

Deforming integrable models of AdS3 strings

J. Pawełczyk *

Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Pasteura 5, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland

* jacek.pawelczyk@fuw.edu.pl

December 20, 2021

Abstract

We discuss an integrable model of string on $\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3 \times \text{T}^4$ in a thermodynamical bath. We show that scattering of the excitations above equilibrium states has some novel features. Thermodynamics points to interesting deformations of the original model for which we discuss finite size effect through mirror TBA equations.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	The $\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3 \times \text{T}^4$ Model	3
2.1	Thermodynamics	5
2.2	Dressing	7
3	The new finite size model	8
3.1	Mirror TBA	8
3.1.1	States	10
3.2	CP invariance	11
3.2.1	CP invariance of the spectrum	12
4	Conclusions	12

1 Introduction

The AdS/CFT correspondence [1],[2, 3] (see e.g. the first review [4]) sparked incredible research activities ranging from basics of string theory to condensed matter physics [5, 6, 7, 8]. It appeared that the some string models, including $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}_5$, can be realized as an integrable system [9, 10] (for the review see [11]). Unfortunately the proposed models have very non-trivial scattering matrices. Reformulation of the theory into so-called Y-system [12] simplified many calculations considerably. Developments for AdS_3 strings showed similar difficulties [13, 14, 15, 16]. Recent works on QSC provide a more complete understanding of these holographic models [17, 18]. Besides these successes intricate difficulties discouraged numerous investigators [19].

On the other hand it was shown that ordinary bosonic string with one dimension compactified on a circle can be represented as an integrable model with very simple diagonal scattering matrix [20]. Few year later slightly modified construction yielded spectrum of strings on $\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3 \times \text{T}^4$ [21, 22] and on $\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3 \times \text{S}^3 \times \text{S}^1$ [23] with pure NSNS flux. Since then the subject has an interesting development, see e.g. recent works [24, 25].

	$Y(p)$	$\bar{Y}(p)$	$Z(p)$	$\bar{Z}(p)$	$T^{a\dot{a}}(p)$	$\eta^a(p)$	$\bar{\eta}^a(p)$	$\chi^{\dot{a}}(p)$	$\bar{\chi}^{\dot{a}}(p)$
μ	1	-1	1	-1	0	1	-1	0	0

Table 1: The particle spectrum of pure-NS-NS $\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3 \times \text{T}^4$ strings integrable model. Different quasi-particles and anti-quasi-particles (entries with bars) are indexed with capital letters A, B, C and will be called flavour. Quasi-particles $Y, Z, T^{a\dot{a}}, \dots$ are bosons for which $\psi_A = 0$ while η^a, χ^a, \dots are fermions ($a, \dot{a} = 1, 2$) for which $\psi_A = i\pi$.

In this letter we dwell upon $\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3 \times \text{T}^4$ model of [21, 22]. Specifically we analyze its thermodynamical behaviour. It is known that integrable models have stable excitations above thermodynamic equilibrium but their energies, momenta and the interaction are subject to finite renormalization called "dressing". We calculate dressed scattering matrix and dressed spectrum of excitations. Inspired by these results we introduce a new model with general chemical potentials and modified scattering phase for which we derive formulae for its finite size spectrum.

The paper is organized as follows: we begin recalling basic facts from [21, 22]. In Sec.2.1 we put the system in a thermodynamic bath parameterized by temperature and chemical potentials which renormalizes states and interactions. The procedure can be considered as deformations of the original theory. We derive dressed scattering matrix and energies of elementary excitations. These results will be our starting point for the next section, 3, where we define the new model and calculate its finite size spectrum. Finally in Sec.3.2 we introduce CP symmetry.

2 The $\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3 \times \text{T}^4$ Model

In papers [21, 22] an interesting integrable model of string theory on $\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3 \times \text{T}^4$ was introduced. It was shown there and in subsequent papers that the model reproduces spectrum of the theory. Here we present its main ingredients which are the set of quasi-particles (see the table), their dispersion relations and the scattering phases defining integrable interactions. Dispersion relation of the modes depends on the flavour A through value of the

chemical potential μ_A

$$H_A^{(0)}(p) = |p + \mu_A| \quad (1)$$

Each flavour A can be chiral $p > -\mu_A$ or anti-chiral $p < -\mu_A$. "Zero-modes", which are neither chiral nor anti-chiral, have momenta $p = -\mu_A$ and vanishing energy. We shall assume that they will not play any role hereafter.

