

On the Randomized Metric Distortion Conjecture

Haripriya Pulyassary*

Chaitanya Swamy*

January 13, 2022

Abstract

In the single winner determination problem, we have n voters and m candidates and each voter j incurs a cost $c(i, j)$ if candidate i is chosen. Our objective is to choose a candidate that minimizes the expected total cost incurred by the voters; however as we only have access to the agents' preference rankings over the outcomes, a loss of efficiency is inevitable. This loss of efficiency is quantified by *distortion*. We give an instance of the metric single winner determination problem for which any randomized social choice function has distortion at least 2.063164. This disproves the long-standing conjecture that there exists a randomized social choice function that has a worst-case distortion of at most 2.

1 Introduction

Social choice theory [5] is concerned with aggregating the preferences of agents into a single outcome. While it can be convenient to assume that agent preferences are captured by a *cardinal* utility function that assigns a numerical value to each outcome, in many contexts we only have access to *ordinal* information, namely the agents' preference rankings over the outcomes. There are many reasons why such situations may arise; perhaps the most prominent is that the agents themselves may find it difficult to place numerical values on the possible outcomes [2]. As ordinal preference rankings are not as expressive as cardinal utilities, a loss of efficiency, in terms of the quality of the outcome computed, is inevitable. Procaccia and Rosenschein [11] introduced the notion of *distortion*, which quantifies the worst-case efficiency loss for a given social choice function.

In the single winner determination problem, we have n voters and m candidates; each voter j incurs a cost $c(i, j)$ if candidate i is chosen. Our objective is to choose a candidate that minimizes the total cost incurred by the voters. If no additional assumptions are made regarding the underlying costs, there exists an instance such that every randomized social choice function has distortion at least $\Omega(\sqrt{m})$ [4]. However, in many settings, the costs represent literal or ideological distances, and consequently must be metric. Under

*{hpulyassary, cswamy}@uwaterloo.ca. Dept. of Combinatorics and Optimization, University of Waterloo

this assumption, there have been a string of positive results [1, 8, 10] and recently, Gkatzelis et. al [7] proved that there exists a deterministic social choice function that has a distortion of 3. Moreover, it is known that this bound is tight [1].

It has been shown that, for the metric single winner determination problem, randomized social choice functions can be strictly more powerful than deterministic mechanisms [3, 7, 9]. In particular, Gkatzelis et. al [7] give a randomized social choice function whose distortion is $3 - \frac{2}{m}$. A long-standing conjecture is that there exists a randomized social choice function that has a worst-case distortion of at most 2.

We develop a linear program that computes an instance-optimal randomized social choice function; i.e., a distribution that achieves minimum distortion for any given instance. Using this, we present a simple instance, where the optimal distortion achievable by randomized SCFs is strictly larger than 2, roughly 2.063164, thereby disproving the above conjecture. This conjecture has also been refuted independently by Charikar and Ramakrishnan [6], who also give a slightly larger lower bound. However, our techniques differ significantly from theirs and, in particular, the LP for computing an instance-optimal randomized social choice function may be of independent interest.

2 Preliminaries

The metric single winner determination problem can be stated equivalently as the 1-median problem where the voters are the set of clients, and the candidates correspond to the set of facilities. Let \mathcal{C} be a set of clients and \mathcal{F} be a set of facilities, located in a metric space (\mathcal{M}, d) , where d_{fi} is the distance from client i to facility f . We would like to choose a facility that minimizes $\sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}} d_{fj}$, the total cost incurred by the clients. If the clients disclose their distance vectors, this optimization problem becomes quite straightforward, however, in our setting, the system designer is only provided the clients' preference rankings over facilities, $\sigma = [\succeq_i]_{i \in \mathcal{C}}$. In this context, one should assume that the underlying metric d is *consistent* with σ (denoted $d \triangleleft \sigma$); that is, if a client i prefers facility a over facility b (denoted $a \succeq_i b$, or $a \succeq b$ if the context is sufficiently clear), a is closer to i than b ($d_{ai} \leq d_{bi}$).

A randomized *social choice function* (SCF) f maps a preference profile σ to a distribution over the candidates/facilities. As aforementioned, the notion of *distortion* can be used to quantify the efficiency-loss in the worst case. Formally, for a randomized social choice function f , we define

$$\text{distortion}(f) = \sup_{\sigma} \sup_{d \triangleleft \sigma} \frac{\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{j=1}^n d_{f(\sigma)j} \right]}{\min_{o \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{j=1}^n d_{oj}}$$

3 Computing an instance-optimal randomized SCF

In this section we give a linear program which, given a preference profile σ , computes an instance-optimal randomized social choice function. In order to do so, we first consider the adversary's problem: Given a preference profile σ , randomized SCF f , and optimal facility o , the adversary wishes to compute a metric d that is consistent with σ and maximizes $\frac{\mathbb{E}[\sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}} d_{f(\sigma)j}]}{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}} d_{oj}}$. This can be done by solving the linear program (P_{qo}) , where q is the distribution over the facilities specified by $f(\sigma)$. In the following, we use $\text{alt}(k, r)$ to denote the r th ranked outcome in \succeq_k .

$$\max \sum_{i \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}} q_i d_{ij} \tag{P_{qo}}$$

$$\text{s.t. } \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}} d_{oj} \leq 1 \tag{1}$$

$$d_{\text{alt}(j,r),j} \leq d_{\text{alt}(j,r+1),j} \quad \forall j \in \mathcal{C} \forall r \in [m-1] \tag{2}$$

$$d_{ij} \leq d_{ik} + d_{jk} \quad \forall i, j, k \in \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{F} \tag{3}$$

$$d \geq 0 \tag{4}$$

Constraint (1) normalizes $\sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}} d_{oj}$ (allowing us to avoid having a ratio in the objective), constraint (2) ensures that the metric d is consistent with the preference profile σ , and constraints (3)-(4) enforce that d is a metric. The optimal solution d is a metric that maximizes $\frac{\mathbb{E}[\sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}} d_{f(\sigma)j}]}{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}} d_{oj}} = \frac{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}} q_i d_{ij}}{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}} d_{oj}}$.

