness of the first 29 numbers returned by the algorithm and the preservation of the pattern that the elements of $(q_n)_{n=1}^{28}$ exhibit (this is illustrated in the third column of Table 3) to be a strong indication that the algorithm actually returns the true sequence $(m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Before we present the pseudocode of the algorithm, let us illustrate by an example its idea: Assume that the algorithm decided that $r_{15} = (3, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$. First, a zero is attached at the end of r_{15} and the result is denoted by r'_{16} . Thus, $r'_{16} = (3, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$. Now, beginning at the end of r'_{16} , we iterate backwards and every time¹ the value is about to increase, we add 1 at this point. Those are the candidates

¹Actually, every time is wasteful and we also increase the first entry by 1 if it does not result in a gap of 2 between the first and the second entries.

to pick r_{16} from. Thus, we get

$$(3,3,2,2,1,1,1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0,0), (3,3,2,2,2,1,1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0), (3,3,3,2,1,1,1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0), (4,3,2,2,1,1,1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0).$$

For each of the candidates we calculate the corresponding coefficient. Thus, we obtain

$$370594350, 361267200, 321126400, 48168960.$$

We take r_{16} to be the monomial with the largest coefficient. In this case,

$$r_{16} = (3, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0).$$

Now, we repeat the procedure described above with r_{16} .

Algorithm 1: Generating a sequence of monomials

Input: The length l of the desired sequence

Output: A sequence $(r_n)_{n=1}^l$ of monomials and the sequence $(s_n)_{n=1}^l$ of their corresponding coefficients

```

 $r_1 \leftarrow (1)$ 
 $s_1 \leftarrow 1$ 
for  $n \leftarrow 2$  to  $l$  do
     $r'_n \leftarrow r_{n-1} \cup 0$ 
     $R \leftarrow \{\}$ 
     $S \leftarrow \{\}$ 
    for  $i \leftarrow n$  to  $1$  do
        if  $(i = n \text{ and } r'_n[n] \neq r'_n[n-1])$  or
         $(i > 1 \text{ and } r'_n[i] \neq r'_n[i-1] \text{ and } r'_n[i] = r'_n[i+1])$  or
         $(i = 1 \text{ and } r'_n[1] = r'_n[2])$  then
             $\text{temp} \leftarrow r'_n$ 
             $\text{temp}[i] \leftarrow \text{temp}[i] + 1$ 
             $R \leftarrow R \cup \text{temp}$ 
             $S \leftarrow S \cup \text{Coefficient}(\text{temp})$ 
     $k \leftarrow \arg \max S$ 
     $r_n \leftarrow R[k]$ 
     $s_n \leftarrow S[k]$ 
return  $(r_n)_{n=1}^l, (s_n)_{n=1}^l$ 

```

Lemma 2.12. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $(r_n)_{n=1}^l$ be the sequence of monomials returned by Algorithm 1. Then $r_n \in \mathcal{M}_n$ for every $1 \leq n \leq l$.

Proof. First notice that for each $2 \leq n \leq l$ the condition in the inner for loop is satisfied at least once. Indeed, if the first two conditions are not satisfied, then the third must be. Now, for $n = 1$ it holds $r_1 = (1) \in \mathcal{M}_1$. Assume that $r_n = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{M}_n$. The monomial r_{n+1} is chosen from the set R and therefore it suffices to show that $x \in \mathcal{M}_{n+1}$ for every $x \in R$. By definition of \mathcal{M}_n ,

$$a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_n \text{ and } a_i - a_{i+1} \leq 1, \forall 1 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

We give the details only in case that $x \in R$ due to $i > 1, a_i \neq a_{i-1}$ and $a_i = a_{i+1}$, the other two cases being similar. Let $a_{n+1} = 0$. First, notice that $a_n \in \{0, 1\}$. Thus, $a_n \geq a_{n+1}$ and $a_n - a_{n+1} \leq 1$. Thus, $x = (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i + 1, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{A}_n$. By the assumptions, $a_{i-1} = a_i + 1$ and $a_i + 1 - a_{i+1} = 1$. Thus, $x \in \mathcal{M}_n$. \square

Remark 2.5. Recall that in Lemma 2.5 we have seen that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$ there is a coefficients of p_n that is equal to j^{n-j} . Elementary differentiation shows (e.g. [6, Theorem 3.3]) that

$$\max\{j^{n-j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\} = \max\{t^{n-t} \mid t \in \{\lfloor u \rfloor, \lceil u \rceil\}\} \quad (4)$$

where u is a solution to the equation $x(1 + \ln x) = n$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The left-hand side of (4) corresponds to OEIS A003320 which, therefore, provides a lower bound to $(m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

