

Poincaré Inequality Meets Brezis–Van Schaftingen–Yung Formula on Metric Measure Spaces

Feng Dai, Xiaosheng Lin, Dachun Yang*, Wen Yuan and Yangyang Zhang

Abstract Let (X, ρ, μ) be a metric measure space of homogeneous type which supports a certain Poincaré inequality. Denote by the symbol $C_c^*(X)$ the space of all continuous functions f with compact support satisfying that $\text{Lip } f := \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{y \in B(\cdot, r)} |f(\cdot) - f(y)|/r$ is also a continuous function with compact support and $\text{Lip } f = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{y \in B(\cdot, r)} |f(\cdot) - f(y)|/r$ converges uniformly. Let $p \in [1, \infty)$. In this article, the authors prove that, for any $f \in C_c^*(X)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\lambda \in (0, \infty)} \lambda^p \int_X \mu \left(\left\{ y \in X : |f(x) - f(y)| > \lambda \rho(x, y) [V(x, y)]^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\} \right) d\mu(x) \\ & \sim \int_X [\text{Lip } f(x)]^p d\mu(x) \end{aligned}$$

with the positive equivalence constants independent of f , where $V(x, y) := \mu(B(x, \rho(x, y)))$. This generalizes a recent surprising formula of H. Brezis, J. Van Schaftingen, and P.-L. Yung from the n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n to X . Applying this generalization, the authors establish new fractional Sobolev and Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities in X . All these results have a wide range of applications. Particularly, when applied to two concrete examples, namely, \mathbb{R}^n with weighted Lebesgue measure and the complete n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature, all these results are new. The proofs of these results strongly depend on the geometrical relation of differences and derivatives in the metric measure space and the Poincaré inequality.

1 Introduction

For any given $s \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in [1, \infty)$, the *homogeneous fractional Sobolev space* $\dot{W}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consists of all measurable functions f on \mathbb{R}^n having the finite Gagliardo semi-norm

$$(1.1) \quad \|f\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dx dy \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} =: \left\| \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{|x - y|^{\frac{n}{p} + s}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46E36; Secondary 26D10, 30L15, 26A33.

Key words and phrases. metric measure space, Poincaré inequality, Brezis–Van Schaftingen–Yung Formula, Sobolev semi-norm, fractional Sobolev inequality, Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality.

The first author is supported by NSERC of Canada Discovery grant RGPIN-2020-03909. This project is also supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11971058, 12071197, 12122102 and 11871100) and the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2020YFA0712900).

*Corresponding author, E-mail: dcyang@bnu.edu.cn/November 18, 2021/Final version.

These spaces play a key role in harmonic analysis and partial differential equations (see, for instance, [3, 29, 33, 11, 12, 30]).

A well-known *drawback* of the Gagliardo semi-norm in (1.1) is that one does not recover the homogeneous Sobolev semi-norm $\|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ when $s = 1$, in which case the integral in (1.1) is infinite unless f is a constant (see [1, 5, 8]), here and thereafter, for any differentiable function f on \mathbb{R}^n , ∇f denotes the gradient of f , namely,

$$\nabla f := \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right).$$

An important approach to recover $\|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ out of the Gagliardo semi-norm is due to Bourgain et al. [2], who in particular proved that

$$\lim_{s \in (0,1), s \rightarrow 1} (1-s) \|f\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p = C_{(p,n)} \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p, \quad \forall f \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \forall p \in [1, \infty),$$

where $C_{(p,n)}$ is a positive constant depending only on p and n . Very recently, Brezis et al. [7] (see also [6]) discovered an alternative way to repair this *defect* by replacing the L^p norm in (1.1) with the weak L^p quasi-norm [namely, $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)}$]. For any given $p \in [1, \infty)$, Brezis et al. in [7] proved that there exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that, for any $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(1.2) \quad C_1 \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \left\| \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{|x - y|^{\frac{n}{p} + 1}} \right\|_{L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_2 \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where

$$\left\| \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{|x - y|^{\frac{n}{p} + 1}} \right\|_{L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{\lambda \in (0, \infty)} \lambda \left| \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^{\frac{n}{p} + 1}} > \lambda \right\} \right|^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

here and thereafter, the symbol $|E|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ for any given $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and the symbol $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of all infinitely differentiable functions on \mathbb{R}^n with compact support. The equivalence (1.2) in particular allows Brezis et al. in [7] to derive some surprising alternative estimates of fractional Sobolev and Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities in some exceptional cases involving $\dot{W}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where the *anticipated* fractional Sobolev and Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities fail. For more studies on fractional Sobolev spaces, we refer the reader to [18, 15, 6, 8, 4, 35].

Let us also give a few comments on the proof of (1.2) in [7]. Indeed, a substantially sharper lower bound was obtained in [7], using a method of rotation and the Taylor remainder theorem. On the other hand, the stated upper bound for any given $p \in [1, \infty)$ can be deduced from the Vitali covering lemma in one variable, and a method of rotation. Thus, the rotation invariance of the space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ seems to play a vital role in the proof of (1.2) in [7].

The main purpose in this article is to give an essential extension of the main results of [7] [the equivalence (1.2)]. Such extensions are fairly nontrivial because our setting is a given metric measure space of homogeneous type, in the sense of Coifman and Weiss [13, 14], that is neither rotation invariance nor translation invariance. We now describe this general setting. First, we recall the notion of metric measure spaces of homogeneous type. For more studies on spaces of

homogeneous type, we refer the reader to [9, 10, 24, 25, 31, 32, 20, 21]. A *metric space* (X, ρ) is a non-empty set X equipped with a *metric* ρ , namely, a non-negative function, defined on $X \times X$, satisfying that, for any $x, y, z \in X$,

- (i) $\rho(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (ii) $\rho(x, y) = \rho(y, x)$;
- (iii) $\rho(x, z) \leq \rho(x, y) + \rho(y, z)$.

For any $x \in X$ and $r \in (0, \infty)$, let

$$B(x, r) := \{y \in X : \rho(x, y) < r\}$$

and

$$\mathbb{B} := \{B(x, r) : x \in X \text{ and } r \in (0, \infty)\}.$$

For any $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ and any ball $B := B(x_B, r_B)$ in X , with $x_B \in X$ and $r_B \in (0, \infty)$, let $\alpha B := B(x_B, \alpha r_B)$. For a given metric space (X, ρ) and a given Borel measure μ , the triple (X, ρ, μ) is called a *metric measure space of homogeneous type* if μ satisfies the following *doubling condition*: there exists a constant $L_\mu \in [1, \infty)$ such that, for any ball $B \subset X$,

$$\mu(2B) \leq L_\mu \mu(B).$$

From the above doubling condition, it follows that, for any $\lambda \in [1, \infty)$ and any ball $B \subset X$,

$$(1.3) \quad \mu(\lambda B) \leq L_\mu \lambda^{\log_2 L_\mu} \mu(B).$$

Throughout this article, we always make the following *assumptions*: $\mu(B) \in (0, \infty)$ for any $B \in \mathbb{B}$, and $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ for any $x \in X$.

Now, we recall some notions to state the main result of this article. A function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ on the metric space (X, ρ) is called a *Lipschitz function* if there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $x, y \in X$,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq C\rho(x, y).$$

We denote by the *symbol* $\text{LIP}(X)$ the space of all Lipschitz functions on X . For any Lipschitz function f defined on a metric space (X, ρ) and any $x \in X$, let

$$\text{lip } f(x) := \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{y \in B(x, r)} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{r}$$

and

$$\text{Lip } f(x) := \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{y \in B(x, r)} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{r}.$$

We denote by the *symbol* $BC(X)$ the set of all bounded continuous functions on X .

Definition 1.1. Let $p, q \in [1, \infty)$ and (X, ρ, μ) be a metric measure space of homogeneous type. A function $f \in \text{LIP}(X)$ is said to satisfy a (q, p) -Poincaré inequality with constants $C_1, C_2, \tau \in [1, \infty)$ if there exists a sequence $\{\ell_B\}_{B \in \mathbb{B}}$ of linear functionals on the space $BC(X)$ such that, for any $\varphi \in BC(X)$ and $B \in \mathbb{B}$,

$$(1.4) \quad \ell_B(1) = 1, \quad |\ell_B(\varphi)| \leq C_1 \left[\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B |\varphi(x)|^q d\mu(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad \left[\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B |f(x) - \ell_B(f)|^q d\mu(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C_2 r_B \left[\frac{1}{\mu(\tau B)} \int_{\tau B} [\text{lip } f(x)]^p d\mu(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

The space (X, ρ, μ) is said to satisfy a (q, p) -Poincaré inequality with constants $C_1, C_2, \tau \in [1, \infty)$ if (1.4) and (1.5) hold true for any $f \in \text{LIP}(X)$, and the constants C_1, C_2 , and τ are independent of f .