The scattering phase is diagonal and depends on flavours A, B only through definition of chirality¹.

$$\Phi_{AB}^{(0)}(p, q) = \mp \frac{2\pi}{k} p q, \quad \begin{cases} - & \text{for } p > -\mu_A, q < -\mu_B \\ + & \text{for } p < -\mu_A, q > -\mu_B \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

We shall denote with superscript \pm quantities related to the chiral or anti-chiral quasi-particles e.g. p_A^\pm are momenta $p > -\mu_A$ for $(+)$ and $p < -\mu_A$ for $(-)$ so the scattering phase can be expressed as $\Phi_{AB}^{(0)}(p, q) = -\frac{2\pi}{k}(p_A^+ q_B^- - p_A^- q_B^+)$.

The simple product form of (2) leads to dramatic simplifications of the TBA equations which turn to be transcendental equations on some unknown constants. It is obvious that the scattering phase is not continuous at $p = -\mu_A \neq 0$. According to the assumption stated about "zero modes" we shall ignore terms resulting from differentiation of this discontinuity.

The spectrum of the model at finite but large string size R is given by the Bethe equations (BE) which read:

$$p_i R + \sum_{j \neq i} \Phi_{ij}^{(0)}(p_i, p_j) = 2\pi n_i \quad (3)$$

We denote the i -th momenta of quasi-particles as p_i , the corresponding flavour as A_i and the chirality scale as μ_{A_i} . The above equation naturally splits into chiral components. In similar manner to the positive/negative chirality momenta we split the integers n_i of (3) into n_i^\pm . Hence (3) can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned} p_i^+ \left(R - \frac{2\pi}{k} P^- \right) &= 2\pi n_i^+, \\ p_i^- \left(R + \frac{2\pi}{k} P^+ \right) &= 2\pi n_i^- \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $P^\pm = \sum_j p_j^\pm$. The equations were analyzed in [21, 22] and it was shown that their solutions corresponds to string spectrum on $\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3 \times \text{T}^4$ with NSNS flux.

¹Our momenta p are rescaled compared to [22] by the factor $\frac{k}{2\pi}$.

2.1 Thermodynamics

Integrable systems have interesting thermodynamical properties [26, 27]. Static behaviour are governed by TBA equations which yields densities of quasi-particles at equilibrium. Out-of-equilibrium phenomena can also be effectively analyzed using global or local quench protocols. One can also find the spectrum of excitations above the equilibrium. They appear to be stable and their scattering matrix is factorizable but their dispersion relation is modified through interaction with environment. The process in this context is called dressing. Summarizing we can say that finite temperature theory is an integrable deformation of the zero temperature theory of dressed quasi-particles which interacts with dressed scattering matrix. In this section we describe properties of dressed quantities for the model presented in the previous section.

First of all we need to go to thermodynamical limit for BE of the previous section. This leads to so called BYE which total relates density of states ρ_{tA} to density of quasi-particles ρ_A for each flavour A .

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} + \sum_B \int \frac{dq}{2\pi} \phi_{AB}^{(0)}(p, q) \rho_B(q) = \rho_{tA}(p) \quad (5)$$

where $\phi_{AB}^{(0)}(p, q) = \partial_p \Phi_{AB}^{(0)}(p, q)$. Explicitly:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} - \frac{2\pi}{k} \langle p^- \rangle = \rho_{tA}^+, \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{2\pi}{k} \langle p^+ \rangle = \rho_{tA}^- \quad (6)$$

where,

$$\langle p^- \rangle = \frac{2\pi}{k} \sum_B \int_{-\infty}^{-\mu_A} \frac{dq}{2\pi} q \rho_B^-(q), \quad \langle p^+ \rangle = \frac{2\pi}{k} \sum_B \int_{-\mu_A}^{\infty} \frac{dq}{2\pi} q \rho_B^+(q) \quad (7)$$

are momenta carried by chiral and anti-chiral quasi-particles. Thus total occupation numbers ρ_{tA}^{\pm} are constants dependent on the chirality (\pm) only.

Equilibrium densities $\rho_B(q)$ are determined by TBA equations which are integral equations on pseudo-energies $\epsilon_A(q)$. The latter determines equilibrium occupation numbers $n_B(q) = (e^{\epsilon_B} - e^{\psi_B})^{-1}$ and densities of quasi-particles which according to (6) are given by $\rho_A(q) = n_A(q) \rho_t$.

$$\epsilon_A(q) = w_A(q) - \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} \Lambda_B(p) \phi_{BA}^{(0)}(p, q) \quad (8)$$

where²,

$$\Lambda_B(p) = -e^{\psi_B} \log(1 - e^{\psi_B} e^{-\epsilon_B(p)}) \quad (9)$$

Temperature $T = 1/\beta$ appears through

$$w_A^+(q) = \beta(q + \mu_A + b_A^+), \quad w_A^-(q) = \beta(-(q + \mu_A) + b_A^-) \quad (10)$$

In principle $w(q)$ could be arbitrary generalized potential as dictated by GGE [28, 29]³, but here we limit our choice introducing only the extra "chiral chemical potentials", b_A^\pm , which will be a free parameter in our considerations. The potentials are necessarily non-zero as we shall see below.