3 Open questions

The following questions remain open and are left for future research:

1. Is there a closed formula for the maximal coefficients $(m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$?
2. Prove or disprove: The sequence $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ returned by Algorithm 1 is equal to $(m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.
3. The sequence $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ exhibits a nontrivial pattern. Can we predict for which $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds that $q_n \in \mathbb{N}$? What is the reason that some natural numbers seem to be missing from $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$? According to the output of Algorithm 1, for $n \leq 200$, those numbers are 15, 51, 54 and 73.
4. For $n < 30$ the maximal coefficient is not uniquely attained only for $n = 2, 5, 6, 12, 13, 14$ and 15. Are those the only cases when this happens? If not, can we predict when?

References

- [1] G. E. Andrews. *The theory of partitions*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Cambridge University Press, 1984.
- [2] T. H. Cormen, C. E. Leiserson, R. L. Rivest, and C. Stein. *Introduction to algorithms*. MIT press, 2009.
- [3] D. DeTemple and W. Webb. *Combinatorial reasoning: An introduction to the art of counting*. John Wiley & Sons, 2014.
- [4] P. Flajolet and R. Sedgewick. *Analytic combinatorics*. cambridge University press, 2009.
- [5] S. Fried. On the restrictiveness of the usual stochastic order and the likelihood ratio order. *Statistics & Probability Letters*, 170:109012, 2021.
- [6] R. Gray and J. D. Mitchell. Largest subsemigroups of the full transformation monoid. *Discrete mathematics*, 308(20):4801–4810, 2008.
- [7] G. H. Hardy and S. Ramanujan. Asymptotic formulæ in combinatory analysis. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 2(1):75–115, 1918.
- [8] H. Rademacher. On the partition function $p(n)$. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 2(1):241–254, 1938.
- [9] J. O. Shallit. E2972. Another appearance of the Catalan numbers. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 89:698, 1982.
- [10] R. P. Stanley. *Catalan numbers*. Cambridge University Press, 2015.

- [11] Y. V. Uspensky. Asymptotic expressions of numerical functions occurring in problems concerning the partition of numbers into summands. *Bull. Acad. Sci. de Russie*, 14(6):199–218, 1920.

Table 1: The maximal coefficients of p_n and their consecutive quotients. The last column lists a monomial of p_n whose coefficient is equal to m_n

n	m_n	q_n	(a_1, \dots, a_n)
1	1	1	(1)
2	1	2	(1, 1)
3	2	2	(2, 1, 0)
4	4	$\frac{9}{4}$	(2, 1, 1, 0)
5	9	3	(2, 2, 1, 0, 0)
6	27	$\frac{32}{9}$	(2, 2, 1, 1, 0, 0)
7	96	4	(3, 2, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)
8	384	4	(3, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)
9	1536	$\frac{625}{128}$	(3, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)
10	7500	5	(3, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)
11	37500	$\frac{648}{125}$	(3, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)
12	194400	6	(3, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
13	1166400	$\frac{16807}{2592}$	(3, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
14	7563150	7	(3, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
15	52942050	$\frac{262144}{36015}$	(3, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
16	385351680	8	(4, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
17	3082813440	$\frac{531441}{65536}$	(4, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
18	24998984640	9	(4, 3, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
19	224990861760	9	(4, 3, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
20	2024917755840	$\frac{5000000}{531441}$	(4, 3, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
21	19051200000000	10	(4, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
22	190512000000000	$\frac{214358881}{21000000}$	(4, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
23	1944663768432000	11	(4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
24	21391301452752000	$\frac{214990848}{19487171}$	(4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
25	235998033739776000	12	(4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
26	2831976404877312000	12	(4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
27	33983716858527744000	$\frac{10604499373}{859963392}$	(4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
28	419064703766444736000	13	(4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
29	5447841148963781568000		(4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)

Table 2: Additional monomials of p_n whose coefficients are equal to m_n for $n < 30$

n	(a_1, \dots, a_n)
2	(2, 0)
5	(3, 1, 1, 0, 0)
6	(3, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0)
12	(3, 3, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), (4, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
13	(4, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), (3, 3, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
14	(4, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
15	(4, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)