We denote by the symbol $C_c(X)$ the space of all continuous functions with compact support on X and by the symbol $C_c^*(X)$ the space of all functions $f \in \text{LIP}(X)$ such that $\text{Lip } f = \text{lip } f \in C_c(X)$ and

$$\text{Lip } f(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{y \in B(x, r)} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{r}$$

uniformly on $x \in X$. We use the symbol $C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to denote the set of all twice continuously differentiable functions on \mathbb{R}^n with compact support. When $X := \mathbb{R}^n$ and ρ is the Euclidean metric, from the Taylor remainder theorem, it follows that $C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset C_c^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Proposition 4.4 below). For any $x, y \in X$, let

$$V(x, y) := \mu(B(x, \rho(x, y))).$$

The main result of this article can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.2. Let $p, q \in [1, \infty)$ and (X, ρ, μ) be a metric measure space of homogeneous type. Assume that $f \in C_c^*(X)$ satisfies a (q, p) -Poincaré inequality with constants $C_1, C_2, \tau \in [1, \infty)$. Then

$$(1.6) \quad \sup_{\lambda \in (0, \infty)} \lambda^p \int_X \int_X \mathbf{1}_{D_\lambda}(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \sim \int_X [\text{Lip } f(x)]^p d\mu(x),$$

where

$$(1.7) \quad D_\lambda := \left\{ (x, y) \in X \times X : |f(x) - f(y)| > \lambda \rho(x, y) [V(x, y)]^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\}$$

and the positive equivalence constants depend only on p, q , the doubling constant of μ , and the constants C_1, C_2 , and τ .

Using Theorem 1.2, we are able to obtain some analogues of fractional Sobolev and Gagliardo–Nirenberg type inequalities for a general doubling measure μ on X that satisfies certain Poincaré inequality (see Corollaries 3.1 and 3.3 below), which correspond to the endpoint cases of [7, Corollaries 5.1 and 5.2].

- Remark 1.3.** (i) The formula (1.6) gives an equivalence between the Sobolev semi-norm and a quantity involving the difference of the function f . It is quite remarkable that such an equivalence holds true for the metric measure space of homogeneous type, (\mathcal{X}, ρ, μ) , that admits a p -Poincaré inequality. Indeed, finding an appropriate way to characterize the smoothness of functions via their finite differences is a notoriously difficult problem in approximation theory, even for some simple weights in one variable (see [26, 28] and the references therein). A major difficulty comes from the fact that difference operators $\Delta_h f := f(\cdot + h) - f(\cdot)$ for any $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are no longer bounded on general weighted L^p spaces.
- (ii) In the special case of $\mathcal{X} := \mathbb{R}^n$, $d\mu(x) = dx$, and $\rho(x, y) := |x - y|$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, Theorem 1.2 is due to Brezis et al. [7]. However, since proofs in [7] largely rely on the rotation invariance of the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n , those proofs do not seem to work in the general setting considered here.

Indeed, the proof of the lower estimate in (1.6) is fairly nontrivial. On one hand, the proof of the lower estimate in (1.2) in the unweighted case in the article [7] is based on a method of polar coordinate transformation, and it is impossible to use this method in the metric measure space. Using the geometrical relation of differences and derivatives in the metric measure space (see Lemma 2.2 below), we overcome this difficulty by accurately estimating the inclusion relation between the set related to the difference and the set related to the derivative [see (2.12) below]. The proof of the upper estimate of (1.2) in the article [7] is based on a method of rotation, and seems to be also inapplicable in the metric measure space here. To overcome these difficulties, we first introduce a suitable form of the Poincaré inequality in the metric measure space of homogeneous type (see Definition 1.1 above). Applying the Poincaré inequality, then we skillfully use the Vitali covering lemma in the product space [see (2.24) below] to replace the method of rotation in [7], which plays a key role in the proof of the upper estimate of (1.6).

The remainder of this article is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we establish the characterization of the Sobolev semi-norm on the metric measure space of homogeneous type, (\mathcal{X}, ρ, μ) (see Theorem 1.2 above), which can be easily deduced from two more general results: Theorem 2.1 below and Theorem 2.4 below. Indeed, by the geometrical relation of differences and derivatives in the metric measure space (see Lemma 2.2 below), we characterize the Sobolev semi-norm in \mathcal{X} , without the assumption that $f \in \text{LIP}(\mathcal{X})$ satisfies a (q, p) -Poincaré inequality in Theorem 1.2 (see Theorem 2.1 below). To obtain the upper estimate of (1.6), we need to overcome some essential difficulties. Using a skillful decomposition, the Vitali covering lemma in the product space, and the Poincaré inequality, we avoid the rotation invariance used in [7] and hence overcome these difficulties (see Theorem 2.4 below).

Applying Theorem 1.2, in Section 3, we establish fractional Sobolev and fractional Gagliardo–Nirenberg type inequalities on \mathcal{X} (see Corollaries 3.1 and 3.3 below).

In Section 4, we apply Theorem 1.2 and Corollaries 3.1 and 3.3, respectively, to two concrete examples of metric measure spaces, namely, \mathbb{R}^n with weighted Lebesgue measure and the complete n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature. All these results are totally new.

Finally, we make some conventions on notation. Let $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. We also use $C_{(\alpha, \beta, \dots)}$ to denote a positive constant depending on the indicated parameters α, β, \dots . The symbol $f \lesssim g$ means that $f \leq Cg$. If $f \lesssim g$ and $g \lesssim f$, we then write $f \sim g$. If $f \leq Cg$ and

$g = h$ or $g \leq h$, we then write $f \lesssim g \sim h$ or $f \lesssim g \lesssim h$, rather than $f \lesssim g = h$ or $f \lesssim g \leq h$. We use $\mathbf{0}$ to denote the *origin* of \mathbb{R}^n . If E is a subset of \mathbb{R}^n , we denote by $\mathbf{1}_E$ its characteristic function. For any $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ and any ball $B := B(x_B, r_B)$ in \mathbb{R}^n , with $x_B \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r_B \in (0, \infty)$, we let $\alpha B := B(x_B, \alpha r_B)$. Finally, for any $q \in [1, \infty]$, we denote by q' its *conjugate exponent*, namely, $1/q + 1/q' = 1$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we establish the characterization of the Sobolev semi-norm on the metric measure space of homogeneous type, (\mathcal{X}, ρ, μ) .

2.1 Proof of Theorem 1.2: Lower Estimate

In this subsection, we prove the lower bound of Theorem 1.2. Indeed, the stated lower bound in Theorem 1.2 follows directly from the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let (\mathcal{X}, ρ, μ) be a metric measure space of homogeneous type and $p \in [1, \infty)$. Then there exists a positive constant C , depending only on p and the doubling constant of μ , such that, for any $f \in C_c^*(\mathcal{X})$,*

$$(2.1) \quad \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^p \int_{\mathcal{X}} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{1}_{D_\lambda}(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \geq C \int_{\mathcal{X}} [\text{Lip } f(x)]^p d\mu(x),$$

where D_λ is as in (1.7).

Before we prove Theorem 2.1, we need the following lemma, which establishes the geometrical relation of differences and derivatives in the metric measure space.

Lemma 2.2. *Let (\mathcal{X}, ρ, μ) be a metric measure space of homogeneous type and $f \in C_c^*(\mathcal{X})$. Then, for any given $\delta \in (0, 1)$, there exists a positive constant $r_\delta \in (0, \delta)$, depending only on δ and f , such that, for any $x \in \mathcal{X}$ with $\text{Lip } f(x) \in (4\delta, \infty)$, and any $r \in (0, r_\delta]$,*

$$\mu(S(x, r)) \geq c_0 \mu(B(x, r)),$$

where the positive constant c_0 depends only on the doubling constant of μ and

$$(2.2) \quad S(x, r) := \left\{ z \in B(x, r) : |f(z) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{8} \text{Lip } f(x) \rho(z, x) \right\}.$$

Proof. Let all the notation be as in the present lemma. Let $g := \text{Lip } f$ and

$$A_\delta := \{x \in \mathcal{X} : g(x) \in (4\delta, \infty)\}.$$

By the definition of g , we find that there exists a constant $r_\delta \in (0, \delta)$ such that, for any $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ with $\rho(x, y) \in [0, r_\delta]$,

$$(2.3) \quad |g(x) - g(y)| < \delta$$

and, for any $r \in (0, r_\delta]$,

$$(2.4) \quad g(x) - \delta < \sup_{y \in B(x,r)} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{r} < g(x) + \delta.$$

Let $x \in A_\delta$ and $r \in (0, r_\delta]$ be fixed. Using the first inequality in (2.4) and the definition of A_δ , we conclude that there exists a point $y_{x,r} \in B(x, r/2)$ such that

$$(2.5) \quad |f(y_{x,r}) - f(x)| > \frac{1}{2}[g(x) - \delta]r > \frac{3}{8}g(x)r.$$

Moreover, by (2.3) and the second inequality of (2.4), we have

$$\sup_{z \in B(y_{x,r}, r/6)} |f(z) - f(y_{x,r})| < \frac{1}{6}[g(y_{x,r}) + \delta]r < \frac{1}{6}[g(x) + 2\delta]r < \frac{1}{4}g(x)r.$$

