

# On the local well-posedness of the 1D Green-Naghdi system over a nonflat bottom

H. Inci

November 19, 2021

## Abstract

In this paper we consider the 1D Green-Naghdi system over a nonflat bottom. This system describes the evolution of water waves over an uneven bottom in the shallow water regime in terms of the water depth  $h$  and the horizontal velocity  $u$ . Using a Lagrangian formulation of this system on a Sobolev type diffeomorphism group we prove local well-posedness for  $(h, u)$  in the Sobolev space  $(1 + H^s(\mathbb{R})) \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $s > 1/2$ . This improves the local well-posedness range.

## 1 Introduction

We consider for  $t \geq 0$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the 1D Green-Naghdi system in the setting of a nonflat bottom as given in [6]

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_t + (hu)_x &= 0, \\
 h(u_t + uu_x) + \left[ \frac{1}{2}h^2g + \frac{1}{2}h^2\xi_x(u_t + uu_x) + \frac{1}{2}h^2u^2\xi_{xx} + \frac{1}{3}h^3(u_x^2 - uu_{xx} - u_{tx}) \right]_x \\
 &= -h\xi_xg - h\xi_x^2(u_t + uu_x) - hu^2\xi_x\xi_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}h^2\xi_x(u_x^2 - uu_x - u_{tx}), \quad (1) \\
 h(t=0) &= h_0, \quad u(t=0) = u_0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $h(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}$  is the water depth,  $u(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}$  the horizontal velocity of the water wave,  $\xi(x) \in \mathbb{R}$  the shape of the bottom and  $g$  the gravitational constant. The Green-Naghdi system (1) models 1D wave propagation over

an uneven bottom in the shallow water regime, i.e when the typical wavelength is much larger than the water depth.

A 2D version of (1) was studied by Green and Naghdi in [1], a flat bottom version of (1), i.e. the case  $\xi \equiv 0$ , appears in [7] and [8]. As mentioned above (1) is taken from [6].

Let us define for  $h(t, x)$  and  $\xi(x)$  the operator

$$A_{h,\xi} : u \mapsto h(1 + \xi_x^2)u + \left[\frac{1}{2}h^2\xi_x u\right]_x - \frac{1}{2}h^2\xi_x u_x - \left[\frac{1}{3}h^3 u_x\right]_x.$$

With this we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{h,\xi}(u_t + uu_x) &= h(1 + \xi_x^2)(u_t + uu_x) + \left[\frac{1}{2}h^2\xi_x(u_t + uu_x)\right]_x \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}h^2\xi_x(u_{tx} + u_x^2 + uu_{xx}) - \left[\frac{1}{3}h^3(u_t + uu_{xx} + u_x^2)\right]_x. \end{aligned}$$

Thus using the second equation in (1) we can write

$$A_{h,\xi}(u_t + uu_x) = -hh_xg - \left[\frac{1}{2}h^2u^2\xi_{xx}\right]_x - \left[\frac{2}{3}h^3u_x^2\right]_x - h\xi_xg - hu^2\xi_x\xi_{xx} - h^2\xi_xu_x^2.$$

Supposing that  $A_{h,\xi}$  is invertible the second equation in (1) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + uu_x &= -A_{h,\xi}^{-1} \left( hh_xg + \left[\frac{1}{2}h^2u^2\xi_{xx}\right]_x + \left[\frac{2}{3}h^3u_x^2\right]_x + h\xi_xg + hu^2\xi_x\xi_{xx} + h^2\xi_xu_x^2 \right) \\ &= -A_{h,\xi}^{-1}P(h, u, \xi). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

We will see in the next section that  $A_{h,\xi}$  is invertible under suitable assumptions on  $h$ . The local well-posedness result for (1) we want to prove reads as

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $s > 1/2$ ,  $\xi \in H^{s+3}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $(h_0, u_0) \in (1 + H^s(\mathbb{R})) \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} h_0(x) > 0$ . Then there is  $T > 0$  and a unique solution  $(h, u)$  to (1) on  $[0, T]$  of class*

$$(h, u) \in C([0, T]; (1 + H^s(\mathbb{R})) \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^1([0, T]; (1 + H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})) \times H^s(\mathbb{R})),$$

*with  $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} h(t, x) > 0$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . Moreover the solution depends continuously on the data  $(h_0, u_0, \xi)$ .*

**Remark 1.1.** *In the statement of Theorem 1.1 we could take  $\xi \in C_b^{\lceil s \rceil + 3}(\mathbb{R})$  as well for the bottom.*

In [5] it was proved that (1) is locally well-posed for  $(h, u) \in (1 + H^s(\mathbb{R})) \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $s > 3/2$ . So Theorem 1.1 improves the local well-posedness range. Recently in [4] it was shown that the 1D Green-Naghdi system over a flat bottom is locally well-posed for  $(h, u) \in (1 + H^s(\mathbb{R})) \times H^s(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $s > 1/2$ . This corresponds to  $\xi \equiv 0$  in Theorem 1.1.

