

Sharp Stability of a String with Local Degenerate Kelvin-Voigt Damping *

Zhong-Jie Han¹, Zhuangyi Liu², Qiong Zhang^{3†}

¹School of Mathematics, Tianjin University

Tianjin 300354, P. R. China

²Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Minnesota

Duluth, MN 55812-3000, USA

³School of Mathematics and Statistics, Beijing Key

Laboratory on MCAACI, Beijing Institute of Technology,

Beijing, 100081, P. R. China

January 19, 2022

Abstract

This paper is on the asymptotic behavior of the elastic string equation with localized degenerate Kelvin-Voigt damping

$$u_{tt}(x, t) - [u_x(x, t) + b(x)u_{x,t}(x, t)]_x = 0, \quad x \in (-1, 1), \quad t > 0,$$

where $b(x) = 0$ on $x \in (-1, 0]$, and $b(x) = x^\alpha > 0$ on $x \in (0, 1)$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. It is known that the optimal decay rate of solution is t^{-2} in the limit case $\alpha = 0$ [1], and exponential decay rate for $\alpha \geq 1$ [18]. When $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, the damping coefficient $b(x)$ is continuous, but its derivative has a singularity at the interface $x = 0$. In this case,

*This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grants No. 62073236, 61873036) and Beijing Municipal Natural Science Foundation (grant No. 4182059).

†Corresponding author, email: zhangqiong@bit.edu.cn

the best known decay rate is $t^{-\frac{3-\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}}$ [11]. Although this rate is consistent with the exponential one at $\alpha = 1$, it failed to match the optimal one at $\alpha = 0$.

In this paper, we obtain a sharper polynomial decay rate $t^{-\frac{2-\alpha}{1-\alpha}}$. More significantly, it is consistent with the optimal polynomial decay rate at $\alpha = 0$ and the exponential decay rate at $\alpha = 1$. This is a big step toward the goal of obtaining eventually the optimal decay rate.

Keywords: semigroup, local Kelvin-Voigt damping, polynomial stability.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 35M20, 35Q72, 74D05.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider elastic string (one-dimensional wave equation) with local viscoelastic damping of Kelvin-Voigt type. The mathematical model is the following partial differential equation.

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt}(x, t) - [u_x(x, t) + b(x)u_{xt}(x, t)]_x = 0 & \text{in } (-1, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ u(-1, t) = u(1, t) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = v_0(x) & \text{in } [0, L]. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

The damping coefficient function $b(\cdot) : (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$, belongs to $L^\infty(-1, 1)$ and satisfies

$$b(x) = 0 \text{ for } x \in [-1, 0) \text{ and } b(x) = a(x) = x^\alpha \text{ with } \alpha \in [0, 1) \text{ for } x \in [0, 1]. \quad (\text{H1})$$

In the theory of elasticity, Kelvin-Voigt damping is a type of viscoelastic damping which assumes that the stress is a linear function of strain and strain rate. When it is globally distributed, the solution to the corresponding elastic equation (string, beam, plate) is exponentially stable and analytic [10]. In 1998, Chen *et al.* ([7, 14]) discovered that the semigroup associated with the above system (1.1) is not exponentially stable if the damping is locally distributed and $a(x)$ is proportional to the characteristic function of any subinterval of the domain. This surprising result revealed that, unlike the viscous damping, the Kelvin-Voigt damping does not follow the well-known Geometric Optics Condition ([3]). In 2002, it was

proved in [15] that system (1.1) is exponentially stable if $b(\cdot) \in C^{0,1}[-1, 1]$. Later, the smoothness condition on $b(\cdot)$ was weakened to $b(\cdot) \in C^1[-1, 1]$ and the following conditions ([27]):

$$a'(0) = 0, \quad \int_0^x \frac{|a'(s)|^2}{a(s)} ds \leq C|a'(x)|, \quad \forall x \in [0, 1], \quad C > 0. \quad (1.2)$$

It is easy to see that $a(x) = x^\alpha$, $\alpha > 1$ satisfies condition (1.2), but not when $\alpha = 1$. The exponential stability of this case was confirmed later in [18]. On the other hand, Liu and Rao in 2005 [17] proved that the semigroup corresponding to system (1.1) is polynomially stable of order at almost 2 if $a(\cdot) \in C(0, 1)$ and $a(x) \geq \tilde{a} > 0$ on $(0, 1)$, which includes the case $\alpha = 0$ in (1.1). Actually, the order can be improved to 2 without any modification to the proof, thanks to the necessary and sufficient conditions for polynomial stability by Borichev and Tomilov [4]. More recently, the optimality of this order was confirmed in [1]. The same optimal polynomial decay rate was obtained in [8] for the damping Kelvin–Voigt mechanism acting in any internal of the one-dimensional domain. We also refer to [13], [19], [24], [30], and the references therein for other kinds of elastic models with local Kelvin–Voigt damping.