We now return to our original task, which is to compute an instance-optimal randomized SCF. Equivalently, we wish to compute an optimal distribution $q \in \Delta_F$ that minimizes $\max_{o \in \mathcal{F}} \text{OPT}(P_{qo})$. Notice that (P_{qo}) is of the form $(P_{qo}) : \min_d \{c^T d : A^o d \leq b^o, d \geq 0\}$, where c depends linearly on q and only A^o and b^o depend on the choice of o . The dual of (P_{qo}) is $(D_{qo}) : \min_y \{y^T b^o : y^T A^o \geq c, y \geq 0\}$. So, the problem of computing an instance-optimal randomized SCF is equivalent to

$$\min_{q \in \Delta_F} \max_{o \in \mathcal{F}} \text{OPT}(P_{qo}) = \min_{q \in \Delta_F} \{\gamma : \text{OPT}(P_{qo}) \leq \gamma \forall o \in \mathcal{F}\} = \min_{q \in \Delta_F} \{\gamma : \exists y^o \geq 0 \text{ s.t. } (y^o)^T A^o \geq c, (y^o)^T b^o \leq \gamma \forall o \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

where the last transition follows due to LP-duality. We expand the constraints of the final LP, $(y^o)^T A^o \geq c, (y^o)^T b^o \leq \gamma$, to obtain (Best-Dist) given below.

We use $\mathcal{T}_{\ell F}$ to denote the set of triangles $i, j, k \in \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{F}$ that contain ℓ facilities. More precisely, \mathcal{T}_{0F} denotes triangles consisting of three clients, \mathcal{T}_{1F} denotes triangles consisting of a single facility and two clients, $\mathcal{T}_{2F} = \{(j, i_1, i_2) : j \in \mathcal{C}, i_1, i_2 \in \mathcal{F}, i_1 \neq i_2, i_1 \succeq_j i_2\}$, and \mathcal{T}_{3F} denotes triangles consisting of three facilities.

min γ

(Best-Dist)

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{T=(i,j,\cdot) \in \mathcal{T}_{1F}} (-\alpha_{1,T}^{(1),o} + \alpha_{2,T}^{(1),o} - \alpha_{3,T}^{(1),o}) + \sum_{T=(i,\cdot,j) \in \mathcal{T}_{1F}} (-\alpha_{1,T}^{(1),o} - \alpha_{2,T}^{(1),o} + \alpha_{3,T}^{(1),o}) \\
& + \sum_{T=(j,\cdot,i) \in \mathcal{T}_{2F}} (\alpha_{1,T}^{(2),o} - \alpha_{2,T}^{(2),o}) + \sum_{T=(j,i,\cdot) \in \mathcal{T}_{2F}} (-\alpha_{1,T}^{(2),o} - \alpha_{2,T}^{(2),o}) + \beta_{j1}^o \mathbb{I}_{[i=\text{alt}(j,1)]} \\
& + \sum_{r=1}^{n-2} (\beta_{j,r+1}^o - \beta_{j,r}^o) \mathbb{I}_{[i=\text{alt}(j,r+1)]} - \beta_{j,m-1}^o \mathbb{I}_{[i=\text{alt}(j,m)]} + \gamma \mathbb{I}_{[i=o]} \geq q_i \quad \forall j \in \mathcal{C}, \forall i \in \mathcal{F} \forall o \in \mathcal{F}
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{T=(i_1,i_2,\cdot) \in \mathcal{T}_{3F}} (\alpha_{1,T}^{(3),o} - \alpha_{2,T}^{(3),o} - \alpha_{3,T}^{(3),o}) + \sum_{T=(i_1,\cdot,i_2) \in \mathcal{T}_{3F}} (-\alpha_{1,T}^{(3),o} + \alpha_{2,T}^{(3),o} - \alpha_{3,T}^{(3),o}) \\
& + \sum_{T=(\cdot,i_1,i_2) \in \mathcal{T}_{3F}} (-\alpha_{1,T}^{(3),o} - \alpha_{2,T}^{(3),o} + \alpha_{3,T}^{(3),o}) + \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{2F}: i_1, i_2 \in T} (\alpha_{2,T}^{(2),o} - \alpha_{1,T}^{(2),o}) \geq 0 \quad \forall i_1, i_2 \in \mathcal{F} \forall o \in \mathcal{F}
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{(j_1,j_2,\cdot) \in \mathcal{T}_{0F}} (\alpha_{1,T}^{(0),o} - \alpha_{2,T}^{(0),o} - \alpha_{3,T}^{(0),o}) + \sum_{(j_1,\cdot,j_2) \in \mathcal{T}_{0F}} (-\alpha_{1,T}^{(0),o} + \alpha_{2,T}^{(0),o} - \alpha_{3,T}^{(0),o}) \\
& + \sum_{(\cdot,j_1,j_2) \in \mathcal{T}_{0F}} (-\alpha_{1,T}^{(0),o} - \alpha_{2,T}^{(0),o} + \alpha_{3,T}^{(0),o}) + \sum_{(\cdot,j_1,j_2) \in \mathcal{T}_{1F}} (\alpha_{1,T}^{(1),o} - \alpha_{2,T}^{(1),o} - \alpha_{3,T}^{(1),o}) \geq 0 \quad \forall j_1, j_2 \in \mathcal{C} \forall o \in \mathcal{F}
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{F}} q_i \geq 1 \tag{8}$$

$$\alpha^{(0),o}, \alpha^{(1),o}, \alpha^{(2),o}, \alpha^{(3),o}, \beta^o, \gamma, q \geq 0 \quad \forall o \in \mathcal{F} \tag{9}$$

The dual of (Best-Dist) is equivalent to

max φ

(Best-Dist-Dual)

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{o \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}} d_{oj}^o \leq 1 \\
& \varphi - \sum_{o \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}} d_{ij}^o \leq 0 \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{F} \\
& d_{\text{alt}(j,r),j}^o \leq d_{\text{alt}(j,r+1),j}^o \quad \forall j \in \mathcal{C} \forall r \in [m-1] \forall o \in \mathcal{F} \\
& d_{ij}^o \leq d_{ik}^o + d_{jk}^o \quad \forall i, j, k \in \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{F} \forall o \in \mathcal{F} \\
& \varphi, d^o \geq 0 \quad \forall o \in \mathcal{F}
\end{aligned}$$

3.1 A lower bound for the distortion of randomized SCFs

We show that, for any randomized social choice function f , $\text{distortion}(f) \geq 2.063164$.

Theorem 3.1. *There exists an instance $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}, \sigma)$ such that, for any randomized social choice function f ,*
 $\sup_{d \triangleleft \sigma} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}} d_{f(\sigma)j}]}{\min_{o \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}} d_{oj}} \geq 2.063164$.

