

Chemical survey of Class I protostars with the IRAM-30m

S. Mercimek^{1,2}, C. Codella^{1,3}, L. Podio¹, E. Bianchi³, L. Chahine^{4,5}, M. Bouvier³, A. López-Sepulcre^{3,5}, R. Neri⁵,
and C. Ceccarelli³

¹ INAF, Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri, Largo E. Fermi 5, 50125 Firenze, Italy

² Università degli Studi di Firenze, Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Via G. Sansone 1, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Italy

³ Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Institut de Planétologie et d'Astrophysique de Grenoble (IPAG), 38000 Grenoble, France

⁴ École doctorale de Physique, Université Grenoble Alpes, 110 Rue de la Chimie, 38400 Saint-Martin-d'Hères, France

⁵ Institut de Radioastronomie Millimétrique, 38406 Saint-Martin d'Hères, France

Received date; accepted date

ABSTRACT

Context. Class I protostars are a bridge between Class 0 protostars ($\leq 10^5$ yr old), and Class II ($\geq 10^6$ yr) protoplanetary disks. Recent studies show gaps and rings in the dust distribution of disks younger than 1 Myr, suggesting that planet formation may start already at the Class I stage. To understand what chemistry planets will inherit, it is crucial to characterize the chemistry of Class I sources and to investigate how chemical complexity evolves from Class 0 protostars to protoplanetary disks.

Aims. The goal is twofold: (i) to obtain a census of the molecular complexity in a sample of four Class I protostars, and (ii) to compare it with the chemical compositions of earlier and later phases of the Sun-like star formation process.

Methods. We performed IRAM-30 m observations at 1.3 mm towards 4 Class I objects (L1489-IRS, B5-IRS1, L1455-IRS1, and L1551-IRS5). The column densities of the detected species are derived assuming Local Thermodynamic Equilibrium (LTE) or Large Velocity Gradient (LVG).

Results. We detected 27 species: C-chains, N-bearing species, S-bearing species, Si-bearing species, deuterated molecules, and interstellar Complex Organic Molecules (iCOMs; CH_3OH , CH_3CN , CH_3CHO , and HCOOCH_3). Among the observed sample, L1551-IRS5 is the most chemically rich source. Different spectral profiles are observed: (i) narrow ($\sim 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) lines towards all the sources, (ii) broader ($\sim 4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) lines towards L1551-IRS5, and (iii) line wings due to outflows (in B5-IRS1, L1455-IRS1, and L1551-IRS5). Narrow $\text{c-C}_3\text{H}_2$ emission originates from the envelope with temperatures of 5 – 25 K and sizes of $\sim 2'' - 10''$. The iCOMs in L1551-IRS5 reveal the occurrence of hot corino chemistry, with CH_3OH and CH_3CN lines originating from a compact ($\sim 0''.15$) and warm ($T > 50 \text{ K}$) region. Finally, OCS and H_2S seem to probe the circumbinary disks in the L1455-IRS1 and L1551-IRS5 binary systems. The deuteration in terms of elemental D/H in the molecular envelopes is: $\sim 10 - 70\%$ ($\text{D}_2\text{CO}/\text{H}_2\text{CO}$), $\sim 5 - 15\%$ (HD/H_2), and $\sim 1 - 23\%$ ($\text{CH}_2\text{DOH}/\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$). For the L1551-IRS5 hot corino, we derive $\text{D}/\text{H} \sim 2\%$ ($\text{CH}_2\text{DOH}/\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$).

Conclusions. Carbon chain chemistry in extended envelopes is revealed towards all the sources. In addition, B5-IRS1, L1455-IRS1 and L1551-IRS5 show a low excitation methanol line which is narrow and centered at systemic velocity, suggesting an origin from an extended structure, plausibly UV illuminated. The abundance ratios of CH_3CN , CH_3CHO , and HCOOCH_3 with respect CH_3OH measured towards the L1551-IRS5 hot corino are comparable to that estimated at earlier stages (prestellar cores, Class 0 protostars), as well as to that found in comets. The deuteration in our sample is also consistent with the values estimated for sources at earlier stages. These findings support the inheritance scenario from prestellar cores to the Class I phase when planets start forming.

Key words. astrochemistry - stars: formation - ISM: molecules - ISM: individual objects: L1489-IRS, B5-IRS1, L1455-IRS1, L1551-IRS5

1. Introduction

After just two decades from the first discovered exoplanet (Wolszczan & Frail 1992), the field of exoplanets has reached maturity (e.g., Doyle et al. 2011). The two most striking results have been the almost ubiquitous presence of planetary systems around Main Sequence stars and the overwhelming diversity of system architectures. Both of these findings strongly motivate the quests of the origins of such diversity. Having said that, what is the next step? The future is enlightened by a breakthrough discovery: planets start to form already during the Class I phase ($\geq 10^5$ yr) (e.g., Sheehan & Eisner 2017; Fedele et al. 2018). It is then mandatory to investigate the physical and chemical properties of the first stages of a Sun-like star, and to compare them with what

has been found in our Solar System to unveil the chemical origin of planets.

Class I protostars, with a typical age of 10^5 yr are a bridge between Class 0 protostars ($\sim 10^4$ yr), where the bulk of the material that forms the protostar is still in the envelope and the Class II protoplanetary disks (10^6 yr). Class I sources have recently begun to be chemically characterized through spectral surveys at millimeter wavelengths to search for interstellar complex organic molecules, small organics and deuterated species (Öberg et al. 2014; Bergner et al. 2017; Bianchi et al. 2017a; Bergner et al. 2019; Bianchi et al. 2019a, 2020; Le Gal et al. 2020). Therefore, we are still far from concluding whether Class I protostars are also a bridge from a chemical point of view.

Send offprint requests to: S. Mercimek, e-mail: seyma.mercimek@inaf.it

Table 1: The observed sample of Class I sources

Name	Region	α_{J2000}^a (^h ^m ^s)	δ_{J2000}^a ([°] ['] ^{''})	d^b (pc)	V_{sys}^c (km s ⁻¹)	L_{bol}^d (L_{\odot})
L1489-IRS	Taurus	04:04:43.1	+26:18:56.4	141	7.3	3.5
B5-IRS1	Perseus	03:47:41.6	+32:51:43.5	294	10.2	5.0
L1455-IRS1	Perseus	03:27:39.0	+30:12:59.3	294	4.7	3.6
L1551-IRS5	Taurus	04:31:34.1	+18:08:05.1	141	6.4	30 – 40

Notes. (^a) Coordinates: L1489-IRS from Jørgensen et al. (2009), B5-IRS1 and L1455-IRS1 from Hatchell et al. (2007) and Bergner et al. (2017), L1551-IRS5 from Froebrich (2005). (^b) Distances from Zucker et al. (2019). (^c) Present work. (^d) Bolometric luminosities: L1489-IRS from Green et al. (2013), B5-IRS1 from Evans et al. (2009), L1455-IRS1 from Dunham et al. (2013), L1551-IRS5 from Liseau et al. (2005).

Table 2: Observational IRAM-30m settings: the spectral windows are 214.5 – 222.2 GHz and 230.2 – 238.0 GHz. Forward efficiency (F_{eff}) and beam efficiency (B_{eff}) are 0.93 and 0.60, respectively.

Source	T_{sys} (K)	t_{on} (hr)	$\delta\nu$ (MHz)	δV (km s ⁻¹)	$HPBW$ (^{''}) (au)
L1489-IRS	450	26.3	0.20	0.25 – 0.27	10 – 11 1400–1550
B5-IRS1	350	23.2	0.20	0.25 – 0.27	10 – 11 2900–3200
L1455-IRS1	540	43.4	0.20	0.25 – 0.27	10 – 11 2900–3200
L1551-IRS5	351	27.6	0.20	0.25 – 0.27	10 – 11 1400–1550

Notes. T_{sys} , t_{on} , $\delta\nu$ and δV , $HPBW$ are system temperature, on-source observing time, spectral resolution at the unit of MHz and km s⁻¹, the half power beam width respectively.

Interstellar Complex Organic Molecules (iCOMs¹), C-bearing molecules containing at least six atoms (Herbst & van Dishoeck 2009; Ceccarelli et al. 2017), are the building blocks of pre-biotic molecules. Hence, it is very significant to understand how iCOM abundances vary in the evolutionary path from prestellar core to the Solar System small bodies. Hot corinos around Class 0 protostars are chemically enriched due to the release of molecules, including iCOMs, from the surfaces of dust grains heated by the protostar up to temperatures larger than 100 K (Ceccarelli et al. 2007). They have been well studied so far (e.g. Bottinelli et al. 2007; Codella et al. 2016; Jørgensen et al. 2016; Belloche et al. 2020; Jørgensen et al. 2020; Yang et al. 2021, and references therein). Recently, ALMA observations also started to unveil the chemical content of protoplanetary disks (PPDs) with the detection of a few iCOMs (Öberg et al. 2015; Walsh et al. 2016; Bergner et al. 2018; Favre et al. 2018; Lee et al. 2019b; Podio et al. 2020; Booth et al. 2021). However, to our knowledge, there are only a few studies on the detection of a hot corino towards Class I protostars (Bergner et al. 2019; Bianchi et al. 2019b, 2020; Yang et al. 2021) and very little has been done in terms of comparison of the chemical complexity at the different stages along the star formation process from prestellar cores to comets (see, e.g., Bianchi et al. 2019b; Drozdovskaya et al. 2019; Podio et al. 2020; Drozdovskaya et al. 2021; Booth et al. 2021). Conversely, protostars can be also enriched with carbon-chain molecules and are named as Warm Carbon Chain

Chemistry sources (e.g. Sakai et al. 2008, 2010; Sakai & Yamamoto 2013) which are known to be deprived of iCOMs. The origin of the chemical diversity is still unknown.

Deuterium bearing species are also a powerful key to investigate the chemical evolution from prestellar cores to our Solar System (Ceccarelli et al. 2014). The deuteration of molecules, i.e. the enhancement of the D/H abundance ratio in a given molecule with respect to the cosmic elemental deuterium abundance ($D/H = 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$, Linsky 2007) occurs in cold and dense environments, such as prestellar cores, and is stored onto dust mantles. Deuterated molecules are then released into the gas phase when either the temperature is high enough to evaporate the grains mantles (e.g., Ceccarelli et al. 2007; Parise et al. 2004, 2006) or when protostellar shocks sputter the grains (Codella et al. 2012). Therefore the D/H ratios are believed to be "fossil" of the Solar-like star forming process (e.g. Taquet et al. 2012; Jaber Al-Edhari et al. 2017). In this perspective, several prestellar cores and Class 0 protostars are studied by focusing on deuterium fractionations of H₂CO, H₂CS, and CH₃OH (e.g., Bacmann et al. 2003; Marcelino et al. 2005; Bizzocchi et al. 2014; Vastel et al. 2018; Parise et al. 2006; Bianchi et al. 2017b; Drozdovskaya et al. 2018; Manigand et al. 2020, and references therein). On the other hand, there is only one Class I protostar for which the deuterium fractionation of these species was measured, SVS13-A (Bianchi et al. 2017a, 2019a).

In this context, we present a chemical survey of four Class I protostars by examining their molecular complexity, molecular deuteration, and their physical characterization. The paper is organized as follows: In Sect. 2 we present the source sample; in Sect. 3 we show the observations; in Sect. 4 we present the analysis of the detected molecular lines; in Sect. 5.1 we discuss the molecular diversity among the targeted protostars, depending on the profiles, abundance, and deuteration of the detected molecules, and we put it in the context of the sources evolutionary stage; in Sect. 6 we summarize our findings.

2. The Sample

Four Class I (see Table 1) sources have been selected according to the following criteria: 1) They are located in two different nearby star forming regions; Taurus at $d = 141$ pc and Perseus at $d = 294$ pc (Zucker et al. 2019); 2) They are classified as Class I sources, having a bolometric temperature $T_{\text{bol}} > 70$ K (Chen et al. 1995; Andre et al. 2000); 3) They are associated with emission in methanol lines with upper level energies, E_{up} , up to ~ 80 K detected with IRAM-30m and ALMA observations suggesting the occurrence of a hot corino activity. The exception is L1489-IRS, where only methanol lines with low upper level energies were detected ($E_{\text{up}} \leq 12$ K), pointing to emission from the surrounding envelope (e.g., Öberg et al. 2014; Graninger

¹ Note that we added "i" to the commonly used COMs acronym in order to make clear that these molecules are only complex in the interstellar context, contrary to what chemists would consider complex in the terrestrial context.

et al. 2016; Bianchi et al. 2020).

We ordered the sources in the same way that we use in the table and throughout all the paper, i.e. from the chemically poorest to the chemically richest one. The coordinates of the sources as well as distances, systemic velocities, and bolometric luminosities are presented in Table 1.

2.1. L1489-IRS

L1489 IRS (also named as IRAS 04016+2610) is located in the Taurus star forming region. The bolometric luminosity of the source is $3.5 L_{\odot}$ (Green et al. 2013). Interferometric observations of $C^{18}O$, ^{13}CO , SO , HCO^+ , and HCN showed infalling flows (Hogerheijde 2001; Yen et al. 2014), a faint bipolar outflow (Myers et al. 1988; Hogerheijde et al. 1998; Yen et al. 2014), and a large Keplerian disk, with $r \sim 700$ au (Yen et al. 2014). IRAM-30 m observations revealed emission from several molecules, i.e. sulfur- and nitrogen- bearing species, molecular ions, and deuterated molecules, (e.g., Law et al. 2018; Le Gal et al. 2020, and references therein). Öberg et al. (2014) detected CH_3OH lines with $E_{up} \sim 6 - 12$ K.

2.2. B5-IRS1

B5-IRS1 (Barnard 5 IRS1, also called as IRAS 03445+3242 and Per-emb 53) is located in the B5 region of the Perseus molecular cloud. It has a bolometric luminosity of $5 L_{\odot}$ (Beichman et al. 1984; Evans et al. 2009; Pineda et al. 2011) and it is embedded in a core within a filamentary structure observed in NH_3 emission. In addition Yu et al. (1999) reported a bright jet using H_2 and $H\alpha$ emission at optical, near-infrared wavelengths. A bipolar outflow has been also revealed (e.g., Bally et al. 1996; Yu et al. 1999; Zapata et al. 2014, and references therein). More specifically, Zapata et al. (2014) resolved the outflow structure by using Submillimeter Array observations of CO emission. Their images showed a spider-like structure, with a high velocity outflow component nested inside a slower wide-angle component. Narrow (0.8 km s^{-1}) emission in CH_3OH , as well as in CH_3CN and, tentatively, in CH_3CHO , has been detected using the IRAM-30m telescope (Öberg et al. 2014). In addition, emission from nitrogen-, sulfur-bearing molecules, and carbon chains was detected (Öberg et al. 2014; Law et al. 2018). Yang et al. (2021) detected CH_3OH emission using ALMA observations.

2.3. L1455-IRS1

L1455-IRS1 (also known as IRAS 03245+3002 and Per-emb 17) is located in the Perseus molecular cloud. This protostar is one of the brightest Class I sources in the L1455 region with a bolometric luminosity of $3.6 L_{\odot}$ (Dunham et al. 2013). Goldsmith et al. (1984) and Chou et al. (2016) showed that the source is associated with a Keplerian disk ($r < 200$ au) and drives an high velocity outflow mapped in CO and $C^{18}O$ out to distances of ~ 6000 au. This source is also associated with three knots detected in H_2 lines at near-infrared wavelengths (Davis et al. 1997), which are located symmetrically with respect to the driving source in agreement with the collimated outflow structure imaged by Curtis et al. (2010). IRAM-30m studies showed that the source is associated with emission of both carbon chains and complex organic molecules: Graninger et al. (2016) detected CH_3OH lines with E_{up} up to ~ 30 K; Law et al. (2018) reported several S-bearing and N-bearing species; Bergner et al.

(2017) detected CH_3CHO at low $E_{up} \sim 15$ K as well as nitrogen-bearing molecules. ALMA and VLA observations show that L1455-IRS1 is a binary system (Tobin et al. 2018; Yang et al. 2021): Tobin et al. (2018) reported the surrounding circumbinary disks around the two sources and an elongated outflow structure. Using ALMA, Yang et al. (2021) reported a rich molecular complexity detecting iCOMs such as CH_3OH , CH_2DOH , $HCOOCH_3$, CH_3OCH_3 , NH_2CHO , CH_3CN , CH_2DCN .

2.4. L1551-IRS5

L1551-IRS5 is located in the Taurus star forming region and is classified as a Class I protostar (Adams et al. 1987; Looney et al. 1997) and as a FU Ori-like object (Connelley & Reipurth 2018) with bolometric luminosity between $30 - 40 L_{\odot}$ (Liseau et al. 2005). L1551-IRS5 is a binary system as shown by VLA (Bieging & Cohen 1985) and BIMA observations (Looney et al. 1997) and consists of a Northern component of $0.8 M_{\odot}$ and a Southern component of $0.3 M_{\odot}$ (Liseau et al. 2005), enclosed by a circumbinary disk (Cruz-Sáenz de Miera et al. 2019; Takakuwa et al. 2020). Both protostars drive jets as showed by VLA observations in the continuum image (Rodríguez et al. 2003). In addition, a recent ALMA study showed that the two circumstellar disks are associated with the two binary components, and are detected in CO and several S-bearing species (Takakuwa et al. 2020). ALMA observations also allowed to constrain the systemic velocities of the Southern and Northern components as $+4.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $+7.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, respectively (Bianchi et al. 2020). They revealed a hot corino associated with the Northern protostar, thanks to CH_3OH , $HCOOCH_3$ and CH_3CH_2OH emission lines.

3. Observations

Observations were carried-out at the IRAM-30m telescope located on Pico Veleta, Spain, during several sessions in July 2018 and May 2019. All sources were observed in band E2 (1.3 mm) in order to minimize beam dilution. To maximize the number of iCOMs and deuterated lines, the frequency ranges at 214.5 – 222.2 GHz and 230.2 – 238.0 GHz were selected with the Eight Mixer Receivers (EMIR) and the FTS200 backend, in wobbler switching mode. The spectral resolution is 0.2 MHz, corresponding to $\sim 0.26 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. In order to increase the S/N ratio, the spectral resolution of the weakest lines was degraded up to $\sim 1.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Precipitable water vapour (pwv) is $\sim 3 - 5$ mm. We estimate, using the W3(OH), 2251+158, 0430+052, and 0316+413 sources, the calibration uncertainty to be $\sim 20\%$, while the error on pointing is $\leq 3''$. The Half Power Beam Width (HPBW) of the telescope varies from $\sim 11''$ (at 214 GHz) to $\sim 10''$ (at 238 GHz). This corresponds to ~ 1500 au for L1489-IRS and L1551-IRS5 and ~ 3000 au for B5-IRS1 and L1455-IRS1.

GILDAS-CLASS² package was used to perform the data reduction. Antenna temperature values (T_A) were converted to main beam temperature values (T_{MB}), according to beam efficiency of 0.60 and forward efficiency of 0.93, given in the IRAM-30m website³. A summary of the observing settings is reported in Table 2, which reports system temperature, on-source observing time, spectral resolution, and HPBW. The average root mean square noise (rms) in the 0.26 km s^{-1} channel is ~ 10 mK (in T_{MB} scale).

² <http://www.iram.fr/IRAMFR/GILDAS>

³ <http://www.iram.es/IRAMES/mainWiki/Iram30mEfficiencies>




Fig. 1: The spectra observed in the 214500 – 222200 MHz and 230200 – 238000 MHz range towards L1489–IRS, B5–IRS1, L1455–IRS1, and L1551–IRS5, ordered from top to bottom according to the number of detected lines (see Table 4). Labels of selected detected species (see Sect. 4.1, Fig. A.1–A.4 and Tables A.1–A.4) are reported.

4. Results

4.1. Line Identification

We analyzed the 1.3 mm spectra obtained for the four Class I sources presented in Sect. 2 and searched for molecular emission. For the line identification, we used the JPL⁴ and CDMS⁵ spectral catalogs. The lines have been identified by fitting the profile with a Gaussian function in GILDAS-CLASS, which allowed us to retrieve the integrated line intensity, I_{int} , the line full width at half maximum, $FWHM$, and the intensity and velocity of the line peak, T_{peak} and V_{peak} .

We claim a line detection if the following three criteria are fulfilled: (1) the integrated intensity (I_{int}) is above 3σ , i.e. the line is detected with a signal-to-noise (S/N) higher than 3; (2) the line peaks at $|V_{\text{peak}} - V_{\text{sys}}| < 0.6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, where V_{sys} is the source systemic velocity; (3) the linewidths of different transitions due the same species is the same within uncertainties. The results of the Local Thermodynamic Equilibrium (LTE) and non-LTE analysis (see below) have been used as a-posteriori check to spot false identifications. Clearly, diatomic and triatomic molecules (such as CO, CS, DCN) are identified even if only one line is detected. The identification of larger molecules (≥ 4 atoms) has been done by (i) verifying that in the observed frequency range the detected line(s) of one molecular species are expected to be the brightest one(s) assuming the typical conditions of protostellar regions; (ii) performing a careful comparison with the 1–3 mm unbiased spectral survey ASAI of chemical rich Class 0 and I sources (Lefloch et al. 2018). Future observations over a wider frequency range would be instructive.

The detected lines are shown in Fig. A.1, A.2, A.3, A.4. Tables A.1, A.2, A.3, A.4 report the spectral line parameters as well as the results of the Gaussian fit for all the detected lines, namely: frequency (ν), telescope half power beam width ($HPBW$), upper level energy (E_{up}), line strength ($S\mu^2$), root mean square noise

(rms), channel width (δV), peak temperature (T_{peak}), line peak velocity (V_{peak}), $FWHM$, velocity integrated line intensity (I_{int}), and spectral catalog used.

For the transitions associated with multiple hyperfine components, we fit the spectral patterns taking these components into account. In this case, the fit provides, again, estimates of V_{peak} , the $FWHM$ linewidth, as well as the sum of the line opacities. The results, which are obtained with the CLASS tool, are reported in Table 3. Most of the species are optically thin (i.e. $\tau \ll 1$), while DCN, ^{13}CS , ^{13}CN , N_2D^+ and C^{15}N are moderately optically thick, with $\tau \sim 1 - 4$. The $J = 2 - 1$ transition of the CO, ^{13}CO , and C^{18}O has been observed towards all the sources.

In addition, taking into account all the sources, we detected the following species (see Tables A.1–A.4):

- C-chains ($\text{c-C}_3\text{H}$, $\text{c-C}_3\text{H}_2$, and CH_3CCH);
- iCOMs (CH_3OH , CH_3CN , CH_3CHO , and HCOOCH_3);
- N-bearing species (^{13}CN , C^{15}N , and HNCO);
- S-bearing molecules (SO , ^{34}SO , SO_2 , ^{13}CS , OCS , O^{13}CS , CCS , H_2S , H_2CS , and $\text{H}_2\text{C}^{33}\text{S}$);
- Si-bearing species (SiO);
- Deuterated molecules (DCO^+ , N_2D^+ , CCD , DCN , HDCS , D_2CO , and CH_2DOH).

Figure 1 shows the 1.3 mm spectra of the four observed Class I protostars. The labels indicate the brightest detected lines towards the four sources, in particular CO and the brightest line for each category of molecules listed above. More specifically: $\text{c-C}_3\text{H}_2$ for C-chains, CH_3OH for iCOMs, ^{13}CN for N-bearing species, SO for S-bearing molecules, SiO for Si-bearing species, and DCO^+ for deuterated isotopologues. The sources are ordered by increasing number of line detections (L1489-IRS, B5-IRS1, L1455-IRS1, and L1551-IRS5, respectively). Figure 2 summarizes the number of detected lines per species and per source showing main isotopologues of iCOMs, C-chains, and S-bearing molecules. As listed in Table 4, we detected: 17 transitions due

⁴ <https://spec.jpl.nasa.gov>

⁵ <https://cdms.astro.uni-koeln.de>

Table 3: Results of the spectral fit of the hyperfine components performed using the GILDAS-CLASS tool: sum of the opacities, linewidth, and peak velocity. The errors are reported in parenthesis.