To prove Theorem 1.1 we will use the same approach as in [4]. We will write (1) in Lagrangian variables, i.e. in terms the flow map  $\varphi$  of  $u$ . The flow map  $\varphi$  is defined by the ODE

$$\varphi_t(t, x) = u(t, \varphi(t, x)), \quad t \geq 0, \quad \varphi(0, x) = x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that this defines for each  $t$  a diffeomorphism  $\varphi(t) := \varphi(t, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We can translate the first equation in (1) into Lagrangian coordinates by considering

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\varphi_x \cdot h \circ \varphi) = \varphi_x \cdot (u_x \circ h) \circ \varphi + \varphi_x \cdot (h_t + u h_x) \circ \varphi = 0,$$

where the last equality is a consequence of  $h_t + (hu)_x = 0$ . Thus  $h$  expressed in Lagrangian variables is

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} h_0 \\ \varphi_x \end{pmatrix} \circ \varphi^{-1}.$$

To express the second equation of (1), i.e. (2), in Lagrangian variables consider

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{tt} &= \frac{d}{dt} u \circ \varphi = (u_t + uu_x) \circ \varphi \\ &= - \left( A_{(h_0/\varphi_x) \circ \varphi^{-1}, \xi} P \left( (h_0/\varphi_x) \circ \varphi^{-1}, \varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1}, \xi \right) \right) \circ \varphi = F(\varphi, \varphi_t, h_0, \xi), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where we used the equation for  $u_t + uu_x$  in (2),  $h = (h_0/\varphi_x) \circ \varphi^{-1}$  and  $u = \varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1}$ . Our strategy will be to prove that  $F$  is continuously differentiable on a suitable functional space and hence by solving the second order ODE  $\varphi_{tt} = F(\varphi, \varphi_t, h_0, \xi)$  we will get local well-posedness of (1).

## 2 The operator $A_{h,\xi}$

The goal of this section is to prove that  $A_{h,\xi}$  is invertible.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $s > 1/2$ ,  $\xi \in H^{s+3}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $h \in 1+H^s(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} h(x) > 0$ . Then*

$$A_{h,\xi} : H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}), \quad u \mapsto h(1+\xi_x^2)u + \left[\frac{1}{2}h^2\xi_x u\right]_x - \frac{1}{2}h^2\xi_x u_x - \left[\frac{1}{3}h^3 u_x\right]_x,$$

is invertible.

*Proof.* Consider the symmetric bilinear form on  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$  given by

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{h,\xi} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(1+\xi_x^2)uv - \frac{1}{2}h^2\xi_x uv_x - \frac{1}{2}h^2\xi_x u_x v + \frac{1}{3}h^3 u_x v_x \, dx.$$

We want to show that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{h,\xi}$  is equivalent to the  $H^1$  inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{H^1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} uv + u_x v_x \, dx, \quad u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

By the Sobolev imbedding  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$  imbeds into  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ , the continuous functions vanishing at infinity. Thus

$$|\langle u, u \rangle_{h,\xi}| \leq C \langle u, u \rangle_{H^1}$$

for some  $C > 0$ . For the estimate from below consider

$$\langle u, u \rangle_{h,\xi} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(1+\xi_x^2)u^2 - h^2\xi_x uu_x + \frac{1}{3}h^3 u_x^2 \, dx.$$

We have

$$|h^2\xi_x uu_x| = (|h|^{1/2}|\xi_x||u|)(|h|^{3/2}|u_x|) \leq h\xi_x^2 u^2 + \frac{1}{4}h^3 u_x^2,$$

where in the last step we used  $ab \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}a^2 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}b^2$  with  $\varepsilon = 2$ . Thus

$$\langle u, u \rangle_{h,\xi} \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}} hu^2 + \frac{1}{12}h^3 u_x^2 \, dx.$$