Proof. Consider the following instance with $\mathcal{C} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$, and $\mathcal{F} = \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g\}$. The preference profile σ is given by

$$1, 2, 3 : c \succeq e \succeq b \succeq a \succeq f \succeq g \succeq d, \quad 4, 5, 6 : d \succeq g \succeq f \succeq a \succeq e \succeq b \succeq c, \quad 7 : b \succeq a \succeq f \succeq g \succeq e \succeq c \succeq d$$


For this instance, $\text{OPT}(\text{Best-Dist}) = 2.063164$ – that is, for any randomized social choice function f ,
 $\sup_{d \triangleleft \sigma} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}} d_{f(\sigma)j}]}{\min_{o \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}} d_{oj}} \geq 2.063164$. The instance-optimal distribution q is

$$\begin{array}{llll} q_a = 0.039301 & q_b = 0.121723 & q_c = 0.388299 & q_d = 0.291224 \\ q_e = 0.107872 & q_f = 0.029475 & q_g = 0.022107 & \end{array}$$


The values of the remaining variables are presented in Appendix A.

The optimal solution to the dual of (Best-Dist) also has value 2.063164, and is given below. The dual variables d^a, d^b, \dots, d^g can be interpreted as metrics, which are represented by the graphs given on the following page. In this solution, clients with the same preference rankings are colocated – namely, clients in $\mathcal{C}_1 = \{1, 2, 3\}$ are colocated and clients in $\mathcal{C}_2 = \{4, 5, 6\}$ are colocated. The exact values of the dual variables are presented in Appendix B.


□




(a) For any $i, j \in \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{F}$, d_{ij}^a is the shortest-path distance in the above graph, where $M_a = 0.014507$




(b) For any $i, j \in \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{F}$, d_{ij}^b is the shortest-path distance in the above graph, where $M_b = 0.020955$




(c) For any $i, j \in \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{F}$, d_{ij}^c is the shortest-path distance in the above graph, where $M_c = 0.038866$




(d) For any $i, j \in \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{F}$, d_{ij}^d is the shortest-path distance in the above graph, where $M_d = 0.051820$



(e) For any $i, j \in \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{F}$, d_{ij}^e is the shortest-path distance in the above graph, where $M_e = 0.013433$



(f) For any $i, j \in \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{F}$, d_{ij}^f is the shortest-path distance in the above graph, where $M_f = 0.019343$



(g) For any $i, j \in \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{F}$, d_{ij}^g is the shortest-path distance in the above graph, where $M_g = 0.025791$

References

- [1] E. Anshelevich, O. Bhardwaj, E. Elkind, J. Postl, and P. Skowron. Approximating optimal social choice under metric preferences. *Artificial Intelligence*, 264:27–51, Nov. 2018.
- [2] E. Anshelevich, A. Filos-Ratsikas, N. Shah, and A. A. Voudouris. Distortion in Social Choice Problems: The First 15 Years and Beyond. In *Proceedings of the Thirtieth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI 2021*, pages 4294–4301, 2021.
- [3] E. Anshelevich and J. Postl. Randomized Social Choice Functions Under Metric Preferences. In *J. Artif. Intell. Res.*, volume 58, pages 797–827, 2017.
- [4] C. Boutilier, I. Caragiannis, S. Haber, T. Lu, A. D. Procaccia, and O. Sheffet. Optimal social choice functions: A utilitarian view. *Artificial Intelligence*, 227:190–213, Oct. 2015.
- [5] F. Brandt, V. Conitzer, U. Endriss, J. Lang, and A. D. Procaccia, editors. *Handbook of Computational Social Choice*. Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [6] M. Charikar and P. Ramakrishnan. Metric Distortion Bounds for Randomized Social Choice. *arXiv:2111.03694 [cs]*, Nov. 2021.
- [7] V. Gkatzelis, D. Halpern, and N. Shah. Resolving the Optimal Metric Distortion Conjecture. In *61st IEEE Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, FOCS 2020*, pages 1427–1438, 2020.
- [8] D. Kempe. An Analysis Framework for Metric Voting based on LP Duality. In *Proceedings of the Thirty-Fourth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI 2020*, pages 2079–2086, 2020.
- [9] D. Kempe. Communication, distortion, and randomness in metric voting. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 34, pages 2087–2094, 2020.
- [10] K. Munagala and K. Wang. Improved Metric Distortion for Deterministic Social Choice Rules. In *Proceedings of the 2019 ACM Conference on Economics and Computation*, pages 245–262, June 2019.
- [11] A. D. Procaccia and J. S. Rosenschein. The distortion of cardinal preferences in voting. In *International Workshop on Cooperative Information Agents*, pages 317–331. Springer, 2006.

A Optimal solution to (Best-Dist)

The optimal solution to (Best-Dist) is presented below (variables whose value is zero have been omitted).