From this and (2.5), it follows that, for any $z \in B(y_{x,r}, r/6) \subset B(x, r)$,

$$|f(z) - f(x)| \geq |f(y_{x,r}) - f(x)| - |f(z) - f(y_{x,r})| > \frac{1}{8}g(x)r > \frac{1}{8}g(x)\rho(x, z).$$

This implies that

$$B(y_{x,r}, r/6) \subset S(x, r) \subset B(x, r) \subset B(y_{x,r}, 2r),$$

which, together with the doubling property of the measure μ , further implies that

$$\mu(S(x, r)) \geq \mu(B(y_{x,r}, r/6)) \gtrsim \mu(B(x, r)).$$

This finishes the proof of Lemma 2.2. \square

Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. We denote by the *symbol* $L^p(\mu)$ the Lebesgue L^p -space defined with respect to the measure μ on \mathcal{X} . Recall that, for any $f \in L^p(\mu)$,

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\mu)} := \left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} |f(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right]^{1/p}$$

with the usual modification made when $p = \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let all the notation be as in the present theorem. By the Tonelli theorem, we have, for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{\mathcal{X}} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{1}_{D_\lambda}(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu(D_\lambda(x)) d\mu(x),$$

where

$$D_\lambda(x) := \{y \in \mathcal{X} : (x, y) \in D_\lambda\}.$$

For a sufficiently small constant $\delta \in (0, 1)$, let

$$G_\delta := \{x \in \mathcal{X} : \text{Lip } f(x) \in (4\delta, 4\delta^{-1})\}.$$

Let $r_\delta \in (0, \delta)$ be as in Lemma 2.2. For any $x \in G_\delta$ and $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, let

$$I_\delta(x, \lambda) := \{t \in (0, r_\delta) : [\text{Lip } f(x)]^p > 8^p \lambda^p \mu(B(x, t))\}$$

and

$$D_{\lambda,1}(x) := \bigcup_{t \in I_\delta(x, \lambda)} S(x, t),$$

where $S(x, t)$ is as in (2.2). From this, it follows that, for any $x \in G_\delta$ and $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,

$$(2.7) \quad D_\lambda(x) \supset D_{\lambda,1}(x).$$

Recall that, for any $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$, $\mu(B(x, t)) \in (0, \infty)$ and $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$. Thus, the function $\mu(B(x, \cdot))$ is non-decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ for any given $x \in \mathcal{X}$, and satisfies

$$\lim_{t \in (0, \infty), t \rightarrow 0} \mu(B(x, t)) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(B(x, t)) = \mu(\mathcal{X}).$$

This implies that, for any $x \in G_\delta$ and $\lambda \in [\delta^{-1}[\mu(\mathcal{X})]^{-1/p}, \infty)$, there exists a unique integer $N(x, \lambda)$ such that

$$(2.8) \quad \mu(B(x, 2^{-N(x, \lambda)})) < 8^{-p} \lambda^{-p} [\text{Lip } f(x)]^p \leq \mu(B(x, 2^{-N(x, \lambda)+1})).$$

Clearly, the function $N(x, \cdot)$ is non-decreasing on $[\delta^{-1}[\mu(\mathcal{X})]^{-1/p}, \infty)$ for any given $x \in G_\delta$. For any $x \in G_\delta$ and $\lambda \in [\delta^{-1}[\mu(\mathcal{X})]^{-1/p}, \infty)$, let $\varepsilon_\lambda(x) := 2^{-N(x, \lambda)}$. We claim that

$$(2.9) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in G_\delta} \varepsilon_\lambda(x) = 0.$$

For the moment, we take this claim for granted, and proceed with the proof of the present theorem. Using (2.8), we conclude that, for any $x \in G_\delta$, $\lambda \in [\delta^{-1}[\mu(\mathcal{X})]^{-1/p}, \infty)$, and $t \in (0, \varepsilon_\lambda(x))$,

$$[\text{Lip } f(x)]^p > 8^p \lambda^p \mu(B(x, \varepsilon_\lambda(x))) \geq 8^p \lambda^p \mu(B(x, t)).$$

This implies that, for any $x \in G_\delta$ and $\lambda \in [\delta^{-1}[\mu(\mathcal{X})]^{-1/p}, \infty)$,

$$(2.10) \quad D_{\lambda,1}(x) \supset \bigcup_{t \in (0, \min\{r_\delta, \varepsilon_\lambda(x)\})} S(x, t) = S(x, \min\{r_\delta, \varepsilon_\lambda(x)\}).$$

On the other hand, by the claim (2.9), we conclude that there exists a constant

$$\lambda_\delta \in [\delta^{-1}[\mu(\mathcal{X})]^{-1/p}, \infty)$$

such that, for any $\lambda \in (\lambda_\delta, \infty)$,

$$(2.11) \quad \sup_{x \in G_\delta} \varepsilon_\lambda(x) < r_\delta.$$

This, together with (2.10), implies that, for any $x \in G_\delta$ and $\lambda \in (\lambda_\delta, \infty)$,

$$(2.12) \quad D_{\lambda,1}(x) \supset S(x, \varepsilon_\lambda(x)).$$

By (2.7), (2.6), and (2.12), we find that, for any $\lambda \in (\lambda_\delta, \infty)$,

$$(2.13) \quad \int_{\mathcal{X}} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{1}_{D_\lambda}(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \geq \int_{G_\delta} \mu(S(x, \varepsilon_\lambda(x))) d\mu(x).$$

Using Lemma 2.2, (2.11), (1.3), and (2.8), we conclude that, for any $x \in G_\delta$ and $\lambda \in (\lambda_\delta, \infty)$,

$$\mu(S(x, \varepsilon_\lambda(x))) \gtrsim \mu(B(x, \varepsilon_\lambda(x))) \gtrsim \mu(B(x, 2\varepsilon_\lambda(x))) \gtrsim \lambda^{-p} [\text{Lip } f(x)]^p.$$

From this and (2.13), it follows that, for any $\lambda \in (\lambda_\delta, \infty)$,

$$\lambda^p \int_{\mathcal{X}} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{1}_{D_\lambda}(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \gtrsim \int_{G_\delta} [\text{Lip } f(x)]^p d\mu(x).$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^p \int_{\mathcal{X}} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{1}_{D_\lambda}(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) &\gtrsim \lim_{\delta \in (0,1), \delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{G_\delta} [\text{Lip } f(x)]^p d\mu(x) \\ &\sim \int_{\mathcal{X}} [\text{Lip } f(x)]^p d\mu(x), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of (2.1).

It remains to show the claim (2.9). Notice that the function $\sup_{x \in G} 2^{-N(x, \cdot)}$ is non-increasing on $(0, \infty)$ and hence the limit

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in G_\delta} 2^{-N(x, \lambda)}$$

exists and is nonnegative. Assume to the contrary that (2.9) is not true. Then there exists a constant $\delta_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that, for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,

$$(2.14) \quad \sup_{x \in G_\delta} 2^{-N(x, \lambda)} > \delta_0 > 0.$$

For any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, let $x_\lambda \in G_\delta$ be such that $2^{-N(x_\lambda, \lambda)} > \delta_0$. Next, let $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ and $M \in (1, \infty)$ be such that $\text{supp } f \subset B(x_0, M)$. Thus, $\rho(x_0, x_\lambda) \in [0, M)$. Suppose $L_\mu \in (1, \infty)$ and let $s_\mu := \log_2 L_\mu$. Since μ is the doubling measure, we deduce that, for any $r \in (0, \infty)$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$\mu(B(x, r)) \lesssim [1 + r^{-1} \rho(x, y)]^{s_\mu} \mu(B(y, r)).$$

This, combined with (1.3), the fact that $\rho(x_0, x_\lambda) \in [0, M)$, (2.14), and (2.8), implies that, for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \mu(B(x_0, M)) &\lesssim [1 + M^{-1} \rho(x_0, x_\lambda)]^{s_\mu} \mu(B(x_\lambda, M)) \\ &\lesssim \mu(B(x_\lambda, \delta_0)) \lesssim \mu(B(x_\lambda, 2^{-N(x_\lambda, \lambda)})) \lesssim \lambda^{-p} \|\text{Lip } f\|_{L^\infty(\mu)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Then we have $\mu(B(x_0, M)) = 0$. This contradicts to $\mu(B(x_0, M)) \in (0, \infty)$. Thus, the claim (2.9) holds true. This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

2.2 Proof of Theorem 1.2: Upper Estimate

In this subsection, we prove the stated upper bound of Theorem 1.2. The following lemma is just [22, Theorem 1.2].