Since  $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} h(x) > 0$  we get

$$\langle u, u \rangle_{h,\xi} \geq C \langle u, u \rangle_{H^1}$$

for some  $C > 0$ . Let  $f \in H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$ . Our goal is to show that

$$A_{h,\xi}(u) = f$$

has a unique solution  $u \in H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$ . We assume first  $1/2 < s \leq 1$ . Since  $f \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$  we get by the Riesz Representation Theorem a unique  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$  satisfying

$$\langle u, \phi \rangle_{h,\xi} = \langle f, \phi \rangle, \quad \forall \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}),$$

where  $\langle f, \cdot \rangle$  is the duality pairing. In other words we have

$$h(1 + \xi_x^2)u + \left[\frac{1}{2}h^2\xi_x u\right]_x - \frac{1}{2}h^2\xi_x u_x - \left[\frac{1}{3}h^3 u_x\right]_x = f$$

in  $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ . Rewriting gives

$$\left[\frac{1}{3}h^3 u_x\right]_x = h(1 + \xi_x^2)u + \left[\frac{1}{2}h^2\xi_x u\right]_x - \frac{1}{2}h^2\xi_x u_x - f \in H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Thus  $h^3 u_x \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ . From [2] we know that dividing by  $h^3$  is a bounded linear map on  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ . So  $u_x \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$  and with that we conclude  $u \in H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$  and that  $u$  satisfies  $A_{h,\xi}(u) = f$  in  $H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$ . Suppose now  $1 < s \leq 2$ . From the previous step (case  $s = 1$ ) we get  $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$  satisfying  $A_{h,\xi}(u) = f$  in  $L^2$ . Thus we have

$$\left[\frac{1}{3}h^3 u_x\right]_x = h(1 + \xi_x^2)u + \left[\frac{1}{2}h^2\xi_x u\right]_x - \frac{1}{2}h^2\xi_x u_x - f \in H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Arguing as above we get  $u \in H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$  satisfying  $A_{h,\xi}(u) = f$  in  $H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$ . By continuing this bootstrap argument for  $2 < s \leq 3$ ,  $3 < s \leq 4, \dots$ , we get that

$$A_{h,\xi} : H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$$

is an isomorphism for  $s > 1/2$ . □

### 3 Lagrangian formulation

The goal of this section is to write (1) in Lagrangian variables. Let us start with some basic results about Sobolev spaces – see [2] for the proofs. Let  $s > 1/2$ . Then multiplication

$$H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}), \quad (f, g) \mapsto f \cdot g, \quad 0 \leq \sigma \leq s,$$

is continuous. For  $1/2 < s < 1$  this extends to the range  $s - 1 \leq \sigma < 0$  – see [4]. By the Sobolev Imbedding Theorem we have

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R}), \quad H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow C_0^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

In [2] the authors studied the functional space

$$\mathcal{D}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}) = \{\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \varphi - \text{id} \in H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}), \varphi_x(x) > 0 \forall x \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

where  $\text{id} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x \mapsto x$ , is the identity map on  $\mathbb{R}$ . By the Sobolev Imbedding Theorem  $\mathcal{D}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$  consists of  $C^1$  diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}) - \text{id}$  is an open subset of  $H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$ . So as an open subset of  $H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$  it has naturally a differential structure. In [2] it was shown that the composition map

$$H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{D}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}), \quad (f, \varphi) \mapsto f \circ \varphi, \quad 0 \leq \sigma \leq s + 1, \quad (4)$$

is continuous. Furthermore we have that

$$H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{D}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R}), \quad (f, \varphi) \mapsto f \circ \varphi, \quad (5)$$

is a  $C^1$  map. In [2] it was also shown that

$$\mathcal{D}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi^{-1}, \quad (6)$$

is continuous. In particular we get that  $(\mathcal{D}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}), \circ)$  is a topological group. If we denote the composition from the right with  $\varphi$  by  $R_\varphi$ , i.e.  $R_\varphi : f \mapsto f \circ \varphi$ , we get from the above that

$$R_\varphi : H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}), \quad f \mapsto f \circ \varphi, \quad 0 \leq \sigma \leq s + 1,$$