$\gamma = 2.063164$			
$\beta_{11}^a = 0.905962$	$\beta_{21}^a = 0.812009$	$\beta_{31}^a = 0.776597$	$\beta_{41}^a = 0.668060$
$\beta_{51}^a = 0.771224$	$\beta_{61}^a = 0.822806$	$\beta_{71}^a = 0.653305$	$\beta_{12}^a = 1.013834$
$\beta_{22}^a = 1.027753$	$\beta_{32}^a = 0.884469$	$\beta_{42}^a = 0.815437$	$\beta_{52}^a = 0.815437$
$\beta_{62}^a = 0.844913$	$\beta_{13}^a = 1.149475$	$\beta_{23}^a = 1.149475$	$\beta_{33}^a = 1.149475$
$\beta_{43}^a = 0.874388$	$\beta_{53}^a = 0.874388$	$\beta_{63}^a = 0.874388$	$\beta_{25}^a = 0.029475$
$\beta_{35}^a = 0.029475$	$\beta_{45}^a = 0.107872$	$\beta_{55}^a = 0.107872$	$\beta_{65}^a = 0.107872$
$\beta_{76}^a = 0.223522$	$\beta_{11}^b = 0.919881$	$\beta_{21}^b = 0.919881$	$\beta_{31}^b = 0.878328$
$\beta_{41}^b = 0.582448$	$\beta_{51}^b = 0.633313$	$\beta_{61}^b = 0.822806$	$\beta_{12}^b = 1.027753$
$\beta_{22}^b = 1.027753$	$\beta_{32}^b = 1.027753$	$\beta_{42}^b = 0.626661$	$\beta_{52}^b = 0.655420$
$\beta_{62}^b = 0.844913$	$\beta_{43}^b = 0.685612$	$\beta_{53}^b = 0.714371$	$\beta_{63}^b = 0.874388$
$\beta_{73}^b = 0.058951$	$\beta_{44}^b = 0.842814$	$\beta_{54}^b = 0.792972$	$\beta_{64}^b = 0.913689$
$\beta_{74}^b = 0.125271$	$\beta_{45}^b = 1.021561$	$\beta_{55}^b = 1.021561$	$\beta_{65}^b = 1.021561$
$\beta_{41}^c = 0.822806$	$\beta_{51}^c = 0.633313$	$\beta_{61}^c = 0.582448$	$\beta_{71}^c = 0.380657$
$\beta_{12}^c = 0.107872$	$\beta_{22}^c = 0.107872$	$\beta_{32}^c = 0.107872$	$\beta_{42}^c = 0.844913$
$\beta_{52}^c = 0.655420$	$\beta_{62}^c = 0.604554$	$\beta_{72}^c = 0.537859$	$\beta_{43}^c = 0.874388$
$\beta_{53}^c = 0.684896$	$\beta_{63}^c = 0.634030$	$\beta_{73}^c = 0.655761$	$\beta_{44}^c = 0.913689$
$\beta_{54}^c = 0.724196$	$\beta_{64}^c = 0.673330$	$\beta_{74}^c = 0.744188$	$\beta_{45}^c = 1.021561$
$\beta_{55}^c = 0.832068$	$\beta_{65}^c = 0.781203$	$\beta_{75}^c = 0.852060$	$\beta_{46}^c = 1.143284$
$\beta_{56}^c = 1.143284$	$\beta_{66}^c = 1.143284$	$\beta_{11}^d = 0.579753$	$\beta_{21}^d = 0.776597$
$\beta_{31}^d = 0.441859$	$\beta_{71}^d = 0.121723$	$\beta_{12}^d = 0.687625$	$\beta_{22}^d = 0.884469$
$\beta_{32}^d = 0.549731$	$\beta_{72}^d = 0.161023$	$\beta_{13}^d = 1.038942$	$\beta_{23}^d = 1.149475$
$\beta_{33}^d = 0.987360$	$\beta_{73}^d = 0.190499$	$\beta_{14}^d = 1.078243$	$\beta_{24}^d = 1.188776$
$\beta_{34}^d = 1.144563$	$\beta_{74}^d = 0.212606$	$\beta_{15}^d = 1.196145$	$\beta_{25}^d = 1.218256$
$\beta_{35}^d = 1.174038$	$\beta_{45}^d = 0.107872$	$\beta_{55}^d = 0.107872$	$\beta_{65}^d = 0.107872$
$\beta_{75}^d = 0.320478$	$\beta_{16}^d = 1.240358$	$\beta_{26}^d = 1.240358$	$\beta_{36}^d = 1.240358$
$\beta_{76}^d = 1.240358$	$\beta_{11}^e = 0.919881$	$\beta_{21}^e = 0.919881$	$\beta_{31}^e = 0.919881$
$\beta_{41}^e = 0.661783$	$\beta_{51}^e = 0.773953$	$\beta_{61}^e = 0.602832$	$\beta_{71}^e = 0.445339$
$\beta_{42}^e = 0.683889$	$\beta_{52}^e = 0.796060$	$\beta_{62}^e = 0.624938$	$\beta_{72}^e = 0.626286$