Species	τ	$FWHM$ (km s ⁻¹)	V_{peak} (km s ⁻¹)
L1489-IRS			
C ¹⁵ N	≤0.1	1.1 (0.3)	+7.59 (0.16)
CCD	≤0.1	1.7 (0.4)	+7.75 (0.21)
DCN	1.0 (0.1)	1.5 (0.3)	+7.75 (0.12)
B5-IRS1			
¹³ CN	≤0.1	0.8 (0.1)	+10.10 (0.01)
¹³ CS	2.5 (2.3)	0.6 (0.1)	+9.94 (0.01)
CCD	≤0.4	0.8 (0.1)	+10.10 (0.01)
DCN	≤0.1	0.9 (0.1)	+10.20 (0.01)
N ₂ D ⁺	≤0.1	0.6 (0.1)	+10.20 (0.01)
L1455-IRS1			
¹³ CN	4.4 (2.9)	0.8 (0.1)	+4.83 (0.01)
¹³ CS	≤0.1	1.6 (0.1)	+4.66 (0.01)
CCD	≤0.1	0.6 (0.1)	+4.74 (0.01)
DCN	≤0.1	1.4 (0.1)	+4.43 (0.01)
N ₂ D ⁺	≤0.2	0.6 (0.1)	+4.79 (0.01)
L1551-IRS5			
¹³ CN	2.8 (1.0)	1.0 (0.1)	+6.33 (0.01)
C ¹⁵ N	1.0 (0.5)	0.6 (0.1)	+5.97 (0.02)
¹³ CS	≤0.1	1.4 (0.1)	+6.51 (0.03)
CCD	≤0.1	1.1 (0.1)	+6.38 (0.01)
DCN	≤0.1	1.3 (0.1)	+6.29 (0.01)
N ₂ D ⁺	2.6 (0.6)	0.5 (0.1)	+6.13 (0.01)
H ₂ C ³³ S	≤0.1	0.8 (0.2)	+6.78 (0.09)
c-C ₃ H	≤0.8	0.9 (0.1)	+6.89 (0.01)

to 10 species in L1489-IRS, 29 transitions due to 15 species in B5-IRS1, and 36 transitions due to 21 species in L1455-IRS1. L1551-IRS5 has the richest spectra in these observations in terms of detected number of molecules with 75 transitions due to 27 species.

To summarize, CO, SO, and H₂CO emission have been detected in all four of the sources; also the C-chain c-C₃H₂ as well as several deuterated species (DCO⁺, DCN, CCD, and D₂CO) have been revealed towards all the targets; CH₃OH and CH₂DOH have been detected in all the sources apart from L1489-IRS; L1455-IRS1 and L1551-IRS5 show H₂S, OCS, and H₂CS emission; SiO emission has been observed only towards L1455-IRS1; CH₃CN and CH₃CHO have been detected towards L1551-IRS5 supporting the occurrence of an hot corino, first detected through CH₃OH and HCOOCH₃ emission by Bianchi et al. (2020).

The observed chemical differentiation will be discussed in Sect. 5.1, in light of gas properties and molecular column densities inferred through the line analysis presented in the following subsections.

4.2. Constraints on line optical depth

To Given the large number of isotopologues (12 lines due to 5 species) detected in the present survey, we derive constraints on the opacity of the detected emission lines by assuming interstellar isotopic ratios. Figures A.5 and A.6 show the ¹²C/¹³C from $J = 2 - 1$ profiles of ¹²CO, ¹³CO, and C¹⁸O. The spectra of the rarer

isotopologues have been scaled assuming the isotopic ratios of ¹²C/¹³C = 77 and ¹⁶O/¹⁸O = 560 (Milam et al. 2005). The line emission at velocities close to the systemic one are affected by absorption. In addition, in the case of L1551-IRS5, there is also foreground emission producing an absorbing dip at blueshifted velocity of ~8 km s⁻¹. On the other hand, the line intensities at the highest velocities are consistent with the isotopic ratios, indicating optically thin emission ($\tau \leq 0.1$).

Regarding SO 6₅ – 5₄, we compare the ³²SO and ³⁴SO line emission towards B5-IRS1 and L1551-IRS5. The line ratio is ~ 12 – 20, which assuming an isotopic ratio ³²S/³⁴S = 22 (Wilson & Rood 1994), leads to an opacity of ³²SO 6₅ – 5₄ of ~ 1.

We also estimate the opacity of the H₂C³²S 7_{1,7} – 6_{1,6} emission by comparing with H₂C³³S 7_{1,7} – 6_{1,6}. Assuming an isotopic ratio ³²S/³³S = 138 (Wilson & Rood 1994), the measured line ratio of ~ 4 implies optically thick H₂C³²S emission ($\tau \approx 35$). Similarly the opacity of OCS $J = 19 - 18$ emission is inferred from the line ratio between O¹²CS 19 – 18 and O¹³CS 19 – 18 assuming ¹²C/¹³C = 77 (Milam et al. 2005). We found that the opacity of the O¹²CS 19 – 18 line is around 20.

Table 4: Detected molecular species in the observed Class I sources. The number of lines are reported in parenthesis.

Species ^a	L1489 IRS	B5 IRS1	L1455 IRS1	L1551 IRS5
CO	Y(1)	Y(1)	Y(1)	Y(1)
¹³ CO	Y(1)	Y(1)	Y(1)	Y(1)
C ¹⁸ O	Y(1)	Y(1)	Y(1)	Y(1)
¹³ CN	-	-	Y(2)	Y(6)
C ¹⁵ N	Y(1)	Y(1)	-	Y(2)
¹³ CS	-	Y(1)	Y(1)	Y(1)
SO	Y(2)	Y(2)	Y(2)	Y(2)
³⁴ SO	-	Y(1)	-	Y(1)
SiO	-	-	Y(1)	-
CCD	Y(1)	Y(2)	Y(2)	Y(2)
DCN	Y(1)	Y(1)	Y(1)	Y(1)
N ₂ D ⁺	-	Y(1)	Y(1)	Y(1)
DCO ⁺	Y(1)	Y(1)	Y(1)	Y(1)
SO ₂	-	Y(1)	Y(1)	Y(2)
OCS	-	-	Y(2)	Y(2)
O ¹³ CS	-	-	-	Y(1)
H ₂ S	-	-	Y(1)	Y(1)
CCS	-	-	Y(1)	Y(2)
H ₂ CO	Y(3)	Y(4)	Y(4)	Y(4)
D ₂ CO	Y(1)	Y(2)	Y(2)	Y(4)
H ₂ CS	-	Y(1)	Y(1)	Y(1)
H ₂ C ³³ S	-	-	-	Y(1)
HDCS	-	-	Y(2)	Y(1)
HNCO	-	-	Y(1)	Y(1)
c-C ₃ H	-	-	-	Y(3)
c-C ₃ H ₂	Y(4)	Y(6)	Y(5)	Y(6)
H ₂ CCO	-	-	-	Y(2)
CH ₃ OH	-	Y(1)	Y(1)	Y(9)
CH ₂ DOH	-	Y(1)	Y(1)	Y(1)
CH ₃ CN	-	-	-	Y(5)
CH ₃ CCH	-	-	-	Y(3)
CH ₃ CHO	-	-	-	Y(3)
HCOOCH ₃	-	-	-	Y(3)
Total	17	29	36	75

Notes. (^a) Some species have been detected using less than 3 lines, according to the criteria explained in Sect. 4.1.




Fig. 2: Histogram of the numbers of detected lines for iCOMs (pink), C-chains (green), S-bearing (blue) molecules for the four protostars in our sample (the protostars are ordered by increasing number of detected species).

4.3. LTE Analysis

For the molecules detected in at least two lines, we used the standard rotational diagram (RD) approach to determine the rotational temperature and the column density (Goldsmith & Langer 1999). We assumed optically thin line emission and Local Thermodynamic Equilibrium (LTE) conditions. For the species for which we estimated optically thick emission (see Sect. 4.2) we apply an a posteriori correction to the estimated column density, as explained below. The upper level column density of the $u \rightarrow l$ transition is calculated using:

$$N_u = \frac{8\pi\nu^2}{hc^3 A_{ul}} \frac{1}{\eta_{bf}} \int T_{mb} dV. \quad (1)$$

Here N_u is the upper level column density (cm^{-2}), k and h are respectively the Boltzmann (erg K^{-1}) and Planck (erg s) constants, A_{ul} is the Einstein coefficient (s^{-1}), η_{bf} ⁶ is the beam-filling factor, c is speed of the light (cm s^{-1}), ν is the rest frequency (GHz), and $\int T_{mb} dV$ is the integrated emission (K km s^{-1}) in main beam temperature scale. As the beam is roughly the same for all the observed transitions ($10''$ – $11''$) and we do not know a priori the source size, we do not correct the line intensities for the beam filling factor (i.e. $\eta_{bf} = 1$ in Eq. (1)). Hence, the derived N_u is the beam-averaged column density.

According to the LTE assumption, we can describe N_{tot} and T_{rot} with an equation as follow:

$$\ln \frac{N_u}{g_u} = \ln N_{\text{tot}} - \ln Q(T_{\text{rot}}) - \frac{E_{\text{up}}}{kT_{\text{rot}}} \quad (2)$$

where N_{tot} is the total beam-averaged column density of the molecule, g_{up} is the degeneracy of the upper level, E_{up} is the energy of the upper level, and $Q(T_{\text{rot}})$ is the partition function depending on the rotational temperature T_{rot} .

⁶ $\eta_{bf} = \theta_s^2 \times (\theta_s^2 + \theta_b^2)^{-1}$; θ_s and θ_b are the source and the beam sizes (assumed to be both a circular Gaussian).

The T_{rot} and beam averaged N_{tot} (cm^{-2}) values derived from the rotational diagram analysis for each molecule and for each source are listed in Table 5. In the table we also report the number of lines used for the RD, and the interval of upper level energies (K) of the detected lines. The rotational diagrams for the examined molecules towards the four protostars are shown in Figs. B.1 – B.4. In Section 4.5 we applied the non-LTE analysis in the Large Velocity Gradient (LVG) approximation for the molecules where more than three lines are detected and collisional rates are available. In these cases we derived the source size, hence the column densities are source-averaged.

In the case of H_2CS and OCS , whose emission is optically thick, we correct the column density values (see Table B.4) for the optical depth derived in Sect. 4.2, using the following equation:

$$N = N_{\text{thin}} \left(\frac{\tau}{1 - \exp^{-\tau}} \right). \quad (3)$$

In L1489-IRS, B5-IRS1, and L1455-IRS1 the rotational temperatures of all the analyzed species are quite low, less than about 30 K, with the exception of H_2CO in L1455-IRS1 for which we find $T_{\text{rot}} = (68 \pm 25)$ K. On the other hand, L1551-IRS5 is associated with iCOMs emission for which we find higher T_{rot} , up to (148 ± 30) K. The beam averaged column densities (as derived using the RD analysis) are in the range of $10^{11} - 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, with the exception of SO and CH_3OH for which we find N_{tot} of a few 10^{14} cm^{-2} .

4.4. Line Profiles

The observed line profiles are very complex and differ from source to source (see Fig. A.1–A.4), with high-velocity wings, secondary peaks, and different linewidths. The CO and ^{13}CO profiles are affected by deep absorption at systemic velocity as well as by absorption features due to CO molecules along the line of sight. Broad line wings up to about $\pm 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ with respect to V_{sys} have been detected in CO , ^{13}CO , C^{18}O , as well as in other species. Lines with $FWHM \sim 1 - 2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, centered at

V_{sys} , are expected to be emitted by the molecular envelope, while iCOMs emission with $\sim 4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ linewidth is plausibly tracing the compact (less than $1''$) hot corino. A special case is represented by L1551-IRS5, where the multi-peak profiles allow us to disentangle the emission coming from both the envelope and the hot corino (see Sect. 5.2).

By selecting different velocity ranges, we were able to derive the column densities of the different kinematical components, i.e. the envelope (Sect. 4.4.1), the outflow (Sect. 4.4.2), and the hot corino (Sect. 4.4.3). In some cases, the need to have S/N of at least 3 (for the intensities in selected velocity ranges) forced us to use less lines and, as a consequence, to assume the rotational temperature derived from other species which trace the same component and for which we were able to obtain a reliable RD. As described in the next sections, we assumed: (i) $T_{\text{rot}} = 20 - 35 \text{ K}$ for the envelope (from $\text{c-C}_3\text{H}_2$), (ii) $T_{\text{rot}} = 50 - 70 \text{ K}$ for the outflows (from SO and H_2CO), and (iii) $T_{\text{rot}} = 60 - 150 \text{ K}$ for the L1551 hot corino (from CH_3OH).

4.4.1. Envelope Tracers

Since $\text{c-C}_3\text{H}_2$ is detected in all the sources and shows a well-defined Gaussian profile with $FWHM \sim 1.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, we used this species as a reference to define the temperature range for the envelope ($T_{\text{rot}} = 20 - 35 \text{ K}$). Such temperatures have been adopted to estimate the column densities of species observed through one emission line only. For lines (due to other species) broader than 1.5 km s^{-1} , we integrated the line intensity in the velocity range $\pm 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ around V_{sys} to disentangle the envelope component. Tables B.1, B.2, B.3, and B.4 report the derived T_{rot} and N_{tot} values obtained for the envelope component. Source averaged column densities can be obtained from beam averaged column densities by applying the filling factors reported for $\text{c-C}_3\text{H}_2$ in Table 6. Finally, note that for L1551-IRS5, we had the possibility to apply the RD approach for both ortho and para D_2CO species separately. Figure B.4 shows that the present data do not allow us to reveal the expected o/p statistical values (3:1), likely due to poor statistics as well as low S/N value of the spectra.

4.4.2. Outflow Tracers

We detected line wings up to $\sim \pm 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ with respect to the systemic velocity for a large number of species beside the CO isotopologues: H_2CO , SO, SO_2 , ^{13}CS , DCN, H_2S , DCO^+ , and H_2CS . This high-velocity emission (see e.g. Fig. A.2, A.3 and A.4) is plausibly due to the outflow motions, and is detected in all the sources. On the other hand we do not see high-velocity outflow motion in the line profiles of L1489-IRS.

In order to analyze the outflow contribution, we used the emission integrated at high velocities in the residual spectra after subtraction of the brighter emission at systemic velocity due to the envelope fit with a Gaussian (with $FWHM \sim 1.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ consistent with envelope emission). Rotational temperatures and column densities derived for the high-velocity outflow components are reported in Tables B.2, B.3, B.4. For the species where the rotational diagram analysis cannot be performed, because only one line is detected, we derived the column densities by assuming $T_{\text{rot}} \sim 50 - 70 \text{ K}$, following what found using H_2CO .

4.4.3. Hot Corino Tracers

Only L1551-IRS5 shows emission lines with linewidths of $\sim 4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ due to several iCOMs: CH_3OH , CH_3CN , CH_3CHO , and

HCOOCH_3 . This points to the presence of a hot corino, in agreement with the very recent ALMA results by Bianchi et al. (2020) who imaged a hot corino using CH_3OH , CH_2DOH , HCOOCH_3 , and $\text{t-CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$. The chemical richness looks to be predominantly associated with the Northern component of the L1551-IRS5 binary system, peaking at $+7.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The L1551-IRS5 system, including both a hot corino and an envelope will be discussed in Sect. 5.2. In light of these findings, we derived the integrated emissions due to the hot corino also for OCS, O^{13}CS , and H_2S , which show linewidths larger than 4 km s^{-1} , but with a well defined emission peak at $+7.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. In addition, OCS and H_2S show a broad emission (larger than 4 km s^{-1}) also in L1455-IRS1, where methanol lines are narrow ($FWHM = 1.6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). To be coherent with the L1551-IRS5 analysis we assumed a high temperature for the gas emitting OCS and H_2S in L1455-IRS1 as done for L1551-IRS5. The origin of the OCS and H_2S emission will be discussed in details in Sect. 5.1.

We integrated the line intensities $\pm 2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ around the hot corino velocity. The derived T_{rot} and column densities derived using the RD approach are listed in Tables B.4. Rotational temperatures lie between 42 K and 148 K, while beam averaged column densities are $\sim 10^{12} - 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. For species for which only a single transition is detected, we assumed $T_{\text{rot}} = 60 - 150 \text{ K}$ and we derived column densities. Source averaged column densities can be obtained from beam averaged column densities by applying the filling factors reported for CH_3OH and CH_3CN in Table 6.

4.5. Non-LTE Large Velocity Gradient (LVG) Analysis

In order to derive the physical parameters of the emitting gas and the molecule column densities, we compare the line intensity measured in $\text{c-C}_3\text{H}_2$ (L1489-IRS, B5-IRS1, L1455-IRS1, and L1551-IRS5), CH_3OH and CH_3CN (L1551-IRS5) with ones predicted by the non-LTE LVG code *grvelv* (Ceccarelli et al. 2003). We compute the line intensities for a grid of molecular column densities, N_x , gas densities, n_{H_2} , and temperatures, T_{kin} . Then the predicted line intensities are compared with the observed ones to determine the best fit, i.e. the values of N_x , n_{H_2} , T_{kin} , and the source size θ which gives the minimum χ^2 .

We carried out the non-LTE analysis only for three species ($\text{c-C}_3\text{H}_2$, CH_3OH , and CH_3CN) based on the following criteria: (1) at least four transitions have been detected, (2) the detected transitions cover a significant range of upper level energies, (3) collisional coefficients are available in the literature. For $\text{c-C}_3\text{H}_2$, we used the collisional coefficients with He, computed by Chandra & Kegel (2000) and provided by the BASECOL database (Dubernet et al. 2013); for CH_3OH , we used the collisional coefficients of both A and E transitions with para- H_2 , computed by Rabli & Flower (2010) and provided by the BASECOL database (Dubernet et al. 2013). For CH_3CN , we used the H_2 collisional coefficients by Green (1986), provided by the LAMDA database (Schöier et al. 2005).

When computing the line intensities with *grvelv* we assumed: (1) spherical geometry to compute the line escape probability (de Jong et al. 1980); (2) a A- CH_3OH /E- CH_3OH ratio equal to 1; (3) the ortho- H_2 /para- H_2 ratio equal to 3; (4) a linewidth of 1 km s^{-1} for $\text{c-C}_3\text{H}_2$, 3 km s^{-1} for CH_3OH , and 2.5 km s^{-1} for CH_3CN (as derived from our observations). For CH_3OH and CH_3CN , we used the integrated line intensities for the hot corino component as described in Sect. 4.4.3. The uncertainties on the line integrated intensities, due to the error on

Table 5: Number of detected lines ($S/N \geq 3$), range of upper level energies of the detected transitions, temperatures (K) and beam averaged column densities (cm^{-2}) derived from the rotational diagram analysis.

Species	N_{lines}	E_u (K)	T_{rot} (K)	N_{tot} (cm^{-2})
L1489-IRS				
SO	2	35 – 44	6 (2)	$2(3) \times 10^{14}$
p-H ₂ CO	3	21 – 68	23 (3)	$43(13) \times 10^{11}$
c-C ₃ H ₂	3	19 – 39	19 (5)	$5(2) \times 10^{11}$
B5-IRS1				
SO	2	35 – 44	8 (2)	$1(2) \times 10^{14}$
p-H ₂ CO	3	21 – 68	24 (3)	$6(2) \times 10^{12}$
c-C ₃ H ₂	4	19 – 61	18 (3)	$10(3) \times 10^{11}$
L1455-IRS1				
SO	2	35 – 44	10 (4)	$4(5) \times 10^{13}$
OCS	2	100 – 110	59(102)	$2(5) \times 10^{13}$
p-H ₂ CO	3	21 – 68	68(25)	$20(6) \times 10^{12}$
HDCS	2	42 – 51	13 (9)	$2(5) \times 10^{12}$
c-C ₃ H ₂	4	19 – 61	16 (3)	$13(5) \times 10^{11}$
L1551-IRS5				
SO	2	35 – 44	40 (50)	$2(3) \times 10^{13}$
SO ₂	2	19 – 94	44 (8)	$8(2) \times 10^{12}$
OCS ^b	2	100 – 110	64 (121)	$2(5) \times 10^{15}$
p-H ₂ CO	3	21 – 68	41 (9)	$4(13) \times 10^{13}$
o-D ₂ CO	2	28 – 50	18 (4)	$3(2) \times 10^{12}$
p-D ₂ CO	2	32 – 50	28 (14)	$9(7) \times 10^{12}$
c-C ₃ H ₂	4	19 – 61	24 (4)	$11(3) \times 10^{12}$
H ₂ CCO	2	64 – 76	21 (13)	$2(8) \times 10^{13}$
CH ₃ OH ^a	9	45 – 374	148 (30)	$16(4) \times 10^{13}$
CH ₃ CN	5	68 – 133	133 (81)	$1(5) \times 10^{12}$
CH ₃ CCH	3	75 – 103	23 (11)	$6(13) \times 10^{13}$
CH ₃ CHO ^a	3	26 – 182	42 (5)	$22(6) \times 10^{12}$

Notes. (a) Column densities refer to the sum of the A and E type of methanol and acetaldehyde. (b) Column density is corrected for the opacity as mentioned in Sect. 4.2.

calibration and the error on the Gaussian fit, amount to 30% of the observed intensities.

In the next sub-sections, we describe the results obtained by applying the LVG analysis for each species. Table 6 summarizes the results of the LVG analysis by showing the number of lines, the range of upper level energy used for the analysis, kinetic temperatures, total column densities, H₂ densities and the source size of the species which reproduces the observations within 1 σ confidence level. From the estimated source size we also derive the beam filling factor of the emitting species, η_{bf} , reported in the last column of Table 6. Figure 3 shows the ranges of temperature, T_{kin} , and H₂ density, n_{H_2} , obtained from the analysis of the CH₃OH and CH₃CN emission towards L1551-IRS5.

Finally, Fig. 4 shows the ratio between the observed over predicted line intensities as a function of upper level energy of the transition.

4.5.1. LVG analysis of c-C₃H₂ emission

We ran a grid of LVG models to obtain predictions of the detected lines, for a range of total (para- c-C₃H₂ and ortho- c-C₃H₂) column density $N(\text{c-C}_3\text{H}_2)$ from 10^{11} cm^{-2} to 10^{17} cm^{-2} , a gas




Fig. 3: Result of the LVG analysis for L1551-IRS5. The inferred range of gas density and temperature are summarized in Table 6. χ^2 contour plots as a function of the gas density and temperature. The green, and pink contours show the 1 σ confidence level for CH₃OH, and CH₃CN respectively for representative value of $N(\text{CH}_3\text{OH}) = 5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ with source size of 0''.18 and $N(\text{CH}_3\text{CN}) \geq 1 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ with source size of 0''.11.

density n_{H_2} from 10^4 cm^{-3} to 10^8 cm^{-3} and a kinetic temperature T_{kin} in the 5 – 80 K range.

The 1 σ confidence level of the observed c-C₃H₂ lines give similar results for the four sources, i.e. the column density values ($N(\text{c-C}_3\text{H}_2)$) are $1 \times 10^{12} - 3 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, n_{H_2} of $1 \times 10^4 - 5 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, T_{kin} from 5 K to 25 K, and source sizes spanning between 2'' and 10''. Table 6 reports the results for each source.

The overall analysis is in agreement with a temperature of 25 K, a column density of $\sim 2 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ for the low mass star formation region L1527 (Yoshida et al. 2015).

These results are consistent with what was obtained from the LTE analysis. This is not surprising considering that the LVG analysis predicts that the detected transitions are optically thin (τ values are from 0.03 to 0.37). Moreover, the n_{cr} of the detected c-C₃H₂ lines (Chandra & Kegel 2000) are between $4 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $3 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. Therefore the gas density n_{H_2} estimated through the LVG analysis towards the four protostars is larger than n_{cr} in many cases.