We can solve (8) realizing that the integral term on the rhs of (8) is proportional to momentum q what implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_A^+(q) &= w_A^+(q) - I^- q = (\beta - I^-)(q + \mu_A) + \mathfrak{b}_A^+, & q > -\mu_A \\ \epsilon_A^-(q) &= w_A^-(q) + I^+ q = -(\beta - I^+)(q + \mu_A) + \mathfrak{b}_A^-, & q < -\mu_A \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $\mathfrak{b}_A^+ = \beta b_A^+ + \mu_A I^-$, $\mathfrak{b}_A^- = \beta b_A^- - \mu_A I^+$ and

$$I^\pm = \frac{2\pi}{k} \sum_A \int_{c^\pm} \frac{dq}{2\pi} \Lambda_A(\epsilon_A^\pm) \quad (12)$$

with $c^+ = \{-\mu_A, \infty\}$, $c^- = \{-\infty, -\mu_A\}$. Hence (12) are two equations on I^\pm . These can be written as

$$I^+(\beta - I^-) = \frac{2\pi}{k} s(\mathfrak{b}^-), \quad I^-(\beta - I^+) = \frac{2\pi}{k} s(\mathfrak{b}^+) \quad (13)$$

where the r.h.s. of above depends only on \mathfrak{b}_A^\pm . Explicitly:

$$s(\mathfrak{b}) = \sum_A (-) e^{\psi_A} \int_0^\infty \frac{dq}{2\pi} \log(1 - e^{\psi_A} e^{-q - \mathfrak{b}_A}). \quad (14)$$

It is easy to find that $0 \leq s \leq 2\pi^2$ for non-negative \mathfrak{b} 's. The transcendental equations (13) can be solved numerically while β and all b_A^\pm 's are fixed. However, notice that I^\pm enter (14) through \mathfrak{b}_A^\pm 's only i.e. in linear combination with chiral chemical potentials b_A^\pm 's which are free parameters of the model. Thus we can treat \mathfrak{b}_A^\pm 's as free parameters solving for (13) by fixing two independent $s(\mathfrak{b}^\pm)$. We see that $b_A^\pm \geq 0$ is required for reality of each term in (14).

² ψ_A 's are defined in the caption under Tab.2.

³See reviews [30, 31] and also [32].

2.2 Dressing

Integrable models have stable excitations above the thermal equilibrium [26] (Sec.8). The single excitation modes have dispersion relation given by ϵ^\pm of the previous section - these we call dressed energies recalling that the "dressing" is thermodynamical effect. It appears that not only energies are dressed but also momenta. Dressed quasi-particles scatter with the scattering phase Φ^{dr} which differs significantly from the undressed one. As we shall see Φ^{dr} involves e.g. chiral-chiral interaction which is absent in the original model. Hence its interpretation can not be as straightforward as chiral-anti-chiral processes discussed in [20].

Dressed momentum Momentum of quasi-particles are dressed according to

$$p_A^{\text{dr}} = p_A + \sum_B \int \frac{dq}{2\pi} \Phi_{AB}^{(0)}(p, q) \rho_B(q). \quad (15)$$

This can be rewritten explicitly as

$$p^{\text{dr}+} = p^+ \left(1 - \frac{2\pi}{k} \langle p^- \rangle \right), \quad p^{\text{dr}-} = p^- \left(1 + \frac{2\pi}{k} \langle p^+ \rangle \right) \quad (16)$$

The rescaling factor depends on chirality only. It changes definition of chirality for p^{dr} 's what may create strange momenta gap (or overlap): for chiral quasi-particles $p > -\mu_A$ means $p^{\text{dr}+} > -\mu_A(1 - \frac{2\pi}{k} \langle p^- \rangle)$ while for anti-chiral quasi-particles $p < -\mu_A$ means $p^{\text{dr}-} < -\mu_A(1 + \frac{2\pi}{k} \langle p^+ \rangle)$. Momenta of the quasi-particles are not observable unless they respect BE thus the gap does not necessarily signal troubles but it is convenient to have $\langle p^- \rangle = -\langle p^+ \rangle$ what removes the gap. The conditions under which this happens will be discussed in Sec.3.2