$\beta_{13}^e = 0.121723$	$\beta_{23}^e = 0.121723$	$\beta_{33}^e = 0.121723$	$\beta_{43}^e = 0.713365$
$\beta_{53}^e = 0.855011$	$\beta_{63}^e = 0.713365$	$\beta_{73}^e = 0.655761$	$\beta_{44}^e = 0.913689$
$\beta_{54}^e = 0.913689$	$\beta_{64}^e = 0.913689$	$\beta_{74}^e = 0.744188$	$\beta_{66}^e = 0.041552$
$\beta_{11}^f = 0.919881$	$\beta_{21}^f = 0.919881$	$\beta_{31}^f = 0.536904$	$\beta_{41}^f = 0.800699$
$\beta_{51}^f = 0.778593$	$\beta_{61}^f = 0.800699$	$\beta_{71}^f = 0.574704$	$\beta_{12}^f = 1.027753$
$\beta_{22}^f = 1.027753$	$\beta_{32}^f = 0.758857$	$\beta_{42}^f = 0.844913$	$\beta_{52}^f = 0.844913$
$\beta_{62}^f = 0.844913$	$\beta_{72}^f = 0.692606$	$\beta_{13}^f = 1.149475$	$\beta_{23}^f = 1.149475$
$\beta_{33}^f = 1.110175$	$\beta_{44}^f = 1.188776$	$\beta_{54}^f = 1.188776$	$\beta_{64}^f = 1.188776$
$\beta_{45}^f = 0.107872$	$\beta_{55}^f = 0.101663$	$\beta_{65}^f = 0.107872$	$\beta_{76}^f = 0.341424$
$\beta_{11}^g = 0.776597$	$\beta_{21}^g = 0.776597$	$\beta_{31}^g = 0.776597$	$\beta_{41}^g = 0.822806$
$\beta_{51}^g = 0.822806$	$\beta_{61}^g = 0.822806$	$\beta_{71}^g = 0.580913$	$\beta_{12}^g = 0.884469$
$\beta_{22}^g = 0.950789$	$\beta_{32}^g = 0.884469$	$\beta_{42}^g = 0.692606$	$\beta_{13}^g = 1.092503$
$\beta_{23}^g = 1.072512$	$\beta_{33}^g = 1.149475$	$\beta_{63}^g = 0.029475$	$\beta_{73}^g = 0.722081$
$\beta_{14}^g = 1.159301$	$\beta_{24}^g = 1.159301$	$\beta_{34}^g = 1.188776$	$\beta_{15}^g = 1.218252$
$\beta_{25}^g = 1.218252$	$\beta_{35}^g = 1.218252$	$\beta_{45}^g = 0.107872$	$\beta_{55}^g = 0.107872$
$\beta_{65}^g = 0.107872$	$\beta_{75}^g = 0.041552$	$\beta_{76}^g = 0.429851$	
$\alpha_{1,(a,1,5)}^{(1),a} = 0.695693$	$\alpha_{1,(a,1,7)}^{(1),a} = 0.164776$	$\alpha_{1,(a,2,4)}^{(1),a} = 0.051582$	$\alpha_{1,(a,2,5)}^{(1),a} = 0.035412$
$\alpha_{1,(a,2,6)}^{(1),a} = 0.679522$	$\alpha_{1,(a,2,7)}^{(1),a} = 0.107872$	$\alpha_{1,(a,3,4)}^{(1),a} = 0.874388$	$\alpha_{1,(a,4,7)}^{(1),a} = 0.223505$
$\alpha_{1,(a,5,7)}^{(1),a} = 0.418371$	$\alpha_{1,(a,6,7)}^{(1),a} = 0.456034$	$\alpha_{1,(b,1,5)}^{(1),b} = 0.575539$	$\alpha_{1,(b,1,7)}^{(1),b} = 0.338149$
$\alpha_{1,(b,2,4)}^{(1),b} = 0.068776$	$\alpha_{1,(b,2,5)}^{(1),b} = 0.143283$	$\alpha_{1,(b,2,6)}^{(1),b} = 0.679522$	$\alpha_{1,(b,2,7)}^{(1),b} = 0.022106$
$\alpha_{1,(b,3,4)}^{(1),b} = 0.740929$	$\alpha_{1,(b,3,5)}^{(1),b} = 0.029475$	$\alpha_{1,(b,3,7)}^{(1),b} = 0.143284$	$\alpha_{1,(b,4,7)}^{(1),b} = 0.110175$
$\alpha_{1,(b,5,7)}^{(1),b} = 0.171582$	$\alpha_{1,(b,6,7)}^{(1),b} = 0.240358$	$\alpha_{1,(c,1,2)}^{(1),c} = 0.229595$	$\alpha_{1,(c,1,3)}^{(1),c} = 0.058951$
$\alpha_{1,(c,1,4)}^{(1),c} = 0.531582$	$\alpha_{1,(c,1,6)}^{(1),c} = 0.240358$	$\alpha_{1,(c,1,7)}^{(1),c} = 0.609023$	$\alpha_{1,(c,2,3)}^{(1),c} = 0.029475$
$\alpha_{1,(c,2,5)}^{(1),c} = 0.291224$	$\alpha_{1,(c,2,7)}^{(1),c} = 0.061407$	$\alpha_{1,(c,3,5)}^{(1),c} = 0.189493$	$\alpha_{1,(c,3,6)}^{(1),c} = 0.291224$
$\alpha_{1,(c,3,7)}^{(1),c} = 0.101510$	$\alpha_{1,(c,5,7)}^{(1),c} = 0.050866$	$\alpha_{1,(d,1,4)}^{(1),d} = 0.250405$	$\alpha_{1,(d,1,5)}^{(1),d} = 0.229595$
$\alpha_{1,(d,1,6)}^{(1),d} = 0.051582$	$\alpha_{1,(d,2,4)}^{(1),d} = 0.143284$	$\alpha_{1,(d,2,5)}^{(1),d} = 0.388299$	$\alpha_{1,(d,3,4)}^{(1),d} = 0.161978$
$\alpha_{1,(d,3,5)}^{(1),d} = 0.140009$	$\alpha_{1,(d,3,6)}^{(1),d} = 0.229595$	$\alpha_{1,(d,4,5)}^{(1),d} = 0.068776$	$\alpha_{1,(d,4,7)}^{(1),d} = 0.143284$
$\alpha_{1,(d,5,6)}^{(1),d} = 0.039301$	$\alpha_{1,(d,6,7)}^{(1),d} = 0.388299$	$\alpha_{1,(e,1,5)}^{(1),e} = 0.422431$	$\alpha_{1,(e,1,6)}^{(1),e} = 0.590874$
$\alpha_{1,(e,1,7)}^{(1),e} = 0.022107$	$\alpha_{1,(e,2,4)}^{(1),e} = 0.388299$	$\alpha_{1,(e,2,5)}^{(1),e} = 0.019377$	$\alpha_{1,(e,2,6)}^{(1),e} = 0.320699$
$\alpha_{1,(e,2,7)}^{(1),e} = 0.307036$	$\alpha_{1,(e,3,4)}^{(1),e} = 0.452247$	$\alpha_{1,(e,3,5)}^{(1),e} = 0.286567$	$\alpha_{1,(e,3,6)}^{(1),e} = 0.029475$
$\alpha_{1,(e,3,7)}^{(1),e} = 0.267121$	$\alpha_{1,(e,4,7)}^{(1),e} = 0.201058$	$\alpha_{1,(e,5,7)}^{(1),e} = 0.313228$	$\alpha_{1,(e,6,7)}^{(1),e} = 0.100554$
$\alpha_{1,(f,1,5)}^{(1),f} = 0.456614$	$\alpha_{1,(f,1,6)}^{(1),f} = 0.388299$	$\alpha_{1,(f,2,4)}^{(1),f} = 0.577791$	$\alpha_{1,(f,2,6)}^{(1),f} = 0.022107$
$\alpha_{1,(f,3,4)}^{(1),f} = 0.465706$	$\alpha_{1,(f,3,5)}^{(1),f} = 0.224461$	$\alpha_{1,(f,3,7)}^{(1),f} = 0.154746$	$\alpha_{1,(f,4,7)}^{(1),f} = 0.145279$
$\alpha_{1,(f,5,7)}^{(1),f} = 0.262687$	$\alpha_{1,(f,6,7)}^{(1),f} = 0.778371$	$\alpha_{1,(g,1,4)}^{(1),g} = 0.474610$	$\alpha_{1,(g,1,5)}^{(1),g} = 0.056972$
$\alpha_{1,(g,1,6)}^{(1),g} = 0.291224$	$\alpha_{1,(g,2,4)}^{(1),g} = 0.320699$	$\alpha_{1,(g,2,5)}^{(1),g} = 0.388299$	$\alpha_{1,(g,2,6)}^{(1),g} = 0.047488$
$\alpha_{1,(g,2,7)}^{(1),g} = 0.066320$	$\alpha_{1,(g,3,4)}^{(1),g} = 0.143284$	$\alpha_{1,(g,3,5)}^{(1),g} = 0.291224$	$\alpha_{1,(g,3,6)}^{(1),g} = 0.388299$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{1,(g,4,7)}^{(1),g} &= 0.279659 & \alpha_{1,(g,5,7)}^{(1),g} &= 0.481757 & \alpha_{1,(g,6,7)}^{(1),g} &= 0.491241 & \alpha_{2,(a,1,5)}^{(1),b} &= 0.039301 \\
\alpha_{2,(a,1,6)}^{(1),e} &= 0.161023 & \alpha_{2,(a,1,7)}^{(1),c} &= 0.039301 & \alpha_{2,(a,2,4)}^{(1),b} &= 0.039301 & \alpha_{2,(a,2,5)}^{(1),e} &= 0.019377 \\
\alpha_{2,(a,2,7)}^{(1),c} &= 0.039301 & \alpha_{2,(a,2,7)}^{(1),e} &= 0.141646 & \alpha_{2,(a,3,4)}^{(1),b} &= 0.039301 & \alpha_{2,(a,3,4)}^{(1),e} &= 0.161023 \\