It is reasonable to expect that the system (1.1) is polynomially stable whose order depends on α . To our knowledge, the best known decay rate in this case is $t^{-\frac{3-\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}}$ [11]. Although this rate is consistent with the exponential one at $\alpha = 1$, it failed to match the optimal one at $\alpha = 0$ (that is, t^{-2}).

For the stability of higher dimensional wave equations with local Kelvin–Voigt damping, we refer the readers to the papers [2], [5], [6], [16], [21], [22], [26], [28], [29] and the reference therein.

Before stating the main results, we introduce the notions of stability that we encounter in this work.

Definition 1.1. *Let $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ generate a C_0 -semigroup e^{tA} on Hilbert space H . The semigroup e^{tA} is said to be polynomially stable of order $\gamma > 0$ if there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\|e^{At}x_0\|_H \leq Ct^{-\gamma}\|Ax_0\|_H, \quad \forall t \geq 1, \quad x_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A). \quad (1.3)$$

In this paper, we shall give a sharper decay rate for system (1.1). In fact, we obtain

a sharper polynomial decay rate $t^{-\frac{2-\alpha}{1-\alpha}}$. More significantly, this rate is consistent with the optimal polynomial decay rate at $\alpha = 0$ and the exponential decay rate at $\alpha = 1$. However, whether this rate is optimal is still an open question. We would like to point out here that showing optimal polynomial decay rate often relies on some knowledge about the spectrum of the system. However, the spectral analysis for the asymptotic behavior of the eigenvalues of system (1.1) is a formidable task due to the degeneracy of the highest order term in its eigensystem. In fact, although there have been a few results on the asymptotic behavior of the spectrum for the system with global Kelvin-Voigt damping (see [9], [25]), the asymptotic expressions of the eigenvalues for the system with locally Kelvin-Voigt damping remains as an difficult open problem as commented in [25].

This paper is organized as follows. First, we present our main results and some preliminaries in Section 2. Section 3 is devoted to the proofs of the main results.

2 Main Results and Preliminaries

In this section, we shall recall some results concerning the well-posedness, weighted Hardy inequality and present main results.

Let $H_0^1(-1, 1)$ be the space $\{u \in H^1(-1, 1) \mid u(-1) = u(1) = 0\}$. We introduce a Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H} = H_0^1(-1, 1) \times L^2(-1, 1),$$

whose inner product induced norm is given by

$$\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \sqrt{\|u\|_{H_0^1(-1, 1)}^2 + \|v\|_{L^2(-1, 1)}^2}, \quad \forall U = (u, v) \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Define an unbounded operator $\mathcal{A} : D(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by

$$\mathcal{A}U = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ (u' + bv')' \end{pmatrix} \quad \forall U = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \in D(\mathcal{A}),$$

and

$$D(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ (u, v) \in \mathcal{H} \mid v \in H_0^1(-1, 1), \quad (u' + bv')' \in L^2(-1, 1) \right\}.$$

Then system (1.1) can be written as

$$\frac{dU}{dt} = \mathcal{A}U, \quad \forall t > 0, \quad U(0) = (u_0, v_0)^T. \quad (2.1)$$

It is easy to check that \mathcal{A} is dissipative. Indeed, a direct calculation yields

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle \mathcal{A}U, U \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = - \int_0^1 x^\alpha |v'|^2 dx \leq 0. \quad (2.2)$$

If the coefficient function $b(\cdot) \geq 0$ satisfies assumption (H1), it is known ([7]) that the following result on well-posedness of the system (2.1) hold by employing semigroup theories (see [20]).

Lemma 2.1. *\mathcal{A} generates a contractive C_0 -semigroup on \mathcal{H} and*

$$i\mathbb{R} \subset \rho(\mathcal{A}). \quad (2.3)$$

We also have the following lemma which is from [12, 18] deduced by the weighted Hardy's inequality (see [23]).