Dressed energy Excitations above the thermal bath are given by (11) divided by β [26]. We rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned} H_A^{\text{dr}+}(q) &= \kappa^+(q + \mu_A^+) + \mathfrak{b}_A^+, & q > -\mu_A \\ H_A^{\text{dr}-}(q) &= -\kappa^-(q + \mu_A^-) + \mathfrak{b}_A^-, & q < -\mu_A, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $\kappa^\pm \equiv 1 - I^\mp/\beta$. Thus due to simplicity of interaction the dispersion relation is a renormalized version of (1) with major impact of potentials (10). We let general chiral μ_A^\pm replacing μ_A due to dressing of momenta. New couplings κ^\pm and \mathfrak{b}_A^\pm signal chiral-anti-chiral disparity if $\kappa^+ \neq \kappa^-$.

Dressed scattering phase Φ^{dr} . It is given by the integral equation

$$\Phi_{AB}^{\text{dr}}(p, q) + \sum_C \int \frac{dr}{2\pi} \partial_r \Phi_{AC}^{(0)}(p, r) n_C(r) \Phi_{CB}^{\text{dr}}(r, q) = \Phi_{AB}^{(0)}(p, q) \quad (18)$$

The equation can be easily solved by

$$\Phi_{AB}^{\text{dr}}(p, q) = C_{++} p_A^+ q_B^+ + C_{+-} p_A^+ q_B^- + C_{-+} p_A^- q_B^+ + C_{--} p_A^- q_B^- \quad (19)$$

for

$$C_{+-} = -C_{-+} = \frac{-\frac{2\pi}{k}}{1 + (\frac{2\pi}{k})^2 \langle p^- \rangle \langle p^+ \rangle}$$

$$C_{++} = \frac{\langle p^- \rangle}{(\frac{k}{2\pi})^2 + \langle p^- \rangle \langle p^+ \rangle}, \quad C_{--} = \frac{\langle p^+ \rangle}{(\frac{k}{2\pi})^2 + \langle p^- \rangle \langle p^+ \rangle}$$

It is interesting that Φ^{dr} involves interaction between quasi-particles of the same chirality. It is clear the effect results from interaction through thermal bath. In consequence the corresponding BE is more involved and non-trivial even for single quasi-particle excitation above the bath: see Sec.3.1.

3 The new finite size model

The results of the previous section shows that in thermal bath renormalizes basic components of the initial model under consideration. In particular scattering quasi-particles of the same chirality makes sense. We take these results as our starting point. Thus we set the dispersion relation to be given by (17) and take the scattering phase in general form (19). Quasi-particles of both chiralities will be treated completely independently.

3.1 Mirror TBA

Mirror [33, 34] TBA allows to calculated energies of states at finite volume R [35, 36]. The mirror transformation is

$$p \rightarrow i\hat{H}, \quad H \rightarrow i\hat{p} \quad (20)$$

Mirroring of (17) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}_A^+ &= \frac{1}{\kappa^+}(\hat{p} + i\mathfrak{b}_A^+) + i\mu_A^+ = \frac{1}{\kappa^+}\hat{p} + i\mathfrak{m}_A^+, & \hat{p} > \mathfrak{b}_A^+ \\ \hat{H}_A^- &= -\frac{1}{\kappa^-}(\hat{p} + i\mathfrak{b}_A^-) + i\mu_A^- = -\frac{1}{\kappa^-}\hat{p} + i\mathfrak{m}_A^-, & \hat{p} < -\mathfrak{b}_A^-\end{aligned}\quad (21)$$

where $\mathfrak{m}_A^\pm = \pm \frac{\mathfrak{b}_A^\pm}{\kappa^\pm} + \mu_A^\pm$. Notice the ranges of chiral and anti-chiral mirror momenta which follows from (17) and analogous construction in [24]. This guarantee that the real value of \hat{H} is non-negative.

The mirror scattering phase [33] follows from the dressed one (19) and respects $\hat{\Phi}(\hat{p}, \hat{q}) = \Phi^{\text{dr}}(p, q)$ i.e.

$$\hat{\Phi}_{AB}(\hat{p}, \hat{q}) = \Phi_{AB}^{\text{dr}}(i\hat{H}(\hat{p}), i\hat{H}(\hat{q})) \quad (22)$$

In order to find the energies of states we need to write TBA for mirror pseudo-energies.