This implies that n_{H_2} towards L1551-IRS5 is higher than n_{cr} . As a result, our LTE analysis is excellently consistent with LVG result. The n_{H_2} values towards 4 protostars between $1 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $5 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, are mostly higher than n_{cr} .

4.5.2. LVG analysis of CH₃OH and CH₃CN emission

We ran the LVG code for CH₃OH and CH₃CN in L1551-IRS5. The LVG code computes the predicted line intensities for a large grid of models (≥ 10000), assuming methanol column densities between $1 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and $1 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, n_{H_2} in the $10^5 - 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ range, and a gas kinetic temperature up to 150 K. The best fit, with the χ^2 of 1.0, gives T_{kin} , n_{H_2} and $N(\text{CH}_3\text{OH})$ equal to 80 K, $1 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, and $5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, respectively. The size θ is

Table 6: Source-averaged molecular column density, N_{tot} , gas physical conditions (temperature, T_{kin} , and density, n_{H_2}), source size inferred from the LVG analysis, and beam-filling factor (η_{bf}) of c-C₃H₂, CH₃OH, and CH₃CN lines towards the four protostars in our sample (L1489-IRS, B5-IRS1, L1455-IRS1 and L1551-IRS5). The range of values reported correspond to the LVG solutions which reproduce the observations within the 1 σ confidence level.

Species	N_{lines}	E_{up} (K)	T_{kin} (K)	N_{tot} (cm ⁻²)	n_{H_2} (cm ⁻³)	Source size ($''$)	η_{bf}
L1489-IRS							
c-C ₃ H ₂	4	19 – 39	5 – 25	$1 \times 10^{12} - 3 \times 10^{15}$	$8 \times 10^4 - 3 \times 10^6$	2 – 5	0.03 – 0.17
B5-IRS1							
c-C ₃ H ₂	4	19 – 39	15 – 18	$1 - 30 \times 10^{14}$	$1 - 30 \times 10^4$	2 – 3	0.03 – 0.07
L1455-IRS1							
c-C ₃ H ₂	4	19 – 39	10 – 25	$1 - 50 \times 10^{13}$	$8 \times 10^4 - 5 \times 10^6$	3 – 10	0.07 – 0.45
L1551-IRS5							
c-C ₃ H ₂	4	19 – 39	15 – 20	$3 \times 10^{13} - 1 \times 10^{15}$	$1 \times 10^4 - 3 \times 10^6$	4 – 8	0.12 – 0.35
CH ₃ OH	7	45 – 190	50 – 105	$1 - 6 \times 10^{18}$	$0.5 - 30 \times 10^6$	0.14 – 0.18	$1.6 - 2.6 \times 10^{-4}$
CH ₃ CN	4	68 – 133	105 – 135	$\geq \times 10^{17}$	$\geq 3 \times 10^4$	0.11 – 0.20	$1.0 - 3.3 \times 10^{-4}$




Fig. 4: Ratios of the observed line intensities to those predicted by the best-fitting LVG models as a function of upper level energy of the considered transitions towards L1551-IRS5. Upper panel: Blue and red circles are for the ortho and para c-C₃H₂, respectively. Middle panel: Blue and red stars show the E and A transitions of CH₃OH respectively. Bottom panel: Blue and red diamonds are for the E and A CH₃CN lines respectively.

0 $''$.18 (25 au). The line opacities ranges from 0.3 to 12, indicating that line emissions are moderately thick. Figure 3 reports the $n_{\text{H}_2} - T_{\text{kin}}$ plot for the column density, N , and size, θ , which minimizes the χ^2 , while Fig. 4 report the ratio between the observed and predicted intensities for the best fit solution. Note that the critical densities n_{cr} of the transitions (Rabli & Flower 2010) lie from 4×10^4 to 2×10^5 cm⁻³.

Since n_{H_2} is at least one order of magnitude higher than n_{cr} , LTE is a reasonable assumptions for the CH₃OH emission. As a result, after correcting for the line opacities and beam filling factor, the column densities estimated from the LTE analysis are in agreement with those inferred with the LVG code. Note that the CH₃OH 4_{-2,3} – 3_{-1,2}E (45 K) is known to be a Class I-type methanol maser (Hunter et al. 2014; Chen et al. 2019), observed also towards low mass star forming regions, mostly in Perseus (Kalenskii et al. 2006; Kalenskii et al. 2012). However, once considering the 50 – 150 K temperature range, the CH₃OH 4_{-2,3} – 3_{-1,2}E line could be masing only very weakly: $-\tau$ less than 8×10^{-1} down to 8×10^{-5} , which is consistent with $\tau \sim -0.1$ obtained for L1551-IRS5 from the LVG analysis.

For methyl cyanide, we considered column densities from 1×10^{12} cm⁻² to 1×10^{19} cm⁻², and n_{H_2} between 1×10^4 cm⁻³ and 3×10^6 cm⁻³. We used the same temperatures grid assumed for methanol (50 – 150 K). The best fit with the lowest χ^2 (0.3) is well-constrained for T and n_{H_2} equal to 130 K, 1×10^5 cm⁻³. On the other hand, the column density is not well-constrained: $N(\text{CH}_3\text{CN}) \geq 1 \times 10^{17}$ cm⁻². The size θ is equal to 0 $''$.11 (16 au). The ratio between observed and modeled lines for CH₃CN is presented in the bottom panel in Fig. 4. Lines are extremely optically thick (τ values are between 245 and 367). The critical densities n_{cr} of the observed transitions (Green 1986) are $\sim 2 \times 10^6$ cm⁻³. The 1 σ solutions for n_{H_2} indicated values higher than 3×10^4 cm⁻³. As a consequence, the LTE approximation could not be satisfied. However, as for methanol, the column densities derived using the LTE and LVG analysis are in agreement once correcting for the beam filling factor and opacities.

The analysis of the CH₃OH and CH₃CN emission indicates that the emission in the single-dish spectra is dominated by a hot corino. This is consistent with what was recently found using ALMA emission with the LVG results of ¹³CH₃OH on the same source (Bianchi et al. 2020). They found T , n_{H_2} , $N(\text{CH}_3\text{OH})$ and θ equal to 100 K, $1 - 1.5 \times 10^8$ cm⁻³, 1×10^{19} cm⁻², and 0 $''$.15 respectively. The small difference between the two studies is due to the optical depth. They found that all CH₃OH lines are optically thick ($\tau > 50$), then they used the ¹³CH₃OH ($\tau \sim 2$) emission for their LVG analysis.




Fig. 5: Normalized intensity profiles of selected species towards L1489-IRS, B5-IRS1, L1455-IRS1, and L1551-IRS5. The profiles of SO, $c\text{-C}_3\text{H}_2$, H_2CO (in all sources), CH_3OH , CH_3CHO , HCOOCH_3 (in L1551-IRS5), and OCS (in L1455-IRS1) have been obtained by stacking the detected transitions for each species in order to maximize the S/N ratio. CH_3OH ($4_{-2,3} - 3_{-1,2}\text{E}$) in B5-IRS1 and L1455-IRS1 is the transition at 218440 MHz; H_2CS ($7_{1,7} - 6_{1,6}$) in B5-IRS1, L1455-IRS1, and L1551-IRS5 is the transition at 236727 MHz; H_2S ($2_{2,0} - 2_{1,1}$) in L1455-IRS1 and L1551-IRS5 is the transition at 216710 MHz; OCS ($19 - 18$) in L1551-IRS5 is the transition in 231060 MHz.

5. Discussion

5.1. Chemical Diversity

The present dataset provides a chemical survey at 1.3mm of iCOMs, S-bearing, D-bearing, O-bearing, N-bearing and molecular ion species including their rare isotopologues towards Class I protostars as presented in the previous sections. Figure 5 shows the normalized intensity profiles of selected species towards the observed Class I sources.

5.1.1. Narrow $c\text{-C}_3\text{H}_2$ and CH_3OH emission from the envelope

The $c\text{-C}_3\text{H}_2$ lines are narrow ($\sim 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) in all the four protostars, and the non-LTE LVG analysis shows that the emission comes from the molecular envelope. More specifically, the $c\text{-C}_3\text{H}_2$ source sizes vary between $2'' - 10''$ ($\sim 450 - 3000 \text{ au}$), depending on the source. Our results are in agreement with previous surveys showing that $c\text{-C}_3\text{H}_2$ traces the molecular envelope. More specifically, carbon chains such as $c\text{-C}_3\text{H}_2$ have been used

to identify the so called Warm Carbon Chain Chemistry (WCCC sources), characterized by a rich hydrocarbon on scales larger than 1000 au, instead of a hot corino iCOMs rich gas (e.g., Sakai & Yamamoto 2013, and references therein). The prototype of this class kind of objects is L1527 (e.g., Sakai et al. 2008, 2010). As a matter of fact, $c\text{-C}_3\text{H}_2$ traces the envelope including its inner portion (close to 100 au), as revealed by high-angular resolution interferometric observations (e.g., Sakai et al. 2014b,a). One of the proposed scenario on the origin of WCCC objects is illumination from Interstellar Radiation Field (ISRF) (Spezzano et al. 2016). Another one is related to the duration of the UV-shielded dense core prior to the protostellar heating of the gas. The longer this phase the higher the abundance of complex O-bearing species on dust mantles (e.g. methanol). On the opposite short starless phase are thought to favour the abundance of small hydrocarbons on dust surfaces (e.g., Sakai et al. 2008; Sakai & Yamamoto 2013). Once formed on dust, in order for the CH_4 to sublimate, temperature should be 20 – 60 K (Collings et al. 2004, see their Figure 1). This can happen in the protostar surrounding as well as when a cloud is UV illuminated, then being associated with a photodissociation region (PDR). Pety et al. (2005); Cuadrado et al. (2015) claimed that small carbon chains can be formed in the photodissociation regions (PDRs). We note that our targets are located in highly extinguished regions ($A_V \geq 6$ mag for Perseus (Kirk et al. 2006) and $A_V \geq 4$ mag for Taurus (Pineda et al. 2010)) with dense environment ($n_{\text{H}_2} \geq 1 \times 10^5$). Therefore UV radiation would not easily penetrate into such dense regions, affecting only the external layers.

Interestingly, CH_3OH , as traced by the transition at 214.8 GHz ($E_{\text{up}} = 45$ K), shows in B5-IRS1, L1455-IRS1 and L1551-IRS5 a line profile peaking at V_{sys} and with the same narrow profile exhibited by $c\text{-C}_3\text{H}_2$. This supports the idea that methanol emitting through lines with $FWHM \sim 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ coexists with $c\text{-C}_3\text{H}_2$, and thus it is tracing extended emission and not the hot corino region. The methanol column density (derived assuming the temperature of the envelope) is $1 - 2 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (B5-IRS1), $7 - 8 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (L1455-IRS1), and $5 - 7 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (L1551-IRS5). These values are consistent with what is reported by Öberg et al. (2014) and Graninger et al. (2016) using 3mm IRAM-30m surveys: $1 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (B5-IRS1), and $7 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (L1455-IRS1). The detection of CH_3OH requires an energetic process to inject the dust mantle composition into the gas phase. As described before, a possibility is that the narrow lines of CH_3OH and $c\text{-C}_3\text{H}_2$ trace the external skin of the high-density protostellar envelope. Another mechanism to create UV radiation in low mass protostar can be seen along the extended outflow cavity walls (van Kempen et al. 2009). Particularly, these layers are rich in terms of warm H_2 , [FeII], [Si II] since these ionized atoms are formed after dissociation process of molecular material. Indeed, B5-IRS1, L1455-IRS1, and L1551-IRS5 are associated with molecular outflows (see Sect. 2). These three sources (and indeed not L1489-IRS, where no narrow methanol lines are observed), are also associated, according to the c2d Spitzer catalog, with extended [FeII], [SiII], warm and hot H_2 knots (Lahuis et al. 2010, see their Table 2). On the other hand White et al. (2006) argued that narrow CH_3OH line comes from a toroidal surface, due to a jet or x-ray source nearby the L1551-IRS5 which heat the dust grains in order to release methanol release into the gas phase.

Figure 6 shows the correlation of the column densities of $c\text{-C}_3\text{H}_2$ and CH_3OH . Assuming that both molecules trace the same gas, the plot indicates that the abundance of methanol with respect to $c\text{-C}_3\text{H}_2$ is at least 10 times larger. The plot is well consistent with the correlation reported by Higuchi et al. (2018), who




Fig. 6: Correlation of the column densities of CH_3OH and $c\text{-C}_3\text{H}_2$ towards the four protostars in our sample. The sources are labeled in the legend in the bottom right corner. Dashed lines indicate $\text{CH}_3\text{OH}/c\text{-C}_3\text{H}_2$ column density ratio of 100, 10, and 1.

observed, using single-dish telescopes, 36 Class 0/I protostars in Perseus (see their Fig. 8c) and by Bouvier et al. (2020), who reported similar correlation between column densities of CCH and CH_3OH (see their figure 10). The proposed scenario can be verified only using higher angular resolution observations to image and compare the spatial distribution of the narrow CH_3OH and $c\text{-C}_3\text{H}_2$ emission. Finally, note that the results of the present survey indicates that L1551-IRS1 harbors an extended WCCC region with a size of ~ 1200 au (the result of the LVG analysis on $c\text{-C}_3\text{H}_2$, see in Sect. 4.5.1) and with detected $c\text{-C}_3\text{H}_2$ and CH_3CCH emissions as well as a hot corino region. This source will be discussed in details in Sect. 5.2.

5.1.2. iCOMs broad line emission from hot corino

L1551-IRS5 is associated with a hot corino (Bianchi et al. 2020), and shows broad ($\sim 3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) line profiles of CH_3OH , CH_3CN , CH_3CHO , and HCOOCH_3 (see the bottom right panel of Fig. 5).

In addition, the LVG solutions on CH_3OH and CH_3CN show compact source sizes ($0''.18$ and $0''.11$, respectively) and kinetic temperatures larger than 50 K, up to 135 K. This is consistent with the chemistry expected for methanol, i.e. a formation uniquely on dust mantles (e.g., Tielens & Hagen 1982; Rimola et al. 2014), and a thermal desorption due to protostellar heating (e.g., Charnley et al. 1992; Maret et al. 2005). In principle CH_3CN can be formed both through the gas-phase and grain-surface reactions (e.g. Walsh et al. 2014). CH_3CHO , and HCOOCH_3 are thought to form either on the grain surfaces before evaporating onto the gas phase or in the gas phase using simpler species from the dust mantle (e.g. Garrod & Herbst 2006; Balucani et al. 2015; Vazart et al. 2020; Jørgensen et al. 2020, and references therein).

On the other hand, we did not detect any hot corino activity towards the other three protostars of our sample: L1489-IRS, B5-IRS1, and L1455-IRS1. We report 3σ upper limits on the

Table 7: Upper limits (3σ) on the column densities of the iCOMs detected in L1551-IRS5 towards L1489-IRS, B5-IRS1, and L1455-IRS1.

Species	N_{tot}^a (cm^{-2})		
	L1489-IRS	B5-IRS1	L1455-IRS1
CH_3OH	$\leq 2 \times 10^{13}$	$\leq 1 \times 10^{13}$	$\leq 2 \times 10^{13}$
CH_3CN	$\leq 2 \times 10^{11}$	$\leq 2 \times 10^{11}$	$\leq 2 \times 10^{11}$
CH_3CHO	$\leq 8 \times 10^{11}$	$\leq 5 \times 10^{11}$	$\leq 6 \times 10^{11}$
HCOOCH_3	$\leq 7 \times 10^{12}$	$\leq 5 \times 10^{12}$	$\leq 6 \times 10^{12}$

Notes. (^a) Column densities are beam averaged. The values are derived assuming T_{rot} equal to 150 K, i.e. assuming a hot corino region, as found in L1551-IRS5.

column densities of iCOMs in Table 7 by assuming $T_{\text{rot}} = 150$ K and a $FWHM = 3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The column densities are lower than $\sim 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (CH_3OH , HCOOCH_3), and $\sim 10^{11} - 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (CH_3CN , CH_3CHO). Recently, Yang et al. (2021) mapped with ALMA several O-bearing and N-bearing iCOMs at high E_{up} (up to ~ 850 K) towards Class 0 and Class I protostars in Perseus, L1455-IRS1 and B5-IRS1 among them. Yang et al. (2021) imaged a hot corino towards these sources, while no extended emission is present. No column density is reported for L1455-IRS1, while for B5-IRS1 they report a $N_{\text{CH}_3\text{OH}} = 5 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ measured in the inner $0''.5$. Once diluted in the IRAM-30m beam, the methanol column density of the hot corino would be $\sim 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. This value is consistent with the upper limits on the iCOMs column densities reported in Table 7. Conversely, the present IRAM-30m detection of the extended narrow CH_3OH emission in B5-IRS1 (as well as those reported by Öberg et al. (2014)) could have been filtered out by the ALMA images by Yang et al. (2021).

5.1.3. H_2S , H_2CS , and OCS: Hot corinos and circumbinary disks

The abundance of sulphur in our Solar System is $\text{S}/\text{H} = 1.8 \times 10^{-5}$ (Anders & Grevesse 1989). However, it is well known that sulphur in star forming regions is depleted by at least two orders of magnitude with respect to such value (e.g. Tieftrunk et al. 1994; Wakelam et al. 2004; Laas & Caselli 2019; van 't Hoff et al. 2020). In addition, the main S-carrier species on dust grains, has not been yet firmly identified (Boogert et al. 2015). Historically the most plausible suspect was H_2S , which is expected to be formed by surface reactions in the dust mantle due to high hydrogenation and mobility of H. An alternative solution is OCS (e.g. Wakelam et al. 2004; Codella et al. 2005; Podio et al. 2014; Holdship et al. 2016; Taquet et al. 2020), which is potentially formed on grain surfaces by an addition of oxygen to the CS molecule. However, neither H_2S nor OCS have been observed in interstellar ices (Boogert et al. 2015). Also the possible role of H_2CS has been discussed in light of observations of protostellar shocks, where the dust composition is injected into the gas phase (Codella et al. 2005), but H_2CS is now thought to be mainly formed by different neutral-neutral and gas phase reactions of CH_3^+ and S (Yamamoto 2017). Interestingly, H_2S and H_2CS have been recently detected and imaged using ALMA towards a number of protoplanetary disks (Phuong et al. 2018; Le Gal et al. 2019; Loomis et al. 2020; Codella et al. 2020). This makes instructive the observation of H_2S , H_2CS , and OCS to-

wards Class I objects in order to investigate how the molecular complexity of the early star formation stages changes with time.

Narrow ($\leq 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) H_2CS emission has been observed towards both B5-IRS1 and L1455-IRS1, suggesting an emission from the molecular gas of the envelope. L1551-IRS5 shows a $\sim 3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ wide H_2CS line centered at the systemic velocity which is consistent with the envelope origin. On the contrary, H_2S and OCS detected towards L1455-IRS5 and L1551-IRS5 are completely different with respect to H_2CS , both showing broad ($\geq 5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) emission. Rotational diagrams of OCS ($E_{\text{up}} \geq 100$ K) in both objects (see Fig. B.3 and B.4) suggest that it traces warm gas. This is in agreement with the idea that OCS and H_2S are among the expected S-bearing species released from ice mantles of dust grains in hot corinos. Interestingly, L1455-IRS1 and L1551-IRS5 are binary systems resolved by interferometric images (e.g. Tobin et al. 2018; Takakuwa et al. 2020; Yang et al. 2021) associated with circumbinary disks. More specifically, Takakuwa et al. (2020) showed that OCS traces not only the two protostars but also and mainly the circumbinary disk around the two L1551-IRS5 objects. The OCS as traced by ALMA emits in the same velocity range here observed with the IRAM-30m. These findings, coupled with the similarity of OCS and H_2S spectra in L1551-IRS5 and L1455-IRS1 suggest that also H_2S is associated with the circumbinary disks of the sources. To confirm this hypothesis, it is needed to observe the structures with high resolution images. The complexity of L1551-IRS5 spectra (produced by emission due to two hot corinos and an envelope) will be discussed in details in Sect. 5.2.

5.2. The L1551-IRS5 system

The L1551-IRS5 is a binary system composed by two protostars: the so called northern and southern components (e.g., Cruz-Sáenz de Miera et al. 2019; Bianchi et al. 2020, and references therein). L1551-IRS5 is a unique protostar among our sample since it presents both hydrocarbons and a hot corino activity with iCOMs emission as discussed in Sect. 4.5.2, 4.4.3, and 5.1.

The systemic velocities of the northern and southern components are $+7.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $+4.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, respectively (Bianchi et al. 2020). The velocity of the circumbinary envelope is $+6.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, derived using $\text{c-C}_2\text{H}_3$ and C^{18}O . This measurement is in agreement with the C^{18}O images obtained with ALMA by Takakuwa et al. (2020). In light of the information on the systemic velocity of the L1551-IRS5 system, the IRAM-30m spectra of the region can be analyzed in details.

Figure 7 summarizes the L1551-IRS5 spectra as observed using different molecules. Most of detected species peak at the envelope velocity $+6.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ such as $\text{c-C}_3\text{H}_2$. CH_3OH as well as other detected iCOMs (CH_3CN , CH_3CHO , and HCOOCH_3) peaks at the velocity associated with the Northern protostars, which is red shifted by $\sim 1.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ with respect to $+7.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. This finding is well in agreement with the ALMA images of iCOMs emission by Bianchi et al. (2020), who showed that the northern protostar is associated with a rotating hot corino, being the NW red shifted emission brighter than the SE blue shifted one. As shown in Sect. 4.5, the LVG results indicate that CH_3OH and CH_3CN have $T_{\text{kin}} \sim 100$ K and $\theta \sim 0''.15$, tracing the hot corino region around the northern protostar.

Finally, H_2S (blue line in Fig. 7) and OCS show profiles which differ from that of the envelope and of the northern hot corino. There are two peaks, one again red shifted with respect to the velocity of the northern component, but, in addition, a second emission peak occurs at 4.5 km s^{-1} , i.e. the velocity of the southern components of the L1551-IRS5 binary system. Our




Fig. 7: Normalized intensity profiles of 4 species towards L1551-IRS5. The spectra of CH_3OH in green and $\text{c-C}_3\text{H}_2$ in pink in the upper panel are obtained after stacking the detected transitions (see Fig. 5). Spectra of H_2S in blue and OCS in red in the lower panel are transitions $2_{2,0} - 2_{1,1}$ and $19 - 18$ respectively (see Fig. A.4). The dashed and dash-dotted lines represent the systemic velocities of the southern protostar (4.5 km s^{-1}) and the northern protostar (7.5 km s^{-1}) respectively (Bianchi et al. 2020) while the dotted line represents the systemic velocity of the circumbinary envelope (6.4 km s^{-1} , measured using the $\text{C}^{18}\text{O } 2 - 1$ line (this work)).

H_2S and OCS spectra can be interpreted in light of the C^{18}O and OCS ALMA observations at 10 au spatial scale reported by Takakuwa et al. (2020), who reported the presence of two circumstellar disks associated with the two companions of the binary system, plus emission from the circumbinary disk.

To conclude, the present OCS profile observed with the IRAM-30m is due to the sum of emission close to the protostars as well as emission due to the circumbinary disk. Given the similarity of the OCS and H_2S profiles we expect that, if observed with a 10 au resolution, also H_2S emission would be image a combination of emission from the hot corinos and the circumbinary disk.

5.3. Chemical Evolution Along the Star Formation Process

5.3.1. Deuterium Fractionation

As we stressed in Sect. 1, the molecular deuteration is very important to understand the chemical evolution from prestellar cores to comets. Up to today, a collection of deuterated species have been detected from the prestellar phase to small bodies of the Solar System (e.g. Caselli & Ceccarelli 2012; Ceccarelli et al. 2014). However, to our best knowledge, there is a limited number of studies on deuteration in Class I protostars.