Lemma 2.3. *Let \mathcal{F} be any collection of balls with uniformly bounded diameter in a metric space (X, ρ) . Then there exists a family \mathcal{G} of disjoint balls in \mathcal{F} such that*

$$\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{F}} B \subset \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{G}} 5B.$$

The upper estimate of Theorem 1.2 follows directly from the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $p, q \in [1, \infty)$ and (X, ρ, μ) be a metric space of homogeneous type. Assume that $f \in \text{LIP}(X)$ satisfies a (q, p) -Poincaré inequality with constants $C_1, C_2, \tau \in [1, \infty)$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$(2.15) \quad \sup_{\lambda \in (0, \infty)} \lambda^p \int_X \int_X \mathbf{1}_{D_\lambda}(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \leq C \int_X [\text{lip } f(x)]^p d\mu(x),$$

where D_λ is as in (1.7) for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, and the constant C depends only on p, q , the doubling constant of μ , and the constants C_1, C_2 , and τ .

Now, we prove Theorem 2.4. To this end, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *Let X, f, C_1, C_2, p , and q be as in Theorem 2.4. Let τ and $\{\ell_B\}_{B \in \mathbb{B}}$ be as in Definition 1.1. Assume that $B = B(x, r)$ is a ball with $x \in X$ and $r \in (0, \infty)$, and $B_1 \in \mathbb{B}$ is a ball such that $x \in B_1 \subset B \subset \alpha B_1$ with $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$(2.16) \quad |f(x) - \ell_{B_1}(f)|^p \leq Cr^p \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \int_{2^{-j}\tau B} [\text{lip } f(z)]^p d\mu(z),$$

where the positive constant C depends only on p, q, α , the doubling constant of μ , and the constants C_1 and C_2 .

Proof. Let all the notation be as in the present lemma. Let $x \in X, r \in (0, \infty)$, and $B := B(x, r)$. By (1.4) and $f \in BC(X)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\ell_{2^{-j}B}(f) - f(x)| &= |\ell_{2^{-j}B}(f - f(x))| \lesssim \left[\frac{1}{\mu(2^{-j}B)} \int_{2^{-j}B} |f(y) - f(x)|^q dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{y \in 2^{-j}B} |f(y) - f(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$f(x) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \ell_{2^{-j}B} f.$$

From this, (1.4), (1.3), and (1.5), it follows that

$$|f(x) - \ell_B(f)| = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |\ell_{2^{-j}B} f(x) - \ell_B f(x)| \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\ell_{2^{-j-1}B}(f) - \ell_{2^{-j}B}(f)|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\ell_{2^{-j-1}B}(f - \ell_{2^{-j}B}(f))| \\
& \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[\int_{2^{-j-1}B} |f(y) - \ell_{2^{-j}B}(f)|^q d\mu(y) \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[\int_{2^{-j}B} |f(y) - \ell_{2^{-j}B}(f)|^q d\mu(y) \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-jr} \left\{ \int_{2^{-j\tau B}} [\text{lip } f(y)]^p d\mu(y) \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using this and the Hölder inequality, we find that

$$(2.17) \quad |f(x) - \ell_B(f)|^p \lesssim r^p \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \int_{2^{-j\tau B}} [\text{lip } f(z)]^p d\mu(z).$$

By (1.4), (1.3), and (1.5), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\ell_B(f) - \ell_{B_1}(f)|^p & \sim |\ell_{B_1}(f - \ell_B(f))|^p \lesssim \left[\int_{B_1} |f(z) - \ell_B(f)|^q d\mu(z) \right]^{p/q} \\
& \lesssim \left[\int_B |f(z) - \ell_B(f)|^q d\mu(z) \right]^{p/q} \lesssim r^p \int_{\tau B} [\text{lip } f(z)]^p d\mu(z).
\end{aligned}$$

This, combined with (2.17), implies (2.16), which completes the proof of Lemma 2.5. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Let all the notation be as in the present theorem. For any $(x, y) \in X \times X$, let $z_{x,y}$ be an arbitrarily given point of $B(x, \rho(x, y))$ and

$$B_{x,y} := B(z_{x,y}, 2\rho(x, y)).$$

Clearly, for any $x, y \in X$,

$$[\rho(x, y)]^p V(x, y) \sim \mu(B_{x,y}) r_{B_{x,y}}^p.$$

From this and the fact that, for any $x, y \in X$,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |f(x) - \ell_{B_{x,y}}(f)| + |f(y) - \ell_{B_{x,y}}(f)|,$$

it follows that, for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,

$$D_\lambda \subset D_\lambda^1 \cup D_\lambda^2,$$

where

$$D_\lambda^1 := \left\{ (x, y) \in X \times X : |f(x) - \ell_{B_{x,y}}(f)|^p > c\lambda^p \mu(B_{x,y}) r_{B_{x,y}}^p \right\}$$

and

$$D_\lambda^2 := \left\{ (x, y) \in X \times X : |f(y) - \ell_{B_{x,y}}(f)|^p > c\lambda^p \mu(B_{x,y}) r_{B_{x,y}}^p \right\}$$

with the positive constant c depending only on μ . Let $x_0 \in X$ be a fixed point. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\mathcal{X}_N := B(x_0, N)$$

and, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$D_{\lambda, N}^i := D_\lambda^i \cap (\mathcal{X}_N \times \mathcal{X}_N).$$

By symmetry and the monotone convergence theorem, we find that, to prove (2.15), it suffices to show that, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,

$$(2.18) \quad \int_{X \times X} \mathbf{1}_{D_{\lambda, N}^1}(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^p} \int_X [\text{lip } f(z)]^p d\mu(z),$$

where the implicit positive constant depends only on p , q , the doubling constant of μ , and the constants C_1 , C_2 , and τ as in the present theorem.

For any $(x, y) \in D_{\lambda, N}^1$, let

$$\widetilde{B}_{x, y} := B(x, 3\rho(x, y)).$$

Clearly,

$$B_{x, y} \subset \widetilde{B}_{x, y} \subset 3B_{x, y} \text{ and } r_{\widetilde{B}_{x, y}} := 3\rho(x, y) \leq 6N.$$

By this, (2.16), and (1.3), we have, for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ and $(x, y) \in D_{\lambda, N}^1$,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \int_{2^{-j}\tau\widetilde{B}_{x, y}} [\text{lip } f(z)]^p d\mu(z) \geq C^{-1} r_{\widetilde{B}_{x, y}}^{-p} |f(x) - \ell_{B_{x, y}}(f)|^p > c_1 \lambda^p \mu(\widetilde{B}_{x, y}),$$

where C is as in (2.16) and $c_1 := C^{-1} c(\frac{1}{2})^p L_\mu^{-2}$. This implies that, for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ and $(x, y) \in D_{\lambda, N}^1$, there exists a $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$(2.19) \quad \int_{2^{-j}\tau\widetilde{B}_{x, y}} [\text{lip } f(z)]^p d\mu(z) > c_2 2^{j/2} \lambda^p \mu(\widetilde{B}_{x, y}),$$

where $c_2 := (1 - 2^{-\frac{1}{2}})c_1$. For any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we denote by the symbol $\mathcal{B}_j^N(\lambda)$ the collection of all balls $B \subset X$ with radius $\leq 6N$ such that

$$(2.20) \quad \int_{2^{-j}\tau B} [\text{lip } f(z)]^p d\mu(z) > c_2 2^{j/2} \lambda^p \mu(B).$$

For any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let

$$(2.21) \quad D_j^N(\lambda) := \{(x, y) \in \mathcal{X}_N \times \mathcal{X}_N : \exists B \in \mathcal{B}_j^N(\lambda) \text{ such that } x \in 2^{-j}\tau B \text{ and } y \in \tau B\}.$$

For any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ and $(x, y) \in D_{\lambda, N}^1$, by (2.19), we conclude that there exists a $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $\widetilde{B}_{x, y} \in \mathcal{B}_j^N(\lambda)$ and $(x, y) \in (2^{-j}\tau\widetilde{B}_{x, y}) \times (\tau\widetilde{B}_{x, y})$. This implies that, for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,

$$D_{\lambda, N}^1 \subset \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} D_j^N(\lambda).$$

Thus, to prove (2.18), it suffices to show that, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,

$$(2.22) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathcal{X}_N} \int_{\mathcal{X}_N} \mathbf{1}_{D_j^N(\lambda)}(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^p} \int_{\mathcal{X}} [\text{lip } f(z)]^p d\mu(z),$$

where the implicit positive constant depends only on p, q , the doubling constant of μ , and the constants C_1, C_2 , and τ as in the present theorem.