$$\hat{\epsilon}_A(\hat{p}) = \psi_A + R\hat{H}_A(\hat{p}) - [\Lambda_B * \hat{\phi}_{BA}] - i \sum_j \hat{\Phi}_{AB_j}(\hat{p}, \hat{p}_j), \quad (23)$$

$$\hat{\epsilon}_{A_j}(\hat{p}_j) = -2\pi i n_j + \psi_{A_j} \quad (24)$$

where $\hat{\phi}_{BA}(\hat{p}, \hat{q}) = \partial_{\hat{p}} \hat{\Phi}_{BA}(\hat{p}, \hat{q})$ and

$$\begin{aligned}[\Lambda_B * \hat{\phi}_{BA}] &= \int \frac{d\hat{q}}{2\pi} \Lambda_B(\epsilon(\hat{q})) \hat{\phi}_{BA}(\hat{q}, \hat{p}) \\ &= -(C_{++}\hat{H}_A^+(\hat{p}) + C_{+-}\hat{H}_A^-(\hat{p}))\hat{I}^+ + (C_{-+}\hat{H}_A^+(\hat{p}) + C_{--}\hat{H}_A^-(\hat{p}))\hat{I}^-\end{aligned}$$

We have introduced two integrals (mirror counterparts of to (12))

$$\hat{I}^\pm = \frac{1}{\kappa^\pm} \sum_A \int_{\hat{c}_A^\pm} \frac{dp}{2\pi} \Lambda_A(\hat{\epsilon}_A^\pm(p)) \quad (25)$$

where \hat{c}_A^\pm are (as dictated by (21)): $\hat{c}_A^+ = \{\mathfrak{b}_A^+, +\infty\}$, $\hat{c}_A^- = \{-\infty, -\mathfrak{b}_A^-\}$. Notice that (contrary to standard kinematics TBA (8)) due to ψ_A appearing in the solution (26) bosonic and fermionic quasi-particles contributions differ only by an overall sign.

From (22) and (23) we infer that mirror pseudo-energies depend on flavour only through chirality and have the form:

$$\hat{\epsilon}_A^\pm(\hat{p}) = \psi_A + g^\pm \hat{H}_A^\pm(\hat{p}^\pm) \quad (26)$$

with two unknown constants g^\pm determined by the mirror TBA (23). The constants have interpretation of an effective string size as we shall see soon.

Substituting (26) to mirror TBA we get equations on g^\pm

$$(g^+ - R - (C_{++}P^+ + C_{+-}P^-))g^- = \frac{g^-}{g^+}C_{++}\hat{s}^+ - C_{+-}\hat{s}^-, \quad (27)$$

$$(g^- - R - (C_{--}P^- + C_{-+}P^+))g^+ = C_{+-}\hat{s}^+ - \frac{g^+}{g^-}C_{--}\hat{s}^-. \quad (28)$$

where $P^\pm = \sum_i p_i^\pm$ and $\hat{s}^\pm = -\sum_A e^{\psi_A} \int_0^\infty \frac{dp}{2\pi} \log(1 - e^{-p-g^\pm(\mathfrak{b}_A^\pm/\kappa^\pm + im_A^\pm)})$. We assume that $\mathfrak{b}_A^\pm/\kappa^\pm > 0$ then $|\hat{s}^\pm| \leq \pi^2$. All chiral chemical potentials enter through \hat{s}^\pm 's only. Thus we can treat \hat{s}^\pm 's as free parameters. For reality of g 's we need reality of \hat{s} 's. This puts constraints on \mathfrak{b} 's. Moreover for supersymmetric backgrounds \mathfrak{b} 's (so also \mathfrak{m} 's) for bosons and fermions are the same thus $\hat{s}^\pm = 0$ for any g 's thus also for any N 's.

It is worth to notice that g 's are sums of three terms: bare size of the string R , the total chiral momenta P^+, P^- of the given state and finally, displayed on the r.h.s., the contributions of virtual particles circling around the string. The latter vanish for $R \rightarrow \infty$ (thus also $g^\pm \rightarrow \infty$) due to $\mathfrak{b}_A^\pm/\kappa^\pm > 0$.

3.1.1 States

Ground state In (27) we set $P^\pm = 0$. The equations determine g^\pm as functions of R and \mathfrak{b} 's. The ground state energy is

$$H_0 = -\frac{1}{2\pi}(\hat{p}_A)' * \Lambda_A = -(\kappa^+ \frac{\hat{s}^+}{g^+} + \kappa^- \frac{\hat{s}^-}{g^-}) \quad (29)$$

Supersymmetric backgrounds ($\hat{s}^\pm = 0$) yields $g^+ = g^- = R$ and $H_0 = 0$.

Excitations We need to consider full (27). We can rewrite it using condition (24) i.e. $2\pi n_j^\pm = g^\pm p_j^\pm$ what is equivalent to $2\pi N^\pm = g^\pm P^\pm$ with $N^\pm = \sum_j n_j^\pm$ and $P^\pm = \sum_j p_j^\pm$. Hence we obtain equations on P^\pm in terms of integers N^\pm and \hat{s}^\pm . The total chiral momenta determine quasi-particles momenta p_i^\pm in terms of n_i^\pm . At that point we need to check if the assignment of chiralities was proper i.e. in agreement with definition of chirality for given set of flavours.