\alpha_{2,(a,3,7)}^{(1),c} &= 0.039301 & \alpha_{2,(a,4,5)}^{(1),d} &= 0.039301 & \alpha_{2,(a,4,7)}^{(1),g} &= 0.039301 & \alpha_{2,(a,5,7)}^{(1),f} &= 0.039301 \\
\alpha_{2,(a,5,7)}^{(1),g} &= 0.011804 & \alpha_{2,(a,6,7)}^{(1),f} &= 0.039301 & \alpha_{2,(a,6,7)}^{(1),g} &= 0.021288 & \alpha_{2,(b,1,6)}^{(1),c} &= 0.240358 \\
\alpha_{2,(b,1,7)}^{(1),b} &= 0.218832 & \alpha_{2,(b,3,5)}^{(1),b} &= 0.189493 & \alpha_{2,(b,3,7)}^{(1),b} &= 0.040102 & \alpha_{2,(b,4,7)}^{(1),a} &= 0.086311 \\
\alpha_{2,(b,4,7)}^{(1),e} &= 0.121723 & \alpha_{2,(b,5,7)}^{(1),a} &= 0.229595 & \alpha_{2,(b,5,7)}^{(1),e} &= 0.121723 & \alpha_{2,(b,5,7)}^{(1),f} &= 0.223386 \\
\alpha_{2,(b,5,7)}^{(1),g} &= 0.229595 & \alpha_{2,(b,6,7)}^{(1),a} &= 0.215676 & \alpha_{2,(b,6,7)}^{(1),e} &= 0.080171 & \alpha_{2,(b,6,7)}^{(1),f} &= 0.229595 \\
\alpha_{2,(b,6,7)}^{(1),g} &= 0.229595 & \alpha_{2,(b,4,7)}^{(1),d} &= 0.143284 & \alpha_{2,(c,6,7)}^{(1),d} &= 0.388299 & \alpha_{2,(d,1,4)}^{(1),c} &= 0.531582 \\
\alpha_{2,(d,1,5)}^{(1),a} &= 0.291224 & \alpha_{2,(d,1,5)}^{(1),b} &= 0.291224 & \alpha_{2,(d,1,5)}^{(1),e} &= 0.291224 & \alpha_{2,(d,1,5)}^{(1),f} &= 0.291224 \\
\alpha_{2,(d,1,6)}^{(1),g} &= 0.291224 & \alpha_{2,(d,2,4)}^{(1),f} &= 0.291224 & \alpha_{2,(d,2,4)}^{(1),g} &= 0.291224 & \alpha_{2,(d,2,5)}^{(1),b} &= 0.291224 \\
\alpha_{2,(d,2,6)}^{(1),a} &= 0.291224 & \alpha_{2,(d,2,6)}^{(1),b} &= 0.291224 & \alpha_{2,(d,2,6)}^{(1),e} &= 0.291224 & \alpha_{2,(d,3,4)}^{(1),a} &= 0.291224 \\
\alpha_{2,(d,3,4)}^{(1),b} &= 0.291224 & \alpha_{2,(d,3,4)}^{(1),e} &= 0.291224 & \alpha_{2,(d,3,4)}^{(1),f} &= 0.095079 & \alpha_{2,(d,3,5)}^{(1),f} &= 0.196145 \\
\alpha_{2,(d,3,5)}^{(1),g} &= 0.291224 & \alpha_{2,(d,3,6)}^{(1),c} &= 0.291224 & \alpha_{2,(f,1,5)}^{(1),a} &= 0.029475 & \alpha_{2,(f,1,5)}^{(1),e} &= 0.029475 \\
\alpha_{2,(f,1,7)}^{(1),b} &= 0.029475 & \alpha_{2,(f,1,7)}^{(1),c} &= 0.088426 & \alpha_{2,(f,2,3)}^{(1),c} &= 0.029475 & \alpha_{2,(f,2,4)}^{(1),b} &= 0.029475 \\
\alpha_{2,(f,2,6)}^{(1),e} &= 0.029475 & \alpha_{2,(f,3,5)}^{(1),b} &= 0.029475 & \alpha_{2,(f,3,6)}^{(1),e} &= 0.029475 & \alpha_{2,(g,1,5)}^{(1),a} &= 0.022107 \\
\alpha_{2,(g,1,5)}^{(1),f} &= 0.022107 & \alpha_{2,(g,1,7)}^{(1),b} &= 0.022107 & \alpha_{2,(g,1,7)}^{(1),c} &= 0.022107 & \alpha_{2,(g,1,7)}^{(1),e} &= 0.022107 \\
\alpha_{2,(g,2,4)}^{(1),a} &= 0.051582 & \alpha_{2,(g,2,6)}^{(1),f} &= 0.022107 & \alpha_{2,(g,2,7)}^{(1),c} &= 0.022107 & \alpha_{2,(g,2,7)}^{(1),b} &= 0.022107 \\
\alpha_{2,(g,2,7)}^{(1),e} &= 0.022107 & \alpha_{2,(g,3,4)}^{(1),a} &= 0.051582 & \alpha_{2,(g,3,4)}^{(1),b} &= 0.022107 & \alpha_{2,(g,3,5)}^{(1),f} &= 0.022107 \\
\alpha_{2,(g,3,7)}^{(1),c} &= 0.022107 & \alpha_{2,(g,3,7)}^{(1),e} &= 0.022107 & & & & \\
\alpha_{3,(a,1,5)}^{(1),g} &= 0.027497 & \alpha_{3,(a,2,6)}^{(1),g} &= 0.047489 & \alpha_{3,(a,3,4)}^{(1),f} &= 0.039301 & \alpha_{3,(a,3,5)}^{(1),d} &= 0.117902 \\
\alpha_{3,(a,4,7)}^{(1),b} &= 0.039301 & \alpha_{3,(a,5,6)}^{(1),d} &= 0.039301 & \alpha_{3,(b,1,2)}^{(1),c} &= 0.229595 & \alpha_{3,(b,1,4)}^{(1),g} &= 0.086311 \\
\alpha_{3,(b,1,5)}^{(1),d} &= 0.229595 & \alpha_{3,(b,2,4)}^{(1),d} &= 0.143284 & \alpha_{3,(b,3,4)}^{(1),a} &= 0.143284 & \alpha_{3,(b,3,4)}^{(1),d} &= 0.086311 \\
\alpha_{3,(b,3,4)}^{(1),f} &= 0.229595 & \alpha_{3,(b,3,4)}^{(1),g} &= 0.143284 & \alpha_{3,(b,3,6)}^{(1),d} &= 0.229595 & \alpha_{3,(c,1,4)}^{(1),d} &= 0.191454 \\
\alpha_{3,(c,1,4)}^{(1),g} &= 0.388299 & \alpha_{3,(c,1,5)}^{(1),a} &= 0.352887 & \alpha_{3,(c,1,5)}^{(1),b} &= 0.245015 & \alpha_{3,(c,1,5)}^{(1),e} &= 0.101731 \\
\alpha_{3,(c,1,5)}^{(1),f} &= 0.143284 & \alpha_{3,(c,1,6)}^{(1),e} &= 0.429851 & \alpha_{3,(c,1,6)}^{(1),f} &= 0.388299 & \alpha_{3,(c,1,7)}^{(1),a} &= 0.164776 \\
\alpha_{3,(c,1,7)}^{(1),b} &= 0.286567 & \alpha_{3,(c,2,4)}^{(1),e} &= 0.388299 & \alpha_{3,(c,2,4)}^{(1),f} &= 0.286567 & \alpha_{3,(c,2,5)}^{(1),a} &= 0.035412 \\
\alpha_{3,(c,2,5)}^{(1),b} &= 0.143284 & \alpha_{3,(c,2,5)}^{(1),d} &= 0.388299 & \alpha_{3,(c,2,5)}^{(1),g} &= 0.388299 & \alpha_{3,(c,2,6)}^{(1),a} &= 0.388299 \\
\alpha_{3,(c,2,6)}^{(1),b} &= 0.388299 & \alpha_{3,(c,2,7)}^{(1),e} &= 0.143284 & \alpha_{3,(c,3,4)}^{(1),a} &= 0.388299 & \alpha_{3,(c,3,4)}^{(1),b} &= 0.388299 \\
\alpha_{3,(c,3,4)}^{(1),d} &= 0.053561 & \alpha_{3,(c,3,4)}^{(1),f} &= 0.101731 & \alpha_{3,(c,3,5)}^{(1),e} &= 0.286567 & \alpha_{3,(c,3,6)}^{(1),g} &= 0.388299 \\
\alpha_{3,(c,3,7)}^{(1),b} &= 0.101731 & \alpha_{3,(c,3,7)}^{(1),e} &= 0.245015 & \alpha_{3,(c,3,7)}^{(1),f} &= 0.046874 & \alpha_{3,(d,1,7)}^{(1),c} &= 0.240358 \\
\alpha_{3,(d,4,7)}^{(1),a} &= 0.085612 & \alpha_{3,(d,4,7)}^{(1),e} &= 0.079335 & \alpha_{3,(d,4,7)}^{(1),f} &= 0.123173 & \alpha_{3,(d,4,7)}^{(1),g} &= 0.240358 \\
\alpha_{3,(d,5,7)}^{(1),a} &= 0.188776 & \alpha_{3,(d,5,7)}^{(1),b} &= 0.050865 & \alpha_{3,(d,5,7)}^{(1),c} &= 0.050866 & \alpha_{3,(d,5,7)}^{(1),e} &= 0.191505 \\
\alpha_{3,(d,5,7)}^{(1),g} &= 0.240358 & \alpha_{3,(d,6,7)}^{(1),a} &= 0.240358 & \alpha_{3,(d,6,7)}^{(1),b} &= 0.240358 & \alpha_{3,(d,6,7)}^{(1),e} &= 0.020384 \\
\alpha_{3,(d,6,7)}^{(1),f} &= 0.509475 & \alpha_{3,(d,6,7)}^{(1),g} &= 0.240358 & \alpha_{3,(e,2,7)}^{(1),a} &= 0.107872 & \alpha_{3,(e,2,7)}^{(1),g} &= 0.066320
\end{aligned}$$