is a continuous linear map. For  $1/2 < s < 1$  composition from the right  $R_\varphi : H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^\sigma(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $s - 1 \leq \sigma < 0$ , is a well defined continuous linear map – see [4]. Note that  $R_\varphi$  is an isomorphism with inverse  $R_\varphi^{-1} = R_{\varphi^{-1}}$ . From the above we get that for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$  multiplication with  $\varphi_x$

$$M_{\varphi_x} : H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}), \quad f \mapsto \varphi_x \cdot f, \quad \min\{s - 1, 0\} \leq \sigma \leq s,$$

is a continuous linear map. From [2] we know that division by  $\varphi_x$

$$M_{\varphi_x}^{-1} : H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}), \quad f \mapsto \frac{f}{\varphi_x}, \quad \min\{s - 1, 0\} \leq \sigma \leq s,$$

is continuous as well. The map

$$\mathcal{D}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L(H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}); H^\sigma(\mathbb{R})), \varphi \mapsto M_{\varphi_x}, \quad \min\{s-1, 0\} \leq \sigma \leq s,$$

is affine linear, hence it is analytic – see [3] for basic definitions and results on analyticity in Banach spaces. Here  $L(X; Y)$  is the space of continuous linear maps from  $X$  to  $Y$ . Using Neumann series we see that inversion of isomorphisms is an analytic process. So

$$\mathcal{D}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L(H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}); H^\sigma(\mathbb{R})), \varphi \mapsto M_{\varphi_x}^{-1}, \quad \min\{s-1, 0\} \leq \sigma \leq s,$$

is analytic as well. In particular

$$\mathcal{D}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}) \times (1 + H^s(\mathbb{R})) \rightarrow 1 + H^s(\mathbb{R}), (\varphi, f) \mapsto M_{\varphi_x}^{-1} f = \frac{f}{\varphi_x}, \quad (7)$$

is analytic.

Finally consider

$$[(f \circ \varphi^{-1})_x] \circ \varphi = \frac{f_x}{\varphi_x}.$$

In other words we have  $R_\varphi \partial_x R_\varphi^{-1} = M_{\varphi_x}^{-1} \partial_x$ . Thus

$$\mathcal{D}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L(H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}); H^{\sigma-1}(\mathbb{R})), \varphi \mapsto R_\varphi \partial_x R_\varphi^{-1}, \quad \sigma = s, s+1, \quad (8)$$

is analytic.

Suppose now that for some  $T > 0$  we have  $u \in C([0, T]; H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}))$ . In [3] it was shown that there is a unique  $\varphi \in C^1([0, T]; \mathcal{D}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}))$  satisfying

$$\varphi_t(t) = u(t) \circ \varphi(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad \varphi(0) = \text{id}.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{D}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$  is the right functional space for the Lagrangian variable  $\varphi$ . For the statement of the main result of this section we introduce for  $s > 1/2$

$$U^s = \{h \in 1 + H^s(\mathbb{R}) \mid \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} h(x) > 0\}.$$

Note that we can identify  $U^s$  with the open subset  $U^s - 1 \subset H^s(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $s > 1/2$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{D}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}) \times U^s \times H^{s+3}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}), (\varphi, v, h_0, \xi) \mapsto F(\varphi, v, h_0, \xi),$$

is  $C^1$ . Here  $F$  is the map from (3).

*Proof.* We write

$$F(\varphi, v, h_0, \xi) = -R_\varphi A_{(h_0/\varphi_x) \circ \varphi^{-1}, \xi}^{-1} R_\varphi^{-1} R_\varphi P((h_0/\varphi_x) \circ \varphi^{-1}, v \circ \varphi^{-1}, \xi),$$

with  $P$  from (2). We will first show that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}) \times U^s \times H^{s+3}(\mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow L(H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}); H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})), \\ (\varphi, h_0) &\mapsto R_\varphi A_{(h_0/\varphi_x) \circ \varphi^{-1}, \xi}^{-1} R_\varphi^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

is  $C^1$ . Note that

$$R_\varphi A_{(h_0/\varphi_x) \circ \varphi^{-1}, \xi}^{-1} R_\varphi^{-1} = (R_\varphi A_{(h_0/\varphi_x) \circ \varphi^{-1}} R_\varphi^{-1})^{-1}.$$