By (2.21), we find that, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,

$$(2.23) \quad D_j^N(\lambda) \subset \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}_j^N(\lambda)} \left((2^{-j}\tau B) \times (\tau B) \right).$$

Now, consider the following metric ρ_j on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$, defined by setting, for any $(x, y), (u, v) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$,

$$\rho_j((x, y), (u, v)) := \max \left\{ 2^j \tau^{-1} \rho(x, u), \tau^{-1} \rho(y, v) \right\}.$$

Notice that, for any ball $B := B(x_0, r) \subset \mathcal{X}$ with $r \in (0, \infty)$, the set $(2^{-j}\tau B) \times (\tau B)$ is a ball with center $(x_0, x_0) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ and radius r in the metric space $(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}, \rho_j)$. Thus, $\{(2^{-j}\tau B) \times (\tau B)\}_{B \in \mathcal{B}_j^N(\lambda)}$ is a family of balls in the metric space $(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}, \rho_j)$ with radius not more than $6N$. Applying Lemma 2.3 to the collection of the balls,

$$\left\{ (2^{-j}\tau B) \times (\tau B) : B \in \mathcal{B}_j^N(\lambda) \right\},$$

in the metric space $(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}, \rho_j)$, we conclude that there exists a family \mathcal{G}_j of disjoint balls in $\mathcal{B}_j^N(\lambda)$ such that

$$(2.24) \quad \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}_j^N(\lambda)} \left((2^{-j}\tau B) \times \tau B \right) \subset \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{G}_j} (5 \cdot 2^{-j}\tau B) \times (5\tau B),$$

and the balls $\{(2^{-j}\tau B) \times \tau B\}_{B \in \mathcal{G}_j}$ are pairwise disjoint, which in turn implies that the balls

$$\{2^{-j}\tau B\}_{B \in \mathcal{G}_j}$$

are pairwise disjoint. By [13, p. 67] and [23, Lemma 2.5], we find that any disjoint collection of balls in \mathcal{X} is at most countable. Thus, the sets $\{2^{-j}\tau B\}_{B \in \mathcal{G}_j}$ is at most countable and we rewrite $\{(2^{-j}\tau B) \times \tau B\}_{B \in \mathcal{G}_j}$ by $\{2^{-j}\tau B_{j,k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. From this and (2.24), it follows that, for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathcal{X}_N \times \mathcal{X}_N} \mathbf{1}_{D_j^N(\lambda)}(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \\ & \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_k \mu(5\tau B_{j,k}) \mu(5 \cdot 2^{-j}\tau B_{j,k}) \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_k \mu(B_{j,k}) \mu(2^{-j}\tau B_{j,k}) \\ & \lesssim \lambda^{-p} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j/2} \sum_k \int_{2^{-j}\tau B_{j,k}} [\text{lip } f(z)]^p d\mu(z) \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim \lambda^{-p} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j/2} \int_{\mathcal{X}} [\text{lip } f(z)]^p d\mu(z) \lesssim \lambda^{-p} \int_{\mathcal{X}} [\text{lip } f(z)]^p d\mu(z),$$

where we used (2.23), (2.24), and (1.3) in the first step, (2.20) and the fact that $B_{j,k} \in \mathcal{B}_j^N(\lambda)$ in the second step, the fact that the balls $\{2^{-j}\tau B_{j,k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ are pairwise disjoint in the third step, and the implicit positive constant depends only on p, q , the doubling constant of μ , and the constants C_1, C_2 , and τ as in the present theorem. This proves (2.22), which completes the proof of Theorem 2.4. \square

3 Fractional Sobolev and Gagliardo–Nirenberg Type Inequalities

As an application of Theorem 1.2, we obtain the following fractional Sobolev and Gagliardo–Nirenberg type inequalities in any metric measure space of homogeneous type.

Corollary 3.1. *Let (\mathcal{X}, ρ, μ) be a metric measure space of homogeneous type and $p, q \in [1, \infty)$. Assume that $f \in C_c^*(\mathcal{X})$ satisfies a $(q, 1)$ -Poincaré inequality with constants $C_1, C_2, \tau \in [1, \infty)$. Then there exists a positive constant C , depending on C_1, C_2, p, q , and τ , such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \sup_{\lambda \in (0, \infty)} \lambda \left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \left(\left\{ x \in \mathcal{X} : |f(x) - f(y)| > \lambda [\rho(x, y)]^{\frac{1}{p}} [V(x, y)]^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\} \right) d\mu(y) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mu)}^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \|\text{Lip } f\|_{L^1(\mu)}^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where $V(x, y) := \mu(B(x, \rho(x, y)))$ for any $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$.

Proof. Let all the notation be as in the present corollary. For any $\lambda, r, s \in (0, \infty)$, let

$$(3.2) \quad E_f(\lambda, r, s) := \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} : |f(x) - f(y)| > \lambda [\rho(x, y)]^s [V(x, y)]^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\}.$$

Since, for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,

$$E_f(\lambda, p, 1/p) \subset E_f \left(\frac{\lambda^p}{[2\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mu)}]^{p-1}}, 1, 1 \right),$$

from Theorem 1.2, we deduce that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in (0, \infty)} \lambda \left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \left(\left\{ x \in \mathcal{X} : |f(x) - f(y)| > \lambda [\rho(x, y)]^{\frac{1}{p}} [V(x, y)]^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\} \right) d\mu(y) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \lesssim \sup_{\lambda \in (0, \infty)} \lambda \left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \left(\left\{ x \in \mathcal{X} : |f(x) - f(y)| > \lambda^p / [2\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mu)}]^{p-1} \rho(x, y) V(x, y) \right\} \right) d\mu(y) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \sim \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mu)}^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \sup_{\lambda \in (0, \infty)} \left[\lambda \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \left(\left\{ x \in \mathcal{X} : |f(x) - f(y)| > \lambda \rho(x, y) V(x, y) \right\} \right) d\mu(y) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mu)}^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \|\text{Lip } f\|_{L^1(\mu)}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

This finishes the proof of Corollary 3.1. \square

Remark 3.2. In the case when $\mathcal{X} := \mathbb{R}^n$, $d\mu(x) := dx$, and $\rho(x, y) := |x - y|$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, Corollary 3.1 is just [7, Corollary 5.1].

Corollary 3.3. Let $s_1 \in (0, 1)$, $p_1 \in (1, \infty)$, and $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Let $s \in (s_1, 1)$ and $p \in (1, p_1)$ satisfy $s := (1 - \theta)s_1 + \theta$ and $\frac{1}{p} := \frac{1-\theta}{p_1} + \theta$. Let (\mathcal{X}, ρ, μ) be a metric measure space of homogeneous type. Assume that $f \in C_c^*(\mathcal{X})$ satisfies a $(q, 1)$ -Poincaré inequality with constants $C_1, C_2, \tau \in [1, \infty)$. Then there exists a positive constant C , depending on C_1, C_2, p, q , and τ , such that

$$(3.3) \quad \sup_{\lambda \in (0, \infty)} \lambda \left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \left(\left\{ x \in \mathcal{X} : |f(x) - f(y)| > \lambda [\rho(x, y)]^s [V(x, y)]^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \right\} \right) d\mu(y) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \leq C \| \text{Lip } f \|_{L^1(\mu)}^\theta \left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^{p_1}}{[\rho(x, y)]^{s_1 p_1} V(x, y)} d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \right]^{\frac{1-\theta}{p_1}},$$

where $V(x, y) = \mu(B(x, \rho(x, y)))$ for any $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$.

Proof. Let all the notation be as in the present corollary. Let $A \in (0, \infty)$ be a constant which is specified later, and $E_f(\lambda, r, s)$ as in (3.2). Since, for any $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$\frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{[\rho(x, y)]^s [V(x, y)]^{1/p}} = \left\{ \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{[\rho(x, y)]^{s_1} [V(x, y)]^{1/p_1}} \right\}^{1-\theta} \left[\frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{[\rho(x, y) V(x, y)]} \right]^\theta,$$

it follows that, for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,

$$E_f(\lambda, p, s) \subset \left[E_f(A^{-\theta} \lambda, p_1, s_1) \cup E_f(A^{1-\theta} \lambda, 1, 1) \right].$$

This implies that, for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,

$$(3.4) \quad \left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu(\{x \in \mathcal{X} : (x, y) \in E_f(\lambda, p, s)\}) d\mu(y) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \lesssim \left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu(\{x \in \mathcal{X} : (x, y) \in E_f(A^{-\theta} \lambda, p_1, s_1)\}) d\mu(y) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ + \left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu(\{x \in \mathcal{X} : (x, y) \in E_f(A^{1-\theta} \lambda, 1, 1)\}) d\mu(y) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \lesssim \left[\frac{\mathbf{G}}{A^{-\theta} \lambda} \right]^{\frac{p_1}{p}} + \left[\frac{\mathbf{H}}{A^{1-\theta} \lambda} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where

$$\mathbf{G} := \sup_{\lambda \in (0, \infty)} \lambda \left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \left(\left\{ x \in \mathcal{X} : |f(x) - f(y)| > \lambda [\rho(x, y)]^{s_1} [V(x, y)]^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \right\} \right) d\mu(y) \right]^{\frac{1}{p_1}}$$

and

$$\mathbf{H} := \sup_{\lambda \in (0, \infty)} \lambda \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu(\{x \in \mathcal{X} : |f(x) - f(y)| > \lambda \rho(x, y) V(x, y)\}) d\mu(y).$$

Choose $A \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\left(\frac{\mathbf{G}}{A^{-\theta}\lambda}\right)^{\frac{p_1}{p}} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{H}}{A^{1-\theta}\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

This, combined with (3.4), implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu(\{x \in \mathcal{X} : (x, y) \in E_f(\lambda, p, s)\}) d\mu(y) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \lesssim \left(\frac{\mathbf{G}}{A^{-\theta}\lambda}\right)^{\frac{p_1}{p}} + \left(\frac{\mathbf{H}}{A^{1-\theta}\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \sim \left(\frac{\mathbf{G}}{A^{-\theta}\lambda}\right)^{\frac{p_1}{p}} \sim \lambda^{-1} \mathbf{H}^\theta \mathbf{G}^{1-\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

From this and Theorem 1.2, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\lambda \in (0, \infty)} \lambda \left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu \left(\left\{ x \in \mathcal{X} : |f(x) - f(y)| > \lambda [\rho(x, y)]^s [V(x, y)]^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\} \right) d\mu(y) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \lesssim \mathbf{H}^\theta \mathbf{G}^{1-\theta} \\ & \lesssim \|\text{Lip } f\|_{L^1(\mu)}^\theta \left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^{p_1}}{[\rho(x, y)]^{s_1 p_1} V(x, y)} d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \right]^{\frac{1-\theta}{p_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of Corollary 3.3. \square

Remark 3.4. In the case when $\mathcal{X} := \mathbb{R}^n$, $d\mu(x) := dx$, and $\rho(x, y) := |x - y|$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, Corollary 3.3 is just [7, Corollary 5.2].