$\alpha_{3,(e,3,5)}^{(1),f} = 0.006209$	$\alpha_{3,(e,3,7)}^{(1),b} = 0.041552$	$\alpha_{3,(e,3,7)}^{(1),f} = 0.107872$	$\alpha_{3,(e,4,7)}^{(1),b} = 0.070874$
$\alpha_{3,(e,5,7)}^{(1),b} = 0.120716$	$\alpha_{3,(f,1,3)}^{(1),c} = 0.058951$	$\alpha_{3,(f,1,4)}^{(1),d} = 0.058951$	$\alpha_{3,(f,1,5)}^{(1),g} = 0.029475$
$\alpha_{3,(f,1,6)}^{(1),d} = 0.029475$	$\alpha_{3,(f,2,4)}^{(1),g} = 0.029475$	$\alpha_{3,(f,4,5)}^{(1),d} = 0.029475$	$\alpha_{3,(f,4,7)}^{(1),a} = 0.029475$
$\alpha_{3,(g,1,6)}^{(1),d} = 0.022107$	$\alpha_{3,(g,3,4)}^{(1),d} = 0.022107$	$\alpha_{3,(g,3,5)}^{(1),d} = 0.022107$	$\alpha_{3,(g,4,7)}^{(1),a} = 0.022107$
$\alpha_{3,(g,4,7)}^{(1),f} = 0.022107$	$\alpha_{1,(5,f,c)}^{(2),f} = 0.245015$	$\alpha_{1,(6,a,b)}^{(2),a} = 0.013919$	$\alpha_{2,(1,b,a)}^{(2),a} = 0.013919$
$\alpha_{2,(2,b,f)}^{(2),f} = 0.245015$			