At large R the equations simplify to set of simple algebraic equations due $\hat{s}^\pm \approx 0$ (for $\mathfrak{b}^\pm/\kappa^\pm \geq 0$).

$$p_i^+(R + C_{++}P^+ + C_{+-}P^-) = 2\pi n_i^+, \quad (30)$$

$$p_i^-(R + C_{-+}P^+ + C_{--}P^-) = 2\pi n_i^- \quad (31)$$

The multi-quasi-particle case can be solved almost as easily as the original model with $C_{--} = C_{++} = 0$. Notice that the above equations are non-trivial even for single quasi-particle excitation, e.g.

$$p^+(R + C_{++}p^+) = 2\pi n^+ \quad (32)$$

Energy of excitations is given by ([22] Eq.(3.19))

$$\begin{aligned} H_{tot} &= -\frac{1}{2\pi}(\hat{p}_A)' * \Lambda_A + \sum_j E^{\text{dr}}(p_j) \\ &= -(\kappa^+ \frac{\hat{s}^+}{g^+} + \kappa^- \frac{\hat{s}^-}{g^-}) + \kappa^+ P^+ - \kappa^- P^- + \sum_{j^+} (\kappa^+ \mu_{A_j} + \mathfrak{b}_{A_j}^+) + \sum_{j^-} (-\kappa^- \mu_{A_j} + \mathfrak{b}_{A_j}^-). \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where j^\pm enumerates chiral (+) or anti-chiral (−) quasi-particles.

3.2 CP invariance

In general the deformed model under considerations contain a lot of new parameters: e.g. \mathfrak{b} 's which are not observable and in principle can even be complex although in this paper they are assumed to be real. The space of free parameters can be simplified imposing some natural discrete symmetries. We can define cousins of well known C,P symmetries of the ordinary relativistic QFT. Notice that (1) is invariant under $p \rightarrow -p$ and $A \rightarrow \bar{A}$ i.e.

$$H_A^{(0)}(p) = H_{\bar{A}}^{(0)}(-p) \quad (34)$$

The two combined transformations we shall call CP. For thermodynamical quantities defined in Sec.2.1 CP invariance requires $\mathfrak{b}_A^+ = \mathfrak{b}_{\bar{A}}^-$, $\mu_A^+ = -\mu_{\bar{A}}^-$ and $\kappa^+ = \kappa^- \equiv \kappa$. Then we have $s(\mathfrak{b}^+) = s(\mathfrak{b}^-)$. It follows that $I^+ = I^- \equiv I$ is the solution to (13) which goes to zero when $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ i.e. $T \rightarrow 0$. Also $\langle p^+ \rangle = -\langle p^- \rangle$ what will be important for the scattering phase invariance. Formulae of Sec.2.2 express $C_{\pm\pm}$ in terms of $\langle p^\pm \rangle$. This gives $C_{++} = -C_{--}$, $C_{+-} = -C_{-+}$. For the mirror quantities we get $(\hat{H}_{\bar{A}}(-p))^* = \hat{H}_A(p)$, $\mathfrak{m}_A^+ = -\mathfrak{m}_{\bar{A}}^-$, so $\hat{s}^+ \leftrightarrow \hat{s}^-$ for $g^+ \leftrightarrow g^-$.

3.2.1 CP invariance of the spectrum

We shall show that CP-invariant Hamiltonian and the scattering phase as discussed above yields by mirror BE (27) CP-invariant spectrum i.e. to any state characterized by two sets of quantum numbers $(\Psi^+ = \{(n_i^+, A_i)\}, \Psi^- = \{n_j^-, A_j\})$ there is a CP-conjugate state characterized by $(\Psi'^+ = \{-n_j^-, \bar{A}_j\}, \Psi'^- = \{-n_i^+, \bar{A}_i\})$ of the same energy.