4 Applications

In this section, we apply Theorem 1.2 and Corollaries 3.1 and 3.3 to two concrete examples of metric measure spaces, namely, \mathbb{R}^n with weighted Lebesgue measure (see Subsection 4.1 below) and the complete Riemannian n -manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature (see Subsection 4.2 below).

4.1 $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -weight

Let us first recall the notion of Muckenhoupt weights $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see, for instance, [17]).

Definition 4.1. An $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -weight ω , with $p \in [1, \infty)$, is a nonnegative locally integrable function on \mathbb{R}^n satisfying that, when $p \in (1, \infty)$,

$$[\omega]_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \left[\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \omega(x) dx \right] \left\{ \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q [\omega(x)]^{\frac{1}{1-p}} dx \right\}^{p-1} < \infty,$$

and

$$[\omega]_{A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \omega(x) dx \left[\|\omega^{-1}\|_{L^\infty(Q)} \right] < \infty,$$

where the suprema are taken over all cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Moreover, let

$$A_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) := \bigcup_{p \in [1, \infty)} A_p(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Definition 4.2. Let $p \in [0, \infty)$ and $\omega \in A_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The *weighted Lebesgue space* $L_\omega^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be the set of all measurable functions f on \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\|f\|_{L_\omega^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p \omega(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

Let $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $\omega \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For any measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$\omega(E) := \int_E \omega(x) dx.$$

The following lemma is a part of [17, Proposition 7.1.5].

Lemma 4.3. Let $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $\omega \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then the following statements hold true.

- (i) For any $\lambda \in (1, \infty)$ and any cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, one has $\omega(\lambda Q) \leq [\omega]_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lambda^{np} \omega(Q)$;
- (ii)

$$[\omega]_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{\substack{f \mathbf{1}_Q \in L_\omega^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ \int_Q |f(t)|^p \omega(t) dt \in (0, \infty)}} \frac{[\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(t)| dt]^p}{\frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \int_Q |f(t)|^p \omega(t) dt},$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

The following conclusion shows that $C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset C_c^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proposition 4.4. Let $f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$(4.1) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{|h| < r} \frac{|f(x+h) - f(x)|}{r} = |\nabla f(x)|$$

converges uniformly on \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|\nabla f(x)| = \text{Lip } f(x) = \text{lip } f(x)$ and $C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset C_c^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Let all the notation be as in the present proposition. Let $f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $r \in (0, \infty)$. By the Taylor remainder theorem, we find that, for any $x, h := (h_1, \dots, h_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$f(x+h) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_i} h_i + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f(x+\theta h)}{2\partial x_i \partial x_j} h_i h_j.$$

From this, we deduce that, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|h| < r$,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_i} \frac{h_i}{r} \right| - \frac{Cn^2 r}{2} \leq \frac{|f(x+h) - f(x)|}{r} \leq |\nabla f(x)| + \frac{Cn^2 r}{2},$$

where

$$C := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right|.$$

This implies that

$$\left| \sup_{|h|<r} \frac{|f(x+h) - f(x)|}{r} - |\nabla f(x)| \right| \leq \frac{Cn^2 r}{2}.$$

Using this, we conclude that (4.1) holds true, which completes the proof of Proposition 4.4. \square

As an application of Theorem 1.2, we have the following conclusion.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $\omega \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, for any $f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\lambda \in (0, \infty)} \lambda^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n: |f(x)-f(y)| > \lambda|x-y|[\omega(B(x,|x-y|))]^{1/p}\}}(x,y) \omega(x) \omega(y) dx dy \\ & \sim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f(x)|^p \omega(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where the positive equivalence constants depend only on n , p , and $[\omega]_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Proof. Let all the notation be as in the present theorem. Let $\omega \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Using Theorem 1.2 with \mathcal{X} , $\rho(x, y)$, and $d\mu(x)$ replaced, respectively, by \mathbb{R}^n , $|x - y|$, and $\omega(x)dx$, to show Theorem 4.5, we only need to prove that, for any $f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, f satisfies a (p, p) -Poincaré inequality with constants $\tau = 1$, C_1 , and C_2 independent of f . For any ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\varphi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let

$$\ell_B(\varphi) := \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \varphi(x) dx.$$

From Proposition 4.4, Lemma 4.3(ii), and [34, Corollary 1.8], it follows that there exist some constants $C_1, C_2 \in [1, \infty)$ such that, for any $f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varphi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$, (1.4) and (1.5) hold true with $q = p$ and $\tau = 1$. Thus, for any $f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, f satisfies a (p, p) -Poincaré inequality with constants $\tau = 1$, C_1 , and C_2 independent of f . This finishes the proof of Theorem 4.5. \square

Remark 4.6. Let $\omega = 1$. In this case, Theorem 4.5 is just [7, Theorem 1.1].

As the applications of Corollaries 3.1 and 3.3, we have the following conclusions. Since the proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.5, we omit the details here.

Corollary 4.7. *Let $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $\omega \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\lambda \in (0, \infty)} \lambda \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n: |f(x)-f(y)| > \lambda|x-y|^{1/p} [\omega(B(x,|x-y|))]^{1/p}\}}(x,y) \omega(x) \omega(y) dx dy \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \omega dx)}^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f(x)| \omega(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.8. Let $\omega = 1$. In this case, Corollary 4.7 is just [7, Corollary 5.1].

Corollary 4.9. Let $s_1 \in (0, 1)$, $p_1 \in (1, \infty)$, and $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Let $s \in (s_1, 1)$ and $p \in (1, p_1)$ satisfy $s := (1 - \theta)s_1 + \theta$ and $\frac{1}{p} := \frac{1-\theta}{p_1} + \theta$. Let $\omega \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\lambda \in (0, \infty)} \lambda \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x) - f(y)| > \lambda |x - y|^s [\omega(B(x, |x - y|))]^{1/p_1}\}} (x, y) \omega(x) \omega(y) dx dy \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \leq C \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f(x)| \omega(x) dx \right]^\theta \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^{p_1}}{|x - y|^{s_1 p_1} \omega(B(x, |x - y|))} \omega(x) \omega(y) dx dy \right]^{\frac{1-\theta}{p_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.10. Let $\omega = 1$. In this case, Corollary 4.9 is just [7, Corollary 5.2].

4.2 Complete n -dimensional Riemannian Manifolds with Non-negative Ricci Curvature

Let us recall the notion about Riemannian manifolds, which can be found in [16, Chapters 1 and 2].

Definition 4.11. A Hausdorff space M is called a *smooth manifold of dimension n* if there exist a family $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ of open sets in \mathbb{R}^n , and a family of homeomorphisms,

$$\{x_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow x_\alpha(U_\alpha) \subset M\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$$

with $x_\alpha(U_\alpha) := \{p \in M : p = x_\alpha(z), z \in U_\alpha\}$, such that

- (i) $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} x_\alpha(U_\alpha) = M$;
- (ii) for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ with $W := x_\alpha(U_\alpha) \cap x_\beta(U_\beta) \neq \emptyset$, the mappings $x_\beta^{-1} \circ x_\alpha$ are infinitely differentiable in W ;
- (iii) the family $\{(U_\alpha, x_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ satisfy that, if there exist an open set $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and a homeomorphism $y : V \rightarrow y(V) \subset M$ such that $\{(U_\alpha, x_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \cup \{(V, y)\}$ satisfy (ii), then $(V, y) \in \{(U_\alpha, x_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$.

The pair (U_α, x_α) in Definition 4.11 with $p \in x_\alpha(U_\alpha)$ is called a *parametrization of M at p* .

Definition 4.12. Let M_1 and M_2 be, respectively, n -dimensional and m -dimensional smooth manifolds. A mapping $\varphi : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is said to be *smooth at $p \in M_1$* if, for any given parametrization (V, y) of M_2 at $\varphi(p)$, there exists a parametrization (U, x) of M_1 at p such that $\varphi(x(U)) \subset y(V)$ and the mapping

$$y^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ x : U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$$

is infinitely differentiable at $x^{-1}(p)$. A mapping φ is called a *smooth mapping* if φ is smooth at any point $p \in M_1$.