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that $\beta > -1$ and $\alpha < 1$ are two constants. Then, there is $C = C(\alpha, \beta) > 0$ so that*

$$\int_0^1 x^\beta |\xi(x)|^2 dx \leq C \int_0^1 x^\alpha |\xi_x(x)|^2 dx, \quad (2.4)$$

for any $\xi(x) \in W^{1,1}(0,1)$ satisfying that $x^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\xi_x(x) \in L^2(0,1)$ and $\xi(1) = 0$.

Our subsequent findings on polynomial stability will reply on the following result from [4], which gives necessary and sufficient conditions for a semigroup to be polynomially stable.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ generate a bounded C_0 -semigroup e^{tA} on Hilbert space H . Assume that*

$$i\mathbb{R} \subset \rho(A). \quad (2.5)$$

Then the semigroup e^{tA} is polynomially stable of order $\frac{1}{\theta}$ if and only if

$$\overline{\lim}_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}, |\omega| \rightarrow \infty} |\omega|^{-\theta} \|(i\omega I - A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} < \infty. \quad (2.6)$$

Our main result in this paper is the following.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that the damping coefficient $b(x)$ in (1.1) satisfies the condition (H1). Then system (1.1) is polynomially stable of order $\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha}$, i.e.,*

$$\|e^{At}U_0\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq Ct^{-\frac{2-\alpha}{1-\alpha}}\|U_0\|_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})}, \quad \forall U_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}), \quad t \geq 1, \quad (2.7)$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of U_0 .

3 Estimate for the Resolvent Operator(Proof of Theorem 2.1)

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 2.1. Due to Lemma 2.3, along with Lemma 2.1, this is equivalent to show that there exists constant $r > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}}=1, \omega \in \mathbb{R}} \omega^\theta \|i\omega U - \mathcal{A}U\|_{\mathcal{H}} \geq r \quad (3.1)$$

for the parameter

$$\theta = \frac{1 - \alpha}{2 - \alpha}. \quad (3.2)$$

Suppose that (3.1) fails. Then there exist a sequence of real numbers ω_n with $\omega_n \rightarrow \infty$ (suppose $\omega_n > 1$ without losing the generality) and a sequence of functions $\{U_n\}_{n=1}^\infty = \{(u_n, v_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset D(\mathcal{A})$ with $\|U_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1$ such that

$$\omega_n^\theta \|i\omega_n U_n - \mathcal{A}U_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = o(1), \quad (3.3)$$

i.e.,

$$\omega_n^\theta (i\omega_n u_n - v_n) = o(1) \quad \text{in } H^1(-1, 1), \quad (3.4)$$

$$\omega_n^\theta (i\omega_n v_n - (u_n' + x^\alpha v_n')) = o(1) \quad \text{in } L^2(-1, 1). \quad (3.5)$$

Let

$$u_{1,n} \doteq u_n \chi_{[-1,0]}, \quad v_{1,n} \doteq v_n \chi_{[-1,0]},$$

and

$$u_{2,n} \doteq u_n \chi_{[0,1]}, \quad v_{2,n} \doteq v_n \chi_{[0,1]}, \quad T_n = u_{2,n}' + x^\alpha v_{2,n}'.$$

Then, by (3.3), one has

$$g_{1,n} \doteq \omega_n^\theta (i\omega_n u_{1,n} - v_{1,n}) = o(1) \quad \text{in } H^1(-1, 0), \quad (3.6)$$

$$g_{2,n} \doteq \omega_n^\theta (i\omega_n v_{1,n} - u_{1,n}'') = o(1) \quad \text{in } L^2(-1, 0), \quad (3.7)$$

$$f_{1,n} \doteq \omega_n^\theta (i\omega_n u_{2,n} - v_{2,n}) = o(1) \quad \text{in } H^1(0, 1), \quad (3.8)$$

$$f_{2,n} \doteq \omega_n^\theta (i\omega_n v_{2,n} - T_n') = o(1) \quad \text{in } L^2(0, 1), \quad (3.9)$$

and the following connecting boundary conditions:

$$T_n(0) = u'_{1,n}(0), \quad u_{1,n}(0) = u_{2,n}(0), \quad v_{1,n}(0) = v_{2,n}(0). \quad (3.10)$$

By (2.2) and (3.3), we obtain that

$$\mathcal{R}e\langle \omega_n^\theta (i\omega_n U_n - \mathcal{A}U_n), U_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \omega_n^\theta \|x^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} v'_{2,n}\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 = o(1), \quad (3.11)$$

which along with (3.8) yields

$$\|x^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u'_{2,n}\|_{L^2(0,1)} = \omega_n^{-1-\frac{\theta}{2}} o(1). \quad (3.12)$$

In what follows, we shall reach a contradiction by showing $\|U_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = o(1)$.