First of all we check good quantum numbers assignment: indeed chirality of (n_i^+, A_i) i.e. $p_i^+ > -\mu_A$ implies anti-chirality of $(-n_i^+, \bar{A}_i)$ i.e. $-p_i^+ < -\mu_{\bar{A}_i}$. Next we show that both (Ψ^+, Ψ^-) and (Ψ'^+, Ψ'^-) respect the CP invariant version of (27) which is:

$$(g^+ - R - (C_{++}P^+ + C_{+-}P^-))g^- = \frac{g^-}{g^+}C_{++}\hat{s}^+ + C_{+-}\hat{s}^-, \quad (35)$$

$$(g^- - R - (-C_{++}P^- - C_{+-}P^+))g^+ = C_{+-}\hat{s}^+ + \frac{g^+}{g^-}C_{++}\hat{s}^-. \quad (36)$$

Recall $g^\pm = 2\pi N^\pm/P^\pm = n_j^\pm/p_j^\pm$ (for all j). It is enough to notice that (35) is invariant under $n_j^+ \leftrightarrow -n_j^-$ and $P^+ \leftrightarrow -P^-$ (what implies $g^+ \leftrightarrow g^-$ and $\hat{s}^+ \leftrightarrow \hat{s}^-$). Finally, one can easily check invariance of the energy formula.

4 Conclusions

We have discussed massless integrable model with scattering phase given by product of quasi-particles momenta. As starting point we took $\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3 \times \text{T}^4$ string model of [22] for which only chiral-anti-chiral quasi-particles interact directly. Analyzing thermodynamics we have shown that the effective (dressed) scattering phases involve also chiral-chiral and anti-chiral-anti-chiral terms. This suggests that models involving direct interactions of all chiralities are natural, despite possible problems with interpretation of such scattering. On the other hand one must remember that we always work with finite size circular space for which naive flat space intuitions may not work. Although the discussed models can be considered as deformations of the original $\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3 \times \text{T}^4$ string, they are interesting on its own.

We have also modified spectral relation including general chemical potentials. We have derived mirror TBA equations which provide equation for finite size spectrum. It is inter-

esting that one can impose string counterparts of well known CP symmetry which (if supplemented by T transformation) is necessary ingredients of any relativistic invariant theory. Hence the symmetry might be required for any consistent integrable model of the type discussed here if it corresponds to a string theory compactification. At first step these issues could be discussed on the level of small deformations of $\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3 \times \text{T}^4$ strings models. We leave this problem to the future publication.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Zoltan Bajnok, Roman Janik, and Miłosz Panfil for insightful discussions about integrable models as well as Andrea Cavaglia, Nikolay Gromov, Bogdan Stefanski and Alessandro Torrielli for interesting email exchange.

References

- [1] J. Maldacena, *The Large- N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity*, *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* **38** (1999) 1113 [[hep-th/9711200](#)].
- [2] S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov and A.M. Polyakov, *Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory*, *Physics Letters B* **428** (1998) 105 [[hep-th/9802109](#)].
- [3] E. Witten, *Anti-de Sitter space and holography*, *Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* **2** (1998) 253 [[hep-th/9802150](#)].
- [4] O. Aharony, S.S. Gubser, J. Maldacena, H. Ooguri and Y. Oz, *Large N field theories, string theory and gravity*, *Physics Reports C* **323** (2000) 183 [[hep-th/9905111](#)].
- [5] S.A. Hartnoll, *Lectures on holographic methods for condensed matter physics*, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **26** (2009) 224002 [[0903.3246](#)].
- [6] J. McGreevy, *Holographic duality with a view toward many-body physics*, *arXiv e-prints* (2009) arXiv:0909.0518 [[0909.0518](#)].

- [7] N. Iqbal, H. Liu and M. Mezei, *Lectures on Holographic Non-Fermi Liquids and Quantum Phase Transitions*, in *String Theory and its Applications - TASI 2010, From meV to the Planck Scale*, M. Dine, T. Banks and S. Sachdev, eds., pp. 707–815, Nov., 2012, DOI [1110.3814].
- [8] J. Zaanen, Y.-W. Sun, Y. Liu and K. Schalm, *Holographic Duality in Condensed Matter Physics*, Cambridge Univ. Press (2015).
- [9] J.A. Minahan and K. Zarembo, *The Bethe-ansatz for Script $N = 4$ super Yang-Mills*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2003** (2003) 013 [hep-th/0212208].
- [10] I. Bena, J. Polchinski and R. Roiban, *Hidden symmetries of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring*, *Physical Review D* **69** (2004) 046002 [hep-th/0305116].
- [11] N. Beisert, C. Ahn, L.F. Alday, Z. Bajnok, J.M. Drummond, L. Freyhult et al., *Review of AdS/CFT Integrability: An Overview*, *Letters in Mathematical Physics* **99** (2012) 3 [1012.3982].
- [12] N. Gromov and V. Kazakov, *Review of AdS/CFT Integrability, Chapter III.7: Hirota Dynamics for Quantum Integrability*, *Letters in Mathematical Physics* **99** (2012) 321 [1012.3996].
- [13] A. Babichenko, B. Stefański, jr. and K. Zarembo, *Integrability and the AdS_3/CFT_2 correspondence*, *JHEP* **1003** (2010) 058 [0912.1723].
- [14] A. Cagnazzo and K. Zarembo, *B -field in AdS_3/CFT_2 correspondence and integrability*, *JHEP* **1211** (2012) 133 [1209.4049].
- [15] T. Lloyd, O. Ohlsson Sax, A. Sfondrini and B. Stefański, jr., *The complete worldsheet S matrix of superstrings on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$ with mixed three-form flux*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B891** (2015) 570 [1410.0866].
- [16] R. Borsato, O. Ohlsson Sax, A. Sfondrini and B. Stefański, jr., *The $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ worldsheet S matrix*, *J. Phys.* **A48** (2015) 415401 [1506.00218].