Let M be a smooth manifold as in Definition 4.11. A *smooth curve* α in M is a smooth mapping $\alpha : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$. A *smooth function* f in M is a smooth mapping $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Denote by the *symbol* $C_c^\infty(M)$ the set of all smooth functions on M with compact support.

Definition 4.13. Let M be a smooth manifold and $\alpha : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ be a smooth curve. Let $\alpha(0) = p$ and \mathcal{D} be the set of all real-valued functions f on M such that f is smooth at p . The *tangent vector* to the curve α at $t = 0$ is a mapping $\alpha'(0) : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by setting that, for any $f \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\alpha'(0)(f) := \left. \frac{d(f \circ \alpha)}{dt} \right|_{t=0}.$$

A *tangent vector* at p is a tangent vector at $t = 0$ to some curve $\alpha : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ with $\alpha(0) = p$. The set of all tangent vectors at p is denoted by $T_p M$.

Let M be a n -dimensional smooth manifold and $p \in M$. Suppose that (U, x) is a parametrization of M at p and $x(h_1, \dots, h_n) = p$, where $(h_1, \dots, h_n) \in U$. For any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and t sufficiently small, let $\alpha_i(t) := x(h_1, \dots, h_i + t, \dots, h_n)$. Then α_i is a smooth curve with $\alpha_i(0) = p$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Denote the tangent vector $\alpha'_i(0)$ by $\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right|_p$ (see, for instance, [16, p. 8]). A *vector field* X on M is a map on M such that, for any $p \in M$, $X(p) \in T_p M$. For any $p \in M$, noticing that $\{\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right|_p, \dots, \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right|_p\}$ is a basis of $T_p M$ (see, for instance, [16, p. 8]), we can write

$$(4.2) \quad X(p) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(p) \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right|_p,$$

where $a_i(p) \in \mathbb{R}$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Definition 4.14. Let M be a n -dimensional smooth manifold as in Definition 4.11. A vector field X on M is called a *smooth vector field* if, for any $p \in M$ and any parametrization (U, x) of M at p , the coefficient function $a_i(\cdot)$ as in (4.2) is a smooth function on U for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Definition 4.15. A *Riemannian metric* on a n -dimensional smooth manifold M is a map on M such that, for any $p \in M$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$ is an inner product on the tangent space $T_p M$, which varies smoothly in the following sense: if (U, x) is a parametrization of p , then, for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$\left\langle \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right|_{(\cdot)}, \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right|_{(\cdot)} \right\rangle_{(\cdot)}$$

is a smooth function on U . A smooth manifold with a Riemannian metric is called a *Riemannian manifold*.

Let M be a n -dimensional Riemannian manifold. For any $p \in M$ and $v \in T_p M$, let

$$|v|_M := \sqrt{\langle v, v \rangle_p},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$ is as in Definition 4.15.

Definition 4.16. Let M be a Riemannian manifold as in Definition 4.15 and f a smooth function on M . The *gradient of f* , denoted by ∇f , is a smooth vector field such that, for any smooth vector field X and any $p \in M$,

$$(4.3) \quad \langle \nabla f(p), X(p) \rangle_p = X(p)(f).$$

Remark 4.17. Let M be a Riemannian manifold as in Definition 4.15. By [27, p. 343], we find that, for any smooth function f on M , there exists a unique smooth vector field satisfying (4.3). This implies that, for any smooth function f on M , ∇f exists and is unique. Thus, for any smooth function f on M , ∇f in Definition 4.16 is well defined.

Let M be a Riemannian manifold as in Definition 4.15. Let ρ_M be the canonical distance function on M (see [16, p. 146] for the precise definition). By [27, Lemma 6.2], we find that ρ_M is a metric on M . For any $x \in M$ and $r \in (0, \infty)$, let

$$B_M(x, r) := \{y \in M : \rho_M(x, y) < r\}.$$

Similarly to Proposition 4.4, we have the following conclusion.

Proposition 4.18. *Let M be a n -dimensional Riemannian manifold and $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$. Then, for any $x \in M$,*

$$(4.4) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{y \in B_M(x, r)} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{r} = |\nabla f(x)|_M$$

converges uniformly on M . Moreover, for any $x \in M$, $|\nabla f(x)| = \text{Lip } f(x) = \text{lip } f(x)$ and $C_c^\infty(M) \subset C_c^(M)$.*

Proof. Let all the notation be as in the present proposition. Let $p \in M$ and $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a sequence of smooth vector fields in a neighborhood U of p such that, for any $x \in U$, $\{v_i(x)\}_{i=1}^n$ is an orthonormality basis of $T_x M$. For any $r \in (0, \infty)$, let

$$B(\mathbf{0}, r) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y| < r\}.$$

By [16, p. 72], we find that there exists a positive constant $\delta(p)$ such that $B_M(p, \delta(p)) \subset U$ and, for any $(x, t) \in B_M(p, \delta(p)) \times B(\mathbf{0}, \delta(p))$,

$$F(x, t) := F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) := f \circ \exp_x \left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i v_i(x) \right)$$

is smooth and

$$C := \sup_{\substack{x \in B_M(p, \delta(p)) \\ t \in B(\mathbf{0}, \delta(p))}} \sum_{i, j=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial^2 [F(x, \cdot)]}{\partial t_i \partial t_j} (t) \right| < \infty,$$

where \exp_x is the exponential map (see [16, p. 65] for the precise definition). By the definition of ∇ and [16, Proposition 2.7 of Chapter 3], we find that, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $x \in B_M(p, \delta(p))$,

$$\langle \nabla f(x), v_i(x) \rangle_x = v_i(x)(f) = \frac{df(\exp_x(\cdot) v_i(x))}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{\partial [F(x, \cdot)]}{\partial t_i}(\mathbf{0}).$$

This, together with the fact that $\{v_i(x)\}_{i=1}^n$ is an orthonormality basis of $T_x M$, implies that

$$\nabla f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial [F(x, \cdot)]}{\partial t_i}(\mathbf{0}) v_i(x)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla f(x)|_M &= \sqrt{\langle \nabla f(x), \nabla f(x) \rangle_x} = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial[F(x, \cdot)]}{\partial t_i}(\mathbf{0}) \frac{\partial[F(x, \cdot)]}{\partial t_j}(\mathbf{0}) \langle v_i(x), v_j(x) \rangle_x} \\ &= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial[F(x, \cdot)]}{\partial t_i}(\mathbf{0}) \right|^2} =: |\nabla F(x, \mathbf{0})|. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the Taylor remainder theorem, we conclude that, for any given $(x, t) \in B_M(p, \delta(p)/2) \times B(\mathbf{0}, \delta(p))$, there exists a $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(4.5) \quad F(x, t) = F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial[F(x, \cdot)]}{\partial t_i}(\mathbf{0}) t_i + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2[F(x, (\cdot))]}{2\partial t_i \partial t_j}(\theta t) t_i t_j.$$

Let $r \in (0, \delta(p)/2)$ be sufficiently small and $y \in B_M(x, r) \subset B_M(p, \delta(p))$. By [27, Corollary 6.11], we find that the map \exp_x is a bijection from $\{v \in T_x M : |v|_M < r\}$ to $B_M(x, r)$. From this and the assumption that $\{v_i(x)\}_{i=1}^n$ is an orthonormality basis of $T_x M$, it follows that there exists an $(a_1(y), \dots, a_n(y)) \in B(\mathbf{0}, r)$ such that

$$y = \exp_x \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i(y) v_i(x) \right).$$

Using this and (4.5), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} f(y) - f(x) &= F(x, a_1(y), \dots, a_n(y)) - f(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial[F(x, \cdot)]}{\partial t_i}(\mathbf{0}) a_i(y) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2[F(x, (\cdot))]}{2\partial t_i \partial t_j}(\theta a_1(y), \dots, \theta a_n(y)) a_i(y) a_j(y). \end{aligned}$$

This, together with the fact that the map $y \rightarrow (a_1(y), \dots, a_n(y))$ is a bijection from $B_M(x, r)$ to $B(\mathbf{0}, r)$, implies that

$$|\nabla F(x, \mathbf{0})| - \frac{Cn^2 r}{2} \leq \sup_{y \in B_M(x, r)} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{r} \leq |\nabla F(x, \mathbf{0})| + \frac{Cn^2 r}{2}.$$

Thus, for any $x \in B_M(p, \delta(p)/2)$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{y \in B_M(x, r)} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{r} = |\nabla f(x)|_M$$

converges uniformly on $B_M(p, \delta(p)/2)$. From this and the boundedness of $\text{supp } f$, we deduce that (4.4) holds true. This finishes the proof of Proposition 4.18. \square

Now, let M be a complete n -dimensional Riemannian manifold whose Ricci curvature is non-negative (see [16, p. 97 and Chapter 7] for the precise definition). Denote the canonical measure on M by μ (see [16, p. 44] for the precise definition). By [19, Section 10.1], we find that μ is a

doubling measure on M and there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$ and any ball $B_M := B_M(y_{B_M}, r_{B_M}) = \{z \in M : \rho_M(z, y_{B_M}) < r_{B_M}\} \subset M$,

$$\int_{B_M} |f(x) - f_{B_M}| d\mu(x) \leq Cr_{B_M} \int_{B_M} |\nabla f(x)| dx,$$

where

$$f_{B_M} := \frac{1}{\mu(B_M)} \int_{B_M} f(x) d\mu(x).$$

This, together with Proposition 4.18, implies that, for any $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$, f satisfies a $(1, 1)$ -Poincaré inequality with constants independent of f . By Theorem 1.2 and Corollaries 3.1 and 3.3, we have the following conclusion, we omit the details here.