By studying the prototypical Class I protostars, SVS13-A, Bianchi et al. (2017a, 2019a) reported a decrease of deuteration of methanol, thioformaldehyde, and formaldehyde with respect to what is found towards Class 0 objects. With the results obtained for the four Class I sources in our sample, we can verify what is found in SVS13-A. Table 8 reports the deuteration measurements towards our sample.

For double deuterated formaldehyde in L1489-IRS, B5-IRS1, L1455-IRS1, and L1551-IRS5, we measured the abundance ratios $[\text{D}_2\text{CO}]/[\text{H}_2\text{CO}] = N_{\text{D}_2\text{CO}}/N_{\text{H}_2\text{CO}}$. From the abundance ratios, we derive the deuterium fractionation as $\text{D}/\text{H} = \sqrt{[\text{D}_2\text{CO}]/[\text{H}_2\text{CO}]}$ (Manigand et al. 2019). We found D/H of 9% – 13%, ~ 24% – 55%, ~ 28% – 53%, and ~ 45% – 84%, respectively.

Figure 8 compares our results with what has been obtained for SVS13-A as well as with the deuteration levels measured, using single-dish telescopes, in Class 0 sources, and prestellar cores (Bacmann et al. 2003; Parise et al. 2006; Watanabe et al. 2012; Bianchi et al. 2017a; Chacón-Tanarro et al. 2019). We also added interferometric measurements towards Class 0 sources (Persson et al. 2018; Manigand et al. 2020). Figure 8 shows that, once increased the number of $[\text{D}_2\text{CO}]/[\text{H}_2\text{CO}]$ measurements towards Class I, there is no significant change moving from the prestellar core to the Class I stage.

In the case of L1551-IRS5, we have emission of methanol and single deuterated methanol, CH_2DOH , from different regions, which are kinematically distinct in the line spectra (see Fig. 7), such as the envelope and the hot corino. Thus, we estimate the abundance ratios, $[\text{CH}_2\text{DOH}]/[\text{CH}_3\text{OH}]$, for the hot corino and the envelope separately and we indicate these different measurements in the Fig. 8 by using different symbols.

The corresponding D/H ratios are derived as $\text{D}/\text{H} = 1/3 [\text{CH}_2\text{DOH}]/[\text{CH}_3\text{OH}]$ (Manigand et al. 2019) and are 6% – 23% (B5-IRS1), 1% – 2% (L1455-IRS1), and $\leq 1\%$ (L1551-IRS5 envelope). In addition, for the L1551-IRS5 hot corino, $[\text{CH}_2\text{DOH}]/[\text{CH}_3\text{OH}]$ is ~ 2% – 10%, which gives a D/H ratio of 0.7% – 3%. Figure 8 reports, as done for $[\text{D}_2\text{CO}]/[\text{H}_2\text{CO}]$, the comparison of our measurements with what is presented in literature, from prestellar cores to Class I protostars (e.g., Bianchi et al. 2017b; Jørgensen et al. 2018; Jacobsen et al. 2019; van Gelder et al. 2020; Manigand et al. 2020). Hot corinos are highlighted by dashed areas. Overall, the measurements are consistent ($[\text{CH}_2\text{DOH}]/[\text{CH}_3\text{OH}] \sim 0.1$ within an order of magnitude) moving along the different evolutionary stages. An exception is provided by the SVS13-A envelope, which shows a lower D/H ratio ($\sim 10^{-3}$). Interestingly, upper limits on the $[\text{CH}_2\text{DOH}]/[\text{CH}_3\text{OH}]$ ratio obtained for comets (Hale-Bopp and 67P/C-G; Crovisier et al. 2004; Drozdovskaya et al. 2021) suggest that the methanol deuteration is not significantly different from the previous stages (from prestellar core to Class I protostar). This could suggest that methanol formed onto dust grains can be inherited from earlier phases (Drozdovskaya et al. 2021). A better statistics, provided by an increase of the measurements for comets, could help to test the inheritance scenario suggested by Fig. 8.

Finally, Fig. 8 reports also the $[\text{HDSCS}]/[\text{H}_2\text{CS}]$ abundance ratio in L1455-IRS1 and L1551-IRS5. The D/H ratios are derived as $\text{D}/\text{H} = 1/2 [\text{HDSCS}]/[\text{H}_2\text{CS}]$ (Manigand et al. 2019) and are 9%–14% (L1455-IRS1), and 5%–15% (L1551-IRS5). These two measurements together with the limited number of previous measurements so far reported by Marcelino et al. (2005); Vastel et al. (2018); Drozdovskaya et al. (2018); Bianchi et al. (2019b). It suggests that also for H_2CS the level of deuterium fractionation is constant from the prestellar core to the Class I stage.

Table 8: Abundance ratios of the deuterated molecule over the non deuterated one and inferred D/H ratios in the observed Class I sources.

	L1489-IRS		B5-IRS1		L1455-IRS1		L1551-IRS5	
	Abundance (%)	D/H (%)	Abundance (%)	D/H (%)	Abundance (%)	D/H (%)	Abundance (%)	D/H (%)
D ₂ CO/H ₂ CO	0.8 – 1.8 ^E	9 – 13	6 – 30 ^E	24 – 55	8 – 28 ^E	28 – 53	20 – 70 ^E	45 – 84
CH ₂ DOH/CH ₃ OH	–	–	20 – 70 ^E	6 – 23	4 – 7 ^E	1 – 2	≤ 3 ^E 2 – 10 ^H	≤ 1 0.7 – 3
HDCS/H ₂ CS	–	–	–	–	18 – 27 ^E	9 – 14	10 – 30 ^E	5 – 15

E: Envelope. H: Hot corino.

A comparison between the deuteration of S-bearing molecules with that of complex organics could be instructive for astrochemical models of star forming regions (Taquet et al. 2019). Indeed, thioformaldehyde is one of the few S-bearing species imaged in protoplanetary disks (Le Gal et al. 2019; Codella et al. 2020). Marcelino et al. (2005) modelled the Barnard 1 dark cloud using a steady-state gas-phase chemical network in environments with different level of Sulfur depletion. According to their results, [HDCS]/[H₂CS] ratio is ~ 0.2 , which is consistent with the ratios that we estimated towards L1455-IRS1 and L1551-IRS5.

In general, the early stages of low-mass star formation are characterized by high deuteration (see e.g. Parise et al. 2004, 2006; Aikawa et al. 2012; Caselli & Ceccarelli 2012; Ceccarelli et al. 2014). In high-density and low-temperature prestellar cores, where CO is highly depleted, the D/H abundance in the gas phase is dramatically enhanced. In addition, the deuteration observed at the protostellar stages, when the dust mantles are injected back to the gas phase, can be used as a fossils to retrace the history of interstellar ices. The deuteration of a molecule can indirectly tell us which was the density (and temperature) when this species froze out (Aikawa et al. 2012; Taquet et al. 2012, 2014, 2019). More specifically, CH₂DOH and D₂CO increase their abundance on dust grain surfaces once several mantle layers have been deposited due to freeze-out. As a consequence, the inner protostellar regions, where all the ice mantle is evaporated, is expected to be less deuterated with respect to the outer envelope region where only the external layers is injected into the gas phase. Figure 8 shows that, with the exception of SVS13-A, the measurements of [CH₂DOH]/[CH₃OH] for envelopes and hot corinos is $\sim 0.01 - 0.1$. Within one order of magnitude, these numbers are consistent with the ranges provided by Taquet et al. (2014, 2019). Fig. 8 shows that the observed [D₂CO]/[H₂CO] ratios are spread over two orders of magnitude ($\sim 0.01 - 1$). The theoretical models by Taquet et al. (2014) predict abundance ratios from 10^{-4} to 10^{-1} , a range which is too large for a proper comparison with observations. The observations are also not fit by the gas–grain reaction network applied to a one-dimensional radiative hydrodynamic model by Aikawa et al. (2012), predicting a deuteration lower by about three orders of magnitude.

In conclusion, when the large sample of Class I sources is considered, the CH₃OH and (doubly) H₂CO deuteration observed from the prestellar core to Class I protostellar phases is roughly constant and similar to that measured in comets. This suggests that the D/H value set at the prestellar stage is inherited by the later phases of the star forming process. However recent Rosetta measurements of D/H in the organic refractory component of cometary dust particles in 67P/C-G (Paquette et al. 2021) showed that D/H is $1.6 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-3}$, i.e. an order of magnitude

lower than deuteration in the organics measured in gas phase towards, prestellar cores, protostars, and the cometary coma in Fig. 8. This questions the inheritance of D/H from the protostellar phase. Therefore, more observations of D/H in the organic refractory molecules towards comets are needed to test the chemical inheritance hypothesis.

5.3.2. Chemical Richness

As reported in Sect. 1, Class I sources are expected to play a key role in understanding if and how the chemical composition is transmitted from the earliest stages of a Sun-like forming star, i.e. from the parental cloud (prestellar cores) to the small-bodies in the Solar System (such as comets). To address this ambitious goal, we compare the abundance ratios of iCOMs, as well as the abundance ratios of simpler molecules ([CH₃OH]/[H₂CO] and [H₂CS]/[H₂CO]) obtained for the Class I protostars in our sample with the values obtained for prestellar cores, hot corinos and envelopes around Class 0 protostars, protoplanetary disks, and comets.

In the first panel of Fig. 9, we show the ratios of the iCOMs CH₃CN (as a lower limit), CH₃CHO, and HCOOCH₃ with respect to CH₃OH for the L1551-IRS5 hot corino (magenta circle). To estimate these abundance ratios, we use the column densities of CH₃CN and CH₃OH obtained from the LVG analysis which takes into account the source size. For CH₃CHO and HCOOCH₃, we assume a source size of $0''.18$ (i.e. the source size of CH₃OH) and correct for the beam filling factor. The [CH₃CN]/[CH₃OH], [CH₃CHO]/[CH₃OH], and [HCOOCH₃]/[CH₃OH] abundance ratios are ≥ 0.02 , 0.04 ± 0.015 , and 0.07 ± 0.01 , respectively. Our measurements are compared with those derived towards chemically rich hot corinos in the literature. We consider only interferometric measurements in order to minimize effects due to beam dilution. The Class 0 sources are: IRAS16293-2422A and B (PILS ALMA survey; Jørgensen et al. 2016, 2018; Calcutt et al. 2018; Manigand et al. 2020), HH212 (Lee et al. 2019a), the CALYPSO IRAM PdBI sources (Belloche et al. 2020), and the PEACHES ALMA sources (Yang et al. 2021). For the Class I sources we report: Ser-17 (Bergner et al. 2019), L1551-IRS5 (FAUST ALMA Bianchi et al. 2020), and the PEACHES ALMA sources (Yang et al. 2021). In addition, we plot the values obtained for the V883 Ori protoplanetary disk (Lee et al. 2019b), as well as what is measured towards several comets, namely: Hale-Bopp, Hyakutake, LINEAR, Lovejoy, 73P/SW3/B, 73P/SW3/C, 67P summer hemisphere, and 67P winter hemisphere (Le Roy et al. 2015).

Figure 9 shows that the abundance ratios between CH₃CN, CH₃CHO and HCOOCH₃ with respect to CH₃OH in L1551-




Fig. 8: $[XD]/[XH]$ abundance ratios measured for organic species in sources at different evolutionary stages: prestellar cores (D_2CO (Bacmann et al. 2003; Chacón-Tanarro et al. 2019), CH_2DOH (Bizzocchi et al. 2014; Chacón-Tanarro et al. 2019; Ambrose et al. 2021), and $HDCS$ (Marcelino et al. 2005; Vastel et al. 2018)), Class 0 protostars (D_2CO (Parise et al. 2006; Watanabe et al. 2012; Persson et al. 2018; Manigand et al. 2020), CH_2DOH (Parise et al. 2006; Bianchi et al. 2017b; Jørgensen et al. 2018; Jacobsen et al. 2019; van Gelder et al. 2020; Manigand et al. 2020), and $HDCS$ (Drozdovskaya et al. 2018), Class I protostar (SVS13-A; D_2CO , CH_2DOH (Bianchi et al. 2017a), and $HDCS$ (Bianchi et al. 2019a)), comets (Hale-Bopp; CH_2DOH (Crovisier et al. 2004) 67P/C-G; CH_2DOH (Drozdovskaya et al. 2021)). The $[XD]/[XH]$ values inferred for L1489-IRS, B5-IRS1, L1455-IRS1, L1551-IRS5 are in magenta (from this work). The dashed bars represent values inferred for the hot corinos ($r \leq 100$ au, $T \geq 100$ K) while the filled bars indicate the measurements derived for extended envelope. Dra

IRS5 are in agreement (within an order of magnitude) with those measured in Class 0 and other Class I hot corinos. In addition, Fig. 9 shows that similar values are derived for the V883 Ori protoplanetary disk and for comets. The only exception is given by the $[CH_3CN]/[CH_3OH]$ ratio in V883 Ori, which is roughly one order of magnitude lower than what is shown by the rest of the sample. To conclude, the overall suggestion provided by Fig. 9 is that the molecular complexity characterizing an evolution-

ary stage of low-mass star formation could be inherited from the previous, earlier phase. Clearly, in order to verify this picture, the statistics needs to be improved, in particular, that about the more evolved Class II disks.

In addition to iCOMs, Fig. 9 also shows the $[CH_3OH]/[H_2CO]$ and $[H_2CS]/[H_2CO]$ abundance ratios derived for our Class I sources by considering the emission from both the hot corinos and the envelopes. These abundance ratios are compared with those obtained for: (i) the prestellar cores L1544 (Chacón-Tanarro et al. 2019) and Barnard 1 (Marcelino et al. 2005); (ii) the Class 0 IRAS16293-2422A and B (Jørgensen et al. 2018; Persson et al. 2018; Manigand et al. 2020; Drozdovskaya et al. 2018), NGC1333-IRAS 4A and IRAS 2A (Taquet et al. 2015); (iii) the Class I SVS13-A (Bianchi et al. 2017a, 2019b); (iv) the protoplanetary disks IRAS 04302+2247, HL Tau, TW Hya, and HD 100546 (Walsh et al. 2016; Carney et al. 2019; Codella et al. 2020; Podio et al. 2020; Garufi et al. 2021; Booth et al. 2021); and (v) the comets Lovejoy, Hale-Bopp and 67P/C-G (Biver et al. 2015; Rubin et al. 2019). The range of the $[CH_3OH]/[H_2CO]$ abundance ratios towards L1489-IRS, B5-IRS1, L1455-IRS1 and L1551-IRS5 are 0.4 – 0.9, 0.2 – 0.7, 1.0 – 2.2, 0.3 – 0.9 respectively. These ratios (derived towards extended envelope) are consistent with what measured in protoplanetary disks and comets as well as in prestellar cores (Fig. 9, Bottom-Left) with the exception of the envelope of SVS13-A.

On the other hand, the abundance ratios for the four hot corinos derived from interferometric observations of Class 0 protostars (dashed box) is up to 2 orders of magnitude higher. From our survey we derive for the L1551-IRS5 hot corino a lower limit on the $[CH_3OH]/[H_2CO]$ ratio which is in agreement with the measures derived for Class 0 hot corinos. Thus, $[CH_3OH]/[H_2CO]$ in the L1551-IRS5 envelope (0.3 – 0.9) is lower than that in the L1551-IRS5 hot corino (≥ 30). This effect plausibly reflects the methanol release from icy dust into the gas phase due to thermal evaporation, richer in methanol with respect to the envelope and/or prestellar objects where CH_3OH is produced due to non-thermal processes.

Finally, Fig. 9 (Bottom-Right) shows the H_2CS over H_2CO ratio. The reason of measuring this ratio is that if both species are formed in the gas-phase by reactions of atomic O or S with the methyl group CH_3 (as in the molecular layer of disks (e.g. Fedele & Favre 2020)), then their abundance ratio can be used to estimate the elemental S/O abundance ratio. Figure 9 shows that the prestellar core Barnard 1 and the envelopes around Class I objects are associated with a quite similar $[H_2CS]/[H_2CO]$ ratio, between few 10^{-2} and ~ 1 . Also the ratios for protoplanetary disks and comets fall in the same range. Conversely, the $[H_2CS]/[H_2CO]$ as measured in the inner 60 au towards the Class 0 IRAS16293B is definitely lower (see dashed box; $\sim 10^{-3}$, Persson et al. 2018; Drozdovskaya et al. 2018). Only further measurements on Solar System scales will benchmark if this difference reflects a real chemical difference with respect to what is measured in the more extended envelope.

6. Summary

We have presented a chemical survey of four Class I protostars (L1489-IRS, B5-IRS1, L1455-IRS1, and L1551-IRS5) using IRAM-30m single-dish observations at 1.3 mm. The main conclusions are summarized as follows.

- We detected 157 lines due to 27 species from simple diatomic and triatomic molecules to iCOMs. Namely: C-




Fig. 9: *Upper panel:* Abundance ratios of iCOMs with respect to methanol as measured at different evolutionary stages. The three panels refer to CH_3CN , CH_3CHO , and HCOOCH_3 , respectively, and each one is divided into four parts with gray dashed lines indicating Class 0 sources, Class I sources, protoplanetary disks (PPD), and comets. The abundance ratios estimated for L1551-IRS5 in this work are indicated by a magenta circle. The abundance ratios for the other sources are taken from the literature (references in the text) and labelled in the legend in the bottom right corner. *Bottom panels:* Abundance ratios of $[\text{CH}_3\text{OH}]/[\text{H}_2\text{CO}]$ (Left) and $[\text{H}_2\text{CS}]/[\text{H}_2\text{CO}]$ (Right) for our Class I sources (magenta bars) are compared with the values of prestellar cores, Class 0 sources, PPDs, and comets from the literature, as labeled. The dashed bar in the left panel indicates hot corino measurements, while the dashed bar in the right panel indicates the measurement obtained in the inner 60 au towards the IRAS16293B protostar. The references of the measurements from literature are reported in Sect. 5.3.2.

chains ($\text{c-C}_3\text{H}$, $\text{c-C}_3\text{H}_2$, and CH_3CCH), iCOMs (CH_3OH , CH_3CN , CH_3CHO , and HCOOCH_3), N-bearing species (^{13}CN , C^{15}N , and HNCO), S-bearing molecules (SO , ^{34}SO , SO_2 , ^{13}CS , OCS , O^{13}CS , CCS , H_2S , H_2CS , and $\text{H}_2\text{C}^{33}\text{S}$), SiO , and deuterated molecules (DCO^+ , N_2D^+ , CCD , DCN , HDCS , D_2CO , and CH_2DOH). More specifically we detected: 17 transitions due to 10 species in L1489-IRS, 29 transitions due to 15 species in B5-IRS1, and 36 transitions due to 21 species in L1455-IRS1. L1551-IRS5 is associated with the highest number of molecules with 75 transitions from 27 species.

- We used the standard rotational diagram approach in order to determine the rotational temperatures and to derive the col-

umn densities. When applicable, we corrected the obtained column densities from the opacity derived using the emission of rarer isotopologues. The rotational temperature for diatomic and triatomic species in L1489-IRS, B5-IRS1, and L1455-IRS1 is low, being ≤ 20 K suggesting an origin in the envelopes of these sources. Conversely, L1551-IRS5 shows iCOMs emission which traces the inner protostellar region with T_{rot} , up to ~ 150 K. The beam averaged column densities are between 10^{11} cm^{-2} and 10^{13} cm^{-2} for all the species but SO and CH_3OH for which we find N_{tot} of a few 10^{14} cm^{-2} .

- We observed different line profiles: (i) narrow ($\sim 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) Gaussians centered at systemic velocities, (ii) broader (3 – 4

km s⁻¹) lines also at systemic velocities, and (iii) line wings extending up to ~30 km s⁻¹ with respect to systemic velocity. The latter have been observed in CO, SO, and H₂CO and signatures of the molecular protostellar outflows. Narrow profiles are expected to trace extended emission, plausibly the molecular envelope. The LVG analysis of the narrow c-C₂H₃ line emission indicates temperatures in the 5 – 25 K range, densities larger than 10³ cm⁻³, and sizes between 2'' and 10''. On the other hand, all iCOMs show broad emission in L1551-IRS5: the LVG analysis of CH₃OH and CH₃CN emission points to kinetic temperatures larger than 50 K up to 135 K, densities larger than 3 × 10⁵ cm⁻³, and a size of 0''.11 – 0''.18 (~ 16 – 25 au), confirming the presence of a hot corino.

- The CH₃OH 4_{2,3}–3_{1,2}E line ($E_{\text{up}} = 45$ K) shows narrow (~1 km s⁻¹) line emission, and has the same profile of the envelope tracer c-C₃H₂. The emission is expected to trace the external layers of the envelope or the outflow cavities hit by UV radiations.
- We suggest that H₂S and OCS trace hot corinos as well as circumstellar disks in previously confirmed binary systems, L1455-IRS1 and L1551-IRS5. To confirm this hypothesis, it is needed to observe these S-bearing species with high resolution images in several binaries.
- We derived the elemental deuterium fractionation through the following abundance ratios: D₂CO/H₂CO, HDCS/H₂CS, and CH₂DOH/CH₃OH. The derived D/H ratios in the envelope are: 10%–70% (D₂CO/H₂CO), 5%–15% (HDCS/H₂CS), and 1%–23% (CH₂DOH/CH₃OH). For the L1551-IRS5 hot corino we derive for deuterated methanol D/H ~ 0.7%–3%. These measurements are similar to what reported for earlier star forming stages, supporting the inheritance scenario from prestellar cores to Class I sources.
- We computed the column density ratios between three iCOMs (CH₃CN, CH₃CHO and HCOOCH₃) and CH₃OH in the L1551-IRS5 hot corino, which are between 0.04 and 0.07. The comparison of these values with what is measured in Class 0, other Class I sources, protoplanetary disks, and comets suggests that the iCOMs abundance ratios are constant during the formation process of a Sun-like star. This supports the hypothesis that the chemical complexity at the planet forming stage is inherited from the earlier star formation stages.
- The [CH₃OH]/[H₂CO] abundance ratio in L1551-IRS5 is higher in the hot corino than in the envelope, in agreement with an increase of the methanol abundance due to thermal evaporation of the dust mantles in the hot corino.

The results obtained with our IRAM-30m 1.3 mm observations provide crucial informations on the chemical richness of Class I sources and on the chemical evolutionary path along the low-mass star forming process. More observations of the different evolutionary stages are needed to better understand the evolution of the abundance ratios. In particular, higher spatial resolution observations and images are needed to disentangle the complexity of each components around protostars. In this context, NOEMA Large Program SOLIS (Seeds Of Life In Space, Ceccarelli et al. 2017), the ALMA Large Program FAUST⁷ (Fifty AU Study of the Chemistry in the Disk/Envelope System of Solar-like Protostars, Bianchi et al. 2020), as well as the ALMA project PEACHES (The Perseus ALMA Chemistry

Survey, Yang et al. 2021) are expected to provide much more information on the molecular complexity for larger samples of Solar-like protostars.

Acknowledgements. We thank the IRAM-30m staff for its valuable help during the observations. This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 811312 for the project "Astro-Chemical Origins" (ACO) and from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme, for the Project "The Dawn of Organic Chemistry" (DOC), grant agreement No 741002. We also thank Paola Caselli and Luca Dore for fruitful discussion regarding the hyperfine components.