Let  $f \in H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$ . We then have

$$\begin{aligned} &R_\varphi A_{(h_0/\varphi_x) \circ \varphi^{-1}, \xi}^{-1} R_\varphi^{-1} f = \\ &M_{\varphi_x}^{-1} h_0 \cdot (1 + (R_\varphi \xi_x)^2) \cdot f + R_\varphi \partial_x R_\varphi^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (M_{\varphi_x}^{-1} h_0)^2 \cdot (R_\varphi \xi_x) \cdot f \right] \\ &- \frac{1}{2} (M_{\varphi_x}^{-1} h_0)^2 \cdot R_\varphi \xi_x \cdot R_\varphi \partial_x R_\varphi^{-1} f - R_\varphi \partial_x R_\varphi^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{3} (M_{\varphi_x}^{-1} h_0)^3 \cdot R_\varphi \partial_x R_\varphi^{-1} f \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From (7) resp. (8) we know that  $M_{\varphi_x} h_0$  resp.  $R_\varphi \partial_x R_\varphi^{-1}$  depend analytically on  $\varphi$  and  $h_0$ . From (5) we know that  $R_\varphi \xi_x$  depends in a  $C^1$  fashion on  $\varphi$  and  $\xi$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}) \times U^s \times H^{s+3}(\mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow L(H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}); H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})), \\ (\varphi, h_0) &\mapsto R_\varphi A_{(h_0/\varphi_x) \circ \varphi^{-1}, \xi}^{-1} R_\varphi^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

is  $C^1$ . By using Neumann series we know that inversion of isomorphisms is a smooth process. Hence we get that the map in (9) is  $C^1$ . Next consider

$$\begin{aligned} &R_\varphi P((h_0/\varphi_x) \circ \varphi^{-1}, v \circ \varphi^{-1}, \xi) = \\ &M_{\varphi_x}^{-1} h_0 \cdot R_\varphi \partial_x R_\varphi^{-1} M_{\varphi_x}^{-1} h_0 \cdot g + R_\varphi \partial_x R_\varphi^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (M_{\varphi_x}^{-1} h_0)^2 \cdot v^2 \cdot R_\varphi \xi_{xx} \right] \\ &+ R_\varphi \partial_x R_\varphi^{-1} \left[ \frac{2}{3} (M_{\varphi_x}^{-1} h_0)^3 (R_\varphi \partial_x R_\varphi^{-1} v)^2 \right] + M_{\varphi_x} h_0 \cdot R_\varphi \xi_x \cdot g \\ &+ M_{\varphi_x}^{-1} h_0 \cdot v^2 \cdot R_\varphi \xi_x \cdot R_\varphi \xi_{xx} + (M_{\varphi_x}^{-1} h_0)^2 \cdot R_\varphi \xi_x \cdot (R_\varphi \partial_x R_\varphi^{-1} v)^2. \end{aligned}$$

As above we have that  $M_{\varphi_x}^{-1} h_0$  depends analytically on  $(\varphi, h_0)$ ,  $R_\varphi \partial_x R_\varphi^{-1}$  depends analytically on  $\varphi$  and  $R_\varphi \xi_x$  resp.  $R_\varphi \xi_{xx}$  depend in a  $C^1$  way on  $(\varphi, \xi)$ . Altogether we see that the map in the statement of Lemma 3.1 is  $C^1$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$



Consider now for  $(h_0, u_0, \xi) \in U^s \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+3}(\mathbb{R})$  the second order ODE on  $\mathcal{D}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$

$$\varphi_{tt} = F(\varphi, \varphi_t, h_0, \xi), \quad \varphi(0) = \text{id}, \quad \varphi_t(0) = u_0. \quad (10)$$

By Lemma 3.1 this is a  $C^1$  ODE. Hence we can find solutions by applying the Picard-Lindelöf Theorem. The equation (10) is a Lagrangian formulation of (1).

## 4 Local Well-Posedness of the Green-Naghdi system

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $s > 1/2$  and  $(h_0, u_0, \xi) \in U^s \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+3}(\mathbb{R})$ . First we show the existence of a solution. By the Picard-Lindelöf Theorem there is some  $T > 0$  and a solution  $\varphi \in C^2([0, T]; \mathcal{D}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}))$  to (10). We define

$$h(t) := \left( \frac{h_0}{\varphi_x(t)} \right) \circ \varphi(t)^{-1}, \quad u(t) = \varphi_t(t) \circ \varphi(t)^{-1}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

By the continuity properties of the composition (4) and inversion (6) we get

$$(h, u) \in C([0, T]; U^s \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})).$$