Lemma 3.1. *For $n \rightarrow \infty$, one has the following estimates*

$$|v_{2,n}(0)| = \omega_n^{-\frac{\theta}{2}} o(1), \quad \|v_{2,n}\|_{L^2(0,1)} = \omega_n^{-\frac{\theta}{2}} o(1). \quad (3.13)$$

Proof. A direct computation gives that when $0 \leq \alpha < 1$,

$$|v_{2,n}(x)| = \left| \int_x^1 v'_{2,n} dx \right| \leq \left(\int_x^1 x^\alpha |v'_{2,n}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_x^1 x^{-\alpha} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.14)$$

Combining this with (3.11) and letting $x = 0$, we obtain the first estimate in (3.13).

Moreover, by (3.14), along with (3.11), we have

$$\int_0^1 |v_{2,n}|^2 dx \leq \int_0^1 \left(\int_x^1 x^\alpha |v'_{2,n}|^2 dx \right) \left(\int_x^1 x^{-\alpha} dx \right) dx = \omega_n^{-\theta} o(1). \quad (3.15)$$

Thus, the second estimate in (3.13) follows. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Set $\xi_n \doteq \omega_n^{-\delta}$ and $Q_n \doteq [\xi_n/2, \xi_n]$, where $\delta = \frac{1}{2-\alpha}$. One has that*

$$|T_n(\xi_n)| = o(1). \quad (3.16)$$

Proof. By (3.11) and (3.12), a direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in Q_n} |T_n(x)| &\leq \left[4\omega_n^\delta \int_{Q_n} (|u'_{2,n}|^2 + |av'_{2,n}|^2) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq 2\omega_n^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \left(\max_{x \in Q_n} |x^{-\alpha}| \int_{Q_n} x^\alpha |u'_{2,n}|^2 dx + \max_{x \in Q_n} |x^\alpha| \int_{Q_n} x^\alpha |v'_{2,n}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \omega_n^{\frac{1}{2}(\delta+\delta\alpha-2-\theta)} o(1) + \omega_n^{\frac{1}{2}(\delta-\delta\alpha-\theta)} o(1) = o(1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Here we have used $\delta = \frac{1}{2-\alpha}$ and $\theta = \frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha}$ as given in (3.2). Hence, (3.16) holds. \square

Integrating (3.9) on $(0, \xi_n)$ yields

$$i\omega_n \int_0^{\xi_n} v_{2,n} dx + T_n(0) - T_n(\xi_n) = \omega_n^{-\theta} o(1). \quad (3.18)$$

We now claim that

$$\left| \omega_n \int_0^{\xi_n} v_{2,n} dx \right| = o(1), \quad (3.19)$$

and prove it later.

With (3.19) at hand, let's substitute (3.16) and (3.19) into (3.18) to get

$$|T_n(0)| = o(1). \quad (3.20)$$

Then, multiplying (3.9) with $\omega_n^{-\theta} u_{2,n}$ and integrating it on $(0, 1)$, along with (3.8), (3.11) and (3.20), we obtain

$$\|u'_{2,n}\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 - \|v_{2,n}\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 = o(1), \quad (3.21)$$

which together with the second estimate in (3.13) implies that

$$\|u'_{2,n}\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 = o(1). \quad (3.22)$$

Taking $L^2(-1, 0)$ -inner product of (3.9) with $\omega_n^{-\theta} (x+1)u'_{1,n}$, and then integrating by parts, we have

$$-|v_{1,n}(0)|^2 + \|v_{1,n}\|_{L^2(-1,0)}^2 - |u'_{1,n}(0)|^2 + \|u'_{1,n}\|_{L^2(-1,0)}^2 = o(1). \quad (3.23)$$

Thus, by (3.20), the first estimate in (3.13) and the transmission conditions (3.10) at the interface, we get

$$\|v_{1,n}\|_{L^2(-1,0)}^2 + \|u'_{1,n}\|_{L^2(-1,0)}^2 = o(1). \quad (3.24)$$

Finally, by the first estimate in (3.13), (3.22) and (3.24), we have achieved the contradiction:

$$\|U_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = o(1). \quad (3.25)$$

Now, in order to complete the proof, it is sufficient to show (3.19) holds.