References

- Adams, F. C., Lada, C. J., & Shu, F. H. 1987, *ApJ*, 312, 788
- Aikawa, Y., Wakelam, V., Hersant, F., Garrod, R. T., & Herbst, E. 2012, *ApJ*, 760, 40
- Ambrose, H. E., Shirley, Y. L., & Scibelli, S. 2021, *MNRAS*, 501, 347
- Anders, E. & Grevesse, N. 1989, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 53, 197
- Andre, P., Ward-Thompson, D., & Barsony, M. 2000, in *Protostars and Planets IV*, ed. V. Mannings, A. P. Boss, & S. S. Russell, 59
- Bacmann, A., Lefloch, B., Ceccarelli, C., et al. 2003, *ApJ*, 585, L55
- Bally, J., Devine, D., & Alten, V. 1996, *ApJ*, 473, 921
- Balucani, N., Ceccarelli, C., & Taquet, V. 2015, *MNRAS*, 449, L16
- Beichman, C. A., Jennings, R. E., Emerson, J. P., et al. 1984, *ApJ*, 278, L45
- Belloche, A., Maury, A. J., Maret, S., et al. 2020, *A&A*, 635, A198
- Bergner, J. B., Guzmán, V. G., Öberg, K. I., Loomis, R. A., & Pegues, J. 2018, *ApJ*, 857, 69
- Bergner, J. B., Martín-Doménech, R., Öberg, K. I., et al. 2019, *ACS Earth and Space Chemistry*, vol. 3, issue 8, pp. 1564-1575, 3, 1564
- Bergner, J. B., Öberg, K. I., Garrod, R. T., & Graninger, D. M. 2017, *ApJ*, 841, 120
- Bianchi, E., Ceccarelli, C., Codella, C., et al. 2019a, *ACS Earth and Space Chemistry*, 3, 2659
- Bianchi, E., Chandler, C. J., Ceccarelli, C., et al. 2020, *MNRAS*, 498, L87
- Bianchi, E., Codella, C., Ceccarelli, C., et al. 2017a, *MNRAS*, 467, 3011
- Bianchi, E., Codella, C., Ceccarelli, C., et al. 2017b, *A&A*, 606, L7
- Bianchi, E., Codella, C., Ceccarelli, C., et al. 2019b, *MNRAS*, 483, 1850
- Bieging, J. H. & Cohen, M. 1985, *ApJ*, 289, L5
- Biver, N., Bockelée-Morvan, D., Moreno, R., et al. 2015, *Science Advances*, 1, 1500863
- Bizzocchi, L., Caselli, P., Spezzano, S., & Leonardo, E. 2014, *A&A*, 569, A27
- Boogert, A. C. A., Gerakines, P. A., & Whittet, D. C. B. 2015, *ARA&A*, 53, 541
- Booth, A. S., Walsh, C., Terwisscha van Scheltinga, J., et al. 2021, *Nature Astronomy* [arXiv:2104.08348]
- Bottinelli, S., Ceccarelli, C., Williams, J. P., & Lefloch, B. 2007, *A&A*, 463, 601
- Bouvier, M., López-Sepulcre, A., Ceccarelli, C., et al. 2020, *A&A*, 636, A19
- Calcutt, H., Jørgensen, J. K., Müller, H. S. P., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 616, A90
- Carney, M. T., Hogerheijde, M. R., Guzmán, V. V., et al. 2019, *A&A*, 623, A124
- Caselli, P. & Ceccarelli, C. 2012, *A&A Rev.*, 20, 56
- Ceccarelli, C., Caselli, P., Bockelée-Morvan, D., et al. 2014, in *Protostars and Planets VI*, ed. H. Beuther, R. S. Klessen, C. P. Dullemond, & T. Henning, 859
- Ceccarelli, C., Caselli, P., Fontani, F., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, 850, 176
- Ceccarelli, C., Caselli, P., Herbst, E., Tielens, A. G. G. M., & Caux, E. 2007, in *Protostars and Planets V*, ed. B. Reipurth, D. Jewitt, & K. Keil, 47
- Ceccarelli, C., Maret, S., Tielens, A. G. G. M., Castets, A., & Caux, E. 2003, *A&A*, 410, 587
- Chacón-Tanarro, A., Caselli, P., Bizzocchi, L., et al. 2019, *A&A*, 622, A141
- Chandra, S. & Kegel, W. H. 2000, *A&AS*, 142, 113
- Charnley, S. B., Tielens, A. G. G. M., & Millar, T. J. 1992, *ApJ*, 399, L71
- Chen, H., Myers, P. C., Ladd, E. F., & Wood, D. O. S. 1995, *ApJ*, 445, 377
- Chen, X., Ellingsen, S. P., Ren, Z.-Y., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, 877, 90
- Chou, H.-G., Yen, H.-W., Koch, P. M., & Guilloteau, S. 2016, *ApJ*, 823, 151
- Codella, C., Bachiller, R., Benedettini, M., et al. 2005, *MNRAS*, 361, 244
- Codella, C., Ceccarelli, C., Cabrit, S., et al. 2016, *A&A*, 586, L3
- Codella, C., Ceccarelli, C., Lefloch, B., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 759, L45
- Codella, C., Podio, L., Garufi, A., et al. 2020, *A&A*, 644, A120
- Collings, M. P., Anderson, M. A., Chen, R., et al. 2004, *MNRAS*, 354, 1133
- Connelley, M. S. & Reipurth, B. 2018, *ApJ*, 861, 145
- Crovisier, J., Bockelée-Morvan, D., Colom, P., et al. 2004, *A&A*, 418, 1141
- Cruz-Sáenz de Miera, F., Kóspál, Á., Ábrahám, P., Liu, H. B., & Takami, M. 2019, *ApJ*, 882, L4
- Cuadrado, S., Goicoechea, J. R., Pilleri, P., et al. 2015, *A&A*, 575, A82
- Curtis, E. I., Richer, J. S., Swift, J. J., & Williams, J. P. 2010, *MNRAS*, 408, 1516

⁷ <http://stars.riken.jp/faust/fausthome.html>

- Davis, C. J., Ray, T. P., Eisloffel, J., & Corcoran, D. 1997, *A&A*, 324, 263
- de Jong, T., Boland, W., & Dalgarno, A. 1980, *A&A*, 91, 68
- Doyle, L. R., Carter, J. A., Fabrycky, D. C., et al. 2011, *Science*, 333, 1602
- Drozdovskaya, M. N., Schroeder, I. I. R. H. G., Rubin, M., et al. 2021, *MNRAS*, 500, 4901
- Drozdovskaya, M. N., van Dishoeck, E. F., Jørgensen, J. K., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, 476, 4949
- Drozdovskaya, M. N., van Dishoeck, E. F., Rubin, M., Jørgensen, J. K., & Altwegg, K. 2019, *MNRAS*, 490, 50
- Dubernet, M. L., Alexander, M. H., Ba, Y. A., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 553, A50
- Dunham, M. M., Arce, H. G., Allen, L. E., et al. 2013, *AJ*, 145, 94
- Evans, Neal J., I., Dunham, M. M., Jørgensen, J. K., et al. 2009, *ApJS*, 181, 321
- Favre, C., Fedele, D., Semenov, D., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 862, L2
- Fedele, D. & Favre, C. 2020, *A&A*, 638, A110
- Fedele, D., Tazzari, M., Booth, R., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 610, A24
- Froebrich, D. 2005, *ApJS*, 156, 169
- Garrod, R. T. & Herbst, E. 2006, *A&A*, 457, 927
- Garufi, A., Podio, L., Codella, C., et al. 2021, *A&A*, 645, A145
- Goldsmith, P. F. & Langer, W. D. 1999, *ApJ*, 517, 209
- Goldsmith, P. F., Snell, R. L., Hemeon-Heyer, M., & Langer, W. D. 1984, *ApJ*, 286, 599
- Graninger, D. M., Wilkins, O. H., & Öberg, K. I. 2016, *ApJ*, 819, 140
- Green, J. D., Evans, Neal J., I., Jørgensen, J. K., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 770, 123
- Green, S. 1986, *ApJ*, 309, 331
- Hatchell, J., Fuller, G. A., Richer, J. S., Harries, T. J., & Ladd, E. F. 2007, *A&A*, 468, 1009
- Herbst, E. & van Dishoeck, E. F. 2009, *ARA&A*, 47, 427
- Higuchi, A. E., Sakai, N., Watanabe, Y., et al. 2018, *ApJS*, 236, 52
- Hogerheijde, M. R. 2001, *ApJ*, 553, 618
- Hogerheijde, M. R., van Dishoeck, E. F., Blake, G. A., & van Langevelde, H. J. 1998, *ApJ*, 502, 315
- Holdship, J., Viti, S., Jimenez-Serra, I., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, 463, 802
- Hunter, T. R., Brogan, C. L., Cyganowski, C. J., & Young, K. H. 2014, *ApJ*, 788, 187
- Jaber Al-Edhari, A., Ceccarelli, C., Kahane, C., et al. 2017, *A&A*, 597, A40
- Jacobsen, S. K., Jørgensen, J. K., Di Francesco, J., et al. 2019, *A&A*, 629, A29
- Jørgensen, J. K., Belloche, A., & Garrod, R. T. 2020, *ARA&A*, 58, 727
- Jørgensen, J. K., Müller, H. S. P., Calcutt, H., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 620, A170
- Jørgensen, J. K., van der Wiel, M. H. D., Coutens, A., et al. 2016, *A&A*, 595, A117
- Jørgensen, J. K., van Dishoeck, E. F., Visser, R., et al. 2009, *A&A*, 507, 861
- Kalenskii, S. V., Slysh, V. I., Johansson, L. E. B., et al. 2012, in *Cosmic Masers - from OH to H₂O*, ed. R. S. Booth, W. H. T. Vlemmings, & E. M. L. Humphreys, Vol. 287, 161–165
- Kalenskii, S. V., Promyslov, V. G., Slysh, V. I., Bergman, P., & Winnberg, A. 2006, *Astronomy Reports*, 50, 289
- Kirk, H., Johnstone, D., & Di Francesco, J. 2006, *ApJ*, 646, 1009
- Laas, J. C. & Caselli, P. 2019, *A&A*, 624, A108
- Lahuis, F., van Dishoeck, E. F., Jørgensen, J. K., Blake, G. A., & Evans, N. J. 2010, *A&A*, 519, A3
- Law, C. J., Öberg, K. I., Bergner, J. B., & Graninger, D. 2018, *ApJ*, 863, 88
- Le Gal, R., Öberg, K. I., Huang, J., et al. 2020, *ApJ*, 898, 131
- Le Gal, R., Öberg, K. I., Loomis, R. A., Pegues, J., & Bergner, J. B. 2019, *ApJ*, 876, 72
- Le Roy, L., Altwegg, K., Balsiger, H., et al. 2015, *A&A*, 583, A1
- Lee, C.-F., Codella, C., Li, Z.-Y., & Liu, S.-Y. 2019a, *ApJ*, 876, 63
- Lee, J.-E., Lee, S., Baek, G., et al. 2019b, *Nature Astronomy*, 3, 314
- Lefloch, B., Bachiller, R., Ceccarelli, C., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, 477, 4792
- Linsky, J. L. 2007, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 130, 367
- Liseau, R., Fridlund, C. V. M., & Larsson, B. 2005, *ApJ*, 619, 959
- Loomis, R. A., Öberg, K. I., Andrews, S. M., et al. 2020, *ApJ*, 893, 101
- Looney, L. W., Mundy, L. G., & Welch, W. J. 1997, *ApJ*, 484, L157
- Manigand, S., Calcutt, H., Jørgensen, J. K., et al. 2019, *A&A*, 623, A69
- Manigand, S., Jørgensen, J. K., Calcutt, H., et al. 2020, *A&A*, 635, A48
- Marcelino, N., Cernicharo, J., Roueff, E., Gerin, M., & Mauersberger, R. 2005, *ApJ*, 620, 308
- Maret, S., Ceccarelli, C., Tielens, A. G. G. M., et al. 2005, *A&A*, 442, 527
- Milam, S. N., Savage, C., Brewster, M. A., Ziurys, L. M., & Wyckoff, S. 2005, *ApJ*, 634, 1126
- Müller, H. S. P., Schlöder, F., Stutzki, J., & Winnewisser, G. 2005, *Journal of Molecular Structure*, 742, 215
- Myers, P. C., Heyer, M., Snell, R. L., & Goldsmith, P. F. 1988, *ApJ*, 324, 907
- Öberg, K. I., Guzmán, V. V., Furuya, K., et al. 2015, *Nature*, 520, 198
- Öberg, K. I., Lauck, T., & Graninger, D. 2014, *ApJ*, 788, 68
- Paquette, J. A., Fray, N., Bardyn, A., et al. 2021, *MNRAS*, 504, 4940
- Parise, B., Castets, A., Herbst, E., et al. 2004, *A&A*, 416, 159
- Parise, B., Ceccarelli, C., Tielens, A. G. G. M., et al. 2006, *A&A*, 453, 949
- Persson, M. V., Jørgensen, J. K., Müller, H. S. P., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 610, A54
- Pety, J., Teyssier, D., Fossé, D., et al. 2005, *A&A*, 435, 885
- Phuong, N. T., Chapillon, E., Majumdar, L., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 616, L5
- Pickett, H. M., Poynter, R. L., Cohen, E. A., et al. 1998, *J. Quant. Spectr. Rad. Transf.*, 60, 883
- Pineda, J. E., Goodman, A. A., Arce, H. G., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 739, L2
- Pineda, J. L., Goldsmith, P. F., Chapman, N., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, 721, 686
- Podio, L., Garufi, A., Codella, C., et al. 2020, *A&A*, 642, L7
- Podio, L., Lefloch, B., Ceccarelli, C., Codella, C., & Bachiller, R. 2014, *A&A*, 565, A64
- Rabli, D. & Flower, D. R. 2010, *MNRAS*, 406, 95
- Rimola, A., Taquet, V., Ugliengo, P., Balucani, N., & Ceccarelli, C. 2014, *A&A*, 572, A70
- Rodríguez, L. F., Porras, A., Claussen, M. J., et al. 2003, *ApJ*, 586, L137
- Rubin, M., Altwegg, K., Balsiger, H., et al. 2019, *MNRAS*, 489, 594
- Sakai, N., Oya, Y., Sakai, T., et al. 2014a, *ApJ*, 791, L38
- Sakai, N., Sakai, T., Hirota, T., et al. 2014b, *Nature*, 507, 78
- Sakai, N., Sakai, T., Hirota, T., & Yamamoto, S. 2008, *ApJ*, 672, 371
- Sakai, N., Sakai, T., Hirota, T., & Yamamoto, S. 2010, *ApJ*, 722, 1633
- Sakai, N. & Yamamoto, S. 2013, *Chemical Reviews*, 113, 8981
- Schöier, F. L., van der Tak, F. F. S., van Dishoeck, E. F., & Black, J. H. 2005, *A&A*, 432, 369
- Sheehan, P. D. & Eisner, J. A. 2017, *ApJ*, 851, 45
- Spezzano, S., Bizzocchi, L., Caselli, P., Harju, J., & Brünken, S. 2016, *A&A*, 592, L11
- Takakuwa, S., Saigo, K., Matsumoto, T., et al. 2020, *ApJ*, 898, 10
- Taquet, V., Bianchi, E., Codella, C., et al. 2019, *A&A*, 632, A19
- Taquet, V., Ceccarelli, C., & Kahane, C. 2012, *ApJ*, 748, L3
- Taquet, V., Charnley, S. B., & Sipilä, O. 2014, *ApJ*, 791, 1
- Taquet, V., Codella, C., De Simone, M., et al. 2020, *A&A*, 637, A63
- Taquet, V., López-Sepulcre, A., Ceccarelli, C., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 804, 81
- Tieftunk, A., Pineau des Forets, G., Schilke, P., & Walmsley, C. M. 1994, *A&A*, 289, 579
- Tielens, A. G. G. M. & Hagen, W. 1982, *A&A*, 114, 245
- Tobin, J. J., Looney, L. W., Li, Z.-Y., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 867, 43
- van Gelder, M. L., Tabone, B., Tychoniec, L., et al. 2020, *A&A*, 639, A87
- van Kempen, T. A., van Dishoeck, E. F., Güsten, R., et al. 2009, *A&A*, 501, 633
- van 't Hoff, M. L. R., van Dishoeck, E. F., Jørgensen, J. K., & Calcutt, H. 2020, *A&A*, 633, A7
- Vastel, C., Quéward, D., Le Gal, R., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, 478, 5514
- Vazart, F., Ceccarelli, C., Balucani, N., Bianchi, E., & Skouteris, D. 2020, *MNRAS*, 499, 5547
- Wakelam, V., Caselli, P., Ceccarelli, C., Herbst, E., & Castets, A. 2004, *A&A*, 422, 159
- Walsh, C., Loomis, R. A., Öberg, K. I., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 823, L10
- Walsh, C., Millar, T. J., Nomura, H., et al. 2014, *A&A*, 563, A33
- Watanabe, Y., Sakai, N., Lindberg, J. E., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 745, 126
- White, G. J., Fridlund, C. W. M., Bergman, P., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, 651, L41
- Wilson, T. L. & Rood, R. 1994, *ARA&A*, 32, 191
- Wolszczan, A. & Frail, D. A. 1992, *Nature*, 355, 145
- Yamamoto, S. 2017, *Introduction to Astrochemistry: Chemical Evolution from Interstellar Clouds to Star and Planet Formation*
- Yang, Y.-L., Sakai, N., Zhang, Y., et al. 2021, *ApJ*, 910, 20
- Yen, H.-W., Takakuwa, S., Ohashi, N., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 793, 1
- Yoshida, K., Sakai, N., Tokudome, T., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 807, 66
- Yu, K. C., Billawala, Y., & Bally, J. 1999, *AJ*, 118, 2940
- Zapata, L. A., Arce, H. G., Brassfield, E., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, 441, 3696
- Zucker, C., Speagle, J. S., Schlafly, E. F., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, 879, 125

Appendix A: Detected lines and observed spectra

In this section, we present the detected molecules with the spectra for each protostar in Figure A.1 – A.4. In Figure A.5 and A.6, we present the observed spectra of CO and its isotopologues with their isotopic ratios. We also report, for each source and for each detected line, the spectral line parameters as well as the results of the spectral fit, namely: frequency (MHz), telescope *HPBW* (″), upper level energies E_{up} (K), the $S\mu^2$ product (D^2), rms (mK), peak temperature (mK), spectral resolution δv (km s^{-1}), peak velocity (km s^{-1}), *FWHM* (km s^{-1}), velocity integrated line intensity I_{int} (mK km s^{-1}) from Table A.1 to A.4.

Appendix B: Rotational diagrams and derived column densities

We report in Figures B.1–B.4 (one per source) the rotational diagrams obtained from the whole emitting velocity range as described in Sect. 4. When applicable, ortho– and para– species as well as A– and E– types have been analyzed separately. Tables B.1–B.4 report the beam-averaged column densities derived from rotational diagrams. In addition they include column densities towards envelopes, outflows and hot corinos. Source averaged column densities can be derived by applying the filling factors reported in Table 6 for c-C₃H₂ as an envelope tracer and for CH₃OH and CH₃CN as hot corino tracers. For single lines, column densities are derived using temperature mentioned in Sect. 4.4.




Fig. A.1: Spectra observed towards L1489-IRS (see Table A.1 in T_{MB} scale). Species, transition, and upper level energy are reported in each panel. The vertical dashed line stands for the source systemic velocity as measured using the $\text{C}^{18}\text{O}(2-1)$ line ($+7.3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). In the $\text{CCD}(3-2)$ spectrum, the velocity scale is centered on the brightest (3 D^2) hyperfine component (vertical blue line) at 216372.8 MHz; the vertical red lines indicate the offset in velocity of the fainter components (1.9 D^2 at 216373.3 MHz; 1.4 D^2 at 216373.2 MHz). This spectrum is smoothed to 0.40 MHz. In $\text{C}^{15}\text{N}(2-1)$ spectrum (in the lower panel) the velocity scale is centered on the brightest (6 D^2) hyperfine component (vertical blue line) at 219934.8 MHz; the vertical red line indicates the offset in velocity of the fainter components (4 D^2 at 219934.0 MHz). In $\text{DCN}(3-2)$ spectrum the velocity scale is centered on the brightest (35 D^2) hyperfine component (vertical blue line) at 217238.3 MHz; the vertical red lines indicate the offset in velocity of the fainter components (20 D^2 at 217238.6 MHz; 16 D^2 at 217238.3 MHz).




Fig. A.2: Spectra observed towards B5-IRS1 (see Table A.2 in T_{MB} scale). Species, transition, and upper level energy are reported in each panel. The vertical dashed line stands for the source systemic velocity as measured using the $\text{C}^{18}\text{O}(2-1)$ line ($+10.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). In the $^{13}\text{CN}(2-1)$ spectrum, the velocity scale is centered on the brightest (8 D^2) hyperfine component (vertical blue line) at 217467.1 MHz ; the vertical red line indicates the offset in velocity of the fainter component (5 D^2 at 217467.1 MHz). $^{13}\text{CS}(5-4)$ spectrum, the velocity scale is centered on the brightest (38 D^2) hyperfine component (vertical blue line) at 231220.7 MHz ; the vertical red lines indicate the offset in velocity of the fainter components (21 D^2 at 231220.7 MHz ; 17 D^2 at 231220.6 MHz ; 1 D^2 at 231220.5 MHz). In the CCD(3-2) spectrum (the middle panel of the middle column), the velocity scale is centered on the brightest (3 D^2) hyperfine component (vertical blue line) at 216372.8 MHz ; the vertical red lines indicate the offset in velocity of the fainter components (1.9 D^2 at 216373.3 MHz ; 1.4 D^2 at 216373.2 MHz). In lower panel, CCD(3-2) spectrum the velocity scale is centered on the brightest (2 D^2) hyperfine component (vertical blue line) at 216428.3 MHz ; the vertical red line indicate the offset in velocity of the fainter components (0.7 D^2 at 216428.8 MHz). In DCN(3-2) spectrum the velocity scale is centered on the brightest (35 D^2) hyperfine component (vertical blue line) at 217238.3 MHz ; the vertical red lines indicates the offset in velocity of the fainter components (20 D^2 at 217238.6 MHz ; 16 D^2 at 217238.3 MHz).




Fig. A.2: Continued: Spectra observed towards B5-IRS1 (see Table A.2 in T_{MB} scale). In $o\text{-c-C}_3\text{H}_2$ spectrum, the velocity scale is centered on the brightest (63 D^2) hyperfine component (vertical blue line) at 218449.4 MHz; the vertical red line indicates the offset in velocity of the fainter components (21 D^2 at 216448.8 MHz). CH_2DOH spectrum is smoothed to 0.40 MHz.




Fig. A.3: Spectra observed towards L1455-IRS1 (see Table A.3 in T_{MB} scale). Species, transition, and upper level energy are reported in each panel. The vertical dashed line stands for the source systemic velocity as measured using the $\text{C}^{18}\text{O}(2-1)$ line ($+4.7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). In the $^{13}\text{CN}(2-1)$ spectrum, the velocity scale is centered on the brightest (8 D^2) hyperfine component (vertical blue line) at 217467.1 MHz; the vertical red line indicates the offset in velocity of the fainter component (5 D^2 at 217467.1 MHz). $^{13}\text{CS}(5-4)$ spectrum, the velocity scale is centered on the brightest (38 D^2) hyperfine component (vertical blue line) at 231220.7 MHz; the vertical red lines indicate the offset in velocity of the fainter components (21 D^2 at 231220.7 MHz; 17 D^2 231220.6 MHz; 1 D^2 at 231220.5 MHz). In the $\text{CCD}(3-2)$ spectrum (4th row) the velocity scale is centered on the brightest (2 D^2) hyperfine component (vertical blue line) at 216428.3 MHz; the vertical red line indicates the offset in velocity of the fainter components (0.7 D^2 at 216428.8 MHz). In lower panel, $\text{CCD}(3-2)$ spectrum the velocity scale is centered on the brightest (3 D^2) hyperfine component (vertical blue line) at 216372.8 MHz; the vertical red lines indicate the offset in velocity of the fainter components (1.9 D^2 at 216373.3 MHz; 1.4 D^2 at 216373.2 MHz). In $\text{DCN}(3-2)$ spectrum the velocity scale is centered on the brightest (35 D^2) hyperfine component (vertical blue line) at 217238.3 MHz; the vertical red lines indicate the offset in velocity of the fainter components (20 D^2 at 217238.6 MHz; 16 D^2 at 217238.3 MHz).