We also have by a general argument (see [4])

$$(h, u) \in C^1([0, T]; (1 + H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})) \times H^s(\mathbb{R})).$$

By the Sobolev imbedding we get  $u \in C^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$ . Taking pointwise the  $t$  derivative gives

$$\frac{d}{dt} u \circ \varphi = (u_t + uu_x) \circ \varphi = \varphi_{tt} = - (A_{h, \xi}^{-1} P(h, u, \xi)) \circ \varphi.$$

Thus pointwise

$$u_t + uu_x = -A_{h, \xi}^{-1} P(h, u, \xi).$$

But this is an identity in  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$  as well. So  $(h, u)$  solves the second equation in (1). By the very definition of  $h$  we see that  $(h, u)$  solves the first equation

in (1). So the existence of solutions is established.

In Lagrangian variables we get continuous dependence on  $(h_0, u_0, \xi)$  by ODE theory. The continuity properties of the composition (4) and inversion (6) now provide continuous dependence of  $(h, u)$  on  $(h_0, u_0, \xi)$ . This proves continuous dependence on the data.

To prove uniqueness we assume that we have two solutions

$$(h, u), (\tilde{h}, \tilde{u}) \in C([0, T]; U^s \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})) \times C^1([0, T]; (1 + H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}))$$

satisfying (1). We know that  $u$  resp.  $\tilde{u}$  generate flow maps  $\varphi$  resp.  $\tilde{\varphi}$  with

$$\varphi, \tilde{\varphi} \in C^1([0, T]; \mathcal{D}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})).$$

As a consequence of  $h_t + (hu) = 0$  we get

$$h = \left( \frac{h_0}{\varphi_x} \right) \circ \varphi^{-1}.$$

Taking pointwise the  $t$  derivative in the equation  $\varphi_t = u \circ \varphi$  we get

$$\varphi_{tt} = (u_t + uu_x) \circ \varphi.$$

Thus we get pointwise

$$\varphi_{tt} = -R_\varphi A_{h,\xi}^{-1} P(h, u, \xi) = F(\varphi, \varphi_t, h_0, \xi),$$

where we used  $h = (h_0/\varphi_x) \circ \varphi^{-1}$ . This is an identity in  $H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$  as well. So  $\varphi$  solves the ODE (10). Similarly we get that  $\tilde{\varphi}$  solves (10) with the same initial data. By the Uniqueness Theorem for ODEs we get  $\varphi \equiv \tilde{\varphi}$  on  $[0, T]$  and with that  $(h, u) \equiv (\tilde{h}, \tilde{u})$  on  $[0, T]$ .

Putting existence, continuous dependence on the data and uniqueness together we get the local well-posedness of (1). This finishes the proof.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Green, A.; Naghdi, P., *A derivation of equations for wave propagation in water of variable depth.*, J. Fluid Mech. 78 (1976), 237—246.
- [2] Inci, H.; Kappeler, T.; Topalov, P, *On the regularity of the composition of diffeomorphisms*, Mem. Amer., Math. Soc. 226 (2013), no. 1062.

- [3] Inci, H., *On a Lagrangian formulation of the incompressible Euler equation*, J. Partial Differ. Equ. 29 (2016), no. 4, 320–359.
- [4] Inci, H., *On a Lagrangian formulation of the 1D Green-Naghdi system.*, arXiv:2111.06192 (2021).
- [5] Israwi S., *Large time existence for 1D Green-Naghdi equations*, Non-linear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, Volume 74, Issue 1, 2011, Pages 81–93.
- [6] Seabra-Santos, F.; Renouard, D.; Temperville, A. , *Numerical and experimental study of the transformation of a solitary wave over a shelf or isolated obstacle.*, Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 176 (1987), 117–134.
- [7] Serre F., *Contribution à l'étude des écoulements permanents et variables dans les canaux.*, La Houille Blanche 3 (1953), 374—388, and 6 (1953), 830—872.
- [8] Su, C. H.; Gardner, C. S., *Korteweg-de Vries equation and generalizations. III. Derivation of the Korteweg-de Vries equation and Burgers equation.*, J. Mathematical Phys. 10 (1969), 536—539.

Hasan İnci  
Department of Mathematics, Koç University  
Rumelifeneri Yolu  
34450 Sarıyer İstanbul Türkiye  
*email:* hinci@ku.edu.tr