- [17] A. Cavaglià, N. Gromov, B. Stefański, jr. and A. Torrielli, *Quantum Spectral Curve for AdS_3/CFT_2 : a proposal*, *arXiv e-prints* (2021) arXiv:2109.05500 [[2109.05500](#)].
- [18] S. Ekhammar and D. Volin, *Mondromy Bootstrap for $SU(2|2)$ Quantum Spectral Curves: From Hubbard model to AdS_3/CFT_2* , *arXiv e-prints* (2021) arXiv:2109.06164 [[2109.06164](#)].
- [19] Z. Bajnok and R. Janik, *private communication*, .
- [20] S. Dubovsky, R. Flauger and V. Gorbenko, *Solving the simplest theory of quantum gravity*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2012** (2012) 133 [[1205.6805](#)].
- [21] M. Baggio and A. Sfondrini, *Strings on NS-NS backgrounds as integrable deformations*, *Physical Review D* **98** (2018) [[1804.01998](#)].
- [22] A. Dei and A. Sfondrini, *Integrable spin chain for stringy wess-zumino-witten models*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2018** (2018) [[1806.00422](#)].
- [23] A. Dei and A. Sfondrini, *Integrable S matrix, mirror TBA and spectrum for the stringy $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ WZW model*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2019** (2019) 72 [[1812.08195](#)].
- [24] A. Sfondrini, *Long Strings and Symmetric Product Orbifold from the AdS_3 Bethe Equations*, *arXiv e-prints* (2020) arXiv:2010.02782 [[2010.02782](#)].
- [25] R. Ruiz, *$SL(2, R)$ Wess-Zumino-Novikov-Witten spin-chain σ -model*, *Physical Review D* **103** (2021) 106024 [[2101.12119](#)].
- [26] V.E. Korepin, N.M. Bogoliubov and A.G. Izergin, *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation Functions*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1993), [10.1017/CBO9780511628832](#).
- [27] G. Mussardo, *Statistical field theory: an introduction to exactly solved models in statistical physics*, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, NY (2010).

- [28] M. Rigol, V. Dunjko, V. Yurovsky and M. Olshanii, *Relaxation in a Completely Integrable Many-Body Quantum System: An AbInitio Study of the Dynamics of the Highly Excited States of 1D Lattice Hard-Core Bosons*, *Physical Review Letters* **98** (2007) 050405 [[cond-mat/0604476](#)].
- [29] M. Rigol, V. Dunjko and M. Olshanii, *Thermalization and its mechanism for generic isolated quantum systems*, *Nature* **452** (2008) 854 [[0708.1324](#)].
- [30] C. Gogolin and J. Eisert, *Equilibration, thermalisation, and the emergence of statistical mechanics in closed quantum systems*, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **79** (2016) 056001 [[1503.07538](#)].
- [31] L. Vidmar and M. Rigol, *Generalized Gibbs ensemble in integrable lattice models*, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **6** (2016) 064007 [[1604.03990](#)].
- [32] G. Hernández-Chifflet, S. Negro and A. Sfondrini, *Flow Equations for Generalized $T\bar{T}$ Deformations*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124** (2020) 200601 [[1911.12233](#)].
- [33] G. Arutyunov and S. Frolov, *On string S-matrix, bound states and TBA*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2007** (2007) 024 [[0710.1568](#)].
- [34] G. Arutyunov, S. Frolov and R. Suzuki, *Exploring the mirror TBA*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2010** (2010) 31 [[0911.2224](#)].
- [35] P. Dorey and R. Tateo, *Excited states by analytic continuation of tba equations*, *Nuclear Physics B* **482** (1996) 639–659 [[hep-th/9607167](#)].
- [36] Z. Bajnok, *Review of AdS/CFT Integrability, Chapter III.6: Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz*, *Letters in Mathematical Physics* **99** (2012) 299 [[1012.3995](#)].