Fig. A.3: Continued: Spectra observed towards L1455-IRS1 (see Table A.3 in T_{MB} scale).




Fig. A.4: Spectra observed towards L1551-IRS5 (see Table A.4 in T_{MB} scale). Species, transition, and upper level energy are reported in each panel. The vertical dotted line stands for the source systemic velocity as measured using the C^{18}O (2-1) line (+6.4 km s^{-1}). The vertical dashed line and the vertical dashed-dotted line stand for the systemic velocities of the binary system associated with the southern source (+4.5 km s^{-1}) and northern source (+7.5 km s^{-1}) respectively. ^{13}CS (5-4) spectrum, the velocity scale is centered on the brightest (38 D^2) hyperfine component (vertical blue line) at 231220.7 MHz; the vertical red lines indicate the offset in velocity of the fainter components (21 D^2 at 231220.7 MHz; 17 D^2 at 231220.6 MHz; 1 D^2 at 231220.5 MHz). In C^{15}N (2-1) spectrum (in the lower panel) the velocity scale is centered on the brightest (6 D^2) hyperfine component (vertical blue line) at 219934.8 MHz; the vertical red line indicate the offset in velocity of the fainter components (4 D^2 at 219934.0 MHz).




Fig. A.4: Continued: Spectra observed towards L1551-IRS5 (see Table A.4 in T_{MB} scale). In the lower left panel of the first column, $\text{CCD}(3-2)$ spectrum the velocity scale is centered on the brightest (2 D^2) hyperfine component (vertical blue line) at 216428.3 MHz; the vertical red lines indicate the offset in velocity of the fainter components (0.3 D^2 at 216430.3 MHz; 0.7 D^2 at 216428.8 MHz). In the upper middle panel, $\text{CCD}(3-2)$ spectrum the velocity scale is centered on the brightest (3 D^2) hyperfine component (vertical blue line) at 216372.8 MHz; the vertical red lines indicate the offset in velocity of the fainter components (1.9 D^2 at 216373.3 MHz; 1.4 D^2 at 216373.2 MHz). In $\text{DCN}(3-2)$ spectrum the velocity scale is centered on the brightest (35 D^2) hyperfine component (vertical blue line) at 217238.3 MHz; the vertical red lines indicate the offset in velocity of the fainter components (20 D^2 at 217238.6 MHz; 16 D^2 at 217238.3 MHz). In N_2D^+ spectrum, the velocity scale is centered on the brightest (312 D^2) hyperfine component (vertical blue line) at 231319.9 MHz; the vertical red line indicates the offset in velocity of the brightest transition of 40 fainter components (5 D^2 at 231324.5 MHz).




Fig. A.4: Continued: Observed spectra observed towards L1551-IRS5 (see Table A.4 in T_{MB} scale). In $c\text{-C}_3\text{H}(4_{1,3}-3_{1,2})$ spectrum, the velocity scale is centered on the $c\text{-C}_3\text{H}_2$ emission (vertical blue line) at 216492.6 MHz; the vertical red line indicates possible contamination due to $\text{D}_2\text{CO}(8_{1,7}-8_{1,8})$ at 216492.4 MHz. $\text{H}_2\text{C}^{33}\text{S}(7_{1,7}-6_{1,6})$ spectrum, the velocity scale is centered on the brightest (67 D^2) hyperfine component (vertical blue line) at 234678.8 MHz; the vertical red lines indicate the offset in velocity of the fainter components (58 D^2 at 234678.7 MHz; 2 D^2 at 234676.9 MHz).




Fig. A.4: Continued: Observed spectra of the molecules, towards L1551-IRS5, listed in Table A.4 (in T_{MB} scale). In o-c-C₃H₂ spectrum, the velocity scale is centered on the brightest (63 D²) hyperfine component (vertical blue line) at 218449.4 MHz; the vertical red line indicates the offset in velocity of the fainter components (21 D² at 216448.8 MHz). In CH₃OH spectrum, the velocity scale is centered on the CH₃OH emission at 231281.1 MHz; the vertical red line indicates possible contamination due to CH₃CHO at 231278.5 MHz.




Fig. A.4: Continued: Observed spectra of the molecules, towards L1551-IRS5, listed in Table A.4 (in T_{MB} scale). In CH₃CCH spectrum, the velocity scale is centered on the CH₃CCH emission at 222128.8 MHz; the vertical red line indicates possible contamination due to HCOOCH₃ at 222128.2 MHz. In three HCOOCH₃ spectra, the spectra are centered on the brightest component (vertical blue line) and the vertical red lines indicate the offset in velocity and strength of the fainter components reported in Table A.4.




Fig. A.5: Observed spectra of CO isotopologues (in T_{MB} scale) towards L1489-IRS (left column) and B5-IRS1 (right column). Species, transition and upper level energy are reported in each panel. For panels in the left column, the vertical dashed lines stand for the source systemic velocity as measured using C^{18}O (2-1) emission ($+7.3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). For panels in the right column, the vertical dashed lines stand for the source systemic velocity as measured using C^{18}O (2-1) emission ($+10.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). *Top panels:* the CO (2-1) transition. *Middle panels:* zoom of the CO (2-1) line wings (in black) and the ^{13}CO (2-1) line wings (in magenta), scaled by a factor 77 in the T_{MB} range from -2 K to 2.5 K. *Bottom panels:* ^{13}CO (in green) and C^{18}O (in red) scaled by a factor 7.27 assuming isotopic ratios of $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C} = 77$ and $^{16}\text{O}/^{18}\text{O} = 560$ (Milam et al. 2005).




Fig. A.6: Observed spectra of CO isotopologues (in T_{MB} scale) towards L1455-IRS1 (left column) and L1551-IRS5 (right column). Species, transition and upper level energy are reported in each panel. For panels in the left column, the vertical dashed lines stand for the source systemic velocity as measured using C¹⁸O (2-1) emission (+4.7 km s⁻¹). For panels in the right column, the vertical dotted line stands for the source systemic velocity as measured using the C¹⁸O(2-1) line (+6.4 km s⁻¹). The vertical dashed line and the vertical dashed-dotted line stand for the systemic velocities of the binary system associated with the southern source (+4.5 km s⁻¹) and northern source (+7.5 km s⁻¹) respectively. *Top panels:* the CO (2-1) transition. *Middle panels:* zoom of the CO (2-1) line wings (in black) and the ¹³CO (2-1) line wings (in magenta), scaled by a factor 77 in the T_{MB} range from -2 K to 2.5 K. *Bottom panels:* ¹³CO (in green) and C¹⁸O (in red) scaled by a factor 7.27 assuming isotopic ratios of ¹²C/¹³C = 77 and ¹⁶O/¹⁸O = 560 (Milam et al. 2005).

Table A.1: List of transitions and observed line properties detected towards L1489-IRS

Species	Transition ^a	ν ^a (MHz)	HPBW ($''$)	E_{up} ^a (K)	$S\mu^2$ ^a (D ²)	rms ^b (mK)	δV ^b (km s ⁻¹)	T_{peak} ^b (K)	V_{peak} ^b (km s ⁻¹)	$FWHM$ ^b (km s ⁻¹)	I_{int} ^b (K km s ⁻¹)	Database
CO ^{COng}	2 – 1	230538.0	11	17	0.02	11	0.25	-	-	-	≥ 53	CDMS
¹³ CO ^{COng}	2 – 1	220398.7	11	16	0.05	11	0.25	4.37 (0.37)	+7.19 (0.01)	2.7 (0.1)	9.25 (0.01)	CDMS
C ¹⁸ O	2 – 1	219560.3	11	16	0.02	12	0.27	1.35 (0.07)	+7.32 (0.01)	2.2 (0.1)	3.09 (0.01)	CDMS
C ¹⁵ N ^{h1}	2 – 1, J=5/2-3/2,	219934.8	11	16	6	8	0.27	0.03 (0.01)	+7.88 (0.11)	1.3 (0.3)	0.04 (0.01)	CDMS
	F=3-2											
SO	5 ₅ – 4 ₄	215220.6	11	44	12	13	0.27	0.12 (0.02)	+7.38 (0.11)	3.0 (0.2)	0.12 (0.15)	CDMS
SO	6 ₅ – 5 ₄	219949.4	11	35	14	9	0.27	0.14 (0.02)	+7.45 (0.03)	2.9 (0.1)	0.52 (0.01)	CDMS
CCD ^{h2}	3 – 2, J=7/2-5/2,	216372.8	11	21	3	10	0.27	0.04 (0.01)	+7.24 (0.14)	1.2 (0.2)	0.06 (0.01)	CDMS
	F=9/2-7/2											
DCN ^{h3}	3 – 2, F=4-3	217238.3	11	21	35	10	0.27	0.05 (0.01)	+7.78 (0.13)	1.9 (0.5)	0.11 (0.06)	CDMS
DCO ⁺	3 – 2	216112.6	11	21	142	22	0.27	0.17 (0.05)	+7.24 (0.05)	1.1 (0.1)	0.21 (0.01)	CDMS
o-D ₂ CO	4 _{0,4} – 3 _{0,3}	231410.3	11	28	43	12	0.25	0.03 (0.01)	+7.25 (0.18)	1.4 (0.5)	0.01 (0.01)	JPL
p-H ₂ CO	3 _{0,3} – 2 _{0,2}	218222.1	11	21	16	15	0.27	0.30 (0.03)	+7.41 (0.03)	3.0 (0.1)	0.98 (0.02)	CDMS
p-H ₂ CO	3 _{2,2} – 2 _{2,1}	218475.6	11	68	9	15	0.27	0.06 (0.01)	+8.23 (0.14)	1.2 (0.3)	0.06 (0.01)	CDMS
p-H ₂ CO	3 _{2,1} – 2 _{2,0}	218760.0	11	68	9	10	0.27	0.03 (0.01)	+7.10 (0.28)	3.2 (0.5)	0.03 (0.01)	CDMS
o-c-C ₃ H ₂	3 _{3,0} – 2 _{2,1}	216278.8	11	19	46	12	0.27	0.10 (0.02)	+7.37 (0.06)	1.6 (0.4)	0.17 (0.01)	CDMS
o-c-C ₃ H ₂	6 _{1,6} – 5 _{0,5}	217822.1	11	39	175	12	0.27	0.10 (0.02)	+7.37 (0.06)	1.6 (0.4)	0.22 (0.01)	CDMS
o-c-C ₃ H ₂	5 _{1,4} – 4 _{2,3}	217940.0	11	35	110	15	0.27	0.08 (0.01)	+7.41 (0.07)	1.3 (0.2)	0.11 (0.01)	CDMS
p-c-C ₃ H ₂	5 _{2,4} – 4 _{1,3}	218160.5	11	35	37	9	0.27	0.04 (0.01)	+7.62 (0.12)	1.2 (0.2)	0.05 (0.01)	CDMS

^a Frequencies and spectroscopic parameters have been extracted from the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) molecular database (Pickett et al. 1998) and the Cologne Database for Molecular Spectroscopy (Müller et al. 2005).

^b Gaussian fit.

^{COng} Non-Gaussian profile. The line intensity is obtained by integrating the Zero Power Full Width (ZPFW) range. In particular –5, +20 km s⁻¹ for CO (2 – 1) see in Figure A.5. The value has to be considered a lower limit given the presence of absorption features.

^{ng} Non-Gaussian profile. The line intensity is obtained by integrating the ZPFW range.

^{h1} The detected 2 – 1, J=5/2–3/2, F=3–2 line consists of 2 hyperfine components with $S\mu^2 \leq 6$ D² (Müller et al. 2005) in a 0.8 MHz frequency interval. The line with the highest $S\mu^2$ line is reported (see e.g. Figures A.1).

^{h2} The detected 3 – 2, J=7/2–5/2, F=9/2–7/2 line consists of 3 hyperfine components with $S\mu^2 \leq 3$ D² (Müller et al. 2005) in a 0.5 MHz frequency interval. The line with the highest $S\mu^2$ line is reported (see e.g. Figures A.1).

^{h3} The detected 3 – 2, F=4–3 line consists of 3 hyperfine components with $S\mu^2 \leq 35$ D² (Müller et al. 2005) in a 0.3 MHz frequency interval. The line with the highest $S\mu^2$ line is reported (see e.g. Figures A.1).

Table A.2: List of transitions and observed line properties detected towards B5-IRS1

Species	Transition ^a	ν ^a (MHz)	HPBW ($''$)	E_{up} ^a (K)	$S\mu^2$ ^a (D ²)	rms ^b (mK)	δV ^b (km s ⁻¹)	T_{peak} ^b (K)	V_{peak} ^b (km s ⁻¹)	$FWHM$ ^b (km s ⁻¹)	I_{int} ^b (K km s ⁻¹)	Database
CO ^{COng}	2 – 1	230538.0	11	17	0.02	70	0.25	-	-	-	≥ 53	CDMS
¹³ CO ^{COng}	2 – 1	220398.7	11	16	0.05	130	0.27	3.77 (0.23)	+10.58 (0.01)	0.8 (0.1)	4.53 (0.53)	CDMS
C ¹⁸ O	2 – 1	219560.3	11	16	0.02	9	0.27	2.69 (0.14)	+10.19 (0.01)	1.1 (0.1)	2.34 (0.01)	CDMS
¹³ CN ^{h1}	2 – 1, J=5/2-3/2,	217467.1	11	16	8	8	0.27	0.09 (0.05)	+10.18 (0.02)	0.9 (0.1)	0.06 (0.01)	CDMS
¹³ CS ^{h2}	F=4-3, F ₁ =3-2, 5 – 4	231220.7	11	33	38	6	0.25	0.08 (0.02)	+9.96 (0.03)	0.9 (0.1)	0.06 (0.01)	CDMS
SO	5 ₅ – 4 ₄	215220.6	11	44	12	9	0.27	0.42 (0.03)	+10.14 (0.01)	1.3 (0.1)	0.42 (0.01)	CDMS
SO	6 ₅ – 5 ₄	219949.4	11	35	14	8	0.27	0.86 (0.06)	+10.17 (0.01)	1.1 (0.1)	0.71 (0.01)	CDMS
³⁴ SO	6 ₅ – 5 ₄	215839.9	11	35	14	9	0.27	0.07 (0.01)	+10.01 (0.06)	1.4 (0.2)	0.07 (0.01)	CDMS
CCD ^{h3}	3 – 2, J=7/2-5/2,	216372.8	11	21	3	8	0.27	0.11 (0.01)	+9.66 (0.04)	1.2 (0.1)	0.11 (0.01)	CDMS
CCD ^{h4}	F=9/2-7/2 3 – 2, J=5/2-3/2,	216428.3	11	21	2	8	0.27	0.08 (0.01)	+9.96 (0.05)	1.1 (0.1)	0.07 (0.01)	CDMS
DCN ^{h5}	F=7/2-5/2 3 – 2, F=4-3	217238.3	11	21	35	7	0.27	0.22 (0.14)	+10.08 (0.02)	0.9 (0.1)	0.16 (0.01)	CDMS
DCO ⁺	3 – 2	216112.6	11	21	142	5	0.27	1.59 (0.05)	+10.18 (0.01)	0.7 (0.1)	0.85 (0.01)	CDMS
N ₂ D ⁺ ^{h6}	3 – 2	231321.7	11	22	312	7	0.25	0.37 (0.02)	+10.01 (0.01)	0.8 (0.1)	0.23 (0.01)	JPL
SO ₂	4 _{2,2} – 3 _{1,3}	235151.7	10	19	5	10	0.25	0.08 (0.01)	+10.07 (0.06)	1.6 (0.1)	0.07 (0.01)	CDMS
p-D ₂ CO	4 _{1,4} – 3 _{1,3}	221191.7	11	32	20	9	0.26	0.05(0.03)	+9.93(0.04)	0.5(0.1)	0.03(0.05)	JPL
o-D ₂ CO	4 _{0,4} – 3 _{0,3}	231410.3	11	28	43	8	0.25	0.09 (0.02)	+10.09 (0.04)	0.9 (0.1)	0.07 (0.01)	JPL
p-H ₂ CO	3 _{0,3} – 2 _{0,2}	218222.1	11	21	16	8	0.27	1.40 (0.09)	+10.10 (0.01)	0.9 (0.1)	0.95 (0.09)	CDMS
p-H ₂ CO	3 _{2,2} – 2 _{2,1}	218475.6	11	68	9	9	0.27	0.09 (0.01)	+9.94 (0.04)	1.2 (0.1)	0.08 (0.01)	CDMS
p-H ₂ CO	3 _{2,1} – 2 _{2,0}	218760.0	11	68	9	7	0.27	0.08 (0.01)	+10.01 (0.03)	1.1 (0.1)	0.07 (0.01)	CDMS
o-H ₂ ¹³ CO	3 _{1,2} – 2 _{1,1}	219908.5	11	33	44	4	0.27	0.05 (0.02)	+10.08 (0.03)	0.6 (0.1)	0.02 (0.01)	CDMS
o-H ₂ CS	7 _{1,7} – 6 _{1,6}	236727.0	10	59	56	6	0.25	0.07 (0.01)	+9.95 (0.04)	0.8 (0.1)	0.05 (0.01)	CDMS
o-c-C ₃ H ₂	3 _{3,0} – 2 _{2,1}	216278.8	11	19	46	7	0.27	0.61 (0.02)	+10.08 (0.01)	0.8 (0.1)	0.38 (0.01)	CDMS
o-c-C ₃ H ₂	6 _{1,6} – 5 _{0,5}	217822.1	11	39	175	8	0.27	0.67 (0.03)	+9.98 (0.01)	0.9 (0.1)	0.64 (0.01)	CDMS
o-c-C ₃ H ₂	5 _{1,4} – 4 _{2,3}	217940.0	11	35	110	8	0.27	1.42 (0.24)	+9.98 (0.01)	0.8 (0.1)	0.27 (0.01)	CDMS
p-c-C ₃ H ₂	5 _{2,4} – 4 _{1,3}	218160.5	11	35	37	9	0.27	0.14 (0.02)	+9.94 (0.01)	0.9 (0.1)	0.16 (0.04)	CDMS
p-c-C ₃ H ₂	8 _{2,6} -8 _{1,7}	218448.8	11	87	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	CDMS
o-c-C ₃ H ₂ ^c	8 _{3,6} – 8 _{2,7}	218449.4	11	87	63	5	0.27	0.02(0.01)	+9.69(0.19)	1.4(0.1)	0.03(0.01)	CDMS
o-c-C ₃ H ₂ ^{ng}	7 _{1,6} – 7 _{0,7}	218732.7	11	61	33	5	0.27	0.02 (0.01)	+9.42 (0.41)	3.0 (0.1)	0.06 (0.01)	CDMS
CH ₃ OH	4 _{-2,3} – 3 _{-1,2} E	218440.1	11	45	14	7	0.27	0.07 (0.01)	+10.14 (0.04)	0.9 (0.1)	0.06 (0.01)	CDMS
CH ₂ DOH ^{ng}	3 _{1,3} – 2 _{0,2} e ₀	214701.7	11	17	2	7	0.54	0.03 (0.07)	+9.99 (0.04)	0.6 (0.1)	0.03 (0.07)	JPL

^a Frequencies and spectroscopic parameters have been extracted from the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) molecular database (Pickett et al. 1998) and the Cologne Database for Molecular Spectroscopy (Müller et al. 2005).

^b Gaussian fit.

^{COng} Non-Gaussian profile. The line intensity is obtained by integrating the Zero Power Full Width (ZPF_W) range. In particular –40, +40 km s⁻¹ for CO (2–1), +8, +12 km s⁻¹ for ¹³CO (2 – 1) see in Figure A.5. The value has to be considered a lower limit given the presence of absorption features.

^{ng} Non-Gaussian profile. The line intensity is obtained by integrating the ZPF_W range.

^{h1} The detected 2 – 1, J=5/2–3/2, F=4–3, F₁=3–2 line consists of 2 hyperfine components with $S\mu^2 \leq 8$ D² (Müller et al. 2005) in a 0.05 MHz frequency interval. The line with the highest $S\mu^2$ line is reported (see e.g. Figures A.2).

^{h2} The detected 5 – 4 line consists of 4 hyperfine components with $S\mu^2 \leq 38$ D² (Müller et al. 2005) in a 0.0 MHz frequency interval. The line with the highest $S\mu^2$ line is reported (see e.g. Figures A.2).

^{h3} The detected 3 – 2, J=7/2–5/2, F=9/2–7/2 line consists of 3 hyperfine components with $S\mu^2 \leq 3$ D² (Müller et al. 2005) in a 0.5 MHz frequency interval. The line with the highest $S\mu^2$ line is reported (see e.g. Figures A.2).

^{h4} The detected 3 – 2, J=5/2–3/2, F=7/2–5/2 line consists of 2 hyperfine components with $S\mu^2 \leq 2$ D² (Müller et al. 2005) in a 0.4 MHz frequency interval. The line with the highest $S\mu^2$ line is reported (see e.g. Figures A.2).

^{h5} The detected 3 – 2, F=4–3 line consists of 3 hyperfine components with $S\mu^2 \leq 35$ D² (Müller et al. 2005) in a 0.7 MHz frequency interval. The line with the highest $S\mu^2$ line is reported (see e.g. Figures A.2).

^{h6} The detected 3 – 2 line consists of 40 hyperfine components with $S\mu^2 \leq 312$ D² in a 3 MHz frequency interval. The values are taken from L. Dore in private communication. The line with the highest $S\mu^2$ line is reported (see e.g. Figures A.2).

^c Line blended at the present spectral resolution (0.2 MHz). Possible contamination due to p-c-C₃H₂ emission at 218448.8 MHz ($E_u = 21$ K, $S\mu^2 = 87$ D²).