Table 3: s_{30}, \dots, s_{100} returned by Algorithm 1 and their consecutive quotients

	s_n	s_n
30	5447841148963781568000	289234654879 25024729467
31	71547458245452693504000	14
32	1001664415436337709056000	14
33	14023301816108727926784000	8649755859375 578506309952
34	20967361279239375000000000	966072074384 64072266626
35	3148339635292233982279680000	16
36	50373434164675743716474880000	16
37	805974946634811899463598080000	62922221230781 9518437208832
38	13346235805315454304148995840000	17
39	22686800690362723175122929280000	46273255267047046 203849601566741
40	3978404225024620607607354163200000	18
41	7161127605044317093693274937600000	42052983462370506 2313662762852357
42	1301601882440198387257545835699200000	19
43	24730435766363769357893370878284800000	19
44	469878279560911617799974046687411200000	27648000000000000000 164096363026026307
45	9388017391765133721600000000000000000000	20
46	18776034783530267443200000000000000000000	88127218582951688301 32768000000000000000
47	3903402780908908402684872776385162240000000	21
48	81971458399087076456382328304088407040000000	68440054007706025084 3243919932521506847
49	172942096027092009060726147799029186560000000	22
50	3804743925960241993360075251578642104320000000	22
51	837043665171125323853921655534730126295040000000	41105003956066286926103 615000306069351238560
52	19167638064180062036564117435227926126077952000000	23
53	440855675476141426840974701010242300899792896000000	440398897491321478707719 61328104156809648061
54	10452307389872489948744789613053673200242655232000000	24
55	250855373569397587698749507132881568058237255680000000	38207660118467407226523 2433113938189051317599
56	60255084441952715218162536621093750000000000000000000	25
57	150637711048817880454063415527343750000000000000000000	41167673768726247666439 16984146855708740324375
58	3804975577941702545004131009801025732678899466240000000000	26
59	989293650264842661701074062548266904965138612240000000000	26
60	2572163490688590920422792562625493395290936039178240000000000	167004299982984111404384864 54900002400077837728817192
61	68495151317539451014974372699807632703067401288427560000000000	27
62	184936908573565177404308062894806082982819834787544120000000000	4386262300411718040591269888 157004299982984111404534865
63	516705049559294725445224334592714015555521826711089971200000000000	28
64	1446774138766025231246628136859592943554611147910519193600000000000	17699457615110975197786640401 626660800005816862941609984
65	4086279829508365528363206180683479385589918404059948771040000000000	29
66	1185021150557426003225329792398209021821076337177385145360160000000000	29
67	343656133661653540935345639795480616328112137781441692154446400000000000	29001766015000000000000000000000 17699457615110975197786640401
68	1015501326834227574804448936477849769406960000000000000000000000000000	30
69	3046503980502682724413346809433549308220880000000000000000000000000000	2450000810518807306733804134561 2070076466000000000000000000000000
70	94011865262012522464753365287907264227151965166447095263552602800000000000	31
71	29143678231223881964073543239251251910471092015985946531701306868000000000000	64903771971085345366812041152312 20825003933015150974312426496361
72	90827700697683893495396839720732975992938383907565812097329240473600000000000	32
73	290648642232588445918526988710634552317740282850421059871145356951552000000000000	32
74	9300756551442830269392863638740305671676890512134739158765142244966400000000000	2781855144000103448811878243892171521 8516583792578364110154508149981184
75	3037997486309305549906802387009765284071643689038179217541588707743001600000000000	33
76	1002539170482070831469244787713222543743642417382599141788274273565519052800000000000	2847701839779123940187735784901417568 8129864051788192233973873463599137
77	33864506118722341275345033344954507305731211521484886033454996180793556992000000000000	34
78	1151393208036555960336173113372845324839486119173048612513746987014698093728000000000000	39966093847247081021157910614813671875 115573078307319721689572603534579227473
79	3981627151731297425610050900289651574426827755543485905468750000000000000000000000000	35
80	1393569503105954098963517815101378051049389714402206691406250000000000000000000000000	40414063873282030321569809028288146688 11491312307664038717568136840909627
81	493205706427353686299922080259574843430316637649940327326913532274722071799398400000000000000	36
82	17755405431384732070679719488934469436349139895539785178376888716188999458477834240000000000000	36
83	63919459552985037744469901601640899708569036239432266421567993782803980550202032640000000000000	99395090852736877995997188134747900 10166525450294381186679702991072867672
84	23457698779364848154698543637470364027435725839451351299973686119392623636192165888000000000000000	37
85	867934854836499381723846114586613346901512185605969998099026386417527074539110137856000000000000000	966071460050747284449007150184716949387670 970039730134060001206671839723224508491085
86	32932802202943779713474077313494012956583285490144043804174988550209656762952070002114560000000000000	38
87	1251446483711863629112037379127724923604248486254736645586495649079669569921786608035328000000000000	200377878714652519787118418062829563630479 23735779084811104737597976581466351239168
88	4794608647994409038997330943549548574735166850626307562390437024839722999393985472296755584000000000000	39
89	18698973727178195252089590679843239441467150738502599493327043968749196973654334195734677760000000000000	20028797018963988000