Indeed, by the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \omega_n \int_0^{\xi_n} v_{2,n} dx \right| &\leq C\omega_n^{1-\frac{\delta}{2}} \left(\int_0^{\xi_n} |v_{2,n}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C\omega_n^{1-\frac{\delta}{2}} \left(\mathcal{R}e \int_0^1 x v_{2,n} \overline{v'_{2,n}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C\omega_n^{1-\frac{\delta}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 x^\alpha |v'_{2,n}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_0^1 x^{2-\alpha} |v_{2,n}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}. \quad (3.26)$$

If we can show the estimate (3.28) in the following Lemma 3.3 holds, then above inequality leads to

$$\left| \omega_n \int_0^{\xi_n} v_{2,n} dx \right| \leq \omega_n^{1-\frac{\delta}{2}} \omega_n^{-\frac{\theta}{4}} \omega_n^{\frac{-2-\theta}{4}} o(1) = o(1) \quad (\text{using (3.11) and (3.28)}) \quad (3.27)$$

since

$$1 - \frac{\delta}{2} - \frac{\theta}{4} - \frac{2+\theta}{4} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2(2-\alpha)} - \frac{1-\alpha}{2(2-\alpha)} = 0.$$

Hence, (3.19) holds.

The rest is devoted to showing the following Lemma 3.3.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. Then it holds that*

$$\omega_n \int_0^1 x^{2-\alpha} |v_{2,n}|^2 dx = \omega_n^{-1-\theta} o(1). \quad (3.28)$$

Proof. Multiplying (3.9) with $x^{2-\alpha} \overline{v_{2,n}}$ and integrating it from 0 to 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & i\omega_n \int_0^1 x^{2-\alpha} |v_{2,n}|^2 dx + \int_0^1 T_n \left((2-\alpha)x^{1-\alpha} v_{2,n} + x^{2-\alpha} v'_{2,n} \right) dx \\ &= i\omega_n \int_0^1 x^{2-\alpha} |v_{2,n}|^2 dx + \int_0^1 \left[(2-\alpha)x^{1-\alpha} u'_{2,n} \overline{v_{2,n}} + x^{2-\alpha} u'_{2,n} \overline{v'_{2,n}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (2-\alpha)x v'_{2,n} \overline{v_{2,n}} + x^{\alpha+2-\alpha} |v'_{2,n}|^2 \right] dx \\ &= \omega_n^{-\theta} \int_0^1 x^{2-\alpha} f_{2,n} \overline{v_{2,n}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

By taking the imaginary parts of the above equality and using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_n \int_0^1 x^{2-\alpha} |v_{2,n}|^2 dx \\ & \leq 2 \left| \int_0^1 \left[(2-\alpha)x^{1-\alpha} u'_{2,n} \overline{v_{2,n}} + x^{2-\alpha} u'_{2,n} \overline{v'_{2,n}} + (2-\alpha)x v'_{2,n} \overline{v_{2,n}} \right] dx \right| + \omega_n^{-2\theta-1} o(1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

In the following, in order to show (3.28), we shall estimate the three terms on the right hand side of (3.29), respectively.

Observation I. By Hölder inequality, we have

$$\left| \int_0^1 x^{1-\alpha} u'_{2,n} \overline{v_{2,n}} dx \right| \leq \left(\int_0^1 x^\alpha |u'_{2,n}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 x^{2-3\alpha} |v_{2,n}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.30)$$

Note that $2 - 3\alpha > -1$. Then by Lemma 2.2 and (3.11), one has that

$$\left(\int_0^1 x^{2-3\alpha} |v_{2,n}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|x^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} v'_{2,n}\|_{L^2(0,1)} = \omega_n^{-\frac{\theta}{2}} o(1). \quad (3.31)$$