Table A.3: List of transitions and observed line properties detected towards L1455-IRS1

Species	Transition ^a	ν ^a (MHz)	HPBW ($''$)	E_{up} ^a (K)	$S\mu^2$ ^a (D ²)	rms ^b (mK)	δV ^b (km s ⁻¹)	T_{peak} ^b (K)	V_{peak} ^b (km s ⁻¹)	$FWHM$ ^b (km s ⁻¹)	I_{int} ^b (K km s ⁻¹)	Database
CO ^{COng}	2 – 1	230538.0	11	17	0.02	11	0.25	-	-	-	≥ 60	CDMS
¹³ CO ^{COng}	2 – 1	220398.7	11	16	0.05	80	0.27	5.24 (0.18)	+4.76 (0.02)	2.4 (0.1)	6.78 (0.18)	CDMS
C ¹⁸ O ^{COng}	2 – 1	219560.3	11	16	0.02	17	0.27	1.15 (0.01)	+4.72 (0.01)	1.6 (0.1)	1.69 (0.01)	CDMS
¹³ CN ^{h1}	2 – 1, J=5/2-3/2,	217467.1	11	16	8	12	0.27	0.05 (0.04)	+4.90 (0.09)	1.0 (0.2)	0.05 (0.01)	CDMS
¹³ CN	F=4-3, F ₁ =3-2 2 – 1, J=5/2-3/2,	217469.2	11	16	4	13	0.27	0.03 (0.01)	+4.67 (0.14)	0.8 (0.3)	0.02 (0.01)	CDMS
¹³ CS ^{h2}	F=2-1, F ₁ =3-2 5 – 4	231220.7	11	33	38	12	0.25	0.19 (0.02)	+4.66 (0.03)	1.6 (0.1)	0.32 (0.01)	CDMS
SO	5 ₅ – 4 ₄	215220.6	11	44	12	16	0.27	0.24 (0.03)	+4.74 (0.03)	2.0 (0.1)	0.51 (0.02)	CDMS
SO	6 ₅ – 5 ₄	219949.4	11	35	14	26	0.27	0.59 (0.08)	+4.80 (0.12)	1.0 (0.1)	0.65 (0.01)	CDMS
SiO ^{ng}	5 – 4	217105.0	11	31	48	12	0.27	0.05 (0.01)	+4.93 (0.29)	3.4 (0.9)	0.09 (0.01)	CDMS
CCD ^{h3}	3 – 2, J=7/2-5/2,	216372.8	11	21	3	11	0.27	0.07 (0.01)	+4.20 (0.09)	1.0 (0.3)	0.07 (0.01)	CDMS
CCD ^{h4}	F=9/2-7/2 3 – 2, J=5/2-3/2,	216428.3	11	21	2	11	0.27	0.06 (0.01)	+4.68 (0.06)	0.7 (0.1)	0.04 (0.01)	CDMS
CCS	F=7/2-5/2 N=17-16, J=18-17	221071.1	11	99	149	8	0.26	0.03 (0.01)	+4.58 (0.11)	1.1 (0.3)	0.04 (0.01)	JPL
DCN ^{h5}	3 – 2, F=4-3	217238.3	11	21	35	13	0.27	0.24 (0.02)	+4.44 (0.02)	1.5 (0.1)	0.08 (0.01)	CDMS
DCO ⁺	3 – 2	216112.6	11	21	142	12	0.27	1.14 (0.02)	+4.81 (0.01)	0.7 (0.1)	0.84 (0.01)	CDMS
H ₂ S ^{ng}	2 _{2,0} – 2 _{1,1}	216710.4	11	84	2	12	0.54	0.05 (0.01)	+4.55 (0.17)	3.6 (0.3)	0.19 (0.01)	CDMS
N ₂ D ⁺ ^{h6}	3 – 2	231321.7	11	22	312	13	0.25	0.56 (0.03)	+4.60 (0.01)	0.8 (0.1)	0.47 (0.01)	JPL
OCS ^{ng}	18 – 17	218903.4	11	100	9	4	1.01	0.02 (0.01)	+4.64 (0.47)	7.4 (0.9)	0.19 (0.02)	CDMS
OCS ^{ng}	19 – 18	231061.0	11	110	10	4	1.01	0.02 (0.01)	+4.82 (0.50)	7.2 (0.9)	0.18 (0.02)	CDMS
SO ₂	4 _{2,2} – 3 _{1,3}	235151.7	10	19	5	10	0.25	0.08 (0.02)	+4.66 (0.03)	0.2 (0.1)	0.03 (0.01)	CDMS
p-D ₂ CO	4 _{1,4} – 3 _{1,3}	221191.7	11	32	20	8	0.26	0.04 (0.01)	+4.89 (0.08)	1.0 (0.2)	0.04 (0.01)	JPL
o-D ₂ CO	4 _{0,4} – 3 _{0,3}	231410.3	11	28	43	9	0.25	0.08 (0.01)	+4.93 (0.04)	0.8 (0.1)	0.07 (0.01)	JPL
HDCS	7 _{0,7} – 6 _{0,6}	216662.4	11	42	19	12	0.27	0.07 (0.01)	+4.90 (0.05)	0.7 (0.1)	0.05 (0.01)	CDMS
HDCS	7 _{1,6} – 6 _{1,5}	221177.1	11	51	19	8	0.26	0.02 (0.01)	+4.85 (0.11)	0.8 (0.3)	0.02 (0.01)	CDMS
p-H ₂ CO	3 _{0,3} – 2 _{0,2}	218222.1	11	21	16	32	0.27	1.27 (0.15)	+4.80 (0.01)	1.1 (0.1)	1.53 (0.01)	CDMS
p-H ₂ CO	3 _{2,2} – 2 _{2,1}	218475.6	11	68	9	15	0.27	0.16 (0.03)	+4.92 (0.05)	1.7 (0.2)	0.30 (0.02)	CDMS
p-H ₂ CO	3 _{2,1} – 2 _{2,0}	218760.0	11	68	9	13	0.27	0.16 (0.01)	+4.81 (0.03)	1.5 (0.1)	0.27 (0.01)	CDMS
o-H ₂ ¹³ CO	3 _{1,2} – 2 _{1,1}	219908.5	11	33	44	9	0.27	0.04 (0.01)	+4.73 (0.12)	1.7 (0.3)	0.07 (0.01)	CDMS
o-H ₂ CS	7 _{1,7} – 6 _{1,6}	236727.0	10	59	56	14	0.25	0.26 (0.01)	+4.78 (0.02)	1.0 (0.1)	0.29 (0.01)	CDMS
HNCO ^{ng}	10 _{0,10} – 9 _{0,9}	219798.3	11	58	25	9	0.27	0.03 (0.01)	+4.69 (0.14)	1.7 (0.3)	0.06 (0.01)	CDMS

^a Frequencies and spectroscopic parameters have been extracted from the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) molecular database (Pickett et al. 1998) and the Cologne Database for Molecular Spectroscopy (Müller et al. 2005).

^b Gaussian fit.

^{COng} Non-Gaussian profile. The line intensity is obtained by integrating the Zero Power Full Width (ZPFW) range. In particular – 10, +20 km s⁻¹ for CO (2–1), +1, +10 km s⁻¹ for ¹³CO (2–1), and +1, +9 km s⁻¹ for C¹⁸O (2 – 1) see in Figure A.6. The value has to be considered a lower limit given the presence of absorption features.

^{ng} Non-Gaussian profile. The line intensity is obtained by integrating the ZPFW range.

^{h1} The detected 2 – 1, J=5/2–3/2, F=4–3, F₁=3–2 line consists of 3 hyperfine components with $S\mu^2 \leq 8$ D² (Müller et al. 2005) in a 2.0 MHz frequency interval. The line with the highest $S\mu^2$ line is reported (see e.g. Figures A.3).

^{h2} The detected 5 – 4 line consists of 4 hyperfine components with $S\mu^2 \leq 38$ D² (Müller et al. 2005) in a 0.0 MHz frequency interval. The line with the highest $S\mu^2$ line is reported (see e.g. Figures A.3).

^{h3} The detected 3 – 2, J=7/2–5/2, F=9/2–7/2 line consists of 3 hyperfine components with $S\mu^2 \leq 3$ D² (Müller et al. 2005) in a 0.5 MHz frequency interval. The line with the highest $S\mu^2$ line is reported (see e.g. Figures A.3).

^{h4} The detected 3 – 2, J=5/2–3/2, F=7/2–5/2 line consists of 2 hyperfine components with $S\mu^2 \leq 2$ D² (Müller et al. 2005) in a 0.4 MHz frequency interval. The line with the highest $S\mu^2$ line is reported (see e.g. Figures A.3).

^{h5} The detected 3 – 2, F=4–3 line consists of 3 hyperfine components with $S\mu^2 \leq 35$ D² (Müller et al. 2005) in a 0.7 MHz frequency interval. The line with the highest $S\mu^2$ line is reported (see e.g. Figures A.3).

^{h6} The detected 3 – 2 line consists of 40 hyperfine components with $S\mu^2 \leq 312$ D² in a 3 MHz frequency interval. The values are taken from L. Dore in private communication. The line with the highest $S\mu^2$ line is reported (see e.g. Figures A.3).

Table A.3: Continued

Species	Transition ^a	ν ^a (MHz)	HPBW ($''$)	E_{up} ^a (K)	$S\mu^2$ ^a (D ²)	rms ^b (mK)	$\delta\nu$ ^b (km s ⁻¹)	T_{peak} ^b (K)	V_{peak} ^b (km s ⁻¹)	$FWHM$ ^b (km s ⁻¹)	I_{int} ^b (K km s ⁻¹)	Database
o- <i>c</i> -C ₃ H ₂	3 _{3,0} – 2 _{2,1}	216278.8	11	19	46	14	0.27	0.39 (0.02)	+4.90 (0.01)	1.1 (0.1)	0.46 (0.01)	CDMS
o- <i>c</i> -C ₃ H ₂	6 _{1,6} – 5 _{0,5}	217822.1	11	39	175	13	0.27	0.46 (0.01)	+4.89 (0.01)	1.3 (0.1)	0.64 (0.01)	CDMS
o- <i>c</i> -C ₃ H ₂	5 _{1,4} – 4 _{2,3}	217940.0	11	35	110	13	0.27	0.26 (0.01)	+4.89 (0.02)	1.3 (0.1)	0.34 (0.01)	CDMS
p- <i>c</i> -C ₃ H ₂	5 _{2,4} – 4 _{1,3}	218160.5	11	35	37	13	0.27	0.11 (0.01)	+4.83 (0.03)	1.2 (0.1)	0.14 (0.01)	CDMS
o- <i>c</i> -C ₃ H ₂ ^{ng}	7 _{1,6} – 7 _{0,7}	218732.7	11	61	33	7	0.53	0.04 (0.01)	+4.81 (0.15)	0.6 (3.2)	0.02 (0.01)	CDMS
CH ₃ OH	4 _{-2,3} – 3 _{-1,2} E	218440.1	11	45	14	14	0.27	0.12 (0.02))	+4.89 (0.06)	1.6 (0.2)	0.22 (0.02)	CDMS
CH ₂ DOH ^{ng}	10 _{1,10} – 9 _{0,9} e ₀	221391.8	11	120	5	8	0.26	0.03 (0.01)	+5.15 (0.06)	0.5 (0.2)	0.02 (0.01)	JPL

^a Frequencies and spectroscopic parameters have been extracted from the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) molecular database (Pickett et al. 1998) and the Cologne Database for Molecular Spectroscopy (Müller et al. 2005).

^b Gaussian fit.

^{ng} Non-Gaussian profile. The line intensity is obtained by integrating the Zero Power Full Width (ZPFW) range.

Table A.4: List of transitions and observed line properties detected towards L1551-IRS5

Species	Transition ^a	ν ^a (MHz)	HPBW ($''$)	E_{up} ^a (K)	$S\mu^2$ ^a (D ²)	rms ^b (mK)	δV ^b (km s ⁻¹)	T_{peak} ^b (K)	V_{peak} ^b (km s ⁻¹)	$FWHM$ ^b (km s ⁻¹)	I_{int} ^b (K km s ⁻¹)	Database
CO ^{Cong}	2 – 1	230538.0	11	17	0.02	70	0.25	-	-	-	≥ 40	CDMS
¹³ CO ^{ng}	2 – 1	220398.7	11	16	0.05	16	0.27	6.72 (0.03)	+6.04 (0.02)	2.9 (0.1)	15.13 (0.03)	CDMS
C ¹⁸ O	2 – 1	219560.3	11	16	0.02	65	0.27	6.17 (0.50)	+6.41 (0.01)	1.3 (0.1)	8.39 (0.04)	CDMS
¹³ CN	2 – 1, J=3/2-1/2, F=1-1, F ₁ =1-0	217277.7	11	16	1	9	0.27	0.03 (0.01)	+6.59 (0.09)	0.8 (0.2)	0.03 (0.01)	CDMS
¹³ CN	2 – 1, J=3/2-1/2, F=3-2, F ₁ =2-1	217303.2	11	16	6	16	0.27	0.02 (0.02)	+6.44 (0.35)	1.0 (0.8)	0.02 (0.01)	CDMS
¹³ CN	2 – 1, J=5/2-3/2, F=1-2, F ₁ =2-1	217305.9	11	16	0.13	15	0.27	0.02 (0.02)	+6.30 (0.21)	0.6 (0.5)	0.10 (0.01)	CDMS
¹³ CN	2 – 1, J=5/2-3/2, F=3-2, F ₁ =2-1	217428.6	11	16	4	8	0.27	0.04 (0.01)	+6.23 (0.07)	0.9 (0.1)	0.04 (0.01)	CDMS
¹³ CN ^{h1}	2 – 1, J=5/2-3/2, F=4-3, F ₁ =3-2	217467.1	11	16	8	12	0.27	0.10 (0.01)	+6.38 (0.05)	1.2 (0.1)	0.13 (0.01)	CDMS
¹³ CN	2 – 1, J=5/2-3/2, F=2-1, F ₁ =3-2,	217469.2	11	16	4	21	0.27	0.04 (0.01)	+6.43 (0.17)	0.8 (0.4)	0.03 (0.01)	CDMS
C ¹⁵ N	2 – 1, 3/2-1/2, F=2-1	219722.5	11	16	4	7	0.27	0.03 (0.01)	+6.38 (0.07)	0.6 (0.1)	0.02 (0.01)	CDMS
C ¹⁵ N ^{h2}	2 – 1, J=5/2-3/2, F=3-2	219934.8	11	16	6	7	0.27	0.38 (0.01)	+6.36 (0.12)	2.0 (0.2)	0.07 (0.01)	CDMS
¹³ CS ^{h3}	5 – 4	231220.7	11	33	38	8	0.25	0.09 (0.01)	+6.51 (0.03)	1.4 (0.1)	0.14 (0.01)	CDMS
SO	5 ₅ – 4 ₄	215220.6	11	44	12	13	0.27	0.68 (0.04)	+6.48 (0.01)	1.7 (0.1)	1.23 (0.01)	CDMS
SO	6 ₅ – 5 ₄	219949.4	11	35	14	19	0.27	1.15 (0.06)	+6.53 (0.01)	1.6 (0.1)	1.96 (0.02)	CDMS
³⁴ SO	6 ₅ – 5 ₄	215839.9	11	35	14	8	0.27	0.05 (0.01)	+6.46 (0.08)	2.3 (0.2)	0.13 (0.01)	CDMS
OCS ^{ng}	18 – 17	218903.4	11	100	9	7	0.27	0.13 (0.01)	+7.00 (0.06)	6.9 (0.1)	0.48 (0.03)	CDMS
OCS ^{ng}	19 – 18	231061.0	11	110	10	7	0.27	0.15 (0.01)	+6.95 (0.15)	5.1 (0.1)	0.48 (0.03)	CDMS
O ¹³ CS ^{ng}	19 – 18	230317.5	11	110	10	7	0.25	0.04 (0.01)	+8.46 (0.11)	2.1 (0.3)	0.04 (0.01)	CDMS
CCD ^{h4}	3 – 2, J=7/2-5/2, F=9/2-7/2	216372.8	11	21	3	9	0.27	0.25 (0.01)	+5.98 (0.02)	1.4 (0.1)	0.37 (0.01)	CDMS
CCD ^{h5}	3 – 2, J=5/2-3/2, F=7/2-5/2	216428.3	11	21	2	35	0.27	0.27 (0.01)	+6.19 (0.43)	0.7 (0.8)	0.01 (0.01)	CDMS
CCS	17 – 16, J=16-15	219142.7	11	100	134	6	0.53	0.03 (0.01)	+6.51 (0.18)	1.8 (0.6)	0.07 (0.01)	JPL
CCS	17 – 16, J=18-17	221071.1	11	99	149	8	0.52	0.03 (0.01)	+6.41 (0.18)	2.9 (0.4)	0.05 (0.01)	JPL
DCN ^{h6}	3 – 2, F=4-3	217238.3	11	21	35	16	0.27	0.49 (0.03)	+6.40 (0.01)	1.4 (0.1)	0.71 (0.01)	CDMS
DCO ⁺	3 – 2	216112.6	11	21	142	10	0.27	2.34 (0.06)	+6.26 (0.01)	0.9 (0.1)	2.37 (0.01)	CDMS
H ₂ S ^{ng}	2 _{2,0} – 2 _{1,1}	216710.4	11	84	2	8	0.27	0.12 (0.02)	+6.58 (0.05)	5.1 (0.1)	0.65 (0.01)	CDMS

^a Frequencies and spectroscopic parameters have been extracted from the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) molecular database (Pickett et al. 1998) and the Cologne Database for Molecular Spectroscopy (Müller et al. 2005).

^b Gaussian fit.

^{ng} Non-Gaussian profile. The line intensity is obtained by integrating the Zero Power Full Width (ZPFW) range. In particular –15, +30 km s⁻¹ for CO (2 – 1), +3, +10 km s⁻¹ for ¹³CO (2–1), and +3, +10 km s⁻¹ for C¹⁸O (2-1) see in Figure A.6. The value has to be considered a lower limit given the presence of absorption features.

^{ng} Non-Gaussian profile. The line intensity is obtained by integrating the ZPFW range.

^{h1} The detected 2 – 1, J=5/2–3/2, F=4–3, F₁=3–2 line consists of 6 hyperfine components with $S\mu^2 \leq 8$ D² (Müller et al. 2005) in a 261 MHz frequency interval. The line with the highest $S\mu^2$ line is reported (see e.g. Figures A.4).

^{h2} The detected 2 – 1, J=5/2–3/2, F=3–2 line consists of 3 hyperfine components with $S\mu^2 \leq 6$ D² (Müller et al. 2005) in a 289 MHz frequency interval. The line with the highest $S\mu^2$ line is reported (see e.g. Figures A.4).

^{h3} The detected 5 – 4 line consists of 4 hyperfine components with $S\mu^2 \leq 38$ D² (Müller et al. 2005) in a 0.0 MHz frequency interval. The line with the highest $S\mu^2$ line is reported (see e.g. Figures A.4).

^{h4} The detected 3 – 2, J=7/2–5/2, F=9/2–7/2 line consists of 3 hyperfine components with $S\mu^2 \leq 3$ D² (Müller et al. 2005) in a 0.5 MHz frequency interval. The line with the highest $S\mu^2$ line is reported (see e.g. Figures A.4).

^{h5} The detected 3 – 2, J=5/2–3/2, F=7/2–5/2 line consists of 3 hyperfine components with $S\mu^2 \leq 2$ D² (Müller et al. 2005) in a 3.0 MHz frequency interval. The line with the highest $S\mu^2$ line is reported (see e.g. Figures A.4).

^{h6} The detected 3 – 2, F=4–3 line consists of 3 hyperfine components with $S\mu^2 \leq 35$ D² (Müller et al. 2005) in a 0.7 MHz frequency interval. The line with the highest $S\mu^2$ line is reported (see e.g. Figures A.4).

Table A.4: Continued

Species	Transition ^a	ν ^a (MHz)	HPBW ($''$)	E_{up} ^a (K)	$S\mu^2$ ^a (D ²)	rms ^b (mK)	$\delta\nu$ ^b (km s ⁻¹)	T_{peak} ^b (K)	V_{peak} ^b (km s ⁻¹)	$FWHM$ ^b (km s ⁻¹)	I_{int} ^b (K km s ⁻¹)	Database
N ₂ D ⁺ ^{h7}	3 – 2	231321.7	11	22	312	8	0.25	0.18 (0.01)	+6.00 (0.01)	0.8 (0.1)	0.15 (0.01)	JPL
SO ₂	4 _{2,2} – 3 _{1,3}	235151.7	10	19	5	6	0.50	0.04 (0.01)	+6.49 (0.09)	2.2 (0.2)	0.11 (0.01)	CDMS
SO ₂ ^{ng}	12 _{3,9} – 12 _{2,10}	237068.8	10	94	18	9	0.99	0.02 (0.01)	+6.79 (0.28)	3.6 (0.6)	0.08 (0.01)	CDMS
p-D ₂ CO	4 _{1,4} – 3 _{1,3}	221191.7	11	32	20	9	0.26	0.25 (0.01)	+6.21 (0.01)	1.0 (0.1)	0.26 (0.01)	JPL
o-D ₂ CO	4 _{0,4} – 3 _{0,3}	231410.3	11	28	43	9	0.25	0.62 (0.02)	+6.27 (0.01)	1.0 (0.1)	0.67 (0.01)	JPL
p-D ₂ CO	4 _{2,3} – 3 _{2,2}	233650.7	11	50	33	9	0.25	0.14 (0.01)	+6.20 (0.03)	1.3 (0.1)	0.19 (0.01)	JPL
o-D ₂ CO	4 _{2,2} – 3 _{2,1}	236102.1	10	50	33	11	0.25	0.13 (0.01)	+6.19 (0.03)	1.1 (0.1)	0.15 (0.01)	JPL
HDCS ^{ng}	7 _{1,6} – 6 _{1,5}	221177.1	11	51	19	6	0.53	0.02 (0.01)	+7.36 (0.3)	2.5 (0.9)	0.05 (0.01)	CDMS
p-H ₂ CO	3 _{0,3} – 2 _{0,2}	218222.1	11	21	16	42	0.53	3.32 (0.23)	+6.45 (0.01)	1.1 (0.1)	3.95 (0.04)	CDMS
p-H ₂ CO	3 _{2,2} – 2 _{2,1}	218475.6	11	68	9	12	0.27	0.32 (0.01)	+6.50 (0.02)	2.0 (0.1)	0.69 (0.01)	CDMS
p-H ₂ CO	3 _{2,1} – 2 _{2,0}	218760.0	11	68	9	10	0.27	0.30 (0.03)	+6.52 (0.02)	2.2 (0.1)	0.70 (0.01)	CDMS
o-H ₂ ¹³ CO	3 _{1,2} – 2 _{1,1}	219908.5	11	33	44	7	0.27	0.13 (0.01)	+6.47 (0.02)	1.1 (0.1)	0.15 (0.01)	CDMS
o-H ₂ CS	7 _{1,7} – 6 _{1,6}	236727.0	10	59	56	8	0.25	0.09 (0.01)	+6.68 (0.06)	2.9 (0.1)	0.27 (0.01)	CDMS
o-H ₂ C ³³ S ^{h8}	7 _{1,7} – 6 _{1,6}	234678.8	10	58	67	13	0.25	0.05 (0.01)	+6.78 (0.09)	0.8 (0.2)	0.04 (0.01)	CDMS
HNCO ^{ng}	F=17/2-15/2 10 _{0,10} – 9 _{0,9}	219798.3	11	58	25	7	0.27	0.03 (0.01)	+6.04 (0.13)	2.0 (0.3)	0.09 (0.01)	CDMS
c-C ₃ H	4 _{1,3} – 3 _{1,2}	216488.2	11	25	23	11	0.27	0.06 (0.09)	+6.80 (0.06)	0.8 (0.1)	0.05 (0.01)	JPL
c-C ₃ H ^{C1}	F=9/2-7/2 F=5-4 4 _{1,3} – 3 _{1,2}	216492.6	11	25	17	14	0.27	0.40 (0.01)	+6.90 (0.13)	1.1 (0.3)	0.05 (0.01)	JPL
D ₂ CO	F=9/2-7/2 F=4-3 8 _{1,7} – 8 _{1,8}	216492.4	11	111	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	JPL
c-C ₃ H	4 _{1,3} – 3 _{1,2} F=7/2-5/2 F=3-2	216641.1	11	25	14	11	0.27	0.04 (0.05)	+6.58 (0.12)	1.4 (0.3)	0.06 (0.01)	JPL
o-c-C ₃ H ₂	3 _{3,0} – 2 _{2,1}	216278.8	11	19	46	12	0.27	1.25 (0.05)	+6.42 (0.01)	0.9 (0.1)	1.24 (0.01)	CDMS
o-c-C ₃ H ₂	6 _{1,6} – 5 _{0,5}	217822.1	11	39	175	13	0.27	1.75 (0.01)	+6.41 (0.01)	1.1 (0.1)	2.01 (0.01)	CDMS
o-c-C ₃ H ₂	5 _{1,4} – 4 _{2,3}	217940.0	11	35	110	12	0.27	1.05 (0.06)	+6.41 (0.02)	1.1 (0.1)	1.16 (0.01)	CDMS
p-c-C ₃ H ₂	5 _{2,4} – 4 _{1,3}	218160.5	11	35	37	10	0.27	0.39 (0.02)	+6.42 (0.01)	1.1 (0.1)	0.46 (0.01)	CDMS
o-c-C ₃ H ₂ ^{C2}	8 _{3,6} – 8 _{2,7}	218449.4	11	87	63	34	0.27	0.05 (0.01)	+6.63 (0.50)	2.5 (1.0)	0.12 (0.04)	CDMS
p-c-C ₃ H ₂	8 _{2,6} – 8 _{1,7}	218448.8	11	87	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	CDMS
o-c-C ₃ H ₂ ^{ng}	7 _{1,6} – 7 _{0,7}	218732.7	11	61	33	7	0.27	0.10 (0.01)	+6.45 (0.03)	1.4 (30.1)	0.15 (0.01)	CDMS
o-H ₂ CCO	11 _{1,11} – 10 _{1,10}	220177.6	11	76	66	7	0.27	0.03 (0.01)	+6.40 (0.16)	2.2 (0.7)	0.04 (0.06)	CDMS
p-H ₂ CCO ^{ng}	11 _{0,11} – 10 _{0,10}	222197.6	11	64	22	3	0.53	0.02 (0.01)	+6.15 (0.14)	2.5 (0.3)	0.05 (0.01)	CDMS
CH ₃ OH	6 _{1,6} – 7 _{2,6} A	215302.2	11	374	19	8	0.27	0.04 (0.01)	+8.98 (0.05)	0.6 (0.2)	0.03 (0.01)	CDMS
CH ₃ OH ^{ng}	$\nu_7=1$ 5 _{-1,4} – 4 _{-2,3} E	216945.5	11	56	4	9	0.54	0.05 (0.01)	+8.80 (0.16)	1.9 (0.5)	0.08 (0.03)	CDMS
CH ₃ OH ^e	4 _{-2,3} – 3 _{-1,2} E	218440.1	11	45	14	9	0.27	0.18 (0.01)	+6.83 (0.06)	3.3 (0.2)	0.35 (0.01)	CDMS
CH ₃ OH	8 _{-1,8} – 7 _{-1,6} E	220078.6	11	97	14	6	0.53	0.06 (0.01)	+8.68 (0.09)	2.7 (0.5)	0.11 (0.01)	CDMS

^a Frequencies and spectroscopic parameters have been extracted from the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) molecular database (Pickett et al. 1998) and the Cologne Database for Molecular Spectroscopy (Müller et al. 2005).