B Optimal solution to (Best-Dist-Dual)

Define $M_a = 0.014507$, $M_b = 0.020955$, $M_c = 0.038866$, $M_d = 0.051820$, $M_e = 0.013433$, $M_f = 0.019343$, and $M_g = 0.025791$. The values of the non-zero dual variables are given below.

$$\varphi = 2.063164$$

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 d_{a,j}^a = M_a \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_1 & d_{b,j}^a = M_a \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_1 & d_{c,j}^a = M_a \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_1 \\
 d_{d,j}^a = 3M_a \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_1 & d_{e,j}^a = M_a \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_1 & d_{f,j}^a = 3M_a \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_1 \\
 d_{g,j}^a = 3M_a \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_1 & d_{a,j}^a = M_a \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_2 & d_{b,j}^a = 3M_a \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_2 \\
 d_{c,j}^a = 3M_a \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_2 & d_{d,j}^a = M_a \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_2 & d_{e,j}^a = 3M_a \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_2 \\
 d_{f,j}^a = M_a \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_2 & d_{g,j}^a = M_a \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_2 & d_{i,7}^a = M_a \quad i \in \{a, b\} \\
 d_{i,7}^a = 3M_a \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{a, b\} & d_{a,i}^a = 2M_a \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{a\} & d_{b,i}^a = 2M_a \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{b\} \\
 d_{c,i}^a = 2M_a \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{c, e\} & d_{d,i}^a = 2M_a \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{d, f, g\} & d_{e,i}^a = 2M_a \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{c, e\} \\
 d_{f,i}^a = 2M_a \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{d, f, g\} & d_{g,i}^a = 2M_a \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{d, f, g\} & d_{j_1, j_2}^a = 2M_a \quad j_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1, j_2 \in \mathcal{C}_2 \\
 d_{j,7}^a = 2M_a \quad \forall j \in \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2 & & \\
 \\
 d_{a,j}^b = 3M_b \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_1 & d_{b,j}^b = M_b \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_1 & d_{c,j}^b = M_b \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_1 \\
 d_{d,j}^b = 3M_b \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_1 & d_{e,j}^b = M_b \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_1 & d_{f,j}^b = 3M_b \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_1 \\
 d_{g,j}^b = 3M_b \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_1 & d_{a,j}^b = M_b \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_2 & d_{b,j}^b = M_b \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_2 \\
 d_{c,j}^b = 3M_b \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_2 & d_{d,j}^b = M_b \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_2 & d_{e,j}^b = M_b \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_2 \\
 d_{f,j}^b = M_b \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_2 & d_{g,j}^b = M_b \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_2 & d_{i,7}^b = 2M_b \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{b\} \\
 d_{a,i}^b = 2M_b \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{a, d, f, g\} & d_{b,i}^b = 2M_b \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{b\} & d_{c,i}^b = 2M_b \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{c\} \\
 d_{d,i}^b = 2M_b \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{a, d, f, g\} & d_{e,i}^b = 2M_b \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{e\} & d_{f,i}^b = 2M_b \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{a, d, f, g\} \\
 d_{g,i}^b = 2M_b \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{a, d, f, g\} & d_{j_1, j_2}^b = 2M_b \quad j_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1, j_2 \in \mathcal{C}_2 & d_{j,7}^b = 3M_b \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2
 \end{array}$$

$$d_{i,j}^c = 2M_c \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_1, i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{c\}$$

$$d_{i,j}^c = M_c \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_2, i \in \mathcal{F}$$

$$d_{i,7}^c = M_c \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{d\}$$

$$d_{d,7}^c = 3M_c$$

$$d_{c,i}^c = 2M_c \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{c\}$$

$$d_{d,i}^c = 2M_c \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{d\}$$

$$d_{i_1, i_2}^c = 2M_c \quad i_1 \notin \{c, d\}, i_2 \in \{c, d\}$$

$$d_{j,7}^c = M_c \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_1$$

$$d_{j,7}^c = 2M_c \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_2$$

$$d_{j_1, j_2}^c = M_c \quad j_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1, j_2 \in \mathcal{C}_2$$

$$d_{i,j}^d = M_d \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_1, i \in \mathcal{F}$$

$$d_{i,j}^d = 2M_d \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_2, i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{d\} \quad d_{i,7}^d = M_d \quad i \in \mathcal{F}$$

$$d_{i,d}^d = 2M_d \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{d\}$$

$$d_{j_1, j_2}^d = M_d \quad j_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1, j_2 \in \mathcal{C}_2 \quad d_{j,7}^d = M_d \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_2$$

$$d_{i,j}^e = 3M_e \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_1, i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{c, e\}$$

$$d_{i,j}^e = M_e \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_1, i \in \{c, e\}$$

$$d_{i,j}^e = M_e \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_2, i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{b, c\}$$

$$d_{i,j}^e = 3M_e \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_2, i \in \{b, c\}$$

$$d_{i,7}^e = M_e \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{c, d\}$$

$$d_{i,7}^e = 3M_e \quad i \in \{c, d\}$$

$$d_{i_1, i_2}^e = 2M_e \quad i_1 \in \{a, f, g\}, i_2 \notin \{a, f, g\}$$

$$d_{b,i}^e = 2M_e \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{b\}$$

$$d_{c,i}^e = 2M_e \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{c\}$$

$$d_{d,i}^e = 2M_e \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{d\}$$

$$d_{e,i}^e = 2M_e \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{e\}$$

$$d_{j_1, j_2}^e = 2M_e \quad j_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1, j_2 \in \mathcal{C}_2$$

$$d_{j,7}^e = 2M_e \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2$$

$$d_{i,j}^f = M_f \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_1, i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{d, g\}$$

$$d_{i,j}^f = 3M_f \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_1, i \in \{d, g\}$$

$$d_{i,j}^f = 3M_f \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_2, i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{d, f, g\}$$

$$d_{i,j}^f = M_f \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_2, i \in \{d, f, g\}$$

$$d_{i,7}^f = 3M_f \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{a, b, f\}$$

$$d_{i,7}^f = M_f \quad i \in \{a, b, f\}$$

$$d_{a,i}^f = 2M_f \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{a, b\}$$

$$d_{b,i}^f = 2M_f \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{a, b\}$$

$$d_{c,i}^f = 2M_f \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{c, e\}$$

$$d_{d,i}^f = 2M_f \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{d, g\}$$

$$d_{e,i}^f = 2M_f \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{c, e\}$$

$$d_{f,i}^f = 2M_f \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{f\}$$

$$d_{g,i}^f = 2M_f \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{d, g\}$$

$$d_{j_1, j_2}^f = 2M_f \quad j_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1, j_2 \in \mathcal{C}_2$$

$$d_{j,7}^f = 2M_f \quad \forall j \in \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2$$

$$d_{i,j}^g = M_g \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_1, i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{d\}$$

$$d_{d,j}^g = 3M_g \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_1$$

$$d_{i,j}^g = 3M_g \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_2, i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{d, g\}$$

$$d_{i,j}^g = M_g \quad j \in \mathcal{C}_2, i \in \{d, g\}$$

$$d_{i,7}^g = M_g \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{c, d, e\}$$

$$d_{i,7}^g = 3M_g \quad i \in \{c, d, e\}$$

$$d_{a,i}^g = 2M_g \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{a, b, f\}$$

$$d_{b,i}^g = 2M_g \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{a, b, f\}$$

$$d_{c,i}^g = 2M_g \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{c, e\}$$

$$d_{d,i}^g = 2M_g \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{d\}$$

$$d_{f,i}^g = 2M_g \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{a, b, f\}$$

$$d_{g,i}^g = 2M_g \quad i \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{g\}$$

$$d_{j_1, j_2}^g = 2M_g \quad j_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1, j_2 \in \mathcal{C}_2$$

$$d_{j,7}^g = 2M_g \quad \forall j \in \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2$$