Then, substituting (3.12), (3.31) into (3.30), we obtain

$$\left| \int_0^1 x^{1-\alpha} u'_{2,n} \overline{v_{2,n}} dx \right| \leq \omega_n^{-1-\theta} o(1). \quad (3.32)$$

Observation II. We have

$$\left| \int_0^1 x^{2-\alpha} u'_{2,n} \overline{v'_{2,n}} dx \right| \leq \omega_n^{-1-\theta} o(1). \quad (3.33)$$

In fact, by the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 x^{2-\alpha} u'_{2,n} \overline{v'_{2,n}} dx \right| &= \left| \int_0^1 x^{2-2\alpha} x^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u'_{2,n} x^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \overline{v'_{2,n}} dx \right| \\ &\leq \|x^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u'_{2,n}\|_{L^2(0,1)} \|x^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} v'_{2,n}\|_{L^2(0,1)} = \omega_n^{-1-\theta} o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact that $0 \leq \alpha < 1$.

Observation III. We have

$$\left| \int_0^1 x v'_{2,n} \overline{v_{2,n}} dx \right| \leq \varepsilon \omega_n \|x^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2}} v_{2,n}\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + \omega_n^{-1-\theta} o(1), \quad (3.34)$$

where ε is some positive constant which can be chosen arbitrarily. In fact, by Hölder inequality, for a positive constant ε , there exists C_ε such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^1 x v'_{2,n} \overline{v_{2,n}} dx \right| \\ &\leq \|x^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} v'_{2,n}\|_{L^2(0,1)} \|x^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2}} v_{2,n}\|_{L^2(0,1)} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \omega_n \|x^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2}} v_{2,n}\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + C_\varepsilon \omega_n^{-1} \|x^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} v'_{2,n}\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \\ &= \varepsilon \omega_n \|x^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2}} v_{2,n}\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + \omega_n^{-1-\theta} o(1). \end{aligned}$$

By substituting **Observation I, II, III** into the right hand side of (3.29), we obtained the desired result (3.28). \square

4 Conclusion

In this paper, we obtain a sharper estimate of the decay rate of solution to system (1.1) when the damping coefficient function $a(x)$ is equivalent to x^α , $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ near the interface $x = 0$, which is consistent with the existing optimal decay rate when $\alpha = 0$, and with the known exponential decay rate when $\alpha = 1$. We summarize the stability results for (1.1) in the following table.

α	damping coefficient $a(x)$	decay rate of solution
0	x^α	optimal polynomial decay rate t^{-2}
$(0, 1)$	x^α	polynomial decay rate $t^{-\frac{2-\alpha}{1-\alpha}}$
≥ 1	x^α	exponential decay rate

We would like to point out that the above results are proved only for the damped region which is an interval including one end point of the spatial domain. It remains as an open question for the general case where the damping coefficient function $b(x)$ is supported on a proper interval (x_1, x_2) with $-1 < x_1 < x_2 < 1$, and behaves like $(x - x_1)^\alpha$ and $(x - x_2)^\beta$ near the interfaces $x = x_1, x_2$ respectively. The optimality of the polynomial decay rate obtained in this paper is another open question as stated in the Introduction.

References

- [1] M. Alves, J. M. Rivera, M. Sepúlveda, O. V. Villagrán and M. Z. Garay, *The asymptotic behavior of the linear transmission problem in viscoelasticity*, Math. Nachr., **287** (2014), no. 5-6, 483–497.
- [2] K. Ammari, F. Hassine, and L. Robbiano, *Stabilization for the wave equation with singular Kelvin-Voigt damping*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **236** (2020), no. 2, 577–601.
- [3] C. Bardos, G. Lebeau and J. Rauch, *Sharp sufficient conditions for the observation, control and stabilization of waves from the boundary*, SIAM J. Control Optim., **30** (1992), no. 5, 1024–1065.