^b Gaussian fit.

^{ng} Non-Gaussian profile. The line intensity is obtained by integrating the Zero Power Full Width (ZPFW) range.

^{h7} The detected 3 – 2 line consists of 40 hyperfine components with $S\mu^2 \leq 312$ D² in a 3 MHz frequency interval. The values are taken from L. Dore in private communication. The line with the highest $S\mu^2$ line is reported (see e.g. Figures A.4).

^{h8} The detected 7_{1,7} – 6_{1,6} line consists of 3 hyperfine components with $S\mu^2 \leq 67$ D² (Müller et al. 2005) in a 2 MHz frequency interval. The line with the highest $S\mu^2$ line is reported (see e.g. Figures A.4).

^{C1} Line blended at the present spectral resolution (0.2 MHz). Possible contamination due to D₂CO emission at 216492.4 MHz.

^{C2} Line blended at the present spectral resolution (0.2 MHz). Possible contamination due to p-c-C₃H₂ emission at 218448.8 MHz.

Table A.4: Continued

Species	Transition ^a	ν ^a (MHz)	HPBW ($''$)	E_{up} ^a (K)	$S\mu^2$ ^a (D ²)	rms ^b (mK)	$\delta\nu$ ^b (km s ⁻¹)	T_{peak} ^b (K)	V_{peak} ^b (km s ⁻¹)	$FWHM$ ^b (km s ⁻¹)	I_{int} ^b (K km s ⁻¹)	Database
CH ₃ OH ^{C3}	10 _{2,9} –9 _{3,6} A	231281.1	11	165	11	8	0.53	0.03(0.01)	+9.36(0.21)	4.0(0.7)	0.12 (0.02)	CDMS
CH ₃ CHO	12 _{6,6} –11 _{6,5} E	231278.5	11	153	113	-	-	-	-	-	-	JPL
CH ₃ OH	10 _{2,8} –9 _{3,7} A	232418.5	11	165	11	10	0.25	0.05 (0.01)	+8.81 (0.09)	1.5 (0.2)	0.08 (0.01)	CDMS
CH ₃ OH ^{ng}	10 _{3,7} –11 _{2,9} E	232945.8	11	190	12	8	0.25	0.04 (0.01)	+8.93 (0.13)	2.2 (0.4)	0.06 (0.03)	CDMS
CH ₃ OH	4 _{2,3} –5 _{1,4} A	234683.4	10	61	4	12	0.25	0.05 (0.01)	+8.78 (0.08)	0.8 (0.2)	0.04 (0.01)	CDMS
CH ₃ OH	5 _{4,2} –6 _{3,3} E	234698.5	10	123	2	9	0.25	0.03 (0.01)	+9.61 (0.13)	1.4 (0.4)	0.04 (0.01)	CDMS
CH ₂ DOH	3 _{1,3} –2 _{0,2} , e ₀	214701.7	11	17	2	10	0.54	0.03 (0.01)	+9.43 (0.16)	1.7 (0.4)	0.04 (0.01)	JPL
CH ₃ CN	12 ₄ –11 ₄ E	220679.3	11	183	226	8	0.26	0.03 (0.01)	+8.07 (0.14)	4.3 (0.6)	0.03 (0.01)	JPL
CH ₃ CN	12 ₃ –11 ₃ A	220709.3	11	133	477	8	0.26	0.05 (0.01)	+7.89 (0.20)	4.5 (0.4)	0.06 (0.01)	JPL
CH ₃ CN	12 ₂ –11 ₂ E	220730.3	11	97	247	8	0.26	0.04 (0.01)	+7.89 (0.23)	3.6 (0.6)	0.04 (0.01)	JPL
CH ₃ CN	12 ₁ –11 ₁ E	220743.0	11	76	252	11	0.53	0.03 (0.01)	+8.55 (0.26)	1.3 (0.4)	0.04 (0.01)	JPL
CH ₃ CN	12 ₀ –11 ₀ A	220747.3	11	69	254	10	0.53	0.04 (0.01)	+8.05 (0.12)	3.2 (0.7)	0.07 (0.01)	JPL
CH ₃ CCH ^{C4}	13 ₃ –12 ₃	222128.8	11	140	9	7	0.26	0.03 (0.01)	+7.05 (0.24)	3.0 (0.9)	0.05 (0.01)	CDMS
HCOOCH ₃	8 _{5,4} –7 _{4,3} A	222128.2	11	226	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	JPL
CH ₃ CCH	13 ₂ –12 ₂	222150.0	11	103	5	6	0.26	0.02 (0.01)	+6.85 (0.12)	1.8 (0.4)	0.05 (0.01)	CDMS
CH ₃ CCH	13 ₁ –12 ₁	222162.7	11	82	5	19	0.26	0.05 (0.01)	+6.45 (0.17)	1.4 (0.5)	0.07 (0.02)	CDMS
CH ₃ CCH	13 ₀ –12 ₀	222166.9	11	75	5	15	0.26	0.07 (0.01)	+6.50 (0.10)	1.7 (0.2)	0.11 (0.01)	CDMS
CH ₃ CHO ^{ng}	12 _{7,6} –11 _{7,5} E	231268.4	11	182	100	15	0.51	0.03 (0.01)	+8.15 (0.20)	1.4 (0.6)	0.04 (0.01)	JPL
CH ₃ CHO ^{ng}	3 _{3,1} –3 _{2,2} E	234469.3	11	26	2	8	0.50	0.03(0.01)	+7.27 (0.16)	2.1 (0.3)	0.06 (0.01)	JPL
CH ₃ CHO ^{ng}	12 _{1,11} –11 _{1,10} E	235996.2	10	76	151	8	0.50	0.03 (0.01)	+8.43 (0.17)	3.0 (0.4)	0.09 (0.01)	JPL
HCOOCH ₃ ^{C5}	20 _{1,20} –19 _{1,19} A	216965.9	11	111	53	8	0.53	-	-	-	≥0.24	JPL
HCOOCH ₃	20 _{0,20} –19 _{1,19} E	216963.0	11	111	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	JPL
HCOOCH ₃	20 _{0,20} –19 _{1,19} A	216964.2	11	111	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	JPL
HCOOCH ₃	20 _{1,20} –19 _{1,19} E	216964.8	11	111	53	-	-	-	-	-	-	JPL
HCOOCH ₃	20 _{0,20} –19 _{0,19} E	216966.2	11	111	53	-	-	-	-	-	-	JPL
HCOOCH ₃	20 _{0,20} –19 _{0,19} A	216967.4	11	111	53	-	-	-	-	-	-	JPL
HCOOCH ₃	20 _{1,20} –19 _{0,19} E	216968.0	11	111	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	JPL
HCOOCH ₃	20 _{1,20} –19 _{0,19} A	216969.2	11	8	53	-	-	-	-	-	-	JPL
HCOOCH ₃ ^{C6}	18 _{13,5} –17 _{13,4} E	221139.8	11	213	23	6	0.53	-	-	-	≥0.04	JPL
HCOOCH ₃	18 _{13,5} –17 _{13,4} A	221141.1	11	213	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	JPL
HCOOCH ₃	18 _{13,6} –17 _{13,5} A	221141.1	11	213	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	JPL
HCOOCH ₃ ^{C7}	18 _{4,15} –17 _{4,14} E	221660.5	11	112	45	7	0.53	-	-	-	≥0.11	JPL
HCOOCH ₃	18 _{10,9} –17 _{10,8} A	221661.0	11	167	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	JPL
HCOOCH ₃	18 _{10,8} –17 _{10,8} A	221661.0	11	167	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	JPL

^a Frequencies and spectroscopic parameters have been extracted from the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) molecular database (Pickett et al. 1998) and the Cologne Database for Molecular Spectroscopy (Müller et al. 2005).

^b Gaussian fit.

^{ng} Non-Gaussian profile. The line intensity is obtained by integrating the Zero Power Full Width (ZPFW) range.

^{C3} Line blended at the present spectral resolution (0.2 MHz). Possible contamination due to CH₃OH emission at 231278.5 MHz.

^{C4} Line blended at the present spectral resolution (0.2 MHz). Possible contamination due to HCOOCH₃ emission at 222128.2 MHz.

^{C5} Line blended at the present spectral resolution (0.2 MHz). Possible contamination due to HCOOCH₃ emissions at 216963.0–216969.2 MHz.

^{C6} Line blended at the present spectral resolution (0.2 MHz). Possible contamination due to HCOOCH₃ emission at 221141.1 MHz.

^{C7} Line blended at the present spectral resolution (0.2 MHz). Possible contamination due to HCOOCH₃ emission at 221661.0 MHz.




Fig. B.1: Rotational diagrams of SO, H₂CO, c-C₃H₂ in L1489-IRS. The parameters N_{up} , g_{up} , and E_{up} are the column density, the degeneracy and the energy (with respect to the ground state of each symmetry) of the upper level, respectively. Error bars include the errors on the flux as stated in Table A.1 and the uncertainty of the calibration of %20.




Fig. B.2: Rotational diagrams of SO, H₂CO, and c-C₃H₂ in B5-IRS1. The parameters N_{up} , g_{up} , and E_{up} are the column density, the degeneracy and the energy (with respect to the ground state of each symmetry) of the upper level, respectively. Error bars include the errors on the flux as stated in Table A.2 and the uncertainty of the calibration of %20.




Fig. B.3: Rotational diagrams of SO, OCS, HDCS, H₂CO and c-C₃H₂ in L1455-IRS1. The parameters N_{up} , g_{up} , and E_{up} are the column density, the degeneracy and the energy (with respect to the ground state of each symmetry) of the upper level, respectively. Error bars include the errors on the flux as stated in Table A.3 and the uncertainty of the calibration of %20.




Fig. B.4: Rotational diagrams of SO, SO₂, OCS, H₂CO, D₂CO, H₂CCO, c-C₃H₂, CH₃OH, CH₃CN, CH₃CCH, and CH₃CHO. The parameters N_{up} , g_{up} , and E_{up} are the column density, the degeneracy and the energy (with respect to the ground state of each symmetry) of the upper level, respectively. The derived values of the rotational temperature and the column density are reported in each panel for each species. For D₂CO, blue and red circles indicate ortho- and para- transitions, respectively. For CH₃OH, blue and red circles indicate E and A transitions, respectively. Red stars in the c-C₃H₂ (8_{3,6} – 8_{2,7}) and CH₃OH (10_{2,9} – 9_{3,6}) indicate transitions not used in the fit due to contamination by other molecules. The blue arrow in the CH₃CN panel indicates 12₄ – 11₄ transition, which was not used in the fit as upper limit. Error bars include the errors on the flux as stated in Table A.4 and the uncertainty of the calibration of 20%.

Table B.1: L1489-IRS: Temperatures and beam averaged column densities derived for the envelope (see text).

Species	T_{rot} (K)	N_{tot}^c (cm ⁻²)
Envelope		
SO	20 (13) ^a	$2(3) \times 10^{12}$
p-H ₂ CO	23 (4) ^a	$19(6) \times 10^{11}$
c-C ₃ H ₂	19 (5) ^a	$5(2) \times 10^{11}$
¹³ CO	20 – 35 ^b	$2 – 3 \times 10^{15}$
C ¹⁸ O	20 – 35 ^b	$3 – 4 \times 10^{14}$
C ¹⁵ N	20 – 35 ^b	$23 – 27 \times 10^{10}$
CCD	20 – 35 ^b	$17 – 18 \times 10^{11}$
DCN ^c	20 – 35 ^b	$90 – 98 \times 10^9$
DCO ⁺	20 – 35 ^b	$3 – 4 \times 10^{10}$
o-D ₂ CO	20 – 35 ^b	$6 – 7 \times 10^{10}$

Notes. (^a) Temperatures and column densities as derived from rotational diagrams (see Table 5).

(^b) Temperature range assumed from the c-C₃H₂ analysis of the envelope emission (see text). (^c) Column density is corrected for the opacity as derived by fitting hyperfine line pattern (see Table 3). (^e) Source averaged column densities can be derived by applying the filling factor reported in Table 6 for c-C₃H₂ as an envelope tracer.

Table B.2: B5-IRS1: Temperatures and beam averaged column densities for different physical components (envelope, outflow, see text).

Species	T_{rot} (K)	N_{tot}^f (cm ⁻²)
Envelope		
SO	22 (15) ^a	$8(10) \times 10^{12}$
p-H ₂ CO	19 (4) ^a	$7(2) \times 10^{12}$
c-C ₃ H ₂	18 (3) ^a	$10(4) \times 10^{11}$
¹³ CO	20 – 35 ^b	$1 – 2 \times 10^{15}$
C ¹⁸ O	20 – 35 ^b	$6 – 7 \times 10^{14}$
¹³ CN	20 – 35 ^b	$36 – 43 \times 10^{10}$
¹³ CS ^c	20 – 35 ^b	$13 – 16 \times 10^{11}$
³⁴ SO	20 – 35 ^b	$9 – 10 \times 10^{11}$
CCD	20 – 35 ^b	$29 – 32 \times 10^{12}$
DCN	20 – 35 ^b	$83 – 90 \times 10^9$
N ₂ D ⁺	20 – 35 ^b	$48 – 51 \times 10^9$
DCO ⁺	20 – 35 ^b	$1 – 2 \times 10^{11}$
SO ₂	20 – 35 ^b	$4 – 6 \times 10^{12}$
o-H ₂ ¹³ CO	20 – 35 ^b	$1 – 10 \times 10^{10}$
o-D ₂ CO	20 – 35 ^b	$10 – 12 \times 10^{11}$
p-D ₂ CO	20 – 35 ^b	$5 – 15 \times 10^{11}$
o-H ₂ CS	20 – 35 ^b	$1 – 20 \times 10^{12}$
CH ₃ OH ^d	20 – 35 ^b	$1 – 2 \times 10^{13}$
CH ₂ DOH	20 – 35 ^b	$4 – 7 \times 10^{12}$
Outflow		
SO	20 (28) ^a	$1(2) \times 10^{12}$
p-H ₂ CO	23 (12) ^a	$147(99) \times 10^{10}$
¹³ CO	50 – 70 ^e	$1 – 2 \times 10^{15}$
C ¹⁸ O	50 – 70 ^e	$8 – 10 \times 10^{13}$
SO ₂	50 – 70 ^e	$2 – 3 \times 10^{12}$

Notes. (^a) Temperatures and column densities as derived from rotational diagrams (see Table 5). (^b) Temperature range assumed from the c-C₃H₂ analysis of the envelope emission (see text). (^c) Column density is corrected for the opacity as derived by fitting hyperfine line pattern (see Table 3). (^d) Column density refer to the sum of A and E species. (^e) Assumed according to the p-H₂CO analysis of the outflow component (see text). (^f) Source averaged column densities can be derived by applying the filling factor reported in Table 6 for c-C₃H₂ as an envelope tracer.

Table B.3: L1455-IRS1: Temperatures and beam averaged column densities for different physical components (envelope, outflow, (see text)).

Species	T_{rot} (K)	N_{tot}^h (cm ⁻²)
Envelope		
SO	18 (10) ^a	$7(9) \times 10^{12}$
p-H ₂ CO	33 (6) ^a	$10(3) \times 10^{12}$
HDCS	13 (9) ^a	$2(5) \times 10^{12}$
c-C ₃ H ₂	16 (3) ^a	$13(5) \times 10^{11}$
¹³ CN ^e	20 – 35 ^b	$40 – 49 \times 10^{11}$
¹³ CS	20 – 35 ^b	$28 – 33 \times 10^{10}$
SiO	20 – 35 ^b	$1 – 2 \times 10^{11}$
CCD	20 – 35 ^b	$18 – 19 \times 10^{11}$
DCN	20 – 35 ^b	$10 – 11 \times 10^{10}$
N ₂ D ⁺	20 – 35 ^b	$11 – 12 \times 10^{10}$
DCO ⁺	20 – 35 ^b	$1 – 2 \times 10^{11}$
SO ₂	20 – 35 ^b	$1 – 2 \times 10^{12}$
CCS	20 – 35 ^b	$9 – 40 \times 10^{11}$
o-H ₂ ¹³ CO	20 – 35 ^b	$3 – 4 \times 10^{11}$
o-D ₂ CO	20 – 35 ^b	$1 – 2 \times 10^{12}$
p-D ₂ CO	20 – 35 ^b	$1 – 2 \times 10^{12}$
o-H ₂ CS	20 – 35 ^b	$5 – 8 \times 10^{12}$
HNCO	20 – 35 ^b	$1 – 2 \times 10^{12}$
CH ₃ OH ^d	20 – 35 ^b	$7 – 8 \times 10^{13}$
CH ₂ DOH	20 – 35 ^b	$3 – 5 \times 10^{12}$
Outflow		
p-H ₂ CO	66 (34) ^a	$12(4) \times 10^{12}$
SO	50 – 70 ^c	$2 – 6 \times 10^{12}$
¹³ CO	50 – 70 ^c	$3 – 4 \times 10^{15}$
C ¹⁸ O	50 – 70 ^c	$2 – 3 \times 10^{14}$
¹³ CS	50 – 70 ^c	$13 – 15 \times 10^{10}$
DCN	50 – 70 ^c	$80 – 99 \times 10^9$
SO ₂	50 – 70 ^c	$2 – 3 \times 10^{12}$
H ₂ S	50 – 70 ^c	$11 – 12 \times 10^9$
Hot corino ^f		
OCS	59(102)	$2(5) \times 10^{13}$
H ₂ S	60 – 150 ^g	$1 – 2 \times 10^{13}$

Notes. (a) Temperatures and column densities as derived from rotational diagrams (see Table 5). (b) Temperature range assumed from the c-C₃H₂ analysis of the envelope emission (see text). (c) Assumed according to the p-H₂CO analysis of the outflow component (see text). (d) Column density refer to the sum of A and E species. (e) Column density is corrected for the opacity as derived by fitting hyperfine line pattern (see Table 3). (f) Assumed as hot corino discussed in Section 4.4.3 (g) Temperature range assumed from the CH₃OH and OCS rotational diagrams in L1551-IRS5. (h) Source averaged column densities can be derived by applying the filling factor reported in Table 6 for c-C₃H₂ as an envelope tracer.

Table B.4: L1551-IRS5: temperatures and beam averaged column densities for different physical components (envelope, outflow, and hot corino, see text).

Species	T_{rot} (K)	N_{tot}^h (cm ⁻²)
Envelope		
SO	35 (40) ^a	$1(2) \times 10^{13}$
SO ₂	44 (8) ^a	$8(2) \times 10^{12}$
p-H ₂ CO	30 (5) ^a	$22(7) \times 10^{12}$
o-D ₂ CO	18 (4) ^a	$3(2) \times 10^{12}$
p-D ₂ CO	28 (14) ^a	$9(7) \times 10^{12}$
c-C ₃ H ₂	24 (4) ^a	$11(3) \times 10^{12}$
H ₂ CCO	21 (13) ^a	$2(8) \times 10^{13}$
CH ₃ CCH	23 (11)	$6(13) \times 10^{13}$
C ¹⁸ O	20 – 35 ^b	$3 – 4 \times 10^{15}$
¹³ CN ^f	20 – 35 ^b	$45 – 54 \times 10^{11}$
C ¹⁵ N ^f	20 – 35 ^b	$59 – 71 \times 10^{10}$
¹³ CS	20 – 35 ^b	$13 – 16 \times 10^{10}$
³⁴ SO	20 – 35 ^b	$1 – 2 \times 10^{12}$
CCD	20 – 35 ^b	$10 – 11 \times 10^{12}$
DCN	20 – 35 ^b	$23 – 25 \times 10^{10}$
N ₂ D ⁺ ^f	20 – 35 ^b	$9 – 10 \times 10^{10}$
DCO ⁺	20 – 35 ^b	$3 – 4 \times 10^{11}$
CCS	20 – 35 ^b	$1 – 5 \times 10^{12}$
o-H ₂ CS ^g	20 – 35 ^b	$2 – 3 \times 10^{14}$
o-H ₂ C ³³ S	20 – 35 ^b	$6 – 7 \times 10^{11}$
HDCS	20 – 35 ^b	$8 – 10 \times 10^{11}$
HNCO	20 – 35 ^b	$5 – 8 \times 10^{11}$
c-C ₃ H	20 – 35 ^b	$14 – 17 \times 10^{11}$
CH ₃ OH ^e	20 – 35 ^b	$5 – 7 \times 10^{13}$
Outflow		
SO	33 (37) ^a	$11(11) \times 10^{12}$
p-H ₂ CO	58 (21) ^a	$5(2) \times 10^{12}$
¹³ CO	50 – 70 ^c	$3 – 4 \times 10^{15}$
C ¹⁸ O	50 – 70 ^c	$9 – 10 \times 10^{14}$
¹³ CS	50 – 70 ^c	$9 – 10 \times 10^{10}$
DCN	50 – 70 ^c	$16 – 20 \times 10^{10}$
DCO ⁺	50 – 70 ^c	$8 – 30 \times 10^9$
o-H ₂ CS	50 – 70 ^c	$1 – 2 \times 10^{12}$
Hot corino		
OCS ^g	64 (121) ^a	$2(5) \times 10^{15}$
CH ₃ OH ^e	148 (30) ^a	$16(4) \times 10^{13}$
CH ₃ CN	133 (81) ^a	$1(5) \times 10^{12}$
CH ₃ CHO ^e	42 (5) ^a	$22(6) \times 10^{12}$
O ¹³ CS	60 – 150 ^d	$3 – 4 \times 10^{12}$
H ₂ S	60 – 150 ^d	$4 – 5 \times 10^{13}$
CH ₂ DOH	60 – 150 ^d	$1 – 3 \times 10^{13}$
HCOOCH ₃	60 – 150 ^d	$3 – 5 \times 10^{13}$

Notes. (a) Temperatures and column densities as derived from rotational diagrams (see Table 5). (b) Temperature range assumed from the D₂CO and c-C₃H₂ analysis of the envelope emission (see text). (c) Assumed according to the p-H₂CO analysis of the outflow component (see text). (d) Temperature range assumed from the CH₃OH and OCS rotational diagrams (e) Column density refer to the sum of A and E species. (f) Column density is corrected for the opacity as derived by fitting hyperfine line pattern (see Table 3). (g) Column density is corrected for the opacity as mentioned in subsection 4.2. (h) Source averaged column densities can be derived by applying the filling factor reported in Table 6 for c-C₃H₂ as an envelope tracer and for CH₃OH and CH₃CN as hot corino tracers.