Theorem 4.19. *Let (M, ρ_M, μ) be a complete n -dimensional Riemannian manifold whose Ricci curvature is non-negative, where μ is the canonical measure on M . Then, for any $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$,*

$$\sup_{\lambda \in (0, \infty)} \lambda \int_M \int_M \mathbf{1}_{D_{\lambda,1}}(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \sim \int_M |\nabla f(x)|_M d\mu(x),$$

where

$$D_{\lambda,1} := \{(x, y) \in M \times M : |f(x) - f(y)| > \lambda \rho_M(x, y) V(x, y)\}$$

and the positive equivalence constants are independent of f .

Remark 4.20. To the best of our knowledge, the result of Theorem 4.19 is totally new.

Corollary 4.21. *Let (M, ρ_M, μ) be a complete n -dimensional Riemannian manifold whose Ricci curvature is non-negative and $p \in [1, \infty)$, where μ is the canonical measure on M . Then, for any $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$, (3.1) holds true, where the positive equivalence constants are independent of f .*

Remark 4.22. To the best of our knowledge, the result of Corollary 4.21 is totally new.

Corollary 4.23. *Let $s_1 \in (0, 1)$, $p_1 \in (1, \infty)$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$, and $q \in [1, \infty)$. Let $s \in (s_1, 1)$ and $p \in (1, p_1)$ satisfy $s := (1 - \theta)s_1 + \theta$ and $\frac{1}{p} := \frac{1 - \theta}{p_1} + \theta$. Let (M, ρ_M, μ) be a complete n -dimensional Riemannian manifold whose Ricci curvature is non-negative and $p \in [1, \infty)$, where μ is the canonical measure on M . Then, for any $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$, (3.3) holds true, where the positive equivalence constants are independent of f .*

Remark 4.24. To the best of our knowledge, the result of Corollary 4.23 is totally new.

References

- [1] J. Bourgain, H. Brezis and P. Mironescu, Lifting in Sobolev spaces, *J. Anal. Math.* 80 (2000), 37-86.
- [2] J. Bourgain, H. Brezis and P. Mironescu, Another look at Sobolev spaces, *Optimal Control and Partial Differential Equations*, IOS, Amsterdam, 2001, 439-455.
- [3] J. Bourgain, H. Brezis and P. Mironescu, Limiting embedding theorems for $W^{s,p}$ when $s \uparrow 1$ and applications, *J. Anal. Math.* 87 (2002), 77-101.

- [4] D. Brazke, A. Schikorra and P.-L. Yung, Bourgain–Brezis–Mironescu convergence via Triebel–Lizorkin spaces, arXiv: 2109.04159.
- [5] H. Brezis, How to recognize constant functions. A connection with Sobolev spaces, *Russian Math. Surveys* 57 (2002), 693-708.
- [6] H. Brezis, A. Seeger, J. Van Schaftingen and P.-L. Yung, Families of functionals representing Sobolev norms, arXiv: 2109.02930.
- [7] H. Brezis, J. Van Schaftingen and P.-L. Yung, A surprising formula for Sobolev norms, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 118(2021), e2025254118, 6 pp.
- [8] H. Brezis, J. Van Schaftingen and P.-L. Yung, Going to Lorentz when fractional Sobolev, Gagliardo and Nirenberg estimates fail, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 60 (2021), Paper No. 129, 12 pp.
- [9] T. A. Bui and X.-T. Duong, Sharp weighted estimates for square functions associated to operators on spaces of homogeneous type, *J. Geom. Anal.* 30 (2020), 874-900.
- [10] T. A. Bui, X.-T. Duong and F. K. Ly, Maximal function characterizations for new local Hardy-type spaces on spaces of homogeneous type, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 370 (2018), 7229-7292.
- [11] L. Caffarelli, J. M. Roquejoffre and O. Savin, Non-local minimal surfaces, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 63 (2010), 1111-1144.
- [12] L. Caffarelli and E. Valdinoci, Uniform estimates and limiting arguments for nonlocal minimal surfaces, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 41 (2011), 203-240.
- [13] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Analyse Harmonique Non-Commutative sur Certains Espaces Homogènes*, (French) *Étude de Certaines Intégrales Singulières*, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 242, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971.
- [14] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 83 (1977), 569-645.
- [15] F. Dai, X. Lin, D. Yang, W. Yuan and Y. Zhang, Generalization in ball Banach function spaces of Brezis–Van Schaftingen–Yung formulae with applications to fractional Sobolev and Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities, arXiv: 2109.04638.
- [16] M. P. do Carmo, *Riemannian Geometry*, *Mathematics: Theory and Applications*, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1992.
- [17] L. Grafakos, *Classical Fourier Analysis*, third edition, *Grad. Texts in Math.* 249, Springer, New York, 2014.
- [18] Q. Gu and P.-L. Yung, A new formula for the L^p norm, *J. Funct. Anal.* 281 (2021), Paper No. 109075, 19 pp.
- [19] P. Hajłasz and P. Koskela, Sobolev Met Poincaré, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 145 (2000), no. 688, x+101 pp.
- [20] Ya. Han, Yo. Han and J. Li, Criterion of the boundedness of singular integrals on spaces of homogeneous type, *J. Funct. Anal.* 271 (2016), 3423-3464.
- [21] Ya. Han, Yo. Han and J. Li, Geometry and Hardy spaces on spaces of homogeneous type in the sense of Coifman and Weiss, *Sci. China Math.* 60 (2017), 2199-2218.
- [22] J. Heinone, *Lectures on Analysis on Metric Spaces*, *Universitext*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [23] T. Hytönen, A framework for non-homogeneous analysis on metric spaces, and the RBMO space of Tolsa, *Publ. Mat.* 54 (2010), 485-504.

- [24] J. Li, Atomic decomposition of weighted Triebel–Lizorkin spaces on spaces of homogeneous type, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 89 (2010), 255-275.
- [25] J. Li and L. A. Ward, Singular integrals on Carleson measure spaces CMO^p on product spaces of homogeneous type, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 141 (2013), 2767-2782.
- [26] K. A. Kopotun, Polynomial approximation with doubling weights having finitely many zeros and singularities, *J. Approx. Theory* 198 (2015), 24-62.
- [27] J. M. Lee, *Introduction to Smooth Manifolds*, second edition, *Grad. Texts in Math.* 218, Springer, New York, 2013.
- [28] G. Mastroianni and V. Totik, Best approximation and moduli of smoothness for doubling weights, *J. Approx. Theory* 110 (2001), 180-199
- [29] V. Maz'ya, *Sobolev Spaces with Applications to Elliptic Partial Differential Equations*, second, revised and augmented edition, *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften* 342, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [30] G. Mingione, Gradient potential estimates, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 13 (2011), 459-486.
- [31] E. Nakai, The Campanato, Morrey and Hölder spaces on spaces of homogeneous type, *Studia Math.* 176 (2006), 1-19.
- [32] E. Nakai and K. Yabuta, Pointwise multipliers for functions of weighted bounded mean oscillation on spaces of homogeneous type, *Math. Japon.* 46 (1997), 15–28.
- [33] E. D. Nezza, G. Palatucci and E. Valdinoci, Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces, *Bull. Sci. Math.* 136 (2012), 521-573.
- [34] C. Pérez and E. Rela, Degenerate Poincaré–Sobolev inequalities, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 372 (2019), 6087-6133.
- [35] A. Poliakovsky, Some remarks on a formula for Sobolev norms due to Brezis, Van Schaftingen and Yung, *J. Funct. Anal.* 282 (2022), no. 3, Paper No. 109312, 47 pp.

Feng Dai

Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, University of Alberta Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2G1, Canada

E-mail: fdai@ualberta.ca

Xiaosheng Lin, Dachun Yang (Corresponding author), Wen Yuan and Yangyang Zhang

Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems (Ministry of Education of China), School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, People's Republic of China

E-mails: xiaoslin@mail.bnu.edu.cn (X. Lin)

dcyang@bnu.edu.cn (D. Yang)

wenyuan@bnu.edu.cn (W. Yuan)

yangy Zhang@mail.bnu.edu.cn (Y. Zhang)