- [4] A. Borichev and Y. Tomilov, *Optimal polynomial decay of functions and operator semi-groups*, *Mathematische Annalen*, **347** (2010), no. 2, 455–478.
- [5] N. Burq, *Decays for Kelvin-Voigt damped wave equations I: The black box perturbation method*, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **58** (2020), no. 4, 1893–1905.
- [6] N. Burq and H. Christianson, *Imperfect geometric control and overdamping for the damped wave equation*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **336** (2015), no. 1, 101–130.
- [7] S. Chen, K. Liu and Z. Liu, *Spectrum and stability for elastic systems with global or local Kelvin-Voigt damping*, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, **59** (1998), no. 2, 651–668.
- [8] M. Ghader, R. Nasser, and A. Wehbe, *Optimal polynomial stability of a string with locally distributed Kelvin-Voigt damping and nonsmooth coefficient at the interface*, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **44** (2021), no. 2, 2096–2110.
- [9] B. Z. Guo, J. M. Wang and G. D. Zhang, *Spectral analysis of a wave equation with Kelvin-Voigt damping*, *Z. Angew. Math. Mech.* **90** (2010), no. 4, 323–342.
- [10] F. Huang, *On the mathematical model for linear elastic systems with analytic damping*, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **26** (1988), no. 3, 714–724.
- [11] Z. J. Han, Z. Liu, and J. Wang, *Finer and Sharper Energy Decay Rate for an Elastic String with Localized Kelvin-Voigt Damping*, submitted.
- [12] Z.J. Han, G. Wang and J. Wang, *Explicit decay rate for a degenerate hyperbolic-parabolic coupled system*, *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.*, **26** (2020), 116.
- [13] F. Hassine and N. Souayeh, *Stability for coupled waves with locally disturbed Kelvin-Voigt damping*, *Semigroup Forum*, **102** (2021), 134–159.
- [14] K. Liu and Z. Liu, *Exponential decay of energy of the Euler-Bernoulli beam with locally distributed Kelvin-Voigt damping*, *SIAM J. Control. Optim.*, **36** (1998), no. 3, 1086–1098.
- [15] K. Liu and Z. Liu, *Exponential decay of energy of vibrating strings with local viscoelasticity*, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, **53** (2002), no. 2, 265–280.

- [16] K. Liu and B. Rao, *Exponential stability for the wave equation with local Kelvin-Voigt damping*, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, **57** (2006), no. 3, 419–432.
- [17] Z. Liu and B. Rao, *Frequency domain characterization of rational decay rate for solution of linear evolution equations*, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, **56** (2005), no. 4, 630–644.
- [18] Z. Liu and Q. Zhang, *Stability of a string with Local Kelvin–Voigt damping and nonsmooth coefficient at interface*, *SIAM J. Control and Optim.*, **54** (2016), no. 4, 1859–1871.
- [19] Z. Liu and Q. Zhang, *Stability and regularity of solution to the Timoshenko beam equation with local Kelvin-Voigt damping*, *SIAM J. Control and Optim.*, **56** (2018), no. 6, 3919–3947.
- [20] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [21] L. Robbiano, Q. Zhang, *Logarithmic decay of wave equation with Kelvin-Voigt damping*, *Mathematics*, **8** (2020), 715.
- [22] R. Stahn, *Optimal decay rate for the wave equation on a square with constant damping on a strip*, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, **68** (2017), 36.
- [23] V. D. Stepanov, *Weighted Hardy inequality*, *Siberian Math. J.*, **28** (1987), no. 3, 515–517.
- [24] A. Wehbe, I. Issa and M. Akil, *Stability results of an elastic/viscoelastic transmission problem of locally coupled waves with non smooth coefficients*, *Acta Appl. Math.* **171** (2021), 23.
- [25] G. Q. Xu and N. E. Mastorakis, *Spectrum of an operator arising elastic system with local K-V damping*, *Z. Angew. Math. Mech.* **88** (2008), no. 6, 483–496.
- [26] K. Yu and Z. J. Han, *Stabilization of wave equation on cuboidal domain via Kelvin–Voigt damping: a case without geometric control condition*, *SIAM J. Control and Optim.*, **59** (2021), no. 3, 1973–1988.

- [27] Q. Zhang, *Exponential stability of an elastic string with local Kelvin-Voigt damping*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., **61** (2010), no. 6, 1009–1015.
- [28] Q. Zhang, *On the lack of exponential stability for an elastic/viscoelastic waves interaction system*, Nonlinear Anal: R. W. A, **37** (2017), 387–411.
- [29] Q. Zhang, *Polynomial decay of an elastic/viscoelastic waves interaction system*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., **69** (2018), 88.
- [30] H. L. Zhao, K. S. Liu and C. G. Zhang, *Stability for the Timoshenko beam system with local Kelvin–Voigt damping*, Acta Mathematica Sinica, **21** (2005), no. 3, 655–666.